Model-based insights into aerosol perturbation on pristine continental convective precipitation
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Abstract

The Tibetan Plateau (TP) is of great importance for weather and climate due to its role as a heat and water resource. Relatively clean aerosol conditions over the Plateau make the study on the aerosol-cloud-precipitation interactions in this pristine continental region distinctive. In order to investigate the impacts of aerosols on small-scale convection processes over the TP, a convective event with precipitation observed on 24 July 2014 in Naqu was selected to explore the influence of aerosols on the onset and intensity of precipitation. We use the Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications Version 2 (MERRA-2) reanalysis to derive the cloud condensation nuclei (CCN), which can be regarded as the real-time background. These values are adopted to initialize the regional WRF 4.0 meteorological model and to simulate the onset of convective events and the formation of precipitation. Four sets of experiments, named clean (1/10 CCN), control (default setting), Tibetan Plateau (real CCN calculated from MERRA-2 analysis), and polluted (10 times CCN), were adopted for our simulations. A detailed analysis of microphysical processes shows that, with the increase in the aerosol number concentration, the conversion rate of cloud water to rain in clouds is first enhanced. Under polluted situations, the conversion process of cloud water to rain is suppressed; however, the transformation of cloud water to graupel and the development of convective clouds are favored. As a result, the onset of the precipitation is delayed and cold-rain intensity increases.
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Highlights:

● The evolution of convective events on the pristine continental under different atmospheric aerosol burden are examined.
● With the increase in the aerosol number concentration, the conversion of cloud water to rain in clouds is first enhanced.
● Under polluted situations, the onset of the convective precipitation is delayed and the cold-rain intensity increases.
1. Introduction

The role of aerosol particles on the formation of convective clouds and related precipitation remains a matter of extensive scientific investigations (Andreae et al., 2004; Fan et al., 2013; Freud and Rosenfeld, 2012; Li et al., 2011; Rosenfeld et al., 2008; Sun and Zhao, 2021; Tao et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2020). Due to the complexity of the processes involved, the treatment of convective cloud formation in weather forecast models remains uncertain, especially for the regions with insufficient observational data. The Tibetan Plateau (TP) represents a relative clean region, in which the aerosol optical depth baseline value is similar or even lower than that in the Arctic and remote ocean areas (Pokharel et al., 2019). However, even though the TP is regarded as a pristine continent, it is occasionally perturbed by the intrusion of dust particles originating in the surrounding deserts and by black carbon particles produced by biomass burning in the regions of South Asia and part of Africa (Zhu et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2020). The analysis presented here in the climate-sensitive and environmentally fragile continental TP characterized by frequent convective events, will hopefully be of interest for similar investigations to be conducted in other areas of the world, which is about the aerosol perturbations on pristine continental.

The Tibetan Plateau, with an average elevation of more than 4000 meters, covers approximately a quarter of the Chinese territory (Wu et al., 2007; Yao et al., 2012). It greatly influences weather and climate in East Asia and even globally due to its unique geographical location and topography-induced thermal and dynamical effects (Pokharel et al., 2019). The water vapor balance on the TP directly affects the water cycle over a large area of the plateau and the surrounding areas (Duan et al., 2012; Fu et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2018). Convection on the Tibetan plateau is characterized by high frequency but low intensity activity (Gao et al., 2016). Aerosols can act as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and ice nuclei (IN) that affect cloud microphysical processes and thermal and dynamical conditions (IPCC, 2013; Redemann et al., 2021; Stevens et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2021). Relatively clean conditions with low levels of background aerosols, frequent convection and induced precipitation make the study of aerosols’ impact on convective precipitation over the TP distinctive.

Aerosol observational sites over the TP are sparse. Ground-based observations include (1) the two stations of the Automated Aerosol Observation Network (AERONET) in Nam Co and Qomolangma (QOMS), (2) the stations of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ from the China Air Quality Online Monitoring and Analysis Platform (CAWNET) of the Ministry of Environmental Protection at the
seven stations of Linzhi, Ali, Lhasa, Changdu, Naqu, Shannan, and Shigatse, and (3) the concentrations of PM$_1$ at four stations from China Meteorological Administration (CMA) Observation Network at Gongga, Lhasa, Xining, and Shangri-La. The monitoring of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ on the TP were initiated in January 2013 at Lhasa, in January 2015 at Ali and Naqu, and in January 2017 at Changdu, Shannan, Shigatse, and Linzhi. The CMA recorded PM$_1$ data at Gonga, Lhasa, and Shangri-La data from January 2014 to December 2018, and at Xining, starting in 2018. CMA used a GRIMM Model 1.180 aerosol spectrometer with observations every five minutes at wavelengths ranging from 1 μm to 10 μm. A decade of measurements of aerosol optical properties at two AERONET stations, Nam Co and QOMS on the Tibetan Plateau, shows that aerosol optical depth (AOD) values were maximum in spring and minimum in autumn. Due to the anisotropic reflection of the unique geographical surface in TP, the satellite retrieval of aerosol properties is difficult (Zhao et al., 2020). The main aerosol types on the Tibetan Plateau were further identified as continental background, biomass burning, and dust (Pokharel et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2020). Satellite observations from March to June indicate that aerosols are transported from South Asia to the region close to the Himalaya (Liu et al., 2008). In summer, aerosols from Northwest China and Central Asia are transported to the northern Tibetan Plateau (Huang et al., 2007). In general, aerosol conditions over the TP correspond mainly to a background situation. However, incoming pollution from South/East Asia under the influence of the summer monsoon can cause relatively high disturbances in the area of the Tibetan Plateau.

Among the studies conducted in development over the TP, are the Third Tibetan Plateau Atmospheric Scientific Experiment (TIPEX-II and TIPEX-III), initiated jointly by the China Meteorological Administration (CMA), the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), and the National Natural Scientific Foundation of China (NSFC) (Zhao et al., 2018), and the Third Pole Environment (TPE) Program, which was initially proposed and agreed upon by several participants from China, India, Germany, Japan, Italy, Nepal, the Netherlands, Norway, Pakistan, US, Canada, Tajikistan, and Switzerland (Yao et al., 2012). These studies highlighted the role of aerosol characteristics and related impact on cloud and precipitation in TP in relation with weather and climate modification due to East Asia and South Asia anthropogenic emissions, and dust mobilization in the Taklamakan Desert (Kang et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2015), but also in relation with further impacts on the weather system in the downstream regions, e.g. Yangtze Delta region, or/and Sichuan Basin (Lau et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020; Zhao et al.,...
2018; Zhao et al., 2020). It has been shown that cloud cover and radiation effects in pristine regions are particularly sensitive to aerosols (Garrett et al., 2006). Further, aerosols on the Tibetan Plateau can affect weather and climate directly by absorbing and scattering solar radiation, and indirectly by modifying the nature of the clouds. Using a cloud-resolving weather research and forecasting model, Zhou et al. (2017) found that the increase in the aerosol load over the plateau not only contributes to enhanced updrafts in clouds, but also transports a larger number of ice phase particles to the upper troposphere. Based on satellite observations and the reanalysis of the dataset, Liu et al. (2019) studied the effect of aerosols on clouds over the Tibetan Plateau and the effect of dust-contaminated convective clouds on precipitation in downstream areas. They identified an effect of Taklamakan dust on convective clouds, which in turn causes heavy rainfall in downstream areas. However, one should highlight that there are still some uncertainties in the satellite retrievals. The findings of aerosol-related studies require situation-specific analyses since the northern and southern parts of the Tibetan Plateau are characterized by different aerosol backgrounds and composition with different climate systems and meteorological conditions. Using the aerosol spectral radiative transfer model (SPRINTARS) and the non-hydrostatic Icosahedral Atmospheric Model (NICAM), Liu et al. (2020) found that dust aerosol transported from the Taklamakan desert delayed the onset of heavy rainfall in the northern Tibetan Plateau by 12 hours through the indirect aerosol-cloud interaction, and enhanced the precipitation in the northern region. Aerosols may also influence the Asian monsoon by affecting snow melting trends and TP surface temperature, which in turn affects precipitation (Lee et al., 2013). The aerosol impact in the teleconnection between the “heat-pump” effect (Wu et al., 2016) and the stronger convection and precipitation in the TP and downstream regions highlight the importance of aerosol perturbation, which need therefore to be accounted for in the weather forecasting models (Liu et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2020).

Although after decades of efforts, our awareness of Tibetan Plateau aerosols and related weather impact gradually increased, the confidence of current knowledge on aerosols over the TP still needs further observational evidence, more in-depth physical analyse and model investigations. In order to gain understanding on the formation of small-scale convection and related precipitation, we analyze here a particular event that took place in Naqu (92.067° E, 31.483° N) on 24 July 2014. As observational data are sparse, we use the Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications Version 2 (MERRA-2) reanalysis to derive the cloud condensation nuclei, which can be regarded as the real-time background. These values are adopted to initialize the regional WRF
4.0 meteorological model and to simulate the onset of convective events and the formation of precipitation. Vertical soundings provide data on the state of the background atmosphere. The purpose of the present study is to use available information in this region of the Tibetan Plateau to assess the dependence of the evolution of convective events on the pristine continental under different background atmospheric aerosol burden. Since data in the region of the Tibetan Plateau are sparse, the study relies heavily on model simulations, and the outcome should therefore be regarded a preliminary and partial attempt to investigate a possible relationship between aerosol and convective precipitation in this region. This methodology could then be applied in other regions of the world with similar background environments.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 introduces the data and the methodology that are adopted in the study; it also describes the convection event under investigation and presents the experimental design for the numerical simulations. Section 3 compares the microphysical process that characterize the different model experiments. Section 4 presents a summary and the conclusions.

2. Data and methods

2.1 Data

2.1.1 MERRA-2 data

MERRA-2, a long-term global reanalysis that assimilates space-based observations of aerosols (Randles et al., 2017), is an upgrade of the offline aerosol analysis data MERRAero based on the GOCART model (Chin et al., 2002). GOCART emission sources include aerosols and gases from biomass burning, fossil fuel combustion, natural emission sources (ocean, volcanic eruptions, dust), etc. (Chin et al., 2013). The bias-revised AOD is obtained from the observations by the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS). Cloud-filtered Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) AOD data are used as input in a neural network to integrate Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) radiances into the bias-corrected AOD. The MERRA-2 Aerosol reanalysis data are additionally included in the NASA Earth Observing System (EOS), NOAA Polar Operational Environmental Satellites (POES), and ground-based observations (Randles et al., 2017). Note that uncertainties are incurred when satellite retrievals...
are used over the TP, due to the complicated reflection of the land surface (Yang et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020; Jiang et al., 2022). The dataset used in the present paper is the MERRA-2 aerosol mixing ratio data MERRA-2 inst3_3d_aer_Nv for 23 July 2014, with a spatial resolution of 0.625° x 0.5° (longitude, latitude) on 72 vertical layers and with a temporal resolution of 3 hours.

2.1.2 Precipitation and sounding data

The Station-Satellite combined 0.1° x 0.1° hourly precipitation data (Shen et al., 2014) are provided by the China Meteorological Administration Information Center, while the ground precipitation observations are obtained from the Naqu automatic station. Note that some unrealistic rainfall centers are depicted over western China due to the sparse automatic weather station network (Shen et al., 2014). The sounding data are taken from the China Meteorological Data Network National Meteorological Science Data Center (http://data.cma.cn).

2.2 Method

2.2.1 The calculation for cloud condensation nuclei (CCN)

In the Thompson Aerosol-aware scheme (Thompson and Eidhammer, 2014), the number concentration of cloud droplets is not fixed, but is derived from a series of calculations and look-up tables of the CCN and IN input calculated from the mixing ratio of different aerosol species. This scheme takes into account the activation of cloud condensation nuclei to form cloud droplets. Further, the aerosol background mixing ratios are used to calculate the cloud droplet number concentration. The input MERRA-2 inst3_3d_aer_Nv data contains the following variables: mass mixing ratios of sea salt (SS, five bins), sulfate (SO$_4$), organic carbon (OC), black carbon (BC), and dust (DU, five bins). The characteristic particle sizes, density parameters, and particle size ranges were obtained with reference to the aerosol radius distribution file of MERRA-2 (Chin et al., 2002). We assume that dust particles larger than 0.5 µm are ice-friendly aerosols and that all remaining aerosol species except black carbon are water-friendly aerosols. The aerosol number concentrations are calculated at the WRF pre-processing stage by assuming a log-normal distribution with characteristic diameter and geometric standard deviation in the concentration (Thompson and Eidhammer, 2014). Since the aerosol radius distribution file of MERRA-2 provides the particle size intervals for different bins of sea salt and dust particles, the integration...
of the probability density function is determined between the lower and the upper limits of the radius. The details of the aerosol parameters are shown in Table 1.

### Table 1 Aerosol particle radius, standard deviation, and density

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aerosol type</th>
<th>Density (kg m⁻³)</th>
<th>Mean radius (μm)</th>
<th>Radius lower (μm)</th>
<th>Radius upper (μm)</th>
<th>Standard deviation (μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>2.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic carbon</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>2.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>2.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2650</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.800</td>
<td>2.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust (5 bins)</td>
<td>2650</td>
<td>2.400</td>
<td>1.800</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>2.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2650</td>
<td>4.500</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>6.000</td>
<td>2.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2650</td>
<td>8.000</td>
<td>6.000</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>2.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea salt (5 bins)</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>2.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>2.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>1.119</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>2.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>2.818</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>2.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>7.772</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>2.030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total mass density calculation equation is derived by:

\[
\int_{r_{lower}}^{r_{upper}} N \frac{1}{r \ln \sigma_g \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[\frac{-1}{2\ln^2 \sigma_g} \left(\ln r - \ln r_g\right)^2\right] \frac{4}{3} \pi r^3 \rho dr = M
\]

where \(N\) is the number concentration, \(r\) is the integral radius, \(\sigma_g\) is the geometric standard deviation, and \(r_g\) is the median radius. The probability density integral for selected bin needs to be multiplied to the probability \(P\) in the corresponding bin, and it is calculated as:

\[
P = \frac{\int_{r_{lower}}^{r_{upper}} \frac{1}{r \ln \sigma_g \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[\frac{-1}{2\ln^2 \sigma_g} \left(\ln r - \ln r_g\right)^2\right] dr}{\int_{0}^{r_{upper}} \frac{1}{r \ln \sigma_g \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[\frac{-1}{2\ln^2 \sigma_g} \left(\ln r - \ln r_g\right)^2\right] dr}
\]

Since ice-friendly aerosols contain only dust aerosol particles with a radius greater than 0.5 μm, the percentage of particles with a radius greater than 0.5 μm of the total number of particles in the interval is also calculated after the number concentration is derived for the first dust bin. The
number concentration of ice-friendly aerosol $N_i$ and of water-friendly aerosol concentration $N_w$ are calculated by Eq. (3) and (4), respectively:

$$ N_i = N_{dust1} \times P(r_{lower} = 0.5 \mu m) + \sum_{i=2}^{5} N_{dusti} $$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$ N_w = N_{SO_4} + N_{OC} + \sum_{i=1}^{5} N_{ssi} $$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Here $N_{dusti}$ is the number concentration of dust aerosol particles for five specific bins, $N_{SO_4}$ is the sulfate number concentration, $N_{OC}$ is the organic carbon number concentration, and $N_{ssi}$ is the number concentration of sea salt particles for five specific bins. The data are interpolated to the simulation area, and finally written to the WRF Pre-Processing System (WPS).

### 2.2.2 Case selection

The convective precipitation in Naqu on 24 July 2014 is selected for simulation. A mesoscale precipitation event with a large-scale impact occurred in the central plateau, while the center of the precipitation area was concentrated in the southern part of the central plateau. The elevation of the central plateau ranges from 4600 to 5200 meters. As shown in Fig.1, Naqu is located at the northern edge of this precipitation, and the 24-hour accumulated precipitation amount in Naqu reaches 5.8 mm. On 24 July, the hourly precipitation amount in 07:00 (UTC) at Naqu station reached 4.7 mm, which is of medium intensity.

![Figure 1. 24-hour accumulated precipitation in Tibet and the hourly precipitation in Naqu on 24 July 2014.](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2022-715)
From the sounding data map at 00:00 UTC (08:00 at Beijing Time) on 24 July 2014 (Fig. 2a), the temperature dew point difference in Naqu (red solid line minus green solid line) was less than 4°C, which means that a wet layer was formed between 400-500 hPa. A relatively dry area was present above 300 hPa, and the whole layer formed an "inverted trumpet" with a dry upper layer superimposed on a wet lower layer, which is conducive of producing an unstable development of convection. In Fig. 2b, which corresponds to 12:00 UTC (20:00 at Beijing Time) on the same day, the relative humidity of the air in the upper troposphere increased significantly and the relative dry layer disappeared; the whole atmosphere was in a near-saturated state and gradually became stable. This suggests that the convection developed during 00:00 UTC to 12:00 UTC on 24 July 2014.

Figure 2. T-logP sounding data from Naqu station at (a) 00:00 UTC and (b) 12:00 UTC on 24 July 2014 (black solid line: temperature-pressure curve (laminar curve); green solid line: dew point pressure curve; red solid line: state curve; grey solid line (diagonal): isotherm; grey solid line (straight): isobaric line; blue dashed line: wet adiabatic line; red dashed line: dry adiabatic line; green dashed line: saturation mixing ratio; light blue dashed line: 0°C isotherm).

2.2.3 Model setup

The Weather Research Forecast (WRF) model is one of the most commonly used meteorological research and numerical weather forecasting systems. It provides users with a wide choice of formulations for atmospheric processes, and can run on a variety of computer platforms (http://www2.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users/). The model version used in this paper is WRF-V4.0, and the basic model settings are shown in Table 2. The integration of 24 hours starts at 00:00 UTC on
24 July 2014. A triple nesting grid with spacing of 25 km, 5 km and 1 km, respectively, and an integration step of 60 seconds are applied, as shown in Fig.3. The precipitation in the 0.1°x 0.1° area around Naqu (31.4°-31.5° N, 92.0°-92.1° E, area A) is examined in our detailed analysis.

**Table 2 Model basic settings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model basic settings</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model version</td>
<td>WRF 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial field</td>
<td>FNL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation period</td>
<td>24 July 2014 00:00 - 25 July 2014 00:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step length</td>
<td>60 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of nesting levels</td>
<td>3 levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid size</td>
<td>25:5:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center point</td>
<td>Latitude: 28.0° N, Longitude: 92.0° E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3.** Color-filled map of the height field for simulated region (area A is marked with black rectangle, and area B is marked with red rectangle).

The simulation uses the RRTMG long-wave and short-wave radiation scheme (Iacono et al., 2008), the Mellor-Yamada-Janjic planetary boundary layer scheme (Dyer et al., 1970), the Eta similarity near-surface layer scheme, and the Noah-MP land surface scheme (Niu et al., 2011). The Grell-Freitas cumulus convective parameterization scheme (Grell et al., 2013) is adopted for the outer two grids while the cumulus scheme is turned off in the inner grid. The physical parameter schemes are shown in Table 3. The microphysical scheme selected in this paper is the Thompson
aerosol-aware scheme (Thompson et al., 2014), in which the default is set as the control run (Control); the Clean and Polluted schemes multiply the default cloud condensation nuclei number by 1/10 and 10 times, respectively; the TP uses the MERRA-2 aerosols on 24 July 2014. The experimental settings are described in Table 4.

Table 3 Physical parameter scheme settings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical parameter scheme settings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microphysical scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long wave radiation scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortwave radiation scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planetary boundary layer scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulus parameterization scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thompson aerosol-aware scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRTMG Longwave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRTMG Shortwave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noah-MP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mellor-Yamada-Janjic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grell-Freitas (the inner layer turns off)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Experimental settings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Microphysical settings</th>
<th>Settings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>’use_aero_icbc’ is set to false</td>
<td>Default NaCCN setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean</td>
<td>’use_aero_icbc’ is set to false</td>
<td>1/10*NaCCN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polluted</td>
<td>’use_aero_icbc’ is set to false</td>
<td>10*NaCCN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP (slightly polluted)</td>
<td>’use_aero_icbc’ is set to true</td>
<td>MERRA-2 aerosol reanalysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results

3.1 Aerosol and cloud analysis

Figure 4 compares the spatial distribution of the vertically averaged water-friendly aerosol number concentration from (a) clean, (b) control, (c) TP, and (d) polluted cases at 00:00 on 24 July 2014. It shows that, at the simulation start time, the number concentration of the water-friendly aerosols in TP simulation (Fig. 4c) is almost 2 times than that of default simulation (Fig. 4b), which can be regarded as slightly polluted situation. In this way, the dependence of the evolution of the convective event that took place in Naqu (92.067° E, 31.483° N) on 24 July 2014, are examined under different background atmospheric aerosol burden, which are almost 1/10, 1 time, 2 times,
10 times of the default CCN setting for Clean, Control, TP (slightly polluted), and Polluted, respectively.

**Figure 4.** Vertically averaged water-friendly aerosol number concentration from (a) clean, (b) control, (c) TP, and (d) polluted cases at 00:00 on 24 July 2014. The dot represents the position of Naqu.

Since the precipitation is interrupted at 11:00 UTC (Fig. 1), the analysis focuses on the vertical distribution of the hydrometeor categories from 00:00 to 11:00 UTC on 24 July 2014. The column content of each water condensate averaged between 00:00 and 11:00 UTC is represented in Fig. 5. This figure shows that the content of liquid phase water condensate (Fig. 5a and Fig. 5b) is significantly higher than the ice phase condensate (Fig. 5c and Fig. 5d) in both clean and polluted scenarios. It indicates that the event here is a warm-based mixed phase convective cloud, and the analysis of the vertically pointing Ka-band cloud radar observation at Naqu (Cheng et al., 2021)
also validates. The difference of column mass between the liquid phase and ice phase content in the clean (Fig. 5e) and polluted (Fig. 5f) scenarios near Naqu was found to be generally positive, indicating that the warm cloud process was dominant in this region during the precipitation episode. When shifting from clean to polluted situations, the ice phase water condensate increases significantly near Naqu. The regional mean value of this quantity increases by about 37.03% (i.e., from 7.94 g m$^{-2}$ to 10.88 g m$^{-2}$), while the regional mean value of liquid phase water content increases by only 8.45% (i.e., from 13.49 g m$^{-2}$ to 14.63 g m$^{-2}$). This result highlights the importance of a clean to polluted transition for the ice phase water condensate.
Figure 5. Column content of the vertically integrated mass of (a) liquid phase water condensate for clean simulation, (b) liquid phase water condensate for polluted simulation, (c) ice phase water condensate for clean simulation, and (d) ice phase water condensate for polluted simulation. The difference of column integrated mass between liquid phase and ice phase condensate averaged from 00:00 to 11:00 on 24 July 2014 for (e) clean, and (f) polluted simulations, units: kg m$^{-2}$. 
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The mean precipitation in the 0.1 ° x 0.1 ° area surrounding Naqu (31.4-31.5° N, 92.0-92.1° E, area A) is selected for a time series analysis. Figure 6 shows that the precipitation starts at 06:00 and the hourly maximum precipitation occurs at 08:00. Afterwards, the precipitation intensity gradually decreases and ends up at 11:00. All four simulations show a decreasing precipitation rate occurring after 09:00. The maximum precipitation intensity is predicted to happen at 07:00 in the clean and TP simulations; it occurs at 08:00 and at 09:00 in the control and the polluted simulations, respectively. The timing of the maximum precipitation rate is delayed and the precipitation intensity is enhanced as air pollution heavily increases. Comparing the simulation results for clean and polluted conditions, we find that the time at which precipitation starts occurs later in polluted air than in relative clean situation. However, the amount of precipitation was significantly enhanced. This suggests that an increase in atmospheric aerosol load leads to a delayed onset, but an increased intensity of the precipitation.

![Rainfall amount (mm)](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2022-715)

**Figure 6.** Time series of hourly precipitation rate (mm) in area A (31.4-31.5°N, 92.0-92.1°E) from 00:00 to 11:00 UTC on 24 July 2014.

### 3.2 Hydrometeor categories and microphysical processes analysis

In order to analyze the influence of aerosols on water condensate at different heights, the time series of the vertical distribution of liquid phase water condensate and ice phase water condensate are shown in Fig. 7, in which, Fig. 7a, b, c, and d are for liquid phase, and Fig. 7e, f, g, and h are for ice phase. From 01:00 to 03:00, the liquid phase water condensate existed in all four simulated cases, and were mainly distributed between the pressure levels of 350 and 450 hPa. During this time, no precipitation was produced or the amount of precipitation was small. The analysis of the
vertically pointing Ka-band cloud radar observation at Naqu, also shows that only scattered clouds existed at the height between 5 and 7 km before 05:00 UTC (Cheng et al., 2021).

From 05:00 UTC, the evolution of liquid phase water condensate from clean, control, TP, and polluted are presented in Fig. 7a, b, c, and d, and the evolution of ice phase water condensate from clean, control, TP, and polluted are presented in Fig. 7e, f, g, and h, respectively. Note that, compared to urban areas, the baseline aerosol burden in TP is pristine, and the clean simulation here represents extremely clean condition. In the clean simulation (Fig. 7a), the liquid phase water condensate is mainly distributed in the lower layers and its abundance starts to increase, which indicates the warm-based convective cloud formed; while there little ice phase water condensate is presented (Fig. 7e). Compared to the clean simulation (Fig. 7a), in the control scenario (Fig. 7b), the amount of liquid phase water condensate formed in the control case is higher and the maximum value locates at a higher altitude. At the same time, the ice phase water condensate increases (Fig. 7f). It indicates shifting from clean to control scenario, the convective cloud invigorates and precipitation increases with increasing aerosol number concentration. In the TP simulation (Fig. 7c), in which the water-friendly aerosols background is 2 times more abundant than in the control simulation (Fig. 7a), but not in the polluted simulation, the amount of liquid phase water condensate decreases sharply. This indicates the rain already started (Fig. 6). It also suggests that the precipitation intensity increases and the precipitation starts earlier with the increase of aerosol loading when the atmosphere is not heavily polluted. This may be explained by the higher coalescence efficiency due to the secondary droplet activation in convective clouds, especially in relatively clean areas (Efraim et al., 2022). In the polluted scenario (Fig. 7d), the liquid phase water condensate in the polluted case does not change substantially, however, the onset time is delayed. Under polluted situations, the warm cloud precipitation does not occur easily, and the cloud development is more vigorous. As a result, the onset time of the precipitation is delayed. The ice phase water condensate increased substantially. In the polluted case, more ice phase water condensate is formed in both upper and lower layers (Fig. 7h); while in the TP case (Fig. 7g), there is more ice phase water condensate only in the upper layers. This suggests that, with the increase of aerosol loading, the ice cloud precipitation increases. As a result, the onset time of the precipitation is delayed, but the precipitation intensity increases. This is consistent with the impact of aerosols on convective precipitation as derived from observations in China (Jiang et al., 2016).
Figure 7. Time series of the vertical distribution of the mean liquid phase water (upper 4 sub-plots) and condensate mixing ratio in (a) clean, (b) control, (c) TP, and (d) polluted, and and ice phase (bottom 4 subplots) in (e) clean, (f) control, (g) TP, and (h) polluted in area A (31.4°-31.5°N, 92.0°-92.1°E), in g kg⁻¹, with red dashed lines as isotherms.

In order to analyze the evolution of microphysical quantities and processes, considering that precipitation mainly occurs between 06:00 and 11:00, various water condensate particles in area A are averaged between 06:00 and 11:00. Five water condensate mixing ratios varying with height are obtained for cloud water, cloud ice, rain, snow, and graupel are shown in Figure 8. The water condensate mixing ratios for clean, control, TP, and polluted simulations are presented in Fig. 8a, b, c, and d, respectively. At 150-300 hPa, snowfall occurs in all four scenarios, and the proportion of snowfall increases as pollution increases. At 300-500 hPa, compared with the clean simulation (Fig. 8a), the water condensate mixing ratio of cloud water, cloud ice, rain, snow, and graupel increase with the increased aerosol burden in the control simulation (Fig. 8b). Compared with the control simulation (Fig. 8b), the mixing ratio of rain increases while both of cloud water and graupel decrease in the TP simulation (Fig. 8c). This suggests that, as aerosol loading increases, the conversion process of cloud water to rain invigorates at first. In the polluted scenario (Fig. 10d), the mixing ratios of cloud water, graupel, and snow are characterized by larger values than in the other three scenarios, while the mixing ratio of rain has the smallest value. It indicates that the
conversion process of cloud water to rain is suppressed, but the conversion of cloud water to graupel is favored. At 500-600 hPa, which is near the surface, rainfall is dominant in the clean case (Fig. 8a), while graupel in addition to rainfall are visible in other cases (Fig. 8b, c, and d). The proportion of graupel increases and the proportion of rain decreases. This suggests that, with the increase of aerosol burden, the conversion process of cloud water to rain in clouds is suppressed, but the generation of ice phase particles is favored. This also indicates that the development of convective clouds is more vigorous under the polluted scenario.

Figure 8. Mean water condensate mixing ratio as a function of height for (a) clean, (b) control, (c) TP, and (d) polluted cases in aera A (31.4-31.5°N,92.0-92.1°E) from 06:00 to 11:00 UTC on 24 July 2014, units: g kg⁻¹.
The vertical distributions of the number concentration of cloud water, rain and snow for the four scenarios (which is not shown here) show similar results, which indicates the increase of aerosol number concentration tends to increase the cloud droplet number concentration but to decrease the cloud droplet scale, suppresses the warm cloud rainfall and invigorates cloud development (Fig. 9), producing more ice phase substances. The melting of ice phase particles increases the cold-rain precipitation, which delays the onset of the precipitation and increases precipitation intensity. It is consistent with the findings that in polluted scenario, the increase in aerosols suppress the warm-rain process but enhance the growth of hail and increase the cold-rain (Rosenfeld et al., 2000; Tao et al., 2012).

Figure 9. Vertical velocity for the (a) clean, (b) control, (c) TP, and (d) polluted cases in area A (31.4-31.5°N, 92.0-92.1°E) averaged from 06:00 to 11:00 UTC on 24 July 2014, in units of m s⁻¹.
4. Summary and discussion

Aerosol studies on the Tibetan Plateau are constrained by a small number of stations and observations, and by a limited amount of satellite data. In this region characterized by clean air conditions, the aerosol optical thickness is generally smaller than in other regions, with only a few cases exceeding 0.1, which also explains the low availability of aerosol satellite data in the region. Although the region can be viewed as a region with a background aerosol situation, air masses transported by summer winds from South Asia can cause relatively strong local disturbances. Therefore, it is an ideal region to examine the aerosol impact on convective precipitation and on the downstream weather. The unique topography and the relatively pristine aerosol background levels above the Tibetan Plateau motivate us to explore the impact of high aerosol episodes on the formation of local convective precipitation events.

The Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model 4.0 version with Thompson aerosol-aware microphysical scheme was used to explore the influence of aerosols on convective precipitation processes. A specific convective precipitation event in Naqu, on the central Tibetan Plateau that occurred on 24 July 2014 was selected in our study. Four sets of experiments, named clean (1/10 CCN), control (default setting), Tibetan Plateau (real CCN calculated from MERRA-2 reanalysis), and polluted (10 times CCN), were retained for our simulations. A detailed analysis on microphysical processes suggests that, with the increase of the aerosol number concentration, the conversion of cloud water to rain inside clouds is enhanced at first, while in polluted situation, the conversion process of cloud water to rain is suppressed. At the same time, the generation of ice phase particles and the development of convective clouds are enhanced. In polluted situation, the onset of the precipitation is delayed; however, rainfall occurs with higher intensity.

Since the air in the plateau area is relatively clean, the response of precipitation could be sensitive to aerosol perturbation. However, the errors associated with the observations over the Tibetan Plateau are large and sensitive to convective precipitation during the initial phase of the event. Under such circumstances, our study has adopted a compromise approach to discuss the effect of aerosols on convective precipitation in the relatively clean highlandspristine continent.

The treatment of aerosols in the model can be chosen according to the air quality situation at a particular time. If the air is clean, initial conditions for the simulated aerosol concentrations can be chosen to be close to the actual observations; in a polluted situation, the background field for
the WRF simulation can be generated according to the real-time aerosol reanalysis method as described in the paper, especially before year 2015. More sustained and comprehensive observations over the Tibetan Plateau are a prerequisite for better understanding the aerosol impact on precipitation formation in this region. At the same time, approaches to determining measurement representation error (Asher et al., 2022) for model evaluation should be established in the pristine region.
Data Availability

The Station-Satellite combined 0.1° x 0.1° hourly precipitation data (Shen et al., 2014) are provided by the China Meteorological Administration Information Center, and the ground precipitation observations are obtained from the Naqu automatic station. The sounding data are taken from the China Meteorological Data Network National Meteorological Science Data Center. All the data is available at (http://data.cma.cn).
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