1	A set of methods to evaluate the below-cloud evaporation effect on local	
2	precipitation isotopic composition: a case study for Xi'an, China	
3	Meng Xing ^{1,2*} , Weiguo Liu ^{1,2,3*} , Jing Hu ^{1,2} , Zheng Wang ^{1,2}	
4		
5		
6 7	1. State Key Laboratory of Loess and Quaternary Geology, Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xi'an, 710061, China	
8	2. CAS Center for Excellence in Quaternary Science and Global Change, Xi'an,	
9	710061, China.	
10	3. University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China	
11		
12	Corresponding authors:	
13	Meng Xing	email address: <u>xingmeng@ieecas.cn</u>
14	Weiguo Liu	email address: liuwg@loess.llqg.ac.cn
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
21		
20 29		
30		
31		

32 Abstract:

33 When hydrometeors fall from an in-cloud saturated environment toward the ground, 34 especially in arid and semiarid regions, below-cloud processes may heavily alter the isotopic composition of precipitation through equilibrium and non-equilibrium 35 36 fractionations. If these below-cloud processes are not correctly identified, they can lead 37 to misinterpretation of the precipitation isotopic signal. To correctly understand the 38 environmental information recorded in the precipitation isotopes, qualitatively 39 analyzing the below-cloud processes and quantitatively calculating the below-cloud 40 evaporation effect are two important steps. Here, based on two years of synchronous observations of precipitation and water vapor isotopes in Xi'an, we compiled a set of 41 42 effective methods to systematically evaluate the below-cloud evaporation effect on 43 local precipitation isotopic composition. The $\Delta d\Delta \delta$ -diagram is a tool to effectively 44 diagnose below-cloud processes, such as equilibration or evaporation, because the 45 isotopic differences (δ^2 H, d-excess) between the precipitation-equilibrated vapor and the observed vapor show different pathways. By using the $\Delta d\Delta \delta$ -diagram, our data 46 47 show that evaporation is the major below-cloud process in Xi'an, while snowfall 48 samples retain the initial cloud signal because they are less impacted by the isotopic 49 exchange between vapor and solid phases. Then, we chose two methods to 50 quantitatively characterize the influence of below-cloud evaporation on local 51 precipitation isotopic composition: one is based on the raindrop's mass change during 52 its falling (hereafter referred to as method 1); the other is dependent on the variations 53 in precipitation isotopic composition from the cloud base to the ground (hereafter 54 referred to as method 2). By comparison, we found that there are no significant differences between the two methods in evaluating the evaporation effect on $\delta^2 H_p$, 55 except for snowfall events. The slope of evaporation proportion to the variation in $\delta^2 H$ 56 57 $(F_i/\Delta\delta^2 H)$ is slightly larger in method 1 (1.0 ‰/%) than in method 2 (0.9 ‰/%). 58 Additionally, both methods indicate that the evaporation effect is weak in autumn and 59 heavy in spring. Through a sensitivity test, we found that in two methods, relative humidity is the most sensitive parameter, while the temperature shows different effects 60 61 on the two methods. Therefore, we concluded that both methods are suited to 62 investigate the below-cloud evaporation effect, while in method 2, other below-cloud 63 processes, such as supersaturation, can still be included. By applying method 2, the 64 diagnosis of below-cloud processes and the understanding of their effects on the 65 precipitation isotopic composition will be improved.

66

68 **1 Introduction**

69 For the paleoenvironment, the isotopic signal of precipitation recorded in ice cores 70 (Thompson et al., 2000; Yao et al., 1996), tree rings (Liu et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2017b), speleothems (Cai et al., 2010; Tan et al., 2014), and leaf wax of loess-paleosol 71 72 deposits (Wang et al., 2018b) and lake sediments (Liu et al., 2017a, 2019) could be 73 used to reconstruct the information of temperature, precipitation, and hydrological 74 regimes in geologic history, as it had participated in the formation or growth of these geological archives. For the modern environment, it could be used to quantitatively 75 76 constrain the water vapor contribution from the end-members of advection (Peng et al., 77 2011), evaporation (Sun et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2016a), transpiration (Li et al., 2016; 78 Zhao et al., 2019), and even anthropogenic activities (Fiorella et al., 2018; Gorski et al., 2015; Xing et al., 2020), as it is itself an important part of the hydrological cycle. 79 80 Thus, the hydrogen and oxygen isotopes of precipitation are some of the most 81 important tools to trace the hydrological cycle and climate change (Bowen et al., 2019; 82 Gat, 1996). However, limited by the sampling and isotopic fractionation theories, there 83 remains large uncertainty (i.e., the below-cloud evaporation intensity, the moisture 84 recycling ratio, water molecule exchange between the droplet and ambient air, etc.) in 85 deciphering the information contained in precipitation when using hydrogen and 86 oxygen isotopes (Bowen et al., 2019; Yao et al., 2013).

87

Below-cloud evaporation is one of the processes that influences the falling raindrops 88 89 and modifies their final stable isotopic content and thus needs to be properly evaluated. 90 Over the past decades, to determine whether a hydrometeor has evaporated during its fall, most studies have depended on a second-order isotopic parameter (Dansgaard, 91 92 1964; Jeelani et al., 2018; Li and Garzione, 2017), deuterium excess (defined as d-93 excess= δ^2 H-8× δ^{18} O). This parameter is representative of the non-equilibrium fractionations, since light isotopes (¹H and ¹⁶O) equilibrate faster than heavy isotopes 94 95 (²H and ¹⁸O) in different phases (Clark and Fritz, 1997; Dansgaard, 1964). For raindrops, the lighter water molecules $({}^{1}H_{2}{}^{16}O)$ preferentially equilibrate or diffuse from 96 97 the liquid phase to the gas phase during their falling through unsaturated ambient air. 98 Equilibrium fractionation does not substantially change d-excess, while a non-99 equilibrium diffusional process would result in a decrease in d-excess in rain (Fisher, 100 1991; Merlivat and Jouzel, 1979). Additionally, the slope of the local meteoric water 101 line (LMWL) has also been widely used as a metric to infer the below-cloud evaporation 102 effect according to the theory of water isotope equilibrium fractionation (Chakraborty et al., 2016; Putman et al., 2019b; Wang et al., 2018a). Generally, the LMWL slope is 103

approximately equal to 8.0 in equilibrium fractionation, and a slope deviating from 8.0
 is related to non-equilibrium fractionation, such as the re-evaporation of raindrops.

106

107 However, it is worth noting that the change in air masses (Guan et al., 2013), 108 condensation under supersaturation conditions (Jouzel et al., 2013), or moisture exchange in the cloud and subcloud layers (Graf et al., 2019) also cause large 109 variations in the slopes and d-excess values (Putman et al., 2019a; Tian et al., 2018). 110 Therefore, it is imperative to explore a novel method to more accurately identify the 111 112 below-cloud processes. Recently, Graf et al. (2019) provided a new interpretive 113 framework to directly separate the convoluted influences on the stable isotopic 114 composition of vapor and precipitation according to the theoretical fractionation processes, especially the influences of equilibration and below-cloud evaporation. The 115 116 axes of the new diagram consist of the differences, $\Delta\delta^2 H$ and Δd , between the isotopic 117 composition of equilibrated vapor from precipitation and near-surface observed vapor, 118 namely, the $\Delta\delta\Delta$ d-diagram. Compared with the slope of the LMWL or d-excess, belowcloud equilibration and evaporation have different spatial distributions in the two-119 120 dimensional phase space of the $\Delta\delta\Delta d$ -diagram, which makes them more easily 121 distinguishable. Although the $\Delta\delta\Delta d$ -diagram gives us a new guideline to more 122 accurately identify below-cloud processes, Graf's et al. (2019) work was only tested on 123 a cold frontal rain event during a short time, and hence, more work needs to be done 124 to validate the general applicability of their framework.

125

126 The cloud-base signal of precipitation isotopes is important in hydrological studies, and thus it is necessary to quantitatively evaluate the influence of below-cloud evaporation 127 on its variations. Normally, the isotopic difference of raindrops between ground level 128 129 and cloud base is determined by the below-cloud evaporation intensity. Because it is 130 difficult to accurately measure the vapor or precipitation isotopic composition at the 131 cloud base, the model proposed by Stewart (1975) has been widely used to evaluate the below-cloud evaporation effect for a long time. Based on well-defined laboratory 132 133 conditions, Stewart (1975) parameterized the change in the isotopic composition of a 134 falling water drop with the vapor and raindrop isotopic compositions at the cloud base 135 and the remaining fraction of raindrop mass after evaporation (hereafter referred to as 136 method 1). Froehlich et al. (2008) adapted the Stewart model and then assessed the 137 change in d-excess due to below-cloud evaporation in the European Alps. Wang et al. (2016b) further refined the calculations of the parameters, which are used to determine 138 the remaining fraction of raindrop mass in the Stewart model, to assess the variation 139

in d-excess of raindrops in central Asia. However, these quantitative evaluations of
 below-cloud evaporation are indirect because the results are largely dependent on the
 parameter that is the remaining fraction of raindrop mass after evaporation.

143

In recent years, with progress in optical laser systems, relatively portable field-144 145 deployable laser spectroscopic instruments have emerged, which allows online, autonomous, and high-frequency site measurements of the water vapor stable isotope 146 composition to be achieved (Aemisegger et al., 2012; Christner et al., 2018). Therefore, 147 148 the vapor or precipitation isotopic composition at the cloud base could be directly 149 measured (Salmon et al., 2019) or indirectly deduced from the ground-level vapor 150 isotopic composition (Deshpande et al., 2010; Salamalikis et al., 2016). This enables us to directly calculate the influence of below-cloud processes on the precipitation 151 152 isotopic composition (hereafter referred to as method 2). However, thus far, these have 153 not been systematically compared.

154

155 Here, we use measurements of two-year near-ground water vapor isotope 156 compositions and 141 precipitation isotope compositions (including event-based 157 snowfall samples) that were collected in Xi'an (34.23°N, 108.88°E), Shaanxi Province, 158 located in the Chinese Loess Plateau (CLP). The objectives of this study are to: 1. 159 qualitatively identify the below-cloud processes of falling raindrops by using the $\Delta\delta\Delta d$ -160 diagram; 2. guantitatively evaluate the below-cloud evaporation effect on precipitation isotopic composition by two methods and compare their differences; 3. understand the 161 162 role of meteorological factors on below-cloud evaporation and the characteristics of below-cloud evaporation in Xi'an city. Therefore, with the advantages of paired 163 observations of vapor and precipitation isotopes near the ground, this study will 164 compile a set of effective methods to evaluate the below-cloud evaporation effect on 165 166 the local precipitation isotopic composition.

167

168 **2 Data and methods**

169 **2.1 Sampling site**

As the capital city of Shaanxi Province and the largest city in Northwest China, Xi'an is located on the Guanzhong Plain on the southern edge of the CLP at an average elevation of 400 m. The city is located in a semiarid to arid region and is representative of most cities in northern and northwestern of China (e.g., Lanzhou and Xining cities, Fig. 1). The mean annual precipitation is 573.7 mm, and the mean annual evaporation is 426.6 mm from 1951 to 2008 (Wu et al., 2013). The notable below-cloud evaporation effect has been reported in many studies for this area (Sun et al., 2020; Wan et al.,
2018; Zhu et al., 2016). Therefore, it is an ideal site to study below-cloud processes.



Figure 1. Average monthly variations in temperature and precipitation in Xi'an, Lanzhou, and Xining during 2010-2015. Location of the sampling site in the Yanta Zone, 9 km SE of downtown Xi'an. Water vapor samples are taken on the seventh floor of a twelve-story building, approximately 30 m above ground level. Precipitation samples are collected on the top floor, 1 m above ground level.

183

The water vapor in situ measurement site is located in a residential area, approximately 10 km southeast of downtown Xi'an city (Fig. 1). The atmospheric water vapor isotopic composition was observed from 1 January 2016 to 31 December 2017 on the seventh floor of the Institute of Earth and Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, approximately 30 m above ground. The rainfall or snowfall collector was placed on the rooftop of the buildings (1 m above the floor of the roof), approximately 50 m above ground.

191

192 **2.2 Sampling and isotopic measurement**

193 Rainfall and snowfall samples were collected manually from the beginning of each 194 precipitation event using a polyethylene collector ($700 \times 450 \times 170$ mm). Before being 195 used, the collector was cleaned with soap and water, rinsed with deionized water, and 196 then dried. When the precipitation event ended, the collector was quickly taken back 197 to minimize water evaporation. The rainfall volume was measured using a graduated 198 flask. After collection, the samples were filtered through 0.40-µm polycarbonate 199 membranes. Then, the rainfall samples were immediately poured into 100 ml 200 polyethylene bottles. The snowfall samples were first melted at room temperature in 201 closed plastic bags, second the samples were filtered, and then immediately poured 202 into 100 ml polyethylene bottles. Approximately 2 ml of each filtrate was transferred 203 into a sample vial and stored at -4 °C until analysis. Of the 141 collected samples, during the two-year sampling campaigns, 130 were rainfall samples, and the other 11 204 205 were snowfall samples (Table S3).

206

In all cases, the data are reported in the standard delta notation (δ), i.e., the per mil (‰) deviation from Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water according to, δ = (R_{sample}/R_{reference}-1) ×1000, where R is the isotope ratio of the heavy and light isotopes (e.g., ¹⁸O/¹⁶O) in the sample and the reference.

211

212 The precipitation samples were analyzed with a Picarro L2130-i (serial number HIDS 213 2104) wavelength-scanned cavity ring-down spectrometer in high-precision mode. 214 Every isotopic standard or sample was injected sequentially 8 times using a 5 µL 215 syringe, and then the arithmetic average of the last 3 injections was accepted as the 216 final result. All the samples were calibrated by three laboratory standards, while the 217 δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H true values of the three laboratory standards (Laboratory Standard-1 (LS-1): $\delta^{18}O = +0.3\%$, $\delta^{2}H = -0.4\%$; Laboratory Standard-2 (LS-2): $\delta^{18}O = -8.8\%$, $\delta^{2}H$ 218 =-64.8%; Laboratory Standard-3 (LS-3): $\delta^{18}O$ =-24.5%, $\delta^{2}H$ =-189.1%) are 219 220 calibrated to the scale of two international standards VSMOW-GISP (Vienna Standard 221 Mean Ocean Water – Greenland Ice Sheet Precipitation), with a precision of ±0.2‰ 222 and $\pm 1.0\%$ for $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{2}H$, respectively. To correct the instrument drift, the 223 instrument was repeatedly calibrated with the laboratory standards after analyzing 8 224 samples.

225

Atmospheric water vapor $\delta^{18}O_v$ and δ^2H_v were also analyzed by Picarro L2130-i but in liquid-vapor dual mode. The inlet of the gas-phase instrument is connected to the vapor source through an external solenoid valve when measuring vapor samples. This valve can switch the input of the instrument from the vapor sample to dry gas. The instrument is connected to dry gas prior to being connected to the evaporator for measuring liquid water standards so that any traces of the water vapor sample are removed from the measurement cell. The standards are injected into the evaporator with a CTC Analytics 233 autosampler, PAL HTC-xt (Leap Technologies, Carrboro, NC, USA), and measured by 234 the laser spectrometer. The atmospheric water vapor is pumped through a 2 m 235 stainless-steel tube (1/8 inch) using a diaphragm pump at a speed of 4 L min⁻¹ and 236 detected by the laser spectrometer. The outside length of the stainless-steel tube is 237 approximately 0.5 m, and the inside length is approximately 1.5 m. We covered the 238 stainless-steel tube with heating tape maintained at 60 °C to prevent water vapor from 239 condensing in the stainless-steel tube. The air intake was protected with a shield to 240 prevent rainwater from entering the sample line and direct sunlight.

241

The raw water vapor $\delta^{18}O_v$ and δ^2H_v data were obtained at approximately 1 Hz and then block-averaged into 1 h intervals. As the main usage of this instrument is to measure liquid water samples in our laboratory, it is used to monitor water vapor isotopes in its spare time. Thus, the missing data indicate that the instrument is used for measuring liquid samples or being maintained. The event-based water vapor isotopic result is the average value from the start of the precipitation event to the end.

The hourly meteorological data, such as temperature, relative humidity (RH), and surface pressure in Xi'an, are reported by the Chinese meteorological administration, and can be downloaded from the website of <u>http://www.weather.com.cn/</u>. The meteorological station is approximately 10 km to the north of our sampling site.

253

254 **2.3 The representativeness of data**

255 Over 2 years, a total of 514 days of water vapor isotopic composition measurements 256 were carried out. For 141 precipitation samples, 100 precipitation samples have 257 corresponding event-based water vapor isotopic results. In this study, the precipitation events mainly occurred in summer and autumn and less frequently in winter and spring. 258 259 In summer and autumn, the rainfall amount accounted for more than 70% of the annual 260 rainfall (Fig. S3). This is consistent with the multiyear average precipitation distribution in Xi'an (Fig. 1). Therefore, the collected samples are able to represent the precipitation 261 262 characteristics in this region.

263

264 **2.4 Water vapor isotopic data correction**

The water vapor concentration effect and isotopic composition dependency of the cavity ringdown spectrometer have been pointed out by many studies (e.g., Bastrikov et al., 2014; Benetti et al., 2014; Steen-Larsen et al., 2013; Weng et al., 2020). To minimize the uncertainty from the measurement, it is important to determine the 269 isotopic composition-humidity correction response function. The humidity dependency 270 shown in Fig. S1 also shows a dependency on the isotopic composition of the 271 standards as reported by Weng et al. (2020). For example, in Fig. S1a and Fig. S1b, 272 LS-1 shows a decrease in $\Delta\delta^{18}$ O and $\Delta\delta^{2}$ H with decreasing humidity, while LS-3 shows 273 an increase with decreasing humidity. Therefore, we referred to Weng et al.'s (2020) 274 correction scheme for the isotope composition-humidity dependency.

275

The isotopic measurements of ground-level $\delta^{18}O_v$ and δ^2H_v were corrected for isotopic composition-humidity dependency using the following:

278

$$\Delta \delta_{\text{corr}} = \delta_{\text{meas}} - \delta_{\text{iso-hum-cor}} = \frac{a(\delta_{\text{iso-hum-cor}})}{h} + b(\delta_{\text{iso-hum-cor}}) \times h + c(\delta_{\text{iso-hum-cor}})$$
(Eq. 1)

where $\delta_{iso-hum-cor}$ is the isotopic composition-humidity dependency corrected water vapor isotopic composition at 20000 ppmv; δ_{meas} is the raw, measured isotopic composition at that humidity; h is the measured humidity; and a, b, and c are fitting coefficients for each water standard and isotope species. The detailed correction processes are provided in the supplementary material (Appendix A).

284

To calibrate the water vapor isotopic composition to the VSMOW-GISP scale, three known-value laboratory standards were used in the conversion, while these standards were analyzed in 24 h intervals to correct for instrument drift. The 1 σ estimated total uncertainties are from 2.1 to 12.4 ‰ for δ^2 H_v, 0.4 to 1.7 ‰ for δ^{18} O_v, and 3.8 to 18.4 ‰ for d-excess_v over the range of humidity from 30000 to 3000 ppmv on a 10-minute average through the approach using a Monte Carlo method.

291

292 2.5 Analytical methods

293 **2.5.1 ΔdΔδ-diagram**

294 When raindrop falls from cloud base to ground, it continuously exchanges with surrounding vapor and may encounter net loss due to evaporation. However, this 295 296 process is difficult to quantify by observation. Making use of stable water isotopes, Graf 297 et al. (2019) introduced the $\Delta d\Delta \delta$ -diagram to diagnose the below-cloud processes and 298 their effects on vapor and precipitation isotopic composition, since equilibration and 299 evaporation are two different processes and lead to different directions in the two-300 dimensional phase space of the $\Delta d\Delta \delta$ -diagram. Here, the differences in the isotopic 301 composition of precipitation-equilibrated vapor relative to the observed ground-level 302 vapor can be expressed as:

$$\Delta \delta_{v} = \delta_{pv-eq} - \delta_{gr-v}$$
 (Eq. 2)

- (Eq. 3) 304 Δd -excess_v=d-excess_{pv-eq} – d-excess_{qr-v} where δ_{pv-eq} and δ_{gr-v} are the $\delta^2 H$ ($\delta^{18}O$) of equilibrium vapor from precipitation and 305 306 observed vapor near the ground, respectively, and d-excess_{pv-eq} and d-excess_{ar-v} are 307 d-excess values of the equilibrium vapor from precipitation and observed vapor near the ground, respectively. For the detailed calculation processes, please refer to the 308 309 supplemental material (Appendix B) or Graf et al. (2019).
- 310

311 2.5.2 Below-cloud evaporation calculation: Method 1

312 As reported by Stewart (1975), the isotopic ratio of a falling water drop is:

$${}^{i}R_{gr} = {}^{i}\gamma^{i}R_{va} + ({}^{i}R_{cb} - {}^{i}\gamma^{i}R_{va})F_{r}{}^{i\beta}$$
(Eq. 4)

314 where ⁱR_{or} is the isotopic ratio of falling raindrops near the ground; ⁱR_{va} and ⁱR_{cb} are the initial isotopic ratios for the vapor and raindrop at the cloud base; i_{y} and i_{β} are the 315 316 parameters related to the equilibrium fractionation factor, relative humidity, and molecular diffusivities; and F_r is the remaining fraction of raindrop mass after 317 318 evaporation.

319

320 Assuming that the initial isotopic composition of the raindrop at the cloud base is in equilibrium with the surrounding water vapor, Froehlich et al. (2008) adapted the 321 322 Stewart model and simplified the equation to evaluate the isotopic enrichment due to 323 below-cloud evaporation by:

324

$$\Delta \delta_{\rm p} = (1 - \frac{\gamma}{\alpha})(F_{\rm r}^{\beta} - 1)$$
 (Eq. 5)

$$D_p = (1 - \frac{1}{\alpha})(F_r - 1)$$
 (Eq. 5)

 $F_i = (1 - F_r) \times 100\%$ (Eq. 6)

326 where α is the equilibrium fractionation factor for hydrogen and oxygen isotopes; the 327 parameters of γ and β are defined by Stewart (1975); F_r is the remaining fraction of raindrop mass after evaporation; $\Delta \delta_p$ is the raindrop isotopic variation due to below-328 cloud evaporation; and F_i is the evaporation proportion. For the detailed calculation 329 330 processes, please refer to the supplemental material (Appendix C) or Froehlich et al. 331 (2008), Wang et al. (2016b), and Salamalikis (2016).

332

333 2.5.3 Below-cloud evaporation calculation: Method 2

334 Because the isotopic composition of raindrop is directly influenced by the below-cloud processes during its falling, the below-cloud effects could be directly represented by 335 the difference between the isotopic composition of precipitation at the ground level and 336 337 cloud base:

$$\Delta \delta_{p} = \delta_{gr-p} - \delta_{cb-p}$$
 (Eq. 7)

where δ_{gr-p} and δ_{cb-p} are the isotopic compositions of a falling raindrop near the ground and below the cloud base, respectively, and $\Delta \delta_p$ is the raindrop isotopic variation due to below-cloud evaporation. δ_{gr-p} is our observed precipitation isotopic composition, and δ_{cb-p} can be calculated by ground-level water vapor isotopic composition according to Deshpande et al. (2010). For the detailed calculation processes, please refer to the supplemental material (Appendix D) or Araguás-Araguás et al. (2000), Deshpande et al. (2010), and Salamalikis (2016).

346

347 Here, it should be noted that both methods use an important assumption, which is that 348 the surface water vapor is (moist) adiabatically connected to the cloud-base water 349 vapor. In method 1, this assumption is used to calculate the cloud base height, temperature, and pressure (Appendix C, Eq. 14-16). In method 2, the isotopic 350 351 composition of the cloud-base water vapor is calculated assuming a moist adiabatic 352 ascent of the measured ground-level water vapor (Appendix C, Eq. 22). In addition, in 353 method 2, we assume that the raindrop isotopic composition (δ_{cb-p}) at the cloud base 354 is in equilibrium with the surrounding water vapor, and the observed ground-level 355 precipitation isotopic composition (δ_{gr-p}) includes the processes of evaporation, growth, 356 and isotopic equilibrium with the surrounding vapor. Furthermore, the air column is 357 assumed to have no horizontal advection into or out of it and no updraft or downdraft 358 of the air masses during the hydrometeors' falling. That means the vertical column at 359 the observation site is undisturbed by horizontal movement. These assumptions only 360 hold if a single vertical column extends from the ground to the cloud-base height. If the vertical column is affected by lateral entrainment of surrounding air, these assumptions 361 become invalid. The equilibrium exchange process is not separated from evaporation; 362 therefore, the $\Delta\delta$ results may underestimate the below-cloud evaporation effect in 363 364 method 2. To obtain accurate results, more work is needed to separate equilibration 365 process from the evaporation in future.

366

Actually, method 1 makes use of the mass change of the falling raindrop to evaluate the below-cloud evaporation effect on isotopic composition, while method 2 evaluates its effect by directly measuring the variations in isotope composition.

370

371 2.5.4 Statistical Analysis

To compare the difference between the two methods, the independent t test was performed on Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS 13.0, Inc., Chicago, US),

followed by setting the significant difference at the p=0.05 level of confidence.

376 3 Results and discussion

377 **3.1** Relationship between water vapor and precipitation isotopic compositions

378 Influenced by below-cloud evaporation, the slope of the local meteoric water line 379 (LMWL) would be lower than 8, the precipitation isotopic composition would become 380 more positive, the d-excess of precipitation would be less than 10, and the equilibrated water vapor isotopic composition would be more positive than the observed one. As 381 shown in Fig. 2, the LMWL is defined as $\delta^2 H_p = 7.0 \times \delta^{18} O_p + 3.0$ based on the event 382 precipitation isotopic composition, and the local water vapor line (LWVL) is defined as 383 $\delta^2 H_v = 7.8 \times \delta^{18} O_v + 15.1$ based on the per-precipitation-event water vapor isotopic 384 composition. Both the slope and intercept of the LMWL are lower than the global 385 386 meteoric water line (GMWL), which has a slope of 8.0 and intercept of 10.0 (Dansgaard, 1964; Gat, 1996), indicating the potentially significant below-cloud evaporation effect 387 on precipitation (Froehlich et al., 2008). In general, the slopes of the meteoric water 388 389 lines are indicative of kinetic processes superimposed on the equilibrium fractionation, 390 and the somewhat lower slope of the LWVL (slope=7.8) than the expected equilibrium 391 fractionation (slope=8.0) may also be related to the increasing influence of kinetic processes (Rangarajan et al., 2017). 392



Figure 2. Local meteoric water line (LMWL) and local water vapor line (LMVL) in Xi'an city.

In addition, we noted that the water vapor and precipitation isotopic compositions were basically distributed in different ranges, with the former being generally more negative 397 than the latter (Fig. 2). According to the classic isotopic fractionation theory, heavier 398 isotopes preferentially condense into the liquid phase during the precipitation process, 399 which results in the precipitation isotopic composition being more positive than the water vapor isotopic composition (Dansgaard, 1964). Hence, the distribution 400 characteristics of water vapor and precipitation on the $\delta^{18}O-\delta^{2}H$ plot would make us 401 suppose that their isotopic compositions are in or close to equilibrium at this study site. 402 To validate our assumption, we plot their relationship in Fig. 3a. As expected, they 403 show a significant positive correlation (R^2 =0.70, p<0.01), and thus, the water vapor 404 isotopic composition can explain 70% of the variation in the precipitation isotopic 405 composition. Furthermore, we used the measured precipitation isotopic composition to 406 deduce the water vapor isotopic composition at the ground level according to the liquid-407 vapor equilibrium isotope fractionation ($\delta^{18}O_{pv-eq}$) and compared it with the observed 408 water vapor ($\delta^{18}O_v$) in Fig. 3b. The scatterplot of the observed $\delta^{18}O_v$ against the 409 equilibrated $\delta^{18}O_{pv-eq}$ also presents a significantly positive relationship (Fig. 3b). 410



Figure 3. Relationship between $\delta^{18}O_p$ of precipitation and $\delta^{18}O_v$ of water vapor in Xian (a); and the relationship between the equilibrium computed $\delta^{18}O_{pv-eq}$ based on the precipitation isotopic composition and the near ground observed $\delta^{18}O_v$ (b). The dashed-dotted line in (b) represents for the 1:1 line, and the blue line represents the regression line of the data.

416

In Fig. 3b, we also noted that the equilibrated $\delta^{18}O_{pv-eq}$ is relatively more positive than the observed $\delta^{18}O_v$. Because Xi'an city belongs to a semiarid area, raindrops are likely to evaporate in an unsaturated environment during falling. Therefore, the positive $\delta^{18}O_{pv-eq}$ is caused by the non-equilibrium fractionation in low relative humidity, which makes the $\delta^{18}O_{pv-eq}$ - $\delta^{18}O_v$ points deviate from the 1:1 line.

423 The reasonable agreement of observed and equilibrated water vapor isotopic 424 compositions has been reported by Jacob and Sonntag (1991), Welp et al. (2008), and 425 Wen et al. (2010); however, they postulated the different relationships underlying $\delta^{18}O_v$ 426 and $\delta^{18}O_{pv-eq}$. Jacob and Sonntag (1991) suggested that the water vapor isotopic composition can be deduced from the corresponding precipitation isotopic composition, 427 428 but Wen et al. (2010) speculated that the equilibrium method cannot accurately predict the ground-level water vapor isotopic composition in arid and semiarid climates 429 because the monthly equilibrated water vapor values in April and November deviate 430 431 from the observed values. Here, with two years of continuous observations, the mean difference between $\delta^{18}O_v$ and $\delta^{18}O_{pv-eq}$ is -1.1‰ for $\delta^{18}O$, -8.1‰ for $\delta^{2}H$, and 0.7‰ for 432 d-excess. Although there is a good relationship between $\delta^{18}O_v$ and $\delta^{18}O_{pv-eq}$ in our data, 433 below-cloud evaporation has a significant influence on the precipitation isotopic 434 435 composition. Therefore, cautious should be taken when deriving the water vapor 436 isotopic composition from the precipitation isotopic composition.

437

438 **3.2 Below-cloud processes indicated by the \Delta d\Delta \delta-diagram**

439 Traditionally, to qualitatively assess the below-cloud evaporation of raindrops, the 440 value of d-excess_p is a benchmark. Due to the differences in diffusivities of the 441 individual water molecules in non-equilibrium fractionation, d-excess, will deviate from 442 0%, which is a theoretical value under vapor-liquid equilibrium fractionation at temperatures of approximately 20 °C (Gat, 1996). The global mean value of 10‰ for 443 444 the d-excess_p in precipitation indicates that evaporation is in general a non-equilibrium 445 process. Normally, below-cloud evaporation will decrease d-excess_p, and in 446 comparison, mixing with the recycled water vapor from surface evaporation and plant transpiration will increase d-excess_p (Craig, 1961; Dansgaard, 1964). In addition, in 447 the water molecule diffusion process, the water vapor d-excess, may be modified, 448 449 which enhances the uncertainty in gauging the below-cloud evaporation process by solely using d-excess_p. In contrast, the $\Delta d\Delta \delta$ -diagram introduced by Graf et al. (2019) 450 451 provides more information on below-cloud processes.

452

Theoretically, on the $\Delta d\Delta \delta$ -diagram, $\Delta d < 0\%$ and $\Delta \delta > 0\%$ indicate the below-cloud evaporation process; $\Delta \delta < 0\%$ represents that the falling raindrop is less influenced by below-cloud evaporation and retains the cloud signals; and Δd and $\Delta \delta$ close to 0%suggest equilibrium conditions. By projecting our data on the $\Delta d\Delta \delta$ -diagram, the evaporation, equilibration, and nonexchange (e.g., a snowfall event or a transition from rain to snow with a stronger cloud signal) processes could be clearly differentiated. It is apparent in Fig. 4 that most of the rainfall samples are located in the fourth quadrant with positive $\Delta\delta^2 H_v$ and negative Δd -excess_v, indicating that evaporation is the major below-cloud process. Interestingly, most of the snowfall samples seize the second and third quadrants with negative $\Delta\delta^2 H_v$, which is suggestive of below-cloud evaporation with less impact on them, and their initial signals are well retained after cloud-based equilibrium fractionation.

465

466 Based on the results from numerical simulations and in situ observations, Graf et al. 467 (2019) concluded that raindrop size and precipitation intensity are two important factors for determining below-cloud processes. For example, precipitation with large 468 raindrops and heavy intensities is less affected by below-cloud processes because of 469 470 the shorter residence time of raindrops in the atmospheric column with a faster fall 471 velocity. Therefore, they are less affected by the evaporation and equilibration processes on their falling way toward the ground, and the $\Delta\delta^2 H_{\nu}$ is more negative. It is 472 473 worth noting that in the case of not considering the factors of raindrop size and rain 474 rate, the different precipitation types also show a clear distribution on the $\Delta d\Delta \delta$ -475 diagram, as almost all the snowfall samples have negative $\Delta\delta^2 H_v$ values (Fig. 4). 476 Theoretically, snowfall events normally occur in low-temperature conditions and 477 correspond to weak evaporation. Furthermore, the diffusion speed of the ice phase 478 (solid) to vapor is lower than that of liquid to vapor. Hence, under such conditions, the 479 isotopic signals of hydrometeor are less affected by the below-cloud processes during falling. This leads $\Delta\delta$ to be more negative with decreasing temperature, such as the 480 481 observed phenomenon in the post-frontal precipitation isotopes in Graf et al.'s (2019) study. Additionally, on the $\Delta d\Delta \delta$ -diagram, the snow samples with positive Δd -excess, 482 483 (in the second quadrant) may be related to the supersaturation process, as the water 484 has unusually high d-excess_p for the non-equilibrium fractionation of supersaturation (Deshpande et al., 2013; Jouzel and Merlivat, 1984). We conclude that in addition to 485 raindrop size and rain rate, precipitation type is also an essential factor in determining 486 the data distributions on the $\Delta d\Delta \delta$ -diagram. 487



Figure 4. The projection of our data on the suggested $\Delta d\Delta \delta$ -diagram by Graf et al. (2019). The solid lines represent Δd -excess_v and $\Delta \delta^2 H_v$ of 0‰. The dashed line corresponds to the linear fit through the samples with the 95% confidence band in shading. The red line is for rainfall samples, and the cyan line is for snowfall samples. The Roman numerals represent the category of the quadrant.

In Fig. 4, the slope of $\Delta d/\Delta \delta$ is -0.36 for the rainfall samples and -0.12 for the snowfall 494 samples. Graf et al.'s (2019) reported a $\Delta d/\Delta \delta$ slope of -0.3. It should be noted that the 495 496 slope of Graf et al. (2019) is based on intra-event samples (from the start to the end of precipitation, each interval of 10 min to collect one sample), while ours is based on 497 498 per-event samples (only one sample was collected in each precipitation event). 499 Although the time scale is different in the two studies, interestingly, the rainfall slopes 500 are close to each other, while the snowfall slope is obviously different from the rainfall slope. The $\Delta d/\Delta \delta$ slope of -0.3 could represent a general characteristic of rainfall for 501 502 continental mid-latitude cold front passages (Graf et al., 2019). Xi'an city is located near 35°N in inland China, which belongs to the continental mid-latitude region. In 503 504 comparison, the $\Delta d/\Delta \delta$ slope of our snow samples is less negative. Therefore, the different $\Delta d/\Delta \delta$ slopes might be related to the different climatic characteristics or 505 precipitation types. Certainly, to validate this assumption, more work needs to be done 506 507 in future studies.

508

511

509 **3.3 Comparing and analyzing the two methods**

510 The $\Delta d\Delta \delta$ -diagram provides valuable information on the below-cloud processes, but

it is only a qualitative analysis. In comparison, quantitative evaluation is more important 16

to identify the below-cloud evaporation effect. Here, we chose two methods to calculate the variations in $\Delta\delta^2 H_p$ and the evaporation fraction (F_i) on per-event precipitation and compared their differences.

515

516 3.3.1 Quantitative evaluation of the below-cloud evaporation derived from the517 two methods

The $\Delta\delta^2 H_p$ ranges from 0 to 131.1 ‰ with an average and standard deviation of 17.8 518 \pm 23.8‰, and the F_i ranges from 0 to 82.7% with an average and standard deviation 519 520 of 16.3 ± 21.9% (n=141) for method 1. The $\Delta\delta^2 H_p$ ranges from -73.8 to 82.5‰ with an average and standard deviation of $16.3 \pm 24.4\%$, and the F_i ranges from 0 to 67.6%521 522 with an average and standard deviation of $22.1 \pm 21.7\%$ (n=100) for method 2. For the 90 rainfall events with corresponding water vapor data, the average and standard 523 524 deviation are 18.4 \pm 21.7% for $\Delta\delta^2 H_p$ derived from method 1, and the value is 18.7 \pm 525 20.6% for $\Delta\delta^2 H_{\rm p}$ derived from method 2. For the 10 snowfall events, the average and standard deviation of $\Delta\delta^2 H_{\text{p}}$ are 42.6 ± 43.7‰ for method 1 and -6.1 ± 41.6‰ for 526 527 method 2. In the two methods, according to the independent t test, there are no 528 significant differences in the $\Delta \delta^2 H_p$ of rainfall samples (F=0, p=0.91, n=90), but the 529 $\Delta \delta^2 H_p$ of snowfall shows a large difference (F=0.196, p<0.05, n=10).

530

531 As shown in Fig. 5a and Fig. 5b, the $\Delta\delta^2 H_p$ and F_i in the two methods have similar 532 fluctuation trends. A positive $\Delta \delta^2 H_p$ and high F_i appear from March to July, while a negative $\Delta \delta^2 H_p$ and low F_i appear from September to following February. In addition, 533 the most positive $\Delta \delta^2 H_p$ values are captured by method 1, while the most negative 534 values are detected by method 2. To analyze the underlying reason, we checked the 535 equation used to calculate $\Delta \delta^2 H_p$. We noted that in Eq. 5, F_r is always lower than 1, 536 and thus (F_r^β-1) is negative. Similarly, $\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$ is smaller than 1, and thus, $(1-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha})$ is also 537 negative. Therefore, the $\Delta\delta^2 H_p$ calculated by method 1 is always positive. In method 2, 538 539 the most negative $\Delta \delta^2 H_p$ values are related to snowfall events. During the 540 supersaturation process, vapor deposition takes place over ice in the cloud (Jouzel 541 and Merlivat, 1984) with non-equilibrium fractionation (the kinetic fractionation factor 542 $\alpha_k < 1$, leading to the effective isotopic fractionation factor ($\alpha_{eff} = \alpha_{eq} \alpha_k$) being smaller than the equilibrium fractionation coefficient (α_{eq}), and resulting in the ground-observed 543 δ_{gr-p} of solid precipitation (snow) being more depleted than the calculated δ_{cb-p} under 544 545 equilibrium fractionation (in Eq. 7). In fact, the mass of snow also increases under 546 supersaturation conditions; however, method 1 only considers the evaporation process. 547 The diameter of the raindrop used to determine the terminal velocity and evaporation

548 intensity (Supplemental material, Eqs. 10-13) does not take into account the different 549 relationship of fall velocity to hydrometeor size for snowflakes and raindrops, which 550 results in great uncertainty in method 1. Therefore, method 1 is not suitable for 551 evaluating the below-cloud effect on the precipitation isotopic composition for snowfall



Figure 5. The variation in $\Delta\delta^2 H_p$ for per-event precipitation in method 1 and method 2 (a); the same as (a) but for F_i (b); the relationship between F_i and $\Delta\delta^2 H_p$ in method 1 and method 2 (c) 555

In addition, the influence of the below-cloud evaporation effect on $\delta^2 H_p$ is heavier in method 1 than in method 2, especially at higher F_i conditions (Fig. 5c), because the slope of F_i/ $\Delta\delta^2$ H in method 1 (1.00 ‰/%) is slightly steeper than that in method 2 (0.91 ‰/%), and the intercept in method 1 (-1.65) is more positive than that in method 2 (-3.97). Thus, under the same evaporation intensity, $\Delta\delta^2 H_p$ is more enriched in method 1 than in method 2.

562

563 On the seasonal scale, both methods show that the below-cloud evaporation effect is 564 heavier in spring and summer and weaker in autumn and winter (Fig. S4). Their 565 differences are the smallest in spring and the largest in winter. The significant 566 difference in winter might be related to the predominance of solid precipitation, which 567 is not accounted for in method 1.

568

569 **3.3.2 Meteorological controls on the two methods**

570 To further explore the differences in the two methods, we performed correlation

- analyses between meteorological factors and $\Delta \delta^2 H_p$ (Fig. 6). The results show that RH
- is the most important meteorological factor for both methods (Fig. 6b). Furthermore,
- 573 the impact of RH on the variations in $\Delta \delta^2 H_p$ is stronger in method 1 (r=-0.92) than in

method 2 (r=-0.62), and this phenomenon is more obvious when the RH is lower than 60%. Although precipitation amounts have influences on both methods as well, their effect on $\Delta\delta^2 H_p$ is rather weak (r=-0.49, method 1; r=-0.30, method 2; Fig. 6c), and the relationships are nonlinear. For temperature, in method 1, there is no clear correlation between $\Delta\delta^2 H_p$ and temperature (r=0.05), and in method 2 their positive correlation is weak (r=0.42).

580



581 Figure 6. The correlations between $\Delta \delta^2 H_p$ and the temperature in method 1 (red dots) and in 582 method 2 (green triangles) (a); the same as (a) but for RH (b); the same as (a) and (b) but for 583 precipitation amount (c).

584

585 In both methods, under an arid environment with high temperature, low RH, and small 586 precipitation amounts, the evaporation effect on $\Delta\delta^2 H_p$ is large. However, under the 587 low-temperature conditions (below 5 °C), there is a divergence in $\Delta \delta^2 H_0$ for the two methods, which is partly attributed to the supersaturation condition. With increasing 588 RH, $\Delta \delta^2 H_p$ becomes closer to 0 in both methods, but the variation in $\Delta \delta^2 H_p$ is large in 589 590 method 2 and very limited in method 1 when the RH is higher than 80%. There is a wide range, from 0 to 130‰, for $\Delta \delta^2 H_p$ when the precipitation amount is small. As the 591 precipitation amount is above 10 mm, the value of $\Delta \delta^2 H_p$ tends toward 0‰. 592

593

594 3.3.2 Sensitivity test

In method 1, the input physical parameters include temperature, RH, precipitation
amount, and surface pressure. In method 2, the same input parameters as for method
were used except for the precipitation amount. Therefore, these parameters are
considered in the sensitivity tests.

- 599
- For the RH test, one case adds 10% to the measured RH, and another case subtracts
 10% from the measured RH. If the RH values are above 100%, then they are artificially

set to 99% to conform to reality. Two temperature scenarios, plus and minus 10 °C based on the actual temperature, are analyzed. In the sensitivity test of precipitation amount, considering that the amounts are lower than 0.1 mm in some precipitation events, the reduction lower limit is set to 0.1 mm, and the enhancement upper limit is set to 5 mm. On the basic surface pressure condition, a 10 kPa pressure fluctuation is considered for its impact.

608

609 As shown in Fig. 7, the increase in RH and precipitation, and decrease in temperature 610 have a negative impact; that is, the below-cloud evaporation effect on the isotopic 611 composition will be attenuated. In contrast, the decrease in RH and precipitation and increase in temperature have a positive impact, indicating that the below-cloud 612 613 evaporation effect will be strengthened. The varying surface pressure has no impact 614 on $\Delta\delta^2 H_p$ for both methods. Moreover, the influencing strength of the different physical parameters on $\Delta\delta^2 H_{\rm D}$ is different in the two methods. For example, in method 1, the 615 616 increase in temperature basically does not change the evaporation effect on $\Delta \delta^2 H_p$, 617 and the influence of decreasing temperature on mitigating evaporation is limited as 618 well. However, the situation is totally different in method 2, where the temperature is a 619 decisive factor. In addition, the influence of RH is over the temperature in method 1, 620 but the condition is reversed in method 2. The precipitation amount is also an important 621 factor, as the influence of precipitation on $\Delta\delta^2 H_p$ even surpasses the RH when it is increased by 5 mm. Because of the limited decrease in precipitation amount, its 622 positive feedback is difficult to evaluate. 623



Figure 7. Sensitivity test of $\Delta\delta^2 H_p$ under different cases. In method 1, the cases include ± 10% RH, ± 10 °C temperature, ± 10 kPa surface pressure, +5 mm precipitation amount, and -0.1 mm precipitation amount. In method 2, the cases include ± 10% RH, ± 10 °C temperature, and ± 10 kPa surface pressure. $\Delta\delta^2 H_{p(Sen)}$ represents the results of the sensitivity test, and $\Delta\delta^2 H_{p(Base)}$ represents the results of the base condition.

In the calculation process of method 2 (Eq. 7, and supplemental material, Eq. 22), 631 except for the measured ground-level precipitation and water vapor isotopic 632 633 compositions ($\delta_{\text{ar-p}}$ and $\delta_{\text{ar-v}}$), the other two controlling factors are the equilibrium fractionation factor (α) and the cloud base height. α is determined by the temperature 634 635 variations of the cloud base, while the cloud base height is related to surface 636 temperature and RH (supplemental material, Eq. 14-17). With increasing RH, the cloud 637 base heights decrease, and vice versa (Fig. S5). In comparison, the cloud base heights are not sensitive to the changes in temperature (Fig. S5). 638

639

640 Compared with method 2, the calculation process of method 1 is more complex. Many 641 variables, such as raindrop diameter, evaporation intensity, raindrop falling velocity, 642 and cloud base height, etc., need to be considered, while they are convoluted with 643 temperature, RH, precipitation amount, and surface pressure. Through the sensitivity 644 test, RH and precipitation amount are the two decisive factors in method 1 for 645 determining the below-cloud evaporation intensity.

646

647 **3.3.2 Uncertainty estimations**

There are many uncertainties in the two methods' estimates. In method 1, the input parameters include the variation in temperature, RH, precipitation amount, and surface pressure. In method 2, the uncertainty comes from the variations in the input temperature, RH, surface pressure, ground level water vapor $\delta^2 H_{gr-v}$, and precipitation $\delta^2 H_p$. However, the variations in surface pressure show no impact on $\Delta\delta^2 H_p$ in the sensitivity test; therefore, they are not considered in the uncertainty calculation.

654

To check the influence of temperature, RH, precipitation amount, and precipitation $\delta^2 H_p$ 655 656 on the below-cloud evaporation effect, we assume that the errors are mainly from the 657 measurement uncertainty of the instrument, which is ± 0.3 °C, $\pm 3\%$, $\pm 4\%$ precipitation amount, and $\pm 1.0\%$, respectively. Due to the humidity effect (Sect. 2.4), the measured 658 659 $\delta^2 H_{ar-v}$ for each event has a wide range of uncertainty, which varies from 1.3 to 8.2%. 660 Hence, the lower and upper limits of the above used input parameters for method 1 661 and method 2 are used to quantify the uncertainties and add them quadratically to 662 ascertain the total uncertainty (Rangarajan et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2022). We obtain 663 the overall uncertainty varying from 0.71 to 0.72‰ for method 1, and from 0.60 to 1.05‰ 664 for method 2 in the estimates of $\Delta \delta^2 H_p$ values (refer to supplemental material, 665 Appendix E).

666

667

668 4 Conclusions

The below-cloud processes of precipitation are complex, variable, and influenced by 669 many factors, especially in arid and semiarid regions. Previously, below-cloud 670 671 evaporation was the most well-studied post-condensation process with the aid of the 672 slope of LMWL and d-excess of precipitation. In comparison, other below-cloud 673 processes, such as vapor-liquid equilibration or hydrometeor supersaturation growth, 674 have paid less attention to different rain types. In this study, based on the two years of 675 precipitation data collected in Xi'an, we compiled a set of methods to systematically 676 evaluate the below-cloud evaporation effect on the local precipitation isotopic composition and obtained the following main conclusions: 677

1. In arid areas, the precipitation and water vapor isotopic compositions are closely related, and thus the joint observation of the two tracers could provide more information on precipitation processes. In Xi'an, the below-cloud evaporation effect is stronger in spring and summer and weaker in autumn and winter and is related to the variation in the local RH.

683 2. Our work evaluates the general applicability of the $\Delta d\Delta \delta$ -diagram. Although there is

a difference in timescale between Graf et al.'s (2019) study (intra-event) and ours (perevent), the influence of below-cloud processes on our precipitation and water vapor isotopic data can be clearly visualized on the $\Delta d\Delta \delta$ -diagram. In this study, below-cloud evaporation is the main process during raindrop fall. However, snowfall samples are less influenced by evaporation, and mainly preserve their initial water vapor information. The different $\Delta d/\Delta \delta$ slopes of rainfall and snowfall might be related to the precipitation types.

3. By comparing the two methods, there are no significant differences in $\Delta \delta^2 H_p$ for 691 692 rainfall events, but they show a large difference for snowfall events, and this is related 693 to the supersaturation process not being considered in method 1. The slope of $F_i/\Delta\delta^2 H$ 694 in method 1 (1.00 ‰/%) is slightly steeper than that in method 2 (0.91 ‰/%), indicating a stronger evaporation effect on $\Delta \delta^2 H$ for method 1. Through meteorology and 695 696 sensitivities analysis, we found that in the two methods, RH is the main controlling 697 factor, and temperature shows different impacts on the variations in $\Delta\delta^2$ H. Through 698 uncertainty estimations, method 2 shows a larger uncertainty range (ranging from 0.60 699 to 1.05%) than method 1 (ranging from 0.71 to 0.72%).

- 700 4. Considering the assumption that the surface water vapor is (moist) adiabatically 701 connected to the cloud-base water vapor, the validation of the two methods is for 702 specific weather conditions, such as convective precipitation. Here, method 1 only 703 includes below-cloud evaporation by construction, while in method 2, other processes 704 can still be included, such as supersaturation. Therefore, both methods are suited to study the below-cloud evaporation effect (no significant differences in $\Delta\delta^2 H_0$ for rainfall 705 706 events); however, if other below-cloud processes are included, applying method 2 is 707 the better choice. In future studies, further high-resolution observations of vertical profiles of precipitation and water vapor isotopes, whether tower-based or aircraft-708 709 based, have the potential to greatly improve constraints on below-cloud processes.
- 710
- 711

712 Data availability

- The datasets can be obtained from Table S3.
- 714

715 Author contribution

Meng Xing and Weiguo Liu designed the experiments, interpreted the results, and
prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors. Meng Xing and Jing
Hu analyzed the precipitation and water vapor samples. Jing Hu maintained the
experimental instruments.

721 **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

723

724

725 Acknowledgment

This work was supported by Science Foundation of China (No. 42177093), West Light

727 Foundation of The Chinese Academy of Sciences, and China scholarship council. The

authors would like to thank Mr. Xijing Cao for helping to collect precipitation samples.

729

730 **References**

Aemisegger, F., Sturm, P., Graf, P., Sodemann, H., Pfahl, S., Knohl, A. and Wernli, H.: Measuring variations of δ 18O and δ 2H in atmospheric water vapour using two commercial laser-based spectrometers: An instrument characterisation study, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5(7), 1491–1511, doi:10.5194/amt-5-1491-2012, 2012.

Araguás-Araguás, L., Froehlich, K. and Rozanski, K.: Deuterium and oxygen-18 isotope
composition of precipitation and atmospheric moisture, Hydrol. Process., 14(8), 1341–1355,
doi:10.1002/1099-1085(20000615)14:8<1341::AID-HYP983>3.3.CO;2-Q, 2000.

Bastrikov, V., Steen-Larsen, H. C., Masson-Delmotte, V., Gribanov, K., Cattani, O., Jouzel, J.
and Zakharov, V.: Continuous measurements of atmospheric water vapour isotopes in western
Siberia (Kourovka), Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7(6), 1763–1776, doi:10.5194/amt-7-1763-2014,
2014.

Benetti, M., Reverdin, G., Pierre, C., Merlivat, L., Risi, C., Steen-Larsen, H. C. and Vimeux, F.:
Deuterium excess in marine water vapor: Dependency on relative humidity and surface wind
speed during evaporation, J. Geophys. Res., 119(2), 584–593, doi:10.1002/2013JD020535,
2014.

Bowen, G. J., Cai, Z., Fiorella, R. P. and Putman, A. L.: Isotopes in the Water Cycle: Regionalto Global-Scale Patterns and Applications, Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci., 47(1), 453–479,

748 doi:10.1146/annurev-earth-053018-060220, 2019.

Cai, Y., Cheng, H., An, Z., Edwards, R. L., Wang, X., Tan, L. and Wang, J.: Large variations of

oxygen isotopes in precipitation over south-central Tibet during Marine Isotope Stage 5,
Geology, 38(3), 243–246, doi:10.1130/G30306.1, 2010.

752 Chakraborty, S., Sinha, N., Chattopadhyay, R., Sengupta, S., Mohan, P. M. and Datye, A.:

753 Atmospheric controls on the precipitation isotopes over the Andaman Islands, Bay of Bengal,

754 Sci. Rep., 6, 19555 [online] Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/srep19555, 2016.

755 Christner, E., Aemisegger, F., Pfahl, S., Werner, M., Cauquoin, A., Schneider, M., Hase, F.,

- 756 Barthlott, S. and Schädler, G.: The Climatological Impacts of Continental Surface Evaporation,
- 757 Rainout, and Subcloud Processes on δD of Water Vapor and Precipitation in Europe, J.

- 758 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 123(8), 4390–4409, doi:10.1002/2017JD027260, 2018.
- Clark, I. D. and Fritz, P.: Environmental Isotopes in Hydrogeology, Lewis, Boca Raton, Florida.,1997.
- 761 Craig, H.: Isotopic Variations in Meteoric Waters, Science (80-.)., 133(3465), 1702–1703, 1961.
- 762 Dansgaard, W.: Stable isotopes in precipitation, Tellus, 16(4), 436–468,
 763 doi:10.3402/tellusa.v16i4.8993, 1964.
- 764Deshpande, R. D., Maurya, A. S., Kumar, B., Sarkar, A. and Gupta, S. K.: Rain-vapor765interaction and vapor source identification using stable isotopes from semiarid western India, J.
- 766 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 115(23), 1–11, doi:10.1029/2010JD014458, 2010.
- Deshpande, R. D., Maurya, A. S., Kumar, B., Sarkar, A. and Gupta, S. K.: Kinetic fractionation
 of water isotopes during liquid condensation under super-saturated condition, Geochim.
 Cosmochim. Acta, 100, 60–72, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.10.009, 2013.
- Fiorella, R. P., Bares, R., Lin, J. C., Ehleringer, J. R. and Bowen, G. J.: Detection and variability
 of combustion-derived vapor in an urban basin, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18(12), 8529–8547,
 doi:10.5194/acp-18-8529-2018, 2018.
- Fisher, D. A.: Remarks on the deuterium excess in precipitation in cold regions, Tellus B, 43(5),
- 401–407, doi:https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0889.1991.t01-4-00006.x, 1991.
- Froehlich, K., Kralik, M., Papesch, W., Rank, D., Scheifinger, H. and Stichler, W.: Deuterium
 excess in precipitation of Alpine regions moisture recycling, Isotopes Environ. Health Stud.,
 44(1), 61–70, doi:10.1080/10256010801887208, 2008.
- Gat, J. R.: OXYGEN AND HYDROGEN ISOTOPES IN THE HYDROLOGIC CYCLE, Annu.
 Rev. Earth Planet. Sci., 24(1), 225–262, doi:10.1146/annurev.earth.24.1.225, 1996.
- Gorski, G., Strong, C., Good, S. P., Bares, R., Ehleringer, J. R. and Bowen, G. J.: Vapor
 hydrogen and oxygen isotopes reflect water of combustion in the urban atmosphere, Proc. Natl.
 Acad. Sci., 112(11), 3247–3252, doi:10.1073/pnas.1424728112, 2015.
- Graf, P., Wernli, H., Pfahl, S. and Sodemann, H.: A new interpretative framework for belowcloud effects on stable water isotopes in vapour and rain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19(2), 747–765,
 doi:10.5194/acp-19-747-2019, 2019.
- Guan, H., Zhang, X., Skrzypek, G., Sun, Z. and Xu, X.: Deuterium excess variations of rainfall
- events in a coastal area of south Australia and its relationship with synoptic weather systems
 and atmospheric moisture sources, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118(2), 1123–1138,
 doi:10.1002/jgrd.50137, 2013.
- Jacob, H. and Sonntag, C.: An 8-year record of the seasonal variation of 2 H and 18 O in
 atmospheric water vapour and precipitation at Heidelberg, Germany, Tellus B Chem. Phys.
 Meteorol., 43(3), 291–300, doi:10.3402/tellusb.v43i3.15276, 1991.
- Jeelani, G., Deshpande, R. D., Galkowski, M. and Rozanski, K.: Isotopic composition of daily
- 794 precipitation along the southern foothills of the Himalayas: Impact of marine and continental
- sources of atmospheric moisture, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18(12), 8789–8805, doi:10.5194/acp18-8789-2018, 2018.
- Jouzel, J. and Merlivat, L.: Deuterium and oxygen 18 in precipitation: Modeling of the isotopic

- effects during snow formation, J. Geophys. Res., 89(D7), 11749, doi:10.1029/jd089id07p11749,
 1984.
- Jouzel, J., Delaygue, G., Landais, A., Masson-Delmotte, V., Risi, C. and Vimeux, F.: Water
 isotopes as tools to document oceanic sources of precipitation, Water Resour. Res., 49(11),
 7469–7486, doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/2013WR013508, 2013.
- Li, L. and Garzione, C. N.: Spatial distribution and controlling factors of stable isotopes in
 meteoric waters on the Tibetan Plateau : Implications for paleoelevation reconstruction, Earth
 Planet. Sci. Lett., 460, 302–314, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2016.11.046, 2017.
- Li, Z., Qi, F., Wang, Q. J., Kong, Y., Cheng, A., Song, Y., Li, Y., Li, J. and Guo, X.: Contributions of local terrestrial evaporation and transpiration to precipitation using δ 18 O and D-excess as a proxy in Shiyang inland river basin in China, Glob. Planet. Chang., 146, 140–151, 2016.
- Liu, W., Feng, X., Liu, Y., Zhang, Q. and An, Z.: δ18O values of tree rings as a proxy of monsoon
 precipitation in arid Northwest China, Chem. Geol., 206(1), 73–80,
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2004.01.010, 2004.
- Liu, W., Liu, H., Wang, Z., An, Z. and Cao, Y.: Hydrogen isotopic compositions of long-chain leaf wax n-alkanes in Lake Qinghai sediments record palaeohydrological variations during the past 12 ka, Quat. Int., 449, 67–74, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2017.05.024, 2017a.
- Liu, W., Wang, H., Leng, Q., Liu, H., Zhang, H. and Xing, M.: Hydrogen isotopic compositions along a precipitation gradient of Chinese Loess Plateau: Critical roles of precipitation / evaporation and vegetation change as controls for leaf wax δ D, Chem. Geol., 528(April),
- 818
 119278, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2019.119278, 2019.
- Liu, Y., Liu, H., Song, H., Li, Q., Burr, G. S., Wang, L. and Hu, S.: A monsoon-related 174-year
 relative humidity record from tree-ring δ18O in the Yaoshan region, eastern central China, Sci.
 Total Environ., 593–594, 523–534, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.198, 2017b.
- 822 Merlivat, L. and Jouzel, J.: Global climatic interpretation of the deuterium-oxygen 18 relationship
- 823 for precipitation, J. Geophys. Res. Ocean., 84(C8), 5029–5033, 824 doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/JC084iC08p05029, 1979.
- Peng, T. R., Liu, K. K., Wang, C. H. and Chuang, K. H.: A water isotope approach to assessing
 moisture recycling in the island-based precipitation of Taiwan: A case study in the western
 Pacific, Water Resour. Res., 47(8), 1–11, doi:10.1029/2010WR009890, 2011.
- 828 Putman, A. L., Fiorella, R. P., Bowen, G. J. and Cai, Z.: A Global Perspective on Local Meteoric
- 829 Water Lines-SM, Water Resour. Res., 1–6, doi:10.1351/pac198961081483.Jaffey, 2019a.
- 830 Putman, A. L., Fiorella, R. P., Bowen, G. J. and Cai, Z.: A Global Perspective on Local Meteoric
- Water Lines: Meta-analytic Insight into Fundamental Controls and Practical Constraints, Water
 Resour. Res., 2019WR025181, doi:10.1029/2019WR025181, 2019b.
- 833 Rangarajan, R., Laskar, A. H., Bhattacharya, S. K., Shen, C. C. and Liang, M. C.: An insight
- 834 into the western Pacific wintertime moisture sources using dual water vapor isotopes, J. Hydrol.,
- 835 547, 111–123, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.01.047, 2017.
- 836 Salamalikis, V., Argiriou, A. A. and Dotsika, E.: Isotopic modeling of the sub-cloud evaporation
- 837 effect in precipitation, Sci. Total Environ., 544, 1059–1072, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.11.072,

838 2016.

- Salmon, O. E., Welp, L. R., Baldwin, M. E., Hajny, K. D., Stirm, B. H. and Shepson, P. B.:
 Vertical profile observations of water vapor deuterium excess in the lower troposphere, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 19(17), 11525–11543, doi:10.5194/acp-19-11525-2019, 2019.
- 842 Steen-Larsen, H. C., Johnsen, S. J., Masson-Delmotte, V., Stenni, B., Risi, C., Sodemann, H.,
- 843 Balslev-Clausen, D., Blunier, T., Dahl-Jensen, D., Ellehøj, M. D., Falourd, S., Grindsted, A.,
- 844 Gkinis, V., Jouzel, J., Popp, T., Sheldon, S., Simonsen, S. B., Sjolte, J., Steffensen, J. P.,
- 845 Sperlich, P., Sveinbjörnsdóttir, A. E., Vinther, B. M. and White, J. W. C.: Continuous monitoring
- 846 of summer surface water vapor isotopic composition above the Greenland Ice Sheet, Atmos.
- 847 Chem. Phys., 13(9), 4815–4828, doi:10.5194/acp-13-4815-2013, 2013.
- Stewart, M. K.: Stable isotope fractionation due to evaporation and isotopic exchange of falling
 waterdrops: Applications to atmospheric processes and evaporation of lakes, J. Geophys. Res.,
 80(9), 1133–1146, doi:10.1029/JC080i009p01133, 1975.
- Sun, C., Chen, W., Chen, Y. and Cai, Z.: Stable isotopes of atmospheric precipitation and its
 environmental drivers in the Eastern Chinese Loess Plateau , China, J. Hydrol., 581(November
 2019), 124404, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.124404, 2020.
- Tan, L., An, Z., Huh, C.-A., Cai, Y., Shen, C.-C., Shiau, L.-J., Yan, L., Cheng, H. and Edwards,
 R. L.: Cyclic precipitation variation on the western Loess Plateau of China during the past four
 centuries, Sci. Rep., 4(1), 6381, doi:10.1038/srep06381, 2014.
- Thompson, L. G., Yao, T., Mosley-Thompson, E., Davis, M. E., Henderson, K. A. and Lin, P.-
- N.: A High-Resolution Millennial Record of the South Asian Monsoon from Himalayan Ice Cores,
 Science (80-.)., 289(5486), 1916 LP 1919, doi:10.1126/science.289.5486.1916, 2000.
- Tian, C., Wang, L., Kaseke, K. F. and Bird, B. W.: Stable isotope compositions (δ 2 H , δ 18 O
- and δ 17 O) of rainfall and snowfall in the central United States, Sci. Rep., (October 2017), 1–
 15, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-25102-7, 2018.
- Wan, H., Liu, W. and Xing, M.: Isotopic composition of atmospheric precipitation and its tracing
 significance in the Laohequ Basin, Loess plateau, China, Sci. Total Environ., 640–641(May),
 989–996, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.338, 2018.
- Wang, S., Zhang, M., Che, Y., Chen, F. and Fang, Q.: Contribution of recycled moisture to
 precipitation in oases of arid central Asia: A stable isotope approach, Water Resour. Res., 52(4),
 3246–3257, doi:10.1002/2015WR018135, 2016a.
- 869 Wang, S., Zhang, M., Che, Y., Zhu, X. and Liu, X.: Influence of Below-Cloud Evaporation on
- B70 Deuterium Excess in Precipitation of Arid Central Asia and Its Meteorological Controls, J.
 B71 Hydrometeorol., 17(7), 1973–1984, doi:10.1175/JHM-D-15-0203.1, 2016b.
- 872 Wang, S., Zhang, M., Hughes, C. E., Crawford, J., Wang, G., Chen, F., Du, M., Qiu, X. and
- 873 Zhou, S.: Meteoric water lines in arid Central Asia using event-based and monthly data, J.
- 874 Hydrol., 562(May), 435–445, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.05.034, 2018a.
- 875 Wang, Z., An, Z., Liu, Z., Qiang, X., Zhang, F. and Liu, W.: Hydroclimatic variability in loess
- 876 δDwax records from the central Chinese Loess Plateau over the past 250 ka, J. Asian Earth
- 877 Sci., 155, 49–57, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2017.11.008, 2018b.

- Welp, L. R., Lee, X., Kim, K., Griffis, T. J., Billmark, K. A. and Baker, J. M.: δ18O of water
 vapour, evapotranspiration and the sites of leaf water evaporation in a soybean canopy, Plant,
 Cell Environ., 31(9), 1214–1228, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2008.01826.x, 2008.
- Wen, X. F., Zhang, S. C., Sun, X. M., Yu, G. R. and Lee, X.: Water vapor and precipitation
 isotope ratios in Beijing, China, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 115(1), 1–10,
 doi:10.1029/2009JD012408, 2010.
- Wu, H., Fu, C., Zhang, C., Zhang, J., Wei, Z. and Zhang, X.: Temporal Variations of Stable
- 885 Isotopes in Precipitation from Yungui Plateau: Insights from Moisture Source and Rainout Effect,
- 886 J. Hydrometeorol., 23(1), 39–51, doi:10.1175/JHM-D-21-0098.1, 2022.
- Wu, J., Li, P. and Qian, H.: Variation characteristics of meteorological elements and prediction
 model of available precipitation in Xi'an city, South-to-North water Transf. water Sci. Technol.,
 11(001), 50–54, 2013.
- Xing, M., Liu, W., Li, X., Zhou, W., Wang, Q., Tian, J., Li, X., Tie, X., Li, G., Cao, J., Bao, H. and
 An, Z.: Vapor isotopic evidence for the worsening of winter air quality by anthropogenic
 combustion-derived water, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 117(52), 33005–33010,
 doi:10.1073/pnas.1922840117, 2020.
- Yao, T., Thompson, L. G., Mosley-Thompson, E., Zhihong, Y., Xingping, Z. and Lin, P.-N.:
 Climatological significance of δ18O in north Tibetan ice cores, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.,
 101(D23), 29531–29537, doi:10.1029/96JD02683, 1996.
- Yao, T., Masson-Delmotte, V., Gao, J., Yu, W., Yang, X., Risi, C., Sturm, C., Werner, M., Zhao,
 H., He, Y., Ren, W., Tian, L., Shi, C. and Hou, S.: A review of climatic controls on δ18O in
 precipitation over the Tibetan Plateau: Observations and simulations, Rev. Geophys., 51(4),
 525–548, doi:10.1002/rog.20023, 2013.
- Zhao, L., Liu, X., Wang, N., Kong, Y., Song, Y., He, Z., Liu, Q. and Wang, L.: Contribution of
 recycled moisture to local precipitation in the inland Heihe River Basin, Agric. For. Meteorol.,
 271/ http://doi.org/10.1016/j.org/org/action.2010.03.014.2010
- 903 271(July 2018), 316–335, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.03.014, 2019.
- 904 Zhu, G. F., Li, J. F., Shi, P. J., He, Y. Q., Cai, A., Tong, H. L., Liu, Y. F. and Yang, L.:
- 905 Relationship between sub-cloud secondary evaporation and stable isotope in precipitation in
- 906 different regions of China, Environ. Earth Sci., 75(10), 876, 2016.