

RC1 of “Measurement report: Evolution and distribution of NH₃ over Mexico City from ground-based and satellite infrared spectroscopic measurements”

Reviewer 1

Summary

This paper describes a study of the changes in NH₃ over Mexico City as observed by FTIR instruments at two ground stations, one in an urban area and one in a more remote location, and from the IASI instrument. The FTIR and IASI data both showed similar seasonal variability, peaking in April and May, and a significant increase in NH₃ amounts over the observing period. Interestingly the largest NH₃ amounts are measured in the northeastern corner of the MCMA and appear to have local sources, as predicted from an emissions inventory and confirmed by a back trajectory analysis. The paper is well laid out and clearly written. The plots are very high quality and easily understood. It requires only some minor edits and additions to be acceptable for publication.

Response: The authors would like to thank the reviewer for this positive review and all the suggestions to improve this work. We addressed each comment below and in the revised manuscript.

Technical comments

Line 132: Please provide a little more detail on the a priori profiles. Are there more than one? If yes, how are they chosen?

Response: The a priori profiles were derived from five years of averaged NH₃ simulations from the global chemical transport model GEOS-Chem v11. We include this information in the revised manuscript as follows: “scaled a priori profiles derived from 5-years of averaged NH₃ simulations from the global chemical transport model GEOS-Chem v11 were used instead”.

Line 279: Could the authors propose some possible explanations for the column underestimation by IASI?

Response: The underestimation could be attributed to a combination of more randomly distributed error sources and large systematic errors, as was attributed in Damers et al., (2017), using an older version of the IASI ANNI-NH₃ product. We include this information in the revised manuscript as follows: “Damers et al. (2017), using an older version of the IASI ANNI-NH₃ product, attributed these differences to a combination of more randomly distributed error sources and large systematic errors, however, these reasons need to be investigated further.”

Minor edits

Line 71: come from

Response: Done

Line 74: “The inventory also strongly attributes the NH₃ sources to a range of population activities and feces from domesticated animals”. This sentence is not clear.

Response: We have modified this sentence in the revised manuscript as: “The inventory strongly attributes domestic emissions of NH₃ to feces from domesticated animals.”

Line 89: ...all of which are classified as ...

Response: Done

Line 152: ...when the thermal contrast is large

Response: Done

Line 171: Please clarify this sentence: "The 8-hour back-trajectory was selected to capture only air masses traversing the MCMA".

Response: We have modified this sentence in the revised manuscript as: "Eight-hour back-trajectories were selected to capture the air masses passing over the MCMA."

Line 174: ...NH₃ is mostly concentrated near the surface

Response: Done

Line 177: The average NH₃ total columns for the entire period ($1.46 \times 10^{16} \pm 0.64$ molecules/cm² at UNAM and $1.87 \times 10^{15} \pm 2.40$ molecules/cm² at Altzomoni) are listed and ...

Response: Done

Line 207: ...attributed to the conversion to ammonium, as was observed by Moya et al. (2004) when describing the evolution of the surface gas phase NH₃ and PM NH₄⁺ evolution at an urban site in Mexico City.

Response: Done

Line 314: the evolution with time...

Response: We have modified this sentence to also complement RC3 in the revised manuscript as "Comparisons between the seasonal and temporal variability of NH₃ over the ..."

Line 316: ... in Figure 9a

Response: Done

Line 317: However, IASI-NH₃ shows a consistent negative bias. The evolution with time is is represented by the IASI-NH₃ and FTIR-NH₃ annual averages in Figure 9b.

Response: Done

Line 320: even in Altzomoni,

Response: Done

Line 322: ... there is an increase of 62 % over a decade for Mexico City, in agreement with the trend

Response: Done and complemented with response to RC3

Line 329: ... at this station

Response: Done

Line 334: from a variety of local sources and does not show only the transport of NH₃-enriched air masses from the enhancement region to the northeast observed in Figures 7a to 7c. This is in agreement with Viatte et al. (2022).

Response: Done

Line 339: This sentence is not clear or does not follow: this agrees with Figure 8 where the main NH₃ sources in MCMA are seen to be urban

Response: We have modified this sentence in the revised manuscript as: “this agrees with Figure 8 which shows that the main NH₃ sources in MCMA seem to be urban.”

Extra:

Line 116: We added a line and a reference

“The first study presenting and validating the combined usage of trace gas products obtained from dedicated retrievals with FTIR spectra measured at UNAM and ALTZ was that of Plaza-Medina et al. (2017).”

Reference:

Plaza-Medina, E. F., Stremme, W., Bezanilla, A., Grutter, M., Schneider, M., Hase, F., and Blumenstock, T.: Ground-based remote sensing of O₃ by high- and medium-resolution FTIR spectrometers over the Mexico City basin, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 10, 2703-2725, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-2703-2017>, 2017.

We update the Viatte et al. (2022) reference as:

Viatte, C., Abeed, R., Yamanouchi, S., Porter, W., Safieddine, S., Van Damme, M., Clarisse, L., Herrera, B., Grutter, M., Coheur, P.-F., Strong, K., and Clerbaux, C.: NH₃ spatio-temporal variability over Paris, Mexico and Toronto and its link to PM_{2.5} during pollution events, *EGUsphere* [preprint], <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2022-413>, 2022.