1	
2	High Enrichment of Heavy Metals in Fine
3	Particulate Matter through Dust Aerosol Generation
4	
5	Authors: Qianqian Gao ^{1, 2#} , Shengqiang Zhu ^{1#} , Kaili Zhou ^{1, 2} , Jinghao Zhai ³ ,
6	Shaodong Chen ^{1, 2} , Qihuang Wang ^{1, 2} , Shurong Wang ¹ , Jin Han ^{1, 2} , Xiaohui Lu ^{1, 2} ,
7	Hong Chen ¹ , Liwu Zhang ^{1, 2} , Lin Wang ^{1, 2} , Zimeng Wang ^{1, 2} , Xin Yang ³ , Qi Ying ⁴ ,
8	Hongliang Zhang* ¹ , Jianmin Chen ^{1, 2} * and Xiaofei Wang* ^{1, 2}
9	
10	¹ Shanghai Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Particle Pollution and Prevention,
11	Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Fudan University, Shanghai
12	200433, China
13	² Shanghai Institute of Pollution Control and Ecological Security, Shanghai
14	200092, China
15	³ School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Southern University of
16	Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China
17	⁴ Zachry Department of Civil Engineering, Texas A&M University, College
18	Station, TX 77843, USA
19	
20	Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics
21	July 4 th , 2023
22	#These authors contributed equally to this paper
23	*To whom correspondence should be addressed.
24	Correspondence to:
25	Xiaofei Wang: Email: xiaofeiwang@fudan.edu.cn Tel: +86-021-31242526
26	Jianmin Chen: Email: jmchen@fudan.edu.cn Tel: +86-021-3124-2298
27	Hongliang Zhang: Email: zhanghl@fudan.edu.cn Tel: +86- 021-31248978

28 Abstract

29 Dust is a major source of atmospheric aerosols. Its chemical composition is often assumed to be 30 similar to the parent soil. However, this assumption has not been rigorously verified. Here, we 31 generated dust aerosols from soils to determine if there is particle size-dependent selectivity of 32 heavy metals in the dust generation. Mn, Cd, Pb and other heavy metals were found to be highly enriched in fine (PM_{2.5}) dust aerosols, which can be up to ~6.5-fold. To calculate the contributions 33 34 of dust to atmospheric heavy metals, regional air quality models usually use the dust chemical 35 profiles from the US EPA's SPECIATE database, which does not capture the correct size-dependent 36 selectivity of heavy metals in dust aerosols. Our air quality modeling for China demonstrates that 37 the calculated contribution of fine dust aerosols to atmospheric heavy metals, as well as their cancer 38 risks, could have significant errors without using proper dust profiles.



39 **Graphical Abstract**

42 Short Summary

Dust is a major source of atmospheric aerosols. Its chemical composition is often assumed to be similar to the parent soil. However, this assumption has not been rigorously verified. Dust aerosols are mainly generated by wind erosion, which may have some chemical selectivity. Mn, Cd and Pb were found to be highly enriched in fine (PM_{2.5}) dust aerosols. In addition, estimation of heavy metal emission from dust generation by air quality models may have errors without using proper dust profiles.

50 **1 Introduction**

51 The major sources of natural aerosols include mineral dust aerosols produced by wind erosion 52 (Prospero et al., 2002). Dust aerosols are influenced by regional atmospheric circulation, soil characteristics and local weather conditions (Bryant, 2013; Ding et al., 2005; Huebert et al., 2003; 53 54 Liu et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2008), mainly generated and aerosolized when strong wind passes over 55 soil or sandy areas (Gillette and Goodwin, 1974). Recent studies show mineral dust aerosol accounts 56 for approximately 40% of the mass fraction of natural atmospheric aerosol, with an estimated annual flux of ~2,000 Tg·yr⁻¹ (Alfaro, 2008; Griggs and Noguer, 2002; Huneeus et al., 2011; Textor et al., 57 58 2006). As the second-largest natural source of atmospheric aerosols in terms of mass flux, dust 59 aerosol has a profound impact on the ecosystem (Middleton et al., 2019), especially the climate 60 (Evan et al., 2014; Kok et al., 2018; Shao et al., 2013). Interactions between dust aerosols and water 61 vapor play a critical role in cloud condensation and ice nucleation processes (Kaufman et al., 2002; 62 Tang et al., 2016). Dust particles can be transported on large scales (Shao and Dong, 2006), and 63 could act as a medium to transport toxic compounds, including heavy metals, which significantly 64 harm human health, particularly the human respiratory system and even cause premature death 65 (Urrutia-Pereira et al., 2021). 66 Atmospheric studies often assume that the chemical composition of aerosolized dust is similar to 67 the parent soil (Gunawardana et al., 2012; Zhuang et al., 2001). The chemical composition of dust aerosol consists of a key part in source apportionment modeling (Balakrishna and Pervez, 2009; 68 69 Samiksha et al., 2017; Santos et al., 2017; Ying et al., 2018). A critical approach in source

apportionment modeling is the chemical transport model, which predicts the dust aerosol on global

71	and regional scales based on the prior knowledge of source emission, atmospheric transport, and
72	chemical reaction process. SPECIATE is the EPA's speciation profiles repository of air pollution
73	sources of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and particulate matter (PM). Therefore, the US
74	EPA's SPECIATE database is an important product to convert total emissions from specific sources
75	into the speciated emissions needed for the chemical transport model. The previous study has
76	combined the US EPA's SPECIATE database and air quality model to predict dust aerosols (Ying et
77	al., 2018), based on the assumption of the chemical composition of dust aerosols is similar to the
78	resuspended soil profiles.
79	Yet, dust generation and aerosolization are complex processes, which may have some chemical
80	selectivity. Most small dust particles (< 20 μ m) are produced either by wind erosion, which leads to
81	soil movements such as creeping, saltation, and suspension (Burezq, 2020) or sandblasting process,
82	which leads soil particles (~75 μ m) to be lifted by the wind, move in ballistic trajectories due to the
83	combined effect of aerodynamic force and gravity force (Grini and Zender, 2004; Shao and Raupach,
84	1993; Shao et al., 1996). The sandblasting efficiency of a soil particle is highly sensitive to its size
85	(Grini and Zender, 2004; Grini et al., 2002). In addition, the chemical composition of soil particles
86	can also vary with particle size. As smaller soil particles are more easily ejected, dust aerosol
87	particles are unlikely to have exactly the same composition as their parent soils (Perlwitz et al., 2015;
88	Wu et al., 2022). Dust deposited samples were the dust samples collected on the road or other
89	surfaces using a brush and plastic tray (Shangguan et al., 2022), while dust aerosol samples were
90	collected by filtering the air. Dust aerosols were produced by the ballistic impacts of wind-driven
91	sand grains (Kok et al., 2023). Indeed, some previous studies do find that in the deposited dust
92	samples (not dust aerosol samples), smaller particles tend to contain higher amounts of heavy metals

93	(Naderizadeh et al., 2016; Parajuli et al., 2016; Becagli et al., 2020). However, the heavy metal
94	profiles for dust aerosols from the US EPA's SPECIATE database seem to have no such enrichment
95	between each particle size, as Table S1 reports profile 41350 as an example. Although these profiles
96	have been widely used in air quality modeling works (Lowenthal et al., 2010; Simon et al., 2010;
97	Ashrafi et al., 2018), they were actually measured in the 1970s and 1980s with the resuspension of
98	soil samples, which placed soil in a glass tube and drew air flow to blow and suspend the soil
99	particles to the air (Miller et al., 1972). This method is not likely to produce realistic dust aerosols,
100	as it does not simulate sandblasting process properly. It is not known whether using such a
101	problematic dust profile could significantly impact air quality model calculations.

103 Here we examined the enrichment of heavy metals in the laboratory-generated dust aerosols. A dust 104 aerosol generator that mimics realistic sandblasting and saltation was used to generate dust aerosol from a collection of soil samples (Lafon et al., 2014). The concentrations of heavy metals in soil 105 and dust aerosols were measured by an inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS). 106 In this study, some heavy metals, such as Mn, Cd, Zn and Pb, were found to be highly enriched in 107 dust aerosols. Especially, the enrichment factors would be much higher for smaller dust aerosols. In 108 109 addition, we also utilized a single particle aerosol mass spectrometer (SPAMS) to study heavy metal-110 containing dust aerosols before, during, and after a dust storm. Regional air quality models usually use problematic dust composition profiles from the US EPA's SPECIATE database. Herein we 111 modeled the contribution of dust aerosol to atmospheric heavy metal loadings, utilizing a range of 112 dust aerosol profiles determined in this laboratory study as well as the SPECIATE profile, to 113 investigate whether using a proper dust profile is critical to air quality modeling and cancer risk 114

115 calculations.

116 **2 Materials and methods**

117 **2.1 Soil sample collection**

118 Fourteen samples were collected from the top 10 cm of the natural soil profile from various locations 119 in dust source regions and Shanghai, China (Table S2, Fig. S1). S1-S4 were collected from dust 120 sources on the northern slope of Yinshan Mountain in central inner Mongolia and the adjacent areas 121 of the Hunshandake Sandy Land, S5-S12 were collected from dust sources of Hexi Corridor and 122 Alxa Plateau, S13 was collected in Xinjiang Province, in the dust sources of the Taklimakan Desert, 123 and S14 was sampled from Shanghai Yangpu District. Although the soil (S14) collected in Shanghai 124 does not originate from a dust source region, it can still produce dust aerosols in some cases. For 125 example, under dry weather conditions, the soil surface in the Shanghai area could serve as a 126 significant local contributor to the generation of dust aerosols (Liu et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2020). 127 During the prevailing dust storm periods from March to May, Shanghai is primarily influenced by 128 dust originating from the western Inner Mongolia Gobi, deserts in the Tibetan Plateau, and arid 129 deserts in northwest China (Fu et al., 2010; Fu et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2017). Soil texture determination was conducted according to the method outlined in a previous study (Kettler et al., 130 2001). Soil texture characterization was conducted based on the method outlined in a previous study 131 132 (Kettler et al., 2001). Soil particle dispersion was achieved by adding hexametaphosphate (HMP) 133 and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) to a soil sample (particle size < 2 mm) and shaking it for 16 hours. 134 The percentage of sand and silt was obtained using a Laser Scattering Particle Size Distribution 135 Analyzer (LA-960). Further details can be found in the SI. As shown in Table S2, they represent several soil types: S1 was silty loam; S2, S4, S7, S10, S11 and S12 were sand; S3 was sandy loam;
S5 and S6 were loam; S8 and S13 were loam sand; S9 and S14 were silty clay loam. Before dust
aerosol generation, soil samples were placed in a fume hood and left to dry, without stirring or other
treatment, before aerosolization. Fine and coarse dust aerosols (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀) were produced
with a GAMEL dust aerosol generator, which can realistically simulate the sandblasting process.
Then, the pH of the soil was measured. Detailed information can be found in Fig. S1 and Table S2.

142 **2.2 Laboratory dust aerosol generation and collection**

A laboratory dust generator (GAMEL: "Générateur d'Aérosol Minéral En Laboratoire") (Lafon et 143 144 al., 2014) was used to produce dust aerosols from the soil samples. The GAMEL dust generator can 145 realistically simulate the sandblasting process. Wind tunnels have the advantage of realistically 146 simulating the generation of dust aerosols. However, conducting this study has certain drawbacks. 147 These include the requirement for a substantial quantity of parent soils and the significant cost associated with eliminating ambient aerosol interference (Alfaro et al., 1997; Lafon et al., 2006; 148 Alfaro, 2008). In GAMEL's dust production system, 10 g of each soil sample was added to a PTFE 149 flask, which was agitated by a shaker simulating the sandblasting process to produce dust aerosols. 150 151 A constant flow of particle-free air was passed through the dust-generating flask. The optimal generation parameter of the shaker was set at a frequency of 500 cycles/min according to Lafon et 152 153 al., 2014 with an airflow rate of 8 liter/min controlled by a Mass Flow Controller (MFC, Sevenstar, 154 Beijing Sevenstar Flow Co., LTD). The sample stream was filtered through a cyclone and particles 155 were collected on a 47 mm PVC film held in a metal frame filter holder (Pall Gelman, Port 156 Washington, NY, USA). Dust-PM2.5 and dust-PM10 were obtained with or without an 8LPM cyclone,

157	respectively. The running time was 1 min. To obtain more dust aerosols in different size ranges, size-
158	fractionated particle sampling of dust aerosols was carried out with 10-stage Micro-Orifice Uniform
159	Deposit Impactor (MOUDI 110R; MSP) with size cut points of 10 µm, 5.6 µm, 3.2 µm, 1.8 µm, 1.0
160	μ m, and 0.56 μ m. Analysis of the size distribution and chemical composition of dust generated by
161	GAMEL and dust generated under natural conditions has shown that the GAMEL generator can
162	produce realistic dust aerosol (Lafon et al., 2014). All the dust aerosol mass collected is shown in
163	Table S3 and S4. The instrument setup is illustrated in Fig. S2.

165 2.3 Analysis of laboratory-generated dust aerosols

166 The dust aerosol samples collected were weighed with an analytical balance and then put into 25 ml digestion tubes with 6 ml 69% HNO3 symmetrically. The temperature program of Microwave 167 168 Digestion (Anton Paar) was as follows: initial temperature of 100 °C held for 5 min, then ramped to 140 °C for 5 min, and finally at 180 °C for 60 min. The whole process was holding 120 min. 169 170 According to this study (Chang et al., 1984), almost all the heavy metal elements in the natural soil and dust aerosol in concentrated nitric acid were extracted using this experimental procedure. After 171 172 digestion, the solution was acid-fed at 120 °C for 1.5 h, then deionized water (conductivity 18.25 173 $M\Omega$) was added, the volume was constant with a 25 mL volumetric flask, and then passed through a 0.45 µm membrane. The samples were diluted with 2% HNO₃ by 4 times for further analysis. 174 175 Three blank PVC film samples were digested using the same method for background control. 176

177 The heavy metal content was determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-

MS; Agilent, 8900). Before analysis, tuning procedures including plasma parameter, ion 178 179 transmission path, quadrupole mass spectrometer, and detector had been done. During analysis, 180 standard solutions were prepared at concentrations of 0, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, and 100 µg/L. "In, Bi, and Rn" were used as internal standard elements, and were introduced into the nebulizer by mixing 181 182 with the sample to be tested and the standard solution in the sampling pipeline by online addition, and the instrument drift and matrix effect were compensated. After each analysis of a sample, 2% 183 184 dilute nitric acid was used to clean the injection line for 1 min, and then continue to collect the second sample to eliminate the memory effect of the previous sample. 185 186 A scanning electron microscope (SEM; Phenom Pro) equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray

187 detector was used for morphologies of particle examination at the voltage of 10 kV. All the samples

188 (soil, $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10}) were on the carbon conductive adhesive, then spray platinum to improve the

189 conductivity. Here, the parent soil of S10 and generated PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ were examined.

- 190 Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Statistics. The correlation analysis was conducted
- through Spearman's correlation and the significant difference was used with an independent sampleT-test.

193 **2.4 Ambient dust aerosol measurements**

On May 23rd, 2018 (LT), on-site field measurements were conducted in Shanghai to assess the ambient dust particles. The measurements indicated an average wind speed of 2.2 m/s, which corresponds to a level of floating dust storm with a visibility of up to 10 km. The sampling was located on the sixth floor of the Environmental Science Building in Jiangwan Campus, Fudan University, a typical residential area in a heavily polluted urban area. The chemical composition of

199	individual ambient particles was measured by single particle aerosol mass spectrometry (SPAMS,
200	Hexin Co., Ltd). Detailed information on SPAMS is available elsewhere (Li et al., 2011). An
201	adaptive resonance theory-based clustering method (ART-2a) was used to classify the mass spectra
202	generated and identify dust/heavy-metal-containing particles (Sullivan et al., 2007). The Hybrid
203	Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory HYSPLIT-4 model developed by the ARL (Air
204	Resources Laboratory) of the NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration), USA,
205	was employed to compute hourly resolved 48 h air mass backward trajectories at 500 m arrival
206	height (Lv et al., 2021; Pongkiatkul and Kim Oanh, 2007).

208 **2.5 Air quality model configuration and application**

209 The source-oriented Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model v5.0.1 with an expanded 210 Stratospheric and Air Pollution Research-99 (SAPRC-99) photochemical mechanism was applied 211 to simulate PM_{2.5} levels and track the sources of primary PM_{2.5} (PPM_{2.5}) in China during the entire 212 year of 2013 (Guenther et al., 2012; Ying et al., 2018). The simulation domain covered China and its surrounding countries, with a horizontal resolution of 36×36 km² (127 × 197 grids). 213 214 Anthropogenic emissions were based on the Multi-resolution Emission Inventory for China (MEIC, 215 v1.3, $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$, http://www.meicmodel.org). Biogenic emissions were generated by the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN) v2.1 (Guenther et al., 2012). The 216 217 meteorological inputs for the CMAQ model were calculated by the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model (https://www2.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users). 218

220 Five major source contributions (windblown dust, residential, transportation, power generation and 221 industrial sources) to PM_{2.5} were investigated based on the inventory-observation-constrained 222 emission factors (Ying et al., 2018). Three control trials were conducted for each heavy metal 223 according to measured soil, dust-PM_{2.5} and the SPECIATE datasets from the four regions (three dust 224 sources and Shanghai). It is worth noting that the emission factors for areas outside these four 225 regions were estimated using Inverse Distance Weight (IDW) spatial interpolation methods. These 226 methods were based on the dataset of emission factors within these four regions, which represent 227 the amount of heavy metal emitted per kilogram of dust (Zhang and Tripathi, 2018). Each heavy 228 metal source concentration from dust aerosol and all four sources were used to quantify the 229 contribution on heavy metal concentrations in the atmospheric dust aerosols, which can be 230 represented in Equation 1:

231
$$R = \frac{E_1 \times s_1 \times a}{\sum_{i=1}^5 E_i \times s_i}$$
 Equation 1

Where E_i is the PPM_{2.5} emission from i^{th} source, s_i is the emission factor of the specific heavy metal from i^{th} source, a is a is the concentration of heavy meantal in measured soil, dust-PM_{2.5}, and the SPECIATE datasets. E_l , s_l , and a are the values for dust.

In addition, the human health risk of heavy metals was assessed. Three main routes of chemical daily intake (CDI, mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹) of air heavy metals were: (1) direct ingestion of particles or gases existed in the air (CDIing); (2) inhalation of suspended particles through mouth and nose (CDIinh); and (3) daily absorption of heavy metals through skin (CDIdermal) (Luo et al., 2012). To assess the carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic effects of heavy metals, we evaluated these effects in 13 age groups ranging from birth to \leq 80 years old. These age groups are as follows: <1, 1 to <2, 2 to <3, 3 to <6, 6 to <11, 11 to <16, 16 to <20, 21 to <31, 31 to <51, 51 to <61, 61 to <71, 71 to <81, and \geq 81 242 years (Gholizadeh et al., 2019b). The variables and values used for estimating human exposure to 243 heavy metals were obtained from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and the U.S. 244 Department of Energy (USDoE) (Moya et al., 2011; Doe, 2011). CDIing, CDIinh, and CDIdermal 245 were calculated as: 246 $CDI_{ing} = C \times \frac{IRing \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT} \times 10^{-6}$ Equation 2 247 $CDI_{dermal} = C \times \frac{SA \times AF \times ABS_d \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT} \times 10^{-6}$ 248 Equation 3 $CDI_{inh} = C \times \frac{IRinh \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT} \times 10^{-6}$ Equation 4 249 250 Moreover, the total carcinogenic risk 251 (TCR) for each heavy metal were calculated by: 252 carcinogenic risk = $CDI_{ing,dermal,inh} \times CSF$ Equation 5 $TCR = \sum risk = CDI_{ing} \times CSF_{ing} + CDI_{inh} \times IUR +$ 253 254 $CDI_{dermal} \times CSF_{ing}/ABS_{GI}$ Equation 6 255 256 Here the IRing was Ingestion rate (mg day-1), EF was exposure frequency (day year-1), ED was 257 exposure duration (year), BW was body weight (kg), AT was Averaging time (day), SA was total

body skin surface area (m²), AF was skin adherence factor (mg cm⁻²), ET was exposure time (hour day⁻¹), ABSd was dermal absorption factor, IRinh inhalation rate (m³ day⁻¹), ABS_{GI} was gastrointestinal absorption factor, CSF was cancer slope factor. The values of these parameters could

261 be found in the previous study (Gholizadeh et al., 2019a).

263 **3 Results and discussion**

264 **3.1 Enrichment of heavy metals in fine dust aerosols**

265

Fig. S3-S4 show the absolute concentrations of heavy metals in dust aerosols and their parent soils. The concentrations of heavy metals in dust- PM_{10} were similar to soil concentrations, which showed a significant correlation between soils and PM_{10} (p<0.01) (Fig. S5). While the concentrations of heavy metals in dust- $PM_{2.5}$ were higher than those in soils, especially Mn, Ni, Cu and Zn, showed significant differences (p<0.001) (Fig. S6). This trend was consistent across all soil samples. The enrichment factor (EF) of heavy metals in dust aerosols relative to the parent soils was calculated with Equation 8.

$$EF = \frac{C_1/m_1}{C_0/m_0}$$
 Equation 8

Where C_1 is the heavy metal concentration in dust-PM; m_1 is the mass of dust-PM collected on the filter; m_0 is the mass of soil in the ICP-MS sample, and C_0 is the heavy metal concentration of the soil.

277

Figures 1 and S7 show that many heavy metals were highly enriched in fine dust aerosols ($PM_{2.5}$), i.e., their absolute concentrations were significantly higher in fine dust particles than in the parent soil (Fig. S6). V, Cr, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Cd, Ba, Ti, and Pb were all enriched in dust- $PM_{2.5}$ during the process of dust formation. The following trend of heavy metal enrichment was established for dust- $PM_{2.5}$: Cd > Zn > Ba > Cu > Mn > Pb > Ni > Ti > Co > As > Cr > V. Notably, the EFs of Cd were greater than 5 for soil S1, S10 and S11. No other literature has reported the enrichment of Cd or other heavy metals in dust aerosols. However, there is one study showing the

285	enrichment of water-soluble ions during dust aerosol production from soil (Wu et al., 2022). It
286	reports that the EFs of Ca^{2+} ranged from approximately 5.6 to 223.1, and the EF values of Mg^{2+}
287	were between approximately 2.1 and 90.3 for dust-PM _{2.5} from Sandy soils in the Taklamakan Desert.
288	In this study, it is found that the EF of Cd and other metals falls within the range of EF for these
289	water-soluble ions, consistent with the value reported by Wu et al., (2022). Fig. 1 also illustrates
290	that all heavy metals were more highly enriched in smaller PM _{2.5} dust particles compared to larger
291	PM_{10} dust particles. For example, the Cd's EF reached ~6.4 and ~1.7 for dust- $PM_{2.5}$ and dust PM_{10} ,
292	respectively, from soil S1. Most dust-PM _{2.5} should originate from the small colloids in soil, which
293	are defined as soil particles with less than 2 μ m in diameter. These soil colloids usually carry large
294	amounts of negative charges, which can help adsorb many cations in soil, including various heavy
295	metal ions (Brady and Weil, 2008). Thus, heavy metals are enriched in small soil aggregates. During
296	the sandblasting process, the smaller soil grains, with higher heavy metal concentrations, are more
297	likely to be ejected and form dust aerosols. The particle size dependence of heavy metal enrichment
298	could have significant ramifications for the health impacts of dust aerosols. The dust aerosol size
299	distribution of dust (Fig. S8) was also measured by an Aerodynamic Particle Sizer (APS,
300	APS Model 3321; TSI Inc.; USA). It is found that the peak of the particle size distribution of dust
301	aerosol was approximately at $2\sim3$ µm. Similarly, the scanning electron microscope (SEM) images
302	of these dust aerosols (generated by S10) also show the presence of a large number of particles with
303	sizes of $2\sim3$ µm. As particle size decreased, the shape of particles changed from flakes to rods,
304	which means a larger surface area (Fig. S9). When examining the impact of soil texture on dust
305	aerosol enrichment, first, notable variations were observed in the EF values from one soil texture,
306	such as sandy soils, specifically S2, S4, S7, S10, S11, and S12. To assess the significance of these

307	variations, a one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was conducted using SPSS. In ANOVA, the
308	<i>p-value</i> represents the probability of obtaining the observed differences in means (or more extreme
309	differences) by random chance alone, assuming no true difference between the groups. A <i>p</i> -value
310	below a predetermined significance level (commonly 0.05) indicates significant differences between
311	the means of the compared groups. Specifically, for sandy soil, analysis results reveal significant
312	variations between these six soils in terms of the EF values for both dust- $PM_{2.5}$ (<i>p-value</i> =0.004<0.05)
313	and dust-PM ₁₀ (<i>p-value</i> =0<0.05) (Table S5 and S6). These results indicate that there are significant
314	differences in the EFs of heavy metals within the sandy soil group. Then, the variation between soil
315	types was analyzed. For the six different types of soil samples, the results of ANOVA showed
316	significant differences in the EFs of dust-PM _{2.5} (<i>p</i> -value= $0 < 0.05$) and dust-PM ₁₀ (<i>p</i> -value= $0 < 0.05$)
317	among these soil types (Table S7 and S8). The differences among the six soils from different soil
318	types were greater than those observed among the different soils in the same soil type, indicating a
319	potential role of soil type in affecting EFs, which would require further study to elucidate. Detailed
320	information was found in SI of Texture S3 and Table S5-S10.



Figure 1. Enrichment Factors of $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} . Enrichment factors of heavy metals in dust aerosols from soil S1-S14; red represents $PM_{2.5}$ and green represents PM_{10} . The grey dotted line represents the EF as 1. The whiskers on the bars represent the standard deviations of triplicates.



~1.1 to ~18.9), Cr (ranging from ~1.5 to ~23.7), Co (ranging from ~1.7 to ~93.7), Mn (ranging from 333 ~2.3 to ~7.4), Ni (ranging from ~1.6 to ~29.7), Cu (ranging from ~3.3 to ~54.3), Zn (ranging from 334 ~2.3 to ~19.0), As (ranging from ~1.8 to ~112.3), and Ba (ranging from ~1.4 to ~7.0), as the particle 335 336 size decreases from 10 µm to 0.56 µm. This results demonstrate that some heavy metals are indeed 337 enriched in smaller soil particles, which could be aerosolized during the sandblasting process. The 338 particle size dependence of heavy metal enrichment could have significant ramifications for the health impacts of dust aerosols. In contrast, Cd's EFs remain relatively unchanged with varying 339 340 particle sizes. On the other hand, Ti exhibits an opposite trend, with EF values decreasing as the 341 particle size decreasing, and the reason for this difference requires further study.



342

Figure 2. Enrichment factors of heavy metals in dust aerosols with different particle size ranges. The EF data were produced from the Soil S10, with diameters at above10 μ m, 5.6-10 μ m, 3.2-5.6 μ m, 1.8-3.2 μ m, 1.0-1.8 μ m and 0.56-1.0 μ m. The whiskers on the bars represent the standard

346 deviations of triplicates.

347

348 3.2 Modeling of the contributions of dust aerosols to atmospheric heavy metals 349 using the dust profiles from this study and the SPECIATE datasets

It is necessary to know the sources of atmospheric heavy metals to effectively control their emission. 350 351 Air quality models with emission inventories can estimate the contributions of various sources to 352 atmospheric heavy metals. However, when estimating heavy metal emissions from dust production, some widely used air quality models, such as the CMAQ model, typically use dust profiles from the 353 354 US EPA's SPECIATE datasets. As discussed in the introduction, this dust profile may be outdated 355 and cannot reflect realistic dust compositions. We used the CMAQ model to assess the potential 356 impact of dust aerosol profile in atmospheric dust aerosol using our measured profile and the profile 357 (No. 41350) from the SPECIATE datasets. The model tracked heavy metals in $PM_{2.5}$ in China for 358 the year 2013 (see Methods) from five major sources: windblown dust, residential, transportation, 359 power generation, and industry.

360



365

366 For atmospheric Cr, it is clear that the scenario of applying SPECIATE database significantly

367 underestimates the contribution of dust aerosol, with the highest value of ~0.08 $\mu g/m^3$, when

368	compared to the scenario of applying the measured dust-PM _{2.5} profiles, which had the highest value
369	of ~0.14 $\mu g/m^3$. For Pb, as shown in the right column of Fig. 3, the scenario of applying
370	SPECIATE profile overestimates the contribution of dust aerosol, with the value up to ~0.4 $\mu g/m^3$,
371	when compared to the scenario of applying the measured dust-PM _{2.5} profiles, which had the highest
372	value of ~0.14. Uncertainties associated with the use of SPECIATE have also been identified in
373	previous studies (Ho et al., 2003; Xia et al., 2017). Specifically, the dust PM _{2.5} source profiles
374	obtained from local studies indicated that SPECIATE overestimated the contributions of
375	atmospheric K and Al by approximately 23%, while underestimating the contributions of Ca and
376	Na by 50%. Additionally, the model represents the annual average data for the year 2013. Although
377	there are some field studies conducted in the same year (Wang et al., 2021; Shi et al., 2018), there
378	is no available annual average data for a direct comparison with the model results. These results
379	demonstrate that the modeled heavy metal distribution in the atmosphere is quite sensitive to the
380	input of dust composition profile, strongly suggesting that using a proper dust composition profile
381	is a key in such air quality modeling.

382

As discussed in the Introduction, many atmospheric studies assume that dust aerosol composition is similar to the composition of its parent soil. Here we also use the soil composition as an input dust profile in the model calculation to see how the modeled results are compared to that using the dust-PM_{2.5} profile. For Cr, an obvious elevation of contribution was found by comparing the map using soil (a) and dust-PM_{2.5} (b) profiles, with the hotspots of contribution (~0.14 $\mu g/m^3$) distributed in northwest China. The region with dust aerosol contribution ranged from 0.02 to 0.08 $\mu g/m^3$ covers most areas in China by using the dust-PM_{2.5} profile. In contrast, the application of

the soil profile to the model reveals a significantly reduced area where the modeled Cr concentration from dust aerosols falls within the range of 0.02 to 0.08 $\mu g/m^3$. For Pb, a significant difference is also found. The high contribution areas are also mainly distributed in northwest China for scenarios of applying soil and dust profiles, with the value up to 0.1 $\mu g/m^3$. While the area with low dust aerosol contribution (<0.02 $\mu g/m^3$) shrinks considerably in the scenario of applying soil profile.

The applied dust enrichment factors to modeled Cr in PM2.5 had an even stronger impact on modeled 396 397 source apportionment (Fig. 3a-3b). The average dust source contribution to the total PM_{2.5} Cr 398 concentration over China was calculated to be 0.03, and 0.05 $\mu g/m^3$ in the scenarios of applying 399 soil and dust profiles, respectively. The model results for As, Cu, Mn, Ti and Zn (Fig. S11-S15) also 400 show similar trends, indicating applying realistic enrichment factors to heavy metal concentrations 401 in fine dust aerosols is critical to accurately model the sources of atmospheric heavy metals. These 402 results demonstrate that it is not appropriate to assume dust aerosol composition is equal to soil 403 composition, at least in air quality modeling.



Figure 3. Modeling of the contributions of dust aerosols to atmospheric Cr and Pb concentrations. These results use the dust profiles of measured soil (a, d), dust-PM_{2.5} (b, e), and the SPECIATE datasets (c, f). The unit is $\mu g/m^3$.

408 Figure 4 shows the Total Carcinogenic Risk (TCR) of the modeled atmospheric heavy metals (Cu, Pb and Zn) for each province in Mainland, China. The modeled results using the dust-PM2.5 and the 409 SPECIATE profiles are compared here. The carcinogenic risks lower than 10⁻⁶ are considered 410 411 negligible, and risks above 10⁻⁴ are not accepted by most international regulatory agencies (Cheng 412 et al., 2015; Epa, 1989; Luo et al., 2012). For Cu, it is evident that using the SPECIATE profile 413 overestimated (the difference range up to ~ 7.5×10^{-7}) the TCR in China compared to using the dust-PM_{2.5} profile, as some regions exceed 10⁻⁶, the threshold value. For Pb, although all regions were 414 above 10⁻⁶, the TCR using the SPECIATE profile was greatly overestimated (the difference range is 415

416 $\sim 5.5 \times 10^{-6}$ - 4.0×10⁻⁵). The model results for Zn showed that all regions were not above 10⁻⁶ but

417 significantly underestimated risks using the SPECIATE profile. This indicates that the health risk

418 assessment is also sensitive to dust composition profiles. Using the SPECIATE profile might be



419 problematic for assessing these risks.

420

Figure 4. Comparison of the total carcinogenic risk (TCR) of the modeled atmospheric heavy metals for each province in Mainland, China between using the dust-PM_{2.5} and SPECIATE profiles. Here, the TCR of Cu, Pb and Zn were calculated. The grey dotted line is 10⁻⁶, the threshold value for health concerns.

426 **3.3 Field observation before, during and after a dust storm**

427 Our modeling results suggest that dust aerosol could be a major source of multiple heavy metals in $PM_{2.5}$ in China. Therefore, dust storms should significantly increase the concentrations of heavy 428 429 metals in PM2.5. To test this idea, we studied a dust-storm plume, which originated from Mongolia 430 and arrived in Shanghai (Huang et al., 2010) on 23 May 2018 (Fig. S16). Real-time single-particle 431 mass spectra were generated by a single-particle mass spectrometer. Single particle mass 432 spectrometry can offer detailed information on the chemically-resolved mixing state at the singleparticle level. According to the similarities of the mass-to-charge ratio and peak intensity of 433 434 characterized signals, "Dust" particles were classified via an adaptive resonance theory-based 435 clustering method (ART-2a, see Method). The number fraction of Dust particles was ~4.94% before 436 and after the dust storm and it increased to~9.73% during the dust storm episode (Fig. 5a).

437

438 Dust particle mass spectra also contained ion markers indicative of an array of heavy metals (m/z)439 55[Mn⁺], 51[V⁺], 207[Pb⁺], 63[Cu⁺], 75[As⁺], 91[AsO⁺], 52[Cr⁺], -84[CrO₂⁻], -100[CrO₃⁻]) (red 440 sticks in Fig. S17), indicating the existence of heavy metals in the ambient dust aerosols. The time series of Pb-containing and Cr-containing particle number fractions showed similar trends to the 441 442 Dust particles. When the dust storm arrived, both Pb-containing and Cr-containing particle fractions increased as the dust cluster fraction increased. Before and after the dust storm, the percentages of 443 Pb-containing and Cr-containing particles that overlapped with the Dust cluster were 41% and 32%, 444 445 respectively. However, this overlapped ratio increased to 86% and 71% during the dust storm episode. The increase of heavy metal particles in step with the dust particles indicated that the dust 446 447 particles could be the dominant source of these heavy metal species during this dust storm episode.

449 We further analyzed the size-resolved number fraction of dust aerosol, Pb-containing, and Cr-450 containing particles during the dust storm episode (Fig. 5b). The number fraction of Dust particles 451 increased with increasing aerodynamic diameter. For particles above 1.0 µm, Dust accounted 452 for >12% of the total particles during the storm. However, the Pb-containing and Cr-containing 453 particles made up a larger number fraction of analyzed particles with decreasing particle diameter 454 size ($< 1 \mu m$). The number fractions of Pb-containing and Cr-containing particles were 5.7% and 7.9% of all mass spectra for particles from 0.2-0.3 µm. This result was consistent with our laboratory 455 456 results that there is high heavy metal enrichment in smaller dust particles and our modeling results 457 that dust aerosol is likely a major source of atmospheric Pb and Cr over China.



458

459 Figure 5. Ambient dust aerosol measurements. (a) Temporal variation of the percentages of dust 460 aerosol, Pb-containing, and Cr-containing particle clusters. The yellow shadow represents the dust 461 storm episode. (b) Size-resolved number fraction of dust aerosol, Pb-containing, and Cr-containing 462 particle clusters.

464 **4 Environmental implications**

In this study, many heavy metals were found to be highly enriched in fine (PM_{2.5}) dust aerosols 465 compared to their concentrations in the parent soils. We propose that heavy metals tend to be 466 467 enriched in smaller soil aggregates (Ikegami et al., 2014). During the sandblasting process, the heavy metal enriched smaller soil aggregates are more likely to be ejected and form dust aerosols. 468 469 This work finds that dust aerosols from different soils may have a range of heavy metal enrichment factors. To study the transfer of heavy metals from soils to the air, it is critical to have a complete 470 set of enrichment factors for each major soil type. There exists a difference among the heavy metal 471 472 enrichment factors from different soil samples. The variability in the EFs is likely due to differences in soil properties (soil texture and size distribution etc.) which may affect the sandblasting/saltation 473 process. For example, the enrichment factors of heaviest metals for Soil S1, S10 and S11 were 474 475 higher than other soils. The detailed reason is still unknown and needs further exploration. Moreover, 476 air quality models, including CMAQ models and various CMB models, often use the dust chemical 477 profiles from the US EPA's SPECIATE to calculate the contribution of fine dust aerosols to atmospheric heavy metals, which are outdated and could lead to significant errors in estimating the 478 emission of heavy metals through dust generation. Without using proper dust profiles in estimating 479 480 heavy metal emissions from dust generation, the contribution of fine dust aerosols to atmospheric 481 heavy metals, and its associated health risks are likely significantly mistaken.

482 **5. Conclusions**

483 Dust generation and aerosolization are complex processes that may have certain chemical selectivity.

484	Here, we deployed a laboratory generator to produce dust aerosol with a realistic sandblasting
485	process. The concentrations of heavy metals (including V, Cr, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Cd, Ba,
486	Ti, and Pb) in soils and fine $(PM_{2.5})$ and coarse (PM_{10}) dust aerosols were measured. With
487	research efforts to elucidate the enrichment process of heavy metal in dust aerosols comparing
488	to their parent soils, our results fill the knowledge gaps of the compositional variation of heavy
489	metal between the parent soils and the generated dust aerosols. Mn, Cd, Pb and other heavy
490	metals were found to be highly enriched in fine (PM _{2.5}) dust aerosols, which can be up to \sim 6.5-
491	fold. These findings were also consistent with our field observation results. In addition, air
492	quality models often use an outdated heavy metal profile for dust aerosols from the US EPA's
493	SPECIATE database, which seems to be lack of enrichment between each particle size. We modeled
494	the impact of the contribution of heavy metals in dust aerosol and their health risks in CMAQ,
495	a widely used air quality model, and determined that atmospheric heavy metal concentrations
496	over China, which drastically changed when we applied different dust profiles, such as the
497	measured soil, dust- $PM_{2.5}$ profiles from this study, as well as the SPECIATE composition
498	profiles. Our air quality modeling for China demonstrates that the calculated contribution of fine
499	dust aerosols to atmospheric heavy metals, as well as their cancer risks, could have significant errors
500	without using proper dust profiles.

501 Supplement

502 The supplement related to this article is available online at: <u>http://dx.doi.org/ 0.17632/byg6xk2fg9.1</u>.

503 Data availability

- All data supporting this study and its findings will be available in an online data repository at:
- 505 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.17632/wpphf8rd33.1</u>.

506 Author contributions

X.W. and J.C. conceptualized the work and designed the experiments. H.Z. and S.Z. led the air
quality modeling work. Q.G. lead the experimental work of heavy metal enrichment measurements.
J.Z. led the field observation. K.Z., Q.W., S.C., S.W., J.H., X.L. and H.C. helped in experimental
works. L.Z., L.W., Z.W., X.Y. and H.Z. helped in the experimental design and data analysis. Q.Y.
provided the data required for the air quality modeling. All authors contributed to the paper's writing.

513 **Competing interests**

514 The authors declare no competing interests.

515 **Disclaimer**

516 Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in 517 published maps and institutional affiliations.

519 Acknowledgements

520 The authors also thank Xingxing Wang and Xiangcheng Zeng for their help in heavy metal measurement.

521 **Financial support**

522 This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos.

523 92044301, 42077193, 21906024). Comments from Dr. Camille Sultana greatly improved this

524 manuscript.

525 **Reference**

Alfaro, S. C.: Influence of soil texture on the binding energies of fine mineral dust particles
potentially released by wind erosion, Geomorphology, 93, 157-167,
10.1016/j.geomorph.2007.02.012, 2008.

Alfaro, S. C., Gaudichet, A., Gomes, L., and Maille, M.: Modeling the size distribution of a
soil aerosol produced by sandblasting, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 102, 1123911249, 10.1029/97jd00403, 1997.

Ashrafi, K., Fallah, R., Hadei, M., Yarahmadi, M., and Shahsavani, A.: Source apportionment
of total suspended particles (TSP) by positive matrix factorization (PMF) and chemical mass
balance (CMB) modeling in Ahvaz, Iran, Archives of environmental contamination and toxicology,
75, 278-294, 2018.

Balakrishna, G. and Pervez, S.: Source apportionment of atmospheric dust fallout in an urbanindustrial environment in India, Aerosol and Air Quality Research, 9, 359-367, 2009.

Becagli, S., Caiazzo, L., Di Iorio, T., di Sarra, A., Meloni, D., Muscari, G., Pace, G., Severi,
M., and Traversi, R.: New insights on metals in the Arctic aerosol in a climate changing world,
Science of The Total Environment, 741, 140511, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140511,
2020.

542 Brady, N. and Weil, R.: The nature and properties of soils, Pearson Education, Inc.0135133874,
543 2008.

Bryant, R. G.: Recent advances in our understanding of dust source emission processes,
Progress in Physical Geography-Earth and Environment, 37, 397-421, 10.1177/0309133313479391,
2013.

547 Burezq, H.: Combating wind erosion through soil stabilization under simulated wind flow 548 condition - Case of Kuwait, International Soil and Water Conservation Research, 8, 154-163, 549 10.1016/j.iswcr.2020.03.001, 2020. Chang, A. C., Warneke, J. E., Page, A. L., and Lund, L. J.: Accumulation of Heavy Metals in
Sewage Sludge-Treated Soils, Journal of Environmental Quality, 13, 87-91,
https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq1984.00472425001300010016x, 1984.

Cheng, I., Xu, X., and Zhang, L.: Overview of receptor-based source apportionment studies
for speciated atmospheric mercury, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15, 7877-7895,
10.5194/acp-15-7877-2015, 2015.

Ding, R. Q., Li, J. P., Wang, S. G., and Ren, F. M.: Decadal change of the spring dust storm in
northwest China and the associated atmospheric circulation, Geophysical Research Letters, 32,
10.1029/2004gl021561, 2005.

- 559 DoE, U.: The risk assessment information system (RAIS), Argonne, IL: US Department of
 560 Energy's Oak Ridge Operations Office (ORO), 2011.
- 561 EPA, A.: Risk assessment guidance for superfund. Volume I: human health evaluation manual
 562 (part a), EPA/540/1-89/002, 1989.
- Evan, A. T., Flamant, C., Fiedler, S., and Doherty, O.: An analysis of aeolian dust in climate
 models, Geophysical Research Letters, 41, 5996-6001, 10.1002/2014gl060545, 2014.

Fu, Q., Zhuang, G., Li, J., Huang, K., Wang, Q., Zhang, R., Fu, J., Lu, T., Chen, M., Wang, Q.,
Chen, Y., Xu, C., and Hou, B.: Source, long-range transport, and characteristics of a heavy dust
pollution event in Shanghai, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 115,
https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD013208, 2010.

Fu, X., Wang, S. X., Cheng, Z., Xing, J., Zhao, B., Wang, J. D., and Hao, J. M.: Source,
transport and impacts of a heavy dust event in the Yangtze River Delta, China, in 2011, Atmospheric
Chemistry and Physics, 14, 1239-1254, 10.5194/acp-14-1239-2014, 2014.

Gholizadeh, A., Taghavi, M., Moslem, A., Neshat, A. A., Najafi, M. L., Alahabadi, A., Ahmadi,
E., Asour, A. A., Rezaei, H., and Gholami, S.: Ecological and health risk assessment of exposure to
atmospheric heavy metals, Ecotoxicology and environmental safety, 184, 109622, 2019a.

Gholizadeh, A., Taghavi, M., Moslem, A., Neshat, A. A., Lari Najafi, M., Alahabadi, A.,
Ahmadi, E., Ebrahimi aval, H., Asour, A. A., Rezaei, H., Gholami, S., and Miri, M.: Ecological and
health risk assessment of exposure to atmospheric heavy metals, Ecotoxicology and Environmental
Safety, 184, 109622, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.109622, 2019b.

579 Gillette, D. and Goodwin, P. A.: Microscale transport of sand-sized soil aggregates eroded by 580 wind, Journal of Geophysical Research, 79, 4080-4084, 10.1029/JC079i027p04080, 1974.

581 Griggs, D. J. and Noguer, M.: Climate change 2001: the scientific basis. Contribution of 582 working group I to the third assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change, 583 Weather, 57, 267-269, 2002.

584 Grini, A. and Zender, C. S.: Roles of saltation, sandblasting, and wind speed variability on 585 mineral dust aerosol size distribution during the Puerto Rican Dust Experiment (PRIDE), Journal 586 of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 109, 10.1029/2003jd004233, 2004.

587 Grini, A., Zender, C. S., and Colarco, P. R.: Saltation Sandblasting behavior during mineral 588 dust aerosol production, Geophysical Research Letters, 29, 10.1029/2002gl015248, 2002.

Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., and
Wang, X.: The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1):
an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions, Geoscientific Model
Development, 5, 1471-1492, 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012, 2012.

593 Gunawardana, C., Goonetilleke, A., Egodawatta, P., Dawes, L., and Kokot, S.: Source

characterisation of road dust based on chemical and mineralogical composition, Chemosphere, 87,
163-170, 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.12.012, 2012.

Ho, K. F., Lee, S. C., Chow, J. C., and Watson, J. G.: Characterization of PM10 and PM2.5
source profiles for fugitive dust in Hong Kong, Atmospheric Environment, 37, 1023-1032,
10.1016/s1352-2310(02)01028-2, 2003.

Huang, K., Zhuang, G. S., Li, J. A., Wang, Q. Z., Sun, Y. L., Lin, Y. F., and Fu, J. S.: Mixing
of Asian dust with pollution aerosol and the transformation of aerosol components during the dust
storm over China in spring 2007, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 115,
10.1029/2009jd013145, 2010.

Huebert, B. J., Bates, T., Russell, P. B., Shi, G. Y., Kim, Y. J., Kawamura, K., Carmichael, G.,
and Nakajima, T.: An overview of ACE-Asia: Strategies for quantifying the relationships between
Asian aerosols and their climatic impacts, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 108,
10.1029/2003jd003550, 2003.

Huneeus, N., Schulz, M., Balkanski, Y., Griesfeller, J., Prospero, J., Kinne, S., Bauer, S.,
Boucher, O., Chin, M., Dentener, F., Diehl, T., Easter, R., Fillmore, D., Ghan, S., Ginoux, P., Grini,
A., Horowitz, L., Koch, D., Krol, M. C., Landing, W., Liu, X., Mahowald, N., Miller, R., Morcrette,
J. J., Myhre, G., Penner, J., Perlwitz, J., Stier, P., Takemura, T., and Zender, C. S.: Global dust model
intercomparison in AeroCom phase I, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 7781-7816,
10.5194/acp-11-7781-2011, 2011.

Ikegami, M., Yoneda, M., Tsuji, T., Bannai, O., and Morisawa, S.: Effect of Particle Size on
Risk Assessment of Direct Soil Ingestion and Metals Adhered to Children's Hands at Playgrounds,
Risk Analysis, 34, 1677-1687, 10.1111/risa.12215, 2014.

Kaufman, Y. J., Tanre, D., and Boucher, O.: A satellite view of aerosols in the climate system,
Nature, 419, 215-223, 10.1038/nature01091, 2002.

Kettler, T. A., Doran, J. W., and Gilbert, T. L.: Simplified Method for Soil Particle-Size
Determination to Accompany Soil-Quality Analyses, Soil Science Society of America Journal, 65,
849-852, https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2001.653849x, 2001.

Kok, J. F., Ward, D. S., Mahowald, N. M., and Evan, A. T.: Global and regional importance of
the direct dust-climate feedback, Nature Communications, 9, 10.1038/s41467-017-02620-y, 2018.

Kok, J. F., Storelvmo, T., Karydis, V. A., Adebiyi, A. A., Mahowald, N. M., Evan, A. T., He,
C., and Leung, D. M.: Mineral dust aerosol impacts on global climate and climate change, Nature
Reviews Earth & Environment, 10.1038/s43017-022-00379-5, 2023.

Lafon, S., Alfaro, S. C., Chevaillier, S., and Rajot, J. L.: A new generator for mineral dust
aerosol production from soil samples in the laboratory: GAMEL, Aeolian Research, 15, 319-334,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aeolia.2014.04.004, 2014.

Lafon, S., Sokolik, I. N., Rajot, J. L., Caquineau, S., and Gaudichet, A.: Characterization of
iron oxides in mineral dust aerosols: Implications for light absorption, Journal of Geophysical
Research-Atmospheres, 111, 10.1029/2005jd007016, 2006.

Li, L., Huang, Z. X., Dong, J. G., Li, M., Gao, W., Nian, H. Q., Fu, Z., Zhang, G. H., Bi, X. H., 632 633 Cheng, P., and Zhou, Z.: Real time bipolar time-of-flight mass spectrometer for analyzing single 634 aerosol particles, International Journal of Mass Spectrometry, 303. 118-124, 635 10.1016/j.ijms.2011.01.017, 2011.

Liu, Q., Liu, X., Liu, T., Kang, Y., Chen, Y., Li, J., and Zhang, H.: Seasonal variation in particle
contribution and aerosol types in Shanghai based on satellite data from MODIS and CALIOP,

638 Particuology, 51, 18-25, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.partic.2019.10.001, 2020.

Liu, Q., Wang, Y., Kuang, Z., Fang, S., Chen, Y., Kang, Y., Zhang, H., Wang, D., and Fu, Y.:
Vertical distributions of aerosol optical properties during haze and floating dust weather in Shanghai,
Journal of Meteorological Research, 30, 598-613, 10.1007/s13351-016-5092-4, 2016.

Liu, X. D., Yin, Z. Y., Zhang, X. Y., and Yang, X. C.: Analyses of the spring dust storm
frequency of northern China in relation to antecedent and concurrent wind, precipitation, vegetation,
and soil moisture conditions, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 109,
10.1029/2004jd004615, 2004.

Lowenthal, D. H., Watson, J. G., Koracin, D., Chen, L.-W. A., Dubois, D., Vellore, R., Kumar,
N., Knipping, E. M., Wheeler, N., and Craig, K.: Evaluation of regional-scale receptor modeling,
Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association, 60, 26-42, 2010.

Luo, X.-S., Ding, J., Xu, B., Wang, Y.-J., Li, H.-B., and Yu, S.: Incorporating bioaccessibility
into human health risk assessments of heavy metals in urban park soils, Science of the Total
Environment, 424, 88-96, 2012.

Lv, M., Hu, A., Chen, J., and Wan, B.: Evolution, Transport Characteristics, and Potential
Source Regions of PM2.5 and O3 Pollution in a Coastal City of China during 2015–2020,
Atmosphere, 12, 1282, 2021.

Middleton, N., Tozer, P., and Tozer, B.: Sand and dust storms: underrated natural hazards,
Disasters, 43, 390-409, 10.1111/disa.12320, 2019.

Miller, M. S., Friedlander, S. K., and Hidy, G. M.: A chemical element balance for the Pasadena
aerosol, Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 39, 165-176, https://doi.org/10.1016/00219797(72)90152-X, 1972.

Moya, J., Phillips, L., Schuda, L., Wood, P., Diaz, A., Lee, R., Clickner, R., Birch, R., Adjei,
N., and Blood, P.: Exposure factors handbook: 2011 edition, US Environmental Protection Agency,
2011.

Naderizadeh, Z., Khademi, H., and Ayoubi, S.: Biomonitoring of atmospheric heavy metals
pollution using dust deposited on date palm leaves in southwestern Iran, Atmósfera, 29, 141-155,
10.20937/ATM.2016.29.02.04, 2016.

Parajuli, S. P., Zobeck, T. M., Kocurek, G., Yang, Z. L., and Stenchikov, G. L.: New insights
into the wind-dust relationship in sandblasting and direct aerodynamic entrainment from wind
tunnel experiments, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 121, 1776-1792,
10.1002/2015jd024424, 2016.

Perlwitz, J. P., Pérez García-Pando, C., and Miller, R. L.: Predicting the mineral composition
of dust aerosols – Part 1: Representing key processes, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 11593-11627,
10.5194/acp-15-11593-2015, 2015.

Pongkiatkul, P. and Kim Oanh, N. T.: Assessment of potential long-range transport of
particulate air pollution using trajectory modeling and monitoring data, Atmospheric Research, 85,
3-17, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2006.10.003, 2007.

Prospero, J. M., Ginoux, P., Torres, O., Nicholson, S. E., and Gill, T. E.: Environmental
characterization of global sources of atmospheric soil dust identified with the Nimbus 7 Total Ozone
Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) absorbing aerosol product, Reviews of geophysics, 40, 2-1-2-31,
2002.

Samiksha, S., Raman, R. S., Nirmalkar, J., Kumar, S., and Sirvaiya, R.: PM10 and PM2. 5
 chemical source profiles with optical attenuation and health risk indicators of paved and unpaved

road dust in Bhopal, India, Environmental Pollution, 222, 477-485, 2017.

Santos, J. M., Reis, N. C., Galvão, E. S., Silveira, A., Goulart, E. V., and Lima, A. T.: Source
apportionment of settleable particles in an impacted urban and industrialized region in Brazil,
Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 24, 22026-22039, 2017.

Shangguan, Y., Zhuang, X., Querol, X., Li, B., Moreno, N., Trechera, P., Sola, P. C., Uzu, G.,
and Li, J.: Characterization of deposited dust and its respirable fractions in underground coal mines:
Implications for oxidative potential-driving species and source apportionment, International Journal
of Coal Geology, 258, 104017, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coal.2022.104017, 2022.

690 Shao, Y. and Dong, C. H.: A review on East Asian dust storm climate, modelling and 691 monitoring, Global and Planetary Change, 52, 1-22, 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.02.011, 2006.

692 Shao, Y. and Raupach, M. R.: Effect of saltation bombardment on the environment of dust by 693 wind, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 98, 12719-12726, 10.1029/93jd00396, 1993.

Shao, Y. P., Klose, M., and Wyrwoll, K. H.: Recent global dust trend and connections to climate
forcing, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 118, 11107-11118, 10.1002/jgrd.50836,
2013.

Shao, Y. P., Raupach, M. R., and Leys, J. F.: A model for predicting aeolian sand drift and dust
entrainment on scales from paddock to region, Australian Journal of Soil Research, 34, 309-342,
10.1071/sr9960309, 1996.

Shi, J., Li, Z., Sun, Z., Han, X., Shi, Z., Xiang, F., and Ning, P.: Specific features of heavy
metal pollutant residue in PM_{2.5} and analysis of their damage level for human health in the urban
air of Kunming, J. Saf. Environ, 18, 795-800, 2018.

Simon, H., Beck, L., Bhave, P. V., Divita, F., Hsu, Y., Luecken, D., Mobley, J. D., Pouliot, G.
A., Reff, A., and Sarwar, G.: The development and uses of EPA's SPECIATE database, Atmospheric
Pollution Research, 1, 196-206, 2010.

Sullivan, R., Guazzotti, S., Sodeman, D., and Prather, K.: Direct observations of the
atmospheric processing of Asian mineral dust, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 7, 1213-1236,
2007.

Sun, R., Wang, H., Ma, X., Chen, Y., Zhao, B., Qin, Y., Zhang, H., and Ye, W.: Aerosol optical
properties and formation mechanism of a typical air pollution episode in Shanghai during different
weather condition periods, Acta Scientiae Circumstantiae, 37, 814-823, 2017.

Tang, M. J., Cziczo, D. J., and Grassian, V. H.: Interactions of Water with Mineral Dust Aerosol:
Water Adsorption, Hygroscopicity, Cloud Condensation, and Ice Nucleation, Chemical Reviews,
116, 4205-4259, 10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00529, 2016.

Textor, C., Schulz, M., Guibert, S., Kinne, S., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., Berntsen, T., Berglen,
T., Boucher, O., Chin, M., Dentener, F., Diehl, T., Easter, R., Feichter, H., Fillmore, D., Ghan, S.,
Ginoux, P., Gong, S., Kristjansson, J. E., Krol, M., Lauer, A., Lamarque, J. F., Liu, X., Montanaro,
V., Myhre, G., Penner, J., Pitari, G., Reddy, S., Seland, O., Stier, P., Takemura, T., and Tie, X.:
Analysis and quantification of the diversities of aerosol life cycles within AeroCom, Atmospheric
Chemistry and Physics, 6, 1777-1813, 10.5194/acp-6-1777-2006, 2006.

Urrutia-Pereira, M., Rizzo, L. V., Staffeld, P. L., Chong-Neto, H. J., Viegi, G., and Sole, D.:
Dust from the Sahara to the American Continent: Health impacts, Allergologia Et
Immunopathologia, 49, 187-194, 10.15586/aei.v49i4.436, 2021.

Wang, L., Li, H., Zhang, W., Qi, J., Tian, H., Huang, K., Chen, D., and Guo, J.: Regional
Pollution Characteristics of Heavy Metals in PM2.5, Research of Environmental Sciences, 34, 849-

726 862, 2021.

Wu, F., Cheng, Y., Hu, T., Song, N., Zhang, F., Shi, Z., Hang Ho, S. S., Cao, J., and Zhang, D.:
Saltation–Sandblasting Processes Driving Enrichment of Water-Soluble Salts in Mineral Dust,
Environmental Science & Technology Letters, 2022.

Xia, Z., Fan, X., Huang, Z., Liu, Y., Yin, X., Ye, X., and Zheng, J.: Comparison of Domestic
 and Foreign PM_{2.5} Source Profiles and Influence on Air Quality Simulation, Research of
 Environmental Sciences, 30, 359-367, 2017.

Yang, Y. Q., Hou, Q., Zhou, C. H., Liu, H. L., Wang, Y. Q., and Niu, T.: Sand/dust storm
processes in Northeast Asia and associated large-scale circulations, Atmospheric Chemistry and
Physics, 8, 25-33, 10.5194/acp-8-25-2008, 2008.

Ying, Q., Feng, M., Song, D., Wu, L., Hu, J., Zhang, H., Kleeman, M. J., and Li, X.: Improve
regional distribution and source apportionment of PM_{2.5} trace elements in China using inventoryobservation constrained emission factors, Science of the total environment, 624, 355-365, 2018.

Zhang, H. R. and Tripathi, N. K.: Geospatial hot spot analysis of lung cancer patients correlated
to fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) and industrial wind in Eastern Thailand, Journal of Cleaner
Production, 170, 407-424, 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.09.185, 2018.

Zhuang, G. S., Guo, J. H., Yuan, H., and Zhao, C. Y.: The compositions, sources, and size
distribution of the dust storm from China in spring of 2000 and its impact on the global environment,
Chinese Science Bulletin, 46, 895-901, 10.1007/bf02900460, 2001.

745