We thank the reviewer for the comments on our manuscript. The comments and suggestions are greatly appreciated. All the comments have been addressed and we believe that the revisions based on these comments improved the quality of our manuscript. Below please find our responses to the comments one by one and the corresponding revisions made to the manuscript. The original comments are shown in italics. The revised parts of the manuscript are highlighted.

This study provided a detailed analysis of the HOM formation from limonene reacting with NO3 radical. These HOMs are potentially important in forming new particles and secondary organic aerosol. In addition, this study provides observational evidence on the formation of HOM-trimer from NO3 oxidation of limonene, to my best knowledge, for the 1st time. However, I have a few comments to be addressed before this manuscript can be published in ACP.

1. The concentration issue: The monoterpene concentrations are still much higher than in most regions. This could be why the dimer/monomer ratio is so high, and why can you observe trimer? However, this is far from the real atmosphere, where dimers concentration is usually too low to react with the other oxidant before condensing to particles. As shown in Fig.5 and Fig. 6, some aerosol exists universally in the atmosphere; they can scavenge HOM dimers so effectively that the dimers have no time to react with oxidants again to form a trimer.

Response:

We agree that the higher monoterpene concentrations may favor trimer formation. Although monoterpene concentrations in this study (0-0.92 ppbv) are higher than in most ambient regions, they are still in the range of ambient concentrations (~0.01-1 ppbv) (e.g. Coggon et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022), especially for forested regions (e.g. Xu et al., 2015; Kontkanen et al., 2016; Janson, 1992).

Regarding the influence of aerosols, the relative loss of dimers by condensations on aerosols and by gasphase reaction depends on the concentrations of aerosol and oxidants. Assuming that dimers react with NO₃ at a rate constant similar to limonene and have a condensation sink similar to H_2SO_4 (10⁻³ to 10⁻¹ s⁻¹) (Dada et al., 2020) at a NO₃ concentration of 5-300 ppt, the lifetime with respect to NO₃ and to condensation on particles are ~0.1-10 min and ~0.1-20 min, respectively. Therefore, although aerosols may scavenge HOM dimers hindering the formation of trimers, dimers can still react with oxidant, at least with NO₃ at nighttime, forming trimers. Such reactions are particularly important when the ambient aerosol concentration is low (similar to the situation of the early stage of our experiment).

In the revised manuscript, we have added the following discussion regarding the VOC concentrations:

"Since our experiment of NO₃ oxidation of limonene was performed under near atmospheric conditions, such NPF events induced by the oxidation of limonene by NO₃ could also occur in the ambient atmosphere. Although monoterpene concentrations in this study (0-0.92 ppbv) are higher than in most ambient regions, they are still in the range of ambient concentrations (~0.01-1 ppbv) (e.g. Coggon et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022), especially for forested regions (e.g. Xu et al., 2015; Kontkanen et al., 2016; Janson, 1992). Assuming that dimers react with NO₃ at a rate similar to limonene, and that they have a condensation sink similar to H_2SO_4 (10^{-3} to 10^{-1} s⁻¹) (Dada et al., 2020), the lifetime with respect to NO₃ at an NO₃ concentration of 5-300 ppt and to condensation on particles are ~0.1-10 min and ~0.1-20 min, respectively. Therefore, although aerosols may scavenge HOM dimers in the ambient atmosphere, dimers can still react with NO₃ at nighttime, forming trimers. Such reactions are particularly important when the ambient aerosol concentration is low."

2. Self-termination of HOM-RO2: One of this work's major conclusions is that the HOM-RO2 selftermination is more important than the previously understood. I believe more evidence is needed to support this point. For example, is it possible C10H15NOx is formed from C10H15NOx+NO3? In addition, what's the potential influence of the differences in instrument sensitivity on detecting carbonyl compounds and hydroxyl compounds?

Response:

We observed much more carbonylnitrates than hydroxynitrates (or hydroperoxynitrates) in the gas-phase products in the limonene + NO₃ reaction system. As discussed in our previous study by Shen et al. (2021), this higher abundance of carbonylnitrates is not likely to be explained by the reaction of alkoxy RO• + O₂ forming carbonyls and HO₂•, decomposition of β -nitrooxyperoxynitrate or self-reactions of RO₂• via the Bennett and Summers mechanism forming carbonyls and H₂O₂. Reactions between RO₂• in general produce overall equal amounts of carbonyl and hydroxyl compounds. The decomposition of β -nitrooxyperoxynitrate is slow in the gas-phase. The reaction of alkoxy RO• with O₂ for large RO• is generally slower than isomerization and decomposition. Thus, the higher abundance of carbonylnitrates than hydroxynitrates may be attributed to unimolecular termination of HOM-RO₂•. In addition, isomerization of RO• forming carbonyl compounds may also contribute to this finding.

A $C_{10}H_{15}NO_x$ compound could be formed via the reaction of $C_{10}H_{15}NO_{x-2}$ with NO₃ and O₂ followed by the conversion of RO₂• to RO• and the dissociation forming NO₂. However, this reaction does not have an impact on the total amount of $C_{10}H_{15}NO_x$, which still need a source. Also, main products of $C_{10}H_{15}NO_x$ +NO₃ are compounds containing 2 N atoms.

According to Hyttinen et al. (2015), for nitrate CI-APi-TOF, HOM containing two hydrogen bond donors

(such as -OOH and -OH group) have strong binding energy with NO_3 . Additional hydrogen bond donors only enhance the binding energy marginally. If we compare HOM carbonyl product (such as $C_{10}H_{15}NO_{10}$) with the corresponding hydroxy product ($C_{10}H_{17}NO_{10}$), they only differ in one functional group. As both are highly functionalized, it is likely that carbonyl HOM have a quite similar sensitivity with hydroxyl HOM. If the sensitivity of carbonyl HOM were lower, this would result in even more dominance of carbonyl HOM over hydroxyl HOM. Thus, we conclude that carbonylnitrates are more abundant than hydroxynitrates or hydroperoxynitrates.

In the revised manuscript, we have added discussion on this point as follows.

"As discussed in our previous study by Shen et al. (2021), this higher abundance of carbonylnitrates is not likely to be explained by the reaction of alkoxy RO• + O₂ forming carbonyls and HO₂•, decomposition of β nitrooxyperoxynitrate or self-reactions of RO₂• via the Bennett and Summers mechanism forming carbonyls and H₂O₂. Reactions between RO₂• in general should produce overall equal amounts of carbonyl and hydroxyl compounds. The decomposition of β -nitrooxyperoxynitrate is slow in the gas-phase. The reaction of alkoxy RO• with O₂ for large RO• is generally slower than isomerization and decomposition (Vereecken and Peeters, 2009, 2010). Thus, the higher abundance of carbonylnitrates compared to hydroxynitrates may be attributed to unimolecular termination of HOM-RO₂•. In addition, isomerization of RO• forming carbonyl compounds may also contribute to this finding."

"According to Hyttinen et al. (2015), for nitrate CI-APi-TOF, HOM containing two hydrogen bond donors (such as -OOH and -OH group) have strong binding energy with NO_3^- . Additional hydrogen bond donors only enhance the binding energy marginally. If we compare HOM carbonyl product (such as $C_{10}H_{15}NO_{10}$) with the corresponding hydroxy product ($C_{10}H_{17}NO_{10}$), they only differ in one functional group. As both are highly functionalized, it is likely that HOM carbonyl have a quite similar sensitivity with hydroxyl HOM. If the sensitivity of carbonyl HOM were lower, this would result in even more dominance of carbonyl HOM over hydroxyl HOM. Thus, we conclude that carbonylnitrates are more abundant than hydroxynitrates or hydroperoxynitrates."

3. I would suggest adding more discussions on the potential influence of ozone oxidation of limonene in the system, as well as the potential role of HO forming via ozonolysis of monoterpene. As shown in Table 2, C20H33NOx and C20H34N4Ox are likely from OH oxidation. In addition, the abundance of C20H31NOx (x=10-15) is considerably high may also indicate the role of O3 chemistry.

Response:

Accepted. We agree that $C_{20}H_{33}NO_x$ may be formed via accretion reaction of $C_{10}H_{16}NO_x^{\bullet}$ with $C_{10}H_{17}O_x^{\bullet}$, which is formed via OH oxidation of $C_{10}H_{16}$. $C_{20}H_{34}N_4O_x$ may be formed via accretion reaction of two

 $C_{10}H_{17}N_2O_x$, which can be formed via OH oxidation of $C_{10}H_{16}N_2O_x$. Also, the considerably high abundance of $C_{20}H_{31}NO_x$ (x=10-15) may be partly attributed to the contribution of O₃ chemistry. The revised texts are as follows:

"We cannot exclude that the formation pathway of $C_{20}H_{33}NO_x$, $C_{20}H_{34}N_4O_x$ and $C_{19}H_{31}NO_x$ may also involve limonene oxidation by OH• (Table 2), which can be formed in the ozonolysis of limonene as a minor pathway. In addition, the high abundance of $C_{20}H_{31}NO_x$ (x=10-15) among the dimers may be partly attributed to a contribution of the reaction of limonene with O₃."

We have also updated Table 2 to reflect the potential contribution of OH oxidation as follows:

Dimer/Trimer family	Possible formation pathways
$C_{20}H_{32}N_2O_x$	$C_{10}H_{16}NO_x\bullet+C_{10}H_{16}NO_x\bullet$
$C_{20}H_{33}N_3O_x \ / \ C_{20}H_{31}N_3O_x$	$C_{20}H_{32}N_2O_x + NO_3 + HO_2\bullet/RO_2\bullet$
$C_{20}H_{31}NO_x$	$C_{10}H_{16}NO_x\bullet+C_{10}H_{15}O_x\bullet$
C ₂₀ H ₃₃ NO _x	$\mathbf{C_{10}H_{16} + OH \bullet + C_{10}H_{16}NO_{x} \bullet}$
$C_{20}H_{34}N_4O_x$	$(C_{10}H_{16}N_2O_x + OH \bullet) + (C_{10}H_{16}N_2O_x + OH \bullet)$
$C_{19}H_{30}N_2O_x$	$C_{10}H_{16}NO_x\bullet+C_9H_{14}NO_x\bullet$
$C_{19}H_{31}N_3O_x$	$C_{19}H_{30}N_2O_x + NO_3 + HO_2\bullet/RO_2\bullet$
$C_{19}H_{29}NO_x$	$C_9H_{14}NO_x\bullet+C_{10}H_{15}O_x\bullet$
C ₁₉ H ₃₁ NO _x	$C_{10}H_{16} + OH \bullet + C_9H_{14}NO_x \bullet$
$C_{30}H_{48}N_4O_x$	$C_{20}H_{32}N_3O_x\bullet+C_{10}H_{16}NO_x\bullet$
$C_{30}H_{47}N_3O_x$	$C_{20}H_{31}N_2O_x\bullet+C_{10}H_{16}NO_x\bullet$

Table 2. Major dimer and trimer families and their possible formation pathways.

4. There are so many details in the study, which is good, but makes the manuscript not so easy to follow. I suggest adding some summary statement in each section.

Response:

Accepted. We have added a summary statement at the end of each sub-section in the result section.

Detailed comments:

5. There is growing evidence that monoterpene-OOMs are also important in urban regions. I suggest adding some discussion in the introduction part, i.e., Liu et al., 2021, ACP; Nie et al., 2022, Nat. Geosci.

Response:

Accepted. The revised texts are as follows:

"Besides the observations at forested regions, monoterpene derived HOM via NO₃ oxidation also contribute to organic aerosols in urban regions. For example, Liu et al. (2021) and Nie et al. (2022) have found that HOM derived from monoterpene nighttime chemistry are important in megacities in China, especially during summertime."

6. Line 162: what's the concentration of isoprene-HOMs in the chamber? Can they influence the subsequent reactions?

Response:

The concentration of isoprene-HOM in our chamber are less than 1 ppt. Besides, all the isoprene-HOM observed ($C_5H_9NO_{7,10}$, $C_5H_8N_2O_{8-10}$, $C_5H_{10}N_2O_8$, $C_5H_9N_3O_{9,10}$) are saturated and do not contain C=C double bond. Therefore, the isoprene-HOM will not influence the reaction of limonene with NO₃ in this study.

In the revised manuscript, we have added the following discussion:

"These isoprene oxidation products were likely formed in an isoprene + NO₃ experiment performed two days before (Zhao et al., 2021) and released slowly from chamber walls due to their semi-volatile character. Their total concentration is less than 1 ppt. All the isoprene-HOM observed ($C_5H_9NO_{7,10}$, $C_5H_8N_2O_{8-10}$, $C_5H_{10}N_2O_8$, $C_5H_9N_3O_{9,10}$) are saturated and do not contain C=C double bond. The isoprene-HOM will not influence the reaction of limonene with NO₃ in this study. Therefore, they are not discussed as products from the limonene oxidation in our experiment."

7. *Line 179-180: More discussion on the mass-independent transmission calibration rather than citing a reference.*

Calibration issue: the authors quantify observed HOMs by calibrating H2SO4 and assuming they have similar charging efficiency. However, besides charging efficiency, the transmission would influence the calibration coefficient either (Junninen et al., 2010), especially when referring to a bunch of the molecules covering a wide mass range. Like in this study, one can reasonably speculate the transmission between HOM-dimers and HOM-monomers is different. I may recommend reconsidering the calibration factors used in the current version.

Response:

Accepted. We have added discussion about the dependence of transmission on m/z as follows:

"A mass-independent transmission efficiency was used according to our previous study, which causes an additional uncertainty of 14 % (Pullinen et al., 2020). In this previous study, the transmission efficiency curve of nitrate CI-APi-TOF was determined and found to monotonously decrease with increasing mass of ions but only slightly depend on the mass range (14% change). As we used the same setting as our previous study, we have included the slight dependence of transmission on m/z in the uncertainties."

8. How to calculate the wall loss of N2O5 of the chamber?

Response:

The wall loss rate constant of N_2O_5 in the SAPHIR chamber is 7.2×10^{-5} s⁻¹ (Fry et al., 2009), and the lifetime of N_2O_5 due to wall loss is about 4 h. As the HOM yield determination is based on the first 3 min, the wall loss of N_2O_5 can be ignored compared with the loss via the reaction of NO_3 with limonene.

In the revised manuscript, we have added the following note.

"The wall loss rate constant of N_2O_5 in the SAPHIR chamber is 7.2×10^{-5} s⁻¹ (Fry et al., 2009). As the HOM yield determination is based on the first 3 min, the wall loss of N_2O_5 can be ignored compared to the loss via the reaction of NO_3 with limonene."

9. Line 209-212: SVOCs can also contribute to SOA formation, especially in the case when SOA monotonic increases.

Response:

We agree that SVOC can contribute to SOA formation, especially when particle concentrations are relatively high. However, according to our analysis (Sect. 3.6), the LVOC and ELVOC already constitute most of the SOA in this study and the fraction of SVOC in total SOA must be low. Therefore, the contribution of SVOCs to SOA formation is likely small in this study, especially during the early stage of particle formation.

In the revised manuscript, we have modified this sentence as follows.

"In Scenario 2, only the irreversible uptake of LVOC and ULVOC/ELVOC compounds were considered to contribute to the growth of SOA particles in order to examine the role of LVOC and ELVOC while IVOC and SVOC were not included, although they may also contribute to SOA."

10. Please mark clearly of P1 to P6 in Figure 1. The current version makes the statements in the text a bit hard to follow.

Response:

Accepted. In the revised manuscript, Fig. 1a has been modified in which P1 to P6 as well as P1a are marked clearly (also shown below).

(a)



11. Line 278-279: why there was only one peak of C10H15NO9?

Response:

As we pointed out in the text, we could not explain the behavior of $C_{10}H_{15}NO_9$ in section 3.2.2: "At this time, we do not have a reasonable explanation for the trend of $C_{10}H_{15}NO_9$, though we should consider that there are many isomers at play, which may have very different chemical pathways (un)available." We suspect that $C_{10}H_{15}NO_9$ may contain multiple isomers, which follow patterns of different generation products and together result in the general trend of only one peak with time. However, we cannot justify this speculation.

12. Line 296: why the pattern is 16 TH intervals other than 32 TH intervals?

Response:

According to autoxidation mechanism, the pattern should be 32 Th intervals. However, RO_2 • can be transformed to RO• via reactions with NO, other RO_2 •, or NO₃, which leads to a pattern of 16 Th interval.

In the revised manuscript, we have further clarified this point as follows.

"Such a pattern is attributed to autoxidation of RO_2 • (with 32 Th interval for each O_2 addition) plus the alkoxy-peroxy pathway (shifted by 16 Th compared with exclusive autoxidation) as discussed below."

13. Line 410: Can C10H14Ox be formed from proposed NO3 oxidation pathways?

Response:

According to scheme S2, we can only assume that $C_{10}H_{16}O_x$ may be formed via NO₃ oxidation of limonene. We are not aware of pathways to form $C_{10}H_{14}O_x$ via NO₃ oxidation of limonene, to our knowledge.

14. Add ULVOC in Fig. 7, and explain why dimer cannot trigger NPF?

Response:

Accepted. We have added ULVOC in Fig. 7b (also shown below).



In this study, NPF was observed as well as HOM trimers. In contrast, in a previous study investigating the NO₃-initiated oxidation of β -pinene also conducted in the SAPHIR chamber under similar conditions, barely NPF was observed (Shen et al., 2021), as we discussed in our original manuscript. Also in that study, no trimers were observed. Therefore, NPF in our study was more likely attributed to HOM trimers since they have the strongest potential of initiating nucleation due to their much lower volatility compared to dimers. We did not intend to state that dimers cannot trigger nucleation. Under the same conditions, trimers are more likely to trigger NPF than dimers. In the revised manuscript, we have further clarified this point as follows. "Therefore, NPF in the current study can more likely be attributed to HOM trimers since they have the strongest potential of initiating nucleation, although we cannot rule out some contribution of dimers in the NPF."

References

- Coggon, M. M., Gkatzelis, G. I., McDonald, B. C., Gilman, J. B., Schwantes, R. H., Abuhassan, N., Aikin, K. C., Arend, M. F., Berkoff, T. A., Brown, S. S., Campos, T. L., Dickerson, R. R., Gronoff, G., Hurley, J. F., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Koss, A. R., Li, M., McKeen, S. A., Moshary, F., Peischl, J., Pospisilova, V., Ren, X., Wilson, A., Wu, Y., Trainer, M., and Warneke, C.: Volatile chemical product emissions enhance ozone and modulate urban chemistry, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 118, 10.1073/pnas.2026653118, 2021.
- Dada, L., Ylivinkka, I., Baalbaki, R., Li, C., Guo, Y., Yan, C., Yao, L., Sarnela, N., Jokinen, T., Daellenbach, K. R., Yin, R., Deng, C., Chu, B., Nieminen, T., Wang, Y., Lin, Z., Thakur, R. C., Kontkanen, J., Stolzenburg, D., Sipilä, M., Hussein, T., Paasonen, P., Bianchi, F., Salma, I., Weidinger, T., Pikridas, M., Sciare, J., Jiang, J., Liu, Y., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V.-M., and Kulmala, M.: Sources and sinks driving sulfuric acid concentrations in contrasting environments: implications on proxy calculations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 11747-11766, 10.5194/acp-20-11747-2020, 2020.
- Fry, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Rollins, A. W., Wooldridge, P. J., Brown, S. S., Fuchs, H., Dubé, W., Mensah, A., dal Maso, M., Tillmann, R., Dorn, H. P., Brauers, T., and Cohen, R. C.: Organic nitrate and secondary organic aerosol yield from NO3 oxidation of beta-pinene evaluated using a gas-phase kinetics/aerosol partitioning model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1431-1449, 2009.
- Hyttinen, N., Kupiainen-Maatta, O., Rissanen, M. P., Muuronen, M., Ehn, M., and Kurten, T.: Modeling the Charging of Highly Oxidized Cyclohexene Ozonolysis Products Using Nitrate-Based Chemical Ionization, J Phys Chem A, 119, 6339-6345, 10.1021/acs.jpca.5b01818, 2015.
- Janson, R.: Monoterpene concentrations in and above a forest of scots pine, J. Atmos. Chem., 14, 385-394, 10.1007/bf00115246, 1992.
- Kontkanen, J., Paasonen, P., Aalto, J., Bäck, J., Rantala, P., Petäjä, T., and Kulmala, M.: Simple proxies for estimating the concentrations of monoterpenes and their oxidation products at a boreal forest site, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 13291-13307, 10.5194/acp-16-13291-2016, 2016.
- Liu, Y., Nie, W., Li, Y., Ge, D., Liu, C., Xu, Z., Chen, L., Wang, T., Wang, L., Sun, P., Qi, X., Wang, J., Xu, Z., Yuan, J., Yan, C., Zhang, Y., Huang, D., Wang, Z., Donahue, N. M., Worsnop, D., Chi, X., Ehn, M., and Ding, A.: Formation of condensable organic vapors from anthropogenic and biogenic volatile organic compounds (VOCs) is strongly perturbed by NO_x in eastern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 14789-14814, 10.5194/acp-21-14789-2021, 2021.
- Nie, W., Yan, C., Huang, D. D., Wang, Z., Liu, Y., Qiao, X., Guo, Y., Tian, L., Zheng, P., Xu, Z., Li, Y., Xu, Z., Qi, X., Sun, P., Wang, J., Zheng, F., Li, X., Yin, R., Dallenbach, K. R., Bianchi, F., Petäjä, T., Zhang, Y., Wang, M., Schervish, M., Wang, S., Qiao, L., Wang, Q., Zhou, M., Wang, H., Yu, C., Yao, D., Guo, H., Ye, P., Lee, S., Li, Y. J., Liu, Y., Chi, X., Kerminen, V.-M., Ehn, M., Donahue, N. M., Wang, T., Huang, C., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D., Jiang, J., and Ding, A.: Secondary organic aerosol formed by condensing anthropogenic vapours over China's megacities, Nat. Geosci., 15, 255-261, 10.1038/s41561-022-00922-5, 2022.
- Pullinen, I., Schmitt, S., Kang, S., Sarrafzadeh, M., Schlag, P., Andres, S., Kleist, E., Mentel, T. F., Rohrer, F., Springer, M., Tillmann, R., Wildt, J., Wu, C., Zhao, D., Wahner, A., and Kiendler-Scharr, A.: Impact of NO_x on secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation from α-pinene and β-pinene photooxidation: the role of highly oxygenated organic nitrates, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 10125-10147, 10.5194/acp-20-10125-2020, 2020.
- Shen, H., Zhao, D., Pullinen, I., Kang, S., Vereecken, L., Fuchs, H., Acir, I.-H., Tillmann, R., Rohrer, F.,

Wildt, J., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Wahner, A., and Mentel, T. F.: Highly Oxygenated Organic Nitrates Formed from NO₃ Radical-Initiated Oxidation of β -Pinene, Environ. Sci. Technol., 10.1021/acs.est.1c03978, 2021.

- Vereecken, L. and Peeters, J.: Decomposition of substituted alkoxy radicals-part I: a generalized structure-activity relationship for reaction barrier heights, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 11, 9062-9074, 10.1039/b909712k, 2009.
- Vereecken, L. and Peeters, J.: A structure-activity relationship for the rate coefficient of H-migration in substituted alkoxy radicals, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 12, 12608-12620, 10.1039/c0cp00387e, 2010.
- Wang, H., Ma, X., Tan, Z., Wang, H., Chen, X., Chen, S., Gao, Y., Liu, Y., Liu, Y., Yang, X., Yuan, B., Zeng, L., Huang, C., Lu, K., and and Zhang, Y.: Anthropogenic monoterpenes aggravating ozone pollution, Natl. Sci. Rev., nwac103, 10.1093/nsr/nwac103, 2022.
- Xu, L., Guo, H., Boyd, C. M., Klein, M., Bougiatioti, A., Cerully, K. M., Hite, J. R., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Kreisberg, N. M., Knote, C., Olson, K., Koss, A., Goldstein, A. H., Hering, S. V., de Gouw, J., Baumann, K., Lee, S.-H., Nenes, A., Weber, R. J., and Ng, N. L.: Effects of anthropogenic emissions on aerosol formation from isoprene and monoterpenes in the southeastern United States, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 112, 37-42, 10.1073/pnas.1417609112, 2015.
- Zhao, D., Pullinen, I., Fuchs, H., Schrade, S., Wu, R., Acir, I.-H., Tillmann, R., Rohrer, F., Wildt, J., Guo, Y., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Wahner, A., Kang, S., Vereecken, L., and Mentel, T. F.: Highly oxygenated organic molecule (HOM) formation in the isoprene oxidation by NO₃ radical, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 9681-9704, 10.5194/acp-21-9681-2021, 2021.