## Review of the manuscript 'Triggering effects ...' by Xu et al.

The paper was improved once more, and the authors answered my questions and did corresponding modifications. But as the text improved I was more able to follow and found now a few more things requiring revision. Nevertheless, although these are also major points, I guess that after improvement the paper might be in a form that can be published.

In the following, I again refer to line numbers of the first revised version with marked changes.

## **Major Revisions**

1. In my review of the revised version I asked the following question: The paper by Wang et al. (2020) has a very similar topic. It would strengthen the paper when in the introduction the differences of goals to those of the new paper would become clearer. I guess, the main difference is the comparison with North America, but perhaps there are others?

The authors answered that question well but as far as I can see this did not cause any modification in their manuscript. The answer should occur in the introduction. Only then, the reader is able to understand the novelty of the study at the beginning.

2. I am still not satisfied with the description of the method between lines 149 and 177. The present form cannot be understood. Once more, I strongly recommend the following:

Write that wind shear is determined from heat flux H and momentum flux  $\tau$  obtained from the ERA5 reanalysis data. Namely, according to Monin Obukhov similarity theory wind shear is given as

$$\frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial z} = \phi_m(\zeta) \frac{u_{\star}}{\kappa z} \tag{1}$$

where  $\phi_m$  is the Monin Obukhov stability function for momentum,  $u_{\star}^2 = \tau/\rho$ .  $\zeta = z/L$  with z = height and L = Obukhov stability length defined as in Gryanik et al. (2020) as

$$L = -\frac{(\tau/\rho)^{3/2}}{\kappa(g/\theta_v)(H/\rho c_p)}.$$
 (2)

 $\phi_m$  is the Monin Obukhov stability function where we used

 $\phi_m = \dots$  your old equations (5) and (6) for stable and unstable conditions (3)

No further equations are necessary. When you followed the above procedure this must be explained in this way, if something else was done it would need a better description.

3. Still, the quality of some figures is bad. These are Figure 4 (labels of colour bars in a) and b) almost not readable, labels are not readable of 4 c and d). Figure 6 (text in black boxes very difficult to read, increase resolution). Figure 7a, e,f: all labels should have the same size as in 7b.

## Minor revisions

Abstract: the text of the conclusions is much better than the text of the abstract. The minimum modifications are: line 24: correct to 'with increasing difference PBLH-LCL'

Lines 24-28: I suggest writing: The triggering of convection by boundary layer dynamics is analyzed over TP but also in the Northern Hemisphere over the Rocky Mountains. It is found that ST and BT are strong over both high elevation regions ...

line 32: write... by inversions above the PBL and to lower RH within the PBL, which further leads

line 34: at the Rocky Mountains

Line 44: It is a dynamic effect caused by the

line 87: of a cumulus system

line 88: in the PBL

line 89: with anomalous

line 90: processes

line 118: with a spatial

line 127: averaged

line 199: with increasing

line 232: median

line 240: dashed contour

line 250: from the himawari

line 255: trend of decreasing LCC

lines 244-245: Verb is missing in sentence

Lines 262-264: I do not understand why 200 hPa is compared with 500 hPa. This needs more explanation. Describe exactly where you see divergence, where convergence.

Line 270: to the middle

Line 272: the inversion is not really seen in the figure

Line 273: to an increased

Line 279: one needs a reference with respect to CISK

Line 281: the Western TP

Line 283: in the northern

Line 285: what's a foke low?

Line 285: in the northern

Line 299: areas

Line 306: of the convective

Line 309: reformulate sentence, that it becomes clearer that BT and ST play a key role

(and not the elevation)

line 317: for the North Sea (or over North Sea)

line 324; 2015). Thus one might ask the question what is ...

line 333; which is consistent with

line 355: low elevation regions.. start new sentence after afternoons

line 366: to two mechanisms. Now start with The first mechanism ,,, and later the second mechanism ....

1: 964 MI 11 1 1

line 364: The blue and red histograms show the surface elevation (blue) and temperature

(red) as functions of 2 m air density

line 373: shows

line 375: values

line 383: which refelect special surface characteristics in the boundary

line 387: shows a conceptual ... of the atmosphere

line 413: TP plays a

line 415: found that the difference PBLH-LCL

line 426: in an unimodal

line 437: phenomena

line 483: the name is De Bruin, not just Bruin (see also citation in the text)

line 423: with increasing