| 1 | Global warming will largely increase waste treatment CH4 emissions in Chinese Megacities: |
|-------------------|--|
| 2 | insight from the first city scale CH4 concentration observation network in Hangzhou city, |
| 3 | China |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Cheng Hu ^{1,2} , Junqing Zhang ¹ , Bing Qi ^{3,4*} , Rongguang Du ^{3*} , Xiaofei Xu ⁴ , Haoyu Xiong ⁵ , Huili |
| 6 | Liu ¹ , Xinyue Ai ¹ , Yiyi Peng ¹ , Wei Xiao ² |
| 7 8 9 10 | ¹ College of Biology and the Environment, Joint Center for sustainable Forestry in Southern China, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037, China ² Collaborative Innovation Center on Forecast and Evaluation of Meteorological Disasters (CIC-FEMD), Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing, China |
| 11 12 13 | ³ Hangzhou meteorological bureau, Hangzhou 310051, China ⁴ Zhejiang Lin'an Atmospheric Background National Observation and Research Station, Hangzhou 311300, China |
| 14 | ⁵ College of Environment, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 311300, China |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | *Corresponding authors: Bing Qi (bill_129@sina.com), Rongguang Du (drg1998@163.com). |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | To be submitted to: ACP |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| 32 | |

33 Abstract:

34 Atmospheric CH4 is the second largest anthropogenic contributor to global warming. However, its emissions, 35 components, spatial-temporal variations and projected changes still remain largely uncertain from city to national 36 scales. CH₄ emissions from waste treatment (including solid waste landfills, solid waste incineration and sewage) 37 account for >50% of total anthropogenic CH4 emissions at city scale, and considering the high temperature 38 sensitivity of CH₄ emission factors (EFs) for the biological processes-based sources such as waste treatment, large 39 differences will be caused when estimating future CH4 emissions under different global warming scenarios. 40 Furthermore, the relationships between temperature and waste treatment CH4 emissions have only been studied in 41 a few site-specific studies and lack the representativity for whole city, which contains various biophysical 42 conditions and shows heterogeneous distribution. The above factors cause uncertainty in the evaluation of city 43 scale CH₄ emissions (especially from waste treatments) and projected changes still remain unexplored. Here we 44 conduct the first tower-based CH₄ observation network with three sites in Hangzhou city, which is located in 45 developed Yangtze River Delta (YRD) area and ranks as one of the largest megacities in China. We found the a 46 priori total annual anthropogenic CH4 emissions and those from waste treatment were overestimated by 36.0% and 47 47.1% in Hangzhou city, respectively. In contrast, the total emissions in the larger region, such as Zhejiang 48 province or the YRD area, were slightly underestimated by 7.0%. Emissions from waste treatment showed obvious 49 seasonal patterns following air temperature. By using the linear relationship constructed between monthly waste 50 treatment CH₄ emissions and air temperature, we find the waste treatment EFs increase by 38%~50% with 51 temperature increases of 10°C. Together with projected temperature changes from four climate change scenarios, 52 the global warming induced EFs in Hangzhou city will increase at the rates of 2.2%, 1.2%, 0.7% and 0.5% per 53 decade for IPCC AR5 (International Peace Cooperation Center, the fifth assessment report) RCP (Representative 54 Concentration Pathway)8.5, RCP6.0, RCP4.5 and RCP2.6 scenarios, respectively. And the EFs will finally 55 increase by 17.6%, 9.6%, 5.6%, and 4.0% at the end of this century. Additionally, the derived relative changes in 56 China also show high heterogeneity and indicate large uncertainty in projecting future national total CH₄ emissions. 57 Hence, we strongly suggest the temperature-dependent EFs and the positive feedback between global warming and 58 CH4 emissions should be considered in future CH4 emission projections and climate change models. 59 Keyword: CH4 emissions, waste treatment, observation network, global warming

- 60
- 61

62 1. Introduction

63 As the second largest anthropogenic greenhouse gas, the reduction of CH₄ emissions is considered 64 an effective way to mitigate future climate change on short timescales (Henne et al., 2016; Lin et 65 al., 2021). Accurate estimation of CH₄ emissions from its main sources is the basis of policy 66 making. However, recent studies find there still remain large uncertainties for its total emissions, 67 components, spatial-temporal variations and projected changes at city scale especially for 68 megacities in China (USPA 2013; Cai et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2021). CH₄ emission from waste 69 treatment (mainly including sewage and solid waste by landfills and incineration) ranked as the 70 world's third largest anthropogenic source after fuel exploitation and livestock, and was 71 responsible for ~13% of global anthropogenic CH₄ emissions of 371 (± 26) Tg a⁻¹ (Lu et al., 2021). 72 It also ranked as the fourth largest anthropogenic source in China, the biggest anthropogenic CH₄ 73 emitting country, and accounted for $\sim 14\%$ of national total anthropogenic emissions of 65 (±22) Tg a⁻¹ (Saunois et al., 2020; Lu et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2022). Furthermore, its contribution is 74 75 even larger than 50% at city scale especially for megacities, where both active and closed 76 household waste (including landfills and waste water systems) are located and found as super 77 emitters (Williams et al., 2022; Maasakkers et al., 2022). A large number of Chinese landfills were 78 constructed in suburbs more than 5-10 years ago and most landfills have no gas collection systems, 79 with the urban area expanding in recent decades, the locations of many landfills are now within 80 the urban scope (Zhejiang Statistical Yearbook 2018-2019). In addition, the decreasing area of the 81 agricultural sector (rice paddies and husbandry) in megacities also makes their emissions 82 negligible when compared with waste treatment. Therefore, accurate quantification of CH4 83 emissions from waste treatment in urban area becomes increasingly important.

84

Although some progress has been made in measuring site scale CH_4 emissions from waste treatment, the estimated emissions still show large discrepancies due to many factors such as the amount of waste and its composition, relative proportions of landfills and incineration, degradable organic carbon ratio, CH_4 oxidation efficiency, and landfill gas collection, and meteorological conditions including temperature, water content, atmospheric pressure (Masuda et al., 2018; Cai et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2019; Hua et al., 2022; Bian et al., 2022; Maasakkers et al., 2022; Kissas et 91 al., 2022).

92

93 Furthermore, CH₄ emissions from sewage and landfills result from microbial processes especially 94 from methanogens, and their emission factors (EFs) are highly sensitive to temperature. These 95 available studies were mainly conducted at some specific sites with measured EFs varying widely 96 (Du et al., 2017; 2018; Cai et al., 2014; 2018; Zhao et al., 2019; NBSC, 2015; Wang et al., 2015; 97 Florentino et al., 2010; Tolaymat et al., 2010; Hua et al., 2022). The lack and discrepancies of 98 detailed information for all the above factors and their uncertainties have led to considerable 99 difficulty in estimating CH₄ emissions for most-to-date inventories (Höglund-Isaksson, 2012; 100 USEPA et al., 2013; Cai et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2021; Maasakkers et al., 2022).

101

102 China, the developing country with the largest anthropogenic CH₄ emissions, is expected to 103 increase its emissions because of projected rapid economic development, urbanization and 104 generated waste (Cai et al., 2018). The increase of waste treatment emissions in East China was 105 also found as the second largest sector in driving national total anthropogenic CH₄ emissions since 106 2000 (Lin et al., 2021). In addition, the mitigation potential of waste treatment in developing countries is thought to be four times that of developed countries (USEPA, 2013). Therefore, 107 108 mitigating CH₄ emissions from waste treatment in China is a robust and cost-effective way to 109 reduce total national anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions.

110

111 Many previous studies have estimated the waste treatment CH₄ emissions for China by both 112 "bottom-up" and "top-down" approaches, with results varied by 2.5-fold from 4.3 to 10.4 Tg CH_4 yr⁻¹, and accounted for 8.1%~24.2% of national total anthropogenic CH₄ emissions (USEPA 2013; 113 114 Peng et al., 2016; Miller et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2021; Lu et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2022). For these 115 "bottom-up" approaches, the high uncertainties were directly attributed to omission of many small 116 point sources and discrepancies of observed site-specific EFs, which varied largely by climate and 117 management technology such as the efficiency of gas collection systems (Zhao et al., 2019; Hua et 118 al., 2022). Previous studies most commonly used the EDGAR (Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research) inventory, using the IPCC recommended default EF values of 15.0% 119

120 (Höglund-Isaksson, 2012; Lin et al., 2021; Bian et al., 2022), but this value is around 5-7 times 121 higher than those used EFs in China by Zhang and Chen et al. (2014). A recent study comparing 122 waste treatment CH₄ emissions among different inventories also reported that the EDGAR v5.0 123 and CEDS (Community Emissions Data System) inventories were 21~153% higher than other 124 inventories, and EDGAR v5.0 tended to assign more emissions in urban areas especially for 125 provincial capitals. In addition, emissions from wastewater were found to be overestimated by 126 higher emission factors or chemical oxygen demand (Peng et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2021).

127

And for the "top-down" atmospheric inversion approaches, a few studies constrained 128 129 anthropogenic sources including waste treatment, where the most widely used concentrations were 130 from satellite observations (Miller et al., 2019; Lu et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2022). The satellite 131 observations have the advantage of easy data access and global coverage. But as already noted, the 132 emissions constraint results are highly dependent on availability of observed concentrations, 133 which are largely influenced by weather conditions and cloud coverage. As was illustrated in a recently published study by Chen et al. (2022), although the numbers of grid cell $(0.25^{\circ} \times 0.3125^{\circ})$ 134 135 based year-round satellite observations were more than 1000 in north China, the available 136 numbers of satellite observations were less than 10 (even including grid cells without any observations) in most of central, west, east and south of China. Such sparse distribution of 137 138 available data may not provide robust constrains on waste treatment emissions for some Chinese cities without enough observations, especially considering waste treatment is co-located with high 139 140 population density megacities in the developed area of east and south of China. Furthermore, there 141 should be large temperature induced monthly variations for waste treatment CH₄ emissions 142 (Börjesson et al., 1997), but almost all satellite-based inversions were conducted at annual scale 143 without seasonal variations. Besides, given the strong influence from atmospheric pressure on 144 landfill CH₄ emissions (Kissas et al., 2022), satellite observations are too sparse to be up-scaled to 145 estimate annual total because satellite observations are mostly available only on clear-sky 146 conditions and cannot represent atmospheric pressure and CH₄ emissions on cloudy or rainy days. 147 There was only one recent study which focused on urban waste treatment CH₄ emissions, it found annual CH4 emissions from four cities were 1.4 to 2.6 times larger than inventories in India and 148

149 Pakistan, where landfills contributed to $6\sim50\%$ of total emissions and indicated large bias of our 150 understanding of waste treatment CH₄ emissions (Maasakkers et al., 2022).

151

152 The tower-based atmospheric inversion approach, which is based on hourly atmospheric 153 concentration observations within the planetary boundary layer, can be used independently to 154 constrain CH₄ emissions and its main components. Besides, compared with "bottom-up" approaches, the "top-down" method can avoid using the factors that lead to large uncertainties in 155 156 CH₄ emissions especially from waste treatment. And to our best knowledge, there are few 157 tower-based observation inversion studies which focus on waste treatment emissions at city scale 158 or much larger regional scales especially in China. Only one study in Los Angeles, U.S.A. used 159 tower-based CH₄ concentration and found the influence of a landfill site closure on CH₄ emissions, 160 which was not included in *a priori* inventory (Yadav et al., 2019). In addition, the influences of 161 global warming on city scale (or higher regional scale) emissions are still unclear and have not 162 been considered in future emission projections (USEPA 2013; Cai et al., 2018). In general, 163 previous studies which predicted future waste treatment CH₄ emissions only used activity data 164 changes, without considering climate change on the EFs. Considering the potential high sensitivity of waste treatment CH₄ emissions on the projected global warming, how these emissions will 165 change with increasing temperature is still unknown, especially within megacities where more 166 167 waste is generated and the urban heat island effect will lead to much stronger warming climate (Zhang et al., 2022). 168

169

170 Here, we established three tower-based CH₄ concentration observation sites in Hangzhou city, one 171 of the largest megacities in China. To our best knowledge, it is the first city-scale tower-based CH₄ 172 concentration observation network in China. We present our work on urban CH₄ emissions 173 inversion and aim to (1) constrain CH₄ emissions from waste treatment alongside total 174 anthropogenic emissions in Hangzhou city, (2) derive temperature sensitivity of waste treatment CH₄ emissions at city scale and quantify the projected emission changes in future climate change 175 176 scenarios. One-year hourly CH₄ concentration observations from December 1st, 2020 to 177 November 30th, 2021 were combined with atmospheric transport model and Bayesian inversion

- approach to constrain monthly CH₄ emission inventories. The constructed relationship between monthly temperature and *posteriori* waste treatment CH₄ emissions will be used with future temperature projection to quantify how the EFs will change in different global warming scenarios.
- 181

182 **2. Materials and Method**

183 2.1 Tower-based CH₄ observation network and supplementary materials

The city of Hangzhou, which has a population of 12.2 million and area of 1.7×10^4 km² (core 184 185 urban area of 8.3×10^3 km²), is the capital of Zhejiang province and located in the middle of East 186 China (Figure 1a). As displayed in Figures S1-S2, East China accounts for the majority of the 187 national total population and waste treatment CH₄ emissions. Hangzhou city ranked in the top 10 188 megacities in China, with annual solid waste of around 5 million tons in 2021. The tower-based 189 CH₄ concentration observation network includes three observation sites (Figure 1a-d), as (1) 190 Hangzhou site (120.17° E, 30.23° N, 43.2 m a.s.l.), which is located in the core urban region; (2) Linan site (119.72° E, 30.30° N, 138.6 m a.s.l.), regional background site with no obvious 191 192 emission sources within 10 km radius; (3) Damingshan site (119.00° E, 30.03° N, 1485.0 m a.s.l.), 193 which is built on the top of a 1500 m mountain and represents background from much more 194 diluted regional emission signals. The distance is around 50 km between Hangzhou site and Linan 195 site, and around 150 km between Hangzhou site and Damingshan site. These three sites represent 196 obvious gradients from east of densely populated area (Figure 1c-d) and anthropogenic emissions 197 to west of much weaker anthropogenic influence and background conditions. Based on the wind 198 direction for the three sites, there is not any obvious difference of seasonal wind direction patterns 199 among them. The prevailing wind direction from October to February was from the north, which 200 changed to east from February to May and then changed to south during the monsoon in summer.

201

The air inlet heights are 25 m above ground for the Hangzhou site, 53 m at Linan and 10 m at Damingshan, respectively. Atmospheric CH₄ concentrations at all three sites were continuously measured by cavity ring-down spectroscopy analyzer (model G2301 for Hangzhou site and G2401 for Linan site and Damingshan site; Picarro Inc., Sunnyvale, CA). To obtain high precision observations, two different standard gases were measured every 6 hours and a linear two-point fit 207 was used to calibrate observations, with the precision and accuracy of 2 ppb and 1 ppb. More 208 details of the observation and calibration systems were described in Fang et al., (2014; 2022). 209 Note that because of instrument issues at Damingshan site, there is a data gap in September-October, 2021. In general, 99.4%, 99.0%, 79.3% of hourly CH₄ observations were 210 available in the whole year observation period for Hangzhou site, Linan site and Damingshan site, 211 212 respectively. Meteorological observations at Hangzhou meteorological station were used to 213 evaluate simulated meteorological fields, including air temperature at 2 m (T_{2m}), relative humidity 214 (RH), downward solar radiation $(S\downarrow)$, wind speed (WS) at 10 m height, and planetary boundary 215 layer height (PBLH).

216

217 Note some previous studies of city scale greenhouse gas concentration observation networks chose 218 sites at the edge of urban borders as background in emission inversion system (i.e. Indianapolis, 219 U.S.A., Miles et al., (2017); Los Angeles, U.S.A., Verhulst et al., (2017); Washington, 220 DC-Baltimore, U.S.A., Lopez-Coto et al., (2020); Paris, France, Lian et al., (2021)), but we chose 221 to use five NOAA CH₄ background sites as the potential background, including UUM, TAP, YRO, 222 YON and WLG site (Figure 1a), which were much further than the observations at Damingshan 223 site. This strategy is based on following three reasons: (1) our footprint domain is much larger 224 than Hangzhou city and these five sites are also located close to the edge of the model domain; (2) 225 CH₄ concentrations within Hangzhou city will be influenced by seasonally varying monsoon and 226 the monthly varying wind directions will lead to obvious changes of CH₄ background than only at 227 Damingshan site; (3) our model setups can partition CH₄ enhancements from within Hangzhou 228 city and other regions.

229

The projected climate data from four RCP (Representative Concentration Pathway) scenarios (RCP8.5, RCP6.0, RCP4.5 and RCP2.6) by MRI-CGCM3 model were downloaded from World Data Center for Climate (WDCC, <u>https://www.wdc-climate.de/ui/</u>), where annual air temperature at 2m was used from years 2021 to 2100. The most recent population density data for Hangzhou city is for the year 2019 and was downloaded from Chinese national resource and environmental science and data center.

237 2.2 WRF-STILT model setup

The WRF-STILT (WRF: Weather Research and Forecasting, version 4.2.2, and STILT: Stochastic 238 239 Time-Inverted Lagrangian Transport) model was used to simulate hourly footprint and CH₄ 240 enhancement, see more details in Hu et al. (2019; 2021). Domain setups are displayed in Figure 1a, 241 with the outer nested domain (Domian-1, 27 km×27 km grid resolution) covering eastern and central China, and the inner domain (Domain-2, 9 km×9 km grid resolution) covering the YRD 242 243 area. The physical schemes used in the WRF model are the same as in our previous studies for the 244 YRD domain (Hu et al., 2019; 2021). The simulated CH₄ concentration is the sum of background 245 and enhancement, where the enhancement is calculated by multiplying all CH₄ flux with hourly 246 footprint that represents the sensitivity of the concentration changes to its regional sources/sinks with spatial resolution of $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$. To better quantify CH₄ components at each site, CH₄ 247 248 enhancements from different regions and sources are also tracked and separately simulated. 249 Besides, we should note the CH₄ background is important in simulating CH₄ concentrations and 250 atmospheric inversion. We will choose CH₄ background from the five background sites based on 251 monthly footprint as discussed in Section 3.1.

252

253 The most recent inventory of Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR v6.0), 254 which has 20 categories, and WetCHARTs ensemble mean were used as the a priori 255 anthropogenic and natural CH₄ emissions. We should note there are many CH₄ inventories for 256 some developed regions and countries (i.e. France, U.S.A., Germany) with high spatial resolutions. 257 The reasons to choose EDGAR as *a priori* anthropogenic emissions are: (1) for all available CH₄ inventories that covered China, the spatial resolution of EDGAR $(0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ})$ is the highest, and it 258 259 provides the most up-to date results; (2) most previous studies that constrain emissions by atmospheric inversion studies also chose EDGAR, and our results can be directly compared with 260 previous studies; (3) the preliminary simulation of CH₄ concentrations showed generally good 261 262 performance with observations, indicating its spatial distributions in Hangzhou city has relatively small bias even with a potentially large bias for magnitude, which will be constrained by our 263 264 atmospheric inversion method.

265

266 The main sources of CH₄ emissions in Hangzhou city include SWD LDF (solid waste landfills),

267 WWT (waste water handling), SWD INC (solid waste incineration), PRO (all processes related to fuel exploitation from coal, oil, and natural gas, including extraction, transportation, refining, 268 269 distribution as listed in IPCC database (https://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/EFDB/find ef.php), 270 RCO (energy for buildings, mainly containing natural gas escaping from household use) and AGS (agricultural soils). We found emissions from SWD LDF, WWT and SWD INC were simply 271 272 assigned in the same locations in EDGAR inventory, and hence combined them as waste treatment. 273 For the CH₄ emissions from wetland, we used WetCHARTs ensemble mean with spatial resolution 274 of 0.5° at monthly average (Bloom et al., 2017). Considering WetCHARTs treats rice paddies (main source as AGS) as one wetland type, AGS in EDGAR was excluded and we assume 275 276 WetCHARTs represent all wetland CH₄ emissions as natural wetland and rice paddies.

277

278 2.3 Bayesian inversion framework

The Scale Factor Bayesian inversion (SFBI) approach was applied to interpret the atmospheric CH₄ concentration (or enhancement) variations in terms of quantitative constraint on all CH₄ sources. The relationship between observed and simulated CH₄ concentrations (or enhancement) can be expressed as follows in Equation 1:

283 $y = K\Gamma + \varepsilon$ (1)

284 Where y is the observed CH₄ concentration (or enhancement), K corresponds to simulated 285 enhancements from all categories, Γ is the state vector to be optimized and consists of *posteriori* 286 SFs for corresponding categories in K, and ε is the observing system error.

287

The optimal solution to derive *posteriori* SFs is to minimize a cost function $J(\Gamma)$, which represents the mismatch between CH₄ observations and simulations and the mismatch between *posteriori* and *a priori* SFs (Miller et al., 2008; Griffis et al., 2017). The cost function $J(\Gamma)$ can be expressed as:

291
$$J(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \Big[(y - K\Gamma)^T S_e^{-1} (y - K\Gamma) + (\Gamma - \Gamma_a)^T S_a^{-1} (\Gamma - \Gamma_a) \Big]$$
(2)

where S_e and S_a are the constructed error covariance matrices for observations and the *a priori* values, and S_e consists of measurement and model errors. Here each element in *a priori* SFs Γ_a is treated as 1. Therefore, the solution for obtaining the *posteriori* SFs is to solve $\nabla_{\Gamma} J(\Gamma) = 0$, and is given by,

296
$$\Gamma_{\text{post}} = (K^T S_e^{-1} K + S_a^{-1})^{-1} (K^T S_e^{-1} y + S_a^{-1} \Gamma_a)$$
(3)

In the Bayesian inversion framework, we first need to give an estimate of the error covariance matrices and the state vector for the *a priori* and observational data. And following our previous studies conducted in East China (Hu et al., 2019; 2022). Uncertainties of 10%, 13% and 20% were assigned to the measurement errors (S_{obs}), the finite number of particles (500) released in the STILT model ($S_{particles}$) and uncertainty in meteorological fields (S_{met}), respectively.

302

303 A previous study derived uncertainties of CH₄ from waste treatment and other categories, which 304 varied between 30% and 50%, these uncertainties were calculated mainly from activity data and 305 EFs at the country scale on annual averages (Solazzo et al. 2021). We should also note CH_4 306 emissions uncertainty will largely increase as the study region size decreases, and, as stated above, 307 the relative difference among different inventories can reach 150%. Considering the 308 disaggregation of spatial distributions and temporal variations, CH₄ emission uncertainties can be 309 much larger at urban and monthly scales. To provide robust constraints on CH₄ emissions in our 310 study, we used three cases of a priori uncertainty combinations for different emissions in Bayesian 311 inversion:

312 (1) the first case use three elements as wetland, waste treatment and all other anthropogenic 313 sources, considering the larger seasonality of waste treatment, the uncertainties of 300% was used 314 for waste treatment and 200% for other categories, (2) the second case have more detailed 315 categories as wetland, waste treatment, fuel exploitation, energy for building, and the other anthropogenic sources, where the *a priori* uncertainty of 200% was used for each category, (3) the 316 317 third case has the same categories as case 1 but uses a different *a priori* uncertainty for waste treatment of 200%. The averages of all three cases are used as final posteriori SFs and the largest 318 319 difference between each of three cases is used as the final uncertainty.

320

321 3. Results

322 **3.1 Atmospheric CH4 observations**

323 We first display the hourly CH_4 concentrations from our three tower-based sites and smoothed 324 background at five sites by CCGCRV fitting method (Thoning et al., 1989) in Figure 2a. The 325 hourly observations at three towers show similar temporal variations but with different amplitudes. 326 Observations at Hangzhou site vary between 2000 ppb and 2800 ppb, and were much larger than 327 both Linan site and Damingshan site. Their monthly averages are also compared in Figure 2b, and 328 results show the monthly CH₄ vary between lowest 2106.3 ppb in July and highest 2225.0 ppb in 329 September (annual mean of 2159.9 ppb) at Hangzhou site, lowest 2023.3 ppb in July and highest 330 2132.0 ppb in September (annual mean of 2086.7 ppb) at Linan site, the lowest 1955.5 ppb in July 331 and without observations in September at Damingshan site (annual mean of 2013.4±(3) ppb, 332 where the uncertainty was calculated based on the assumption that the values of monthly mean 333 CH₄ concentration in September and October are in between August and November), respectively. 334 The similar trends among the three sites can be explained by all three sites being dominated by 335 similar atmospheric transport processes, such as synoptic process (i.e. monsoon) and seasonally 336 changing wind directions as summarized above. But their surrounding emission sources are highly 337 different, implying the emissions of Hangzhou site should be much larger than Linan and 338 Damingshan sites.

339

340 Because the CH₄ background is important in concentration simulation and emission inversion, we 341 also compare CH₄ background between five sites, where the annual averages at TAP, YON, RYO, WLG and UUM were 1989.8 ppb, 1850.1 ppb, 1982.7 ppb, 1973.4 ppb and 1984.2 ppb, 342 343 respectively. We found the differences were generally within 20 ppb among TAP, RYO, WLG and 344 UUM sites (Figure 2), but there was large difference between YON site and other four sites from 345 May to August, which can reach to around 100 ppb. Note YON site is located in the south of East 346 China Sea (Figure 1a), it can be influenced by monsoon with clean air flows from the South China 347 Sea, which has many fewer CH₄ sources compared to air flows from East Asia. The CH₄ 348 background at TAP site appeared slightly higher than other four sites because TAP site is located 349 on the coast of South Korea and can be more easily polluted by anthropogenic emissions. 350 Considering the large spatial difference between the CH₄ background sites, monthly air flows and 351 source footprint will be used to identify backgrounds for our observation network, with details 352 discussed in Supplementary Material (Section S1, Figure S3 and Table S1).

354 **3.2** Concentration footprint and the *a priori* emissions

To illustrate the potential source regions of the three sites, annual averages of simulated footprints 355 356 for each site are displayed in Figure 3a-c. The results show their footprint distributions were quite 357 similar because of close distance, but we also notice there were obvious differences in the 358 footprint strengths (i.e. the area covered by red color) with Hangzhou site > Linan site > 359 Damingshan site. The reason why the footprint at the Damingshan site is the lowest can be explained by the fact that the observations were collected at 1500 m height, and it was not easy to 360 361 receive emissions signals within the boundary layer at that height. Besides, the Hangzhou site is 362 located in the core urban area of Hangzhou city, and it will show significant diurnal variation in PBLH, especially since it has higher nighttime PBLH caused by anthropogenic heat and high 363 364 buildings than grassland/farmland, which dominate Linan site and Damingshan site. Hence more 365 air particles can remain within PBLH and generate stronger footprint.

366

367 The a priori EDGAR CH4 emissions for total anthropogenic categories, waste treatment and its 368 proportions are given in Figure 3d-f. Significant gradients are observed from higher emissions in 369 the east to lower emissions in the west, which is consistent with our three tower-based sets of 370 observations. And the CH₄ emissions for waste treatment indicated similar spatial distributions with urban land use and population density (Figure 1c-d). Moreover, waste treatment seems to 371 372 emit CH₄ as area sources instead of point sources from waste treatment super plants. Although a 373 few previous studies found limitations of EDGAR inventory to capture CH₄ emission patterns in 374 some urban areas (Pak et al., 2021), here considering the fact that locations of landfills (Figure 375 1b-d), which is the largest anthropogenic CH₄ emitter in Hangzhou city, are very close to the core 376 urban area and in high consistency with EDGAR, hence we believe the spatial patterns of EDGAR 377 in study region to be reliable. We should note the Chinese government constructed waste 378 separation stations in each city with density of one station for per 150~200 households (around 379 450~800 people), usually these waste separation stations are full with waste because domestic 380 garbage can be generated every day, they do not have gas collection systems and can emit large 381 quantity of CH₄ emissions caused by daily biomass waste as area sources (Tian et al., 2022). 382 Besides, there is only one landfill that has gas collection systems, the reported gas collection

efficiency was less than 80%, which also indicates large quantity of CH_4 emissions will be directly emitted into the atmosphere and the emissions will be influenced by climate change. These above analyses also imply Hangzhou site can observe higher emissions from both waste treatment and total anthropogenic emissions, which will be discussed and quantified later.

387

388 **3.3 Simulation of CH4 concentrations and its components for three sites**

389 Comparisons between observed and simulated daily CH₄ concentration averages are displayed in 390 Figure 4a-c and hourly concentrations in Figure S4 for three sites. First, the hourly simulations in 391 Figure S4 show high consistency when only comparing the temporal patterns with observations, 392 indicating good performance of model transport simulations as confirmed in Figure S5 for 393 evaluating meteorological fields. But the relative variations display obvious differences among the 394 three sites for daily averages in Figure 4a-c. The mean bias (MB), root mean squared error 395 (RMSE), and correlation coefficient (R) between daily observations and *a priori* simulations were 396 64.1 ppb, 129.2 ppb and 0.44, respectively, for Hangzhou site; and were -6.0 ppb, 57.1 ppb, 0.50 397 for Linan site, 36.2 ppb, 55.6 ppb, 0.54 for Damingshan site. As for the Hangzhou site, simulated 398 CH₄ concentrations show obvious overestimation from October to April, and the overestimation is 399 also found at Damingshan site. We found that the simulations at the Linan site showed overall good agreement with observation, but still with slight overestimation from January to April and 400 401 underestimation from May to September. Considering the source area contributions for the three 402 sites are different, these differences among the three sites indicated the bias in CH₄ emission 403 largely varied from Hangzhou city to larger regional scale.

404

To further quantify detailed contributions from different regions and categories to each tower site, CH₄ enhancements from different categories and source areas were also simulated separately for the three sites. As displayed in Figure 4d-e, the simulated *a priori* total enhancements at Hangzhou site, Linan site, and Damingshan site were 244.3 ppb, 100.8, and 69.0 ppb, respectively. We also found contributions by waste treatments dominated the total enhancements but with obvious differences among the three sites, which varied from the highest 64.2% at Hangzhou site to the lowest 41.4% at Damingshan site. We further calculated anthropogenic contributions from 412 Hangzhou city (excluding wetlands because of coarser spatial resolution for Hangzhou city) and other provinces, which were 158.4 ppb at Hangzhou site, 30.7 ppb at Linan site, and 10.1 ppb at 413 414 Damingshan site, respectively. And they accounted for 69.3%, 34.0%, and 16.9% of total 415 anthropogenic enhancements at corresponding sites. These results indicate the CH₄ observations at 416 Hangzhou site, which is located at the core urban region, are more influenced by local emissions 417 (mainly for waste treatment which will be discussed later) and contain much higher enhancements 418 than the other two sites. The relative contributions from Hangzhou city to observations at the 419 Hangzhou site, Linan site and Damingshan site were 158.4 ppb (69.3% to total CH₄ enhancement), 420 30.7 ppb (34.0% to total CH₄ enhancement), and 10.1 ppb (16.9% total CH₄ enhancement), 421 respectively. The relative contributions from Zhejiang province to observations at the Hangzhou site, Linan site and Damingshan site were 181.7 ppb (79.5% to total CH₄ enhancement), 44.3 ppb 422 423 (49.0% to total CH₄ enhancement), and 17.9 ppb (29.9% total CH₄ enhancement), respectively. 424 These different values also imply that the observations at Linan and Damingshan sites can 425 represent CH₄ emissions of much larger region as Zhejiang province or YRD area than Hangzhou 426 city (Figure 4e), and Daminshan site.

427

The seasonally averaged diurnal variations for both observations and simulations are also 428 displayed in Figure 5 for the three sites. Although many previous studies only used daytime 429 430 observations and simulations to evaluate a priori emissions bias and constrain emissions (Sargent et al., 2018; Hu et al., 2022), these studies were based on the assumption that the diurnal scaling 431 432 factors used for the *a priori* emissions are right (i.e. for anthropogenic CO₂), or the emissions do 433 not have obvious diurnal variations (i.e. emissions from industries or manufacturing). As 434 concluded above, the main CH₄ component in Hangzhou city was waste treatment (Figure 3f), 435 which should be highly sensitive to temperature and indicates obvious diurnal and seasonal 436 patterns (Mønster et al., 2019; Kumar et al., 2022). And total CH₄ emissions will be overestimated 437 when using daytime emissions to represent all-day averages. Further, we found strong similarities 438 of the diurnal variations between observations and simulations for the three sites, but there are still 439 some discrepancies especially that the observations at Linan site were generally higher than 440 simulations from spring to autumn for both all-day and midday averages.

Hence, our preliminary conclusions were that the a priori CH4 emissions were generally 441 442 overestimated for Hangzhou city but underestimated in the larger region of Zhejiang or YRD area. 443 We also found simulations were higher than observations for all seasons at Damingshan site, and it 444 can be explained by the complex topography around the Damingshan site, where elevations changed from 0 m to 1600 m within the site's grid cell of 9 km ($\sim 0.1^{\circ}$) as displayed in Figure 1b, 445 446 and the mountain-valley wind patterns, PBLH changes can only be resolved with much higher spatial resolutions of < 1km. Hence the use of coarse resolutions (i.e. 9 km in this study) at the 447 448 mountainous regions introduces large bias in simulating concentration and emission inversion, as 449 also recently found in China for CO₂ as "aggregation error" (Agustí-Panareda et al., 2019; Wang et 450 al., 2022), so observations at Damingshan site will not be used in emissions inversions in this 451 study.

452

453 **3.4 Constraints on anthropogenic CH4 emissions**

454 As displayed in Figures 3f, 5a and concluded in Section 3.3, simulations using a priori CH4 455 emissions show obvious overestimation especially from October to April at Hangzhou site, and 456 emissions were also overestimated in winter and underestimated from spring to autumn at Linan site. Note this bias can be attributed to a priori emissions or meteorological simulations. Our 457 previous studies in YRD have evaluated the meteorological simulations by using the same 458 459 physical parameterization schemes, which showed high consistency with observations (Hu et al., 460 2019; 2021; 2022; Huang et al., 2021). We also evaluated the meteorological simulations with 461 observations and confirmed the good model performance (Figure S5). Note that PBLH 462 simulations are important in evaluating model performance. However, we only have four months 463 of hourly PBLH observations, one month in each season. These hourly PBLH observations were 464 used to evaluate the general performance of WRF model. As displayed in Figure S6, it shows 465 overall good performance for both daytime and nighttime PBLH variations. Furthermore, we 466 found no monthly variations in EDGAR v6.0 CH₄ emissions for waste treatment, which 467 contributed 64.2% to annual CH₄ enhancement average and much higher in winter (Figure S7-S8). 468 The CH_4 emissions from waste treatment are produced by the microbial process, which should be affected by meteorological conditions especially by seasonal temperature changes. Hence our 469

470 assumption is that the bias in both its seasonality and annual average lead to large 471 overestimation/underestimation in the simulated CH₄ concentration. Besides, bias in other 472 anthropogenic emissions and wetlands can also partly contribute to the bias of the simulated CH₄ 473 concentration.

474

475 To quantify the bias sources and constrain corresponding a priori emissions for Hangzhou city, we 476 applied the scaling factor Bayesian inversion approach with three different cases as introduced in 477 the Method section. Instead of only using daytime CH₄ observations to constrain a priori emissions, we choose to use all-day hourly data at Hangzhou site to constrain emissions for 478 Hangzhou city, for the following three reasons: (1) the enhancements contributed by Hangzhou 479 city at the Hangzhou site was 69.3%, and much larger than 34.0%, and 16.9% for Linan site and 480 481 Damingshan site, respectively; (2) the waste treatment dominated anthropogenic CH₄ emissions in 482 Hangzhou city, which is caused by biological process and should be temperature dependent. Since the observed temperature varied diurnally by 20 °C, the use of only daytime observations without 483 considering diurnal CH₄ emissions will bring significant bias when using derived daytime 484 485 emissions to represent all-day averages. The annual averages of daytime and all-day average concentrations were 2112.4 and 2156.0 ppb at Hangzhou site, respectively, the reason why higher 486 487 emissions in daytime correspond to lower concentration than in all-day and nighttime is that lower 488 PBLH in nighttime will lead to higher concentration, and more comparisons between daytime and 489 all-day average concentrations are displayed in Figure 5 for three sites; (3) previous studies using 490 daytime observations were mainly conducted for regions dominated by industry or energy 491 production, which have much smaller diurnal variations than waste treatment as stated above 492 (Mønster et al., 2019; Kumar et al., 2022).

493

The derived monthly *posteriori* SFs for each emission source are displayed in Table 1 for Hangzhou city. The results show that the *posteriori* SFs for waste treatment are much smaller in winter and higher in summer, indicating obvious seasonality and the overestimation in winter was mainly contributed by waste treatment. The annual mean *posteriori* SFs for waste treatment vary between 0.50 and 0.56 in all three cases, illustrating overestimation at annual average for the *a* 499 priori waste treatment emissions. Besides, the annual mean posteriori SFs vary between 0.87 and 500 0.94 for the rest of the total anthropogenic categories (excluding agricultural soil), and are 0.97 for 501 PRO (fuel exploitation) and 0.91 for RCO (energy for building), respectively; the annual mean 502 posteriori SF is 1.05 for wetland (including agricultural soil and natural wetland). These posteriori 503 SFs for the rest anthropogenic categories and wetland indicate much smaller bias than waste 504 treatment. The monthly posteriori SFs for PRO and RCO also illustrate obvious seasonal 505 variations, but are still smaller than the *a priori* seasonality in the inventory (Figure S9). Although 506 the evaluations of hourly PBLH simulations have illustrated good performance in both daytime 507 and nighttime (Figure S6), we also conducted inversions by only using daytime observations to 508 constrain CH₄ emissions. Considering results from Case 2 are in between Case 1 and Case 3, here 509 we only display the results from Case 1 and Case 3 (Table S2), it shows similar seasonal variations 510 as using all all-day observations. We notice the values are larger than latter, which is reasonable 511 because CH₄ emissions in daytime should be larger than all-day and nighttime emissions. In 512 general, posteriori SFs by using all-day concentration observations will be used to represent total 513 CH₄ emissions from monthly to annual scales.

514

To evaluate whether the *posteriori* SFs have significantly improved CH₄ emissions, we used these 515 516 SFs to derive the *posteriori* emissions and re-simulated hourly concentrations in Figure 6 (and 517 daily averages in Figure S9). Results show the hourly overestimation by using *a priori* emissions is largely reduced by using *posteriori* emissions when compared with observations in Figure 6a-b, 518 519 and the regression slopes between daily averaged observations and simulations decrease from 520 $1.51(\pm 0.15)$ for a priori simulations to $0.85(\pm 0.07)$ for posteriori simulations in Figure 6c. The 521 mean bias (MB), root mean squared errors (RMSE), correlation coefficient (R) between daily 522 observations and *a priori* simulations are 64.1 ppb, 129.2 ppb and 0.44, respectively, and these 523 statistics change to -22.2 ppb, 72.3 ppb and 0.58 for *posteriori* simulations. These results indicate 524 the posteriori SFs obviously decrease the bias in a priori emissions and are closer to observations, 525 when considering there are no system biases in simulated monthly PBLH.

526

527 The comparisons of monthly CH₄ emissions between a priori and posteriori waste treatment and

528 other anthropogenic sources (excluding agricultural soil) in Hangzhou city are displayed in 529 Figures 7a and S7. For the *a priori* inventory, there is not seasonal variations for waste treatment with constant monthly emissions of 8.67 \times 10³t, and other anthropogenic sources show 530 seasonality with much higher in winter (i.e. 5.22×10^3 t in January) than in summer (i.e. $3.06 \times$ 531 532 10³t in August). The seasonality in *a priori* EDGAR inventory is mainly dominated by RCO 533 (Energy for buildings), with proportions to total anthropogenic emissions changing from the 534 highest 22% in winter to lowest 8% in summer. Such information indicates the a priori inventory 535 assigned more leaks from natural gas distribution infrastructure in winter than in summer. As 536 discussed above, constant emissions from waste treatment should be wrong because of its large 537 temperature sensitivity, and the observed monthly temperature difference between summer and 538 winter was larger than 25°C in Hangzhou city in study period. After including the constraints from 539 the observed concentrations, the posteriori emissions for waste treatment show obvious seasonality with highest emission in July (7.66 \pm 0.09 \times 10³ t) and lowest emission in February 540 $(2.20 \pm 0.87 \times 10^3 \text{ t})$. And emissions from other anthropogenic categories show much smaller 541 seasonality (highest emission in January of $4.18 \pm 0.69 \times 10^3$ t and lowest emission in August of 542 543 $2.88 \pm 0.15 \times 10^3$ t) than *a priori* emissions. In general, the annual emissions from waste treatment were 10.4×10^4 t in the *a priori* EDGAR inventory and decreased to 5.5 (±0.6)×10⁴ t for the 544 posteriori emissions, a decrease of 47.1%. The a priori emissions from other anthropogenic 545 sources were 4.5×10^4 t and only slightly decreases to $4.1 (\pm 0.3) \times 10^4$ t for the posteriori 546 547 emissions, an 8.9% decrease. The proportion of waste treatment to total anthropogenic emissions decreases from a priori 69.3% to posteriori 57.3%. To summarize, the annual total anthropogenic 548 CH₄ emission (excluding agricultural soil) decreases from 15.0×10^4 t to 9.6 (±0.9)×10⁴ t, 549 550 indicating overestimation of 36.0% in Hangzhou city for the *a priori* emissions.

551

However, as concluded above the observations and simulations at Linan site, which represents the much larger region of Zhejiang province or YRD area, data from that site indicated slightly different results that CH₄ simulations were underestimated from spring to autumn and overestimated in winter (Figure 4b and Figure 5e-h). Here we used the multiplicative scaling factor (MSF) method and observations at Linan site to derive SFs at seasonal scale (Sargent et al., 557 2018; He et al., 2020), where we used 10 ppb as the potential CH_4 background uncertainty in winter, spring and autumn, and 20 ppb in summer, see details in the Supplementary Material 558 559 (Section S2). The derived *posteriori* SFs were 0.87 (±0.08), 1.07 (±0.11), 1.19 (±0.24), and 1.16 560 (± 0.11) for winter, spring, summer, and autumn, respectively. The results for the Linan site 561 showed similar seasonal variations as found for Hangzhou city and was $1.07 (\pm 0.14)$ of a priori 562 anthropogenic emissions for the annual average. Our observations at Hangzhou site and Linan site 563 together indicate the *a priori* emissions were largely biased on both seasonal and annual scales, 564 and the annual anthropogenic CH₄ emission was largely overestimated by 36.0% in Hangzhou city, but was underestimated by 7.0% in the larger region of Zhejiang province or YRD area. 565

566

567 **3.5 Temperature sensitivity of waste treatment CH4 EFs and projected changes**

568 Although the derived *posteriori* monthly SFs on waste treatment reflected changes on emissions, 569 considering the monthly activity data does not have obvious monthly changes, these SFs can 570 mainly reflect relative variations of monthly EFs and contain meteorological dominated changes 571 especially for temperature. To evaluate the temperature sensitivity of its EFs, we first calculated 572 the normalized monthly SFs by dividing monthly SFs by annual averages (Tables 1 and S3), and 573 quantified the relationship between observed T_{2m} and normalized SFs. Note decomposition of organic waste by methanogens mostly takes places at some depth within the landfills and 574 575 temperature can be higher than at the surface, hence the temperature within landfills should be 576 much more related to methanogens activities and CH4 emissions than T_{2m}. However, considering 577 (1) we do not have direct temperature observations under landfills, (2) T_{2m} can be used as indicator 578 of methanogens activities, and (3) T_{2m} is commonly used meteorological data that can be provided 579 for future RCP scenarios, hence the relationship between waste CH_4 emissions and T_{2m} is 580 constructed and used to predict how will CH₄ EFs change in different climate scenarios. The normalized SFs illustrate significant linear relationship with monthly T_{2m} (Figure 7b), where the 581 582 slopes imply that normalized SFs (and EFs) will increase by 38%~50% with temperature increase 583 by 10°C at city scale. We also analyzed the temperature sensitivity by only using daytime CH₄ 584 observations and simulations in Figure S10, it still shows strong linear relationship between 585 normalized SFs and T_{2m} , with the slopes of 0.046 and 0.060. These results are in high consistency

with using all-day observations of 0.038 and 0.050, indicating similar results of using 24 hours
observations and only using daytime observations, and less influence of simulated nighttime
PBLH bias on corresponding temperature sensitivity.

589

590 We should note the precipitation, soil water content and atmospheric pressure can also have 591 obvious influence on CH₄ emissions, and considering the fact that we have not conducted field 592 measurement in landfills and landfills are usually covered by metal or plastic in China to avoid the 593 spread of odors, hence reanalysis data cannot represent real soil water contents in these site scale 594 landfills. Precipitation and atmospheric pressure show obvious linear relationship with 595 temperature as displayed in Figure S11. They display positive linear relationship between 596 precipitation (affect water content) and T_{2m} , and negative linear relationship between monthly 597 averaged atmospheric pressure and T_{2m} . We also found negative relationship between atmospheric 598 pressure and normalized SFs, and positive relationship between T_{2m} and normalized SFs (Figures 599 7b and S11). Considering air temperature always displays negative relationship with atmospheric 600 pressure as warmer air temperature coincides with lighter air mass and lower atmospheric pressure 601 in summer as displayed in Figure 11b, and colder air temperature coincides with heavier air mass 602 and higher atmospheric pressure in winter. Hence, the temperature can be used to represent co-influence of both temperature and atmospheric pressure, and we only focus on the influence of 603 604 temperature on CH₄ emissions and will add more supporting data in following studies.

605

606 Our findings for the high sensitivity of waste treatment CH₄ emissions to temperature also suggest 607 a dramatic increase with the projection of future global warming trends. We further derived the 608 T_{2m} trends for four different RCP scenarios as RCP8.0, RCP6.0, RCP4.5 and RCP2.6 (Figure 8a). 609 The results show T_{2m} will increase by 0.50°C, 0.28°C, 0.16°C, 0.10°C per decade for Hangzhou 610 city, respectively. These different warming trends also indicate distinct temperature-dominated 611 influence on future CH₄ EFs and emissions from waste treatment. We then used the slopes from 612 Figure 7b and annual temperature from 2021 to 2100 to derive relative changes of EFs in future 80 613 years, where observations for year 2021 were treated as the baseline year. As displayed in Figure 8b, the EFs in RCP8.5, RCP6.0, RCP4.5 and RCP2.6 scenarios will increase with the rates of 614

615 2.2%, 1.2%, 0.7% and 0.5% per decade, respectively. And CH_4 EFs for waste treatment will be 616 higher by 17.6%, 9.6%, 5.6%, and 4.0% at the end of this century.

617

618 The spatial distribution of T_{2m} trends for all of China is also displayed in Figure S12, which shows 619 heterogeneous distribution across China for four global warming scenarios. Because East China 620 has high population density, with the majority of the national population (Figure S1), and is 621 responsible for the largest domestic garbage induced CH₄ emissions (Figure S2), these combined 622 factors indicate considerable CH₄ emissions changes from waste treatment in such a 623 temperature-sensitivity area. Considering that the temperature sensitivity of waste treatment CH₄ 624 EFs is caused by microbial process at regional scales, the sensitivity can represent general 625 conditions of different cities or landfills. And if we assume the derived temperature sensitivity 626 (increase by 44% with temperature increases of 10°C on average) is applicable for China as a 627 whole, especially for East China, the relative changes of waste treatment CH₄ EFs can be 628 calculated by multiplying this value by air temperature trends. The spatial distribution of global 629 warming induced EF changes at the end of this century is displayed Figure 9. For RCP2.6 scenario, 630 EFs for waste treatment will slightly increase by 4.0-6.5% in the north eastern China and increase by 3.0-4.0% in south eastern China. The RCP6.0 also displayed heterogeneous changes in East 631 China, with EFs in the north eastern China increasing by 10.5-13.0% and in south eastern China 632 633 increasing by 9.0-10.5%. Relative changes in RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 are more homogeneous for East 634 China, which indicates EFs will significantly increase by 5.0-7.5% and 17.5-19.5%, respectively. 635 The largest changes will occur in West China for RCP8.5, with EFs increasing by >20.0%, but this 636 area has low population density and CH₄ emissions, and therefore these effects of global warming 637 can be ignored (Figure S12). Finally, we should note these derived relative changes are only 638 caused by global warming, and the influence of activity data, management technology and other 639 factors is not considered and out of the scope of this study.

640

641 **4 Discussions and implications**

642 Many previous studies have compared total CH_4 emissions and its components for different 643 inventories and bottom-up methods, which illustrated large uncertainty and bias at city scale and 644 these biases were much larger for waste treatment (Peng at al., 2016; Saunois et al., 2020; Lin et 645 al., 2021; Bian et al., 2022). A recent bottom-up research compared wastewater CH₄ EFs in China, 646 which largely varied by four-fold in different provinces and the uncertainty in the same province 647 were even two-fold larger than its average, implying considerable uncertainty in recent 648 understanding of waste treatment EFs at regional scale (Hua et al., 2022). And for the national 649 total emissions, waste treatment CH₄ emissions varied between 5 and 15 Tg a^{-1} (Peng et al., 2016; EDGAR v6). There are also other atmospheric inversion studies in estimating China's CH4 650 651 emissions (Hopkins et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2021; Miller et al., 2019; Lu el., 652 2021; Chen et al., 2022). These studies found large variations of national emissions for almost all 653 inventories, which were mainly caused by fossil fuel exploitation, agricultural sector (livestock 654 and rice paddies) and waste treatment. For the comparisons of waste treatment emissions, these satellite-based inversions also largely varied between 6 and 9 Tg a⁻¹ by 1.5-fold (Miller et al., 655 656 2019; Lu et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2022).

657

658 The reported discrepancies between "bottom-up" and "top-down" approaches indicate large 659 uncertainty in understanding China's national CH₄ emissions from waste treatment. And it is well 660 known the uncertainties will increase from national scale to regional and city scales, also implying 661 considerable uncertainties in city-scale emissions for inventories. But the atmospheric inversion 662 approach for city scale waste treatment, which can act as an independent evaluation, is still rare 663 not only for China but also globally. To our best knowledge, there is only one recent atmospheric 664 inversion research focused on CH₄ emissions from city-scale waste treatment, which used 665 satellite-based observation to constrain emissions from four cities in India and Pakistan, that 666 concluded underestimation of landfills CH₄ emissions by 1.4 to 2.6 times for EDGAR inventory 667 (Maasakkers et al., 2022). In our study, we found annual waste CH_4 emissions were overestimated 668 by 47.1% for Hangzhou city, our findings are different from results in India and Pakistan. These 669 differences indicate bias of waste treatment CH₄ emissions considerably varied in different 670 countries and climate divisions. Our results highlight there is a large knowledge gap in 671 understanding waste treatment emissions mechanisms and estimating urban waste treatment CH₄ 672 emissions especially in China.

673 Different from fossil-type sources that have much smaller monthly variations, CH₄ emission from 674 waste treatment is biological processes-based source and its EFs are highly sensitive to 675 meteorological conditions especially for temperature. These factors lead to obvious bias in waste 676 treatment CH₄ emissions not only for annual average but also for its seasonality. Besides, although there were a few studies that aimed to predict future CH4 emissions from waste treatment, these 677 678 studies were mainly based on activity data changes without considering the EFs variations caused 679 by future global warming trends or only based on site-specific observations (USEPA 2013; Cai et 680 al., 2018; Spokas et al., 2021). Of these three cited studies, USEPA (2013) and Cai et al. (2018) 681 only predicted emissions changes due to changes in activity data and management technology. And the CH₄ emissions for year 2030 by Cai et al. (2018) was 23.5% lower than the USEPA 682 683 (2013) estimation, which was caused by the consideration of new policies and low-carbon policy 684 scenarios. Spokas et al. (2021) modeled the CH₄ emission changes with increasing air 685 temperature, where CH₄ emissions did not show obvious changes even with temperature increasing by ~5°C by the end of year 2100. To our best knowledge, there are no inventories that 686 687 considered the temperature-induced changes on both seasonal variations and annual trends of CH₄ 688 emissions. Hence, it is still unclear in all inventories how EFs will change with different global 689 warming scenarios at city scale.

690

691 A few observation-based measurements were conducted for waste treatment but only at some 692 specific sites with large discrepancies of EFs (Du et al., 2017; 2018; Cai et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 693 2019; NBSC, 2015; Wang et al., 2015; Florentino et al., 2010; Tolaymat et al., 2010; Cai et al., 694 2014; 2018). And only one of our previous studies used year-round atmospheric CH₄ observations 695 to constrain regional scale CH₄ emissions at Nanjing city in YRD area (Huang et al., 2021), where 696 it found much higher emissions of the landfilling waste in summer than in winter: CH₄ emissions 697 in July were around four times those in February. But there is no study that has quantified the 698 temperature sensitivity of waste CH₄ emissions at city scale or much larger regional scales. These 699 two studies in different cities confirmed temperature as the dominant factor that drives seasonal 700 variations of waste treatment CH4 emissions. Hence our study appears as the first one that 701 estimated city scale waste treatment CH4 emissions, its temperature sensitivity and projected changes in different global warming scenarios. Our findings for the large sensitivity to temperature indicate the monthly scaling factors should be considered to better represent CH_4 emissions and simulate atmospheric CH_4 concentrations.

705

706 We also note that the predictions of future climate changes are mainly based on different emitting 707 intensity of greenhouse gas, and CH₄ contributed around 20% of direct anthropogenic radiative 708 forcing (Seto et al., 2014). The CH₄ emissions in different global warming scenarios were mainly 709 calculated by predicting energy use data without considering the changes of EFs. In this study, we 710 found there should be large positive feedback between global warming and CH₄ emissions, 711 especially in the RCP 8.0 scenario where global warming induced CH₄ emissions from waste 712 treatment will increase by 17.6%. Hence the projected emissions from waste treatments and other 713 biological process based sources, together with positive feedback between temperature and their 714 emissions are strongly suggested in future climate change models. Besides, it is well known that CH₄ concentration simulations are essential for modeling air pollutions (e.g. O₃, NO_x, and CO) 715 716 especially in the stratosphere (Isaksen et al., 2011; Kaiho et al., 2013). Considering that waste 717 treatment CH₄ emissions accounted for $\sim 25\%$ of total anthropogenic emissions (EDGAR v6.0) in East China where severe air pollution frequently occurred, we also believe the coupling of 718 719 temperature-dependent CH₄ emissions and the monthly scaling factors on CH₄ emissions can 720 improve air pollution modeling in East China.

721

722 We should note that new technology and other meteorological variables can also influence waste 723 treatment CH₄ emissions. The main reason to only use temperature in this study is that we only 724 constrained the emissions at monthly scale in one year, and derived twelve datasets of posteriori 725 CH₄ emissions. Besides, temperature is considered to be the main factor in controlling monthly 726 and annual variations of waste treatment CH₄ emissions, and can be used to represent the 727 co-influence of other meteorological parameters such as atmospheric pressure. We will use multiple years' CH₄ concentration to quantify the influence of new technology and other 728 729 meteorological variables on waste treatment CH4 emissions in our following study, and we suggest 730 that other tracers (e.g. ethane, ¹⁴CH₄) are also important to separate CH₄ emissions from biological

732

733 **5 Summary and Conclusions**

and fossil CH₄ emissions.

734 To better evaluate bias for city scale anthropogenic CH₄ emissions and understand the sensitivity of temperature on waste treatment CH₄ emissions, we used a three tower-based atmospheric CH₄ 735 736 observation network in Hangzhou city, which is located in the developed YRD region and one of 737 the top 10 megacities in China. One-year hourly atmospheric CH₄ observations were presented 738 from December 2020 to November 2021. We then applied a scaling factor Bayesian inversion 739 method to constrain monthly anthropogenic CH₄ emissions and its components (especially for waste treatments) in Hangzhou city, and also used multiplicative scaling factor method for broader 740 741 Zhejiang province and YRD area at seasonal scale.

742

743 To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first tower-based CH₄ observation network in China. 744 We found obvious seasonal bias of simulated CH4 concentrations at the core urban area of 745 Hangzhou city, which was mainly caused by bias of waste treatment at both annual and monthly 746 scales. The derived *posteriori* CH₄ emissions display obvious seasonal variations with peak in summer and trough in winter, which was mainly contributed by waste treatment; the *a priori* 747 annual waste treatment CH₄ emission in Hangzhou city was 10.4×10^4 t and decreased to 5.5 748 $(\pm 0.6) \times 10^4$ t for the *posteriori* emissions, a decrease of 47.1%. Besides, the total anthropogenic 749 750 CH₄ emissions (excluding agricultural soil) decreased from 15.0×10^4 t to $9.6(\pm 0.9) \times 10^4$ t, indicating overestimation of 36.0% for the whole year of 2021. Observations at Linan site imply 751 752 that the annual CH₄ emissions was slightly underestimated by 7.0% for the larger region of 753 Zhejiang province or YRD area, which was different from the case of Hangzhou city. Additionally, 754 the posteriori monthly CH₄ emissions from waste treatment illustrate significant linear 755 relationship with air temperature, with regression slopes indicating an increase of 38%~50% when 756 temperature increases by 10°C. Finally, we found the waste treatment CH₄ EFs for Hangzhou city will increase by 17.6%, 9.6%, 5.6%, and 4.0% by the end of this century for RCP8.0, RCP6.0, 757 758 RCP4.5 and RCP2.6 scenarios, respectively. The derived relative changes for whole China also 759 showed high heterogeneity and indicate large uncertainty in projecting future national total CH₄

emissions. This study is also the first one that mainly focuses on city scale temperature sensitivity of waste treatment CH_4 emissions from the perspective of atmospheric inversion approach. And based on above results, we strongly suggest the temperature-dependent EFs should be coupled in both recent CH_4 inventories and future CH_4 emission projections.

764

Data availability: The atmospheric CH₄ observations data can be requested from Cheng Hu and
Bing Qi. STILT model is downloaded from <u>http://www.stilt-model.org/</u>, the EDGAR inventory is
from <u>https://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/</u>, and the projected climate data were downloaded from World
Data Center for Climate (WDCC, https://www.wdc-climate.de/ui/).

769

Acknowledgement: Cheng Hu is supported by the National Natural Science foundation of China
(grant no. 42105117) and Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (grant no. BK20200802).
Wei Xiao is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (grants 2020YFA0607501 &
2019YFA0607202). This work is also supported by Zhejiang Provincial Basic Public Welfare Research
Project (LGF22D050004). We sincerely thank the detailed comments from two anonymous reviewers.

We also want to express our thanks to Prof. Timothy J. Griffis from University of Minnesota, who provided many important suggestions and support for this study.

777

Author contribution: Cheng Hu and Bing Qi designed the study. Cheng Hu performed the model simulation, data analysis and wrote and revised the paper; Bing Qi and Rongguang Du conducted CH₄ concentration observation and meteorological data collection, and all co-authors contributed to the data/figures preparation and analysis.

782 **Declaration of competing interests:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

783

784 **References:**

Agustí-Panareda, A., Diamantakis, M., Massart, S., Chevallier, F., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Barré, J., Curcoll, R.,
Engelen, R., Langerock, B., Law, R. M., Loh, Z., Morguí, J. A., Parrington, M., Peuch, V.-H., Ramonet, M., Roehl,
C., Vermeulen, A. T., Warneke, T., and Wunch, D.: Modelling CO₂ weather – why horizontal resolution matters,
Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 7347–7376, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-7347-2019, 2019.

- 789
- Bian R., Zhang T., Zhao F., et al. Greenhouse gas emissions from waste sectors in China during 2006–2019:
- 791 Implications for carbon mitigation. Process. Saf. Environ., 161:488-497, 2022.
- 792

793 Bloom, A. A., Bowman, K. W., Lee, M., Turner, A. J., Schroeder, R., Worden, J. R., Weidner, R., McDonald, K. C., 794 and Jacob, D. J.: A global wetland methane emissions and uncertainty dataset for atmospheric chemical transport 795 models (WetCHARTs version 1.0), Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 2141-2156, 796 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-2141-2017, 2017.

| 797 | Börjesson G, Svensson BH. Seasonal and Diurnal Methane Emissions From a Landfill and Their Regulation By |
|------------|--|
| 798 | Methane Oxidation. Waste Management & Research. 1997;15(1):33-54. doi:10.1177/0734242X9701500104 |
| 799 | |
| 800 | Cai, B., J. Liu, X. Zeng, D. Cao, L. Liu, Y. Zhou, Z. Zhang, Estimation of CH4 emission from landfill in China |
| 801 | based on point emission sources. Adv. Clim. Change Res. 5, 81-91, 2014. |
| 802 | |
| 803 | Cai, B., Lou, Z., Wang, J., Geng, Y., Sarkis, J., Liu, J., and Gao, Q.: CH4 mitigation potentials from China landfills |
| 804 | and related environmental co-benefits, Sci. Adv., 4, eaar8400, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aar8400, 2018. |
| 805 | |
| 806 | Chen, Z., Jacob, D. J., Nesser, H., Sulprizio, M. P., Lorente, A., Varon, D. J., Lu, X., Shen, L., Qu, Z., Penn, E., and |
| 807 | Yu, X.: Methane emissions from China: a high-resolution inversion of TROPOMI satellite observations, Atmos. |
| 808 | Chem. Phys., 22, 10809–10826, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-10809-2022, 2022. |
| 809 | |
| 810 | Du, M., Peng, C., Wang, X., Chen, H., Wang, M., and Zhu, Q.: Quantification of methane emissions from |
| 811 | municipal solid waste landfills in China during the past decade, Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev., 78, 272-279, 2017. |
| 812 | |
| 813 | Du, M., Zhu, Q., Wang, X., Li, P., Yang, B., Chen, H., Wang, M., Zhou, X., and Peng, C.: Estimates and |
| 814 | predictions of methane emissions from wastewater in China from 2000 to 2020, Earths Future, 6, 252-263, 2018. |
| 815 | |
| 816 | Fang S.X., R.G. Du, B. Qi. et al., Variation of carbon dioxide mole fraction at a typical urban area in the Yangtze |
| 817 | River Delta, China. Atmos. Res, 265, 105884, 2022. |
| 818 | |
| 819 | Florentino, Cruz., B. De La, and M. A. Barlaz., Estimation of waste component-specific landfill decay rates using |
| 820 | laboratory-scale decomposition data. Environ. Sci. Technol. 44, 4722–4728, 2010. |
| 821 | |
| 822 | Griffis, T. J., Chen, Z., Baker, J. M., Wood, J. D., Millet, D. B., Lee, X., et al., Nitrous oxide emissions are |
| 823 | enhanced in a warmer and wetter world. P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 114(45), 12081-12085. |
| 824 | https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1704552114, 2017. |
| 005 | |
| 825 | He, J., Naik, V., Horowitz, L. W., Dlugokencky, E., and Thoning, K.: Investigation of the global methane budget |
| 826 | over 1980–2017 using GFDL-AM4.1, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2020, 20, 805–827, |
| 827 | https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-805-2020. |
| 828 | |
| 829 | Henne, S., Brunner, D., Oney, B., Leuenberger, M., Eugster, W., Bamberger, I., Meinhardt, F., Steinbacher, M., and |
| 830 | Emmenegger, L.: Validation of the Swiss methane emission inventory by atmospheric observations and inverse |
| 831 | modelling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 3683–3710, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16- 3683-2016, 2016. |
| 832 | |
| 833 | Hopkins, F. M., Kort, E. A., Bush, S. E., Ehleringer, J. R., Lai, CT., Blake, D. R., & Randerson, J. T. Spatial |
| 834 | patterns and source attribution of urban methane in the Los Angeles Basin. J. Geophys. Res-Atmos., 121, 2490– |
| 835 | 2507, 2016. |
| 836 007 | |
| ರನ/ ೧೧೧ | Hoglund-Isaksson, L.: Global anthropogenic methane emissions 2005–2030: technical mitigation potentials and |
| 838 | costs, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 90/9–9096, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12- 9079-2012, 2012. |

| 840 | Hua, H., Jiang, S., Yuan, Z., Liu, X., Zhang, Y., & Cai, Z. Advancing greenhouse gas emission factors for | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 841 | municipal wastewater treatment plants in China. Environ. Pollut., 295, 118648. | | | | | | | | | |
| 842 | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.118648, 2022. | | | | | | | | | |
| 843 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 844 | Hu C, Griffis, T. J., Liu, S., Xiao, W., Hu, N., Huang, W., Yang, D., Lee, X., Anthropogenic methane emission and | | | | | | | | | |
| 845 | its partitioning for the Yangtze River Delta region of China. J. Geophys.l Res-Biogeo., 124(5): 1148-1170, 2019. | | | | | | | | | |
| 846 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 847 | Hu, C., Xu, J., Liu, C., Chen, Y., Yang, D., Huang, W., Deng, L., Liu, S., Griffis, T. J., and Lee, X.: Anthropogenic | | | | | | | | | |
| 848 | and natural controls on atmospheric δ 13C-CO2 variations in the Yangtze River delta: insights from a carbon | | | | | | | | | |
| 849 | isotope modeling framework, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 10015-10037, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-10015-2021, | | | | | | | | | |
| 850 | 2021. | | | | | | | | | |
| 851 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 852 | Hu, C., Griffis, T.J., Xia, L., Xiao, W., Liu, C., Xiao, Q., Huang, X., Yang, Y., Zhang, L., Hou, B., Anthropogenic | | | | | | | | | |
| 853 | CO ₂ emission reduction during the COVID-19 pandemic in Nanchang City, China, Environ. Pollut 309. 119767. | | | | | | | | | |
| 854 | doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119767, 2022. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 855 | Huang, W. J., T. J. Griffis, C. Hu, W. Xiao, and X. H. Lee. Seasonal variations of CH4 emissions in the | | | | | | | | | |
| 856 | Yangtze River Delta region of China are driven by agricultural activities. Adv. Atmos. Sci., 38(9), 1537–1551, | | | | | | | | | |
| 857 | https://doi.org/10.1007/s00376-021-0383-9, 2021. | | | | | | | | | |
| 858 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 859 | Isaksen I S, Gauss M, Myhre G, Anthony W, Katey M and Ruppel C 2011 Strong atmospheric chemistry feedback | | | | | | | | | |
| 860 | to climate warming from Arctic methane emissions. Global Biogeochem. Cy. 25 GB2002, 2011. | | | | | | | | | |
| 861 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 862 | Kumar, P.; Broquet, G.; Caldow, C.; et al. Near-field atmospheric inversions for the localization and quantification | | | | | | | | | |
| 863 | Of controlled methane releases using stationary and mobile measurements. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 2022, 148, | | | | | | | | | |
| 864 | 1886–1912 | | | | | | | | | |
| 865 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 866 | Kissas K, Ibrom A, Kjeldsen P, et al. Methane emission dynamics from a Danish landfill: The effect of changes | | | | | | | | | |
| 867 | in barometric pressure. Waste Management, 2022, 138:234-242. | | | | | | | | | |
| 868 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 869 | Lian, J., Bréon, FM., Broquet, G., Lauvaux, T., Zheng, B., Ramonet, M., Xueref-Remy, I., Kotthaus, S., | | | | | | | | | |
| 870 | Haeffelin, M., and Ciais, P.: Sensitivity to the sources of uncertainties in the modeling of atmospheric CO2 | | | | | | | | | |
| 871 | concentration within and in the vicinity of Paris, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 10707-10726, | | | | | | | | | |
| 872 | https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-10707-2021, 2021. | | | | | | | | | |
| 873 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 874 | Lin, X., Zhang, W., Crippa, M., Peng, S., Han, P., Zeng, N., Yu, L., and Wang, G.: A comparative study of | | | | | | | | | |
| 875 | anthropogenic CH4 emissions over China based on the ensembles of bottom-up inventories, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, | | | | | | | | | |
| 876 | 13, 1073–1088, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-1073-2021, 2021. | | | | | | | | | |
| 877 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 878 | Lopez-Coto, I., Ren, X., Salmon, O. E., Karion, A., Shepson, P. B., Dickerson, R. R., Stein, A., Prasad, K., and | | | | | | | | | |
| 879 | Whetstone, J. R.: Wintertime CO ₂ , CH ₄ , and CO Emissions Estimation for the Washington, DC-Baltimore | | | | | | | | | |
| 880 | Metropolitan Area Using an Inverse Modeling Technique, Environmental Science and Technology, 54, 2606–2614, | | | | | | | | | |
| 881 | https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b06619, 2020. | | | | | | | | | |
| 882 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 883 | Lou, Z., Cai, B.F., Zhu, N., Zhao, Y., Geng, Y., Yu, B., Chen, W., Greenhouse gas emission inventories from waste 29 | | | | | | | | | |

- sector in China during 1949–2013 and its miti- gation potential. J. Clean. Prod. 157, 118–124.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro. 2017.04.135, 2017.
- 886

Lu, X., Jacob, D. J., Zhang, Y., Maasakkers, J. D., Sulprizio, M. P., Shen, L., Qu, Z., Scarpelli, T. R., Nesser, H.,
Yantosca, R. M., Sheng, J., Andrews, A., Parker, R. J., Boesch, H., Bloom, A. A., and Ma, S.: Global methane
budget and trend, 2010–2017: com- plementarity of inverse analyses using in situ (GLOBALVIEW- plus CH4
ObsPack) and satellite (GOSAT) observations, At- mos. Chem. Phys., 21, 4637–4657, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp21-4637-2021, 2021.

892

Kaiho K., Koga S. Impacts of a massive release of methane and hydrogen sulfide on oxygen and ozone during the
late Permian mass extinction. Global Planetary Change, 107:91-101,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2013.04.004, 2013.

896

897 Maasakkers, J. D., Varon, D. J., Elfarsdóttir, A., McKeever, J., Jervis, D., Mahapatra, G., Pandey, S., Lorente, A., 898 Borsdorff, T., Foorthuis, L. R., Schuit, B. J., Tol, P., van Kempen, T. A., van Hees, R., & Aben, I. Using satellites to 899 uncover large methane emissions from landfills. Sci. Adv. 8, eabn9683, 10. 900 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abn9683, 2022.

- Masuda, S., Sano, I., Hojo, T., Li, Y., Nishimura, O., The comparison of greenhouse gas emissions in sewage
 treatment plants with different treatment processes. Chemosphere 193, 581–590, 2018.
- 904

901

Miles, N. L., Richardson, S. J., Lauvaux, T., Davis, K. J., Balashov, N. V., Deng, A., Turnbull, J. C., Sweeney, C.,
Gurney, K. R., Patarasuk, R., Razlivanov, I., Cambaliza, M. O. L. and Shepson, P. B.: Quantification of urban
atmospheric boundary layer greenhouse gas dry mole fraction enhancements in the dormant season: Results from
the Indianapolis Flux Experiment (INFLUX), Elem Sci Anth, 5, 27, doi:10.1525/elementa.127, 2017.

909

Miller, S. M., Matross, D. M., Andrews, A. E., Millet, D. B., Longo, M., Gottlieb, E. W., Hirsch, A. I., Gerbig, C.,
Lin, J. C., Daube, B. C., Hudman, R. C., Dias, P. L. S., Chow, V. Y., and Wofsy, S. C.: Sources of carbon monoxide

- and formaldehyde in North America determined from high-resolution atmospheric data, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8,
 7673–7696, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-7673-2008, 2008.
- 914
- Miller, S. M., Michalak, A. M., Detmers, R. G., Hasekamp, O. P., Bruhwiler, L. M. P., & Schwietzke, S. China's
 coal mine methane regulations have not curbed growing emissions. Nature Communications, 10(1), 303–308.
- 917 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07891-7</u>, 2019.
- 918 Mønster, J., Kjeldsen, P. and Scheutz, C. (2019) Methodologies for measuring fugitive methane emissions from
 919 landfills a review. In Waste Management., 87, 835–859. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2018.12.047.
- 920 National Bureau of Statistics of China (NBSC), China Statistical Yearbook (China Statistics Press, 2015) (in921 Chinese).
- 922
- Pak N M, Heerah S, Zhang J, et al. The Facility Level and Area Methane Emissions inventory for the Greater
 Toronto Area (FLAME-GTA)[J]. Atmospheric Environment, 2021, 252(9):118319.
- 925
- 926 Peng, S., Piao, S., Bousquet, P., Ciais, P., Li, B., Lin, X., Tao, S., Wang, Z., Zhang, Y., and Zhou, F.: Inventory of

- anthropogenic methane emissions in mainland China from 1980 to 2010, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 14545–14562,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-14545-2016, 2016.
- 929
- 930 Sargent, M., Barrera, Y., Nehrkorn, T., Hutyra, L. R., Gately, C. K., Mckain, K., Sweeney, C., Hegarty, J.,
- 931 Hardiman, B., Steven C. Wofsy, S. C.: Anthropogenic and biogenic CO₂ fluxes in the Boston urban region, P. Natl.
- 932 Acad. Sci. USA., 115(40), https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1803715115, 2018.
- 933
- 934 Saunois, M., Stavert, A. R., Poulter, B., et al., The Global Methane Budget 2000–2017, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 12,
 935 1561–1623, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-1561-2020, 2020.
- 936
- Seto, K. C. hakal, S. Bigio, A. Blanco, H. elgado, G. C. ewar, Huang, L. Inaba, A. Kansal, A. Lwasa, S. cahon, J.
 ller, B. urakami, J. Nagendra, H. amaswami, A. Humansettlements, infrastructure and spatial planning.Climate
 Change 2014:Mitigation of Climate Change. IPCC Working Group III Contribution toAR5; Cambridge University
 Press, 2014; Chapter 12.
- 941

Solazzo, E., Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Muntean, M., Choulga, M., and Janssens-Maenhout, G.: Uncertainties in
the Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR) emission inventory of greenhouse gases,
Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 5655–5683, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-5655-2021, 2021.

945

946 Spokas, K.A., et al. 2021. Modeling landfill CH4 emissions: CALMIM international fieldvalidation, using

947 CALMIM to simulate management strategies, current and futureclimate scenarios. Elem Sci Anth, 9: 1.

- 948 https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2020.00050Do, 2020.
- 949
- Tolaymat, T., M., R. B. Green, G. R. Hater, M. A. Barlaz, P. Black, D. Bronson, J. Powell, Evaluation of landfill
 gas decay constant for municipal solid waste landfills operated as bioreactors. J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc. 60, 91–
 97, 2010.
- 953

Thoning, K. W., Tans, P. P., and Komhyr, W. D.: Atmospheric carbon dioxide at Mauna Loa observatory 2.
Analysis of the NOAA/GMCC data, 1974–1985, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 94, 8549–
8565, https://doi.org/10.1029/JD094iD06p08549, 1989.

Tian, J., Gong, Y., Li, Y., Chen, X., Zhang, L., & Sun, Y. (2022). Can policy implementation increase public waste
sorting behavior? The comparison between regions with and without waste sorting policy implementation in China.
Journal of Cleaner Production, 132401.

960

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), Global Mitigation of Non-CO₂ Greenhouse Gases
 2010-2030 (United States Environmental Protection Agency Office of Atmospheric Programs (6207J),
 EPA-430-R-13-011, 2013);

964 www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2016-07/documents/mac_report_2014-exec_summ.compressed.pdf

965 Verhulst, K. R., Karion, A., Kim, J., Salameh, P. K., Keeling, R. F., Newman, S., Miller, J., Sloop, C., Pongetti, T.,

966 Rao, P., Wong, C., Hopkins, F. M., Yadav, V., Weiss, R. F., Duren, R. M. and Miller, C. E.: Carbon dioxide and

967 methane measurements from the Los Angeles Megacity Carbon Project - Part 1: calibration, urban enhancements,

968 and uncertainty 10 estimates, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(13), 8313–8341, doi:10.5194/acp-17-8313-2017, 2017

| 969 970 971 | Wang, X., A. S. Nagpure, J. F. DeCarolis, M. A. Barlaz, Characterization of uncertainty in estimation of methane collection from select U.S. landfills. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 1545–1551, 2015. |
|--------------------------|--|
| 972 973 | Wang, Y., Wang, X., Wang, K. et al. The size of the land carbon sink in China. Nature, E7–E9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04255-y, 2022. |
| 974 975 976 977 | Williams, J. P., Ars, S., Vogel, F., Regehr, A., & Kang, M. (2022). Differentiating and Mitigating Methane Emissions from Fugitive Leaks from Natural Gas Distribution, Historic Landfills, and Manholes in Montréal, Canada. Environmental Science & Technology. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c06254</u> |
| 978 979 080 | Yadav, V., Duren, R., Mueller, K., Verhulst, K. R., Nehrkorn, T., and Kim, Jet., Spatio-temporally resolved |
| 980 981 982 | Theo X Jin X Guo W Zhang C Shan X Du M Tilletson M Yang H Jino X and Li X: China's |
| 982 983 984 | urban methane emissions from municipal wastewater treatment plant, Earths Future, 7, 480–490, 2019. |
| 985 986 987 | Zhao, Z., Bian, R., Zhao, F., Chai, X., Implications of municipal solid waste disposal methods in China on greenhouse gas emissions. Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev. 39 (3). <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ep.13372, 2019</u> . |
| 988 989 990 | Zhang, B. and Chen, G.: China's CH ₄ and CO ₂ emissions: Bottomup estimation and comparative analysis, Ecol. Indic., 47, 112–122, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2014.01.022</u> , 2014. |
| 991 992 993 | Zhang, K., Lee, X., Schultz, N. M., Huang, Q., Liu, Z., Chu, H., Zhao, L., & He, C. A global dataset on subgrid land surface climate (2015-2100) from the Community Earth System Model. Geosci. Data J., 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/gdj3.153, 2022. |
| 994 995 996 | Zhang Y., Fang S., Chen J., Lin Y., Chen Y., Liang R., Jiang K., Parker R., Boesch H., Steinbacher M., Sheng J., Lu X., Shaojie Song, Shushi Peng: Observed Changes in China's Methane Emissions Linked to Policy Drivers, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 119, e2202742119, 2022. |
| 997 998 | Zhejiang Provincial Bureau of Statistics, Survey Office of the National Bureau of Statistics in Zhejiang, Zhejiang Statistical Yearbook 2018-2019 (China Statistics Press, Beijing, China, 2019) |
| 999 | |
| 1000 | |
| 1001 | |
| 1002 | |
| 1003 | |
| 1004 | |



Figure 1. (a) WRF-STILT model domain setups, three CH₄ concentration observation sites in Hangzhou city, and five CH4 background sites, note the green, red and black dots represent locations for Hangzhou site, Linan site and Damingshan site, respectively, Yangtze River Delta regions is displayed in red boundary, back rectangle represents domain in STILT model, (b) geophysical height within Hangzhou city, (c) land surface categories in Hangzhou city, and (d) population density in Hangzhou city for year 2019, units: person per km², the location of landfills in Hangzhou city is displayed with a white star.



Figure 2. (a) Hourly CH₄ concentrations at three sites within Hangzhou city as Hangzhou site, Linan site, and Damingshan site, and fitting CH₄ background based on CCGCRV regression method at five background sites as TAP, YON, RYO, WLG and UUM, (b) monthly mean of CH₄ concentrations for above eight sites. Note the CH₄ background is smoothed by using CCGCRV fitting method on weekly or hourly observations, which can filter large fluctuations caused by sudden and unidentified sources



Figure 3. Annual averages of simulated footprint for (a) Hangzhou site, (b) Linan site, and (c) Damingshan site, where the green symbol " \times " indicates receptor location in each pannel, (d) total anthropogenic CH₄ emissions in EDGAR v6.0 inventory, (e) waste treatment CH₄ emissions in EDGAR v6.0 inventory, and (f) proportions of waste treatment to total anthropogenic CH₄ emissions, red dot represents three sites, units for footprint: ppm m² s mol⁻¹, units for emissions: kg m⁻² s⁻¹. The divisions in Hangzhou city are different districts.







Figure 4. Comparisons between daily CH₄ observations and simulations for (a) Hangzhou site, (b) Linan site, (c) Damingshan site, (d) simulated CH₄ enhancements from main emission categories (e) simulated anthropogenic CH₄ enhancement from different regions and its proportions. Note the blue color for the bar charts include all contributions from "Zhejiang", including "Hangzhou"; and the blue regions in the pie charts represent rest regions of "Zhejiang minus Hangzhou".



Figure 5. Seasonal averaged diurnal variations for Hangzhou site in (a) winter, (b) spring, (c) summer, (d) autumn, and Linan site in (e) winter, (f) spring, (g) summer, (h) autumn, and Damingshan site in (i) winter, (j) spring, (k) summer, (l) autumn; Note because of two months of data gap in Autumn for Damingshan site, the green line is for all September-November simulations, red line only represent simulation of corresponding period for available observation data, and bold lines represents data between 12:00 and 18:00.





Figure 6. Comparisons of hourly CH₄ concentrations at Hangzhou site between observations and simulations by using (a) *a priori* and (b) *posteriori* emissions, (c) scatter plots of daily CH₄ averages by using *a priori* and *posteriori* emissions.



Figure 7. (a) Monthly anthropogenic (excluding agricultural soil) CH₄ emissions for *a priori* and *posteriori* emissions for Hangzhou city, (b) relationship between the monthly *posteriori* CH₄ emissions and temperature for the three cases discussed in section 2.3 of this text.



Figure 8. (a) Annual air temperature from year 2021 to 2100 for four different global warming scenarios for Hangzhou city, (b) the projected relative change of waste treatment CH₄ emissions (or EFs) for Hangzhou city, note the shading indicates extent of three cases.



Figure 9. Global warming induced relative changes of waste treatment CH₄ EFs by year of 2100 for (a) RCP2.6, (b) RCP4.5, (c) RCP6.0, and (d) RCP8.5 scenarios. Note the red boundary is Zhejiang province.

Table 1. The posteriori SFs for different categories in three cases for Hangzhou city, where wetland: natural and agricultural wetland, Waste: waste treatment, PRO: fuel exploitation, RCO: energy for building, Others: the rest anthropogenic emissions. Note Case 1: 3 categories, and 300% uncertainty for waste treatment; Case 2: 5 categories; Case 3: 3 categories, and 200% uncertainty

for waste treatment.

| Case 1 | | | | Case 2 | | | | | Case 3 | | |
|--------|---------|-------|--------|---------|-------|------|------|--------|---------|-------|--------|
| Mont | | | | | | | | | | | |
| h | Wetland | Waste | Others | Wetland | Waste | PRO | RCO | Others | Wetland | Waste | Others |
| 1 | 1.00 | 0.29 | 0.83 | 1.00 | 0.34 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 0.40 | 0.72 |
| 2 | 1.00 | 0.20 | 0.89 | 1.00 | 0.26 | 0.97 | 0.83 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 0.30 | 0.77 |
| 3 | 1.03 | 0.39 | 1.04 | 1.02 | 0.46 | 1.07 | 0.80 | 0.97 | 1.02 | 0.46 | 0.95 |
| 4 | 1.10 | 0.46 | 0.96 | 1.08 | 0.48 | 1.01 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 1.08 | 0.49 | 0.91 |
| 5 | 1.12 | 0.62 | 0.99 | 1.10 | 0.64 | 1.06 | 0.97 | 0.92 | 1.11 | 0.65 | 0.95 |
| 6 | 1.22 | 0.59 | 1.09 | 1.18 | 0.64 | 1.05 | 0.97 | 1.03 | 1.18 | 0.64 | 1.05 |
| 7 | 1.10 | 0.88 | 0.96 | 1.09 | 0.88 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.94 | 1.09 | 0.89 | 0.94 |
| 8 | 1.05 | 0.62 | 0.95 | 1.01 | 0.66 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.01 | 0.67 | 0.91 |
| 9 | 1.04 | 0.71 | 1.01 | 1.02 | 0.73 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 1.04 | 1.02 | 0.74 | 0.98 |
| 10 | 1.06 | 0.60 | 0.94 | 1.06 | 0.61 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 1.06 | 0.62 | 0.90 |
| 11 | 1.01 | 0.27 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 0.32 | 0.91 | 0.85 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 0.37 | 0.75 |
| 12 | 1.00 | 0.31 | 0.70 | 1.00 | 0.33 | 0.75 | 0.79 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 0.43 | 0.58 |