CALIPSO Retrieval of Instantaneous Faint Aerosols
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Abstract. Aerosols significantly affect the Earth-atmosphere energy balance and climate change by acting as cloud condensation nuclei. Particularly, the susceptibility of clouds to aerosols is more pronounced when the aerosols are faint. However, previous methodologies generally miss these faint aerosols and their climate effect based on instantaneous observations because they are too optically thin to be detected. Here, we focus on retrieving faint aerosol extinction based on instantaneous observations from the Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations (CALIPSO). Results show a good agreement between faint aerosol extinction retrieval of CALIPSO and Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment III on the International Space Station (SAGE III-ISS) product over June 2017 to 2019 during nighttime, with correlation coefficients (R) and root mean square error (RMSE) of 0.58 in logarithmic scale and 0.0008, respectively. The lower bound of retrieved aerosol extinction extended to 0.0001 km⁻¹, much lower than the CALIPSO Level 2 Extinction product (0.01 km⁻¹). The CALIPSO retrieval during daytime has a positive bias and low agreement with SAGE III-ISS with R and RMSE of 0.16 and 0.0034, respectively, due to the low signal-to-noise ratio caused by sunlight. Additionally, the retrieval at 20 km resolution successfully capture the enhanced faint aerosol from Siberian fires in 2019 instantaneously, which are also shown by CALIPSO monthly-averaged aerosol product at much lower temporal-spatial resolution. It indicates a significant potential for improving the quantification of aerosol impacts on climate change through retrieving instantaneous faint aerosol.

1 Introduction

Aerosols significantly affect the Earth-atmosphere system through direct and indirect climate radiative forcing (Boucher et al., 2013). Increased aerosol not only perturbs atmospheric radiative balance by directly interacting with solar radiation (direct effect), but also affects the cloud properties and precipitation by acting as cloud condensation nuclei and ice forming particles (indirect effect) (Dipu et al., 2013; Rosenfeld et al., 2014). Aerosols represent a major uncertainty in global climate change with a low scientific understanding (Schwartz and Andreae, 1996; Lee et al., 2016; Watson - Parris et al., 2020). The
Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observation (CALIPSO) can detect the vertical properties of aerosols and clouds globally beyond the limitation of passive observation (Winker et al., 2010), providing unprecedented opportunities to advance the understanding of three-dimensional aerosol distribution characteristics and its global climate forcing (Adams et al., 2012; Lu et al., 2018; Song et al., 2021; Winker et al., 2013). Aerosols are mostly concentrated in the planetary boundary layer, which forms optically thick aerosol layers and can usually be detected by CALIPSO (Li et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2021). Additionally, the persistent faint aerosol in the troposphere and stratosphere, including undetected tenuous aerosol layer and background aerosol, has long been considered to have an important effect on the Earth’s climate (Turco et al., 1980; Deshler, 2008; Thorsen and Fu, 2015). However, these faint aerosols are too optically thin to be detected from space, as reported that the low bound of detected aerosol optical depth (AOD) of CALIPSO Level 2 product is 0.05 (Winker et al., 2013), with a minimum detection extinction of about 0.01 km$^{-1}$ as shown by Watson-Parris et al. (2018). It is noted that the AODs of faint aerosols undetected by CALIPSO can reach 0.03-0.05, which account for approximately 20% of the total AOD and are very important for climatology (Toth et al., 2018; Smirnov et al., 2011; Levy et al., 2013). Previous studies generally characterize aerosol and its radiative forcing based on the observed aerosol layers, which are mostly contributed by near-surface aerosol, but Thorsen and Fu (2015) point out that CALIOP may have underestimated the magnitude of the aerosol direct radiative effect by 30%-50% due to its low sensitivity to tenuous aerosol layers. Additionally, aerosol significantly affects cloud formation by acting as cloud condensation nuclei. However, clouds interact directly with ambient faint aerosol instead of the near-surface aerosol, especially for ice clouds (Rosenfeld et al., 2014). Thus, an improper aerosol proxy (such as AOD) will cause large uncertainty in quantifying the climate effect of aerosol.

A few studies focus on retrieving faint aerosols that CALIPSO did not detect (Kar et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2017). Kim et al. (2017) try to calculate the integrated AOD of faint aerosol undetected by CALIPSO through constraining of MODIS AOD. However, the vertical distribution of the faint aerosol was rarely discussed and verified. Recently, the CALIPSO Level 3 Stratospheric Aerosol Profile product was released, which well agrees with Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment III on the International Space Station (SAGE III-ISS). However, the Level 3 products have very low temporal-spatial resolution (1 month, 5°×20° in latitude and longitude) (Kar et al., 2019), which is insufficient to characterize the distribution and effects of faint aerosols accurately (Ma et al., 2015). Furthermore, many studies suggest that CALIPSO may potentially obtain more information on faint aerosols with appropriate data processing (Thomason et al., 2007; Vernier et al., 2009; Kar et al., 2019). Thus, this study makes great efforts to retrieve faint aerosol using CALIPSO instantaneous observations.

In this study, we retrieve the instantaneous extinction coefficients of faint aerosols (both tropospheric and stratospheric), which are composed of background aerosols and undetected aerosol layers by CALIPSO feature detection (AOD<0.05) based on the single-track CALIPSO Level 1 data. The reliability of retrieval results is further verified by comparing them with the SAGE III-ISS aerosol extinction products. Additionally, the significance of the high temporal-spatial resolution aerosol information is also illustrated by comparison with the CALIPSO Level 3 Stratospheric Aerosol Profile product.
2 Data and methodology

2.1 CALIPSO data

The CALIPSO mission brought new technology for retrieving aerosol profiles from space in April 2006, with a dual-wavelength backscattering lidar as the primary payload (Winker et al., 2010). The CALIPSO lidar is highly sensitive to cloud/aerosol layers with a lower bound of optical depth at 0.05 (minimum detected extinction of 0.01 to 0.02 km\(^{-1}\)) (Watson-Parris et al., 2018; Toth et al., 2018). CALIPSO can further distinguish the detected feature layers as aerosol or cloud layers with a high degree of confidence (>90%) (Liu et al., 2019; Winker et al., 2013; Young et al., 2018). This study uses CALIPSO Level 1B and Level 2 VFM products for the faint aerosol extinction coefficient retrieval from June 2017 to August 2019, as shown in Table 1. Additionally, the CALIPSO Level 3 monthly-averaged Stratospheric Aerosol Profile product with a resolution of 5°×20° in latitude and longitude will be used to compare with the retrieved extinction of faint aerosol.

2.2 SAGE III-ISS data

The Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment (SAGE) was developed to obtain vertical profiles of aerosols optical properties since 1984, which could detect the extinction of faint aerosol at the upper troposphere and the stratosphere (Mauldin III et al., 1985; Damadeo et al., 2013). SAGE III conducts solar and lunar occultation measurements globally while orbiting the Earth on the International Space Station (ISS). The vertical profile of stratospheric aerosols is observed by the rays passing through the atmosphere during sunrise/moonrise and sunset/moonset events (Cisewski et al., 2014; Thomason et al., 2010). Previous studies have shown that the uncertainty in the aerosol extinction coefficient of SAGE III-ISS in the stratosphere (15-25 km) is within 10% (Thomason et al., 2010). The aerosol extinction of the SAGE III-ISS product with a vertical resolution of 0.5 km at 521 nm channel, which is closest to the CALIPSO 532 nm channel, is used for comparison and validation in this study. Because of the absence of aerosol extinction information in the SAGE III-ISS lunar occultation product, only SAGE III-ISS solar occultation product was used in this study, as shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CALIPSO</td>
<td>Level 1B Profile, Version 4.10</td>
<td>The total attenuated backscatter at 532 nm, tropopause height, molecular number density, ozone number density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Level 2 Vertical Feature Mask, Version 4.20</td>
<td>Feature classification flag of aerosol and cloud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Level 3 Stratospheric Aerosol Profile Monthly Product</td>
<td>Aerosol extinction coefficient with background mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAGE III-ISS</td>
<td>Level 2 Solar Event Species Profiles, V051, 0.5 km vertical interval</td>
<td>Aerosol extinction coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aerosol extinction uncertainty</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3 Retrieving instantaneous faint aerosol extinction by CALIPSO

In this study, the faint aerosol extinction coefficient is retrieved similarly to Kar et al. (2019) and Kim et al. (2017), but with a higher temporal-spatial resolution (single-track and horizontal 20 km), as shown in Figure 1. To improve the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the total attenuated backscatter (TAB) data, we performed the following pre-processing steps:

(a) The clouds and aerosols detected by CALIPSO VFM and the data below them is removed, as did by the CALIPSO level 3 Stratospheric Aerosol Product (Kar et al., 2019);

(b) The TAB is averaged at a vertical resolution of 300 m and followed by a vertical moving filtering (with a 5-point window) for each profile to restrict noise at 333 m horizontal resolution.

(c) Finally, the 300-m vertical averaged TAB profiles are averaged to 20 km resolution horizontally for the retrieval of faint aerosol extinction;

![Flowchart for faint aerosol retrieval](https://example.com/flowchart.png)

Figure 1: Overall algorithm flow for faint aerosol retrieval. In the figure, $\beta'(r)$, $\beta_p(r)$, $\alpha_p(r)$ and $T_P^2(r)$ represent total attenuated backscatter, particulate backscatter coefficient, particulate extinction and particulate two-way transmittances, respectively. The $r$ represents the height and $i$ represents the number of iterations. The particle particulate multiple scattering factor ($\eta_p$) is set to 1, and the lidar ratio ($S_p$) is set to 50 sr and 28.75 sr in the stratosphere and troposphere, respectively. Meanwhile, the start bin at 36 km is considered aerosol-free ($\beta_p(0) = 1, T_P^2(0) = 1$) (Kar et al., 2019).

The SNR can be calculated according to $SNR = \mu / \sigma$ based on the TAB with 20 km horizontal resolution, where $\mu$ and $\sigma$ are the mean and the standard deviation of the signal, respectively (Kim et al., 2017). Based on the pre-processed TAB (i.e.,
\( \beta'(r) \), the particulate backscatter coefficient (i.e., \( \beta_p(r) \)) is solved by iterating Eqs. (1) and (2c) in the following equations.

\[
\beta_p(r) = \frac{\beta'(r)}{T_m^2(r)T_{o3}^2(r)T_p^2(r)} - \beta_m(r),
\]

\( T_m^2(r) = \exp\left(-2 \int_0^r \alpha_m(r') \, dr'\right), \)

\( T_{o3}^2(r) = \exp\left(-2 \int_0^r \alpha_{o3}(r') \, dr'\right), \)

\( T_p^2(r) = \exp\left(-2 \eta_p S_p \int_0^r \beta_p(r') \, dr'\right), \)

\( \alpha_p(r) = S_p(r)\beta_p(r), \)

where, \( T_m^2(r), T_{o3}^2(r) \) and \( T_p^2(r) \) represent the molecular, ozone, and particulate two-way transmittances, respectively. The molecular backscatter coefficients (\( \beta_m(r) \)), molecular and ozone two-way transmittances (\( T_m^2(r) \) and \( T_{o3}^2(r) \)) can be calculated from the molecular number density and ozone number density provided by CALIPSO Level 1B product (Kar et al., 2019). There are several basic settings in the retrieval algorithm. The multiple scattering coefficient (\( \eta_p \)) for all species of faint aerosol particles is considered to be 1, as the retrieving for the CALIPSO Level 2 product (Young et al., 2018). The lidar ratio was set to 50 sr, which is a typical value used in the stratosphere (Khaykin et al., 2017; Kremser et al., 2016), while it is set to 28.75 sr in the troposphere refers to the estimate by Kim et al. (2017). Meanwhile, the bin at 36 km is considered aerosol-free (\( \beta_p(0) = 0, T_p^2(0) = 1 \)).

### 2.4 Methodology of validation

Since only daytime data from SAGE III-ISS are available, the CALIPSO orbits are spatially and temporally matched to the nearest SAGE III-ISS observations on the same day by ignoring the diurnal variation of faint aerosols in SAGE III-ISS data. Due to the low horizontal resolution (~300 km) of the SAGE III-ISS occultation observations, we matched CALIPSO and SAGE within a \( 2^\circ \times 1^\circ \) (longitude \times latitude) grid centred on the SAGE III-ISS observations (Adams et al., 2013). CALIPSO sometimes has very little data within the matched grid. We only retain the match where the CALIPSO orbit exceeds 0.75 \(^\circ\) latitude (or four 20-km CALIPSO profiles) in the grid (Figure 2a). Due to the effects of an elevated frequency of low energy laser shots of CALIOP within South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA), the data over SAA (black rectangle in Figure 2b) is removed, which accounted for 5\% of all matched profiles. Therefore, 1142 and 1087 profiles are successfully matched for CALIPSO nighttime and daytime data, respectively, from June 2017 to August 2019 (Figure 2b). The SAGE III-ISS 521 nm aerosol extinction products were used to verify the faint aerosol extinction we retrieved. Furthermore, we exclude SAGE bins with extinction uncertainty larger than 10\% for the matched profiles.
3 Results and analysis

3.1 Faint aerosols in wildfire cases

Figure 3 shows a case with the retrieved faint aerosol combined with CALIPSO detected aerosol from wildfires in Australia that burned for several months. The smoke and dust transmission trajectory generated by the wildfires in Australia in August 2019 can be seen in the red dash boxed area of the Terra MODIS true-color image (Figure 3a). In the scene of aerosol extinction coefficient (Figure 3c), CALIPSO Level 2 product only shows two detected strong aerosol layers (shown as purple boundaries) between -25° to -30° latitude, which is labeled as elevated smoke. These two aerosol layers should belong to a continuous one (shown in the red dashed box), but the VFM does not detect the faint aerosol (about 0.01 km\(^{-1}\) extinction) between the two strong aerosol layers (about 0.03 km\(^{-1}\) extinction). The attenuated scattering ratio (ASR) (Figure 3e) calculated from the CALIPSO Level 1 total attenuated backscatter and attenuated molecular backscatter product also demonstrates the overall continuous nature of this aerosol layer.
Figure 3. (a) MODIS Terra true-color image on daytime. (b) CALIPSO track of 2019-09-08T14-22-29ZN. (c) Latitude-altitude faint aerosol extinction of the corresponding nighttime CALIPSO track. The color represents the extinction coefficient (km\(^{-1}\)). The purple and black boundary lines and extinction inside represent the aerosol and cloud layers provided by CALIPSO Level 2 Aerosol and Cloud Profile products, respectively. The white areas represent the removed data below the layers. The retrieved faint aerosol at 20 km is shown after additional mean filtering (3x3 window) to highlight the faint aerosol area. (d) Aerosol subtypes in CALIPSO VFM product (N/A=not applicable, 1=marine, 2=dust, 3=polluted continental/smoke, 4=clean continental, 5=polluted dust, 6=elevated smoke, 7=dusty marine, 8=PSC aerosol, 9=volcanic ash, 10=sulfate/other). (e) Attenuated scattering ratio.

3.2 Comparison with SAGE III-ISS Product

Figure 4 shows a case of the matched CALIPSO and SAGE III-ISS at latitude 33° on August 26, 2019, as described in Section 2.3. An undetected faint aerosol layer (extinction coefficients around 0.005 km\(^{-1}\)) is connected to the detected stratospheric aerosol layer provided by the CALIPSO product at altitudes of 15 km to 20 km around 20° to 40° latitude. Figure 4b shows well the consistency of faint aerosol extinctions of CALIPSO and SAGE III-ISS (red dash line in Figure 4a) above 15 km.
The retrieved CALIPSO and SAGE III-ISS faint aerosol extinction from June 2017 to August 2019 shows good consistency, with correlation coefficient (R) in logarithmic scale is 0.58, and the root mean square error (RMSE) is 0.0008, respectively (Figure 5a). The average (black line in Figure 5a) retrieved faint aerosol extinction from CALIPSO and SAGE III-ISS product is close to the 1:1 line, indicating low bias in the CALIPSO retrieval. Further, we can see that the retrieved aerosol extinction is much less than the detection limit (0.01 km\(^{-1}\)) of the CALIPSO Level 2 product (Kacenelenbogen et al., 2011; Toth et al., 2018; Winker et al., 2013). Likewise, Watson - Parris et al. (2018) noted through the model that the mean fraction of aerosol undetected by CALIOP daytime (nighttime) retrievals is 92% (87%) globally, with a minimum value of aerosol extinction close to 0.0001 km\(^{-1}\). The vast majority of un-retrieved aerosols were achieved in this study, which could complement the missing faint aerosol information in the CALIPSO products (Watson - Parris et al., 2018) and potentially be applied for validating reanalysis products.
other bins represent the mean values of each 10% quantiles to highlight their relevance. The I-type vertical bars indicate the standard error of CALIPSO retrieval. Color represents the sample size. The root mean square error (RMSE) is calculated by

\[ E = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_i^{\text{CALIPSO}} - \alpha_i^{\text{SAGE}})^2} \]

Thomason et al. (2010) noted the prime observational region of SAGE III aerosol is in the stratosphere from 15 to 25 km, while the errors for aerosol extinction products become large below 15 km (Figure 5b). We only use the SAGE data with relative uncertainty \( \leq 10\% \) for all altitude bins. The number of matched points below and above 15 km was filtered to 3297 and 23123, respectively. The comparison of CALIPSO retrieved aerosols extinction with SAGE III-ISS in Figure 5a is mainly in the stratosphere. Unlike SAGE III-ISS, CALIPSO has a higher SNR in the troposphere than in the stratosphere (Figure 5c). Therefore, the CALIPSO retrieval in the troposphere should be more reliable. Thus, we extend the retrieval into the troposphere considering, while the verification is un-performed due to the large uncertainty of SAGE III-ISS products in the troposphere.

The agreement between CALIPSO and SAGE III-ISS aerosol retrievals between 5 to 30 km during daytime (with \( R=0.16 \) and RMSE=0.0034) is worse than nighttime (with \( R=0.58 \) and RMSE=0.0008) (Figures 5a and 6a). The worse agreement is due to the lower SNR of CALIPSO during the daytime caused by sunlight (Figure 6b) (Hunt et al., 2009). Young et al. (2013) noted that the CALIPSO retrievals with SNR\( \leq 1 \) usually contain a positive bias. The SNR during daytime above 20 km is usually less than 1 for TAB at 20 km horizontal scale (Figure 6b), which leads to a significantly positive bias in the retrieval, as shown in Figure 6a (Kim et al., 2017). The line of averaged extinction (black line in Figure 6a) does not pass through the center of the region of densest observations (red area in Figure 6a) due to negative values (not displayed with the logarithmic axes) from strong signal fluctuations during daytime. Additionally, the low SNR during daytime reduces the number of detected cloud and aerosol layers compared with nighttime (Huang et al., 2015), possibly leading to larger retrieved faint aerosol extinction.

Figure 6. (a) Same as Figure 5a, but at daytime. (b) SNR profiles of the TAB at 20 km horizontal resolution at daytime (blue) and nighttime (red).
3.3 Comparison with CALIPSO Level 3 Stratospheric Aerosol Profile Product

Figures 7a and 7b show the spatial distribution of aerosol extinction averaged on June and August at 15.2 km altitude from CALIPSO Level 3 monthly-averaged Stratospheric Aerosol Profile product with the resolution of 5°×20° in latitude and longitude (Kar et al., 2019). A significant amount of aerosol enhancement occurred in the stratosphere in August in the Northern Hemisphere (Figure 7b), possibly due to the onset of the Siberian fires in June of 2019 (Ohneiser et al., 2021; Kostrykin et al., 2021). We selected two CALIPSO tracks across Siberia at the initial and peak time of Siberia wildfires in June and August (Figure 7c and 7d), respectively. For the CALIPSO trajectory on June 10 at the beginning of wildfire, the stratosphere at northern hemisphere latitudes is clean, while natural dust aerosol prevails in the lower troposphere (Figure 7c and 7e). This detected clean condition by our retrieval is consistent with the result of Level 3 products, which indicate the clean stratosphere at a monthly temporal scale.

Figure 7. (a) and (b) are the stratospheric extinction distributions of CALIPSO Level 3 Stratospheric Aerosol Profile products at 15.2 km in June and August, respectively. (c) and (d) are the retrieved aerosol extinction scenes based on CALIPSO instantaneous data on June 10 and August 10, respectively, consistent with Figure 5a. The corresponding trajectories for the two scenes are shown as red lines in (a) and (b), and the corresponding aerosol subtypes are shown in (e) and (f), the same as Figure 5f.
When the wildfires in Siberian become prevalent in August, there are strong aerosol layers classified as elevated smoke by VFM in the stratosphere between 50° N and 60° N in latitude (Figure 7f). However, many faint aerosols are found and connected with the VFM aerosol layers (Figure 7d). These faint aerosols propagate from 60°N to near 10°N as shown in the red rectangle of Figure 7d, but are not indicated by the VFM. Figure 7b shows aerosol contamination in the entire northern hemisphere stratosphere at a monthly average scale. This propagation trajectory explains this same aerosol contamination based on instantaneous CALIPSO observation.

Therefore, the faint aerosol can be retrieved and detected by CALIPSO at 20 km horizontal resolution instantaneously compared to the current low temporal-spatial resolution Level 3 product. Aerosol significantly affects the Earth-atmosphere and precipitation by its direct and indirect effects (Dipu et al., 2013; Rosenfeld et al., 2014). However, it is constantly changing during its short lifetime and should be characterized and quantified at high temporal-spatial resolution. Instantaneous retrieval of faint aerosol at 20 km horizontal resolution provides a chance to deeper understand and quantify the aerosol impact on climate beyond the current CALIPSO Level 3 Stratospheric Aerosol Profile product.

4 Conclusions

An abundance of faint aerosols in the background atmosphere significantly affects the global climate (Chazette et al., 1995; Deshler, 2008). However, these faint aerosols are too optically thin to be instantaneously detected and retrieved by current methodologies (Watson - Parris et al., 2018; Toth et al., 2018). This study retrieved instantaneous faint aerosols based on CALIPSO Level 1B data, and compared them with the SAGE III-ISS products and CALIPSO Level 3 monthly average Stratospheric Aerosol Profile product. The main conclusions are summarized as follows:

(1) The retrieved faint aerosol extinction based on CALIPSO during nighttime shows good agreement with the SAGE III-ISS product with a correlation coefficient of 0.58 on a logarithmic scale and RMSE of 0.0008. The retrievable aerosol extinction greatly extends to 0.0001 km\(^{-1}\), much lower than the CALIPSO L2 product (0.01 km\(^{-1}\)). The comparison is unavailable at low altitudes, but the retrieval should be more reliable (i.e., in the troposphere) because the SNR is higher.

(2) Due to sunlight during the daytime, the SNR of CALIPSO data is much lower (about 1/4 of nighttime), which leads to a positive bias of the retrieval with low R (0.16) and RMSE (0.0034) with SAGE III-ISS, respectively.

(3) CALIPSO Level 3 monthly products roughly indicate the enhanced stratospheric faint aerosol from the 2019 Siberian fires. Here, consistent faint aerosols are retrieved at a higher spatial resolution (20 km) and instantaneously. Further, our retrieval shows that these faint aerosols even propagate to near 10°N, which is much beyond the detecting range of the CALIPSO L2 products (50° N and 60° N).

This study allows more efficient capture of aerosol vertical properties of events, such as volcanic eruptions and wildfires, by acquiring instantaneous and high-resolution faint aerosols globally (Vernier et al., 2015; Andersson et al., 2015). Additionally, there is a large potential for new insights into the physical mechanism of aerosol-cloud interaction and quantifying the
related radiative forcing more accurately (Boucher et al., 2013; Dipu et al., 2013). In this study, the lidar ratio was set at 50 sr in the stratosphere and 28.75 sr in the troposphere, which should be more carefully studied in the future (Kar et al., 2019; Khaykin et al., 2017; Sakai et al., 2016). Further, more attention should be focused on layer detection of tenuous aerosol and cloud layers and classification of aerosol subtypes, which will help improve the accuracy of faint aerosol retrievals.
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