1 Impacts of condensable particulate matter on atmospheric organic aerosols and fine

2 particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) in China

3

Mengying Li¹, Shaocai Yu¹⁺, Xue Chen¹, Zhen Li¹, Yibo Zhang¹, Zhe Song¹, Weiping Liu¹, Pengfei
Li²⁺, Xiaoye Zhang^{1,3}, Meigen Zhang^{4,5,6}, Yele Sun^{4,5}, Zirui Liu^{4,5}, Caiping Sun⁷, Jingkun Jiang^{8,9},
Shuxiao Wang⁸, Benjamin N. Murphy¹⁰, Kiran Alapaty¹⁰, Rohit Mathur¹⁰, Daniel Rosenfeld¹¹, and John

- 7 H. Seinfeld¹²
 - 8
 - ⁹ ¹Research Center for Air Pollution and Health; Key Laboratory of Environmental Remediation and
 - 10 Ecological Health, Ministry of Education, College of Environment and Resource Sciences, Zhejiang
 - 11 University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310058, P.R. China
 - ¹² ²College of Science and Technology, Hebei Agricultural University, Baoding, Hebei 071000, P.R. China
 - ¹³ ³Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, China Meteorological Administration, Beijing 100081,
 - 14 China.
 - ⁴State Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Boundary Layer Physics and Atmospheric Chemistry (LAPC),
 - 16 Institute of Atmospheric Physics (IAP), Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Beijing 100029, China
 - ⁵University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
 - 18 ⁶Center for Excellence in Urban Atmospheric Environment, Institute of Urban Environment, Chinese
 - 19 Academy of Sciences, Xiamen, China
 - ²⁰ ⁷Chinese Research Academy of Environmental Sciences, Beijing 100012, China
 - ²¹ ⁸State Key Joint Laboratory of Environment Simulation and Pollution Control, School of Environment,
 - 22 Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
 - ²³ ⁹State Environmental Protection Key Laboratory of Sources and Control of Air Pollution Complex,
 - 24 Beijing 100084, China
 - ²⁵ ¹⁰Center for Environmental Measurement and Modeling, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,
 - 26 Research Triangle Park, NC 27711, USA
 - ²⁷ ¹¹Institute of Earth Sciences, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel
 - ¹²Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA
 91125, USA.
 - 30
 - 31
 - 32 ⁺*Correspondence to*: Shaocai Yu (shaocaiyu@zju.edu.cn); Pengfei Li (lpf_zju@163.com)
 - 33

34

35

To be submitted to

Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics

36 Abstract

Condensable particulate matter (CPM) emitted from stationary combustion and mobile sources 37 exhibits high emissions and a large proportion of organic components. However, CPM is not generally 38 measured when conducting emission surveys of PM in most countries, including China. Consequently, 39 previous emission inventories have not included emission rates for CPM. Here we construct an emission 40 inventory of CPM in China with a focus on organic aerosols (OA) based on collected CPM emission 41 information. Results show that OA emissions are enhanced twofold after the inclusion of CPM in a new 42 China inventory for the years 2014 and 2017. Considering organic CPM emissions and model 43 representations of secondary OA (SOA) formation from CPM, here a series of sensitivity cases have been 44 simulated using the three-dimensional Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model to estimate the 45 contributions of CPM emissions to atmospheric OA and fine PM (PM_{2.5}) concentrations in China. 46 Compared with observations during a haze episode from October 14 to November 14, 2014, at a Beijing 47 site, estimates of temporal average primary OA (POA) and SOA concentrations are greatly improved after 48 including the CPM effects. These scenarios demonstrated the significant contributions of CPM emissions 49 from stationary combustion and mobile sources to POA ($51 \sim 85\%$), SOA ($42 \sim 58\%$), and total OA 50 concentrations (45 ~ 75%). Furthermore, contributions of CPM emissions to total OA concentrations were 51 demonstrated over the major 2+26 cities of Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region (BTH2+26 cities) in December 52 2018, with average contributions up to 49%, 53%, 54%, and 50% for Handan, Shijiazhuang, Xingtai, and 53 54 Dezhou, respectively. Correspondingly, the inclusion of CPM emissions also narrowed the gap between simulated and observed PM2.5 concentrations over the BTH2+26 cities. These results improve the 55 simulation performance of atmospheric OA and PM_{2.5}, and may provide important implications for the 56 57 sources of OA.

- 58
- 59
- 60
- 61
- 62

- 64
- 65
- 66

68 **1 Introduction**

Atmospheric fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}, particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter not 69 exceeding 2.5 µm) is a serious and recurring air quality problem. Although the annual average 70 concentration of PM_{2.5} in China has declined in recent years, it still exceeds standards promulgated by the 71 World Health Organization (WHO) Air Quality Guidelines (Lin et al., 2018). Heavy haze episodes occur 72 frequently in winter, especially for the eastern regions in China (Li et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2019; Li et 73 al., 2017a). Despite large reductions in primary emissions during the COVID-19 lockdown, several 74 75 periods of heavy haze continued to occur in eastern China (Huang et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2020c, 2021). Organic aerosols (OA) contribute a large fraction to PM2.5 worldwide, ranging from 20% to 90% (Carlton 76 77 et al., 2009; Kanakidou et al., 2005) with a negative radiative forcing and adverse impacts on air quality and human health (Gehring et al., 2013; Pope et al., 2002). POA comes from a variety of sources, 78 including fossil fuels and biomass burning. SOA is generated through photochemical oxidation of volatile 79 organic compounds (VOCs) followed by gas-particle partitioning of low-volatility organic compounds 80 into the aerosol phase (Fuzzi et al., 2006; Kroll and Seinfeld, 2008) Currently, the significant contributions 81 82 of OA to PM_{2.5} and SOA to OA have been demonstrated in many observational results (He et al., 2020; Veld et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2017). For example, Huang et al. (2014) explored the role of OA in PM_{2.5} 83 during a severe haze episode in Beijing, Shanghai, Xi'an and Guangzhou, showing the substantial 84 contribution of OA to PM_{2.5} (30~50%) and SOA accounted for 30~77% of OA. Sun et al. (2015) showed 85 that OA constituted up to 65% of submicron aerosols during winter in Beijing, with 38% being SOA. 86

With respect to chemical schemes of SOA formations, a two-product model (Odum et al., 1996) was 87 first proposed based on absorptive partitioning theory (Pankow, 1994) and chamber data. To address the 88 89 underestimation of the early two-product model, the volatility basis set (VBS) framework was developed (Donahue et al., 2006). In this VBS scheme, semi-volatile and intermediate volatility precursors (S/IVOCs) 90 91 were classified by their volatilities based on the absorptive partitioning theory (Robinson et al., 2007). A large portion of SVOCs are emitted as POA and then evaporate at ambient conditions due to gas-particle 92 93 partitioning, while the IVOCs species exist in the form of organic vapor under many atmospheric conditions in the absence of photochemical reactions (Shrivastava et al., 2011). Currently, the VBS 94 mechanism has been incorporated into many global and regional scale models (Lane et al., 2008; Murphy 95 96 and Pandis, 2009; Shrivastava et al., 2008; Han et al., 2016). The two-dimensional (2-D) VBS scheme was put forward to improve the accuracy of fragmentation processes and OA oxidations (Donahue et al., 97

2011; Zhao et al., 2016). Despite advances in SOA formation mechanisms, a gap exists between observed 98 and modeled results due to uncertainties in parameterization of SOA yields, lack of localized parameters 99 100 and incomplete information on emission rates and properties of SOA precursors. Recent studies have begun to focus on important effects of emissions, including traditional precursors (VOCs) and S/IVOCs. 101 For example, Zhao et al. (2017) found that IVOCs of 1.5-30 times POA emissions contributed largely to 102 OA concentrations over the BTH region. Wu et al. (2019) constructed an inventory of S/IVOCs for the 103 Pearl River Delta (PRD) region in China and conducted a simulation using the WRF-Chem model leading 104 to an increase of 161% in SOA predictions. Emissions of S/IVOCs from mobile sources and IVOCs from 105 volatile chemical products were also parameterized in models to represent SOA formation (Jathar et al., 106 2017; Lu et al., 2020; Pennington et al., 2021). Although the significant role of potential emission sources 107 108 in OA formation has been demonstrated, underestimation of SOA by current air quality models has not been completely resolved. Stationary combustion sources are one of the major emission sources of PM_{2.5}, 109 including power plants and factories. Sampling temperatures and dilution rates are key factors for accurate 110 measurements of organic matter (Morino et al., 2018). The total primary PM emitted from stationary 111 112 sources is composed of filterable PM (FPM) and condensable PM (CPM). FPM exists in liquid or solid 113 phases, while CPM is in gas phase in flue (Corio and Sherwell, 2000; Feng et al., 2018). CPM is defined by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA, 2017) as particles which are gaseous at flue gas 114 temperature but condense or react in the ambient air to form solid or liquid PM through dilution and 115 116 cooling immediately after discharge. With ultralow emission standards implemented by coal-fired power plants (<10 mg Nm⁻³) since 2014, FPM emissions have been substantially reduced (even below 5 mg Nm⁻ 117 ³) (Tang et al., 2019), making the remaining emissions of CPM an important issue. The Ministry of 118 Science and Technology of China issued a national key research and development project on the causes 119 120 and controls of air pollution in 2016, which mentioned key technologies for controlling CPM emissions (http://www.acca21.org.cn/zdy_cms/siteResources/DisasterReduction/resources/otherfiles/ 121 20160425/f15345793.pdf). The current measurement studies about emission characteristics and chemical 122

composition of CPM exhibited non-negligible emissions. For example, Yang et al. (2014, 2018a, 2018b) conducted investigations for different types of industrial boilers and power plants, and concluded that CPM constituted $25.7 \sim 96.5\%$ of PM_{2.5}. For an ultralow-emission coal-fired power plant, Li et al. (2017b) reported that the emission concentrations of CPM accounted for 83% of the PM_{2.5}. Wang et al. (2018) calculated the average emission factors of CPM from two stacks in a waste incineration power plant to be 0.201 and 0.178 g kg⁻¹, which were 22.0 and 31.2 times higher than the corresponding those of FPM,

respectively. Wu et al. (2020) found that FPM emissions from four typical coal-fired power plants met 129 Chinese ultra-low emission standards, while CPM showed high levels (even above 10 mg Nm⁻³). CPM 130 131 includes organic and inorganic components, known as organic CPM and inorganic CPM, respectively. The contributions of organic fractions varied from 13.6% to 80.5%, depending on different fuel types, 132 test methods and operating conditions (Lu et al., 2019; Song et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2021, 2018b). Many 133 studies confirmed that CPM contained more than 50% organic components (Li et al., 2017c, 2017d; Song 134 et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020), revealing that organic matter comprising a large proportion in CPM needed 135 to be taken into account. These above studies provided valuable basic information of CPM emission 136 characteristics for data references in this study, as summarized in Table S3. It is likely that the inorganic 137 fractions of CPM make a contribution to the water-soluble ions in PM2.5, and organic components 138 contribute to the organic matter in PM2.5. In addition, large amounts of low volatile organic compounds 139 in CPM can be important precursors for SOA formation. 140

Current measurement methods for PM in stationary exhaust sources in China (GB/T 16157-1996) 141 have not involved the collection of CPM; and the chemical composition of collected PM was quite 142 different from that actually released into the atmosphere (Hu et al., 2016). The emission inventory 143 144 constructed based on emission surveys did not include the CPM emissions. So it is important to introduce CPM emissions to the current emission inventory. For example, a European study improved OA 145 simulations by including the CPM emissions from residential wood combustion sources (Van Der Gon et 146 147 al., 2015). Morino et al. (2018) revised the emission inventory by the consideration of CPM in Japan and showed that the OA emission rates were up to seven times the previous ones and CPM contributed greatly 148 to atmospheric OA concentrations. A shortcoming of that study was that it did not separate the effects of 149 CPM emissions on POA and SOA concentrations. Moreover, studies still lack quantification of emissions 150 151 of CPM released by stationary combustion sources in China.

In this study, we use the available CPM emission information to construct an emission inventory of CPM from stationary combustion and mobile sources in China (with a focus on OA) and conducted 15 sensitivity simulations to explore the contributions of CPM emissions to atmospheric OA and $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations during the winter haze episodes over China. This quantitative study about organic CPM emissions and the roles of CPM in the OA formation emphasizes the importance of constraining CPM emissions from stationary combustion and mobile sources.

158

159 2 Materials and methods

160 **2.1 Estimations of CPM emissions**

Table 1 explicitly states the definitions of some acronyms for better understanding. We collected 161 162 available emission measurement data of CPM based on published literatures. Totally, CPM emission data from 52 stationary combustion sources were acquired (Table S3). The emission sectors for these data 163 included coal-fired power plants, waste incineration power plants, industrial coal boilers, heavy oil boilers, 164 wood boilers, natural gas boilers, diesel boilers, iron and steel plants, and incinerators. Emissions of CPM 165 depend on many factors including source categories, fuel types, sampling flue gas temperature, and air 166 pollution control devices (Feng et al., 2021). Also, different measurement methods produced different 167 results of CPM emissions (Wang et al., 2020a). Recently, cooling and dilution methods have been applied 168 to monitor CPM concentrations. CPM contained organic and inorganic fractions, but this study only 169 170 concentrated on organic CPM emissions. The emission rate of organic CPM was estimated as follows in Eq. (1) and (2) (Morino et al., 2018): 171

172
$$E_{OM}(CPM) = \sum A \times EF_{OM}(CPM) = \sum A \times EF_{PM25}(FPM) \times \frac{EF_{OM}(CPM)}{EF_{PM25}(FPM)}$$
(1)

173
$$E_{OM}(CPM) = \sum E_{PM25}(FPM) \times \frac{C_{OM}(CPM)}{C_{PM25}(FPM)}$$
(2)

174
$$E_{OMsi}(CPM) = E_{OM}(CPM) \times \frac{E_{OMsi}(CPM)}{E_{OM}(CPM)} = E_{OM}(CPM) \times \frac{C_{OMsi}(CPM)}{C_{OM}(CPM)}$$
(3)

Where E_{OM} (CPM) is the emission rate of organic matter in CPM; EF_{OM} (CPM) is the emission factor of 175 176 organic matter in CPM; E_{PM2.5} (FPM) is the emission rate of FPM_{2.5}; EF_{PM2.5} (FPM) is the emission factor of FPM_{2.5}; A denotes the activity level; C_{OM} (CPM) is the concentration of organic matter detected in 177 CPM; and C_{PM2.5} (FPM) is the detected concentration of FPM_{2.5}. A and EF_{PM2.5} (FPM) in Eq. (1) were 178 combined to calculate EPM2.5(FPM) in Eq. (2), acquired from PM2.5 emission rates in the emission 179 inventory of baseline year. Among these parameters, C_{OM} (CPM) and C_{PM2.5} (FPM) were derived from the 180 collected emission survey data at the above stationary combustion sources. The ratios of C_{OM} (CPM) to 181 C_{OM} (FPM) should be used to estimate E_{OM} (CPM), but due to the limited data and very low values of 182 $C_{\rm OM}$ (FPM) at these stationary sources, $C_{\rm PM2.5}$ (FPM) was used instead of $C_{\rm OM}$ (FPM). The ratios of $E_{\rm OM}$ 183 184 (CPM) to $E_{PM2.5}$ (FPM) and EF_{OM} (CPM) to $EF_{PM2.5}$ (FPM) should be equal to the ratios of C_{OM} (CPM) to $C_{PM2.5}$ (FPM) at the same dilution ratio in the emission surveys. Table 2 summarizes the emission ratios 185 of E_{OM} (CPM) to $E_{PM2.5}$ (FPM) for these stationary combustion sources. In this estimate, these emission 186 ratios collected from the best available data were applied to represent the stationary combustion sources 187 in the current emission inventory. 188

In addition, the component information of organic CPM is important to model the participation of 189 organic CPM in atmospheric chemical reactions. The organic CPM mainly contains alkanes (with C10-190 191 C₃₀ being the major n-alkanes), esters, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) (Li et al., 2017c, d; Song et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2018). Based on the relationship between carbon number of n-alkanes and 192 saturation concentrations (C^*) following Lu et al. (2018), it is reasonable to speculate that organic CPM 193 is composed of organic matter which is semi-volatile (SVOCs, $10^0 \le C^* \le 10^3 \,\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) or has intermediate 194 volatility (IVOCs, $10^3 < C^* \le 10^6 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$), combined as OM_{si} (CPM). It denotes a collective term for a 195 range of organic matter with different volatilities in CPM. Since the volatility characteristics of organic 196 197 CPM from these stationary combustion sources have not been accurately determined in relevant measurement studies, the emissions of OM_{si} (CPM) were scaled to emissions of OM (CPM) in this 198 199 estimate as shown in Eq. (3), that is, the total emissions of OM (CPM) were distributed in different volatility bins. E_{OMsi} (CPM) denotes the emission rate of OM_{si} in CPM; C_{OMsi} (CPM) denotes the 200 concentration of OM_{si} in CPM. The specific partition coefficients for different volatility bins in the model 201 will be discussed in the following Sect. 2.3. In addition to stationary sources, mobile sources also generate 202 203 certain emissions of CPM. Due to the lack of CPM emission data from on-road and off-road vehicles, we 204 increased OM emission rates of the transportation sector (TR) by 30% to consider the contributions of CPM from these mobile sources, following Morino et al. (2018) and Lu et al. (2020). 205

206

207 **2.2 The model configuration**

The three-dimensional Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ, v5.3.2) model developed by the 208 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency was used to simulate spatiotemporal distributions of chemical 209 species. The detailed model configuration can refer to Appel et al. (2021) and Yu et al. (2014). The gas-210 211 phase chemical mechanism was based on the Carbon Bond Mechanism 6 (CB6) scheme. The aerosol module was based on the seventh-generation aerosol module of CMAQ (AERO7). The CMAQv5.0.2-212 VBS version with AERO6 coupled with a VBS module (AERO6VBS) was used for comparison. 213 Compared to the SOA formation in AERO6 in the CMAQv5.2, the AERO7 module includes some 214 improvements: enhanced consistency of the SOA formation pathways between chemical mechanisms 215 based on CB and SAPRC, updated photooxidized monoterpene SOA yields (Xu et al., 2018), added 216 uptake of water by hydrophilic organics (Pye et al., 2017), consumption of inorganic sulfate when forming 217 isoprene epoxydiol organic sulfate (Pye et al., 2013), and replacement of the Odum two-product model 218 with a VBS framework to parameterize SOA formation (Appel et al., 2021; Oin et al., 2021). Both 219

AERO6VBS and AERO7 contained five classes of organic matter with one class being nonvolatile and 220 the other four classes being semi-volatile with effective saturation concentrations of 1, 10, 100, and 1000 221 ug m⁻³. Each of these volatility bins was assigned to the CMAO species of LVPO1, SVPO1, SVPO2, 222 SVPO3 and IVPO1, respectively. The emissions of unspeciated IVOCs were set equal to 1.5 times the 223 POA emissions in AERO6VBS and 6.579 times in AERO7 by default. The high scale factor of 6.579 in 224 AERO7 was set to consider missing pathways for the SOA formation from combustion sources including 225 the IVOCs oxidation (Murphy et al., 2017; Murphy et al., 2021), and it was primarily parameterized in 226 Los Angeles where vehicle emissions are a principal source (Hayes et al., 2015). This parameter setting 227 may not be suitable for fire and wood-burning sources, thus the scale factor was zeroed out for these 228 sources in this study, as stated in the release of CMAQv5.3.2. Meteorological fields were predicted by the 229 230 Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model version 3.7. The physical schemes of WRF were the same as those in Wu et al. (2018) and Zhang et al. (2021). Meteorological initial and boundary conditions 231 were provided by the National Center for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) final analysis dataset with 232 the spatial resolution of 1°×1° and temporal resolution of 6 h. The first several days were used for model 233 234 spin-up, varied for different pollution periods as described in Sect. 2.4. The gridded anthropogenic 235 emission data for 2014 and 2017 were derived from Emission Inventory of Air Benefit and Cost and Attainment Assessment System (EI-ABaCAS) developed by Tsinghua University (Dong et al., 2020; 236 Zheng et al., 2019). It contained primary species such as PM2.5, SO2, NOx, CO, NMVOCS, NH3, BC, and 237 238 OC from nine anthropogenic sectors (i.e., agriculture, power plant, industry process, industry combustion, steel, cement, residential, transport, and open burning). Biogenic source emissions were calculated by on-239 line Biogenic Emission Inventory System version 3.14 (BEISv3.14) model (Carlton and Baker, 2011). 240 Dust emissions were calculated by an on-line windblown dust scheme (Choi and Fernando, 2008). Our 241 242 study period in 2014 occurred before and during the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) summit held in Beijing (November 5–11, 2014). During the period of pre-APEC (October 28–November 2) and 243 full-APEC (November 3-11), some pollution control measures were gradually implemented in Beijing 244 and its surrounding areas. Based on the observed reductions in the concentrations of PM_{2.5}, SO₂, NO₂, 245 246 NO, and CO during APEC in Beijing and its surrounding cities (Li et al., 2017e, 2019; Wen et al., 2016), and 28% contribution of the emission control measures to the reduction of PM2.5 concentrations (Liang et 247 al., 2017), thus the approximate emission reduction of 30% was conducted during the above time period 248 249 for the region of two municipalities (Beijing and Tianjin), four provinces (Hebei, Shanxi, Henan, and Shandong) and Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region. The simulation domain covered mainland China by 250

a 395×345 grid with the horizontal grid resolution of 12 km (Fig. 1). There were 29 vertical layers in σ_z coordinate system reaching the upper pressure (100 hPa) with 20 layers located in the lowest 3 km to resolve the planetary boundary layer.

254

255 **2.3 Design of sensitivity simulation cases**

According to the emission parameters summarized in Table 2, we carried out bootstrapping and 256 Monte Carlo simulations to obtain the mean and uncertainty ranges of EOM (CPM)/EPM2.5 (FPM) for 257 stationary combustion sources including power plant (PP), industry combustion (IN), and steel (IR) (see 258 Table 3). First, the optimal probabilistic distributions and uncertainty ranges were determined for each 259 source category. Then the statistical bootstrap simulation was applied to calculate the mean and 95% 260 261 confidence interval of emission ratios for each source category. Finally, the uncertainties of these parameters were propagated to calculate the total uncertainty of emission by running Monte Carlo 262 simulations for 10,000 times. Notably, the estimated uncertainties were only related to variabilities in the 263 ratio of E_{OM}(CPM) to E_{PM2.5}(FPM), but did not necessarily represent the overall uncertainties of organic 264 265 CPM emissions. On this basis, a series of sensitivity cases including low, medium, and high emission 266 ratios were designed to explore the contributions of organic CPM emissions to OA concentrations and quantify uncertainty ranges of CPM effects on OA (see Table 4). 267

Here, to explore the contributions of organic CPM emissions to atmospheric OA and PM₂₅ 268 concentrations, the estimated emissions of organic CPM were added into the CMAQ model as an 269 individual source, separated from other emission sources. For the base scenarios, the simulations were 270 performed with the inputs of the previous emission inventory without the newly constructed organic CPM 271 emissions. Considering that organic FPM from stationary combustion and mobile sources mainly 272 273 contained low volatile matter, so all of these emissions should be assigned to the CMAQ species of 274 LVPO1 and other volatility bins should be assigned a scale factor of 0, and the rests were kept at the default settings in the model. In addition, different volatility distributions could be chosen for different 275 emission sources, but this was not our study focus and did not interfere with the results of CPM 276 277 contributions. For the cases including CPM emissions from stationary combustion and mobile sources, the emissions of organic CPM were mapped to surrogate species for different volatility bins (LVPO1, 278 SVPO1, SVPO2, SVPO3, and IVPO1) in the CMAQ model for representing the SOA formation from 279 280 CPM. These mixed species underwent gas-particle partitioning and multi-generational gas-phase photochemical oxidation of organic vapors by OH radicals to generate successively lower volatility and 281

more-oxygenated species, and then produced SOA. Due to the unavailable volatility distribution 282 information of OM_{si} (CPM), different scaling factors of volatility bins were employed under each 283 284 emission scenario to discuss the uncertainties of CPM effects. In this study, we tested two kinds of scaling factors for the five volatility bins: fac1 (0.09, 0.09, 0.14, 0.18, 0.5) (Grieshop et al., 2009) and fac2 (0.40, 285 0.26, 0.40, 0.51, 1.43) (Shrivastava et al., 2011). As mentioned in Sect. 2.1, organic CPM was composed 286 of organic matter which was semi-volatile or had intermediate volatility, thus the first bin which represents 287 nonvolatile organic matter should be set to zero. Here, the original partition coefficient of the first bin was 288 added to the following bin, so the fac1 (0, 0.18, 0.14, 0.18, 0.5) and fac2 (0, 0.66, 0.40, 0.51, 1.43) were 289 290 applied in the sensitivity simulation cases. The fac2 estimated total SVOCs emissions as 3 times POA emissions to consider missing OM_{si} (CPM) emissions. Then the fac3 (0, 0.42, 0.27, 0.345, 0.965) which 291 292 was the average of fac1 and fac2, was also tested for the five volatility bins. The fac1, fac2 and fac3 were applied to the OM_{si} (CPM) emissions for cases S1.1, S1.2 and S1.3, respectively (see Table 4). For an 293 evaluation of the sensitivity of OA outputs to organic CPM emissions, we conducted simulations with 294 different magnitudes of CPM emissions at the 95% and 50% confidence interval. Thus the S2-S3 cases 295 were designed with the uncertainty ranges of E_{OM} (CPM)/E_{PM2.5} (FPM) at 95% confidence interval (73% 296 297 and 128% of the amounts in S1), and the S4-S5 cases with the uncertainty ranges at 50% confidence interval (90% and 109% of the amounts in S1). Moreover, the contributions of individual emission 298 categories including PP, IN, IR, and TR were quantified by excluding perturbation of other sources in the 299 300 S6-9 cases. The simulated contributions of CPM emissions to POA, SOA, OA, and PM_{2.5} concentrations under these scenarios were calculated as the improved simulation concentrations after including CPM 301 emissions relative to the base case, divided by the simulations under these scenarios. 302

303

304 2.4 Observational data

For the year 2014, the simulation period was from October 6 to November 14, 2014, with the first 8 305 days being the model spin-up time. Field observation data during the episode from October 14 to 306 November 14, 2014, at the Institute of Atmospheric Physics (IAP) (39°58' N, 116°22' E) in Beijing were 307 308 from Li et al. (2017a) and Xu et al. (2015). Concentrations of aerosol components were measured in PM₁. In order to make a comparison between simulated and observed results, the PM₁/PM_{2.5} ratio of 0.77 was 309 used to calculate the observed component concentrations in PM2.5 based on the observations from Xu et 310 311 al. (2015). To distinguish between SOA and POA, Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (AMS) measurements and the method of Positive Matrix Factorization (PMF) were used by Xu et al. (2015), identifying three POA 312

factors from coal combustion, biomass burning and cooking, and two SOA factors of semi-volatile and 313 low-volatility oxygenated OA. Observation data of organic carbon (OC) on November 3, 2014, at 314 315 Oianvanzhou (located in Jian city) and Changsha were provided by CERN Atmospheric Science Branch of the Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Liu et al., 2018). For the year 316 2018, the simulation period was from December 1 to 31, 2018, with the first 5 days for model spin-up. 317 The observation values of OC in the BTH2+26 cities were provided by China Environmental Monitoring 318 Station. These cities include Beijing, Tianjin, Anyang, Baoding, Binzhou, Cangzhou, Changzhi, Dezhou, 319 Hebi, Handan, Hengshui, Heze, Jincheng, Jinan, Jining, Jiaozuo, Kaifeng, Liaocheng, Langfang, Puyang, 320 321 Shijiazhuang, Tangshan, Taiyuan, Xingtai, Xinxiang, Yangguan, Zibo, and Zhengzhou. The OA/OC ratio of 1.4 (Simon et al., 2011) was used to calculate OA concentrations for the comparison with the simulation 322 323 results. The observed concentrations of PM2.5 were collected from the Chinese National Environmental Monitoring Center (CNEMC). Since the PM_{2.5} observation data from December 22 to 26 were missing, 324 the following analysis of PM_{2.5} did not include these five days. The hourly observation data of 325 meteorological factors, including temperature (T), relative humidity (RH), wind speed (WS), and wind 326 327 direction (WD), provided by China Meteorological were the Administration 328 (http://data.cma.cn/site/index.html).

329

330 **3 Results and discussion**

331 **3.1 Emissions of condensable particulate matter**

Emissions of OM in CPM (EOM(CPM)) were comparable to or even exceeded the emissions of 332 filterable PM_{2.5} (E_{PM2.5}(FPM)) for most stationary combustion sources, regardless of the differences 333 among these values (Table 2). Therefore, we constructed a new emission inventory by including CPM. 334 335 The annual emissions of OA in previous and modified emission inventory over China for the year 2014 and 2017 are presented in Fig. 2. The OM represents the organic matter in the emission input before the 336 further volatility distributions, while OM ($C^* \leq 100 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$) represents the organic matter allocated in the 337 bin of C* equal to 100 and below after application of the volatility distributions for the fac1, fac2 and 338 339 fac3 cases. Based on the simulation case settings, OM (FPM) from all the sectors was multiplied by fac1 (0.5), while OM (CPM) from stationary combustion and mobile sources was multiplied by fac1 (0.5), 340 fac2 (1.57) or fac3 (1.035). In the previous inventory for 2014 without CPM, the emissions of OM over 341 mainland China were 3664.6 Gg, approximately equal to 40% of PM_{2.5} emissions. After the inclusion of 342 CPM released by stationary combustion sources in the new inventory, the emissions of OM were 343

enhanced by a factor of 2 and even exceeded emissions of FPM_{2.5}. The dominant contributors of OM 344 (FCPM) are combustion sources in power plant and industrial sectors, estimated to be 66% (7006.2 Gg) 345 of the total OA emissions (10531.1Gg). The emissions of OM ($C^* \le 100 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$) remained unchangeable 346 for the open burning, domestic, and industry process sources since they were mostly FPM, while OM 347 $(C^* \leq 100 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$ for the power plant, industry combustion, and steel sources were variable based on 348 whether fac1, fac2 or fac3 were applied to the CPM. Similarly, the emissions of OM (FCPM) were 3 349 times those of OM (FPM) for the year 2017. The emissions of OM from power plant, industry 350 combustion, and steel sources increased by 33 times after considering CPM emissions. These results 351 indicate that the inclusion of organic CPM from stationary combustion sources has a major impact on 352 OM emissions and improves contributions of industrial and power sectors to OM emissions. 353

354 Notably, the emission estimates of OM in CPM contained uncertainties, mainly attributed to the representativeness and limitations of chosen emission sources. For power plant, industry combustion, and 355 steel sectors, the average ratios of E_{OM} (CPM) to E_{PM2.5} (FPM) were 4.12, 1.38 and 2.80, respectively 356 (Table 3). The estimation of uncertainties related to variabilities in the ratio of E_{OM} (CPM) to $E_{PM2.5}$ (FPM) 357 358 was described in section 2.3. Overall, the uncertainty range of E_{OM} (CPM) related to variabilities in the 359 ratio was $-27\% \sim +28\%$ at the 95% confidence interval. On this basis, a series of sensitivity cases with different emission ratios were set to determine the uncertainty ranges of CPM contributions (Table 4). In 360 the future, actual measurements of organic CPM emissions from various sources and source-specific 361 362 identification of volatility distributions are needed to reduce uncertainties in emission estimates.

363

364 **3.2 Effects of CPM emissions on POA and SOA concentrations**

For the hourly observed and simulated SOA and POA concentrations at the Beijing site, Figs. 3 and 365 366 4 show obvious improvements of SOA and POA levels after the consideration of CPM contributions. The specific model species for POA and SOA are shown in Table S4. In all the simulation scenarios, five 367 complete ascending and descending SOA episodes in Fig. 3 were well captured, with much lower mean 368 bias between observations and simulations than previous results of Li et al. (2017a). Three pollution 369 370 episodes before the APEC were clearly captured by the model. The third episode (October 27–November 371 1) had lower observed SOA levels relative to the first (October 16-21) and second episodes (October 22-26), attributed to lower precursor emission concentrations, lower temperature, and regional transports by 372 373 strong northerly winds on October 26. During the APEC, there were two pollution episodes with lower SOA concentrations due to the effects of emission controls and meteorological conditions (Ansari et al., 374

2019; Liang et al., 2017). Compared to the observed values, cases without CPM exhibited varying degrees 375 of underestimation for SOA and POA. For example, in the base case, the maximum SOA values were 376 377 underestimated by 50% in the first episode and up to 65% in the second episode, while the simulated hourly POA values varied in the range of 0.12~19.06 µg m⁻³, much lower than POA observations during 378 the whole time period. In comparison, the AERO6VBS case underpredicted SOA by up to 65%, and 379 simulated low levels of POA during the first three periods and high levels in the last two episodes. Overall, 380 the base case underestimated the average POA, SOA and OA levels by 74%, 56% and 65% (Table 5), 381 respectively, emphasizing the potential contributions of missing CPM sources. 382

383 After considering organic CPM emissions, the underestimation of average POA and SOA was reduced to 37% and 15% under the S1.1 scenario, respectively (Table 5). From the simulated hourly 384 385 variations in the S1.1 case (Fig. 3), SOA concentrations were enhanced by factors of 0.01~1.86 relative to base case, more consistent with the observations. The gap between average simulations and 386 observations decreased from -9.84 to -2.61 μ g m⁻³ (73% decrease). For the peak values in the first, second, 387 fourth, and fifth pollution episodes, the improvements in the peak SOA concentrations were 388 approximately 30, 30, 10, and 15 µg m⁻³. Nevertheless, the overestimation of SOA occurred in the third 389 390 process, mainly due to meteorological conditions considering the fact that the observed and modeled wind directions were inconsistent during this period as shown in Fig. S1. The prevailing southerly and northeast 391 392 wind directions in the model during the third process did not bring clean air from the northwest boundary 393 to dilute the local generated SOA (Li et al., 2016, 2019). Also, higher simulated wind speeds transported more precursors with the southerly and northeast winds and caused the overestimation of SOA (see Fig. 394 S1). Correspondingly, the hourly POA simulation concentrations in the S1.1 case increased by 0.07~3.70 395 times compared to the base case, narrowing the average gap between simulations and observations from 396 -11.97 to -6.01 µg m⁻³ (50% decrease), but the high observed levels of POA were still not attained under 397 this scenario. Comparatively, the S1.2 case presented similar hourly simulation results of SOA to the S1.1 398 399 case with the enhancement by factors of $0.02 \sim 2.21$ versus the base case, while the simulated POA values were nearly 1.3 times higher than the S1.1 case, capturing most of the high observations throughout the 400 401 whole study period. Under the S1.3 scenario using different SVOCs parameters from the S1.1 case, the 402 simulation concentrations of SOA were 4% higher and POA were 61% higher than those under the S1.1 403 scenario as shown in Table 5. Based on the evaluation results, the S1.3 scenario showed the optimal 404 improvement effects, with the mean biases of 1.23% for POA and -11.68% for SOA (see Table 5). In consideration of the uncertainty ranges of CPM emissions, a series of sensitivity cases with different 405

emission ratios were conducted. Under the minimum emission scenario in the S2.1 case, the average SOA 406 and POA concentrations were 12%, and 15% lower than those in the S1.1 case, respectively. Under the 407 408 maximum emission scenario in the S3.1 case, the average SOA and POA concentrations were 14% and 19% higher than those in the S1.1 case, respectively. Thus the model can resolve 63% (54%~75%) of the 409 observed POA concentrations and 85% (75%~97%) of the observed SOA concentrations in the cases S1.1 410 (S2.1, S3.1). Then the S2.2 and S3.2 cases applied the same S/IVOCs parameters as S1.2, and also 411 displayed similar results of SOA to those in the S2.1 and S3.1 cases, respectively. Under this setting, the 412 uncertainty ranges were -13% to +13% for SOA, and -22% to +24% for POA in the S1.2 case as shown 413 414 in Table 5. For the S4.2 and S5.2 cases with the CPM emissions at 50% confidence interval, their SOA concentrations showed small changes with 5% lower in the S4.2 case and 4% higher in the S5.2 case than 415 416 the S1.2 case; similar minor sensitivity of 8% decrease (S4.2) and 7% increase (S5.2) were found for POA. To explore the contribution of each source category to SOA and POA and identify the key 417 anthropogenic sources of CPM, we conducted simulations with the different separate inputs (S6~S9) (see 418 Table 4). Results show that the CPM emissions from the IR sector made the largest contribution to the 419 420 POA and SOA increases, accounting for 59% of POA and 55% of SOA, followed by PP (26% for POA 421 and 30% for SOA) and IN sources (13% for POA and 14% for SOA). This was consistent with the differences in the CPM emissions from the above three source sectors (Fig. 2). The sensitivities of SOA 422 423 and POA to the emission ratio of organic CPM from the TR sector were very small, indicating a weak 424 impact on OA due to small contributions of transportation sources to the OA emissions in FCPM. The above results demonstrate that CPM from stationary sources was an important source for both POA and 425 SOA formations. In summary, when considering the uncertainties of organic CPM emissions, CPM can 426 be a significant contributor to OA concentrations, with the contributions of 58% (51%, 65%) to POA, 49% 427 428 (42%, 55%) to SOA, 53% (45%, 59%) to OA under the S1.1 (S2.1, S3.1) scenario, and 82% (76%, 85%) 429 to POA, 53% (45%, 58%) to SOA, 70% (63%, 75%) to OA under the S1.2 (S2.2, S3.2) scenario. The S1.3 scenario had the best improvement performance with CPM contributing 74% to POA, 51% to SOA, 430 and 63% to OA. 431

Because of the better representations of temporal variations of SOA and POA after including CPM emissions, OA simulations were correspondingly improved. To separate the effects of CPM on OA into different process contributions, we compared simulation results of these sensitivity cases as shown in Fig. 5. The OA composition contains POA, ASOA (SOA from anthropogenic VOCs), BSOA (SOA from biogenic VOCs), and SISOA (SOA from S/IVOCs). The difference between simulations and observations

decreased from 21.81 μ g m⁻³ in the base case to 8.63 μ g m⁻³ in the S1.1 case (60% decrease), with the 437 uncertainty of 11.92 μ g m⁻³ (45% decrease in S2.1) to 4.66 μ g m⁻³ (79% decrease in S3.1) relative to the 438 base case. However, these cases still underestimated the observed OA levels. The S1.2, S2.2 and S3.2 439 cases increased the contributions of CPM to OA by 14.01, 10.24, 17.92 µg m⁻³ compared to S1.1, S2.1 440 and S3.1, respectively. Notably, the average OA simulations in S1.3 were relatively close to the 441 observations, with the average CPM contributions of 19.98 μ g m⁻³ and a minor underestimation of 5.43% 442 (see Table 5). Taking OA composition into account, POA and SISOA accounted for the largest part in all 443 these scenarios. The effects of CPM were only reflected in the enhancements of POA and SISOA. These 444 results suggest that OA was sensitive to the emissions of organic CPM, so it is required to reduce emission 445 uncertainties for better simulations. To sum up, the revised simulations after the inclusion of CPM from 446 447 stationary combustion and mobile sources led to improved modeling performances of OA during the winter haze episodes, revealing a significant contribution of CPM to atmospheric OA. 448

449

450 **3.3 Effects of CPM on OA and PM2.5 concentrations**

451 To ensure the accuracy and reliability of our modeling results, further studies in other cities were 452 presented. Fig. 6 shows large contributions of CPM to OA on November 3, 2014, at Changsha and Qianyanzhou. After the inclusion of CPM effects in the S1.1, S1.2 and S1.3 cases versus the base case, 453 the simulated OA concentrations were improved by 6.28, 15.80 and 9.60 μ g m⁻³ for Changsha. 454 respectively. The simulated OA concentrations increased by 7.06, 15.28 and 10.14 μ g m⁻³ in the S1.1. 455 S1.2 and S1.3 cases versus the base case for Qianyanzhou, respectively. Comparatively, the S1.2 case 456 contributed to greater increases of OA concentrations, narrowing the simulation-observation bias from 457 79% to less than 40% for Changsha and more than 70% to less than 25% for Qianyanzhou. The remaining 458 459 bias was probably attributed to the underestimation of our estimated CPM emissions, effects of 460 meteorological factors and other missing SOA formation pathways.

The impacts of CPM on OA were studied during December 6–30, 2018, in the BTH 2+26 cities. Likewise, the improvements in daily OA simulation concentrations can be found at the four studied cities after the consideration of CPM, especially for high pollution days (Fig. 7). The modeled underestimations of OA were improved from -60.88% to -22.55%, -56.47% to -7.91%, -68.38% to -30.51%, and -62.84% to -24.99% with the inclusion of CPM emissions in the S1.1 case relative to the base case for Handan, Shijiazhuang, Xingtai and Dezhou, respectively (Table 5). The contributions of CPM emissions to total OA concentrations reached up to 49%, 53%, 54%, and 50% for Handan, Shijiazhuang, Xingtai, and

Dezhou, respectively. Under the S1.3 scenario, the OA simulations showed greater increases, and slightly 468 exceeded observation values with the mean biases of 8.00%, 37.42%, 0.81%, and 2.21% for the above 469 four cities, respectively. For example, daily OA levels in Handan increased by 5.60~57.89 µg m⁻³ after 470 including CPM effects (S1.1 versus base case). On average, the inclusion of CPM doubled the OA 471 concentrations. However, some observations were not captured, while the observed value on December 472 20 was overestimated, indicating uncertainties of the estimated organic CPM emissions. Under the S1.3 473 scenario, the average simulated OA concentrations were enhanced by 1.8 times relative to the base case, 474 with a good capture of some underestimated values in the S1.1 case. For Shijiazhuang with daily OA 475 concentrations below 80 µg m⁻³, the base case underestimated OA levels by 12~78%. After incorporating 476 the CPM emissions in the S1.1 case, the daily OA concentrations were significantly improved by factors 477 478 of 0.7~1.7. Some observed high values of OA were well captured in the S1.1 case on December 10 with the simulation of 67.75 μ g m⁻³ versus observation of 58.65 μ g m⁻³, and on December 14 and 30. Under 479 the S1.3 scenario, the daily OA levels increased by factors of 1.3~3.6 relative to the base case. Although 480 the average OA concentrations were somewhat overestimated in the S1.3 case, good agreements between 481 observations and simulations existed on some days, including December 9, 12, 13, 16-19, and 24. For 482 483 Xingtai, the simulated OA concentrations were enhanced by factors of 1.0~1.8 in the S1.1 case relative to the base case. The model can resolve 69% of average OA observations in the S1.1 case when the 484 emissions of CPM were included. The average OA simulation value was improved by 29.21 µg m⁻³ in the 485 S1.3 case compared to the base case. Then Dezhou showed similar results with the enhancement of 486 0.7~1.6 times for daily OA contributed by CPM in S1.1. Although the observed high OA concentrations 487 exceeding 80 μ g m⁻³ on December 11 and 16 were not captured in the S1.1 case, the bias between 488 simulation and observation was reduced to -21.92 and -25.63 μ g m⁻³ versus -59.17 and -52.64 μ g m⁻³ in 489 490 the base case, respectively. The underestimations of high OA levels on December 11 and 16 were resolved in the S1.3 case, and the average concentration over the whole period was very close to the observation. 491 Table S2 shows the model evaluation results for PM_{2.5} concentrations under different sensitivity 492 493 simulation cases. Dezhou was not included due to the missing data. After including the CPM emissions 494 in the S1.1 case, the model can resolve 86%, 86%, and 72% of average PM_{2.5} observations with increases in PM_{2.5} concentrations by 32%, 37%, and 38% relative to the base case for Handan, Shijiazhuang, and 495 Xingtai, respectively. PM_{2.5} simulations were further enhanced for these four cities in the S1.3 case with 496 the NMB values of 2.04%, 7.21%, and -12.08%, respectively. It was notable that the emissions of 497 inorganic components in CPM were not investigated in this study, which can cause modeling deviation. 498

499 Other factors including boundary layer height and wind can also affect the simulations. In summary, our 500 estimated CPM emissions showed a reasonable range, which can make a significant contribution to 501 atmospheric OA and PM_{2.5}.

502

503 **3.4 Regional contributions of CPM to OA and PM2.5**

The regional effects of CPM emissions on atmospheric OA and PM_{2.5} from a nationwide perspective 504 were investigated. The concentrations of POA, SOA and OA averaged over the whole study period from 505 October 14 to November 14, 2014, showed varying degrees of regional increases after incorporating CPM 506 507 emissions, mainly in central and eastern regions in China (Fig. 8). In the base case, the simulation values of POA and SOA were both lower than 14 μ g m⁻³ over China. Correspondingly, OA concentrations did 508 not exceed 22 µg m⁻³ with the maximum values distributed in the BTH region and Central China. After 509 the consideration of CPM effects in the S1.1 case relative to the base case, the concentrations of POA, 510 SOA and OA substantially increased over North China, East China, and Central China including Beijing, 511 Tianjin, Shanghai, and provinces of Liaoning, Shandong, Shanxi, Henan, Hubei, Anhui, Jiangsu, Zhejiang, 512 Hunan, Jiangxi. The most remarkable enhancement values were up to 10, 12, and 20 μ g m⁻³ for POA, 513 SOA and OA, respectively. Then under the S1.2 scenario with the same emissions as the S1.1 case but 514 different SIVOCs parameterization, substantial increases in the POA simulations by more than 16 μ g m⁻³ 515 were found for most cities in North China. East China, and Central China, with the maximum distributed 516 in the BTH region (up to 24 µg m⁻³), attributable to large amounts of emissions from industrial plants and 517 power plants in this region. The OA concentrations for many cities located in North China and East China 518 increased by more than 24 μ g m⁻³ after including CPM emissions in the S1.2 case. Since the contributions 519 of CPM to SOA in the S1.2 case were only slightly larger than those in the S1.1 case, the greater 520 521 improvements of OA in S1.2 mainly result from the POA increases. The regional increases in the POA, SOA and OA simulations in the S1.3 case were not lower than 10, 8, and 18 μ g m⁻³ for most cities in 522 North China, East China, and Central China, respectively. 523

The regional contributions of organic CPM emissions to $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations were explored in the BTH2+26 cities averaged over the period from December 6 to 30, 2018 (Fig. 9). In the base case without the CPM effects, the model comparisons against observations suggest that $PM_{2.5}$ levels were greatly underestimated in almost all cities except Tangshan (Fig. 9a). Several cities with observed $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations higher than 80 µg m⁻³ showed the greatest underestimations with simulation values under 50 µg m⁻³. Under the S1.1 scenario including CPM emissions, the simulated $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations were

substantially enhanced in almost all the studied cities, closer to the observations (Fig. 9b). The 530 contributions of CPM to PM_{2.5} were not lower than 14 μ g m⁻³ for the most cities (Fig. 9c). Under the S1.3 531 scenario, CPM made a significant contribution to $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations, more than 24 µg m⁻³ for most 532 cities (Fig. 9f). High observations for Baoding, Shijiazhuang, Xingtai, Hengshui, Dezhou and Handan 533 were well captured (Fig. 9e). The scatter plots of observed and simulated daily PM2.5 concentrations for 534 all BTH2+26 cities in Fig. 9d show obvious improvement in PM2.5 simulations after including CPM 535 emissions, with the NMB values from -32.4% in the base case to -10.6% in the S1.1 case, and then to 5.5% 536 in the S1.3 case. Nevertheless, there were still model-measurement biases for PM2.5 concentrations in 537 some cities with high observations exceeding 90 μ g m⁻³, including Baoding, Anyang, Puyang, Heze, 538 Zhengzhou and Kaifeng. The insufficient improvement of PM25 can be attributed to incomplete emission 539 540 information of inorganic components, which need further research. In addition, some heavy pollution hours were chosen to investigate the regional impacts of CPM on PM_{2.5} concentrations, including 8:00, 541 9:00, 10:00, 11:00, and 21:00 on December 15 (Fig. 10a). Besides the BTH2+26 cities, some surrounding 542 cities (Chaoyang, Chengde, Datong, Dongying, Huludao, Jinzhou, Linxi, Luoyang, Luohe, Qinhuangdao, 543 544 Qindao, Rizhao, Sanmenxia, Shangqiu, Shuozhou, Taian, Weihai, Weifang, Xinzhou, Xinyang, Yantai, 545 Zaozhuang, Zhangjiakou, Zhoukou, Zhunmadian) were also included. Results show that the underestimated PM2.5 concentrations in the base case were substantially improved after considering CPM 546 emissions in S1.1 and S1.3, especially for some high observations over 170 µg m⁻³. Better agreement 547 between simulated and observed PM_{2.5} concentrations for all these cities was achieved, with the NMB 548 values from -32.6% in the base case to -12.3% in S1.1, and to 0.6% in S1.3 (Fig. 10b). To sum up, the 549 consideration of CPM effects can improve the underestimation of regional OA and PM_{2.5} simulations to 550 a certain extent, especially during the heavy pollution periods. 551

552

553 **4 Conclusions**

In this study, we focused on emissions of condensable PM from stationary combustion and mobile sources and developed an emission inventory of organic CPM in China. Using emission inputs with and without CPM contributions, the CMAQ model was applied to simulate the impacts of CPM on atmospheric OA and $PM_{2.5}$ in China. The results show that the inclusion of CPM emissions increased annual OA emissions by a factor of 2 for both the years 2014 and 2017. The power plant, industry combustion, and steel sectors in the stationary combustion sources dominated OA emissions in the new inventory. A series of sensitivity scenarios with different emission ratios and volatility distributions show 561 that CPM contributed significantly to the improvement of hourly SOA and POA concentrations during the period from October 14 to November 14, 2014, at Beijing. The contributions of CPM were 51 ~ 85% 562 563 to POA and $42 \sim 58\%$ to SOA under these scenarios. The model comparison against observations suggests that the consideration of CPM effects improved the underestimations of simulation results and achieved 564 a good capture of peak SOA and POA values. In addition, the enhancements of daily OA levels by CPM 565 were demonstrated during December 6-30, 2018 at Handan, Shijiazhuang, Xingtai and Dezhou. 566 Compared to daily observations, the NMB values in these four cities were improved from -60.88%. 567 56.47%, -68.38%, -62.84% (the base case) to -22.55%, -7.91%, -30.51%, -24.99% (the S1.1 case) for 568 569 POA, SOA and OA, respectively. The regional contributions of CPM also narrowed the gap between simulated and observed concentrations of PM2.5 in the BTH2+26 cities. In conclusion, our estimated CPM 570 571 emissions contributed significantly to the improvements of simulation performances for both atmospheric OA and PM_{2.5}, especially during the high pollution episodes. Therefore, the CPM emissions can be 572 incorporated into chemical transport models together with FPM to improve the simulation accuracies of 573 OA and PM_{2.5}. 574

575 Our estimates of organic CPM emissions and SOA formation from CPM contained the following 576 uncertainties: (1) The construction of the organic CPM emission inventory in the present study was based on the ratios of E_{POA}(CPM) to E_{PM2.5}(FPM) derived from limited sources, instead of the actual 577 measurement data of CPM emissions from the different sources and regions over China. (2) Since there 578 579 was no explicit volatility characterization of primary organic CPM species available for incorporation into the emission inventories, the S/IVOCs emissions were scaled to the POA emissions. (3) Due to the 580 lack of relevant data, the original surrogate species of S/IVOCs and their properties in the CMAQ model 581 remained unchanged for representing the SOA formation from CPM, rather than introducing new model 582 583 species with identified parameters related to OH reaction rates, effective saturation concentration, and multigenerational aging products. Based on these limitations, it is strongly recommended that future 584 studies conduct extensive surveys of CPM emissions from various stationary combustion sources and 585 measure the actual emissions of source-specific and region-specific S/IVOCs to better constrain OA 586 587 simulations by chemical transport models.

588

589 *Data availability.* The emission data and model results are available upon request.

590 *Supplement.* The supplement related to this article is available online.

591 *Author contributions.* S.Y., P.L. conceived and designed the research. M. L. performed model simulations.

- 592 M. L., X. C., Y. Z., and Z. L. conducted data analysis. Z. S., W. L., X. Z, B. N. M., K. A., R. M., D. R.,
- and J. H. S contributed to the scientific discussions. M. Z, Y. S., Z. L., and C. S. provided observation data. S. W. provided the Abacas emission data. S. Y., M. L, P. L., and J. H. S wrote and revised the manuscript.
- 596 *Competing interests.* The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 597 *Disclaimer.* The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent
 598 the views or policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- 599 *Acknowledgements.* The authors would like to thank Comprehensive data collection and sharing platform
- 600 for atmospheric environmental science (https://napcdata.craes.cn), and CERN Atmospheric Science
- Branch of the Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences for providing OC
 measurement data.
- 603 *Financial support.* This study is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.
- 604 42175084, 21577126, 41561144004, and 92044302), Department of Science and Technology of China
- 605 (No. 2018YFC0213506 and 2018YFC0213503), and National Research Program for Key Issues in Air
- 606 Pollution Control in China (No. DQGG0107). Pengfei Li is supported by National Natural Science
- 607 Foundation of China (No. 22006030), Initiation Fund for Introducing Talents of Hebei Agricultural
- 608 University (412201904), and Hebei Youth Top Fund (BJ2020032).

609 **References**

- Ansari, T. U., Wild, O., Li, J., Yang, T., Xu, W., Sun, Y. and Wang, Z.: Effectiveness of short-term air quality emission
 controls: a high-resolution model study of Beijing during the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) summit
 period, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19(13), 8651–8668, doi:10.5194/acp-19-8651-2019, 2019.
- Appel, K. W., Bash, J. O., Fahey, K. M., Foley, K. M., Gilliam, R. C., Hogrefe, C., Hutzell, W. T., Kang, D., Mathur, R.,
 Murphy, B. N., Napelenok, S. L., Nolte, C. G., Pleim, J. E., Pouliot, G. A., Pye, H. O. T., Ran, L., Roselle, S. J.,
 Sarwar, G., Schwede, D. B., Sidi, F. I., Spero, T. L. and Wong, D. C.: The Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ)
- model versions 5.3 and 5.3.1: System updates and evaluation, Geosci. Model Dev., 14(5), 2867–2897,
 doi:10.5194/gmd-14-2867-2021, 2021.
- Carlton, A. G. and Baker, K. R.: Photochemical modeling of the ozark isoprene volcano: MEGAN, BEIS, and their
 impacts on air quality predictions, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45(10), 4438–4445, doi:10.1021/es200050x, 2011.
- Carlton, A. G., Wiedinmyer, C. and Kroll, J. H.: A review of Secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation from isoprene,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9(14), 4987–5005, doi:10.5194/acp-9-4987-2009, 2009.
- Chen, L., Zhu, J., Liao, H., Gao, Y., Qiu, Y., Zhang, M., Liu, Z., Li, N. and Wang, Y.: Assessing the formation and
 evolution mechanisms of severe haze pollution in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region using process analysis, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 19(16), 10845–10864, doi:10.5194/acp-19-10845-2019, 2019.
- Choi, Y. J. and Fernando, H. J. S.: Implementation of a windblown dust parameterization into MODELS-3/CMAQ:
 Application to episodic PM events in the US/Mexico border, Atmos. Environ., 42(24), 6039–6046,
 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.03.038, 2008.
- 628 Corio, L.A., Sherwell, J.: In-stack condensible particulate matter measurements and issues. J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc.

629 50, 207–218, 2000.

- Donahue, N. M., Robinson, A. L., Stanier, C. O., and Pandis, S. N.: Coupled partitioning, dilution, and chemical aging of
 semivolatile organics, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 2635–2643, https://doi.org/10.1021/es052297c, 2006.
- Donahue, N. M., Epstein, S. A., Pandis, S. N., and Robinson, A. L.: A two-dimensional volatility basis set: 1. organicaerosol mixing thermodynamics, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 3303–3318, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-3303-2011, 2011.
- bong, Z., Wang, S., Xing, J., Chang, X., Ding, D. and Zheng, H.: Regional transport in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region and
 its changes during 2014–2017: The impacts of meteorology and emission reduction, Sci. Total Environ., 737, 139792,
 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139792, 2020.
- EPA, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Method 202 A Dry Impinger Method for Determining
 Condensable Particulate Emissions from Stationary Sources, 2017.
- Feng, Y., Li, Y., Cui, L.: Critical review of condensable particulate matter, Fuel, 224, 801–813, 2018.
- Feng, Y., Li, Y., Zhang, X., Su, S., Zhang, Z., Gan, Z. and Dong, Y.: Comparative study on the characteristics of
 condensable particulate matter emitted from three kinds of coal, Environ. Pollut., 270, 116267,
 doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2020.116267, 2021.
- Fu, T. M., Cao, J. J., Zhang, X. Y., Lee, S. C., Zhang, Q., Han, Y. M., Qu, W. J., Han, Z., Zhang, R., Wang, Y. X., Chen,
 D. and Henze, D. K.: Carbonaceous aerosols in China: Top-down constraints on primary sources and estimation of
 secondary contribution, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12(5), 2725–2746, doi:10.5194/acp-12-2725-2012, 2012.
- Fuzzi, S., Andreae, M. O., Huebert, B. J., Kulmala, M., Bond, T. C., Boy, M., Doherty, S. J., Guenther, A., Kanakidou,
 M., Kawamura, K., Kerminen, V. M., Lohmann, U., Russell, L. M. and Pöschl, U.: Critical assessment of the current
 state of scientific knowledge, terminology, and research needs concerning the role of organic aerosols in the
 atmosphere, climate, and global change, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6(7), 2017–2038, doi:10.5194/acp-6-2017-2006, 2006.
- Gao, M., Carmichael, G. R., Wang, Y., Saide, P. E., Yu, M., Xin, J., Liu, Z. and Wang, Z.: Modeling study of the 2010
 regional haze event in the North China Plain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(3), 1673–1691, doi:10.5194/acp-16-1673-2016,
 2016.
- Gehring, U., Gruzieva, O., Agius, R. M., Beelen, R., Custovic, A., Cyrys, J., Eeftens, M., Flexeder, C., Fuertes, E.,
 Heinrich, J., Hoffmann, B., de Jongste, J. C., Kerkhof, M., Klümper, C., Korek, M., Mölter, A., Schultz, E. S., Simpson,
 A., Sugiri, D., Svartengren, M., von Berg, A., Wijga, A. H., Pershagen, G. and Brunekreef, B.: Air pollution exposure
 and lung function in children: The ESCAPE project, Environ. Health Perspect., 121(11–12), 1357–1364,
 doi:10.1289/ehp.1306770, 2013.
- Van Der Gon, H. A. C. D., Bergström, R., Fountoukis, C., Johansson, C., Pandis, S. N., Simpson, D. and Visschedijk, A. J.
 H.: Particulate emissions from residential wood combustion in Europe revised estimates and an evaluation, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 15(11), 6503–6519, doi:10.5194/acp-15-6503-2015, 2015.
- Grieshop, A. P., Logue, J. M., Donahue, N. M. and Robinson, A. L.: Laboratory investigation of photochemical oxidation
 of organic aerosol from wood fires 1: measurement and simulation of organic aerosol evolution, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
 9, 1263–1277, 2009.
- Han, Z., Xie, Z., Wang, G., Zhang, R. and Tao, J.: Modeling organic aerosols over east China using a volatility basis-set
 approach with aging mechanism in a regional air quality model, Atmos. Environ., 124, 186–198,
 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.05.045, 2016.
- Hayes, P. L., Carlton, A. G., Baker, K. R., Ahmadov, R., Washenfelder, R. A., Alvarez, S., Rappenglück, B., Gilman, J.
 B., Kuster, W. C., De Gouw, J. A., Zotter, P., Pr év ât, A. S. H., Szidat, S., Kleindienst, T. E., Offenberg, J. H., Ma, P. K.
 and Jimenez, J. L.: Modeling the formation and aging of secondary organic aerosols in Los Angeles during CalNex
 2010, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(10), 5773–5801, doi:10.5194/acp-15-5773-2015, 2015.
- He, X., Wang, Q., Huang, X. H. H., Huang, D. D., Zhou, M., Qiao, L., Zhu, S., Ma, Y. ge, Wang, H. li, Li, L., Huang, C.,
 Xu, W., Worsnop, D. R., Goldstein, A. H. and Yu, J. Z.: Hourly measurements of organic molecular markers in urban
 Shanghai, China: Observation of enhanced formation of secondary organic aerosol during particulate matter episodic
 periods, Atmos. Environ., 240, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117807, 2020.
- Hu, Y., Feng, Y., Wang, C., Ma, Z. and Jiang, T.: Studies on Monitoring Method of Condensable Particulate and Water-

- 676 soluble Ions in Fumes from Coal Fired Boilers, Environ. Monit. Manag. Technol., 28(1), 41–45, 2016.
- Huang, R. J., Zhang, Y., Bozzetti, C., Ho, K. F., Cao, J. J., Han, Y., Daellenbach, K. R., Slowik, J. G., Platt, S. M.,
- Canonaco, F., Zotter, P., Wolf, R., Pieber, S. M., Bruns, E. A., Crippa, M., Ciarelli, G., Piazzalunga, A., Schwikowski,
 M., Abbaszade, G., Schnelle-Kreis, J., Zimmermann, R., An, Z., Szidat, S., Baltensperger, U., El Haddad, I. and Pr év ôt,
 A. S. H.: High secondary aerosol contribution to particulate pollution during haze events in China, Nature, 514(7521),
 218–222, doi:10.1038/nature13774, 2015.
- Huang, X., Ding, A., Gao, J., Zheng, B., Zhou, D., Qi, X., Tang, R., Wang, J., Ren, C., Nie, W., Chi, X., Xu, Z., Chen, L.,
 Li, Y., Che, F., Pang, N., Wang, H., Tong, D., Qin, W., Cheng, W., Liu, W., Fu, Q., Liu, B., Chai, F., Davis, S. J.,
 Zhang, Q. and He, K.: Enhanced secondary pollution offset reduction of primary emissions during COVID-19

685 lockdown in China, Natl. Sci. Rev., 8(2), doi:10.1093/nsr/nwaa137, 2021.

- Jathar, S. H., Woody, M., Pye, H. O. T., Baker, K. R. and Robinson, A. L.: Chemical transport model simulations of
 organic aerosol in southern California: Model evaluation and gasoline and diesel source contributions, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 17(6), 4305–4318, doi:10.5194/acp-17-4305-2017, 2017.
- Kanakidou, M., Seinfeld, J. H., Pandis, S. N., Barnes, I., Dentener, F. J., Facchini, M. C., Van Dingenen, R., Ervens, B.,
 Nenes, A., Nielsen, C. J., Swietlicki, E., Putaud, J. P., Balkanski, Y., Fuzzi, S., Horth, J., Moortgat, G. K., Winterhalter,
 R., Myhre, C. E. L., Tsigaridis, K., Vignati, E., Stephanou, E. G. and Wilson, J.: Organic aerosol and global climate
 modelling: A review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5(4), 1053–1123, doi:10.5194/acp-5-1053-2005, 2005.
- Kroll, J. H. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Chemistry of secondary organic aerosol: Formation and evolution of low-volatility
 organics in the atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 42(16), 3593–3624, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.01.003, 2008.
- Lane, T. E., Donahue, N. M. and Pandis, S. N.: Simulating secondary organic aerosol formation using the volatility basisset approach in a chemical transport model, Atmos. Environ., 42(32), 7439–7451, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.06.026,
 2008.
- Li, P. F., Yan, R. C., Yu, S. C., Wang, S., Liu, W. P., and Bao, H. M.: Reinstate regional transport of PM2.5 as a major
 cause of severe haze in Beijing, Proc Natl Acad Sci USA (PNAS), 112(21), E2739–E2740.
 doi:10.1073/pnas.1502596112., 2015.
- Li, H., Zhang, Q., Zhang, Q., Chen, C., Wang, L., Wei, Z., Zhou, S., Parworth, C., Zheng, B., Canonaco, F., Pr év α̂, A. S.
 H., Chen, P., Zhang, H., Wallington, T. J. and He, K.: Wintertime aerosol chemistry and haze evolution in an extremely
 polluted city of the North China Plain: Significant contribution from coal and biomass combustion, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 17(7), 4751–4768, doi:10.5194/acp-17-4751-2017, 2017a.
- Li, J., Zhang, M., Wu, F., Sun, Y. and Tang, G.: Assessment of the impacts of aromatic VOC emissions and yields of SOA
 on SOA concentrations with the air quality model RAMS-CMAQ, Atmos. Environ., 158, 105–115,
 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.03.035, 2017b.
- Li, J., Qi, Z., Li, M., Wu, D., Zhou, C., Lu, S., Yan, J. and Li, X.: Physical and Chemical Characteristics of Condensable
 Particulate Matter from an Ultralow-Emission Coal-Fired Power Plant, Energy and Fuels, 31(2), 1778–1785,
 doi:10.1021/acs.energyfuels.6b02919, 2017c.
- Li, J., Li, X., Zhou, C., Li, M., Lu, S., Yan, J. and Qi, Z.: Study on the Influencing Factors of the Distribution
 Characteristics of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Condensable Particulate Matter, Energy and Fuels, 31(12),
 13233–13238, doi:10.1021/acs.energyfuels.7b01991, 2017d.
- Li, J.: Experimental study on emission characteristics of condensable particulate matter and typical organic pollutants in
 coal-fired flue gas., Ph.D. thesis, School of Energy Engineering, Zhejiang University, China, 164 pp., 2018.
- Li, X., Qiao, Y., Zhu, J., Shi, L. and Wang, Y.: The "APEC blue" endeavor: Causal effects of air pollution regulation on
 air quality in China, J. Clean. Prod., 168, 1381–1388, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.08.164, 2017e.
- Li, Y., Ye, C., Liu, J., Zhu, Y., Wang, J., Tan, Z., Lin, W., Zeng, L. and Zhu, T.: Observation of regional air pollutant
 transport between the megacity Beijing and the North China Plain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(22), 14265–14283,
 doi:10.5194/acp-16-14265-2016, 2016.
- Li, X., Zhou, C., Li, J., Lu, S. and Yan, J.: Distribution and emission characteristics of filterable and condensable
 particulate matter before and after a low-low temperature electrostatic precipitator, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 26(13),

- 723 12798–12806, doi:10.1007/s11356-019-04570-y, 2019.
- Li, Y., Tan, Z., Ye, C., Wang, J., Wang, Y., Zhu, Y., Liang, P., Chen, X., Fang, Y., Han, Y., Wang, Q., He, D., Wang, Y.
 and Zhu, T.: Using wavelet transform to analyse on-road mobile measurements of air pollutants: A case study to
 evaluate vehicle emission control policies during the 2014 APEC summit, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19(22), 13841–13857,
 doi:10.5194/acp-19-13841-2019, 2019.
- Liang, P., Zhu, T., Fang, Y., Li, Y., Han, Y., Wu, Y., Hu, M. and Wang, J.: The role of meteorological conditions and
 pollution control strategies in reducing air pollution in Beijing during APEC 2014 and Victory Parade 2015, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 17(22), 13921–13940, doi:10.5194/acp-17-13921-2017, 2017.
- Lin, C. Q., Liu, G., Lau, A. K. H., Li, Y., Li, C. C., Fung, J. C. H. and Lao, X. Q.: High-resolution satellite remote sensing
 of provincial PM_{2.5} trends in China from 2001 to 2015, Atmos. Environ., 180, 110–116,
 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.02.045, 2018.
- Liu, Z., Gao, W., Yu, Y., Hu, B., Xin, J., Sun, Y., Wang, L., Wang, G., Bi, X., Zhang, G., Xu, H., Cong, Z., He, J., Xu, J.,
 and Wang, Y.: Characteristics of PM_{2.5} mass concentrations and chemical species in urban and background areas of
 china: Emerging results from the CARE-china network, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18(12), 8849-8871, doi:10.5194/acp-188849-2018, 2018.
- Lu, Q., Zhao, Y., and Robinson, A. L.: Comprehensive organic emission profiles for gasoline, diesel, and gas-turbine
 engines including intermediate and semi-volatile organic compound emissions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18(23), 1763717654, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-17637-2018, 2018.
- Lu, C. M., Dat, N. D., Lien, C. K., Chi, K. H. and Chang, M. B.: Characteristics of Fine Particulate Matter and Polycyclic
 Aromatic Hydrocarbons Emitted from Coal Combustion Processes, Energy and Fuels, 33(10), 10247–10254,
 doi:10.1021/acs.energyfuels.9b02201, 2019.
- Lu, Q., N. Murphy, B., Qin, M., J. Adams, P., Zhao, Y., O. T. Pye, H., Efstathiou, C., Allen, C. and L. Robinson, A.:
 Simulation of organic aerosol formation during the CalNex study: Updated mobile emissions and secondary organic
 aerosol parameterization for intermediate-volatility organic compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20(7), 4313–4332,
 doi:10.5194/acp-20-4313-2020, 2020.
- Morino, Y., Chatani, S., Tanabe, K., Fujitani, Y., Morikawa, T., Takahashi, K., Sato, K. and Sugata, S.: Contributions of
 Condensable Particulate Matter to Atmospheric Organic Aerosol over Japan, Environ. Sci. Technol., 52(15), 8456–
 8466, doi:10.1021/acs.est.8b01285, 2018.
- Murphy, B. N. and Pandis, S. N.: Simulating the formation of semivolatile primary and secondary organic aerosol in a
 regional chemical transport model, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43(13), 4722–4728, doi:10.1021/es803168a, 2009.
- Murphy, B. N., Woody, M. C., Jimenez, J. L., Carlton, A. M. G., Hayes, P. L., Liu, S., Ng, N. L., Russell, L. M., Setyan,
 A., Xu, L., Young, J., Zaveri, R. A., Zhang, Q. and Pye, H. O. T.: Semivolatile POA and parameterized total
 combustion SOA in CMAQv5.2: Impacts on source strength and partitioning, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(18), 11107–
 11133, doi:10.5194/ACP-17-11107-2017, 2017.
- Murphy, B. N., Nolte, C. G., Sidi, F., Bash, J. O., Appel, K. W., Jang, C., Kang, D., Kelly, J., Mathur, R., Napelenok, S.,
 Pouliot, G. and Pye, H. O. T.: The detailed emissions scaling, isolation, and diagnostic (DESID) module in the
 Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) modeling system version 5.3.2, Geosci. Model Dev., 14(6), 3407–3420,
 doi:10.5194/gmd-14-3407-2021, 2021.
- Odum, J. R., Hoffmann, T., Bowman, F., Collins, D., Flagan, R. C. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Gas/Particle Partitioning and
 Secondary Organic Aerosol Yields, Environ. Sci. Technol., 30, 2580–2585, doi:10.1021/ES950943+, 1996.
- Pankow, J. F.: An absorption model of gas/particle partitioning of organic compounds in the atmosphere, Atmos. Environ.,
 28(2), 185–188, doi:10.1016/1352-2310(94)90093-0, 1994.
- Pei, B.: Determination and emission of condensable particulate matter from coal-fired power plants, Huanjing
 Kexue/Environmental Sci., 36(5), 1544–1549, doi:10.13227/j.hjkx.2015.05.005, 2015.
- Pennington, E., Seltzer, K., Murphy, B., Qin, M., Seinfeld, J. and Pye, H.: Modeling secondary organic aerosol formation
 from volatile chemical products, Atmos. Chem. Phys., (July), 1–26, doi:10.5194/acp-2021-547, 2021.
- Pope, C. A., Burnett, R. T., Thun, M. J., Calle, E. E., Krewski, D., Ito, K. and Thurston, G. D.: Lung cancer,

- cardiopulmonary mortality, and long-term exposure to fine particulate air pollution, J. Am. Med. Assoc., 287(9), 1132–
 1141, doi:10.1001/jama.287.9.1132, 2002.
- Pye, H. O. T., Pinder, R. W., Piletic, I. R., Xie, Y., Capps, S. L., Lin, Y., Surratt, J. D., Zhang, Z., Gold, A., Luecken, D. J.,
 Hutzell, W. T., Jaoui, M., Offenberg, J. H., Kleindienst, T. E., Lewandowski, M., and Edney, E. O.: Epoxide pathways
 improve model predictions of isoprene markers and reveal key role of acidity in aerosol formation. Environmental
 Science & Technology, 47(19), 11056-11064, https://doi.org/10.1021/es402106h, 2013.
- Pye, H. O. T., Murphy, B. N., Xu, L., Ng, N. L., Carlton, A. G., Guo, H., Weber, R., Vasilakos, P., Appel, K. W.,
 Budisulistiorini, S. H., Surratt, J. D., Nenes, A., Hu, W., Jimenez, J. L., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Misztal, P. K., and
 Goldstein, A. H.: On the implications of aerosol liquid water and phase separation for organic aerosol mass, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 17, 343–369, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-343-2017, 2017.
- Qi, Z., Li, J., Wu, D., Xie, W., Li, X. and Liu, C.: Particulate Matter Emission Characteristics and Removal Efficiencies of
 a Low-Low Temperature Electrostatic Precipitator, Energy and Fuels, 31(2), 1741–1746,
 doi:10.1021/acs.energyfuels.6b02692, 2017.
- Qin, M., Murphy, B. N., Isaacs, K. K., McDonald, B. C., Lu, Q., McKeen, S. A., Koval, L., Robinson, A. L., Efstathiou,
 C., Allen, C. and Pye, H. O. T.: Criteria pollutant impacts of volatile chemical products informed by near-field
 modelling, Nat. Sustain., 4(2), 129–137, doi:10.1038/s41893-020-00614-1, 2021.
- Robinson, A. L., Donahue, N. M., Shrivastava, M. K., Weitkamp, E. A., Sage, A. M., Grieshop, A. P., Lane, T. E., Pierce,
 J. R. and Pandis, S. N.: Rethinking organic aerosols: Semivolatile emissions and photochemical aging, Science (80-.).,
 315(5816), 1259–1262, doi:10.1126/science.1133061, 2007.
- Shrivastava, M., Fast, J., Easter, R., Gustafson, W. I., Zaveri, R. A., Jimenez, J. L., Saide, P. and Hodzic, A.: Modeling
 organic aerosols in a megacity: Comparison of simple and complex representations of the volatility basis set approach,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11(13), 6639–6662, doi:10.5194/acp-11-6639-2011, 2011.
- Shrivastava, M. K., Lane, T. E., Donahue, N. M., Pandis, S. N. and Robinson, A. L.: Effects of gas particle partitioning
 and aging of primary emissions on urban and regional organic aerosol concentrations, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.,
 113(18), doi:10.1029/2007JD009735, 2008.
- Simon, H., Bhave, P. V., Swall, J. L., Frank, N. H., and Malm, W. C.: Determining the spatial and seasonal variability in
 OM/OC ratios across the US using multiple regression. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11(6), 2933–2949,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-2933-2011, 2011.
- Song, J., Lu, S., Wu, Y., Zhou, C., Li, X. and Li, J.: Migration and distribution characteristics of organic and inorganic
 fractions in condensable particulate matter emitted from an ultralow emission coal-fired power plant, Chemosphere,
 243, 125346, doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125346, 2020.
- Sun, Y., Du, W., Wang, Q., Zhang, Q., Chen, C., Chen, Y., Chen, Z., Fu, P., Wang, Z., Gao, Z. and Worsnop, D. R.: RealTime Characterization of Aerosol Particle Composition above the Urban Canopy in Beijing: Insights into the
 Interactions between the Atmospheric Boundary Layer and Aerosol Chemistry, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49(19), 11340–
 11347, doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b02373, 2015.
- Tang, L., Qu, J. B., Mi, Z. F., Bo, X., Chang, X. Y., Anadon, L. D., Wang, S. Y., Xue, X. D., Li, S. B., Wang, X., and
 Zhao, X. H.: Substantial emission reductions from Chinese power plants after the introduction of ultra-low emissions
 standards, Nat. Energy, 4, 929–938, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-019-0468-1, 2019.
- Veld, M. in t., Alastuey, A., Pandolfi, M., Amato, F., Pérez, N., Reche, C., Via, M., Minguillón, M. C., Escudero, M. and
 Querol, X.: Compositional changes of PM2.5 in NE Spain during 2009–2018: A trend analysis of the chemical
 composition and source apportionment, Sci. Total Environ., 795, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148728, 2021.
- Wang, G., Deng, J., Ma, Z., Hao, J. and Jiang, J.: Characteristics of filterable and condensable particulate matter emitted
 from two waste incineration power plants in China, Sci. Total Environ., 639, 695–704,
 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.105, 2018.
- Wang, G., Deng, J., Zhang, Y., Li, Y., Ma, Z., Hao, J. and Jiang, J.: Evaluating Airborne Condensable Particulate Matter
 Measurement Methods in Typical Stationary Sources in China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54(3), 1363–1371,
- 816 doi:10.1021/acs.est.9b05282, 2020a.

- Wang, K., Yang, L., Li, J., Sheng, Z., He, Q. and Wu, K.: Characteristics of condensable particulate matter before and
 after wet flue gas desulfurization and wet electrostatic precipitator from ultra-low emission coal-fired power plants in
 China, Fuel, 278(June), 118206, doi:10.1016/j.fuel.2020.118206, 2020b.
- Wang, L. Q., Chen, X., Zhang, Y. B., Li, M. Y., Li, P. F., Jiang, L. H., Xia, Y., Li, Z., Li, J. L., Wang L., Hou, T. Y., Liu
 W. P., Rosenfeld D., Zhu T., Zhang Y. H., Chen J. M., Wang S. X., Huang Y. L., Seinfeld, J. H., and Yu, S. C.:
 Switching to electric vehicles can lead to significant reductions of PM_{2.5} and NO₂ across China, One Earth, 4, 1037–
 1048, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2021.06.008, 2021.
- Wang, L. Q., Li, M. Y., Yu, S. C., Chen, X., Li, Z., Zhang, Y. B., Jiang, L. H., Xia, Y., Li, J. L., Liu W. P., Li, P. F., Eric,
 L., Rosenfeld, D., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Unexpected rises of ozone in urban and rural areas and sulfur dioxide in rural
 areas during the coronavirus city lockdown in Hangzhou, China: Implications for air quality, Environ. Chem. Lett.,
 18:1713–1723, doi: 10.1007/s10311-020-01028-3, 2020c.
- Wen, W., Cheng, S., Chen, X., Wang, G., Li, S., Wang, X., and Liu, X.: Impact of emission control on PM and the
 chemical composition change in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei during the APEC summit 2014, Environ. Sci. Pollut. R., 23,
 4509–4521, doi: 10.1007/s11356-015-5379-5, 2016.
- Wu, B., Bai, X., Liu, W., Lin, S., Liu, S., Luo, L., Guo, Z., Zhao, S., Lv, Y., Zhu, C., Hao, Y., Liu, Y., Hao, J., Duan, L.
 and Tian, H.: Non-Negligible Stack Emissions of Noncriteria Air Pollutants from Coal-Fired Power Plants in China:
 Condensable Particulate Matter and Sulfur Trioxide, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54(11), 6540–6550,
 doi:10.1021/acs.est.0c00297, 2020.
- Wu, L., Wang, X., Lu, S., Shao, M. and Ling, Z.: Emission inventory of semi-volatile and intermediate-volatility organic
 compounds and their effects on secondary organic aerosol over the Pearl River Delta region, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
 19(12), 8141–8161, doi:10.5194/acp-19-8141-2019, 2019.
- Wu, Y., Wang, P., Yu, S., Wang, L., Li, P., Li, Z., Mehmood, K., Liu, W., Wu, J., Lichtfouse, E., Rosenfeld, D. and
 Seinfeld, J. H.: Residential emissions predicted as a major source of fine particulate matter in winter over the Yangtze
 River Delta, China, Environ. Chem. Lett., 16(3), 1117–1127, doi:10.1007/S10311-018-0735-6/TABLES/3, 2018.
- Xu, L., Pye, H. O. T., He, J., Chen, Y., Murphy, B. N., and Ng, N. L.: Experimental and model estimates of the
 contributions from biogenic monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes to secondary organic aerosol in the southeastern United
 States, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 12613–12637, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-12613-2018, 2018.
- Xu, W. Q., Sun, Y. L., Chen, C., Du, W., Han, T. T., Wang, Q. Q., Fu, P. Q., Wang, Z. F., Zhao, X. J., Zhou, L. B., Ji, D.
 S., Wang, P. C. and Worsnop, D. R.: Aerosol composition, oxidation properties, and sources in Beijing: Results from
 the 2014 Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation summit study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(23), 13681–13698,
 doi:10.5194/acp-15-13681-2015, 2015.
- Yang, F., Li, Z., Liu, H., Feng, P., Tan, H., Zhang, S. and Lu, X.: Emission characteristics of condensable particulate
 matter and sulfur trioxide from coal-fired power plants, J. Energy Inst., 94, 146–156, doi:10.1016/j.joei.2020.12.003,
 2021.
- Yang, H. H., Kuei-Ting Lee, Hsieh, Y.-S., Luo, S.-W. and Li, M.-S.: Filterable and Condensable Fine Particulate
 Emissions from Stationary Sources, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 14, 2010–2016, doi:10.4209/aaqr.2014.08.0175, 2014.
- Yang, H. H., Arafath, S. M., Lee, K. T., Hsieh, Y. S. and Han, Y. Te: Chemical characteristics of filterable and
 condensable PM_{2.5} emissions from industrial boilers with five different fuels, Fuel, 232(168), 415–422,
 doi:10.1016/j.fuel.2018.05.080, 2018a.
- Yang, H. H., Arafath, S. M., Wang, Y. F., Wu, J. Y., Lee, K. T. and Hsieh, Y. S.: Comparison of Coal- and Oil-Fired
 Boilers through the Investigation of Filterable and Condensable PM2.5 Sample Analysis, Energy and Fuels, 32(3),
 2993–3002, doi:10.1021/acs.energyfuels.7b03541, 2018b.
- Yu, S., Mathur, R., Pleim, J., Wong, D., Gilliam, R., Alapaty, K., Zhao, C. and Liu, X.: Aerosol indirect effect on the gridscale clouds in the two-way coupled WRF-CMAQ: Model description, development, evaluation and regional analysis,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14(20), 11247–11285, doi:10.5194/acp-14-11247-2014, 2014.
- Zhang, Y., Tang, L., Croteau, P. L., Favez, O., Sun, Y., Canagaratna, M. R., Wang, Z., Couvidat, F., Albinet, A., Zhang,
 H., Sciare, J., Pr év ôt, A. S. H., Jayne, J. T. and Worsnop, D. R.: Field characterization of the PM2.5 Aerosol Chemical

- Speciation Monitor: Insights into the composition, sources, and processes of fine particles in eastern China, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 17(23), 14501–14517, doi:10.5194/acp-17-14501-2017, 2017.
- Zhang, Y., Chen, X., Yu, S., Wang, L., Li, Z., Li, M., Liu, W., Li, P., Rosenfeld, D. and Seinfeld, J. H.: City-level air
 quality improvement in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region from 2016/17 to 2017/18 heating seasons: Attributions and
 process analysis, Environ. Pollut., 274, 116523, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2021.116523, 2021.
- Zhao, B., Wang, S., Donahue, N. M., Jathar, S. H., Huang, X., Wu, W., Hao, J., and Robinson, A. L.: Quantifying the
 effect of organic aerosol aging and intermediate-volatility emissions on regional-scale aerosol pollution in China, Sci.
 Rep., 6, 28815, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28815, 2016.
- Zhao, B., Wu, W., Wang, S., Xing, J., Chang, X., Liou, K. N., Jiang, J. H., Gu, Y., Jang, C., Fu, J. S., Zhu, Y., Wang, J.,
 Lin, Y. and Hao, J.: A modeling study of the nonlinear response of fine particles to air pollutant emissions in the
 Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(19), 12031–12050, doi:10.5194/acp-17-12031-2017, 2017.
- Zhao, Y., Nguyen, N. T., Presto, A. A., Hennigan, C. J., May, A. A. and Robinson, A. L.: Intermediate Volatility Organic
 Compound Emissions from On-Road Diesel Vehicles: Chemical Composition, Emission Factors, and Estimated
 Secondary Organic Aerosol Production, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49(19), 11516–11526, doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b02841,
 2015.
- Zheng, C., Hong, Y., Liu, S., Yang, Z., Chang, Q., Zhang, Y., and Gao, X.: Removal and emission characteristics of
 condensable particulate matter in an ultralow emission power plant, Energy & Fuels, 32(10), 10586-10594,
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.8b02464, 2018.
- Zheng, H., Cai, S., Wang, S., Zhao, B., Chang, X. and Hao, J.: Development of a unit-based industrial emission inventory
 in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region and resulting improvement in air quality modeling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19(6),
 3447–3462, doi:10.5194/acp-19-3447-2019, 2019.
- Zhou, C.: Experimental study on emission and distribution characteristics of organic pollutants in condensable particulate
 matter in coal-fired flue gas., Master thesis, School of Energy Engineering, Zhejiang University, China, 82 pp., 2019.

910 Table 1 Definitions of some acronyms used in this study.

911	q	1	1

Acronyms	Definitions
FPM	Primary-emitted filterable particulate matter which is in liquid or solid phases in flue
СРМ	Primary-emitted condensable particulate matter which is in gas phase at flue gas
_	temperature but condenses or reacts in the ambient air to form solid or liquid PM
OM (CPM)	Organic matter measured in CPM
OM _{si} (CPM)	Organic matter in CPM which is semi-volatile (SVOCs, $10^0 \le C^* \le 10^3 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$), or has
	intermediate volatility (IVOCs, $10^3 \le C^* \le 10^6 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$) are combined as OM _{si} (CPM)
OM (<i>C</i> *≤100)	Organic matter with the saturation concentrations (C^*) below 100 µg m ⁻³
SVOCs	Primary-emitted semi-volatile organic compounds
IVOCs	Primary-emitted intermediate-volatility organic compounds
S/IVOCs	SVOCs + IVOCs
POA	Atmospheric organic aerosol from primary-emitted organic matter or formed by
	condensation of organic vapors before photochemical reactions
SOA	Atmospheric secondary organic aerosol generated by photochemical reactions and
	condensation of organic vapors after photochemical reactions
ASOA	SOA generated by photochemical oxidations of anthropogenic volatile organic compounds
BSOA	SOA generated by photochemical oxidations of biogenic volatile organic compounds
SISOA	SOA generated by photochemical oxidations of primary S/IVOCs
OA	POA + SOA

Table 2 List of the ratios of the emission rates of OM in condensable particulate matter (CPM)
 (E_{OM}(CPM)) to those of PM_{2.5} in filterable particulate matter (FPM) (E_{PM2.5}(FPM)) from stationary
 combustion sources based on the collected references.

mathad			E _{OM} (C	C		
method	emission sources	number	[Min, Max]	Mean \pm SD	median	references
	coal-fired power plant	30	[0.01, 25.4]	6.87 ± 7.25	3.99	Li et al. (2017c, 2017d); Li (2018); Li et al. (2019); Lu et al. (2019); Pei (2015); Qi et al. (2017); Song et al. (2020); Wang et al. (2020b); Wu et al. (2020b); Wu et al. (2020); Yang et al. (2014, 2018b); Yang et al.(2021); Zhou (2019)
cooling method (EPA 202)	waste incineration power plant	2	[1.64, 4.95]	3.29 ± 1.65	3.29	Wang et al. (2018)
	industrial coal-fired boiler	6	[0.14, 1.03]	0.58 ± 0.34	0.50	Lu et al. (2019) Yang et al. (2014, 2018a, 2018b)
	heavy oil-fired boiler	4	[0.28, 2.49]	1.62 ± 0.88	1.85	Yang et al. (2018a, 2018b)
	wood-fired boiler	1		0.03		,
	natural gas-fired boiler	1		6.67		Yang et al. (2018a)
	diesel-fired boiler	1		15.84		
	iron and steel plants	5	[0.32, 7.22]	3.35 ± 2.21	3.00	Yang et al. (2014, 2015)
	incinerator	1		0.12		Yang et al. (2014)
dilution method	iron and steel coking	1		0.416		Zhang et al. (2020)

Table 3 Probabilistic distributions with uncertainty ranges in the ratio of $E_{OM}(CPM)$ to $E_{PM2.5}(FPM)$ (95% confidence interval). Para1 represents the mean for normal, and the mean of ln(x) for lognormal. Para2 represents the standard deviation for normal, and the standard deviation of ln(x) for lognormal. Mean represents the mean for emission ratios of each source category derived from the statistical bootstrap simulation.

959							
	Input parameters	Emission sources	Distribution type	Para1	Para2	Mean	Uncertainty ranges (95% confidence level)
/		Power plant	lognormal	1.07	0.93	4.12	(3.10, 5.29)
	E _{OM} (CPM) /E _{DM2} 5(FPM)	Industry combustion	lognormal	-0.47	1.43	1.38	(0.62, 2.44)
	/ DPM2.5(1 1 101)	Steel	normal	2.80	1.98	2.80	(0.92, 4.50)
	Total						(-27%, 28%)

	Total	(-27%, 28%)
960		
961		
962		
963		
964		
965		
966		
967		
968		
969		
970		
971		
972		
973		
974		
975		
976		
977		
978		
979		
980		
981		
982		
983		
984 005		
985		
980		
987		
000 900		
909		
990 QQ1		
000 99T		
IIL		

Table 4 Simulation case design. PP, IN, IR, and TR denote source sectors of power plant, industry combustion, steel, and transportation, respectively. Three kinds of scaling factors for the five volatility bins of organic CPM are tested: fac1 (0, 0.18, 0.14, 0.18, 0.5), fac2 (0, 0.66, 0.40, 0.51, 1.43), and fac3 (0, 0.42, 0.27, 0.345, 0.965) which is the average of fac1 and fac2.

Simulation	Aerosol	E _{PP_OM} (CPM)	E _{IN_OM} (CPM)	E _{IR_OM} (CPM)	Volatility
Cases	module	/E _{PM2.5} (FPM)	$/E_{PM2.5}(FPM)$	/E _{PM2.5} (FPM)	bins
Only	AERO6VBS	0	0	0	
FPM	AERO7	0	0	0	
S1.1	AERO7	4.12	1.38	2.80	fac1
S1.2	AERO7	4.12	1.38	2.80	fac2
S1.3	AERO7	4.12	1.38	2.80	fac3
S2.1	AERO7	3.01	1.01	2.04	fac1
S2.2	AERO7	3.01	1.01	2.04	fac2
S3.1	AERO7	5.27	1.77	3.58	fac1
S3.2	AERO7	5.27	1.77	3.58	fac2
S4.2	AERO7	3.71	1.24	2.52	fac2
S5.2	AERO7	4.49	1.50	3.05	fac2
S6_TR	AERO7	0	0	0	fac1
S7_IN	AERO7	0	1.38	0	fac1
S8_IR	AERO7	0	0	2.80	fac1
S9_PP	AERO7	4.12	0	0	fac1

Table 5 Model evaluation statistics for hourly OA, POA and SOA concentrations during October 14– November 14, 2014, and daily OA concentrations during December 6–30, 2018, under different sensitivity simulation cases.

Period	City	Species	Cases	Ν	OBS	SIM	MB	NMB	NME	R
October 14– November 14, 2014			base		33.71	11.90	-21.81	-64.70%	64.84%	0.71
		04	S1.1	722	33.71	25.08	-8.63	-25.60%	47.00%	0.70
		ŪA	S1.2	123	33.71	39.38	5.67	16.82%	58.62%	0.69
			S1.3		33.71	31.88	-1.83	-5.43%	49.63%	0.70
			base	700	16.25	4.28	-11.97	-73.66%	73.75%	0.54
	Daijing	DOA	S1.1		16.25	10.24	-6.01	-36.98%	54.01%	0.54
	Deijing	FUA	S1.2	123	16.25	23.32	7.07	43.51%	87.16%	0.53
			S1.3		16.25	16.45	0.20	1.23%	61.57%	0.53
			base		17.46	7.62	-9.84	-56.36%	57.22%	0.74
		SOA	S1.1	772	17.46	14.85	-2.61	-14.95%	47.42%	0.73
		50A	S1.2	123	17.46	16.05	-1.41	-8.08%	48.24%	0.73
			S1.3		17.46	15.42	-2.04	-11.68%	47.75%	0.73
	Handan	OA	base		45.24	17.70	-27.54	-60.88%	60.89%	0.62
			S1.1	25	45.24	35.04	-10.20	-22.55%	38.00%	0.61
			S1.3		45.24	48.86	3.62	8.00%	38.95%	0.59
	Shijiazhuang	iijiazhuang OA	base		42.22	18.38	-23.84	-56.47%	57.45%	0.61
December			S1.1 25	42.22	38.88	-3.34	-7.91%	35.69%	0.61	
6 20			S1.3		42.22	58.02	15.80	37.42%	47.27%	0.61
0-30,			base		42.22	13.35	-28.87	-68.38%	68.37%	0.58
2018	Xingtai	OA	S1.1	25	42.22	29.34	-12.88	-30.51%	40.59%	0.58
			S1.3		42.22	42.56	0.34	0.81%	34.52%	0.56
			base		41.66	15.48	-26.18	-62.84%	63.49%	0.47
	Dezhou	OA	S1.1	23	41.66	31.25	-10.41	-24.99%	42.76%	0.54
			S1.3		41.66	42.58	0.92	2.21%	43.06%	0.56

1026

1027 Note: OBS and SIM denote mean concentrations (μg m⁻³) of observations and simulations, respectively; MB: mean bias;
 1028 NMB: normalized mean bias; NME: normalized mean error; R: correlation coefficient.



Figure 1. (a) Map of the modeling domain and location of each target city in model evaluation. (b) The locations of BTH2+26 cities, denoted as the red frame in (a). The color shading represents the regional altitude.



Figure 2. Annual emissions of $PM_{2.5}$ and OM in filterable particulate matter (FPM), OM in filterable plus condensable particulate matter (FCPM) before the volatility distributions, and OM ($C^* \le 100 \mu g$ m⁻³) in FCPM after application of the volatility distributions for the fac1, fac2 and fac3 cases over China in 2014 and 2017.



Figure 3. The observed and simulated hourly SOA concentrations during the episode from October 14 to
November 14, 2014 at the Beijing site in the sensitivity cases as summarized in Table 3.



Figure 4. The observed and simulated hourly POA concentrations during the episode from October 14 toNovember 14, 2014 at the Beijing site in the sensitivity cases as summarized in Table 3.



Figure 5. The simulation concentrations of different OA components averaged over the whole study period from October 14 to November 14, 2014 at the Beijing site in the sensitivity cases. AERO7_def is abbreviated as def and AERO7_adj as adj. ASOA, BSOA and SISOA denote SOA generated by anthropogenic VOCs, biogenic VOCs and low volatile S/IVOCs, respectively. The red and blue horizontal line denote the average observation concentrations of OA and POA, respectively.



Figure 6. The observed and simulated OA concentrations in the sensitivity cases on November 3, 2014
at Changsha and Qianyanzhou.

-



Figure 7. The observed and simulated daily OA concentrations during December 6-30 in 2018 at (a)
Handan, (b) Shijiazhuang, (c) Xingtai and (d) Dezhou.

- ____



Figure 8. Spatial distributions of the concentrations of POA, SOA and OA averaged over the whole period
of October 14-November 14 in 2014 generated by the simulations with FPM sources (base) and CPM
sources (S1.1-base, S1.2-base, S1.3-base).

- ___ ...



Figure 9. Spatial distributions of the average PM_{2.5} concentrations during December 6-30, 2018, over the BTH2+26 cities in (a) base, (b) S1.1, (e) S1.3, (c) absolute difference between S1.1 and base, and (f) absolute difference between S1.3 and base. Among them, the PM_{2.5} concentrations from December 22 to 26 are not included due to the missing observation data. (d) Scatter plots and linear regressions of observed (OBS) and simulated (SIM) daily PM_{2.5} concentrations for all of the BTH2+26 cities during the above time period under the base, S1.1, and S1.3 scenarios.



Figure 10. (a) Spatial distributions of hourly $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations at some peak hours over the BTH2+26 cities under the base, S1.1, and S1.3 scenarios. The colored dots denote observation values for each city. (b) Scatter plots and linear regressions of observed (OBS) and simulated (SIM) hourly $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations for all cities under the base, S1.1, and S1.3 scenarios.