# **Zonally Asymmetric Influences of the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation on Stratospheric Ozone**

Wuke Wang<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>, Jin Hong<sup>1</sup>, Ming Shangguan<sup>3</sup>, Hongyue Wang<sup>1</sup>, Wei Jiang<sup>1</sup>, and Shuyun Zhao<sup>1, 3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Atmospheric Science, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, China <sup>2</sup>Key Laboratory of Meteorological Disaster (KLME), Ministry of Education & Collaborative Innovation Center on Forecast and Evaluation of Meteorological Disasters (CIC-FEMD), Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, China <sup>3</sup>Centre for Severe Weather and Climate and Hydro-Geological Hazards, 430074 Wuhan, China <sup>4</sup>School of Geography and Information Engineering, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, China **Correspondence:** Ming Shangguan (shanggm@cug.edu.cn)

**Abstract.** The Quasi-Biennial Oscillation (QBO), as the dominant mode in the equatorial stratosphere, modulates the dynamical circulation as well as the distribution of trace gases in the stratosphere. While the zonal mean QBO signals in stratospheric ozone have been relatively well documented, the zonal (longitudinal) differences of the QBO ozone signals have been less studied. Here we demonstrate that the influences of the QBO on stratospheric ozone are zonally asymmetric. Based on a composite

- 5 analysis using satellite data, ERA5 reanalysis and model simulations, we found that the global distribution of stratospheric ozone varies significantly during different QBO phases. During QBO westerly (QBOW) phases, the total ozone column (TCO) and stratospheric ozone are anomalously high in the tropics, while in the subtropics they are anomalously low over most of the areas, especially during the winter-spring of the respective hemisphere. This confirms the results from previous studies. In the polar region, the TCO and stratospheric ozone (50-10 hPa) anomalies are seasonally dependent and zonally asymmetric:
- 10 during boreal winter (DJF), positive anomalies of the TCO and stratospheric ozone are evident during QBOW over the regions from North America to the North Atlantic (120°W-30°E) while significant negative anomalies exist over other longitudes in the Arctic; in boreal autumn (SON), the TCO and stratospheric ozone are anomalously high from Greenland to Eurasia (60°W-120°E), but anomalously low in other regions over the Arctic; weak positive TCO and stratospheric ozone anomalies exist over the South America sector (90°W-30°E) of the Antarctic while negative anomalies of the TCO and stratospheric ozone
- 15 are seen in other longitudes. The consistent features of TCO and stratospheric ozone anomalies indicate that the QBO signals in TCO are mainly determined by the stratospheric ozone variations. Analysis of meteorological conditions indicates that the QBO ozone perturbations are mainly caused by dynamical transport and also influenced by the corresponding temperature changes and subsequent chemical reactions. QBO affects the geopotential height and the polar vortex and subsequently the transport of ozone-rich air from lower latitudes to the polar region, which therefore influences the ozone concentrations over
- 20 the polar region. The geopotential height anomalies are zonally asymmetric with clear wave-1 features, which indicates that QBO influences the polar vortex and stratospheric ozone mainly by modifying the wave number 1 activities.

## 1 Introduction

Ozone is one of the most important trace gases in the stratosphere (Solomon, 1999; WMO, 2018). The ozone layer in the stratosphere protects the life on the Earth by absorbing the Ultraviolet (UV) Radiation (Solomon, 1999; WMO, 2018). Because

- of its strong radiative effects, ozone determines the thermal structure of the stratosphere (Son et al., 2008; Kodera et al., 2016; WMO, 2018). Changes in ozone influence the temperature in the stratosphere significantly and subsequently modify the stratospheric circulation due to the thermal-dynamical balance (Son et al., 2008; Xie et al., 2016; Solomon et al., 2016; Banerjee et al., 2020). On the other hand, changes in the stratospheric circulation, may cause a redistribution of ozone in the stratosphere because of the dynamical transport (Tweedy et al., 2017; Coy et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019). Therefore, processes
- 30 like the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation (QBO), which have important influences on the interannual variations of the stratospheric circulations, affect the stratospheric ozone significantly (e.g. Lee et al., 2010; Xie et al., 2014; Lu et al., 2019; Xie et al., 2020).

The influences of QBO, which is the dominant mode of interannual variability in the equatorial stratosphere (Baldwin et al., 2001), on ozone have been investigated by lots of studies (e.g. Randel and Wu, 1996; Lu et al., 2019; Xie et al., 2020; Zhang

- 35 et al., 2021). QBO appears as alternating easterly and westerly winds that propagate down from the top (~50 km) to the bottom (~16 km) of the stratosphere, with a period of about 28 months (Baldwin et al., 2001; Anstey and Shepherd, 2014; Coy et al., 2016). Such changes in zonal winds modify the vertical propagation of planetary waves and influence the strength of the polar vortex as well as the Brewer-Dobson circulation (BDC) according to the Holton-Tan mechanism (Holton and Tan, 1980, 1982; Watson and Gray, 2014; Zhang et al., 2019; Baldwin et al., 2019) or the QBO implicit meridional circulation mechanism
- 40 (Garfinkel et al., 2012; Elsbury et al., 2021), and therefore play an important role in determining the dynamical circulation in the whole stratosphere (Naoe and Shibata, 2010; Garfinkel and Hartmann, 2011a, b; Anstey and Shepherd, 2014; Andrews et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020). Changes in stratospheric circulation during different QBO phases subsequently influence the redistribution of stratospheric trace gases (Tweedy et al., 2017; Xie et al., 2020). For example, many studies have reported QBO signals in methane, water vapour and ozone (Randel and Wu, 1996; Tian et al., 2006; Lu et al., 2019; Tao et al., 2019).
- 45 QBO signals in total column ozone (TCO) have been reported over 30 years ago using observations from surface Dobson stations (Hamilton, 1989) and satellites (Bowman, 1989; Tung and Yang, 1994). During QBO westerly (QBOW) phases, TCO is anomalously high in the tropics but low in the extratropics (Bowman, 1989; Hamilton, 1989; Tung and Yang, 1994). Besides such features, a seasonal synchronization, i.e., ozone anomalies are only significant during winter-spring of each respective sphere in the extratropics, is also indicated. The vertical structure of OBO signals in stratospheric ozone is then investigated
- 50 using satellite data (e.g. Hasebe, 1994; Randel and Wu, 1996) and model simulations (e.g. Butchart et al., 2003; Tian et al., 2006; Hansen et al., 2013). A double-peaked vertical structure of ozone variations associated with QBO is clear in both the tropics and midlatitudes, with one peak in the lower stratosphere (20-30 km) and the other in the middle stratosphere (30-40 km). The details of where the peaks lay depend on the level used to define the QBO. With a longer record of ozone observations as well as more other data available, studies further investigated the combined influences of the QBO and other processes like
- 55 the ENSO on the stratospheric ozone (Lee et al., 2010; Xie et al., 2014; Lu et al., 2019; Xie et al., 2020). The role of chemical

processes in determining the ozone QBO is also studied (Zhang et al., 2021). So far, the impacts of the QBO on the TCO and stratospheric ozone have been relatively well documented. However, all the studies mentioned above focused on the zonal mean features. The global distribution of the TCO and stratospheric ozone anomalies related to the QBO has not been investigated to our understanding. While the global pattern of ozone changes is important to the regional UV radiation as well as weather

60

65

and climate(Silverman et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019), it is therefore interesting to look through the zonal differences of QBO signals in ozone.

This study investigates the global distribution (both zonal and meridional) of ozone anomalies related to QBO using satellite observations, reanalysis data and model simulations. Details of the data and methods used in this study are described in Section 2. Results, including the influences of the QBO on total column ozone (TCO), zonal mean ozone, ozone at different latitudes and longitudes as well as a possible mechanism are provided in Section 3. In Section 4, we summarize the conclusions and give a discussion.

#### 2 Data and Methods

#### 2.1 Ozone data from Satellites

Three types of ozone products from the Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S), including the Total Column Ozone

- 70 (TCO), merged zonal mean product from limb sensors and merged latitude-longitude gridded product from limb sensors, are used in this study. The TCO data is from MSR2 (Multi-Sensor Reanalysis, version 2), which spans the period 1979-2020 with a horizontal resolution of  $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ . The zonal mean product merged ozone data from limb sensors of ACE (Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment onboard SCISAT), GOMOS (Global Ozone Monitoring by Occultation of Stars onboard ENVISAT), MIPAS (Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding onboard ENVISAT), OMPS (Ozone Map-
- ping Profiler Suite onboard NPP ), OSIRIS (Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imager System onboard ODIN), SAGE-2 (Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment II onboard ERBS) and SCIAMACHY (Scanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric Cartography on board ENVISAT). It is available from 1984 to 2020, with a meridional resolution of 10  $^{\circ}$  and covering 41 vertical levels from 10 km to 50 km. The 3-D ozone products merged ozone data from limb sensors of GOMOS, MIPAS, OSIRIS and SCIAMACHY. This data has a horizontal resolution of  $20^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$  (longitude × latitude)
- and a vertical resolution of 1 km from 10 km to 50 km, and is only available for the period 2002-2020. The data are accessible from the website: https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/dataset/satellite-ozone-v1?tab=form (accessed on 16 February 2022). According to the product quality assessment done by Copernicus Climate Change Service, biases at the or-der of -0.13±0.11% were reported for the MSR2 TCO data, compared to the ground-based Dobson measurements (https://datastore.copernicus-climate.eu/documents/satellite-ozone/C3S\_312b\_Lot2.3.2.1\_202002\_PUGS\_O3\_v1.21.pdf). More de-
- tails of the data can be found at https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/dataset/satellite-ozone?tab=overview.

#### 2.2 ERA5 reanalysis data

The ERA5 reanalysis, which is the newest generation reanalysis product produced by the ECMWF Integrated Forecast System, is used in this study. The original model has 137 hybrid sigma model levels from the surface to the model top at 0.01 hPa. The horizontal resolution of the model is about 31 km. ERA5 assimilates a large number types of observations, including

- 90 newly reprocessed data sets, recent instruments and cell-pressure corrected SSU, improved bias correction for radiosondes, etc., into global estimates using a 4D-Var data assimilation system. Especially, ERA5 assimilated numerous satellite ozone observations, including OMI (Ozone Monitoring Instrument), SCIAMACHY, MIPAS, GOME, GOME-2 and MLS (Microwave Limb Sounder) etc. (Hersbach et al., 2020), which helps the ERA5 ozone data to have good agreement with observations in the stratosphere (Shangguan et al., 2019). ERA5 covers a record of the global atmosphere from 1950 to the present. The ozone 95 and other meteorological parameters in ERA5 for the period 1979-2020 are used in this study. More details about the ERA5
- reanalysis data can be found at the website:https://confluence.ecmwf.int/display/CKB/ERA5%3A+data+documentation.

#### 2.3 Model simulations with CESM-WACCM

To confirm the QBO impacts on ozone, a pair of simulations with NCAR's CESM model (version 1.0.2) is employed in this study. The model is integrated with its fully coupled mode, with interactive ocean, land, sea ice and atmosphere processes.
To better represent the stratospheric ozone, the atmospheric component of WACCM (version 4), with detailed stratospheric dynamics and chemistry is used. The model covers a vertical range from the surface to about 140 km with 66 vertical levels (Marsh et al., 2013). The horizontal resolution of the model is 1.9 °× 2.5 °(latitude × longitude) for the atmosphere and approximately 1 degree for the ocean.

We first conducted a control run (Natural run), which includes all natural forcing like solar variability, interactive ocean,
volcanic aerosols and a nudged QBO (Matthes et al., 2010). The solar and volcanic aerosol forcing is derived from observations for the period 1955-2004 and then based on future projection (2004-2099) following the SPARC (Stratospheric Processes and their Role in Climate) CCMVal (Chemistry-Climate Model Validation Activity) REF-B2 scenarios (SPARC-CCMVal, 2010). The QBO forcing time series is determined from the observed climatology of 1953-2004 via filtered spectral decomposition of that climatology. This gives a set of Fourier coefficients that can be expanded for any day and year in the past and the
future. Anthropogenic forcing like greenhouse gases (GHGs) and ozone depleting substances (ODSs) are set to constant 1960s conditions to better illustrate the natural variability. In addition to the Natural run, a NOQBO run (without QBO nudging) is also employed to do a comparison. While all other configurations of the two model simulations are the same, the difference between these two simulations specifies exactly the influences of the QBO nudging.

#### 2.4 Methods

115 A composite analysis based on the time series of a QBO index is used to investigate the influences of the QBO on ozone and meteorological parameters. Because of the downward propagating of easterly and westerly regimes, the phase of the QBO is different while selecting QBO indices at different levels (Anstey and Shepherd, 2014). Here we follow the method as indicated by previous studies (Wallace et al., 1993; Randel et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2015), which applied an Empirical Orthogonal Function (EOF) analysis on the equatorial zonal wind in the stratosphere (70-10 hPa). The observed equatorial zonal winds

- 120 are provided by the Free University of Berlin http://www.geo.fu-berlin.de/en/met/ag/strat/produkte/qbo/index.html. A pair of orthogonal principal components (PCs) can be obtained from this EOF analysis (Fig. 1). The first principal component (PC1) of the EOF mode is synchronized with the 20 hPa equatorial zonal wind with a correlation coefficient of 0.99, while the second PC (PC2) is synchronized with the 50 hPa equatorial zonal wind with a correlation coefficient of 0.93. PC1 is selected as the QBO index in this study to indicate the influences of QBO on stratospheric ozone. This is due to 2 reasons: 1) PC1 is close
- to the middle stratosphere ( $\sim$ 10 hPa), where the ozone mixing ratios are highest; 2) The sample size of QBOW and QBOE (QBO easterly) is nearly equal to each other, while the QBOW size is usually much larger than the QBOE size using PC2 (Fig. 1). We then define the QBO westerly (PC1 > 0.5, QBOW) and easterly (PC1 < 0.5, QBOE) phases based on PC1 (Fig. 1a). The QBO associated signals can be obtained by the differences of focusing parameters during QBOW and QBOE phases (QBOW-QBOE). We also check the sensitivity of the results to the standard to define the QBO phases (e.g. using the 0 instead
- 130 of 0.5 standard deviation of the QBO index) and the results persist.

As indicated by previous studies, the zonal mean ozone anomalies associated with QBO are mainly caused by the vertical transportation (Randel and Wu, 1996), which is dominated by the corresponding changes in the meridional overturning circulation in the stratosphere, i.e. the Brewer-Dobson Circulation (BDC) (Butchart, 2014; Coy et al., 2016). To explain the vertical structure of the QBO signals in ozone, the vertical component of the BDC in the meridional plane is calculated using the Transformed Euler Mean (TEM) equation (Andrews et al., 1987) as follows:

$$\overline{w^*} = \overline{w} + \frac{1}{acos\phi} \left(\frac{cos\phi\overline{v'\Theta_z'}}{\overline{\Theta}_z}\right)_\phi \tag{1}$$

Where v and w are the horizontal and vertical winds,  $\phi$  denotes the geopotential height,  $\Theta$  is the potential temperature, overbar and prime denote the zonal mean and deviation of the zonal mean, respectively.

#### **3** Results

135

# 140 3.1 Influences of the QBO on Total Column Ozone

We first revisit the QBO impacts on the TCO using the newest satellite-based multi-sensor reanalysis data (MSR2) with a data record over 40 years (1979-2020). From the MSR2 data, monthly anomalies of TCO near the equator  $(10^{\circ}S-10^{\circ}N)$  are anomalously high during QBOW phases compared with QBOE. At the same time, negative anomalies of TCO can be seen from the subtropics  $(15^{\circ})$  to mid-latitude  $(\sim 50^{\circ})$  in both hemispheres (Fig. 2a). This is consistent with the results of previous

145 studies (Bowman, 1989; Hamilton, 1989; Tung and Yang, 1994). In addition, significant TCO anomalies are also seen in the polar regions of both hemispheres. Positive TCO anomalies are evident during QBOW over the regions from Greenland to western Eurasia (60°W-100°E) while significant negative TCO anomalies exist over other regions in the Arctic and subarctic. Significant positive anomalies of TCO exist over the South America and south Atlantic sector (90°W-0°E), while negative TCO anomalies exist over other areas of the subantarctic. This indicates zonally asymmetric features of TCO anomalies related

- to the QBO, which has not been reported by previous literature to our understanding. Such QBO features are very similar in ERA5 (Fig. 2b) to that seen in MSR2, indicating that ERA5 has a very good representation of the TCO variations. The Natural simulation by the CESM-WACCM model represents the QBO anomalies in TCO pretty well. The spatial pattern of the TCO anomalies associated with QBO from the WACCM simulation as seen in Fig. 2c shows very good consistency with that from the MSR2 data (Fig. 2a) in most of the areas, except that the TCO anomalies in the Antarctic are all positive. The magnitude
- 155 of the negative anomalies in the subtropics from the CESM-WACCM model simulation is also slightly larger than that from the MSR2 and ERA5. Without the QBO nudging, the QBO signals disappear in the NOQBO run. While the only difference between the two model simulations is the QBO nudging, this result indicates that the method of the composite analysis used in this study and the QBO related features mentioned above are robust.
- As mentioned in previous studies, there is a seasonal synchronization in QBO related TCO signals (Hamilton, 1989; Tung and Yang, 1994). TCO anomalies are only significant during the winter-spring of each respective sphere in extratropics. We therefore checked the QBO in TCO during 4 different seasons based on the QBO index in each season (an example of the QBO index in boreal winter is shown in Fig. S1). Fig. 3 gives the global distribution of the TCO anomalies in different seasons resulting from a composite analysis using the MSR2 data. In all 4 seasons, positive TCO anomalies are seen near
- 165 the Equator. This is consistent with previous studies, which indicated that the TCO signals in the tropics are not seasonally dependent (Bowman, 1989). Also consistent with earlier results, the negative TCO anomalies are more significant during the winter-spring of each respective sphere in the extratropics. However, some new features are found in our analysis in the global distribution: the QBO anomalies in TCO over the subarctic in winter are zonally asymmetric, with positive anomalies over the regions of North America and North Atlantic (120°W-0°E) and negative anomalies over other regions; some TCO signals are
- 170 also significant during boreal autumn in the northern hemisphere (NH) with zonally asymmetric features, i.e. positive TCO anomalies over North Atlantic and Eurasia and negative TCO anomalies over the North American Arctic. To further illustrate the robustness of the results , the Natural and the NOQBO simulations are employed. The zonally asymmetric QBO signals in the subarctic during DJF are also significant in the Natural simulation, but disappear in the NOQBO simulation (Fig. S2), which indicates a robust QBO impact. The zonally asymmetric features in SON are less evident in the model, but more significant in 175 MAM, which suggests that there are relatively large uncertainties in the two seasons.

### 3.2 Vertical Structure of the Influences of QBO on Stratospheric Ozone

180

The latitude-pressure cross-sections of ozone monthly anomalies associated with the QBO from different data are shown in Fig. 4. From the merged satellite data, there are double-peaks of positive ozone anomalies over the equator during QBOW phases, which is consistent with previous studies (Randel and Wu, 1996; Xie et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2021). In the extratropics (from subtropics to midlatitudes), there are also double-peak of the negative ozone anomalies, with one peak in the lower stratosphere (70-30 hPa) and the other in the middle stratosphere (20-5 hPa). QBO signals in ERA5 ozone (Fig. 4b) are in good agreement with the merged satellite data, except that the positive anomalies over the equator from ERA5 are not separated vertically.

6

The Natural run also shows good consistency with the satellite and ERA5 data (Fig. 4c), although the positive anomaly in the tropical upper stratosphere from the Natural run is located at a little higher altitude and extended higher than the observations,

and the negative signals are extended higher up to the upper stratosphere in the extratropics. Without a OBO nudging, the

185

signals are all blank indicating the robust contribution of the OBO nudging to the ozone signals in the stratosphere Fig. 4d.

The vertical structure of QBO signals in stratospheric ozone in different seasons is sown in Fig. 5. Similar to the TCO signals, the equatorial ozone signals related to QBO are seasonally independent with positive ozone anomalies in the lower and middle stratosphere in all seasons. The QBO signals in ozone are mainly significant during the winter-spring of each respective sphere

- 190 in the extratropics. Note that there are some positive ozone anomalies during QBOW phases over the Antarctic during JJA. To understand the reason for the vertical structure of ozone anomalies associated with the QBO, the corresponding changes in the vertical component of the BDC are presented in Fig. 6. Seen from the climatological distribution, the ozone volume mixing ratio peaks in the tropics in the middle stratosphere at ~10 hPa (Fig. S3). During QBOW phase, the vertical component of the BDC (w\*) in the tropics is anomalously weak in the lower stratosphere and strong in the middle stratosphere in all
- 195 seasons (Fig. 6), which transports ozone-poor air from the troposphere to the lower stratosphere and ozone-rich air from the middle stratosphere to upper levels and therefore leads to negative ozone anomalies in the lower stratosphere and positive ozone anomalies between 15 to 3 hPa (Fig. 5). The positive anomalies in the tropical middle stratosphere (15-3 hPa) may also be related to the corresponding temperature changes since the gas-phase chemical reactions are temperature dependent (SOLOMON et al., 1985; Zhang et al., 2021). Cold temperature anomalies can be found in the tropical middle stratosphere (Fig.
- S4) because of the enhanced upwelling and subsequent dynamical cooling (Fig. 6), which may also contribute to the positive ozone anomalies in that region. Significant negative anomalies of w\* can be seen in the subtropics and midlatitudes, especially during winter of each respective sphere (Fig. 6), which means enhanced downward motion in these regions bringing ozone-poor air from upper levels to the middle stratosphere. Such negative ozone anomalies in the subtropical middle stratosphere may also be influenced by the warm temperature anomalies (Fig. S4).

## 205 3.3 Global distribution of QBO Signals in Stratospheric Ozone

We now discuss the global (both meridional and zonal) impact of the QBO on the stratospheric ozone, which has not been documented before to our understanding. Fig. 7 shows the global distribution of monthly ozone anomalies related to the QBO at  $\sim$ 10 hPa by a composite analysis using different data sets. From the merged satellite data, the spatial pattern of the ozone QBO is similar to that seen in the TCO anomalies as shown in Fig. 2. During the QBOW phase, zonally asymmetric features

- 210 are seen in the polar regions of both hemispheres (Fig. 7a). The ERA5 data again show a consistency with the satellite data except that the zonally asymmetric feature in the Antarctic is not that obvious (Fig. 7b). The CESM-WACCM model, however, shows some differences with the satellite, with much weaker signals in the tropics. This is due to the shift of the ozone QBO to slightly higher altitudes as shown in Fig. 4c. Without a QBO nudging, the QBO signals seen in Fig. 7c disappear (Fig. 7d), indicating again a robust influence of the QBO on stratospheric ozone. Zonally asymmetric QBO signals in ozone can also be
- 215 found in the lower stratosphere at 50 hPa (Fig. S5), with even more significance in the Arctic. This indicates that ozone changes

in both the lower and the middle stratosphere contribute to the QBO signals in TCO, which is consistent with previous studies (Randel and Wu, 1996).

Fig. 8 gives the global distribution of OBO signals in stratospheric ozone at  $\sim 10$  hPa in different seasons using the merged satellite data. The zonally asymmetric features in the polar regions during boreal winter in the Arctic and during boreal autumn

220

(SON) in both hemispheres are more evident. Especially, clear and significant zonally asymmetric ozone anomalies in both the Arctic and Antarctic regions can be seen in boreal autumn, which have not been documented in previous literature to our understanding. In the Arctic, positive ozone anomalies are seen in the North Atlantic and Eurasia while negative anomalies can be found in other regions. In the Antarctic, positive anomalies are mainly located in the Atlantic sector while negative anomalies are more evident in the eastern hemisphere. It is also interesting that there are some positive ozone anomalies over

- 225 the Antarctic during its winter, which was not mentioned by other studies. However, such positive ozone anomalies are very weak and not significant from the ERA5 data (Fig. S6), indicating that there are large uncertainties in these positive anomalies over the Antarctic. With a longer period of data (1979-2020), ozone anomalies over the Arctic during its winter are slightly more significant in the ERA5 data compared to the satellite data. Similar zonally asymmetric ozone anomalies associated with the QBO are also evident at 50 hPa (Fig. S7). Comparing the QBO signals in the stratosphere (at both 10 and 50 hPa) and the
- 230 TCO anomalies shown in Fig. 3, the similar features indicate that the changes of ozone in the stratosphere play a dominant role in the TCO changes.

#### **Dynamical Mechanism** 3.4

As introduced in the Introduction, stratospheric ozone is related to complex photochemical processes which are temperaturedependent as well as dynamical transport. The meteorological conditions are therefore important for stratospheric ozone varia-

- tions. To understand the possible mechanism of the OBO impacts on stratospheric ozone, meteorological parameters from the 235 ERA5 reanalysis are analyzed. Fig. 9 shows the global distribution of zonal wind (U) anomalies (shading) associated with the QBO and the climatological U (contour lines) in the middle stratosphere (at 10 hPa). While there are westerly anomalies in the tropics during OBOW, asymmetric wind anomalies are seen during winter of respective hemispheres in the extratropics. Easterly anomalies only exist over the Atlantic and the Mediterranean in the midlatitudes and over the North Pacific sector in
- the Arctic, while westerly anomalies are seen in other regions during boreal winter in the NH. In the SH, westerly anomalies 240 can be seen over the western hemisphere of the Antarctic, while easterly anomalies exist over the eastern hemisphere during its winter-spring. The results are different from previous studies (e.g. Anstey and Shepherd, 2014; Watson and Gray, 2014; Andrews et al., 2019), which indicate a strengthening of the polar vortex during winter. This is because of the different QBO index used in this study (PC1, indicating U at 20 hPa) compared to other studies (usually U at 50 hPa).
- 245 Temperature (T) anomalies at 10 hPa associated with the QBO are given in Fig. 10. During QBOW phases, cold temperature anomalies are seen near the equator in all seasons. This is possibly related to the anomalously strong upwelling of the BDC in the tropics as seen in Fig. 6 and subsequent dynamical cooling. In the subtropics, warm temperature anomalies are dominant according to the enhanced downwelling of the BDC and subsequent dynamical warming. In the polar regions, cold anomalies are evident due to the weakening of the downwelling (Fig. 6) and subsequent less dynamical warming. Since the photochemical

- reactions related to ozone production are mostly temperature dependent, temperature anomalies may contribute to the ozone 250 signals related to the OBO. Near the equator, cold temperature anomalies lead to slower ozone destruction and therefore contributes to positive ozone anomalies. For the same reason, warm temperature anomalies contribute to the negative ozone anomalies in the subtropics. In the polar region, the relationship between temperature and ozone is complicated, which is the same sign of changes in some regions (e.g., in the eastern hemisphere of the Arctic in DJF) but the opposite sign of changes in 255 other regions (e.g., over the Antarctic in JJA).

vortex in determining ozone concentrations.

Fig. 11 shows the geopotential height (Z) anomalies at 10 hPa during OBOW phases by a composite analysis. The geopotential height anomalies associated with the OBO are less significant in the tropics with weak positive anomalies in all seasons. Zonally asymmetric Z anomalies are evident and significant in the extratropics. During boreal winter (DJF), positive anomalies are evident in OBOW from eastern North America to western Eurasia over the Arctic, indicating a weaker and more disturbed

- 260 polar vortex. Over other regions of the Arctic, i.e., from eastern Eurasia to the North Pacific, there are negative geopotential height anomalies. This illustrates a shift of the polar vortex. While the polar vortex acts as a barrier that damps the meridional transport and mixing between the polar region and the midlatitudes, it is very cold and the ozone concentrations are very low in the polar vortex. This shift of the polar vortex therefore leads to positive ozone anomalies in eastern North America to western Eurasia and negative ozone anomalies in eastern Eurasia and the North Pacific (Fig. 8d). During boreal summer (JJA,
- winter in the SH), there are also evident zonally asymmetric anomalies of geopotential height, with positive anomalies in the 265 western hemisphere and negative anomalies in the eastern hemisphere over the Antarctic. However, ozone anomalies are all positive over the Antarctic from the merged satellite data. On the other hand, there are some negative ozone anomalies in the eastern hemisphere (0 °E to 140 °E) around the 60 °S from the ERA5 data (Fig. S6), although the signals are not statistically significant. Whether the ozone QBO signals over the Antarctic are zonally asymmetric awaits further investigations. In bo-
- 270 real autumn (SON), zonally asymmetric geopotential height anomalies are evident in both hemispheres. Positive Z anomalies are significant mainly in the North Atlantic and Eurasia over the Arctic during QBOW, while negative anomalies are evident mainly in the North Pacific and North America. This leads to positive (weaker polar vortex) and negative (stronger polar vortex) ozone anomalies in these regions, respectively (Fig. 8c). Over the Antarctic, geopotential height signals change in sign with negative anomalies from 60 °W to 180 °E and positive anomalies in other regions. Such changes of geopotential height 275 associated with the QBO resemble the pattern of ozone anomalies, indicating the important role of the strength of the polar

To further illustrate the processes related to the geopotential height anomalies associated with QBO, we separate the monthly geopotential height anomalies into components of different wave numbers. Fig. 12 gives the overall changes of geopotential height as well as the corresponding changes in wave numbers 1-3 during QBOW over the NH in boreal winter (DJF). The climatological mean of the geopotential height and its wave numbers 1-3 components are also shown. Compare the signals in Fig. 12a and other figure panels, it is obvious that the geopotential height anomalies are dominated by the wave number 1 (wave-1) process. The QBO related wave-1 anomalies are generally out of phase with the climatological pattern with an

280

eastward shift (Fig. 12b), indicating a negative interference to wave-1 activities and a reduced wave-1 amplitude. For wave

numbers 2-3, the QBO related anomalies are much weaker compared to wave-1 and shows destructive interfaces with the climatological waves (Figs. 12c-d).

The three dimensions T-N wave flux (Takaya and Nakamura, 2001) is employed to show the corresponding wave activity changes associated with the QBO. During QBOW, more planetary waves propagate upward over the eastern Eurasia and North Pacific sector (60 °E to 120 °W, red contour lines in Fig. 13a), which is consistent with the Holton-Tan mechanism (Holton and Tan, 1980). However, downward (or less upward) propagation of planetary waves is seen in other sectors of the Arctic (blue

- 290 contour lines in Fig. 13a). The favourable upward propagation of planetary waves over eastern Eurasia and the North Pacific may be due to the relatively large climatological wave flux from the troposphere to the stratosphere in these regions (White et al., 2019). At the same time, more waves propagate to North America and the North Atlantic from lower latitudes but away from the North Pacific to lower latitudes (vectors in Fig. 13a). Due to the wave-mean flow interactions, the convergence of the waves in North America and the North Atlantic, and the divergence of waves in eastern Eurasia and the North Pacific (shading
- 295 in Fig. 13a) lead to deceleration and acceleration of zonal winds (shading in Fig. 13b) over these regions, respectively. This indicates a shift of the polar vortex from the North America and North Atlantic regions to eastern Eurasia and the North Pacific, which can also be seen in the geopotential height anomalies (Fig. 12a). As reported by previous studies, such a shift of polar vortex may cause downward wave propagation in the North America and North Atlantic regions (Zhang et al., 2019; Elsbury et al., 2021), which is consistent with our results (blue contour lines in Fig. 13a).
- In boreal autumn, the QBO anomalies in geopotential height are also dominated by the wave number 1 process, and the wave-1 anomalies are out of phase with the climatological pattern (Fig. S8). For the SH in its spring (SON), the geopotential height anomalies associated with the QBO are also dominated by the wave-1 process, but the wave-1 anomalies are shifted by about 90° compared to the climatological pattern (Fig. S9). These changes in geopotential heights and polar vortex can also be explained by the T-N wave flux activities (not shown). The phase shift or out of phase of wave activities compared to their
- 305 climatological structure is a very complex issue and is out of the scope of this study. Anyway, it is clear that the QBO affects the polar vortex mainly through wave-1 process and leads to zonally asymmetric features in geopotential height and ozone anomalies.

# 4 Conclusions and Discussion

285

The influences of the QBO on ozone have been studied for over 30 years. Here, we first revisited the influences of the QBO on 310 TCO and zonal mean stratospheric ozone using a longer record of merged satellite data (1979-2020 for TCO and 1984-2020 for zonal mean ozone), together with the most recent ERA5 reanalysis and NCAR's CESM-WACCM model simulations. We found seasonally independent positive ozone anomalies in the tropics and negative ozone anomalies during winter-spring of the respective hemisphere in the extratropics, which confirms the results from previous studies (Bowman, 1989; Hamilton, 1989; Tung and Yang, 1994; Randel and Wu, 1996; Butchart et al., 2003). The ERA5 data and the CESM-WACCM model

315 capture the QBO signals in ozone very well, and a single-factor controlling simulation without QBO nudging further confirms the robustness of the QBO impacts on ozone. Some new features in TCO corresponding to the QBO are also found from the global distribution pattern: zonally asymmetric TCO anomalies are evident during autumn-winter in the NH and during spring in the SH over the polar regions; positive TCO anomalies are seen fromNorth America to the North Atlantic (120°W-30°E) over the Arctic in winter (DJF) and mainly in Greenland to Eurasia (60°W-120°E) over the Arctic in autumn (SON); Some

320

positive signals in TCO exist during its spring (SON) in the South America sector over the Antarctic. The TCO anomalies are contributed both by the ozone changes in the lower and middle stratosphere, which are mainly caused by the vertical transport related to the vertical gradient of climatological ozone distribution and changes in the vertical component of the BDC.

We then further investigated the global distribution of ozone anomalies related to the QBO in the stratosphere in monthly mean anomalies as well as in different seasons. Similar to the TCO anomalies described above, evident zonally asymmetric features can be found in ozone anomalies in the middle and lower stratosphere associated with QBO, which are especially sig-

- 325 features can be found in ozone anomalies in the middle and lower stratosphere associated with QBO, which are especially significant during autumn-winter (SON-DJF) over the Arctic and during spring (SON) over the Antarctic. The zonally asymmetric features of ozone in the middle (at ~10 hPa) and lower (at ~50 hPa) stratosphere are in general consistent with the spatial pattern of TCO as described above, indicating the dominate contribution of the stratospheric ozone to the TCO variations. According to the analysis of meteorological parameters, we found that the QBO influences on ozone are mainly through dynamical transport and also related to temperature-dependent chemical production. Besides the well-known weakening/strengthening of
- the polar vortex during the easterly/westerly phase of the QBO (using 50 hPa U) (Anstey and Shepherd, 2014; Watson and Gray, 2014; Andrews et al., 2019; Xie et al., 2020), the QBO (using PC1 as introduced in Methods) leads to a wave number 1 pattern in geopotential height anomalies over the polar regions. Such wave-1 geopotential height anomalies can be explained by the zonal asymmetric changes of wave activities as shown by the T-N flux. The wave-1 anomalies are in general out of phase with the climatological wave-1 pattern during autumn in the NH but shifted by 90° during spring (SON) in the SH.
- Stratospheric ozone is not only essential in protecting life on the Earth, but also has important climate impacts on the surface. More and more studies reported the important role of ozone variations in modifying the stratospheric circulation and therefore influencing the surface climate (e.g. Xie et al., 2020). Since the QBO has relatively high predictability, considering its impacts on stratospheric ozone and subsequent atmospheric circulations may be helpful to improve the prediction of surface weather and climate.

*Data availability.* The MSR2, merged satellite data and the ERA5 reanalysis can be downloaded from the Copernicus Climate Change Service website https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/home. The model simulations can be provided to readers by contacting the corresponding author.

*Author contributions.* W. W. performed the data analysis, plotted the figures and wrote the first draft of the paper. J. H., M. S., H. W. andS. Z. contributed to the interpretation of the results and revised the manuscript. W. J. contributed to the wave number analysis. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

*Acknowledgements.* This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China (2019YFE0125000), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 42075055 and 41875095) and the open project (KLME202003) from the Key Lab-

350

oratory of Meteorological Disaster (KLME), Ministry of Education & Collaborative Innovation Center on Forecast and Evaluation of Meteorological Disasters(CIC-FEMD). We thank the Copernicus Climate Change Service for providing the satellite data, ECMWF for the ERA5 data and NCAR for the CESM-WACCM Model. The model simulations were carried out at the Deutsche Klimarechenzentrum (DKRZ) in Hamburg, by Prof. Katja Matthes's group at the Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel (GEOMAR), Germany.

# References

- Andrews, D. G., Holton, J. R., and Leovy, C. B.: Middle atmosphere dynamics, vol. 40, Academic press, 1987.
  - Andrews, M. B., Knight, J. R., Scaife, A. A., Lu, Y., Wu, T., Gray, L. J., and Schenzinger, V.: Observed and Simulated Teleconnections Between the Stratospheric Quasi-Biennial Oscillation and Northern Hemisphere Winter Atmospheric Circulation, J. Geophys. Res., 124, 1219–1232, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029368, 2019.
  - Anstey, J. A. and Shepherd, T. G.: High-latitude influence of the quasi-biennial oscillation, Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 140, 1–21,
- 360 https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.2132, 2014.
  - Baldwin, M., Gray, L., Dunkerton, T., Hamilton, K., Haynes, P., Randel, W., Holton, J., Alexander, M., Hirota, I., Horinouchi, T., et al.: The quasi-biennial oscillation, Rev. Geophys., 39, 179–229, 2001.
    - Baldwin, M. P., Birner, T., Brasseur, G., Burrows, J., Butchart, N., Garcia, R., Geller, M., Gray, L., Hamilton, K., Harnik, N., et al.: 100 years of progress in understanding the stratosphere and mesosphere, Meteorological Monographs, 59, 27–1,
- 365 https://doi.org/10.1175/AMSMONOGRAPHS-D-19-0003.1, 2019.
  - Banerjee, A., Fyfe, J. C., Polvani, L. M., Waugh, D., and Chang, K.-L.: A pause in Southern Hemisphere circulation trends due to the Montreal Protocol, Nature, 579, 544–548, 2020.
    - Bowman, K.: Global patterns of the quasi-biennial oscillation in total ozone, J. Atmos. Sci., 46, 3328–3343, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1989)046<3328:GPOTQB>2.0.CO;2, 1989.
- 370 Butchart, N.: The Brewer-Dobson circulation, 52, 157–184, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013RG000448, 2014.
  - Butchart, N., Scaife, A., Austin, J., Hare, S., and Knight, J.: Quasi-biennial oscillation in ozone in a coupled chemistry-climate model, J. Geophys. Res., 108, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD003004, 2003.
    - Coy, L., Wargan, K., Molod, A. M., McCarty, W. R., and Pawson, S.: Structure and dynamics of the quasi-biennial oscillation in MERRA-2, J. Climate, 29, 5339–5354, 2016.
- 375 Elsbury, D., Peings, Y., and Magnusdottir, G.: Variation in the Holton-Tan effect by longitude, Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 147, 1767–1787, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3993, 2021.
  - Garfinkel, C. I. and Hartmann, D. L.: The influence of the quasi-biennial oscillation on the troposphere in winter in a hierarchy of models. Part I: Simplified dry GCMs, J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 1273–1289, 2011a.
  - Garfinkel, C. I. and Hartmann, D. L.: The influence of the quasi-biennial oscillation on the troposphere in winter in a hierarchy of models.
- 380 Part II: Perpetual winter WACCM runs, J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 2026–2041, 2011b.
  - Garfinkel, C. I., Shaw, T. A., Hartmann, D. L., and Waugh, D. W.: Does the Holton-Tan Mechanism Explain How the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation Modulates the Arctic Polar Vortex?, J. Atmos. Sci., 69, 1713–1733, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-11-0209.1, 2012.
    - Hamilton, K.: Interhemispheric asymmetry and annual synchronization of the ozone quasi-biennial oscillation, J. Atmos. Sci., 46, 1019–1025, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1989)046<1019:IAAASO>2.0.CO;2, 1989.
- 385 Hansen, F., Matthes, K., and Gray, L. J.: Sensitivity of stratospheric dynamics and chemistry to QBO nudging width in the chemistry-climate model WACCM, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 10464–10474, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50812, 2013.
  - Hasebe, F.: Quasi-biennial oscillations of ozone and diabatic circulation in the equatorial stratosphere, J. Atmos. Sci., 51, 729–745, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1994)051<0729:QBOOOA>2.0.CO;2, 1994.
  - Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., et al.:
- 390 The ERA5 global reanalysis, Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 146, 1999–2049, 2020.

- Holton, J. R. and Tan, H.-C.: The influence of the equatorial quasi-biennial oscillation on the global circulation at 50 mb, J. Atmos. Sci., 37, 2200-2208, 1980.
- Holton, J. R. and Tan, H.-C.: The quasi-biennial oscillation in the Northern Hemisphere lower stratosphere, J. Meteor. Soc. Japan., 60, 140-148, 1982.
- 395 Kodera, K., Thieblemont, R., Yukimoto, S., and Matthes, K.: How can we understand the global distribution of the solar cycle signal on the Earth's surface?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 12 925–12 944, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-12925-2016, 2016.
  - Lee, S., Shelow, D. M., Thompson, A. M., and Miller, S. K.: QBO and ENSO variability in temperature and ozone from SHADOZ, 1998-2005, J. Geophys. Res., 115, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD013320, 2010.
  - Lu, J., Xie, F., Tian, W., Li, J., Feng, W., Chipperfield, M., Zhang, J., and Ma, X.: Interannual variations in lower stratospheric ozone during the period 1984–2016, J. Geophys. Res., 124, 8225–8241, 2019.
  - Marsh, D. R., Mills, M. J., Kinnison, D. E., Lamarque, J.-F., Calvo, N., and Polvani, L. M.: Climate change from 1850 to 2005 simulated in CESM1 (WACCM), J. Climate, 26, 7372–7391, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00558.1, 2013.
  - Matthes, K., Marsh, D. R., Garcia, R. R., Kinnison, D. E., Sassi, F., and Walters, S.: Role of the QBO in modulating the influence of the 11 year solar cycle on the atmosphere using constant forcings, J. Geophys. Res., 115, 18 110, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD013020, 2010.
- 405 Naoe, H. and Shibata, K.: Equatorial quasi-biennial oscillation influence on northern winter extratropical circulation, J. Geophys. Res., 115, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD012952, 2010.

Randel, W. J. and Wu, F.: Isolation of the ozone OBO in SAGE II data by singular-value decomposition, J. Atmos. Sci., 53, 2546–2559. 1996.

- Randel, W. J., Shine, K. P., Austin, J., Barnett, J., Claud, C., Gillett, N. P., Keckhut, P., Langematz, U., Lin, R., Long, C., Mears, C., Miller,
- 410 A., Nash, J., Seidel, D. J., Thompson, D. W. J., Wu, F., and Yoden, S.: An update of observed stratospheric temperature trends, J. Geophys. Res., 114, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010421, 2009.
  - Shangguan, M., Wang, W., and Jin, S.: Variability of temperature and ozone in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere from multisatellite observations and reanalysis data, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 6659-6679, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-6659-2019, 2019.

Silverman, V., Harnik, N., Matthes, K., Lubis, S. W., and Wahl, S.: Radiative effects of ozone waves on the Northern Hemisphere polar 415 vortex and its modulation by the QBO, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 6637–6659, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-6637-2018, 2018.

Solomon, S.: Stratospheric ozone depletion: A review of concepts and history, Rev. Geophys., 37, 275–316, 1999.

SOLOMON, S., GARCIA, R., and STORDAL, F.: TRANSPORT PROCESSES AND OZONE PERTURBATIONS, J. Geophys. Res., 90, 2981-2989, https://doi.org/10.1029/JD090iD07p12981, 1985.

Solomon, S., Ivy, D. J., Kinnison, D., Mills, M. J., Neely, R. R., and Schmidt, A.: Emergence of healing in the Antarctic ozone layer, Science, 353, 269-274, 2016.

420

400

- Son, S.-W., Polvani, L., Waugh, D., Akiyoshi, H., Garcia, R., Kinnison, D., Pawson, S., Rozanov, E., Shepherd, T., and Shibata, K.: The impact of stratospheric ozone recovery on the Southern Hemisphere westerly jet, Science, 320, 1486–1489, 2008.
- SPARC-CCMVal: SPARC Report on the Evaluation of Chemistry-Climate Models, SPARC Report 5, WCRP-132, WMO/TD-1526, 2010.
- Takaya, K. and Nakamura, H.: A formulation of a phase-independent wave-activity flux for stationary and migratory quasigeostrophic eddies
- 425 on a zonally varying basic flow, J. Atmos. Sci., 58, 608–627, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2001)058<0608:AFOAPI>2.0.CO;2, 2001.

- Tao, M., Konopka, P., Ploeger, F., Yan, X., Wright, J. S., Diallo, M., Fueglistaler, S., and Riese, M.: Multitimescale variations in modeled stratospheric water vapor derived from three modern reanalysis products, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 6509–6534, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-6509-2019, 2019.
- 430 Tian, W., Chipperfield, M. P., Gray, L. J., and Zawodny, J. M.: Quasi-biennial oscillation and tracer distributions in a coupled chemistryclimate model, J. Geophys. Res., 111, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006871, 2006.
  - Tung, K. and Yang, H.: Global QBO in circulation and ozone. Part I: Reexamination of observational evidence, J. Atmos. Sci., 51, 2699–2707, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1994)051<2699:GQICAO>2.0.CO;2, 1994.
- Tweedy, O. V., Kramarova, N. A., Strahan, S. E., Newman, P. A., Coy, L., Randel, W. J., Park, M., Waugh, D. W., and Frith, S. M.: Response
  of trace gases to the disrupted 2015-2016 quasi-biennial oscillation., Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 2017.
  - Wallace, J., PANETTA, R., and ESTBERG, J.: Representation of the equatorial stratospheric quasi-biennial oscillation in EOF phase space, J. Atmos. Sci., 50, 1751–1762, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1993)050<1751:ROTESQ>2.0.CO;2, 1993.
    - Wang, W., Matthes, K., Tian, W., Park, W., Shangguan, M., and Ding, A.: Solar impacts on decadal variability of tropopause temperature and lower stratospheric (LS) water vapour: a mechanism through ocean–atmosphere coupling, Climate Dynamics, 52, 5585–5604, 2019.
- 440 Wang, W., Matthes, K., and Schmidt, T.: Quantifying contributions to the recent temperature variability in the tropical tropopause layer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 5815–5826, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-5815-2015, 2015.
  - Watson, P. A. G. and Gray, L. J.: How Does the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation Affect the Stratospheric Polar Vortex?, J. Atmos. Sci., 71, 391–409, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-13-096.1, 2014.
  - White, I., Garfinkel, C. I., Gerber, E. P., Jucker, M., Aquila, V., and Oman, L. D.: The Downward Influence of Sudden Stratospheric Warmings: Association with Tropospheric Precursors, J. Climate, 32, 85–108, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0053.1, 2019.
- WMO, W.: Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2018, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project-Report No. 58, WMO (World Meteorological Organization), 2018.

445

460

- Xie, F., Li, J., Tian, W., Fu, Q., Jin, F.-F., Hu, Y., Zhang, J., Wang, W., Sun, C., Feng, J., et al.: A connection from Arctic stratospheric ozone to El Niño-Southern oscillation, Environ. Res. Lett., 11, 124 026, 2016.
- 450 Xie, F., Zhang, J., Li, X., Li, J., Wang, T., and Xu, M.: Independent and joint influences of eastern Pacific El Niño–southern oscillation and quasi biennial oscillation on Northern Hemispheric stratospheric ozone, Int. J. Climatol., 2020.
  - Xie, F., Li, J., Tian, W., Zhang, J., and Sun, C.: The relative impacts of El Nino Modoki, canonical El Nino, and QBO on tropical ozone changes since the 1980s, Environ. Res. Lett., 9, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/9/6/064020, 2014.
- Zhang, J., Xie, F., Ma, Z., Zhang, C., Xu, M., Wang, T., and Zhang, R.: Seasonal Evolution of the Quasi-biennial Oscillation Impact on the
  Northern Hemisphere Polar Vortex in Winter, J. Geophys. Res., 124, 12568–12586, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030966, 2019.
- Zhang, J., Zhang, C., Zhang, K., Xu, M., Duan, J., Chipperfield, M. P., Feng, W., Zhao, S., and Xie, F.: The role of chemical processes in the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO) signal in stratospheric ozone, Atmos. Environ., 244, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117906, 2021.

Zhang, R., Tian, W., and Wang, T.: Role of the quasi-biennial oscillation in the downward extension of stratospheric northern annular mode anomalies, 55, 595–612, 2020.



**Figure 1.** Time series of the first two principal components (PCs) of QBO from 1979 to 2020 obtained by an EOF analysis applied to the FUB QBO data from 70 to 10 hPa. (a) PC1, indicating the QBO phase in the middle stratosphere at 20 hPa. (b) PC2, indicating the QBO phase in the lower stratosphere at 50 hPa. Red/blue colours are shaded where the QBO indexes are greater/less than half of its standard deviation, indicating the QBOW/QBOE phases.



Figure 2. Influences of QBO (QBOW-QBOE) on global total column ozone (TCO) based on monthly anomalies from different data sets. (a) MSR2 data 1979-2020. (b) ERA5 data 1979-2020. (c) CESM-WACCM Natural run 1979-2020. (d) CESM-WACCM NOQBO run 1979-2020. Stippled areas indicate results that are statistically significant over the 95% level, using the two-tailed Student's t-test.



**Figure 3.** Influences of QBO (QBOW-QBOE) on global total column ozone (TCO) in different seasons based on MSR2 data 1979-2020. (a) MAM. (b) JJA. (c) SON. (d) DJF. Stippled areas indicate results that are statistically significant over the 90% level, using the two-tailed Student's t-test.



Figure 4. Latitude-height cross-section of ozone anomalies associated with QBO (QBOW-QBOE) based on monthly anomalies of zonal mean ozone from different data sets for the period 1985-2020. (a) Merged satellite data from C3S. (b) ERA5 data. (c) CESM-WACCM Natural run. (d) CESM-WACCM NOQBO run. Stippled areas indicate results that are statistically significant over the 99% level, using the two-tailed Student's t-test.



**Figure 5.** Latitude-height cross-section of ozone anomalies associated with QBO (QBOW-QBOE) based on merged satellite data from C3S for the period 1985-2020. (a) MAM. (b) JJA. (c) SON. (d) DJF. Stippled areas indicate results that are statistically significant over the 95% level, using the two-tailed Student's t-test.



**Figure 6.** Latitude-height cross-section of w\* (vertical component of the BDC) anomalies associated with QBO (QBOW-QBOE) from ERA5 data for the period 1985-2020. (a) MAM. (b) JJA. (c) SON. (d) DJF. Stippled areas indicate results that are statistically significant over the 95% level, using the two-tailed Student's t-test.



**Figure 7.** Influences of QBO (QBOW-QBOE) on global stratospheric ozone (10 hPa) based on monthly anomalies from different data sets for the period 2002-2020. (a) Merged satellite data from C3S. (b) ERA5 data. (c) CESM-WACCM Natural run. (d) CESM-WACCM NOQBO run. Stippled areas indicate results that are statistically significant over the 95% level, using the two-tailed Student's t-test.



**Figure 8.** Influences of QBO (QBOW-QBOE) on global stratospheric ozone (10 hPa) based on merged satellite data from C3S for the period 2002-2020. (a) MAM. (b) JJA. (c) SON. (d) DJF. Stippled areas indicate results that are statistically significant over the 95% level, using the two-tailed Student's t-test.



**Figure 9.** Influences of QBO (QBOW-QBOE) on the global zonal wind (U at 10 hPa) based on ERA5 data for the period 1979-2020. The climatological values of zonal winds in each season are also shown (contour lines). (a) MAM. (b) JJA. (c) SON. (d) DJF. Stippled areas indicate results that are statistically significant over the 95% level, using the two-tailed Student's t-test.



**Figure 10.** Influences of QBO (QBOW-QBOE) on global temperature (T at 10 hPa) based on ERA5 data for the period 1979-2020. The climatological values of temperature in each season are also shown (contour lines). (a) MAM. (b) JJA. (c) SON. (d) DJF. Stippled areas indicate results that are statistically significant over the 95% level, using the two-tailed Student's t-test.



**Figure 11.** Influences of QBO (QBOW-QBOE) on global geopotential height (Z at 10 hPa) based on ERA5 data for the period 1979-2020. The climatological values of geopotential height in each season are also shown (contour lines). (a) MAM. (b) JJA. (c) SON. (d) DJF. Stippled areas indicate results that are statistically significant over the 95% level, using the two-tailed Student's t-test.



**Figure 12.** (a) Influences of QBO (QBOW-QBOE) on geopotential height (Z at 10 hPa) in the northern hemisphere winter (DJF) based on ERA5 data for the period 1979-2020. (b-d) The corresponding changes of geopotential height associated with QBO in wave numbers 1-3. The climatological values of geopotential height in winter as well as the climatological patterns of wave numbers 1-3 are also shown (contour lines). Stippled areas indicate results that are statistically significant over the 95% level, using the two-tailed Student's t-test.



**Figure 13.** Influences of QBO (QBOW-QBOE) on T-N wave flux (**a**) and zonal winds (**b**) at 10 hPa north of 30 °N during winter (DJF) based on ERA5 data for the period 1979-2020. In (**a**), the meridional and zonal components of the wave flux are shown as vectors, the vertical component is shown as contour lines (positive in red) and the divergence of the wave flux is shaded. In (**b**), the climatological values of zonal wind are shown in contour lines (solid lines for westerly) and the anomalies are shaded. Stippled areas indicate results that are statistically significant over the 95% level, using the two-tailed Student's t-test.