

Response to comments from Anonymous Referee #1

We would like to thank the reviewer for the constructive suggestions, which helped us improve the clarity of the paper. Based on the comments and suggestions, we have revised the manuscript.

Please find below our point-by-point responses (in blue) to the reviewer.

Thank you again for your time in assessing our work.

According to the referees' reports, I am pleased to make a decision that your manuscript can be accepted for publication subject to technical corrections. Please read through your manuscript carefully, check for typing errors, and polish the English, as suggested by Referee #1. For instance,

Line 17: 'The lack' might be changed to 'However, the lack'

Line 18: 'is the investigation of' might be changed to 'of this study is to investigate'

Line 21: 'from' might be changed to 'over'

Line 33: 'and aggregates' might be changed to 'with aggregates'

Response to Referee #1

Line 17: 'The lack' might be changed to 'However, the lack'

Corrected

Line 18: 'is the investigation of' might be changed to 'of this study is to investigate'

Corrected

Line 21: 'from' might be changed to 'over'

Corrected

Line 33: 'and aggregates' might be changed to 'with aggregates'

Corrected