Investigating the Global OH Radical Distribution Using Steady-State Approximations and Satellite Data
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Abstract. We present a novel approach to derive indirect global information on the hydroxyl radical (OH), one of the most important atmospheric oxidants, using state-of-art satellite trace gas observations (key sinks and sources of OH) and a steady-state approximation (SSA). This is a timely study as OH observations are predominantly from spatially sparse field and infrequent aircraft campaigns, so there is a requirement for further approaches to infer spatial and temporal information on OH and its interactions with important climate (e.g. methane, CH4) and air quality (e.g. nitrogen dioxide, NO2) trace gases. Due to the short lifetime of OH (~1.0 s), SSAs of varying complexities can be used to model its concentration and offer a tool to examine the OH budget in different regions of the atmosphere. Here, we use the well-evaluated TOMCAT three-dimensional chemistry transport model to identify atmospheric regions where different complexities of the SSAs are representative of OH. In the case of a simplified SSA (S-SSA), where we have observations of ozone (O3), carbon monoxide (CO), CH4 and water vapour (H2O) from the Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI) on-board ESA’s MetOp-A satellite, it is most representative of OH between 600 and 700 hPa (though suitable between 400–800 hPa) within ~20 % of TOMCAT modelled OH. The same S-SSA is applied to aircraft measurements from the Atmospheric Tomography Mission (ATom) and compares well with the observed OH concentrations within ~30 % yielding a correlation of 0.78. We apply the S-SSA to IASI data spanning 2008–2017 to explore the global long-term inter-annual variability of OH. Relative to the 10-year mean, we find that global annual mean OH anomalies ranged from -3.1 % to +4.4 %, with the largest spread in the tropics between -7.0 % and +7.7 %. Investigation of the individual terms in the S-SSA over this time period suggests that O3 and CO were the key drivers of variability in the production and loss of OH. For example, large enhancement in the OH sink during the positive 2015/2016 ENSO event was due to large scale CO emissions from drought induced wildfires in South East Asia). The methodology described here could be further developed as a constraint on the tropospheric OH distribution as further satellite data becomes available in the future.
Introduction

The hydroxyl radical (OH) is a key species in atmospheric chemistry as it largely defines the oxidation capacity of the troposphere, and therefore the lifetimes of many different species. Key species controlled by OH include important greenhouse gases (e.g. methane, CH₄), ozone-depleting substances (e.g. hydrochlorofluorocarbons), as well as other short-lived anthropogenic and natural pollutants (e.g. volatile organic compounds (VOCs), nitrogen oxides (NOₓ) and carbon monoxide (CO)) (Lelieveld et al., 2016). The importance of OH to tropospheric oxidation capacity was recognised in the early 1970s (Levy, 1971) and has been subject to many scientific investigations since, especially in relation to the lifetime of CH₄ (McNorton et al., 2016; Rigby et al., 2017; Turner et al., 2019). A better understanding of the spatial and temporal distribution of OH, the primary sink of CH₄, would aid the interpretation of recent trends in CH₄, such as the 2000–2007 concentration stabilisation period (Turner et al., 2019).

The primary source of OH in the remote troposphere is the photolysis of ozone (O₃) by ultraviolet (UV) radiation (λ < 330 nm wavelength). This forms O(³D) which then reacts with water vapour (H₂O) to form OH (Lelieveld et al., 2016):

\[
O_3 + h\nu (\lambda < 330 \text{ nm}) \rightarrow O(³D) + O_2 \tag{1}
\]

\[
O(³D) + H_2O \rightarrow 2OH \tag{2}
\]

The OH radical formed is very reactive due to the unpaired electron on the oxygen atom. After formation, the OH radicals attack reduced and partly oxidised gases, removing them from the atmosphere and forming peroxo radicals (e.g. hydroperoxyl radical, HO₂). The peroxo radicals can go on to form peroxydes and participate in many other atmospheric chemistry reactions (e.g. ozone formation) and can also go on to reform OH (Lelieveld et al., 2016).

Direct in situ measurements of OH are scarce due to its very short lifetime, ~1 second in the daytime, and low abundance; the global tropospheric mean OH concentration is around 1 ×10⁶ molecule cm⁻³ (Lelieveld et al., 2016). Such measurements are limited to field campaigns at specific locations (Stone et al., 2012) and aircraft missions e.g. NASA’s Atmospheric Tomography mission (ATom) (Wofsy et al., 2018; Brune et al., 2020). There has consequently been a demand for indirect methods to infer global-scale OH. An established method is to use the methyl chloroform (CH₃CCl₃, MCF) concentrations to derive a global mean OH concentration by using inverse modelling which exploits the fact that sources of MCF are well known and that its main sink is reaction with OH (Lovelock, 1977; Singh, 1977; Prinn et al., 1992). This method has been used to study the temporal variability of OH (Montzka et al., 2011; Prinn et al., 2005). The accuracy of this method depends on accurate estimates of MCF emissions. MCF production is regulated under the legislation initiated by the 1987 Montreal Protocol and therefore has seen a sharp decline in abundance since the mid-1990s, which will reduce the viability of this method, leading to new methods and tracers being sought (Huang and Prinn, 2002; Liang et al., 2017; Rigby et al., 2017).
However, the above-mentioned MCF method is unable to provide spatial information on OH. In the last two decades, there has been an increasing wealth of tropospheric satellite data, providing information on the spatial and temporal variability of atmospheric species, but not OH (Streets et al., 2013). These atmospheric composition data are global in extent and now span more than a decade so have the potential to provide information to infer a global OH distribution and its variation over time. Presently, there are limited examples of the use of satellite data to infer global OH. In a recent study, Wolfe et al. (2019) used satellite formaldehyde observations and budget to calculate remote tropospheric column OH, developing the method using aircraft data from ATom to establish formaldehyde production/loss and OH concentrations.

To exploit satellite data here, we use a simplified steady-state approximation; steady state is an appropriate assumption due to the very short daylight lifetime of OH and the simplification is described in Sect. 2 below. Some studies have thus far used steady-state approximations to calculate OH from in situ surface data at field sites e.g. Eisele (1996) at Mauna Loa Observatory, Savage et al. (2001) and Smith et al. (2006) at the Mace Head Atmospheric Research Centre, Ireland, Creasey et al. (2003) at Cape Grim in the Southern Ocean, and Slater et al. (2020) in central Beijing. However, there is also the potential for these approximations to be applied to satellite data in a global context. The use of the steady-state approximations has varied in success. Eisele (1996) found that the comparison between observed and calculated OH depended on which air mass was present, with free tropospheric air masses showing better agreement than air masses from the boundary layer. Savage et al. (2001) found a good correlation between measured and calculated OH, but a steady-state overprediction of around 30%. Models using only simplified chemistry have been shown to capture the chemistry of unpolluted regions. Sommariva et al. (2004) used a ‘detailed’ and ‘simple’ box-model to study OH in unpolluted marine air at Cape Grim in the Southern Hemisphere (SH). The ‘simple’ box-model based only on CO, CH₄ and inorganic reactions, and agreed within 5–10% of the ‘detailed’ box-model that also contained non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHCs). The models over-estimated the measured OH by 10–20%.

OH reactivity (OHR), the inverse of OH lifetime, is also measured in the field to provide additional information on the tropospheric oxidation capacity and abundance of the OH radical. OHR can be measured in situ along with trace gas concentrations during field campaigns e.g. aircraft campaigns such as NASA’s ATom (Wofsy et al., 2018). These OHR observations are commonly compared to OHR calculated by summing individual sink terms using measured reactant concentrations multiplied by their respective reaction rate co-efficients with OH (Yang et al., 2016). However, a large number of OHR field campaigns have shown that there is often a substantial difference between observed in situ and calculated OHR, known as the “missing” reactivity (Ferracci et al., 2018). This “missing” reactivity can account for as much as 20% (usually outside the OHR uncertainty range) to 80% of the observed OHR (Yang et al., 2016). There are many proposed reasons for this “missing” reactivity, such as short-lived VOCs that were not measured (Kovacs et al., 2003) or in the rainforests some mixture of unidentified biogenic emissions and photooxidation products (Edwards et al., 2013; Nölscher et al., 2016).
An improved understanding of OH temporal variation is vital to understanding key aspects of atmospheric chemistry, such as interannual to decadal variability in methane (Turner et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2020). Studies using MCF observations in combination with box-model analyses show similar annual OH anomalies between 1995 and 2010, with a broadly negative anomaly of -6 to 0 % between 1995 and 1999, a positive anomaly of 0 to 6 % between 1999 and 2007 and a negative anomaly of -5 to 0 % between 2007 and 2010 (Montzka et al., 2011; Rigby et al., 2017; Turner et al., 2017; Patra et al., 2021). After 2010, the results of such studies differ with some showing consistently negative anomalies of -4 to 0 % between 2010 and 2018 (Rigby et al., 2017; Turner et al., 2017) and others showing some positive anomalies in this period, for example in the range of 0 to 4 % between 2010 and 2015 (Naus et al., 2019; Patra et al., 2021). Studies using chemical transport models are not consistent with those using MCF observations. He et al., (2020) found negative anomalies of -5 to 0 % between 1995 and 2005 and then positive anomalies of 0 to 4 % between 2005 and 2017. A study by Zhao et al., (2020) found a multi-model mean increase of 0.7 \times 10^5 \text{ molecule cm}^{-3} \text{between 1980 and 2010, equivalent to around 0.1–0.5 % yr}^{-1}, with the greatest rate of increase in the final decade (2000–2010). The OH increase from 2000–2010 was predominantly due to that in the primary production term (O(^{1}D) + H_2O) though also to a decrease in the CO sink term (OH + CO). Model studies further show OH interannual variability to be influenced by the El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), with low OH concentrations being associated with El Niño years and high OH concentrations with La Niña years (Zhao et al., 2020; Anderson et al., 2021).

Here, we use output data from the TOMCAT 3-D chemical transport model to explore the validity of OH steady-state approximations in the troposphere. A simplified steady-state approximation is then applied to observations of O_3, CO, CH_4 and H_2O mid-tropospheric concentrations retrieved from observations by the MetOp satellite in 2010 and 2017. This calculated satellite OH is then compared to OH from TOMCAT using full chemistry and ATom observations. Finally, the simplified approximation is applied to MetOp data over a 10-year period (2008–2017) to infer the temporal variability in OH. Section 2 describes how steady-state approximations, TOMCAT model, aircraft and satellite data are employed in this study. Section 3 presents the results and discussion. Section 4 summarises our conclusions.

2 Methods

2.1 OH steady-state approximations

Due to the short lifetime of OH, a steady-state approximation can be used to model its concentration. The approximation can be defined as Eq. (3):

\[ [OH]_{\text{steady state}} = \frac{k_{A+B}[A][B] + \ldots + j_{c}[C] + \ldots}{\sum k_{d}[D] + \ldots} \]  

(3)
where the numerator of the expression represents a sum of the source terms. \( k_{A+B} \) is the reaction rate constant of \( A \) and \( B \) to form \( OH \) and \( j_c \) is the photolysis co-efficient of \( C \) to form \( OH \). The denominator represents a sum of the sink terms. \( k_D \) is the reaction rate constant of \( D \) and \( OH \), where \( D \) represents an individual sink species. The accuracy of the approximation depends partly on the number of source and sink terms which can be included. This, in turn, depends on the availability of observations to provide a constraint for each of those terms.

Here, we use three steady-state approximations of different complexity, summarised in Supplementary Table S1. The most complex is referred to as the full chemistry steady-state approximation (FC-SSA) and contains the largest number of source and sink terms, capturing the most comprehensive tropospheric chemistry, with 26 source terms and 51 sink terms. The second most complex is based on a steady-state approximation in Savage et al. (2001) (Sav-SSA) and contains 5 source and 12 sink terms. Lastly, we propose a simplified steady-state approximation (S-SSA) containing 1 source term (based on Eq. (1) and Eq. (2)) and 3 sink terms (based on the reaction of \( OH \) with \( CH_4, CO \) and \( O_3 \)). The S-SSA allows \( OH \) to be derived using only the main tropospheric source and sinks, that can be directly observed by satellite. We adopt the S-SSA as Eq. (4):

\[
[OH]_{\text{Steady State}} = \frac{2j_1k_1[O_3][H_2O]}{k_2[N_2] + k_3[O_2]} \frac{k_4[CH_4]}{k_5[CO] + k_6[O_3]}
\]  

(4)

where \( j_1 \) is the photolysis co-efficient for \( O_3 \rightarrow O(1^3D) + O_2 \), \( k_1 \) is the reaction rate constant for \( O(1^3D) + H_2O \), \( k_2 \) and \( k_3 \) are the collisional relaxation rate constants with respect to \( N_2 \) and \( O_2 \), \( k_4 \), \( k_5 \) and \( k_6 \) are the rate constants for reaction of \( OH \) with \( CH_4, CO \) and \( O_3 \), respectively. The expression implicitly assumes a steady state for the production and relaxation of \( O(1^3D) \).

### 2.2 OH reactivity

OHR, the denominator of Eq. (3), can be directly observed or calculated using a model and/or observed species. The accuracy of an OHR calculation is similarly dependent on the number of sink terms which can be included and the availability of requisite observations. In principle, examination of OHR measurements co-located with those of \( [OH] \) could allow steady-state approximations for \( OH \) sources and sinks to be evaluated separately. We adopt the denominator of Eq. (4) as a simplified expression for OHR as Eq. (5):

\[
[OHR] = k_4[CH_4] + k_5[CO] + k_6[O_3]
\]  

(5)

### 2.3 Model and observations

#### 2.3.1 TOMCAT 3-D model

In this study we use the 3-D global chemical transport model TOMCAT (Chipperfield, 2006) at a 2.8° × 2.8° resolution with 31 vertical levels between the surface and 10 hPa. The model is coupled with the Global Model of Aerosol Processes (GLOMAP) to calculate aerosol microphysics (Mann et al., 2010). The model is forced by meteorological reanalyses (ERA-Interim) from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) (Dee et al., 2011). The tropospheric
chemistry scheme is described in Monks et al. (2017), with the main updates as follows: anthropogenic and natural surface emissions from the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) for NO₃, CO and VOCs (Feng et al., 2020); fixed annual biogenic emissions from the Chemistry Climate Model Initiative (CCMI) (Morgenstern et al., 2017); biomass burning emissions from the Global Fire Emissions Database (GFED) version 4 (van der Werf et al., 2017); and CH₄ scaled to a best estimate based on the 2010 globally averaged surface CH₄ value from NOAA (Dlugokencky, 2020). The model simulation was run for 2010 and 2017, with 6 months of spin up in each case. The simulation was sampled daily at 9:30 am local time globally to match the MetOp daytime overpass time.

Monks et al. (2017) investigated TOMCAT CO, O₃ and OH and showed that the model is able to capture the main seasonal and spatial features of CO and O₃. TOMCAT has a slightly higher global mean tropospheric OH concentration (1.08 × 10⁶ molecule cm⁻³) than inferred OH observations from MCF e.g. 0.94 ± 0.1 × 10⁶ molecule cm⁻³ by Prinn et al. (2001). TOMCAT has a similar or lower global mean tropospheric OH concentration than multi-model values from several intercomparison studies e.g. 1.08 ± 0.6 × 10⁶ molecule cm⁻³ from the POLARCAT Model Intercomparison Project (POLMIP) or the multi-model mean of 1.11 ± 0.2 × 10⁶ molecule cm⁻³ from 16 Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP) models (Naik et al., 2013). In terms of vertical distribution of OH, in comparison with OH data from Spivakovsky et al. (2000) (MCF method) and the multi-model mean OH from the ACCMIP project (Naik et al. 2013), TOMCAT tends to show higher OH at the surface up to 700 hPa and lower OH above 700 hPa.

2.3.2 Satellite observations

We use satellite observations for 2010 and 2017 from the MetOp-A satellite launched by EUMETSAT in 2006. MetOp-A is in a polar sun-synchronous orbit which crosses the equator at ~9:30 (day overpass) and 21:30 (night overpass) giving global earth coverage twice a day (Clerbaux et al., 2009). Here, we use height-resolved distributions of CO, CH₄, O₃ and H₂O retrieved from MetOp-A observations by schemes developed by the Rutherford Appleton Laboratory (RAL). The O₃, CO and H₂O retrievals are from the extended version of RAL’s Infrared and Microwave Sounding (IMS-extended) scheme, which co-retrieves temperature profiles, cloud and surface properties, other trace gases and aerosols and is documented in the supplement of Pope et al. (2021). The CH₄ data were produced by an improved version (v2.0) of RAL’s methane retrieval scheme (Siddans et al., 2020) developed for the Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI) on MetOp. The original IASI methane scheme (v1.0) was described in Siddans et al. (2017). For the IMS-extended scheme, as well as the IASI methane scheme, retrieved profiles are output at the locations of IASI soundings. IASI is a nadir-viewing thermal infrared Fourier Transform Spectrometer, with a spectral range from 645 to 2760 cm⁻¹ (Clerbaux et al., 2009). It samples a swath width of 2200 km by scanning a set of four fields of view across-track. At nadir, these are circular with 12 km diameter, occupying a square 50 km × 50 km (3.3° × 3.3°). For the study of OH temporal variation between 2008 and 2017, MetOp-A data sub-sampled both temporally (1 in 10 days) and spatially (1 in 4 pixels) were available. Supplementary Figs. S1 and S2 show good agreement between the sub-sampled and fully sampled satellite data in a zonal average when
compared in 2010 and 2017, with an average monthly correlation coefficient in latitudinal structure of 0.89 and 0.85, respectively.

Profiles of H$_2$O, O$_3$ and CO, along with temperature, are represented on a set of 101 levels in the IMS extended scheme. For H$_2$O, information from IASI and the two microwave sounders (Microwave Humidity Sounder (MHS) and Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit (AMSU-A)) is sufficient to resolve a number of independent layers between the surface and 200 hPa, with degrees of freedom of signal (DOFS) being typically ~10. Profiles of H$_2$O (and temperature) produced from Metop-A by the IMS core scheme have been validated against radiosondes in ESA’s Climate Change Initiative (European Space Agency, n.d.) and found to have a systematic bias of ~10%. For CO, on the other hand, measurement information (exclusively from IASI) is sufficient to retrieve only one independent layer with averaging kernels which centre on the mid troposphere ~600 hPa with a full width half medium (FWHM) from ~300–900 hPa, as seen in Figs. S3 and S4. Validation of the IMS-extended CO retrievals, through indirect comparisons using the Copernicus Atmospheric Monitoring Service (CAMS) in which averaging kernels were applied (see the supplement of Pope et al. (2021)), found uncertainty in retrieved CO to be approximately 10%. For O$_3$, averaging kernels peak at a number of levels spanning the troposphere and stratosphere with DOFs generally ranging between 3.0 and 4.0. The lowest peak is seen in Figs. S3 and S4 to be around ~600 hPa with FWHM from ~350–900 hPa. When compared with ozonesondes (Supplementary Sect. S3), O$_3$ retrieved in the mid-troposphere by the IMS-extended scheme is found to differ systematically by up to 20%. The RAL v2.0 IASI scheme retrieves CH$_4$ on a set of coarsely spaced levels, taking as input temperature profiles and surface spectral emissivity pre-retrieved from the same soundings by IMS. Output files also include layer-average mixing ratios and their corresponding averaging kernels, as shown in Figs. S3 and S4. The number of DOFS is greater than 2 in the tropics and drops to below 2 in polar latitudes; the surface–450 hPa layer average is well resolved from layers above. Examples of averaging kernels for H$_2$O, CH$_4$, CO and O$_3$ are shown in Supplementary Sect. S2 (Figs. S3 and S4).

With the exception of H$_2$O, retrieval sensitivity is seen in Figs. S3 and S4 to decrease in the lowest atmosphere as temperature approaches that of the surface and surface-air temperature contrast on which sensitivity depends diminishes. However, in all four cases, averaging kernels for layers centred in the mid-troposphere are well behaved, with peaks around 600–700 hPa and FWHMs contained within the free troposphere, as appropriate for the focus of this study. For straightforward comparison with TOMCAT simulations, use of retrieved MetOp data is further restricted to the 400–800 hPa and 600–700 hPa layers, where averaging kernels peak, rather than applying the averaging kernels to model profiles.

Uncertainty on [OH] calculated with the S-SSA using satellite data is estimated from the systematic errors on the four retrieved species, as described in Supplementary Sect. S5, to be ~23% (Fig. S6).
2.3.3 ATom observations

The ATom mission observed many atmospheric variables, including OH and OHR (Wofsy et al., 2018). NASA’s DC-8 aircraft sampled the atmosphere between 0.2–12 km altitude during four campaigns between 2016–2018, sampling both hemispheres over the Pacific and Atlantic Oceans. We use ATom observations of OH, OHR, CO, CH₄, H₂O and 𝑗₁. We use data from all four campaigns between and 08:00–11:00 local solar time, to compare with the 09:30 MetOp overpass time and the 600–700 hPa pressure range, where the S-SSA agrees best with the full chemistry (see Sect. 3.1). The data are also filtered to remove measurements influenced by stratospheric air (O₃/CO > 1.25) or biomass burning (acetonitrile concentration > 200 ppt), as in Travis et al. (2020). The OH and OHR observations used in this study were made by the ATHOS instrument (Falloon et al., 2004; Brune et al., 2020). The uncertainty on the OH observations from the ATHOS instrument at the 2σ confidence level is ± 35 % and the limit of detection of the OH observations is 0.018 pptv. The uncertainty on the OHR observations from the ATHOS instrument at the 2σ confidence level is ± 0.8 s⁻¹. The NOAA Picarro instrument provides CH₄ and CO observations, with uncertainties of ± 0.7 ppbv and ± 8.9 ppbv, respectively (Karion et al., 2013). The Diode laser hygrometer (DLH) provides H₂O observations with an uncertainty of ± 5 % (Podolske et al., 2003). The NOAA-NO₃ O₃ instrument provides O₃ observations with an average uncertainty of ± 2.0 ppb (Ryerson et al., 2000). The CCD Actinic Flux Spectroradiometers (CAFS) instrument provides 𝑗₁ observations, with an uncertainty of ± 20 % (Shetter and Müller, 1999).

3 Results & Discussion

3.1 Application of the simplified steady-state approximation

3.1.1 Application to model data

We use the TOMCAT output of CO, CH₄, O₃ and H₂O, for 2010 in the S-SSA of OH to determine the validity of this approximation in different regions of the troposphere. Zonal mean [OH] calculated with the S-SSA and modelled TOMCAT [OH] are compared in Fig.1. Table 1 shows the differences to be very large (>90 %) between global mean TOMCAT OH and TOMCAT S-SSA OH at pressures <400 hPa (i.e. upper troposphere and stratosphere). Nearer the surface (>800 hPa) S-SSA overestimates the global mean by 40–50 %.

The mid tropospheric region (400–800 hPa) shows the best agreement with a S-SSA global mean underestimate of ~30 %. In the surface region, the TOMCAT S-SSA OH show much greater peak values than the TOMCAT OH, with values of 10.7 ×10⁶ molecule cm⁻³ (Jan) and 13.7 ×10⁶ molecule cm⁻³ (Jun) for TOMCAT S-SSA OH, compared to values of 5.8 ×10⁶ molecule cm⁻³ (Jan) and 9.3 ×10⁶ molecule cm⁻³ (Jun) for TOMCAT OH. In the mid-troposphere, there are peak values of 9.1 ×10⁶ molecule cm⁻³ (Jan) and 7.1 ×10⁶ molecule cm⁻³ (Jun) for TOMCAT S-SSA OH, compared to peak values of 5.6 ×10⁶ molecule cm⁻³ (Jan) and 8.3 ×10⁶ molecule cm⁻³ (Jun) for TOMCAT OH. Within this mid-tropospheric region, the 600–
700 hPa layer is further investigated, as it shows better agreement in the zonal mean structure and global mean than the larger pressure region, as shown in Table 1. TOMCAT output from 2017 was also applied to the S-SSA with similar results, shown in Supplementary Sect. S6 (Fig. S7). We therefore selected the pressure region 600–700 hPa for investigation because of the best agreement between TOMCAT OH and TOMCAT S-SSA OH in this region. OH in this region contributes to ~15% of mean tropospheric OH. Diagnosis of the model output shows the influence of OH in this region to methane oxidation is slightly larger, with a contribution of ~19% of methane loss weighted OH.

Figure 1: Comparison of TOMCAT OH and S-SSA OH in 2010: (a) TOMCAT OH January, (b) TOMCAT S-SSA OH January, (c) TOMCAT OH June, (d) TOMCAT S-SSA approximation OH June. The dashed lines represent the proposed area of best agreement, 600–700 hPa. The numbers on the right of each plot represent the mass-weighted mean OH in \( \times 10^6 \) molecule cm\(^{-3}\) of the region shown by the dotted lines (from top to bottom): < 400 hPa, between 400–800 hPa, between 800 hPa and the surface.
Table 1: Comparison of global mean TOMCAT OH and S-SSA OH for different pressure ranges. Percentage difference relative to the TOMCAT OH mean given in brackets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure range</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>June</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| < 400 hPa     | -2.68 (-93 %)| -2.93 (-92 %)| 270
| 400–800 hPa   | -0.81 (-32 %)| -0.94 (-29 %)|
| > 800 hPa     | 1.68 (55 %)  | 1.57 (38 %) |
| 600–700 hPa   | -0.81 (-29 %)| -0.87 (-24 %)|

In Supplementary Sect. S7 we apply TOMCAT model data to two more complex steady-state approximations, FC-SSA and Sav-SSA to demonstrate which atmospheric species additional to H₂O, O₃, CO and CH₄ are key to OH production and removal in the pressure ranges, <400 hPa and >800 hPa. We find the reaction of nitric oxide (NO) and the hydroperoxyl radical (HO₂) to be an important missing source at pressures <400 hPa and a range of sink reactions to be important close to the surface (pressures >800 hPa), including nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), dimethyl sulphide (DMS), hydrogen (H₂), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), NO, sulphur dioxide (SO₂), formaldehyde (HCHO) and a combination of hydrocarbons (e.g. alkanes and alkenes). However satellite data for many of these species is not available in the relevant pressure region, and for others e.g. HCHO, NO₂ and SO₂ it is not available from a similar instrument to the species in the S-SSA which would give problems, for example, in combining observations with different vertical resolutions at different locations and times of day.

3.1.2 Application to satellite data

We apply satellite retrieved trace gas data and model j₁ for 2010 to estimate [OH] using the S-SSA in the layer of interest, between 600–700 hPa. The satellite profiles interpolated to this layer are applied on an individual sounding basis for the daytime (~9:30 am local time) overpass. The [OH] estimates are then gridded onto the model grid for comparisons. Figure 2 shows the satellite S-SSA [OH] for 2010. The global [OH] average ranges from 2.1 ×10^6 molecule cm⁻³ (January) to 3.0 ×10^6 molecule cm⁻³ (July). The seasonal variation is clear, with the higher [OH] values e.g. above 5.0 ×10^6 molecule cm⁻³ mostly in the SH during the summer (December-February), with a grid-box maximum value of 11.4 ×10^6 molecule cm⁻³. These larger [OH] concentrations in the tropical region, between 30° S–30° N, appear from March to May, with a grid-box maximum of 11.6 ×10^6 molecule cm⁻³. For June to August the higher [OH] values are mostly in the Northern Hemisphere (NH), with a grid-box maximum of 32.6 ×10^6 molecule cm⁻³. The higher [OH] values are present around the equator and sub-tropics in September to November, with a grid-box maximum of 14.4 ×10^6 molecule cm⁻³.
Figure 2: Satellite S-SSA OH (×10^6 molecule cm^{-3}) averaged for the 600–700 hPa layer in all months of 2010. Global mean OH values (×10^6 molecule cm^{-3}) for this region are labelled for each month.

Figure 3 shows a comparison of TOMCAT, TOMCAT S-SSA, TOMCAT FC-SSA and satellite S-SSA [OH] in January and June 2010. In both months the four estimates are seen to have very similar geographical structures. As expected, TOMCAT [OH] and TOMCAT FC-SSA [OH] show spatial patterns and global averages which are particularly similar (<4 % difference). This good agreement indicates that the use of monthly model data in the steady-state expression matches well with the numerical integration scheme inside the model. The TOMCAT and satellite S-SSA distributions also agree well in both months. Their agreement is closer in January than June, with comparable peaks over NW Australia and S Africa with a TOMCAT [OH] grid-box maximum of 9.7 ×10^6 molecule cm^{-3} and a satellite [OH] grid-box maximum of 10.7 ×10^6 molecule cm^{-3}. The TOMCAT and satellite S-SSA January global average [OH] values are 2.65 and 2.13 ×10^6 molecule cm^{-3}, respectively, so are consistent to ~18 %. In June 2010, TOMCAT and satellite S-SSA distributions have peaks over S Asia and N Africa. Over SE Asia, the TOMCAT and satellite peaks are 15 and 12 ×10^6 molecule cm^{-3}, respectively, and over N
Africa they are 15 and $8 \times 10^6$ molecule cm$^{-3}$, respectively. The TOMCAT distribution also has a peak over N America which is not captured by the satellite S-SSA. The TOMCAT and satellite S-SSA June global average [OH] values are 3.47 and 2.68 molecule cm$^{-3}$, respectively, so are consistent to ~23%. In summary, the monthly mean geographical distributions and global averages derived using the S-SSA (using TOMCAT/satellite data) agree well with those from TOMCAT and TOMCAT FC-S-SSA, indicating the S-SSA offers a useful approach to investigate [OH] behaviour globally in the 600–700 hPa layer. The monthly-mean distributions of satellite-derived S-SSA [OH] agree well with TOMCAT S-SSA although values are generally lower, indicating some inconsistency between TOMCAT and satellite in the distributions of H$_2$O, O$_3$, CO and/or CH$_4$. The same analysis was applied to data from 2017 (Fig. S8) and similar results obtained.

### 3.1.3 Application to aircraft data

To further assess the robustness of the S-SSA, we used OH, CH$_4$, CO, O$_3$, H$_2$O and $j_1$ observations from four ATom campaigns. Figure 4 shows a comparison between [OH] observed by ATom (OH-obvs) and as calculated from ATom H$_2$O, O$_3$, CO and CH$_4$ observations using the S-SSA (OH-calc) where ATom data for all species were available. Across all four ATom campaigns, OH-calc is biased by -27.8% with respect to OH-obvs. This % bias is similar to the uncertainty on OH-obvs of ~35% (Brune et al., 2020). For the four individual campaigns, the % bias is persistently negative, ranging from -23.6 to -50.7%. Across the four campaigns the Pearson’s correlation co-efficient is 0.78, and for the four individual campaigns, the correlation ranges from 0.51 to 0.85.

Figure 5 shows a comparison between zonally-averaged OH-obvs and OH-calc. The left panels show that for OH-obvs, the higher values are predominantly found closer to the equator although exceptions exist e.g. around 45°N in ATom-1. The right panels show that for the majority of latitudes, OH-obvs is larger than OH-calc across all four campaigns, with a few exceptions, mostly in ATom-2 and 4. The deviations range from -9.7 x10$^6$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ to 4.0 x10$^6$ molecule cm$^{-3}$. Generally, they are smallest between 30° S and 90° S, corresponding to the low OH-obvs and OH-calc values in this region. They are higher in 30° S–30° N and 30°–90°N, corresponding to generally higher OH-obvs and OH-calc values near the equator and some large values in the NH mid-latitudes.

As the normalised mean bias between OH-obvs and OH-calc is comparable to the uncertainty on the OH-obvs and the datasets are well correlated, this analysis of the ATom campaigns provides further justification that the use of the S-SSA to further study OH in this pressure range is robust.
Figure 3: 2010 OH comparison in the 600–700 hPa layer: (a) TOMCAT January, (b) TOMCAT June, (c) TOMCAT FC-SSA January, (d) TOMCAT FC-SSA June, (e) TOMCAT S-SSA January, (f) TOMCAT S-SSA June, (g) Satellite S-SSA January and (h) Satellite S-SSA June in units of \( \times 10^6 \) molecule cm\(^{-3} \). Global mean OH values (\( \times 10^6 \) molecule cm\(^{-3} \)) for this atmospheric region are given below each panel.
Figure 4: Comparison between OH-cal and OH-obvs. The left panel shows a combination of ATom-1, ATom-2, ATom-3 and ATom-4. The right four panels show the data split into the individual campaigns. ATom observations are filtered for 600–700 hPa and 08:00–11:00 LT. All data is in units of $\times 10^6$ molecule cm$^{-3}$. Data points in orange are excluded from the analysis, either as an outlier ( $> \text{mean} + 3.0 \text{ standard deviations}$) or below the limit of detection of the ATHOS instrument (0.018 pptv or $0.31 \times 10^6$ molecule cm$^{-3}$) shown by the orange line. Pearson’s correlation co-efficient ($r$), the mean bias (calculated from OH-cal – OH-obvs) and the normalised mean bias (% with respect to OH-obvs) are displayed in the top left corner of each panel.

Figure 6 shows OH-obvs overlayed onto a satellite derived [OH] field averaged across the corresponding days in 2017. There are examples of good agreement between the satellite and OH-obvs in some peak [OH] regions, e.g. off the western coast of Mexico between the equator and 30° N in ATom-1, and also low [OH] regions, e.g. over the North Atlantic ocean in ATom-2. However, there are also examples of poor agreement, e.g. high values in OH-obvs near Alaska and low values in the satellite OH in ATom-3 and 4. Across the four campaigns, the correlation co-efficient ranges from 0.15 to 0.75, and the bias of satellite with respect to ATom ranges from -59.2 % to -32.6 %. Figure 6 highlights the sparse nature of the ATom data in comparison to the satellite [OH] field. Figure 7 shows a comparison between OH-obvs and the nearest value from the averaged satellite [OH] field (OH-sat). The data is coloured by latitude and, as in Fig. 6, indicates OH-sat to be negatively biased with respect to OH-obvs at northern mid–high latitudes, but to a lesser extent at lower latitudes. Across the four campaigns, the values at northern mid–high latitudes (30°–90° N) and the values at lower latitudes (90° S–30° N) show similarly high correlation co-efficients of 0.68, with a small difference of ~5 % for the lower latitudes, and a much larger difference of ~72 % for the higher latitudes.
Figure 5: OH-calc and OH-obvs comparison. Left panels show latitude-averaged OH (ppt) with error bars of 35 %. Right panels show latitude-averaged OH difference between OH-calc and OH-obvs (calc – obvs) with the mean difference (MB) labelled for 3 different latitude regions marked by the dashed lines (90°–30° S, 30° S–30° N and 30°–90° N). All data is in units of \( \times10^6 \) molecule cm\(^{-3} \). ATom observations are filtered for 600–700 hPa and 08:00–11:00 LT.

### 3.2 OH reactivity

As described in Sect. 2.2, OHR observations can potentially be used to check the denominator of a steady-state approximation, in this case a simplified expression of OHR (Eq. (5)). Supplementary Sect. S8 (Figs. S12 and S13) discusses our comparisons between ATom OHR observations (OHR-obvs) and ATom data used in the simplified expression for OHR (OHR-calc). Although ~80 % of calculated OHR values fell within the range of measurement uncertainty, the estimated error on OHR measurements (0.8 s\(^{-1} \)) was too large to find any correlation with calculated OHR \((r = -0.02)\). The bias in calculated OHR varied between -57 % to +20 % over the four campaigns and the average bias in calculated OHR (-37 %) over the four campaigns (Fig. S13) is compatible with the (-28 %) bias in S-SSA [OH]. Several studies (Thames et al., 2020; Travis et al.,...
2020) have quantified “missing OH reactivity” in the boundary layer in detail, however, our analysis of ATom [OH] and OHR measurements demonstrates the S-SSA to estimate [OH] with an accuracy of ~30% in the 600-700 hPa layer.

3.3 OH temporal variation

Satellite data in conjunction with the S-SSA presented in previous sections provides a mean to examine global [OH] temporal variation. We use satellite data produced on a sub-sampled basis from 2008–2017 and the S-SSA, together with fixed annual model $j_i$ distributions from the TOMCAT model for a fixed year (2010). Figure 8 shows the time series of global, NH, SH and tropical (15° S–15° N) OH monthly anomalies with respect to the 2008-2017 mean for each month for the 600–700 hPa layer. Similar plots in the supplement show % anomalies for the input species and temperature (Figs. S14–S18). During this time period the [OH] varies between about -0.2 and +0.2 ×10^6 molecule cm^{-3} for the global, NH and SH averages and around -0.4 and +0.6 ×10^6 molecule cm^{-3} for the tropical average. Aside from a few exceptions, the global, NH, SH and tropical average follow a similar pattern. Notable positive anomalies (values given for the tropical band, in units of ×10^6 molecule cm^{-3}) occur in mid-2010 (+0.32), the end of 2012 and beginning of 2013 (+0.57), mid-2015 (+0.15) and mid-2016 (+0.15). Notable negative anomalies occur in mid-2009 (-0.29), 2011 to mid-2012 (-0.39), end of 2015 and beginning of 2016 (-0.21) and the end of 2017 (-0.23). Annually, the global annual mean [OH] anomaly ranges from -3.1 % to +4.4 % and the tropics anomaly ranges from around -7.0 to +7.7 %. This behaviour is broadly similar to other studies of [OH] variability using MCF observations and chemistry transport models, which find a range of around -6 to +6 % for global [OH] anomaly during this time period (although our assessment is limited to a specific pressure range, so the comparison cannot be direct) (Patra et al., 2021).

Figure 9 shows contrasting behaviour of the three sink terms during the time period 2008–2017. It shows that in the 600–s700 hPa layer, CO is the dominant sink term, ranging between 0.20–0.45 s^{-1}, with the CH₄ sink having the next largest contribution between 0.10–0.15 s^{-1} and the O₃ sink having the smallest contribution at around 0.04 s^{-1}. The comparatively large size of the CO sink, indicates that variation in CO is likely to dominate the variation in the total sink term. The CO sink is consistently lower in the SH than NH, with largest difference (~0.2 s^{-1}) in the first half of the year. The CH₄ and O₃ sinks show negligible difference between SH and NH, therefore the CO sink will have a lower percentage contribution in the SH. These findings are consistent with those from aircraft measurements below 3 km in Travis et al. (2020) and from model data in the free troposphere in Lelieveld et al., (2016). Satellite CH₄ shows a positive trend of 4.5 ppb yr^{-1} throughout this time period (Fig. S16). However, as seen in Fig. 9, when the rate constant is applied, the CH₄ sink term shows very little variation, with no evidence of the positive trend in CH₄ concentrations having a significant impact. The source term (numerator of Eq. (4)) varies between 5–15 ×10^5 molecule cm^{-3} s^{-1} for the global, NH and SH averages, while for the tropical band it ranges between 15–28 ×10^5 molecule cm^{-3} s^{-1}.
Figure 6: Satellite OH for four periods in 2017 corresponding to A1 to A4 (ATom-1 to ATom-4, 2016-2018) with ATom OH observations (OH-obvs) overlayed on top as coloured circles. ATom observations are filtered for 600–700 hPa and 08:00–11:00 LT. All data is in units of ×10^6 molecule cm^{-3}. The Pearson’s correlation co-efficient (r), mean bias (calculated from the nearest satellite grid cell – OH-obvs) and the normalised mean bias (% with respect to OH-obvs) are displayed at the bottom of each panel. All data is in ×10^6 molecule cm^{-3}. 
Figure 7: Comparison between OH-obvs and OH-sat (nearest satellite OH value to ATom observation from averaged 2017 satellite OH grid). The left panel shows a combination of ATom-1, ATom-2, ATom-3 and ATom-4. The right four panels show the data split into the individual campaigns. ATom observations are filtered for 600–700 hPa and 08:00–11:00 LT. All data are in units of ×10^6 molecule cm^{-3}. Data points in orange are not included in analysis, either as an outlier (> mean + 3.0 standard deviations) or below the limit of detection of the ATHOS instrument (0.018 pptv or 0.31 ×10^6 molecule cm^{-3}) shown by the orange line. Pearson’s correlation co-efficient (r), the mean bias (calculated from OH-sat – OH-obvs) and the normalised mean bias (% with respect to OH-obvs) are displayed in the top left corner of each panel for 3 different latitude ranges: all latitudes, 90°S–30°N and 30°–90°N, respectively. The values are coloured by latitude as shown on the colour bar.

Figure 10 shows the temporal anomaly, relative to the 2008–2017 mean, of the balance between source and sink terms in the approximation and the derived OH concentration. The positive anomalies in mid-2010, end of 2012 and beginning of 2013, mid-2015 and mid-2016 coincide with the positive anomalies in the source term, driven by O_3 (O_3 anomalies are shown in Fig. S18), and smaller or close to zero anomalies in the sink terms. The negative anomalies in mid-2009, 2011 to mid-2012, and end of 2017 can be explained by a negative anomaly in the source term, again driven by O_3, and a small or close to zero anomaly in the sink term. The negative anomalies at the end of 2015 and beginning of 2016 can be explained by a very large positive sink term anomaly, despite the large positive source term anomaly. This large positive anomaly in the sink term corresponds to a large positive anomaly of CO in most latitudes (Fig. S17), with maximum anomaly ~12% globally and ~20% in the tropics. The 2015–2016 El Niño event is the likely cause of this CO anomaly, due to a large increase in global fire emissions (Huijnen et al., 2016). As shown in Fig. 10d, the event started at the end of 2014, peaked at the end of 2015 with a maximum Multivariate ENSO Index (MEI.v2) value of +2.2, and ended in May 2016 (Liu et al., 2017; NOAA, 2021).
Figure 8: Monthly mean satellite OH anomaly (2008–2017): (a) 15° latitude bins and (b) 3-month average global, NH, SH and tropics means. All data is in \( \times 10^6 \) molecule cm\(^{-3} \). Anomaly is relative to a 2008–2017 average.

As the combined source term is a dominant driver of OH variability, it is useful to distinguish the relative importance of O\(_3\) and water vapour in driving this variability. To do this, we repeat the source term calculation (numerator in Eq. (4)) but using a fixed value of O\(_3\) or water vapour, respectively. These fixed values are derived from the average value for each month across the full 2008–2017 time series. If the source term anomaly time series derived using a fixed water vapour value can reproduce the original anomaly time series (i.e. Fig. 10b), this would demonstrate that variability of water vapour is not important in comparison to that of O\(_3\) or vice versa (Fig. 11). Our results show that when water vapour is fixed (varying O\(_3\)) in the source term anomaly, 67.5 % of the variability (i.e. \( R^2=0.675 \)) in the original source term can be explained on the global scale (Fig. 11c). When O\(_3\) is fixed to a constant monthly value (varying water vapour), the \( R^2 \) value drops to 0.169 with only 16.9 % of the variability in the original source term anomaly explained by this time series (Fig. 11b). Therefore,
this demonstrates that variations in O$_3$ are the primary driver in the source term and therefore the OH variability using the S-SSA in this altitude range and time period.

Figure 9: Temporal variability in the components of the S-SSA approximation (2008–2017). Global, NH, SH and tropical average time series for: (a) $k_{O_3+OH}[O_3]$, (b) $k_{CO+OH}[CO]$, (c) $k_{CH_4+OH}[CH_4]$ and (d) $2j_1k_1[O_3][H_2O]/(k_{N_2+O(1D)}[N_2]+k_{O_2+O(1D)}[O_2])$. 
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Figure 10. Temporal variability in OH anomaly and anomalies of the numerator (source) and denominator (sink) lines of the steady-state approximation in Eq. (4) (2008–2017). Global, NH, SH and tropical average time series for: (a) OH anomaly, (b) \(2\text{[O]}\text{[H}_2\text{O}]\times(k_{\text{N}_2\text{O}+\text{OID}}[\text{N}_2]+k_{\text{O}_2\text{+OID}}[\text{O}_2])\) (total source term) anomaly, (c) \(k_{\text{CO}+\text{OH}}[\text{CO}] + k_{\text{CH}_4+\text{OH}}[\text{CH}_4] + k_{\text{O}_3\text{+OH}[\text{O}_3]}\) (total sink term) anomaly and (d) Bimonthly Multivariate ENSO index (NOAA, 2021). Anomalies are relative to a 2008–2017 average.
Figure 11. Global, NH, SH and tropical average time series (2008–2017) for: (a) OH S-SSA source anomaly, (b) OH S-SSA source anomaly calculated with fixed monthly O\textsubscript{3} concentrations (source fixed-O\textsubscript{3}) and (c) OH S-SSA source anomaly calculated with fixed monthly water vapour concentrations (source fixed-wv). Fixed O\textsubscript{3}/water vapour calculated as monthly average across the time period. Anomalies are relative to a 2008–2017 average. Values in the top right of panel b represent the R\textsuperscript{2} value between the OH S-SSA source anomaly and the source fixed-O\textsubscript{3} anomaly and in the top right of panel c represent the R\textsuperscript{2} values between the OH S-SSA source anomaly and the source fixed-wv anomaly. All data is in units of \times 10\textsuperscript{5} molecule cm\textsuperscript{-3} s\textsuperscript{-1}.

4 Conclusions

Due to its short photochemical lifetime, steady-state approximations are able to represent tropospheric OH concentrations well, depending on the complexity of the expression used and the atmospheric pressure range to which they are applied. The terms in the steady-state approximation also allow us to quantify components which contribute to the OH budget. A simplified steady-state approximation (S-SSA) can be constructed which contains terms based on trace gases observed by satellite. Results from the TOMCAT 3D chemical transport model show that this should be a good approximation to [OH] in the 600–700 hPa layer in terms of magnitude (~20 % underestimate in the global mean [OH] comparison to full chemistry)
and spatial distribution. This atmospheric layer is above the boundary layer where \([\text{OH}]\) is substantially affected by many pollutants which are not measured by satellite and therefore invalidate the S-SSA. We have tested the S-SSA in the 600–700 hPa layer using data from four ATom campaigns and found that it tracked measured \([\text{OH}]\) with a correlation of \(r = 0.78\) and a mean bias of \(~30\,\%\), similar to the \(35\,\%\) estimated uncertainty on the OH observations. Measurements of OH reactivity (OHR) allow the denominator of the S-SSA expression to be considered in addition and found to be consistent with an S-SSA \([\text{OH}]\) accuracy of \(~30\,\%\) in the 600–700 hPa layer.

The S-SSA approach allows us to demonstrate how a multi-year record of satellite observations can be used to examine interannual variability in tropospheric \([\text{OH}]\). Using \(\text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{O}_3, \text{CO}\) and \(\text{CH}_4\) data retrieved from MetOp-A observations for 2008-17 we find the global annual mean \([\text{OH}]\) anomaly to range from \(-3.1\,\%\) to \(+4.4\,\%\). The influence of important terms in the \([\text{OH}]\) budget was also derived, demonstrating the balance between the source and sink terms over time. Variation in the S-SSA \([\text{OH}]\) was found to be determined primarily by the combined source term, driven by \(\text{O}_3\), and by the CO sink term. In the tropics, OH variation reflected that of \(\text{O}_3\) (peaks in 2008, 2010 and the largest in 2013) along with the positive CO anomaly associated with the strong El Niño event in 2015/16. Overall, we have demonstrated a novel and robust methodology, using satellite observations and a simple steady-state approach, to estimate mid-troposphere \([\text{OH}]\), which can complement existing methods to measure \([\text{OH}]\) (i.e. the limited network of surface sites, infrequent flight campaigns and the MCF-type approach to estimate global mean \([\text{OH}]\)). Most importantly though, the approach here will provide the scientific community with a global observational constraint on mid-tropospheric \([\text{OH}]\) and help future studies assess the \([\text{OH}]\) impacts on important air quality (e.g. \(\text{O}_3\) and \(\text{NO}_2\)) and climate (e.g. \(\text{CH}_4\)) trace gases.
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