Analysis of new particle <u>nucleationformation</u> events and comparisons to simulations of particle number concentrations based on GEOS-Chem/APM in Beijing, China

Kun Wang¹, Xiaoyan Ma^{1*}, Rong Tian¹, Fangqun Yu²

 ¹Key Laboratory for Aerosol-Cloud-Precipitation of China Meteorological Administration, Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing 210044, China
 ²Atmospheric Sciences Research Center, University at Albany, Albany, NY, USA.

Correspondence to: Xiaoyan Ma (xma@nuist.edu.cn)

Abstract. Aerosol particles play important roles in air quality and global climate change. In this study, we analyzed the 10 measurements of particle size distribution from March 12th to April 6th, 2016 in Beijing to characterize new particle formation (NPF) by using the observational data of sulfuric acid, meteorological parameters, solar radiation, and fine particles (PM_{2.5}, particulate matter with diameters less than 2.5 µm) mass concentration. During this 26-day campaign, 11 new particle formation events wereare identified with obvious bursts of sub-3 nm particle number concentrations and subsequent growth of these nucleated particles. It is found that sulfuric acid concentration in Beijing diddoes not have a significant difference between NPF and non-event days. Although the temperature during NPF days in Beijing was slightly higher than that on non-event 15 days, temperature was not necessarily the key factor to determine NPF because higher solar radiation intensity usually increases the temperature. Low relative humidity (RH) and high daily total solar radiation appeared appear to be favorable to the occurrence of NPF events, which was is morequite obvious in this campaign. A quantitative analysis indicated that more than 90% of NPF events occur when the daily total solar radiation was greater than 19 MJ/m²/day and RH was less than 26.5%. The PM_{2.5}-mass concentration can also be used as a rough and simple criterion to predict the occurrence of NPF events. In 20 addition, the The simulations using four nucleation schemes, i.e., H₂SO₄-H₂O binary homogeneous nucleation (BHN), H₂SO₄-H₂O-NH₃ ternary homogeneous nucleation (THN), H₂SO₄-H₂O-ion binary ion-mediated nucleation (BIMN), and H₂SO₄-H₂O-NH₃-ion ternary ion-mediated nucleation (TIMN), based on a global chemistry transport model (GEOS-Chem) coupled with an advanced particle microphysics (APM) model, were are conducted to study the particle number concentrations and new 25 particle formation process. Our comparisons between measurements and simulations indicate that BHN scheme and BIMN scheme significantly <u>underestimatedunderestimate</u> the observed particle number concentrations, and the THN scheme <u>capturedcaptures</u> well the total particle number concentration on most NPF event days but <u>failedfails</u> to capture the noticeable increase in particle number concentrations on March 18th and April 1st. TIMN scheme <u>had-has</u> obvious improvement in terms of total and sub-3 nm particle number concentrations and nucleation rates. This study provides a basis for further understanding 30 of <u>new particle</u> nucleation mechanism in Beijing.

1 Introduction

New particle <u>nucleation formation (NPF)</u> is the process by which gaseous pollutants transform into particles (gas-particle reaction) then grow into particles with larger particle size through further collision and condensation, which is the main source of particle number abundance generated by secondary transformation in the atmosphere (Yu et al., 2008; Merikanto et al., 2009). The process that the newly generated fine particles grow into particles with larger particle size through further collision.

35 2009). The process that the newly generated fine particles grow into particles with larger particle size through further collision condensation and moisture absorption is well known as new particle formation (NPF) event.

With the development of industry and the increase of human activities, air quality is deteriorating day by day, and atmospheric particulate matter has become one of the major sources of air pollutants. Particulate matter not only affects the radiation balance by scattering and absorbing solar radiation, but also indirectly modifies the climate by acting as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) (Merikanto et al., 2009). In addition, new particles can also impact the formation of fog and haze. NPF is capable of increasing PM_{2.5} mass concentration and haze particle (diameter > 100 nm) number concentration (Kulmala et al., 2022). Some studies found that inIn the context of the decline in pollutant emissions caused by the lockdown during the COVID-19 epidemic, new particles derived from NPF played a significant role in the formation of haze (Huang et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021). Guo et al. (2014) found that after an NPF event, smog-haze pollution continued to occur in

45 Beijing, indicating that aerosol nucleation and growth led to the development of PM_{2.5}. When the concentration of atmospheric particulate matter is high, atmospheric visibility will be reduced in fog and haze weather, and high concentration of atmospheric fine particulate matter will also harm human health (Kaiser, 2005) as tiny aerosol particles can enter the human body through the respiratory system.

At present, the nucleation and growth of new particles has been widely studied around the world. Sipilä et al. (2010) 50 demonstrated a positive relationship between nucleation rate and the-sulfuric acid concentration. Kulmala et al. (2013) 51 established a framework of atmospheric nucleation at three different scales below 2 nm based on observations, identifying the 52 participation of sulfuric acid in the nucleation process and emphasizing the important role of organic compounds in 53 atmospheric aerosols. A positive correlation between nucleation rate and sulfuric acid concentration (or H₂SO₄ proxy) was also 54 found in many NPF studies in China (Xiao et al., 2015; Dai et al., 2017).

55 Besides sulfuric acid, a number of other nucleating precursors, including atmospheric ions, amines, ammonia, iodine oxides, and organic acids, have been proposed to be involved in the formation of the critical nucleus under different ambient environments. Amines and ammonia are crucial in NPF because of their ability to stabilize sulfuric acid clusters by forming acid-base complexes (Yao et al., 2018). Shen et al. (2021) found the growth rate of ions was larger than that of neutral particles during the COVID 19 lockdown period in Beijing. Currently several major theories have been proposed to explain the phenomenon of new particle-nucleation in the atmosphere, including the classical binary nucleation theory (Hussein, 2005) 60 such as H₂SO₄-H₂O binary nucleation (Kulmala et al., 1998), H₂SO₄-NH₃-H₂O ternary nucleation (Korhonen et al., 1999), ionmediated nucleation (Yu and Turco, 2000), organic compounds participated nucleation (Wang et al., 2015). HIO3 nucleation mechanism is found to dominate NPF in the coastal regions (Sipilä et al, 2016). and so on. Recently, Wu et al. (2020) proposed a possible physical mechanism to explain NPF in China. They found that though there are differences in chemical emissions 65 over various regions, there is a common relationship between the characteristics of NPF and stability intensity, that is unstable atmospheric turbulence will effectively reduce condensation sink (CS) by diluting pre-existing aerosol particles and so as to promote nucleation.

There are some special features associated with NPF events found in China. For example, the concentrations of nucleating precursors and pre-existing aerosol particles both can be quite high in polluted cities, which is different compared with<u>from</u> cleaner environments (Kulmala et al., 2016). <u>The observed nucleation rate of 1.5 nm particles and NPF frequency in urban</u> <u>Beijing usually show an obvious seasonal variation with maxima in winter (Deng et al., 2020).</u> Jayaratne et al. (2017) conducted the observations in Beijing during the winter of 2015 and did not observe any NPF event when the daily mean PM_{2.5} concentrations were higher than 43 µg/m³. However, in some cases, the condensation sinks (<u>CS</u>) or the average coagulation

sinks were are not significantly lower during NPF events compared compare to non-NPF times, suggesting that other factors,
such as the precursor vapor and photochemical activity, may also play an important role in driving NPF (Gong et al., 2010).
Yan et al. (2021) found that sulfuric acid and base molecules were responsible for the initial steps of NPF during a wintertime measurement in Beijing. In addition, H₂SO₄-amine nucleation can explain the observed high new particle nucleation rate under the high coagulation sink and dimethylamine (DMA) is the key base for H₂SO₄-base nucleation in highly polluted urban environments (Cai et al., 2021, 2022). Beijing is a representative city in northern China because of its developed industry and commerce, and thus quite high concentrations of atmospheric precursors and pre-existing aerosol particles. Wu et al. (2007) found that NPF days accounted for 40% of the observation days from March 2004 to February 2005 in Beijing, which are usually sunny and dry days. Continuous and comprehensive long-term observations would will helpfulhelp to understand the mechanism of NPF, and answer the key participants and processes of NPF under complex air conditions in China. Besides, laboratory experiments and model simulations would will also be very necessary. Chen et al. (2019) investigated the effect of organics involved nucleation scheme on NPF in Beijing, but previous model studies and comparisons based on different

nucleation schemes in Beijing are still lacking.

90

Since nucleation is a key process controlling particle properties in the atmosphere. To better understand the formation and evolution mechanisms of air pollution, especially in heavy pollution areas, it is necessary to assess the applicability of nucleation parametrizations currently available. This study aims to evaluate the performance of four currently widely-used nucleation schemes, and provide some clues on the contribution of different nucleation pathways to aerosol number concentrations. Thus, it is necessary to further examine the issues on nucleation mechanism. The paper is organized as below. We first analyze the favorable background of new particle formation in Beijing, and then, and then obtain the quantitative meteorological and solar radiation conditions of new particle formation. In addition, we conducted<u>conduct</u> the simulations

using four nucleation schemes, i.e., H₂SO₄-H₂O binary homogeneous nucleation (BHN) (Yu, 2007, 2008), H₂SO₄-H₂O-NH₃

95 ternary homogeneous nucleation (THN) (Yu, 2006a), H₂SO₄-H₂O-ion binary ion-mediated nucleation (BIMN) (Yu, 2006b), and H₂SO₄-H₂O-NH₃-ion ternary ion-mediated nucleation (TIMN) (Yu et al., 2018), based on a global chemistry transport model (GEOS-Chem), and <u>comparedcompare</u> with the observed NPF events from the measurements from March 12th to April 6th, 2016 in Beijing to understand the nucleation mechanism.

2 Methodology

100 2.1 Observations

105

115

The observational data used in this paper include particle size distributions, temperature (T), relative humidity (RH), and sulfuric acid concentration, which was provided by Cai et al. (2017a). The measurement field was located on the top floor of a four-story building in the center of the campus of Tsinghua University in Beijing, and all observations were collected during the period from March 12th, 2016 to April 6th, 2016. A home-made diethylene glycol scanning mobility particle spectrometers (DEG-SMPS) was used to measure 1-5 nm particle size distributions and the particle size distributions from 3 nm to 10 µm (in aerodynamic diameter, Liu et al., 2016) were measured by a particle size distribution system (including a TSI aerodynamic

particle sizer and two parallel SMPSs, equipped with a TSI nano-DMA and a TSI long DMA, respectively) with a resolution of 5 minutes. A specially designed miniature cylindrical differential mobility analyzer (mini-cyDMA) for effective classification of 1-3 nm (sub-3 nm) aerosol was equipped with the DEG-SMPS (Cai et al., 2017b). Sulfuric acid was measured

110 by a modified high-resolution time-of-flight chemical ionization mass spectrometer (HR-ToF-CIMS, Aerodyne Research Inc.) with a resolution of 5 minutes. Further details of the measurement methods can be found in Cai et al. (2017a).

In addition, the daily PM_{2.5} mass concentration provided by the China National Environmental Monitoring Center (<u>http://www.cnemc.cn/, last access: 20 November 2022</u>), and solar radiation datasets, including daily maximum solar radiation flux density and the daily total solar radiation, measured from the National Meteorological Information Center of the China Meteorological Administration (<u>http://data.cma.cn/, last access: 20 November 2022</u>), are also employed in this study.

2.2 Model description

The GEOS-Chem model is a global three-dimensional chemical transport model driven by assimilated meteorological observations from the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS) of the NASA Global Modeling Assimilation Office (GMAO). The GEOS-Chem model includes a detailed simulation of tropospheric O₃-NO_x-hydrocarbon chemistry as well as aerosols and

120 their precursors (Park et al., 2004). An advanced particle microphysics (APM) model has been coupled with GEOS-Chem to study detailed particle formation and growth processes in the global atmosphere (Yu and Luo, 2009). The APM model is an advanced multi-type, multi-component, size-resolved microphysics code developed for explaining atmospheric particle observations (e.g., Yu, 2006b; Yu and Luo, 2009; Yu and Turco, 2011). The basic microphysical processes in APM include nucleation, coagulation, condensation/evaporation, thermodynamic equilibrium with local humidity, and dry deposition. In

- 125 GEOS-Chem/APM, sulfate (or secondary) particles are represented by 40 sectional bins with dry diameters ranging from 1.2 nm to 12 µm, including 30 bins for 1.2–120 nm range and another 10 bins for 0.12–12 µm. In the model, new particle formation is parameterized by ion-mediated nucleation (IMN) which is based on physics and constrained by laboratory data (Yu, 2006b) and can finely predict global nucleation distributions with a reasonable consistency (Yu et al., 2008). In addition, the IMN takes into account the complex interactions among small air ions, neutral and charged clusters of various sizes, precursor vapor 130 molecules, and pre-existing aerosols. The growth of nucleated particles through the condensation of sulfuric acid vapor and equilibrium uptake of nitrate, ammonium, and secondary organic aerosol (SOA) is explicitly simulated, along with the scavenging of secondary particles by primary particles (dust, black carbon, organic carbon, and sea salt) (Yu and Luo, 2009). Yu (2011) has further developed the APM module to explicitly calculate the co-condensation of H_2SO_4 and low-volatility secondary organic gases (LV-SOGs) or low-volatility organic compounds (LVOCs) on secondary particles and primary particles. The aerosol simulation considered the successive oxidation aging of the oxidation products of various volatile organic 135 compounds (VOCs) (Yu, 2011). The new New particle formation and particle number concentrations predicted by the GEOS-Chem/APM model have been extensively evaluated against a wide range of aircraft-, land-, and ship- based field data (Yu and Luo, 2009, 2010; Yu et al., 2010). In recent years, the APM model has also been coupled with other numerical models such as Nested Air Quality Prediction Modeling System (NAQPMS) and Weather Research and Forecast/Chemistry (WRF-Chem) model to study new particle formation (Luo and Yu, 2011; Chen et al., 2019).
- 140

In this study, we use a version of GEOS-Chem (v12.6.0) driven by the GEOS-FP-assimilated meteorological field, with a spatial resolution of $2^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$ and 47 vertical levels during the period of March 1st, 2016 to April 7th, 2016. We focus on four nucleation schemes to compare simulations with particle number concentration measurements from March 12th to April 7th in this study.

145 3 Results and discussions

3.1 Occurrence of new particle formation events

NPF generally includes the following two steps: (1) condensable vapors are produced via chemical reactions then gaseous vapors form critical clusters through gas-particle reaction, (2) the critical clusters continue to grow to a larger size (1-2 nm) (Kulmala et al., 2013) and compete with pre-existing particles to survive in the collision and removal processes at the same time, in this dynamic equilibriumquasi-steady-state process, new particles can only be formed when growth is dominant.

150

155

Currently, there is no unique mathematical criterion or definition for NPF events. Dal Maso et al. (2005) proposed a criterion to justify NPF events, i.e., a new mode of particles start in the nucleation-mode size range, and prevail within a few hours and show signs of growth. The days without the particles in the nucleation-mode are called non-event days. It is noted that some days are not easily classified as either NPF days or non-event days, so these days are usually classified undefined days.

The criteria to determine NPF in this study are as follows: (1) high concentrations of sub-3 nm clusters/particles appear over a time of hours at the onset of the event, (2) subsequent growth to larger sizes for a few hours. In this case, the nucleated particles continued to grow, causing a "banana shape" of an NPF to appear in the particle number size distribution.

Figure 1 shows the particle size distributions observed during March 12th, 2016-April 6th, 2016 in Beijing. According to 160 the criteria of NPF events defined above, 11 typical event days and 13 non-event days are identified during the 26-day campaign. The other 2 days, i.e., March 19th and 30th, the sub-3 nm particle number concentration was is relatively low and the evolution of particle size distributions was is not continuous, were are identified as undefined days. The NPF events were are observed with a high frequency (42%) in spring in this campaign, which is similar to the previous study in Beijing (Wu et al., 2007) in which they found that spring is usually the season with the highest frequency of NPF events in northern China. One explanation

165 is that stronger wind from the north removes pre-existing particles to create a clean regime, which further leads to the occurrence of NPF events (Wu et al., 2008; Cai et al., 2017a; Chu et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2020).



Figure 1. Contour of measured particle size distributions from March 12 to April 6, 2016. The identified 11 NPF days and 2 undefined days are shadowed by grey and orange background, respectively.

170 **3.2 Analysis based on observations**

3.2.1 The sulfuric acid concentration on NPF days and non-event days

Since the number concentration of new particulate matter in the atmosphere is strongly dependent on the concentration of sulfuric acid, so sulfuric acid is a key precursor species for atmospheric nucleation and has an important contribution to NPF events (Sipilä et al., 2010). However, it was not easy to measure the concentration of sulfuric acid a few years ago. With

175 the development of technology, chemical ionization mass spectrometry (CIMS) was used to detect gaseous sulfuric acid in the atmosphere (Zheng et al, 2011, 2015).

The gaseous sulfuric acid in the atmosphere is mainly produced by the oxidation reaction of sulfur dioxide and OH. Stockwell and Calvert (1983) demonstrated the reaction as follows:

$$SO_2 + OH \xrightarrow{M} HOSO_2$$
 (1)

$$80 \quad HOSO_2 + O_2 \rightarrow HO_2 + SO_3 \tag{2}$$

$$SO_3 + H_2O \to H_2SO_4 \tag{3}$$

Figure 2 shows the average diurnal concentration of sulfuric acid on the NPF event days and non-event days. The gaseous

H₂SO₄ concentrations exhibit significant diurnal variation, and sulfuric acid concentration closely follows the diurnal solar cycle because of its short atmospheric lifetime (less than 1 min) (Zheng et al., 2011). It is known that NPF events usually occur

185 within a few hours after sunrise and end in the afternoon. During the start period, the averaged sulfuric acid concentration on NPF days is close to that on non-event days, and compared to NPF days, the averaged sulfuric acid concentration on non-event days was-is not significantly low during the whole time period. As shown in Table 1, the <u>The</u> average sulfuric acid concentration during non-event periods (605066 ± 312981 cm⁻³) is obviously higher than that between 6:00 and 18:00 on NPF days (560802 ± 251528 cm⁻³).



190

Figure 2. Averaged diurnal cycles of sulfuric acid concentrations for NPF days and non-event days during the 26-day measurement period.

Table 1. Mean sulfurie acid concentrations in the daytime of NPF and non-event days, respectively.

Classification Averaged sulfuric acid concentration (#/cm ³)	195
NPF days567530	
non event days 591270	

As illustrated in Figure 3, the gaseous H₂SO₄-concentrations exhibit significant diurnal variation, and sulfuric acid concentration closely follows the diurnal solar cycle because of its short atmospheric lifetime (less than 1 min) (Zheng et al., 2011). Compared to another campaign in summer in Beijing (Wu et al., 2020), the daily maximum sulfuric acid concentration measured in this study is relatively low. This might be caused by the relatively weak solar radiation intensity in springtime 200 measurement compared with summertime observation. In Figure 3, the differences among the daily maximum sulfuric acid concentrations were small. For example, the daily maximum sulfuric acid concentrations on some NPF days were not significantly higher than those on non event days as expected. From April 4th to April 6th, the sulfuric acid concentrations were high but there was no NPF event. The daily maximum sulfuric acid concentrations measured in this study (> 10^6 cm⁻³) are lower than those in summer of 2017 in Beijing (> 10^7 cm⁻³) (Wu et al., 2020) and close to those in winter of 2019 in Beijing 205 $(> 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3})$ (Foreback et al., 2022). This might be caused by the relatively weak solar radiation intensity in springtime and wintertime measurements compared with summertime observation. In this study, H₂SO₄ in Beijing during the campaign period is sufficiently high for nucleation to occur and NPF events appear to be governed by aerosol Fuchs surface area (which is a representative parameter of coagulation scavenging) (Cai et al., 2017a). Yan et al. (2021) also found that observed H₂SO₄ concentrations were higher on non-NPF days than on NPF days in the winter of 2018. Therefore, it is shown that the sulfuric 210 acid concentration in Beijing is sufficient to lead to NPF, which is consistent with some earlier studies in Nanjing (An et al., 2015; Qi et al., 2015) and Shanghai (Xiao et al., 2015). There is usually enough SO₂ for NPF to occur under heavily polluted conditions (Herrmann et al., 2014). The observed SO₂ concentrations during October 2015 showed that the most polluted area was located in Northern China (Hu et al., 2022). The surfaces of atmospheric aerosols correspond to the major sink for gasphase sulfuric acid, but an increase in atmospheric sulfuric acid does not always result in more frequent NPF events (Zhang et al., 2012). So far, the presence of gaseous sulfuric acid in concentrations exceeding 10^5 molecules cm⁻³ has been shown as a 215 necessary condition to observe occur NPF in the atmosphere (Weber et al., 1999; Nieminen et al., 2009). Overall, the previous results seem to indicate that adequate sulfuric acid concentration was not a limiting factor for NPF in Chinese megacities even if it was necessary.



220 Figure 3. Time series for the sulfurie acid concentration. The identified NPF days and undefined days are shadowed by grey and orange background, respectively.

3.2.2 Solar radiation and meteorological conditions for NPF

Air pollutants and meteorological conditions are usually studied together with nanoparticles and their precursors. By comparing the pollution characteristics between NPF event and other non-event days, we can obtain some clues on key factors affecting NPF events. As shown in Figure 4, there were few NPF days when the daily total solar radiation was low, but there were 9 NPF days when the daily total solar radiation in Beijing was above 20 MJ/m²/day.during the whole observation period. Figure 3 shows the scatter plots of the daily total solar radiation and relative humidity color coded with PM_{2.5} mass concentration. The daily values were averaged between 8:00 and 16:00 because NPF events usually occur during the daytime. It is found that the favorable conditions on NPF days were similar, which were significantly different from the conditions on 230 non-event days. It implies that



Figure 3. Scatter plot of NPF days (asterisks), non-event days (circles), and undefined days (triangles) between daily total radiation and RH color coded with PM_{2.5} mass concentration.

The statistics indicate that the average RH for the 11 NPF days and 13 non-event days was 16% and 37%, respectively, implying that NPF events in Beijing likely occur on days with low relative humidity. The explanation is possibly because that at high RH, coagulation scavenging of sub-3 nm clusters was enhanced, while reduced solar radiation led to gas phase oxidation chemistry was diminished, and also condensation sink of condensable gases was increased due to hygroscopic growth of the pre-existing particles (Hamed et al., 2011). Meanwhile, the variation of solar radiation could influence new particle formation via photochemical process (Shen et al., 2021). Strong solar radiation favors the OH formation by photochemical reaction, then

240 OH involves in processes of sulfate formation and VOCs oxidation, and produces sulfuric acid and vapors with low volatility in the atmosphere. Finally, these vapors with low volatility and sulfuric acid molecules can condense together to form new clusters and lead to NPF (Zhang et al., 2012). Previous studies showed that sulfurie acid concentration in Beijing was sufficient to lead to NPF (Wang et al., 2011; Cai et al., 2017a), and the averaged sulfuric acid concentrations on non-event days were not significantly lower than those on NPF days in our study. Laboratory studies and field observations have indicated that organic vapors participate in the particle nucleation besides sulfuric acid (Metzger et al., 2010; Yao et al., 2018). Qiao et al. (2021) found that oxygenated organic molecules significantly promoted the growth of 3–25 nm particles. Kulmala et al. (2022) found that the variability of growth rates of particles originating from NPF depend only weakly on H₂SO₄ concentrations. In addition, low volatility organic compounds were proposed to contribute to the particle growth rate (Tröstl et al., 2016). Sulfuric acid was enough to explain the observed growth for particles smaller than 3 nm but was insufficient to explain the observed growth rates of large particles (Xiao et al., 2015; Yao et al., 2018). Therefore, when solar radiation was high, the low volatility organic vapors generated by VOCs oxidation may promote the particle growth. Besides, it is proposed that solar radiation may create a turbulence flow and then strengthen the source during nucleation process and reduce the sink in the growth process (Wu et al., 2020).





Since the meteorological factors have systematical influences on NPF, it is difficult to isolate the effect of single factor on NPF. For example, Higher higher solar radiation intensity usually increases the temperature_s, so the average temperature on NPF days (14.5°C) from 8 to 16 o'clock was 7% higher than that on non event days (13.6°C) during the same time period (Figure 5). The high temperature on NPF days indicates that NPF events in Beijing were generally accompanied by high

temperature, but the role of temperature on NPF was inconsistent in many studies. For example, Qi et al. (2015) found that NPF was accompanied by a higher temperature in spring, summer and autumn of 2011-2013 in Nanjing, but Sun et al. (2021) found that temperature had no significant role in NPF in the summertime and wintertime in the coastal area of Qingdao, China. Yan et al. (2021) found that mean temperature in NPF and non-event days was almost identical during the winter of 2018 in

- Beijing. It seems that the temperature associated with NPF events in various cities/regions have different characteristics. Besides, Xiao et al. (2021) demonstrated that NPF in polluted urban environments was largely driven by H₂SO₄-base clusters, stabilized by the presence of amines, high ammonia concentrations and lower temperatures. A negative correlation between PM_{2.5} mass concentration and the occurrence of NPF events shown in Figure 3 indicates that NPF likely occurs when PM_{2.5} concentration and gas pollutant concentrations are both low (Wu et al., 2007). Previous study found that high PM_{2.5} concentrations suppress NPF by increasing the sinks of vapor responsible for nucleation and growth of clusters and nucleation mode particles (Zhou et al., 2020), new particle formation will be typically suppressed when the aerosol surface area exceeds
- <u>100 μm²/cm³ (Aalto et al., 2001). Wu et al. (2020) found that unstable atmospheric turbulence will effectively reduce CS by diluting pre-existing aerosol particles and so as to promote nucleation. Therefore, more measurements of meteorological parameters and gaseous precursors can help improve our understanding on NPF in the future. In this study, it is indicated that
 temperature was not necessarily the key factor to determine NPF events, even if the average temperature during NPF days is relatively high.
 </u>





1	
	Figure 6 shows the scatter plots of the daily total solar radiation and relative humidity (RH) color coded with PM _{2.5} mass
280	concentration. The daily values were averaged between 8:00 and 16:00 because NPF events usually occur during the daytime.
	The statistics indicate that the average RH for the 11 NPF days and 13 non-event days was 16% and 37%, respectively, implying
	that NPF events in Beijing likely occur on days with low relative humidity. The daily total solar radiation of NPF in Beijing
	was above 19 MJ/m ² /day for 10 out of 11 NPF days, so NPF events generally do not occur on a cloudy day even when pre-
	existing particle concentration is low (Hamed et al., 2007). The explanation is possibly because that at high RH, coagulation
285	scavenging of sub 3 nm clusters was enhanced, while reduced solar radiation led to gas phase oxidation chemistry was
	diminished, and also condensation sink of condensable gases was increased due to hygroscopic growth of the pre existing
	particles (Hamed et al., 2011). Besides, a negative correlation between PM _{2.5} mass concentration and the occurrence of NPF
	events shown in Figure 6 also indicates that NPF mainly occurs when the PM _{2.5} concentration and gas pollutant concentrations
	were both low (Wu et al., 2007). High PM _{2.5} concentrations suppress NPF by increasing the sinks of vapor responsible for
290	nucleation and growth of clusters and nucleation mode particles (Zhou et al., 2020). Previous study found that new particle
	formation is typically completely suppressed when the aerosol surface area exceeds 100 µm ² /cm ³ (Aalto et al., 2001). Cai et
	al. (2017a) found that the Fuchs surface area (which is a representative parameter of coagulation scavenging based on kinetic
	theory and is proportional to CS) fundamentally determined the occurrence of NPF events in Beijing. There is a good
	correlation between the Fuchs surface area and the PM _{2.5} mass concentration. On NPF days, the PM _{2.5} concentrations between
295	8:00 and 16:00 were typically lower than 30 μ g/m ³ , except for the event on March 18th, so the PM _{2.5} concentrations can be
	used as a rough and simple criterion to predict the occurrence of NPF events. However, an empirical PM2.5 threshold value of
	$30 \ \mu g/m^3$ might not be valid during the whole year, because PM _{2.5} mass concentrations vary significantly with seasons.



Figure 6. Scatter plot of NPF days (asterisks), non-event days (circles), and undefined days (triangles) between daily total radiation 300 and RH color coded with PM_{2.5} mass concentration.

It is found that the favorable conditions on NPF days were similar, which were significantly different from the conditions on non-event days. Therefore, it is possible to determine whether there is an NPF event based on a quantitative analysis of relative humidity and daily total solar radiation. By setting a restricted condition, a high proportion of NPF events were screened out under the condition (Table 2). When the daily total solar radiation was greater than 19 MJ/m²/day and RH was less than 26.5%, there were 11 days, including 10 out of 11 NPF days, and NPF events accounted for 91%. Whether the quantitative empirical conclusion is valid in the long term, it is necessary to check with more records of NPF events in Beijing in other seasons.

Table 2. Proportion of NPF days under restricted conditions.

	Daily total radiation above 19 MJ/m ² /day and RH below 26.5%	
Number of NPF days	10	
Number of eligible days	#	
Percentage	91%	

In order to understand the particle nucleation mechanism in polluted areas such as Beijing, and reduce uncertainties in model simulations and predictions, we conducted<u>conduct</u> the simulations from four nucleation schemes based on GEOS-Chem/APM. To ensure the input meteorological parameters are reasonably good and thus reduce the impact on the simulated nucleation, we firstly compared<u>compare</u> the temperature (at 10 m above the displacement height) and RH (at the first layer, about 70 meters above the surface) the first layer meteorological fields of GEOS-FP (about 70 m) input to GEOS-Chem/APM with measurements the observed meteorological data-taken near surface(about 10 m) (Figure 4), including temperature and relative humidity (Figure 7). It is shown that the temporal variations of the simulated temperature and humidity input to the model was is slightly lower than the observed onesyalue.



320



Fiugre 4. Time series of temperature (at 10 m above the displacement height) and RH (at the first layer, about 70 meters above the surface) input to the model and time series of measurements at a height of about 10 meters during the 26-day campaign.

Figure 7. Time series of temperature and RH input to model (the first layer, about 70 meters) and time series of measurements at 325 a height of about 10 meters during the 26 day campaign.

Figures 5 and 6 Figures 8 and 9 present the total and sub-3 nm particle number concentrations from the GEOS-Chem/APM simulations of four nucleation schemes, as well as the observed particle number concentrations, respectively. We found that the simulations with BHN and BIMN schemes significantly underestimated the observed total particle number concentrations, and thus did-cannot show significant number concentration fluctuation to distinguish NPF event and non-event event days. 330 The simulation with the THN scheme showed shows the total number concentration fluctuation on most NPF event days but failedfails to capture the noticeably increase of particle number concentrations on March 18th and April 1st. The simulation with the TIMN scheme reproducedreproduces quite well the increase of particle number concentration on all NPF event days, including continuous NPF events on March 23rd to 27th and every discontinuous single NPF event day, but underestimated underestimates the observed daily maximum particle number concentration on March 26th, 27th and April 1st.



335

Figure 5. Figure 8. Comparison of observed total particle number concentrations with those simulated on the basis of BHN, BIMN, THN, and TIMN schemes during the measurement period. The identified NPF days and undefined days are shadowed by grey and orange background, respectively.



340 <u>Figure 6.</u> Figure 9. Comparison of observed sub-3 nm particle number concentrations with those simulated on the basis of BHN, BIMN, THN, and TIMN schemes during the measurement period. The identified NPF days and undefined days are shadowed by grey and orange background, respectively.

The sub-3 nm particle number concentrations (Figure 6)(Figure 9) and nucleation rates (Figure 7)(Figure 10) from the simulations with BHN and BIMN were are quite close to zero and not sensitive to daily variations. Such low nucleation rates lead to low particle number concentrations in the consequent growth process. The simulated nucleation rates based on THN

scheme were obviously lower than those from TIMN scheme, but the total particle number concentrations were not as low as those based on BHN and BIMN scheme. Vertical variations of nucleation rate on April 3rd (Figure 11) indicate that although the THN nucleation rates on the ground were quite small, the nucleation rates in the upper boundary layer were much close to the results with the TIMN scheme. Thus, it is suggested that the downward mixing of particles in the upper boundary layer 350 may contribute to the increase of particle number concentrations on the surface. The nucleation rates of different schemes in this study were calculated using lookup tables, which captured well the absolute values of nucleation rates and their dependence on key controlling parameters as observed during the well-controlled Cosmics Leaving Outdoor Droplets (CLOUD) experiments (Yu et al., 2020). Some uncertainties may exist in nucleation schemes as a result of uncertainties in the thermodynamics data used in the nucleation model. There are six parameters controlling nucleation rates for TIMN (J_{TIMN}), 355 including sulfuric acid vapor concentration ([H₂SO₄]), ammonia gas concentration ([NH₃]), temperature (T), relative humidity (RH), jonization rate (O), and surface area of pre-existing particles (S). Therefore, on the ground, the jon nucleation rates could be limited by ion production rates and cannot produce nucleation rates higher than Q. Depending on the definition, THN may be treated as a part of TIMN in the ternary nucleation system (Yu et al., 2020). Compared to J_{TIMN}, there is one fewer controlling parameter for nucleation rates for THN (J_{THN}) (no Q dependence) and BIMN (J_{BIMN}) (no [NH₃] dependence), while nucleation 360 rate for BHN (J_{BHN}) only depends on four parameters ([H₂SO₄], T, RH, and S). The uncertainties in the values of these parameters simulated by the model, as a result of uncertainties in the emissions, chemistry, and meteorology, will affect the simulation results. In addition, the real nucleation mechanisms in the atmosphere are complex and may involve additional parameters. Besides, the comparison between simulation results based on large grids and measurements also created uncertainties. Yu et al. (2020) found that nucleation rates with TIMN agreed with the CLOUD well-controlled Cosmics Leaving 365 Outdoor Droplets (CLOUD) measurements within the uncertainties under nearly all conditions. Besides, the comparisons of horizontal spatial distributions of annual mean nucleation rates in the lower boundary layer (0-0.4km) simulated with six different nucleation schemes indicated that annual mean nucleation rate based on the BHN scheme significantly underestimated particle number concentrations (Yu et al., 2010). In contrast, the nucleation rates based on the TIMN scheme were high not only on NPF days, but also on some non-event days. Not all these days with high nucleation rates have high particle number concentrations as well. Even so, the eventual simulated particle number concentrations show good agreement with observations.

Nevertheless, the simulated nucleation rates in Figure 7 were lower than the measured particle nucleation rates of 1.5 nm particles (Max $J_{1.5}$) reported in Cai et al. (2017a). Besides, nucleation rates measured in urban areas can be higher than 10 cm⁻ ³ s⁻¹ (Yao et al., 2018; Yan et al., 2021). The relatively coarse spatial resolution in a global model implies that the model produces a regional mean nucleation rate compared to observation. Thus, it is difficult to perfectly reproduce the nucleation rate characteristics over urban areas. Nevertheless, we acknowledge that it is possible other nucleation mechanisms such as H₂SO₄-amine and H₂SO₄-organics nucleations may also simultaneously contribute to nucleation in polluted urban areas, which needs further study in the future.

375

Recent measurements in urban Shanghai found that DMA is perhaps the dominating base to stabilize H_2SO_4 clusters (Yao et al., 2018). It is good to address the roles of amines in the simulation. However, there is probably a long way to go before

380 using the model to address the role of amines in nucleation for the following reasons. Firstly, the parameterization of sulfuric acid-amine nucleation scheme is not yet mature enough and a lot of validations against observations need to be done. Secondly, there is quite limited information on amine sources and thus all current emission inventories, to our knowledge, do not contain the inventories for amines. Therefore, it is not possible currently to carry out such simulations.





Figure 7. Time series of nucleation rates simulated on the basis of BHN, BIMN, THN, and TIMN schemes during the measurement period and the measured particle nucleation rates of 1.5 nm particles (Max J_{1.5}) reported in Cai et al. (2017a). The identified NPF days and undefined days are shadowed by grev and orange background, respectively.

390 Figure 10. Time series of nucleation rates simulated on the basis of BHN, BIMN, THN, and TIMN scheme during the measurement period. The identified NPF days and undefined days are shadowed by grey and orange background, respectively.



Figure 11. Vertical variations of nucleation rate below 500hPa simulated with (a) THN, (b) TIMN, (c) BHN, and (d) BIMN scheme at 10:00 am on April 3rd in Beijing.

395 <u>Table 1 Table 3</u> shows the correlation coefficients between the simulated particle number concentrations and the observed ones during the entire study period and NPF event days. The simulation with BHN and the observation <u>was-is</u> negatively correlated, indicating that there <u>were-are</u> obvious differences between the simulated results of BHN and the observed total particle number concentrations. According to the correlation coefficients, the BIMN scheme also <u>performedperforms</u> not well. In contrast, the particle number concentrations with THN and TIMN <u>were are</u> positively correlated with the observations, and the correlation coefficients between observations and simulations with TIMN scheme <u>were are</u> higher than those with THN, indicating that TIMN has a better performance to simulate NPF events. The correlation coefficients between sub-3 nm particle number concentrations and simulation results based on TIMN scheme (about 0.79) during two periods <u>were-are</u> significantly higher than those between the total particle number concentrations and simulations and simulations (about 0.65).

<u>Table 1. Table 3.</u> Correlation coefficient of observation total and sub-3nm particle number concentrations with BHN, BIMN, THN and TIMN scheme, respectively.

405

	Obs_3nm		Obs_total	
	R ^a	R ^b	R ^a	R ^b
BHN	-0.016	0.006	-0.202**	-0.357**
BIMN	0.134**	0.099	0.016	-0.006
THN	0.773**	0.782**	0.594**	0.586**
TIMN	0.795**	0.793**	0.649**	0.657**

^a During the measurement period; ^b during NPF days; ** the correlation coefficient passes the statistical significant test (p < 0.01).

Figure <u>8+2</u> shows the temporal evolution of simulated particle number size distribution based on the TIMN scheme during 26 days in Beijing. According to the same criterion, all NPF days can be identified, which is consistent with observations. On most NPF days, the simulated number concentrations and particle number size distributions are close to observations. However,
the simulated particle number size distributions on non-event days are obviously different from Figure 1, because the background number concentration is difficult to simulate. Thus, the simulated number concentrations on most non-event days in Figure <u>5</u>Figure <u>8</u> are indeed significantly lower than observations. One NPF event on March 28th predicted by the model was-<u>is</u> not observed with measurements, and the simulated particle number concentrations on some NPF days (e.g., March 27th and April 1st) were <u>are</u> obviously underestimated. It may suggest that the model would not be able to capture effects at a given site during certain periods when the measurements were <u>are</u> affected by sub-grid-scale processes (emissions, plumes, etc.). It may be helpful to compare high-resolution simulations with observations in order to address the issue.



Figure 8. Figure 12. The simulated particle size distributions based on the TIMN scheme. The identified NPF days and undefined days are shadowed by grey and orange background, respectively.

420 The time series of the simulated $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} mass concentrations are compared against the measurements during the study period (Figure 9)(Figure 13). It is shown that APM generally reproduces the temporal variations of $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} mass concentrations, but tends to underestimate high values of $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} mass concentrations, possibly due to relatively low spatial resolution and the emission inventory uncertainty. Nevertheless, the correlation coefficients between simulations and observation $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} mass concentrations <u>can reachedreach</u> 0.619 and 0.496, respectively, indicating that the simulation 425 performance of APM is effective.



Figure 9. Figure 13. Comparison of observation PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ mass concentrations with that in simulation based on APM model during the measurement period. The identified NPF days and undefined days are shadowed by grey and orange background, respectively.

In summary, in order to evaluate the ability of GEOS-Chem/APM and improve our understanding on nucleation mechanisms, four nucleation schemes were <u>are</u> chosen for the model. The BHN scheme and BIMN scheme underestimated<u>underestimate</u> the observed particle number concentrations and <u>failedfail</u> to show significant number concentration fluctuation to distinguish NPF event and non-event event days. The THN scheme well <u>simulated simulated simulates</u> the particle number concentrations on most NPF days except March 18th and April 1st. The TIMN <u>nucleation</u> scheme can overall well simulate the total and sub-3 nm particle number concentrations and nucleation rates in Beijing, which provides a basis for discussing the <u>new particle</u>-nucleation mechanism in Beijing. <u>The real nucleation mechanisms in the atmosphere are complex</u> and may involve additional parameters. Besides, further understanding of the <u>new particle</u> nucleation based on other

440 schemes. The effect of meteorological conditions and precursors on nucleation can be further explored in sensitivity tests focusing on nucleation.

4 Summary and conclusions

During March 12th to April 6th, 2016 in Beijing, there were are 11 typical NPF event days, 13 non-event days and 2 undefined days. The sulfuric acid concentration in Beijing is sufficient to lead to NPF. The meteorological factors have systematical influences on NPF. Our study confirmed confirms that low RH is indeed favorable to the occurrence of an NPF event, as found by previous studies. From the perspective of organic compounds and physical mechanism, we proposed some possible explanations for NPF events generally occur under the condition of strong solar radiation. Low RH and PM_{2.5} mass concentrations reduce condensation sinkCS of condensable gases and coagulation scavenging of new clusters. In contrast, high PM_{2.5} concentrations and RH will increase the sinks of vapor responsible for nucleation and growth of clusters.

⁴⁵⁰ Quantitative analysis indicates that when the daily total solar radiation was greater than 19 MJ/m²/day and RH was less than 26.5%, a new particle formation event would probably occur. However, the empirical condition from this case study was possibly not applied to the general conditions, so it is necessary to conduct and examine more NPF events in Beijing and in

different seasons.

To understand the applicability of different nucleation schemes in polluted areas such as Beijing and improve our understanding of <u>new particle</u>-nucleation mechanisms, the simulations based on GEOS-Chem/APM model <u>were are</u> conducted during this observation period. It <u>was_is_</u>found that the simulations with BHN and BIMN schemes systematically underestimated<u>underestimate</u> both number concentrations and nucleation rates. TIMN scheme overall <u>had_has</u> a better performance than the THN scheme in terms of the simulations of the total and sub-3 nm particle number concentrations and nucleation rates. APM also <u>reproduced reproduces</u> the temporal variations of particle matter concentrations, indicating that the simulation performance of APM is effective. <u>H₂SO₄-amine and H₂SO₄-organics nucleation may also simultaneously contribute to nucleation in polluted urban areas. Some problems should to be solved before using the model to address the role of amines in nucleation, which needs further study in the future.</u>

It is acknowledged that more observations on NPF are required in order to further understand nucleation mechanisms in Beijing, especially long-term observational data, which is very important to examine the favorable conditions for nucleation

465 events. More detailed and comprehensive comparisons between model predictions and relevant data obtained in various field measurements will help to further improve the understanding of nucleation mechanisms, explain observed nucleation events, and accurately predict air quality.

Data availability. Data used in this work have been listed in Sect. 2.1 and acknowledgements.

Author contributions. KW and XM developed the project idea. KW, XM, and RT designed and conducted the model
 experiments. KW and XM analyzed the result and wrote the paper. XM and FY proposed scientific suggestions and revised the paper. All coauthors have read and commented on the manuscript.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. This study is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China grants (2019YFA0606802), the National Natural Science Foundation of China grants (42061134009 & 41975002). We are thankful to Prof. Jiang Jingkun for
 kindly providing new particle formation measurements. We are also grateful to GEOS-Chem Support Team for their

Reference

Aalto, P., Hämeri, K., Becker, E., Weber, R., Salm, J., Mäkelä, J., Hoell, C., O'dowd, C., Hansson, H., Väkevä, M., Koponen,I., Buzorius, G., and Kulmala, M.: Physical characterization of aerosol particles during nucleation events, Tellus Ser. B:

480 Chem. Phys. Meteor., 53, 344-358, https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v53i4.17127, 2001.

- An, J., Wang, H., Shen, L., Zhu, B., Zou, J., Gao, J., and Kang, H.: Characteristics of new particle formation events in Nanjing, China: Effect of water-soluble ions, Atmos. Environ., 108, 32-40, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.01.038, 2015.
- Cai, R., Yang, D., Fu, Y., Wang, X., Li, X., Ma, Y., Hao, J., Zheng, J., and Jiang, J.: Aerosol surface area concentration: a governing factor in new particle formation in Beijing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 12327-12340, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-

485 17-12327-2017, 2017a.

- Cai, R., Chen, D.-R., Hao, J., and Jiang, J.: A Miniature Cylindrical Differential Mobility Analyzer for sub_3 nm Particle Sizing, J. Aerosol. Sci., 106, 111–119, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2017.01.004, 2017b.
- Cai, R., Yan, C., Yang, D., Yin, R., Lu, Y., Deng, C., Fu, Y., Ruan, J., Li, X., Kontkanen, J., Zhang, Q., Kangasluoma, J., Ma, Y., Hao, J., Worsnop, D. R., Bianchi, F., Paasonen, P., Kerminen, V.-M., Liu, Y., Wang, L., Zheng, J., Kulmala, M., and
- 490 Jiang, J.: Sulfuric acid–amine nucleation in urban Beijing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 2457–2468, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-2457-2021, 2021.
 - <u>Cai, R., Yin, R., Yan, C., Yang, D., Deng, C., Dada, L., Kangasluoma, J., Kontkanen, J., Halonen, R., Ma, Y., Zhang, X., Paasonen, P., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V.-M., Liu, Y., Bianchi, F., Zheng, J., Wang, L., Hao, J., Smith, J. N., Donahue, N. M., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., and Jiang, J.: The missing base molecules in atmospheric acid–base nucleation, *National Science Review*, 9(10), https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwac137, 2022.
 </u>
 - Chen, X., Yang, W., Wang, Z., Li, J., Hu, M., An, J., Wu, Q., Wang, Z., Chen, H., Wei, Y., Du, H., and Wang, D.: Improving new particle formation simulation by coupling a volatility-basis set (VBS) organic aerosol module in NAQPMS+APM, Atmos. Environ., 204, 1-11, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.01.053, 2019.

Chu, B., Kerminen, V., Bianchi, F., Yan, C., Petäjä, T., and Kulmala, M.: Atmospheric new particle formation in China, Atmos.

500 Chem. Phys., 19(1), 115-138, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-115-2019, 2019.

Dai, L., Wang, H., Zhou, L., An, J., Tang, L., Lu, C., Yan, W., Liu, R., Kong, S., Chen, M., Lee, S. and Yu, H.: Regional and local new particle formation events observed in the Yangtze River Delta region, China, J. Geophys. Res., 122(4), 2389-2402, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD026030, 2017.

Dal Maso, M., Kulmala, M., Riipinen, I., and Wagner, R.: Formation and growth of fresh atmospheric aerosols: Eight years of

aerosol size distribution data from SMEAR II, Hyytiälä, Finland, Boreal Environment Research, 10, 323–336, 2005.

- Deng, C., Fu, Y., Dada, L., Yan, C., Cai, R., Yang, D., Zhou, Y., Yin, R., Lu, Y., Li, X., Qiao, X., Fan, X., Nie, W., Kontkanen, J., Kangasluoma, J., Chu, B., Ding, A., Kerminen, V., Paasonen, P., Worsnop, R. D., Bianchi, F., Liu, Y., Zheng, J., Wang, L., Kulmala, M., and Jiang, J.: Seasonal characteristics of new particle formation and growth in urban Beijing, Environ.
 Sci. Technol., 54, 8547–8557, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00808, 2020.
- 510 Foreback, B., Dada, L., Daellenbach, K. R., Yan, C., Wang, L., Chu, B., Zhou, Y., Kokkonen, T. V., Kurppa, M., Pileci, R. E., Wang, Y., Chan, T., Kangasluoma, J., Zhuohui, L., Guo, Y., Li, C., Baalbaki, R., Kujansuu, J., Fan, X., Feng, Z., Rantala, P., Gani, S., Bianchi, F., Kerminen, V.-M., Petäjä, T., Kulmala, M., Liu, Y., and Paasonen, P.: Measurement report: A multi-year study on the impacts of Chinese New Year celebrations on air quality in Beijing, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 11089–11104, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-11089-2022, 2022.
- 515 Gong, Y., Hu, M., Cheng, Y., Su, H., Yue, D., Liu, F., Wiedensohler, A., Wang, Z., Kalesse, H., and Liu, S.: Competition of coagulation sink and source rate: New particle formation in the Pearl River Delta of China, Atmos. Environ., 44, 3278-3285, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.05.049, 2010.

Guo, S., Hu, M., Zamora, M., Peng, J., Shang, D., Zheng, J., Du, Z., Wu, Z., Shao, M., Zeng, L., Molina, M., and Zhang, R.: Elucidating severe urban haze formation in China, Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 111, 17373-17378, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1419604111, 2014.

Hamed, A., Joutsensaari, J., Mikkonen, S., Sogacheva, L., Dal Maso, M., Kulmala, M., Cavalli, F., Fuzzi, S., Facchini, M., Decesari, S., Mircea, M., Lehtinen, K., and Laaksonen, A.: Nucleation and growth of new particles in Po Valley, Italy, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 355–376, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-355-2007, 2007.

Hamed, A., Korhonen, H., Sihto, S., Joutsensaari, J., Järvinen, H., Petäjä, T., Arnold, F., Nieminen, T., Kulmala, M., Smith, J.,

- Lehtinen, K., and Laaksonen, A.: The role of relative humidity in continental new particle formation, J. Geophys. Res.,
 116, D03202 https://doi.org/10.1029/2010jd014186, 2011.
 - Herrmann, E., Ding, A., Kerminen, V., Petäjä, T., Yang, X., Sun, J., Qi, X., Manninen, H., Hakala, J., Nieminen, T., Aalto, P., Kulmala, M., and Fu, C.: Aerosols and nucleation in eastern China: first insights from the new SORPES-NJU station, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 2169-2183, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-2169-2014, 2014.
- 530 Huang, X., Ding, A., Gao, J., Zheng, B., Zhou, D., Qi, X., Tang, R., Wang, J., Ren, C., Nie, W., Chi, X., Xu, Z., Chen, L., Li, Y., Che, F., Pang, N., Wang, H., Tong, D., Qin, W., Cheng, W., Liu, W., Fu, Q., Liu, B., Chai, F., Davis, S., Zhang, Q., and He, K.: Enhanced secondary pollution offset reduction of primary emissions during COVID 19 lockdown in China, National Science Review, 8(2), https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwaa137, 2020.

Hussein, T.: Indoor and outdoor aerosol particle size characterization in Helsinki, Rep. Ser. Aerosol Sci., 74, 1-53, 2005.

- 535 Hu, Y., Zang, Z., Chen, D., Ma, X., Liang, Y., You, W., Pan, X., Wang, L., Wang, D., and Zhang, Z.: Optimization and Evaluation of SO₂ Emissions Based on WRF-Chem and 3DVAR Data Assimilation, Remote Sens., 14, 220, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14010220, 2022
 - Jayaratne, R., Pushpawela, B., He, C., Li, H., Gao, J., Chai, F., and Morawska, L.: Observations of particles at their formation sizes in Beijing, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 8825-8835, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-8825-2017, 2017.
- Kaiser, J.: How dirty air hurts the heart, Science, 307, 1858-1859, <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.307.5717.1858b</u>, 2005.
 Korhonen, P., Kulmala, M., Laaksonen, A., Viisanen, Y., McGraw, R., and Seinfeld, J.: Ternary nucleation of H₂SO₄, NH₃, and H₂O in the atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 26349-26353, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999jd900784, 1999.
 - Kulmala, M., Laaksonen, A., and Pirjola, L.: Parameterizations for sulfuric acid/water nucleation rates, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 8301-8307, https://doi.org/10.1029/97jd03718, 1998.
- Kulmala, M., Kontkanen, J., Junninen, H., Lehtipalo, K., Manninen, H. E., Nieminen, T., Petaja, T., Sipila, M., Schobesberger,
 S., Rantala, P., Franchin, A., Jokinen, T., Jarvinen, E., Aijala, M., Kangasluoma, J., Hakala, J., Aalto, P. P., Paasonen, P.,
 Mikkila, J., Vanhanen, J., Aalto, J., Hakola, H., Makkonen, U., Ruuskanen, T., Mauldin, R. L., III, Duplissy, J., Vehkamaki,
 H., Back, J., Kortelainen, A., Riipinen, I., Kurten, T., Johnston, M. V., Smith, J. N., Ehn, M., Mentel, T. F., Lehtinen, K.

E. J., Laaksonen, A., Kerminen, V.-M., and Worsnop, D. R.: Direct observations of atmospheric aerosol nucleation, Science, 339, 943-946, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1227385, 2013.

550

555

565

Kulmala, M., Petaja, T., Kerminen, V. M., Kujansuu, J., Ruuskanen, T., Ding, A. J., Nie, W., Hu, M., Wang, Z. B., Wu, Z. J., Wang, L., and Worsnop, D. R.: On secondary new particle formation in China, Front. Environ. Sci. Eng., 10, 08, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11783-016-0850-1, 2016.

Kulmala, M., Cai, R., Stolzenburg, D., Zhou, Y., Dada, L., Guo, Y., Yan, C., Petäjä, T., Jiang, J., and Kerminen, V.-M.: The contribution of new particle formation and subsequent growth to haze formation, Environ. Sci.-Atmos., 2, 352–361, https://doi.org/10.1039/D1EA00096A, 2022.

Li, R., Zhao, Y., Fu, H., Chen, J., Peng, M., and Wang, C.: Substantial changes in gaseous pollutants and chemical compositions in fine particles in the North China Plain during the COVID 19 lockdown period: anthropogenic vs. meteorological influences, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21(11), 8677-8692, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-8677-2021, 2021.

- 560 Liu, J., Jiang, J., Zhang, Q., Deng, J., and Hao, J.: A spectrometer for measuring particle size distributions in the range of 3 nm to 10 μm, Front. Environ. Sci. En., 10, 63–72, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11783-014-0754-x, 2016.
 - Luo, G. and Yu, F.: Simulation of particle formation and number concentration over the Eastern United States with the WRF-Chem + APM model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 11521-11533, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-11521-2011, 2011.

Merikanto, J., Spracklen, D., Mann, G., Pickering, S., and Carslaw, K.: Impact of nucleation on global CCN, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 8601-8616, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-8601-2009, 2009.

- Metzger, A., Verheggen, B., Dommen, J., Duplissy, J., Prevot, A. S., Weingartner, E., Riipinen, I., Kulmala, M., Spracklen, D. V., Carslaw, K. S., and Baltensperger, U.: Evidence for the role of organics in aerosol particle formation under atmospheric conditions, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(15), 6646–6651, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0911330107, 2010.
- 570 Nieminen, T., Manninen, H. E., Sihto, S. L., Yli-Juuti, T., Mauldin, I. R. L., Petaja, T., Riipinen, I., Kerminen, V. M., and Kulmala, M.: Connection of sulfuric acid to atmospheric nucleation in boreal forest, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43(13), 4715-4721, https://doi.org/10.1021/es803152j, 2009.

- Park, R.: Natural and transboundary pollution influences on sulfate-nitrate-ammonium aerosols in the United States: Implications for policy, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D15204, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003jd004473, 2004.
- 575 Qiao, X., Yan, C., Li, X., Guo, Y., Yin, R., Deng, C., Li, C., Nie, W., Wang, M., Cai, R., Huang, D., Wang, Z., Yao, L., Worsnop, D., Bianchi, F., Liu, Y., Donahue, N., Kulmala, M., and Jiang, J.: Contribution of Atmospheric Oxygenated Organic <u>Compounds to Particle Growth in an Urban Environment, Environmental Science & Technology,</u> <u>10.1021/acs.est.1c02095, 2021.</u>
 - Qi, X., Ding, A., Nie, W., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V., Herrmann, E., Xie, Y., Zheng, L., Manninen, H., Aalto, P., Sun, J., Xu, Z.,
- 580 Chi, X., Huang, X., Boy, M., Virkkula, A., Yang, X., Fu, C., and Kulmala, M.: Aerosol size distribution and new particle formation in the western Yangtze River Delta of China: 2 years of measurements at the SORPES station, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 12445-12464, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-12445-2015, 2015.
 - Shen, X., Sun, J., Yu, F., Wang, Y., Zhong, J., Zhang, Y., Hu, X., Xia, C., Zhang, S., and Zhang, X.: Enhancement of nanoparticle formation and growth during the COVID-19 lockdown period in urban Beijing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 7039–7052, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-7039-2021, 2021.

- Sipilä, M., Berndt, T., Petäjä, T., Brus, D., Vanhanen, J., Stratmann, F., Patokoski, J., Mauldin, R., Hyvärinen, A., Lihavainen,
 H., and Kulmala, M.: The role of sulfuric acid in atmospheric nucleation, Science, 327, 1243-1246,
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1180315, 2010.
 - Sipilä, M., Sarnela, N., Jokinen, T., Henschel, H., Junninen, H., Kontkanen, J., Richters, S., Kangasluoma, J., Franchin, A.,
- Peräkylä, O., Rissanen, M. P., Ehn, M., Vehkamäki, H., Kurten, T., Berndt, T., Petäjä, T., Worsnop, D., Ceburnis, D.,
 Kerminen, V. M., Kulmala, M., and O'Dowd, C.: Molecular-scale evidence of aerosol particle formation via sequential
 addition of HIO3, Nature, 537, 532–534, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19314, 2016.
 - Stockwell, W. and Calvert, J.: The mechanism of the HO-SO₂ reaction, Atmos. Environ. (1967), 17, 2231-2235, https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(83)90220-2, 1983.
- 595 Sun, Y., Zhu, Y., Meng, H., Liu, B., Liu, Y., Dong, C., Yao, X., Wang, W., and Xue, L.: New particle formation events in summer and winter in the coastal atmosphere in Qingdao, China, Environmental Science, 42(5), 2133-2142, https://doi.org/10.13227/j.hjkx.202007230, 2021.

- Tang, L., Shang, D., Fang, X., Wu, Z., Qiu, Y., Chen, S., Li, X., Zeng, L., Guo, S., and Hu, M.: More significant impacts from new particle formation on haze formation during COVID-19 lockdown, Geophys. Res. Lett., 48, e2020GL091591,
- 600 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020gl091591, 2021.

620

- Tröstl, J., Chuang, W. K., Gordon, H., Heinritzi, M., Yan, C., Molteni, U., Ahlm, L., Frege, C., Bianchi, F., Wagner, R., Simon,
 M., Lehtipalo, K., Williamson, C., Craven, J. S., Duplissy, J., Adamov, A., Almeida, J., Bernhammer, A.-K.,
 Breitenlechner, M., Brilke, S., Dias, A., Ehrhart, S., Flagan, R. C., Franchin, A., Fuchs, C., Guida, R., Gysel, M., Hansel,
 A., Hoyle, C. R., Jokinen, T., Junninen, H., Kangasluoma, J., Keskinen, H., Kim, J., Krapf, M., Kuerten, A., Laaksonen,
- 605 A., Lawler, M., Leiminger, M., Mathot, S., Moehler, O., Nieminen, T., Onnela, A., Petaejae, T., Piel, F. M., Miettinen, P., Rissanen, M. P., Rondo, L., Sarnela, N., Schobesberger, S., Sengupta, K., Sipila, M., Smith, J. N., Steiner, G., Tome, A., Virtanen, A., Wagner, A. C., Weingartner, E., Wimmer, D., Winkler, P. M., Ye, P., Carslaw, K. S., Curtius, J., Dommen, J., Kirkby, J., Kulmala, M., Riipinen, I., Worsnop, D. R., Donahue, N. M., and Baltensperger, U.: The role of low-volatility organic compounds initial particle growth atmosphere, 533, 527-531, in in the Nature. 610 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18271, 2016.
 - Wang, Z., Hu, M., Pei, X., Zhang, R., Paasonen, P., Zheng, J., Yue, D., Wu, Z., Boy, M., and Wiedensohler, A.: Connection of organics to atmospheric new particle formation and growth at an urban site of Beijing, Atmos. Environ., 103, 7-17, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.11.069, 2015.

Weber, R. J., McMurry, P. H., Mauldin, R. L., Tanner, D. J., Eisele, F. L., Clarke, A. D., and Kapustin, V. N.: New particle

- 615 formation in the remote troposphere: a comparison of observations at various sites, Geophys. Res. Lett., 26(3), 307-310, https://doi.org/10.1029/1998gl900308, 1999.
 - Wu, H., Li, Z., Li, H., Luo, K., Wang, Y., Yan, P., Hu, F., Zhang, F., Sun, Y., Shang, D., Liang, C., Zhang, D., Wei, J., Wu, T., Jin, X., Fan, X., Cribb, M., Fischer, M., Kulmala, M., and Petäjä, T.: The impact of the atmospheric turbulencedevelopment tendency on new particle formation: a common finding on three continents, National Science Review, 8(3), 140-150, https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwaa157, 2020.

- Wu, Z., Hu, M., Liu, S., Wehner, B., Bauer, S., Ma ßling, A., Wiedensohler, A., Petäjä, T., Dal Maso, M., and Kulmala, M.: New particle formation in Beijing, China: Statistical analysis of a 1-year data set, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D09209, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006jd007406, 2007.
- Wu, Z., Hu, M., Lin, P., Liu, S., Wehner, B., and Wiedensohler, A.: Particle number size distribution in the urban atmosphere
 of Beijing, China, Atmos. Environ., 42, 7967-7980, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.06.022, 2008.
- Xiao, M., Hoyle, C. R., Dada, L., Stolzenburg, D., Kürten, A., Wang, M., Lamkaddam, H., Garmash, O., Mentler, B., Molteni, U., Baccarini, A., Simon, M., He, X.-C., Lehtipalo, K., Ahonen, L. R., Baalbaki, R., Bauer, P. S., Beck, L., Bell, D., Bianchi, F., Brilke, S., Chen, D., Chiu, R., Dias, A., Duplissy, J., Finkenzeller, H., Gordon, H., Hofbauer, V., Kim, C., Koenig, T. K., Lampilahti, J., Lee, C. P., Li, Z., Mai, H., Makhmutov, V., Manninen, H. E., Marten, R., Mathot, S., Mauldin, R. L., Nie, W., Onnela, A., Partoll, E., Petäjä, T., Pfeifer, J., Pospisilova, V., Quéléver, L. L. J., Rissanen, M., Schobesberger, S., Schuchmann, S., Stozhkov, Y., Tauber, C., Tham, Y. J., Tomé, A., Vazquez-Pufleau, M., Wagner, A. C., Wagner, R., Wang, Y., Weitz, L., Wimmer, D., Wu, Y., Yan, C., Ye, P., Ye, Q., Zha, Q., Zhou, X., Amorim, A., Carslaw, K., Curtius, J., Hansel, A., Volkamer, R., Winkler, P. M., Flagan, R. C., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., Kirkby, J., Donahue,
- N. M., Baltensperger, U., El Haddad, I., and Dommen, J.: The driving factors of new particle formation and growth in the
 polluted boundary layer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 14275–14291, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-14275-2021, 2021.
 - Xiao, S., Wang, M., Yao, L., Kulmala, M., Zhou, B., Yang, X., Chen, J., Wang, D., Fu, Q., Worsnop, D., and Wang, L.: Strong atmospheric new particle formation in winter in urban Shanghai, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 1769-1781, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-1769-2015, 2015.

Yan, C., Yin, R., Lu, Y., Dada, L., Yang, D., Fu, Y., Kontkanen, J., Deng, C., Garmash, O., Ruan, J., Baalbaki, R., Schervish,

 M., Cai, R., Bloss, M., Chan, T., Chen, T., Chen, Q., Chen, X., Chen, Y., Chu, B., Dällenbach, K., Foreback, B., He, X., Heikkinen, L., Jokinen, T., Junninen, H., Kangasluoma, J., Kokkonen, T., Kurppa, M., Lehtipalo, K., Li, H., Li, H., Li, X., Liu, Y., Ma, Q., Paasonen, P., Rantala, P., Pileci, R. E., Rusanen, A., Sarnela, N., Simonen, P., Wang, S., Wang, W., Wang, Y., Xue, M., Yang, G., Yao, L., Zhou, Y., Kujansuu, J., Petäjä, T., Nie, W., Ma, Y., Ge, M., He, H., Donahue, N. M., Worsnop, D. R., Veli-Matti, K., Wang, L., Liu, Y., Zheng, J., Kulmala, M., Jiang, J., and Bianchi, F.: The Synergistic Role

- 645 <u>of Sulfuric Acid, Bases, and Oxidized Organics Governing New-Particle Formation in Beijing, Geophys. Res. Lett., 48,</u> <u>e2020GL091944, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020gl091944, 2021.</u>
 - Yao, L., Garmash, O., Bianchi, F., Zheng, J., Yan, C., Kontkanen, J., Junninen, H., Mazon, S., Ehn, M., Paasonen, P., Sipilä,
 M., Wang, M., Wang, X., Xiao, S., Chen, H., Lu, Y., Zhang, B., Wang, D., Fu, Q., Geng, F., Li, L., Wang, H., Qiao, L.,
 Yang, X., Chen, J., Kerminen, V., Petäjä, T., Worsnop, D., Kulmala, M., and Wang, L.: Atmospheric new particle
- 650 formation from sulfuric acid and amines in a Chinese megacity, Science, 361, 278-281, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao4839, 2018.
 - Yu, F.: Effect of ammonia on new particle formation: A kinetic H₂SO₄-H₂O-NH₃ nucleation model constrained by laboratory measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D01204, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD005968, 2006a.
 - Yu, F.: From molecular clusters to nanoparticles: second-generation ion-mediated nucleation model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6,
- 655 5193-5211, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-5193-2006, 2006b.
 - Yu, F.: Improved quasi-unary nucleation model for binary H₂SO₄-H₂O homogeneous nucleation, J. Chem. Phys., 127, 054301, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2752171, 2007.
 - Yu, F.: Updated H₂SO₄-H₂O binary homogeneous nucleation look-up tables, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D24201, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008jd010527, 2008.
- 660 Yu, F.: A secondary organic aerosol formation model considering successive oxidation aging and kinetic condensation of organic compounds: global scale implications, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 1083–1099, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-1083-2011, 2011.
 - Yu, F. and Luo, G.: Simulation of particle size distribution with a global aerosol model: contribution of nucleation to aerosol and CCN number concentrations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 7691-7710, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-7691-2009, 2009.
- 665 Yu, F. and Luo, G.: Oceanic dimethyl sulfide emission and new particle formation around the coast of Antarctica: a modeling study of seasonal variations and comparison with measurements, Atmosphere, 1(1), 34-50, https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos1010034, 2010.
 - Yu, F. and Turco, R.: Ultrafine aerosol formation via ion-mediated nucleation, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27(6), 883-886, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999gl011151, 2000.

- 670 Yu, F. and Turco, R. P.: The size-dependent charge fraction of sub-3-nm particles as a key diagnostic of competitive nucleation mechanisms under atmospheric conditions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 9451–9463, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-9451-2011, 2011.
 - Yu, F., Wang, Z., Luo, G., and Turco, R. Ion-mediated nucleation as an important global source of tropospheric aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 2537-2554, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-2537-2008, 2008.
- 675 Yu, F., Luo, G., Bates, T., Anderson, B., Clarke, A., Kapustin, V., Yantosca, R., Wang, Y., and Wu, S.: Spatial distributions of particle number concentrations in the global troposphere: simulations, observations, and implications for nucleation mechanisms, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D17205, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009jd013473, 2010.
 - Yu, F., Nadykto, A. B., Herb, J., Luo, G., Nazarenko, K. M., and Uvarova, L. A.: H₂SO₄–H₂O–NH₃ ternary ion-mediated nucleation (TIMN): kinetic-based model and comparison with CLOUD measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 17451–
- 680 17474, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-17451-2018, 2018.
 - Yu, F., Nadykto, A. B., Luo, G., and Herb, J.: H₂SO₄–H₂O binary and H₂SO₄–H₂O–NH₃ ternary homogeneous and ionmediated nucleation: lookup tables version 1.0 for 3-D modeling application, Geosci. Model Dev., 13, 2663–2670, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-2663-2020, 2020.
- Zhang, R., Khalizov, A., Wang, L., Hu, M., and Xu, W.: Nucleation and growth of nanoparticles in the atmosphere, Chem.
 Rev., 112(3), 1957-2011, https://doi.org/10.1021/cr2001756, 2012.
 - Zheng, J., Hu, M., Zhang, R., Yue, D., Wang, Z., Guo, S., Li, X., Bohn, B., Shao, M., He, L., Huang, X., Wiedensohler, A., and Zhu, T.: Measurements of gaseous H₂SO₄ by AP-ID-CIMS during CARE Beijing 2008 Campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 7755–7765, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-7755-2011, 2011.
 - Zheng, J., Yang, D., Ma, Y., Chen, M., Cheng, J., Li, S., and Wang, M.: Development of a new corona discharge based ion
- 690 source for high resolution time-of-flight chemical ionization mass spectrometer to measure gaseous H₂SO₄ and aerosol sulfate, Atmos. Environ., 119, 167–173, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.08.028, 2015.
 - Zhou, Y., Dada, L., Liu, Y., Fu, Y., Kangasluoma, J., Chan, T., Yan, C., Chu, B., Daellenbach, K., Bianchi, F., Kokkonen, T., Liu, Y., Kujansuu, J., Kerminen, V., Petäjä, T., Wang, L., Jiang, J., and Kulmala, M.: Variation of size-segregated particle

number concentrations in wintertime Beijing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 1201-1216, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-1201-

695 2020, 2020.