Measurement report: Atmospheric fluorescent bioaerosol concentrations measured during 18 months in a coniferous forest in the south of Sweden

Madeleine Petersson Sjögren[1], Malin Alsved[1], Tina Šantl-Temkiv[2], Thomas Bjerring Kristensen[3,4], Jakob Löndahl[1]

[1]Department of Design Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden
[2]Department of Biology, Microbiology Section and iCLIMATE Aarhus University Interdisciplinary Centre for Climate Change, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark
[3]Department of Physics, Lund University, Lund, Sweden
[4]Force Technology, 2605 Brøndby, Denmark

Correspondence to: Jakob Löndahl (Jakob.Londahl@design.lth.se)

Abstract. Biological aerosol particles affect human health, are essential for microbial- and gene dispersal, and have been proposed as important agents for atmospheric processes. However, the abundance and size distributions of atmospheric biological particles are largely unknown. In this study we used a laser-induced fluorescence instrument to measure fluorescent biological aerosol particle (FBAP) concentrations for 18 months (October 2020 – April 2022) at a rural, forested site in Sweden. The aim of this study was to investigate FBAP number concentrations (N_{FBAP}) over time and analyze their relationship to meteorological parameters.

The N_{FBAP} was highest in the summer and lowest in winter, exhibiting a ~5-fold difference between these seasons. The median N_{FBAP} was 0.0050, 0.0025, 0.0027 and 0.0126 cm^{-3} in fall, winter, spring, and summer, respectively, and constituted ~0.1-0.5 % of the total supermicron particle number concentration. The N_{FBAP} were dominated by the smallest measured size fraction (1-3 µm), suggesting that the main portion of the biological particles measured were due to single bacterial cells, fungal spores, and bacterial agglomerates. The N_{FBAP} were significantly correlated with increasing air temperature (P<0.01) in all seasons. For most of the campaign N_{FBAP} was seen to increase with wind speed (P<0.01), while the relationship with relative humidity was for most part of the campaign nonsignificant (46 %) but to a large part (30 %) negative (P<0.05). Our results indicate that N_{FBAP} were highest during warm and dry conditions when wind speeds were high, suggesting that a major part of the FBAP in the spring and summer were due to mechanical aerosol generation and release mechanisms. In the fall, relative humidity may have been a more important factor for bioaerosol release. This is one of the longest time series of atmospheric FBAP, which are highly needed for estimates of bioaerosol background concentrations in comparable regions.

1 Introduction

Primary biological aerosol particles (PBAPs), also called bioaerosols, make up a diverse set of particles. They constitute airborne fungal cells and spores, bacteria, pollen, plant, and animal debris as well as biomolecules, present on their own or attached to other particles. Bioaerosols are emitted into the atmosphere, from every region and ecosystem of the planet, and range in size from a few nm to 100 μm (Despres et al., 2012; Womack et al., 2015)

They can influence climate and potentially the hydrological cycle by acting as ice nucleating particles (INPs) (Pöschl et al., 2010; Prenni et al., 2009a; Hill et al., 2016). Diverse microorganisms, including bacteria, fungi, microalgae, pollen, and lichens are known to produce high-temperature ice nucleating compounds and have therefore been proposed to influence cloud formation (Diehl et al., 2001; Lohmann and Feichter, 2005; Bowers et al., 2009; Pratt et al., 2009; Prenni et al., 2009b). Bioaerosols transmit pathogens, spread diseases, and can have toxic, infectious and allergic effects in humans, animals, crops, and ecosystems. They can cause human respiratory diseases when inhaled or deposited in mucus in the eyes (Franze et al., 2005; Lacey and Dutkiewicz, 1994; Kim et al., 2018; Brown and Hovmøller, 2002). Despite their large size, bioaerosols are aerodynamically buoyant and can spread thousands of kilometers across land and oceans (Griffin et al., 2007; Burrows et al., 2009a). Atmospheric dispersal of bioaerosols depends on particle size and meteorological parameters, including wind, humidity, temperature, convection, and turbulence (Norros et al., 2014; Madelin, 1994; Jones and Harrison, 2004).

Bioaerosols have traditionally been collected and measured with offline techniques, but continuous online measurements are needed to increase time resolution and improve understanding on variability driven by meteorological factors as well as diurnal, or seasonal cycles (Huffman et al., 2019; Šantl-Temkiv et al., 2020). The offline methods incorporate filter collection, impactors, impingers and electrostatic precipitators. While these measurements promote specificity by determining the identity and properties of bioaerosols, they lack sensitivity with respect to time and size resolution. Progress in the detection of bioaerosols with higher time resolution has been made by utilizing laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) (Hill et al., 1995).

LIF is based on the intrinsic fluorescence light emission of organic molecules that contain fluorophores such as amino acids, coenzymes, vitamins, biopolymers and cell wall compounds (Li et al., 2019). Instruments with LIF provide continuous and quantitative measurements of fluorescent biological aerosol particles (FBAPs). While this method cannot offer specificity or imaging capabilities comparable to downstream laboratory analysis, it offers real-time detection and discrimination of bioaerosols with high time and size resolution. Such real-time measurements promote understanding of the temporal variations in abundance, sources, and emission factors of bioaerosols. Model studies emphasize the need for more and continuous data

65 to constrain models on emission and transport of atmospheric bioaerosols (Burrows et al., 2009b; Burrows et al., 2009a; Heald and Spracklen, 2009).

Apart from LIF, new hybrid instruments that combine different detection methods with machine learning have become available in the last 4-5 years. These include the Poleno (Swisens, Switzerland), the Rapid-E (Plair, Switzerland) and the ~~BAA~~

70 ~~300 (BAA 500,~~POMO-BAA500e (Helmut Hund GmbH, Germany~~)~~). These new methods show promising results in real-time bioaerosol identification and particle counting and may be more specific for bioaerosol identification (Sauvageat et al., 2020; Šaulienė et al., 2019; Schiele et al., 2019).

Despite the wide-ranging influence of bioaerosols on climate, agriculture and public health, long-term real-time data on

75 bioaerosols are limited. Table 1 summarizes the 8 continuous real-time FBAP number concentration (N_{FBAP}) measurements longer than 4 weeks that have been made. These studies were conducted in a wide range of environments (remote, rural, urban, tropical, and high-altitude) in Asia, North America, South America, and Europe, with measurement periods ranging from a few weeks to a maximum of 20 months (Huffman et al., 2010; Huffman et al., 2012; Huffman et al., 2013; Schumacher et al., 2013; Toprak and Schnaiter, 2013; Saari et al., 2015; Gosselin et al., 2016; Valsan et al., 2016). The most common

80 commercially available instruments used for LIF measurement of N_{FBAP} are the ultraviolet aerodynamic particle sizer (UV-APS; TSI Inc. St. Paul, Minnesota, USA) and the wideband integrated bioaerosol sensor (WIBS; DMT, Longmont, Colorado, USA). The UV-APS was discontinued in 2014. Other LIF-instruments include the BioScout (Environics Oy, Finland) and the BioTrak real-time viable particle counter (TSI Inc. St. Paul, Minnesota, USA). In the studies listed in Table 1, the bioaerosol concentrations were reported as averages over the indicated time periods. In these studies, N_{FBAP} ranged between 0.0053 cm^{-3},

85 measured in the winter in Colorado (Schumacher et al., 2013), to 0.073 cm^{-3} measured in the Amazonian tropical rainforest (Huffman et al., 2012). Only two studies were long enough to consider seasonal variations. For these, N_{FBAP} was clearly highest in the summer and lowest in the winter (Schumacher et al., 2013; Toprak and Schnaiter, 2013). Based on the eight studies listed in Table 1, the N_{FBAP} made up between 1.1 and 24 % of the total supermicron (particle diameters:1-10 µm) concentration (Valsan et al., 2016; Huffman et al., 2012). Several studies showed that increased relative humidity (RH) was positively

90 correlated with FBAP concentrations, while air temperature ~~(AT)~~ was negatively correlated (Huffman et al., 2010; Huffman et al., 2012; Schumacher et al., 2013; Toprak and Schnaiter, 2013; Saari et al., 2015; Valsan et al., 2016). In some cases, rain events were associated with significant increases in N_{FBAP} (Huffman et al., 2012; Schumacher et al., 2013), but the pattern is inconsistent (Toprak and Schnaiter, 2013). No clear patterns have been observed for the effect of wind speed and wind direction on N_{FBAP}, although several studies have addressed it (Huffman et al., 2010; Schumacher et al., 2013; Valsan et al., 2016). Clear

95 24-h cycles (also referred to as diurnal cycles) have been identified (Huffman et al., 2010; Huffman et al., 2012; Schumacher et al., 2013; Toprak and Schnaiter, 2013; Saari et al., 2015; Valsan et al., 2016). An extended version of Table 1, also including shorter LIF-FBAP measurements, is included in the supplementary material.

The aim of this study was to investigate short- and long-term drivers behind FBAP, as a proxy for PBAP, in rural boundary layer air for a period covering all seasons in the Southern Sweden. We used a LIF instrument (BioTrak, TSI Inc. St. Paul, Minnesota, USA) for counting and sizing of FBAP during 18 months between October 2020 and April 2022 at the Hyltemossa Aerosols, Clouds, and Trace gases Research InfraStructure (ACTRIS) and integrated carbon observation system (ICOS) station. Thus, this is one of the longest multi-month ambient measurement studies for real-time bioaerosol detection using a LIF-instrument.

Table 1: Summary of previous long-term (>4 weeks) continuous measurements of N_{FBAP} with real-time detection, with identified associations and correlations with meteorological parameters and cycles in N_{FBAP}. When no average N_{FBAP} or N_{FBAP}/N_{TAP} was reported, this is indicated by a hyphen. When both mean and median values were reported, they are listed as mean/median.

Location	Land use	Instrument	Measurement period	Season(s)	Average N_{FBAP} (cm^{-3}) Mean/Median	N_{FBAP} % of super micron particles	Associations between FBAP and meteorology observed	N_{FBAP}-cycles
Mainz, Germany[1]	Semi-urban	UV-APS	4 months: Aug-Dec 2006	Fall Winter	0.03	4	FBAP increased with RH No correlation with WD.	24-h cycle with max early/mid-morning
Amazon, Brasil[2]	Tropical rainforest	UV-APS	5 weeks: Feb-Mar 2008	Rain season	0.073	24	FBAP increased with RH, FBAP decreased with AT and heavy rain was associated with FBAP increases.	24-h cycle with max in the night
Colorado, USA[3]	Semi-arid, rural forest	UV-APS WIBS-4	5 weeks: Jul-Aug 2011	Summer	-	-	FBAP increased during rain	-
Hyytiälä, Finland[4]	Rural forest	UV-APS	20 months: Aug 2009-April 2011	Spring Summer Fall Winter	0.015 0.046 0.027 0.004	4.4 13 9.8 1.1	FBAP scaled with RH in summer in both locations. In Finland, at RH>82 % FBAP decreased.	24-h cycle with max evening/ night for all seasons.
Colorado, USA[4]	Semi-arid, rural forest.		11 months: Jul 2011- May 2012	Spring Summer Fall Winter	0.015 0.030 0.017 0.0053	2.5 8.8 5.7 3.0	FBAP increased upon rain events, FBAP increased with AT over seasons. No pattern observed for wind speed or wind direction.	
South-Western Germany[5]	Semi-rural	WIBS-4	1 year: April 2010-April 2011	Spring Summer Fall Winter Full year:	0.029/0.024 0.046/0.040 0.029/0.023 0.019/0.017 0.031/0.025	7/5 10/9 7/6 3/4 7/5	FBAP positively correlated with RH. No other correlations found between FBAP and meteorology.	24-h cycle with max late evening/ early morning.
Helsinki, Finland[6]	Suburban and urban	BioScout UV-APS	3 weeks: Feb 2012 9 weeks: Jun-Aug 2012	Winter Summer	0.010 0.028	5 23		24-h cycle with max in the night during summer.
Colorado, USA[7]	Semi-arid rural forest.	UV-APS WIBS-3	5 weeks: Jul-Aug, 2014	Summer	-	-	-	-
Munnar, India[8]	Tropical, high altitude.	UV-APS	11 weeks: June-Aug, 2014	Monsoon and winter*	0.2	2	FBAP strongly dependent on WD, increased with RH, decreased with AT and decreased with WS.	24-h cycle with max at high RH and low AT.

[1,2,3] Huffman et al. (2010, 2012, 2013); [4] Schumacher et al (2013); [5] Toprak and Schnaiter (2013); [6]Saari et al. (2015); [7]Gosselin et al. (2016); [8]Valsan et al. (2016).

*Results were only reported for the Monsoon period and not for the winter

Abbreviations: relative humidity RH; air temperature AT; wind direction WD; wind speed WS; total aerosol particles TAP; fluorescent biological aerosol particles FBAP.

2 Methods

2.1 Hyltemossa research site

The measurements were performed at the Hyltemossa research station ~~from 6 October 2020 until 1 April 2022. The site is a combined aerosols, clouds, and trace gases research infrastructure (ACTRIS) and integrated carbon observation system (ICOS) station~~, situated at lat: 56° 5' 52" N and long: 13°25' 8" E~~.~~, from 6 October 2020 until 1 April 2022. The sampling site, established in 2014, is located in a managed coniferous forest. Sections of the forest are clear-cut every 50 years and the trees grow about 35 meters in 100 years. The vegetation is dominated by Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) with a low fraction of birch trees (*Betula sp.*) and some Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*). The average tree canopy height at the sampling site is ~20 m. The forest floor is covered by a thick moss layer and very little shrub life grows under the trees.

2.2 Fluorescent biological aerosol measurement

We used a BioTrak® real-time viable particle counter (TSI Inc., US) for continuous measurement of atmospheric N_{FBAP} and total supermicron (1-12 µm) aerosol particle number concentration (N_{TAP}). The instrument combines an optical particle counter (OPC) system with a fluorescence detector to determine if a particle is biological based on a built-in discrimination algorithm. The BioTrak® particle counter has a sample flow of 28.3 L min^{-1}. The sample airflow first passes the OPC where size and total particle number concentration are determined using single particle light scattering from a 660 nm laser. The BioTrak OPC has 6 size channels with lower cut-off diameters 0.5, 0.7, 1, 3, 5 and 10 µm. Particles are concentrated and the airflow is lowered down to 1 L min^{-1} with a concentrator to enable measurement of low intensity fluorescence with the LIF detector. Most of the smallest particles (1< µm) will follow the exhaust flow in the virtual impactor, hence, primarily particles >1 µm reach the LIF detector. In the LIF detector, single particles are illuminated by a 405 nm collimated laser and three independent optical signals are collected. The first signal is scattered light detected with an avalanche photo detector (APD) that gives the particle size. The second and third signals are the emitted fluorescence light that is collimated and separated into two wavelength bands, at 405-500 nm and 500-600 nm, respectively, collected with two separate photomultiplier tubes. The three independent signals are converted to electrical signals, digitized, and fed to a detection algorithm that classifies the particle as biological (fluorescent) or not. This is the first ~~report on use~~application of the BioTrak for measurement of ~~bioaerosols.~~ambient air.

~~It~~

For comparison of results between different studies of ambient air bioaerosol measurements (in particular with more used techniques such as UV-APS and WIBS), it should be noted that the BioTrak's fluorescence excitation and fluorescence

emission operates at partly different wavelengths compared to both the WIBS and the UV-APS and therefore the. Therefore, data isare not completely comparable. The WIBS-5 instrument has fluorescence excitation at 280 and 370 nm and measures fluorescence emission in two ranges: 310 nm and 420-650 nm. Moreover, the WIBS-5 measures fluorescent particles down to 0.3 μm, which is not possible with the BioTrak. The UV-APS measures bioaerosols down to 0.5 μm with an excitation wavelength of 355 nm and measured fluorescence emission in a band from 410 to 600 nm. Therefore, it can be assumed that the three LIF-instruments measure and classify bioaerosols somewhat differently. The instruments also operate at different flow rates. The WIBS and the UV-APS sample air at 0.3 L min^{-1} and 1 L min^{-1}, respectively, and the BioTrak at 28 L min^{-1}.

Ambient air was drawn through a PM$_{10}$ inlet designed for an airflow of 38.3 L min^{-1}, (compare with airflow of 28 L min^{-1} in BioTrak), which made the cutoff diameter increase from 10 μm to ~12 μm. The BioTrak® instrument was placed inside the Hyltemossa research station with a vertical ~4 m long sampling tube to the inlet located about 5 m above ground level. The N$_{FBAP}$ and N$_{TAP}$ were sampled with a frequency of 5 min. Note that in the following, we use supermicron to refer to particle sizes 1-12 μm.

The BioTrak flowrate was regularly checked with an external flow meter (TSI 4000 Series thermal mass flow meter) and was within 3 % of the given flowrate. Sampling was interrupted 1-2 hours each month when data was downloaded, and the instrument was checked and cleaned. A total of 7 days of data are missing in December 2021 (1 Dec until 8 of December) due to overloaded memory of the instrument.

2.3 Meteorological data

Instruments measuring air temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and wind direction are operated continuously by ICOS Sweden at the Hyltemossa research station at 30 m, 70 m, and 150 m above ground. For the comparisons with the data on biological aerosol concentrations, we used the measurements at both 30 and 70 m, which gave very similar results: the air temperature was on average 1.8 °C higher at 30 m compared to at 70 m height. The relative humidity was on average 4 % higher at 30 m compared to at 70 m. In the following, we used the 70 m meteorological data for comparisons with particle data. Meteorological measurements were hourly mean values. Precipitation was measured cumulatively every 30 minutes. Reported accuracy for the meteorological measurements made at the site were as follows: air temperature $\pm$ 0.1°C; RH $\pm$ 0.8 %; wind speed $\pm$ 2 %; wind direction $\pm$ 5° and precipitation $\pm$ 1 %.

2.4 Data processing and statistical analysis

Measurement periods were averaged into seasons as defined meteorologically by the Swedish meteorological and hydrological institute (SMHI): fall begins after 5 consecutive days with daily mean temperature below 10.0°C and 1 August is the earliest allowed date for fall to start; winter begins after 5 consecutive days with daily mean temperatures at 0.0 °C or below; spring begins after 7 consecutive days with daily mean temperatures above 0.0 °C with the earliest allowed date at 15th of February;

summer begins after 5 consecutive days with daily mean temperatures above 10.0 °C. In 2020, 2021 and 2022 the seasons were as follows: Fall (October 8, 2020 - January 12, 2021), winter (January 13 – February 16, 2021), spring (February 17 – May 7, 2021), summer (May 8 – October 5, 2021), fall (October 6 - November 25, 2021), winter (November 26, 2021 – February 15, 2022), spring (started February 15, 2022) (www.smhi.se). It should be noted that there are other ways to define the seasons. It can be argued that sunlight and/or day length would be more appropriate for studying bioaerosol drivers, since sunlight is very important for vegetation phenology that could be a main driver of bioaerosol emissions. Differences between monthly and seasonal concentrations were assessed with Kruskal Wallis tests and post-hoc Mann-Whitney tests with Bonferroni corrections since N_{FBAP} distributions were skewed. In the following, medians were used to represent data if not otherwise stated.

To study the relationship between N_{FBAP} and meteorological parameters, N_{FBAP} data were binned based on meteorological parameters. For air temperature, 21 bins were constructed between -10 °C and 31 °C. For relative humidity, 10 bins were constructed between 0 and 100 %. For wind speed 13 bins were constructed between 0 and 13 m s^{-1}. Bins that contained less than 0.1 % of the total particle counts within the given season were removed to ensure that only statistically significant observations were included in the detailed analysis. Spearman's rank coefficient (ρ) and Pearson's linear regression coefficient (r), with associated P-values, were used to assess the degree of association and linearity between N_{FBAP} binned data and meteorological parameters. Rolling Pearson's correlation coefficients with associated P-values were calculated to assess the linear relationship between N_{FBAP} throughout the full campaign period. Weekly rolling correlations were calculated for hourly mean values of meteorological parameters and N_{FBAP}.

Statistical distributions of N_{FBAP}, N_{TAP} and N_{FBAP}/N_{TAP} were presented as box-whisker plots, showing the arithmetic mean, the median, 25th and 75th percentiles, and 5th and 95th percentiles. Daily cycles in N_{FBAP}, N_{FBAP}/N_{TAP} and meteorological parameters were explored by averaging the data for each hour of the day. N_{FBAP} abundance differences between days and nights were assessed by distinguishing N_{FBAP} based on local sunrise and sunset times. Particle mass concentrations were calculated for each size channel by multiplication with the aerodynamically equivalent sphere with the geometric midpoint diameter assuming a density of 1 g cm^{-3} and a shape factor of 1, for N_{FBAP}, N_{TAP} and N_{FBAP}/N_{TAP}.

Rain events were identified on an hourly basis as all consecutive hours with precipitation, equal to or higher than 0.5 mm h^{-1}. For each rain event, N_{FBAP} was assessed right before the rain event, during, and right after. The lengths of the period before and after rainfall were defined to be the same length as the rain duration. The longest rain event recorded lasted 21 hours.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 General trends

210 **3.1.1 Monthly and seasonal trends in fluorescent biological aerosol particles**

The N_{FBAP} exhibited clear seasonal patterns, with overall highest N_{FBAP} concentrations in the summer and lowest in the winter, respectively (P<0.0001). The N_{FBAP} data covered approximately two full fall seasons, two winter seasons, approximately one and a half spring and one full summer season (see Table 2). Figure 1 shows N_{FBAP} at 5 min sampling resolution (green) and 7-day median (magenta). Seasons according to the SMHI are delimited by vertical lines.

215

Figure 1: Overview of fluorescent biological aerosol particle number concentration (N_{FBAP}) between 6 October 2020 and 1 April 2022. Green dots represent individual N_{FBAP} 5 min data averages and magenta curve shows running 7-day median values. Vertical dashed lines indicate the first day of each season as identified by the Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute. N_{FBAP} abundance is seen to increase steeply at the intersection of spring and summer (~ 8 May 2021) and decrease steeply in the beginning of fall (~6 October 2021). The highest N_{FBAP} concentrations were measured in the summer and the lowest concentrations were measured in the winter periods.

225 **Table 2: Seasonal and full campaign comparisons between fluorescent biological aerosol particle number concentration (N_{FBAP}), total supermicron aerosol particle number concentration (N_{TAP}) and biological aerosol particle ratio $N_{FBAP/TAP}$. Particle concentrations are shown as arithmetic means and medians with associated standard deviations $\pm$ SD and inter-quartile range (IQR) in parenthesis for median values, over entire seasons and for the full campaign. Average temperatures, relative humidity, wind speeds and precipitation are also listed and the diel N_{FBAP} peak hour of the day per season.**

	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer	Full campaign
Duration	08 Oct 2020 – 12 Jan 2021 and 06 Oct 2021 – 25 Nov 2021	13 Jan 2021 – 16 Feb 2021 and 26 Nov 2021 – 14 Feb 2022	17 Feb 2021 – 07 May 2021 and 15 Feb 2022 – 1 Apr 2022	08 May 2021 – 05 Oct 2021	1 Oct 2020 – 1 Apr 2022
No. of days	148	153	80	156	537
Mean N_{FBAP} (cm^{-3})	0.0093±0.0128	0.0038±0.0049	0.0074±0.0176	0.0211±0.0429	0.0109±0.0261
Median N_{FBAP} (cm^{-3})	0.0050 (0.0094)	0.0025 (0.0033)	0.0027 (0.0047)	0.0126 (0.0154)	0.0051 (0.0097)
Mean N_{TAP} (cm^{-3})	4.96 ±4.79	3.42 ±3.64	5.37 ±6.23	4.15 ±5.53	4.35 ±5.03
Median N_{TAP} (cm^{-3})	3.62 (5.35)	2.07 (3.65)	3.02 (5.87)	2.96 (2.68)	2.85 (4.03)
Mean N_{FBAP}/N_{TAP}	0.0030 ±0.0034	0.0020 ±0.0023	0.0017 ±0.0024	0.0062 ±0.0050	0.0035 ±0.0040
Median N_{FBAP}/N_{TAP}	0.0019 (0.0036)	0.0014 (0.0021)	0.0011 (0.0020)	0.0047 (0.0065)	0.0021 (0.0037)
Diel N_{FBAP} peak (Hour of the day)	21:00	15:00	18:00	16:00	18:00
Mean Temperature (°C)	6.1 ±3.8	-0.1 ±4.3	4.3 ± 3.4	15.2 ± 4.1	7.5 ± 7.0
Median Temperature (°C)	6.6 (5.3)	-0.1 (5.6)	3.9 (4.3)	15.0 (5.1)	7.0 (10.5)
Mean RH (%)	93 ± 11	91.2 ± 14.9	72 ±26	76 ± 20	84 ±20
Median RH (%)	100 (12)	99.9 (13.6)	79 (51)	78 (33)	92 (27)
Mean Wind speed (m s^{-1})	5.2 ±2.0	5.5 ±2.3	5.3 ±1.9	4.8 ±1.8	5.2 ±2.0
Median Wind speed (m s^{-1})	5.0 (2.9)	5.3 (3)	5.2 (2.2)	4.5 (1.9)	5.0 (2.1)
Mean Wind direction (°)	South	South-Southwest	Southwest	South	South-Southwest
Median Wind direction (°)	South	South-Southwest	West-Southwest	South-Southwest	South-Southwest
Number of rain events	90	44	35	64	233
Mean cumulative precipitation per rain event (mm)	3.87 ±11.14	3.43 ± 3.84	3.91 ± 5.86	5.60 ±7.24	4.98 ±17.44
Median cumulative precipitation per rain event (mm)	1.35 (2.16)	1.77 (3.28)	2.25 (3.28)	3.07 (5.65)	1.80 (3.72)

230

The median monthly N_{FBAP} concentration over the full campaign was 0.005 cm^{-3} but varied significantly (P<0.0001) over the full campaign. Monthly and seasonal distributions of N_{FBAP}, N_{TAP} and N_{FBAP}/N_{TAP} are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3.3 (Fig. S2 in the supplement shows the full N_{FBAP}/N_{TAP} time-series with seasons indicated). The monthly median varied by a factor of ~13, from a minimum of 0.0015 cm^{-3} in December 2021 to a maximum of 0.019 cm^{-3} in July 2021. The period with highest N_{FBAP} abundance was initiated by a steep increase in May, where N_{FBAP} increased by a factor of ~8 over a few weeks. The period with high N_{FBAP} ended with a steep decrease over a few weeks between November and December in 2021, when the concentration decreased by a factor of ~8. A similar decrease of a factor of ~4 was observed in the winter 2020. N_{FBAP} varied a lot over the summer months but was more stable during fall and winter when the concentrations were lower. The overall N_{FBAP} agreed with other long-term measurements conducted in Finland, USA, Germany, France, India, China (Huffman et al., 2010; Schumacher et al., 2013; Toprak and Schnaiter, 2013; Valsan et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2016). The N_{FBAP} concentrations in winters were as expected lower compared to other seasons. Cold temperatures, lowered biological activity, low absolute humidity and snow coverages are suggested to cause a lower generated amount of bioaerosols in winter, but also reduce bioaerosols' abilities to be lofted into the air (Schumacher et al., 2013; Toprak and Schnaiter, 2013; Saari et al., 2015; Huffman et al., 2010). At the beginning of fall, spores from fungi are dispersed in the air, which increases the fall N_{FBAP} ~~although temperatures decreased~~ (Schumacher et al., 2013; Toprak and Schnaiter, 2013). This also explains the pattern here ~~observe~~observed, where an increase of bioaerosols in the fall is plausibly due to a combination of pollen dispersed ~~pollen~~ from ~~spring and~~the late summer, in combination with fungi and spores in late summer and early fall (Sept-Oct, primarily).

Figure 2. Statistical distribution of monthly fluorescent biological aerosol particle number concentration (left), total supermicron aerosol particle number concentration (middle) and their ratio (right) as box-whisker plots. Lower and upper limits of each box represent 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. Vertical bars at the end of lower and upper vertical bars represent 5th and 95th percentiles, respectively. Outliers were removed from the plots to make them easier to read.

Figure 3. Seasonal statistical distribution of fluorescent biological aerosol particle number concentration (left), total supermicron aerosol particle number concentration (middle) and their ratio (right) as box-whisker plots. Lower and upper limits of box represent 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. Vertical bars at the end of lower and upper vertical bars represent 5th and 95th percentiles, respectively. Outliers were removed from the plots to make them easier to read.

Not all biological material will have a sufficiently strong fluorescence signal to be detected as FBAP. This is ~~due to that~~because the fluorescence signal is a function of the concentration of fluorophores in PBAP, the ability to be excited by the laser of the instrument and the presence of opaque or absorbing material that may fluoresce only very weakly. Moreover, the detection threshold of ~1 μm biases the reported FBAP low. Therefore, fluorescence measurements, such as the ones made here, have the risk of underestimating the total biological material present, and the N_{FBAP} measurements should be viewed as lower limits of PBAP. This was also suggested by Huffman et al. when using a UV-APS for FBAP measurements (Huffman et al., 2012; Huffman et al., 2010). Also, further investigations are needed to better understand the response of the BioTrak to different types of biogenic aerosol particles and to quantity potential interferences with non-biogenic particles.

The BioTrak instrument remains to be further characterized through comparisons with other bioaerosol monitoring instruments, using well-characterized fluorescent particles and by comparison to other offline techniques. Atmospheric N_{FBAP} depends a lot on local sources. Moreover, N_{FBAP} discrepancies between different studies, see Table 1, can also be explained by differences between different types of instruments. To understand how the bioaerosol data collected here compare to other long-term studies would require thorough intercomparisons between the different types of instruments that have been employed in different studies. The UV-APS measures autofluorescence between 420–575 nm after excitation with a 355 nm laser, at a flowrate of 1 L min^{-1}, while the WIBS measures autofluorescence between 310-400, and 400-600 nm upon excitation with 280 nm and 370 nm xenon lamps, at a flowrate of 0.3 L min^{-1}. The BioScout excite particles with a 405 nm laser and measures autofluorescence at >442 nm with an adjustable flowrate, with default value 2 L min^{-1}. The BioScout has been suggested to detect ambient bioaerosols more efficient than the UV-APS (Saari et al., 2014). The BioTrak was developed for the purpose of detecting bioaerosols in pharmaceutical production and clean environments, thus, it operates at a higher

flowrate, 28 L min^{-1}, than other LIF instruments. To further understand the potential of using the BioTrak in future studies, the BioTrak needs to be compared to other new technologies for automatic bioaerosol monitoring. ~~Lieberherr et al. (2021) presented~~Recently a potential standardized validation method for assessment of counting efficiency and fluorescent measurement of bioaerosol instruments that could be used for validation of the BioTrak instrument was presented (Lieberherr et al., 2021). Validations could also be performed with calibration techniques for optical particle counters (Iida et al., 2014). To fully understand how the BioTrak data compares to other bioaerosol measurements techniques, BioTrak measurements need to be benchmarked against offline filter analyses.

3.1.2 Monthly and seasonal trends in total supermicron aerosol particles

Total aerosol particle number concentration ~~(N_{TAP})~~ did not exhibit the same patterns as the N_{FBAP} concentrations. The N_{TAP} concentrations are shown on monthly and seasonal scales in Fig. 2B and 3B (Fig. S1 in the supplement shows the full N_{TAP} time-series with seasons indicated ~~in the supplement~~). Compared to the N_{FBAP} concentrations, the TAP concentrations were more homogeneous over the full campaign. No distinct peak of N_{TAP} during warmer periods was observed. The average highest N_{TAP} were measured in October and November, 2020, and in February and March, 2021. Lowest N_{TAP} were observed in January 2021 and in December 2022. The N_{TAP} varied more in the fall and the winter compared to the summer, as indicated by the 5th and 95th percentiles in Fig. 3B. No significant difference in N_{TAP} was observed over seasons. The N_{FBAP} / N_{TAP} ratio had its highest values in June, July, August, and September. The average highest relative contribution of FBAP (0.006) was observed in July.

~~Overall,~~The seasonal average N_{TAP} ~~measured in this study,~~was between 3.42 and 4.96 cm^{-3} ~~as seasonal averages, were,~~ which is higher than in most other similar studies where both N_{FBAP} and N_{TAP} ~~were~~have been measured. For instance, Schumacher et al. (2013) reported average N_{TAP} between 0.41 and 0.47 cm^{-3} in Finland, and between 0.20 and 0.73 cm^{-3} in USA measured with a UV-APS~~,~~. Valsan et. al. (2016) reported mean N_{TAP} between 0.96 and 2.66 cm^{-3} on a monthly scale in India measured with a UV-APS and Toprak et. al. (2012,2013) reported mean N_{TAP} between 0.47 and 0.69 cm^{-3} in Germany measured with a WIBS-4. Comparisons suggests that our N_{TAP} measurements were about ~2-10 times higher than the N_{TAP} previously reported in combination with N_{FBAP} measurements. Note that these differences also influence the difference in ratios between N_{FBAP} and N_{TAP}. One factor that could possibly help explain these differences is that the BioTrak instrument operates at a higher sample flow (28 L min^{-1}) compared to other LIF-instruments, which commonly have sample flows ranging from 0.3 to 2 L min^{-1}. However, a comparison made between the BioTrak and a Grimm OPC showed that the BioTrak was closely correlated with the TAP concentrations measured with the Grimm OPC, see Figure ~~S8~~S7 and ~~S9~~S8 in the Supplement. Therefore, the major difference between the TAP measured in this campaign and previous long-term bioaerosol and TAP measurements is presumably due to local sources of the particle concentrations. It should also be noted that we only counted particles with diameters between 1 and 12 µm, which means that results from studies where other particle diameter ranges were studied might not be adequately comparable. To understand these differences in more detail, further analysis of local and regional

sources is needed. ~~It should be noted that these differences also influence ratios between N_{FBAP} and N_{TAP}.~~ Since the measurement site is an integrated ACTRIS and ICOS station, there are other aerosol measurements available. However, these measurements are only of fine particles (≤ 1 μm) and therefore are not eligible for comparison with the N_{TAP} and N_{FBAP}

320 measurements. There are plans to also install micron sized aerosol measurements at the site. In the future we will continuously compare BioTrak measurements to these.

3.1.3 Diel patterns

Variations in the daily bioaerosol concentrations were studied by averaging hourly N_{FBAP} abundance for each season (see Fig.
325 S3 and Fig. S4 in the supplement). Daytime N_{FBAP} was not significantly different from N_{FBAP} measured during the night and the daily variations were numerically very small. On an hourly basis, daily N_{FBAP} and N_{FBAP} /N_{TAP} peaked in the afternoon or evening, when relative humidity was relatively low and the temperature high, in winter, spring and summer. In the fall, N_{FBAP} peaked later in the evening.

Overall, the daily relative humidity curve was smooth and repeated the same pattern in all seasons: relative humidity was low
330 in daytime and increasing in the night due to decrease in temperature. In summer, the minimum relative humidity was aligned with the N_{FBAP} peak. In fall and spring however, the N_{FBAP} peak was preceded by the minimum relative humidity. Wind speed maximum was on average aligned with the daily temperature peak and average wind directions were on average coming from the South in the morning and more from the South-West later in during the day, the evening, and the night.

335 **3.1.4 Size of fluorescent biological aerosol particles**

Over the full campaign the smallest particles, 1-3 μm, on average made up ~70 % of the total N_{FBAP}. As comparison, particles with sizes 3-5 μm and 5-12 μm contributed ~25 % and ~5 %, respectively. The contributions from larger particles, 3-12 μm, were higher in the spring and summer, compared to the fall and winter. The constantly elevated N_{FBAP} in the 1-3 μm range was consistent with previous observations (Artaxo and Hansson, 1995; Huffman et al., 2010; Schumacher et al., 2013; Healy et al.,
340 2014; Valsan et al., 2016). The seasonal difference also agreed with previously reported results (Schumacher et al., 2013; Huffman et al., 2010). Figure 4 displays the N_{FBAP} particle size distribution for all seasons divided into the 3 different size bins.

Figure 4: Fluorescence biological aerosol particle (FBAP) number size distribution for the full campaign for three different size bins: 1-3µm, 3-5µm and 5-12µm.

345

While LIF-measurements do not distinguish between different bioaerosol types, particle size can give some indication of the kind of particle. Single bacterial and fungal cells and fungal spores typically have sizes of 1-3 µm. Particles with sizes 3-5 and 5-12 µm correspond to the size of larger fungal spores, and smaller-sized pollen grains, although most pollen are too large to

350 be sampled by the instrumentation used. The higher contribution of larger particles during spring and summer can potentially be explained by the spread of pollen during these seasons. Further confirmation and identification of the origin and the sizes of the bioaerosols measured at Hyltemossa will be performed in follow-up studies with microscopic analysis but are beyond the scope of this work.

3.2 Meteorological effects

355 The meteorological conditions can have a variety of effects on the release and generation of biological aerosol particles into the atmosphere. In the following section, we investigate possible associations between N_{FBAP} and air temperature, relative humidity, wind (magnitude and direction) and precipitation. It should be noted that we only consider the local and rapid changes in the parameters measured while for instance, atmospheric circulation has not been considered. Delays between changes in parameters and for instance changes in particle concentrations have also not been considered.

3.2.1 Air temperature effects

The air temperatures ranged from -2 to 20 °C in the fall, from -10 to 10 °C in the winter, from -2 to 18 °C during spring, and from 6 to 30 °C in the summer. Figure 5 shows the observed relationship between N_{FBAP} and temperature: median N_{FBAP} binned based on air temperature separately for each season (Fig. 5a) and the 7-day Pearson's rolling correlation coefficient r for N_{FBAP} with air temperature for the full campaign (Fig. 5b). From the air-temperature-binned data N_{FBAP} was significantly positively correlated with increasing ambient air temperature in spring (r=0.88, P<0.01; ρ =0.83, P<0.01) and summer (r=0.88, P<0.0001; ρ =0.94, P<0.0001). No significant associations between N_{FBAP} and air temperature were identified in fall and winter. The 7-day rolling correlation coefficient r, based on hourly mean values shown in Fig. 5b, indicates that for most of the campaign (60 % of the rolling correlation periods studied) N_{FBAP} increases were significantly (P<0.05) correlated with increasing air temperatures. It should be noted that the correlation coefficient for most part indicated only a moderate correlation (r between 0.25 and 0.5) and the correlation was rarely strong (r above 0.7). Negative correlations between N_{FBAP} and air temperature were rarely observed (only in 13 % of the periods studied), but consistently only observed during winter months. The rolling correlation was nonsignificant during a considerable part of the campaign (27 %). The presence of both positive, negative, and non-significant correlations between N_{FBAP} and temperature in the fall and the winter can explain why no overall consistent relationship between air temperature and N_{FBAP} was observed. The data presented in Fig. 5 suggest that the processes that determine the release of FBAPs are strongly dependent on season and on mechanisms that potentially require a minimum temperature, or that are at least correlated with increasing air temperatures.

Figure 5: Relationship between N$_{FBAP}$ and air temperature ~~(AT)~~. Median seasonal relationship between N$_{FBAP}$ and air temperature (a). Data was averaged into 21 bins between -10 and 31 °C. Bins that contained less than 0.1 % of the total data points were removed. 385 Fitted curves are included to guide the eye. Both N$_{FBAP}$ and air temperature variations were highest in the summer, as is also suggested in Figure 2 and 3. The 7-day rolling correlation coefficient *r* for hourly mean N$_{FBAP}$ with air temperature for the full campaign. Horizontal dashed lines indicate the range at which the correlation was nonsignificant at levels 0.05 (magenta) and 0.01 (black). In most cases a significant and positive correlation was observed between N$_{FBAP}$ and air temperature.

390   **3.2.2 Relative humidity effects**

The observed relationship between N_{FBAP} and relative humidity was complex and calls for more detailed studies. Figure 6 displays the average relationship between N_{FBAP} and relative humidity: with N_{FBAP} binned based on relative humidity (6a) and the 7-day rolling correlation coefficient for N_{FBAP} and relative humidity (6b). On a seasonal level, N_{FBAP} was positively correlated with relative humidity (r=0.21, P<0.05; ρ =0.26, P<0.05) in the fall but negatively correlated with relative humidity

395   in summer (r=-0.65, P<0.05; ρ =-0.71, P<0.0001) and in the winter (r=-0.14, P<0.05; ρ =-0.12; P<0.05), while no correlation was found between relative humidity and N_{FBAP} in the spring. The observed positive correlation between N_{FBAP} and relative humidity in the fall may indicate that the bioaerosols detected in the fall were potentially generated or ejected due to relative humidity-dependent mechanisms. On the other hand, the negative correlation between N_{FBAP} and relative humidity in winter and summer suggests that the high relative air humidity may be a limiting factor for the release of bioaerosols during those

400   seasons. In particular, the high N_{FBAP} at relative humidity ~20-40 % suggests that relatively dry conditions increase the concentration of bioaerosols generated in the summer. The aerosol particles were not dried prior to BioTrak sampling, which during high outdoor temperature and humidity could lead to particle growth and hence that particles would be classified to be larger than their actual size.

405

Figure 6: Seasonal association between N_{FBAP} concentrations and relative humidity. Median N_{FBAP} concentration as a function of relative humidity for each season (a) and the 7-day rolling correlation coefficient *r* for hourly mean N_{FBAP} and relative humidity (RH) for the full campaign (b). Horizontal dashed lines indicate the range at which the correlation was nonsignificant at levels 0.05
410   **(magenta) and 0.01 (black). In most cases (46 %) the relationship observed was nonsignificant with very low correlation coefficients.**

From the 7-day rolling correlation coefficient r between N_{FBAP} and relative humidity for the full campaign, one can see that the correlation between N_{FBAP} and relative humidity was mostly nonsignificant (46 %), while it was negative and significant for a large part of the campaign (39 %) and only rarely positive (14 %). The observed relationship that N_{FBAP} and relative humidity are uncorrelated or negatively correlated are in contrast with what other studies report. In other long-term studies the connection between N_{FBAP} and relative humidity have been reported as overall positive (Huffman et al., 2010; Huffman et al., 2012; Schumacher et al., 2013; Toprak and Schnaiter, 2013; Valsan et al., 2016), although in some of the cases only low correlation coefficients (but not reported how low) were identified (Huffman et al., 2010), in other cases correlation coefficients and significance levels were not reported (Huffman et al., 2012) and the N_{FBAP} and relative humidity relationship was inconsistent (Schumacher et al., 2013).

Relative humidity correlates inversely with air temperature since the temperature affects the saturation water vapor pressure in air. This relationship was also observed for the relative humidity and air temperatures measured (r=-0.30, P<0.0001; ρ =-0.28, P<0.0001), and the relationship can be observed in the daily trends in Fig. S3. Based on the positive relationship N_{FBAP} had been observed to have with increasing air temperatures, it might be plausible to think that part of the negative correlation observed between relative humidity and N_{FBAP} can be related to the relationship between relative humidity and air temperature. However, the relationships are complex and that the causality between the different effects can only be interpreted after more detailed studies. The data reported here underlines the need for further studies of the relationship between relative humidity and N_{FBAP} under different conditions and in different types of environments, especially since different types of bioaerosols have been observed to be both positively and negatively correlated with relative humidity.

3.2.3 Wind effects

The N_{FBAP} was positively correlated with increasing wind speed. Figure 7 displays the average relationship between N_{FBAP} and wind speed for the four seasons (a) and for most of the campaign as assessed with the 7-day rolling correlation between N_{FBAP} and wind speed (b). The N_{FBAP} was positively significantly correlated with wind speed in the winter (r=0.89, P<0.0001; ρ =0.95, P<0.0001), spring (r=0.70, P<0.05) and summer (r=0.64, P=0.05; ρ =0.76, P<0.05). In the fall a similar but nonsignificant correlation was observed (r=0.58, P=0.08; ρ =0.46, P=0.18). These correlations were also confirmed by the rolling correlation coefficient. Figure 7b shows that for a large part of the campaign time the relationship between N_{FBAP} and wind speed was nonsignificant (42 %) or positive (39 %) and only rarely there was a negative and significant relationship (15 %).

Figure 7: The relationship between FBAP number concentrations and wind speed on a seasonal basis (b) and the rolling correlation for the relationship over the full campaign (b). An overall positive relationship was observed between NFBAP and wind speed for all seasons, which can be observed in both figures, but in many cases the relationship was nonsignificant.

Figure 8 shows N_{FBAP} as a function of wind direction. For all seasons, the winds coming from North-East to South-East were correlated with the highest N_{FBAP} abundances. Meanwhile, winds from South-West to North-East were in general associated with the lowest N_{FBAP}. It was also noted in the data that in fall and winter the coldest air temperatures were correlated with winds from the North, while air temperatures in the spring and summer were more independent of wind directions. While N_{FBAP} was not significantly different depending on wind direction, the types of bioaerosols may still vary with wind directions. Studies on long-range transport of air masses were beyond the scope of this study but could have had been indicative for a better understanding of these data.

455

Figure 8: The effect of wind direction on N$_{FBAP}$ abundance on a seasonal basis. The highest N$_{FBAP}$ concentrations were measured for winds from the East. The lowest N$_{FBAP}$ were measured when the wind blew from North to West.

460 **3.2.4 Precipitation effects**

In some cases of rain, but not consistently for all rain events, a substantial increase of FBAP number concentrations was observed before, during and right after rain. To test the robustness of the analysis ~~where~~ a rain event was defined by the threshold of 0.5 mm h^{-1}~~,~~. Although we also applied other thresholds (including 1 mm h^{-1} and 2 mm h^{-1}) ~~but~~ none of the thresholds that were used_ indicated a significant correlation between precipitation and N$_{FBAP}$. For certain rain events, the

465 FBAP concentration was observed to increase by a factor of 4-10, when compared to N$_{FBAP}$ outside of the rain event. The pattern was seen for all seasons. A total number of 90, 44, 35 and 64 individual rain events were identified in the fall, winter, spring, and summer, respectively. In about 50 % of all cases of a rain event, an immediate, but not lasting, increase in N$_{FBAP}$ concentrations was observed, but the effect was not statistically significant. The N$_{FBAP}$ concentrations varied a lot both before and after rain events, and sharp increases in N$_{FBAP}$ were also observed unrelated to rain. Overall, the FBAP concentration

470 variations were large and therefore, sudden, and instantaneous variations, that could plausibly be explained by rainfall, were not significant over full seasons. Figure S5 and S6 in the supplement shows examples of rain events and the distribution of N$_{FBAP}$ before, during and after rain and when there is no rain. In these figures high precipitation peaks were followed by, or occurring simultaneous as, peaks in N$_{FBAP.}$

475 Only local rain was accounted for and therefore, the effect of rain upwind and possible transport of FBAP to the measurement site was not detangled. On average, a rain event lasted a few hours but during certain periods the frequency of such rain events was high. The overall number of rain events, and the increased relative humidity associated with such events, could very well have a larger and longer-ranging effect than here distinguished. The relationship between biological aerosol particles and rain have been reported on for a long time (Gregory and Hirst, 1957; Hirst and Stedman, 1963) and calls for standardized methods
480 for the association between PBAP and precipitation. Rainfall can be important both for scavenging of bioaerosols as well as for the bioaerosol release.

3.2.5 Connecting fluorescent biological aerosol particle release mechanisms with meteorological effects

485 Connecting the observed results in this study with mechanisms for aerosolization and release of biological particles allows a greater understanding of seasonal variations in N_{FBAP}. In summer, there was a positive correlation with air temperature and wind speed, while the relationship was mostly nonsignificant or negative with relative humidity. This suggests that wind-induced bioaerosol generation was favored during dry and warm conditions. This was likely the case during spring as well, when N_{FBAP} correlated positively with air temperature and wind speed.

490

During fall, the highest number of rain events was observed, as well as a small positive correlation between N_{FBAP} and relative humidity. Bioaerosols can be generated and dispersed by mechanical ejections and bubble bursting processes when raindrops impact on surfaces (Alsved et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2019), which could explain the, in some cases strong, association between N_{FBAP} and rain events. But rainfalls are also known to clean the air from aerosol particles in the lower troposphere (Moore et
495 al., 2020), which can explain the inconsistent association between N_{FBAP} and rain events. Although many studies have found a generally negative correlation between airborne spore concentrations and relative humidity, some fungal species absorb water from the air, causing swelling of the mucilage and subsequent explosive release of spores (Grinn-Gofron and Bosiacka, 2015). High relative humidity is also known to cause pollen to rupture, resulting in the release of smaller pollen fragments (Taylor et al., 2004). It is also noteworthy that different types of bioaerosols can have different relationships with humidity and air
500 temperature so that the common effects are masked. As noted by Oliviera et al. (2009) while some spore types have been observed to be negatively correlated with temperature but positively correlated with relative humidity, other spores showed the opposite correlations (Oliveira et al., 2009).

The lowest levels and variation of N_{FBAP} were found during winter (Fig. 3a), likely due to the lower temperatures, sun light
505 and biological activity during this season. Again, low relative humidity and wind speed were correlated with higher N_{FBAP}, indicating wind-induced aerosolization. In Southern Sweden, cold temperatures are often correlated with northern winds, which was observed for fall and winter in the meteorological data here studied. This agrees well with the lowest N_{FBAP} levels

being found when winds came from the north. It should also be noted that the difference in wind direction could be indicative of different sources of bioaerosols.

510

For further understanding of the data, FBAP release mechanisms and FBAP sources, detailed biological analyses, including fluorescence microscopy of PBAP filter sampling, are needed to identify the different types of bioaerosols that are present during different seasons.

3.3 Using the BioTrak for ambient air measurements

515   This is the first study where the BioTrak has been used for ambient air measurements. Prior to the field campaign the BioTrak was sent for calibration with Brookhaven Instruments in Sweden. After the campaign, the built-in OPC in the BioTrak was validated with a Grimm Dust decoder OPC D-11. The Grimm OPC was connected to the same inlet as the BioTrak at the Hyltemossa station for 25 hours in December 2022 (Figure S8S7 in the supplement). The comparison showed that the BioTrak and the Grimm OPC continuously measured the same trends in particle concentrations during the 25 hours. Figure S9S8 in the

520   supplement shows the Grimm OPC TAP number concentration as a function of TAP measured with the BioTrak. This comparison also confirmed that the BioTrak and the Grimm OPC measurements were linearly correlated. The BioTrak showed on average 15% higher values, with standard deviation of 10% over five minutes.. The bioaerosol identification was not validated in this work other than by calibration by the manufacturer. Previous accuracy tests and validations by the manufacturer showed that the BioTrak underestimates the bioaerosol concentration andbut correctly classifies biological

525   material with an efficiency ranging between 40 to 70 %, depending on the size of the particles and on the type of bioaerosols (TSI, BioTrak Summary of validation tests, 2015).

4 Conclusions

Fluorescent bioaerosols, in the size range 1-12 μm, were measured continuously in real-time during 18 months in the Southern Sweden using a BioTrak. To our knowledge, this is the first report of this instrument being operated for outdoor measurements

530   and for such a long period of time. Large variations in both fluorescent biological aerosol particle concentration and supermicron particle number concentrations were observed. Over the full measurement period, the average N_{FBAP} concentration was 0.005 cm^{-3} and the monthly average varied between 0.001 cm^{-3} and 0.020 cm^{-3}. The N_{FBAP} concentration was highest in the summer (median 0.01 cm^{-3}) and lowest in the winter (median 0.0025 cm^{-3}). The pattern in the N_{FBAP} concentrations deviated significantly from the TAP concentrations, as can be seen in Figures 2 and 3. While TAP

535   concentrations had no obvious seasonal dependence, N_{FBAP} concentrations varied significantly depending on season.

Total aerosol particle concentrations did not follow the same trends as the N_{FBAP} concentrations. Instead, N_{TAP} remained relatively constant throughout the year. These data indicate that the sources of fluorescent bioaerosols were not the same as for the non-fluorescent particles. It can also be assumed that local meteorology affected the sources in different ways. ~~Further, this suggests that the N_{FBAP} concentrations were more influenced by the biological activity than by boundary layer meteorology.~~ No differences were found between daytime and nighttime N_{FBAP} concentrations and overall daily variations were minimal compared to variations over seasons. The 1-3 µm N_{FBAP} particles made up on average 70 % of the total N_{FBAP} abundance, which suggest that the largest number contribution to PBAP was the occurrence of single bacterial and fungal cells, fungal spores, and agglomerated bacteria.

545

Overall, these long-term measurements confirm that the emission and abundance of biological aerosol particles in rural environments were closely related to meteorological parameters. Over the full campaign N_{FBAP} was positively correlated with air temperature ($P<0.01$) and wind speed ($P<0.01$), while the relationship was more complex, and more negatively correlated, between N_{FBAP} and relative humidity ($P<0.05$). No significant relationship was observed between rain events and N_{FBAP} over seasons, however several rain events gave rise to immediate and strong increases in N_{FBAP} over short time periods. Our measurements indicate that bioaerosols were emitted due to mechanical wind release during the warmer seasons but also suggest that bioaerosol generation increased during wet conditions and increasing relative humidity in the fall. It is plausible that the balancing of two effects is present when the environment is wet: scavenging of bioaerosols due to rainfall and generation and release due to rainfall. The data here presented in our study suggest that biological aerosol release was ~~prohibited~~inhibited in the winter.

Long-term data on biological aerosol particles are lacking from the North of Europe but also from all over the globe. This study presents a first attempt to analyze and understand 18 months of data on atmospheric fluorescent biological aerosol particles measured with a LIF-instrument.

560

Code availability.

The code used to produce the results of this study is available from the first author (MPS) upon qualified request.

565

Data availability.

The meteorological data is publicly available from the carbon portal (https://www.icos-cp.eu/observations/carbon-portal) with pid: 11676/tAq_SRIWDxBoVJp7_klS8ZbA. The data are available here: https://hdl.handle.net/11676/jW7oCGwqLrA4JsrPH5dh78On and here: 570 https://hdl.handle.net/11676/L27iDe53nai2M5MSKRaZM6Jo .

Author contributions.

MPS was responsible for data taking, performed the analysis, produced the figures and wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. MA significantly contributed with guidance, analysis input and writing the manuscript. TST contributed with expertise and 575 input on analysis and the manuscript. TBK significantly contributed to the performed analysis, advised on the analysis and contributed significantly to the manuscript writing. JL was overall responsible for the study, advised on the analysis and contributed significantly to the manuscript.

Competing interests.

580 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements.

This work was supported by the Swedish research council for sustainable development FORMAS (grant numbers 2017-00383 and 2020-01490) and AFA insurance (grant numbers 180113 and 200109). The authors would like to acknowledge the Aerosols, Clouds, and 585 Trace gases Research InfraStructure (ACTRIS) for letting us put up the instrument at their site, and the integrated carbon observation system (ICOS) for providing meteorological data for this study. We thank and acknowledge Patrik Nilsson, Design Sciences, Lund University, for helping with the instrument installation, Jonas Jakobsson, Erik Ahlberg, Adam Kristensson, Department of Physics, Lund University, and Marcin Jackowicz-Korczynski, Department of Physical Geography, Lund University, for helping with data taking at Hyltemossa. We thank Erik Swietlicki for input and comments on the manuscript. 590 We acknowledge ICOS Sweden for provisioning of data (pid: 11676/tAq_SRIWDxBoVJp7_klS8ZbA) and we would like to thank Tobias Biermann and Michal Heliasz at the Centre for Environmental and Climate Science (CEC) and ICOS Sweden for assistance. ICOS Sweden is funded by the Swedish Research Council as a national research infrastructure.

References

Alsved, M., Bourouiba, L., Duchaine, C., Löndahl, J., Marr, L. C., Parker, S. T., Prussin, A. J., and Thomas, R. J.: Natural sources and experimental generation of bioaerosols: Challenges and perspectives, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 1-25, 10.1080/02786826.2019.1682509, 2019.

Artaxo, P. and Hansson, H.-C.: Size distribution of biogenic aerosol particles from the amazon basin, Atmospheric Environment, 29, 393-402, https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(94)00178-N, 1995.

Bowers, R. M., Lauber, C. L., Wiedinmyer, C., Hamady, M., Hallar, A. G., Fall, R., Knight, R., and Fierer, N.: Characterization of airborne microbial communities at a high-elevation site and their potential to act as atmospheric ice nuclei, Appl Environ Microbiol, 75, 5121-5130, 10.1128/aem.00447-09, 2009.

Brown, J. K. M. and Hovmøller, M. S.: Aerial Dispersal of Pathogens on the Global and Continental Scales and Its Impact on Plant Disease, Science, 297, 537-541, 10.1126/science.1072678, 2002.

Burrows, S. M., Elbert, W., Lawrence, M. G., and Pöschl, U.: Bacteria in the global atmosphere – Part 1: Review and synthesis of literature data for different ecosystems, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 9263-9280, 10.5194/acp-9-9263-2009, 2009a.

Burrows, S. M., Butler, T., Jöckel, P., Tost, H., Kerkweg, A., Pöschl, U., and Lawrence, M. G.: Bacteria in the global atmosphere – Part 2: Modeling of emissions and transport between different ecosystems, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 9281-9297, 10.5194/acp-9-9281-2009, 2009b.

Despres, V. R., Huffman, J. A., Burrows, S. M., Hoose, C., Safatov, A. S., Buryak, G., Frohlich-Nowoisky, J., Elbert, W., Andreae, M. O., Poschl, U., and Jaenicke, R.: Primary biological aerosol particles in the atmosphere: a review, Tellus B, 64, ARTN 15598 10.3402/tellusb.v64i0.15598, 2012.

Diehl, K., Quick, C., Matthias-Maser, S., Mitra, S. K., and Jaenicke, R.: The ice nucleating ability of pollen: Part I: Laboratory studies in deposition and condensation freezing modes, Atmospheric Research, 58, 75-87, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-8095(01)00091-6, 2001.

Franze, T., Weller, M. G., Niessner, R., and Pöschl, U.: Protein nitration by polluted air, Environ Sci Technol, 39, 1673-1678, 10.1021/es0488737, 2005.

Gosselin, M. I., Rathnayake, C. M., Crawford, I., Pöhlker, C., Fröhlich-Nowoisky, J., Schmer, B., Després, V. R., Engling, G., Gallagher, M., Stone, E., Pöschl, U., and Huffman, J. A.: Fluorescent bioaerosol particle, molecular tracer, and fungal spore concentrations during dry and rainy periods in a semi-arid forest, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 15165-15184, 10.5194/acp-16-15165-2016, 2016.

Gregory, P. H. and Hirst, J. M.: The Summer Air-Spora at Rothamsted in 1952, Microbiology, 17, 135-152, https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-17-1-135, 1957.

Griffin, D. W., Kubilay, N., Koçak, M., Gray, M. A., Borden, T. C., and Shinn, E. A.: Airborne desert dust and aeromicrobiology over the Turkish Mediterranean coastline, Atmospheric Environment, 41, 4050-4062, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.01.023, 2007.

Grinn-Gofron, A. and Bosiacka, B.: Effects of meteorological factors on the composition of selected fungal spores in the air, Aerobiologia (Bologna), 31, 63-72, 10.1007/s10453-014-9347-1, 2015.

Heald, C. L. and Spracklen, D. V.: Atmospheric budget of primary biological aerosol particles from fungal spores, Geophysical Research Letters, 36, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL037493, 2009.

Healy, D. A., Huffman, J. A., O'Connor, D. J., Pöhlker, C., Pöschl, U., and Sodeau, J. R.: Ambient measurements of biological aerosol particles near Killarney, Ireland: a comparison between real-time fluorescence and microscopy techniques, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 8055-8069, 10.5194/acp-14-8055-2014, 2014.

Hill, S. C., Pinnick, R. G., Nachman, P., Chen, G., Chang, R. K., Mayo, M. W., and Fernandez, G. L.: Aerosol-fluorescence spectrum analyzer: real-time measurement of emission spectra of airborne biological particles, Appl Opt, 34, 7149-7155, 10.1364/ao.34.007149, 1995.

Hill, T. C. J., DeMott, P. J., Tobo, Y., Fröhlich-Nowoisky, J., Moffett, B. F., Franc, G. D., and Kreidenweis, S. M.: Sources of organic ice nucleating particles in soils, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 7195-7211, 10.5194/acp-16-7195-2016, 2016.

Hirst, J. M. and Stedman, O. J.: DRY LIBERATION OF FUNGUS SPORES BY RAINDROPS, J Gen Microbiol, 33, 335-344, 10.1099/00221287-33-2-335, 1963.

Huffman, J. A., Treutlein, B., and Pöschl, U.: Fluorescent biological aerosol particle concentrations and size distributions measured with an Ultraviolet Aerodynamic Particle Sizer (UV-APS) in Central Europe, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 3215-3233, 10.5194/acp-10-3215-2010, 2010.

Huffman, J. A., Sinha, B., Garland, R. M., Snee-Pollmann, A., Gunthe, S. S., Artaxo, P., Martin, S. T., Andreae, M. O., and Pöschl, U.: Size distributions and temporal variations of biological aerosol particles in the Amazon rainforest characterized by microscopy and real-time UV-APS fluorescence techniques during AMAZE-08, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 11997-12019, 10.5194/acp-12-11997-2012, 2012.

Huffman, J. A., Perring, A. E., Savage, N. J., Clot, B., Crouzy, B., Tummon, F., Shoshanim, O., Damit, B., Schneider, J., Sivaprakasam, V., Zawadowicz, M. A., Crawford, I., Gallagher, M., Topping, D., Doughty, D. C., Hill, S. C., and Pan, Y. L.: Real-time sensing of bioaerosols: Review and current perspectives, Aerosol Sci Tech, 10.1080/02786826.2019.1664724, 2019.

Huffman, J. A., Prenni, A. J., DeMott, P. J., Pöhlker, C., Mason, R. H., Robinson, N. H., Fröhlich-Nowoisky, J., Tobo, Y., Després, V. R., Garcia, E., Gochis, D. J., Harris, E., Müller-Germann, I., Ruzene, C., Schmer, B., Sinha, B., Day, D. A., Andreae, M. O., Jimenez, J. L., Gallagher, M., Kreidenweis, S. M., Bertram, A. K., and Pöschl, U.: High concentrations of biological aerosol particles and ice nuclei during and after rain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 6151-6164, 10.5194/acp-13-6151-2013, 2013.

Iida, K., Sakurai, H., Saito, K., and Ehara, K.: Inkjet aerosol generator as monodisperse particle number standard, Aerosol Sci Tech, 48, 789-802, 2014.

Jones, A. M. and Harrison, R. M.: The effects of meteorological factors on atmospheric bioaerosol concentrations--a review, Sci Total Environ, 326, 151-180, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2003.11.021, 2004.

Kim, K.-H., Kabir, E., and Jahan, S. A.: Airborne bioaerosols and their impact on human health, J Environ Sci (China), 67, 23-35, 10.1016/j.jes.2017.08.027, 2018.

Kim, S., Park, H., Gruszewski, H. A., Schmale, D. G., and Jung, S.: Vortex-induced dispersal of a plant pathogen by raindrop impact, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116, 4917-4922, doi:10.1073/pnas.1820318116, 2019.

Lacey, J. and Dutkiewicz, J.: Bioaerosols and occupational lung disease, Journal of Aerosol Science, 25, 1371-1404, https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8502(94)90215-1, 1994.

Li, X., Huang, S., and Sun, Z.: Technology and equipment development in laser-induced fluorescence-based remote and field detection of biological aerosols, Journal of Biosafety and Biosecurity, 1, 113-122, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobb.2019.08.005, 2019.

Lieberherr, G., Auderset, K., Calpini, B., Clot, B., Crouzy, B., Gysel-Beer, M., Konzelmann, T., Manzano, J., Mihajlovic, A., Moallemi, A., O'Connor, D., Sikoparija, B., Sauvageat, E., Tummon, F., and Vasilatou, K.: Assessment of real-time bioaerosol particle counters using reference chamber experiments, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 14, 7693-7706, 10.5194/amt-14-7693-2021, 2021.

Lohmann, U. and Feichter, J.: Global indirect aerosol effects: a review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 715-737, 10.5194/acp-5-715-2005, 2005.

Madelin, T. M.: Fungal aerosols: A review, Journal of Aerosol Science, 25, 1405-1412, https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8502(94)90216-X, 1994.

Moore, R. A., Hanlon, R., Powers, C., Schmale, D. G., and Christner, B. C.: Scavenging of Sub-Micron to Micron-Sized Microbial Aerosols during Simulated Rainfall, Atmosphere, 11, 80, 2020.

Norros, V., Rannik, Ü., Hussein, T., Petäjä, T., Vesala, T., and Ovaskainen, O.: Do small spores disperse further than large spores?, Ecology, 95, 1612-1621, 2014.

Oliveira, M., Ribeiro, H., Delgado, J. L., and Abreu, I.: The effects of meteorological factors on airborne fungal spore concentration in two areas differing in urbanisation level, International Journal of Biometeorology, 53, 61-73, 10.1007/s00484-008-0191-2, 2009.

Pratt, K. A., DeMott, P. J., French, J. R., Wang, Z., Westphal, D. L., Heymsfield, A. J., Twohy, C. H., Prenni, A. J., and Prather, K. A.: In situ detection of biological particles in cloud ice-crystals, Nature Geoscience, 2, 398-401, 10.1038/ngeo521, 2009.

Prenni, A. J., Petters, M. D., Kreidenweis, S. M., Heald, C. L., Martin, S. T., Artaxo, P., Garland, R. M., Wollny, A. G., and Pöschl, U.: Relative roles of biogenic emissions and Saharan dust as ice nuclei in the Amazon basin, Nature Geoscience, 2, 402-405, 10.1038/ngeo517, 2009a.

Prenni, A. J., Petters, M. D., Kreidenweis, S. M., Heald, C. L., Martin, S. T., Artaxo, P., Garland, R. M., Wollny, A. G., and Pöschl, U.: Relative roles of biogenic emissions and Saharan dust as ice nuclei in the Amazon basin, Nature Geoscience, 2, 402, 10.1038/ngeo517, 2009b.

Pöschl, U., Martin, S. T., Sinha, B., Chen, Q., Gunthe, S. S., Huffman, J. A., Borrmann, S., Farmer, D. K., Garland, R. M., Helas, G., Jimenez, J. L., King, S. M., Manzi, A., Mikhailov, E., Pauliquevis, T., Petters, M. D., Prenni, A. J., Roldin, P., Rose, D., Schneider, J., Su, H., Zorn, S. R., Artaxo, P., and Andreae, M. O.: Rainforest aerosols as biogenic nuclei of clouds and precipitation in the Amazon, Science, 329, 1513-1516, 10.1126/science.1191056, 2010.

Saari, S., Reponen, T., and Keskinen, J.: Performance of Two Fluorescence-Based Real-Time Bioaerosol Detectors: BioScout vs. UVAPS, Aerosol Sci Tech, 48, 371-378, 10.1080/02786826.2013.877579, 2014.

Saari, S., Niemi, J., Rönkkö, T., Kuuluvainen, H., Järvinen, A., Pirjola, L., Aurela, M., Hillamo, R., and Keskinen, J.: Seasonal and Diurnal Variations of Fluorescent Bioaerosol Concentration and Size Distribution in the Urban Environment, Aerosol and Air Quality Research, 15, 572-581, 10.4209/aaqr.2014.10.0258, 2015.

Šantl-Temkiv, T., Sikoparija, B., Maki, T., Carotenuto, F., Amato, P., Yao, M., Morris, C. E., Schnell, R., Jaenicke, R., Pöhlker, C., DeMott, P. J., Hill, T. C. J., and Huffman, J. A.: Bioaerosol field measurements: Challenges and perspectives in outdoor studies, Aerosol Sci Tech, 54, 520-546, 10.1080/02786826.2019.1676395, 2020.

Šaulienė, I., Šukienė, L., Daunys, G., Valiulis, G., Vaitkevičius, L., Matavulj, P., Brdar, S., Panic, M., Sikoparija, B., and Clot, B.: Automatic pollen recognition with the Rapid-E particle counter:<? xmltex\break?> the first-level procedure, experience and next steps, Atmos Meas Tech, 12, 3435-3452, 2019.

Sauvageat, E., Zeder, Y., Auderset, K., Calpini, B., Clot, B., Crouzy, B., Konzelmann, T., Lieberherr, G., Tummon, F., and Vasilatou, K.: Real-time pollen monitoring using digital holography, Atmos Meas Tech, 13, 1539-1550, 2020.

Schiele, J., Rabe, F., Schmitt, M., Glaser, M., Häring, F., Brunner, J. O., Bauer, B., Schuller, B., Traidl-Hoffmann, C., and Damialis, A.: Automated classification of airborne pollen using neural networks, 2019 41st Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 4474-4478,

Schumacher, C. J., Pöhlker, C., Aalto, P., Hiltunen, V., Petäjä, T., Kulmala, M., Pöschl, U., and Huffman, J. A.: Seasonal cycles of fluorescent biological aerosol particles in boreal and semi-arid forests of Finland and Colorado, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 11987-12001, 10.5194/acp-13-11987-2013, 2013.

Taylor, P. E., Flagan, R. C., Miguel, A. G., Valenta, R., and Glovsky, M. M.: Birch pollen rupture and the release of aerosols of respirable allergens, Clin Exp Allergy, 34, 1591-1596, 10.1111/j.1365-2222.2004.02078.x, 2004.

Toprak, E. and Schnaiter, M.: Fluorescent biological aerosol particles measured with the Waveband Integrated Bioaerosol Sensor WIBS-4: laboratory tests combined with a one year field study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 225-243, 10.5194/acp-13-225-2013, 2013.

Valsan, A. E., Ravikrishna, R., Biju, C. V., Pöhlker, C., Després, V. R., Huffman, J. A., Pöschl, U., and Gunthe, S. S.: Fluorescent biological aerosol particle measurements at a tropical high-altitude site in southern India during the southwest monsoon season, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 9805-9830, 10.5194/acp-16-9805-2016, 2016.

Womack, A. M., Artaxo, P. E., Ishida, F. Y., Mueller, R. C., Saleska, S. R., Wiedemann, K. T., Bohannan, B. J. M., and Green, J. L.: Characterization of active and total fungal communities in the atmosphere over the Amazon rainforest, Biogeosciences, 12, 6337-6349, 10.5194/bg-12-6337-2015, 2015.

Yu, X., Wang, Z., Zhang, M., Kuhn, U., Xie, Z., Cheng, Y., Pöschl, U., and Su, H.: Ambient measurement of fluorescent aerosol particles with a WIBS in the Yangtze River Delta of China: potential impacts of combustion-related aerosol particles, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 11337-11348, 10.5194/acp-16-11337-2016, 2016.

Alsved, M., Bourouiba, L., Duchaine, C., Löndahl, J., Marr, L. C., Parker, S. T., Prussin, A. J., and Thomas, R. J.: Natural sources and experimental generation of bioaerosols: Challenges and perspectives, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 1-25, 10.1080/02786826.2019.1682509, 2019.

Artaxo, P. and Hansson, H.-C.: Size distribution of biogenic aerosol particles from the amazon basin, Atmospheric Environment, 29, 393-402, https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(94)00178-N, 1995.

Bowers, R. M., Lauber, C. L., Wiedinmyer, C., Hamady, M., Hallar, A. G., Fall, R., Knight, R., and Fierer, N.: Characterization of airborne microbial communities at a high-elevation site and their potential to act as atmospheric ice nuclei, Appl Environ Microbiol, 75, 5121-5130, 10.1128/aem.00447-09, 2009.

Brown, J. K. M. and Hovmøller, M. S.: Aerial Dispersal of Pathogens on the Global and Continental Scales and Its Impact on Plant Disease, Science, 297, 537-541, 10.1126/science.1072678, 2002.

Burrows, S. M., Elbert, W., Lawrence, M. G., and Pöschl, U.: Bacteria in the global atmosphere – Part 1: Review and synthesis of literature data for different ecosystems, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 9263-9280, 10.5194/acp-9-9263-2009, 2009a.

Burrows, S. M., Butler, T., Jöckel, P., Tost, H., Kerkweg, A., Pöschl, U., and Lawrence, M. G.: Bacteria in the global atmosphere – Part 2: Modeling of emissions and transport between different ecosystems, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 9281-9297, 10.5194/acp-9-9281-2009, 2009b.

Despres, V. R., Huffman, J. A., Burrows, S. M., Hoose, C., Safatov, A. S., Buryak, G., Frohlich-Nowoisky, J., Elbert, W., Andreae, M. O., Poschl, U., and Jaenicke, R.: Primary biological aerosol particles in the atmosphere: a review, Tellus B, 64, ARTN 15598 10.3402/tellusb.v64i0.15598, 2012.

Diehl, K., Quick, C., Matthias-Maser, S., Mitra, S. K., and Jaenicke, R.: The ice nucleating ability of pollen: Part I: Laboratory studies in deposition and condensation freezing modes, Atmospheric Research, 58, 75-87, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-8095(01)00091-6, 2001.

Franze, T., Weller, M. G., Niessner, R., and Pöschl, U.: Protein nitration by polluted air, Environ Sci Technol, 39, 1673-1678, 10.1021/es0488737, 2005.

Gosselin, M. I., Rathnayake, C. M., Crawford, I., Pöhlker, C., Fröhlich-Nowoisky, J., Schmer, B., Després, V. R., Engling, G., Gallagher, M., Stone, E., Pöschl, U., and Huffman, J. A.: Fluorescent bioaerosol particle, molecular tracer, and fungal spore concentrations during dry and rainy periods in a semi-arid forest, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 15165-15184, 10.5194/acp-16-15165-2016, 2016.

Gregory, P. H. and Hirst, J. M.: The Summer Air-Spora at Rothamsted in 1952, Microbiology, 17, 135-152, https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-17-1-135, 1957.

Griffin, D. W., Kubilay, N., Koçak, M., Gray, M. A., Borden, T. C., and Shinn, E. A.: Airborne desert dust and aeromicrobiology over the Turkish Mediterranean coastline, Atmospheric Environment, 41, 4050-4062, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.01.023, 2007.

Grinn-Gofron, A. and Bosiacka, B.: Effects of meteorological factors on the composition of selected fungal spores in the air, Aerobiologia (Bologna), 31, 63-72, 10.1007/s10453-014-9347-1, 2015.

Heald, C. L. and Spracklen, D. V.: Atmospheric budget of primary biological aerosol particles from fungal spores, Geophysical Research Letters, 36, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL037493, 2009.

Healy, D. A., Huffman, J. A., O'Connor, D. J., Pöhlker, C., Pöschl, U., and Sodeau, J. R.: Ambient measurements of biological aerosol particles near Killarney, Ireland: a comparison between real-time fluorescence and microscopy techniques, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 8055-8069, 10.5194/acp-14-8055-2014, 2014.

Hill, S. C., Pinnick, R. G., Nachman, P., Chen, G., Chang, R. K., Mayo, M. W., and Fernandez, G. L.: Aerosol-fluorescence spectrum analyzer: real-time measurement of emission spectra of airborne biological particles, Appl Opt, 34, 7149-7155, 10.1364/ao.34.007149, 1995.

Hill, T. C. J., DeMott, P. J., Tobo, Y., Fröhlich-Nowoisky, J., Moffett, B. F., Franc, G. D., and Kreidenweis, S. M.: Sources of organic ice nucleating particles in soils, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 7195-7211, 10.5194/acp-16-7195-2016, 2016.

Hirst, J. M. and Stedman, O. J.: DRY LIBERATION OF FUNGUS SPORES BY RAINDROPS, J Gen Microbiol, 33, 335-344, 10.1099/00221287-33-2-335, 1963.

Huffman, J. A., Treutlein, B., and Pöschl, U.: Fluorescent biological aerosol particle concentrations and size distributions measured with an Ultraviolet Aerodynamic Particle Sizer (UV-APS) in Central Europe, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 3215-3233, 10.5194/acp-10-3215-2010, 2010.

760 Huffman, J. A., Sinha, B., Garland, R. M., Snee-Pollmann, A., Gunthe, S. S., Artaxo, P., Martin, S. T., Andreae, M. O., and Pöschl, U.: Size distributions and temporal variations of biological aerosol particles in the Amazon rainforest characterized by microscopy and real-time UV-APS fluorescence techniques during AMAZE-08, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 11997-12019, 10.5194/acp-12-11997-2012, 2012.

Huffman, J. A., Perring, A. E., Savage, N. J., Clot, B., Crouzy, B., Tummon, F., Shoshanim, O., Damit, B., Schneider, J., Sivaprakasam, V., Zawadowicz, M. A., Crawford, I., Gallagher, M., Topping, D., Doughty, D. C., Hill, S. C., and Pan, Y. L.: Real-time sensing of bioaerosols:

765 Review and current perspectives, Aerosol Sci Tech, 10.1080/02786826.2019.1664724, 2019.

Huffman, J. A., Prenni, A. J., DeMott, P. J., Pöhlker, C., Mason, R. H., Robinson, N. H., Fröhlich-Nowoisky, J., Tobo, Y., Després, V. R., Garcia, E., Gochis, D. J., Harris, E., Müller-Germann, I., Ruzene, C., Schmer, B., Sinha, B., Day, D. A., Andreae, M. O., Jimenez, J. L., Gallagher, M., Kreidenweis, S. M., Bertram, A. K., and Pöschl, U.: High concentrations of biological aerosol particles and ice nuclei during and after rain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 6151-6164, 10.5194/acp-13-6151-2013, 2013.

770 Iida, K., Sakurai, H., Saito, K., and Ehara, K.: Inkjet aerosol generator as monodisperse particle number standard, Aerosol Sci Tech, 48, 789-802, 2014.

Jones, A. M. and Harrison, R. M.: The effects of meteorological factors on atmospheric bioaerosol concentrations--a review, Sci Total Environ, 326, 151-180, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2003.11.021, 2004.

Kim, K.-H., Kabir, E., and Jahan, S. A.: Airborne bioaerosols and their impact on human health, J Environ Sci (China), 67, 23-35,

775 10.1016/j.jes.2017.08.027, 2018.

Kim, S., Park, H., Gruszewski, H. A., Schmale, D. G., and Jung, S.: Vortex-induced dispersal of a plant pathogen by raindrop impact, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116, 4917-4922, doi:10.1073/pnas.1820318116, 2019.

Lacey, J. and Dutkiewicz, J.: Bioaerosols and occupational lung disease, Journal of Aerosol Science, 25, 1371-1404, https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8502(94)90215-1, 1994.

780 Li, X., Huang, S., and Sun, Z.: Technology and equipment development in laser-induced fluorescence-based remote and field detection of biological aerosols, Journal of Biosafety and Biosecurity, 1, 113-122, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobb.2019.08.005, 2019.

Lieberherr, G., Auderset, K., Calpini, B., Clot, B., Crouzy, B., Gysel-Beer, M., Konzelmann, T., Manzano, J., Mihajlovic, A., Moallemi, A., O'Connor, D., Sikoparija, B., Sauvageat, E., Tummon, F., and Vasilatou, K.: Assessment of real-time bioaerosol particle counters using reference chamber experiments, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 14, 7693-7706, 10.5194/amt-14-7693-2021, 2021.

785 Lohmann, U. and Feichter, J.: Global indirect aerosol effects: a review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 715-737, 10.5194/acp-5-715-2005, 2005.

Madelin, T. M.: Fungal aerosols: A review, Journal of Aerosol Science, 25, 1405-1412, https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8502(94)90216-X, 1994.

Moore, R. A., Hanlon, R., Powers, C., Schmale, D. G., and Christner, B. C.: Scavenging of Sub-Micron to Micron-Sized Microbial Aerosols during Simulated Rainfall, Atmosphere, 11, 80, 2020.

790 Norros, V., Rannik, Ü., Hussein, T., Petäjä, T., Vesala, T., and Ovaskainen, O.: Do small spores disperse further than large spores?, Ecology, 95, 1612-1621, 2014.

Oliveira, M., Ribeiro, H., Delgado, J. L., and Abreu, I.: The effects of meteorological factors on airborne fungal spore concentration in two areas differing in urbanisation level, International Journal of Biometeorology, 53, 61-73, 10.1007/s00484-008-0191-2, 2009.

Pratt, K. A., DeMott, P. J., French, J. R., Wang, Z., Westphal, D. L., Heymsfield, A. J., Twohy, C. H., Prenni, A. J., and Prather, K. A.: In

795 situ detection of biological particles in cloud ice-crystals, Nature Geoscience, 2, 398-401, 10.1038/ngeo521, 2009.

Prenni, A. J., Petters, M. D., Kreidenweis, S. M., Heald, C. L., Martin, S. T., Artaxo, P., Garland, R. M., Wollny, A. G., and Pöschl, U.: Relative roles of biogenic emissions and Saharan dust as ice nuclei in the Amazon basin, Nature Geoscience, 2, 402-405, 10.1038/ngeo517, 2009a.

Prenni, A. J., Petters, M. D., Kreidenweis, S. M., Heald, C. L., Martin, S. T., Artaxo, P., Garland, R. M., Wollny, A. G., and Pöschl, U.:

800 Relative roles of biogenic emissions and Saharan dust as ice nuclei in the Amazon basin, Nature Geoscience, 2, 402, 10.1038/ngeo517, 2009b.

Pöschl, U., Martin, S. T., Sinha, B., Chen, Q., Gunthe, S. S., Huffman, J. A., Borrmann, S., Farmer, D. K., Garland, R. M., Helas, G., Jimenez, J. L., King, S. M., Manzi, A., Mikhailov, E., Pauliquevis, T., Petters, M. D., Prenni, A. J., Roldin, P., Rose, D., Schneider, J., Su, H., Zorn, S. R., Artaxo, P., and Andreae, M. O.: Rainforest aerosols as biogenic nuclei of clouds and precipitation in the Amazon, Science,

805 329, 1513-1516, 10.1126/science.1191056, 2010.

Saari, S., Reponen, T., and Keskinen, J.: Performance of Two Fluorescence-Based Real-Time Bioaerosol Detectors: BioScout vs. UVAPS, Aerosol Sci Tech, 48, 371-378, 10.1080/02786826.2013.877579, 2014.

Saari, S., Niemi, J., Rönkkö, T., Kuuluvainen, H., Järvinen, A., Pirjola, L., Aurela, M., Hillamo, R., and Keskinen, J.: Seasonal and Diurnal Variations of Fluorescent Bioaerosol Concentration and Size Distribution in the Urban Environment, Aerosol and Air Quality Research, 15,

810 572-581, 10.4209/aaqr.2014.10.0258, 2015.

Šantl-Temkiv, T., Sikoparija, B., Maki, T., Carotenuto, F., Amato, P., Yao, M., Morris, C. E., Schnell, R., Jaenicke, R., Pöhlker, C., DeMott, P. J., Hill, T. C. J., and Huffman, J. A.: Bioaerosol field measurements: Challenges and perspectives in outdoor studies, Aerosol Sci Tech, 54, 520-546, 10.1080/02786826.2019.1676395, 2020.

815 Šaulienė, I., Šukienė, L., Daunys, G., Valiulis, G., Vaitkevičius, L., Matavulj, P., Brdar, S., Panic, M., Sikoparija, B., and Clot, B.: Automatic pollen recognition with the Rapid-E particle counter:<? xmltex\break?> the first-level procedure, experience and next steps, Atmos Meas Tech, 12, 3435-3452, 2019.

Sauvageat, E., Zeder, Y., Auderset, K., Calpini, B., Clot, B., Crouzy, B., Konzelmann, T., Lieberherr, G., Tummon, F., and Vasilatou, K.: Real-time pollen monitoring using digital holography, Atmos Meas Tech, 13, 1539-1550, 2020.

820 Schiele, J., Rabe, F., Schmitt, M., Glaser, M., Häring, F., Brunner, J. O., Bauer, B., Schuller, B., Traidl-Hoffmann, C., and Damialis, A.: Automated classification of airborne pollen using neural networks, 2019 41st Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 4474-4478,

Schumacher, C. J., Pöhlker, C., Aalto, P., Hiltunen, V., Petäjä, T., Kulmala, M., Pöschl, U., and Huffman, J. A.: Seasonal cycles of fluorescent biological aerosol particles in boreal and semi-arid forests of Finland and Colorado, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 11987-12001, 10.5194/acp-13-11987-2013, 2013.

825 Taylor, P. E., Flagan, R. C., Miguel, A. G., Valenta, R., and Glovsky, M. M.: Birch pollen rupture and the release of aerosols of respirable allergens, Clin Exp Allergy, 34, 1591-1596, 10.1111/j.1365-2222.2004.02078.x, 2004.

Toprak, E. and Schnaiter, M.: Fluorescent biological aerosol particles measured with the Waveband Integrated Bioaerosol Sensor WIBS-4: laboratory tests combined with a one year field study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 225-243, 10.5194/acp-13-225-2013, 2013.

Valsan, A. E., Ravikrishna, R., Biju, C. V., Pöhlker, C., Després, V. R., Huffman, J. A., Pöschl, U., and Gunthe, S. S.: Fluorescent biological aerosol particle measurements at a tropical high-altitude site in southern India during the southwest monsoon season, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 9805-9830, 10.5194/acp-16-9805-2016, 2016.

Womack, A. M., Artaxo, P. E., Ishida, F. Y., Mueller, R. C., Saleska, S. R., Wiedemann, K. T., Bohannan, B. J. M., and Green, J. L.: Characterization of active and total fungal communities in the atmosphere over the Amazon rainforest, Biogeosciences, 12, 6337-6349, 10.5194/bg-12-6337-2015, 2015.

835 Yu, X., Wang, Z., Zhang, M., Kuhn, U., Xie, Z., Cheng, Y., Pöschl, U., and Su, H.: Ambient measurement of fluorescent aerosol particles with a WIBS in the Yangtze River Delta of China: potential impacts of combustion-related aerosol particles, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 11337-11348, 10.5194/acp-16-11337-2016, 2016.