Response to Reviewers

Referee #2

This manuscript presents the results of a field study of oxygenated organic molecules (OOMs) and their contribution to secondary organic aerosol (SOA) in urban Beijing. The measurements of OOMs were conducted over the four seasons of the year 2019 using a nitrate-CIMS. The measured OOMs mainly contained 5-10 carbon atoms, 3-7 effective oxygen atoms and 0-2 nitrogen atoms and had 0-6 DBE values. The OOM concentration exhibited an obvious seasonal variation, ranging from ~1 ppt in winter to ~7 ppt in summer. Such seasonality was thought to be mainly driven by the seasonal variation of the intensity of photochemistry. According to the DBE value and the number of carbon, oxygen and nitrogen atoms in the molecules, ~1000 OOMs were classified into four groups, that is, isoprene, monoterpene, aliphatic and aromatic OOMs. Among them, aromatic (29-41%) and aliphatic (26-41%) OOMs were found to be the major contributors to OOMs in all seasons. The vapor condensation flux calculations further showed that these two classes of OOMs had largest contributions (46-62% and 14-32%, respectively) to SOA in urban Beijing throughout the year. Up to date, field measurements of OOMs in urban areas are rare. This study provides valuable data on the concentration, chemical composition and seasonal variation of OOMs, as well as their potential contributions to SOA in polluted urban areas. Overall, the measurements and data analysis in this study are well performed, the results are appropriately discussed, and the manuscript is nicely written. I would recommend the publication of this manuscript in ACP after the following comments are fully addressed.

We thank the reviewer for the constructive comments and suggestions. We have carefully revised our manuscript and supplement accordingly. The point-to-point response to the comments is given below. And the comments, our replies, and the corresponding changes in the manuscript and supplementary information are in black, blue, and green, respectively.

1. Line 63-65: It is described here that API-TOF provided the first direct measurements of OOMs. That is true for semi-volatile and low-volatility OOMs. However, OOMs literally mean organic compounds with oxygenated functional groups and also include the family of oxygenated volatile organic compounds, which had been measured, e.g., by GC-MS and PTR-MS before API-ToF or ToF-CIMS have been developed. The authors should provide a clear definition or specify the range of OOMs discussed in this study.

Response: Thank you very much for your suggestion. Our description is not that rigorous and should be modified.

Here the OOMs mainly refer to the more oxygenated ones measured by Chemical Ionization-APi-ToF mass spectrometers, such as nitrate CIMS, iodide CIMS, and bromide CIMS. Therefore, we modified this sentence in the revised manuscript as follows:

"... the first direct measurement of highly oxygenated organic molecules (HOMs), a subgroup of OOMs with the most oxygen content, ..." (Line 64 - 65, Page 3)

For the terminology OOMs, it is a more general one that refers to the gas phase organic compounds which are formed via oxidation process under atmospheric conditions. We didn't use the term HOMs (Highly Oxygenated Organic Molecules) proposed by Bianchi (Bianchi et al., 2019) because a. not all OOMs are formed through autoxidation, and precursor VOCs may undergo multi-generation oxidation (Zaytsev et al., 2019;Garmash et al., 2020), and b. not all OOMs contain six or more oxygen atoms (see Fig. S7). Thus, the guidelines for the classification of OOMs in this study should be:

a. OOMs are the gas-phase oxygen-containing organic molecules detected under atmospherically relevant conditions.

b. OOMs can be formed via oxidations in the gas phase, heterogeneous reactions on aerosol surface, or evaporated processes from aerosols.

The definition of OOMs has been explicitly explained in a recent work by Nie et al. (Nie et al., 2022). Therefore, we added this paper as a reference of this sentence and explain the definition in more details in Sect. S2 (Line 22 - 35, Page 2).

"oxygenated organic molecules (OOMs, see the definition in Sect. S2 the work of Nie et al. (Nie et al., 2022)) have been acknowledged as an important contributor" (Line 59 - 60, Page 3)

2. Line 119-121: Since OOMs can be detected either as a nitrate ion cluster (i.e., [M+NO3-] or [M+HNO3•NO3-]) or as a deprotonated ion by nitrate-CIMS, additional information as to how the product ions [CHON+NO3-] vs. [CHO+ HNO3•NO3-] and [CHON-] vs. [CHO•NO3-] were differentiated in this study should be provided in the manuscript.

Response: Thanks a lot. This is indeed a piece of important information that needs to be provided.

The acidity of OOM molecules is not very strong so that they hardly exist in de-protonated ions. In Ehn et al., by using isotopically labeled nitric acid ($H^{15}NO_3$) as the reagent, it was found that OOMs mainly existed as adducts with NO_3^- , and to a lesser extent with $HNO_3NO_3^-$ (Ehn et al., 2014). This is because the binding energy of OOMs with NO_3^- is smaller than with $HNO_3NO_3^-$ (Hyttinen et al., 2015).

Besides, the evidence that most OOMs cluster with NO_3^- could also be found from the time variations of corresponding OOMs. As shown in Fig. S1, the time variations of $C_7H_{10}O_5NO_3^-$ and $C_7H_{11}O_8NNO_3^-$ are not consistent, indicating that they are different OOMs charged both by NO_3^- , instead of the same OOM charged by NO_3^- and $HNO_3NO_3^-$, respectively. This is also the same for other OOMs, such as $C_8H_{12}O_5NO_3^-$ and $C_8H_{13}O_8NNO_3^-$.





Figure S1. The time variations of $C_7H_{10}O_5(NO_3^-)$, $C_7H_{11}O_8N(NO_3^-)$, $C_8H_{12}O_5(NO_3^-)$ and $C_8H_{13}O_8N(NO_3^-)$ during four seasons. From the above discussion, we believe that OOMs (X) are primarily detected as clusters with NO_3^- . (X• NO_3^-). Therefore, the original formula of a detected ion Y could be obtained based on the following criteria: a. If there is no nitrogen atom in Y, it is treated as a deprotonated species.

b. If there is at least one nitrogen atom in Y, it is treated as an adduct with NO₃⁻.

To clarify this consideration, we added Fig. S1 and the above discussion in the revised supplement as Sect. S1 (Line 8 - 21, Page 2) and modified the following sentence in the revised manuscript:

"It should be pointed out that in the nitrate CIMS, most OOMs were detected as the cluster form of (OOM)NO₃, and detailed information can be found in Sect. S1." (Line 121 - 122, Page 5)

3. Line 143-144: The selection of monoterpene OOMs excluded < C10 compounds, which have been shown to account for a considerable fraction of monoterpene oxidation products in laboratory studies. To what extent would this assignment affect the accuracy of the results regarding the contributions of monoterpene OOMs to total OOMs and SOA? If applying the binPMF results of Nie et al, 2022 to this study, what signal ratios of C10 compounds vs. < C10 compounds would be obtained in the monoterpene OOM factor?

Response: The contribution of nC < 10 monoterpene (MT) OOMs was investigated by analyzing the results from a. one monoterpene oxidation chamber experiment (Yan et al., 2020) and b. the binPMF workflow proposed by Nie et al. (Nie et al., 2022).

The chamber experiment was conducted under 278 K. The NO_x level was 4.5 ppb, and both OH radical and O₃ were used as oxidants. The distributions of nC, nO_{eff}, nN and DBE of MT OOMs in this experiment as well as in our observation are shown in Fig. R1 (E) – (H) and Fig. R1 (A) – (D), respectively. It should be noted that for consistency with target OOMs in this study, only the ones with masses between 200 and 400 Th are investigated. There are four main differences between observational and experimental MT OOMs. First, the nC = 10 compounds contribute ~ 67 % of total MT OOMs in experiment. If this is the case in real atmosphere, the concentration of MT OOMs might be underestimated by ~ 30 % through applying the workflow in this study. But some nC = 10 species may also come from the oxidation of C10 aromatics. Therefore, the exact uncertainty of this nC = 10 constrain is hard to evaluate. Second, for nO_{eff} distribution, our observation shows that there is a general decreasing trend with

the increase of nO_{eff}, while in experiment, the trend is opposite. Third, observational organonitrates take ~ 80 % of total MT OOMs during the year, while in experiment, this fraction reduces to only ~ 43 %. The lower oxygen and higher nitrogen content of observational MT OOMs is probably caused by high NO_x level in urban Beijing (9.5 – 38.3 ppb) compared with that in experiment (4.5 ppb). Fourth, based on our measurement, few MT OOMs possess non-integer DBE values. In experiment, however, there exist large fraction of DBE = 3.5 MT OOMs (17 %). Those molecules with non-integer DBE values are considered as radicals, and this suggests that the lifetime of MT radicals in experiment are probably longer than that in Beijing atmosphere. Despite the above differences, the upper and lower limits of DBE and nO_{eff} for observational and experimental MT OOMs are quite similar. Therefore, the constrains of $2 \le DBE \le 4$ and nO_{eff} ≥ 4 of MT OOMs are reasonable.



Figure R1. (A) – (D) Distribution of number of carbon (nC), effective oxygen (nO_{eff}), nitrogen (nN), and double bond equivalence (DBE) of monoterpene OOMs observed in this study. Blue, green, red and orange bars denote winter, spring, summer and autumn, respectively. (E) – (F) Distribution of nC, nO_{eff} , nN and DBE of monoterpene OOMs formed by OH radical oxidation together with ozonolyis in previous experiments (Yan et al., 2020). The experiment was conducted under 278 K and the NO_x level was 4.5 ppb.

The binPMF had been performed for the datasets between 26^{th} December 2018 and 26^{th} January 2019. The nC, nO_{eff} , nN and DBE distributions of MT OOMs derived from the workflow in this study and binPMF method are shown in Fig. R2. For nC distribution, binPMF MT OOMs have small fraction of nC = 10 compounds (~ 32 %). Besides, the distributions of nO_{eff} and nN between the two methods also vary a lot. Here are some possible reasons which may cause the above difference. First, as the diurnal variations of MT OOMs have daytime peaks (Fig. R3, corresponding winter period), they should have daytime sources. However, binPMF was only able to resolve a nighttime MT OOM factor. Thus, daytime MT OOMs were not included in the binPMF results. Second, many aromatic OOMs have the same DBE values as MT OOMs. Even though their formation pathway is dominated by OH-initiated oxidation, they may show elevated concentration at night due to the shallow boundary layer. Therefore, it is not avoidable that some aromatic OOMs are dragged into the nighttime MT OOM factor.



Figure R2. Distribution of number of carbon (nC), effective oxygen (nO_{eff}), nitrogen (nN), and double bond equivalence (DBE) of monoterpene OOMs derived from the workflow in this study and binPMF method in Nie et al. (Nie et al., 2022). The datasets are from 26th December 2018 to 26th January 2019.



Figure R3. Diurnal variation of representative monoterpene OOMs of days from 26th December 2018 to 26th January 2019.

Based on the above discussion, binPMF method may remain larger uncertainty than chamber experiment. Therefore, we prefer to use the experiment result to evaluate the contribution of nC < 10 MT OOMs. If assuming a 30 % underestimation of MT OOM concentration caused by nC = 10 constrain, the contribution of MT OOMs to total OOM concentration would increase to 6.5, 7.9, 6.8 and 8.0 % in the seasons of winter, spring, summer and autumn, respectively (Table R1).

To further evaluate the change of MT OOM contribution to SOA caused by the nC = 10 constrain, we first analyzed the volatility distributions of observational and experimental MT OOMs (Fig. R4). Since temperatures in different seasons vary a lot and the volatility of OOMs is sensitive to temperature, the comparison is only made between winter observation (274 K) and the experiment (278 K). For observed winter MT OOMs, all of them lie in

the ELVOC and LVOC regions. For experimental ones, despite small fraction of MT OOMs fall on ULVOC and SVOC regions, most of them also belong to either ELVOCs or LVOCs. In our study, it is found that the condensation flux and [Condensable OOMs] × Area_{aero} have perfect linear correlation (Fig. S4). Therefore, the change of MT OOM contribution to SOA should be similar to the change of MT OOM concentration. That is, the contribution of MT OOMs to SOA condensational growth might also be underestimated by ~ 30 % if applying the nC = 10 constrain. And after this 30 % correction, the SOA contribution of MT OOMs would increase to 9.9, 14.2, 13.9 and 15.6 % for winter, spring, summer and autumn, respectively (Table R1). Still, this will not change our current conclusion that MT OOMs are not as important as aromatic and aliphatic OOMs in SOA formation.



Figure R4. (A) Volatility distribution of monoterpene OOMs observed in this study. Blue, green, red and orange bars denote winter, spring, summer and autumn, respectively. The temperatures in the legend are median values of each season. (B) Volatility distribution of monoterpene OOMs formed by OH radical oxidation together with ozonolyis in previous experiments (Yan et al., 2020). The experiment was conducted under 278 K and the NO_x level was 4.5 ppb. ULVOC, ELVOC, LVOC and SVOC denote ultra-low volatility organic compound, extremely low volatility organic compound, low volatility organic compounds, respectively.

Table R1. The contribution of monoterp	oene OOMs to total OOM concentrat	ion and SOA condensatior	al growth
---	-----------------------------------	--------------------------	-----------

	Contribution	to OOM Concentration	Contribution to SOA Growth		
	This Study	With 30 % increase	This Study	With 30 % increase	
Winter	5.0 %	6.5 %	7.6 %	9.9 %	
Spring	6.1 %	7.9 %	10.9 %	14.2 %	
Summer	5.2 %	6.8 %	10.7 %	13.9 %	
Autumn	6.2 %	8.0 %	12.0 %	15.6 %	



Figure S4. Relationship between OOM condensation flux and concentration of ELVOCs and LVOCs ([ELVOCs+LVOCs]) times aerosol surface area (Area_{aero}). The figure inserted is in log scale.

4. Line 174: According to the workflow shown in Figure 1, the species with DBE = 2-3 and $nO_{eff} \ge 6$ were considered as aromatic OOMs. However, recent laboratory studies (e.g., Wang et al., Commun Chem, 2021) have shown that alkanes (and oxygenated alkanes) can undergo efficient autoxidation to form highly oxygenated molecules with DBE = 1-3 and $nO_{eff} > 6$, even at high concentrations of NO_x. The authors should include a discussion about the uncertainty of this assignment in the manuscript.

Response: Thanks a lot for providing this valuable information. Some uncertainties do exist for the classification of aromatic and aliphatic OOMs.

In Nie et al. 2022 (Nie et al., 2022), where the workflow method was first proposed, its performance was tested by known peak lists of aromatic and aliphatic OOMs from laboratory experiments (Molteni et al., 2018;Garmash et al., 2020;Wang et al., 2021), including the one of Wang et al. 2021. The figure below shows the results from Nie et al. 2022. For tested aromatic OOMs, the accuracy is more than 95 %. For aliphatic OOMs, the accuracy is almost 100 % for *n*-decane and ~ 70 % for cyclohexane. Therefore, the workflow should work well for aromatic VOCs and linear alkanes, but indeed have larger uncertainty for cycloalkanes.





Extended Data Figure 5. (a) The accuracy of workflow tested by known OOM peak lists of nitrate-CIMS from laboratory experiments. Number of 1, 2, 3 in panel refer to Molteni et al., 2018, Garmash et al., 2020, and Wang et al., 2021. There are 4 different experiments for the oxidation of benzene: * Exp. in the flow reactor, ** Exp. with low OH/VOC ratio in the JPAC chamber, *** Exp. with high OH/VOC ratio in the JPAC chamber, and **** Exp. effected by NO_x in the JPAC chamber. (Nie et al., 2022)

It should also be noted that the atmospheric conditions, such as VOC concentration and VOC to NO_x ratio, in Wang et al. 2021 and urban Beijing are quite different. In the Helsinki flow reactor experiments, where linear alkanes, cycloalkanes and aliphatic oxygenated compounds were used as precursors, no NO_x was added so that autoxidation was highly favored. But this is different from the case in Beijing where the level of NO_x during the year is always high (Table R5). Besides, the VOC concentration during the experiment was also too high (~ 10 ppm) compared with the values measured in urban Beijing (tens of ppb, Table R2 and Table R3). Therefore, the Helsinki flow reactor experiment is not a good representative of atmospheric oxidation of aliphatic VOCs for this study.

In the Leipzig free-jet flow reactor experiments, *n*-decane, cyclohexane and decalin were chosen as precursors, and their initial concentration were 130, 558 and 74 ppb, respectively. The NO concentration was varied to be 0.17, 3.10, 1.15, 2.27, 3.42, 4.46, 7.00 and 8.93 ppb, respectively. OH radicals were formed through the photolysis of isopropyl with an initial nitrate concentration of 2.7×10^{11} molecules/cm³, but the exact OH radical concentration was not provided. From those information, the VOC to NO (NO_x) ratio can be obtained and the results are summarized in Table R4. Generally, the level of VOC is much higher than NO, and VOC concentration is around tens to hundreds times of NO. For real atmosphere in Beijing, however, the average NO_x concentration is comparable with average VOC concentration, and the VOC to NO_x ratio (VOC / NO_x) is around 0.36 – 1.20 (Table R5). Compared with the Leipzig free-jet flow reactor experiments, the VOC / NO_x ratios in Beijing atmosphere are much lower, and thus the RO₂ + NO_x reaction likely dominate the fate of RO₂ radicals. That is, the high-level NO_x in our study might terminate the autoxidation more efficiently than in the experiments by Wang et al., leading to comparatively lower nO_{eff}. Therefore, we prefer not to directly take the conclusion from the work of Wang et al. Further oxidation experiments of cycloalkanes and linear alkanes / alkenes / oxygenated VOCs under atmospheric condition of urban Beijing is needed for the determination of aliphatic OOM composition.

Table R2. Daily average of ambient VOC concentrations in urban Beijing from the work of Zhang et al. (Zhang et al.	, 2017).
Time period covers 120 days from April 2014 to January 2015. The concentrations of VOCs have been converted from	ι μg∙cm⁻
³ to ppb.	

Species	Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn
Total aromatics	6.15	8.78	3.13	7.93
Total alkanes	19.09	10.49	10.21	19.55
Total alkenes	15.58	4.49	5.04	9.04
Acetylene	6.90	1.87	1.71	2.73
Total hydrocarbons	47.72	25.63	20.09	39.25

Table R3. Average ambient VOC concentrations in urban Beijing from the work of Zhang et al. (Zhang et al., 2020). Time period covers 120 days from November 2016 to August 2017. The concentrations of VOCs have been converted from $\mu g \cdot cm^{-3}$ to ppb.

Species	Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn
Total aromatics	3.23	2.28	3.16	3.07
Total alkanes	9.66	5.29	6.15	6.31
Total alkenes	3.26	0.99	1.53	2.98
Acetylene	0.22	0.44	0.77	0.59
Total hydrocarbons	16.37	9.0	11.61	12.95

Table R4. Concentrations of NO, *n*-decane, cyclohexane and decalin as well as corresponding VOC to NO ratios in the Leipzig free-jet flow reactor experiments.

NO (ppb)	0.17	1.15	2.27	3.42	4.46	7.0	8.93
<i>n</i> -decane (ppb)				130			
<i>n</i> -decane / NO	745	113	57	38	29	19	15
cyclohexane (ppb)				558			
cyclohexane / NO	3192	484	246	163	125	83	63
decalin (ppb)				74			
decalin / NO	426	65	33	22	17	11	8

Table R5. NO_x , total hydrocarbons (HCs) and hydrocarbon to NO_x ratios (HCs / NO_x) for urban Beijing. The NO_x concentration are the measured ones (mean values) in this study. Hydrocarbon concentrations are taken from literatures (Zhang et al., 2017;Zhang et al., 2020).

	Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn		
NO (ppb)	15.7	6.7	1.6	24.7		
NO ₂ (ppb)	23.8	18.6	10.9	27.1		
NO_x (ppb)	39.9	25.2	12.0	51.6		
	2017, Hao Zhang et al.					
Total HCs (ppb)	47.72	25.63	20.09	39.25		
HCs / NO _x	1.20	1.02	1.67	0.76		
	2020, Lihui Zhang et al.					
Total HCs (ppb)	16.37	9.0	11.61	12.95		
HCs / NO _x	0.41	0.36	0.97	0.25		

To clarify the uncertainty of aliphatic and aromatic OOM assignment, we added Table R4 (Table S17) and Table R5 (Table S18) in the revised supplement and the following discussion in the revised manuscript:

"Due to the complexity of atmosphere, there indeed remain some uncertainties in the workflow. For example, a recent study found that some aliphatic VOCs were able to produce OOMs with DBE values of 2 - 3 and nO_{eff} no smaller than 6 (Wang et al., 2021), which however, are classified as aromatic OOMs in the workflow. Nie et al. (Nie et al., 2022) has tested the performance of the workflow on OOMs from Wang et al. 2021. Results show that the accuracy is almost 100 % for OOMs from *n*-decane and ~ 75 % for OOMs from cyclohexane (Nie et al., 2022). Thus, the workflow is generally reliable in classifying aliphatic OOMs. In addition, the VOC to NO_x ratios in the experiments of Wang et al. (Table S17) is much higher than in urban Beijing (Table S18). This high-level NO_x might suppress the autoxidation as the RO₂ + NO termination reaction likely dominates the fate of RO₂ radicals, leading to comparatively lower nO_{eff}. In this way, aliphatic OOMs is difficult to reach nO_{eff} of 6." (Line 171 – 179, Page 6)

5. Line 219-221 and 245-248: What was the influence of the seasonal variation of the NO_x level on the seasonality of the oxygen content of OOMs?

Response: The seasonality of NO_x causes changes in both the atmospheric oxidation capacity and the RO_2 termination reactions, both of which influence the oxygen content of OOMs. We then plotted the average number of effective oxygen ($nO_{eff-ave}$) vs. NO_x mixing ratio for four seasons in Fig. R5.

It can be found that $nO_{eff-ave}$ shows a general decreasing trend with the increase of NO_x . This decreasing trend is consistent with our understanding that high-level NO_x is prone to terminate RO_2 autoxidation. In addition, at the same NO_x level, $nO_{eff-ave}$ is the highest in summer, followed by spring, and decreases to the lowest in winter and autumn. Therefore, we can conclude that in urban Beijing, high oxidation capacity and low NO_x levels favor the increase of OOM oxygen content.



Figure R5. Concentration weighted average number of effective oxygen $(nO_{eff-ave})$ vs. NO_x mixing ratio in four seasons for (A) total OOMs, and (B) non-isoprene OOMs. The markers are median values, and the bottom and up ranges of the error bars denote 25th and 75th percentiles respectively.

6. Line 272-277: The authors concluded that the formation of epoxide group during isoprene oxidation was not favored based on their observations that most of isoprene OOMs had 0 or 1 DBE. This conclusion should be made with caution for two reasons: First, nitrate-CIMS is generally more sensitive to organic species containing -OOH and -OH groups than to epoxides and aldehydes/ketones, which might make isoprene OOMs with 0 or 1 DBE as the most abundantly detected species; Second, the epoxide species formed from isoprene photooxidation might undergo fast heterogeneous ring-opening reactions to form products with 0 to 1 DBE, resulting in low gas-phase epoxide concentrations.

Response: Thank you very much for your valuable comments. The above deduction of isoprene OOM formation pathways based on the only measurement of nitrate CIMS is not so rigorous. And we modified the discussion as follows:

"This indicates that hydroxyl or hydroperoxyl are the major functional groups of these products, whereas products with higher DBE values are not prominent. It could mean that compounds containing carbonyl or epoxide groups are either not efficiently formed under the urban environment, or fast lost via heterogeneous reactions (Riedel et al., 2015;Zhang et al., 2022). Meanwhile, the instrumental detection bias, i.e., nitrate CIMS is less sensitive to carbonyl and epoxide groups, cannot be excluded. Therefore, further combination of CIMS instruments with different chemical ionization methods are highly desirable in the future." (Line 279 - 285, Page 10 - 11)

7. Line 405-406: The two sentences here seem to convey the same information, that is, RO_2 termination reactions with NO_x are more important for aliphatic species than for aromatics. Did the authors mean to say in the second sentence that the branching ratio of the aliphatic RO_2 +NO_x to form CHON species is higher than that of the aromatics?

Response: Yes. That is the message we expect to convey, but it seems that the current expression is not very clear. So we modified the description in the revised manuscript as follows:

"For nitrogen content, CHO aliphatic OOMs only take a small fraction of 8-11 %, implying that NO_x termination may dominate the formation of aliphatic close-shell molecules. Meanwhile, this CHO OOM fraction of aliphatic OOMs is much smaller than that of aromatic OOMs (42-52 %), suggesting that the branching ratio of aliphatic RO₂ - NO_x reaction forming CHON_x species is higher than that of the aromatic one." (Line 410 – 414, Page 17)

8. Line 408-411: It is not clear how the correlation between CHON₂ species and PM_{2.5} could lead to the conclusion that CHON₂ species or their precursor VOCs originated from long-distance transport. Please clarify this.

Response: In winter Beijing, the regional transportation of air masses from the south and southeast areas is a large source of PM_{2.5} (Wang et al., 2015;Chen et al., 2021;Chen et al., 2022;Tan et al., 2022). As shown in Fig. S14, PM_{2.5} seldom had diurnal variations, rather, it could accumulate for a couple of days, indicating that it not only can be formed locally, but also can be transported from other regions. Thus, the PM_{2.5} in winter Beijing is correlated with regional transportation, although local production of PM_{2.5} cannot be entirely ruled out. In that case, the good correlation between CHON₂ species and PM_{2.5} suggests that those compounds might also have regional sources, i.e., they could either be transported directly, or generated within the polluted air masses.

To clarify whether $C_{6-14}H_{12-28}O_7N_2$ could be directly transported, the volatilities of them had been calculated and summarized in Table S12. $C_{6-10}H_{12-20}O_7N_2$ are relatively volatile and are classified as semi-volatile organic compounds, thus they could originate from long-distance transport. Whereas $C_{11-14}H_{22-28}O_7N_2$ are less volatile and lie in the range of low-volatility organic compounds, hence, they can hardly be transported and are more likely produced during the air mass transportation.



Figure S14. Time variation of C₆₋₁₄H₁₂₋₂₈O₇N₂ OOMs and PM_{2.5} in winter.

Table S12. The median volatility $(\log_{10} [C^* (\mu g \cdot cm_3)])$ of $C_{6-14}H_{12-28}O_7N_2$ compounds during the winter period (5th January to 14th February, 2019).

Compound	log ₁₀ [C [*] (µg·cm ⁻³)]	Volatility Type
$C_6H_{12}O_7N_2$	1.47	
$C_7H_{14}O_7N_2$	1.02	
$C_8H_{16}O_7N_2$	0.55	SVOCs
$C_9H_{18}O_7N_2$	0.07	
$C_{10}H_{20}O_7N_2$	-0.41	
$C_{11}H_{22}O_7N_2$	-0.90	
$C_{12}H_{24}O_7N_2$	-1.39	LVOC
$C_{13}H_{26}O_7N_2$	-1.88	LVOCS
$C_{14}H_{28}O_7N_2$	-2.38	

To make the discussion on the transported sources of $C_{6-14}H_{12-28}O_7N_2$ more clear, we added part of the above explanation in the revised manuscript as follows:

"In winter Beijing, the regional transportation of air masses from the south and southeast areas is a large source of $PM_{2.5}$ (Wang et al., 2015;Chen et al., 2021;Chen et al., 2022;Tan et al., 2022), therefore, the good correlation between CHON₂ species and $PM_{2.5}$ suggests that those compounds might also have regional sources. Table S12 shows that $C_{6-10}H_{12-20}O_7N_2$ are relatively volatile and are classified as semi-volatile organic compounds, thus they could originate from long-distance transport." (Line 417 – 421, Page 17)

"Whereas for $C_{11-14}H_{22-28}O_7N_2$, they are less volatile and lie in the range of low-volatility organic compounds, hence, they can hardly be transported and are more likely produced during the air mass transportation.". (Line 422 – 424, Page 17)

9. Line 448-449: The authors stated that the condensation of isoprene OOMs had little contribution to SOA growth regardless of the season. However, Figure 11 shows that isoprene OOMs had a contribution of up to 5% to SOA, which is obviously non-negligible. Therefore, this statement needs to be rephrased.

Response: Thanks you very much for your comments.

Fig. 11 really tells us that the pure condensation of IP OOMs has small contribution to SOA growth (2.7 - 5.0 %). But the description in Line 448 – 449 in the original manuscript (Line 461–462 in the revised manuscript) is written before the aerosol growth model was performed, so it is a hypothesis based on the fraction of IP OOMs in ELVOCs, LVOCs and SVOCs in Fig. 10 (B). Even so, we agreed that the description is kind of misleading, and we modified this sentence in the revised manuscript as follows:

"... Consequently, it is likely that the pure condensation of IP OOMs has a minor contribution to SOA growth regardless of the season." (Line 460 – 461, Page 19)

REFERENCES

Bianchi, F., Kurten, T., Riva, M., Mohr, C., Rissanen, M. P., Roldin, P., Berndt, T., Crounse, J. D., Wennberg, P. O., Mentel, T. F., Wildt, J., Junninen, H., Jokinen, T., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., Thornton, J. A., Donahue, N., Kjaergaard, H. G., and Ehn, M.: Highly Oxygenated Organic Molecules (HOM) from Gas-Phase Autoxidation Involving Peroxy Radicals: A Key Contributor to Atmospheric Aerosol, Chemical Reviews, 119, 3472-3509, 10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00395, 2019.

Chen, D., Xia, L., Guo, X., Lang, J., Zhou, Y., Wei, L., and Fu, X.: Impact of inter-annual meteorological variation from 2001 to 2015 on the contribution of regional transport to PM_{2.5} in Beijing, China, Atmospheric Environment, 260, 118545, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2021.118545</u>, 2021.

Chen, D., Jin, X., Fu, X., Xia, L., Guo, X., Lang, J., Zhou, Y., and Wei, W.: Impact of Inter-Annual Variation in Meteorology from 2010 to 2019 on the Inter-City Transport of PM_{2.5} in the Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei Region, Sustainability, 14, 10.3390/su14106210, 2022.

Ehn, M., Thornton, J. A., Kleist, E., Sipilä, M., Junninen, H., Pullinen, I., Springer, M., Rubach, F., Tillmann, R., Lee, B., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Andres, S., Acir, I.-H., Rissanen, M., Jokinen, T., Schobesberger, S., Kangasluoma, J., Kontkanen, J., Nieminen, T., Kurtén, T., Nielsen, L. B., Jørgensen, S., Kjaergaard, H. G., Canagaratna, M., Maso, M. D., Berndt, T., Petäjä, T., Wahner, A., Kerminen, V.-M., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., Wildt, J., and Mentel, T. F.: A large source of low-volatility secondary organic aerosol, Nature, 506, 476-479, 10.1038/nature13032, 2014. Garmash, O., Rissanen, M. P., Pullinen, I., Schmitt, S., Kausiala, O., Tillmann, R., Zhao, D., Percival, C., Bannan, T. J., Priestley, M., Hallquist, A. M., Kleist, E., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Hallquist, M., Berndt, T., McFiggans, G., Wildt, J., Mentel, T., and Ehn, M.: Multi-generation OH oxidation as a source for highly oxygenated organic molecules from aromatics, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 20, 515-537, 10.5194/acp-20-515-2020, 2020.

Hyttinen, N., Kupiainen-Määttä, O., Rissanen, M. P., Muuronen, M., Ehn, M., and Kurtén, T.: Modeling the Charging of Highly Oxidized Cyclohexene Ozonolysis Products Using Nitrate-Based Chemical Ionization, The Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 119, 6339-6345, 10.1021/acs.jpca.5b01818, 2015.

Molteni, U., Bianchi, F., Klein, F., El Haddad, I., Frege, C., Rossi, M. J., Dommen, J., and Baltensperger, U.: Formation of highly oxygenated organic molecules from aromatic compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 1909-1921, 10.5194/acp-18-1909-2018, 2018.

Nie, W., Yan, C., Huang, D. D., Wang, Z., Liu, Y., Qiao, X., Guo, Y., Tian, L., Zheng, P., Xu, Z., Li, Y., Xu, Z., Qi, X., Sun, P., Wang, J., Zheng, F., Li, X., Yin, R., Dallenbach, K. R., Bianchi, F., Petäjä, T., Zhang, Y., Wang, M., Schervish, M., Wang, S., Qiao, L., Wang, Q., Zhou, M., Wang, H., Yu, C., Yao, D., Guo, H., Ye, P., Lee, S., Li, Y. J., Liu, Y., Chi, X., Kerminen, V.-M., Ehn, M., Donahue, N. M., Wang, T., Huang, C., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D., Jiang, J., and Ding, A.: Secondary organic aerosol formed by condensing anthropogenic vapours over China's megacities, Nature Geoscience, 15, 255-261, 10.1038/s41561-022-00922-5, 2022.

Riedel, T. P., Lin, Y.-H., Budisulistiorini, S. H., Gaston, C. J., Thornton, J. A., Zhang, Z., Vizuete, W., Gold, A., and Surratt, J. D.: Heterogeneous Reactions of Isoprene-Derived Epoxides: Reaction Probabilities and Molar Secondary Organic Aerosol Yield Estimates, Environmental Science & Technology Letters, 2, 38-42, 10.1021/ez500406f, 2015.

Tan, Q., Ge, B., Xu, X., Gan, L., Yang, W., Chen, X., Pan, X., Wang, W., Li, J., and Wang, Z.: Increasing impacts of the relative contributions of regional transport on air pollution in Beijing: Observational evidence, Environmental Pollution, 292, 118407, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.118407</u>, 2022.

Wang, L., Liu, Z., Sun, Y., Ji, D., and Wang, Y.: Long-range transport and regional sources of PM_{2.5} in Beijing based on long-term observations from 2005 to 2010, Atmospheric Research, 157, 37-48, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2014.12.003, 2015.

Wang, Z., Ehn, M., Rissanen, M. P., Garmash, O., Quéléver, L., Xing, L., Monge-Palacios, M., Rantala, P., Donahue, N. M., Berndt, T., and Sarathy, S. M.: Efficient alkane oxidation under combustion engine and atmospheric conditions, Communications Chemistry, 4, 18, 10.1038/s42004-020-00445-3, 2021.

Yan, C., Nie, W., Vogel, A. L., Dada, L., Lehtipalo, K., Stolzenburg, D., Wagner, R., Rissanen, M. P., Xiao, M., Ahonen, L., Fischer, L., Rose, C., Bianchi, F., Gordon, H., Simon, M., Heinritzi, M., Garmash, O., Roldin, P., Dias, A., Ye, P., Hofbauer, V., Amorim, A., Bauer, P. S., Bergen, A., Bernhammer, A. K., Breitenlechner, M., Brilke, S., Buchholz, A., Mazon, S. B., Canagaratna, M. R., Chen, X., Ding, A., Dommen, J., Draper, D. C., Duplissy, J., Frege, C., Heyn, C., Guida, R., Hakala, J., Heikkinen, L., Hoyle, C. R., Jokinen, T., Kangasluoma, J., Kirkby, J., Kontkanen, J., Kürten, A., Lawler, M. J., Mai, H., Mathot, S., Mauldin, R. L., Molteni, U., Nichman, L., Nieminen, T., Nowak, J., Ojdanic, A., Onnela, A., Pajunoja, A., Petäjä, T., Piel, F., Quéléver, L. L. J., Sarnela, N., Schallhart, S., Sengupta, K., Sipilä, M., Tomé, A., Tröstl, J., Väisänen, O., Wagner, A. C., Ylisirniö, A., Zha, Q., Baltensperger, U., Carslaw, K. S., Curtius, J., Flagan, R. C., Hansel, A., Riipinen, I., Smith, J. N., Virtanen, A., Winkler, P. M., Donahue, N. M., Kerminen, V. M., Kulmala, M., Ehn, M., and Worsnop, D. R.: Size-dependent influence of NOx on the growth rates of organic aerosol particles, Science Advances, 6, eaay4945, 10.1126/sciadv.aay4945, 2020.

Zaytsev, A., Koss, A. R., Breitenlechner, M., Krechmer, J. E., Nihill, K. J., Lim, C. Y., Rowe, J. C., Cox, J. L., Moss, J., Roscioli, J. R., Canagaratna, M. R., Worsnop, D. R., Kroll, J. H., and Keutsch, F. N.: Mechanistic study of the formation of ring-retaining and ring-opening products from the oxidation of aromatic compounds under urban atmospheric conditions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 15117-15129, 10.5194/acp-19-15117-2019, 2019.

Zhang, H., Li, H., Zhang, Q., Zhang, Y., Zhang, W., Wang, X., Bi, F., Chai, F., Gao, J., Meng, L., Yang, T., Chen, Y., Cheng, Q., and Xia, F.: Atmospheric Volatile Organic Compounds in a Typical Urban Area of Beijing: Pollution Characterization, Health Risk Assessment and Source Apportionment, Atmosphere, 8, 10.3390/atmos8030061, 2017.

Zhang, L., Li, H., Wu, Z., Zhang, W., Liu, K., Cheng, X., Zhang, Y., Li, B., and Chen, Y.: Characteristics of atmospheric volatile organic compounds in urban area of Beijing: Variations, photochemical reactivity and source apportionment, Journal of Environmental Sciences, 95, 190-200, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2020.03.023</u>, 2020.

Zhang, Y.-Q., Ding, X., He, Q.-F., Wen, T.-X., Wang, J.-Q., Yang, K., Jiang, H., Cheng, Q., Liu, P., Wang, Z.-R., He, Y.-F., Hu, W.-W., Wang, Q.-Y., Xin, J.-Y., Wang, Y.-S., and Wang, X.-M.: Observational Insights into Isoprene Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation through the Epoxide Pathway at Three Urban Sites from Northern to Southern China, Environmental Science & Technology, 56, 4795-4805, 10.1021/acs.est.1c06974, 2022.