



1 Effect of dust on rainfall over the Red Sea coast based on WRF-Chem model simulations

- 2 Sagar P. Parajuli^{1*}, Georgiy L. Stenchikov¹, Alexander Ukhov¹, Suleiman Mostamandi¹, Paul A.
- 3 Kucera², Duncan Axisa³, William I. Gustafson Jr.⁴, and Yannian Zhu⁵
- 4
- 5
- ⁶ ¹King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal, Saudi Arabia
- 7 ²National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO 80305, USA
- 8 ³Center for Western Weather and Water Extremes (CW3E), Scripps Institution of Oceanography,
- 9 University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA
- 10 ⁴Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL), Richland, WA 99354, USA
- 11 ⁵School of Atmospheric Sciences, Nanjing University, 210023 Nanjing, China
- 12

13

14

15

- 16
- 17 *Corresponding Author, E-mail: <u>sagar.parajuli@kaust.edu.sa</u>





18 Abstract

Water is the single most important element of life. Rainfall plays an important role in the spatial 19 20 and temporal distribution of this precious natural resource and it has a direct impact on agricultural production, daily life activities, and human health. One of the main elements that 21 govern rainfall formation and distribution is atmospheric aerosol, which also affects the Earth's 22 23 radiation balance and climate. Therefore, understanding how dust compositions and distributions affects the regional rainfall pattern is of crucial, particularly in regions with high atmospheric 24 25 dust loads such as the Middle East. Although aerosol and rainfall research has garnered increasing attention both as an independent and interdisciplinary topic in the last few decades, 26 the details of various direct and indirect pathways by which dust affects rainfall are not yet fully 27 28 understood. Here, we explored the effects of dust on rainfall formation and distribution as well as 29 the physical mechanisms that govern these phenomena, using high-resolution WRF-Chem simulations ($\sim 1.5 \times 1.5$ km) configured with an advanced double-moment cloud microphysics 30 scheme coupled with a sectional 8-bin aerosol scheme. Our model-simulated results were 31 realistic, as evaluated from multiple perspectives including vertical profiles of aerosol 32 concentrations, aerosol size distributions, vertical profiles of air temperature, diurnal wind 33 cycles, and spatio-temporal rainfall patterns. Rainfall over the Red Sea coast is mainly caused by 34 35 warm rain processes, which are typically confined within a height of ~ 6 km over the Sarawat mountains and exhibit a strong diurnal cycle that peaks in the evening at approximately 6 pm 36 local time under the influence of sea breezes. Numerical experiments indicated that dust could 37 38 both suppress or enhance rainfall. The effect of dust on rainfall were calculated as total, indirect, and direct effects, based on 10-year August-average daily-accumulated rainfall over the study 39 40 domain covering the eastern Red Sea coast. For extreme rainfall events (domain-average dailyaccumulated rainfall of ≥ 1.33 mm), the total (6.05%), indirect (4.54%), and direct effects 41 42 (1.51%) were all positive (enhancement). At a 5% significance level, the total and indirect effects were statistically significant whereas the direct effect was not. For normal rainfall events 43 44 (domain-average daily-accumulated rainfall < 1.33 mm), the indirect effect enhanced rainfall 45 (4.76%) whereas the direct effect suppressed rainfall (-5.78%), resulting in a negative net 46 suppressing effect (-1.02%), all of which were statistically significant. We investigated the possible physical mechanisms of the effects and found that the dust direct effects were mainly 47 48 caused by the scattering (absorption) of solar radiation by dust. The surface cooling (warming) induced by scattering (absorption) weakens (strengthens) the sea breeze circulation, which 49 50 decreases (increases) the associated landward moisture transport, ultimately suppressing (enhancing) rainfall. Our results have broader scientific and environmental implications. 51 52 Specifically, although dust is considered a problem from an air quality perspective, our results highlight the important role of dust on sea breeze circulation and associated rainfall over the Red 53 Sea coastal regions. Our results also have implications for cloud seeding and water resource 54 management. 55





56 1. Introduction

- 57 Rainfall rejuvenates plant and animal life. In desert regions, rain events also bring hope and
- 58 excitement. Rainfall affects the distribution of surface and ground water resources, which are
- 59 constantly declining over the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region due to
- 60 overexploitation (Joodaki et al., 2014). A large proportion of global agricultural production is
- 61 indeed dependent on monsoon rainfall. Irregular patterns of rainfall have affected people in many
- 62 countries across the globe, by causing floods and droughts, affecting the regional water resources
- 63 (e.g., Jha et al., 2021), limiting people's access to safe drinking water, and increasing the
- 64 prevalence of water-borne diseases such as malaria and diarrhea (Trinh et al., 2020).

Dust is the dominant aerosol type in desert regions (Kalenderski and Stenchikov, 2016; Parajuli

66 et al., 2020; Ukhov et al., 2020) and it can affect regional water resources by modulating rainfall

distributions (Jha et al., 2021). In regions with long-term water shortages such as the Middle East

and North Africa (MENA), understanding the multifaceted aspects of dust-rainfall connections is

even more important. In desert regions, regional dust storms such as haboobs (e.g., Anisimov et

al., 2018) are often associated with rainfall. The older generation of people in the MENA region

associate certain categories of dust storms with rainfall. Due to the frequent occurrence of dust

72 storms, dust-cloud mixtures are common sights in this region.

Aerosol particles including dust are key to rainfall formation as they provide a surface for

condensation. J. Aitken, a pioneer scientist of the 18th century, said, "There would probably be

no rainfall if there were no dust particles in the atmosphere" (Spurny, 2000), which clearly

⁷⁶ highlights the importance of dust on the Earth's climate.

The process of rainfall is incredibly complex and many aspects of the rain cycle remain unclear

despite sustained research efforts. Although the principles that govern rainfall appear highly

79 complex from a prediction perspective, the basic physics of rainfall are rather simple and

80 mesmerizing. The least understood aspects of rainfall lie within the clouds, particularly the

81 mechanisms by which aerosols affect clouds and the subsequent rainfall.

82 Given that the multiple effects of aerosols on the Earth's climate occur through various direct and indirect pathways, disentangling their effect on rainfall is not easy. Furthermore, previous 83 studies on the effects of aerosols on rainfall have reported contradicting results, with some 84 indicating that dust enhances rainfall while others report a suppressing effect. Generally, aerosols 85 enhance heavy rainfall events and suppress light rainfall events (Choobari, 2018; Li et al., 2011). 86 Although multiple new mechanisms have been recently proposed to explain the underlying 87 causes of these discrepancies (e.g., Fan et al. 2018; Grabowski and Morrison, 2020; Abott and 88 89 Cronin, 2021), these hypotheses are still debated and at times controversial (Choobari, 2018) despite extensive research on the topic. Furthermore, the effect of dust depends on the type of 90 circulation (e.g., Bangalath and Stenchikov, 2015), and therefore the present study is highly 91

92 significant in the coastal areas where sea and land breeze circulations are active. In this work, we

93 specifically focus on the coastal regions of the Red Sea to explore the effects of dust on rainfall.

94 We chose this region because dust-rainfall interaction should be prominent here, if any, given the

95 high levels of atmospheric dust in the region.





96 The effects of aerosol on climate are generally classified into three categories – direct, semidirect, and indirect effects (Lohmann and Feichter, 2001; Forkel et al., 2012; Zeinab et al., 2020), 97 98 all of which affect rainfall in unique ways. Aerosol particles directly affect radiation through scattering and absorption, which is generally known as the "direct aerosol effect." These effects 99 on radiation leads to changes in temperature, wind speed, relative humidity, and atmospheric 100 stability, all of which are collectively referred to as aerosol "semi-direct effects" (Hansen, et al., 101 102 1997). Furthermore, the effects of aerosols through clouds are classified as indirect effects 103 (Twomey, 1991), which in turn are sub-classified into two types. The formation of cloud 104 condensation nuclei (CCN) or ice nuclei (IN) (Dennis, 1980; Stull, 2000) changes the cloud 105 optical properties, particularly cloud albedo, and this is referred to as the "first indirect effect" (Kravitz et al., 2014). The subsequent changes in cloud cover, cloud lifetime and rainfall are 106 referred to as the "second indirect effect" (Lohmann and Feichter, 2001). In the literature, these 107 108 effects are commonly calculated in terms of "radiative forcing." However, here, we calculate 109 how these effects translate into rainfall amounts, to gain insights into the effects of dust on 110 rainfall from a water resources perspective.

Dust can both increase and decrease rainfall by affecting local atmospheric circulation (Jacobson 111 et al., 2006; Rémy et al., 2015). For example, in West Africa, dust can reduce rainfall by 112 inducing a cooling effect that decreases the meridional gradient of moist static energy (Konare et 113 al., 2008). In contrast, dust can also enhance rainfall through dust-induced diabatic warming in 114 the upper troposphere, which enhances regional circulation (Jin et al., 2015) through the 115 116 "elevated heat pump" (EHP) effect (Lau et al., 2010). Dust can act both as IN (Creamean et al., 2013; Jha et al., 2018), which mainly affect cold cloud processes (Ansmann et al., 2005), and 117 118 CCN, which primarily affect warm cloud processes (Li et al., 2010; Twohy, 2015; Jha et al., 119 2018). Nucleation is more effective when the CCN are hydrophilic. Although dust particles are 120 weakly hydrophilic, they are larger and are activated at a higher supersaturation compared to other anthropogenic aerosol species (Karydis et al., 2011). 121 Increases in aerosol concentration increase the number of cloud droplets by shifting the aerosol 122 123 spectrum towards smaller radii for a fixed liquid water content, which ultimately renders the autoconversion or collision-coalescence process in warm clouds less efficient and increases the 124 125 cloud reflectivity, thus inducing a cooling effect on the Earth's surface (Albrecht, 1989; Choobari, 2018). Aerosol particles can reduce the cloud fraction by slowing down rain formation 126 by collision/coalescence (Rosenfeld et al., 2000; Jacobson et al., 2006; Min et al., 2008) but they 127 128 can also increase via the invigoration of convective clouds (Koren et al., 2005). Aerosol invigoration is a process in which aerosols delay the rainfall in the initial stage of convection but 129 causes more rainfall in the mature stage due to the formation of deeper and larger clouds 130 (Andreae et al., 2004; Koren et al., 2005; Koren et al., 2008; Chakraborty et al., 2018; Fan et al., 131 2018). The presence of fine aerosol particles in the atmosphere facilitates the formation of 132 smaller cloud droplets and therefore suppresses rainfall initially. This suppression allows the 133

cloud droplets to reach the freezing point as they rise to higher altitudes. Upon freezing, these

hydrometeors release more latent heat, which ultimately intensifies convective updrafts and
associated cold rainfall (Koren et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2011). One more reason for these

contrasting effects is that the aerosols behave differently in different cloud types. For example, a





- dust layer below a warmer cloud base at approximately 3 km can suppress cloud formation by
 heating, but in a higher cloud base, cloud formation can be strengthened through the contribution
- 140 of CCN/IN (Yin and Chen, 2007). Similarly, the effective radius of ice particles decreases with
- 141 increased aerosol optical depth (AOD) in high clouds, whereas it increases for low clouds (Zhao
- 142 et al., 2019). The rainfall response also depends on whether clouds are located over the continent
- or the ocean (Yin et al., 2002), or whether they are located over pristine remote areas or hazy
- 144 urban regions (Solomos et al., 2011).
- 145 In summary, the effects of aerosol or dust on rainfall are governed by multiple microphysical,
- 146 dynamic and radiative interactions, which can either suppress, enhance, or cause no net effect on
- rainfall depending on the regional geography (Andreae et al., 2004; Han et al., 2009). Therefore,
- regional modeling approaches (e.g., Konare et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2017; Jordan et al., 2020)
- 149 are necessary to understand the regional effects of dust on rainfall. Our study focused on the Red
- 150 Sea Arabian coast, which is among the regions with the highest moisture transport, and where
- both natural (dust) and anthropogenic aerosols exist in high concentrations. Using the Weather
- 152 Research Forecast model coupled with Chemistry (WRF-Chem) (Grell et al., 2005) model
- simulations supported by extensive validation of meteorology, aerosol properties, and
- 154 microphysical parameters, our study aimed to understand the following research questions:
- Does dust enhance or suppress rainfall? What physical mechanisms are responsible for any enhancement or suppression effect?
- 157 2. How does dust interact with local breeze circulations?

158 **2. Methods**

159 2.1. Study domain

Our study was conducted in a small domain over the Red Sea coast, as indicated by the red box 160 (d03) in Fig. 1. The study area covers the King Abdullah University of Science and Technology 161 162 (KAUST), Thuwal, in the north and the city of Abha in the south, the latter of which is famous for its high mountains and rainfall. The domain covers a full section of the Red Sea, the Sarawat 163 164 Mountain range that runs from north to south, and a good portion of the nearby inland deserts (d03). The study domain is encompassed by a middle domain d02, which covers a large part of 165 the Arabian Peninsula and northeast Africa, where major dust exchange occurs between the two 166 167 continents across the Red Sea (Kalenderski and Stenchikov, 2016). The outer domain d01, which 168 is rather large, covers the entire MENA region and includes all regional aerosol sources, as described in Parajuli et al., 2020. 169







170

Figure 1. Study area over the Red Sea coast (d03). WRF-Chem model simulations wereconducted using the nested domains d01, d02, and d03.

173 Precipitation over the Red Sea coast is governed by the complex interactions between sea

breezes, local topography, and upper-level thermodynamics (Kucera et al., 2010). A moisture

175 convergence boundary is created when the moist air from the sea (driven by sea breezes) that is

176 orographically lifted along the mountain slope meets the dry Harmattan winds originating from

the desert, which induces convective cloud development (Kucera et al., 2010; Parajuli et al.,2020).

Land and sea breezes (Simpson, 1994; Miller et al., 2003) are key components of the local
atmospheric circulation that affect the rainfall pattern over the Red Sea coast. During the
daytime, the coastal plains of the Red Sea become warmer, thus creating a pressure low. The
moisture-laden air from the Red Sea then flows towards the low-pressure region, giving rise to
sea breezes (Khan et al., 2015; Parajuli et al., 2020). At nighttime, the land cools down often
below the sea surface temperature particularly during the winter, which drives land breezes that
flow from the land to the sea (Parajuli et al., 2020).

186 **2.2. Observations**

187 Our study employed rainfall data from a recently developed algorithm called the Integrated

188 Multi-satellite Retrievals (IMERG) for Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM), which

combines data from the GPM constellation with the earlier precipitation estimates from TRMM

190 (Tropical Rainfall Measurement Mission) (Liu et al., 2012) to increase coverage, accuracy, and

resolution (Huffman, et al., 2019). We specifically used the level-3 gauge-calibrated multi-

satellite precipitation estimate (PrecipitationCal) V06 dataset available daily at a spatial

193 resolution of $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$.





- 194 Additionally, our study used Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) level-2
- 195 Deep Blue AOD data (Hsu et al., 2004), which are available daily for the whole globe, at a
- resolution of ~ $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$. We also used the MODIS AOD collection 6 dataset (Hsu et al.,
- 197 2013), which features an improved Deep Blue aerosol retrieval algorithm. Data analyses were
- 198 conducted using the daily average AOD from the Terra and Aqua satellites, which encompassed
- 199 measurements at \sim 10:30 am and \sim 1:30 pm local time, respectively.
- 200 Model comparisons were conducted using the aerosol optical depth (AOD) from Aerosol
- 201 Robotic Network (AERONET) (Holben et al., 1998) and aerosol vertical profiles from
- micropulse lidar (MPL) (Parajuli et al., 2020; Lopatin et al., 2021), both from the KAUST station
- 203 (22.3N, 39.1E). We also used cloud-screened and quality-assured level-2 AERONET AOD data,
- which were retrieved using the direct sun algorithm. We also use AERONET V3, level-2 aerosol
- number density and particle size distribution (PSD), which were obtained by inversion (Dubovik
- et al., 2000) and provides volume concentrations in 22 bins between a 0.05 and 15 micron radius
- 207 (e.g., Parajuli et al., 2019). The LIDAR aerosol vertical profiles were retrieved using the GRASP
- algorithm following a multi-pixel approach that allows both daytime and nighttime retrievals
 with the use of collocated AERONET data (Dubovik et al., 2011; Parajuli et al., 2020; Lopatin et
- 210 al., 2020).

Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications version 2 (MERRA-2) data
 (Rinecker et al., 2011) were also used for model comparison.

213 Wind speed data from the KAUST station (Farrar et al., 2009) and radiosonde temperature data

were obtained from King Abdul Aziz International Airport, Jeddah (41024-OEJN: 21.70N,

215 39.18E) available from: <u>http://weather.uwyo.edu/upperair/sounding.html</u>.

- CCN number concentrations were retrieved from VIIRS data following the Automated Mapping
 of Convective Clouds (AMCC) algorithm (Yue et al., 2019) to validate our model results. The
- algorithm extends the novel idea proposed by Rosenfeld et al. (2012) to simultaneously retrieve
- the CCN concentrations and the cloud base updraft speeds using visible and infrared satellite
- data. The number of activated CCN in a convective cloud base can be calculated as a function of
- 221 cloud drop effective radius (varies with altitude as in an adiabatic cloud), which can be retrieved
- from a satellite imager with high-resolution wave bands such as the VIIRS (Visible Infrared
- 223 Imaging Radiometer Suite) onboard the Suomi NPP (National Polar-Orbiting Satellite) (Freud et
- al., 2011; Rosenfeld et a., 2012; Rosenfeld et al., 2014). Similarly, the cloud base updraft speeds
- can be estimated as a linear function of cloud-base height (Zheng and Rosenfeld, 2015;
- 226 Rosenfeld et al., 2016; Yue et al., 2019).
- 227 After identifying the convective cloud cells, the CCN number concentrations from the VIIRS
- satellite were retrieved at different cloud base heights (~0.5–5.5 km) representing different
- locations and times, which resulted in 14 days of data availability in August 2015. For
- comparison, we first extracted the CCN concentrations for each of the 14 days of satellite
- 231 observations closest to the measurement time from the hourly model output. Next, the 3-d model
- data were interpolated along the latitude, longitude, and altitude (cloud base) of the satellite data
- points. The satellite data represented a range of supersaturations, and therefore only the data that





- fell within the modeled supersaturation range (0.02-1.0%) were extracted for further processing.
- The model CCN number concentrations were available at supersaturations of S = 0.02, 0.05, 0.1, 0.05,
- 236 0.2, 0.5, and 1.0%, therefore, for comparison, the model CCN concentrations at the points of
- satellite-retrieved supersaturations were obtained by fitting a 3rd order polynomial on the model

concentrations vs. supersaturations plot at the six model points.

- 239 We also used CCN number concentrations measured using a Droplet Measurement Technologies
- 240 (DMT) CCN counter (Roberts and Nenes, 2005) during a field campaign in the Abha region of
- 241 Saudi Arabia in August 2009 (Kucera et al., 2010). CCN number concentrations were measured
- at a PME (Presidency of Meteorology and Environment) ground station (18.24N, 42.46E) using
- a CCN counter (1–10 micron) at multiple supersaturations (S = 0.2 and 0.7% were used for
- comparison in this study). The model CCN number concentrations at the observation points of S
- = 0.2 and 0.7% were obtained by fitting a 3rd order polynomial equation on the model
- concentrations corresponding to the six model supersaturations, as mentioned previously.
- 247 Size-resolved aerosol concentrations were collected from a research aircraft (A Beechcfaft King
- Air B200) during the field campaign (August 2009) with multiple probes including a Particle
- 249 Measuring Systems (PMS) Forward Scatter Spectrometer Probe (FSSP-100, range 3, 0.5–8 µm
- diameter) (Dye and Baumgardner, 1984) and a Passive Cavity Aerosol Spectrometer Probe
- 251 (PCASP) (0.1–3 μm diameter) (Kucera et al., 2010). For particle size comparisons, model data
- were averaged within the range of flight times (06:00 to 10:00 UTC) during the flight days
- 253 (August 11–30, 2009). The model aerosol concentrations at the exact observation point along the
- 254 flight track with a given latitude, longitude, and altitude were determined via 3-d linear
- interpolation of the model data.

256 2.3. Model simulations

257 2.3.1. WRF-Chem model set-up

258 High-resolution simulations are usually conducted for several days or weeks due to their high computational demand. Simulating full-scale aerosol-climate interactions including indirect 259 260 effects adds further computational burdens. Therefore, considering our purpose, we conducted our model simulations using WRF-Chem at a cloud resolving spatial resolution of 1.5×1.5 km 261 for an entire month (August), of which the first three days were discarded for spin-up. Most 262 263 model evaluations and diagnostic calculations were performed for a reference year (August 264 2015) unless otherwise mentioned. Additional validations are carried out for August 2009 because aerosol size distributions and microphysical data from a field campaign were available 265 during this period. To obtain statistically meaningful calculations of the dust effect on rainfall, 10 266 years of simulations (2006–2015) were conducted specifically for August of each year. The 267 simulations were conducted over the Red Sea coast outlined by the nested domain d03 (Fig. 1), 268 in which the parent domains d02 (4.5×4.5 km) and d01 (13.5×13.5 km) cover the Arabian 269 270 Peninsula/northeast Africa and the MENA region, respectively. August was chosen because during this month the Red Sea coast receives abundant rainfall and sea breezes are relatively 271 strong, which plays an important role in moisture transport over the coastal plains (Mostamandi 272 273 et al., 2021).





- 274 The initial and lateral boundary conditions were obtained using European Centre for Medium-
- Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) operational analysis 6-hourly data downloaded at F640
- 276 Gaussian grids (~15 km). The sea surface temperature (SST) was also updated every 6 hours

277 using the skin temperature field from the same ECMWF dataset.

278 To better represent cloud processes, it is important to use well-developed aerosol chemistry and 279 microphysical schemes (Zhang et al., 2016). Here, we adopted the Model for Simulating Aerosol Interactions and Chemistry (MOSAIC) scheme (Fast et al., 2006; Zaveri et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 280 281 2011) with eight sectional aerosol bins. The MOSAIC scheme is computationally intensive and generates large outputs, as all aerosol concentrations are reported for the eight MOSAIC bins for 282 interstitial and in-cloud aerosols. Our simulations used chem_opt = 10, which couples the CBMZ 283 284 (carbon bond mechanism) gas phase chemical mechanism (Zaveri and Peters, 1999) with the MOSAIC aerosol scheme, and is one of the most developed chemical mechanisms within WRF-285

286 Chem.

287 MOSAIC includes both interstitial and cloud-borne aerosols, cloud-aerosol interactions,

activation/resuspension, nucleation, coagulation, aqueous chemistry, and wet removal (Fast et

al., 2006; Gustafson et al., 2007). Here, we particularly focused on accurately representing dust

aerosols because it is a specific driving force in the region. MOSAIC includes all aerosols of
 interest including dust (included in other inorganic aerosols or "oin" because it is chemically

interest including dust (included in other inorganic aerosols or "oin" because it is chemically
 inert), sea salt, sulfate, BC, and OC (Zhao et al., 2011; Zaveri et al., 2008). Within our model

- setup, aerosols affect clouds and clouds also affect aerosols, e.g., through in-cloud scavenging
- and by forming sulfate aerosols (Yang et al., 2012). Aerosol particles are assumed to be

internally mixed and Köhler's theory is used to relate the aerosol size distribution and

296 composition to the activated CCN as a function of the maximum supersaturation (Abdul-Razzak

and Ghan, 2002; Yang et al., 2012). Aerosol activation from the interstitial to in-cloud state is

- calculated based on a maximum supersaturation determined from a Gaussian spectrum of updraft
- velocities and internally mixed aerosol properties within each size bin (Chapman et al., 2009).
- When the hydrometeors evaporate, particles return to the original interstitial phase (Yang et al., 2012).

302 In MOSAIC, dust is treated as part of the internal mixture used across all aerosol species. Dust 303 emissions contribute dust mass to the different size bins as 'oin' (other inorganics). All gas and 304 aerosol processes (e.g., sulfate formation) operate within the mixture but dust itself does not take 305 part in the chemical reactions, although MOSAIC includes the chemical reaction of CaCO₃ (a constituent of dust) with acids when the proportion of CaCO₃ is provided (Zaveri et al., 2008). 306 Dust itself is considered weakly hydrophilic in WRF-Chem with a hygroscopicity of 0.14 307 308 (Kawecki and Steiner, 2018). However, chemical processes within the aerosol mixture may 309 affect the activation of CCN/IN, which ultimately affects precipitation (Abdelkader et al., 2017; Klingmüller et al., 2019). This is because interstitial aerosols are partially activated as CCN (in-310 cloud or cloud-borne aerosols) at each grid cell and time step by using a volume-weighted bulk 311 hygroscopicity from all aerosol species (e.g., dust, sulfate, oin, sea salt) within each size bin 312 (Kawecki and Steiner, 2009; Tuccella et al., 2015) as a function of the environmental 313 314 supersaturation (Abdul-Razzak & Ghan, 2000). Reduction due to chemical and physical (e.g.,





- coagulation) processes, as well as particle growth, will also cause particles to shift across
- different bins (Abdul-Razzak and Ghan, 2002; Chapman et al., 2009). The volume-average
- 317 refractive index within a given size bin is used to calculate the optical properties using Mie
- theory (Tuccella et al., 2015). Therefore, dust can affect both direct and indirect aerosol
- 319 feedback.
- For cloud microphysics, we used the Morrison double-moment scheme (Morrison et al., 2009),
- 321 which is one of the commonly used microphysics options in WRF. This scheme allows for the
- 322 prognostic treatment of two moments of the hydrometeors (mixing ratios and number
- concentrations) for five species (cloud droplets, cloud ice, snow, rain, and graupel), while
- 324 calculating key microphysical processes such as autoconversion, collection between hydrometeor
- species, melting/freezing, and mass transfer from snow to ice (Yang et al., 2011). Compared to
- the single-moment scheme, which only predicts mixing ratios, the double-moment approach can
- 327 better represent precipitating convective clouds particularly during heavy precipitation episodes
- 328 (Lim et al., 2010). The size distribution of hydrometeors is prescribed from the predicted bulk
- number and mass mixing ratios of different hydrometeor types in an assumed gamma size
- distribution (Gao et al., 2016). The prognostic treatment of the CCN distribution improves the
- 331 simulated cloud properties and radiative effects compared to a prescribed uniform CCN
- distribution, albeit at an increased computational cost (Gustafson et al., 2007). The physics and
- chemistry namelist options used in our WRF-Chem set up is summarized in Table 1.
- 334

Table 1. Physics and chemistry namelist settings used in WRF-Chem.

Description		Namelist Options	References
Physics	Microphysics	mp_physics = 10	Morrison double-moment scheme (Morrison et al., 2009)
	Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL) scheme	bl_pbl_physics = 1	Yonsei University Scheme (YSU) (Hong, et al., 2006)
	Surface layer physics	sf_sfclay_physics = 1	Revised MM5 Monin-Obukhov scheme (Jimenez, renamed in v3.6)
	Land Surface Model	sf_surface_physics = 2	Unified Noah land surface model (Tewari et al., 2004)
	Cumulus parameterization	cu_physics = 0 (turned off)	
	Radiative transfer model	ra_lw_physics = 4, ra_sw_physics = 4	Rapid Radiative Transfer Model (RRTMG) for both shortwave and longwave (Iacono et al., 2008)
Chemistry	Chemistry option	chem_opt = 10 (8)	CBMZ chemical mechanism with MOSAIC 8-bin sectional aerosol scheme (MOSAIC 8-bin aerosol scheme)
	Dust scheme	dust_opt = 13	GOCART dust emission scheme coupled with MOSAIC aerosol scheme
	Photolysis scheme	phot_opt = 1	Madronich photolysis (TUV)





- We included sea salt emissions using a parameterization based on 10-m wind speed (Monahan et
- al. 1986; Gong, 2003). Anthropogenic aerosol emissions were also included in our simulations.
- The emission of sulfur dioxide (SO_2) , which chemically transforms to sulfate aerosols, is
- 339 prescribed using OMI (ozone monitoring instrument)-HTAP (Task Force Hemispheric Transport
- 340 Air Pollution) data for 2015 developed by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration
- 341 (NASA), as in Parajuli et al., 2020. Other emissions including BC and OC as well as SO₂ ship
- emissions are prescribed using the EDGAR (Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research) database v4.3.2 available at a $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$ resolution (Crippa et al., 2018).
- 344 The cloud-aerosol interactions on shortwave (SW) radiation are represented by linking the cloud
- droplet number concentration predicted by the microphysics scheme with the RRTMG
- shortwave radiative scheme. Aerosol direct radiative effects through longwave (LW) are also
- calculated using the RRTMG scheme (Iacono et al., 2000; Zhao et al., 2011). Aerosol indirect
- effects are calculated following Gustafson et al. (2004) to include both first and second indirect
- 349 effects. Aerosol particles acting as CCN are coupled with the Morrison microphysics scheme,
- 350 which allows aerosols to affect the cloud droplet number and cloud radiative properties, while
- also allowing clouds to alter aerosol size and composition through aqueous processes and wet
- scavenging (Gustafson et al., 2004). We explicitly resolved the updrafts using a cloud-resolving
- spatial resolution in the inner domain (d03).
- In MOSAIC, aerosol emissions are independently calculated within its own module in which the
 dust emission is calculated using the original GOCART dust scheme (Ginoux et al., 2001) as
 described by Zhao et al. (2010), which is called by setting dust_opt = 13. Note that this option
 was not implemented in the version of WRF-Chem used herein (3.8.1), but we ported this change
 into our setup (within the subroutine module_mosaic_addemiss.F). We also accounted for
 gravitational settling of aerosols in this work similar to Ukhov et al. (2021), which has not been
 implemented for the MOSAIC scheme in WRF-Chem.
- 361 To represent dust sources, we used the topographic source function developed by Ginoux et al. 362 (2001), which is calibrated to match the simulated AOD with observed AOD as in Parajuli et al. (2020). To accurately simulate the effect of dust on cloud formation and rainfall, it is important 363 to ensure that the simulated AOD is consistent with the observations. The AOD is highly 364 sensitive to the size distribution of the dust particles (Ukhov et al., 2021). Therefore, we 365 366 iteratively adjusted the emission size distribution to match the volume size distribution of 367 aerosols obtained from AERONET as described by Ukhov et al. (2020). There are two places in which the dust size distributions can be adjusted within WRF-Chem. First is the size distribution 368 of the "emitted dust" prescribed in five bins within the GOCART dust scheme, which is 369 specified in phys/module_data_gocart_dust.F. The second is the dust size fractions used by the 370 371 MOSAIC aerosol scheme (8 bins) specified in chem/module_mosaic_addemiss.F. Both of these size fractions were modified to obtain a closer fit to the AERONET volume size distributions. 372 The modified and the default size fractions are presented in Table S1 and S2. 373
 - 11





374 **2.3.2.** Experiments

Designing an appropriate experiment to determine the effect of dust in a model is challenging. 375 376 For example, one can consider a 'baseline' simulation with 'clear' conditions without any aerosols and then add dust to see how it affects the rainfall. However, 'clear' conditions are 377 378 hardly ever observed and thus it is unrealistic to design an experiment with zero rainfall. 379 Therefore, we first considered a real-world scenario as a baseline by including all aerosols (dust, sea salt, sulfate, organic, and black carbon) similar to Klingmüller et al. (2019), in which the 380 381 resulting rainfall closely matches the observed rainfall pattern (Table 2, F1). The baseline experiment is calibrated against MODIS/AERONET AOD data as mentioned previously and 382 thus exhibited a realistic aerosol distribution in terms of optical depth, PSD, and vertical profiles, 383 384 as well as the rainfall pattern (see section 3.2.1). The second experiment is the 'no dust' 385 experiment (Table 2, F2) in which we assigned 'zero' values to the source function in the dust emission equation (Parajuli et al., 2019), thereby effectively eliminating dust emissions from all 386 grid cells in all three domains. Both of the aforementioned experiments include aerosol-radiation, 387 aerosol-cloud, and microphysical interactions, and therefore they represent the total effect (both 388 direct and indirect) of aerosols. From a practical perspective, the all aer experiment represents a 389 'real world' scenario in which all aerosols including dust are included to obtain a realistic rainfall 390 391 pattern, whereas the no dust experiment represents rainfall in an idealized, dust-free world. We 392 also conducted two additional experiments (F3 and F4) to separate the aerosol direct effects from indirect effects. In these two simulations, we restricted aerosol-radiation interactions 393 394 (aer_rad_feedback = 0), both in all_aer (F3) and no_dust (F4) cases, while keeping all the model physics and domain settings the same as in the previous two experiments. Therefore, these latter 395 396 two experiments essentially represent the indirect effects only.

The total effect (Δ_{Tot}), indirect effect (Δ_{Indir}), and direct effect (Δ_{dir}) of dust were then calculated with the following equations:

399	$\Delta_{tot} = F1-F2$	(1))
-----	------------------------	-----	---

 $400 \quad \Delta_{indir} = F3-F4 \tag{2}$

401 $\Delta_{\text{dir}} = \Delta_{\text{Tot}} - \Delta_{\text{Indir}} = (F1 - F2) - (F3 - F4)$ (3)

402 Table 2. WRF-Chem model experiments

Aerosol species	Experiments with		Experiments with		Experiments with direct		Experiments with direct	
-	both di	rect and	indirect effects only		effects only ^a		effects only but without	
	indirect effects						shortwave dust absorption ^b	
	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	F7	F8
	all_aer	no_dust	all_aer,	no_dust,	all_aer,	no_dust,	all_aer,	no_dust,
			no_direct	no_direct	no_indirect	no_indirect	no_indirect,	no_indirect,
							no_absorb	no_absorb
Dust	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no
Sea salt	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Anthropogenic	yes	Yes	yes	Yes	yes	Yes	yes	Yes
(sulfate, OC,								
and BC)								

403 ^{a, b} diagnostic experiments (see section 3.3.2).





404 The physical processes through which dust affects breezes are difficult to understand when both direct and indirect effects are active. Additionally, the indirect effects are more complex and 405 their representation in the model is accompanied by a high degree of uncertainty. To better 406 understand the effect of dust on breezes, we analyzed the direct effects of dust alone from an 407 independent pair of simulations involving the dust direct effects only (F5, F6, Table 2) [i.e., 408 without considering the indirect effects (chem opt = 8)]. 409 The dust direct effect is caused by both scattering and absorption of radiation in the SW bands. 410 411 Therefore, to further understand the relative importance of shortwave cooling and warming resulting from direct effects, we conducted an additional pair of simulations (F7, F8, Table 2), in 412 which we restricted the shortwave absorption of radiation by dust in the previous experiments F5 413 414 and F6. To achieve this, we changed the imaginary part of the refractive index for dust from the default value of 0.003 to 0. 415 The aforementioned effects were calculated for the domain-average daily-accumulated rainfall 416 417 over the study period of August 4-31 for each year between 2006–2015 as the difference of rainfall amounts between the experiments all aer (x) and no dust (y). The statistical significance 418 419 of the effect was determined from the entire 10 years of simulations by creating a uniform 420 sample of domain-average daily-accumulated rainfall data consisting of 280 (10×28 days) data 421 points. Statistical analysis were then conducted by separating the data into two categories: extreme and normal rainfall events. This separation is meaningful because extreme rainfall 422 423 events are more influenced by synoptic features whereas normal rainfall events are more 424 influenced by diurnal-scale sea breeze circulation. High and low rainfall regimes are also known to respond differently to a given aerosol loading (Li et al., 2011; Choobari, 2018). Extreme 425 rainfall events were separated from normal rainfall events using the 90th percentile value of the 426 rainfall data from F1 experiment, which was 1.33 mm. Specifically, days with domain-average 427 daily-accumulated rainfall values greater than or equal to 1.33 mm were considered extreme 428 429 rainfall events, whereas those with values below 1.33 mm were considered as normal rainfall events. With this criterion, the effective numbers of samples (days) available for statistical 430 431 analysis were 31 and 243 for extreme and normal rainfall events, respectively. Using MATLAB, the statistical significance of the effects was determined with the Wilcoxon signed-rank test 432 (Hollander and Wolfe, 1999; Gibbons and Chakraborti, 2011), which is recommended for data 433 with non-normal distributions such as rainfall. The null hypothesis of the test considered that the 434 difference [all aer (x) – no dust (y)] comes from a distribution with zero median. The same 435 436 method was applied to identify significant effects among other parameters including 2-m air temperature, 10-m winds, and 2-m water vapor mixing ratio. 437

438 **3. Results**

439 **3.1. Model validation**

440 Here we present a comprehensive evaluation of WRF-Chem from multiple perspectives,

- 441 including diurnal cycles, vertical profiles, spatial distribution, and column-averaged properties,
- before using the model for answering our research questions listed in section one. All results in
- this section correspond to the 'real world' case (all_aer) unless otherwise stated.







Figure 2. (a) Simulated daily-mean total AOD as compared to MODIS and MERRA-2 data at
KAUST and (b) simulated daily-accumulated rainfall (mm) as compared to IMERG data,
averaged over the study domain (d03).

Figure 2a shows the domain-averaged (d03) time series of model-simulated AOD (all_aer case)
during the study period compared to AERONET, MODIS, and MERRA data. The model AOD
generally agrees well with both datasets although the peaks during the dust storm (August 8–9)
tend to be underestimated. The average AOD corresponding to the no_dust case is also presented

in Fig. 2a to provide a sense of how much AOD is increased with the addition of dust.

455 The time-series profile of the model-simulated daily-accumulated rainfall follows the trend in the

456 IMERG data (Fig. 2b). The rainfall peaks including the largest rain event during the study period

- 457 (~ Aug 25, 2015) were reproduced reasonably well. Some discrepancy is expected because there
- are usually fewer microwave imager observations included in the IMERG data in the
- 459 tropical/subtropical region.
- Fig. S1 illustrates comparison between the simulated aerosol volume size distribution and the
- 461 corresponding AERONET size distribution. The two distributions agreed well, especially in the
- 462 finer mode that is centered at ~ 0.1 microns, which is critical from the perspective of the
- 463 contribution of aerosols in the formation of CCN/IN. It is also important to note that this finer
- 464 mode was non-existent in the model when using the default aerosol size distribution. Therefore,





- we adjusted both dust emission fractions (Table S1) as well as MOSAIC dust size fractions
 (Table S2) so that the resulting size distribution matched the AERONET data more accurately, as
- 467 mentioned earlier.
- 468 Figure 3 shows the model-simulated vertical profiles of air temperature (left) and aerosol
- 469 concentrations (right) compared to key observations. The simulated temperature profile was
- 470 generally consistent with the radiosonde observations as well as ECMWF operational analysis
- 471 with some discrepancies at the cloud-level heights and near the surface. The temperature at the
- 472 site does not show large daytime and nighttime variations. Figure 3 also shows the profiles of
- 473 aerosol concentrations at KAUST averaged over the study period. The profiles of the model,
- 474 MERRA-2, and LIDAR data were identical. However, the model and MERRA-2 slightly
- 475 overestimated aerosol concentrations near the surface compared to the LIDAR data.



476

Figure 3. Average vertical profiles of air temperature (left) and aerosol concentrations (right)
compared to reference observations. The air temperature profile was compared against ECMWF
operational analysis and radiosonde station data at King Abdul Aziz International Airport,
Jeddah (21.7N, 39.18E) during the daytime (12:00 UTC) and nighttime (00:00 UTC) by

- 481 averaging during the study period (4–31 August 2015). Simulated aerosol mixing ratios were
- 482 compared against MERRA-2 reanalysis and MPL LIDAR station data at KAUST (22.30N,
- 483 39.10E) for 4–31 Aug 2015.







484

Figure 4. Diurnal profile of the model-simulated wind speeds compared to station data over the
study period (Aug 4–31, 2015) at KAUST (22.30N, 39.10E). The shading represents the standard
error of the mean calculated from the hourly wind speeds.

488 Figure 4 shows the wind speed diurnal profile in the model and the observations at KAUST,

489 which were reasonably consistent. The model overestimated wind speeds mainly during the

afternoon, which is when the flow is more chaotic as the sea breezes meet the northeasterly

491 harmattan winds. The peak winds occur at ~ 12:00 UTC (15:00 local time), which correspond to

492 the sea breeze maxima.





Figure 5 shows the spatial distribution of accumulated rainfall during the study period over the
study domain (d03) compared to the IMERG data, both of which were reasonably consistent
with each other. The rainfall pattern follows the length of the Sarawat Mountains stretching north
to south. The southern areas of the domain receive more rainfall due to the presence of higher
mountains.









Figure 6 shows the aerosol number size distributions compared to the flight data, which were
reasonably similar. The model data (8-bins) were extracted at the exact latitude, longitude, and
altitude corresponding to the flight data by 3d linear interpolation and averaged over the days
available (Aug 11–30, 2009) during the time of measurements (~06:00 to 09:00 UTC).



Figure 7. Comparison between model-simulated CCN number concentrations and groundmeasured values at the PME station (18.24N, 42.46E) at supersaturations of 0.2 and 0.7%. The

512 CCN number concentrations correspond to the ground station at Abha. The plotted point

represents the average value for different days of measurement from August 11–30, 2009

514 approximately from 02:00 to 08:00 UTC.







Figure 8. Model-simulated vs. VIIRS satellite-retrieved CCN number concentrations for six days
of available data within the study domain during the August 2015 study period.





- 518 Figure 7 shows the comparison between the CCN number concentrations obtained from the
- 519 model and from ground station at two super-saturations measured during the Aug 2009 field
- 520 campaign. CCN number concentrations are generally overestimated by the model at both
- 521 low/high super-saturations by up to a factor of two.
- 522 Figure 8 shows the comparison between the model-simulated CCN number concentration and the
- satellite-retrieved data from VIIRS. The data points represent CCN number concentrations at the
- cloud base of existing convective cells on different days over the study domain (d03). Similar to
- the previous comparison, the model overestimates CCN number concentration compared to the
- 526 VIIRS data also by approximately a factor of two. This order of difference, although large, is
- 527 reasonable for microphysical parameters given the high uncertainty in their parameterization.
- 528 Since the rainfall amount is reasonably well simulated (Figs 2b and 5), the overestimation of
- 529 CCN concentration suggests that CCN is not a limiting factor for rain formation in the study
- region. These findings are reasonable because the study region is not aerosol-limited, and
- therefore cloud growth and rainfall do not strongly depend on the changes in CCN
- concentrations, unlike in other aerosol-limited areas (Koren et al., 2014).

533 **3.2. Rainfall diagnostics**

This section presents the diagnostic results of the key parameters related to the rainfall process todemonstrate the accuracy of our rainfall calculations.

Figures 9a and 9b show the rainwater mixing ratio in two longitudinal cross-sections, one

537 passing through KAUST (22.3N, 39.10E), a relatively dry area, and another through Abha

538 (18.25N, 42.51E), a region known for rainfall abundance. Maximum rainfall occurs in the

evening at 15:00 UTC (6 pm local time) at both locations in the convergence boundary (i.e.,

540 where the sea breezes meet with Harmattan winds). The rainfall is limited to a ~6 km height

around the hilly terrain. There is less rainfall near the coast, where the majority of the population

resides, because the rain evaporates well before it reaches the ground due to high surface

temperature. The moisture-laden sea breezes can be prominently seen during the day within ~1.5

544 km height. Furthermore, these sea breezes strengthen as they travel upslope over the Sarawat

545 Mountains (black shades). The dry northeasterly Harmattan winds, which usually bring dust

from the desert towards the Red Sea during dust storms (Prakash et al., 2014; Parajuli et al.,

547 2020) can be seen at a \sim 3–6 km height.







Figure 9. Rainwater mixing ratio and wind vectors averaged at the time of rainfall maxima over
the study period (August 4–31, 2015) at two longitudinal cross-sections passing through (a)
KAUST and (b) Abha.

Figure 10 shows the cloud water mixing ratio profiles at the longitudinal profiles passing through
KAUST and Abha at 15:00 UTC, which provides insights into the vertical position and extents
of the clouds. The locations of clouds are consistent with the locations of rainfall maxima in Fig.
Most clouds are observed at a ~5–6 km height at both locations, suggesting that the warm
cloud processes are responsible for causing rainfall in the region. The height of deeper,
convective clouds ranges from ~3 to 10 km. The clouds are generally deeper where rainfall is
more intense, which suggests the existence of local convective activity.

Although more clouds are observed over KAUST (Fig. 10a) than over the Abha region (Fig.

10b), more rainfall occurs over Abha because the steeper topographic slope over the Abha region

facilitates stronger orographic lifting of the moist air mass, which converts more easily into rain.

As a result, the maximum rainfall over the Abha region occurs on the front side of the mountains

- 564 (Fig. 9a), whereas the maximum rainfall over the KAUST region was observed on the lee side
- 565 (Fig. 9b). Additionally, there is more evaporation over the KAUST region due to its higher
- surface temperature compared to the Abha region, which reduces the amount of rainfall that
- reaches the ground but contributes to more cloud formation.
- 568









569

Figure 10. Profile of cloud water mixing ratio for a longitudinal section passing through (a)
KAUST and (b) Abha, averaged for August 4–31, 2015 at 15:00 UTC. The location of KAUST

and Abha City are indicated with black vertical lines.

Figure 11 shows the spatial distribution of the CCN number concentrations at a 0.2%

supersaturation for all_aer (F1), nodust (F2) and their difference (F1-F2). In the absence of dust,

575 CCN # concentrations are generally uniform throughout the domain (Fig. 11b). There is up to

ten-fold increase of CCN after addition of dust (Fig. 11a), making dust the major contributor of

total CCN. The simulated CCN # concentrations in no_dust case are in the range of ~40–50 (Fig.

578 11b), which are too low compared to the observed CCN # concentrations, which are roughly in $(\overline{\Sigma}^2 - \overline{\Sigma}^2 - 10)$.

the range of 500–1000 in observations (Figs. 7 and 8). Although model CCN # concentrations

are overestimated compared to observations as discussed previously, it is clear that addition of
 dust brings the CCN # concentrations much closer to observations (Fig. 11a) compared to the

582 case without dust (Fig. 11b).







Figure 11. CCN number concentrations at 0.2% supersaturation at a cloud-level height (570 hPa)
averaged at 15:00 UTC for August 4–31, 2015 (a) all_aer (F1), no_dust (F2), and (c) the

586 difference F1-F2.

587

583



588

Figure 12. Effects of dust on the clear-sky (left two columns) and all-sky (right two columns)

radiative fluxes at the bottom of the atmosphere calculated from 10-year August average WRF-Chem simulations.

To accurately evaluate the effect of dust on rainfall, it is important to ensure that the dust effectson radiative fluxes are reasonably well simulated. To gain insights into the relative importance of





dust and clouds on radiative budget, the effects of dust on radiative fluxes for clear-sky (without
 clouds) and all-sky (with clouds) conditions were evaluated separately.

596 Figure 12 (left two columns) shows the effect of dust on clear-sky radiative flux in terms of total,

- indirect, and direct effects at the bottom of the atmosphere. Dust decreases the radiative flux that
- reaches the surface due to SW scattering and absorption, and therefore the direct effect is
- negative, which in turn governs the total effect. The effect of dust on LW radiative flux is
- 600 positive because dust absorbs LW radiation. The clear-sky indirect effects are non-zero but very
- small compared to the direct effects. These small indirect effects arise due to feedback processes

602 that cause small perturbations in cloud properties. Figure 12 (right two columns) shows the

effects of dust on all-sky (i.e., with clouds) radiative flux. The all-sky radiative fluxes exhibited
small changes in the indirect and direct effects due to the clouds both in the SW and LW bands.

- The magnitude and sign of SW and LW dust radiative forcing are consistent with the results of
- 606 Klingmüller et al., 2019.

607 **3.3. Dust effect on rainfall**

608 3.3.1. Dust direct and indirect effects

Figure 13 (a, b, c) shows the dust effects on 2-m air temperature. Dust induces a total cooling

effect over the lands (Fig. 13a), which appear to be dominated by the direct effects (Fig. 13c)

rather than the indirect effects (Fig. 13b). Dust also induces warming in some inland areas and

over the ocean, which is affected by both the indirect and the direct effects (Figs. 13b and 13c).

613 The total and direct effects were largely statistically significant (black dots) but the indirect

614 effects were significant only over the lands.

In turn, the cooling and warming of the land surface affects the winds. Figures 13 (d, e, f) shows

the effects of dust on surface winds. As with surface temperature, the direct effects had a

617 stronger influence compared to the indirect effects on winds as well. The direct effects on winds

- 618 were statistically significant along the coast, which confirms the impact of dust's direct effects 619 on sea breezes.
- A high positive moisture anomaly was observed over the land (Fig. 13 g, h, i), particularly with

the direct effect (Fig. 13i). The moisture increase over the land caused by the direct effect is

further amplified by the weaker indirect effect making the total effect more widespread. The

623 increased moisture due to the direct and total effect were both statistically significant. The reason

for the positive moisture anomaly over the land in relation to sea breeze is explained in thesection below.













630	Table 3. Total, indirect, and direct effects of dust on rainfall for extreme and normal rainfall
631	events.

Case	Total effect (Δ_{tot})			Indi	irect effect ((A _{indir})	Direct effect (Δ _{dir})		
	Domain average rainfall (mm) F1 all_aer	Domain average rainfall (mm) F2 no_dust	Effect (F1-F2) mm (%)*	Domain average rainfall (mm) F3 all_aer	Domain average rainfall (mm) F4 no_dust	Effect (F3-F4) mm (%)*	all_aer	no_dust	Effect (F1-F2) - (F3-F4) mm (%)*
Extreme rainfall	2.404	2.264	0.140 (6.05)	2.347	2.242	0.105 (4.54)	0.057	0.022	0.035 (1.51)
events	Signif (p-va	icant? alue)	yes (0.004)	Signif (p-va	icant? alue)	yes (0.048)	Signif (p-v	ficant? alue)	no (0.367)
	0.287	0.290	-0.003	0.306	0.292	0.014	-0.019	-0.002	-0.017
Normal			(-1.02)			(4.76)			(-5.78)
rainfall	Signif	ficant?	no	Signif	icant?	yes	Signif	ficant?	yes
events	(p-v:	alue)	(0.083)	(p-va	alue)	(<0.0001)	(p-v	alue)	(<0.0001)

⁶³² *Percentage of average rainfall (F1, F2, F3, and F4).

Table 3 summarizes the effects of dust on rainfall for extreme and normal rainfall events

calculated in terms of a 10-year average daily-accumulated rainfall over the study domain (d03)

during the month of August. For the extreme-rainfall events, the total effect (0.140 mm), indirect

effect (0.105 mm), and direct effect (0.035 mm) were all positive (enhancement). The total,

637 indirect, and direct effects in terms of percentage of average rainfall are 6.05, 4.54, and 1.51%,

respectively. The total and indirect effects are significant at the assumed 5% significance level

but not the direct effect. The direct effect, although small and statistically insignificant,

640 contributed to the larger indirect effect making the total effect statistically significant.

641 For the normal-rainfall events, the change in rainfall amount due to total, indirect, and direct

effects are -0.003, 0.014, and -0.017 mm, respectively. Both the rainfall changes from the

643 indirect effect (positive) and the direct effect (negative) were statistically significant at the

assumed 5% significance level. The total, indirect, and direct effects in terms of percentage of

average rainfall were -1.02, 4.76, and -5.78%, respectively. The indirect and direct effects, which

are opposite in sign and nearly equal in magnitude, cancel each other out making the total effect

small but statistically insignificant. However, note that the total effect could be considered

significant if the significance level was increased to 10% (p = 0.083).

649 Although the domain-average rainfall change caused by dust averaged over multiple years

650 (2006–2015) appeared small, the effect can be large at different grid points and times. For

example, for the year 2015, the accumulated rainfall changes (total effect) for August at the grid

point maxima and minima within the domain were 92.0 mm (190.0%) and -70.0 mm (-46.6%),

653 respectively.

The total, indirect, and direct effects were also calculated for the total number of wet days

655 (average daily-accumulated rainfall \geq 1 mm). The number of wet days increased by three due to



668



the indirect effects but decreased by four by the direct effects, resulting in a total net increase ofone day.

Table 3 summarizes the dust direct effect (Δ_{dir}) calculated using the standard method mentioned 658 in section 2.3.2 [i.e., by subtracting the indirect effect (Δ_{indir}) from the total effect (Δ_{tot})]. To 659 verify the validity of this method, we compared the results obtained from this method with the 660 direct effect calculated from direct-effects-only experiments (F5, F6, Table 2) for Aug 2015. The 661 662 direct-effects-only experiments allow us to more directly calculate effects of dust on rainfall 663 induced by land surface cooling or warming using the same model but with simpler settings without the indirect effects. The dust direct effect calculated from these direct-effects-only 664 simulations (-0.046 mm) agreed very well with the results obtained from the standard method (-665 666 0.045 mm). The consistency of these two results confirms the robustness of our results.

667 3.3.2 Physical mechanism of the dust direct effects



Figure 14. Left two columns: spatial patterns of 2-m air temperature (a, b), 10-m wind vectors (c, d), and 2-m water vapor mixing ratio (e, f) averaged at the time of sea breeze maxima (15:00
UTC) throughout the period of August 4–31, 2015 from the direct-effects-only experiment for all_aer case: F5 (first column) and the difference all_aer-no_dust: F5-F6 (second column). Right two columns: same as the left panel but without shortwave absorption, showing all_aer case (F7)

and the difference all_aer-no_dust (F7-F8).





The results of the direct-effects-only simulations (F5, F6, Table 2) are presented in Fig. 14 (left two columns). The cooling effect was dominant in the coastal areas, whereas warming was also

observed in some inland areas particularly in the southern region (Fig. 14b). Figure 14d

678 demonstrates that the breezes are weakening and even reversing from land to sea in the areas of

679 cooling (~ 22N) due to the dust direct effects. However, in the areas that exhibited warming

- 680 (~18.5N), sea breezes strengthened as the land warming further increased the land-sea thermal
- 681 contrast.

A strong positive moisture anomaly was observed over the land in the direct-effects-only 682 simulations (Fig. 14f, left two columns). This is intriguing because we expected a reduction in 683 moisture transport over the land due to the dust direct effects as a result of land surface cooling, 684 and a subsequent weakening of the sea breezes (Mostamandi et al., 2021). Figure 14 also shows 685 the results of the additional experiments in which the SW absorption was restricted (F7, F8), as 686 mentioned in section 2.3.2. Given that the SW absorption was eliminated, this experiment allows 687 us to better understand the effect of dust on sea breezes via the cooling effect alone (i.e., without 688 warming effects). However, note that the effect of dust is complex as it warms the atmosphere 689 690 and cools the surface (Choobari et al., 2014). Nevertheless, this elimination of SW absorption removed the dust-induced warming observed earlier over the land (compare Figs. 14b left and 691 right panel). Since the cooling effect becomes dominant, sea breezes are now weaker and 692 therefore the landward moisture transport is considerably reduced, which is evident by 693 comparing the left and right panel of Figs. 15f. These results confirm that the high positive 694 moisture anomaly over the land by dust direct effects is caused by the strengthening of sea 695 breezes as a result of dust-induced warming. Although it is generally understood that SW 696 697 absorption decreases the radiation reaching the surface and thus cools the surface (e.g., Choobari et al., 2014), we observed surface warming because most of the atmospheric dust here lie very 698 near to the surface (Parajuli et al., 2020), which is evident in Fig. 3b. The observed effects on 699 700 breezes are broadly consistent with those of Mostamandi et al. (2021), who also observed a weakening of albedo-induced land cooling on sea breezes associated with the strong land 701 702 cooling, which reduces the thermal contrast between the land and the ocean.

703 4. Summary discussion and limitations

The rainfall over the Red Sea coastal area has a strong diurnal cycle peaking at approximately
15:00 UTC coinciding with the moisture-laden westerly sea breezes uplifted by the coastal
topography meeting the easterly Harmattan winds over the Sarawat Mountains. The dust
modifies rainfall through both indirect and direct effects over the study region by affecting the
sea breeze circulation. The various pathways of dust-rainfall interactions occurring over the Red
Sea coast are summarized in a schematic diagram presented in Fig. 15.









Figure 15. Schematic diagram representing the rainfall processes and dust-rainfall interactionsover the Red Sea coast.

713 In summary, dust enhances rainfall for extreme rainfall events but suppresses rainfall for normal 714 rainfall events. These results are consistent with previous studies (e.g., Choobari, 2018; Li et al., 2011), which show that dust increases (decreases) rainfall in high (low) rainfall conditions. For 715 716 normal rainfall events, the suppressing direct effect is strong and significant, which is governed 717 by the weakening of the diurnal-scale sea breezes in response to SW cooling by dust. For 718 extreme rainfall events, the direct effect was positive but was not statistically significant, which could perhaps become significant with a larger sample size. Physically, the direct effect in the 719 720 extreme rainfall events is governed by diverse synoptic processes and breezes do not play a significant role in the effect. 721 Dust can modify cloud properties through both direct and indirect effects. The indirect effects are 722

positive because the dust directly contributes to the formation of CCN. This is evident in Fig.

724 S2b, which shows a statistically significant increase in cloud water mixing ratios over the lands

725 due to the indirect effects. As expected, the changes in clouds caused by the dust direct effects $\overline{12}$

726 are not statistically significant in most areas (Fig. S2c). Dust indirect effects are more complex

727 but aerosols are known to suppress rainfall at the initial stage of convection and enhances rainfall during the meture stage through aerosol invigoration (Andress et al. 2004) Koren et al. 2005;





Koren et al., 2008; Chakraborty et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2018). Increased aerosol concentration
can also increase cloud-top evaporation, thus reducing the cloud coverage (Choobari, 2018).

- 731 Dust evidently induces significant surface cooling and warming through indirect effects as well
- (Fig. 13b), as clouds scatter and absorb shortwave radiation similar to dust. Therefore, we
- concluded that the rain suppression (enhancement) over the study region is governed primarily
- by dust-induced land surface cooling (warming) either directly or through clouds, which
- vultimately decreases (increases) landward moisture transport by weakening (strengthening) sea
- breeze circulation. It is also worth noting that the net effect of dust on surface temperature
- through clouds depends on the cloud heights and other cloud properties.
- 738 In this study, we evaluated the relative contribution of direct and indirect effects of dust on
- rainfall and explored associated physical mechanisms using well-developed microphysical and
- aerosol schemes in WRF-Chem. Modeling rainfall processes entails some uncertainty, which is
- mainly related to the effect of aerosols on clouds. There are several microphysical processes
- 742 governing dust-cloud-rainfall interactions that are not fully understood or implemented yet in
- 743 WRF-Chem (e.g., the prognostic treatment of ice nucleation by dust) (Chapman et al., 2009).
- 744 Therefore, our model simulations may have not captured some dust-cloud-rainfall interactions
- 745 occurring in reality, particularly those related to cold-cloud processes.
- 746 Broader implications
- 747 Through high-resolution model simulations, complemented with multiple observational data, we investigated how dust affects rainfall over the Red Sea coastal region through direct and indirect 748 749 effects. Our study has broader social and environmental implications. While dust and dust storms are generally considered detrimental from an air quality perspective, our study highlights their 750 751 contribution in modulating rain, an essential element of plant and animal life. A Better 752 understanding of regional rainfall process can be helpful for planning and managing regional 753 water resources as the replenishment of surface and ground water largely depends on precipitation (Mostamandi et al., 2020). A better understanding of the dynamics of extreme 754 755 rainfall events could also aid in the development of strategies to minimize their catastrophic outcomes such as heavy flooding and loss of public property (e.g., de Vries et al., 2013). Recent 756 757 studies suggest that there is an increase in the dust/aerosol activity in the region (e.g., Klingmüller et al., 2016). In this context, our model experiments (no dust and all aer) can also 758 759 provide insights into how increased dust activity affects regional rainfall patterns. 760 Our study also has implications from a cloud-seeding perspective, which is relevant in the
- context of recent rainfall enhancement efforts over the region (e.g., Yanlong et al., 2017). Cloud
 seeding experiments were conducted in the southwest of Saudi Arabia in the Asir mountainous
- region in 2006–2008 using AgI, which receives a relatively high amount of precipitation
- 764 (Sinkevich and Krauss, 2010). Those results demonstrated the feasibility of cloud seeding over
- 765 the region by showing that the reflectivity of seeded clouds was significantly different compared
- to that of natural clouds (Sinkevich and Krauss, 2010; Krauss et al., 2011). However, our results
- suggest that cloud seeding efficiency may be affected by the presence of background dust
- aerosols, and that it may not be as effective in dusty regions as in clean environments. Therefore,





- before investing on expensive field experiments on cloud seeding, it would be beneficial to
- evaluate the effectiveness of cloud seeding through regional modeling in the areas of interest as
- done in this study.

772 **5. Conclusion**

773 Our study evaluated the effect of dust on rainfall over the Red Sea coastal plains using a double-

moment microphysics scheme (Morrison) combined with an advanced aerosol scheme

(MOSAIC) in WRF-Chem. The model captured the magnitude of AOD and aerosol vertical

- profiles, the vertical profile of air temperature, the diurnal cycle of winds, spatio-temporal
- variation of accumulated rainfall, and the CCN number concentrations over the study domain
- reasonably well.

The rainfall over the Red Sea coast is mainly governed by warm cloud processes, which mainly

occur within a ~5 km height. Rainfall has a strong diurnal cycle, which peaks in the evening at
 approximately 15:00 UTC (6 pm local time) under the influence of sea breezes.

- We calculated the total, direct, and indirect effects of dust on rainfall for extreme and normal 782 rainfall events in terms of the 10-year (2006-2015) August average daily-accumulated rainfall 783 over the study domain (d03). For extreme rainfall events (average daily-accumulated rainfall \geq 784 785 1.33 mm), dust causes a net enhancement on rainfall of 0.140 mm (6.05%), whereas the indirect and the direct effects accounted for 0.105 mm (4.54 %) and 0.035 mm (1.51 %), respectively. 786 Although the positive direct effect is statistically insignificant at the assumed 5% significance 787 level, it adds up with the positive indirect effect, making the total effect significant. For the 788 normal rainfall events (average daily-accumulated rainfall < 1.33 mm), dust causes a net 789 suppression of rainfall of -0.003 mm (-1.02 %), with the indirect and direct effects accounting for 790 0.014 (4.76 %), and -0.017 mm (-5.78 %), respectively, all of which were statistically significant. 791 The indirect and direct effects, which are opposite in sign and nearly equal in magnitude, cancel 792
- each other out, making the total effect small but statistically significant.

Dust affects rainfall over the Red Sea coastal region through both direct and indirect effects by
influencing the sea breeze circulation. Dust induces land surface cooling caused by shortwave
scattering and warming caused mainly by shortwave absorption, which are further modulated by
its effect on clouds. Such land cooling (warming) ultimately weakens (strengthens) the sea
breeze circulation, thus reducing (increasing) the landward moisture transport and suppressing
(enhancing) coastal rainfall.

Given that the study area exhibit stable breeze circulation, our results could be extended to other
coastal areas with a topography that have similar breeze system. Importantly, our results have
broader scientific and environmental implications. Although dust is considered a nuisance from
an air quality perspective, our results highlight the more positive fundamental role of dust
particles in modulating rainfall formation and distribution. In the context of regional rain
enhancement efforts, our results also have implications for cloud seeding and regional water
resource management.





- 807 *Codes and data availability.* MODIS AOD data were downloaded from
- 808 http://ladsweb.nascom.nasa.gov/data/. MERRA-2 and IMERG data were obtained from the
- 809 NASA Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC) available at
- 810 https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/. ECMWF Operational Analysis data are restricted data, which were
- 811 retrieved from http://apps.ecmwf.int/archive-
- 812 catalogue/?type=4v&class=od&stream=oper&expver=1 with a membership. EDGAR-4.2 is
- 813 available at http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/overview.php?v=42. Field observation data and VIIRS
- satellite data may be obtained by request to the first author at <u>psagar@utexas.edu</u>. A copy of the
- namelist.input file with details of the WRF-Chem model configuration can be downloaded from
- the KAUST repository at http://hdl.handle.net/10754/675620.
- 817 Acknowledgements. Our study was supported by funding from King Abdullah University of
- 818 Science and Technology (KAUST). We also thank Dr. Daniel Rosenfeld for his assistance in the
- 819 acquisition of VIIRS data. Battelle Memorial Institute operates PNNL under contract DEAC05-
- 820 76RL01830.
- 821 Author contributions. SPP and GLS developed the central scientific concept of the paper. SPP
- analyzed the data and wrote the paper with inputs from GLS. SPP conducted the WRF-Chem
- simulations, and AU contributed with code modifications. PK and DA processed and provided
- data from the August 2009 field campaign in Saudi Arabia. YZ processed and provided the
- 825 VIIRS data. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript.
- 826 *Competing interests.* The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 827





828 References

829	Abdul-Razzak, H., and Ghan, S. J., A parameterization of aerosol activation, 3, Sectional representation,
830	J. Geophys. Res., 107(D3), doi:10.1029/2001JD000483, 2002.
831	Andreae, M.O., Rosenfeld, D., Artaxo, P., Costa, A.A., Frank, G.P., Longo, K.M. and Silva-Dias, M.D.:
832	Smoking rain clouds over the Amazon, Science, 303(5662), 1337-1342,
833	https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1092779, 2004.
834	Abbott, T. H. and Cronin, T. W.: Aerosol invigoration of atmospheric convection through increases in
835	humidity, Science, 371 (6524), 83-85, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc5181, 2021.
836	Aina, Y.A., Van der Merwe, J. H. and Alshuwaikhat, H.M.: Spatial and Temporal Variations of Satellite-
837	Derived Multi-Year Particulate Data of Saudi Arabia: An Exploratory Analysis, International
838	Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 11, 11, 152-11, 166.
839	https://doi.org/10.3390/jjerph111111152.2014
840	Alam, M.M.: Impact of cloud microphysics and cumulus parameterization on simulation of heavy rainfall
841	event during 7–9 October 2007 over Bangladesh Journal of earth system science 123(2) 259-
842	279 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12040-013-0401-0.2014
843	Albrecht B A · Aerosols cloud microphysics and fractional cloudiness Science 245(4923) 1227-1230
844	https://doi.org/10.1126/science 245.4923.1227.1989
845	Almazroui M and Saeed S: Contribution of extreme daily precipitation to total rainfall over the Arabian
846	Peninsula Atmospheric Research 231 104672 https://doi.org/10.1016/i.atmosres.2019.104672
847	2020
848	Ansmann, A., Mattis, I., Müller, D., Wandinger, U., Radlach, M., Althausen, D., et al.: Ice formation in
849	Saharan dust over central Europe observed with temperature/humidity/aerosol Raman lidar
850	Journal of Geophysical Research, 110, D18S12, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004id005000, 2005.
851	Anisimov, A., Tao, W., Stenchikov, G., Kalenderski, S., Prakash, P. J., Yang, ZL., and Shi, M.:
852	Ouantifying local-scale dust emission from the Arabian Red Sea coastal plain. Atmospheric
853	Chemistry and Physics. 17, 993–1015. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-993-2017. 2017.
854	Bangalath, H. K., and Stenchikov, G. (2015). Role of dust direct radiative effect on the tropical rain belt
855	over Middle East and North Africa: A high-resolution AGCM study, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.,
856	120, 4564–4584, doi:10.1002/2015JD023122.
857	Bond, T. C., et al.: Bounding the role of black carbon in the climate system: A scientific assessment.
858	Journal of Geophysical Research, 118(11), 5380–5552, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50171, 2013.
859	Chakraborty, S., Fu, R., Rosenfeld, D., & Massie, S. T.: The influence of aerosols and meteorological
860	conditions on the total rain volume of the mesoscale convective systems over tropical continents.
861	Geophysical Research Letters, 45, 13,099–13,106, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL080371, 2018.
862	Chapman, E. G., Gustafson Jr, W. I., Easter, R. C., Barnard, J. C., Ghan, S. J., Pekour, M. S., et al.:
863	Coupling aerosol-cloud-radiative processes in the WRF-Chem model: Investigating the radiative
864	impact of elevated point sources, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9(3), 945-964,
865	https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-945-2009, 2009.
866	Choobari, O.A., Zawar-Reza, P. and Sturman, A.: The global distribution of mineral dust and its impacts
867	on the climate system: A review, Atmospheric Research, 138, 152-165.
868	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.11.007, 2014.
869	Choobari, O., A.: Impact of aerosol number concentration on precipitation under different precipitation
870	rates. Meteorological Applications, 25, 596–605, https://doi.org/10.1002/met.1724, 2018.
871	Choudhury, G., Tyagi, B., Vissa, N. K., Singh, J., Sarangi, C., Tripathi, S. N., and Tesche, M.: Aerosol-
872	enhanced high precipitation events near the Himalavan foothills, Atmospheric Chemistry and
873	Physics, 20, 15389–15399, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-15389-2020, 2020.
874	Creamean, J. M., Suski, K. J., Rosenfeld, D., Cazorla, A., DeMott, P. J., Sullivan, R. C., et al.: Dust and
875	biological aerosols from the Sahara and Asia influence precipitation in the Western U.S. Science.
876	339(6127), 1572–1578, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1227279, 2013.





8//	Dagan, G., Koren, I., and Altaratz, O.: Competition between core and periphery-based processes in warm
878	convective clouds – from invigoration to suppression, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15,
879	2749–2760, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-2749-2015</u> , 2015.
880	Deng, L., McCabe, M. F., Stenchikov, G., Evans, J. P., and P. A. Kucera, P. A.: Simulation of Flash-
881	Flood-Producing Storm Events in Saudi Arabia Using the Weather Research and Forecasting
882	Model, Journal of Hydrometeorology, 16, 615–630, https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-14-0126.1,
883	<u>2015.</u>
884	Dennis, A.S.: Weather modification by cloud seeding, International geophysics series, 24, 670,
885	https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/water_rep/670, 1980.
886	Deshler, T., Reynolds, D. W. and Huggins, A. W.: Physical Response of Winter Orographic Clouds over
887	the Sierra Nevada to Airborne Seeding Using Dry Ice or Silver Iodide, Journal of Applied
888	Meteorology, 29, 288–330, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-</u>
889	<u>0450(1990)029<0288:PROWOC>2.0.CO;2, 1990.</u>
890	de Vries, A. J., Tyrlis, E., Edry, D., Krichak, S. O., Steil, B., and Lelieveld, J.: Extreme precipitation
891	events in the Middle East: Dynamics of the Active Red Sea Trough, Journal of Geophysical
892	Research, 118, 7087–7108, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50569, 2013.
893	Dubovik, O., Herman, M., Holdak, A., Lapyonok, T., Tanré, D., Deuzé, J. L., Ducos, F., Sinyuk, A., and
894	985 Lopatin, A.: Statistically optimized inversion algorithm for enhanced retrieval of aerosol 986
895	properties from spectral multi-angle polarimetric satellite observations, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4,
896	987 975–1018, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-4-975-2011, 2011.
897	Dye, J. E., & Baumgardner, D. (1984). Evaluation of the Forward Scattering Spectrometer Probe. Part I:
898	Electronic and Optical Studies, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 1(4), 329-344.
899	Retrieved Sep 14, 2021, from <u>https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/atot/1/4/1520-</u>
900	<u>0426 1984 001 0329 eotfss 2 0 co 2.xml</u> .
901	Eck, T. F., et al.: Spatial and temporal variability of column-integrated aerosol optical properties in the
902	southern Arabian Gulf and United Arab Emirates in summer, Journal of Geophysical Research,
903	113, D01204, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008944, 2008.
904	Fan, J., Rosenfeld, D., Zhang, Y., Giangrande, S.E., Li, Z., Machado, L.A., Martin, S.T., Yang, Y., Wang,
905	J., Artaxo, P. and Barbosa, H.M.: Substantial convection and precipitation enhancements by
906	ultrafine aerosol particles, Science, 359 (6374), 411-418,
907	https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan8461, 2018.
908	Farrar, J., Lentz, S., Churchill, J., Bouchard, P., Smith, J., Kemp, J., Lord, J., Allsup, G., and Hosom, D.:
909	King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST) mooring deployment cruise and
910	fieldwork report, Technical report, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, WHOI-KAUST-
911	CTR-2009, 2, 2009.
912	Fast, J. D., Gustafson, W. I., Easter, R. C., Zaveri, R. A., Barnard, J. C., Chapman, E. G., Grell, G. A.,
913	and Peckham, S. E .: Evolution of ozone, particulates, and aerosol direct radiative forcing in the
914	vicinity of Houston using a fully coupled meteorology-chemistry-aerosol model, Journal of
915	Geophysical Research, 111, D21305, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006721, 2006.
916	Forkel, R., Werhahn, J., Hansen, A. B., McKeen, S., Peckham, S., Grell, G., et al.: Effect of aerosol-
917	radiation feedback on regional air quality – A case study with WRF/Chem, Atmospheric
918	Environment, 53, 202-211, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.10.009, 2012.
919	Gao, W., Fan, J., Easter, R. C., Yang, Q., Zhao, C., and Ghan, S. J.: Coupling spectral-bin cloud
920	microphysics with the MOSAIC aerosol model in WRF-Chem: Methodology and results for
921	marine stratocumulus clouds, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 8, 1289–1309,
922	https://doi.org/10.1002/2016MS000676, 2016.
923	Georgoulias, A.K., Marinou, E., Tsekeri, A., Proestakis, E., Akritidis, D., Alexandri, G., et al.: A First
924	Case Study of CCN Concentrations from Spaceborne Lidar Observations, Remote
925	Sensing, 12(10), 1557, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12101557, 2020.
926	Gibbons, J. D. and Chakraborti, S.: Nonparametric Statistical Inference, 5th Ed., Boca Raton, FL:
927	Chapman & Hall/CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, 2011.





928 929 930	Grabowski, W. W., and Morrison, H.: Do Ultrafine Cloud Condensation Nuclei Invigorate Deep Convection?, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 77(7), 2567-2583, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-20-0012.1, 2020.
931	Grell, G.A., Peckham, S.E., Schmitz, R., McKeen, S.A., Frost, G., Skamarock, W.C. and Eder, B.: Fully
932	coupled "online" chemistry within the WRF model. Atmospheric Environment, 39(37), pp.6957-
933	6975, https://doi.org/10.1016/i.atmosenv.2005.04.027, 2005.
934	Gustafson, W. L. Chapman, E. G., Ghan, S. L. Easter, R. C., and East, J. D. (2007): Impact on modeled
935	cloud characteristics due to simplified treatment of uniform cloud condensation nuclei during
936	NEAOS 2004. Geophysical Research Letters, 34. L19809.
937	https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL030021, 2007.
938	Han, Y., Fang, X., Zhao, T., Bai, H., Kang, S., and Song, L.: Suppression of precipitation by dust
939	particles originated in the Tibetan Plateau, Atmospheric Environment, 43(3), 568-574.
940	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.10.018, 2009.
941	Hansen, J., Sato, M. and Ruedy, R.: Radiative forcing and climate response. Journal of Geophysical
942	Research: Atmospheres, 102(D6), 6831-6864, https://doi.org/10.1029/96JD03436, 1997.
943	Held, I. M., and Soden, B. J.: Robust Responses of the Hydrological Cycle to Global Warming, Journal of
944	Climate, 19(21), 5686-5699,
945	https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/clim/19/21/jcli3990.1.xml, 2006.
946	Herich, H.; Tritscher, T.; Wiacek, A.; Gysel, M.; Weingartner, E.; Lohmann, U.; Baltensperger, U.;
947	Cziczo, D. J.: Water Uptake of Clay and Desert Dust Aerosol Particles at Sub- and Supersaturated
948	Water Vapor Conditions, Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 11, 7804–7809,
949	https://doi.org/10.1039/B901585J, 2009.
950	Hollander, M. and Wolfe, D. A.: Nonparametric Statistical Methods, Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons,
951	Inc., 1999.
952	Hong, SY., Yign N., and Dudhia, J.: A new vertical diffusion package with an explicit treatment of
953	entrainment processes, Monthly Weather Review, 134, 2318–2341,
954	https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR3199.1, 2006.
955	Huffman, G.J., E.F. Stocker, D.T. Bolvin, E.J. Nelkin, Jackson Tan (2019), GPM IMERG Late
956	Precipitation L3 1 day 0.1 degree x 0.1 degree V06, Edited by Andrey Savtchenko, Greenbelt,
957	MD, Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC), Accessed: [Sep
958	12, 2021], 10.5067/GPM/IMERGDL/DAY/06.
959	Iacono, M. J., Delamere, J. S., Mlawer, E. J., Shephard, M. W., Clough, S. A. and Collins, W. D.:
960	Radiative forcing by long-lived greenhouse gases: Calculations with the AER radiative transfer
961	models, Journal of Geophysical Research, 113, D13103, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD009944,
962	
963	IPCC Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth
964	Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G
965	K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley
966	(eds.)], Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA,
967	1535, <u>https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg1/</u> , 2013.
968	Jacobson, M. Z. and Kaufman, Y. J.: Wind reduction by aerosol particles, Geophysical Research
969	Letters, 33, L24814, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL02/838, 2006.
970	Jna, V., Cotton, W., R., Carrio, G. G., and Walko, R.: Sensitivity Studies on the Impact of Dust and
971	Aerosol Pollution Acting as Cloud Nucleating Aerosol on Orographic Precipitation in the
972	Colorado River Basin, Advances in Meteorology, 3041895,
3/3 07/	Intps.//u01.01g/10.1155/2010/5041095, 2010. The V Cotton W D Carrié C C Debart Walks D (2021) Seesand estimates of the imposts of
974 075	Jua, v., Couon, w. K., Cano, G. G., Koben walko, K. (2021) Seasonal estimates of the Impacts of
975 076	Geography 42(1) 73 07 https://doi.org/10.1000/02722646.2020.1702602.2021
510	ocography, 42(1), 75-97, https://doi.org/10.1080/02725040.2020.1792002, 2021.





977 978	Jin, Q., Wei, J., Yang, ZL., Pu, B., and Huang, J.: Consistent response of Indian summer monsoon to Middle East dust in observations and simulations. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 15, 9897–9915
979	https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-9897-2015, 2015.
980	Jones, C., Mahowald, N. and Luo, C.: Observational evidence of African desert dust intensification of
981	easterly waves, Geophysical Research Letters, 31, L17208, https://10.1029/2004GL020107,
982	<u>2004.</u>
983	Joodaki, G., Wahr, J., and Swenson, S.: Estimating the human contribution to groundwater depletion in
984	the Middle East, from GRACE data, land surface models, and well observations, Water
985	Resources Research, 50, 2679–2692, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013WR014633, 2014.
986	Jordan, A. K., Zaitchik, B. F., Gnanadesikan, A., Kim, D., and Badr, H. S.: Strength of Linkages Between
987	Dust and Circulation Over North Africa: results from a coupled modeling system with active
988	dust, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 125, e2019JD030961,
989	<u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030961, 2020.</u>
990	Alenderski, S., and Stenchikov, G. (2016), High-resolution regional modeling of summertime transport
991	121 6435 6458 doi:10.1002/2015ID024480
992	Kawecki S & Steiner A I (2018) The influence of aerosol hydrosconicity on precipitation intensity
994	during a mesoscale convective event Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 123 424–
995	442. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017.JD026535
996	Karydis, V. A., Kumar, P., Barahona, D., Sokolik, I. N., and Nenes, A. (2011). On the effect of dust
997	particles on global cloud condensation nuclei and cloud droplet number, J. Geophys. Res., 116,
998	D23204, doi:10.1029/2011JD016283.
999	Keith, D. W., Weisenstein, D. K., Dykema, J. A. & Keutsch, F. N. Stratospheric solar geoengineering
1000	without ozone loss. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. 113, 14910-14914 (2016),
1001	https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1615572113.
1002	Khan, B., Stenchikov, G., Weinzierl, B., Kalenderski, S., and Osipov, S.: Dust plume formation in the
1003	free troposphere and aerosol size distribution during the Saharan Mineral Dust Experiment in
1004	North Africa, Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology, 67(1),
1005	https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v67.27170, 2015.
1006	Konare, A., Zakey, A. S., Solmon, F., Giorgi, F., Rauscher, S., Ibrah, S., et al.: A regional climate
1007	modeling study of the effect of desert dust on the West African monsoon, Journal of Geophysical
1008	Research, 113, D12206, https://doi.org/ <u>10.1029/200/JD009322, 2008.</u>
1009	Koenler, K. A., Kreidenweis, S. M., DeMott, P. J., Petters, M. D., Prenni, A. J., and Carrico, C. M.:
1010	Hygroscopicity and cloud droplet activation of mineral dust aerosol, Geophysical Research
1011	Klingmüller K. Kenudia V. A. Beccr S. Stenchikov, C. L. and Lelioveld, L. Weeker cooling by
1012	Annghumer, K., Karyuis, V. A., Bacer, S., Stenchikov, G. L., and Lenevelu, J.: Weaker cooling by
1015	15205 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-15285-2020 2020
1014	Klingmüller K Lelieveld I Karvdis V A and Stenchikov G L : Direct radiative effect of dust_
1015	nollution interactions Atmos Chem Phys 19 7397–7408 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-7397-
1017	2019 2019
1018	Klingmüller, K., Pozzer, A., Metzger, S., Stenchikov, G. L., and Lelieveld, J.: Aerosol optical depth trend
1019	over the Middle East, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16, 5063–5073.
1020	https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-5063-2016, 2016.
1021	Kondapalli, N. K. and Suzuki, K.: Assessment of seasonal cloud properties in the United Arab Emirates
1022	and adjoining regions from geostationary satellite data, Remote Sensing of Environment, 228, 90-
1023	104, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.04.024, 2019.
1024	Koren, I., Kaufman, Y. J., Rosenfeld, D., Remer, L. A., and Rudich, Y.: Aerosol invigoration and
1025	restructuring of Atlantic convective clouds, Geophysical Research Letters, 32, L14828,
1026	https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL023187, 2005.





1027	Koren, I., Martins, J.V., Remer, L.A. and Afargan, H.: Smoke invigoration versus inhibition of clouds
1028	over the Amazon Science 321(5891) 946-949 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1159185.2008
1029	Koren I. Dagan G. and Altaratz O. From aerosol-limited to invigoration of warm convective clouds
1020	Science 344(6188) 1142 1146 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1252505.2014
1030	Science, 544(0160), 1145-1140, <u>https://doi.org/10.1120/Science.12.52575</u> , 2014.
1031	Krauss, 1. w., Sinkevich, A.A. and Ghurani, A.S.: Effects of feeder cloud merging on storm development
1032	in Saudi Arabia, Journal of King Abdulaziz University: Metrology, Environment and Arid Land
1033	Agricultural Sciences, 142(592), 1-33, https://doi.org/ <u>10.4197/Met. 22-2.2, 2011.</u>
1034	Kucera, P., Axisa, D., Burger, R.P., Collins, D.R., Li, R., Chapman, M., et al.: Features of the Weather
1035	Modification Assessment Project in Southwest Region of Saudi Arabia, The Journal of Weather
1036	Modification, 42(1), 78-103, 2010.
1037	Lau, W. K. M., Kim, MK., Kim, KM. and Lee, WS.: Enhanced surface warming and accelerated
1038	snow melt in the Himalayas and Tibetan Plateau induced by absorbing aerosols, Environmental
1039	Research Letters, 5, 025204, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/5/2/025204, 2010.
1040	Lee S.S. Effect of Aerosol on Circulations and Precipitation in Deen Convective Clouds, Journal of
10/1	Atmospheric Science 69, 1957–1974 https://doi.org/10.1175/IAS.D.11-0111.1.2.012.2012
1041	Li P. Min O, and Herrison L. C. A. Coro, Study: The Indirect Astronomy Efforts of Minarel Duct on Worm.
1042	Li, K., Will, Q. and Harrison, E. C., A case study. The induced Aerosoi Effects of Mineral Dust of warm
1043	Clouds, Journal of Atmospheric Science, 67 , $805-816$, $\frac{ntps://doi.org/10.1175/2009JAS5255.1}{0.0000}$,
1044	2010.
1045	Li, Z., Niu, F., Fan, J., Liu, Y., Rosenfeld, D. and Ding, Y.: Long-term impacts of aerosols on the vertical
1046	development of clouds and precipitation, Nature Geoscience, 4(12), 888-894,
1047	https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1313, 2011.
1048	Lim, K. S., and Hong, S.: Development of an Effective Double-Moment Cloud Microphysics Scheme
1049	with Prognostic Cloud Condensation Nuclei (CCN) for Weather and Climate Models, Monthly
1050	Weather Review, 138, 1587-1612, https://doi.org/10.1175/2009MWR2968.1, 2010.
1051	Liu, Z., Ostrenga, D., Teng, W. and Kempler, S.: Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (IMERG)
1052	Precipitation Data and Services for Research and Applications. Bulletin of American
1053	Meteorological Society, 93, 1317–1325, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00152.1.2012
1054	Lohann II and Egichter I: Can the direct and semi-direct acrossl effect compete with the indirect
1055	affact on a clobal scale? Geophysical Besarch Latters 28(1) 150-161
1055	https://doi.org/10.1020/2000CL.012051.2001
1050	Intersteinen State State State Construction of the State Sta
1057	Lopatin, A., Dubovik, O., Fuertes, D., Stenchikov, G., Lapyonok, T., Vesetovskii, I., Wiennoid, F. G.,
1058	Snevchenko, I., Hu, Q., and Parajuit, S.: Synergy processing of diverse ground-based remote
1059	sensing and in situ data using GRASP algorithm: applications to radiometer, lidar and radiosonde
1060	observations, Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss. [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2020-422, in
1061	<u>review, 2020.</u>
1062	Marenco, F., Ryder, C., Estellés, V., O'Sullivan, D., Brooke, J., Orgill, L., Lloyd, G., and Gallagher, M.:
1063	Unexpected vertical structure of the Saharan Air Layer and giant dust particles during AER-D,
1064	Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 17655–17668, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-17655-2018, 2018.
1065	Michibata, T., and Takemura, T.: Evaluation of autoconversion schemes in a single model framework
1066	with satellite observations, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 120, 9570–9590,
1067	https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023818, 2015.
1068	Miller, S. T. K., Keim, B. D., Talbot, R. W., and Mao, H.: Sea breeze: Structure, forecasting, and impacts.
1069	Reviews of Geophysics 41 1011 https://doi.org/10.1029/2003RG000124 2003
1005	Morrison H. Thompson G. and Tatarchi V.: Impost of Cloud Microphysics on the Davidonment of
1070	Trailing Stratificern Provinsion in a Simulated Squall Line Comparison of One and Two
1071	Moment Schemet Monthly Weather Deview, 127, 001
1072	woment Schemes, wohlmy weather Keview, $137,991-1007$, https://doi.org/10.1175/0009/WWD0555(1.0000)
10/3	1007, <u>mups://doi.org/10.1175/2008/viwk2556.1, 2009.</u>
1074	Min, QL., Li, K., Lin, B., Joseph, E., Wang, S. and Hu, Y. et al.: Evidence of mineral dust altering cloud
1075	microphysics and precipitation, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 3223–3231,
1076	<u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-3223-2009</u> , 2009.





1077	Marrie E. L. Tarbara S. L. Davara D. D. Lands, M. L. and Clarach, S. A. Dadicting to refer for
1077	Miawer, E. J., Taubman, S. J., Brown, P. D., Jacono, M. J., and Clough, S. A.: Kadiative transfer for
1078	inhomogeneous atmospheres: RRTM, a validated correlated-k model for the longwave, Journal of
1079	Geophysical Research, 102(D14), 16663–16682. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/9/JD00237, 1997.</u>
1080	Muhs, D. R.: The geologic records of dust in the Quaternary, Aeolian Research, 9, 3-48,
1081	<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aeolia.2012.08.001</u> , 2013.
1082	Nazzal, Y., Barbulescu, A., Howari, F. et al.: New insights on sand dust storm from historical records,
1083	UAE, Arabian Journal of Geosciences, 12, 396, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-019-4555-1,
1084	<u>2019.</u>
1085	Parajuli, S. P and Zender, C.: Connecting geomorphology to dust emission through high-resolution
1086	mapping of global land cover and sediment supply, Aeolian Research, 27, 47-65,
1087	doi:10.1016/j.aeolia.2017.06.002, 2017.
1088	Parajuli, S. P., Stenchikov, G. L., Ukhov, A., & Kim, H.: Dust emission modeling using a new high-
1089	resolution dust source function in WRF-Chem with implications for air quality, Journal of
1090	Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 124, 10109–10133, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030248</u> ,
1091	2019.
1092	Parajuli, S. P., Stenchikov, G. L., Ukhov, A., Shevchenko, I., Dubovik, O., and Lopatin, A.: Aerosol
1093	vertical distribution and interactions with land/sea breezes over the eastern coast of the Red Sea
1094	from lidar data and high-resolution WRF-Chem simulations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 16089–
1095	16116, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-16089-2020, 2020.
1096	Pu, B. and Ginoux, P.: Projection of American dustiness in the late 21st century due to climate change,
1097	Scientific Reports, 7, 5553, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-05431-9</u> , 2017,
1098	Rémy, S., Benedetti, A., Bozzo, A., Haiden, T., Jones, L., Razinger, M., et al.: Feedbacks of dust and
1099	boundary layer meteorology during a dust storm in the eastern Mediterranean, Atmospheric
1100	Chemistry and Physics, 15, 12909–12933, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-12909-2015, 2015.</u>
1101	Rinecker, M. M., et al.: MERRA: NASA's modern-era retrospective analysis for research and
1102	applications, Journal of Climate, 24(14), 3624–3648, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00015.1,
1103	<u>2011.</u>
1104	G. C. Roberts & A. Nenes (2005) A Continuous-Flow Streamwise Thermal-Gradient CCN Chamber for
1105	Atmospheric Measurements, Aerosol Science and Technology, 39:3, 206-
1106	<u>221, DOI: 10.1080/02/868290913988.</u>
110/	Robock, A., Bunzl, M., Kravitz, B. and Stenchikov, G.L.: A test for geoengineering?, Science, 327(5965),
1108	530-531, <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1186237</u> , 2010.
1109	Rosenfeld, D., Rudich, Y. Ronen Lahav, R.: Desert dust suppression precipitation: A possible
1110	desertification feedback loop, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 98(11), 59/5-
1111	5980; https://doi.org/10.10/3/pnas.101122/98, 2001.
1112	Schulzweida, U., Kornblueh, L. and Quast, R.:, CDO user's guide, Climate data operators, version 1(6),
1113	
1114	Shawon, A. S. M., Prabhakaran, P., Kinney, G., Shaw, R. A., and Cantrell, W.: Dependence of aerosol-
1115	droplet partitioning on turbulence in a laboratory cloud, Journal of Geophysical Research:
1116	Atmospheres, 126, e2020JD033/99, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD033/99</u> , 2021.
111/	Sinkevich, A.A. and Krauss, T.W.: Cloud modification in Saudi Arabia: Statistical estimation of the
1118	results, Russian Meteorology and Hydrology, 35, 378–385,
1119	https://doi.org/10.3103/810683/3910060038, 2010.
1120	Simpson, J. E.: Sea breeze and local winds, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
1121	Solmon, F., Mallet, M., Elguindi, N., Giorgi, F., Zakey, A., and Konare, A.: Dust aerosol impact on
1122	regional precipitation over western Africa, mechanisms and sensitivity to absorption properties,
1123	Geophysical Research Letters, 55, L24/05, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL035900, 2008.
1124	Solollos, S., Kallos, G., Kushta, J., Astitha, M., Tremback, C., Nenes, A.: An integrated modeling study
1125	on the effects of mineral dust and sea sait particles on clouds and precipitation, Atmospheric
1126	Cnemistry and Physics, 11, 8/3–892, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-8/3-2011, 2011.</u>





1127	Spurny, K. R.: Atmospheric Condensation Nuclei P. J. Coulier 1875 and J. Aitken 1880 (Historical
1128	Review), Aerosol Science and Technology, 32:3, 243-248,
1129	https://doi.org/10.1080/027868200303777, 2000.
1130	Stenchikov, G. L., Kirchner, I., Robock, A., Graf, HF., Antuña, J. C., Grainger, R. G., Lambert, A., and
1131	Thomason, L.: Radiative forcing from the 1991 Mount Pinatubo volcanic eruption. Journal of
1132	Geophysical Research, 103(D12), 13837–13857. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/98JD00693</u> , 1998.
1133	Stull, R. (2000). Meteorology for scientists and engineers. Brooks/Cole, 2000.
1134	Tang, M., Cziczo, D. J. and Grassian, V. H.: Interactions of Water with Mineral Dust Aerosol: Water
1135	Adsorption, Hygroscopicity, Cloud Condensation, and Ice Nucleation, Chemical Reviews, 116
1136	(7), 4205-4259, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00529</u> , 2016.
1137	Tewari, M., Chen, F., Wang, W., Dudhia, J., LeMone, M., Mitchell, K., Ek, M., Gayno, G., Wegiel, J.
1138	and Cuenca, R. H.: Implementation and verification of the unified NOAH land surface model in
1139	the WRF model, 20th conference on weather analysis and forecasting/16th conference on
1140	numerical weather prediction, 11–15, 2004.
1141	Trinh, TA., Feeny, S. and Posso, A.: Rainfall shocks and child health: the role of parental mental health,
1142	Climate and Development, https://doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2020.1716672, 2020.
1143	Tsvieli, Y. and Zangvil, A.: Synoptic climatological analysis of 'wet' and 'dry' Red Sea troughs over
1144	Israel, International Journal of Climatology, 25(15), 1997–2015, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.123</u> ,
1145	2005.
1146	Tuccella, P., Curci, G., Grell, G. A., Visconti, G., Crumeyrolle, S., Schwarzenboeck, A., and Mensah, A.
1147	A.: A new chemistry option in WRF-Chem v. 3.4 for the simulation of direct and indirect aerosol
1148	effects using VBS: evaluation against IMPACT-EUCAARI data, Geosci. Model Dev., 8, 2749–
1149	2776, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-2749-2015, 2015.
1150	Twohy, C.H.: Measurements of Saharan Dust in Convective Clouds over the Tropical Eastern Atlantic
1151	Ocean., Journal of Atmospheric Science, 72, 75–81, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-14-0133.1</u> ,
1152	<u>2015.</u>
1153	Twomey, S. A.: Aerosols, clouds and radiation, Atmospheric Environment, Part A, 25, 2435-2442,
1154	<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(91)90159-5, 1991.</u>
1155	Uknov, A., Mostamandi, S., da Silva, A., Flemming, J., Alshenri, Y., Shevchenko, I., and Stenchikov, G.:
1150	Assessment of natural and anthropogenic aerosol air pollution in the Middle East using MERRA-
1157	2, CAMS data assimilation products, and high-resolution wKF-Chem model simulations,
1158	Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 20, 9281–9310, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-9281-2020,
1159	2020. Likhov A. Ahmedov B. Crall C. and Stanshikov C. Improving dust simulations in WDE Cham
1100	UKnov, A., Animadov, K., Greil, G., and Stenchikov, G.: Improving dust simulations in wKF-Chem
1101	https://doi.org/10.5104/gmd.14.472.2021.2021
1102	IIIIIpS.//doi.org/10.5194/gilld-14-4/5-2021, 2021.
1164	and Thoir Effects on Cloud Droplet Formation, Journal of Mateorological Society of Japan, 80
1165	set 1.597 2011
1166	Vang O W I Gustafson Ir Fast I D Wang H Faster P C Morrison H Lee V N Chanman F
1167	G. Snak S. N. and Mena-Carrasco, M. A.: Assessing regional scale predictions of aerosols
1168	marine stratocumulus, and their interactions during VOCAL S-REV using WRE-Chem
1169	Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 11 11951–11975 https://doi.org/10.5104/acp-11-11951-
1170	2011 2011
1171	Yang O Gustafson Ir W I Fast I D Wang H Faster R C Wang M Ghan S I Reng I K
1172	Leung L. R. and Morrison H. Impact of natural and anthronogenic aerosols on stratocumulus
1173	and precipitation in the Southeast Pacific: a regional modelling study using WRF-Chem
1174	Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics. 12, 8777–8796 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-8777-2012
1175	2012.





1176	Yanlong Tai, Haoran Liang, Abdelali Zaki, Nabil El Hadri, Ali M. Abshaev, Buzgigit M. Huchunaev,
1177	Steve Griffiths, Mustapha Jouiad, and Linda Zou, ACS Nano, 11(12), 12318-12325,
1178	https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.7b06114, 2017.
1179	Yin, Y. and Chen, L.: The effects of heating by transported dust layers on cloud and precipitation: a
1180	numerical study, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 7, 3497–3505. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-
1181	<u>7-3497-2007</u> , 2007.
1182	Yin, Y., Wurzler, S., Levin, Z., and Reisin, T. G.: Interactions of mineral dust particles and clouds:
1183	Effects on precipitation and cloud optical properties, Journal of Geophysical Research, 107(D23),
1184	4724, doi: <u>10.1029/2001JD001544, 2002.</u>
1185	Zaveri, R. A. and Peters, L. K .: A new lumped structure photochemical mechanism for large-scale
1186	applications, Journal of geophysical Research, 104, 30387–30415.
1187	https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900876, 1999.
1188	Zaveri, R. A., Easter, R. C., Fast, J. D., and Peters, L. K.: Model for Simulating Aerosol Interactions and
1189	Chemistry (MOSAIC), Journal of geophysical Research, 113, D13204,
1190	https:// <u>10.1029/2007JD008782, 2008.</u>
1191	Zeinab S., Z., Steiner, A., Zakey, A. S., Shalaby, A. and Wahab, M. M. A.: An exploration of the aerosol
1192	indirect effects in East Asia using a regional climate model, Atmósfera, 33(1), 87-103,
1193	https://doi.org/10.20937/ATM.52604, 2020.
1194	Zhang, Y., Wang, K. and He, J.: Multi-year application of WRF-CAM5 over East Asia-Part II:
1195	Interannual variability, trend analysis, and aerosol indirect effects, Atmospheric Environment,
1196	165, 222-239, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.06.029, 2017.
1197	Zhang, Y., He, J., Zhu, S., and Gantt, B.: Sensitivity of simulated chemical concentrations and aerosol-
1198	meteorology interactions to aerosol treatments and biogenic organic emissions in WRF/Chem,
1199	Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmosphere, 121, 6014–6048,
1200	https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD024882, 2016.
1201	Zhao, B., Wang, Y., Gu, Y., Liou, K. –N., Jiang, J. H., Fan, J. et al.: Ice nucleation by aerosols from
1202	anthropogenic pollution, Nature Geoscience, 12, 602–607, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-
1203	0389-4, 2019.
1204	Zhao, C., Liu, X., Ruby Leung, L., and Hagos, S. (2011). Radiative impact of mineral dust on monsoon
1205	precipitation variability over West Africa. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 1879–1893.
1206	https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-1879-2011, 2011.
1207	Zhao, C., Liu, X., Leung, L. R., Johnson, B., McFarlane, S. A., Gustafson Jr., W. I. et al.: The spatial
1208	distribution of mineral dust and its shortwave radiative forcing over North Africa: modeling
1209	sensitivities to dust emissions and aerosol size treatments, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics,
1210	10, 8821–8838, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-8821-2010, 2010.