

Global tropospheric ozone trends, attributions, and radiative impacts in 1995–2017: an integrated analysis using aircraft (IAGOS) observations, ozonesonde, and multi-decadal chemical model simulations

5 Haolin Wang^{1,2}, Xiao Lu^{1,2}, Daniel J. Jacob³, Owen R. Cooper^{4,5}, Kai-Lan Chang^{4,5}, Ke Li⁶, Meng Gao⁷, Yiming Liu^{1,2}, Bosi Sheng¹, Kai Wu⁸, Tongwen Wu^{9,10}, Jie Zhang^{9,10}, Bastien Sauvage¹¹, Philippe Nédélec¹¹, Romain Blot¹¹, and Shaojia Fan^{1,2}

¹ School of Atmospheric Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, and Key Laboratory of Tropical Atmosphere-Ocean System, Ministry of Education, Zhuhai, China.

10 ² Guangdong Provincial Observation and Research Station for Climate Environment and Air Quality Change in the Pearl River Estuary, Southern Marine Science and Engineering Guangdong Laboratory (Zhuhai), Zhuhai, China.

³ Harvard John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA

⁴ Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA.

⁵ NOAA Chemical Sciences Laboratory, Boulder, CO, USA.

15 ⁶ Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Environment Monitoring and Pollution Control, Collaborative Innovation Center of Atmospheric Environment and Equipment Technology, School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, China

⁷ Department of Geography, State Key Laboratory of Environmental and Biological Analysis, Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong, China

20 ⁸ Department of Land, Air, and Water Resources, University of California, Davis, CA, USA.

⁹ CMA Earth System Modeling and Prediction Centre, Beijing, China

¹⁰ State Key Laboratory of Severe Weather, Beijing, China

¹¹ Laboratoire d'Aérodynamique (LAERO), Université Toulouse III - Paul Sabatier, CNRS, Toulouse, France

Correspondence to: Xiao Lu (luxiao25@mail.sysu.edu.cn) and Shaojia Fan (eesfsj@mail.sysu.edu.cn)

25 **Abstract.** Quantification and attribution of long-term tropospheric ozone trends are critical for understanding the impact of human activity and climate change on atmospheric chemistry, but are also challenged by the limited coverage of long-term ozone observations in the free troposphere where ozone has higher production efficiency and radiative potential compared to that at the surface. In this study, we examine observed tropospheric ozone trends, their attributions, and radiative impacts from 1995–2017 using aircraft observations from the In-Service Aircraft for a Global Observing System database (IAGOS),
30 ozonesondes, and a multi-decadal GEOS-Chem chemical model simulation. IAGOS observations above 11 regions in the Northern Hemisphere and 19 of 27 global ozonesonde sites have measured increases in tropospheric ozone (950–250hPa) by 2.7 ± 1.7 and 1.9 ± 1.7 ppbv decade⁻¹ on average, respectively, with particularly large increases in the lower troposphere (950–800 hPa) above East Asia, Persian Gulf, India, northern South America, Gulf of Guinea, and Malaysia/Indonesia by 2.8 to 10.6 ppbv decade⁻¹. The GEOS-Chem simulation driven by reanalysis meteorological fields and the most up-to-date year-specific anthropogenic emission inventory reproduces the overall pattern of observed tropospheric ozone trends, including the
35 large ozone increases over the tropics of 2.1–2.9 ppbv decade⁻¹ and above East Asia of 0.5–1.8 ppbv decade⁻¹, and the weak

40 tropospheric ozone trends above North America, Europe, and high-latitudes in both hemispheres, but trends are underestimated compared to observations. GEOS-Chem estimates an increasing trend of 0.4 Tg year^{-1} of the tropospheric ozone burden in 1995–2017. We suggest that uncertainties in the anthropogenic emission inventory in the early years of the simulation (e.g., 1995–1999) over developing regions may contribute to GEOS-Chem’s underestimation of tropospheric ozone trends. GEOS-Chem sensitivity simulations show that changes in global anthropogenic emission patterns, including the equatorward redistribution of surface emissions and the rapid increases in aircraft emissions, are the dominant factors contributing to tropospheric ozone trends by 0.5 Tg year^{-1} . In particular, we highlight the disproportionately large, but previously underappreciated, contribution of aircraft emissions to tropospheric ozone trends by 0.3 Tg year^{-1} , mainly due to aircraft emitting NO_x in the mid- and upper troposphere where ozone production efficiency is high. Decreases in lower stratospheric ozone and the stratosphere-troposphere flux in 1995–2017 contribute to an ozone decrease at mid- and high-latitudes. We estimate the change in tropospheric ozone radiative impacts from 1995–1999 to 2013–2017 is $+18.5 \text{ mW m}^{-2}$, with 43.5 mW m^{-2} contributed by anthropogenic emission changes (20.5 mW m^{-2} alone by aircraft emissions), highlighting that the equatorward redistribution of emissions to areas with strong convection and the increase in aircraft emissions are effective for increasing tropospheric ozone’s greenhouse effect.

50

1 Introduction

Tropospheric ozone is a major air pollutant that has detrimental effect on human physiology and ecosystem productivity, and controls the oxidizing capacity of the troposphere as the dominant source of hydroxyl radicals (OH) (Atkinson, 2000; Jacob, 2000; Monks et al., 2015; Fleming et al., 2018; Unger et al., 2020). It is also a short-lived climate forcer interacting with both solar (shortwave, SW) and terrestrial (longwave, LW) radiation (IPCC, 2021). Tropospheric ozone is produced chemically from anthropogenic and natural precursors, it is also transported from the stratosphere, and is removed by chemical loss and dry deposition. The ozone lifetime spans from hours in the polluted boundary layer to a few weeks in the free troposphere, sufficiently short that ozone distributions and trends are highly variable. Chemistry climate models indicate an increase in the tropospheric ozone burden since the 1990s (Skeie et al., 2020), and regional changes in tropospheric ozone levels are likely to be caused by shifts in anthropogenic emissions of ozone precursors (Zhang et al., 2016) and climate (Lin et al., 2014; Lu et al., 2019b). Chemical models have been extensively used for quantifying the drivers of ozone trends at individual sites or regions and for estimating ozone radiative impacts, but their applications to the continental and global scales are largely constrained by the limited coverage of robust long-term ozone measurements for evaluating modelled ozone trends, especially in the free troposphere (Gaudel et al., 2020) where ozone has greater radiative impacts than at the surface (Lacis et al., 1990; Hansen et al., 1997). Here, we integrate long-term aircraft ozone observations, ozonesonde measurements, and multi-decadal chemical model simulations to quantify global tropospheric ozone trends, their attributions, and the resulting radiative impacts for 1995–2017.

The first phase of the Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report (TOAR-I) initiated in 2014 utilized available surface, ozonesonde, aircraft, and satellite observations to assess tropospheric ozone trends from 1970 to 2014 (Schultz et al., 2017). TOAR-I concluded that observations through 2014 were not sufficient to detect an unambiguous trend in global tropospheric ozone burden over the past two decades (Gaudel et al., 2018). The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Sixth Assessment Report [IPCC; AR6; section 2.2.5.3] assessed the historical ozone records from the early and mid-20th century to present-day (Gulev et al., 2021), concluding that “Based on sparse historical surface/low altitude data tropospheric ozone has increased since the mid-20th century by 30–70% across the NH (medium confidence). Surface/low altitude ozone trends since the mid-1990s are variable at northern mid-latitudes, but positive in the tropics [2 to 17% per decade] (high confidence). Since the mid-1990s, free tropospheric ozone has increased by 2–7% per decade in most regions of the northern mid-latitudes, and 2–12% per decade in the sampled regions of the northern and southern tropics (high confidence). Limited coverage by surface observations precludes identification of zonal trends in the SH, while observations of tropospheric column ozone indicate increases of less than 5% per decade at southern mid-latitudes (medium confidence).” An updated assessment from Cooper et al. (2020) reported a range of positive and negative ground-level ozone trends from 1995 to 2018 at 27 globally distributed remote sites. However, these sites represent less than 25% of the global surface area and are not indicative of the free troposphere. Ozonesondes provide measurements in the free troposphere, but their representativeness of regional trends is

largely limited by the low sampling frequency (2-3 times per week or lower) and their sparse spatial coverage on the continental
85 scale (Tarasick et al., 2019; Chang et al., 2022). Satellite instruments provide high-resolution observations of tropospheric
column ozone with excellent spatial coverage. Long-term tropospheric ozone trends from limited satellite products indicate
increases across the tropics since the 1980s and 1990s (Ziemke et al., 2019; Gulev et al., 2021), but satellite-detected trends at
mid-latitudes since the early 2000s are less certain due to instrument errors (e.g., row anomaly), uncertainties in retrieval
algorithms, and disagreements between the available products (Gaudel et al., 2018). Ozone measurements from the In-Service
90 Aircraft for a Global Observing System database (IAGOS) (Petzold et al., 2015), which contains ozone profiles from more
than 60,000 commercial aircraft flights worldwide since 1994, are a critical source of data for quantifying ozone trends in the
free troposphere (Cohen et al., 2018). A recent study utilizing IAGOS observations identified remarkable ozone increases in
the free troposphere since 1994 above multiple regions of the Northern Hemisphere (Gaudel et al., 2020). The IAGOS
observations provide a new opportunity for checking the consistency of ozone trends derived from other observation platforms
95 (e.g., ozonesondes), and for evaluating the performance of chemical models used for interpreting ozone trend attributions and
radiative impacts.

Modeling studies attributing long-term ozone trends have largely concentrated on the ground level, and on individual sites or
regions of the U.S. and Europe where extensive surface observations are available (Parrish et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2014; Lin
et al., 2017; Lu et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2018; Yan et al., 2018), while analyses of tropospheric ozone trend attribution on larger
100 scales are rather limited. Zhang et al. (2016) showed that increases in the simulated global tropospheric ozone burden between
1980 and 2010 were dominated by the equatorward redistribution of anthropogenic emissions to developing regions in the
tropics, particularly in East and South Asia. The rise in tropospheric ozone burden over the past two decades was also
reproduced in models from the Phase 6 of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP6) (Griffiths et al., 2021), but
quantification of ozone trend drivers was not available. Lu et al. (2019b) revealed that the ozone increases in the Southern
105 Hemisphere troposphere over 1990–2010 were linked to the poleward expansion of the Hadley Circulation and associated
changes in transport patterns and ozone production efficiency. The sparsity of available concurrent observations hindered a
more comprehensive evaluation of simulated ozone trends in all of the above analyses and thus limits the interpretation of
model results. We also lack an updated quantitative evaluation of anthropogenic and climatic drivers of global and continental
ozone trends for years after 2010, when anthropogenic emissions of ozone precursors show contrasting changes compared to
110 earlier years in regions such as China (Zheng et al., 2018). Estimates of ozone radiative impacts in previous studies are relative
to the pre-industrial period (Stevenson et al., 2013; Skeie et al., 2020) and are poorly constrained by long-term ozone
measurements in the troposphere, with no reliable ozone observations prior to the 20th Century (Tarasick et al., 2019).

In this study, we aim to update our understanding of tropospheric ozone trends, their attributions, and attendant radiative
impacts on the global scale for the period 1995–2017 from chemical model simulations evaluated against extensive long-term

115 ozone measurements. We focus on the years 1995–2017 when ozone measurements in the free troposphere become increasingly available and state-of-the-science gridded anthropogenic emission inventories are reliable. We use the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model driven by assimilated meteorological fields and the most up-to-date global anthropogenic emission inventory to interpret tropospheric ozone trends for this period. We evaluate the GEOS-Chem tropospheric ozone trends with the aircraft ozone observations from the IAGOS database which provides long-term ozone measurements in multiple regions, together with ozonesonde measurements; we also compare the GEOS-Chem results with CMIP6 models. We then conduct a series of sensitivity simulations to quantify the impact of anthropogenic emissions and climate change on global and continental tropospheric ozone trends and estimate the resulting radiative impacts.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 IAGOS observations

125 The IAGOS program is a European Research Infrastructure (data available at <https://www.iagos.org/>, last access: March 9th, 2022) initiated in August 1994 (Thouret et al., 1998) that measures atmospheric composition worldwide using instruments onboard commercial aircraft of internationally operating airlines (Nédélec et al., 2015). Ozone is measured using a dual-beam ultraviolet absorption monitor with a time resolution of 4s, a precision of $\pm 2\%$, and an accuracy of about $\pm 2 \text{ nmol mol}^{-1}$ (Thouret et al., 1998; Nédélec et al., 2015). IAGOS data have been regularly calibrated and show internal consistency for the duration of the program (Blot et al., 2021). Measurements are made at any time of the day, during take-off and landing and during the cruise portion of the flight. The sampling frequency varies depending on the airline schedule but can be as high as four profiles per day in regions such as western Europe, enabling a robust estimate of free tropospheric ozone changes. Evaluations of IAGOS data show that they are consistent with ozonesonde records in the upper troposphere-lower stratosphere above western Europe (Staufner et al., 2013, 2014), and are representative of ozone in the lower troposphere (Petetin et al., 2018; Cooper et al., 2020). Previous studies have applied IAGOS data to estimate regional-scale tropospheric ozone trends from the northern mid-latitudes to the tropics (Cohen et al., 2018; Cooper et al., 2020; Gaudel et al., 2020).

We focus on 11 regions with extensive IAGOS ozone profile sampling between 1995 and 2017, following Gaudel et al. (2020), as illustrated in Figure 1a, to estimate tropospheric ozone trends; to the best of our knowledge this is the first time that IAGOS data have been used to evaluate long-term (> 20 years) tropospheric ozone trends in a global chemistry transport model. These 11 study regions have frequent sampling in both the early (1995–2004) and late periods (2011–2017) between 1995 and 2017, allowing the estimation of tropospheric ozone trends over periods spanning two decades (see Section 2.5). As shown in Fig.1, western Europe is the region with the most frequent IAGOS ozone sampling with 33,563 available ozone profiles between 1995 and 2017, followed by Eastern North America (8281 profiles), East Asia (4192 profiles), Southeast US (4016 profiles), and Southeast Asia (2564 profiles). All regions except for Malaysia/Indonesia (567 flights) have more than 1000 ozone profiles

145 in this period. The inclusion of IAGOS data in Asia, Africa, and South America provides a unique opportunity to evaluate and interpret tropospheric ozone trends in these developing regions.

2.2 Ozonesonde observations

We also use ozonesonde measurements from the World Ozone and Ultraviolet Radiation Data Centre (WOUDC; available at <https://woudc.org/data.php>, last access: March 9th, 2022). WOUDC is operated by the Meteorological Service of Canada, within Environment and Climate Change Canada. For our study period of 1995-2017, the database contains ozone profiles from 130 globally distributed sites. Ozone from the surface to the stratosphere (from launch up to 35 km) is measured by balloon-borne ozone electrochemical concentration cell instruments (Tarasick et al., 2019) with a vertical resolution of about 100 m and an accuracy of 5%-15% in the troposphere and 5% in the stratosphere (Sterling et al., 2018; Witte et al., 2018; Steinbrecht et al., 2021). The sampling frequencies of ozonesondes vary across sites but are mostly lower than 2-4 profiles a week, posing a challenge for our ability to detect a trend. Chang et al. (2020) estimated that 18 profiles per month are required for accurate and robust long-term trend quantification at a single monitoring station. As very few monitoring locations can achieve such sampling frequencies, we soften the criteria to have (1) at least 3 observations per month for calculating the monthly mean; (2) at least 2 monthly observations for seasonal mean, and at least 8 months for annual mean; (3) at least 15 annual mean observations for the period of 1995–2017. Ozonesonde sites that meet these criteria and used in this study are presented in Figure 1b and Table 1, including 18 and 9 ozonesonde sites in the Northern and Southern Hemispheres, respectively. These stations have been used to study tropospheric ozone trends in North America, Europe, Japan, and the Southern Hemisphere (Oltmans et al., 2013; Tarasick et al., 2016; Zeng et al., 2017; Lu et al., 2019b; Kumar et al., 2021; Chang et al., 2022).

2.3 GEOS-Chem model description and configuration

165 We apply the global three-dimensional chemical transport model GEOS-Chem version 13.3.1 (available at <https://github.com/geoschem/GCClassic/tree/13.3.1>, last access: March 9th, 2022, Bey et al. (2001)) to interpret global tropospheric ozone and its trends for 1995–2017. The model is driven by Modern Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Application version 2 (MERRA-2) assimilated meteorological fields from the NASA Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (GMAO), which has a native horizontal resolution of 0.5° (latitude) \times 0.625° (longitude) and 72 vertical layers extending from surface to 0.01 hPa (Gelaro et al., 2017).

GEOS-Chem describes coupled ozone–NO_x–VOCs–aerosol–halogen tropospheric (Wang et al., 1998; Park et al., 2004; Parrella et al., 2012; Mao et al., 2013) and stratospheric chemistry (Eastham et al., 2014). The model prescribes methane at the surface on the basis of spatially interpolated monthly mean surface methane observations from the NOAA Global Monitoring Division in 1995–2017, and allows its transport and chemistry (Murray, 2016). Gridded monthly surface mixing ratios for

175 N₂O, CFCs, HCFCs, halons, and organic chlorine species for 1995–2017 are obtained from the World Meteorological
Organization (Daniel et al., 2007), and are used as boundary conditions for these species in the model. The chemical kinetics
are from the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) and International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) (Sander et al.,
2011; IUPAC, 2013). Photolysis rates are calculated by the Fast-JX scheme (Bian and Prather, 2002). Advection of tracers in
180 GEOS-Chem is performed using the TPCORE advection algorithm (Lin and Rood, 1996). The boundary layer mixing process
is described by a non-local scheme (Lin and McElroy, 2010). Dry deposition of both gas and aerosols is calculated by a
resistance-in-series algorithm (Wesely, 1989; Zhang et al., 2001), with updates in ozone deposition to the ocean as described
by Pound et al. (2020). Wet deposition for water-soluble aerosols and gases is described by Liu et al. (2001) and Amos et al.
(2012).

Emissions in GEOS-Chem are operated by the Harvard-NASA Emission Component (HEMCO) (Keller et al., 2014). We
185 apply the latest version of the Community Emissions Data System inventory (CEDSV2), which builds on the extension of the
CEDS system to 2017 as described in McDuffie et al. (2020), to provide year-specific global anthropogenic emissions for
1995–2017 in GEOS-Chem (O'Rourke et al., 2021). The early version of this emission inventory, CEDS_{CMIP6}, provided gridded
(0.5° × 0.5°) monthly emissions of reactive gases and aerosols from 1750 to 2014 (Hoesly et al., 2018) and was used in the
CMIP6 experiment (Eyring et al., 2016; Hoesly et al., 2018). The CEDS_{CMIP6} emissions from European countries, US, Canada,
190 and Australia are scaled to emissions from well-developed regional emission inventories, including the European Monitoring
and Evaluation Programme (EMEP) (EMEP, 2016), the US National Emissions Inventory (NEI) (US EPA, 2016), Canadian
Air Pollutant Emissions Inventory (APEI) (ECCC, 2016), and Australian National Pollutant Inventory (NPI) (ADE, 2016). As
for Asia, the CEDS_{CMIP6} is scaled to an updated version of MIX inventory for China (Li et al., 2017) and the Regional Emissions
Inventory in Asia (REAS) for other Asia (Kurokawa et al., 2013). Here CEDSV2 updates activity data for combustion- and
195 process-level emission sources, and incorporates new regional inventories for India (Venkataraman et al., 2018) and Africa
(Marais and Wiedinmyer, 2016). In addition, CEDSV2 emissions are also scaled to the latest EMEP (EMEP, 2019), NEI (US
EPA, 2019), APEI (ECCC, 2019), NPI (ADE, 2019), and MEIC (Zheng et al., 2018) emission inventories for Europe, US,
Canada, Australia, and China, respectively, enabling the extension of emission estimates to 2017.

Figure 2 presents the evolution of global anthropogenic NO_x, CO, and NMVOCs emissions and the spatial distributions of
200 their trends in 1995–2017 from the CEDSV2 used in this study. Global anthropogenic NO_x emissions showed increases from
34.5 TgN in 1995 to 40.5 TgN in 2008, then leveled off from 2008 to 2012, and decreased afterward to 36.2 TgN in 2017.
Global anthropogenic CO emissions decreased from 1995 of 648.6 Tg, leveled off between 2002 and 2012, and decreased
again afterward to 539 Tg in 2017. Global anthropogenic NMVOCs emissions showed increases from 133.8 Tg in 1995 to
149.3 Tg in 2012 and then flattened afterwards. Linear trends in global anthropogenic NO_x, CO, and NMVOCs emissions are
205 0.58 TgN year⁻¹, -2.7 Tg year⁻¹, and 0.96 Tg year⁻¹, respectively. Inspection of the regional trends shows that the global

anthropogenic emissions have shifted from developed regions in the northern mid-latitudes such as Europe and North America, where regulations of anthropogenic emissions were implemented in the 1990s (Archibald et al., 2017), to developing regions in the tropics and subtropics. The regions with the largest increases in anthropogenic emissions are East and South Asia, the Middle East, and Africa. The decline in global anthropogenic NO_x and CO emissions after 2012 is largely driven by emission
210 reduction in China associated with the implementation of emission control strategies, while NMVOCs emissions are not effectively mitigated (Zheng et al., 2018).

Figures 2 and S1 also compare the anthropogenic emission trends from CEDSV2 and CEDS_{CMIP6} for 1995–2014. We find that the anthropogenic NO_x and CO emissions from CEDSV2 are lower than those in the CEDS_{CMIP6} inventory in particular for years after 2007 by 8.8% and 3.9%, respectively. This leads to a much smaller trend of global anthropogenic NO_x and CO in
215 CEDSV2 ($0.58 \text{ TgN year}^{-1}$ and $-2.7 \text{ Tg year}^{-1}$) compared to those in the CEDS_{CMIP6} ($1.1 \text{ TgN year}^{-1}$ and $0.32 \text{ Tg year}^{-1}$) for 1995-2014. Anthropogenic NMVOCs emissions are also smaller in the CEDSV2 than CEDS_{CMIP6} (150.1 Tg versus 164.6 Tg in year 2014). These differences mainly reflect the updated regional inventory for China (Zheng et al., 2018), along with the inclusion of regional inventories for DICE-Africa (Marais and Wiedinmyer, 2016) and SMoG-India (Venkataraman et al., 2018), as well as the updated activity data in CEDSV2 (Figure S1).

220 The default GEOS-Chem model includes a monthly three-dimensional gridded inventory of aircraft emissions of NO_x , CO, and hydrocarbons based on the Aviation Emissions Inventory v2.0 (AEIC) for the year 2005, resulting in 0.96 TgN of global aircraft NO emissions with no interannual variability. A new study has showed that aircraft activity has exploded in recent decades, with aircraft CO_2 emissions 79.8% greater in 2018 relative to 1995 (Lee et al., 2021). Here we use the CEDS global aircraft emissions in 1995–2017 (O'Rourke et al., 2021), allowing our simulation to capture the impact from increases in
225 aircraft emissions on global ozone trends. The global aircraft emissions of NO_x , CO, and NMVOCs estimates for 2005 in the CEDS inventory are 0.88 TgN , 0.54 Tg , and 0.08 Tg respectively, slightly lower than those in the AEIC inventory. We find that the aircraft emissions of NO_x , CO, and NMVOCs increased from 1995 to 2017 by 0.51 TgN (76.2%), 0.26 Tg (58.1%), and 0.05 Tg (88.4%), respectively (Figure 2), consistent with Lee et al. (2021). Aircraft NO_x emissions account for only 3.3% of the total anthropogenic emissions in 2017, however, as we will see later, they play an important role in the global
230 tropospheric ozone trends.

GEOS-Chem includes on-line calculation of biogenic emissions of NMVOCs, and NO_x emissions from soil and lightning. Biogenic emissions are calculated using the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN, version 2.1) (Guenther et al., 2012). Soil NO_x emissions are calculated based on the availability of nitrogen (N) in the soil and edaphic conditions such as soil temperature and moisture (Hudman et al., 2010; Hudman et al., 2012; Lu et al., 2021). Lightning NO_x

235 emissions are parameterized as a function of cloud-top height (Price and Rind, 1992) and are then vertically distributed according to Ott et al. (2010). The spatial pattern of lightning NO_x emissions is further constrained by climatological observations of lightning flash rates from the Lightning Imaging Sensor (LIS) and Optical Transient Detector (OTD) satellite instruments (Sauvage et al., 2007; Murray et al., 2012). Biomass burning emissions in 1995–2017 are from the BB4CMIP inventory as described in van Marle et al. (2017), in which the emissions for years after 1997 are the same as the Global Fire
240 Emissions Database version 4 (GFED4; van der Werf et al. (2017)). Fire plumes can be injected beyond the planetary boundary layer (PBL). We partition 65% of the biomass burning emissions to the PBL and the remaining 35% into the free troposphere following Fischer et al. (2014) and Travis et al. (2016).

Model configurations are summarized in Table 2. We spin up the GEOS-Chem model by 10 years to provide an initial field for the atmospheric chemical components on 1 January 1995. The long spin-up time is to properly initialize the lower
245 stratosphere. We conduct the standard simulation (BASE) from 1995 to 2017 using year-specific assimilated meteorology fields and anthropogenic and natural emissions as described above. We then conduct three sensitivity simulations to quantify the drivers of ozone trends. In the first sensitivity simulation FixAC, we fix global anthropogenic emissions (including aircraft emissions) and methane concentration at 1995 levels. However, mixing ratios of ozone depletion species (CFCs, HCFCs) are not fixed, as such their influences on the stratospheric ozone are available in the FixAC simulation. Ozone trends in the FixAC
250 thus estimate the influence of climate (including their impacts on natural emissions) and stratospheric ozone on tropospheric ozone trends. The difference of ozone trends between the BASE and FixAC simulation then quantifies the contributions of anthropogenic emissions of tropospheric ozone precursors (including aircraft emissions and methane) to ozone trends. In the second simulation FixABC, we further fix biomass burning emissions at 1995 levels on the basis of FixAC, allowing us to examine the impact of biomass burning emissions alone on ozone trends. In the third simulation (FixAircraft), we fix global
255 aircraft emissions at 1995 levels, and use the difference in ozone trend between BASE and FixAircraft to estimate the contribution of aircraft emissions alone to ozone trends.

We run the GEOS-Chem model at a horizontal resolution of 4° (latitude) \times 5° (longitude), with 72 vertical layers extending from surface to 0.01 hPa. One-month model simulation at this resolution costs 4 hours with 48 CPUs (http://wiki.seas.harvard.edu/geos-chem/index.php/GEOS-Chem_13.3.0#1-month_benchmarks). Yielding 33-year (including
260 10-year spin-up simulation) global simulation of ozone trends thus require computation time of more than 60 natural days. As such we do not use a finer resolution of $2^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$ that would otherwise cost at least eight times as much computational time and resources as in this study. This relatively coarse resolution of $4^\circ \times 5^\circ$ may limit the ability of the model to capture finer-scale ozone trends, in particular at near surface where ozone and its precursor has a short lifetime. Artificial mixing of surface ozone precursors in coarse model grids may lead to higher-than-actual ozone production efficiency and therefore positive
265 ozone biases which may further influence trend analyses (Wild and Prather, 2006; Yu et al., 2016; Young et al., 2018; Yin et

al., 2021). The limitation of model resolution, however, should be alleviated for ozone in the free troposphere, where ozone has longer chemical lifetime and should be better mixed than at near surface (Petetin et al., 2016). In light of this we do not use surface ozone observations for model evaluation, and mainly focus the trend analyses on above 950 hPa.

270 We also use model output from seven global climate-chemistry models in the CMIP6 historical experiments for comparison with the GEOS-Chem results and to examine the evolution of tropospheric ozone. An overview of the models included in this study is presented in Table 3. Model outputs are available on the Earth System Grid Federation (ESGF) website (<https://esgf-node.llnl.gov/projects/cmip6/>, last access: March 9th, 2022). All the CMIP6 historical simulations of ozone for 1850–2014 apply the CEDS_{CMIP6} inventory as the global anthropogenic emissions of air pollutants (Feng et al., 2020) and the BB4CMIP6 inventory as biomass burning emissions, and apply the same external forcing from solar irradiance and well-mixed greenhouse 275 gases (Meinshausen et al., 2017), but have significant differences in their resolutions, meteorology, chemical mechanisms, and representation of natural emissions such as lightning and biogenic emissions. The evolution of tropospheric ozone from 1850 to 2100 has been extensively analyzed in Griffiths et al. (2021). Here monthly model output of ozone for 1995–2014 is analyzed and compared with the GEOS-Chem results.

2.5 Trend estimation

280 We follow Gaudel et al. (2020) to determine tropospheric ozone trends from IAGOS and ozonesonde observations using the quantile regression method (Koenker and Bassett, 1978). The quantile regression method estimates trends based on the rank value of the sample distributions rather than the mean values, which makes no assumptions about the distribution of the data and has better tolerance to outliers (Koenker and Xiao, 2002; Chang et al., 2021). These advantages make it a robust tool for estimating trends of time series with many intermittent missing values, such as ozone records from the IAGOS and ozonesonde 285 observations. More details of the method are described in Koenker and Hallock (2001).

We calculate ozone trends on 15 pressure levels at 50 hPa intervals from 950 to 200 hPa for each IAGOS region and each ozonesonde site. We remove data points with ozone higher than 125 ppbv at altitudes higher than 500 hPa to exclude the influence from episodic stratospheric intrusions and because the effect of these intrusions is diluted in the model (Zhang et al., 2014), based on observed ozone values in fresh stratospheric intrusions and in air pollution plumes (Nowak et al., 2004; Cooper 290 et al., 2005; Archibald et al., 2020). Following Gaudel et al. (2020), we first calculate the monthly mean ozone values to construct the mean seasonal cycle from 1995 to 2017 for each layer and for each IAGOS region or ozonesonde site. The mean seasonal cycle is then used to deseasonalize each ozone record at the same pressure level. Finally, the quantile regression method is applied to calculate the linear trend of ozone using all available deseasonalized ozone profiles at each pressure layer. We report linear trends of ozone at the 50th (median) and 95th quantile in ppbv decade⁻¹ for the period 1995-2017 with a

295 corresponding p -value. Following the advice of the statistics community (Wasserstein et al., 2019) and as discussed in Gaudel et al. (2020) we do not use thresholds such as p -value < 0.05 to judge whether the reported trend is statistically significant.

2.6 Radiative impact calculations

We use the radiative kernel approach developed by Rap et al. (2015) to calculate the change in the radiative forcing of tropospheric ozone over the 1995-2017 period. The radiative kernel is defined as the derivative of the radiative flux relative to a small perturbation in ozone. We use the radiative kernel from Skeie et al. (2020), which is constructed using the University of Reading (UoR) radiative transfer model (Myhre et al., 2011). UoR calculates ozone radiative forcing using the Edwards and Slingo (1996) two-stream radiation scheme that includes 8 bands in long-wave (Myhre and Stordal, 1997) and 6 bands in short-wave bands (Stamnes et al., 1988). Ozone radiative kernels have been widely used in previous studies to compare the radiative forcing of ozone across different chemistry-climate models (Rap et al., 2015; Iglesias-Suarez et al., 2018; Scott et al., 2018; Skeie et al., 2020). Iglesias-Suarez et al. (2018) showed that ozone radiative forcing values calculated from the radiative kernel technique and from radiative transfer model are in good agreement with a global mean difference of 0.01 W m^{-2} . We interpolate the monthly ozone outputs from the GEOS-Chem simulations onto the T21 grid space (approximately $5.6^\circ \times 5.6^\circ$) and 60 vertical layers (ranging from the surface to 0.1 hPa) to match the resolution of the radiative kernel and then derive the radiative impacts.

310 3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Evaluation of GEOS-Chem tropospheric ozone

We evaluate the simulated tropospheric ozone and trends from the GEOS-Chem BASE simulation with the IAGOS and ozonesonde measurements. We sample the model outputs along the flight and sonde tracks and apply the same processes to simulated values as observations.

315

Figure 3 compares the annual vertical ozone profiles with the IAGOS observations over the 11 regions in the Northern Hemisphere for years 1995–1999 and 2013–2017. The model reproduces well the major features of tropospheric ozone vertical distributions, including the differences in the ozone increase with altitude between the northern mid-latitudes and tropics. The model shows good agreement with IAGOS observations in terms of the absolute ozone levels over Europe and North America. Over East Asia, our GEOS-Chem simulation shows no significant ozone bias when averaging all IAGOS sampling data, but this reflects the offset between low bias in boreal spring and the high bias in summer. Park et al. (2021) also reported the ozone underestimation from eight chemical models including GEOS-Chem above South Korea during the Korea-United States Air Quality (KORUS-AQ) campaign in May–June 2016, and Gaubert et al. (2020) attributed this to missing CO sources in emission inventories for East Asia. The modelled ozone is biased high in the tropical regions particularly in boreal autumn and

325 winter (Table S1). We find that the GEOS-Chem ozone biases are smaller in 2013–2017 when activity data and emission
factors are better constrained than in the early period of 1995–1999, smaller in regions where the CEDSv2 emission inventory
is scaled to well-developed regional inventories (North America, Europe, East Asia) than in other regions, and larger in the
lower troposphere than in the upper troposphere. In particular, the ozone low biases at 950-800 hPa layer above tropical Asia
and Africa are much larger (11.3-15.9 ppbv) in 1995–1999 than in 2013–2017 (about 2.5 ppbv). A possible reason is that
330 anthropogenic emissions in the early period and in developing tropical regions are biased high, which will also lead to
underestimation of tropospheric ozone trends over these regions, as will be discussed later.

Figure 4 further compares the simulated vertical distributions of ozone with the ozonesonde measurements. We aggregate the
ozonesonde data into six latitudinal bands for comparison, and results for individual sites are shown in Figure S2. The
335 comparison again shows that GEOS-Chem captures the vertical structure of ozone at these globally distributed ozonesonde
stations, but unlike the positive bias relative to IAGOS observations over industrialized areas, GEOS-Chem shows negative
ozone biases in the free troposphere relative to ozonesonde measurement, in particular for remote sites in the extratropical
regions by up to 20 ppbv. IAGOS and ozonesonde observations have very different spatial distributions (except overlaps in
the Europe) (Fig.1) and reflect ozone difference over industrialized versus remote regions, so that inconsistency in simulated
340 ozone bias can be expected. The low tropospheric ozone bias relative to ozonesonde observations in recent GEOS-Chem model
versions has been demonstrated in several studies. The latest comprehensive evaluation of the global tropospheric ozone
simulation using the version 10.1 of the model (Hu et al., 2017) found small low ozone biases compared to ozonesonde
observations in the northern extratropical and polar regions, which were attributed to the underestimation of stratosphere-
troposphere ozone exchange (STE) flux in that version of the model. The scientific updates since the version 10.1 (<https://geos-chem.seas.harvard.edu/geos-new-developments>), including the implementation of halogen (Cl-Br-I) chemistry in version 11-
345 02 and 12.9 (Sherwen et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2021), update of heterogeneous NO_y chemistry in aerosols and cloud in versions
12.6 (Holmes et al., 2019), and introduction of oceanic ozone deposition in version 12.8 (Pound et al., 2020) have significantly
improved the model performance for many other chemical species but tend to enlarge the ozone low bias, in particular updated
halogen chemistry further decreases surface ozone at high-latitude regions (Wang et al., 2021). Correcting the ozone low bias
350 in remote regions in GEOS-Chem would be a topic of future research.

3.2 Tropospheric ozone trends from observations and chemical models

We estimate tropospheric ozone trends over 1995–2017 using IAGOS and ozonesonde observations (Figures 5-7 and Figure
S3). Figures 5 and S3 show the vertical distributions of annual tropospheric ozone trends at the 50th and 95th percentiles from
IAGOS observations, estimated using the quantile regression method as described in Section 2.5. IAGOS observations show
355 that the 50th percentile of tropospheric ozone has increased over all 11 study regions in the Northern Hemisphere in 1995–
2017, as also pointed out by Gaudel et al. (2020). Large ozone increases are found from IAGOS observations in the lower
troposphere (950-800 hPa) for all seasons over developing regions in the northern tropics and subtropics, including East Asia,

Southeast Asia, Persian Gulf, India, northern South America, Gulf of Guinea, and Malaysia/Indonesia, with annual trends ranging from 2.8 to 10.6 ppbv decade⁻¹. The observed 95th percentiles of lower tropospheric ozone over these regions have
360 increased by 3.6-24.2 ppbv decade⁻¹ (Figure S3), showing that the extreme ozone values are rising even faster. The positive trends extend to the free troposphere but with much smaller values. In comparison, the lower tropospheric trends of the 50th percentile ozone in developed regions (Europe, North America) over the northern mid-latitudes are much smaller by up to 1.8 ppbv decade⁻¹, which is largely driven by boreal autumn and winter with ~1.2 ppbv decade⁻¹ on average (Fig.S4). There are small negative trends in the annual 50th percentile in the lower troposphere above North America driven by ozone decreases
365 in the summer (Fig.S4) (Cooper et al., 2012; Simon et al., 2015; Gaudel et al., 2020). The annual 95th percentile in the lower troposphere above Europe and North America has declined at the rate of -0.4~-8.3 ppbv decade⁻¹, which is consistent with surface ozone trends (Chang et al., 2017; Gaudel et al., 2018).

Figure 6 presents the vertical distributions of tropospheric ozone trends derived from ozonesonde observations, complementing ozone trend analyses from IAGOS by providing tropospheric ozone trends in remote regions and latitudes. We find the largest
370 tropospheric ozone trends at the 50th percentile at three stations in the East Asia region, ranging from 3.8 to 6.7 ppbv decade⁻¹ throughout the troposphere. Ozone at these stations is affected by the outflow of Asian pollution plumes, and tropospheric ozone trends there are even larger than those over the source region estimated from IAGOS observations. Notable ozone increases are also found at two stations in the southern subtropics, La Réunion and Natal, with 0.04-6.1 ppbv decade⁻¹ in the middle troposphere and 4.6-9.3 ppbv decade⁻¹ in the upper troposphere, in agreement with the results of Witte et al. (2017). At
375 stations with higher latitudes, tropospheric ozone trends are generally smaller and signs are varied among sites. As discussed in detail by Chang et al. (2020; 2022), low sampling frequencies at ozonesonde stations can make it difficult to detect a trend, and therefore discrepancies in trends from ozonesonde measurements in the free troposphere above Europe and western North America are not unexpected. When the sample size above these regions is maximized by combining all available ozonesonde and IAGOS profiles, the resulting combined product reveals increasing ozone above these regions from 1994 to 2019 (Chang
380 et al., 2022).

We integrate in Figure 7a and Table 4 the annual trends in median ozone for tropospheric column (950-250 hPa) in 1995–2017 from both IAGOS and ozonesonde observations. This allows us to provide a more complete picture of observed global tropospheric ozone trends than previous studies focusing on the Northern Hemisphere alone (Gaudel et al., 2020), and to check the consistency between the two sources of ozone trend measurements. Both observational datasets consistently reveal
385 widespread ozone increases in the troposphere over the past two decades, with larger ozone increases over developing regions in low latitudes than those over middle and high latitudes. All 11 IAGOS areas and 19 of 27 ozonesonde sites have measured increases in tropospheric ozone by 2.9 ± 1.7 and 1.9 ± 1.7 ppbv decade⁻¹ on average, respectively. In particular, trends in the northern low latitudes (0°-30°N) are 4.2 and 2.4 ppbv decade⁻¹ for ozone at the 50th percentile, averaged over all 6 IAGOS area

and 3 ozonesonde observations, respectively. In Europe and North America, observed trends are mostly positive, while three
390 sites (Payerne, Legionowo, and Boulder) show inconsistently negative trends of $-0.5\sim-0.6$ ppbv decade⁻¹ that are in contrast to
IAGOS observations ($0.8\sim1.7$ ppbv decade⁻¹) and trends at the other nearby sites ($0.3\sim2.1$ ppbv decade⁻¹). Increasing the
sampling frequency (i.e. to 18 profiles month⁻¹ according to (Chang et al., 2020) would be helpful to reconcile the ozone trend
estimate at adjacent ozonesonde sites, but we do not exclude the possibility that tropospheric ozone trends can still be variable
even at adjacent locations. IAGOS observations provide less information in the Southern Hemisphere. Six of nine ozonesonde
395 stations in the Southern Hemisphere show increasing tropospheric ozone, with the largest trends at low latitudes sites in La
Réunion and Natal (4.6 ppbv decade⁻¹ and 3.0 ppbv decade⁻¹; p -value < 0.01), while ozone in the southern middle and high
latitudes displays no significant tropospheric ozone trends in 1995–2017, with slight decreasing trends at two sites in Australia
(Macquarie Island and Broadmeadows) and one site in Antarctica (Marambio).

Figures 5-7 and Table 4 also evaluate the performance of GEOS-Chem in reproducing the observed tropospheric ozone trends
400 from IAGOS and ozonesondes. Our BASE simulation reproduces the overall pattern of tropospheric ozone trends in 1995–
2017, in particular the larger ozone increases over the low latitudes, with a correlation coefficient $r=0.6$ for all pairs of observed
and simulated tropospheric ozone trends. GEOS-Chem simulated trends in tropospheric ozone are $2.1\sim2.9$ ppbv decade⁻¹ over
East Asia, India, Southeast Asia, Persian Gulf, and Malaysia/Indonesia, accounting for 51.8–81.4% of the IAGOS trends over
these rapidly developing regions (Table 4). We find a larger underestimation of ozone trends in the lower troposphere (950–
405 800 hPa) compared to higher altitudes (Fig.5). The model also catches the positive tropospheric ozone trends at three
ozonesonde stations in East Asia of $0.5\sim1.8$ ppbv decade⁻¹, but are underestimated compared to the observed trends of $3.7\sim5.2$
ppbv decade⁻¹. As discussed in Section 3.1, bias in anthropogenic emissions of ozone precursors during the early years of
1995–2017 over these developing regions may contribute to the underestimation of trends. The larger underestimation of
tropospheric ozone trends over the Asian-Pacific ozonesonde sites may result from the coarse resolution of our simulation
410 which is not adequate to resolve the Asian pollution outflow (Eastham and Jacob, 2017). In the northern middle and high
latitudes (Europe and North America), GEOS-Chem estimates weak tropospheric ozone trends of -2.0 to 1.6 ppbv decade⁻¹ at
IAGOS regions and ozonesonde stations, smaller than the spread of observed tropospheric ozone trends, reflecting the model
difficulty in capturing weak and variable tropospheric ozone trends there. The model reproduces the ozone increases in the
Southern low latitudes ($0.14\sim0.94$ ppbv decade⁻¹, compared to $1.7\sim4.6$ ppbv decade⁻¹ from ozonesonde observations) except for
415 Samoa and the varied tropospheric ozone trends over the Southern mid-latitudes and high-latitudes.

We compare the tropospheric ozone burden and trends in GEOS-Chem with the selected CMIP6 chemical models in Figure 8.
Here our GEOS-Chem simulation serves as a platform to evaluate tropospheric ozone trends in CMIP6 models, as the monthly
mean output of CMIP6 models hinders a direct comparison against IAGOS and ozonesonde observations. GEOS-Chem
estimates a global tropospheric ozone burden of 304.9 Tg averaged over 1995–2014 and 311.1 Tg for year 2010, at the low

420 end of the eight CMIP6 models for 1995-2014 (308.1-347.5 Tg), and the IPCC AR6 multi-model ensemble and observational estimates for 2010 (347 ± 28 Tg) (Szopa et al., 2021), again reflecting the low ozone bias in current GEOS-Chem versions (Christiansen et al., 2022) and the lower emissions in our simulations than CMIP6 simulations (Fig. 2). The interannual variability of tropospheric ozone burden in GEOS-Chem is moderately consistent with the CMIP6 models with r ranging from 0.3-0.6 (Fig. 8a).

425 All models show an increase in tropospheric ozone burden over the period 1995-2014, but the magnitude of trends differs by a factor of four. GEOS-Chem estimates an increasing trend in global tropospheric ozone burden of 0.2 Tg year^{-1} , which enlarges to 0.4 Tg year^{-1} if 2015-2017 trends are included, but is still in the low end of the CMIP6 model ensemble (0.4 to 1.3 Tg year^{-1}). We find in Figures 8b and S5-S6 that all models agree with the significant ozone increases in 30°S - 30°N , with tropospheric ozone burden increased by 2.4% in 2010–2014 (3.7% in 2013–2017) relative to 1995–1999 in GEOS-Chem and by 2.2%-8.0%
430 in CMIP6 models, though the GEOS-Chem trends over 30°S - 30°N are very likely underestimated compared to the observed trends as discussed above. However, GEOS-Chem simulation shows no notable ozone changes integrated in the 90 - 45°S and 45 - 90°N latitude bands, while a number of CMIP6 models show distinguished ozone increases. Our analyses of the observed tropospheric ozone trends from the IAGOS and ozonesonde observations in Figure 7 suggest some inconsistency in tropospheric ozone trends over the poleward 45° in the both hemispheres, indicating that the simulated ozone increases over
435 these regions from some CMIP6 models need to be interpreted with caution. The weaker tropospheric ozone trends in our GEOS-Chem simulation compared to the CMIP6 models should mostly come from the smaller trends in global anthropogenic emissions in CEDSV2 compared to the CEDS_{CMIP6} inventory, as discussed in Section 2.3, but may also reflect the differences in driven meteorology or model mechanism. Global tropospheric ozone seasonal trends and drivers will be discussed in the next section.

440 **3.3 Factors contributing to the tropospheric ozone trend and burden increase from 1995 to 2017**

We now examine the factors contributing to tropospheric ozone trends from 1995 to 2017 from GEOS-Chem sensitivity simulations. Figure 9 summarizes the contribution to tropospheric ozone burden trends. Figures 10-11 present the seasonal mean distributions of tropospheric ozone trends and contributions from different drivers, separating anthropogenic and climatic/stratospheric influences as described in Section 2.3. Figure S7 presents the ozone trends and attributions at the surface
445 level.

We find that changes in global anthropogenic emissions, including surface emissions of short-lived ozone precursors, methane, and aircraft emissions, are the main drivers of the increase in global tropospheric ozone burden, and largely determine the overall spatial pattern of ozone trends in the BASE simulation in 1995–2017. Changes in anthropogenic emissions alone increase tropospheric ozone burden by 0.5 Tg year^{-1} (p -values <0.01), compared to the total simulated tropospheric ozone

450 burden trend (0.4 Tg year^{-1} ; $p\text{-values} < 0.05$) in 1995-2017 (Fig.9). The emission-driven increases are particularly large ($1.0 \text{ ppbv decade}^{-1}$) in the Northern low latitudes where we have observed the most notable ozone increases (Figs.10-11). We have shown in Figure 2 that the global anthropogenic emissions of NO_x and NMVOCs emissions have been increasing and shifting equatorward from developed regions in the northern mid-latitudes in Europe and North America to the low-latitudes from 1995 to 2017, in particular anthropogenic emissions of NO_x and NMVOCs have increased by 55.5% and 35.6%, respectively,
455 in the $0^\circ\text{-}30^\circ\text{N}$ latitudinal band. Emissions of ozone precursors at low latitudes produce ozone at high efficiency due to the higher solar radiation, temperature, and NO_x sensitivity compared to those at high latitudes (Zhang et al., 2016; 2021). Frequent deep convection at low latitudes also effectively lofts the pollutants to the upper troposphere and can further influence global tropospheric ozone trends via atmospheric circulation (Lawrence et al., 2003; Lu et al., 2019b). This is supported by the extended positive emission-driven ozone trends from the surface to upper troposphere over $0^\circ\text{-}30^\circ\text{N}$ (Fig. 10). Our result
460 highlights the significant role of the emission-driven ozone increases in tropospheric ozone trends since 1995.

Changes in anthropogenic emissions contribute to tropospheric ozone increases in $30\text{-}90^\circ\text{N}$ in spring, autumn, and winter, but lead to ozone decreases in summer (Fig.10). This pattern reflects the differences in emission-driven tropospheric ozone trends between East Asia (positive) and Europe and US (negative) (Fig.11). The CEDSv2 emission inventory (Figure 2) has documented rapid increases in anthropogenic emissions of ozone precursors over China, which contributed to significant
465 increases in tropospheric ozone between 1995 and 2017 by about $2 \text{ ppbv decade}^{-1}$ for all seasons. We find that at the surface changes in the anthropogenic emissions alone lead to larger ozone increases in summer by $5 \text{ ppbv decade}^{-1}$ (Figure S7), but decrease in surface ozone by $-4 \text{ ppbv decade}^{-1}$ in northern China in winter due to NO_x titration effect. However, we note that these surface trends are estimated from the coarse-resolution simulation at $4^\circ \times 5^\circ$. The emission-driven reduction of summertime ozone (and increases in wintertime ozone) over Europe and North America in 1995–2017 are clearly linked to
470 the decline in anthropogenic NO_x emissions as documented in the literature (Cooper et al., 2012; Simon et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2017; Gaudel et al., 2018; Yan et al., 2018).

Anthropogenic emissions also increase tropospheric ozone in the Southern Hemisphere by $0.5 \text{ ppbv decade}^{-1}$. Estimates from the CEDSv2 emission inventory in the Southern Hemisphere show that anthropogenic emissions of CO, NO_x and NMVOCs increased by 26.5%-59.8% from 1995 to 2017. In addition, emission-driven ozone increases in the tropics would also extend
475 to the Southern Hemisphere through meridional atmospheric circulation.

We highlight here the disproportionately large but previously underappreciated contribution of aircraft emissions to 1995–2017 tropospheric ozone trends. Our FixAircraft simulation allows us to separate the impact of aircraft emissions alone from the total anthropogenic emissions on ozone trends. As mentioned in Section 2.2, aircraft NO_x emissions have increased by 76.2% from 1995 but still only account for 3.3% of the total anthropogenic NO_x emissions for year 2017. However, they

480 contribute to a global tropospheric burden trend of 0.3 Tg year^{-1} (p -values <0.01), accounting for 66% of the total emission-driven tropospheric ozone trends (Fig.9b). This disproportionately large contribution is because aircraft NO_x emissions are mainly released in the middle and upper troposphere, where the NO/NO_2 ratio is high and the lifetime of NO_x is long (Silvern et al., 2018), leading to a much higher ozone production efficiency compared to NO_x emissions at the surface. We find that aircraft emission-driven trends are higher in the Northern Hemisphere than the Southern Hemisphere due to the greater density
485 of flights, higher in December-January-February than in June-July-August because of longer lifetime of ozone and the lower NO_x levels in the free troposphere (as lightning NO_x emissions are lower in boreal winter), and higher in the upper troposphere where (Fig.10) tropospheric ozone has the largest radiative impacts.

Climatic factors (including stratospheric influences and natural emissions, as diagnosed from the FixAC simulation) contribute little to the trend of the global tropospheric ozone burden ($-0.1 \text{ Tg year}^{-1}$; p -values $=0.3$), but have significant influence on its
490 interannual variability. We find a high tropospheric ozone burden in 1998 and 2010 in GEOS-Chem and also in CMIP6 models. The high tropospheric ozone burden in these years is tied to the El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO). ENSO influences global tropospheric ozone burden by modulating fire and lightning emissions, STE flux, and influences regional ozone by modulating the transport pattern and local weather relevant to the ozone photochemical environment (Zeng and Pyle, 2005; Lin et al., 2014; Lu et al., 2019a). In particular, biomass burning emissions of CO are 36.4% higher in 1998 (618.7 Tg) compared to
495 365.5 Tg averaged over 1995–2017, and we find that the anomalously high biomass burning emissions alone enhanced the tropospheric ozone burden by 7.8 Tg compared to a sensitivity simulation with fire activity fixed at the 1995 level. This is because the positive phase of ENSO (El Niño) in 1998 induces anomalous downward motion of air, which leads to hot and dry weather conditions over equatorial Asia and Central and South America that are favorable for strong fire activity (Doherty et al., 2006; van der Werf et al., 2008; Fonseca et al., 2017). This El Niño driven ozone peak in 1998 is more prominent in
500 GEOS-Chem than most of the CMIP6 models, very likely because El Niño driven shift in weather conditions and transport pattern is better reflected in MERRA2 re-analyses data used to drive our GEOS-Chem model, compared to climate fields simulated by CMIP6 climate-chemistry models without nudging to observed sea-surface temperature.

Figures 10-11 show that tropospheric ozone would decrease over mid-latitudes and high latitudes of both Hemispheres in the absence of anthropogenic emission changes in 1995–2017, as estimated from the FixAC simulation. We find significant ozone
505 decreases in the lower stratosphere in the Southern Hemisphere in March–August and in the Northern Hemisphere for all seasons, and the negative ozone trends extend downward to the troposphere (Fig.10), indicating that changes in stratospheric ozone and/or stratosphere-troposphere dynamics are contributing to tropospheric ozone decreases. The ozone decreases in the lower stratosphere are inconsistent among the CMIP6 models (Fig.S5). Our GEOS-Chem model by implementing the time-resolved surface concentrations of ozone-depleting-species as boundary conditions shows a moderate increasing trend in total
510 stratospheric ozone burden from 1995 to 2017 (Fig.S8), consistent with the observations suggesting a leveling off of declining

trends in stratospheric ozone after the Montreal Protocol (Solomon et al., 2016; Weber et al., 2022) and with other modeling studies (Griffiths et al., 2020). However, satellite observations have revealed that ozone in the lower stratosphere are still decreasing after the 1990s and the drivers are still not clear (Ball et al., 2020), supporting the negative ozone trends in the lower stratosphere in GEOS-Chem.

515 We further use two methods to diagnose the STE flux in the GEOS-Chem and examine their trends in 1995–2017. The first method diagnoses STE flux as a residual burden of ozone, calculated as $STE = O_3 \text{ loss} + O_3 \text{ dry dep} - O_3 \text{ production} + \Delta O_3$, where ΔO_3 is the change of tropospheric ozone burden relative to the previous year. This method is widely used in multi-model estimates of tropospheric ozone burden (Young et al., 2018; Archibald et al., 2020; Griffiths et al., 2020). The second method diagnoses the STE flux as the vertical ozone flux at 100 hPa (Hsu and Prather, 2014). As shown in Fig.S8, even though the
520 absolute values of STE flux are not consistent between the two methods, both methods suggest a negative trend in STE flux in 1995–2017, consistent with a recent study using reanalyses data to diagnose long-term trend in STE flux (Wang and Fu, 2021). The decrease in STE flux explains the tropospheric ozone decreases over the high-latitudes in the FixAC simulation. However, both methods are not applicable to derive STE trends at different latitude bands. More work is required to evaluate the trends in STE flux and to explore the driving factors.

525 **3.4 Radiative impacts of tropospheric ozone changes in 1995–2017**

We now examine the radiative impacts of tropospheric ozone changes in 1995–2017. Figure 12 shows the difference in modelled mean ozone in Dobson Units (DU) between 2013–2017 and 1995–1999. We use the five-year average for comparison to reduce the impact of short-term climate variability on ozone. Global average tropospheric column ozone increased by 0.6 DU in 2013–2017 compared to the 1995–1999 level, with the greatest increases in the tropics and in the upper troposphere
530 (Fig.12b), where ozone radiative impacts are the largest as reflected in the ozone radiative kernel (Fig.12c and Fig. S9).

Figures 13a-c estimate the associated changes in radiative forcing for total radiation (SW+LW) and separately for SW and LW, using the ozone radiative kernel method as described in Section 2.6. We find that the global averaged total tropospheric ozone radiative impact is 18.5 mW m^{-2} in 2013–2017 compared to 1995–1999 level, with 1.6 mW m^{-2} from the SW and 16.9 mW m^{-2} from the LW. This estimated radiative impact value is approximately 4.7% of the tropospheric ozone radiative forcing of 390
535 $(270 \text{ to } 510) \text{ mW m}^{-2}$ in 2005–2014 relative to 1850 estimated from 10 climate models in CMIP6 using the same radiative kernel method (Skeie et al., 2020). In comparison, changes in global anthropogenic NO_x emissions between 2017 and 1995 are 3.9% (1.7 TgN) of those between 2014 and 1850 (43.2 TgN). However, as our model has underestimated tropospheric ozone trends, the calculated ozone-induced radiative impacts are very likely smaller than the true forcing. Peak SW radiative impact is found in regions with large ozone changes and high albedo, such as over deserts or ice, and with low clouds. The

540 LW radiative impact peaks in areas with large ozone changes and with hot surface temperatures and high tropopause levels. Thus, we see large ozone radiative impact over the northern tropics.

Figures 13d-e further attribute the total tropospheric ozone radiative impacts to changes in anthropogenic emissions, aircraft emissions only, and to climatic and stratospheric influences. Changes in anthropogenic emissions contribute to tropospheric ozone radiative impact by 43.5 mW m^{-2} , representing the dominant factor driving the increase in tropospheric ozone radiative impact from 1995. In particular, aircraft emissions alone contribute to tropospheric ozone radiative impact by 20.5 mW m^{-2} . The large emission-driven tropospheric ozone radiative impact increases from 1995 to 2017 are not only due to the increase in the absolute amount of emissions, but also reflect the equatorward redistribution of emissions to regions with strong convection and the increases in aircraft emissions, which have both led to ozone increases in the middle and upper troposphere and over the tropics, where the potential for tropospheric ozone radiative impacts are a magnitude of two larger than those at the surface over mid-latitudes (Fig.12c). Nevertheless, our analysis does not reflect the long-term indirect radiative impacts of aircraft emissions through modulating tropospheric OH and CH₄ and stratospheric chemistry (Lee et al., 2021). The climatic and stratospheric influences contribute -25.1 mW m^{-2} to tropospheric ozone radiative impact, mainly reflecting the simulated ozone decreases in the extratropical upper troposphere.

4 Conclusions

555 We examine the tropospheric ozone trends, their attributions, and radiative impact from 1995–2017 using aircraft (IAGOS) observations, ozonesondes, and a multi-decadal GEOS-Chem chemical model simulation. The combination of IAGOS and ozonesonde observations provides a global view of tropospheric ozone trends, and enables an extensive evaluation of GEOS-Chem simulated tropospheric ozone trends. We attribute tropospheric ozone trends to changes in anthropogenic emissions and climatic and stratospheric factors through a set of GEOS-Chem sensitivity experiments, and calculate the change in tropospheric ozone radiative forcing during 1995–2017.

We find that both the IAGOS and ozonesonde observations reveal significant tropospheric ozone increases over the tropics in 1995–2017. The largest positive ozone trends in the lower troposphere are found over developing regions (East Asia, Persian Gulf, India, northern South America, Gulf of Guinea, and Malaysia/Indonesia), ranging from 2.8 to $10.6 \text{ ppbv decade}^{-1}$ for IAGOS and 3.8 to $6.7 \text{ ppbv decade}^{-1}$ for ozonesondes in this period. In Europe and North America, however, we find much weaker tropospheric ozone trends and some inconsistency in the sign of tropospheric ozone trends derived from IAGOS and ozonesondes. Six ozonesonde stations in the Southern Hemisphere have increasing trends in free tropospheric ozone, with the strongest trends at the low latitude sites of La Réunion and Natal. No significant tropospheric ozone increases are found at high-latitudes in both hemispheres in 1995–2017.

570 Our GEOS-Chem simulation driven by reanalysis meteorological fields and the most up-to-date year-specific anthropogenic
emission inventory reproduces the large tropospheric ozone increases over the tropics in 1995–2017. GEOS-Chem simulated
trends in tropospheric ozone account for 51.8-81.4% of the IAGOS trends over East Asia, India, Southeast Asia, Persian Gulf,
and Malaysia/Indonesia, and also catches the positive tropospheric ozone trends at three ozonesonde stations in East Asia, but
575 trends are largely underestimated. Comparisons of observed vs modelled ozone values in 1995–1999 suggest that emissions
in the early years in developing regions are likely overestimated and contribute to the underestimation of tropospheric ozone
trends. In the northern middle and high latitudes, the model shows no notable tropospheric ozone trends. GEOS-Chem
estimates an increasing trend in global tropospheric ozone burden of 0.2 Tg year⁻¹ in 1995–2014 (0.4 Tg year⁻¹ in 1995–2017),
compared to the CMIP6 model ensemble of 0.4 to 1.3 Tg year⁻¹ in 1995–2014. The smaller tropospheric ozone trends in GEOS-
Chem compared to most of the CMIP6 models are partly due to the smaller trends in anthropogenic emissions of ozone
580 precursors in the CEDSv2 inventory than the CEDS_{CMIP6} inventory used in the CMIP6 models, and also because GEOS-Chem
better captures the observed ozone decreases in the lower stratosphere.

We find that increases in the global anthropogenic emissions, including surface emissions of short-lived ozone precursors,
aircraft emissions, and methane, contribute to increases in the tropospheric ozone burden by 0.5 Tg year⁻¹, acting as the
dominant driver of the tropospheric ozone increase in 1995–2017. The larger emission-driven tropospheric ozone trends are
585 found in the developing regions in the low-latitudes, where emissions of ozone precursors can produce ozone at higher
efficiency due to the higher solar radiation and NO_x sensitivity compared to those at high-latitudes, and can effectively
influence the global ozone burden through deep convection. In particular, we find a previously underappreciated contribution
of aircraft emissions to the tropospheric ozone increase (0.3 Tg year⁻¹), accounting for 66% of the total emission-driven
tropospheric ozone trends. This large contribution is because aircraft NO_x emissions are mainly released in the mid- and upper
590 troposphere, where water vapor content is lower, the NO_x level is low, and lifetime of NO_x is longer, leading to higher ozone
production efficiency. Climatic and stratospheric factors contribute to a reduction of tropospheric ozone over mid-latitudes
and high latitudes of both hemispheres in the absence of anthropogenic emission changes in 1995–2017. Ozone decreases in
the lower stratosphere and a negative trend in STE flux in 1995–2017 may explain this decrease in ozone at mid- and high-
latitudes. Climate variability such as ENSO largely influences the variability of tropospheric ozone through modulating
595 biomass burning emissions.

We also examine the radiative impacts of tropospheric ozone changes in 1995–2017. We estimate a global mean tropospheric
ozone total radiative impact of 18.5 mW m⁻² in 2013–2017 compared to 1995–1999 level, with an increase by ~1.2%, but we
suggest the true radiative impacts should be larger as our simulation underestimates the overall tropospheric ozone trends from
1995-2017. Changes in anthropogenic emissions are the dominant factor driving the increase in ozone radiative impact from
600 1995. The increase is mainly attributed to the equatorward redistribution of emissions to areas with strong convection and the

increase in aircraft emissions; both contribute to the increase in ozone in the mid- and upper troposphere and over the tropics where the potential ozone radiative impacts are a magnitude of two larger than those at the surface over mid-latitudes.

Our study thus highlights the dominant contribution of changes in global anthropogenic emission patterns, including the equatorward redistribution of surface emissions and the rapid increases in aircraft emissions, to the increases in tropospheric ozone and resulting radiative impacts in 1995–2017. Uncertainties in the anthropogenic emission inventory, especially for the early period and for developing regions where activity data are less effectively collected/constrained, may lead to the underestimation of GEOS-Chem simulated tropospheric ozone trends, especially in the tropics. Using long-term satellite observations of NO_x as a top-down constraint on trends in anthropogenic emissions (Qu et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2021) may help to improve the model's ability to capture observed ozone trends. The spatial resolution of 4°×5° in our simulations limits the model ability to capture finer-scale ozone trends. We also call for more modeling studies to better understand ozone variability in the lower stratosphere and to quantify its impact on tropospheric ozone trends.

Data availability. The ozonesonde data are from <https://woudc.org/data.php>. The IAGOS data set is archived at <http://www.iagos-data.fr/>. The updated global anthropogenic emissions data from CEDS are available from <https://doi.org/10.25584/PNNLDataHub/1779095>. The MERRA-2 reanalysis data are from http://geoschemdata.computecanada.ca/ExtData/GEOS_4x5/MERRA2/. The CMIP6 model outputs are available on the Earth System Grid Federation (ESGF) website (<https://esgf-data.dkrz.de/search/cmip6-dkrz/>). The tropopause pressure data from MERRA-2 are available at <https://doi.org/10.5067/AP1B0BA5PD2K>. Data from GEOS-Chem modelling that support the findings of this study can be accessed by contacting the corresponding authors (Xiao Lu, luxiao25@mail.sysu.edu.cn; Shaojia Fan, eesfsj@mail.sysu.edu.cn).

Author contributions. XL, SJF, and HLW designed the study. HLW conducted the modeling and data analyses with contributions from BSS and KW. DJJ, ORC, KLC, KL, MG, and YML advised the trend analyses and model interpretation. TWW and JZ contributed to the CMIP6 model result and its interpretation. BS, PN, and RB contributed the IAGOS data and advised its interpretation. HLW, XL, and SJF wrote the paper with input from all authors. All authors contributed to the discussion and improvement of the paper.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments. The authors acknowledge the strong support of the European Commission, Airbus and the airlines (Lufthansa, Air France, Austrian, Air Namibia, Cathay Pacific, Iberia and China Airlines, so far) who have carried the

MOZAIC or IAGOS equipment and performed the maintenance since 1994. In its last 10 years of operation, MOZAIC has been funded by INSU-CNRS (France), Météo-France, Université Paul Sabatier (Toulouse, France) and the Jülich Research Center (FZJ, Jülich, Germany). IAGOS has been additionally funded by the EU projects IAGOS-DS and IAGOS-ERI. The MOZAIC-IAGOS database is supported by AERIS, the French portal for data and service for the atmosphere. The data are available at <https://www.iagos.org/> thanks to additional support from AERIS. O.R. Cooper and K.-L. Chang were supported by the NOAA Cooperative Agreement with CIRES, NA17OAR4320101. The authors thank David Lee, Ruijun Dang, Sebastian Eastham, and Viral Shah for valuable discussions on aircraft emissions.

635 Financial support. This research has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC, grant no. 41030164), the Key-Area Research and Development Program of Guangdong Province (grant no. 2020B1111360003), Guangdong Major Project of Basic and Applied Basic Research (grant no. 2020B0301030004), the Guangdong science and technology plan project (grant no. 2019B121201002), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, Sun Yat-sen University (grant no. 22qntd1908).

640 References

- ADE: National Pollutant Inventory (NPI), available at: <http://www.npi.gov.au/substances> (last access: 30 May 2022), 2016.
- ADE: National Pollution Inventory, 2017/2018, available at: <http://www.npi.gov.au/npidata/action/load/advance-search> (last access: 30 May 2022), 2019.
- Amos, H. M., Jacob, D. J., Holmes, C. D., Fisher, J. A., Wang, Q., Yantosca, R. M., Corbitt, E. S., Galarnau, E., Rutter, A. P., Gustin, M. S., Steffen, A., Schauer, J. J., Graydon, J. A., Louis, V. L. S., Talbot, R. W., Edgerton, E. S., Zhang, Y., and Sunderland, E. M.: Gas-particle partitioning of atmospheric Hg(II) and its effect on global mercury deposition, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 591-603, 10.5194/acp-12-591-2012, 2012.
- Archibald, A. T., Folberth, G., Wade, D. C., and Scott, D.: A world avoided: impacts of changes in anthropogenic emissions on the burden and effects of air pollutants in Europe and North America, *Faraday Discuss.*, 200, 475-500, 10.1039/C7FD00004A, 2017.
- Archibald, A. T., Neu, J. L., Elshorbany, Y. F., Cooper, O. R., Young, P. J., Akiyoshi, H., Cox, R. A., Coyle, M., Derwent, R. G., Deushi, M., Finco, A., Frost, G. J., Galbally, I. E., Gerosa, G., Granier, C., Griffiths, P. T., Hossaini, R., Hu, L., Jöckel, P., Josse, B., Lin, M. Y., Mertens, M., Morgenstern, O., Naja, M., Naik, V., Oltmans, S., Plummer, D. A., Revell, L. E., Saiz-Lopez, A., Saxena, P., Shin, Y. M., Shahid, I., Shallcross, D., Tilmes, S., Trickl, T., Wallington, T. J., Wang, T., Worden, H. M., and Zeng, G.: Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report: A critical review of changes in the tropospheric ozone burden and budget from 1850 to 2100, *Elem. Sci. Anth.*, 8, 10.1525/elementa.2020.034, 2020.

- Atkinson, R.: Atmospheric chemistry of VOCs and NO_x, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34, 2063-2101, 10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00460-4, 2000.
- 660 Ball, W. T., Chiodo, G., Abalos, M., Alsing, J., and Stenke, A.: Inconsistencies between chemistry–climate models and observed lower stratospheric ozone trends since 1998, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 9737-9752, 10.5194/acp-20-9737-2020, 2020.
- Bentsen, M., Olivieri, D. J. L., Seland, Ø., Toniazzo, T., Gjermundsen, A., Graff, L. S., Debernard, J. B., Gupta, A. K., He, Y., Kirkevåg, A., Schwinger, J., Tjiputra, J., Aas, K. S., Bethke, I., Fan, Y., Griesfeller, J., Grini, A., Guo, C., Ilicak, M., Karset, I. H. H., Landgren, O. A., Liakka, J., Moseid, K. O., Nummelin, A., Spensberger, C., Tang, H., Zhang, Z., Heinze, 665 C., Iversen, T., and Schulz, M.: NCC NorESM2-MM model output prepared for CMIP6 CMIP historical, in, Earth System Grid Federation, 2019.
- Bey, I., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Logan, J. A., Field, B. D., Fiore, A. M., Li, Q., Liu, H. Y., Mickley, L. J., and Schultz, M. G.: Global modeling of tropospheric chemistry with assimilated meteorology: Model description and evaluation, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 106, 23073-23095, 10.1029/2001JD000807, 2001.
- 670 Bian, H., and Prather, M. J.: Fast-J2: Accurate Simulation of Stratospheric Photolysis in Global Chemical Models, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 41, 281-296, 10.1023/A:1014980619462, 2002.
- Blot, R., Nedelec, P., Boulanger, D., Wolff, P., Sauvage, B., Cousin, J. M., Athier, G., Zahn, A., Obersteiner, F., Scharffe, D., Petetin, H., Bennouna, Y., Clark, H., and Thouret, V.: Internal consistency of the IAGOS ozone and carbon monoxide measurements for the last 25 years, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 14, 3935-3951, 10.5194/amt-14-3935-2021, 2021.
- 675 Boucher, O., Denvil, S., Levvasseur, G., Cozic, A., Caubel, A., Foujols, M.-A., Meurdesoif, Y., Cadule, P., Devilliers, M., Ghattas, J., Lebas, N., Lurton, T., Mellul, L., Musat, I., Mignot, J., and Cheruy, F.: IPSL IPSL-CM6A-LR model output prepared for CMIP6 CMIP, in, Earth System Grid Federation, 2018.
- Chang, K.-L., Petropavlovskikh, I., Cooper, O. R., Schultz, M. G., and Wang, T.: Regional trend analysis of surface ozone observations from monitoring networks in eastern North America, Europe and East Asia, *Elem. Sci. Anth.*, 5, 680 10.1525/elementa.243, 2017.
- Chang, K.-L., Schultz, M. G., Lan, X., McClure-Begley, A., Petropavlovskikh, I., Xu, X., and Ziemke, J. R.: Trend detection of atmospheric time series: Incorporating appropriate uncertainty estimates and handling extreme events, *Elem. Sci. Anth.*, 9, 10.1525/elementa.2021.00035, 2021.
- Chang, K.-L., Cooper, O. R., Gaudel, A., Allaart, M., Ancellet, G., Clark, H., Godin-Beekmann, S., Leblanc, T., Van Malderen, 685 R., Nédélec, P., Petropavlovskikh, I., Steinbrecht, W., Stübi, R., Tarasick, D. W., and Torres, C.: Impact of the COVID-19 Economic Downturn on Tropospheric Ozone Trends: An Uncertainty Weighted Data Synthesis for Quantifying Regional Anomalies Above Western North America and Europe, *AGU Adv.*, 3, e2021AV000542, 10.1029/2021AV000542, 2022.

- Chang, K. L., Cooper, O. R., Gaudel, A., Petropavlovskikh, I., and Thouret, V.: Statistical regularization for trend detection: an integrated approach for detecting long-term trends from sparse tropospheric ozone profiles, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 9915-9938, 10.5194/acp-20-9915-2020, 2020.
- Chen, Y., Zhang, L., Henze, D. K., Zhao, Y., Lu, X., Winiwarter, W., Guo, Y., Liu, X., Wen, Z., Pan, Y., and Song, Y.: Interannual variation of reactive nitrogen emissions and their impacts on PM_{2.5} air pollution in China during 2005–2015, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 16, 125004, 10.1088/1748-9326/ac3695, 2021.
- Christiansen, A., Mickley, L. J., Liu, J., Oman, L. D., and Hu, L.: Multidecadal increases in global tropospheric ozone derived from ozonesonde and surface site observations: Can models reproduce ozone trends?, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 2022, 1-50, 10.5194/acp-2022-330, 2022.
- Cohen, Y., Petetin, H., Thouret, V., Marécal, V., Josse, B., Clark, H., Sauvage, B., Fontaine, A., Athier, G., Blot, R., Boulanger, D., Cousin, J. M., and Nédélec, P.: Climatology and long-term evolution of ozone and carbon monoxide in the upper troposphere–lower stratosphere (UTLS) at northern midlatitudes, as seen by IAGOS from 1995 to 2013, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 5415-5453, 10.5194/acp-18-5415-2018, 2018.
- Cooper, O. R., Stohl, A., Hübler, G., Hsie, E. Y., Parrish, D. D., Tuck, A. F., Kiladis, G. N., Oltmans, S. J., Johnson, B. J., Shapiro, M., Moody, J. L., and Lefohn, A. S.: Direct transport of midlatitude stratospheric ozone into the lower troposphere and marine boundary layer of the tropical Pacific Ocean, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 110, 10.1029/2005JD005783, 2005.
- Cooper, O. R., Gao, R.-S., Tarasick, D., Leblanc, T., and Sweeney, C.: Long-term ozone trends at rural ozone monitoring sites across the United States, 1990–2010, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 117, 10.1029/2012JD018261, 2012.
- Cooper, O. R., Schultz, M. G., Schröder, S., Chang, K.-L., Gaudel, A., Benítez, G. C., Cuevas, E., Fröhlich, M., Galbally, I. E., Molloy, S., Kubistin, D., Lu, X., McClure-Begley, A., Nédélec, P., O'Brien, J., Oltmans, S. J., Petropavlovskikh, I., Ries, L., Senik, I., Sjöberg, K., Solberg, S., Spain, G. T., Spangl, W., Steinbacher, M., Tarasick, D., Thouret, V., and Xu, X.: Multi-decadal surface ozone trends at globally distributed remote locations, *Elem. Sci. Anth.*, 8, 10.1525/elementa.420, 2020.
- Danabasoglu, G.: NCAR CESM2 model output prepared for CMIP6 CMIP historical, in, Earth System Grid Federation, 2019a.
- Danabasoglu, G.: NCAR CESM2-WACCM model output prepared for CMIP6 CMIP historical, in, Earth System Grid Federation, 2019b.
- Daniel, J. S., Velders, G. J. M., Douglass, A. R., Forster, P. M. D., Hauglustaine, D. A., Isaksen, I. S. A., Kuijpers, L. J. M., McCulloch, A., and Wallington, T. J.: Halocarbon scenarios, ozone depletion potentials, and global warming potentials (Chapter 8). In: *Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2006*, World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2007.
- Doherty, R. M., Stevenson, D. S., Johnson, C. E., Collins, W. J., and Sanderson, M. G.: Tropospheric ozone and El Niño–Southern Oscillation: Influence of atmospheric dynamics, biomass burning emissions, and future climate change, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 111, 10.1029/2005JD006849, 2006.

- Eastham, S. D., Weisenstein, D. K., and Barrett, S. R. H.: Development and evaluation of the unified tropospheric–stratospheric chemistry extension (UCX) for the global chemistry-transport model GEOS-Chem, *Atmos. Environ.*, 89, 725 52–63, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.02.001, 2014.
- Eastham, S. D., and Jacob, D. J.: Limits on the ability of global Eulerian models to resolve intercontinental transport of chemical plumes, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 2543–2553, 10.5194/acp-17-2543-2017, 2017.
- ECCC: Air pollutant emissions inventory report: 1990–2014, available at: http://www.ec.gc.ca/Pollution/A17452DA-CFC0-4222-985F-36B12FCBAA0B/APEI2017-E-Feb_15_2017.pdf (last access: 30 May 2022), 2016.
- 730 ECCC: Canada’s 2019 Air Pollutant Emissions Inventory, available at: http://data.ec.gc.ca/data/substances/monitor/canada-s-air-pollutant-emissions-inventory/APEI_Tables_Canada_Provinces_Territories/?lang (last access: 30 May 2022), 2019.
- Edwards, J. M., and Slingo, A.: Studies with a flexible new radiation code. I: Choosing a configuration for a large-scale model, *Q. J. R. Meteorolog. Soc.*, 122, 689–719, 10.1002/qj.49712253107, 1996.
- EMEP: Officially reported emission data, available at: <http://www.ceip.at/> (last access: 30 May 2022), 2016.
- 735 EMEP: Officially reported emission data to the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme: EMEP_NFR14_LEVEL1 data, available at: https://www.ceip.at/ms/ceip_home1/ceip_home/webdab_emepdatabase/reported_emissiondata/ (last access: 30 May 2022), 2019.
- Eyring, V., Bony, S., Meehl, G. A., Senior, C. A., Stevens, B., Stouffer, R. J., and Taylor, K. E.: Overview of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and organization, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 9, 1937–740 1958, 10.5194/gmd-9-1937-2016, 2016.
- Feng, L., Smith, S. J., Braun, C., Crippa, M., Gidden, M. J., Hoesly, R., Klimont, Z., van Marle, M., van den Berg, M., and van der Werf, G. R.: The generation of gridded emissions data for CMIP6, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 13, 461–482, 10.5194/gmd-13-461-2020, 2020.
- Fischer, E. V., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Sulprizio, M. P., Millet, D. B., Mao, J., Paulot, F., Singh, H. B., Roiger, A., Ries, 745 L., Talbot, R. W., Dzepina, K., and Pandey Deolal, S.: Atmospheric peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN): a global budget and source attribution, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 2679–2698, 10.5194/acp-14-2679-2014, 2014.
- Fleming, Z. L., Doherty, R. M., von Schneidemesser, E., Malley, C. S., Cooper, O. R., Pinto, J. P., Colette, A., Xu, X., Simpson, D., Schultz, M. G., Lefohn, A. S., Hamad, S., Moolla, R., Solberg, S., and Feng, Z.: Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report: Present-day ozone distribution and trends relevant to human health, *Elem. Sci. Anth.*, 6, 10.1525/elementa.273, 750 2018.
- Fonseca, M. G., Anderson, L. O., Arai, E., Shimabukuro, Y. E., Xaud, H. A. M., Xaud, M. R., Madani, N., Wagner, F. H., and Aragão, L. E. O. C.: Climatic and anthropogenic drivers of northern Amazon fires during the 2015–2016 El Niño event, *Ecol. Appl.*, 27, 2514–2527, 10.1002/eap.1628, 2017.

- Gaubert, B., Emmons, L. K., Raeder, K., Tilmes, S., Miyazaki, K., Arellano Jr, A. F., Elguindi, N., Granier, C., Tang, W.,
755 Barré, J., Worden, H. M., Buchholz, R. R., Edwards, D. P., Franke, P., Anderson, J. L., Saunio, M., Schroeder, J., Woo,
J. H., Simpson, I. J., Blake, D. R., Meinardi, S., Wennberg, P. O., Crouse, J., Teng, A., Kim, M., Dickerson, R. R., He,
H., Ren, X., Pusede, S. E., and Diskin, G. S.: Correcting model biases of CO in East Asia: impact on oxidant distributions
during KORUS-AQ, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 14617-14647, 10.5194/acp-20-14617-2020, 2020.
- Gaudel, A., Cooper, O. R., Ancellet, G., Barret, B., Boynard, A., Burrows, J. P., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P. F., Cuesta, J., Cuevas,
760 E., Doniki, S., Dufour, G., Ebojje, F., Foret, G., Garcia, O., Granados-Muñoz, M. J., Hannigan, J. W., Hase, F., Hassler,
B., Huang, G., Hurtmans, D., Jaffe, D., Jones, N., Kalabokas, P., Kerridge, B., Kulawik, S., Latter, B., Leblanc, T., Le
Flochmoën, E., Lin, W., Liu, J., Liu, X., Mahieu, E., McClure-Begley, A., Neu, J. L., Osman, M., Palm, M., Petetin, H.,
Petrovavlovskikh, I., Querel, R., Rapp, N., Rozanov, A., Schultz, M. G., Schwab, J., Siddans, R., Smale, D.,
Steinbacher, M., Tanimoto, H., Tarasick, D. W., Thouret, V., Thompson, A. M., Trickl, T., Weatherhead, E., Wespes, C.,
765 Worden, H. M., Vigouroux, C., Xu, X., Zeng, G., and Ziemke, J.: Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report: Present-day
distribution and trends of tropospheric ozone relevant to climate and global atmospheric chemistry model evaluation,
Elem. Sci. Anth., 6, 10.1525/elementa.291, 2018.
- Gaudel, A., Cooper, O. R., Chang, K.-L., Bourgeois, I., Ziemke, J. R., Strode, S. A., Oman, L. D., Sellitto, P., Nédélec, P.,
Blot, R., Thouret, V., and Granier, C.: Aircraft observations since the 1990s reveal increases of tropospheric ozone at
770 multiple locations across the Northern Hemisphere, *Sci. Adv.*, 6, eaba8272, 10.1126/sciadv.aba8272, 2020.
- Gelaro, R., McCarty, W., Suarez, M. J., Todling, R., Molod, A., Takacs, L., Randles, C. A., Darmenov, A., Bosilovich, M. G.,
Reichle, R., Wargan, K., Coy, L., Cullather, R., Draper, C., Akella, S., Buchard, V., Conaty, A., da Silva, A. M., Gu, W.,
Kim, G.-K., Koster, R., Lucchesi, R., Merkova, D., Nielsen, J. E., Partyka, G., Pawson, S., Putman, W., Rienecker, M.,
Schubert, S. D., Sienkiewicz, M., and Zhao, B.: The Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications,
775 Version 2 (MERRA-2), *J. Clim.*, 30, 5419-5454, 10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0758.1, 2017.
- Griffiths, P. T., Keeble, J., Shin, Y. M., Abraham, N. L., Archibald, A. T., and Pyle, J. A.: On the Changing Role of the
Stratosphere on the Tropospheric Ozone Budget: 1979–2010, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 47, e2019GL086901,
10.1029/2019GL086901, 2020.
- Griffiths, P. T., Murray, L. T., Zeng, G., Shin, Y. M., Abraham, N. L., Archibald, A. T., Deushi, M., Emmons, L. K., Galbally,
780 I. E., Hassler, B., Horowitz, L. W., Keeble, J., Liu, J., Moeini, O., Naik, V., O'Connor, F. M., Oshima, N., Tarasick, D.,
Tilmes, S., Turnock, S. T., Wild, O., Young, P. J., and Zanis, P.: Tropospheric ozone in CMIP6 simulations, *Atmos.
Chem. Phys.*, 21, 4187-4218, 10.5194/acp-21-4187-2021, 2021.
- Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., and Wang, X.: The Model of
Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for
785 modeling biogenic emissions, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 5, 1471-1492, 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012, 2012.
- Gulev, S. K., Thorne, P. W., Ahn, J., Dentener, F. J., Domingues, C. M., Gerland, S., Gong, D., Kaufman, D. S., Nnamchi, H.
C., Quaas, J., Rivera, J. A., Sathyendranath, S., Smith, S. L., Trewin, B., Schuckmann, K. v., and Vose, R. S.: Changing

- state of the climate system, in: *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2021.
- 790 Hansen, J., Sato, M., and Ruedy, R.: Radiative forcing and climate response, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 102, 6831-6864, 10.1029/96JD03436, 1997.
- Hoesly, R. M., Smith, S. J., Feng, L., Klimont, Z., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Pitkanen, T., Seibert, J. J., Vu, L., Andres, R. J., Bolt, R. M., Bond, T. C., Dawidowski, L., Kholod, N., Kurokawa, J. I., Li, M., Liu, L., Lu, Z., Moura, M. C. P., O'Rourke, 795 P. R., and Zhang, Q.: Historical (1750–2014) anthropogenic emissions of reactive gases and aerosols from the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS), *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 11, 369-408, 10.5194/gmd-11-369-2018, 2018.
- Holmes, C. D., Bertram, T. H., Confer, K. L., Graham, K. A., Ronan, A. C., Wirks, C. K., and Shah, V.: The Role of Clouds in the Tropospheric NO_x Cycle: A New Modeling Approach for Cloud Chemistry and Its Global Implications, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 46, 4980-4990, 10.1029/2019GL081990, 2019.
- 800 Hsu, J., and Prather, M. J.: Is the residual vertical velocity a good proxy for stratosphere-troposphere exchange of ozone?, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 41, 9024-9032, 10.1002/2014GL061994, 2014.
- Hu, L., Jacob, D. J., Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, L., Kim, P. S., Sulprizio, M. P., and Yantosca, R. M.: Global budget of tropospheric ozone: Evaluating recent model advances with satellite (OMI), aircraft (IAGOS), and ozonesonde observations, *Atmos. Environ.*, 167, 323-334, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.08.036, 2017.
- 805 Hudman, R. C., Russell, A. R., Valin, L. C., and Cohen, R. C.: Interannual variability in soil nitric oxide emissions over the United States as viewed from space, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 9943-9952, 10.5194/acp-10-9943-2010, 2010.
- Hudman, R. C., Moore, N. E., Mebust, A. K., Martin, R. V., Russell, A. R., Valin, L. C., and Cohen, R. C.: Steps towards a mechanistic model of global soil nitric oxide emissions: implementation and space based-constraints, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 7779-7795, 10.5194/acp-12-7779-2012, 2012.
- 810 Iglesias-Suarez, F., Kinnison, D. E., Rap, A., Maycock, A. C., Wild, O., and Young, P. J.: Key drivers of ozone change and its radiative forcing over the 21st century, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 6121-6139, 10.5194/acp-18-6121-2018, 2018.
- IPCC: *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. 815 Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, B. Zhou, Eds., Cambridge University Press, In Press, 2021.
- IUPAC: Task group on atmospheric chemical kinetic data evaluation by International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC), available at: <http://iupac.pole-ether.fr/> (last access: 30 May 2022), 2013.
- Jacob, D. J.: Heterogeneous chemistry and tropospheric ozone, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34, 2131-2159, 10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00462-8, 2000.

- 820 Keller, C. A., Long, M. S., Yantosca, R. M., Da Silva, A. M., Pawson, S., and Jacob, D. J.: HEMCO v1.0: a versatile, ESMF-compliant component for calculating emissions in atmospheric models, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 7, 1409-1417, 10.5194/gmd-7-1409-2014, 2014.
- Koenker, R., and Hallock, K. F.: Quantile Regression, *J. Econ. Perspect.*, 15, 143-156, 10.1257/jep.15.4.143, 2001.
- Koenker, R., and Xiao, Z.: Inference on the Quantile Regression Process, *Econometrica*, 70, 1583-1612, 10.1111/1468-825 0262.00342, 2002.
- Koenker, R. W., and Bassett, G., Jr: Regression Quantiles, *Econometrica*, 46, 33-50, 1978.
- Krasting, J. P., John, J. G., Blanton, C., McHugh, C., Nikonov, S., Radhakrishnan, A., Rand, K., Zadeh, N. T., Balaji, V., Durachta, J., Dupuis, C., Menzel, R., Robinson, T., Underwood, S., Vahlenkamp, H., Dunne, K. A., Gauthier, P. P. G., Ginoux, P., Griffies, S. M., Hallberg, R., Harrison, M., Hurlin, W., Malyshev, S., Naik, V., Paulot, F., Paynter, D. J., 830 Ploshay, J., Reichl, B. G., Schwarzkopf, D. M., Seman, C. J., Silvers, L., Wyman, B., Zeng, Y., Adcroft, A., Dunne, J. P., Dussin, R., Guo, H., He, J., Held, I. M., Horowitz, L. W., Lin, P., Milly, P. C. D., Shevliakova, E., Stock, C., Winton, M., Wittenberg, A. T., Xie, Y., and Zhao, M.: NOAA-GFDL GFDL-ESM4 model output prepared for CMIP6 CMIP historical, in, Earth System Grid Federation, 2018.
- Kumar, P., Kuttippurath, J., von der Gathen, P., Petropavlovskikh, I., Johnson, B., McClure-Begley, A., Cristofanelli, P., 835 Bonasoni, P., Barlasina, M. E., and Sánchez, R.: The Increasing Surface Ozone and Tropospheric Ozone in Antarctica and Their Possible Drivers, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 10.1021/acs.est.0c08491, 2021.
- Kurokawa, J., Ohara, T., Morikawa, T., Hanayama, S., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Fukui, T., Kawashima, K., and Akimoto, H.: Emissions of air pollutants and greenhouse gases over Asian regions during 2000–2008: Regional Emission inventory in ASia (REAS) version 2, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 11019-11058, 10.5194/acp-13-11019-2013, 2013.
- 840 Lacis, A. A., Wuebbles, D. J., and Logan, J. A.: Radiative forcing of climate by changes in the vertical distribution of ozone, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 95, 9971-9981, 10.1029/JD095iD07p09971, 1990.
- Lawrence, M. G., Rasch, P. J., von Kuhlmann, R., Williams, J., Fischer, H., de Reus, M., Lelieveld, J., Crutzen, P. J., Schultz, M., Stier, P., Huntrieser, H., Heland, J., Stohl, A., Forster, C., Elbern, H., Jakobs, H., and Dickerson, R. R.: Global chemical weather forecasts for field campaign planning: predictions and observations of large-scale features during 845 MINOS, CONTRACE, and INDOEX, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 3, 267-289, 10.5194/acp-3-267-2003, 2003.
- Lee, D. S., Fahey, D. W., Skowron, A., Allen, M. R., Burkhardt, U., Chen, Q., Doherty, S. J., Freeman, S., Forster, P. M., Fuglestedt, J., Gettelman, A., De León, R. R., Lim, L. L., Lund, M. T., Millar, R. J., Owen, B., Penner, J. E., Pitari, G., Prather, M. J., Sausen, R., and Wilcox, L. J.: The contribution of global aviation to anthropogenic climate forcing for 2000 to 2018, *Atmos. Environ.*, 244, 117834, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117834, 2021.
- 850 Li, M., Zhang, Q., Kurokawa, J. I., Woo, J. H., He, K., Lu, Z., Ohara, T., Song, Y., Streets, D. G., Carmichael, G. R., Cheng, Y., Hong, C., Huo, H., Jiang, X., Kang, S., Liu, F., Su, H., and Zheng, B.: MIX: a mosaic Asian anthropogenic emission inventory under the international collaboration framework of the MICS-Asia and HTAP, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 935-963, 10.5194/acp-17-935-2017, 2017.

- Lin, J.-T., and McElroy, M. B.: Impacts of boundary layer mixing on pollutant vertical profiles in the lower troposphere: Implications to satellite remote sensing, *Atmos. Environ.*, 44, 1726-1739, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.02.009, 2010.
- 855 Lin, M., Horowitz, L. W., Oltmans, S. J., Fiore, A. M., and Fan, S.: Tropospheric ozone trends at Mauna Loa Observatory tied to decadal climate variability, *Nature Geoscience*, 7, 136-143, 10.1038/ngeo2066, 2014.
- Lin, M., Horowitz, L. W., Payton, R., Fiore, A. M., and Tonnesen, G.: US surface ozone trends and extremes from 1980 to 2014: quantifying the roles of rising Asian emissions, domestic controls, wildfires, and climate, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 2943-2970, 10.5194/acp-17-2943-2017, 2017.
- 860 Lin, S.-J., and Rood, R. B.: Multidimensional Flux-Form Semi-Lagrangian Transport Schemes, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 124, 2046-2070, 10.1175/1520-0493(1996)124<2046:MFFSLT>2.0.CO;2, 1996.
- Liu, H., Jacob, D. J., Bey, I., and Yantosca, R. M.: Constraints from ²¹⁰Pb and ⁷Be on wet deposition and transport in a global three-dimensional chemical tracer model driven by assimilated meteorological fields, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 106, 12109-12128, 10.1029/2000JD900839, 2001.
- 865 Lu, X., Zhang, L., Liu, X., Gao, M., Zhao, Y., and Shao, J.: Lower tropospheric ozone over India and its linkage to the South Asian monsoon, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 3101-3118, 10.5194/acp-18-3101-2018, 2018.
- Lu, X., Zhang, L., and Shen, L.: Meteorology and Climate Influences on Tropospheric Ozone: a Review of Natural Sources, Chemistry, and Transport Patterns, *Current Pollution Reports*, 5, 238-260, 10.1007/s40726-019-00118-3, 2019a.
- 870 Lu, X., Zhang, L., Zhao, Y., Jacob, D. J., Hu, Y., Hu, L., Gao, M., Liu, X., Petropavlovskikh, I., McClure-Begley, A., and Querel, R.: Surface and tropospheric ozone trends in the Southern Hemisphere since 1990: possible linkages to poleward expansion of the Hadley circulation, *Sci. Bull.*, 64, 400-409, 10.1016/j.scib.2018.12.021, 2019b.
- Lu, X., Ye, X., Zhou, M., Zhao, Y., Weng, H., Kong, H., Li, K., Gao, M., Zheng, B., Lin, J., Zhou, F., Zhang, Q., Wu, D., Zhang, L., and Zhang, Y.: The underappreciated role of agricultural soil nitrogen oxide emissions in ozone pollution regulation in North China, *Nat. Commun.*, 12, 5021, 10.1038/s41467-021-25147-9, 2021.
- 875 Mao, J., Fan, S., Jacob, D. J., and Travis, K. R.: Radical loss in the atmosphere from Cu-Fe redox coupling in aerosols, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 509-519, 10.5194/acp-13-509-2013, 2013.
- Marais, E. A., and Wiedinmyer, C.: Air Quality Impact of Diffuse and Inefficient Combustion Emissions in Africa (DICE-Africa), *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 50, 10739-10745, 10.1021/acs.est.6b02602, 2016.
- 880 McDuffie, E. E., Smith, S. J., O'Rourke, P., Tibrewal, K., Venkataraman, C., Marais, E. A., Zheng, B., Crippa, M., Brauer, M., and Martin, R. V.: A global anthropogenic emission inventory of atmospheric pollutants from sector- and fuel-specific sources (1970–2017): an application of the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS), *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 12, 3413-3442, 10.5194/essd-12-3413-2020, 2020.
- Meinshausen, M., Vogel, E., Nauels, A., Lorbacher, K., Meinshausen, N., Etheridge, D. M., Fraser, P. J., Montzka, S. A., 885 Rayner, P. J., Trudinger, C. M., Krummel, P. B., Beyerle, U., Canadell, J. G., Daniel, J. S., Enting, I. G., Law, R. M., Lunder, C. R., O'Doherty, S., Prinn, R. G., Reimann, S., Rubino, M., Velders, G. J. M., Vollmer, M. K., Wang, R. H. J.,

- and Weiss, R.: Historical greenhouse gas concentrations for climate modelling (CMIP6), *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 10, 2057-2116, 10.5194/gmd-10-2057-2017, 2017.
- 890 Monks, P. S., Archibald, A. T., Colette, A., Cooper, O., Coyle, M., Derwent, R., Fowler, D., Granier, C., Law, K. S., Mills, G. E., Stevenson, D. S., Tarasova, O., Thouret, V., von Schneidemesser, E., Sommariva, R., Wild, O., and Williams, M. L.: Tropospheric ozone and its precursors from the urban to the global scale from air quality to short-lived climate forcer, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 8889-8973, 10.5194/acp-15-8889-2015, 2015.
- 895 Murray, L. T., Jacob, D. J., Logan, J. A., Hudman, R. C., and Koshak, W. J.: Optimized regional and interannual variability of lightning in a global chemical transport model constrained by LIS/OTD satellite data, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 117, 10.1029/2012JD017934, 2012.
- Murray, L. T.: Lightning NO_x and Impacts on Air Quality, *Current Pollution Reports*, 2, 115-133, 10.1007/s40726-016-0031-7, 2016.
- Myhre, G., and Stordal, F.: Role of spatial and temporal variations in the computation of radiative forcing and GWP, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 102, 11181-11200, 10.1029/97JD00148, 1997.
- 900 Myhre, G., Shine, K. P., Rädcl, G., Gauss, M., Isaksen, I. S. A., Tang, Q., Prather, M. J., Williams, J. E., van Velthoven, P., Dessens, O., Koffi, B., Szopa, S., Hoor, P., Grewe, V., Borken-Kleefeld, J., Berntsen, T. K., and Fuglestedt, J. S.: Radiative forcing due to changes in ozone and methane caused by the transport sector, *Atmos. Environ.*, 45, 387-394, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.10.001, 2011.
- 905 Nédélec, P., Blot, R., Boulanger, D., Athier, G., Cousin, J.-M., Gautron, B., Petzold, A., Volz-Thomas, A., and Thouret, V.: Instrumentation on commercial aircraft for monitoring the atmospheric composition on a global scale: the IAGOS system, technical overview of ozone and carbon monoxide measurements, *Tellus B: Chem. Phys. Meteorol.*, 67, 27791, 10.3402/tellusb.v67.27791, 2015.
- Neubauer, D., Ferrachat, S., Siegenthaler-Le Drian, C., Stoll, J., Folini, D. S., Tegen, I., Wieners, K.-H., Mauritsen, T., Stemmler, I., Barthel, S., Bey, I., Daskalakis, N., Heinold, B., Kokkola, H., Partridge, D., Rast, S., Schmidt, H., Schutgens, N., Stanelle, T., Stier, P., Watson-Parris, D., and Lohmann, U.: HAMMOZ-Consortium MPI-ESM1.2-HAM model output prepared for CMIP6 AerChemMIP, in, *Earth System Grid Federation*, 2019.
- 910 Nowak, J. B., Parrish, D. D., Neuman, J. A., Holloway, J. S., Cooper, O. R., Ryerson, T. B., Nicks Jr., D. K., Flocke, F., Roberts, J. M., Atlas, E., de Gouw, J. A., Donnelly, S., Dunlea, E., Hübler, G., Huey, L. G., Schauffler, S., Tanner, D. J., Warneke, C., and Fehsenfeld, F. C.: Gas-phase chemical characteristics of Asian emission plumes observed during ITCT 2K2 over the eastern North Pacific Ocean, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 109, 10.1029/2003JD004488, 2004.
- O'Rourke, P. R., Smith, S. J., Mott, A., Ahsan, H., McDuffie, E. E., Crippa, M., Klimont, S., McDonald, B., Z., W., Nicholson, M. B., Feng, L., and Hoesly, R. M.: CEDS v-2021-04-21 Emission Data 1975-2019 (Version Apr-21-2021), available at: <https://data.pnnl.gov/dataset/CEDS-4-21-21> (last access: 30 May 2022), 2021.

- Oltmans, S. J., Lefohn, A. S., Shadwick, D., Harris, J. M., Scheel, H. E., Galbally, I., Tarasick, D. W., Johnson, B. J., Brunke,
920 E. G., Claude, H., Zeng, G., Nichol, S., Schmidlin, F., Davies, J., Cuevas, E., Redondas, A., Naoe, H., Nakano, T., and
Kawasato, T.: Recent tropospheric ozone changes – A pattern dominated by slow or no growth, *Atmos. Environ.*, *67*,
331-351, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.10.057, 2013.
- Ott, L. E., Pickering, K. E., Stenchikov, G. L., Allen, D. J., DeCaria, A. J., Ridley, B., Lin, R.-F., Lang, S., and Tao, W.-K.:
925 Production of lightning NO_x and its vertical distribution calculated from three-dimensional cloud-scale chemical transport
model simulations, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, *115*, 10.1029/2009JD011880, 2010.
- Park, R. J., Jacob, D. J., Field, B. D., Yantosca, R. M., and Chin, M.: Natural and transboundary pollution influences on sulfate-
nitrate-ammonium aerosols in the United States: Implications for policy, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, *109*,
10.1029/2003JD004473, 2004.
- Park, R. J., Oak, Y. J., Emmons, L. K., Kim, C.-H., Pfister, G. G., Carmichael, G. R., Saide, P. E., Cho, S.-Y., Kim, S., Woo,
930 J.-H., Crawford, J. H., Gaubert, B., Lee, H.-J., Park, S.-Y., Jo, Y.-J., Gao, M., Tang, B., Stanier, C. O., Shin, S. S., Park,
H. Y., Bae, C., and Kim, E.: Multi-model intercomparisons of air quality simulations for the KORUS-AQ campaign,
Elem. Sci. Anth., *9*, 10.1525/elementa.2021.00139, 2021.
- Parrella, J. P., Jacob, D. J., Liang, Q., Zhang, Y., Mickley, L. J., Miller, B., Evans, M. J., Yang, X., Pyle, J. A., Theys, N., and
935 Van Roozendaal, M.: Tropospheric bromine chemistry: implications for present and pre-industrial ozone and mercury,
Atmos. Chem. Phys., *12*, 6723-6740, 10.5194/acp-12-6723-2012, 2012.
- Parrish, D. D., Lamarque, J.-F., Naik, V., Horowitz, L., Shindell, D. T., Staehelin, J., Derwent, R., Cooper, O. R., Tanimoto,
H., Volz-Thomas, A., Gilge, S., Scheel, H.-E., Steinbacher, M., and Fröhlich, M.: Long-term changes in lower
tropospheric baseline ozone concentrations: Comparing chemistry-climate models and observations at northern
940 midlatitudes, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, *119*, 5719-5736, 10.1002/2013JD021435, 2014.
- Petetin, H., Thouret, V., Athier, G., Blot, R., Boulanger, D., Cousin, J. M., Gaudel, A., Nédélec, P., and Cooper, O.: Diurnal
cycle of ozone throughout the troposphere over Frankfurt as measured by MOZAIC-IAGOS commercial aircraft, *Elem.
Sci. Anth.*, *4*, 10.12952/journal.elementa.000129, 2016.
- Petetin, H., Jeoffrion, M., Sauvage, B., Athier, G., Blot, R., Boulanger, D., Clark, H., Cousin, J. M., Gheusi, F., Nedelec, P.,
945 Steinbacher, M., and Thouret, V.: Representativeness of the IAGOS airborne measurements in the lower troposphere,
Elem. Sci. Anth., *6*, 10.1525/elementa.280, 2018.
- Petzold, A., Thouret, V., Gerbig, C., Zahn, A., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., Gallagher, M., Hermann, M., Pontaud, M., Ziereis,
H., Boulanger, D., Marshall, J., Nédélec, P., Smit, H. G. J., Friess, U., Flaud, J.-M., Wahner, A., Cammas, J.-P., and Volz-
Thomas, A.: Global-scale atmosphere monitoring by in-service aircraft – current achievements and future prospects of
the European Research Infrastructure IAGOS, *Tellus B: Chem. Phys. Meteorol.*, *67*, 28452, 10.3402/tellusb.v67.28452,
950 2015.
- Pound, R. J., Sherwen, T., Helmig, D., Carpenter, L. J., and Evans, M. J.: Influences of oceanic ozone deposition on
tropospheric photochemistry, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *20*, 4227-4239, 10.5194/acp-20-4227-2020, 2020.

- Price, C., and Rind, D.: A simple lightning parameterization for calculating global lightning distributions, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 97, 9919-9933, 10.1029/92JD00719, 1992.
- 955 Qu, Z., Henze, D. K., Cooper, O. R., and Neu, J. L.: Impacts of global NO_x inversions on NO₂ and ozone simulations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 13109-13130, 10.5194/acp-20-13109-2020, 2020.
- Rap, A., Richards, N. A. D., Forster, P. M., Monks, S. A., Arnold, S. R., and Chipperfield, M. P.: Satellite constraint on the tropospheric ozone radiative effect, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 5074-5081, 10.1002/2015GL064037, 2015.
- Sander, S. P., Golden, D., Kurylo, M., Moortgat, G., Wine, P., Ravishankara, A., Kolb, C., Molina, M., Finlayson-Pitts, B.,
960 and Huie, R.: Chemical kinetics and photochemical data for use in atmospheric studies, *JPL Publ.*, 06-2, 684 pp., 2011.
- Sauvage, B., Martin, R. V., van Donkelaar, A., Liu, X., Chance, K., Jaeglé, L., Palmer, P. I., Wu, S., and Fu, T. M.: Remote sensed and in situ constraints on processes affecting tropical tropospheric ozone, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 815-838, 10.5194/acp-7-815-2007, 2007.
- Schultz, M. G., Schröder, S., Lyapina, O., Cooper, O. R., Galbally, I., Petropavlovskikh, I., von Schneidmesser, E., Tanimoto,
965 H., Elshorbany, Y., Naja, M., Seguel, R. J., Dauert, U., Eckhardt, P., Feigenspan, S., Fiebig, M., Hjellbrekke, A.-G., Hong, Y.-D., Kjeld, P. C., Koide, H., Lear, G., Tarasick, D., Ueno, M., Wallasch, M., Baumgardner, D., Chuang, M.-T., Gillett, R., Lee, M., Molloy, S., Moolla, R., Wang, T., Sharps, K., Adame, J. A., Ancellet, G., Apadula, F., Artaxo, P., Barlasina, M. E., Bogucka, M., Bonasoni, P., Chang, L., Colomb, A., Cuevas-Agulló, E., Cupeiro, M., Degorska, A., Ding, A., Fröhlich, M., Frolova, M., Gadhavi, H., Gheusi, F., Gilge, S., Gonzalez, M. Y., Gros, V., Hamad, S. H., Helmig,
970 D., Henriques, D., Hermansen, O., Holla, R., Hueber, J., Im, U., Jaffe, D. A., Komala, N., Kubistin, D., Lam, K.-S., Laurila, T., Lee, H., Levy, I., Mazzoleni, C., Mazzoleni, L. R., McClure-Begley, A., Mohamad, M., Murovec, M., Navarro-Comas, M., Nicodim, F., Parrish, D., Read, K. A., Reid, N., Ries, L., Saxena, P., Schwab, J. J., Scorgie, Y., Senik, I., Simmonds, P., Sinha, V., Skorokhod, A. I., Spain, G., Spangl, W., Spoor, R., Springston, S. R., Steer, K., Steinbacher, M., Suharguniyawan, E., Torre, P., Trickl, T., Weili, L., Weller, R., Xiaobin, X., Xue, L., and Zhiqiang, M.:
975 Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report: Database and metrics data of global surface ozone observations, *Elem. Sci. Anth.*, 5, 10.1525/elementa.244, 2017.
- Scott, C. E., Monks, S. A., Spracklen, D. V., Arnold, S. R., Forster, P. M., Rap, A., Äijälä, M., Artaxo, P., Carslaw, K. S., Chipperfield, M. P., Ehn, M., Gilardoni, S., Heikkinen, L., Kulmala, M., Petäjä, T., Reddington, C. L. S., Rizzo, L. V., Swietlicki, E., Vignati, E., and Wilson, C.: Impact on short-lived climate forcers increases projected warming due to
980 deforestation, *Nat. Commun.*, 9, 157, 10.1038/s41467-017-02412-4, 2018.
- Sherwen, T., Schmidt, J. A., Evans, M. J., Carpenter, L. J., Großmann, K., Eastham, S. D., Jacob, D. J., Dix, B., Koenig, T. K., Sinreich, R., Ortega, I., Volkamer, R., Saiz-Lopez, A., Prados-Roman, C., Mahajan, A. S., and Ordóñez, C.: Global impacts of tropospheric halogens (Cl, Br, I) on oxidants and composition in GEOS-Chem, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 12239-12271, 10.5194/acp-16-12239-2016, 2016.
- 985 Silvern, R. F., Jacob, D. J., Travis, K. R., Sherwen, T., Evans, M. J., Cohen, R. C., Laughner, J. L., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Crounse, J. D., Wennberg, P. O., Peischl, J., and Pollack, I. B.: Observed NO/NO₂ Ratios in the Upper Troposphere

- Imply Errors in NO-NO₂-O₃ Cycling Kinetics or an Unaccounted NO_x Reservoir, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45, 4466-4474, 10.1029/2018GL077728, 2018.
- Simon, H., Reff, A., Wells, B., Xing, J., and Frank, N.: Ozone Trends Across the United States over a Period of Decreasing
990 NO_x and VOC Emissions, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 49, 186-195, 10.1021/es504514z, 2015.
- Skeie, R. B., Myhre, G., Hodnebrog, Ø., Cameron-Smith, P. J., Deushi, M., Hegglin, M. I., Horowitz, L. W., Kramer, R. J.,
Michou, M., Mills, M. J., Olivié, D. J. L., Connor, F. M. O., Paynter, D., Samset, B. H., Sellar, A., Shindell, D., Takemura,
T., Tilmes, S., and Wu, T.: Historical total ozone radiative forcing derived from CMIP6 simulations, *npj Clim. Atmos.
Sci.*, 3, 32, 10.1038/s41612-020-00131-0, 2020.
- 995 Solomon, S., Ivy Diane, J., Kinnison, D., Mills Michael, J., Neely Ryan, R., and Schmidt, A.: Emergence of healing in the
Antarctic ozone layer, *Science*, 353, 269-274, 10.1126/science.aae0061, 2016.
- Stamnes, K., Tsay, S. C., Wiscombe, W., and Jayaweera, K.: Numerically stable algorithm for discrete-ordinate-method
radiative transfer in multiple scattering and emitting layered media, *Appl. Opt.*, 27, 2502-2509, 10.1364/AO.27.002502,
1988.
- 1000 Stauffer, J., Staehelin, J., Stübi, R., Peter, T., Tummon, F., and Thouret, V.: Trajectory matching of ozonesondes and MOZAIC
measurements in the UTLS – Part 1: Method description and application at Payerne, Switzerland, *Atmos. Meas.
Tech.*, 6, 3393-3406, 10.5194/amt-6-3393-2013, 2013.
- Stauffer, J., Staehelin, J., Stübi, R., Peter, T., Tummon, F., and Thouret, V.: Trajectory matching of ozonesondes and MOZAIC
measurements in the UTLS – Part 2: Application to the global ozonesonde network, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 7, 241-266,
1005 10.5194/amt-7-241-2014, 2014.
- Steinbrecht, W., Kubistin, D., Plass-Dülmer, C., Davies, J., Tarasick, D. W., Gathen, P. v. d., Deckelmann, H., Jepsen, N.,
Kivi, R., Lyall, N., Palm, M., Notholt, J., Kois, B., Oelsner, P., Allaart, M., Piters, A., Gill, M., Van Malderen, R., Delcloo,
A. W., Sussmann, R., Mahieu, E., Servais, C., Romanens, G., Stübi, R., Ancellet, G., Godin-Beekmann, S., Yamanouchi,
S., Strong, K., Johnson, B., Cullis, P., Petropavlovskikh, I., Hannigan, J. W., Hernandez, J.-L., Diaz Rodriguez, A.,
1010 Nakano, T., Chouza, F., Leblanc, T., Torres, C., Garcia, O., Röhling, A. N., Schneider, M., Blumenstock, T., Tully, M.,
Paton-Walsh, C., Jones, N., Querel, R., Strahan, S., Stauffer, R. M., Thompson, A. M., Inness, A., Engelen, R., Chang,
K.-L., and Cooper, O. R.: COVID-19 Crisis Reduces Free Tropospheric Ozone Across the Northern Hemisphere,
Geophys. Res. Lett., 48, e2020GL091987, 10.1029/2020GL091987, 2021.
- Sterling, C. W., Johnson, B. J., Oltmans, S. J., Smit, H. G. J., Jordan, A. F., Cullis, P. D., Hall, E. G., Thompson, A. M., and
1015 Witte, J. C.: Homogenizing and estimating the uncertainty in NOAA's long-term vertical ozone profile records measured
with the electrochemical concentration cell ozonesonde, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 11, 3661-3687, 10.5194/amt-11-3661-
2018, 2018.
- Stevenson, D. S., Young, P. J., Naik, V., Lamarque, J. F., Shindell, D. T., Voulgarakis, A., Skeie, R. B., Dalsoren, S. B.,
Myhre, G., Berntsen, T. K., Folberth, G. A., Rumbold, S. T., Collins, W. J., MacKenzie, I. A., Doherty, R. M., Zeng, G.,
1020 van Noije, T. P. C., Strunk, A., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Plummer, D. A., Strode, S. A., Horowitz, L., Lee, Y.

- H., Szopa, S., Sudo, K., Nagashima, T., Josse, B., Cionni, I., Righi, M., Eyring, V., Conley, A., Bowman, K. W., Wild, O., and Archibald, A.: Tropospheric ozone changes, radiative forcing and attribution to emissions in the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 3063-3085, 10.5194/acp-13-3063-2013, 2013.
- 1025 Szopa, S., Naik, V., Adhikary, B., Artaxo, P., Bernsten, T., Collins, W. D., Fuzzi, S., Gallardo, L., Scharr, A. K., Klimont, Z., Liao, H., Unger, N., and Zanis, P.: Short-Lived Climate Forcers, in: *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2021.
- Tarasick, D., Galbally, I. E., Cooper, O. R., Schultz, M. G., Ancellet, G., Leblanc, T., Wallington, T. J., Ziemke, J., Liu, X.,
1030 Steinbacher, M., Staehelin, J., Vigouroux, C., Hannigan, J. W., García, O., Foret, G., Zanis, P., Weatherhead, E., Petropavlovskikh, I., Worden, H., Osman, M., Liu, J., Chang, K.-L., Gaudel, A., Lin, M., Granados-Muñoz, M., Thompson, A. M., Oltmans, S. J., Cuesta, J., Dufour, G., Thouret, V., Hassler, B., Trickl, T., and Neu, J. L.: Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report: Tropospheric ozone from 1877 to 2016, observed levels, trends and uncertainties, *Elem. Sci. Anth.*, 7, 10.1525/elementa.376, 2019.
- 1035 Tarasick, D. W., Davies, J., Smit, H. G. J., and Oltmans, S. J.: A re-evaluated Canadian ozonesonde record: measurements of the vertical distribution of ozone over Canada from 1966 to 2013, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 9, 195-214, 10.5194/amt-9-195-2016, 2016.
- Thouret, V., Marenco, A., Logan, J. A., Nédélec, P., and Grouhel, C.: Comparisons of ozone measurements from the MOZAIC airborne program and the ozone sounding network at eight locations, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 103, 25695-25720,
1040 10.1029/98JD02243, 1998.
- Travis, K. R., Jacob, D. J., Fisher, J. A., Kim, P. S., Marais, E. A., Zhu, L., Yu, K., Miller, C. C., Yantosca, R. M., Sulprizio, M. P., Thompson, A. M., Wennberg, P. O., Crounse, J. D., St. Clair, J. M., Cohen, R. C., Laughner, J. L., Dibb, J. E., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Wolfe, G. M., Pollack, I. B., Peischl, J., Neuman, J. A., and Zhou, X.: Why do models overestimate surface ozone in the Southeast United States?, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 13561-13577, 10.5194/acp-16-
1045 13561-2016, 2016.
- Unger, N., Zheng, Y., Yue, X., and Harper, K. L.: Mitigation of ozone damage to the world's land ecosystems by source sector, *Nat. Clim. Change*, 10, 134-137, 10.1038/s41558-019-0678-3, 2020.
- US EPA: Air Pollutant Emissions Trends Data: Average Annual Emissions, available at:
https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-07/national_tier1_caps.xlsx (last access: 30 May 2022), 2016.
- 1050 US EPA: National Annual Emissions Trend: 1970–2018, available at: <https://www.epa.gov/air-emissions-inventories/air-pollutant-emissions-trends-data> (last access: 30 May 2022), 2019.

- van der Werf, G. R., Dempewolf, J., Trigg, S. N., Randerson, J. T., Kasibhatla, P. S., Giglio, L., Murdiyarso, D., Peters, W., Morton, D. C., Collatz, G. J., Dolman, A. J., and DeFries, R. S.: Climate regulation of fire emissions and deforestation in equatorial Asia, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105, 20350-20355, 10.1073/pnas.0803375105, 2008.
- 1055 van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., van Leeuwen, T. T., Chen, Y., Rogers, B. M., Mu, M., van Marle, M. J. E., Morton, D. C., Collatz, G. J., Yokelson, R. J., and Kasibhatla, P. S.: Global fire emissions estimates during 1997–2016, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 9, 697-720, 10.5194/essd-9-697-2017, 2017.
- van Marle, M. J. E., Kloster, S., Magi, B. I., Marlon, J. R., Daniau, A. L., Field, R. D., Arneth, A., Forrest, M., Hantson, S., Kehrwald, N. M., Knorr, W., Lasslop, G., Li, F., Mangeon, S., Yue, C., Kaiser, J. W., and van der Werf, G. R.: Historic
1060 global biomass burning emissions for CMIP6 (BB4CMIP) based on merging satellite observations with proxies and fire models (1750–2015), *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 10, 3329-3357, 10.5194/gmd-10-3329-2017, 2017.
- Venkataraman, C., Brauer, M., Tibrewal, K., Sadavarte, P., Ma, Q., Cohen, A., Chaliyakunnel, S., Frostad, J., Klimont, Z., Martin, R. V., Millet, D. B., Philip, S., Walker, K., and Wang, S.: Source influence on emission pathways and ambient PM_{2.5} pollution over India (2015–2050), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 8017-8039, 10.5194/acp-18-8017-2018, 2018.
- 1065 Wang, M., and Fu, Q.: Stratosphere-Troposphere Exchange of Air Masses and Ozone Concentrations Based on Reanalyses and Observations, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 126, e2021JD035159, 10.1029/2021JD035159, 2021.
- Wang, X., Jacob, D. J., Downs, W., Zhai, S., Zhu, L., Shah, V., Holmes, C. D., Sherwen, T., Alexander, B., Evans, M. J., Eastham, S. D., Neuman, J. A., Veres, P. R., Koenig, T. K., Volkamer, R., Huey, L. G., Bannan, T. J., Percival, C. J., Lee, B. H., and Thornton, J. A.: Global tropospheric halogen (Cl, Br, I) chemistry and its impact on oxidants, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 13973-13996, 10.5194/acp-21-13973-2021, 2021.
- 1070 Wang, Y., Jacob, D. J., and Logan, J. A.: Global simulation of tropospheric O₃-NO_x-hydrocarbon chemistry: 1. Model formulation, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 103, 10713-10725, 10.1029/98JD00158, 1998.
- Wasserstein, R. L., Schirm, A. L., and Lazar, N. A.: Moving to a World Beyond “ $p < 0.05$ ”, *The American Statistician*, 73, 1-19, 10.1080/00031305.2019.1583913, 2019.
- 1075 Weber, M., Arosio, C., Coldewey-Egbers, M., Fioletov, V. E., Frith, S. M., Wild, J. D., Tourpali, K., Burrows, J. P., and Loyola, D.: Global total ozone recovery trends attributed to ozone-depleting substance (ODS) changes derived from five merged ozone datasets, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 22, 6843-6859, 10.5194/acp-22-6843-2022, 2022.
- Wesely, M. L.: Parameterization of surface resistances to gaseous dry deposition in regional-scale numerical models, *Atmospheric Environment* (1967), 23, 1293-1304, 10.1016/0004-6981(89)90153-4, 1989.
- 1080 Wild, O., and Prather, M. J.: Global tropospheric ozone modeling: Quantifying errors due to grid resolution, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 111, 10.1029/2005JD006605, 2006.
- Witte, J. C., Thompson, A. M., Smit, H. G. J., Fujiwara, M., Posny, F., Coetzee, G. J. R., Northam, E. T., Johnson, B. J., Sterling, C. W., Mohamad, M., Ogino, S.-Y., Jordan, A., and da Silva, F. R.: First reprocessing of Southern Hemisphere ADDitional OZonesondes (SHADOZ) profile records (1998–2015): 1. Methodology and evaluation, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 122, 6611-6636, 10.1002/2016JD026403, 2017.
- 1085

- Witte, J. C., Thompson, A. M., Smit, H. G. J., Vömel, H., Posny, F., and Stübi, R.: First Reprocessing of Southern Hemisphere Additional OZonesondes Profile Records: 3. Uncertainty in Ozone Profile and Total Column, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 123, 3243-3268, 10.1002/2017JD027791, 2018.
- 1090 Wu, T., Zhang, F., Zhang, J., Jie, W., Zhang, Y., Wu, F., Li, L., Yan, J., Liu, X., Lu, X., Tan, H., Zhang, L., Wang, J., and Hu, A.: Beijing Climate Center Earth System Model version 1 (BCC-ESM1): model description and evaluation of aerosol simulations, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 13, 977-1005, 10.5194/gmd-13-977-2020, 2020.
- Xu, W., Xu, X., Lin, M., Lin, W., Tarasick, D., Tang, J., Ma, J., and Zheng, X.: Long-term trends of surface ozone and its influencing factors at the Mt Waliguan GAW station, China – Part 2: The roles of anthropogenic emissions and climate variability, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 773-798, 10.5194/acp-18-773-2018, 2018.
- 1095 Yan, Y., Pozzer, A., Ojha, N., Lin, J., and Lelieveld, J.: Analysis of European ozone trends in the period 1995–2014, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 5589-5605, 10.5194/acp-18-5589-2018, 2018.
- Yang, Y., Liao, H., and Li, J.: Impacts of the East Asian summer monsoon on interannual variations of summertime surface-layer ozone concentrations over China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 6867-6879, 10.5194/acp-14-6867-2014, 2014.
- 1100 Yin, H., Lu, X., Sun, Y., Li, K., Gao, M., Zheng, B., and Liu, C.: Unprecedented decline in summertime surface ozone over eastern China in 2020 comparably attributable to anthropogenic emission reductions and meteorology, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 16, 124069, 10.1088/1748-9326/ac3e22, 2021.
- Young, P. J., Naik, V., Fiore, A. M., Gaudel, A., Guo, J., Lin, M. Y., Neu, J. L., Parrish, D. D., Rieder, H. E., Schnell, J. L., Tilmes, S., Wild, O., Zhang, L., Ziemke, J., Brandt, J., Delcloo, A., Doherty, R. M., Geels, C., Hegglin, M. I., Hu, L., Im, U., Kumar, R., Luhar, A., Murray, L., Plummer, D., Rodriguez, J., Saiz-Lopez, A., Schultz, M. G., Woodhouse, M. T., and Zeng, G.: Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report: Assessment of global-scale model performance for global and regional ozone distributions, variability, and trends, *Elem. Sci. Anth.*, 6, 10.1525/elementa.265, 2018.
- 1105 Yu, K., Jacob, D. J., Fisher, J. A., Kim, P. S., Marais, E. A., Miller, C. C., Travis, K. R., Zhu, L., Yantosca, R. M., Sulprizio, M. P., Cohen, R. C., Dibb, J. E., Fried, A., Mikoviny, T., Ryerson, T. B., Wennberg, P. O., and Wisthaler, A.: Sensitivity to grid resolution in the ability of a chemical transport model to simulate observed oxidant chemistry under high-isoprene conditions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 4369-4378, 10.5194/acp-16-4369-2016, 2016.
- Zeng, G., and Pyle, J. A.: Influence of El Niño Southern Oscillation on stratosphere/troposphere exchange and the global tropospheric ozone budget, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, 10.1029/2004GL021353, 2005.
- Zeng, G., Morgenstern, O., Shiona, H., Thomas, A. J., Querel, R. R., and Nichol, S. E.: Attribution of recent ozone changes in the Southern Hemisphere mid-latitudes using statistical analysis and chemistry–climate model simulations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 10495-10513, 10.5194/acp-17-10495-2017, 2017.
- 1115 Zhang, L., Gong, S., Padro, J., and Barrie, L.: A size-segregated particle dry deposition scheme for an atmospheric aerosol module, *Atmos. Environ.*, 35, 549-560, 10.1016/S1352-2310(00)00326-5, 2001.
- Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., Yue, X., Downey, N. V., Wood, D. A., and Blewitt, D.: Sources contributing to background surface ozone in the US Intermountain West, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 5295-5309, 10.5194/acp-14-5295-2014, 2014.

- 1120 Zhang, Y., Cooper, O. R., Gaudel, A., Thompson, A. M., Nédélec, P., Ogino, S.-Y., and West, J. J.: Tropospheric ozone change from 1980 to 2010 dominated by equatorward redistribution of emissions, *Nature Geoscience*, 9, 875-879, 10.1038/ngeo2827, 2016.
- Zhang, Y., West, J. J., Emmons, L. K., Flemming, J., Jonson, J. E., Lund, M. T., Sekiya, T., Sudo, K., Gaudel, A., Chang, K.-L., Nédélec, P., and Thouret, V.: Contributions of World Regions to the Global Tropospheric Ozone Burden Change From 1980 to 2010, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 48, e2020GL089184, 10.1029/2020GL089184, 2021.
- 1125 Zheng, B., Tong, D., Li, M., Liu, F., Hong, C., Geng, G., Li, H., Li, X., Peng, L., Qi, J., Yan, L., Zhang, Y., Zhao, H., Zheng, Y., He, K., and Zhang, Q.: Trends in China's anthropogenic emissions since 2010 as the consequence of clean air actions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 14095-14111, 10.5194/acp-18-14095-2018, 2018.
- Ziemke, J. R., Oman, L. D., Strode, S. A., Douglass, A. R., Olsen, M. A., McPeters, R. D., Bhartia, P. K., Froidevaux, L., 1130 Labow, G. J., Witte, J. C., Thompson, A. M., Haffner, D. P., Kramarova, N. A., Frith, S. M., Huang, L. K., Jaross, G. R., Seftor, C. J., Deland, M. T., and Taylor, S. L.: Trends in global tropospheric ozone inferred from a composite record of TOMS/OMI/MLS/OMPS satellite measurements and the MERRA-2 GMI simulation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 3257-3269, 10.5194/acp-19-3257-2019, 2019.

1135 **Table 1: List of the monitoring ozonesonde stations.**

No.	Site	Region	Latitude (°)	Longitude (°)	Elevation (m)	Sample frequency (per month)
1	Alert	Canada	82.5	-62.3	210	4.0
2	Eureka	Canada	80.05	-86.42	610	5.6
3	Resolute	Canada	74.72	-94.98	64	3.0
4	Edmonton	Canada	53.55	-114.10	766	4.0
5	Goose Bay	Canada	53.30	-60.39	39	3.9
6	Boulder ESRL HQ (CO)	United States	39.99	-105.26	1634	4.5
7	Legionowo	Poland	52.40	20.97	96	4.5
8	De Bilt	Netherlands	52.10	5.18	2	4.4
9	Uccle	Belgium	50.80	4.36	100	12.2
10	Praha	Czech Republic	50.01	14.45	302	4.0
11	Hohenpeissenberg	Germany	47.80	11.01	985	10.6
12	Payerne	Switzerland	46.81	6.94	490	12.8
13	Madrid	Spain	40.45	-3.72	680	3.7
14	Sapporo	Japan	43.06	141.33	26	3.7
15	Tateno (Tsukuba)	Japan	36.10	140.13	31	4.3
16	Naha	Japan	26.20	127.68	27	3.5
17	Hilo (HI)	Northeast Pacific	19.58	-155.07	11	5.8
18	Paramaribo	Suriname	5.81	-55.21	23	6.2
19	Nairobi	Kenya	-1.30	36.75	1795	3.8
20	Natal	Brazil	-6.00	-35.20	0	3.1
21	Samoa (Cape Matatula)	Southeast Pacific	-14.25	-170.56	77	4.4

22	La Réunion	Southwest Indian Ocean	-21.08	55.38	2160	3.1
23	Broadmeadows	Australia	-37.69	144.95	108	3.8
24	Macquarie Island	Australia	-54.50	158.94	6	3.7
25	Lauder	New Zealand	-45.04	169.68	370	4.3
26	Marambio	Antarctica	-64.24	-56.62	198	4.6
27	Syowa	Antarctica	-69.00	39.58	21	4.6

Table 2: Configurations of GEOS-Chem simulations in this study^a.

Simulation	Aircraft emissions	Anthropogenic emissions	Biomass burning emissions	Global methane concentrations	Meteorology
BASE	V	V	V	V	V
FixAircraft	1995	V	V	V	V
FixAC	1995	1995	V	1995	V
FixABC	1995	1995	1995	1995	V

^a 'V' denotes those specific inputs vary interannually in the simulation, and '1995' denotes that the inputs are fixed to 1995 conditions.

1140 ^b 'FixAircraft' denotes only global aircraft emissions are fixed at 1995 levels in the simulation. 'FixAC' denotes global anthropogenic emissions (including aircraft emissions) and methane concentration level are fixed to 1995 conditions. 'FixABC' denotes biomass burning emissions are fixed at 1995 levels based on FixAC. Ozone trends from aircraft emissions

Table 3: Information on the CMIP6 models used in this study.


No.	CMIP6 Model	Ensemble member ^a	longitude × latitude	Vertical levels (top level)	Reference
1	BCC-ESM1	r1i1p1f1	~2.8° × 2.8°	L26 (2.19 hPa)	Wu et al. (2020)
2	CESM2	r1i1p1f1	~1.25° × 0.9°	L32 (2.25 hPa)	Danabasoglu (2019a)
3	CESM2-WACCM	r1i1p1f1	~1.25° × 0.9°	L70 (4.5×10 ⁻⁶ hPa)	Danabasoglu (2019b)
4	GFDL-ESM4	r1i1p1f1	1.25° × 1°	L49 (0.01 hPa)	Krasting et al. (2018)
5	IPSL-CM6A-LR	r1i1p1f1	~2.5° × 1.26°	L79 (0.01 hPa)	Boucher et al. (2018)
6	MPI-ESM-1-2-HAM	r1i1p1f1	~1.8° × 1.8°	L47 (0.01 hPa)	Neubauer et al. (2019)
7	NoRESm2-MM	r1i1p1f1	~1.25° × 0.9°	L32 (0.03 hPa)	Bentsen et al. (2019)

^a There are 4 indices defining an ensemble member: “r” for realization, “i” for initialization, “p” for physics, and “f” for forcing.

Table 4: Annual trends and 2-sigma uncertainty (ppbv decade⁻¹) in median ozone for tropospheric column (950-250 hPa) in 1995-2017 from both observations and GEOS-Chem.

Region	Measurements	Ozonesonde site or IAGOS region	Observation		GEOS-Chem	
			Trend $\pm 2\sigma$	<i>p</i> -value	Trend $\pm 2\sigma$	<i>p</i> -value
East Asia	Ozonesonde	Sapporo	3.73 \pm 0.69	< 0.01	1.82 \pm 0.50	< 0.01
	Ozonesonde	Tateno (Tsukuba)	4.75 \pm 0.43	< 0.01	1.36 \pm 0.40	< 0.01
	Ozonesonde	Naha	5.18 \pm 0.40	< 0.01	0.47 \pm 0.41	< 0.05
	IAGOS	East Asia	2.55 \pm 0.15	< 0.01	2.08 \pm 0.17	< 0.01
India	IAGOS	India	5.01 \pm 0.43	< 0.01	2.66 \pm 0.36	< 0.01
Southeast Asia	IAGOS	Southeast Asia	5.53 \pm 0.26	< 0.01	2.87 \pm 0.23	< 0.01
Persian Gulf	IAGOS	Persian Gulf	3.66 \pm 0.26	< 0.01	2.47 \pm 0.19	< 0.01
Malaysia/Indonesia	IAGOS	Malaysia/Indonesia	4.36 \pm 0.41	< 0.01	2.69 \pm 0.33	< 0.01
Africa	IAGOS	Gulf of Guinea	2.61 \pm 0.34	< 0.01	0.60 \pm 0.27	< 0.01
	Ozonesonde	Nairobi	1.66 \pm 0.56	< 0.01	0.14 \pm 0.51	0.59
South America	Ozonesonde	Paramaribo	0.69 \pm 0.63	< 0.05	0.84 \pm 0.55	< 0.01
	Ozonesonde	Natal	3.00 \pm 0.74	< 0.01	0.89 \pm 0.75	< 0.05
	IAGOS	Northern South America	3.72 \pm 0.50	< 0.01	2.14 \pm 0.56	< 0.01
Pacific	Ozonesonde	Hilo (HI)	1.41 \pm 0.46	< 0.01	0.98 \pm 0.46	< 0.01
	Ozonesonde	Samoa (Cape Matatula)	0.83 \pm 0.36	0.25	-0.60 \pm 0.38	< 0.01
Europe	Ozonesonde	Legionowo	-0.50 \pm 0.42	< 0.05	0.62 \pm 0.35	< 0.01
	Ozonesonde	De Bilt	2.14 \pm 0.37	< 0.01	-0.15 \pm 0.34	0.38
	Ozonesonde	Uccle	1.70 \pm 0.23	< 0.01	0.10 \pm 0.20	0.34
	Ozonesonde	Praha	0.35 \pm 0.43	0.11	-0.22 \pm 0.46	0.33
	Ozonesonde	Hohenpeissenberg	0.27 \pm 0.23	< 0.05	-0.24 \pm 0.21	< 0.05

	Ozonesonde	Payerne	-0.63 ± 0.23	< 0.01	0.36 ± 0.19	< 0.01
	Ozonesonde	Madrid	0.38 ± 0.39	< 0.05	0.48 ± 0.36	< 0.01
	IAGOS	Europe	0.83 ± 0.06	< 0.01	-0.41 ± 0.05	< 0.01
United States	Ozonesonde	Boulder ESRL HQ (CO)	-0.64 ± 0.34	< 0.01	0.009 ± 0.35	0.96
	IAGOS	Eastern North America	0.96 ± 0.13	< 0.01	-0.70 ± 0.12	< 0.01
	IAGOS	Southeast US	0.86 ± 0.22	< 0.01	-1.02 ± 0.16	< 0.01
	IAGOS	Western North America	1.67 ± 0.32	< 0.01	-2.03 ± 0.37	< 0.01
Canada	Ozonesonde	Alert	-0.07 ± 1.22	0.91	0.11 ± 1.34	0.87
	Ozonesonde	Eureka	0.35 ± 1.13	0.53	0.39 ± 1.22	0.52
	Ozonesonde	Resolute	-0.65 ± 1.91	0.49	1.56 ± 1.37	< 0.05
	Ozonesonde	Edmonton	1.80 ± 0.57	< 0.01	0.66 ± 0.61	< 0.05
	Ozonesonde	Goose Bay	2.22 ± 0.74	< 0.01	-0.89 ± 0.63	< 0.01
Southern Hemisphere	Ozonesonde	La Réunion	4.60 ± 0.93	< 0.01	0.94 ± 0.95	< 0.05
	Ozonesonde	Broadmeadows	-0.36 ± 0.52	0.17	-0.96 ± 0.72	< 0.01
	Ozonesonde	Macquarie Island	-0.85 ± 0.43	< 0.01	-1.14 ± 0.76	< 0.01
	Ozonesonde	Lauder	0.34 ± 0.30	< 0.05	0.43 ± 0.51	0.09
	Ozonesonde	Marambio	-0.43 ± 0.36	< 0.05	0.17 ± 0.71	0.62
	Ozonesonde	Syowa	0.003 ± 0.37	0.99	-0.33 ± 0.54	0.22



1150 Figure 1: IAGOS and ozonesonde measurements of tropospheric ozone used in this study. The upper panel shows the map of the 11
 1155 study regions with frequent IAGOS sampling between 1995 and 2017 (grouped by color). The flight tracks are indicated in the boxes
 showing western North America, eastern North America, Europe, East Asia (including the North China, Korea, and part of Japan),
 Southeast United States, northern South America, Gulf of Guinea, the Persian Gulf, India, Southeast Asia, and Malaysia/Indonesia.
 The lower panel shows the location of selected ozonesonde sites in 1995–2017 used in this study, grouped by six latitude bands
 with an interval of 30° as denoted by different colors.




Figure 2: Trends in global annual anthropogenic (excluding aircraft emissions) and aircraft emissions of NO_x, CO, and NMVOCs from 1995 to 2017. The left panels show the total global anthropogenic NO_x, CO and NMVOC emissions from the CEDSV2 and CEDSCMIP6 inventories, and the right panels show the spatial distribution of emission trends in the CEDSV2 inventory. Aircraft emissions are from O'Rourke et al. (2021). The total global anthropogenic emission trends with *p*-value are shown in left panels.

1160






Figure 3: Comparison of IAGOS observations (solid line) and simulated (dashed line) ozone vertical profiles for 11 IAGOS regions from 1995-1999 (blue line) to 2013-2017 (red line). Horizontal bars are standard deviations in the observations.



1165 **Figure 4: Comparison of ozonesonde (solid line) and simulated (dashed line) ozone vertical profiles for six zonal bands over 1995-1999 (blue line) and 2013-2017 (red line). Horizontal bars are standard deviations in the observation.**



1170 **Figure 5:** Annual trends of the 50th percentiles of IAGOS observed and GEOS-Chem simulated ozone (ppbv decade⁻¹) at intervals of 50 hPa. The trends are calculated between 1995 and 2017 above the 11 selected regions (Fig.1) using the quantile regression method (Section 2.5). Filled circles indicate trends with *p*-value less than 0.05.

Annual trends of the 50th percentiles of ozone, ozonesonde vs GEOS-Chem





Figure 6: Same as Figure 5 but for the comparison of ozonesonde versus GEOS-Chem results.

Tropospheric ozone trends in 1995-2017, observations vs GEOS-Chem

(a) Trends derived from observation



(b) Trends derived from GEOS-Chem





Figure 7: Comparison of tropospheric ozone trends of the 50th percentiles of tropospheric column (950 to 250 hPa) in 1995-2017 derived from observation (top panel) and GEOS-Chem (bottom panel). Trends are estimated for 11 selected IAGOS regions and ozonesonde sites with frequent sampling. Symbol colors indicate the p-value associated with the trend at each site and region in the left panel. Both directions and colors of the vectors in the right panel indicate the ozone change rates in ppbv decade⁻¹. Dark colors (left panel) and filled circles or squares (right panel) indicated trends with p -value < 0.05.

1175



1180 **Figure 8: Evolution of 1995-2017 tropospheric ozone burden from GEOS-Chem and 7 CMIP6 models (available for 1995-2014) used**
in this study. Panel (a) shows the time series of tropospheric ozone burden integrated from 90°S to 90°N for the period 1995 to 2017.
The black line represents the results of the GEOS-Chem simulation, and colored lines are from CMIP6 models. Dot plots show the
tropospheric ozone burden trends for 1995-2014 in different models with the vertical bars showing the 95% confidence interval.
Panel (b) shows the differences in zonal integrated tropospheric ozone burden for the nine models between 2010-2014 and 1995-1999
1185 **(solid line) and for GEOS-Chem between 2014-2017 and 1995-1999 (dashed line).**

Attribution of trends in 1995-2017 global tropospheric ozone burden






Figure 9: Drivers of global tropospheric ozone burden and trends from 1995 to 2017 estimated in GEOS-Chem model. (a) Evolution of the GEOS-Chem simulated annual global tropospheric ozone burden (black line, same as Fig.8a) in the BASE simulation. The blue shadings show the evolution of tropospheric ozone burden from the FixAC simulation, estimating ozone burden if anthropogenic emissions (surface emissions, aircraft emissions, and methane) are fixed at the level of 1995. The green shadings thus estimate the tropospheric ozone burden contributed by the anthropogenic emission (including aircraft emission) changes relative to the 1995 level. Panel (b) shows the estimated tropospheric ozone trends from the BASE simulation and from different drivers.


1190




1195 **Figure 10: Drivers of seasonal zonal mean ozone trends from 1995 to 2017 estimated in GEOS-Chem model. Trends contributed by changes in anthropogenic emissions (surface emissions, aircraft emissions, and methane), aircraft emissions alone, and climatic and stratospheric factors are estimated. Black lines represent the 1995–2017 climatological seasonal mean tropopause from MERRA2 reanalysis dataset.**



1200 **Figure 11: Drivers of seasonal mean tropospheric (950-250hPa) ozone trends from 1995 to 2017 estimated in GEOS-Chem model. Trends contributed by changes in anthropogenic emissions (surface emissions, aircraft emissions, and methane), aircraft emissions alone, and climatic and stratospheric factors are estimated.**



1205 **Figure 12: Changes in annual mean tropospheric column ozone (a) and zonal mean ozone (b) between 2013-2017 and 1995-1999. Panel (c) shows the values of the ozone radiative kernel ($\text{mW m}^{-2} \text{DU}^{-1}$) for net forcing ($\text{LW} + \text{SW}$) from Skeie et al. (2020). The annual global mean values are shown in the upper right.**



1210

Figure 13: Tropospheric ozone radiative impacts and attributions, 2013-2017 versus 1995-1999. Panel (a)-(c) shows the tropospheric ozone radiative impacts (mW m^{-2}) for total (SW + LW) and for SW and LW, respectively. Panels (d)-(f) attribute the tropospheric ozone radiative impacts due to changes in anthropogenic emissions (including surface emissions, aircraft emission, global methane levels), aircraft emissions alone, and climate (including stratosphere and biomass burning) between 2013-2017 and 1995-1999. The annual global mean values are shown inset.