Elucidate the Formation Mechanism of Particulate Nitrate Based on Direct Radical Observations in the Yangtze River Delta summer 2019

Tianyu Zhai^a , Keding Lua, b , Haichao Wang^c , Shengrong Lou^d , Xiaorui Chena, ^f , Renzhi*

5 Hu^e, Yuanhang Zhang^{a, b*}

^a State Key Joint Laboratory of Environmental Simulation and Pollution Control, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China.

^b Collaborative Innovation Center of Atmospheric Environment and Equipment Technology, Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing 210044, China.

^c School of Atmospheric Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China.

13 d State Environmental Protection Key Laboratory of Formation and Prevention of the

- Urban Air Complex, Shanghai Academy of Environmental Sciences, Shanghai, 200223,
- China.

^e Key Laboratory of Environmental Optics and Technology, Anhui Institute of Optics

and Fine Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei, 230031, China.

^f Now at: Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The Hong Kong

Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China.

[∗] *Correspondence to:*

Keding Lu [\(k.lu@pku.edu.cn\),](mailto:k.lu@pku.edu.cn)) Yuanhang Zhang [\(yhzhang@pku.edu.cn](mailto:yhzhang@pku.edu.cn))

Abstract. Particulate nitrate (NO₃⁻) is one of the dominant components of fine particles in China, especially during pollution episodes, and has a significant impact on human health, air quality, and climate. Here a comprehensive field campaign that focuses on the atmospheric oxidation capacity and aerosol formation and their effects in the Yangtze River Delta (YRD) was conducted from May to June 2019 at a regional site in 29 Changzhou, Jiangsu province in China. The concentration of $NO₃$, OH radical, $N₂O₅$, NO2, O3, and relevant parameters were measured simultaneously. We showed a high 31 NO₃ mass concentration with 10.6 \pm 8.9 µg m⁻³ on average, which accounted for 38.3 % 32 of total water-soluble particulate components and 32.0% of total PM_{2.5}, followed by the proportion of sulfate, ammonium, and chloride by 26.0 %, 18.0 %, and 2.0 %, respectively. This result confirmed that the heavy nitrate pollution in eastern China not only happened in winter but also in the summertime. This study's high nitrate oxidation ratio (NOR) emphasized the solid atmospheric oxidation and fast nitrate formation 37 capacity in YRD. It was found that $OH + NO₂$ during daytime dominated nitrate formation on clean days, while N₂O₅ hydrolysis vastly enhanced and became 39 comparable with that of $OH + NO₂$ during polluted days (67.2 % and 30.2 %, respectively). An updated observed-constrain Empirical Kinetic Modeling Approach 41 (EKMA) was used to assess the kinetic controlling factors of both local O₃ and NO₃⁻ 42 productions, which indicated that the O₃-targeted scheme (VOCs: $NO_x = 2$: 1) is adequate to mitigate the O³ and nitrate pollution coordinately during summertime in this region. Our results promote the understanding of nitrate pollution mechanisms and mitigation based on field observation and model simulation and call for more attention to nitrate pollution in the summertime.

Keywords:

Nitrate pollution; Dinitrogen pentoxide; Nitrate formation; Pollution mitigation

1 Introduction

 Chemical compositions of fine particles have been measured in China during the past twenty years, and secondary inorganic aerosol is regarded as one of the dominant species in aerosol (Cao et al., 2012; Hagler et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2013; Andreae et al., 2008). Since the Air Pollution Prevention and Control Action Plan, there has been a significant decrease in SO2, NO2, and PM2.5 concentration in China, while the 55 inorganic nitrate ratio in $PM_{2.5}$ increased and became the considerable component in PM2.5 (Shang et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2022). Therefore, a comprehensive understanding of the particlate nitrate formation mechanism is essential and critical to mitigating haze pollution in China.

 Massive research has been done in China to investigate nitrate formation mechanisms, and a basic framework has been established (Sun et al., 2006; Chang et 61 al., 2018; Wu et al., 2019). In the daytime, $NO₂ + OH$ radical oxidation (Reaction 1) is 62 the major particulate nitrate formation pathway. The product $(HNO₃)$ reacts with alkaline substances in aerosol, generating particulate nitrate. This pathway is mainly controlled by precursors concentration as well as the gas-particle partition of gaseous nitric acid, and particulate nitrate depends on temperature, relative humidity (RH), NH³ concentration, and aerosol acidity (Wang et al., 2009; Song and Carmichael, 2001; 67 Meng et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2021). At night, N_2O_5 uptake is a vital nitrate formation 68 pathway (Reaction 4)(Chen et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2022). N₂O₅ is formed through 69 NO₂ + NO₃ (Reaction 3) and there exists a quick thermal equilibrium balance (K_{eq} = 5.5) 70×10^{-17} cm⁻³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹, 298 K). However, two problems remain ambiguous in 71 quantifying the contribution of N_2O_5 uptake to nitrate formation. The first is the N_2O_5 heterogeneous uptake coefficient (γ) on ambient aerosol is highly varied with the range 73 from 10^{-4} to 10^{-1} based on previous lab and field measurements (Bertram and Thornton, 2009; Brown et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2017c; Wang and Lu, 2016). The other one is ClNO² production yield which influences nitrate contribution due to the extensive variation range (Phillips et al., 2016; Staudt et al., 2019; Tham et al., 2018). Both two 77 parameters are complex to well-predicted by current schemes. $NO₂$ heterogeneous uptake has been found nonnegligible for nitrate formation, which can be a vital pathway during heavy haze events, according to recent studies (Qiu et al., 2019; Chan et al., 80 2021). The uptake coefficient and nitrate yield remain uncertain, as same as the N_2O_5 heterogeneous reaction. Besides, N2O⁵ homogeneous hydrolysis and NO³ radical oxidation have a minor contribution to particulate nitrate under ambient conditions(Brown et al., 2009; Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016).

 $NO₂ + OH \rightarrow HNO₃$ R1

$$
NO_2 + O_3 \rightarrow NO_3 + O_2
$$
 R2

$$
NO2 + NO3 + M \rightarrow N2O5 + M
$$
 R3.1

$$
N_2O_5 + M \rightarrow NO_2 + NO_3 + M
$$
 R3.2

$$
N_2O_5 + (H_2O \text{ or } Cl^{-}) \rightarrow (2 - \varphi) NO_3^- + \varphi ClNO_2
$$

 As a critical area of China's economy and industry, Yangtze River Delta (YRD) has suffered severe air pollution during past decades, and fine particle pollution in YRD has raised a widespread concern (Guo et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2017; Ming et al., 2017; Xue et al., 2019). However, most research focuses on wintertime PM2.5 pollution and lacks measurements of critical intermediate species and radicals to assess the importance of each nitrate formation pathway. In this study, with the direct measurements of hydroxyl radical and the reactive nitrogen compounds and chemical box model analysis, we explore the characteristics of nitrate and precursors in YRD in the summer of 2019, the importance of particulate nitrate formation pathways is quantified, and the controlling factors are explored. A further suggestion for summer pollution prevention and control in the local area is proposed.

2 Site description and methods

2.1 The campaign site

This campaign took place at a suburban sanatorium from May $30th$ to June $18th$, 2019, in Changzhou, China. Changzhou (119.95 °E, 31.79 °N) is located in Jiangsu province and about 150 km northwest of Shanghai. The sanatorium, located 420 m east of Lake Ge (one of the largest lakes in Jiangsu province, 164 square kilometers), is surrounded by farmland and fishponds. With the closest arterial traffic 1 km away, several industry zones are 4 km to the east. The prevailing wind was from the south and southeast sectors (about 30 % of the time) compared to 20 % from the west sector, of which only 15 % 104 came from the east. The wind speed was usually lower than 5 m s^{-1} with faster speed from the west. This site was influenced by anthropogenic and biological sources with occasional biomass burning.

 Figure 1 The location of the campaign site (red star), Changzhou, is 150 km on the northwest side of Shanghai.

2.2 The instrumentation

Multiple gaseous and particlate parameters were measured simultaneously during the

 campaign to comprehensively interpret the nocturnal atmospheric capacity and aerosol 113 formation. The related instruments are listed in Table 1. N₂O₅ and Particle Number and Size Distribution (PNSD) were measured on the fourth floor of the sanatorium, which is the top of the building. Other instruments were placed in containers on the ground 170 m northeast of the building, and sampling inlets at circa 5 m above the ground through the containers' roof.

 N2O⁵ was measured by Cavity Enhanced Absorption Spectrometer (CEAS) based on Lambert-Beer's law which was developed by (Wang et al., 2017b). Briefly, air samples were drawn through the window and reached out of the wall 30 cm to prevent influence from surface deposition. The aerosol membrane filter was deployed before 122 the PFA sampling tube and changed every 2 hours at night to avoid a decrease in N_2O_5 123 transmission efficiency due to the increased loss of N_2O_5 from the accumulated aerosols 124 on the filter. N₂O₅ was decomposed to NO₃ and NO₂ through preheating tube heat at 130 ℃ and detected within a PFA-coated resonator cavity heated at 110 ℃ to prevent 126 the formation of N_2O_5 by reversible reaction subsequently. At the end of each sampling 127 cycle (5 min), a 30 s injection of high concentration NO (10 ppm, 20 ml min⁻¹) mixed 128 with sample air was set to eliminate $NO₃-N₂O₅$ in the system. The NO titration spectrums were adopted as the dynamic background spectrum by assuming no H2O 130 concentration variation in a single sampling cycle. The loss of N_2O_5 in the sampling system and filter was also considered during data correction. The limit of detection 132 (LOD) was estimated to be 2.7 pptv (1σ) with an uncertainty of 19 %.

 OH radical measurement was conducted by Fluorescence Assay by Gas Expansion Laser-Induced Fluorescence techniques (FAGE-LIF). Ambient air was expanded through a 0.4 mm nozzle to low pressure in a detection chamber, where the 308 nm laser pulse irradiated OH radical at a repetition rate of 8.5 kHz (Chen et al., 2018). NO^x and O³ were monitored by commercial monitors (Thermo-Fisher 42i and 49i). Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were measured by an automated Gas Chromatograph equipped with a Mass Spectrometer and flame ionization detector (GC-MS) with a time 140 resolution of 60 min. The photolysis frequencies were determined from the spectral 141 actinic photon flux density measured by a spectroradiometer (Bohn et al., 2008).

 PM2.5 concentration was obtained by Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance (TEOM 1405, Thermo Scientific Inc). Aerosol surface concentration (Sa) was converted from particle number and size distribution, which was measured by Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer (SMPS, TSI 3936) and Aerosol Particle Sizer (APS, TSI 3321) 146 and modified to the wet particle-state S_a with a hygroscopic growth factor (Liu et al., 147 2013). The uncertainty of the wet S_a was \sim 30 %. Meanwhile, water-soluble particulate components and their gaseous precursors were analyzed through the Monitor for AeRosols and GAses in ambient air (MARGA, Chen et al. (2017)). Meteorological data were also available, including the temperature, relative humidity (RH), pressure, wind speed, and wind direction.

Parameters	Detection of limit	Method	Accuracy
N_2O_5	2.7 pptv $(1 \sigma, 1 \text{ min})$	CEAS	\pm 19 %
OH	1.6×10^5 cm ⁻³ (1 σ , 60 s)	LIF ^a	\pm 21 %
NO.	60 pptv $(2 \sigma, 1 \text{ min})$	PC ^c	$\pm 10 \%$
NO ₂	0.3 ppbv $(2 \sigma, 1 \text{ min})$	PC ^c	$\pm 10 \%$
O ₃	0.5 ppbv $(2 \sigma, 1 \text{ min})$	UV photometry	\pm 5 %
VOCs	$20-300$ pptv (60 min)	GC-MS	\pm 15 %
$PM_{2.5}$	0.1 μ g m ⁻³ (1 min)	TEOM ^d	\pm 5 %
Photolysis frequencies	5×10^{-5} s ⁻¹ (1 min)	SR ^e	$\pm 10 \%$
PNSD	$14 \text{ nm} - 700 \text{ nm}$ (4 min)	SMPS, APS	$\pm 10 \%$
HNO ₃ , NO ₃ , HCl	0.06 ppby (30 min)	MARGA ^f	$\pm 20\%$
NH_4^+ , NO ₃ , Cl, SO_4^2	$0.05 \,\mathrm{\mu g\,m}^{-3}$ (30 min)	MARGA ^f	$\pm 20 \%$

152 **Table 1** The observed gas and particle parameters during the campaign.

153 a Laser-induced fluorescence; ^b Chemiluminescence; ^c Photolytic converter; ^d Tapered

154 Element Oscillating Microbalance; ^e Spectroradiometer; ^f the Monitor for AeRosols and

155 GAses in ambient air.

156 **2.3 The empirical kinetic modeling approach**

157 A box model coupled with the Regional Atmospheric Chemical Mechanism version 2

158 (RACM2, Goliff, Stockwell & Lawson, 2013) is used to conduct the mitigation

159 strategies studies. The model is operated in one-hour time resolution with measurement

 results of temperature, relative humidity, pressure, CO, NO2, H2O, photolysis frequencies, and aggregated VOCs input to constrain the model. It should be noted that HONO concentration is calculated by NO² times 0.02, assuggested by Elshorbany et al. (2012), and has been used in the box model before (Lou et al., 2022). Long-lived species such as H² and CH⁴ are assumed as constants (550 ppbv and 1900 ppbv, respectively). Moreover, a 13-hour constant loss rate of unconstrained intermediate and secondary products, the result of synthetic evaluating secondary simulation of secondary species, is set for representing the multi-effects of deposition, transformation, and transportation. 168 The approaches to the chemical production of O_3 ($P(O_3)$) and inorganic nitrate 169 (P(NO₃⁻)) are described in previous articles (Tan et al., 2021; Tan et al., 2018) and expressed as Equation 1 and 4:

$$
P(O_3) = F(O_3) - D(O_3)
$$
 Eq1

$$
F(O_3) = k_{HO_2 + NO}[NO][HO_2] + k_{(RO_2 + NO)eff}[NO][RO_2]
$$
 Eq2

$$
D(O_3) = k_{OH+NO_2}[OH][NO_2] + (k_{OH+O_3}[OH] + k_{HO_2+O_3}[HO_2] + k_{alkenes+O_3}[alkenes])[O_3] \qquad Eq3
$$

$$
P(NO3.) = P(HNO3) + P(pNO3.)
$$
 Eq4

$$
P(HNO3) = kOH+NO2 [OH][NO2]
$$
 Eq5

$$
P(pNO_3^{\dagger}) = 0.25(2 - \varphi) C \gamma S_a [N_2O_5]
$$
 Eq6

171 briefly, $P(O_3)$ is net ozone production, which is calculated by peroxyl radial + NO 172 oxidation (Eq. 2) minus the chemical loss of O_3 and NO_2 (Eq. 3). $P(NO_3^-)$ is constituted 173 by reaction OH + $NO₂$ (Eq. 5) and N₂O₅ heterogeneous uptake (Eq. 6). Here, rate 174 constants of reactions are obtained from NASA JPL Publication or RACM2 (Goliff et 175 al., 2013). γ is the N₂O₅ uptake coefficient calculated from parameterization (γ P, more 176 details in chapter 3.3). φ represents ClNO₂ production yield through N₂O₅ hydrolysis, 177 and the mean value reported by Xia et al. (2020) is used in this work.

 The empirical Kinetic Modeling Approach (EKMA) was innovated to study the 179 effects of precursors (VOCs and NO_x) reactivity on the region's ozone pollution by Kanaya et al., which helps recognize the region's susceptibility to precursors by weight and become a prevalent tool to study the process of ozone formation (Tan et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2020b; Kanaya et al., 2008). The prevention and control problem of pollutant generation can be transformed through the EKMA curve to reduce its precursors' emissions. Furthermore, the precursor reduction scheme needed for total pollutant 185 control is given qualitatively. $P(NO₃)$ can also be analyzed through EKMA for the nonlinear secondary formation relationship with precursor reactivity. Here, an isopleth

- 187 diagram of the net ozone production rate as functions of the reactivities of NO_x and
- 188 VOCs can be derived from EKMA. In detail, 0.01 to 1.2 emission reduction strategy
- 189 assumptions are exponential interpolation into 20 kinds of emission situations of NO_x
- 190 and VOCs, respectively, which counts 400 scenarios.

191 **2.4 The calculation of aerosol liquid water content**

192 Aerosol liquid water content (ALWC) is calculated through ISORROPIA Ⅱ

193 (Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007). Forward mode is applied in this study. Furthermore,

- 194 water-soluble particulate components in $PM_{2.5}$ and gaseous species ($NH_3 + HNO_3 + HCl$)
- 195 obtained from MARGA, along with RH and T, are input as initial input. In addition,
- 196 metastable aerosol state is chosen due to high RH during this campaign.

197 **3 Result and discussion**

198 **3.1 Overview of measurements**

199 The time used in this study is China Standard Time (UTC + 8) and the local sunrise and 200 sunset time during the campaign were around 5 am and 7 pm, respectively. The whole 201 campaign period is divided into four $PM_{2.5}$ clean periods and four $PM_{2.5}$ polluted 202 periods (9 out of 14 days, the latter polluted periods days day refer to $PM_{2.5}$ pollution 203 except specified description) according to the Chinese National Air Quality Standard 204 (CNAAQS) Grade I of daily $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations (< 35.0 μ g m⁻³). Figure 2 shows the 205 meteorological parameters and gas-phase and particulate species timeseries during the 206 observation. During the campaign, the temperature was high; the maximum reached 207 34.5 °C, with an average of 25.1 ± 3.7 °C. RH changed drastically from 21 % to 88 %, 208 with a mean value of 58.9 ± 14.0 %. The mean NO₂ concentration was 14.8 ± 9.5 ppbv. 209 Meanwhile, the O₃ average was 54.6 ± 28.8 ppby, exceeding CNAAQS Grade II for a 210 maximum daily average of 8 h ozone (160 μg m⁻³) on 14 out of 19 days and exceeding 211 $200 \mu g$ m⁻³ on six days.

213 **Figure 2** Timeseries of NO2, O3, N2O5, OH radical, PM2.5, and water-soluble particulate 214 components, temperature, and RH. The vertical dotted line represents the zero clock. 215 The black horizontal solid line in O³ and PM2.5 panels represents Chinese national air 216 quality standards for O_3 and $PM_{2.5}$, respectively. The top panel color blocks represent 217 the PM_{2.5} clean day (light green) and PM_{2.5} polluted day(salmon).

212

219 Daytime OH radical ranged from 2×10^6 to 8×10^6 molecular cm⁻³ with a daily 220 peak over 3×10^6 molecular cm⁻³. Maximum OH radical reached 8.18×10^6 molecular 221 cm⁻³ in this campaign. Compared with other summertime OH radical observed in China, 222 OH radical concentration in this site is relatively low but still on the same order of 223 magnitude (Lu et al., 2012; Lu et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2022; Tan et al., 2017; Woodward-224 Massey et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2021). N₂O₅ mean concentration was 21.9 ± 39.8 pptv 225 with a nocturnal average of 61.0 ± 63.1 pptv and a daily maximum of over 200 pptv at 226 eight nights. The maximum concentration of N_2O_5 (477.2 pptv, 5 min resolution) 227 appeared at 20:47 on June $8th$. The average NO₃ radical production rate P(NO₃) is 2.1 228 \pm 1.4 ppbv h⁻¹ with nocturnal average P(NO₃) 2.8 \pm 1.6 ppbv h⁻¹ and daytime P(NO₃) 229 2.2 \pm 1.4 ppbv h⁻¹. P(NO₃) is about twice of documented value in Taizhou and North 230 China Plain (Wang et al., 2017a; Wang et al., 2018b; Wang et al., 2020a), but close to 231 another result in YRD before (Chen et al., 2019). The average $PM_{2.5}$ was 34.6 ± 17.8 μ g m⁻³ with a maximum reach of 163.0 μ g m⁻³. The water-soluble particulate 233 components of PM_{2.5} are displayed as well. The average $NO₃$ concentration was 10.6 μ g m⁻³, which accounts for 38.3 % mass concentration of water-soluble particulate components and 32.0 % total PM2.5, while the proportion of sulfate, ammonium, and chloride is 26.0 %, 18 %, and 2.0 % respectively. To sum up, during the campaign 237 period, the pollution of PM_{2.5} would be generally exacerbated on high O_3 and NO₂ days. Precipitation occurred during four clean processes receded pollutant concentration; otherwise, the pollution condition remained severe.

240 The mean diurnal variations (MDC) of temperature, RH, $NO₂, O₃, P(NO₃), N₂O₅$ 241 OH radical, and PM2.5 in different air quality are shown in Figure 3. The temperature, 242 RH, and OH radical MDC show indistinctive differences between clean days (CD) and 243 polluted days (PD). The MDC of NO₂ has two concentration peaks that appear at $06:00$ 244 and 21:00 on CD, while at PD, its peak appears at 20:00 and maintains a high level 245 during the whole night. O₃ diurnal pattern reflects a typical urban-influenced character 246 with a maximum O³ peak that lasts four hours from 14:00 to 17:00, while polluted-day 247 O₃ peak concentration is 1.2 higher than clean-day. P(NO₃) grows after the O₃ peak and 248 maximum P(NO₃) shows at 19:00 with an average value of 1.7 ppbv h⁻¹ on CD. By 249 contrast, the mean polluted-day P(NO3) is 2.6 ppbv h-1, and the maximum value 250 reaches 4.7 ppby h^{-1} . In contrast, the clean-day N₂O₅ has a higher average and maximum 251 concentration than PD, which suggests a faster removal process during PD. PM2.5 has 252 a similar trend with P(NO3) and has a higher concentration during nighttime.

 Figure 3 The mean diurnal variations of temperature, RH, NO2, O3, PM2.5, OH 256 radical(orange), N_2O_5 and $P(NO_3)$ of clean day and polluted day.

3.2 The evolution of nitrate pollution

 Figure 4 (a) shows the relationship between nitrate and sulfate with water-soluble particulate components. Nitrate positively correlates with total water-soluble particulate components, while the sulfate ratio has an inverse correlation. With PM2.5 concentration increasing, nitrate proportion increases rapidly and keeps high weight at 262 heavy $PM_{2.5}$ period while sulfate ratio pears opposite phenomenon. Once the mass 263 concentration of total water-soluble particulate component is over 30 μg m⁻³, the mass fraction of nitrate in total water-soluble particulate components is up to 50 % on average. This result illustrates that particulate nitrate is one of the vital sources of explosive growth particulate matter.

 Figure 4 (a) Particulate ion mass concentration ratio of nitrate and sulfate to water- soluble ion. (b) NOR against RH, colored with temperature. (c) SOR against RH, colored with temperature.

 To further assess the conversion capacity of nitrate and sulfate in this site, the sulfur oxidation ratio (SOR) and the nitrogen oxidation ratio (NOR) are used to indicate the secondary transformation ratio of SO² and NO2, respectively (Sun et al., 2006). SOR and NOR are estimated using the formulae below:

276

$$
SOR = \frac{nSO_4^{2-}}{nSO_4^{2+}nSO_2}
$$
 Eq7
\n
$$
nNO_3^-
$$

$$
NOR = \frac{1}{nNO_3^2 + nNO_2}
$$
 Eq8

 Where n refers to the molar concentration, the higher SOR and NOR represent more oxidation of gaseous species into a secondary aerosol. As depicted in Figure 4 (b-c), NOR rapidly increases at RH < 45 %, remains constant at 45 % < RH < 75 %, and ends 280 with a sharp increase at $RH > 75$ %. During the study period, not only is the average concentration of NO2 higher among PD but there is also a significant difference between PD and CD NOR. The average values of NOR are 0.32 in PD and 0.25 in CD, respectively, which manifests the more secondary transformation and pollution 284 potential in PD. In contrast, the SOR stays constant at a high value (-0.5) during the whole RH scale, which shows a different pattern from previous research (Li et al., 2017; 286 Zheng et al., 2015). One possible explanation is that $SO₂$ concentration stays low during 287 the whole campaign $(4.4 \pm 2.4$ ppby on average), and SO₂ oxidation depends on the limit of SO² instead of oxidation capability. Meanwhile, the mean SOR in both 289 situations is over 0.5 (0.52 in CD and 0.56 in PD), further supporting the SO_2 limited hypothesis. Besides, Table 2 summarizes NOR and SOR values in YRD. NOR and SOR in this study are similar to values reported in other YRD research (Shu et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020b; Qin et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2022), except values in 2013 , but higher than north China study which emphasize the solid atmospheric oxidation capacity in YRD region.

Location and Year	SOR			NOR					
	Max	Min	Mean	SD	Max	Min	Mean	SD.	References
Nanjing 2013 Winter	0.42	0.10	0.28	0.11	0.29	0.15	0.21	0.05	
Suzhou 2013 Winter	0.41	0.15	0.27	0.11	0.30	0.06	0.16	0.08	
Lin'an 2013 Winter	0.50	0.19	0.35	0.11	0.24	0.12	0.18	0.05	
Hangzhou 2013 Winter	0.30	0.14	0.21	0.06	0.11	0.06	0.09	0.02	
Ningbo 2013 Winter	0.35	0.09	0.21	0.11	0.23	0.03	0.11	0.07	
YRD 2016 Summer		٠.	0.347	۰	-	$\overline{}$	0.11	۰	
YRD 2016 Winter		$\overline{}$	0.247	÷,		-	0.15	۰	
Nanjing 2019 spring	0.48	0.38	٠	٠	0.31	0.29	L,		
Changzhou 2019 spring	0.35	0.3	۰	۰	0.27	0.23	$\overline{}$	۰	
Changzhou 2019 Winter	0.68	0.24	0.35	0.12	0.44	0.13	0.2	0.1	
Changzhou 2019 Summer	0.16	0.76	0.54	0.1	0.08	0.63	0.28	0.14	This work

295 **Table 2** Statistical result of NOR and SOR in YRD

296 **3.3 The derivation of N2O⁵ uptake coefficient**

297 Statistical analysis of the observation above highlights the rapid formation of 298 particulate nitrate. To assess the contribution of N_2O_5 hydrolysis to particular nitrate 299 formation, two methods are applied to calculate the N_2O_5 uptake coefficient. The first 300 method is a stationary-state approximation (Brown et al., 2003). By assuming that the 301 rates of production and loss of N_2O_5 are approximately in balance, the total loss rate of 302 N₂O₅ ($k_{N_2O_5}$) can be calculated through equation 9. The $k_{N_2O_5}$ is main dominated by 303 N₂O₅ heterogeneous uptake, since homogeneous hydrolysis of N₂O₅ contribute tiny 304 (Brown and Stutz, 2012). N₂O₅ uptake coefficient through steady-state (note as γ _{-S}) is 305 derived as equation 10. Here C is the mean molecule speed of N_2O_5 , and S_a is the aerosol 306 surface concentration.

$$
\tau_{ss}(N_2O_5) = \frac{[N_2O_5]}{k_{R3.1}[NO_2][O_3]} = (k_{N_2O_5} + \frac{k_{NO_3}}{K_{eq}[NO_2]})^{-1}
$$
 Eq9

$$
k_{N_2O_5} = 0.25 \, \text{C} \, \gamma \, \text{s} \, \text{S}_a \tag{Eq10}
$$

307 Due to the fast variety of NO³ loss rates from VOCs, the steady-state method has 308 been unattainable in conditions affected by emission interferences. During the whole 309 campaign, we only retrieve three valid fitting results. As shown in Figure 5, the fitted

- 310 γ s ranged from 0.057 to 0.123, which is comparable with Taizhou (0.041, Wang et al.
- 311 (2020a)) and much higher than other results in China (Yu et al., 2020a; Wang et al.,
- 2018a; Wang et al., 2020b; Wang et al., 2017a). The calculated k_{NO_3} ranged from 0.002
- 313 to 0.16 s^{-1} , represents drastic VOCs change during this campaign.

315 **Figure 5** Derived N2O⁵ uptake coefficients from N2O⁵ steady lifetime (γ_S) with NO² 316 and Sa, plots (a-c) represent the linear fitting results on the nights of 05/30, 06/10, and 317 06/11, respectively.

318 The other approach is the parameterization by (Yu et al., 2020a) which is depicted 319 as follows:

$$
\gamma_{-}p = \frac{4}{c}\frac{V_a}{S_a}\ K_H \times 3.0 \times 10^4 \times [H_2O]\ \left(1-\frac{1}{\left(0.033 \times \frac{[H_2O]}{[NO_3^2]}\right)+1+\left(3.4 \times \frac{[Cl^{\top}]}{[NO_3^{\tau}]}\right)}\right) \qquad \ Eq11
$$

320

314

321 Where V_a/S_a is the measured aerosol volume to surface area ratio by SMPS; K_H is 322 Henry's law coefficient which is set as 51 as recommended; $[NO₃']$ and $[Cl₃]$ are aerosol 323 inorganic concentration measured by Marga; [H2O] is aerosol water content calculated 324 through ISORROPIA II. The valid parameterization calculated N_2O_5 uptake coefficient 325 (note as γ P) from May 30th to June 08th, 2019, shows in Figure 6 a good consistency 326 between the trends of γ_P and aerosol water content. Nighttime γ_P varies from 0.001 to 327 0.024 with an average of 0.069 ± 0.0050 in polluted condition and 0.0036 ± 0.0026 in 328 clean condition. The N_2O_5 uptake coefficient shows a good correlation between RH and 329 aerosol water content. For the N₂O₅ uptake coefficient, although particulate nitrate mass 330 concentration increased during the pollution event, an antagonistic effect on the N_2O_5 331 uptake coefficient was not obvious for the nitrate molarity decreasing.

332 Furthermore, we compare the difference between γ s and γP^h . Taking the night of 333 May 30th as an example, the γ s is 0.089 while γ P ranges from 0.024 to 0.057 with an 334 average value of 0.013 ± 0.0051 . The difference between steady-state and parameterization is significant; one possible explanation is uncertainty for stationary- state approximation caused by local NO or VOCs emission (Brown et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2022). Another reason is that parameterization by Yu et al. ignores the impact of organic matter on the fine particle. The difference in aerosol composition between this work and Yu et al may also bring uncertainty. Overall consideration, γ_P will be chosen for the N₂O₅ heterogeneous uptake coefficient in later analysis and discussion.

342 **Figure 6** Results of N2O⁵ uptake coefficients through parameterization (γ_p). (a) shows 343 timeseries of γ_p and ISORROPIA II results of aerosol water content (AWC). (b) is the 344 box-plot of γ_{p} on the polluted day and clean day, the hollow square represents the mean 345 value, and the solid line across the box shows the median score for the data set, while 346 the top and bottom whiskers represent 90 % and 10 % value of γ_{p} , respectively.

347 **3.4 Quantifying the contribution of nitrate formation pathways**

348 After the N₂O₅ uptake coefficient is counted, nitrate production potential $(P(NO₃))$ can 349 be calculated. Here N_2O_5 uptake coefficient is set as 0.036 on clean day and 0.069 on 350 polluted day, respectively, which are the average value derived from parameterization. 351 The production ratio of NO_3 (by considering ClNO₂ yield of 0.54) is set as 1.46 in the 352 former study (Xia et al., 2020). Gas particle distribution is considered by the result of 353 particular nitrate and gas-phase nitrate by MARGA (input $HNO₃/NO₃$ ratio to the 354 model as $OH + NO₂$ nitrate production rate). NO₂ heterogeneous uptake coefficient is set 355 as 5.8×10^{-6} depending on the report by Yu et al. (2021) which is the result of 70% RH 356 on urban grime.

357 The mean diurnal variations of the nitrate production potential of clean and polluted 358 day are depicted in Figure 7. The $OH + NO₂$ pathway shows no significate difference 359 between clean and polluted day and dominates clean day nitrate formation potential. 360 Since the level of OH and NO² is less affected by the fine particle level. However, the 361 rapid increase of the N₂O₅ heterogeneous uptake pathway on polluted day is fatal, and 362 its peak formation rate at night over the $OH + NO₂$ pathway can be used to explain 363 nighttime nitrate explosive growth.

364 As shown in Figure 7c, $OH + NO₂$ dominates nitrate production on clean day, while 365 the N₂O₅ uptake pathway only contributes 13.6 μ g m⁻³. On polluted days, the ability of 366 N2O5 uptake grows fast, reaching 50.1 μg m-3, while the OH pathway doesn't change 367 much. There is no distinct difference in the daytime pathway $(OH + NO₂)$ between clean 368 day and polluted day, while the nighttime pathway ratio rises from 38.1 % on clean day to 67.2 % on polluted day. NO₂ heterogeneous uptake increases from 0.93 μg m⁻³ on 370 clean day to 2.0 μ g m⁻³ on polluted day, but the contribution proportion does not change 371 obviously. Both the higher N_2O_5 uptake coefficient and higher S_a on polluted day 372 increase the contribution of N₂O₅ hydrolysis on particular nitrate at pollution condition. 373

375 **Figure 7** The mean diurnal variations of the nitrate production potential of clean day(a) 376 and polluted day (b) and the $P(NO₃)$ distribution of clean and polluted day (c).

3.5 Mitigation strategies of particulate nitrate and ozone productions

 We selected two pollution episodes (Episode Ⅰ (2019.05.30 00:00 - 2019.06.02 00:00) and Ⅳ (2019.06.14 17:30 - 2019.06.17 12:00)) to explore the mitigation way of ozone 380 and nitrate pollution. Figure 8 shows the EKMA of $P(O_3)$ and $P(NO_3^-)$ of these two periods, O3 located at VOCs controlling area in the two pollution episodes, which consist with previous YRD urban ozone sensitivity study (Jiang et al., 2018; Zhang et 383 al., 2020a; Xu et al., 2021). The best precursor reduction for O_3 is VOCs: $NO_x = 2:1$ while nitrate is located at the transition area, which means either of the precursors reduction will mitigate nitrate pollution. For the regional and complex air pollution characteristics in this region, a fine particle-targeting reduction scheme will aggravate O₃ pollution. In contrast, the O₃-targeting scheme can mitigate O₃ and fine particle simultaneously.

Figure 8 Isogram of $P(O_3)$ and $P(NO_3^-)$ of polluted episode I (2019.05.30 00:00 -

2019.06.02 00:00) and Ⅳ (2019.06.14 17:30 - 2019.06.17 12:00) with different NOx

4 Conclusion

 A comprehensive campaign was conducted to interpret the atmospheric oxidation 395 capacity and aerosol formation from May $30th$ to June $18th$, 2019, in Changzhou, China. The high O₃ and PM_{2.5} concentrations confirm complex air pollution characteristics in Changzhou, and nitrate accounts for 38.3 % mass concentration of total water-soluble particulate components and 32.0 % of total PM2.5. In addition, the average values of NOR are 0.32 in PD and 0.25 in CD. The positive correlation between NOR and RH 400 and inverse correlation refer to the contribution of N_2O_5 heterogeneous uptake to nitrate formation.

 Based on field observations of OH and related parameters, we show OH oxidation of the NO² pathway steadily contributes to nitrate formation no matter the clean or 404 polluted period and domination clean day nitrate production (about 22 μ g m⁻³). N₂O₅ heterogeneous uptake contribution proliferated on polluted day, from 13.6 μg m⁻³ 406 (38.1 %) on clean days to 50.1 μ g m⁻³ (67.2 %) on polluted days. NO₂ heterogeneous uptake contributes minor to nitrate formation (2.6 %).

 The precursor reduction simulation suggests the reduction ratio of VOCs: NO^x equals 2:1 can simultaneously and effectively mitigate O³ and fine particle pollution during the summertime complex pollution period in Changzhou. To more precisely and delicately establish a cooperative control scheme for regional O³ and nitrate, the regional and long-time field campaigns are needed in the future to analyze the seasonal and interannual variation of O³ and nitrate and relevant parameters.

 Code/Data availability. The datasets used in this study are available from the corresponding author upon request (k.lu@pku.edu.cn).

 Author contributions. K.D.L. and Y.H.Z. designed the study. T.Y.Z analyzed the data and wrote the paper with input from all authors.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

 Acknowledgments. This project is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21976006); the Beijing Municipal Natural Science Foundation for Distinguished Young Scholars (JQ19031); the National Research Program for Key 426 Issue in Air Pollution Control (DQGG0103-01, 2019YFC0214800). Thanks for the data contributed by field campaign team.

References

- Andreae, M. O., Schmid, O., Yang, H., Chand, D., Yu, J. Z., Zeng, L.-M., and Zhang, Y.-H.: Optical properties and chemical composition of the atmospheric aerosol in urban Guangzhou, China, Atmospheric Environment, 42, 6335-6350, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.01.030, 2008.
- Bertram, T. H. and Thornton, J. A.: Toward a general parameterization of N2O5 reactivity on aqueous particles: the competing effects of particle liquid water, nitrate and chloride, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 8351-8363,

10.5194/acp-9-8351-2009, 2009.

- Bohn, B., Corlett, G. K., Gillmann, M., Sanghavi, S., Stange, G., Tensing, E., Vrekoussis, M., Bloss, W. J., Clapp, L. J., Kortner, M., Dorn, H. P., Monks, P.
- S., Platt, U., Plass-Dülmer, C., Mihalopoulos, N., Heard, D. E., Clemitshaw, K.
- C., Meixner, F. X., Prevot, A. S. H., and Schmitt, R.: Photolysis frequency measurement techniques: results of a comparison within the ACCENT project, ACP, 8, 5373-5391, 10.5194/acp-8-5373-2008, 2008.
- Brown, S. S. and Stutz, J.: Nighttime radical observations and chemistry, Chemical Society Reviews, 41, 6405-6447, 10.1039/c2cs35181a, 2012.
- Brown, S. S., Stark, H., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Applicability of the steady state approximation to the interpretation of atmospheric observations of NO3 and N2O5, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 108, 10,
- 10.1029/2003jd003407, 2003.
- Brown, S. S., Dube, W. P., Fuchs, H., Ryerson, T. B., Wollny, A. G., Brock, C. A., Bahreini, R., Middlebrook, A. M., Neuman, J. A., Atlas, E., Roberts, J. M.,
- Osthoff, H. D., Trainer, M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Reactive
- uptake coefficients for N2O5 determined from aircraft measurements during the
- Second Texas Air Quality Study: Comparison to current model
- parameterizations, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 114, 10.1029/2008jd011679, 2009.
- Cao, J.-J., Shen, Z.-X., Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Lee, S.-C., Tie, X.-X., Ho, K.-F., Wang, G.-H., and Han, Y.-M.: Winter and Summer PM2.5 Chemical
- Compositions in Fourteen Chinese Cities, J Air Waste Manage, 62, 1214-1226, 10.1080/10962247.2012.701193, 2012.
- Chan, Y. C., Evans, M. J., He, P. Z., Holmes, C. D., Jaegle, L., Kasibhatla, P., Liu, X. Y., Sherwen, T., Thornton, J. A., Wang, X., Xie, Z. Q., Zhai, S. T., and

- diurnal cycles, Science of the Total Environment, 752,
- 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141928, 2021.
- Xue, H., Liu, G., Zhang, H., Hu, R., and Wang, X.: Similarities and differences in PM10 and PM2.5 concentrations, chemical compositions and sources in Hefei City, China, Chemosphere, 220, 760-765, 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.12.123, 2019.
- Yang, X. P., Lu, K. D., Ma, X. F., Liu, Y. H., Wang, H. C., Hu, R. Z., Li, X., Lou, S. R., Chen, S. Y., Dong, H. B., Wang, F. Y., Wang, Y. H., Zhang, G. X., Li, S. L., Yang, S. D., Yang, Y. M., Kuang, C. L., Tan, Z. F., Chen, X. R., Qiu, P. P., Zeng, L. M., Xie, P. H., and Zhang, Y. H.: Observations and modeling of OH and HO2 radicals in Chengdu, China in summer 2019, Science of the Total
- Environment, 772, 2021.
- Yu, C., Wang, Z., Xia, M., Fu, X., Wang, W. H., Tham, Y. J., Chen, T. S., Zheng, P. G., Li, H. Y., Shan, Y., Wang, X. F., Xue, L. K., Zhou, Y., Yue, D. L., Ou, Y. B., Gao, J., Lu, K. D., Brown, S. S., Zhang, Y. H., and Wang, T.: Heterogeneous N2O5 reactions on atmospheric aerosols at four Chinese sites: improving model representation of uptake parameters, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 20, 4367-4378, 10.5194/acp-20-4367-2020, 2020a.
- Yu, C. A., Wang, Z., Ma, Q. X., Xue, L. K., George, C., and Wang, T.: Measurement of heterogeneous uptake of NO2 on inorganic particles, sea water and urban grime, J. Environ. Sci., 106, 124-135, 10.1016/j.jes.2021.01.018, 2021.
- Yu, D., Tan, Z., Lu, K., Ma, X., Li, X., Chen, S., Zhu, B., Lin, L., Li, Y., Qiu, P., Yang, X., Liu, Y., Wang, H., He, L., Huang, X., and Zhang, Y.: An explicit study of local ozone budget and NOx-VOCs sensitivity in Shenzhen China, Atmospheric Environment, 224, 117304, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117304, 2020b.
- Zhang, K., Xu, J. L., Huang, Q., Zhou, L., Fu, Q. Y., Duan, Y. S., and Xiu, G. L.: Precursors and potential sources of ground-level ozone in suburban Shanghai, Front. Env. Sci. Eng., 14, 10.1007/s11783-020-1271-8, 2020a.
- Zhang, R., Han, Y. H., Shi, A. J., Sun, X. S., Yan, X., Huang, Y. H., and Wang, Y.: Characteristics of ambient ammonia and its effects on particulate ammonium in winter of urban Beijing, China, Environ Sci Pollut R, 28, 62828-62838, 2021.
- Zhang, Y., Hong, Z., Chen, J., Xu, L., Hong, Y., Li, M., Hao, H., Chen, Y., Qiu, Y., Wu, X., Li, J.-R., Tong, L., and Xiao, H.: Impact of control measures and typhoon weather on characteristics and formation of PM2.5 during the 2016 G20 summit in China, Atmospheric Environment, 224, 117312,
- [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117312,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117312) 2020b.
- Zhang, Y., Tang, L., Yu, H., Wang, Z., Sun, Y., Qin, W., Chen, W., Chen, C., Ding, A., Wu, J., Ge, S., Chen, C., and Zhou, H.-c.: Chemical composition, sources and evolution processes of aerosol at an urban site in Yangtze River Delta, China during wintertime, Atmospheric Environment, 123, 339-349,
- 713 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.08.017, 2015.

