



Nitrate chemistry in the northeast US part I: nitrogen isotope seasonality tracks nitrate formation chemistry

Claire Bekker^{1,a†}, Wendell W. Walters^{2,*†}, Lee T. Murray³, Meredith G. Hastings^{1,2}

¹Department of Earth, Environmental, and Planetary Sciences, Brown University; Providence, RI 02912, USA

5 ²Institute at Brown for Environment and Society, Brown University; Providence, RI 02912, USA

³Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Rochester; Rochester, NY 14627, USA

^aNow at Department of Environmental Health Sciences, University of California Los Angeles; Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence to: Wendell W. Walters (wendell_walters@brown.edu)

10

Abstract. Despite significant precursor emission reductions in the US over recent decades, atmospheric nitrate deposition remains an important terrestrial stressor. Here we utilized statistical air mass back trajectory analysis and nitrogen stable isotope deltas ($\delta(^{15}\text{N})$) to investigate atmospheric nitrate spatiotemporal trends in the northeastern US from samples collected at three US EPA Clean Air Status and Trends Network (CASTNET) sites from December 2016-2018. For the considered
15 sites, similar seasonal patterns in nitric acid (HNO_3) and particulate nitrate (pNO_3) concentrations were observed with spatial differences attributed to nitrogen oxide (NO_x) emission densities in source contributing regions that were typically ≤ 1000 km. Significant spatiotemporal $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ variabilities in HNO_3 and pNO_3 were observed with higher values during winter relative to summer, like previous reports from CASTNET samples collected in the early 2000s for our study region. In the early 2000s, $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ of atmospheric nitrate in the Northeast US had been suggested to be driven by NO_x emissions; however, we did not find
20 significant spatiotemporal changes in the modeled NO_x emissions by sector and fuel type or $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ for the source regions of the CASTNET sites. Instead, the spatiotemporal trends were driven by $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ fractionation associated with nitrate



formation. Under the field conditions of low NO_x relative to O_3 concentrations and when $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ emission sources do not have significant variability, we demonstrate that $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ of atmospheric nitrate can be a robust tracer for diagnosing nitrate formation.

25

1 Introduction

Nitrogen oxides ($\text{NO}_x = \text{NO} + \text{NO}_2$) are a significant source of air pollution derived from electricity generation, industrial processes, vehicle emissions, biomass burning, lightning, and microbial activity in soils (Jaeglé et al., 2018, 2005; Delmas et al., 1997). NO_x emissions have an important impact on climate and human and ecosystem health due to their influence on atmospheric oxidation chemistry and production of atmospheric nitrate ($\text{tNO}_3 = \text{nitric acid (HNO}_3) + \text{particulate nitrate (pNO}_3)$) (Galloway et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2003; Frost et al., 2006; Pinder et al., 2012). NO_x chemistry facilitates the production of atmospheric oxidants, including ozone (O_3) and hydrogen oxide radicals ($\text{HO}_x = \text{OH} + \text{HO}_2$), which defines the tropospheric oxidation capacity (Bloss et al., 2005; Prinn, 2003). These oxidants play an important role in the removal of trace gases and formation of particulate matter, with important consequences for human health and climate (Bauer et al., 2007; Ehn et al., 2014; Pye et al., 2010). Particulate nitrate contributes to poor air quality and represents a significant portion of ambient fine particulate matter ($\text{PM}_{2.5}$), negatively affecting the human respiratory and cardiovascular systems (Xing et al., 2016). Wet and dry deposition of tNO_3 contributes bioavailable nitrogen to often sensitive ecosystems (Galloway et al., 2004; Greaver et al., 2016; Pinder et al., 2012; Walker et al., 2019). In the US, NO_x emissions from power plants and vehicles have dramatically declined over the last several decades due to effective regulations (Hand et al., 2014). Yet, atmospheric nitrogen deposition remains a major terrestrial stressor, which has important implications for land and water quality and interacting effects with climate (Greaver et al., 2016).

Previous studies have suggested that stable nitrogen isotope deltas $\delta(^{15}\text{N}) = [R_{\text{sample}}(^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N})/R_{\text{air-N}_2}(^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}) - 1]$ may be a powerful observational constraint to enhance our understanding of atmospheric nitrate sources and/or chemical processing (Elliott et al., 2009, 2007; Beyn et al., 2014, 2015; Freyer, 1991; Savard et al., 2017; Savarino et al., 2013; Vicars et al., 2013; Chang et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019; Zong et al., 2017; Hastings et al., 2009; Geng et al., 2014). Precursor NO_x emission sources tend to have distinct $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ values (or “fingerprints”) dependent on formation mechanisms (Miller et al., 2017, 2018; Felix et al., 2012; Walters et al., 2015a, b; Li and Wang, 2008; Yu and Elliott, 2017). For example, biogenic soil emissions tend to have low $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ values of typically less than -25‰ (Miller et al., 2018; Yu and Elliott, 2017), stationary liquid fuel combustion has been measured to range between -19.7 to -13.9‰ (Walters et al., 2015a), on-road vehicle plumes have been measured to have a range of -9 to -2‰ (Miller et al., 2017), and coal combustion tends to have elevated values with a range of 9.8 to 19.8‰ (Felix et al., 2012). If these $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ emission source signatures are proportionally transferred into



atmospheric nitrate, it can be a useful observational constraint for tracking precursor NO_x emission sources to spatiotemporal deposition patterns (Hastings et al., 2013). However, chemical and physical processing associated with NO_x cycling and
55 formation of atmospheric nitrate can also induce significant isotope fractionation, such that $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ may not be conserved from emission to deposition (Freyer, 1991; Freyer et al., 1993; Walters et al., 2016; Walters and Michalski, 2015a; Li et al., 2020; Walters and Michalski, 2016a; Vicars et al., 2013). These $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ fractionations are associated with equilibrium isotope effects (EIE), unidirectional kinetic isotope effects (KIE), and photo-induced fractionation isotope effects (PHIE) (Freyer, 1991; Freyer et al., 1993; Walters et al., 2016; Walters and Michalski, 2015a; Li et al., 2020; Walters and Michalski, 2016a; Michalski
60 et al., 2020). Accounting for these isotope effects has been shown to be important to understand for $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ to be used as quantitative tracker of precursor emission sources and chemical effects (Li et al., 2020; Vicars et al., 2013; Michalski et al., 2020; Walters et al., 2018; Savarino et al., 2013; Chang et al., 2018, 2019; Feng et al., 2020).

The northeastern US remains important to monitor due to its high population density, transport patterns, historically degraded
65 air quality, and elevated acid deposition influenced by NO_x emissions and transformations (Sickles and Shadwick, 2015). Previous landmark $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ studies of atmospheric nitrate in this region have reported significant correlations between concentration and $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ of atmospheric nitrate in wet (National Atmospheric Deposition Program; NADP) and dry deposition (Clean Air Status and Trends Network; CASTNET) samples with regional stationary NO_x emission sources from power plant and industrial sectors in the mid-2000s (Elliott et al., 2007, 2009). Considering dramatic NO_x emission changes over the past
70 decades, it is critical to update our understanding of atmospheric tNO_3 deposition's precursor sources and drivers in polluted regions such as the northeastern US. Furthermore, our understanding of $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ emission signatures and $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ isotope fractionation patterns has significantly improved in recent years. In this study, we have measured the $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ compositions of HNO_3 and pNO_3 from CASTNET samples collected in the northeastern US from December 2016 to 2018. Our study contributes to an update on the spatiotemporal $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ compositions and interpretation of atmospheric tNO_3 in the northeastern
75 US and our understanding of the concentration and $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ drivers of atmospheric tNO_3 after a period of aggressive NO_x emission reductions.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 CASTNET Filter Samples

Filter samples from December 2016 to 2018 were obtained from the US EPA CASTNET program for several sites in the
80 northeastern US, including, (from West to East) Connecticut Hill, NY (CTH110; 42.40° N, -76.65° W), Abington, CT (ABT147; 41.84° N, -72.01° W) and Woodstock, NH (WST109; 43.94° N, -71.70° W) (Figure 1). CASTNET is a national monitoring program sponsored by the US EPA to assess spatiotemporal trends in pollutant concentrations and atmospheric deposition. The CASTNET monitoring locations have been sited to avoid the influence of major cities, highways, local activities, and point source pollution and are expected to be regionally representative (Clarke et al., 1997). As part of this



85 program, filter pack samples were collected at one-week intervals and included a series of Teflon and Nylon filters for the
separate collection of pNO₃ and HNO₃. Following standard protocols, these filter samples were extracted, measured for
concentrations, and stored in an EPA laboratory at room temperature for up to two years until shipment to Brown University.

The filter extracts were re-measured for the total concentrations of nitrate (NO₃⁻) and nitrite (NO₂⁻) utilizing standard
90 colorimetric methods (i.e., US EPA Method 353.2) on an automated discrete UV-Vis Analyzer (SmartChem Westco Scientific
Instruments, Inc.) at Brown University. The detection limit was 0.1 and 0.3 μM for NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻, respectively, and the
pooled relative standard deviation of replicate quality control standards was better than 3 %. The nitrate concentrations
reported by CASTNET were compared to our measured concentrations and gave a near 1:1 relationship for all sites and both
filter types, indicating excellent NO₃⁻ stability in the filter extracts (Figure 2). Equal volumes of the extracted samples were
95 combined into approximately monthly aggregates to provide sub-seasonal resolution of nitrogen isotope analysis for HNO₃
and pNO₃. For samples where [NO₂⁻] > 0.1 μM, NO₂⁻ was removed using a sulfamic acid treatment (Granger and Sigman,
2009), as the presence of NO₂⁻ will cause interference when measuring the nitrogen and oxygen isotope ratios of the nitrate
(see below). The samples were then frozen until subsequent isotopic analysis.

100 2.2 Isotopic Analysis

Nitrogen stable isotopic analysis was conducted for HNO₃ and pNO₃ from the monthly aggregated filter extracts using the
well-established bacterial denitrifier method (Sigman et al., 2001; Casciotti et al., 2002). Briefly, samples were injected into
vials containing *P. aureofaciens* that quantitatively convert NO₃⁻ (and NO₂⁻) to nitrous oxide (N₂O). The generated N₂O was
concentrated and purified using an automatic purge and trap system and introduced to a continuous flow isotope ratio mass
105 spectrometer with a modified gas bench interface at Brown University. Measurement of N₂O was conducted at *m/z* of 44, 45,
and 46 to determine δ(¹⁵N), and unknowns were corrected relative to internationally recognized nitrate salt reference materials
that included: USGS34 (δ(¹⁵N) = -1.8 ‰), USGS35 (δ(¹⁵N) = 2.7 ‰), and IAEA-N3 (δ(¹⁵N) = 4.7 ‰) (Böhlke et al., 1993;
Böhlke et al., 2003). Isobaric influences from ¹⁷O contributions were corrected based on a separate analysis, in which N₂O
was thermally decomposed to O₂ by passing through a gold tube heated to 770 °C and then measured at *m/z* 32, 33, and 34 for
110 *A*(¹⁷O) (defined as: *A*(¹⁷O) = δ(¹⁷O) - 0.52 × δ(¹⁸O)) determination (Kaiser et al., 2007). This correction resulted in a δ(¹⁵N)
decrease typically near 1.5 ‰. All isotopic reference materials were diluted to similar concentrations as samples and run
intermittently in each batch analysis. The overall standard deviations of isotopic reference materials were σ(δ(¹⁵N)) = 0.2 ‰
(*n*=13), 0.4 ‰ (*n*=13), and 0.2 ‰ (*n*=15) for USGS34, USGS35, and IAEA-N3, respectively.



115 2.3 HYSPLIT Modeling and ‘Openair’ Package

Air mass back-trajectories were computed using the HYSPLIT model and the North American Regional Reanalysis (NARR) 12 km dataset (Stein et al., 2015). 72-hour back trajectories were calculated at 50 m above ground level every other day for each site (CTH110, ABT147 and WST109) across the sample collection period from December 2016 to 2018. The trajectory data was collated with the reported CASTNET concentration data (pNO₃, HNO₃, and tNO₃) at a weekly resolution to link concentration trends to the source regions for nitrate. Using the ‘openair’ program package in R (Carslaw and Ropkins, 2012), geospatial statistical analysis that included back-trajectory clustering and the concentrated weighted trajectory (CWT) algorithm was conducted to determine patterns of transport and major contributing source regions for atmospheric nitrate. The CWT model is a statistical tool that utilizes the air mass residence time analysis to identify emission source regions (Hsu et al., 2003; Salamalikis et al., 2015; Cheng et al., 2013; Dimitriou et al., 2015). For each grid cell, CWT calculates the concentration of a pollutant as the following (1):

$$\bar{c}_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^N \tau_{ijk}} \sum_{k=1}^N c_k \tau_{ijk} \quad (1)$$

where i and j are the indices of grid, k is the index of trajectory, N is the total number of trajectories used in the analysis, c_k is the pollutant concentration measured upon arrival of trajectory k , and τ_{ijk} is the residence time of trajectory k in grid cell (i,j) . A high value of \bar{c}_{ij} means that air parcels that pass over the cell (i,j) would, on average, cause a high concentration at the receptor site (Carslaw and Ropkins, 2012).

135 2.4 NO_x Emissions Database and δ¹⁵N(NO_x) Estimation

Monthly anthropogenic NO_x emission density estimates were extracted from a recent sector and fuel-based emission inventory to understand how precursor NO_x emissions contribute to nitrate concentration and isotope trends (McDuffie et al., 2020). The monthly NO_x emissions data were reported in gridded 0.5° × 0.5° units divided into eleven anthropogenic sectors: Agriculture, Energy Production, Industry, On-Road Transportation, Non-Road Transportation, Combustion-Residential, Combustion-Commercial, Combustion-Other, Shipping, Solvents, and Waste. (Note that solvents are not a source of NO_x emissions.) The combustion sector emissions were further broken down into fuel types (coal, solid biofuel, and liquid fuel), while non-combustion emissions were assigned to a single "process" fuel type. Monthly NO_x emission density estimates by sector and fuel-type data were extracted from the nitrate source regions determined from the CWT analysis. The regions were defined using spatial polygons in ‘R’, which sets latitude and longitude coordinates to retrieve spatially encoded data. Monthly δ(¹⁵N, NO_x) was modeled based on isotope mass-balance using the fraction of NO_x emissions by sector and fuel type and previously reported δ(¹⁵N, NO_x) emission signatures following a previously described method (2) (Walters et al., 2015a):

$$\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \delta_i(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x) \quad (2)$$

145 where δ_i is the emission signature of source and f_i is the fraction contributing to the NO_x emissions. The considered δ(¹⁵N, NO_x) emission signatures included grouped agriculture/waste (Miller et al., 2018), on-road transportation (Miller et al., 2017),



150 non-road transportation (Walters et al., 2015a), and shipping (Walters et al., 2015a). Energy production, industry, and combustion were grouped by fuel type as either Combustion – coal & solid biofuel (Felix et al., 2012) or Combustion – liquid fuel & process (Walters et al., 2015a). The emission inventory only considers anthropogenic NO_x emissions such that natural emissions such as lightning and wildfires were not considered. Table 1 summarizes the δ(¹⁵N, NO_x) emission signatures (Walters et al., 2015a; Miller et al., 2018, 2017; Felix et al., 2012).

2.5 GEOS-Chem Simulations

155 The GEOS-Chem global model of atmospheric chemistry (www.geos-chem.org) was utilized to predict NO_x and O₃ concentrations in the regions of the various CASTNET sites to account for δ(¹⁵N) isotope fractionation that occur during chemical reactions. We use version 13.2.1 (doi:10.5281/zenodo.5500717) of the model driven by GEOS5-FP assimilated meteorology from the NASA Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (GMAO). A nested grid (0.25° latitude × 0.3125° longitude horizontal resolution; 25 km) simulation was conducted over the northeastern United States (97°-60° W; 35°-60° N) in 2017 and 2018. Boundary conditions were from global simulations performed at 4° latitude × 5° longitude horizontal resolution for the same years after a one-year initialization. Gas- and aerosol-phase chemistry was simulated using the default “fullchem” mechanism (Bates and Jacob, 2019; Wang et al., 2021). Inorganic gas and aerosol partitioning were conducted using version 2.2 of the ISORROPIA II thermodynamic equilibrium model (Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007). All default anthropogenic emissions were applied, which is primarily version 2.0 of the Community Emissions Data System (Hoesly et al., 2018) as previously implemented (McDuffie et al., 2020). Natural emissions respond to local meteorology and include biogenic VOCs from terrestrial plants and the ocean (Millet et al., 2010; Guenther et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2015; Breider et al., 2017), NO_x from lightning and soil microbial activity (Murray et al., 2012; Hudman et al., 2012), mineral dust (Ridley et al., 2012), and sea salt (Jaeglé et al., 2011; Huang and Jaeglé, 2017). Biomass burning emissions were monthly means from version 4.1s of the Global Fire Emissions Database (GFED4.1s; (van der Werf et al., 2017). Wet deposition for water-soluble aerosols is described by Liu et al., 2001 and by Amos et al., 2012 for gases. Dry deposition is based on the resistance-in-series 170 scheme of Wesely and Lesht, 1989.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Atmospheric Nitrate Spatiotemporal Concentrations

175 The atmospheric nitrate concentrations are shown in Figure 3 and summarized in Table 2. Overall, the mean concentrations of the three examined Northeastern US CASTNET sites were significantly different but showed similar seasonal trends. Across the sites, the annual concentrations of HNO₃, pNO₃, and tNO₃ were significantly higher at Abington, CT and Connecticut Hill, NY than at Woodstock, NH (*p* < 0.0001). The concentrations were binned by season including Winter (DJF),



Spring (MAM), Summer (JJA), and Autumn (SON), which indicated seasonal statistical differences at the considered CASTNET sites (Figure 4). The HNO_3 concentrations were significantly greater during the winter for Woodstock, NH, than
180 in other seasons ($p < 0.01$). Additionally, HNO_3 at Abington, CT, was significantly higher during summer than in autumn ($p < 0.001$). There was no significant seasonal difference in HNO_3 concentrations at Connecticut Hill, NY. At all three sites, the concentrations of pNO_3 were greatest during the winter and lowest during the summer. These findings were consistent with previous reports of CASTNET samples in the Northeastern and Midwestern US collected from 2004 to 2005, in which
185 pNO_3 concentrations were highest in the winter and lowest in the summer and with little seasonal variation in HNO_3 (Elliott et al., 2009). Thus, even as NO_x emissions have been dramatically decreasing in the Northeastern US over the past decade, the HNO_3 and pNO_3 seasonal trends have been retained.

Clustered air mass back trajectories were calculated for the CASTNET sites (Figure 5). The annual clustered trajectories indicate that most air masses were associated with westerlies with prevailing winds from the continental US and Canada for
190 all the considered CASTNET sites. The clustered trajectories also indicate the influence of marine/coastal air masses and winds from the northeast. The CWT analysis of tNO_3 concentrations indicated that contributing source regions tended to be within approximately 1000 km from the CASTNET sites (Figure 5). Like the cluster trajectory results, the CWT analysis indicated that the tNO_3 source contributing regions tended to extend towards the west and northwest of the CASTNET sites with minimal contributions east of the sites. Similar source regions were identified for the various CASTNET sites, but there
195 were slight spatial differences due to the location of the sites. For example, the source regions contributing to CTH110 tended to extend further from the Midwest compared to the other sites, and a higher relative contribution from southeast Canada was identified for the WST109 site.

3.2 Atmospheric Nitrate Spatiotemporal $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ Compositions

200 The measured atmospheric nitrate $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ data are shown in Figure 6 and summarized in Table 2. The $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ data indicated significant spatial differences but with consistent seasonal patterns for $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{HNO}_3)$, $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{pNO}_3)$, and $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{tNO}_3)$. The $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ values were highest for Abington, CT, second highest for Connecticut Hill, NY and lowest for Woodstock, NH. Across the sites, there was a consistent offset between $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{HNO}_3)$ and $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{pNO}_3)$, in which $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{pNO}_3)$ tends to have higher values relative to $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{HNO}_3)$ that averaged a $(3.9 \pm 1.8) \text{‰}$ ($n=79$) difference for simultaneously collected samples. This
205 value was in close agreement with the theoretical isotope effect associated with N isotopic equilibrium between NO_3^- and HNO_3 , which has been calculated to be 3.2 ‰ at 298 K, favoring the preferential partitioning of ^{15}N into NO_3^- (Walters and Michalski, 2015b).

Across all sites, $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{HNO}_3)$, $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{pNO}_3)$, and $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{tNO}_3)$ indicated consistent temporal patterns, with the highest values
210 observed during the winter and lowest values during the summer (Figure 7). These findings were similar to previous $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$



measurements from HNO_3 , pNO_3 , and precipitation NO_3^- samples collected in the early 2000s in the Midwestern and Northeastern US, which also reported a significant spatiotemporal variation (Elliott et al., 2009, 2007). The CTH110 site was previously analyzed for its $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ deltas in the early 2000s (Elliott et al., 2009). Overall, the range of measured $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ at CTH110 was lower in 2017-2018 ($\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{HNO}_3) = -11.1 \text{ ‰}$ to -0.1 ‰ ; $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{pNO}_3) = -6.8 \text{ ‰}$ to 4.4 ‰), compared to
215 measurements conducted for 2004-2005 ($\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{HNO}_3) = -5 \text{ ‰}$ to 10 ‰ ; $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{pNO}_3) = -1.0 \text{ ‰}$ to 12 ‰) (Elliott et al., 2009). This trend is consistent with an expected decrease in $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ of atmospheric nitrate following implementation of NO_x reduction technologies on electricity generation units and their subsequent relative decrease in NO_x emissions (Felix et al., 2012).

3.3 NO_x Emission Modeling

220 Previous spatiotemporal $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ differences in atmospheric nitrate in the Midwestern and Northeastern US had been concluded to reflect the importance of precursor emission sources (Elliott et al., 2009, 2007). Specifically, stationary source NO_x emissions associated with coal combustion with a high $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ emission signature were suggested to drive higher $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ values during winter and a longitudinal gradient across the Midwestern and Northeastern US (Elliott et al., 2009). To test this hypothesis on the current dataset, the monthly NO_x emission densities speciated by sector and fuel-specific sources (McDuffie
225 et al., 2020) were extracted for spatial polygons that approximately corresponded to the identified tNO_3 source contributing regions from the CWT analysis (Figure 5). Across all sites, the predicted NO_x emission densities indicated similar seasonal variability, with a maximum observed during winter from higher residential, commercial, and other combustion emissions. This increase is due to a significant heating demand during this period (Figure 8). A local maximum was also observed during summer due to increased emissions related to electricity generation for cooling. The absolute NO_x emission densities across
230 sites were broadly consistent with the tNO_3 concentration trends, in which higher NO_x emission and tNO_3 were observed for CTH110 and ABT147 compared to WST109. Across the sites, there were similar annual contributing NO_x emission sectors for the identified source regions contributing tNO_3 to the study sites that included energy (21.9 %, 22.5 %, 23.5 %), industry (14.4 %, 14.6 %, 14.1 %), non-road transport (17.3 %, 16.2 %, 15.0 %), combustion-residential, commercial, other (12.8 %, 14.2 %, 14.3 %), road (23.9 %, 23.2 %, 23.3 %), shipping (7.5 %, 7.5 %, 8.5 %), and agricultural/waste (2.1 %, 1.7 %, 1.5 %)
235 for CTH110, ABT147 and WST109, respectively. Additionally, there were similar annual NO_x emission density contributing fuel-types across sites including Biofuel (2.6 %, 2.7 %, 2.7 %), Coal (5.8 %, 5.2 %, 4.8 %), Liquid-fuel (76.4 %, 75.0 %, 73.9 %), and Process-based emissions (15.3 %, 17.2 %, 18.7 %) for the identified source regions contributing to tNO_3 at CTH110, ABT147, and WST109, respectively.

240 The monthly $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ was calculated using the NO_x emission estimates, assumed emission source values, and isotope mass balance (Figure 8). Overall, this calculation indicated limited spatial variability with an annual $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ average of $(-11.7 \pm 0.1) \text{ ‰}$, $(-11.6 \pm 0.1) \text{ ‰}$, and $(-11.8 \pm 0.8) \text{ ‰}$ for ABT147, CTH110, and WST109, respectively. We note that for each of the monthly $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ estimations, the propagated uncertainty based on the $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ emission signature reported



uncertainty was approximately ± 3.4 ‰ and was not seasonally variable. There was limited seasonality in the modeled $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ across all sites that was different by no more than 0.3 ‰ in the monthly mean values. The highest modeled mean $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ values occurred during the summer due to increased emissions from the energy production sector; namely, an increase in coal and solid biofuel combustion, which has an elevated $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ signature (Table 1) (Felix et al., 2012).

The modeled $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ was compared with the measured monthly $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{tNO}_3)$ to remove the potential $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ phase fractionation between HNO_3 and pNO_3 . Overall, the modeled $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ was lower than the observed $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{tNO}_3)$ values, and the lack of spatiotemporal variability in the modeled $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ was in direct contrast to the $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{tNO}_3)$ values (Figure 8). This finding suggests that seasonal changes in NO_x emission sectors by fuel type did not drive significant seasonal variability in $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ or $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{tNO}_3)$ across the considered CASTNET sites. Previous studies of atmospheric nitrate in the northeastern/midwestern US during the early 2000s found that stationary source NO_x emissions, including power plants and industrial processes, were strongly correlated with $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_3^-)$ (Elliott et al., 2009, 2007), which is inconsistent with our results from a similar region from samples collected 10 years later. This inconsistency may suggest that the dramatic decrease in stationary combustion emissions, particularly from coal combustion, has led to decoupling between NO_x emissions and $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ of atmospheric nitrate.

The mismatch between the modeled $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ and the observed $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{tNO}_3)$ did not suggest that there were significant inaccuracies in the NO_x emission inventories, such as under constrained soil emissions and/or not accounting for natural sources of NO_x such as lightning. Soil NO_x emissions have a characteristic low $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ emission signature (Miller et al., 2018; Yu and Elliott, 2017), such that underestimation of soil emissions could not explain the observed mismatch as the modeled $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ was already lower than the observed $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{tNO}_3)$. Lightning-generated NO_x was also unlikely to explain the model mismatch with observations. Lightning NO_x has a reported $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ signature near 0 ‰ (Hoering, 1957), such that to match the modeled $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ with the observed $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{tNO}_3)$ would require a substantial amount of lightning-produced NO_x . However, lightning NO_x emissions are expected to be several times smaller than NO_x emissions from anthropogenic sources (Murray, 2016). Thus, we next considered if the spatiotemporal $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{tNO}_3)$ variability observed at the CASTNET sites during 2016-2018 can be explained by $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ isotope fractionation associated with NO_x oxidation.

270

3.4 NO_x Cycle Isotope Fractionation

NO_x oxidation to atmospheric nitrate has been suggested to induce significant $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ fractionation associated with NO_x cycling and the reaction pathways leading to nitrate formation (Walters and Michalski, 2015b; Freyer, 1991; Freyer et al., 1993; Walters et al., 2016; Walters and Michalski, 2016b; Michalski et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020). We calculated the influence of $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ fractionation associated with NO_x cycling on $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_2)$ derived from previous studies as the following (3):

$$\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_2) \approx \delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x) + {}^{15}\epsilon(\text{NO}_2/\text{NO}) \times (1 - f(\text{NO}_2)) \quad (3)$$



where $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ represents the modeled emissions (Figure 8), $^{15}\varepsilon(\text{NO}_2/\text{NO})$ is the isotope effect associated with NO conversion to NO_2 , and $f(\text{NO}_2)$ represents the amount fraction of NO_2 in NO_x (i.e., $f(\text{NO}_2) = [\text{NO}_2]/[\text{NO}_x]$). The $^{15}\varepsilon(\text{NO}_2/\text{NO})$ value represents a combination of the NO_x equilibrium isotope effect (EIE) and the Leighton Cycle isotope effect (LCIE) (Freyer et al., 1993; Walters et al., 2016; Li et al., 2020). Briefly, the EIE between NO and NO_2 has been shown to have an isotope effect of $(28.9 \pm 1.9) \text{‰}$ from an experimental investigation under ambient NO_x conditions (Li et al., 2020). The effect favors higher $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ values in NO_2 , which dominates $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ fractionation during conditions of high NO_x concentrations (Freyer et al., 1993; Walters et al., 2016; Li et al., 2020). The LCIE represents a combination of the kinetic isotope effect associated with NO oxidation, primarily driven by reaction with O_3 , and the isotope effect associated with NO_2 photolysis (Walters et al., 2016; Li et al., 2020). The dominant factor in LCIE is likely the $\text{NO} + \text{O}_3$ fractionation, as the NO_2 photolysis isotope effect has been suggested to have a near negligible fractionation (Michalski et al., 2020). Indeed, laboratory investigation of the LCIE suggests an enrichment value of $(-10 \pm 5) \text{‰}$, which is in close agreement with the KIE from *ab initio* calculations of $\text{NO} + \text{O}_3$ of -6.7‰ at 298 K (Walters and Michalski, 2016a). In contrast to the EIE, the LCIE dominates NO_x $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ fractionation during conditions of low NO_x concentrations (Li et al., 2020).

We have estimated the relative role of EIE and LCIE based on the following (4):

$$^{15}\varepsilon(\text{NO}_2/\text{NO}) = f_{\text{EIE}}(^{15}\varepsilon_{\text{EIE}}) + (1 - f_{\text{EIE}})(^{15}\varepsilon_{\text{LCIE}}) \quad (4)$$

The f_{EIE} represents the relative rate of NO_x EIE to NO oxidation and is calculated as the following (5):

$$f_{\text{EIE}} = \frac{k(\text{NO}_x\text{-EIE})[\text{NO}_2]}{k(\text{NO}+\text{O}_3)[\text{O}_3] + k(\text{NO}_x\text{-EIE})[\text{NO}_2]} \quad (5)$$

where $k(\text{NO}_x\text{-EIE})$ is the reaction rate of NO_x EIE with a reported value of $8.14 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Sharma et al., 1970) and $k(\text{NO}+\text{O}_3)$ is the $\text{NO} + \text{O}_3$ reaction rate of $1.73 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$. (Atkinson et al., 2004).

The value of f_{EIE} was calculated using modeled NO, NO_2 , and O_3 concentrations from GEOS-Chem integrated over the source regions that contributed tNO_3 to the CASTNET sites. The modeled O_3 and NO_x concentrations indicated opposite seasonal trends for all considered source regions: O_3 reached a maximum during summer due to increased photochemical activity, while NO_x reached a maximum during winter due to lower photolysis frequencies and relatively higher NO_x emissions, as expected (Figure 9). The modeled $f(\text{NO}_2)$ closely followed the O_3 seasonal profile (Figure 9). The calculated f_{EIE} also followed the NO_x seasonal profile with peaks during the winter and ranged from 0.124 to 0.513 across the CASTNET sites (Figure 9), which is the expected trend as the influence of EIE on $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ fractionation is highest during conditions of higher NO_x concentrations relative to O_3 (Freyer et al., 1993; Walters et al., 2016; Li et al., 2020). The f_{EIE} averaged 0.255 ± 0.108 , 0.271 ± 0.115 , 0.218 ± 0.093 for ABT147, CTH110, and WST109, indicating that $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ fractionation was largely driven by the $\text{NO} + \text{O}_3$ oxidation rather than by NO_x EIE due to the low modeled NO_x concentration relative to O_3 . The calculated $^{15}\varepsilon(\text{NO}_2/\text{NO})$ had a similar seasonal profile as f_{EIE} , with peaks during the winter compared with summer and ranged from -5.2



to 10.0 ‰ across the CASTNET sites with an average of (0.5 ± 4.5) ‰, (-0.1 ± 4.2) ‰, and (-1.5 ± 3.6) ‰ for CTH110, ABT147,
310 and WST109, respectively (Figure 9).

The $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_2)$ was then calculated using the monthly calculated $^{15}\epsilon(\text{NO}_2/\text{NO})$, modeled $f(\text{NO}_2)$, and modeled $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$.
Overall, the $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_2)$ ranged from -12.4 to -10.3 ‰ across the CASTNET sites and averaged (-11.5 ± 0.5) ‰, (-11.7 ± 0.5)
315 ‰, and (-12.0 ± 0.4) ‰ for CTH110, ABT147, and WST109, respectively (Figure 9). These annual averages were nearly
identical to the modeled $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ values. There was slight seasonal variability in the calculated $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_2)$, with slightly
higher values during winter than in summer. However, neither the magnitude of the seasonal variability, which was no more
than 1.6 ‰ nor the absolute value of the calculated $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_2)$ agreed with the measured $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{tNO}_3)$. Overall, this indicates
that $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ fractionation associated with NO_x cycling played an insignificant role in explaining the spatiotemporal variabilities
observed for $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{tNO}_3)$ at the CASTNET sites.

320

3.5 Nitrate Formation Isotope Fractionation

Nitrogen isotope fractionation has also been suggested to occur during reactions leading to HNO_3 and/or pNO_3 formation
(Walters and Michalski, 2015b, 2016b; Michalski et al., 2020). Assuming atmospheric nitrate formation represents an
irreversible reaction in an open system with a constant supply of NO_x emissions, we model the $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{tNO}_3)$ as the following
325 (6):

$$\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{tNO}_3) = \delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_2) + ^{15}\epsilon(\text{tNO}_3/\text{NO}_2) \quad (6)$$

The $^{15}\epsilon(\text{tNO}_3/\text{NO}_2)$ corresponds to the enrichment factor associated with converting NO_2 to tNO_3 . We calculated the
 $^{15}\epsilon(\text{tNO}_3/\text{NO}_2)$ as the difference between the measured $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{tNO}_3)$ and the calculated $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_2)$ (Figure 10). Across all
sites, $^{15}\epsilon_{\text{calc}}(\text{tNO}_3/\text{NO}_2)$ ranged from 1.6 to 16.1 ‰, with an average of (8.7 ± 3.8) ‰, (10.9 ± 3.5) ‰, and (6.9 ± 2.9) ‰, for
330 CTH110, ABT147, and WST109. Additionally, the $^{15}\epsilon_{\text{calc}}(\text{tNO}_3/\text{NO}_2)$ indicated strong seasonality with higher values during
the winter compared to the summer. The shift in the seasonal $^{15}\epsilon_{\text{calc}}(\text{tNO}_3/\text{NO}_2)$ were likely attributed to a change in nitrate
formation pathway, as previously suggested (Li et al., 2021).

The two dominant polluted mid-latitude nitrate formation pathways include NO_2 oxidation via hydroxyl radical (R1) and N_2O_5
335 hydrolysis (R2):



These reactions have an isotope effect of -3 ‰ based on the reduced masses of the transition complex (Freyer, 1991) and 25.5
‰ at 300 K based on EIE between NO_2 and N_2O_5 (Walters and Michalski, 2016b) for R1 and R2, respectively, indicating that
340 the range of the $^{15}\epsilon_{\text{calc}}(\text{tNO}_3/\text{NO}_2)$ is between these end member values. We estimated the relative role of R1 and R2
contributing to nitrate formation across the considered CASTNET sites based on the following (7):



$$^{15}\epsilon_{\text{calc}}(\text{tNO}_3/\text{NO}_2) = f(\text{NO}_2 + \text{OH}) \times (^{15}\epsilon(\text{NO}_2 + \text{OH})) + (1 - f(\text{NO}_2 + \text{OH})) \times (^{15}\epsilon(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5, T)) \quad (7)$$

assuming that R1 and R2 dominate the observed tNO₃ formation, as expected for the polluted mid-latitudes (Alexander et al., 2020) (8):

$$f(\text{NO}_2 + \text{OH}) + f(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5) = 1 \quad (8)$$

where $^{15}\epsilon_{\text{calc}}(\text{tNO}_3/\text{NO}_2)$ is our calculated results (Figure 10), $f(\text{NO}_2+\text{OH})$ and $f(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5)$ correspond to the fractional contribution of R1 and R2, respectively, $^{15}\epsilon(\text{NO}_2+\text{OH}) = -3 \text{ ‰}$ (Freyer, 1991a), and $^{15}\epsilon(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5, T)/\text{‰} = -0.163 \cdot T/\text{K} + 74.08$ for a temperature range of 250 to 305 K (Walters and Michalski, 2016b). We utilized the temperature derived over the source regions contributing to the CASTNET sites from the GEOS-Chem simulations in our calculations, which indicated a range in the monthly temperature of 262.4 to 294.8 K, corresponding to a range in $^{15}\epsilon(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5, T)/\text{‰}$ of 26.4 to 31.3 ‰. Overall, we estimated $f(\text{NO}_2+\text{OH})/f(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5)$ contributed $0.63 \pm 0.11/0.37 \pm 0.11$, $0.56 \pm 0.09/0.44 \pm 0.09$, and $0.69 \pm 0.8/0.31 \pm 0.08$ to CTH110, ABT147, and WST109, respectively (Figure 10). For each of the considered sites, the calculated $f(\text{NO}_2+\text{OH})$ peaked during the summer and $f(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5)$ peaked during the winter, consistent with expected seasonal atmospheric nitrate formation and model results (Alexander et al., 2020). We acknowledge that are uncertainties in our model regarding potential contributions from other nitrate formation pathways and the considered enrichment factors that have not been experimentally determined. Nevertheless, our results highlight that seasonal $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{tNO}_3)$ values were driven by nitrate formation based on our current understanding of fractionation patterns.

4. Conclusions

Significant spatiotemporal differences in concentrations and $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ were observed for atmospheric nitrate in the northern US from December 2016 to 2018 from CASTNET locations. These findings were consistent with a previous study of atmospheric nitrate from CASTNET sites collected in the early 2000s, indicating that even after dramatic reductions in NO_x emissions in the Northeastern US over the past decade, atmospheric nitrate spatiotemporal trends have been retained. We focused on evaluating the drivers of the spatiotemporal trends of $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ observed at the CASTNET sites. Back trajectory and geospatial statistical analyses indicated that atmospheric nitrate source regions tended to be within 1000 km and tended to extend towards the west/northwest of the CASTNET sites. Utilizing NO_x emission data for the identified source regions, we modeled $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ for each of the CASTNET sites, indicating no significant spatiotemporal differences. This finding suggested that NO_x emissions were not a key driver of the observed spatiotemporal $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ variability as previously reported for CASTNET sites in the early 2000s. Instead, we found that $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ fractionation primarily associated with nitrate formation was the key driver of the observed spatiotemporal $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ variabilities.

Our results highlight that $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ of atmospheric nitrate fractionation could lead to new insights via tracking nitrate formation mechanisms. The $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ fractionation associated with NO_x conversion to atmospheric nitrate reflected the nitrate formation



375 pathways. Thus, the $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ of atmospheric nitrate could be a useful way to track the reactions contributing to nitrate formation,
similarly to $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$ (Alexander et al., 2020; Michalski et al., 2003). However, $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ would arguably be more sensitive to
nitrate formation pathways because most of the $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$ of nitrate reflects NO_x photochemical cycling ($\text{NO} + \text{O}_3$ vs NO
+ RO_2/HO_2) rather than the reactions contributing to nitrate formation. Thus, $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ and $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$ could be useful complementary
tools to improve our ability to track NO_x oxidation and nitrate formation and compare with model expectations. Future studies
are needed to verify the assumed $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ fractionation values associated with nitrate formation, enabling $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ to be a useful
380 tool for tracking oxidation chemistry pathways.

Data Availability. Data presented in this article are available on the Harvard Dataverse at
<https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/X6BB1I> and the US EPA CASTNET database.

385

Author Contributions. CB, WW, MGH designed the varying aspects of the study. CB and WWW carried out the laboratory
measurements. CB conducted the statistical analysis, backtrajectory calculations, and emissions modeling. LTM contributed
GEOS-Chem simulations. CB and WWW prepared the article with contributions from all co-authors.

390 **Acknowledgements.** We thank Ruby Ho for sampling and laboratory assistance. We are grateful to the US EPA CASTNET
program and staff for their cooperation in this study and assistance with receiving archived samples for isotopic analysis.

Competing Interests. The authors declare no competing financial interest.

395 **Financial Support.** National Science Foundation (AGS-2002750); Institute at Brown for Environment and Society Seed
Grant; Voss Environmental Fellowship from the Institute at Brown for Environment and Society

References

Alexander, B., Sherwen, T., Holmes, C. D., Fisher, J. A., Chen, Q., Evans, M. J., and Kasibhatla, P.: Global inorganic nitrate
production mechanisms: comparison of a global model with nitrate isotope observations, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*,
20, 3859–3877, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-3859-2020>, 2020. Alexander, B., Sherwen, T., Holmes, C. D., Fisher,
400 J. A., Chen, Q., Evans, M. J., and Kasibhatla, P.: Global inorganic nitrate production mechanisms:



- Comparison of a global model with nitrate isotope observations, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions*, 1–36, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-422>, 2019.
- 405 Amos, H. M., Jacob, D. J., Holmes, C. D., Fisher, J. A., Wang, Q., Yantosca, R. M., Corbitt, E. S., Galarneau, E., Rutter, A. P., and Gustin, M. S.: Gas-particle partitioning of atmospheric Hg (II) and its effect on global mercury deposition, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12, 591–603, 2012.
- Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Rossi, M. J., and Troe, J.: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: Volume I-gas phase reactions of O_x, HO_x, NO_x and SO_x species, *Atmospheric chemistry and physics*, 4, 1461–
410 1738, 2004.
- Bates, K. H. and Jacob, D. J.: A new model mechanism for atmospheric oxidation of isoprene: global effects on oxidants, nitrogen oxides, organic products, and secondary organic aerosol, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 19, 9613–9640, 2019.
- Bauer, S. E., Koch, D., Unger, N., Metzger, S. M., Shindell, D. T., and Streets, D. G.: Nitrate aerosols
415 today and in 2030: a global simulation including aerosols and tropospheric ozone, 2007.
- Beyn, F., Matthias, V., and Dähnke, K.: Changes in atmospheric nitrate deposition in Germany – An isotopic perspective, *Environmental Pollution*, 194, 1–10, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.06.043>, 2014.
- Beyn, F., Matthias, V., Aulinger, A., and Dähnke, K.: Do N-isotopes in atmospheric nitrate deposition
420 reflect air pollution levels?, *Atmospheric Environment*, 107, 281–288, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.02.057>, 2015.
- Bloss, W. J., Evans, M. J., Lee, J. D., Sommariva, R., Heard, D. E., and Pilling, M. J.: The oxidative capacity of the troposphere: Coupling of field measurements of OH and a global chemistry transport model, *Faraday discussions*, 130, 425–436, 2005.
- 425 Bohlke, J. k., Gwinn, C. j., and Coplen, T. b.: New Reference Materials for Nitrogen-Isotope-Ratio Measurements, *Geostandards Newsletter*, 17, 159–164, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-908X.1993.tb00131.x>, 1993.
- Böhlke, J. K., Mroczkowski, S. J., and Coplen, T. B.: Oxygen isotopes in nitrate: New reference materials for 18O: 17O: 16O measurements and observations on nitrate-water equilibration, *Rapid
430 Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, 17, 1835–1846, 2003.
- Breider, T. J., Mickley, L. J., Jacob, D. J., Ge, C., Wang, J., Payer Sulprizio, M., Croft, B., Ridley, D. A., McConnell, J. R., and Sharma, S.: Multidecadal trends in aerosol radiative forcing over the Arctic: Contribution of changes in anthropogenic aerosol to Arctic warming since 1980, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 122, 3573–3594, 2017.



- 435 Carslaw, D. C. and Ropkins, K.: Openair—an R package for air quality data analysis, *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 27, 52–61, 2012.
- Casciotti, K. L., Sigman, D. M., Hastings, M. G., Böhlke, J. K., and Hilkert, A.: Measurement of the oxygen isotopic composition of nitrate in seawater and freshwater using the denitrifier method, *Analytical Chemistry*, 74, 4905–4912, 2002.
- 440 Chang, Y., Zhang, Y., Tian, C., Zhang, S., Ma, X., Cao, F., Liu, X., Zhang, W., Kuhn, T., and Lehmann, M. F.: Nitrogen isotope fractionation during gas-to-particle conversion of NO_x to NO_3^- in the atmosphere – implications for isotope-based NO_x source apportionment, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 18, 11647–11661, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-11647-2018>, 2018.
- 445 Chang, Y., Zhang, Y.-L., Li, J., Tian, C., Song, L., Zhai, X., Zhang, W., Huang, T., Lin, Y.-C., and Zhu, C.: Isotopic constraints on the atmospheric sources and formation of nitrogenous species in clouds influenced by biomass burning., *Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics*, 19, 2019.
- Cheng, I., Zhang, L., Blanchard, P., Dalziel, J., and Tordon, R.: Concentration-weighted trajectory approach to identifying potential sources of speciated atmospheric mercury at an urban coastal site in Nova Scotia, Canada, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 13, 6031–6048, 2013.
- 450 Clarke, J. F., Edgerton, E., and Martin, B. E.: Dry deposition calculations for the clean air status and trends network, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310\(97\)00141-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(97)00141-6), 1997.
- Delmas, R., Serça, D., and Jambert, C.: Global inventory of NO_x sources, *Nutrient cycling in agroecosystems*, 48, 51–60, 1997.
- 455 Dimitriou, K., Remoundaki, E., Mantas, E., and Kassomenos, P.: Spatial distribution of source areas of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ by Concentration Weighted Trajectory (CWT) model applied in $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentration and composition data, *Atmospheric Environment*, 116, 138–145, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.06.021>, 2015.
- 460 Ehn, M., Thornton, J. A., Kleist, E., Sipilä, M., Junninen, H., Pullinen, I., Springer, M., Rubach, F., Tillmann, R., and Lee, B.: A large source of low-volatility secondary organic aerosol, *Nature*, 506, 476–479, 2014.
- Elliott, E. M., Kendall, C., Wankel, S. D., Burns, D. A., Boyer, E. W., Harlin, K., Bain, D. J., and Butler, T. J.: Nitrogen isotopes as indicators of NO_x source contributions to atmospheric nitrate deposition across the midwestern and northeastern United States, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 41, 7661–7667, 2007.
- 465 Elliott, E. M., Kendall, C., Boyer, E. W., Burns, D. A., Lear, G. G., Golden, H. E., Harlin, K., Bytnerowicz, A., Butler, T. J., and Glatz, R.: Dual nitrate isotopes in dry deposition: Utility for



- partitioning NO_x source contributions to landscape nitrogen deposition, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 114, 2009.
- 470 Felix, J. D., Elliott, E. M., and Shaw, S. L.: Nitrogen Isotopic Composition of Coal-Fired Power Plant NO_x: Influence of Emission Controls and Implications for Global Emission Inventories, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 46, 3528–3535, 2012.
- Feng, X., Li, Q., Tao, Y., Ding, S., Chen, Y., and Li, X.-D.: Impact of Coal Replacing Project on atmospheric fine aerosol nitrate loading and formation pathways in urban Tianjin: Insights from chemical composition and 15N and 18O isotope ratios, *Science of The Total Environment*, 708, 134797, 475 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134797>, 2020.
- Fountoukis, C. and Nenes, A.: ISORROPIA II: a computationally efficient thermodynamic equilibrium model for K⁺–Ca²⁺–Mg²⁺–NH₄⁺–Na⁺–SO₄²⁻–NO₃–Cl–H₂O aerosols, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 7, 4639–4659, 2007.
- 480 Freyer, H. D.: Seasonal variation of 15N/14N ratios in atmospheric nitrate species, *Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology*, 43, 30–44, <https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v43i1.15244>, 1991.
- Freyer, H. D., Kley, D., Volz-Thomas, A., and Kobel, K.: On the interaction of isotopic exchange processes with photochemical reactions in atmospheric oxides of nitrogen, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 98, 14791–14796, 1993.
- 485 Frost, G. J., McKeen, S. A., Trainer, M., Ryerson, T. B., Neuman, J. A., Roberts, J. M., Swanson, A., Holloway, J. S., Sueper, D. T., Fortin, T., Parrish, D. D., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Flocke, F., Peckham, S. E., Grell, G. A., Kowal, D., Cartwright, J., Auerbach, N., and Habermann, T.: Effects of changing power plant NO_x emissions on ozone in the eastern United States: Proof of concept, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 111, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006354>, 2006.
- 490 Galloway, J. N., Dentener, F. J., Capone, D. G., Boyer, E. W., Howarth, R. W., Seitzinger, S. P., Asner, G. P., Cleveland, C., Green, P., and Holland, E.: Nitrogen cycles: past, present, and future, *Biogeochemistry*, 70, 153–226, 2004.
- Geng, L., Alexander, B., Cole-Dai, J., Steig, E. J., Savarino, J., Sofen, E. D., and Schauer, A. J.: Nitrogen isotopes in ice core nitrate linked to anthropogenic atmospheric acidity change, *PNAS*, 111, 5808–5812, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319441111>, 2014.
- 495 Granger, J. and Sigman, D. M.: Removal of nitrite with sulfamic acid for nitrate N and O isotope analysis with the denitrifier method, *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, 23, 3753–3762, <https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.4307>, 2009.



- Greaver, T. L., Clark, C. M., Compton, J. E., Vallano, D., Talhelm, A. F., Weaver, C. P., Band, L. E., Baron, J. S., Davidson, E. A., and Tague, C. L.: Key ecological responses to nitrogen are altered by climate change, *Nature Climate Change*, 6, 836–843, 2016.
- 500
- Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T. any, Emmons, L. K., and Wang, X.: The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2. 1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions, *Geoscientific Model Development*, 5, 1471–1492, 2012.
- 505
- Hand, J. L., Schichtel, B. A., Malm, W. C., Copeland, S., Molenaar, J. V., Frank, N., and Pitchford, M.: Widespread reductions in haze across the United States from the early 1990s through 2011, *Atmospheric environment*, 94, 671–679, 2014.
- Hastings, M. G., Jarvis, J. C., and Steig, E. J.: Anthropogenic Impacts on Nitrogen Isotopes of Ice-Core Nitrate, *Science*, 324, 1288–1288, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1170510>, 2009.
- 510
- Hastings, M. G., Casciotti, K. L., and Elliott, E. M.: Stable isotopes as tracers of anthropogenic nitrogen sources, deposition, and impacts, *Elements*, 9, 339–344, 2013.
- Hoering, T.: The isotopic composition of the ammonia and the nitrate ion in rain, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 12, 97–102, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037\(57\)90021-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(57)90021-2), 1957.
- Hoesly, R. M., Smith, S. J., Feng, L., Klimont, Z., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Pitkanen, T., Seibert, J. J., Vu, L., Andres, R. J., and Bolt, R. M.: Historical (1750–2014) anthropogenic emissions of reactive gases and aerosols from the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS), *Geoscientific Model Development*, 11, 369–408, 2018.
- 515
- Hsu, Y.-K., Holsen, T. M., and Hopke, P. K.: Comparison of hybrid receptor models to locate PCB sources in Chicago, *Atmospheric environment*, 37, 545–562, 2003.
- 520
- Hu, L., Millet, D. B., Baasandorj, M., Griffis, T. J., Turner, P., Helmig, D., Curtis, A. J., and Hueber, J.: Isoprene emissions and impacts over an ecological transition region in the US Upper Midwest inferred from tall tower measurements, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 120, 3553–3571, 2015.
- Huang, J. and Jaeglé, L.: Wintertime enhancements of sea salt aerosol in polar regions consistent with a sea ice source from blowing snow, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 17, 3699–3712, 2017.
- 525
- Hudman, R. C., Moore, N. E., Martin, R. V., Russell, A. R., Mebust, A. K., Valin, L. C., and Cohen, R. C.: A mechanistic model of global soil nitric oxide emissions: implementation and space based-constraints., *Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics Discussions*, 12, 2012.



- Jaeglé, L., Steinberger, L., Martin, R. V., and Chance, K.: Global partitioning of NO_x sources using satellite observations: Relative roles of fossil fuel combustion, biomass burning and soil emissions, Faraday Discussions, 130, 407–423, 2005.
- Jaeglé, L., Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Alexander, B., and Lin, J.-T.: Global distribution of sea salt aerosols: new constraints from in situ and remote sensing observations, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 3137–3157, 2011.
- Jaeglé, L., Shah, V., Thornton, J. A., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Lee, B. H., McDuffie, E. E., Fibiger, D., Brown, S. S., Veres, P., Sparks, T. L., Ebben, C. J., Wooldridge, P. J., Kenagy, H. S., Cohen, R. C., Weinheimer, A. J., Campos, T. L., Montzka, D. D., Digangi, J. P., Wolfe, G. M., Hanisco, T., Schroder, J. C., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Jimenez, J. L., Sullivan, A. P., Guo, H., and Weber, R. J.: Nitrogen Oxides Emissions, Chemistry, Deposition, and Export Over the Northeast United States During the WINTER Aircraft Campaign, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 123, 12,368–12,393, 2018.
- Kaiser, J., Hastings, M. G., Houlton, B. Z., Röckmann, T., and Sigman, D. M.: Triple Oxygen Isotope Analysis of Nitrate Using the Denitrifier Method and Thermal Decomposition of N₂O, Anal. Chem., 79, 599–607, <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac061022s>, 2007.
- Li, D. and Wang, X.: Nitrogen isotopic signature of soil-released nitric oxide (NO) after fertilizer application, Atmospheric Environment, 42, 4747–4754, 2008.
- Li, J., Zhang, X., Orlando, J., Tyndall, G., and Michalski, G.: Quantifying the nitrogen isotope effects during photochemical equilibrium between NO and NO₂: implications for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in tropospheric reactive nitrogen, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 20, 9805–9819, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-9805-2020>, 2020.
- Li, J., Davy, P., Harvey, M., Katzman, T., Mitchell, T., and Michalski, G.: Nitrogen isotopes in nitrate aerosols collected in the remote marine boundary layer: Implications for nitrogen isotopic fractionations among atmospheric reactive nitrogen species, Atmospheric Environment, 245, 118028, 2021.
- Li, Z., Walters, W. W., Hastings, M. G., Zhang, Y., Song, L., Liu, D., Zhang, W., Pan, Y., Fu, P., and Fang, Y.: Nitrate Isotopic Composition in Precipitation at a Chinese Megacity: Seasonal Variations, Atmospheric Processes, and Implications for Sources, Earth and Space Science, 6, 2200–2213, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019EA000759>, 2019.
- Liu, H., Jacob, D. J., Bey, I., and Yantosca, R. M.: Constraints from ²¹⁰Pb and ⁷Be on wet deposition and transport in a global three-dimensional chemical tracer model driven by assimilated meteorological fields, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 106, 12109–12128, 2001.
- McDuffie, E. E., Smith, S. J., O'Rourke, P., Tibrewal, K., Venkataraman, C., Marais, E. A., Zheng, B., Crippa, M., Brauer, M., and Martin, R. V.: A global anthropogenic emission inventory of atmospheric



- pollutants from sector- and fuel-specific sources (1970–2017): an application of the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS), *Earth System Science Data*, 12, 3413–3442, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-3413-2020>, 2020.
- 565 Michalski, G., Scott, Z., Kabling, M., and Thiemens, M. H.: First measurements and modeling of $\Delta 17\text{O}$ in atmospheric nitrate, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 30, 1870, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL017015>, 2003.
- Michalski, G., Fang, H., Walters, W. W., and Mase, D.: i_NRACM: Incorporating ^{15}N into the Regional Atmospheric Chemistry Mechanism (RACM) for assessing the role photochemistry plays in controlling the isotopic composition of NO_x , NO_y , and atmospheric nitrate., *Geoscientific Model Development Discussions*, 1–47, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-114>, 2020.
- 570 Miller, D. J., Wojtal, P. K., Clark, S. C., and Hastings, M. G.: Vehicle NO_x emission plume isotopic signatures: Spatial variability across the eastern United States, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 122, 2016JD025877, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025877>, 2017.
- Miller, D. J., Chai, J., Guo, F., Dell, C. J., Karsten, H., and Hastings, M. G.: Isotopic Composition of In Situ Soil NO_x Emissions in Manure-Fertilized Cropland, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45, 12–058, 2018.
- 580 Millet, D. B., Guenther, A., Siegel, D. A., Nelson, N. B., Singh, H. B., de Gouw, J. A., Warneke, C., Williams, J., Eerdekens, G., Sinha, V., Karl, T., Flocke, F., Apel, E., Riemer, D. D., Palmer, P. I., and Barkley, M.: Global atmospheric budget of acetaldehyde: 3-D model analysis and constraints from in-situ and satellite observations, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10, 3405–3425, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-3405-2010>, 2010.
- Murray, L. T.: Lightning NO_x and impacts on air quality, *Current Pollution Reports*, 2, 115–133, 2016.
- 585 Murray, L. T., Jacob, D. J., Logan, J. A., Hudman, R. C., and Koshak, W. J.: Optimized regional and interannual variability of lightning in a global chemical transport model constrained by LIS/OTD satellite data, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 117, 2012.
- Pinder, R. W., Davidson, E. A., Goodale, C. L., Greaver, T. L., Herrick, J. D., and Liu, L.: Climate change impacts of US reactive nitrogen, *PNAS*, 109, 7671–7675, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1114243109>, 2012.
- 590 Prinn, R. G.: The cleansing capacity of the atmosphere, *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 28, 29–57, 2003.
- Pye, H. O. T., Chan, A. W. H., Barkley, M. P., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Global modeling of organic aerosol: the importance of reactive nitrogen (NO_x and NO_3), *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10, 11261–11276, 2010.



- 595 Ridley, D. A., Heald, C. L., and Ford, B.: North African dust export and deposition: A satellite and model perspective, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 117, 2012.
- Salamalikis, V., Argiriou, A. A., and Dotsika, E.: Stable isotopic composition of atmospheric water vapor in Patras, Greece: A concentration weighted trajectory approach, *Atmospheric research*, 152, 93–104, 2015.
- 600 Savard, M. M., Cole, A., Smirnoff, A., and Vet, R.: $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of atmospheric N species simultaneously collected using sector-based samplers distant from sources – Isotopic inheritance and fractionation, *Atmospheric Environment*, 162, 11–22, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.05.010>, 2017.
- Savarino, J., Morin, S., Erbland, J., Grannec, F., Patey, M. D., Vicars, W., Alexander, B., and Achterberg, E. P.: Isotopic composition of atmospheric nitrate in a tropical marine boundary layer, *PNAS*, 110, 17668–17673, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1216639110>, 2013.
- 605 Sharma, H. D., Jervis, R. E., and Wong, K. Y.: Isotopic exchange reactions in nitrogen oxides, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 74, 923–933, <https://doi.org/10.1021/j100699a044>, 1970.
- Sickles, I. I. and Shadwick, D. S.: Air quality and atmospheric deposition in the eastern US: 20 years of change, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 15, 173–197, 2015.
- 610 Sigman, D. M., Casciotti, K. L., Andreani, M., Barford, C., Galanter, M., and Böhlke, J. K.: A bacterial method for the nitrogen isotopic analysis of nitrate in seawater and freshwater, *Analytical chemistry*, 73, 4145–4153, 2001.
- Stein, A. F., Draxler, R. R., Rolph, G. D., Stunder, B. J., Cohen, M. D., and Ngan, F.: NOAA’s HYSPLIT atmospheric transport and dispersion modeling system, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 96, 2059–2077, 2015.
- 615 Vicars, W. C., Morin, S., Savarino, J., Wagner, N. L., Erbland, J., Vince, E., Martins, J. M. F., Lerner, B. M., Quinn, P. K., Coffman, D. J., and others: Spatial and diurnal variability in reactive nitrogen oxide chemistry as reflected in the isotopic composition of atmospheric nitrate: Results from the CalNex 2010 field study, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 118, 2013.
- 620 Walker, J. T., Beachley, G., Amos, H. M., Baron, J. S., Bash, J., Baumgardner, R., Bell, M. D., Benedict, K. B., Chen, X., and Clow, D. W.: Toward the improvement of total nitrogen deposition budgets in the United States, *Science of the Total Environment*, 691, 1328–1352, 2019.
- Walters, W. W. and Michalski, G.: Theoretical calculation of nitrogen isotope equilibrium exchange fractionation factors for various NO_y molecules, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 164, 284–297, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.05.029>, 2015a.



625 Walters, W. W. and Michalski, G.: Theoretical calculation of nitrogen isotope equilibrium exchange fractionation factors for various NO_y molecules, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 164, 284–297, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.05.029>, 2015b.

Walters, W. W. and Michalski, G.: Ab initio study of nitrogen and position-specific oxygen kinetic isotope effects in the NO + O₃ reaction, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 145, 224311, 630 <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4968562>, 2016a.

Walters, W. W. and Michalski, G.: Theoretical calculation of oxygen equilibrium isotope fractionation factors involving various NO_y molecules, OH, and H₂O and its implications for isotope variations in atmospheric nitrate, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 191, 89–101, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.06.039>, 2016b.

635 Walters, W. W., Tharp, B. D., Fang, H., Kozak, B. J., and Michalski, G.: Nitrogen isotope composition of thermally produced NO_x from various fossil-fuel combustion sources, *Environmental science & technology*, 2015a.

Walters, W. W., Goodwin, S. R., and Michalski, G.: Nitrogen Stable Isotope Composition ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$) of Vehicle-Emitted NO_x, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 49, 2278–2285, <https://doi.org/10.1021/es505580v>, 640 2015b.

Walters, W. W., Simonini, D. S., and Michalski, G.: Nitrogen isotope exchange between NO and NO₂ and its implications for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ variations in tropospheric NO_x and atmospheric nitrate, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43, 2015GL066438, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL066438>, 2016.

Walters, W. W., Fang, H., and Michalski, G.: Summertime diurnal variations in the isotopic composition of atmospheric nitrogen dioxide at a small midwestern United States city, *Atmospheric Environment*, 645 179, 1–11, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.01.047>, 2018.

Wang, X., Jacob, D. J., Downs, W., Zhai, S., Zhu, L., Shah, V., Holmes, C. D., Sherwen, T., Alexander, B., and Evans, M. J.: Global tropospheric halogen (Cl, Br, I) chemistry and its impact on oxidants, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 21, 13973–13996, 2021.

650 van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., van Leeuwen, T. T., Chen, Y., Rogers, B. M., Mu, M., van Marle, M. J. E., Morton, D. C., Collatz, G. J., Yokelson, R. J., and Kasibhatla, P. S.: Global fire emissions estimates during 1997–2016, *Earth System Science Data*, 9, 697–720, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-9-697-2017>, 2017.

Wesely, M. L. and Lesht, B. M.: Comparison of RADM dry deposition algorithms with a site-specific method for inferring dry deposition, *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, 44, 273–293, 1989. 655

Xing, Y.-F., Xu, Y.-H., Shi, M.-H., and Lian, Y.-X.: The impact of PM_{2.5} on the human respiratory system, *J Thorac Dis*, 8, E69–E74, <https://doi.org/10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2016.01.19>, 2016.



- Yu, Z. and Elliott, E. M.: Novel Method for Nitrogen Isotopic Analysis of Soil-Emitted Nitric Oxide, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 51, 6268–6278, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00592>, 2017.
- 660 Zhang, R., Tie, X., and Bond, D. W.: Impacts of anthropogenic and natural NO_x sources over the U.S. on tropospheric chemistry, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 100, 1505–1509, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.252763799>, 2003.
- Zong, Z., Wang, X., Tian, C., Chen, Y., Fang, Y., Zhang, F., Li, C., Sun, J., Li, J., and Zhang, G.: First
665 Assessment of NO_x Sources at a Regional Background Site in North China Using Isotopic Analysis
Linked with Modeling, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 51, 5923–5931, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b06316>,
2017.

670

675

680

685

690



Table 1. Summary of $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ emission source values.

	NO_x Emission Source	$\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ (‰) (mean $\pm\sigma$)	Reference
695	Agriculture/Waste*	-33.0 \pm 12.3	(Miller et al., 2018)
	On-Road Transport	-4.7 \pm 1.7	(Miller et al., 2017)
	Non-Road Transport	-16.8 \pm 5	(Walters et al., 2015a)
	Shipping	-16.8 \pm 5	(Walters et al., 2015a)
700	Combustion- Coal & Solid Biofuel**	13.6 \pm 3.9	(Felix et al., 2012)
	Combustion – Liquid Fuel & Process**	-16.5 \pm 1.7	(Walters et al., 2015a)

705 *Waste NO_x emissions represented <1 % of total monthly NO_x emissions within each identified nitrate source region and were lumped with agricultural NO_x emissions

**Combustion-Residential, Combustion-Commercial, and Combustion-Other were combined (Combustion) and separated by fuel type (i.e., Combustion- Coal & Solid Biofuel & Combustion-Liquid Fuel & Process). The “Process” Combustion emissions were assumed to have a similar $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, \text{NO}_x)$ value as liquid fuel.

710

715

720



725

Table 2. Statistical summary including minimum (Min), maximum (Max), mean (Mean), standard deviation (SD), and number of counts (*N*) for concentration and $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ of HNO_3 , pNO_3 , and tNO_3 at the CASTNET sites.

Descriptive Statistic	HNO_3		pNO_3		tNO_3	
	Concentration ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$)	$\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ (‰)	Concentration ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$)	$\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ (‰)	Concentration ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$)	$\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ (‰)
Connecticut Hill, NY						
Min	0.219	-11.1	0.091	-6.8	0.320	-9.8
Max	1.203	-0.1	5.033	4.4	5.474	3.0
Mean(SD)	0.526(0.200)	-4.7(3.2)	0.735(0.813)	-0.6(3.2)	1.261(0.832)	-2.7(4.1)
<i>N</i>	105	26	105	26	105	26
Abington, CT						
Min	0.138	-9.5	0.142	-4.3	0.488	-7.5
Max	1.326	4.3	3.466	5.8	4.375	5.1
Mean(SD)	0.600(0.255)	-2.1(4.4)	0.723(0.582)	0.6(2.8)	1.323(0.662)	-0.9(3.9)
<i>N</i>	107	27	107	27	107	27
Woodstock, NH						
Min	0.061	-11.7	0.058	-6.9	0.148	-10.6
Max	0.721	-3.4	1.213	2.3	1.934	-0.4
Mean(SD)	0.218(0.094)	-6.7(2.4)	0.199(0.183)	-1.8(2.7)	0.417(0.252)	-4.8(3.0)
<i>N</i>	105	26	105	26	105	26

730

735

740



Figure 1. Map of the three Northeastern CASTNET monitoring sites included in the study. The image was created using Google Maps (Map data ©2022 Google).

745

750

755

760





Figure 2. Comparison between the nitrate (NO_3^-) concentrations reported by CASTNET with those measured at Brown University for the Nylon filter (A) and Teflon Filter (B) extracts.

765

770

775

780




785

Figure 3. Concentration data of nitric acid (HNO_3), particulate nitrate (pNO_3), and total nitrate ($\text{tNO}_3 = \text{HNO}_3 + \text{pNO}_3$) at the three CASTNET sites (Connecticut Hill, NY (CTH110) $N=105$, Abington, CT (ABT147) $N=107$, and Woodstock, NH (WST109), $N=105$) from December 2016 to 2018.

790

795


800



805 **Figure 4.** Box plot summary of seasonal concentrations of nitric acid (HNO_3), particulate nitrate (pNO_3), and total nitrate ($\text{tNO}_3 = \text{HNO}_3 + \text{pNO}_3$) at the considered CASTNET sites. The box plot summary indicates the distributions (lower extreme, lower quartile, median, upper quartile, and upper extreme) with the mean (open triangle) and outlier (data points) indicated. The p-values from ANOVA pairwise comparisons are indicated where *, **, ***, and **** indicate significant differences with $p < 0.01$, $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.0001$, and $p < 0.00001$, respectively.


810

815



820 **Figure 5.** Clustered air mass back trajectories (A, D, G), total nitrate (tNO₃ = HNO₃ + pNO₃) concentration weighted trajectories (B, E, H) and geospatial polygons (shown in red) representing the tNO₃ source contribution regions (C, F, I) at the CASTNET sites from December 2016 to 2018. The percentage contribution of each cluster to the total is also indicated.

825



830

Figure 6. Stable nitrogen isotope ($\delta(^{15}\text{N})$) composition data of nitric acid (HNO_3), particulate nitrate (pNO_3), and total nitrate ($\text{tNO}_3 = \text{HNO}_3 + \text{pNO}_3$) at the three CASTNET sites (Connecticut Hill, NY (CTH110), Abington, CT (ABT147), and Woodstock, NH (WST109)) from December 2016 to December 2018.

835

840






Figure 7. Box plot summary of seasonal $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of nitric acid (HNO_3), particulate nitrate (pNO_3), and total nitrate ($\text{tNO}_3 = \text{HNO}_3 + \text{pNO}_3$) at the considered CASTNET sites. The box plot summary indicates the distributions (lower extreme, lower quartile, median, upper quartile, and upper extreme) with the mean (open triangle) and outlier (data points) indicated. The p-values from ANOVA pairwise comparisons are indicated where *, **, ***, and **** indicate significant differences with $p < 0.01$, $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.0001$, and $p < 0.00001$, respectively.

845

850




855 **Figure 8.** Estimated NO_x emission density by sector (A) and fuel-type (B) for source regions contributing to the considered CASTNET sites, including Connecticut Hill, NY (CTH110), Abington, CT (ABT147), and Woodstock, NH (WST109). The monthly predicted δ(¹⁵N, NO_x) from the emission estimates and the observed δ(¹⁵N, tNO₃) are shown in C. The data points in C correspond to the mean, and the error bars correspond to the uncertainty, representing the propagated uncertainty for δ(¹⁵N, NO_x) and the standard deviation for the δ(¹⁵N, tNO₃) measurements.



860 **Figure 9.** GEOS-Chem output of O_3 , NO_x , and $f(NO_2)$ data and the calculated fraction of NO_x at isotope equilibrium (f_{EIE}), the NO_2/NO enrichment factor $^{15}\epsilon(NO_2/NO)$, and $\delta(^{15}N, NO_2)$, at the considered CASTNET sites. The error bars in $^{15}\epsilon(NO_2/NO)$ and $\delta(^{15}N, NO_2)$ correspond to the propagated uncertainty.

865

870



875 **Figure 10.** The calculated nitrogen enrichment factor associated with nitrate formation $^{15}\epsilon(\text{tNO}_3/\text{NO}_2)$, and the estimated relative
fraction of total atmospheric nitrate (tNO_3) formation via the N_2O_5 hydrolysis (R1) and $\text{NO}_2 + \text{OH}$ (R2) pathways at the considered
CASTNET sites. The error bars represent propagated uncertainty.

880

885