Characterization of dust‑related new particle formation events based on long‑term measurement in North China Plain

Xiaojing Shen[1], Junying Sun[1,2], Huizheng Che[1], Yangmei Zhang[1], ~~Huizheng Che[1],~~ Chunhong Zhou[1], Ke Gui[1], Wanyun Xu[1], Quan Liu[1], Junting Zhong[1], Can Xia[1,3], Xinyao Hu[1,4], Sinan Zhang[1,5], Jialing Wang[1], Shuo Liu[1], Jiayuan Lu[1], Aoyuan Yu[1,4], Xiaoye Zhang[1]

[1]State Key Laboratory of Severe Weather & Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry of CMA, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing, 100081, China.

[2]State Key Laboratory of Cryospheric Science, Northwest Institute of Eco-Environment and Resources, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, 730000, China.

[3]Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing, 210000, China

[4]University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China

[5]Shaanxi Meteorological Observatory, Xi'an, 710014, China

Corresponding to: X. J. Shen (shenxj@cma.gov.cn)

Abstract. Mineral dust is a major natural atmospheric aerosol that impacts the Earth's radiation balance. The significant scavenging process of fine particles by the strong wind during the dust provided a relatively ~~pristine~~ clean environment ~~in which thethat~~ that was favourable for ~~occurrence of~~ new particle formation (NPF) occurrence ~~was less influenced by anthropogenic emissions~~. In this study, the NPF occurred following the dust event (dust-related NPF) and other ~~normal days (other NPF events)~~ cases under clean and polluted conditions were classified based on the long-term particle number size distribution (PNSD) in urban Beijing in spring from 2017 to 2021. ~~By comparing the two types of NPF events,~~ It was found the observed formation (J_{obs}) and growth rate (GR) of dust-related NPF events were approximately 50% and 30% lower than the values of ~~normal~~ other NPF days, respectively, ~~due to the extremely low condensation sink (~0.007 s⁻¹) caused by the strong scavenging of precursors during the dust process. The difference of NPF parameters got smaller when nucleated particles grew into the sizes above 10 nm, as the anthropogenic emissions accumulated fast during the few hours when dust ended and played more important role in the later growth stage of NPF event.~~ A typical severe dust storm that originated from Mongolia and swept over northern China on March 15–16, 2021 was analysed, to illustrate how the dust storm influences the NPF event. The maximum hourly mean PM_{10} mass concentration reached 8000 μg m⁻³ during ~~the~~ this dust storm. The occurrence of ~~Secondary sulfate formation was enhanced by mineral dust, which was also favored by elevated ozone concentrations~~ NPF event after dust storm was facilitated due to the low condensation sink (~0.005 s⁻¹) caused by the strong dilution process of pre-existing particles. However, a downward trend of particle hygroscopicity was found during dust storm and NPF event as compared with the polluted episode, resulting in an increasing trend of the critical diameter at different supersaturations (*ss*) where aerosols are activated as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN), although NPF event occurred when dust faded. The critical diameter was elevated by approximately 6%–10% (ss = 0.2% and 0.7%) during the dust storm, resulting

in a lower CCN activation ratio, especially at low supersaturation. Modifications of the nucleation and growth process, as well as the particle-size distribution and hygroscopicity by the dust, provide valuable information that reveals the underlying climate and air quality effects of Asian mineral dust.

1 Introduction

New particle formation (NPF) events have been identified as a major particle source and can produce approximately 50% of the cloud condensation nuclei (CCN), which leads to significant but poorly quantified radiative forcing (Gordon et al., 2017; Yu and Luo, 2009). Several studies have reported that NPF events can occur globally, including in pristine, urban, rural, forest, mountaintop, and coastal environments (Bianchi et al., 2016; Dada et al., 2017; Jokinen et al., 2018; Kulmala et al., 2004; Shen et al., 2011). Unlike relatively clean regions, the nucleation and subsequent growth processes are complex in polluted urban environments, due to the incomplete understanding of the dynamics of nano-particles and clusters under highly polluted conditions (Cai and Jiang, 2017; Kulmala et al., 2017). It also remains challenges to quantify the contribution of NPF events to haze formation in China because it is difficult to separate aerosols from primary sources and gas-to-particle formation (Kulmala et al., 2022).

Mineral dust particles are another important aerosol type in the atmosphere that primarily originate from arid and semiarid regions. They can significantly affect the radiative balance of the Earth's system by scattering and absorbing solar radiation, as well as the formation and properties of clouds by acting as CCN and ice nuclei (DeMott et al., 2010; Liao and Seinfeld, 1998; Seinfeld and Pandis, 1998; Twohy et al., 2009). Model simulations were performed with and without dust influence, and the results predicted that total particle concentration and CCN were reduced by approximately 20% and 10%, respectively, as influenced by the dust pollution plume in East Asia (Manktelow et al., 2010). Dust particles can also lead to radiative feedback in the planetary boundary layer and lift dust particles to higher altitudes (Liu et al., 2016). The heterogeneous reactions of mineral dust with trace gases in the atmosphere can alter the chemical and physical properties of aerosols, including particle hygroscopicity (Ge et al., 2015; Tang et al., 2017). Heterogeneous oxidation of SO_2 onto particles has been observed, and is an important mechanism for converting SO_2 into sulfate (Li et al., 2011). Several laboratory and field studies have focused on the formation of secondary aerosols on dust particles (Liu et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2020). Dust particles enhance the reactive surface areas, absorb trace gases (Ma et al., 2017), and can further modify the chemical composition of the particles. Previous studies have also shown that the secondary formation of inorganics on the dust surface can enhance solubility and hygroscopicity (Mori, 2003; Perry et al., 2004).

In the economic developed and densely populated North China Plain (NCP) region, aerosols are dominated by anthropogenic emissions, and can cause serious air pollution (Zhang et al., 2019). However, based on the optical parameters, including particle linear depolarization ratio, volume linear depolarization ratio and lidar ratio derived from a Raman lidar, there were

approximately 45% of aerosols below 1.8 km above the ground contributed by polluted dust (the mixture of anthropogenic aerosols and dust) in Northern China (Wang et al., 2021). It has also been reported that the coarse mode (diameter ≥ 1 μm) serves as a medium and promotes rapid secondary aerosol formation, driving severe haze formation in the NCP region of China (Xu et al., 2020). However, the impact of dust bursts on nucleation and growth processes based on long-term measurement has not been discussed in urban areas in China based on open literature.

In this work, we analyzed NPF events based on long-term measurement of particle number size distribution (PNSD), to characterize NPF events influenced by dust. The occurrence of NPF events under the clean atmosphere with extremely low condensation sink *(CS)* when the dust events fade, which helps to evaluate how the dust events modify the atmospheric conditions and facilitate the nucleation and growth processes. Specifically, a case study of a typical NPF event occurring after a severe dust storm is discussed in detail. A severe sand and dust storm (SDS) hit North East Asia from March 15 to 16, 2021, sweeping from Mongolia, through most parts of North China, and the Korean Peninsula, causing widespread damage, severe air pollution, and low visibility. This dust storm has been reported to be the most intensive event over the last two decades based on satellite and ground-based observations (Gui et al., 2022). For this specific case, the influence of dust on the NPF event, including the number/volume size distribution, chemical composition, and hygroscopicity of submicron particles, as well as CCN-sized particles, was analyzed to reveal the underlying climate and air quality effects of a typical severe Asian mineral dust storm.

2 Methodology

2.1 Sampling site

The physical and chemical properties of the particles were measured on the roof of the Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences (CAMS) building on the Chinese Meteorological Administration campus. The site is located approximately 53 m above ground level in the western Beijing urban area between the second- and third- ring roads. A major road with heavy traffic to the west of the site indicates that the sampled air could be influenced by traffic emissions. More information on the site can be found in the following studies (Shen et al., 2019; Wang X. et al., 2018).

2.2 Instrumentation

Ambient aerosols were sampled through a PM_{10} impactor with a total flow rate of 16.7 Lpm. Different aerosol instruments were applied, including a tandem scanning mobility particle sizer (TSMPS, TROPOS, Germany). TSMPS system consisting of two differential mobility analyzers (DMAs, TROPOS, Germany) and two condensation particle counters (CPCs, models 3772 and 3776, TSI Inc., St Paul, USA), were used to measure the particle number size distributions (PNSDs) of 3–850 nm in mobility diameter at the CAMS site from 2017 to 2021. In this study, as we focused on dust events concentrated in spring, the

设置了格式: 字体: 倾斜

data from March, April, and May from 2017 to 2021 were analyzed. Due to the malfunction of CPC 3776, which measured the PNSDs below 40 nm, the data in spring 2020 were excluded as the formation and growth rate of NPF days could not be precisely identified. More details about PNSD measurement setup can refer to Shen et al. (2021).

During the extensive campaign in the spring of 2021, in addition to TSMPS, other instruments including an aerodynamic particle sizer (APS, model 3321, TSI Inc., USA), hygroscopicity tandem differential mobility analyzer (H-TDMA, TROPOS, Germany), and an aerodyne high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer (HR-ToF-AMS, Aerodyne Research, Inc., USA) shared a common inlet, and the relative humidity (RH) of the sample air was controlled below 30% with an automatic regenerating absorption aerosol dryer system.

Particles with an aerodynamic diameter in the size range of 0.5–10 μm were derived using APS. Combined with TSMPS data, the PNSD can be used to calculate the surface and volume concentrations, assuming a spherical particle shape. However, owing to the non-sphericity of the dust particles, the surface area cannot be directly converted. Previous studies have also revealed that APS can undersize particles with irregular shapes (Cheng et al., 1990) and oversize dense particles (Barron, 1996), and may result in approximately 10–30% under-sizing of dust particles (Cheng et al., 1990). The particle number concentration in the overlap size range of 500-850 nm derived from TSMPS and APS was compared and the bias was smaller depending on the increasing particle size. For the particles of ~850 nm, the ratio of number concentration from TSMPS to that from APS was about 1.5. The mean and standard deviation of PNSD and volume size distribution derived from TSMPS and APS was given in the supplementary materials, MS (Fig. S1).

The H-TDMA system is comprised of two DMAs, a CPC (Model 3772, TSI Inc., USA) and a humidifier system between the two DMAs. The first DMA selects the quasi-monodisperse particles at a diameter ($D_{p,dry}$= 50, 100 nm) under the dry state with 30% RH (Maβling et al., 2003). Then, the size-selected particles pass through a humidity conditioner, which can be adjusted to the setting RH of 90%. The probability distribution function (PDF) of hygroscopic growth factor (HGF), HGF-PDF is inverted by the TDMAinv method developed by Gysel et al. (2009).

The chemical composition of non-refractory PM_1, including organic components, sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and chloride, was derived using HR-ToF-AMS with a 5-min resolution (Drewnick et al., 2005). The calibrations of ionization efficiency (IE) were performed, using size-selected (300 nm) ammonium nitrate particles before and after the experiment. Default relative IE values were used for organics (1.4), nitrate (1.1), sulfate (1.2), ammonium (4.0), and chloride (1.3), respectively. The HR-ToF-AMS collection efficiency (CE) accounts for the incomplete detection of aerosol species owing to particle bounce at the vaporizer, and/or the partial transmission of particles by the lens (Canagaratna et al., 2007). In this study, a composition-dependent CE correction was used, following the methodology described by Middlebrook et al. (2012). Positive matrix factorization (PMF) (Ulbrich et al., 2009) and a multilinear engine (ME-2) (Canonaco et al., 2013) modelling of high time

resolution organic mass spectrometric data from HR-ToF-AMS have also been used to resolve organics into primary organic aerosols (POA) and oxygenated organic aerosols (OOA), which correspond to different sources and processes (Zhang et al., 2022).

125   The mass concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} with hourly time resolution at the selected air quality monitoring sites were derived from the China National Environment Monitoring Center (CNEMC, http://www.cnemc.cn, last access: October 25, 2022). Trace gases at the CAMS site were measured simultaneously using a set of online analyzers from the Thermo Electron Corporation (USA), including SO_2 (43 CTL), O_3 (49 C), and NO_2 (42 CTL). The TE 49 C has a lower detection limit of 1 ppb and a precision of 1 ppb. The 42 CTL has a lower detection limit of 50 ppt and a precision of 0.4 ppb. The 43 CTL has a lower

130   detection limit of 0.1 ppb and a precision of 1 ppb (Lin et al., 2009). Measurement signals of trace gases were recorded as 1 min averages (Lin et al., 2011), however, the hourly average data were used for discussion, in order to match with the PM mass concentration data.

2.3 Calculations

2.3.1 NPF classification, formation and growth rate

135   The classification of NPF events was based on the principles and methods presented by Dal Maso et al. (2005), in which a distinct new mode of particles (3 – 25 nm) had to appear in the size distribution of the nucleation mode and grow into larger diameters in the following hours after nucleation started. The parameters characterizing NPF events, observed formation rate at 3 nm (J_{obs}), growth rate (GR), as the ~~condensation sink (CS)~~ can be determined by the PNSD measurement, as suggested by ~~(~~Kulmala et al., (2012).

$$J_{obs3} = \frac{dN_{3-25}}{dt} + CoagS \times N_{3-25} + \frac{GR_{3-25}}{\Delta dp} \times N_{3-25} \qquad (1)$$

140

where $CoagS$ is the coagulation sink and GR_{3-25} is the growth rate from 3 nm to 25 nm. Further, GR is defined as the diameter rate of change with time: $GR = (D_{p,2} - D_{p,1})/dt$ (nm h^{-1}), where $D_{p,1}$ and $D_{p,2}$ are the geometric mean diameters ($D_{p,g}$) when the nucleated particles start and stop growing, respectively. $D_{p,g}$ can be derived using lognormal fitting algorithms (Hussein et al., 2005).

145   #### 2.3.2 Oxidation ratio of secondary inorganics

The sulfur oxidation ratio (SOR) and nitrogen oxidation ratio (NOR) are often used to estimate secondary sulfate and nitrate formation via the reactions of SO_2 and NO_2 (Wu et al., 2020). SOR and NOR are defined as the molar ratios of sulfate and nitrate to total oxidized sulfur and nitrogen, respectively, and are calculated as follows:

$$SOR = \frac{n[SO_4^{2-}]}{n[SO_4^{2-}] + n[SO_2]} \qquad (2)$$

$$NOR = \frac{n[NO_3^-]}{n[NO_3^-] + n[NO_2]} \qquad (3)$$

where n represents the molar concentration.

2.3.3 Correction of the dust particle diameter

The TSMPS system gives the PNSD as a function of mobility diameter (D_p), which can represent the volume equivalent diameter ($D_{p,ve}$) for the spherical particles. The aerodynamic diameter ($D_{p,a}$) given by APS can be converted to the mobility diameter by applying the particle density (ρ) and shape factor (χ), which can be used to derive the entire particle size range (3–10 μm) (Hinds, 1999; Reid, 2003):

$$D_{p,ve} = D_{p,a} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\chi \rho}} \times \sqrt{\frac{C_c(D_{p,a})}{C_c(D_{p,ve})}} \qquad (4)$$

where C_c is the Cunningham slip correction factor, and $C_c(D_{p,a})$ nearly equals to $C_c(D_{p,ve})$ due to the particles derived by APS being in the continuum region. For bulk dust aerosols, a density of 2.5 g cm^{-3} and a mean shape factor of 1.8, which ranged from 1.6 to 2.6, were applied in this study (Reid et al., 2008).

2.3.4 Hygroscopicity parameter

The hygroscopic parameter (κ) can be calculated using the approximate expression suggested by (Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007):

$$\kappa_{htdma} = (HGF^3 - 1) \left(\frac{\exp\left(\frac{A}{D_{p,dry} \times HGF}\right)}{RH} - 1 \right) \qquad (5)$$

$$\kappa_{ccn} = \frac{4A^3}{27D_{p,crit}^3 \ln^2 S_c} \qquad (6)$$

$$A = \frac{4\sigma_{s/a}M_w}{RT\rho_w} \qquad (7)$$

where $D_{p,dry}$ (100 nm) and HGF are the initial dry particle diameter and hygroscopic growth factor at RH (90%) measured by H-TDMA, ρ_w is the density of water (1.0 g cm^{-3}), M_w is the molecular weight of water, $\sigma_{s/a}$ is the surface tension of the water solution (0.0728 N m^{-2}), R is the universal gas constant, T is the temperature, and $D_{p,crit}$ is the critical value at which 50% of the particles are activated at supersaturation (S_c).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 The overall dust-related NPF events from 2017 to 2021

The dust days were classified into three types based on visibility (National Weather Bureau of China, 1979; Wang et al., 2005), including dust storms with sand being lifted by strong winds and visibility (vis) < 1.0 km; blowing dust formed by

strong wind carrying a lot of dust and sand, with visibility of 1.0-10 km, and floating dust with fine dust suspended in the lower troposphere with vis ≤ 10 km. In this study, the visibility data are from the national surface meteorological observation stations of China Meteorological Administration (CMA). Furthermore, the daily weather phenomena and visibility are issued by CMA (http://www.asdf-bj.net/publish/observation/5.html, last access on March 23, 2023), which can also help to identify the dust event. The potential source contribution function (PSCF) analysis showed (Fig. S2 in SM) that high $PM_{2.5}$ mass concentration at CAMS was dominated by two sources, the north-westerly and westerly originating air mass containing dust particles, and the southerly air mass with high mass loading of anthropogenic aerosols. However, for PM_{10} mass concentration, the high values only contributed by the air masses passing through Inner Mongolia and carrying dust particles.

From 2017 to 2021, dust storms (DS), blowing dust (BD), and floating dust (FD) occurred once on March 15 2021, 13 days, and 25 days, respectively. Following approximately 80% of the dust days, the NPF event subsequently occurred, as shown in Fig.1. Considering the total NPF days in each spring (March, April, May) from 2017 to 2021, there were 44 days in 2017, 29 days in 2018, 30 days in 2019 and 31 days in 2021. NPF events were classified into different types, which occurred under clean conditions (103 clean NPF cases), polluted conditions (10 polluted NPF cases) and events influenced by BD (9 cases), FD (11 cases) and DS (1 case), respectively. The clean and polluted NPF events were identified according to the CS criterion value of 0.027 s^{-1}, which was the median value during the measurement. The non-dust related NPF events with CS above this value was regarded as the polluted case, otherwise, the cases were the clean NPF events. The abrupt outbreak of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in early 2020 and the preventive restrictions of human activity resulted in the reduction of anthropogenic emissions (Le et al., 2020). Besides the instrument malfunction as mentioned above, in order to exclude the influence of the COVID-19, NPF events in the spring of 2020 were not discussed in this study. However, we elucidated that the elevated atmospheric oxidizing capacity during the lockdown period favored nucleation and growth processes in urban Beijing (Shen et al., 2021).

It was found the NPF events could be interrupted or prohibited by the prevailing of dust process or the mixture with anthropogenic emissions, which could explain the other 20% of the dust days without NPF events afterwards. NPF events usually occurred around 8:00-12:00 LT when solar radiation increased, so if the dust processes prevailed during this period, it could prevent NPF occurrence. For some cases of blowing and floating dust days overlaid by the anthropogenic aerosols, for example, March 18, 2019, April 5, 2019 and May 15, 2019, the CS remained high during the dust (above 0.027 s^{-1}), which was unfavorable for NPF occurrence. For some cases (May 6-8, 2021, Fig. S3 in SM), the whole dust process was composed of blowing and floating dust episode depending on the strength of air masses containing dust particles influenced Beijing. NPF event can not be observed until the whole dust process finished. On May 7 and 8, NPF events were observed with extremely low CS values of approximately 0.0025-0.003 s^{-1}, indicating the concentration level of precursors participating nucleation and

growth were comparable for these two cases. Although there was no direct H_2SO_4 measurement available in this work, the proxy sulfuric acid concentration was estimated as recommended by Lu et al., (2019), and the details were given in SM. The proxy H_2SO_4, as well as SO_2 and NO_2 concentrations were similar on May 7 and 8 (Figs. S4, 5 in SM). Under specific conditions, for example, the nucleation process was observed on March 28, 2021, however, the growth process was interrupted by the elevated background aerosol concentration, indicated by the increasing *CS* (Fig. S4 S6 in SM). In addition, the mixture of dust and anthropogenic aerosols from southerly air mass could result in high *CS* and thus NPF event was prohibited (Figs. S5 S7, 6 8 in SM).

~~With increasing wind speed during the dust period, dilution by the northerly clean air facilitated pre-existing particle dispersion and scavenging, which provided a quite clean background atmospheric condition with low *CS* favoring NPF event. The comparison of *CS* between the dust-related NPF and other NPF events (Fig. 2) showed that *CS* was much lower for the dust-related NPF events. The median *CS* of dust-related NPF and other NPF events were 0.007 s⁻¹ and 0.015 s⁻¹, respectively. This indicates a much lower pre-existing particle number (or surface) concentration, which could represent a proxy baseline state of background atmosphere. It should be noted that the *CS* calculation did not consider coarse mode particles as dust particles had faded when NPF started. Furthermore, the influence of the dust particles on *CS* is minor based on the calculation in the following discussion.~~

Table 1. The date of different dust types observed in Beijing from 2017 to 2021

Dust type	Date (yyyy-mm-dd)
Dust storm	2021-03-15
Blowing dust	2017-05-04, 2017-05-05, 2017-05-11
	2018-05-28
	2019-3-29, 2019-4-18, 2019-11-17
	2020-03-18, 2020-05-11
	2021-03-28, 2021-04-15, 2021-05-06, 2021-05-07
Floating dust	2018-03-28, 2018-05-01, 2018-05-05
	2019-02-05, 2019-03-18, 2019-03-20, 2019-03-27, 2019-04-05, 2019-05-12, 2019-05-15, 2019-10-28
	2020-04-24, 2020-05-13, 2020-10-21
	2020-10-31, 2021-01-13, 2021-01-15, 2021-02-21, 2021-02-22, 2021-03-30, 2021-03-31, 2021-04-01, 2021-04-16, 2021-05-08, 2021-05-23

Fig.1 The occurrence of dust events, including dust storms, blowing dust, and floating dust from 2017 to 2021, and the NPF events observed after each dust event. The stars and dots represent the dust and NPF events, respectively.

Fig. 2 The violin plot of condensation sink (*CS*) of dust-related NPF and other NPF events, the bars from bottom to top indicate the minimum, mean and maximum values, and the shaded area represents the distribution probability of the *CS*.

The anomaly plots were obtained by means of the PNSD of NPF occurring on non-dust days subtracting the mean PNSD of dust-related NPF in each spring from 2017 to 2021, and was shown in Fig. 32. The positive anomaly indicated how much the particle number concentration in each corresponding size bin on non-dust NPF days was higher than that on dust-related NPF days, whereas the negative anomaly indicated that PNSD was lower on non-dust NPF days. Positive PNSD anomalies (Fig. 3a2a, c, e, g) in nucleation and Aitken mode showed stronger nucleation and growth processes. The calculated increase rates of nucleation mode particles according to the positive anomaly of PNSD, can be considered as the enhancement of formation rate on non-dust NPF days, which was largely influenced by anthropogenic emissions. Table 2 lists the statistical values of dust-related NPF events and other NPF events. The observed formation rate on dust-related NPF days ($J_{obs,dust\_NPF}$)

accounted for approximately 43%-58% of the value on other NPF days ($J_{obs,other\_NPF}$) from 2017 to 2021, with a mean value of approximately 51%. GR of dust related-NPF (GR_{dust_NPF}) ranged from 2.0 to 3.1 nm h^{-1}, whereas the value of other NPF days (GR_{other_NPF}) was 3.6 to 4.~~3~~4 nm h^{-1}. The ~~fraction~~ ratio of GR_{dust_NPF} to GR_{other_NPF} ranged from 0.50~~%~~ to 0.86~~%~~, with a mean value of approximately 0.67~~%~~. However, the growth process usually undergone several hours, with considerable anthropogenic emissions contributing to the particle growth.

Table 2. The statistical values of NPF in spring from 2017-2021, including the number (N) of dust related NPF events, other NPF events and observed formation rate (J_{obs}), and growth rate (GR) of these two types NPF events

	Spring 2017	Spring 2018	Spring 2019	Spring 2021
N_{dust_NPF}	~~2~~3	3	4	~~8~~11
N_{other_NPF}	~~42~~41	26	26	~~23~~20
$J_{obs,dust\_NPF}$ (cm^{-3} s^{-1})	1.5±0.2	3.3±2.1	4.6±2.4	3.8±1.0
$J_{obs,other\_NPF}$ (cm^{-3} s^{-1})	3.3±2.6	7.3±4.8	8.1±4.5	6.7±3.2
GR_{dust_NPF} (nm h^{-1})	2.0±0.6	2.9±0.3	3.1±0.5	2.8±1.2
GR_{other_NPF} (nm h^{-1})	4.0±1.6	4.4±2.0	3.6±1.5	4.3±1.9

Fig. ~~3~~2 The positive (a, c, e, g) and negative (b, d, f, h) anomalies of PNSD derived by the mean PNSDs of NPF events on non-dust days in spring (March, April, and May) subtracting the means of dust-related NPF days in 2017 (a, b), 2018 (c, d), 2019 (e, f) and 2021 (g, h).

The scatterplot of J_{obs}, GR and CS categorized by different NPF event types was given in Fig. 3. It seems the relationship

between J_{obs} and GR is not clear. J_{obs} ranged from 0.3 to 23.6 cm^{-3}s^{-1}, with the mean value of 6.1 cm^{-3}s^{-1}. GR ranged from 1.1 to 8.9 nm h^{-1}, with the mean value of 4.2 nm h^{-1}. The mean J_{obs} of NPF events under clean, polluted, BD and FD conditions was 5.7, 8.7, 6.6 and 6.8 cm^{-3}s^{-1}, respectively. The corresponding mean GR value was 4.2, 4.3, 4.1 and 3.7 nm h^{-1}, respectively, and mean CS was 0.006, 0.020, 0.005 and 0.005 s^{-1}. J_{obs} under polluted conditions was significantly higher than those under other conditions, suggesting there were abundant condensing vapours participating nucleation and overcoming the competition with the pre-existing particles (as indicated by high CS value).

Fig.3 Scatter plot of formation rate (J_{obs}), grow rate (GR) and condensation sink (CS) as categorized by different NPF event types, including the cases occurring under clean, polluted conditions and influenced by blowing dust (BD), floating dust (FD) and dust storm (DS).

The statistical results of J_{obs}, GR and CS of different NPF types were given in Fig. 4. Due to the limited samples of each dust-related NPF events, the statistical analysis was conducted for all dust-related NPF events. The NPF event studies have been conducted extensively since 1990 worldwide and 2000 over China (e.g., Kerminen et al., 2018; Chu et al., 2019). Based on the one-year study of NPF events at Peking University (PKU) site in Beijing in 2004, it has been reported that J_{obs} at 3 nm ranged from 3.3 to 81.4 cm^{-3}s^{-1}, and GR ranged from 0.1 to 11.2 nm h^{-1}, respectively (Wu et al., 2007). Wang et al. (2013) has also reported J_{obs} at PKU site ranged from 2.2 to 34.5 cm^{-3}s^{-1}, and GR ranged from 2.5 to 15.3 nm h^{-1} from March to November in 2008. PKU site locates 5 km to the north of CAMS site, which is a representative urban site in Beijing with long-term study of NPF events. Based on the long-term study at PKU site (2013-2019), it has been recently reported the annual average of J_{obs} decreased from 12 cm^{-3}s^{-1} in 2013 to 3 cm^{-3}s^{-1} in 2017, whereas increased to 5.0 cm^{-3}s^{-1} in 2019, and GR values kept stable around 2.0–4.0 nm h^{-1} during these years (Shang et al., 2022). The mean values of J_{obs} and GR in this study was 6.1 cm^{-3}s^{-1} and 4.2 nm h^{-1}, which was comparable with the values reported by Shang et al., (2022). However, due to the limited cases of BD, FD and DS influenced NPF events in this work, a confident comparison result among different

dust related NPF events could not be derived.

~~With increasing wind speed during the dust period, dilution by the northerly clean air facilitated pre-existing particle dispersion and scavenging, which provided a quite clean background atmospheric condition with low CS favoring NPF event. The comparison of CS between the dust-related NPF and other NPF events (Fig. 2) showed that CS was much lower for the dust-related NPF events.~~ The median CS of dust-related NPF, clean and polluted~~other~~ NPF events ~~were~~ was 0.007 005 s^{-1}, 0.006 s^{-1} and 0.~~015~~ 020 s^{-1} (Fig. 4c), respectively. ~~This indicates a much lower pre-existing particle number (or surface) concentration, which could represent a proxy baseline state of background atmosphere.~~ It should be noted that the CS calculation did not consider coarse mode particles as dust particles had faded when NPF started. Furthermore, the influence of the dust particles on CS is minor based on the calculation in the following discussion. Although the precursors from anthropogenic emissions have been proved to participating the particle nucleation and growth processes, it is difficult to quantify its contribution, especially in megacities like Beijing (Kulmala et al., 2022). The complex primary emissions, for example, traffic emissions with plentiful nanoparticles, can mix with the freshly nucleated particles, making it difficult to resolve the particles from primary emissions and secondary formation. However, based some long-term studies of NPF event, it has reported that the decrease of the precursors due to the emission control strategies in China has caused formation rate reduction from 2013 to 2017 both in Beijing (Shang et al., 2022) and rural site in Yangtze River Delta region (Shen et al., 2022).

Fig. 4 The violin plot of formation rate (J_{obs}) (a), growth rate (GR) (b), and condensation sink (CS) of dust-related NPF (Dust_NPF) and other NPF events under clean (Clean NPF) and polluted conditions (Polluted NPF). The marker represents the median value; a box indicating the interquartile range, and the shaded area represents the distribution probability.

The mean diurnal concentration of particles in the size range of ~~3–10 nm (N_{3-10}) and~~ 3–25 nm (N_{3-25}) and $D_{p,g}$ on NPF events occurred under clean, polluted conditions and dust-related NPF ~~days~~ events as influenced by BD, FD and DS (March 16, 2021), respectively, was given in Fig. 5. ~~and other NPF days is shown in Fig. 4.~~ N_{3-10} and N_{3-25} showed similar diurnal pattern, which peaked around noon time governed by NPF event. The lower N_{3-25} was found on DS-related NPF event, with also smaller ~~N_{3-25} were generally lower on dust-related NPF days than on other NPF days, indicating a considerable contribution by anthropogenic emissions on non-dust NPF days. The mean ratios of N_{3-10} and N_{3-25} on dust NPF days compared to the value~~

on other NPF days were 0.58 and 0.52, respectively, and were comparable with J_{obs} as discussed above. $D_{p,g}$ at the initial

growth stage below 20 nm, indicating less precursors participating nucleation and growth processes, derived from mean PNSD

on dust-related NPF days and other NPF days, is shown in Fig. 5. It also showed a much lower $D_{p,g}$ on dust-related NPF days,

especially at the initial growth stage when $D_{p,g}$ was below 10 nm. It should be also addressed that only one DS-related NPF

event occurred (March 16, 2021) in this study, which could not represent the general characteristics of NPF events influenced

by dust storm. $D_{p,g}$ on the dust-related NPF events (including BD, FD and DS type) in Fig. 5b was generally lower than that

on the clean and polluted NPF events with nucleated particles below 20 nm. A quick growth of nucleated particles at round

19-20:00 LT was probably associated with the wind direction change as given in the SM (Fig. S9). The previous studies in

Beijing have revealed that the southerly air masses containing plentiful anthropogenic precursors, facilitating the nucleation

and growth processes of NPF events (Wang et al., 2013; Shen et al., 2018). It was also found polluted-NPF events usually

started later, at around 10:00 LT, with N_{3-25} quickly peaked at around 12:00 LT, indicating a shorter nucleation process with

larger formation rate as shown above. At the subsequent growth stage ($D_{p,g}$ > 10 nm), the ratio of $D_{p,g}$ on dust-related NPF

days compared to that on other NPF days was 0.52 to 0.58, except in spring in 2019. This indicated that the influence of

anthropogenic emitted precursors on non-dust days when nucleated particles growing into the sizes above 10 nm was more

significant. However, the influence by anthropogenic emissions could be underestimated, as even during the growth process

of dust-related NPF events, freshly-emitted precursors could also participate.

Fig. 5 the mean diurnal variation of number concentration of particles in the size range of 3-25 nm (N_{3-25}) (a) and

geometric mean diameter ($D_{p,g}$) (b) of NPF events occurred under clean, polluted conditions and influenced by blowing

dust (BD), floating dust (FD) and dust storm (DS), respectively.

Fig. 4 Number concentration of particles below 10 nm (N_{10}) and 25 nm (N_{25}) of dust-related NPF events and other NPF events in spring in 2017 (a), 2018 (b), 2019 (c) and 2021 (d), respectively. The blue and pink shaded areas represent the anomaly of N_{10} and N_{25} between the two NPF event types during the nucleation process, respectively.

Fig. 5 Diurnal pattern of geometric mean diameter ($D_{P.g}$) of the PNSD derived by mean particle number size distribution of dust-related NPF events (blue line) and other NPF events (orange line) in spring (March, April, and May) in 2017 (a), 2018 (b), 2019 (c) and 2021 (d), respectively. The shaded area indicates the anomaly of $D_{P.g}$ during the nucleation and growth processed between the two NPF event types.

3.2 Case study of a dust-related NPF event storm

3.2.1 A severe dust storm case

A severe dust storm that originated from Mongolia and swept through northern China on March 15, 2021, resulted in an extremely high particle mass concentration and low visibility. Four typical air quality monitoring sites, including Guanyuan (GY), Wanshou Temple (WST), Dongsi (DS), and Chaoyang (CY), in urban Beijing were selected to help understand the evolution of $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} mass concentrations during the dust storm (Fig. 6). The maximum of hourly mean $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} mass concentrations exceeded 600 and 8000 μg/m^3, respectively, at these selected sites which demonstrates the magnitude of this dust storm. The reported PM_{10} mass concentration was the highest among the recent 20-year data in Beijing released by the CNEMC, as well as in Northern China. The PM_{10} mass concentration was a magnitude higher than the value of $PM_{2.5}$, during the dust-dominated period of 8:00–18:00 local time (LT), indicating the major contribution of dust particles with size above 2.5 μm. From the PNSD plot in Fig. 7, it was found that particles above 400 nm started to increase on March 15 at 8:00 LT, indicating the arrival of dust particles. In the following two hours, the volume size distribution showed that concentration peaked in the size range of 8–10 μm. Based on the lognormal fitted parameters of the volume distribution, volume median mobility diameter ($D_{p,vol}$) was 8–10 μm during the initial stage (10:00–12:00 LT) of the dust storm and decreased to approximately 4–6 μm from 12:00 to 24:00 LT on March 15. It was comparable with previously reported values for other dust storms across the globe, ranging from 3.0 to 6.5 μm (Reid et al., 2008; Maring, 2003; Peters, 2006).

Fig. 6 The location map of CAMS site and four air quality monitoring sites, including Guanyuan (GY), Wanshou Temple (WST), Dongsi (DS), and Chaoyang (CY), in urban Beijing (a), as well as $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} mass concentration at each location (b).

The dust storm weakened in the late afternoon of March 15, and NPF event occurred on the morning of March 16. During the polluted conditions before the dust storm (March 14), fine particles (diameter < 1 μm) dominated the particle number and mass concentration. However, coarse-mode particles contributed the most to the particle mass, volume, and surface concentration. In contrast to the NPF events occurring during the dust storm at Mt. Heng in South China (Nie et al., 2014), the NPF event on March 16 in this study occurred when dust particles vanished and there was a reduced *CS*. However, the NPF event was interrupted in the afternoon (~16:00 LT), which was influenced by the backflow of dust, as indicated by the elevated

volume concentration as shown in Fig. 7b. The concentrations of SO_2 and O_3 increased in the morning of March 16, as shown in Fig. 8, indicating enhanced precursors and atmospheric oxidation capacity that favored NPF.

Fig. 7 Particle number (a) and volume (b) distributions on March 14–17, 2021, at CAMS station.

3.2.23 Secondary aerosol formation during dust storm

The variation in NO_2 sharply increased in the early morning (5:00–6:00 LT) on March 15, which could be attributed to the downward mixing of NO_2 rich air in the residual layer where NO_2 was trapped during the pollution episode on March 14 (Fig. 8). The wind field distribution depending on the air pressure level based on the reanalysis meteorological data was analyzed and given in Fig. S10 in SM (https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/, latest access: June 1, 2023). It showed wind changed on 3:00 LT March 15, with the wind direction switching from upward to downward, which could bring the pollutants to the ground, resulting in the elevated NO_2 and CS as given in Fig. 8. ~~The increase in NO_2 and decrease in O_3 occurred at approximately 4:00 LT as the air masses changed from south to northwest (Fig. S7 in SM).~~ The concentration of SO_2 remained stable before 6:00 LT, probably because its distribution was uniform in the boundary layer. When the dust particles arrived in Beijing at 8:00 LT on March 15, the volume mixing ratio of NO_2 and SO_2 decreased immediately due to the strong dilution by the wind. ~~Previous studies have revealed that the oxidation of SO_2 by ozone on the surface of mineral dust is an important pathway for sulfate formation (Ge et al., 2015; Usher et al., 2003). The concentration of O_3 increased during dust storms, probably because the O_3 budget was influenced by mineral dust.~~ The volume mixing ratio of NO_2 decreased, while that of O_3 increased, indicating that the removal of NO_2 ~~owing to heterogeneous uptake on the dust surface~~ was helpful for the elevated O_3 concentration, as NO_x-titration photochemistry process could influence the production and loss of O_3 (Lu et al., 2010). It has been also reported that in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region, the decrease in NO_x increased ozone and enhanced the atmospheric oxidizing capacity (Huang et al., 2020).

As shown in Fig. 8, the PM_1 mass concentration derived from the AMS data showed high mass loading during the pollution

episode (00:00–06:00 LT on March 15), with a mean value of 83.2 $\mu g \ m^{-3}$. Secondary inorganic aerosols were dominant, with nitrate being the major contributor, accounting for approximately 46% of the total particles. During the dust storm and post-dust period, PM_1 sharply decreased to approximately 5.0 $\mu g \ m^{-3}$, indicating a strong fine particle scavenging process by mineral dust. In contrast to the pollution episode, organics accounted for over 50%, with a larger mass fraction of POA, approximately 40–60% of the organics during dust and post-dust storm periods. Under extremely clean conditions, secondary sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium (SNA) accounted for approximately 20%, 10%, and 15%, respectively. The chemical composition of fine particles changed from the dominant role of nitrate during the pollution episode to the largest contributor of organics during the dust storm. However, the sulfate mass fraction during dust and post-dust was higher than that during the pollution episode, indicating a higher formation process of secondary sulfate. In the previous studies in Beijing, it has been reported the NR-PM_1 derived from AMS could reach ~200 $\mu g \ m^{-3}$ during polluted episode and decreased to several $\mu g \ m^{-3}$ under clean conditions (Zhang et al., 2018). In this work, the PM_1 mass concentration ranged from approximately 5.0 $\mu g \ m^{-3}$ during dust and post dust period and to 83.2 $\mu g \ m^{-3}$ during a moderate polluted conditions before dust. During the dust and post dust period, organics was the dominant contributor to the chemical composition, which was consistent with the previous studies that organics could contributed 40-60% to PM_1 in Beijing (Zhang et al., 2018; 2019). However, the mass fraction of nitrate and ammonium was larger during polluted condition, which was consistent with a recent study in Beijing in January - February, 2021 (Zhang Y. et al., 2023). From 5:00 LT on March 15 to 12:00 LT on March 16, the northwesterly air mass and local wind direction did not change (Figs. S7 S9 and S8 S11 in SM). The SO_2 volume mixing ratio increased quickly when the dust storm faded (22:00 LT on March 15), which was probably due to the weakened dilution process as the wind speed decreased from 5.8 m s^{-1} during dust episode to below 3.0 m s^{-1} at 20:00 LT on March 15. Another possibility was that dust particles could be a major SO_2 sink (Usher et al., 2003). NO_2 also decreased when the dust storm started; however, it did not increase significantly when the dust storm ended, which differed from the variation in SO_2. However, heterogeneous reactions of mineral dust with SO_2, NO_2 can not be discussed further, due to the lack of direct measurement of chemical information of coarse mode particles.

Fig. 8 Time series of PM_{10} volume, surface and condensation sink from March 15–17. Pollution period (PP), dust storm (DS) and post-dust (PD) are marked in the plot and the PM_1 mass concentration, as well as each chemical composition (organic, sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and chloride) mass fraction is also given for each period (a), and hourly time series of NO_2, O_3 and SO_2 (b).

The oxidation ratios of SO_2 (SOR) and NO_2 (NOR) are shown in Fig. 9. It has been reported that the SOR was 0.18 in clean air conditions, whereas it was 0.27 under polluted conditions in Beijing in 2016 wintertime, indicating that SO_2 secondary transformation was a major pathway of sulfate production with a higher conversion efficiency under the polluted episode, whereas NOR was approximately 0.08, under both clean and polluted conditions (Wu et al., 2019). The SOR and NOR of PM_1 showed a clear positive relationship with the sulfate and nitrate mass concentrations, respectively, during the heavy dust period, as indicated by the volume mean diameter ($D_{p,vol}$) (Fig. 9). Although SOR and NOR were calculated based on the submicron particles and $D_{p,vol}$ represented the dust particles, their correlations could reflect how the heterogeneous reactions were modified by the dust (Usher et al., 2003). SOR ranged from 0.2 to 0.9 during the dust storm, which was even higher than the value during the polluted episode, approximately 0.3. NOR showed a stronger positive relationship with nitrate mass concentration. However, the NOR was relatively low, with a value of 0.01–0.1, which was much lower than the value under polluted conditions, ranging from 0.3–0.5. This indicates that the dust particles promoted the secondary inorganic formation of submicron particles, particularly sulfate formation. Although the uptake and oxidation of NO_2 and SO_2 by mineral dust can be considerable, secondary aerosol formation in the submicron range is also important. As discussed above, it has been shown that O_3 increases during a dust storm (Fig. 8), which indicates enhanced oxidation capability during the dust storm and favors

secondary inorganic aerosol formation by ozone oxidation. O_3 can also promote the heterogeneous oxidation of other trace gases on mineral dust surfaces (Li et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2011). Although the secondary formation of sulfate and nitrate was enhanced during the dust event, the PM_1 mass concentration, as well as each chemical component, decreased sharply owing to dilution by the strong wind during the dust storm.

420

Fig. 9 Scatter plot of sulfate (cross) and nitrate (circle) mass concentrations in x-axis versus sulfur oxidation ratio (SOR) and nitrogen oxidation ratio (NOR) in y-axis with different volume weighted diameter ($D_{p,vol}$) indicated by the color bar. Dust, post-dust and polluted periods are marked by dashed lines, respectively.

3.2.34 Variations of particle hygroscopicity

425 Because RH was quite low during the dust storm, ranging from 10–20%, the hygroscopic growth process of the particles in the ambient environment could be ignored. However, as discussed above, the chemical composition of PM_1 derived from AMS changed significantly during the dust storm compared to the pollution episode. The calculated hygroscopic parameter, κ values, of 50- and 100-nm particles were significantly higher during the pollution episode than during the dust and post-dust storm (including NPF event) periods (Fig. 10). κ of 50 nm ranged from 0.05 to 0.17, and 0.15–0.30 for 100 nm particles, which
430 was consistent with the long-term study in Beijing as reported by Zhang S. et al. (2023) and Wang Y. et al. (2018). Although the chemical composition of ultrafine particles below 100 nm can not be derived in this work, based on a recent study in Beijing, it has been revealed that organics dominated the mass concentration of particles below 100 nm, whereas the mass fraction of nitrate increased depending on the size (Li et al., 2023). For submicron particles in this study, The the mass fraction of organic aerosols (OA) with weak hygroscopicity accounted for approximately 20% a minor fraction of the particles during
435 the pollution episode, whereas it increased to more than 50% during the dust storm and post-dust storm periods (Fig. 10c). Meanwhile, the fraction of hydrophobic POA increased during the dust storm and post-dust storm periods (Fig. 10d), as strong

wind diluted the pre-existing particles, and the anthropogenic emission contribution was less important during the severe dust storm. Although sulfate formation was enhanced during the dust storm, as discussed above, with the larger mass fraction during the dust and post-dust storm periods, the smaller fraction of nitrate and higher fraction of ~~organic aerosols~~ (OA) resulted in weaker particle hygroscopicity during the dust and post-dust storm periods. This indicates that dust storms can modify the chemical composition of submicron particles, which influences their hygroscopic behavior and ability to be activated as CCN. During post-dust period, NPF event occurred on March 16, which produced a large quantity of nano-particles as the potential CCN. The particle number concentration increased and the particle hygroscopicity decreased as compared with the polluted episode, and the variation of CCN concentration were evaluated further in the following discussion.

Fig. 10 Statistical boxplot of hygroscopic parameter (κ) of 50 (a) and 100 nm (b) and the mass fraction of organic aerosol (OA), f_{OA} (c) accounting for PM_1, and mass fraction primary OA accounting for the organics, f_{POA} (d) during the pollution (PP), dust (DS) and post-dust (PD) periods. The upper and lower boundaries of the box plots indicate the 75th and 25th percentiles, respectively, the line within the box marks the median, and the whiskers above and below the box indicate the 90th and 10th percentiles, respectively. Data points beyond the whiskers are displayed using a red cross.

3.~~2.4~~5 Impact on the cloud condensation nuclei by the dust storm

Assume that $\kappa_{htdma} = \kappa_{ccn}$, $D_{p,crit}$ can be calculated based on Equations (6) and (7) on March 15 and 16, with a κ value of 100 nm dry particles at a low or moderate cloud supersaturation (S_c) of 0.2% and a high S_c of 0.7%. The $D_{p,crit}$ ranged from 45 to 60 nm at S_c = 0.7%, with a mean value of 49 ± 2.5 nm, 52 ± 2.0 nm, and 53 ± 2.6 nm, during the polluted, dust, and post-dust storm periods, respectively, which were 112 ± 5.5 nm, 119 ± 6.5 nm, and 123 ± 7.2 nm, during the corresponding periods at S_c = 0.2%. $D_{p,crit}$ increased during the dust storm and post-dust storm periods, by approximately 6% and 10%, respectively, compared with the pollution episodes. As $D_{p,crit}$ was larger than 45 nm, the number of particles larger than 45 nm (CN_{45}) was calculated and referred to as the potential CCN. The concentration of particles with diameters larger than $D_{p,crit}$ was calculated as the potential condensation nuclei (CCN_{cal}). The scatter plot of CN_{45} and CCN_{cal} under different conditions, indicated by the

$D_{p,vol}$, as well as the activation ratio values (R) calculated by CCN$_{cal}$ dividing CN$_{45}$, are shown in Fig. 11. It shows R values ranging from approximately 0.1 to 0.8 with $S_c = 0.2\%$, with higher values during polluted episodes (~0.8), lower values during the dust storm (0.2–0.4), and even reached 0.1–0.2 during the post-dust period. However, at high $S_c = 0.7\%$, R values ranged from 0.6–1.0, and were close to 1.0, during the polluted episode, and concentrated around 0.8 during the dust episode. Results

465   showed that the CCN activation capability was significantly influenced by the dust storm at a low S_c, whereas the influence was minor at a high S_c, depending on $D_{p,crit}$. Although an NPF event occurred during the post-dust period on March 16, CCN$_{cal}$ did not increase because of the elevated critical diameter. Although the backflow of floating dust particles did not result in clear increase of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ mass concentration given in Fig. 6b, c, they induced a higher CS (Fig. 8a), which was not favorable for the further growth of the nucleated particles.

470

Fig. 11 Scatter plot of calculated cloud condensation nuclei (CCN$_{cal}$) under different supersaturations (Sc = 0.2% and 0.7%) and number concentration of diameter above 45 nm (CN$_{45}$), with different volume weighted diameter ($D_{p,vol}$) indicated by the colors. The solid lines representing the different ratio values between CCN$_{cal}$ and CN$_{45}$.

4   Conclusions

475   Dust days, including dust storms, blowing dust, and floating dust, have occurred frequently in the spring in recent years. We found there were approximately 80% of dust days followed by the NPF event based on the PNSD measurement in Beijing in spring from 2017 to 2021. Owing to the scavenging effect of strong winds on pre-existing aerosols, the condensation sink remained at a quite low value of ~0.~~007~~ 005 s^{-1}, which favored the occurrence of NPF events after dust events ~~and they were less influenced by the anthropogenic emissions during the nucleation and growth processes~~. The NPF events were classified

480   into cases following dust (dust-related NPF) and other NPF events occurring under clean and polluted conditions. Based on

the PNSD derived on NPF days, it was found that the observed formation and growth rates were approximately 50% of and 30% lower than those on other NPF events, respectively.

The most severe mineral dust storm over the past two decades in China originated in Mongolia, and swept through northern China on March 15–16, 2021, with the highest hourly mean PM_{10} reaching 8 mg m^{-3}, as observed in urban Beijing. The processes of mineral dust and their impact were evaluated based on field measurements of aerosols and reactive gases in urban Beijing. During dust storms, the volume-weighted particle size peaks at approximately 4–6 μm or 8–10 μm. ~~The precursor gases (SO₂ and NO₂) were quickly scavenged by mineral dust, followed by conversion of the gases into secondary particles.~~ In particular, secondary sulfate aerosol formation was found to have a higher rate than that of the polluted episode before the storm. The hygroscopicity of ultrafine particles was weakened during the dust and post-dust storm periods, as compared with the pollution episode, due to the elevated mass fraction of organics, especially the primary organic aerosols. Based on the κ-Köhler theory, the critical diameter of the particles activated as CCN was calculated for different supersaturations. They were approximately 49 nm and 112 nm in the polluted episode at S_c of 0.7 and 0.2%, respectively, which increased to 52 nm and 119 nm, respectively, during the dust storm, increasing by approximately 6%. A new particle formation event occurred on March 16, when the dust vanished; however, the contribution to the CCN concentration was minor. As a consequence of the uptake of precursor gases on mineral dust, the physical and chemical properties of submicron particles changed, thereby influencing their ability to act as CCNs, especially at low supersaturation. The impact of this severe dust event observed in Beijing provided valuable information for evaluating the influence of dust, particularly the underlying impact of submicron particles and their ability to be activated as CCN. However, more work is needed to quantify the contribution of anthropogenic emissions to NPF based on field experiments and modelling work in the future.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (42075082, 41825011, 42090031), S&T Development Fund of CAMS (2020KJ001), Basic Research Fund of CAMS (2020Z002), and Innovation Team for Haze-fog Observation and Forecasts of MOST and CMA.

Author contributions

XS planned the study, conducted the measurement, analyzed the data, and wrote the original draft. YZ, QL, CZ, KG, JZ, SZ, WX, XH, JL, SL, JW, AY and CX contributed to the measurement, instrument maintenance, data analysis and result discussion. JS, HC and XZ reviewed and finalized the article. XS and JS contributed to fund acquisition.

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict interest.

Data availability

All data used in the study are available from the corresponding author upon request (shenxj@cma.gov.cn).

References

Criterion of Surface Meteorological Observation. Beijing, Meteorological Press National Weather Bureau of China, 1979.

Barron, P. A.: Calibration and use of the aerodynamic particle sizer (APS 3300), Aerosol Sci. Technol., 5: 55-67, 1996.

Bianchi, F., Trostl, J., Junninen, H., Frege, C., Henne, S., Hoyle, C. R., Molteni, U., Herrmann, E., Adamov, A., Bukowiecki, N., Chen, X., Duplissy, J., Gysel, M., Hutterli, M., Kangasluoma, J., Kontkanen, J., Kurten, A., Manninen, H. E., Munch, S., Perakyla, O., Petaja, T., Rondo, L., Williamson, C., Weingartner, E., Curtius, J., Worsnop, D. R., Kulmala, M., Dommen, J. and Baltensperger, U.: New particle formation in the free troposphere: A question of chemistry and timing, Science, 352(6289): 1109-1112, DOI: 10.1126/science.aad5456, 2016.

Cai, R. and Jiang, J.: A new balance formula to estimate new particle formation rate: reevaluating the effect of coagulation scavenging, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17(20): 12659-12675, DOI: 10.5194/acp-17-12659-2017, 2017.

Canonaco, F., Crippa, M., Slowik, J. G., Baltensperger, U. and Prévôt, A. S. H.: SoFi, an IGOR-based interface for the efficient use of the generalized multilinear engine (ME-2) for the source apportionment: ME-2 application to aerosol mass spectrometer data, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6(12): 3649-3661, DOI: 10.5194/amt-6-3649-2013, 2013.

Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Jimenez, J. L., Allan, J. D., Alfarra, M. R., Zhang, Q., Onasch, T. B., Drewnick, F., Coe, H., Middlebrook, A., Delia, A., Williams, L. R., Trimborn, A. M., Northway, M. J., DeCarlo, P. F., Kolb, C. E., Davidovits, P., and Worsnop, D. R.: Chemical and microphysical characterization of ambient aerosols with the aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer, Mass Spectrometry Reviews, 26, 185-222, 10.1002/mas.20115, 2007.

Cheng, Y. S., Chen, B. T. and Yeh, H. C.: Behavior of isometric nonspherical aerosol-particles in the aerodynamic particle sizer, J. Aerosol Sci., 21: 701– 710, 1990.

Chu, B., Kerminen, V.-M., Bianchi, F., Yan, C., Petäjä, T. and Kulmala, M.: Atmospheric new particle formation in China, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 19(1): 115-138, DOI: 10.5194/acp-19-115-2019, 2019.

Dada, L., Paasonen, P., Nieminen, T., Buenrostro Mazon, S., Kontkanen, J., Peräkylä, O., Lehtipalo, K., Hussein, T., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V.-M., Bäck, J. and Kulmala, M.: Long-term analysis of clear-sky new particle formation events and nonevents in Hyytiälä, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(10): 6227-6241, DOI: 10.5194/acp-17-6227-2017, 2017.

Dal Maso, M., Kulmala, M., Riipinen, I., Wagner, R., Hussein, T., Aalto, P. P. and Lehtinen, K. E. J.: Formation and growth of

fresh atmospheric aerosols: Eight years of aerosol size distribution data from SMEAR II, Hyytiälä, Finland, Boreal Envrion. Res., 10: 323–336, 2005.

DeMott, P. J., Prenni, A. J., Liu, X., Kreidenweis, S. M., Petters, M. D., Twohy, C. H., Richardson, M. S., Eidhammer, T. and Rogers, D. C.: Predicting global atmospheric ice nuclei distributions and their impacts on climate, Proc Natl Acad Sci, 107(25): 11217-11222, DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0910818107, 2010.

Drewnick, F., Hings, S. S., Decarlo, P., Jayne, J. T., Gonin, M., Fuhrer, K., Weimer, S., Jimenez, J. L., Demerjian, K. L., and Borrmann, S.: A New Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (TOF-AMS)—Instrument Description and First Field Deployment, Aerosol Sci. Tech., 39, 637-658, 2005.

Ge, M. F., Wu, L. Y., Tong, S. R., Liu, Q. F. and Wang, W. G.: Heterogeneous chemistry of trace atmospheric gases on atmospheric aerosols: An overview, Science Foundation in China, 23(3): 62-80, 2015.

Gordon, H., Kirkby, J., Baltensperger, U., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., Curtius, J., Dias, A., Dommen, J., Donahue, N. M., Dunne, E. M., Duplissy, J., Ehrhart, S., Flagan, R. C., Frege, C., Fuchs, C., Hansel, A., Hoyle, C. R., Kulmala, M., Kürten, A., Lehtipalo, K., Makhmutov, V., Molteni, U., Rissanen, M. P., Stozkhov, Y., Tröstl, J., Tsagkogeorgas, G., Wagner, R., Williamson, C., Wimmer, D., Winkler, P. M., Yan, C. and Carslaw, K. S.: Causes and importance of new particle formation in the present-day and preindustrial atmospheres, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 122(16): 8739-8760, DOI: 10.1002/2017jd026844, 2017.

Gui, K., Yao, W., Che, H., An, L., Zheng, Y., Li, L., Zhao, H., Zhang, L., Zhong, J., Wang, Y. and Zhang, X.: Record-breaking dust loading during two mega dust storm events over northern China in March 2021: aerosol optical and radiative properties and meteorological drivers, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22(12): 7905-7932, DOI: 10.5194/acp-22-7905-2022, 2022.

Gysel, M., McFiggans, G.B., Coe, H.: Inversion of tandem differential mobility analyser (TDMA) measurements. J. Aero. Sci. 134–151, 2009.

Hinds, W. C., Aerosol Technology. New York 1999.

Huang, X., Ding, A., Gao, J., B. Zheng, D. Zhou, X. Qi, R. Tang, J. Wang, C. Ren, W. Nie, X. Chi, Z. Xu, L. Chen, Y. Li, F. Che, N. Pang, H. Wang, D. Tong, W. Qin, W. Cheng, W. Liu, Q. Fu, B. Liu, F. Chai, S.J. Davis, Q. Zhang and K. He. Enhanced secondary pollution offset reduction of primary emissions during COVID-19 lockdown in China, National Sci Rev, nwaa137, 2020.

Hussein, T., Hameri, K., Aalto, P., Paatero, P. and Kulmala, M.: Modal structure and spatial temporal variations of urban and suburban aerosols in Helsinki Finland, Atmos. Environ., 39: 1655–1668, DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.11.031, 2005.

Jokinen, T., Sipilä, M., Kontkanen, J., Vakkari, V., Tisler, P., Duplissy, E.-M., Junninen, H., Kangasluoma, J., Manninen, H. E., Petäjä, T., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., Kirkby, J., Virkkula, A. and Kerminen, V.-M.: Ion-induced sulfuric acid–ammonia nucleation drives particle formation in coastal Antarctica, Science Advance, 2018.

Kerminen, V.-M., Chen, X., Vakkari, V., Petäjä, T., Kulmala, M. and Bianchi, F.: Atmospheric new particle formation and growth: review of field observations, Environ. Res.Lett., 13(10), DOI: 10.1088/1748-9326/aadf3c, 2018.

570 Kulmala, M., Cai, R., Stolzenburgb, D., Zhoua, Y., Dada, L., Guo, Y., Yan, C., Petäjä, T., Jiang, J. and Kerminen, V.-M.: The contribution of new particle formation and subsequent growth to haze formation, Environ. Sci.: Atmos., DOI: 10.1039/D1EA00096A, 2022.

Kulmala, M., Kerminen, V. M., Petaja, T., Ding, A. J. and Wang, L.: Atmospheric gas-to-particle conversion: why NPF events are observed in megacities?, Faraday Discuss, 200: 271-288, DOI: 10.1039/c6fd00257a, 2017.

575 Kulmala, M., Petäjä, T., Nieminen, T., Sipilä, M., Manninen, H. E., Lehtipalo, K., Dal Maso, M., Aalto, P. P., Junninen, H., Paasonen, P., Riipinen, I., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Laaksonen, A. and Kerminen, V.-M.: Measurement of the nucleation of atmospheric aerosol particles, Nature Protocols, 7(9): 1651-1667, DOI: 10.1038/nprot.2012.091, 2012.

Kulmala, M., Vehkamäki, H., Petäjä, T., Dal Maso, M., Lauri, A., Kerminen, V. M., Birmili, W. and McMurry, P. H.: Formation and growth rates of ultrafine atmospheric particles: a review of observations, J. Aerosol Sci., 35(2): 143-176, DOI: 580 10.1016/j.jaerosci.2003.10.003, 2004.

Le, T., Wang, Y., Liu, L., Yang, J., Yung, Y. L., Li, G. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Unexpected air pollution with marked emission reductions during the COVID-19 outbreak in China, Science, 10.1126/science.abb7431, 2020.

Li, X., Chen, Y., Li, Y., Cai, R., Li, Y., Deng, C., Yan, C., Cheng, H., Liu, Y., Kulmala, M., Hao, J., Smith, J. N. and Jiang, J.: Seasonal variations in composition and sources of atmospheric ultrafine particles in urban Beijing based on near-continuous 585 measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Diss., DOI: 10.5194/egusphere-2023-809, 2023.

Li, J., Shang, J. and Zhu, T.: Heterogeneous reactions of SO_2 on ZnO particle surfaces, Science China Chemistry, 54(1): 161-166, DOI: 10.1007/s11426-010-4167-9, 2011.

Li, L., Chen, Z. M., Zhang, Y. H., Zhu, T., Li, J. L. and Ding, J.: Kinetics and mechanism of heterogeneous oxidation of sulfur dioxide by ozone on surface of calcium carbonate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6: 2453–2464, 2006.

590 Liao, H. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Radiative forcing by mineral dust aerosols: Sensitivity to key variables, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres, 103(D24): 31637-31645, DOI: 10.1029/1998jd200036, 1998.

Lin, W., Xu, X., Ge, B. and Zhang, X.: Characteristics of gaseous pollutants at Gucheng, a rural site southwest of Beijing, J Geophy. Res., 114, DOI: 10.1029/2008jd010339, 2009.

Lin, W., Xu, X., Ge, B. and Liu, X.: Gaseous pollutants in Beijing urban area during the heating period 2007–2008: variability, 595 sources, meteorological, and chemical impacts, Atmo. Chem. Phy., 11(15): 8157-8170, DOI: 10.5194/acp-11-8157-2011, 2011.

Liu, C., Chu, B. W., Liu, Y. C., Ma, Q. X., Ma, J. Z., He, H., Li, J. H. and Hao, J. M.: Effect of mineral dust on secondary organic aerosol yield and aerosol size in α-pinene/NOx photo-oxidation, Atmos. Environ., 77: 781-789, DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.05.064, 2013.

Liu, L., Huang, X., Ding, A. and Fu, C.: Dust-induced radiative feedbacks in north China: A dust storm episode modeling study using WRF-Chem, Atmos. Environ., 129: 43-54, DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.01.019, 2016.

Lu, K., Zhang, Y., Su, H., Brauers, T., Chou, C. C., Hofzumahaus, A., Liu, S. C., Kita, K., Kondo, Y., Shao, M., Wahner, A., Wang, J., Wang, X. and Zhu, T.: Oxidant (O_3 +NO_2) production processes and formation regimes in Beijing, J. Geophys. Res., 115: D07303, DOI: 10.1029/2009JD012714, 2010.

Lu, Y., Yan, C., Fu, Y., Chen, Y., Liu, Y., Yang, G., Wang, Y., Bianchi, F., Chu, B., Zhou, Y., Yin, R., Baalbaki, R., Garmash, O., Deng, C., Wang, W., Liu, Y., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V. M., Jiang, J., Kulmala, M. and Wang, L.: A proxy for atmospheric daytime gaseous sulfuric acid concentration in urban Beijing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19(3): 1971-1983, DOI: 10.5194/acp-19-1971-2019, 2019.

Ma, Q., Wang, T., Liu, C., He, H., Wang, Z., Wang, W. and Liang, Y.: SO_2 Initiates the Efficient Conversion of NO_2 to HONO on MgO Surface, Environ Sci Technol, 51(7): 3767-3775, DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.6b05724, 2017.

Manktelow, P. T., Carslaw, K. S., Mann, G. W. and Spracklen, D. V.: The impact of dust on sulfate aerosol, CN and CCN during an East Asian dust storm, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10: 365–382, 2010.

Maring, H., Savoie, D. L., Izaguirre, M. A., Custals, L. and Reid, J. S.: Mineral dust aerosol size distribution change during atmospheric transport, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D19), 8592, doi:10.1029/2002JD002536, 2003.

Maβling, A., Wiedensohler, A., Busch, B., Neusüß, C., Quinn, P., Bates, T., Covert, D.: Hygroscopic properties of different aerosol types over the Atlantic and Indian Oceans. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 3, 1377–1397, 2003.

Middlebrook, A. M., Bahreini, R., Jimenez, J. L., and Canagaratna, M. R.: Evaluation of Composition-Dependent Collection Efficiencies for the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer using Field Data, Aerosol Sci. Tech., 46, 258-271, 10.1080/02786826.2011.620041, 2012.

Mori, I.: Change in size distribution and chemical composition of kosa (Asian dust) aerosol during long-range transport, Atmos. Environ., 37(30): 4253-4263, DOI: 10.1016/s1352-2310(03)00535-1, 2003.

Nie, W., Ding, A., Wang, T., Kerminen, V. M., George, C., Xue, L., Wang, W., Zhang, Q., Petaja, T., Qi, X., Gao, X., Wang, X., Yang, X., Fu, C. and Kulmala, M.: Polluted dust promotes new particle formation and growth, Sci Rep, 4: 6634, DOI: 10.1038/srep06634, 2014.

Perry, K. D., Cliff, S. S. and Jimenez-Cruz, M. P.: Evidence for hygroscopic mineral dust particles from the Intercontinental Transport and Chemical Transformation Experiment, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres, 109(D23), DOI: 10.1029/2004jd004979, 2004.

Petters, M. D. and Kreidenweis, S. M.: A single parameter representation of hygroscopic growth and cloud condensation nucleus activity, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7: 1961–1971, 2007.

Peters, T. M.: Use of the aerodynamic particle sizer to measure ambient $PM_{10-2.5}$: The coarse fraction of PM_{10}, J. Air Waste

630 ~~Manage. Assoc., 56, 411–416, 2006.~~

Reid, J. S.: Comparison of size and morphological measurements of coarse mode dust particles from Africa, Journal of Geophysical Research, 108(D19), DOI: 10.1029/2002jd002485, 2003.

Reid, J. S., Reid, E. A., Walker, A., Piketh, S., Cliff, S., Al Mandoos, A., Tsay, S.-C. and Eck, T. F.: Dynamics of southwest Asian dust particle size characteristics with implications for global dust research, J. Geophys. Res., 113(D14), DOI:

635 10.1029/2007jd009752, 2008.

Shang, D., Tang, L., Fang, X., Wang, L., Yang, S., Wu, Z., Chen, S., Li, X., Zeng, L., Guo, S. and Hu, M.: Variations in source contributions of particle number concentration under long-term emission control in winter of urban Beijing, Environ Pollut, 304: 119072, DOI: 10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119072, 2022.

Seinfeld, J. and Pandis, S., Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate Change. Hoboken, New Jersey.,
640 John Wiley & Son, Inc, 1998.

Shen, X., Sun, J., Ma, Q., Zhang, Y., Zhong, J., Yue, Y., Xia, C., Hu, X., Zhang, S. and Zhang, X.: Long-term trend of new particle formation events in the Yangtze River Delta, China and its influencing factors: 7-year dataset analysis, Science of the Total Environment: 150783, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150783, 2022.

Shen, X., Sun, J., Yu, F., Wang, Y., Zhong, J., Zhang, Y., Hu, X., Xia, C., Zhang, S. and Zhang, X.: Enhancement of nanoparticle
645 formation and growth during the COVID-19 lockdown period in urban Beijing, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 21(9): 7039-7052, DOI: 10.5194/acp-21-7039-2021, 2021.

Shen, X. J., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, X. Y., Zhang, Y. M., Zhong, J. T., Wang, X., Wang, Y. Q. and Xia, C.: Variations in submicron aerosol liquid water content and the contribution of chemical components during heavy aerosol pollution episodes in winter in Beijing, Sci Total Environ, 693: 133521, DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.07.327, 2019.

650 Shen, X. J., Sun, J., Kivekäs, N., Kristensson, A., Zhang, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, L., Fan, R., Qi, X., Ma, Q. and Zhou, H.: Spatial distribution and occurrence probability of regional new particle formation events in eastern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18(2): 587-599, DOI: 10.5194/acp-18-587-2018, 2018.

Shen, X. J., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., Wehner, B., Nowak, A., Tuch, T., Zhang, X. C., Wang, T. T., Zhou, H. G., Zhang, X. L., Dong, F., Birmili, W. and Wiedensohler, A.: First long-term study of particle number size distributions and new particle
655 formation events of regional aerosol in the North China Plain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11(4): 1565-1580, DOI: 10.5194/acp-11-1565-2011, 2011.

Tang, M., Huang, X., Lu, K. D., Ge, M. F., Li, Y. J., Cheng, P., Zhu, T., Ding, A. J., Zhang, Y. H., Gligorovski, S., Song, W., Ding, X., Bi, X. H. and Wang, X. M.: Heterogeneous reactions of mineral dust aerosol: implications for tropospheric oxidation capacity, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(19): 11727-11777, DOI: 10.5194/acp-17-11727-2017, 2017.

660 Twohy, C. H., Kreidenweis, S. M., Eidhammer, T., Browell, E. V., Heymsfield, A. J., Bansemer, A. R., Anderson, B. E., Chen,

G., Ismail, S., DeMott, P. J. and Van Den Heever, S. C.: Saharan dust particles nucleate droplets in eastern Atlantic clouds, Geo. Res. Lett., 36(1), DOI: 10.1029/2008gl035846, 2009.

Ulbrich, I. M., Canagaratna, M. R., Zhang, Q., Worsnop, D. R. and Jimenez, J. L.: Interpretation of organic components from Positive Matrix Factorization of aerosol mass spectrometric data, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9(9): 2891-2918, DOI: 10.5194/acp-9-2891-2009, 2009.

Usher, C. R., Michel, A. E. and Grassian, V. H.: Reactions on Mineral Dust, Chem. Rev., 103: 4883−4939, 2003.

Wang, S., Wang, J., Zhou, Z. and Shang, K.: Regional characteristics of three kinds of dust storm events in China, Atmospheric Environment, 39(3): 509-520, DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.09.033, 2005.

Wang, X., Shen, X. J., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, X. Y., Wang, Y. Q., Zhang, Y. M., Wang, P., Xia, C., Qi, X. F. and Zhong, J. T.: Size-resolved hygroscopic behavior of atmospheric aerosols during heavy aerosol pollution episodes in Beijing in December 2016, Atmos. Environ., 194: 188-197, DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.09.041, 2018.

Wang, Y., Wu, Z., Ma, N., Wu, Y., Zeng, L., Zhao, C. and Wiedensohler, A.: Statistical analysis and parameterization of the hygroscopic growth of the sub-micrometer urban background aerosol in Beijing, Atmospheric Environment, 175: 184-191, DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.12.003, 2018.

Wang, Z., Liu, C., Hu, Q., Dong, Y., Liu, H., Xing, C. and Tan, W.: Quantify the Contribution of Dust and Anthropogenic Sources to Aerosols in North China by Lidar and Validated with CALIPSO, Remote Sensing, 13(9), DOI: 10.3390/rs13091811, 2021.

Wu, L., Sun, J., Zhang, X., Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., Zhong, J. and Yang, Y.: Aqueous-phase reactions occurred in the PM2.5 cumulative explosive growth during the heavy pollution episode (HPE) in 2016 Beijing wintertime, Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology, 71(1), DOI: 10.1080/16000889.2019.1620079, 2019.

Wu, L., Wang, Y., Li, L. and Zhang, G.: Acidity and inorganic ion formation in $PM_{2.5}$ based on continuous online observations in a South China megacity, Atmos. Pollut. Res., 11(8): 1339-1350, DOI: 10.1016/j.apr.2020.05.003, 2020.

Wu, L. Y., Tong, S. R., Wang, W. G. and Ge, M. F.: Effects of temperature on the heterogeneous oxidation of sulfur dioxide by ozone on calcium carbonate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11(13): 6593-6605, DOI: 10.5194/acp-11-6593-2011, 2011.

Wu, Z., Hu, M., Liu, S., Wehner, B., Bauer, S., Ma ßling, A., Wiedensohler, A., Petäjä, T., Dal Maso, M. and Kulmala, M.: New particle formation in Beijing, China: Statistical analysis of a 1-year data set, Journal of Geophysical Research, 112(D9), DOI: 10.1029/2006jd007406, 2007.

Xu, W., Kuang, Y., Liang, L., He, Y., Cheng, H., Bian, Y., Tao, J., Zhang, G., Zhao, P., Ma, N., Zhao, H., Zhou, G., Su, H., Cheng, Y., Xu, X., Shao, M. and Sun, Y.: Dust-Dominated Coarse Particles as a Medium for Rapid Secondary Organic and Inorganic Aerosol Formation in Highly Polluted Air, Environ Sci Technol, 54(24): 15710-15721, DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.0c07243, 2020.

Yu, F. and Luo, G.: Simulation of particle size distribution with a global aerosol model: contribution of nucleation to aerosol and CCN number concentrations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9: 7691–7710, 2009.

Zhang, Q., Zheng, Y., Tong, D., Shao, M., Wang, S., Zhang, Y., Xu, X., Wang, J., He, H., Liu, W., Ding, Y., Lei, Y., Li, J., Wang, Z., Zhang, X., Wang, Y., Cheng, J., Liu, Y., Shi, Q., Yan, L., Geng, G., Hong, C., Li, M., Liu, F., Zheng, B., Cao, J., Ding, A., Gao, J., Fu, Q., Huo, J., Liu, B., Liu, Z., Yang, F., He, K. and Hao, J.: Drivers of improved $PM_{2.5}$ air quality in China from 2013 to 2017, Proc Natl Acad Sci, 116(49): 24463-24469, DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1907956116, 2019.

Zhang, Y., Zhang, X., Zhong, J., Sun, J., Shen, X., Zhang, Z., Xu, W., Wang, Y., Liang, L., Liu, Y., Hu, X., He, M., Pang, Y., Zhao, H., Ren, S. and Shi, Z.: On the fossil and non-fossil fuel sources of carbonaceous aerosol with radiocarbon and AMS-PMF methods during winter hazy days in a rural area of North China plain, Environ Res, 208: 112672, DOI: 10.1016/j.envres.2021.112672, 2022.

Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., Zhang, X., Shen, X., Sun, J., Wu, L., Zhang, Z. and Che, H.: Chemical Components, Variation, and Source Identification of PM1 during the Heavy Air Pollution Episodes in Beijing in December 2016, Journal of Meteorological Research, 32(1): 1-13, DOI: 10.1007/s13351-018-7051-8, 2018.

Zhang, Y., Vu, T. V., Sun, J., He, J., Shen, X., Lin, W., Zhang, X., Zhong, J., Gao, W., Wang, Y., Fu, T. M., Ma, Y., Li, W. and Shi, Z.: Significant Changes in Chemistry of Fine Particles in Wintertime Beijing from 2007 to 2017: Impact of Clean Air Actions, Environ Sci Technol, 54(3): 1344-1352, DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.9b04678, 2019.

Zhang, Y., Tian, J., Wang, Q., Qi, L., Manousakas, M. I., Han, Y., Ran, W., Sun, Y., Liu, H., Zhang, R., Wu, Y., Cui, T., Daellenbach, K. R., Slowik, J. G., Prévôt, A. S. H. and Cao, J.: High-time-resolution chemical composition and source apportionment of $PM_{2.5}$ in northern Chinese cities: implications for policy, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Diss., DOI: 10.5194/egusphere-2023-457, 2023.

Zhang, S., Shen, X., Sun, J., Che, H., Zhang, Y., Liu, Q., Xia, C., Hu, X., Zhong, J., Wang, J., Liu, S., Lu, J., Yu, A. and Zhang, X.: Seasonal variation of particle hygroscopicity and its impact on cloud-condensation nucleus activation in the Beijing urban area, Atmospheric Environment, 302, DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.119728, 2023.