2		Effects of transport on a biomass burning plume from Indochina
3		during EMeRGe-Asia identified by WRF-Chem
4		
5	Cł	uan-Yao Lin ¹ *, Wan-Chin Chen ¹ , Yi-Yun Chien ¹ , Charles C. K. Chou ¹ , Chian-
6	Yi	Liu ¹ , Helmut Ziereis ² , Hans Schlager ² , Eric Förster ³ , Florian Obsersteiner ³ ,
7	0	vid O. Krüger ⁴ , Bruna A. Holanda ⁴ , Mira L. Pöhlker ^{4,a} , Katharina Kaiser ^{5,7} ,
8	Jo	hannes Schneider ⁵ , Birger Bohn ⁸ , Klaus Pfeilsticker ^{9,10} , Benjamin Weyland ¹⁰ ,
9	M	aria Dolores Andrés Hernández ⁶ , John P. Burrows ⁶
10		
11	1.	Research Center for Environmental Changes, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan
12 13	2.	Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (DLR), Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany
14 15	3.	Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institute of Meteorology and Climate Research, Karlsruhe, Germany
16 17	4.	Multiphase Chemistry Department, Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany
18 19	5.	Particle Chemistry Department, Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany
20	6.	Institute of Environmental Physics, University Bremen, Bremen, Germany
21 22	7.	Institute for Atmospheric Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz, Germany
23 24	8.	Institute of Energy and Climate Research IEK-8, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany
25 26	9.	Heidelberg Center for the Environment, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany
27	10	Institute of Environmental Physics, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany
28 29 30	;	^a now at: Faculty of Physics and Earth Sciences [,] Leipzig Institute for Meteorology, University of Leipzig/Experimental Aerosol and Cloud Microphysics Department, Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research, Leipzig, Germany
31		
32	*(Corresponding author
33	Cł	uan Yao Lin,
34	Re	search Center for Environmental Changes, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan
35	12	8 Sec. 2, Academia Rd, Nankang, Taipei 115, Taiwan
36	(E	E-mail: <u>yao435@rcec.sinica.edu.tw</u> , Tel.: +886-2-27875892, Fax: +886-2-27833584),

37 Abstract.

The Indochina biomass burning (BB) season in springtime has a substantial 38 39 environmental impact on the surrounding areas in Asia. In this study, we evaluated the environmental impact of a major long-range BB transport event on 19 March 2018 (a 40 41 flight of the HALO research aircraft, flight F0319) preceded by a minor event on 17 42 March 2018 (flight F0317). Aircraft data obtained during the campaign in Asia of the 43 Effect of Megacities on the transport and transformation of pollutants on the Regional 44 to Global scales (EMeRGe) were available between 12 March and 7 April 2018. In the 45 F0319, results of 1-min mean carbon monoxide (CO), ozone (O₃), acetone (ACE), 46 acetonitrile (ACN), organic aerosol (OA) and black carbon aerosol (BC) concentrations were up to 312.0 ppb, 79.0 ppb, 3.0 ppb, 0.6 ppb, 6.4 μ g m⁻³, 2.5 μ g m⁻³ respectively, 47 48 during the flight, which passed through the BB plume transport layer (BPTL) between 49 the elevation of 2000–4000 m over the East China Sea (ECS). During F0319, CO, O₃, ACE, ACN, OA and BC maximum of the 1 minute average concentrations were higher 50 in the BPTL by 109.0 ppb, 8.0 ppb, 1.0 ppb, 0.3 ppb, 3.0 μ g m⁻³ and 1.3 μ g m⁻³ 51 52 compared to flight F0317, respectively. Sulfate aerosol, rather than OA, showed the highest concentration at low altitudes (<1000 m) in both flights F0317 and F0319 53 54 resulting from the continental outflow in the ECS.

55 The transport of BB aerosols from Indochina and its impacts on the downstream 56 area was evaluated using a WRF-Chem model. The modeling results tended to overestimate the concentration of the species, with examples being CO (64 ppb), OA 57 $(0.3 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$, BC $(0.2 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$ and O3 $(12.5 \ ppb)$ in the BPTL. Over the ECS, the 58 59 simulated BB contribution demonstrated an increasing trend from the lowest values on 60 17 March 2018 to the highest values on 18 and 19 March 2018 for CO, fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}), OA, BC, hydroxyl radicals (OH), nitrogen oxides (NO_x), total reactive 61 nitrogen (NO_v), and O₃; by contrast, the variation of $J(O^1D)$ decreased as the BB 62

plume's contribution increased over the ECS. In the low boundary layer (<1000 m), the 63 64 BB plume's contribution to most species in the remote downstream areas was <20 %. 65 However, at the BPTL, the contribution of the long-range transported BB plume was as high as 30-80 % for most of the species (NO_y, NO_x, PM_{2.5}, BC, OH, O₃, and CO) over 66 South China (SC), Taiwan, and the ECS. BB aerosols were identified as a potential 67 68 source of cloud condensation nuclei, and the simulation results indicated that the 69 transported BB plume had an effect on cloud water formation over SC and the ECS on 70 19 March 2018. The combination of BB aerosol enhancement with cloud water resulted 71 in a reduction of incoming shortwave radiation at the surface in SC and the ECS which 72 potentially has significant regional climate implications.

73

74

75 **1 Introduction**

Biomass burning (BB) is one of the main sources of aerosols, greenhouse gases, and air 76 77 pollutants (e.g. Ramanathan et al., 2007; Lin et al., 2009; 2014; Tang, 2003; Carmichael et al., 2003; Chi et al., 2010; Fu et al., 2012; Lin N.H. et al., 2012; Chuang et al., 2016). 78 79 Reid et al. (2013) and Giglio et al. (2013) investigated the seasonal aerosol optical depth 80 over Southeast Asia and have indicated that Indochina is a major contributor of carbon 81 emissions in springtime. Galanter et al. (2000) estimated that BB accounts for 15-30 % 82 of the entire tropospheric CO background. Huang et al. (2013) indicated that the 83 contribution of BB in Southeast Asia to the aerosol optical depth (AOD) in Hong Kong 84 and Taiwan could be in the range of 26-62 %. Moreover, BB emissions over Indochina 85 are a significant contributor to black carbon (BC), organic carbon (OC), and O₃ in East Asia (Lin et al., 2014). In their BB modeling study, Lin et al. (2014) identified a 86 northeast (NE) to southwest (SW) zone stretching from South China (SC) to Taiwan 87 with a reduction in shortwave radiation of approximately 20 W m⁻² at the ground 88

surface. In addition, the total carbon emission from BB in Southeast Asia is 89 90 approximately 91 Tg C yr⁻¹, accounting for 4.9 % of the global total (Yadav et al., 91 2017). According to Xu et al. (2018), BB in Indochina leads to BC production at high concentrations of up to $2-6 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ in spring. The authors reported that BC particles 92 93 were transported to the glaciers in the Tibetan Plateau, where it significantly affected 94 the melting of the snow, causing some severe environmental problems, such as water 95 resource depletion. Ding et al. (2021) indicated that BB aloft aerosols strongly increase 96 the low cloud coverage over both land and ocean and affect the monsoon in the 97 subtropical Southeast Asia.

98 Although many researchers have indicated the importance of BB emissions, their precise estimation and applying in the modeling study remains challenging (Fu et al. 99 100 2012; Huang et al. 2013; Pimonstree et al. 2018; Marvin et al. 2021). For example, 101 Heald et al. (2003) conducted an emission inventory in Southeast Asia and reported that 102 the uncertainties of BB emission estimations could be a factor of three or even higher. 103 Following an inverse model analysis, Palmer et al. (2003) also indicated the 104 overestimation of regional BB emissions over Indochina. Shi and Yamaguchi (2014) pointed out BB emissions exhibited strong temporal interannual variability between 105 106 2001 and 2010 over southeast Asia. Satellite data can be used to easily locate hotspots such as those where agricultural residuals burning and forest wildfires are occurring 107 108 worldwide. However, accurately quantifying the amount of BB emission from satellite 109 data is difficult because anthropogenic pollutants and BB emissions are typically mixed 110 in the atmosphere. During the NASA Transport and Chemical Evolution over the 111 Pacific (TRACE-P) aircraft mission in spring 2001, Jacob et al. (2003) observed that 112 warm conveyor belts (WCBs) lift both anthropogenic and BB (from SE Asia) air pollution to the free troposphere, resulting in complex chemical signatures. 113 Wiedinmyer et al. (2011) demonstrated that the uncertainty of emission estimation 114

could be as high as a factor of 2 because of the error introduced by estimates in fire 115 116 hotspots, area burned, land cover maps, biomass consumption, and emission factors in 117 the model. In this context, Lin et al. (2014) highlighted the uncertainty of emission 118 estimation in the first version of Fire Inventory from NCAR (Wiedinmyer et al., 2011). 119 The transport of BB pollution is strongly dependent on the atmospheric structure 120 and weather conditions. Tang et al. (2003) noted that most BB aerosols, having their 121 source in Indochina (mainly south of 25 °N and be alofted to an altitude of 2000–4000 122 m) during the TRACE-P campaign were associated with outflow in the WCB region 123 after frontal passage. Lin et al. (2009) suggested a mountain lee-side troughs as an 124 important mechanism, resulting in BB product transport from the surface to >3000 m. BB pollution is often transported from its sources to the East China Sea (ECS), Taiwan, 125 126 and the western North Pacific within a few days.

The airborne field experiment EMeRGe (Effect of Megacities on the transport and 127 128 transformation of pollutants on the Regional to Global scales) over Asia was led by the 129 University of Bremen, Germany and conducted in collaboration with Academia Sinica, during 130 the inter-monsoon period in 2018 (http://www.iup.unibremen.de/emerge/home/home.html). The EMeRGe aircraft mission consists of two 131 parts. The first mission phase was conducted in Germany in July 2017 and the second 132 phase was conducted from Taiwan in 2018 (Andrés Hernández et al. 2022). EMeRGe in 133 Asia aimed at the investigation of the long range transport (LRT) of local and regional 134 135 pollution originating in Asian major population centers (MPCs) from the Asian 136 continent into the Pacific. A central part of the project was the airborne measurement 137 of pollution plumes on-board of the High Altitude and Long Range Research Aircraft (HALO). The HALO platform was based in Tainan, Taiwan (Fig. 1a-b), and made 138 optimized transects and vertical profiling in regions north or south of Taiwan, 139 dependent on the relevant weather and emission conditions. HALO measurements 140

141 additionally provide important information for the evaluation of the LRT of BB

emissions and its potential environmental impact in East Asia between 12 March and 7

143 April 2018. During the EMeRGe-Asia campaign, HALO carried out 12 mission flights

in Asia and 4 transfer flights from Europe to Asia with a total of 110 flight hours.

This paper is organized as follows: the model configuration and BB emission analysis employed in the model simulation are described in Section 2, and the weather conditions and HALO measurement results are presented in Section 3. The model performance, as well as the evaluation of BB product transport and effects on East Asia selected regions are discussed in Sections 4 and 5, respectively.

150

151 2 Aircraft data and Model configuration

152 2.1 HALO aircraft data

153 The HALO aircraft was equipped with a number of instruments and a detailed 154 description of the measurement systems onboard the HALO was presented in Andrés 155 Hernández et al.(2022). In this study, aerosol data (OA, BC, SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^{-} , NH_4^+), and 156 trace gases such as CO, SO₂, O₃, NO_x, NO_y, acetone (ACE), acetonitrile (ACN), HCHO,

157 HONO, and photolysis rate $J(O^1D)$, $J(NO_2)$ were employed in the analysis.

158 2.2 WRF-Chem Model and model configuration

We used the Weather Research Forecasting with Chemistry (WRF-Chem) model (Ver. 159 4.1.1) (Grell et al., 2005; Powers et al. 2017) to study the LRT of air masses associated 160 161 with BB pollutants in Indochina. The initial and boundary meteorological conditions 162 for WRF-Chem were obtained from National Centers for Environmental Prediction 163 (NCEP)-GDAS Global Analysis data sets at 6-h intervals. The Mellor-Yamada-Janjic planetary boundary layer scheme (Janjic, 1994) was applied. The horizontal resolution 164 for the simulations performed was 10 km, and the grid box had 442×391 points in the 165 east-west and north-south directions (Fig. 1a). A total of 41 vertical levels were 166

included, with the lowest level at an elevation of approximately 50 m. To improve the
accuracy of the meteorological fields, a grid nudging four-dimensional data
assimilation scheme was applied using the NCEP-GDAS Global Analysis data.

170 The cloud microphysics used followed the Lin scheme (Morrison et al., 2005). The 171 rapid radiative transfer model (Zhao et al., 2011) was used for both longwave and 172 shortwave radiation schemes. Moreover, land surface processes are simulated using the 173 Noah-LSM scheme (Hong et al., 2009). In terms of transport processes, we considered 174 advection by winds, convection by clouds, and diffusion by turbulent mixing. The removal processes in this study were gravitational settling, surface deposition, and wet 175 176 deposition (scavenging in convective updrafts and rainout or washout in large-scale precipitation). The kinetic preprocessor (KPP) interface was used in both of the 177 178 chemistry schemes of the Regional Atmospheric Chemistry Mechanism (RACM, 179 Stockwell et al., 1990). The secondary organic aerosol formation module, the Modal Aerosol Dynamics Model for Europe (Ackermann et al., 1998)/Volatility Basis Set 180 181 (Ahmadov et al., 2012), was also employed in the WRF-Chem model. In RACM, the 182 "KET" represents acetone and higher saturated ketones (KET) (Stockwell et al. 1997). 183 According to Singh et al. (1994), BB and the primary anthropogenic emissions could 184 contribute 26% and 3%, respectively, to the atmospheric acetone sources. The model 185 configuration and physics and chemistry options are listed in Table 1.

186

187 2.3 Emission Inventories

Anthropogenic emissions, such as NO_x, CO, SO₂, nonmethane volatile organic compounds, sulfate, nitrate, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5}, were adopted on the basis of the emission inventory in Asia – MICS-Asia III which is the year in 2010 (Li et al., 2020; Kong et al., 2020). For BB emissions FINNv1.5 (https://www.acom.ucar.edu/Data/fire/) was employed. FINN provided daily, 1000 m resolution, global estimates of the trace gas and particle emissions from open BB, which included wildfires, agricultural fires, and
prescribed burning but not biofuel use and trash burning (Wiedinmyer et al., 2011). The
anthropogenic emissions in Taiwan were obtained from the Taiwan Emission Data
System (TEDS) which is the emission inventory of the air-pollutant monitoring
database of the Taiwan Environmental Protection Administration. The TEDS version
used for this study was V9.0 (2013) and contained data on eight primary atmospheric
pollutants: CO, NO, NO₂, NO_x, O₃, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, and SO₂.

200

3 Characteristics of the field experiment

3.1 MODIS Aerosol optical depth and Weather conditions

Figures 2a and b visualizes the numerous fire hotspots and high aerosol optical depth 203 204 on 17 March 2018 registered by the MODIS satellite. Indeed, a large number of BB fire 205 hotspots frequently occurred over Indochina during the springtime (Lin et al. 2009; 2014) and EMeRGe-Asia campaign (Supplementary Figure S1). On 17 March 2018 at 206 207 06:00 UTC (14:00 LT; LT = UTC+8:00) the weather data indicated a series of high-208 pressure systems in northern China and a separate high-pressure system over the Japan 209 sea (Fig. 2c). At 1000 hPa, a strong northerly continental outflow was identified over 210 southern Japan, the ECS, and Taiwan (Fig. 2d). On 19 March 2018, a new frontal 211 system was located from Korea to the Guangdong province in SC (Fig. 2e). On the 212 same day at 06:00 UTC, a discontinued flow was identified at the frontal zone to the 213 north of Taiwan in the ECS (Fig. 2f). In other words, Taiwan was located at the 214 prefrontal and warm conveyor area due to the surrounding southerly flow on 19 March 215 2018 at 06:00 UTC (Figs. 2e and 2f, respectively). The southerly wind was gradually 216 replaced by the northeasterly after another frontal passage on 20 March 2018 at 00:00 217 UTC (data not shown).

In the upper layer (700 hPa; Figs. 2g–2j), the flow pattern differed from that at the

near-ground surface (1000 hPa; Figs. 2d and 2f). A southwesterly strong wind, coming 219 220 from the east side of the Tibetan Plateau in SC, moving to the North Eats i.e. Korea, is 221 converted to a polar front wave flow in northeastern China and Korea on 17 March 222 2018 (Fig. 2g). This high-elevation northward strong wind belt distribution at 700 hPa 223 was associated with a corresponding lee-side trough at the east of the Tibetan Plateau, 224 whereas a ridge was noted over the east coast of China on the same day (Fig. 2h). 225 Consistent with the mechanism reported by Lin et al. (2009), once a significant lee-side 226 trough formed, it provided favorable conditions for the upward motion over the lee-side 227 of the Tibetan Plateau and brought BB emission to the free troposphere layer following 228 the strong wind belt transport to the downwind area. After the weather system moved 229 to the east, the north–south trough turned to SW–NE such that the strong wind belt was 230 in an approximately SW-NE direction and located between 20 and 30 °N on 19 March 231 2018 (Figs. 2i and 2j). In conclusion, the Indochina BB pollutants were driven by the strong wind belt from Indochina, northward to SC on 17 March 2018 and then eastward 232 233 passing over Taiwan between 20 and 30 °N to the south of Japan on 19 March 2018.

3.2 Characteristics of LRT BB to the ECS by WRF-Chem model

235 Figure 3 shows latitude longitude plots of the simulated CO concentration 236 differences with and without BB emission at an elevation of 1000 m (Fig. 3a), mainly 237 in Indochina, SC, and the South China Sea on 17 March 2018. The ambient flow was 238 easterly and then northward from the South China Sea to SC at 1000 m elevation 239 between 00:00 and 12:00 UTC on 17 March 2018 (Fig. 3a-b). The BB plume 240 accumulated and persisted for an extended period in the lower part of the boundary layer on 17 and 19 March 2018 (Figs. 3a-b, and 3e-f). In contrast, the high CO 241 concentration followed the southwesterly or westerly strong wind belt (Figs. 3c-d, and 242 243 3g-h) and its weather conditions (Fig. 2) at an elevation of 3000-m (700 hPa). Following the movement of the ridge and trough at the 700 hPa geopotential height (Fig. 2h and 244

245 2j), the associated strong wind belt turned to move eastward in the SW–NE direction 246 between 17 and 19 March 2018. The BB plume transport over Indochina was affected by a fast-moving strong flow at 700 hPa (Fig. 2g and 2i), shifting the plume toward 247 Taiwan and the ECS, during 17-19 March 2018. The highest CO concentration 248 contributed by the BB plume was >150 ppb, originally sourced from Indochina, and it 249 250 was mainly transported northward on 17 March 2018 (Figs. 3c-d) and then covered a 251 large area in East Asia at a CO concentration of >100 ppb on 19 March 2018 (Figs. 3g-252 h). Figure 4 indicates simulation differences for the contribution of BB along an E–W 253 cross-section at 30 °N at 16:00 UTC on 18 March 2018 (Fig. 4a) and 06:00 UTC on 19 254 March 2018 (Fig. 4b). We noted that a strong wind at 2000 m elevation and a high CO 255 concentration (>70 ppb) due to BB at the BPTL. Moreover, the CO concentration 256 attributed to BB was low at the elevation of >4000 m on 19 March at 06:00 UTC (Fig. 4b), showing that the BB pollutants mainly affect altitudes below 4000 m. 257

258 **3.3 Aircraft measurements**

259 Two HALO flights were scheduled to the ECS to measure the pollutants following the 260 continental outflow; the flights departed on 17 (Fig. 5a) and 19 (Fig. 6a) March 2018 261 and followed similar tracks. To indicate the measurement results along the flight path, the 1-min average data is shown in Figures 5b and 6b. On 17 March 2018, the flight 262 departed from Tainan (Fig. 1b) at 01:09 UTC (09:09 LT) first southbound and then 263 northward to the ECS (Fig. 5a). The elevation for sample collection was mainly <4000 264 265 m, where the CO concentration was found to be <200 ppb in most cases on that day 266 (Fig. 5b). At elevations between 2000 and 4000 m, the concentration of the major aerosol components (i.e., OA, BC, SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^{-} , and NH_4^+) was mostly <2 µg m⁻³, 267 except just above western Taiwan after 08:00 UTC (Figs. 5a-5d). The peak 268 concentrations for OA, BC, SO_4^{2-} , NH_4^+ , and NO_3^- were 3.4, 1.2, 2.1, and 0.7 μ g m⁻³, 269 respectively, at the altitude between 2000 and 4000 m. SO_4^{2-} demonstrated the highest 270

271 concentration among the aerosol components, especially during 04:00-04:37 and 05:48–06:15 UTC (peaking at 5.1 μ g m⁻³) when the flight was north of 30 °N and an 272 elevation of <1000 m (Figs. 5a–5c). This result could be attributed to anthropogenic 273 pollution from the continental outflow (Lin et al. 2012) or probably part from Japan 274 contributed to the high sulfate concentration in the boundary layer over the ECS. As for 275 276 the trace gases such as ACE, ACN and O₃, their concentrations between 2000 and 4000 277 m were ranging between 1-2 ppb, 0.1-0.3 ppb, and 60-70 ppb (Fig. 5b), respectively, implying minor influence over the ECS by the BB plume in this flight. Figure 5e 278 illustrates the HYSPLIT (Stein et al., 2021) 96-h backward trajectories, which 279 identified the air mass origin starting at 02:00 UTC, followed by 04:00, 06:00, and 280 09:00 UTC. The continental outflow contributed to higher sulfate concentrations (3-5 281 μ g m⁻³ at 33 °N) at 04:00 and 06:00 UTC (Figs. 5b, 5c, and 5e) at <1000 m along the 282 flight path. In contrast, south of 25 °N and above Taiwan, the local pollution and 283 continental outflow are dominating sources on 17 March 2018. 284

285 The HALO flight on 19 March 2018 departed at 00:19 UTC (08:19 LT). It was bound northward and sampled air at an altitude of <4000 m most of the time, as shown 286 in Figures 6a and 6b. Figures 6c and 6d indicate the latitude-height variation of SO_4^{2-} 287 and OA mass concentrations along the flight path on 19 March 2018. As the flight left 288 Taiwan, it maintained an elevation of 3000 m during 01:00-02:00 UTC (Fig. 6a, 121-289 290 126 °E) and then descended to <1000 m during 02:00-02:40 UTC (Fig. 6b). The OA 291 mass concentration was higher at 3000 m than at the low altitude during 01:00-03:00292 UTC (Figs. 6b and 6d). In particular, CO, OA and BC exhibited a substantial peak concentration of 312 ppb, 6.4 μ g m⁻³ and 2.5 μ g m⁻³ at 01:54 and 02:51 UTC at 26 °N, 293 125–126 °E, and an altitude of 2000–4000 m, where a BPTL was observed. The trace 294 gases such as ACE, ACN, and even O₃ (Fig. 6b) have consistent peak times in the BPTL 295 with concentrations of 3.0 ppb, 0.6 ppb, and 79 ppb, respectively. In this flight, SO_4^{2-} 296

had the second-highest concentration among the aerosol components (1–2.4 μ g m⁻³; Figs. 6b and 6c) upstream of Taiwan (25–27 °N) during 1:00–3:00 UTC.

299 In the northern part of the flight between 03:00 and 05:00 UTC at an elevation of 300 >3000 m, the aerosol component concentrations were all at their lowest level (Figs. 6b-6d). During 05:00–07:00 UTC, the HALO aircraft flew back southward to 25 °N, where 301 302 high OA mass concentrations appeared again between 2000 and 4000 m (Figs. 6a, 6b, 303 and 6d). Sulfate was the species with the highest concentration between 05:30 and 304 06:30 UTC (Figs. 6b and 6c) when the flight's elevation was <1000 m in the lower boundary between 25 and 27 °N (upstream of Taiwan). The reason explaining this 305 306 observation is that the transport of anthropogenic pollutants of continental origin takes place mainly in the boundary layer (Figs. 6b–6d). Other aerosol species, such as NO₃⁻ 307 308 and NH4⁺, demonstrated low concentrations, except when the elevation was <1000 m, 309 where they ranged up to $1 \mu g m^{-3}$ (Fig. 6b).

The 96-h HYSPLIT backward trajectory starting from the flight locations at 310 311 02:00-07:00 UTC (Fig. 6e) indicated that the air masses at elevations between 2000 312 and 4000 m were potentially transported from Indochina. North of 30 °N and at altitudes 313 of >3000 m at 04:00 UTC, the concentrations of air pollutants (including OA, SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- , and NH_4^+) were low (Figs. 6b and 6e) even though the air mass in the low 314 boundary was sourced from SC and the Taiwan Strait. In general, the BPTL was mainly 315 316 located south of 30 °N as presented by Carmichael et al. (2003), and Tang et al. (2003). 317 However, the ACN still could be around 300ppt or less as the flight at the north of 30 °N 318 (during 3:30-4:30 UTC) and could be recognized as the contribution of BB (Förster et al. 319 2022). In other words, it might still have BB products being transported to the north of 30 320 N under favorable weather conditions although the ACN concentration was low compared to the south of it at the layer of BPTL(between 2000 and 4000 m). The fact that higher 321 OA was observed rather in the higher altitudes than in the lower boundary also 322

323 demonstrated the vertical distribution over the ECS.

324 Figure 7 displays the vertical distribution of the gases and major aerosol components found on the flights on 17 (blue) and 19 (green) March 2018 as well as the 325 mean concentrations noted in the seven flights (on 17, 19, 22, 24, 26, and 30 March and 326 4 April 2018; red) to the ECS during EMeRGe-Asia. Figure 7 illustrates all profiles 327 328 calculated as 1-min mean and every 500-m interval with one standard deviation $(\pm \sigma)$. 329 The number of the data points is displayed on the right side of each figure. The mean 330 CO concentration profile demonstrated a decreasing trend from 240 ppb near the ground to 150 ppb at an altitude of 2500 m and 140–160 ppb at altitudes >6000 m (Fig. 331 332 7a). The concentration for 17 March 2018 (flight F0317) was similar to the mean concentration profile, except for that at the <1500 m elevation in the lower boundary. 333 334 However, a higher CO concentration (40–80 ppb) enhancement was noted on 19 March 2018 (flight F0319) than the mean profile and flight F0317. The mean difference in CO 335 concentration between flights F0319 and F0317 was as high as 80 ppb at an elevation 336 337 of 3000-3500 m (Fig. 7a). Similarly, OA concentration was significantly higher in the BPTL vertical distribution in flight F0319 than in the mean profile and flight F0317 338 (Fig. 7b). The mean OA concentration for the flight F0319 peaked at an elevation of 339 2000–2500 m, increasing to $2 \mu g m^{-3}$ more than in the mean profile and flight F0317. 340 Other aerosol components such as SO_4^{2-} , NH_4^+ , and NO_3^- (Supplementary Fig. S2a-c) 341 342 also had a similar vertical distribution trend, but the concentration differences were 343 minor compared with OA concentrations. The magnitude of the maximum differences between the flights F0319 and F0317 in the BPTL was 1.3, 0.7, and 0.4 μ g m⁻³ for 344 SO₄²⁻, NH₄⁺, and NO₃⁻, respectively. The maximum difference concentration of BC can 345 be as high as $1.2 \mu g m^{-3}$ at 2000-2500 m between the flights F0319 and F0317 (Fig.7c). 346 Regarding the variations in ACN (Fig. 7d) and ACE (Fig. 7e) in the BPTL, their 347 maximum mean concentrations in the flight F0319 were higher than those in the profile 348

349 of the flight F0317 by 0.18 and 0.9 ppb, respectively. In other words, flight F0319 had 350 a more significant impact on the CO, OA, BC, and volatile organic compound (VOC) species such as ACN and ACE in the BPTL, which might account for the effect of BB 351 emission transport from Indochina. The ozone concentration was lower in both flights 352 F0317 and F0319 than in the mean profile at the elevations <2000 m (Fig. 7f). The 353 354 ozone titration by NO_x in the low boundary might also play a role. However, it was 355 approximately 5–7 ppb higher in the flight F0319 than in the flight F0317 between the 356 elevations of 1500 and 3000 m. In their downwind area, LRT of BB emissions might increase this concentration further at the BPTL (Tang et al., 2003; Lin et al., 2014) and 357 also discussed in section 4. By contrast, the J value $[J(O^1D)]$ (Fig. 7g) was higher for 358 359 flight F0317 than for F0319 in the elevation range 1000–3000 m, in line with high aerosol 360 concentrations and associated cloud enhancement that typically lead to decreased 361 photolysis frequencies [i.e., J(O¹D)] (Tang et al., 2003). Figure S3 (Supplementary) indicated the aircraft measurement for the J value (JO¹D) and CCN (Cloud 362 Condensation Nuclei; at a constant instrument supersaturation of 0.38 %) along the 363 flight on 19 March 2018. The CCN number concentration (per cm³), was consistently 364 365 increased with the aerosol species (such as OA) as the flight passed through the BPTL (2000-4000 m). Consistently, at altitudes >4000 m the presence of clouds below the aircraft 366 367 led to greater J values.

The concentrations of other species such as NO_y (Fig.7h) and HONO (Supplementary Fig. S2d) were also greater in flight F0317 than in flight F0319 by 0.4-1.2 ppb and 10-34 ppt, respectively, in the low boundary (<1500 m). At the BPTL, the concentration of NOy (1-2 ppb) in the flight F0319 was higher than in the flight F0317, but the difference was less than 0.6 ppb. The results from the TRACE-P campaign, which examined the Asian outflow of NO_y, also demonstrated large increases in NO_y concentrations (0.5-1 ppb) downwind from Asia. The NO_y consisted mainly of HNO₃ and peroxyacetyl nitrate (Miyazaki et al., 2003; Talbot et al., 2003).

376

377 4 Simulation results and discussion

4.1 Model performance and BB transport identification

Tables 2 and 3 and Fig. 8 plot the Pearson correlation coefficients between 5-min 379 380 merged observations on board the HALO and the simulation for flights F0317 and 381 F0319. Meteorological parameters such as potential temperature (theta), relative 382 humidity (RH), and wind speed (WS) were all captured well by the model along the HALO flight path on the 2 days. The correlation coefficient (R) for meteorological 383 384 parameters was high, ranging from 0.92 to 0.99 (Table 2). The strong correlation indicates the high representativeness of the reanalysis of meteorological data used in 385 386 the simulation. Among the trace species and aerosol components, toluene (TOL), NO_x, BC, OA, ketones (KET), HONO, SO₂, and HCHO demonstrated an R of >0.5 (good 387 correlation) and CO and O₃ showed an R of nearly 0.5 (Table 2). The simulation 388 389 performance was investigated in the BL (<1000 m; Fig. 8), at 2000–4000 m altitude (Table 3 and Fig. 8) and for the whole period of both flights (Table 2 and Fig. 8; blue 390 391 dot). Even in the BPTL, the simulated meteorological parameters presented a good correlation (R > 0.93), followed by OA, BC, KET, CO, O₃, NO_x as well as NH_4^+ and 392 NO_v (R > 0.5) (Table 3). In other words, at the BPTL, the R for the simulation 393 significantly increased for OA, BC, CO, O₃, NO_y and KET (Tables 2 and 3 and Fig. 8), 394 395 which are indicators for BB being a source of pollution in the model. In contrast, $SO_4^{2^-}$, 396 NO₃⁻, SO₂, NO_x, TOL, XYL, HCHO and HONO had better correlation in the lower part 397 of the boundary layer, at altitudes <1000 m (see Fig. 8) than in the BPTL. We explain 398 this by the transport of anthropogenic pollutants in the continental outflow in the lower 399 part of the boundary layer in ECS.

400

The modeling results tended to overestimate the concentration of the species, with

401 examples being CO (64 ppb), OA ($0.3 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$), BC ($0.2 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$) and O₃ (12.5 ppb; 402 Table 3) in the BPTL. Because high concentrations of CO, BC and OA in BPTL are 403 accurate indicators of BB in the model, the BB emission from the source of FINN data 404 are probably also overestimated (Lin et al., 2014). Except for OA and BC, the 405 correlations for other aerosol components such as NO₃⁻, and SO₄²⁻ were poor (0.13 and 406 0.2, respectively). The poor correlation for SO₄²⁻ may result from the large uncertainty 407 in the emission of SO₂.

Because the meteorological parameters were simulated well, the simulation 408 discrepancies for chemical species are either caused by the emission estimation 409 410 uncertainties or by inaccuracies in the simulation of chemical oxidation processes during LRT. Because CO, OA, and BC are accurate indicators of simulated BB 411 412 transport from Indochina (Carmical et al., 2003), the airborne measurements on board 413 the HALO are used as reference to evaluate the performance of the model for the flight 414 F0319 (Fig. 9). The 5-min merged simulation of CO concentration with (blue line) and 415 without (green line) BB was compared to that measured on board the HALO (red line); 416 the concentration was mostly in the range of 100–200 ppb, with its peak approaching 417 300 ppb (at 01:50, 02:50, and 04:00 UTC) at the BPTL (Fig. 9a). In general, the 418 simulation captured the CO variation along the flight path. However, it overestimated 419 the observations by nearly 100 ppb for the simulation with BB at the BPTL during 420 01:00-02:00, 03:40-04:20, 05:00-05:40, and 06:30-07:20 UTC (Fig. 9a). Notably, the 421 simulation difference was minor when the flight was in the lower part of the boundary 422 layer (02:30 and 06:00 UTC) i.e. < 1000m or at elevations of >4000 m (03:00-03:30 423 and 04:20–05:00 UTC). The model underestimated CO concentration in the lower part of the boundary (<1000 m) (02:30 and 05:50–06:30 UTC) over the ECS. In conclusion, 424 425 our model simulation overestimates BB emissions but underestimates continental CO emissions from China due to the underestimation of the emission inventory of the 426

427 MICS-Asia III (Kong et al.,2020) was adopted in this study.

428	OA and BC are also important BB indicators and were reasonably captured by the
429	model before 03:00 UTC when the flight was south of 28 °N at elevations of <4000 m
430	(Fig. 9 b-c). The time series of simulated OA and BC has peak concentrations of nearly
431	4-5.5 $\mu g~m^{-3}$ and 2 $\mu g~m^{-3},$ respectively, during HALO shuttle flights passing through
432	the BPTL (2000–4000 m) around 01:50 and 02:50 UTC. When BB emission was not
433	included in the simulation, the concentration peaks were not observed (see Fig. 9b-c,
434	green plot). Similar to the simulated CO results, the simulated OA and BC overestimate
435	the amounts of these species to the north of 28 °N during 03:30-04:20 UTC (Fig. 6a
436	and 9). Furthermore, when the simulation only considered direct effect (case ROCD,
437	purple), the overestimations were increased as shown in Figure 9b-c. As mentioned
438	earlier, a frontal system was just located from the ECS to SC (Fig. 2e) on 19 March
439	2018. In other words, the effect of wet scavenging reduced the aerosol concentration
440	bias in the ECS and SC, as for the frontal system providing the moist air mass in the
441	event flight F0319. The model after 07:30 UTC, which was related to local emissions
442	before HALO landed over western Taiwan on 19 March 2018. In general, our model
443	simulation captured reasonably well OA and BC with an R of 0.61 and 0.74,
444	respectively. A minor mean bias for OA (BC) is 0.3 $\mu g~m^{-3}$ (0.1 $\mu g~m^{-3})$ and the root
445	mean square error (RMSE) of OA (BC) is $1.1 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ (0.4 $\mu g \ m^{-3}$) (Table 2). The R for

446	OA (BC) reached 0.85(0.79), with an RMSE of 0.7 μ g m ⁻³ (0.5 μ g m ⁻³) when we
447	calculated the BB transport layer only between 2000 and 4000 m (Table 3 and Fig. 8).
448	In addition to OA and BC, simulated aerosol species such as SO4 ²⁻ was overestimated,
449	whereas NO_3^- was underestimated although their concentrations were low (Table 3).
450	Because the BPTL was mainly between altitudes of 2000 and 4000 m, the subsequent
451	discussion focuses on the influence of the BPTL from Indochina on the downstream
452	areas, particularly the ECS and Taiwan.

453 4.2 Effects of LRT BB plume from Indochina on East Asia

454 To investigate the regional impacts of BB plume transport from Indochina, we 455 compared the simulation with and without BB emission for the events on 17 and 19 456 March 2018. The analysis of the calculations focused on the impact over SC, Taiwan 457 and ECS. These three selected regions are SCA (in South China), TWA (covered the 458 whole Taiwan), and ECSA (in the ECS) as shown in Figure 1a. After being emitted the 459 BB pollutants from Indochina were then transported northward to China and subsequently northeastward. The exact flow pattern depended on the weather 460 conditions and flow types (ridge or trough) at 700 hPa (3000 m) between 17 and 19 461 462 March 2018 (see Fig. 2). Consequently, we investigated the hourly variation in the area 463 mean concentrations or mixing ratios of air pollutant trace constituents to assess the importance of BB emissions from Indochina on the selected downstream region e.g. the 464 465 ECSA (Fig. 10), SCA, TWA and ECSA (Table 4). The contribution of CO (or others 466 species) due to BB was estimated by the difference between simulations with and without the BB emission. These differences are then expressed as a fraction in 467 468 percentage shown in Figure 10 (blue line). The mean concentration of CO (red line)

over the ECSA (Fig. 10a) was at its lowest (115 ppb) on 17 March 2018; it gradually 469 470 increased to a peak concentration of 280 ppb on 18 March 2018 and then remained stable at 260 ppb on 19 March 2018. The contribution of CO from BB (blue line) 471 ranged from 19 % (<22 ppb) on 17 March 2018 to a peak of 42 % (~113 ppb) on 18 472 March 2018 and then gradually declined to 26 % on 19 March 2018 (Fig. 10a). As 473 474 for OA (BC), the lowest percent contribution by BB was 14-16% (<5%) between 16 475 and 17 March 2018 while the highest could be more than 40% (80%) during 18 and 19 March 2018 (Fig. 10b and c). The BB contributed to $PM_{2.5}$ was 19 % (0.39 µg m⁻³) on 476 17 March 2018 (Fig. 10d), increasing to 45 % (3.6 µg m⁻³) on 18-19 March 2018 477 478 because the BB plume spread by the strong wind to the ECSA.

The variation of O_3 (Fig. 10e) depends on transport and photochemistry, which 479 480 involves the precursors NO_x and VOC and the photolysis frequency of NO₂, J(NO₂). 481 For the elevations between 2000–4000 m, O₃ changes are similar to those of CO, NO_x and KET, which were mainly contributed by the LRT BB plume and related to the 482 483 ozone precursor after 18 March 2018. The lowest and highest O₃ concentrations on 17 and 18 March 2018 were 56 and 75 ppb, respectively, of which we estimate that 5.6 484 ppb (10 %) and 34 ppb (45 %) were BB's contributions, respectively. Although the 485 486 mean NO_x concentration was relatively small (0.06–0.18 ppb), the BB contributed 35– 70 % (0.02–0.13 ppb) during 17–19 March 2018 (Supplementary Fig. S4a). The KET 487 concentration was in the range 0.4 to 2.7 ppb, with BB contributing nearly 20-26 % 488 489 (0.08–0.7 ppb) during 17–19 March 2018 (Supplementary Fig. S4b).

The area-mean OH contributed by BB increased from its lowest level (<30 %) on
17 March 2018 to its highest (nearly 70 %) on 19 March 2018 (Fig. 10f). HO₂ was also
observed to increase trend from 10 % to 40 % during daytime over the period 17–19
March 2018 (Supplementary Fig. S4c). The amounts of the oxidizing agent, OH, and
the free radical HO₂ depend on the amounts of trace gases, which produce and remove

these radicals, (eg. NO_x, water vapor, ozone, hydrocarbons, etc.) and the relevant photolysis frequencies $J(O_3 \rightarrow O^1D)$, $J(NO_2)$ etc.. Thus trace constituents from BB were expected to increase OH and HO₂. However, BB's contribution to photolysis frequencies $J(O_3 \rightarrow O^1D)$ (Fig. 10g), $J(NO_2)$ (Supplementary Fig. S4d) etc. decreased as the mean BB aerosol concentration increased over the ECS during 17–19 March 2018. This is because photolysis calculation results used simulated aerosol and cloud formation, which increased over the ECSA (Fig. 12).

The NO_y, mean concentration ranged from 1.0 to 4.5 ppb, of which BB's 502 contribution was from 55 to 82 % (Supplementary Fig. S4e). Such a high contribution 503 504 from BB also demonstrated the effects of long-distance transport. Figure 10h indicates an increasing trend of HCHO concentration from 17 to 19 March 2018. HCHO 505 506 formation and destruction depend on the rate of reaction of OH with HCHO precursors and the rate of reaction of HCHO with OH and the photolysis frequency of HCHO. As 507 508 a result, HCHO production varied with OH concentration. The lowest and highest 509 concentrations of HCHO were on 17 and 19 March 2018, respectively. In summary, 510 the consistent variations in BB contributions to CO, OA, BC, PM_{2.5}, OH, HCHO, NO_x, NO_{v} , and O_{3} peaked on 18 or 19 March 2018, whereas $J(O^{1}D)$ decreased between 17 511 and 19 March 2018. 512

Figure 11 displays the fraction in % that the long-range transported BB emission 513 contributes to the amounts of NO_x, NO_y, PM_{2.5}, OA, BC, OH, O₃, CO, KET, HO₂, 514 515 HCHO and $J(O^{1}D)$, over the ECSA on 17 and 19 March 2018. Except for NO_v, BB 516 contribution was generally <11 % at elevations of <1000 m over the ECSA. The scatter 517 distribution of the simulation results indicates that the effect of BB emission at elevations of <1000 m (Fig. 11a) was significantly lower than that between the 518 elevations of 2000 and 4000 m (Fig. 11b). For NO_v, NO_x, PM_{2.5}, BC, OH, O₃, and CO, 519 the BB contribution was >30 % at the elevation of 2000–4000 m over the ECSA (Fig. 520

11b). Table 4 further summarizes the effect of BB emission on the downwind areas 521 522 (SCA, TWA, and the ECSA) at the <1000 m and 2000-4000 m elevations. The contribution of BB to NO_v, NO_x, PM_{2.5}, BC, OH, O₃ and CO was at least 30–80 % at 523 the elevation of 2000–4000 m over the regions SCA, TWA and ECSA (Table 4). In the 524 lower boundary layer (i.e. <1000 m), the BB contribution for most species at the remote 525 526 downstream areas was <20 %, except for TWA. Because of the high mountains (Lin et 527 al. 2021) present in TWA, the BB plume passing over Taiwan was potentially 528 transported downward through mountain-valley circulation to the lower boundary layer (Ooi et al., 2021). The influence of BB over TWA was the highest among these three 529 530 downstream regions (see Table 4) as its location was directly on the transport pathway for the BB plume on the major event day (flight F0319). 531

Figure 12a displays the simulated cloud water difference with and without BB 532 emission over different regions on 17 and 19 March 2018. BB aerosols are a potential 533 source of cloud nuclei. The simulations show the impact of BB on cloud water 534 535 enhancement (Fig. 12a) in the vertical distribution. Cloud water enhancement over SCA 536 was associated with aerosol enhancement from the BB in the altitude range 1000–4000 m: the peak being 1.8-2.0 mg kg⁻¹ at 2000 m on these 2 days (Fig. 12a). The abundance 537 538 of BB emissions transported from Indochina to SCA (Fig. 3) is expected to contribute to the high cloud water formation over SCA. Furthermore, the southerly flow (Fig. 3) 539 that transports warm and moist air mass from the South China Sea may have favored 540 541 cloud formation in flights F0317 and F0319. High cloud water related to BB can be 542 seen in the simulations of these two days. In the remote ECSA regions, the cloud water 543 substantially increased on 19 March 2018 (Fig. 12a) compared to 17 March 2018 544 because of a significant difference in BB emissions transported to the ECSA between 17 and 19 March 2018 (Fig. 3). Similarly, the cloud water enhancement over Taiwan 545 also only appeared on 19 March 2018 (Fig. 12a). Furthermore, nearly no difference in 546

547 the cloud water vertical distribution over the region IDCA (Fig. 1a) in Indochina was 548 noted because in the Indochina region, spring is the dry season (Lin et al., 2009) and thus unfavorable for cloud water formation. Figure 12b shows the cloud water 549 550 difference when the aerosol indirect effect turned off in the simulation over different regions on 19 March 2018. The significant cloud water shortage over ECSA, and SCA 551 552 could be as high as 2.4 mg/kg and 1.5 mg/kg, respectively (Fig.12b). In other words, 553 the role of the chemistry-microphysics interactions (indirect effect) plays an important 554 role in the cloud water enhancement in the SCA and ECSA in this study.

The simulated downward shortwave flux at the noontime at ground surface due 555 556 to BB was 2-4% and 5-7% reduction over the regions ECSA and SCA, respectively, 557 (supplementary Fig. S5a-b, blue line) during 18-19 March 2018. However, a significant 558 shortwave flux reduction at the noontime at ground surface could be 15-20% due to aerosol indirect effect in the region SCA during 18-19 March 2018 (supplementary Fig. 559 S5a-b blue dashed line). The combination of BB aerosols enhancement and increased 560 561 cloud water results in shortwave radiation reduction, implying the possibility of 562 regional climate change in East Asia driven by BB aerosols.

563

564 **5. Summary**

The BB during spring in Indochina has a significant impact on the chemistry and composition of the troposphere in the surrounding regions of East Asia. During the EMeRGe campaign in Asia, atmospheric pollutants were measured on board the HALO aircraft. In this study, a minor long-range BB transport event was observed from Indochina on 17 March 2018 (flight F0317), followed by a major long-range BB transport event on 19 March 2018 (flight F0319). The impact on tropospheric trace constituent composition and the environment has been investigated.

572

2 During the major BB transport event F0319, the 1-min mean of the peak

573 concentrations of the trace constituents CO, O3, ACE, ACN, OA and BC between the 574 altitudes of 2000 and 4000 m over the ECS were 312.0 ppb, 79.0 ppb, 3.0 ppb, 0.6 ppb, 575 $6.4 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$, 2.5 $\ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ respectively. In comparison during the F0317 event CO, O3, 576 ACE, ACN, OA and BC were 203.0 ppb, 71.0 ppb, 2.0 ppb, 0.3 ppb, 3.4 $\ \mu g \ m^{-3}$, 1.2 577 $\ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ respectively.

578 When the elevation was <1000 m for both the F0317 and F0319 events, the sulfates, 579 rather than OA, had the highest concentrations. The peak concentration could be as high 580 as $5.1 \,\mu g \, m^{-3}$ in the low boundary for the event F0317 in the ECS. This observation is 581 most likely explained by a continental outflow from regions having fossil fuel 582 combustion in the lower boundary layer over the ECS.

In this study, the WRF-Chem model was employed to evaluate the BB plume 583 584 transported from Indochina and its influence on the downstream areas including South China, Taiwan, and the ECS. The contribution of the BB plume for most species in the 585 remote downstream areas was <20 % in the lower boundary layer (altitude <1000 m). 586 587 In comparison, the contribution of long-range transported BB plume was 30-80 %, or even higher, for many of the trace constituents (NO_y, NO_x, CO, OH, O₃, BC and PM_{2.5}) 588 in the altitude range between 2000 and 4000 m for SC, Taiwan, and the ECS. The large 589 590 influence of BB over Taiwan is most probably because the BB transport passes directly over Taiwan. 591

BB aerosols are potential sources of cloud nuclei. The WRF simulations estimate the effect of the BB plume on cloud water formation over SC and the ECS. We observe in the simulations cloud water enhancement over SC at elevations of 1000–4000 m. This increase of cloud water is consistent with an increase in aerosol, caused by BB emissions, transported from Indochina to SC. In remote regions of the ECS, the simulated cloud water was significantly larger during the major BB event on 19 March 2018 than the minor BB event on 17 March 2018. The simulated decrease of the

599 photolysis frequency $(J(O^1D) \text{ and } J(NO_2))$ is attributed to the difference in aerosol 600 concentrations and associated cloud enhancement between the two events over the ECS. 601 This we explain by the significant differences in BB emissions transported to the ECS 602 between the two events. The combination of increased BB aerosol concentration and 603 increased amounts of cloud water led to reductions in the amount of incoming 604 shortwave radiation at the surface over the ECS and SC. This influences tropospheric 605 chemistry and composition, regional climate, precipitation, ocean biogeochemistry, 606 agriculture, and human health.

607

608 Data availability

- 609 The EMeRGe data are available at the HALO database
- 610 (https://doi.org/10.17616/R39Q0T, DLR, 2022) and can be accessed upon registration.
- 611 Modeling data can be made available upon request to the corresponding author.
- 612 *Author contribution*

CYL conceived the idea, analyzed the data, writing and editing of the manuscript. WNC 613 614 and YYC run the model and analyzed the data. CKC joined the manuscript 615 discussion.CYLiu provided the MODIS data. HZ and HS provided trace gases data. EF 616 provided acetonitrile data. FO performed the ozone measurement. OOK, BAH and 617 MLP were responsible for the BC measurement. KK and JS were responsible for C-ToF-MS measurements. KP and BW provided HONO data. JPB and MDAH led the 618 619 EMeRGe-Asia experiment. All authors have read and agree to the published version of 620 the manuscript.

- 621 *Competing interests*
- 622 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

623 Acknowledgments:

- 624 The accomplishment of this work has financial support from the Ministry of Science
- 625 and Technology, Taiwan, under grants MOST 108-2111-M-001-002, 109-2111-M-001-
- 626 004 and 110-2111-M-001-013. We thank to National Center for High-performance
- 627 Computing (NCHC) for providing computational and storage resources.
- 628 The HALO deployment during EMeRGe was funded by a consortium comprising the
- 629 German Research Foundation (DFG) Priority Program HALO-SPP 1294, the Institute
- of Atmospheric Physics of DLR, the Max Planck Society (MPG), and the Helmholtz

Association. Johannes Schneider and Katharina Kaiser acknowledge funding throughthe DFG (project no. 316589531).

633 References:

- Ackermann, I. J., Hass, H., Memmsheimer, M., Ebel, A., Binkowski, F. S., and Shankar,
 U.: Modal aerosol dynamics model for Europe: development and first applications,
 Atmos. Environ., 32, 2981–2999, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(98)00006-5,
- **637** 1998.
- 638 Ahmadov, R., McKeen, S. A., Robinson, A. L., Bahreini, R., Middlebrook, A. M., de 639 Gouw, J. A., Meagher, J., Hsie, E.- Y. Edgerton, E., Shaw, S., and Trainer, M.: A volatility basis set model for summertime secondary organic aerosols over the 640 eastern United States 2006, J. 641 in Geophys. Res., 117, 642 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016831, 2012.
- 643 Andrés Hernández, M. D., Hilboll, A., Ziereis, H., Förster, E., Krüger, O. O., Kaiser, K., Schneider, J., Barnaba, F., Vrekoussis, M., Schmidt, J., Huntrieser, H., 644 Blechschmidt, A.-M., George, M., Nenakhov, V., Harlass, T., Holanda, B. A., Wolf, 645 J., Eirenschmalz, L., Krebsbach, M., Pöhlker, M. L., Kalisz Hedegaard, A. B., Mei, 646 L., Pfeilsticker, K., Liu, Y., Koppmann, R., Schlager, H., Bohn, B., Schumann, U., 647 648 Richter, A., Schreiner, B., Sauer, D., Baumann, R., Mertens, M., Jöckel, P., Kilian, M., Stratmann, G., Pöhlker, C., Campanelli, M., Pandolfi, M., Sicard, M., Gómez-649 650 Amo, J. L., Pujadas, M., Bigge, K., Kluge, F., 770 Schwarz, A., Daskalakis, N., Walter, D., Zahn, A., Pöschl, U., Bönisch, H., Borrmann, S., Platt, U. and Burrows, 651 J. P.: Overview: On the transport and transformation of pollutants in the outflow of 652 major population centres -observational data from the EMeRGe European intensive 653 654 operational period in summer 2017. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 5877-5924, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-5877-2022, 2022. 655
- 656 Carmichael, G. R., Tang,Y., Kurata, G., Uno, I., Streets, D., Woo, J.-H., Huang, H.,
 657 Yienger, J., Lefer, B., Shetter R. et al.: Regional-scale chemical transport modeling
 658 in support of the analysis of observations obtained during the TRACE-P experiment,
 659 J. Geophys. Res., 108(D21), 8823, doi:10.1029/2002JD003117, 2003.
- Chuang, M.T., Fu, J.S., Lee, C.T., Lin, N.H., Gao, Y., Wang, S.H., Sheu, G.R., Hsiao,
 T.C., Wang, J.L., Yen, M.C., Lin, T.H. and Thongboonchoo, N.: The simulation of
 long-range transport of biomass burning plume and short-range transport of
 anthropogenic pollutants to a mountain observatory in East Asia during the 7SEAS/2010 Dongsha Experiment. Aerosol Air Qual. Res. 16: 2933–2949, 2016.
- 665 Chi K H., C. Y. Lin, C.F.Ouyang, J.Lin Lin, N.H. Lin, G.R. Sheu, C. T. Lee: PCDD/F
 666 Measurement at a High-altitude Station in Central Taiwan: Evaluation of Long-range
 667 Transport of PCDD/Fs during the Southeast Asia Biomass Burning Event,

- 668 Environmental Science & Technology,44,2954-2960, DOI: 10.1021/es1000984
 669 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es1000984, 2010.</u>
- Ding K., Huang X., Ding A., Wang M., Su H., et al.: Aerosol-boundary-layer-monsoon
 interactions amplify semi-direct effect of biomass smoke on low cloud formation in
 Southeast Asia., Nature Communications, 12:6416, 2021.
- 673 Förster, E., Bönisch, H., Neumaier, M., Obersteiner, F., Zahn, A., Hilboll, A., Kalisz
- Hedegaard, A. B., Daskalakis, N., Poulidis, A. P., Vrekoussis, M., Lichtenstern, M.,
 and Braesicke, P.: Chemical and dynamical identification of emission outflows
- during the HALO campaign EMeRGe in Europe and Asia, Atmos. Chem. Phys.
 Discuss. [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2022-455, in review, 2022.
- 678 Fu, J. S., Hsu, N. C., Gao, Y., Huang, K., Li, C., Lin, N.-H., and Tsay, S.-C.: Evaluating
- the influences of biomass burning during 2006 BASE-ASIA: a regional chemical
 transport modeling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 3837–3855,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-3837-2012, 2012.
- Galanter, M., Levy, H., Carmichael, G. R.: Impacts of biomass burning on tropospheric
 CO, NOx, and O3, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 105, 6633-6653, 2000.
- 684 Giglio, L., Randerson, J.T., Werf, G.R.V.D.: Analysis of daily, monthly, and annual
 685 burned area using the fourth-generation global fire emissions database (GFED4). J.
 686 Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. 118 (1), 317–328., 2013.
- Grell, G. A., Peckham, S. E., Schmitz, R., McKeen, S. A., Frost, G., Skamarock, W. C.,
 and Eder, B.: Fully coupled "online" chemistry within the WRF model, Atmos.
 Environ., 39, 6957–6975, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.04.027, 2005.
- 690 Heald, C. L., Jacob D.J., Fiore, A.M., Emmons, L. K., et al.: Asian outflow and trans-
- 691 Pacific transport of carbon monoxide and ozone pollution: An integrated satellite,
 692 aircraft, and model perspective, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D24), 4804,
 693 doi:10.1029/2003JD003507, 2003.
- Hong, S., Lakshmi V., Small, E.E., Chen, F., Tewari, M., Manning, K.W.: Effects of
 vegetation and soil moisture on the simulated land surface processes from the
 coupled WRF/Noah model. J Geophys Res 114(D18), D18118, 2009.
- Huang, K., Fu, J. S., Hsu, N.C., Gao, Y., Dong, X., Tsay, S. C., Lam, Y. F.: Impact
 assessment of biomass burning on air quality in Southeast and East Asia during
 BASE-ASIA, Atmospheric Environment, 78, 291e302, 2013.
- Jacob, D.J., Crawford, J. H. Kleb, M. M., Connors, V. S, Bendura, R. J., Raper, J. L.,
 Sachse, G. W., Gille, J. C., Emmons, L., Heald, C. L.: The transport and chemical
 evolution over the pacific (trace-P) aircraft mission: design, execution, and first
 results. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 108 (D20), 2003.
- Janjic, Z.I.: The step-mountain eta coordinate model: further developments of the
 convection,viscous layer, and turbulence closure schemes, Mon.Wea. Rev., 122,

706 927–945, 1994.

- Kong L., Tang X., Zhu J., Wang Z., Fu J.S., Wang X., et al.: Evaluation and uncertainty 707 investigation of the NO2, CO and NH3 modeling over China under the framework 708 709 of MICS-Asia III. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 181–202, 2020. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-181-2020 710
- 711 Li, J., Nagashima, T., Kong, L., Ge, B., Yamaji, K., Fu, J. S., Wang X., Fan, Q., Itahashi,
- S.,et al.: Model evaluation and inter-comparison of surface-level ozone and relevant
 species in East Asia in the context of MICS-ASIA phase III Part I: overview. Atmos.
- 714 Chem. Phys., 19, 12993–13015, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-12993-2019, 2019.
- 715 Lin, C.Y., Hsu, H.M., Lee, Y.H., Kuo, C. H., Sheng, Y.F., Chu, D. A.: A new transport
- 716 mechanism of biomass burning from Indochina as identified by modeling studies.,
 717 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 7901-7911. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-7901-2009,2009.
- 718 Lin, C. Y., Chou, C.C.K, Wang, Z., Lung, S.C., Lee, C. T., Yuan, C.S., Chen, W. N.,
- Chang, S. Y., Hsu, S. C., Chen, W. C., Liu, Shaw. C.: Impact of different transport
 mechanisms of Asian dust and anthropogenic pollutants to Taiwan. Atmospheric

721 Environment, 60,403-418, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.06.049</u>, 2012.

- Lin, C. Y., Zhao, Liu, C. X, Lin, N. H., Chen, W. N.: Modeling of long-range transport
 of Southeast Asia biomass burning pollutants to Taiwan and their radiative forcing
 over East Asia, *Tellus B*, 66, 1-17. 23733. *http://dx.doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v66.23733, 2014.*
- Lin, C.Y., Sheng, Y. F., Chen, W. C., Chou, C.C. K., Chien, Y. Y., Chen, W. M.: Air
 quality deterioration episode associated with typhoon over the complex topographic
 environment in central Taiwan. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 16839-16910.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-16893-2021</u>, 2021.
- Lin, N.H., Tsay, S.C., Reid, J. S., Yen, M.C., Sheu, G. R., Wang, S.H., Chi, K.H., et al. :
 An overview of regional experiments on biomass burning aerosols and related
 pollutants in Southeast Asia: From BASE-ASIA and Dongsha Experiment to 7SEAS. Atmos. Environ. 78: 1–19, 2012.
- Marvin, M. R., Palmer P. I., Latter, B. G., Siddans, R., Kerridge, B.J., Latif, M. T., Khan,
 M. F.: Photochemical environment over Southeast Asia primed for hazardous ozone
 levels with influx of nitrogen oxides from seasonal biomass burning. Atmos. Chem.
- 737 Phys., 21, 1917–1935. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-1917-2021</u>, 2021.
- Miyazaki Y., Kondo Y., Koike M., Fuelberg H. E., Kiley C. M., Kita K., Takegawa N.,
 Sachse G. W., Flocke F., Weinheimer A. J., Singh H. B., Eisele F. L., Zondlo M.,
- 740 Talbot R. W., Sandholm S. T., Avery M. A., Blake D. R.: Synoptic-scale transport of
- reactive nitrogen over the western Pacific in spring, J. Geophys. Res.,
 108(D20),8788, doi:10.1029/2002JD003248., 2003.
- 743 Morrison H., Curry, J.A., Khvorostyanov, V.I.: A new double-moment microphysics

- parameterization for application in cloud and climate model. PartI: Description. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences.*, 62, 1665-1676, 2005.
- Neu, J. L., and Prather, M. J.: Toward a more physical representation of precipitation
 scavenging in global chemistry models: Cloud overlap and ice physics and their
 impact on tropospheric ozone. Atmo. Chem. and Phys., 12, 3289–3310.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-3289-2012,2012
- Palmer, P. I., Jacob, D. J., Jones, D. B. A., Heald, C. L., Yantosca, R. M., Logan, J. A.,
 Sachse, G. W. and Streets, D. G.: Inverting for emissions of carbon monoxide from
 Asia using aircraft observations over the western Pacific, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(D21),
 8828, doi:10.1029/2003JD003397, 2003.
- Pimonsree, S., Vongruang, P., Sumitsawan, S.: Modified biomass burning emission in modeling system with fire radiative power: Simulation of particulate matter in Mainland Southeast Asia during smog episode. *Atmospheric Pollution Research*, 9, 133-145. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2017.08.002, 2018.
- Powers G., J. B. Klemp, W. C. Skamarock, C. A. Davis, J. Dudhia, D. O. Gill, et al. The
 weather research and forecasting model Overview, System Efforts, and Future
 Directions. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 98,1717-1737, 2017.
- Ramanathan, V., Ramana, M. V., Roberts, G., Kim, D., Corrigan, C., Chung, C., and
 Winker, D.: Warming trends in Asia amplified by brown cloud solar absorption. *Nature*, 448, 575-U575, 2007.
- Reid, J. S., Hyer, E. J., Johnson, R. S., Holben, B. N., Yokelson, R. J., Zhang, J., et al. :
 Observing and understanding the Southeast Asian aeroslo system by remote sensing:
 An initial review and analysis for the Seven Southeast Asian Studies (7SEAS)
 program, Atmospheric Research, 122, 403-468, 2013.
- Shi, Y., Yamaguchi, Y.: A high-resolution and multi-year emissions inventory for
 biomass burning in Southeast Asia during 2001-2010. Atmospheric Environment,
 98, 8-16, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.08.050, 2014.
- Singh H.B., Hara D.O., Herlth D., Sachse W., Blake D.R., Bradshaw J.D., Kanakidou
 M., Crutzen P. J., Acetone in the atmosphere: Distribution, sources, and sink., J.
 Geophys. Res., 99,1805-1819, 1994.
- 574 Stein, A. F., R. R. Draxler, G. D. Rolph, B. J. B. Stunder, M. D. Cohen, and F.
- 775 Ngan. : NOAA's HYSPLIT Atmospheric Transport and Dispersion Modeling
- 776 System, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 96, 12 (2015): 2059-
- 777 2077, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00110.1</u>, 2021.
- Stockwell, W. R., Middleton, P., Chang, J. S., and Tang, X.: The second generation
 regional acid deposition model chemical mechanism for regional air quality
 modeling, J. Geophys. Res., 95, 16343–16367, 1990.
- 781 Stockwell, W. R., Kirchner F., Kuhn M.: A new mechanism for regional atmospheric

chemistry modeling, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 25847–25879, 1997.

- Talbot, R., Dibb, J., Scheuer E., Seid G., Russo R., Sandholm S., Tan D., Singh H.,
 Blake D., Blake N., Atlas E., Sachse G., Jordan C., Avery M., 2003: Reactive
 nitrogen in Asian continental outflow over the western Pacific: Results from the
 NASA Transport and Chemical Evolution over the Pacific (TRACE-P) airborne
 mission. J. Geophys. Res., 108 (D20), doi:10.1029/2002JD003110, 2003.
- Tang, Y., Carmichael, G. R., Woo, Jung-Hun, Thongboonchoo N., Kurata, G., Uno,I.,
 Streets D. G., et al.: Influences of biomass burning during the Transport and
 Chemical Evolution Over the Pacific (TRACE-P) experiment identified by the
 regional chemical transport model, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D21), 8824, 2003.
- Wiedinmyer, C., Akagi, S. K., Yokelson, R. J., Emmons, L. K., Al-Saadi, J.A., Orlando,
 J. J., and Soja, A. J.: The Fire INventory from NCAR (FINN): a high resolution
 global model to estimate the emissions from open burning, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 4,
 625-641, doi:10.5194/gmd-4-625-2011, 2011.
- Xu, R., Tie, X., Li, G., Zhao, S., Cao, J., Feng T., Long X., Effect of biomass burning
 on black carbon (BC) in South Asia and Tibetan Plateau: The analysis of WRF-Chem
 modeling. Science of the Total Environment , 645,901-912., 2018.
- Yadav, I. C., Devi, N. L., Li, J., Syed, J. H., Zhang, G., Watanabe, H.: Biomass burning
 in Indo-China peninsula and its impacts on regional air quality and global climate
 change-a review. Environmental Pollution, 227, 414-427, 2017.
- Zhao, C., Liu, X., Leung, L. R. and Hagos, S.: Radiative impact of mineral dust on
 monsoon precipitation variability over West Africa. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **11**, 18791893, 2011.
- 805 806

820 Table 1: WRF-Chem model configuration and physics and chemistry options in this

- 821 study. (RRTMG=Rapid Radiative Transfer Model for General Circulation Models;
- 822 FINN=Fire Inventory from National Center for Atmospheric Research)

Resolution	10km
Microphysics	Lin
Cumulus parameterization	Grell 3D ensemble scheme
Planetary Boundary Layer	Mellor-Yamada-Janjic TKE scheme
Longwave radiation	RRTMG
Shortwave radiation	RRTMG
Fire emissions	FINN V1.5
Anthropogenic emissions	MICS-Asia III(2010) + Taiwan Emission Data
	System ver 9.0 (2013)
Biogenic emissions	MEGAN V2.04
Chemistry option	RACM Chemistry with MADE/VBS aerosols using
	KPP library along with the volatility basis set
	(VBS) used for Secondary Organic Aerosols
Photolysis option	Madronich
wet scavenging	On, (Neu and Prather, 2012)
Cloud chemistry	On,
feedback from the aerosols to the	On
radiation schemes	
the time interval for calling the	180 min
biomass-burning plume rise subroutine	
feedback from the parameterized	On
convection to the atmospheric radiation	
and the photolysis schemes	
Subgrid-scale wet scavenging	on
Subgrid aqueous chemistry	on

833 Table 2 Observed and simulated mean values for bias (BIAS), root mean square error

834 (RMSE), and correlation coefficients (R) for EMeRGe HALO flights on 17 and 19

835 March 2018. KET*: the observed Acetone is applied to compare with simulated ketones

836 (KET).

	OBS_ave	SIM_ave	BIAS	RMSE	R
THETA(K)	304.8	304.2	-0.6	1.1	0.99
WS(m/s)	9.1	8.5	-0.6	2.0	0.94
RH(%)	63.6	62.9	-0.6	10.7	0.92
OA(µg/m ³)	1.2	1.4	0.3	1.1	0.61
$BC(\mu g/m^3)$	0.4	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.74
$SO_4^{2-}(\mu g/m^3)$	1.1	2.5	1.4	2.3	0.42
$NO_3^-(\mu g/m^3)$	0.2	0.6	0.5	2.1	0.31
$NH_4^+(\mu g/m^3)$	0.4	0.7	0.3	1.2	0.49
CO(ppb)	170.8	191.8	20.9	72.8	0.45
SO ₂ (ppb)	0.2	0.7	0.4	1.2	0.55
O ₃ (ppb)	59.7	63.2	3.5	14.4	0.43
NO _x (ppb)	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.72
NO _y (ppb)	1.2	2.6	1.3	2.3	0.03
KET [*] (ppb)	1.4	1.6	0.1	0.9	0.59
TOL(ppb)	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.75
XYL(ppb)	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.40
HCHO(ppb)	0.1	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.51
HONO(ppt)	10.5	1.0	-9.4	15.3	0.56

Table 3 Observed and simulated mean values at an elevation between 2 km and 4 km

639 for bias (BIAS), root mean square error (RMSE), and correlation coefficients (R) during

EMeRGe HALO flights on 17 and 19 March 2018. KET*: the observed Acetone is

applied to compare with simulated ketones (KET).

	OBS_ave	SIM_ave	BIAS	RMSE	R
THETA(K)	307.5	306.7	-0.7	0.9	0.98
WS(m/s)	8.2	7.9	-0.3	1.7	0.93
RH(%)	55.8	56.0	0.2	7.6	0.96
$OA(\mu g/m^3)$	1.3	1.6	0.3	0.7	0.85
$BC(\mu g/m^3)$	0.4	0.7	0.2	0.5	0.79
$SO_4^{2-}(\mu g/m^3)$	0.8	2.5	1.7	2.1	0.20
$NO_3(\mu g/m^3)$	0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.3	0.13
$NH_{4}^{+}(\mu g/m^{3})$	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.52
CO(ppb)	164.4	228.7	64.2	85.4	0.58
SO ₂ (ppb)	0.0	0.7	0.6	0.9	0.07
O ₃ (ppb)	60.1	72.6	12.5	15.0	0.55
NO _x (ppb)	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.53
NO _y (ppb)	1.0	3.6	2.6	3.0	0.51
KET [*] (ppb)	1.5	2.0	0.5	1.0	0.70
TOL(ppb)	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.16
XYL(ppb)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.17
HCHO(ppb)	0.1	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.25
HONO(ppt)	6.0	0.6	-5.4	7.2	0.23

...

862	Table 4: Simulated biomass burning contribution (with and without BB emission in
863	Indochina) in percentage (%) on 17 and 19 March, 2018 for different regions: SCA,
864	TWA, ECSA as shown in Figure 1a

SCA		TV	VA	ECSA		
Average	<1KM	2-4KM	< 1KM	2-4KM	< 1KM	2-4KM
NOy	13.6	72.2	39.7	83.3	14.8	69.9
NO _x	-1.3	58.1	2.9	71.1	1.4	51.0
PM _{2.5}	7.5	46.0	15.1	55.6	7.6	34.4
OA	5.3	41.4	7.5	48.1	4.4	28.5
BC	8.0	79.5	16.4	81.4	6.8	47.9
ОН	14.7	43.8	24.1	67.4	9.2	48.3
O3	18.8	34.2	23.2	39.2	9.2	31.3
СО	9.8	31.7	21.9	38.4	11.1	32.2
KET	6.2	17.8	9.5	27.5	7.2	24.7
НСНО	-4.2	9.8	-4.8	20.6	-4.7	10.4
HO_2	8.8	2.6	15.2	35.8	6.3	23.2
$J(O^1D)$	-1.5	-0.8	-1.1	0.5	-1.5	-1.0



Figure 1 (a) Configuration of Weather Research and Forecasting model domain,
topography, and location of proposed study areas in East Asia, namely IDCA (Indochina
area), SCA (southern China area), TWA (Taiwan area) and ECSA (East China Sea area,
respectively. (b) The HALO flights on 17, 19, 22, 24, 26, 30 March, and 04 April
during EMeRGe Asia campaign. Different colors indicated different flights over East
Asia. Maps and plots were produced using NCAR Command Language (NCL) version
6.6.2.



10°N

100°E

2

120°E

8

10

6

4

140°E (m/s)

915

(a) MODIS fire hot spots on 17 March 2018 (source: https://modis-916 Fig.2 fire.umd.edu/guides.html) and (b) Composited Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) from 917 918 MODIS onboard NASA Terra satellite. The Collection 6.1 AOD is downloaded from 919 NASA Earth Data website (https://www.earthdata.nasa.gov/learn/find-data), and 920 composted for 0110, 0115, 0120, 0125, 0130, 0250, 0255, 0300, 0305, 0310, 0430, 921 0435, 0440, 0445, 0610, 0615, 0620, 0745 and 0750UTC data granules on 17 March 2018. (c) weather Chart at 06:00 UTC on 17 March 2018 (d) 1000 hPa streamlines at 922 923 06:00 UTC, 17 March 2018 (e) and (f) same as (c) and (d) but on 19 March 2018 ;(g) 700 hPa streamlines at 06:00 UTC, on 17 March 2018 (h) 700 hPa geopotential height 924 at 06:00 UTC, on 17 March 2018; (i) and (j) same as (g) and (h) but on 19 March 925 926 2018.

927 Near-surface weather charts and satellite images were provided by Central Weather928 Bureau (CWB) Taiwan. The near-surface and 700 hPa streamlines and geopotential

929 height were deduced from NCEP Reanalysis data. Maps and plots were produced using

930 NCAR Command Language (NCL) version 6.6.2.

931 (e)

(f)









934 (g)





(h)





i)





(j)

- 976 Fig. 2 i-j continued





Fig 3 e-h: Simulated wind field (m s⁻¹) and concentration (unit: ppb) difference with
and without BB emission for CO on 19 March, 2018 at 00:00 UTC (e, g) and 12:00
UTC (f, h) for 1km altitude (e, f) and 3km altitude (g, h).





1053

Fig. 5 (a) The HALO flight and detailed locations on 17 March 2018. (b) Flight altitude 1054 1055 and 1-min mean of observed concentrations for CO (upper), Organic aerosol (OA), BC aerosol (BC), SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻, NH₄⁺ (middle), O₃, acetone (ACE) and acetonitrile (ACN) 1056 (bottom) on 17 March. (c) The observed SO_4^{2-} mass concentration by HALO along 1057 1058 with height-latitude variations on 17 March 2018 (d) The observed OA mass 1059 concentration by HALO along with height-latitude variations on 17 March 2018 (e) 1060 Result of the HYSPLIT model backward trajectory analysis started at the location of the HALO flight path at 02:00, 04:00, 06:00, 09:00 UTC on 17 March 2018. 1061





Figure 6 (a) The HALO flight and detailed locations on 19 March. (b) Flight altitude 1114 and 1-min mean of observed concentrations for CO (upper), Organic aerosol (OA), BC 1115 aerosol (BC), SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻, NH₄⁺ (middle), O3, acetone (ACE) and Acetonitrile (ACN) 1116 (bottom) on 19 March 2018. (c) The observed SO_4^{2-} mass concentration by HALO 1117 along with height-latitude variations on 19 March 2018 (d) The observed OA mass 1118 concentration by HALO along with height-latitude variations on 19 March 2018 (e) 1119 Result of the HYSPLIT model backward trajectory analysis started at the location of 1120 1121 the HALO flight path at 02:00, 04:00, 05:00, 07:00 UTC on 19 March 2018.







- --/-









1184 Figure 7 continued

- ------





Fig. 8 Correlation Coefficient (R) between observation and simulation along with the
HALO flights at the elevations 0-1 km, 2-4 km, and the whole track (all) on 17 and 19
March 2018.





Figure 10 Hourly variation of simulated mean concentration (red) and contributed by
BB (%, blue) between 2 km and 4 km over the region ECSA in Fig.1a during 15-19
March 2018. (a) CO (b) OA (c) BC (d) PM_{2.5} (e)O₃ (f) OH (g) J(O¹D), and (h) HCHO



