



1

1 2	Measurement Report: Observed Increase in Southern Hemisphere Reflected Energy from Clouds During December 2020 and 2021
3	Jay Herman <sup>1</sup> , Liang Huang <sup>2</sup> , David Hafner <sup>2</sup> , Adam Szabo <sup>3</sup>
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	

<sup>1</sup>University of Maryland Baltimore County JCET, Baltimore, Maryland USA

<sup>2</sup>Science Systems and Applications, Lanham, Maryland, USA

<sup>3</sup>NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland USA

Corresponding Author email: <u>herman@umbc.edu</u>





2

#### 14 Abstract

15 Measured backscattered UV radiances at 388±1.5 nm are converted to Lambert Equivalent Reflectivity (LER) are from EPIC (Earth Polychromatic Imaging Camera) onboard the DSCOVR spacecraft (Deep Space 16 17 Climate Observatory) orbiting about the Sun-Earth Lagrange-1 (L<sub>1</sub>) gravitational balance point. The 18 average percent of reflected solar energy in the 388±1.5 nm band is 29.2% of the global incident solar 19 energy in that band. Maximum reflected 388 nm solar energy R<sub>SE</sub>, mostly from clouds, occurs during 20 summer solstice in each hemisphere, December in the Southern Hemisphere SH and June in the 21 Northern Hemisphere NH. The global average R<sub>SE</sub> (90°S to 90°N) has a maximum in December and a 22 minimum in June showing that the SH cloud reflected energy is greater than that in the NH. 23 Backscattering from land and oceans at 388 nm is small, since the average clear-sky reflectivity of the 24 Earth's surface free of snow and ice is about 0.05. Calculations of R<sub>SE</sub> based on the 388 nm LER show a 25 7% increase during December 2020 in  $R_{SE}$  at 40°S to 50°S when the backscatter angle  $B_A$  was 178.05°, 26 and 6% at  $30^{\circ}$ S to  $40^{\circ}$ S in November 2021 when  $B_{A} = 177.5^{\circ}$  compared to previous years, 2015-2019, 27 with a smaller B<sub>A</sub>. Comparison of 380 nm R<sub>SE</sub> at 40<sup>o</sup>S to 50<sup>o</sup>S during December 2020 from the low Earth 28 polar orbiting nadir mapper in the Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS-NM) near 13:30 local solar 29 time suggest that there has been a 5% increase in SH cloud reflection during December 2020 compared 30 to previous years. This suggests that the observed increase by EPIC is mostly from an increase in cloud cover and not from enhanced backscatter. In the NH R<sub>sE</sub> values at large EPIC B<sub>A</sub> (177.5<sup>o</sup> in June 2020 and 31 32 178.2° in June 2021) between 30°N to  $60^{\circ}$ N show a percent decrease 4.8% in R<sub>sE</sub> at 45°N during June 2021 and a 6% increase during June 2020 at 55°N compared to the previous 4 years. This also suggests 33 34 that the increase and decrease in R<sub>SE</sub> are probably related to changes in cloud cover and not backscatter 35 angle effects. Annual integrals of percent reflected solar energy over complete years are almost constant at all latitudes. 36

37





3

## 39

41

# 40 1.0 Introduction

42 Climate Observatory) observed almost the full illuminated Earth's disk since June 2015 from an orbital 43 position near the Earth-Sun  $L_1$  point (Lagrange-1 point) approximately  $1.5 \times 10^6$  km from the Earth. The 44 spacecraft orbit consists of an approximately 6-month non-repeating tilted Lissajous figure about L1 that 45 varies in distance from the Earth (±1x10<sup>5</sup> km). The changing orbit causes variations in the instrument's 46 small satellite viewing angle from the Sun-Earth line (SEV) (Marshak et al., 2021). Recently (2020-2021), 47 the SEV minimum has decreased from  $4^{\circ} - 6^{\circ}$  to slightly less than  $2^{\circ}$  (backscatter angle  $B_{A} = 178^{\circ}$ ), which might cause the observation of reflected radiances to increase compared to observations from smaller 48 49 backscattering angles (Marshak et al., 2021, Penttila et al., 2021). The largest enhanced backscatter

The EPIC satellite instrument (Earth Polychromatic Imaging Camera) onboard the DSCOVR (Deep Space

- effects for small SEV arise in the Near IR (NIR) from vegetation with smaller effects from clouds in the
   visible and NIR (Marshak et al., 2021).
- 52 This paper will examine the cloud-reflected energy in the narrow band 388±1.5 nm where there is 53 Rayleigh scattering but almost no atmospheric absorption or seasonal dependence of the low surface 54 reflectivity from land, vegetation, or oceans. Data obtained from two observational platforms will be 55 compared, one from the EPIC instrument at L1 and the second from the nadir mapper in the Ozone 56 Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS) onboard the joint NASA/NOAA Suomi National Polar-orbiting 57 Partnership (Suomi NPP) satellite in an Earth inclined low Earth polar orbit crossing the equator at about 58 13:30 local solar time. OMPS-NM occasionally observes at large backscattering angles in the equatorial 59 region but never at higher latitudes. 60 The EPIC instrument observes the Earth in 10 narrow band filter channels from 317.5 nm to 780 nm 61 (317.5, 325, 340, 388, 443, 551, 680, 688, 764, and 780 nm) using a 30-cm aperture telescope imaging
- 62 on a 2048x2048 hafnium coated CCD (Charge Coupled Detector) with a field of view of 0.62° viewing the
- 63 Sun illuminated Earth with a nominal angular size of 0.5<sup>o</sup>. Instrument details and calibration are
- discussed in Herman et al. (2018) and Marshak et al. (2018). EPIC obtains between 13 to 22 images per
- 65 24 hours depending on the amount of time during a day the receiving antenna at Wallops Island,
- 66 Virginia (38<sup>o</sup>N latitude) is in view of the spacecraft.
- 67 The OMPS suite of instruments consists of three spectrometers, a spatial nadir mapper OMPS-NM, a
- nadir looking ozone profiler, and a limb viewing profiler. Of the three, this paper uses the data from the
- 69 downward looking spatial mapper OMPS-NM at 380±0.55 nm. The OMPS-NM side-to-side looking nadir
- 70 mapper spectrometer (side-swath of 2000 km) has a spectral range of 300 to 380 nm with a spectral
- 71 resolution of 1.1 nm and a nadir spatial resolution of 50 x 50 km<sup>2</sup> (Jaross et al., 2012; McPeters et al.,
- 72 2019).
- 73 Unlike the visible and near-IR channels of EPIC, the 380 nm and 388 nm UV reflectivities of clear-sky
- 74 snow/ice-free scenes are very low over both land and oceans for both EPIC and OMPS-NM. The average
- 75 clear-sky Lambert Equivalent Reflectivity (LER) (Bhartia et al., 1993, Krotkov et al., 1998, 2001; Herman
- 76 et al., 2001, Herman et al., 2018) of the snow/ice free Earth's surface is about 0.05 (Herman and





4

- 77 Celarier, 1997) after the calculated Rayleigh scattering amount from the surface to the top of the
- 78 atmosphere TOA has been subtracted. The observed UV reflectivity is almost independent of seasonal
- 79 surface vegetation effects and shadowing effects from the terrain and vegetation and comes mostly
- 80 from clouds (Herman et al., 2018).
- This paper will examine the reflected solar energy  $R_{SE}$  in the EPIC narrow band 388±1.5 nm and the
- 82 OMPS-NM 380±0.55 nm band. Other than Rayleigh scattering the sources of reflection are from the
- 83 clouds, snow/ice, aerosols, land and oceans. The main interest here is the relative year-to-year change
- in R<sub>SE</sub> from 388±1.5 nm or 380 ± 0.55 nm solar irradiance reflected from the illuminated portion of the
- Earth as seen by EPIC and OMPS during the period June 2015 to June 2021. This includes the period
- 86 (2020-2021) when the minimum EPIC SEV angles were near 2<sup>o</sup> (B<sub>A</sub> near 178<sup>o</sup>), compared to previous
- 87 years 2015-2019 when the minimum SEV angles were larger (4<sup>o</sup>- 6<sup>o</sup>). The goal is to see which latitude
- 88 bands contribute to the apparent increased back reflection observed by EPIC in June and December
- 2020 and 2021, and to compare changes in percent reflected energy with SEV angles and thecorresponding measurements from OMPS-NM.
- 91 The values of reflected energy for OMPS-NM have less point-to-point variation than those for EPIC since
- 92 the OMPS-NM is observing mid-day zonal average reflected radiances while EPIC observes spatially
- 93 resolved reflected radiances from near sunrise to near sunset. The quantities of interest are the relative
- values for each observing instrument during each summer maxima for June and December 2020 and
- 95 2021 as a function of latitude compared to the maxima in previous years, 2015-2019.

96 The 1 AU solar flux at 388 nm is approximately 1.04 W m<sup>-2</sup>nm<sup>-1</sup> (Thuillier et al., 2003) and at 380 nm is 97 1.3 W m<sup>-2</sup>nm<sup>-1</sup>. The EPIC filter has an almost rectangular Full Width Half Maximum band pass of  $\Delta\lambda$  = 3 98 nm (Herman et al., 2018) yielding a solar irradiance at 1 AU at the top of the atmosphere of  $E_{TOA}$  = 3.12 99 Wm<sup>-2</sup>. For NPP-NM at 380 $\pm$ 0.55 nm, E<sub>TOA</sub> is 1.43 Wm<sup>-2</sup>. All of the following results will be expressed as a percent reflected energy incident on the area of a flat disk of radius  $R_{E}$ ,  $\pi R_{E}^{2}$ ,  $P_{SE}(t,\theta)$  weighted by the 100 101 area of each latitude band and the cosine of the solar zenith angle SZA appropriate for the latitude  $\theta$ , 102 longitude, and time t from start of the EPIC ozone data on 17 June 2015 18:44:39 GMT. The EPIC Ps<sub>E</sub>(t, $\theta$ ) 103 are corrected for the change in the amount of illuminated Earth seen by EPIC caused by changes in orbit 104 observing angles during 2021-2022 compared to previous years.

#### 105 2.0 Reflected Solar Energy RsE and PsE

106 The intensity of the solar irradiance F<sub>SUN</sub>(t) at a given Greenwich Mean Time (GMT) on the Earth's

- surface is reduced in proportion to the cosine of the solar zenith angle SZA =  $\zeta(\theta, \phi, \delta)$ , where  $\phi$  =
- 108 longitude,  $\theta$  = latitude, and  $\delta$  = the Earth's declination angle -23.45°  $\leq \delta \leq$  23.45°, Eqs. 1-9. Further
- 109 variation is given by the changing Sun-Earth distance caused by the approximately elliptic orbit of the
- 110 Earth about the Sun. The illuminated Earth of mean radius  $R_E = 6371$  km is divided into latitude by
- longitude grids of  $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$ . The reflected energy  $E_i(\theta_i,t)$  contribution of each latitude band  $\theta_i$  is
- approximately proportional to the illuminated latitude-band area A<sub>i</sub> on the Earth (Eqs. 1-5) from M
- illuminated grid points. For convenience, the small ( $2\Delta\theta = 0.25^{\circ}$ ) latitude band contributions can be
- summed into 18 ten-degree latitude bands  $-90^{\circ} < \theta < 90^{\circ}$  using Eq. 6 with the energy reflected in each





5

- band in Watts (Eq. 8), or in percent of R<sub>SE</sub> appropriate for a given latitude band (Eq. 9). This is followed
- 116 by a correction for the changing orbit in 2020-2021.
- 117 From Eqs. 1-9, the EPIC sunrise to sunset 388±1.5 nm reflected energy (90°S to 90°N) from clouds,
- aerosols, the surface, and snow/ice is P<sub>SE</sub> = 29.2% of the incident solar energy including the surface
- 119 contribution. Annual integrals of reflected energy are almost constant.
- 120 For OMPS-NM, the spatial grid is  $\Delta \theta = 0.5^{\circ}$  and  $\Delta \phi = 0.5^{\circ}$  corresponding to its coarser spatial resolution
- 121 and the summation in Eq. 5 is over 360° since OMPS-NM makes 1 measurement per 24 hours for a given
- grid point at fixed solar time near 13:30 local solar time. N = 40 for EPIC in Eq. 7 and 20 for OMPS-NM.

$$A_{i}(\theta, \varphi, \Delta \theta) = 2\pi R_{E}^{2} [Sin(\theta_{i} + \Delta \theta) - Sin(\theta_{i} - \Delta \theta)] \frac{\Delta \varphi}{2\pi}$$
(1)

$$A_{i}(\theta_{i},\phi,\Delta\theta) = R_{E}^{2}B_{i}(\theta_{i},\Delta\theta)\Delta\phi = \text{Area of one grid box}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where 
$$B_i(\theta_i, \Delta \theta) = 2 \cos(\theta_i) \sin(\Delta \theta)$$
 (3)

and Δ
$$\phi$$
 = 0.25π/180 and Δθ = 0.125π/180 (4)

$$E_{i}(\theta_{i},t) = R_{E}^{2}F_{Sun}(t)\sum_{j=1}^{M} [\cos(\zeta(\theta_{i},\phi_{j},t))L_{ER}(\theta_{i},\phi_{j},t)]B(\theta_{i},\Delta\theta)\Delta\phi$$
(5)

$$\mathbf{E}_{i}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}, \mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{R}_{E}^{2} \mathbf{F}_{Sun}(\mathbf{t}, \Delta \lambda) \mathbf{G}_{i}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}, \mathbf{t}) \qquad (Watts) \tag{6}$$

$$G_{k}(\theta_{k},\Delta\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} G_{j,k}(\theta_{j,k}) \text{ for } k = 1,18 \text{ for the band width } \Delta\theta = \frac{\pi}{18}$$
(7)

$$I(t, \theta_k, \Delta \theta) = F_{Sun}(t, \Delta \lambda) R_E^2 G_k(\theta_k, \Delta \theta) \qquad (Watts)$$
(8)

$$P_{SE}(t,\theta_k,\Delta\theta) = 100 \frac{G_k(\theta_k,\Delta\theta)}{\pi} \qquad (Percent)$$
(9)

123The global percent reflected energy  $P_{SE}$  appears to increase in the SH during December 2020 (SEV =124 $1.95^{\circ}$ ) (Table 1) compared to previous years (2015-2019) when the SEV angles are larger. Part of the1252020 - 2021 increase may be caused by enhanced backscatter (Marshak et al., 2021) from clouds and126part from the Earth's surface. There are also small SEV angles in June 2021 that corresponds to the127maximum reflected energy in the NH that do not show and enhanced  $P_{SE}$ . Sections 2.1 and 2.2 will show128which latitude bands contribute to the global (90°S to 90°N) increased reflected energy during 2020 –1292021 shown in Fig. 1.









Fig.1 Panel A: Percent reflected solar energy  $P_{SE}$  for the Earth from 90°S to 90°N in the narrow band 388±1.5 nm (black circles one for each EPIC scene) from clouds, aerosols, and surface as a function of time and SEV angle (orange curve – right axis). There are about 6000 points per year. Panel B: 2-week running average to more clearly show the December and June peaks.

131

132	Table 1 Minimum SEV angles	
122	Date	SEV (Deg)
133	19 March 2020	3.25
134	16 June 2020	2.46
154	15 September 2020	2.10
135	11 December 2020	1.95
	7 March 2021	2.05
136	3 June 2021	1.83
	2 September 2021	1.88
137	29 November 2021	2.53

138

139 Most of the apparent scatter of data points for EPIC in Fig. 1 is not measurement noise but instead is the 140 result of obtaining multiple measurements of the rotating Earth every day. Figure 2 contains a small 141 subset of data points obtained from 20 March 2016 to 30 March 2016 showing the successive maxima 142 occurring over the Pacific Ocean and the minima over Africa. This is opposite of the behavior for the 143 visible and NIR channels that have much higher surface reflectivity, especially over Africa and over 144 vegetation. Since the data are from March, the NH winter cadence applies for 13 points per 24 hours 145 compared to the NH summer cadence of 21 points per 24 hours. The same interpretation of apparent 146 scatter in EPIC data points applies to every figure. The summer/winter difference in cadence is caused 147 by the location of the data receiving antenna at Wallops Island, Virginia (37.9°N) changing the number 148 of hours the spacecraft is in view.







Fig. 2 EPIC's daily variation of P(t) caused by the Earth's rotation for  $\Delta \theta = 90^{\circ}$ S to  $90^{\circ}$ N from 20 March 2016 to 30 March 2016 corresponding to the grey circles in Figure 1A. The numbers 20 to 30 represent the dates in March 2016.

149

#### 150 2.1 Southern Hemisphere

151 The maximum percent reflected energy PsE in each hemisphere occurs during their respective summer

152 months corresponding to the minimum SZA at a given latitude caused by the varying solar declination

angle  $\pm 23.45^{\circ}$  during the earth's annual orbit about the sun. In the SH there is a clear P<sub>SE</sub> increase (Fig.3)

154 in December 2020 (SEV=1.95°) for latitudes greater than 30° compared to previous years. A smaller

increase in  $P_{SE}$  occurs at the end of November 2021 (SEV = 2.53°). In the NH there are also

156 correspondences of the summer P<sub>SE</sub> maxima with minimum SEV angle (1.83<sup>o</sup>) in June 2021 and June

157 2020 (2.46°). As shown later, NH P<sub>SE</sub> values do not show an increase compared to previous years.

The SH quantity of interest is the peak during December 2020 when the SEV angle was about 1.95°
compared to the average peak of the preceding years when the SEV angle was 6° to 7° (Figs. 1 and 3).
Because of the small SEV angle, EPIC observes more of the illuminated disk at SEV=2° than at SEV=6°.
The missing area near the Earth's limb rotates east and west and north and south with the satellite orbit.
The worst case for mid-latitudes is when the orbit is aligned with the latitude of interest. After cosine
weighting for reflected energy from the Earth's edges, there is an increase in observed reflected energy
at mid-latitudes when the SEV angle is 2° compared to 6° from just the observing geometry.

165 Figure 3 shows ratio of observed cosine weighted illuminated areas as a function of month of the year

166 for four SH latitude bands as observed by EPIC during 2020-2021 with SEV  $\approx 2^{\circ}$  to that during the years

167 2015-2019 when SEV was  $4^{\circ}$ - $6^{\circ}$ . The ratios of the observed areas in December, A<sub>c</sub> = Area in 2020/<Area

168 2015-2019>, are 1.03 for 35°S, 55°S, and 65°S, and 1.04 for 45°S, where <...> denotes average. To

169 compare the reflected energy from 2015-2019 to that from 2020 Eqs. 8 and 9 for 2020 must be divided

by A<sub>c</sub>. for this purpose, the smoothed Loess(2-months) data are used (Cleveland, 1981). The results are

171 shown in Fig.4 for four latitude bands.







Fig. 3 The ratio The ratio  $R_A = A(2020)/\langle A(2015-2018) \rangle$  of the Earth's area within the specified latitude bands seen by EPIC in 2020 to that seen during the years 2015-2019. In December 2020, the ratio is 1.03 for 35°S, 55°S, and 65°S, and 1.04 for 45°S. The red curve is Loess(2-months). The inset in 70°S-60°S shows the details near December 2020.

172

Figure 4 shows SH EPIC percent reflected energy P<sub>SE</sub>(388±1.5 nm) for six 10<sup>0</sup> wide latitude bands 173 174 compared to the SEV angles with  $R_A = A(2020)/(A(2015-2018))$  applied. The dates of the minimum SEV 175 angles are shown in Table 1 with a clear minimum SEV angle match on 11 December 2020 and 29 176 November 2021 (Fig. 3 and Appendix Fig. 1A), except at latitudes poleward from 70°S where the peak P<sub>SE</sub> occurs just after the SEV minimum. At mid-latitudes, 30<sup>o</sup>S to 70<sup>o</sup>S the SEV December minimum and 177 178 the  $P_{SE}$  maximum match closely. For lower latitudes 0 – 20, the  $P_{SE}$  peaks do not show and increase and 179 are significantly wider. 180 The approximate equivalent of a seven-day least-squares running average (Cleveland, 1981), Loess(f),

where f= fraction of data points in the entire time series, is performed on the data set corresponding to 181

182 approximately 13 illuminated Earth views per 24 hours in December using Loess(0.035). For the 7 days

surrounding the minimum SEV=1.96° on 10 December the range of SEV angles that are included in the 183

averaged  $P_{SE}$  spans 1.96° to 2.43°. The specific day of the December peak  $P_{SE}$  varies with latitude so that 184

- 185 it occurs slightly after the 10 December minimum SEV at latitudes 90°S to 70°S but closely matches the
- minimum SEV time for latitudes 70°S to 10°S with lower latitudes 10°S to 40°S having a wider peak (Fig. 186 4).
- 187







Fig. 4 EPIC SH percent reflected solar energy in the  $P_{SE}(388\pm1.5 \text{ nm})$  band (grey circles), the SEV angles (orange). Magnified details are shown in the Appendix Fig. A1.

188

189 An alternate graph comparing different years is shown in monthly average  $P_{SE}$  (Fig. 5) for the SH showing







Fig. 5 Monthly average of SH annual time series of percent reflected energy at 388±1.5 nm for 5 years, 2015 – 2021 for 4 latitude bands.

191

192The results of Figs. 4 and 5 are summarized in Fig. 6 as a function of latitude showing comparisons of the193 $P_{SE}$  peak values in December 2020 and November 2021 compared to previous years. The maximum  $P_{SE}$  is

194 at 45<sup>o</sup>S during December 2020 (4.19%, orange curve) compared to the 4-year average (3.77%). Similar

data from November 2021 shows almost no difference (3.89%) compared to the 4-year average (3.77%).









Fig. 6 One-week least squares running averages Loess(0.035) of  $P_{SE}$  values from Fig. 3 as a function of latitude for the periods Dec 2020 (SEV = 1.95°) and November 2021 (SEV = 2.53°) compared to the average of the preceding 4 years, 2015-2018 (4° < SEV < 6°). The symbols <A-B> denote average. The inset shows the percent difference  $P_D$  as defined in Eq. 10.

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{D}} = \mathbf{100} \left( \frac{\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{SE}}^{\mathrm{Y}} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{SE}}^{\mathrm{Avg}}}{\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{SE}}^{\mathrm{Avg}}} \right) \qquad \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{2020} \text{ or } \mathbf{2021} \tag{10}$$

196

197

198Figure 7 shows the EPIC-view hemispheric zonal average for OMPS-NM for the latitude band  $50^{\circ}S-40^{\circ}S$ 199compared to 1 to 2 hour time-dependent  $P_{SE}$  from EPIC. The peak values for the December solstice200maxima are in close agreement while the June solstice minima do not agree  $P_{SE}$ (EPIC, June) <  $P_{SE}$ (OMPS,201June) since  $P_{SE}$ (OMPS, June) are computed from a zonal average that always includes more clouds than202the minimum values of  $P_{SE}$ (EPIC, June) occurring over Africa (Fig. 2).

203The EPIC SH cloud peak reflected energy aligns with the small SEV angle  $(1.96^{\circ})$  in December 2020 and204the percent difference  $P_D$  (Eq. 10) is  $P_D = 5.4\%$  compared to the preceding 4 years. The OMPS-NM205December 2020 peak also exceeds the average of the preceding 5 years by  $P_D = 5\%$ . This suggests that206the increase in December 2020 is from increased cloud cover and not from enhanced backscatter when207SEV =  $1.96^{\circ}$ .

208









Fig. 7. A time series of OMPS-NM hemispheric zonal average  $P_{SE}$  (1 point per day grey circles) 2015-2021.5 and a 1-week average (red curve) for the band 40°S to 50°S compared to EPIC  $P_{SE}$ . The red-curve is a 1-week running average Loess(0.028).

210

Table 2 Summer Solstice Area Ratios (Figs 3 and 8) $R_A = A(2020)/(A(2015-2018)) >$				
Latitude	R <sub>A</sub>	Latitude	RA	
65S	1.03	65N	0.97	
55S	1.03	55N	0.97	
45S	1.04	45N	0.99	
35S	1.03	35N	0.99	
255	1.04	25N	1.00	
15S	1.02	15N	0.95	
5S	1.02	5N	1.01	

211

## 212 2.2 Northern Hemisphere

213	The NH June peaks in $P_{SE}$ coincide with the SEV minima of 16 June 2020 (2.46°) or 3 June 2021 (1.83°) in
214	5 of the 6 latitude bands shown (Fig. 8). Exceptions are for 0 to10°N, 10°N to 20°N, and 20°N to 30°N.
215	For the latitude bands where there is coincidence between the maxima in $P(t,\!\theta,\!10)$ and minima SEV one
216	might expect enhanced backscattering corresponding to the June minimum SEV compared to previous
217	years as there is in the SH. However, this is not the case (Figs. 8, 9, 10).







Fig. 8 EPIC NH percent reflected solar energy in the  $P_{SE}(388\pm1.5 \text{ nm})$  band (grey circles), the SEV angles (orange). Magnified details are shown in the Appendix Fig. A1.









Fig. 9 The ratio  $R_A = A(2020)/\langle A(2015-2018) \rangle$  of the Earth's area within the specified latitude bands seen by EPIC in 2020 to that seen during the years 2015-2019. In June 2020, the ratio is 0.96 for  $35^{\circ}N$ , 0.96 for  $45^{\circ}N$  0.97 for  $55^{\circ}N$ , 0.93 for  $65^{\circ}N$ . The red curve is Loess(2-months).

220

221 Because the DSCOVR orbit about L<sub>1</sub> has an approximately six-month period superimposed on a longer

222 period of about 5 years when the orbit shape changes from an ellipse to a circle and back to an ellipse,

the observed area effect in the NH was different than in the SH when the SEV angles became small. The

224 June solstice area ratio values are closer to 1.





15

#### 225

- A 1-week average analysis (Fig. 10) is done for the NH June maxima with 23 views per 24 hours and a
- Loess(0.006) that is similar to that for the SH (Fig. 5) based on the data shown in Fig. 8.



Fig. 10 Peak 1-week least squares running averages Loess(0.006) of  $P_{SE}$  values from Fig. 8 as a function of latitude for the periods June 2020 (SEV = 2.46°) and June 2021 (SEV = 1.83°) compared to the average of the preceding 4 years, 2016-2019 (4° < SEV < 6°). The symbols <A-B> denote average. Inset is Percent Difference  $P_D$  vs Latitude as in Eq. 10.

228

The June 2021 peak  $P_{SE}$  data (SEV = 1.83°) shows a decrease ( $P_D = -4.8\%$ ) at ( $40^{\circ}N - 50^{\circ}N$ ) relative to the preceding 4 years (2016-2019) suggesting that there was no significant backscatter effect and that the cloud amount decreased. The period June 2020 (SEV = 2.46°) shows no significant change at 45°N and an increase at 55°N of  $P_D = 9\%$ , which is probably due to increased cloud amount since the SEV angle is 2.46°. The NH time monthly average time series for each year (Fig. 11) can be compared in a manner similar to Fig. 4 showing that the small SEV period during 2021 (blue curve) does not show an enhanced backscatter effect, but instead a decrease in reflected energy compared to <2016-2019>.









Month

Month

Fig. 11 Monthly average of NH annual time series of percent reflected energy at 388±1.5 nm for 4 years, 2015 – 2021.

237

## 238 3.0 Summary

EPIC observed 388±1.5 nm backscattered irradiances are mostly from clouds since the average 388 nm 239 240 reflectivity of the Earth's snow/ice-free surface is small, approximately 0.05. At all non-equatorial 241 latitudes, the maximum reflected energy occurs during the summer solstice (minimum SZA). During the 242 period 2020 to 2021, the backscatter angle became close to  $178^{\circ}$  in December 2020 compared to  $174^{\circ}$  – 243 176° in prior years (2015 – 2019), which might lead to enhanced reflected energy. The analysis of 244 showed that there was a significant increase in reflected energy,  $P_D = 7\%$ , in the latitude band  $40^{\circ}$ S -245  $50^{\circ}$ S during December 2020 with smaller effects at other SH latitudes P<sub>D</sub> = 3% at  $35^{\circ}$ S and 5% at  $55^{\circ}$ S 246 and none near the equator. If the increases were due to enhanced backscatter, one would have 247 expected the same enhanced backscatter in the NH during June 2021 from PsE peaks that coincide with 248 the SEV minimum (SEV =  $1.83^{\circ}$ ). Instead, there was a decrease at  $45^{\circ}$ N and almost no increase at  $55^{\circ}$ N. The  $P_D = 8\%$  increase at 55<sup>o</sup>N (SEV = 2.46<sup>o</sup>) shown in Fig. 11 suggests that the increase was from change 249 in cloud cover in the NH with backscatter effects being small. Comparison with the polar orbiting OMPS-250 251 NM (SEV greater than  $40^{\circ} - 23.45^{\circ} = 16.55^{\circ}$ ) percent reflected energy at  $380\pm0.55$  nm showed a 5%





17

- December 2020 increase at 45°±5°S implying there was an increase in cloud cover seen by both EPIC
   and OMPS-NM. However, the global annual integral of reflected 388±1.5 nm energy is almost constant.
- Two recent papers, Marshak et al., (2021) and Penttilä et al. (2021) suggested that the increase in
- observed reflected sunlight might be from enhanced backscattering in the SH when the SEV angle was
- close to 2<sup>0</sup>. The increase seen by Marshak et al., was mostly from land surfaces in the visible wavelength
- ranges. The analysis of Penttilä et al. (2021) suggested that about half might be caused by increased
- 258 cloud cover. The results of the current analysis (EPIC observed increase of  $P_D = 7\%$  at 45°S and 5% at
- 259 55°S and 5% observed from OMI-NM at 45°S) suggest that most of the increase in reflected energy in
- 260 December 2020 comes from an increase in cloud amount.





18

### 262 4.0 Appendix

263 Figure A1 shows magnified details for 2 latitude bands each in the NH and SH along with the coinciding

264 minimum SEV data.



Fig. A1 Magnified samples from Figs. 3 and 7 showing SEV minimum coincidences with  $P_{SE}$  maxima in the SH and NH. The SEV are colored orange.

265





19

#### 267 Author contribution:

- 268 Jay Herman is responsible for writing the text, calculations in the paper, and all the figures. Liang-
- 269 Kang Huang is responsible for supplying the available EPIC LER data as a function of latitude,
- 270 longitude and time. He also suggested many technical improvements. Dave Hafner also helped
- 271 supply the LER data and the OMPS-NM data. Adam Szabo suggested the original idea for the paper.

## 272 Data Availability

273 The data used are publicly available in an Excel and Zip format at Open Science Framework

# 274 https://osf.io/r3xpt/

275

# 276 Competing interests:

277 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## 278 Acknowledgements:

- 279 The authors want to acknowledge the contribution and help of the EPIC science team and support
- 280 from the Project team in making the EPIC data freely available.





20

#### 282 5.0 References

- 283 Bhartia, P. K., J. Herman, R. D. McPeters, and O. Torres, Effect of Mount Pinatubo aerosols on total
- ozone measurements from backscatter ultraviolet (BUV) experiments, J. Geophys. Res., 98, 18,54718,554, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/93JD01739</u>, 1993.
- Cleveland, William S., LOESS: A program for smoothing scatterplots by robust locally weighted
   regression. Am Srat. 35 (1): 54. JSTOR 2683591. doi:10.2307/2683591, 1981.
- 288
- Herman, J. R., and E. A. Celarier, Earth surface reflectivity climatology at 340 nm to 380 nm from TOMS
  data. J. Geophys. Res., 102, 28,003-28,011, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/97|D02074</u>, 1997.
- 291 Herman, J. R., E. Celarier, and D. Larko, UV 380 nm Reflectivity of the Earth's Surface, Clouds, and
- 292 Aerosols. J. Geophys. Res., 106, 5335-5351, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900584, 2001.
- Herman, J., Huang, L., McPeters, R., Ziemke, J., Cede, A., and Blank, K.: Synoptic ozone, cloud reflectivity,
- and erythemal irradiance from sunrise to sunset for the whole earth as viewed by the DSCOVR
- 295 spacecraft from the earth-sun Lagrange 1 orbit, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 177-194,
- 296 <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-177-2018</u>, 2018.
- Jaross, G., Chen, G., Kowitt, M., Warner, J., Xu, P., Kelly, T., Linda, M., Flittner, DF., 2012, Suomi NPP

OMPS limb profiler initial sensor performance assessment. Proceedings of the SPIE, Volume 8528, article
 id. 852805, doi:10.1117/12.979627, 2012.

- Krotkov, N. A., P. K. Bhartia, J. R. Herman, V. Fioletov, and J. Kerr, Satellite estimation of spectral surface
  UV irradiance in the presence of tropospheric aerosols 1: Cloud free case. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 87798793, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/98JD00233</u>, 1998.
- Krotkov, N. A., J. R. Herman, P. K. Bhartia, Z. Ahmad, V. Fioletov, Satellite estimation of spectral surface
  UV irradiance 2: Effect of horizontally homogeneous clouds. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 11743-11,759,
  https://doi.org/10.1020/2000JD000721.2001
- 305 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900721</u>, 2001.
- 306 Marshak, A., J. Herman, A. Szabo, K. Blank, A. Cede, S. Carn, I. Geogdzhayev, D. Huang, L. Huang, Y.
- 307 Knyazikhin, M. Kowalewski, N. Krotkov, A. Lyapustin, R. McPeters, O. Torres, and Y. Yang, 2018: Earth
- 308 Observations from AMERICAN METEOROLOGICAL SOCIETY DSCOVR/EPIC Instrument. Bull. Amer.
- 309 Meteor. Soc. doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-17- 0223.1, 2018.
- 310
- 311 Marshak Alexander, Delgado-Bonal Alfonso, Knyazikhin Yuri, Effect of Scattering Angle on Earth
- 312 Reflectance, Front. Remote Sens., 2, DOI=10.3389/frsen.2021.719610, 2021.
- 313
- 314 McPeters, R., Frith, S., Kramarova, N., Ziemke, J., and Labow, G.: Trend quality ozone from NPP OMPS:
- 315 the version 2 processing, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 977–985, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-977-2019,
- 316 2019.
- 317





21

- 318 Penttilä, Antti, Karri Muinonen, Olli Ihalainen, Elizaveta Uvarova, Mikko Vuori, Guanglang Xu, Jyri
- 319 Näränen, Olli Wilkman, Jouni Peltoniemi, Maria Gritsevich, Heikki Järvinen, Alexander Marshak, Earth's
- 320 albedo time series reveals low radiative energy input in December 2020, Nature Portfolio,
- 321 DOI:10.21203/rs.3.rs-677927/v1, 2021.
- 322

- 324 Thuillier, G., M. Hersé, D. Labs, T. Foujols, W. Peetermans, D. Gillotay, P. C. Simon and H. Mandel, The
- 325 solar spectral irradiance from 200 to 2400 nm as measured by the Solspec spectrometer from the Atlas
- and Eureca missions, Solar Physics 214: 1–22, 2003.
- 327





328 329	Figure Captions
330	Fig.1 Panel A: Percent reflected solar energy PSE for the Earth from 90OS to 90ON in the narrow band
331	388±1.5 nm (black circles one for each EPIC scene) from clouds, aerosols, and surface as a function of
332	time and SEV angle (orange curve – right axis). There are about 6000 points per year. Panel B: 2-week
333	running average to more clearly show the December and June peaks.
334	
335	Fig. 2 EPIC's daily variation of P(t) caused by the Earth's rotation for $\Delta\theta$ = 90°S to 90°N from 20 March
336	2016 to 30 March 2016 corresponding to the grey circles in Figure 1A. The numbers 20 to 30 represent
337	the dates in March 2016.
338	
339	Fig. 3 The ratio The ratio $R_A = A(2020)/\langle A(2015-2018) \rangle$ of the Earth's area within the specified latitude
340	bands seen by EPIC in 2020 to that seen during the years 2015-2019. In December 2020, the ratio is 1.03
341	for 35°S, 55°S, and 65°S, and 1.04 for 45°S. The red curve is Loess(2-months). The inset in 70°S-60°S
342	shows the details near December 2020.
343	
344	Fig. 4 EPIC SH percent reflected solar energy in the $P_{SE}(388\pm1.5 \text{ nm})$ band (grey circles), the SEV angles
345	(orange). Magnified details are shown in the Appendix Fig. A1.
346	
347	Fig. 5 Monthly average of SH annual time series of percent reflected energy at 388±1.5 nm for 5 years,
348	2015 – 2021 for 4 latitude bands.
349	
350	Fig. 6 One-week least squares running averages Loess(0.035) of P <sub>SE</sub> values from Fig. 3 as a function of
351	latitude for the periods Dec 2020 (SEV = $1.95^{\circ}$ ) and November 2021 (SEV = $2.53^{\circ}$ ) compared to the
352	average of the preceding 4 years, 2015-2018 ( $4^{\circ}$ < SEV < $6^{\circ}$ ). The symbols <a-b> denote average. The</a-b>
353	inset shows the percent difference $P_D$ as defined in Eq. 10.
354	
355	Fig. 7. A time series of OMPS-NM hemispheric zonal average P <sub>SE</sub> (1 point per day grey circles) 2015-
356	2021.5 and a 1-week average (red curve) for the band 40°S to 50°S compared to EPIC P <sub>SE</sub> . The red-curve
357	is a 1-week running average Loess(0.028).
358	Fig. 8 EPIC NH percent reflected solar energy in the $P_{SE}(388\pm1.5 \text{ nm})$ band (grey circles), the SEV angles
359	(orange). Magnified details are shown in the Appendix Fig. A1.
360	
361	Fig. 9 The ratio $R_A = A(2020)/\langle A(2015-2018) \rangle$ of the Earth's area within the specified latitude bands
362	seen by EPIC in 2020 to that seen during the years 2015-2019. In June 2020, the ratio is 0.96 for 35°N,
363	0.96 for 45°N 0.97 for 55°N, 0.93 for 65°N. The red curve is Loess(2-months).
364	
365	Fig. 10 Peak 1-week least squares running averages Loess(0.006) of P <sub>SE</sub> values from Fig. 8 as a function
366	of latitude for the periods June 2020 (SEV = 2.46°) and June 2021 (SEV = 1.83°) compared to the average
367	of the preceding 4 years, 2016-2019 ( $4^{\circ}$ < SEV < $6^{\circ}$ ). The symbols <a-b> denote average. Inset is Percent</a-b>
368	Difference $P_D$ vs Latitude as in Eq. 10.





369	Fig. 11 Monthly average of NH annual time series of percent reflected energy at 388±1.5 nm for 4 years,
370	2015 – 2021.
371	
372	

- 373
- 374