1	Influences of an Entrainment-Mixing Parameterization on
2	Numerical Simulations of Cumulus and Stratocumulus Clouds
3	Xiaoqi Xu <sup>1,2,4</sup> , Chunsong Lu <sup>1*</sup> , Yangang Liu <sup>3*</sup> , Shi Luo <sup>1,5</sup> , Xin Zhou <sup>3</sup> , Satoshi Endo <sup>3</sup> ,
4	Lei Zhu <sup>1</sup> , Yuan Wang <sup>1</sup>
5	1. Key Laboratory for Aerosol-Cloud-Precipitation of China Meteorological
6	Administration/Collaborative Innovation Center on Forecast and Evaluation of
7	Meteorological Disasters (CIC-FEMD), Nanjing University of Information Science &
8	Technology, Nanjing, China
9	2. Nanjing Joint Institute for Atmospheric Sciences, Nanjing, China
10	3. Environmental and Climate Sciences Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory,
11	Upton, US
12	4. Key Laboratory of Transportation Meteorology, CMA, Nanjing, China
13	5. College of Aviation Meteorology, Civil Aviation Flight University of China,
14	Guanghan, China
15	* Correspondence: <u>luchunsong110@gmail.com; lyg@bnl.gov</u>
16	

### 17 Abstract

18 Different entrainment-mixing processes can occur in clouds; however, a 19 homogeneous mixing mechanism is often implicitly assumed in most commonly used 20 microphysics schemes. Here, we first present a new entrainment-mixing 21 parameterization that uses the grid-mean relative humidity without requiring the 22 relative humidity of the entrained air. Then, the parameterization is implemented in a 23 microphysics scheme in a large eddy simulation model, and sensitivity experiments are 24 conducted to compare the new parameterization with the default homogeneous 25 entrainment-mixing parameterization. The results indicate that the new entrainment-26 mixing parameterization has a larger impact on the number concentration, volume-27 mean radius, and cloud optical depth in the stratocumulus case than in the cumulus case. 28 This is because inhomogeneous and homogeneous mixing mechanisms dominate in the 29 stratocumulus and cumulus cases, respectively, which is mainly due to the larger 30 turbulence dissipation rate in the cumulus case. Because stratocumulus clouds break up 31 during the dissipation stage to form cumulus clouds, the effects of this new entrainment-32 mixing parameterization during the stratocumulus dissipation stage are between those 33 during the stratocumulus mature stage and the cumulus case. A large aerosol concentration can enhance the effects of this new entrainment-mixing parameterization 34 35 by decreasing the cloud droplet size and evaporation time scale. The results of this new 36 entrainment-mixing parameterization with grid-mean relative humidity are validated by use of a different entrainment-mixing parameterization that uses parameterized 37

entrained air properties. This study sheds new light on the improvement of entrainmentmixing parameterizations in models.

40

# 41 **1. Introduction**

42 The process of entrainment and subsequent mixing between clouds and their 43 environment is one of the most uncertain processes in cloud physics, which is thought 44 to be crucial to many outstanding issues, including warm-rain initiation and subsequent 45 precipitation characteristics, cloud-climate feedback, and evaluating the indirect effects 46 of aerosol (Paluch and Baumgardner, 1989; Yum, 1998; Ackerman et al., 2004; Kim et 47 al., 2008; Huang et al., 2008; Del Genio and Wu, 2010; Lu et al., 2011; Lu et al., 2014; 48 Kumar et al., 2013; Zheng and Rosenfeld, 2015; Fan et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2020; Gao 49 et al., 2021; Zhu et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021; Kumar et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2016; 50 Yang et al., 2021). The most well-studied concepts are homogeneous/inhomogeneous 51 entrainment-mixing mechanisms. During homogeneous mixing, all droplets experience 52 evaporation, and no droplet is evaporated completely. During extremely 53 inhomogeneous mixing, some droplets near the entrained air evaporate completely, 54 while the remaining droplets maintain their original sizes. If the situation is somewhere 55 between these two extreme scenarios, an inhomogeneous mixing process occurs. Some 56 studies suggest that homogeneous mixing is likely to be typical (Jensen et al., 1985; 57 Burnet and Brenguier, 2007; Lehmann et al., 2009), whereas others have claimed that 58 extremely inhomogeneous scenario is dominant (Pawlowska et al., 2000; Burnet and

59	Brenguier, 2007; Haman et al., 2007; Freud et al., 2008; Freud et al., 2011). Different
60	mechanisms can be undistinguishable when the relative humidity in the entrained air is
61	high (Gerber et al., 2008).

Some sensitivity studies assuming homogeneous or extremely inhomogeneous 62 mixing have found that different mixing mechanisms can significantly influence the 63 64 microphysics and radiative properties of clouds (Lasher-Trapp et al., 2005; Grabowski, 2006; Chosson et al., 2007; Slawinska et al., 2008). For example, Grabowski (2006) 65 66 used a cloud-resolving model and found that the amount of solar energy reaching the 67 surface in the pristine case, assuming the homogeneous mixing scenario, is the same as 68 in the polluted case with extremely inhomogeneous mixing. This result was verified by 69 Slawinska et al. (2008) using a large-eddy simulation (LES) model. Although the 70 influence of different mixing mechanisms in simulations is lower when two-moment 71 microphysics schemes are used (Hill et al., 2009; Grabowski and Morrison, 2011; 72 Slawinska et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2020), Hill et al. (2009) also claimed that there are 73 still many uncertainties in the entrainment-mixing process, and the effect of different 74 mixing mechanisms can be more important over the entire cloud life-cycle.

In recent years, methods have been developed to describe general entrainmentmixing processes, with homogeneous and extremely inhomogeneous scenarios as
special cases (Andrejczuk et al., 2006; Andrejczuk et al., 2009; Lehmann et al., 2009;
Lu et al., 2011). Hoffmann et al. (2019) and Hoffmann and Feingold (2019) conducted
LES at the subgrid-scale with turbulent mixing, using a linear eddy model. Andrejczuk

80 et al. (2009) used the results of direct numerical simulation (DNS) to establish a 81 relationship between instantaneous microphysical properties and Damköhler number 82 (Da, Burnet and Brenguier, 2007), and developed a parameterization of the entrainment-83 mixing process. Lu et al. (2013) developed a parameterization of the entrainment-84 mixing process based on the relationship between the homogeneous mixing degree ( $\psi$ ) 85 and transition scale number  $(N_L)$  in the explicit mixing parcel model (EMPM), as well as aircraft observation data. Gao et al. (2018) investigated how  $\psi$  is related to  $D_a$  and 86 87  $N_{\rm L}$  in a DNS, to improve the parameterization of the entrainment-mixing process. Luo 88 et al. (2020) simulated more than 12,000 cases with EMPM by changing a variety of 89 parameters affecting entrainment-mixing processes and developed a parameterization 90 that improved the one proposed by Lu et al. (2013).

91 Although several entrainment-mixing parametrizations have been proposed, to the 92 best of our knowledge, only one study (Jarecka et al., 2013) has coupled an entrainment-93 mixing parameterization with cloud microphysics to consider the change in cloud 94 droplet concentration during the entrainment-mixing process. Jarecka et al. (2013) 95 applied an entrainment-mixing parameterization, in terms of the Damköhler number, to 96 a two-moment microphysics scheme and found small impacts of entrainment-mixing parameterization in shallow cumulus clouds. To further explore the influences of 97 98 entrainment-mixing processes, this study first modifies the entrainment-mixing 99 parameterization in terms of the transition scale number proposed by Luo et al. (2020) 100 to couple it more easily with microphysics schemes. The parameterization is then

implemented in the two-moment Thompson aerosol-aware scheme (Thompson and
Eidhammer, 2014). Finally, the effects of parameterization on the physical properties
of clouds are examined in both cumulus and stratocumulus clouds.

104 The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the new 105 entrainment-mixing parameterization, simulated cases, and modelling setup. The major 106 results are presented and discussed in Section 3. The influences of the new entrainment-107 mixing parameterization on cloud physics and the underlying mechanisms are 108 examined, and the effects of turbulence dissipation rate ( $\varepsilon$ ) and aerosol concentration

are also discussed. Some concluding remarks are presented in Section 4.

110

# 111 **2.** Parameterization, simulated cases, and modeling setup

# 112 **2.1 The new entrainment-mixing parameterization**

113 According to Morrison and Grabowski (2008), the effect of the entrainment-

114 mixing process on cloud microphysical properties can be expressed as follows:

115 
$$N_{\rm c} = N_{\rm c0} \left(\frac{q_{\rm c}}{q_{\rm c0}}\right)^{a}$$
, (1)

116 where  $N_c$  and  $N_{c0}$  are the cloud droplet number concentrations after and before the 117 evaporation process, respectively, and  $q_c$  and  $q_{c0}$  represent the corresponding cloud 118 water mixing ratios. It is noteworthy that when a new saturation is achieved after 119 evaporation,  $q_c$  is determined by  $q_{c0}$ , relative humidity (RH), air pressure, and 120 temperature. The parameter  $\alpha$  can be pre-set to any value between 0 (homogeneous 121 mixing) and 1 (extremely inhomogeneous mixing) to represent a different degree of 122 subgrid-scale mixing homogeneity. In this study, instead of specifying  $\alpha$  as a 123 predetermined constant, here it is determined through expressions (Lu et al., 2013; Luo 124 et al., 2020)

125 
$$\alpha = 1 - \psi$$
, (2a)

126 
$$\psi = c \exp(aN_{\rm L}^b). \tag{2b}$$

127 where a, b and c are the three fitting parameters (Luo et al., 2020). The dimensionless 128 number  $N_L$  is a dynamical measure of the degree of subgrid-scale mixing homogeneity 129 (Lu et al., 2011) defined by

$$N_{\rm L} = \frac{L^*}{\eta},\tag{3a}$$

131 
$$\eta = \left(v^3 / \varepsilon\right)^{1/4}, \tag{3b}$$

132 
$$L^* = \varepsilon^{1/2} \tau_{evap}^{3/2},$$
 (3c)

133 where  $L^*$  is the transition length (Lehmann et al., 2009),  $\eta$  is the Kolmogorov microscale, 134 v is the kinematic viscosity;  $\varepsilon$  is calculated from the subgrid turbulent kinetic energy 135 (Deardorff, 1980):

136  $\mathcal{E}=CE^{3/2}/L,$  (4)

137 where 
$$C = 0.70$$
 is an empirical constant, *E* is the subgrid turbulent kinetic energy, and  
138 *L* is the model grid size. The evaporation time scale ( $\tau_{evap}$ ) is defined as the time taken  
139 for droplets to evaporate completely in an unsaturated environment, and is calculated  
140 as

141 
$$\tau_{evap} = -\frac{r^2}{2AS_e},$$
 (5a)

142 
$$A = \frac{1}{\left[\left(\frac{L_{\rm h}}{R_{\rm v}T} - 1\right)\frac{L_{\rm h}\rho_{\rm L}}{KT} + \frac{\rho_{\rm L}R_{\rm v}T}{De_{\rm s}(T)}\right]},\tag{5b}$$

where *r* is the volume-mean radius of cloud droplets, *A* is a function of pressure and temperature,  $S_e$  is the supersaturation (RH-1) of entrained air,  $L_h$  is the latent heat,  $R_v$  is the specific gas constant for water vapour, *T* is air temperature,  $\rho_L$  is the density of liquid water, *K* is the coefficient of thermal conductivity of air, *D* is the diffusion coefficient of water vapour in the air, and  $e_s(T)$  is the saturation vapour pressure over a plane water surface at temperature *T*.

149 Unfortunately,  $S_e$  in Equation (5a) is generally unavailable in atmospheric models, 150 including LES models. Thus, the entrainment-mixing parameterization developed by 151 Luo et al. (2020) based on the properties of entrained air cannot be used directly. To 152 solve this problem, we modify the entrainment-mixing parameterization of Luo et al. 153 (2020) by replacing  $S_e$  with the domain-mean RH in the EMPM, after entrainment but 154 before evaporation, based on 12,218 cases:

155 
$$\psi = 107.19 \exp(-1.99 N_{\rm L}^{-0.29}).$$
 (6)

0.00

Figure 1 shows the fitting results of the modified new entrainment-mixing parameterization. Compared to the parametrization proposed by Luo et al. (2020), the modified parameterization has similar  $\psi$ - $N_L$  distributions, but with a larger  $N_L$  for the same  $\psi$ , because the EMPM domain-mean RH is larger than the entrained air RH. With this modification,  $N_L$ ,  $\psi$ , and thus the effect of the entrainment-mixing processes on droplet concentration can be directly calculated using the LES grid-mean RH. It is 162 important to note that the parameterization does not mean that the entrained air RH is 163 equal to that of the LES grid-mean RH. It is also worth noting that a wide range of  $\varepsilon$ , 164 *S*<sub>e</sub>, and fraction of entrained air (*f*) are taken into account when establishing the 165 parameterization with the EMPM. The details of the EMPM simulations and related 166 calculations are provided by Luo et al. (2020).

167

### 168 2.2 LES model, simulation cases, and modelling setup

169 The LES model is built by applying the large-scale forcing module presented in 170 Endo et al. (2015) to the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model tailored for 171 solar irradiance forecasting (WRF-Solar, Hacker et al., 2016; Haupt et al., 2016). The large-scale forcing data (VARANAL) used in this process is derived from the 172 173 constrained variational analysis (CVA) approach developed by Zhang et al. (2001) and 174 provided by the U.S. Department of Energy's Atmospheric Radiation Measurement 175 Program (www.arm.gov). The modified entrainment-mixing parameterization is 176 implemented in the two-moment Thompson aerosol-aware scheme (Thompson and 177 Eidhammer, 2014).

To investigate the behaviours of the new entrainment-mixing parameterization in different cloud types, cumulus and stratocumulus cases are simulated. For both the cumulus and stratocumulus cases, the horizontal resolution of the model is  $100 \text{ m} \times 100$ m with a domain area of 14.4 km × 14.4 km. The vertical direction is divided into 225 layers with a resolution of 30 m. 183 For each cloud case,  $\psi$  is first set to 1 for the *default* experiment because most LES 184 models assume a homogeneous entrainment-mixing mechanism. The simulation with 185 the new entrainment-mixing parameterization (Equations (1-6)) is hereafter referred to 186 as *new*. First,  $N_L$  is diagnosed for each grid, and  $\psi$  is then calculated using Equation (6). 187 Finally, the variation in  $N_c$  during entrainment-mixing is obtained using Equations (1) 188 and (2a). To examine the influence of the aerosol number concentration on the 189 entrainment-mixing process, we conduct the numerical experiments default\_10 and new 10 by multiplying the initial aerosol number concentrations, for the *default* and 190 191 new models, respectively, by a factor of 10. Thus, four sets of numerical experiments 192 are conducted for both the cumulus and stratocumulus cases; the names of the 193 experiments and corresponding descriptions are summarized in Table 1.

194

#### 195 **3. Results**

# 196 **3.1 Cumulus case**

For the cumulus case, the simulation starts at 12:00 UTC on 11 June 2016 and ends at 03:00 UTC on 12 June 2016 with an output interval of 10 min and spin-times of 3 h. To demonstrate the utility of the model, Figure 2 compares the temporal evolution of the observed and simulated cloud fraction (a) and solar irradiance (b) from the *default* experiment. Grid points with  $q_c$  larger than 0.01 g/kg are defined as "cloudy areas". Also shown for comparison is observational data with a one-hour temporal resolution, which is provided by the LES Atmospheric Radiation Measurement



Figure 3 shows the evolution of the microphysical and optical properties of clouds in the cloudy areas of all simulation experiments, including  $q_c$ ,  $N_c$ , droplet volumemean radius ( $r_v$ ), cloud water path (CWP), and cloud optical depth ( $\tau$ ). To visually and simultaneously compare the change in cloud droplet concentration under different aerosol concentrations, the maximum cloud droplet concentration ( $N_{cmax}$ ) from *default* is used to normalize  $N_c$  in *default* and *new*, while  $N_{cmax}$  from *new\_10* is used to normalize  $N_c$  in *default\_10* and *new\_10*. The CWP is calculated as:

222 
$$CWP = \int_0^H \rho_a q_c(z) dz, \qquad (7)$$

223 where  $\rho_a$  is the air density,  $q_c(z)$  is the cloud water mixing ratio at each height (z), and

224 *H* is the cloud thickness. The optical depth  $\tau$  is estimated with

225 
$$\tau = \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\rho_{\rm w}} \int_0^H \frac{\rho_{\rm a} q_{\rm c}(z)}{r_{\rm e}(z)} dz, \qquad (8)$$

where  $\rho_w$  is the water density and  $r_e(z)$  is the effective radius of the cloud droplets at each height (*z*). The time-averaged values of these physical properties of the clouds are listed in Table 2 for convenience.

229 For the low aerosol number concentration, the simulations with the new 230 entrainment-mixing parameterization have smaller  $N_c$  (35.53 cm<sup>-3</sup>) and larger  $r_v$  (13.29) 231  $\mu$ m) than the default homogeneous simulation (35.78 cm<sup>-3</sup> for N<sub>c</sub> and 13.27  $\mu$ m for  $r_v$ 232 in *default*). However, comparing *new* to *default*, the relative changes in  $N_c$ ,  $r_v$ , and  $\tau$  are 233 very small. When the aerosol concentration increases ten-fold (*default\_10* and *new\_10*), 234  $q_c$ , CWP, and  $\tau$  increase according to the aerosol indirect effect (Peng et al., 2002; Wang 235 et al., 2019; Li et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011). Meanwhile,  $r_v$  decreases significantly owing to the larger cloud number concentration. The effects of the new entrainment-236 237 mixing parameterization also increase, for example, the change in  $N_c$  increases from – 238 0.70% (new compared to default) to -2.74% (new\_10 compared to default\_10),  $r_v$ 239 increases from +0.15% to +0.57%, and  $\tau$  from -0.38% to -0.58%; the reasons for these 240 changes are discussed later. These small changes are similar to those identified in 241 previous cumulus studies (Jarecka et al., 2013; Hoffmann et al., 2019).

242

# 243 **3.2 Stratocumulus case**

The stratocumulus case is simulated from 9:00 UTC on 19 April 2009 to 03:00
UTC on 20 April 2009; the first three hours are set to be spin-up times. We examine the

246 stratocumulus region of the cloud base at ~2.1 km and the cloud top at ~2.3 km (cloud thickness of ~200 m). Figure 4 shows the time series of the domain-averaged cloud 247 248 fraction and total downward irradiance at the central point in the observation and the 249 default experiment from 12:00 UTC to 24:00 UTC. Similar to the cumulus case, the 250 simulations compare favourably with the observations, which further reinforces the 251 utility of the LES model. The observed data show that the cloud fraction increases with 252 time and peaks at 16:00 UTC. The simulated cloud fraction has a value of 1 before 253 16:00 UTC, fluctuates from 16:00 UTC to 21:00 UTC, and decreases sharply after 254 21:00 UTC. This period can be divided into three stages, namely the mature stage, pre-255 dissipation stage, and dissipation stage.

As with the cumulus case, the temporal evolutions of the physical properties ( $q_c$ ,  $N_c$ ,  $r_v$ , CWP, and  $\tau$ ) of the clouds are shown in Figure 5. In contrast to the oscillating changes exhibited by the physical quantities in the cumulus case (Figure 3), the physical properties in the stratocumulus case exhibit a mostly smooth temporal evolution. Furthermore, *default* and *new* exhibit clear distinctions during the early periods, but these differences decrease during the dissipation stage. This is also the case with *default\_10* and *new\_10*.

To compare the different behaviours of the simulation experiments at different stages, the results at the mature and dissipation stages are analysed in detail. The mean values of the main microphysical and optical properties of the clouds are summarised in Table 3. As expected, the cloud microphysical and optical properties at the mature

267	stage are all larger than those at the dissipation stage. The effects of the new
268	entrainment-mixing parametrization are also more significant at the mature stage.
269	Compared to <i>default</i> , the <i>new</i> model results in a 7.36% smaller $N_c$ , 3.20% larger $r_v$ , and
270	5.98% smaller $\tau$ during the mature stage. During the dissipation stage, the changes in
271	$N_c$ , $r_v$ , and $\tau$ are -4.76%, +2.12 %, and -2.56%, respectively. The largest influence of
272	the new entrainment-mixing parametrization occurs during the mature stage when the
273	aerosol concentration is ten times greater. The differences in $N_c$ , $r_v$ , and $\tau$ between
274	new_10 and default_10 are -9.69%, +3.88%, and -5.85%, respectively, averaged over
275	the mature stage. These differences are much larger than those reported by Hill et al.
276	(2009) who found that assuming extremely inhomogeneous mixing has a negligible
277	effect on stratocumulus simulations. Our results also prove the speculation of Hill et al.
278	(2009) that the mixing process might play an important role when the stratocumulus is
279	thin (~200 m in this study). Furthermore, implementing the new entrainment-mixing
280	parameterization has similar effects on cloud properties to those described by Hoffmann
281	and Feingold (2019) who used the linear eddy model to represent subgrid-scale
282	turbulent mixing. Note that stratocumulus clouds occur in most regions around the
283	world and are important contributors to the surface radiation budget (Wood, 2012;
284	Zheng et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2021; Wang and Feingold, 2009). Stratocumulus clouds
285	dominate in some regions and occur over 60% of the time as vast long-lived sheets,
286	such as the semi-permanent subtropical marine stratocumulus sheets (Wood, 2012). In
287	these regions, a nearly 6% decrease in $\tau$ , caused by the new entrainment-mixing

parameterization is expected to have significant effects on the simulation of regionalradiative properties and climate change.

290 The averaged influences of the new entrainment-mixing parametrization over all 291 the simulation periods are also examined (Table 4). Quantitatively, the effect of the new 292 entrainment-mixing parameterization is much greater on stratocumulus clouds than on 293 cumulus clouds. Compared to *default*, *new* has an average change of -6.20% in N<sub>c</sub>, 294 +2.01% in  $r_v$ , and -3.23% in  $\tau$ . When the aerosol concentration increases ten-fold, the 295 differences in  $N_c$ ,  $r_v$ , and  $\tau$  between *default 10* and *new 10* are -9.00%, +3.16%, and 296 -4.14%, respectively. These differences are larger than the largest changes in the 297 cumulus case.

298

## 299 **3.3** Mechanisms of the effects of the new entrainment-mixing parameterization

The different effects of the new entrainment-mixing parameterization on different types of clouds and even on different stages of stratocumulus clouds are likely be related to variations in the dominant mixing mechanism. To confirm this, we calculate the average  $\psi$  at all grid points experiencing evaporation, the proportion of inhomogeneous mixing grid points to all grid points experiencing evaporation, and the average  $\psi$  at the inhomogeneous mixing grid points in *new* and *new\_10* (Table 5) for the cumulus case, and mature and dissipation stages in the stratocumulus case.

307 For the cumulus case, simulations exhibit large  $\psi$  and a small proportion of 308 inhomogeneous mixing, indicating that homogeneous mixing is the dominant

309 entrainment-mixing mechanism (Luo et al., 2020; Lu et al., 2013). Correspondingly, 310 the influences of the new entrainment-mixing parameterization on the cloud physical 311 properties are not significant, as shown in Figure 3 and Table 2. The *new\_10* model 312 exhibit a smaller average  $\psi$  and a larger proportion of inhomogeneous mixing than *new*, 313 which results in larger changes in cloud physics, as mentioned in Section 3.1.

314 For the stratocumulus case, Table 5 shows the average  $\psi$  at all grid points 315 experiencing evaporation, the proportion of inhomogeneous mixing grid points to all 316 grid points experiencing evaporation, and the average  $\psi$  at the inhomogeneous mixing 317 grid points during the two stages. The mature stage always has a smaller  $\psi$  but a larger 318 proportion of inhomogeneous mixing than the dissipation stage. The inhomogeneous 319 mixing process dominates the mature stage in *new*, because more than 60% of the grid 320 points experience inhomogeneous mixing. The inhomogeneous mixing process is more 321 dominant in new\_10, because less than 3% of the cloudy grid points experience a 322 homogeneous mixing process during the mature stage, which explains why new 10 has 323 the largest influence when implementing the new entrainment-mixing parametrization. 324 Meanwhile, the average  $\psi$  in both stages is smaller than that in the cumulus case for the same simulation configuration. Thus, the effects of the new entrainment-mixing 325 parameterization are more significant for stratocumulus than for cumulus clouds, 326 327 especially at the mature stage. It is noted that the average  $\psi$  and the proportion of 328 inhomogeneous mixing at the dissipation stage of *new* in the stratocumulus case are 329 very close to the results of new\_10 in the cumulus case. This is because the cloud fraction decreases sharply during the dissipation stage; the stratocumulus clouds breakup and produces cumulus clouds with small cloud droplet radius.

332

# 333 **3.4** The effects of dissipation rate and aerosol concentration on the entrainment-

## 334 mixing process

335 Previous studies have shown the notable effects of the dissipation rate and aerosol 336 concentration on the entrainment-mixing process. For example, Luo et al. (2020) changed  $\varepsilon$  from 10<sup>-5</sup> m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-3</sup> to 10<sup>-2</sup> m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-3</sup> and noted huge differences in the corresponding 337 338  $\psi$ . Small et al. (2013) compared aircraft observations with different background 339 concentrations and found that higher pollution flights tended to slightly more inhomogeneous mixing; Jarecka et al. (2013) also showed various homogeneities of 340 341 subgrid mixing when aerosol concentration increases ten-fold. To explain the different 342 behaviours of different simulations with the new entrainment-mixing parameterization, 343 the influences of  $\varepsilon$  and aerosol concentration are examined. Figure 6 shows the 344 probability distribution functions (PDFs) of  $\varepsilon$ ,  $r_v$ ,  $\tau_{evap}$ , and  $N_L$  for cloud grids 345 experiencing entrainment-mixing processes in new and new 10 for the cumulus and stratocumulus cases, respectively. The PDFs from the mature and dissipation stages of 346 the stratocumulus case are shown in Figure 7. 347

348

## 349 **3.4.1 Dissipation rate**

350 According to Equation (3),  $N_L$  is a function of  $\varepsilon^{3/4}$ ; hence, the PDF of  $\varepsilon$  directly

351	affects $N_{\rm L}$ and further results in different $\psi$ . For the cumulus case, the mean $\varepsilon$ of 0.0043
352	$m^2 s^{-3}$ in <i>new</i> is similar to those obtained for cumulus clouds in previous studies (e.g.
353	Lu et al., 2016; Hoffmann et al., 2019). As shown in Figure 1, cloud grids experience a
354	homogeneous mixing process if $N_L$ is larger than ~10 <sup>5</sup> , the limited distribution of $N_L$
355	values less than $10^5$ in <i>new</i> results in a very small number of cloud grid points
356	undergoing inhomogeneous mixing process. Even at the cloud grid points that undergo
357	inhomogeneous mixing, the average $\psi$ is large (98.62%), because most of the N <sub>L</sub> values
358	are larger than $10^3$ . Therefore, the cloud properties in <i>new</i> are close to those in <i>default</i> .
359	For the stratocumulus case, the mean value of $\varepsilon$ (2.9×10 <sup>-4</sup> m <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-3</sup> in <i>new</i> ) is an order
360	of magnitude less than those in the cumulus case. Therefore, compared with the
361	cumulus case, $N_L$ is reduced in the stratocumulus case, while the peak value of <i>new</i>
362	almost reaches the criterion of inhomogeneous mixing (~ $10^5$ ). For the two stages of
363	stratocumulus clouds, $\varepsilon$ is an order of magnitude smaller, but $r_v$ was larger (Figure 7)
364	during the mature stage than during the dissipation stage. According to Equation (5a),
365	droplets with smaller $r_v$ are more prone to complete evaporation and have a smaller $\tau_{evap}$ .
366	The combination of smaller $\varepsilon$ and larger $r_v$ results in a smaller $N_L$ (Equation (3)). This
367	is the reason for the new entrainment-mixing parametrization having more significant
368	effects during the mature stage than during the dissipation stage. In addition, the
369	similarity of the $\varepsilon$ and $r_v$ values during the dissipation stage of the stratocumulus case
370	in new, compared to the cumulus case in new_10 (Figures 6a and 6b), explains the
371	similar average $\psi$ values of these scenarios and the proportion of inhomogeneous

372 mixing (Table 5).

373 Therefore, the distribution of  $\varepsilon$  has a vital impact on the influence of the new entrainment-mixing parameterization. Smaller values of  $\varepsilon$  result in the new 374 375 entrainment-mixing parameterization having a more significant influence. Moreover, 376 the  $r_v$  in the stratocumulus case is smaller than that in the cumulus case, which is also 377 conducive to a more inhomogeneous mixing process. These are the reasons why the 378 implementation of the new entrainment-mixing parameterization has a larger influence 379 in the stratocumulus case than in the cumulus case, when compared to a homogeneous 380 mixing mechanism.

381

382 **3.4.2 Aerosol concentration** 

383 The aerosol concentration affects the entrainment-mixing process by decreasing 384 the cloud droplet radius. As  $r_v$  decreases, the distributions of  $\tau_{evap}$  in *new\_10* moves to 385 a smaller overall value, while the mean value is an order of magnitude smaller than that in *new*, which causes a much smaller  $N_{\rm L}$  because  $N_{\rm L}$  is proportional to  $\tau_{\rm evap}^{3/2}$  (Equations 386 387 (3a) and (3b)). The larger percentage of smaller  $N_{\rm L}$  values indicates that in new 10, 388 more grid points undergo an inhomogeneous mixing process, and the proportion of such grid points is much larger than in the *new* model (Table 5). Therefore, compared to *new*, 389 390 *new\_10* exhibit a smaller  $\psi$  and the effects of the new entrainment-mixing parameterization on cloud properties are more obvious, for both the cumulus and 391 392 stratocumulus cases.

394 **3.5 Verification by the simulations with a different parameterization using**  
entrained air relative humidity  
396 In the above simulations, the new entrainment-mixing parameterization is based  
397 on the grid-mean RH. This section serves to verify these simulations using the the  
398 entrainment-mixing parameterization proposed by Luo et al. (2020)  
399 
$$\psi = 107.96 \exp(-0.95N_1^{-0.35})$$
, (9)  
400 which was developed using the entrained air RH in the EMPM. This parameterization  
401 needs the entrained air RH within each grid in WRF, which is estimated following  
402 Grabowski (2007) and Jarecka et al. (2009). Briefly, assuming that RH mixes linearly  
403 when the dry air entrains into the cloud, then entrained air RH can be simply calculated  
404 by  
405  $RH_{remained} = \frac{RH_{put} - (1 - f)RH_{douel}}{f}$ , (10)  
406 where the subscripts *entrained, cloud*, and grid indicate the RH of the entrained, cloudy,  
407 and grid point air, respectively. In Equation (10), although the cloudy air RH is  
408 approximately 100% and grid-mean RH is predicted in the model, the entrained air  
409 fraction / needs to be further parameterized. To obtain f at 100 m, a parameterization of  
410 f is developed based on the simulations for both the cumulus and stratocumulus cases  
411 with a higher resolution of 10 m; the other configurations are the same as those in the  
412 experiment *default*. The 10 m-resolution simulation results are then averaged to the  
413 resolution of 100 m. Following Xu and Randall (1996), "1 - f" can be fitted by the

414 function

415 
$$1 - f = RH_{\text{grid}}^{\gamma} \left[ 1 - \exp(-\beta q_{\text{c}}) \right], \qquad (11)$$

416 where  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  are empirical parameters. Figure 8 shows that the parameterization with

 $\gamma = 8.72$  and  $\beta = 1.47 \times 10^4$  can well reproduce the simulated values of "1 - f", with the

419	Equations (9-11) are applied in the simulations for both the cumulus and
420	stratocumulus cases with different aerosol background (hereafter <i>new_f</i> and
421	new_f_10). Same as Figures 3 and 5, the temporal evolutions of the cloud physical
422	properties ( $q_c$ , $N_c$ , $r_v$ , CWP, and $\tau$ ) in <i>default</i> , <i>default_10</i> , <i>new_f</i> , and <i>new_f_10</i> are
423	shown in Figures 9 and 10. The results are similar to Figures 3 and 5. The mean values
424	of these properties of <i>new_f</i> and <i>new_f_10</i> for the cumulus and stratocumulus cases
425	are also shown in Table 6, the results of new and new_10 are also shown in the
426	parentheses for the convenience of comparison. The results of <i>new_f</i> and <i>new</i> are very
427	similar, with the maximum difference being no more than 1%, so are the results of
428	tenfold aerosol background. Such a close agreement suggests that the results of the
429	new entrainment-mixing parametrization with grid-mean RH are reliable.
430	It is worth noting that instead of parameterizing $f$ , Jarecka et al. (2009) and
431	Jarecka et al. (2013) added an equation to predict $f$ for each grid. In principle, this is a

- 432 good choice if this method is available in models.

## 436 4. Concluding remarks

437 The entrainment-mixing process near cloud edges has important effects on cloud 438 microphysics, but the most commonly used microphysics schemes simply assume one 439 extreme mechanism, that is, homogeneous entrainment-mixing. This study first 440 improves the entrainment-mixing parameterization proposed by Luo et al. (2020), 441 which connects the homogeneous mixing degree and transition scale number to 442 estimate the homogeneity of the subgrid mixing process and its impact on the droplet 443 number concentration. The improved parameterization uses grid-mean relative 444 humidity and can be implemented directly into microphysics schemes; there is no need to know the relative humidity of the entrained air. Second, the modified entrainment-445 446 mixing parameterization is implemented in the two-moment Thompson aerosol-aware 447 scheme of the LES version of WRF-Solar, to examine its effects on the microphysical 448 and optical properties of cumulus and stratocumulus clouds. Third, several sensitivity 449 experiments are conducted to investigate the effects of the new entrainment-mixing 450 parameterization under different conditions of turbulence dissipation rate and aerosol number concentration. The results of implementing the new entrainment-mixing 451 parameterization are finally verified by the results using entrained air properties. 452 Unlike the commonly assumed homogeneous mixing scenario, the new 453 454 entrainment-mixing parameterization produces a smaller cloud droplet number 455 concentration and larger cloud droplet radius, with the degree of difference depending

456 on cloud types and stages. Sensitivity tests show that in the cumulus case, the largest

457 average influence of the new entrainment-mixing parameterization occurs under a high 458 aerosol background, but results in only a 2.74% decrease in cloud droplet number 459 concentration and a 0.57% increase in cloud droplet volume-mean radius. The changes become even smaller with a low aerosol background because of the larger cloud droplet 460 radius. In contrast, the new entrainment-mixing parameterization has a larger influence 461 462 on the microphysical and optical properties of stratocumulus clouds, especially under a 463 high aerosol background and during the mature stage, with a cloud fraction equal to 1. 464 The largest changes resulting from the new entrainment-mixing parameterization are -465 9.69%, +3.88%, and -5.85%, for cloud number concentration, cloud droplet volumemean radius, and cloud optical depth, respectively. The new entrainment-mixing 466 parameterization has less of an influence on the dissipation stage than on the mature 467 468 stage of the stratocumulus case, but affects this case more than the cumulus case. 469 The varying effects of the new entrainment-mixing parameterization are caused 470 by variations in the dominant entrainment-mixing mechanism between different cloud 471 types and stages. Compared to the cumulus case, the stratocumulus case has a much 472 smaller homogeneous mixing degree and a larger proportion of inhomogeneous mixing 473 grid points, especially during the mature stage, which indicates that the inhomogeneous

474 mixing mechanism dominates in the stratocumulus case, while the homogeneous 475 mixing mechanism dominates in the cumulus case. As mentioned above, the changes 476 in physical properties of stratocumulus clouds in the dissipation stage are between those 477 in the mature stage and those of the cumulus case; this is because stratocumulus clouds dissipate sharply to form small cumulus clouds, and the degree of homogeneous mixing
during the dissipation stage is therefore between that which occurs during the mature
stage and the cumulus case.

481 Sensitivity studies show that turbulence dissipation rate and aerosol concentration can have notable effects on the subgrid homogeneity of the mixing process. A larger 482 dissipation rate can accelerate the mixing process, which results in a larger transition 483 484 scale number and homogeneous mixing degree; and therefore, a mostly homogenous 485 mixing mechanism. This is why the cumulus case exhibit smaller changes than the 486 stratocumulus case after the new entrainment-mixing parameterization is implemented. 487 Larger aerosol number concentrations cause a smaller cloud droplet radius. Smaller 488 droplets evaporate more easily, which leads to a smaller transition scale number and a 489 smaller homogeneous mixing degree. Thus, the entrainment-mixing mechanism tends 490 to be inhomogeneous. Therefore, a larger aerosol number concentration increases the 491 influence of the new entrainment-mixing parameterization in both the cumulus and 492 stratocumulus cases.



499	Note that the new entrainment-mixing parameterization could be more important
500	in the models if the relative humidity near the cloud is more accurately simulated,
501	because numerical diffusion may spuriously humidify the entrained air (Hoffmann and
502	Feingold, 2019). The artificially increased relative humidity limits the influences of the
503	new entrainment-mixing parameterization, because homogeneous and inhomogeneous
504	entrainment-mixing processes are close to each other under conditions of high relative
505	humidity.
506	
507	Author contributions. XX, CL and YL designed the experiments. XX carried out the
508	experiments and conducted the data analysis with contributions from all coauthors. XX,
509	CL, XZ, and SE developed the model code. XX prepared the paper with help from CL,
510	YL, YW, SL, and LZ.
511	
512	Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
513	
514	Acknowledgements. This research is supported by the National Key Research and
515	Development Program of China (2017YFA0604001), the National Natural Science
516	Foundation of China (41822504, 42175099, 42027804, 41975181, 42075073). Liu,
517	Zhou, and Endo are supported by the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Energy
518	Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE) under Solar Energy Technologies Office
519	(SETO) Award Number 33504, and Office of Science Biological and Environmental

520	Research as part of the Atmospheric Systems Research (ASR) Program. Brookhaven
521	National Laboratory is operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy under
522	Contract DE-SC00112704. The large-scale forcing data used in this paper can be
523	downloaded from the U.S. Department of Energy's Atmospheric Radiation
524	Measurement Program with https://adc.arm.gov/discovery/#/results. The LASSO data
525	can be downloaded from https://archive.arm.gov/lassobrowser. The views expressed
526	herein do not necessarily represent the views of the U.S. Department of Energy or the
527	United States Government.

#### 529 Reference

Ackerman, A. S., Kirkpatrick, M. P., Stevens, D. E., and Toon, O. B.: The impact of 530 531 humidity above stratiform clouds on indirect aerosol climate forcing, Nature, 432,

532 1014-1017, 2004.

533 Andrejczuk, M., Grabowski, W. W., Malinowski, S. P., and Smolarkiewicz, P. K.: Numerical simulation of cloud-clear air interfacial mixing: Effects on cloud 534 535 microphysics, J. Atmos. Sci., 63, 3204-3225, doi:10.1175/JAS3813.1, 2006.

- 536 Andrejczuk, M., Grabowski, W. W., Malinowski, S. P., and Smolarkiewicz, P. K.: 537 Numerical simulation of cloud-clear air interfacial mixing: Homogeneous versus 538 inhomogeneous mixing, J. Atmos. Sci., 66, 2493-2500, doi:10.1175/2009JAS2956.1, 539 2009.
- 540 Burnet, F. and Brenguier, J. L.: Observational study of the entrainment-mixing process 541 in warm convective clouds, J. Atmos. Sci., 64, 1995-2011, doi:10.1175/JAS3928.1,
- 542 2007.
- 543 Chosson, F., Brenguier, J.-L., and Schüller, L.: Entrainment-mixing and radiative 544 transfer simulation in boundary layer clouds, J. Atmos. Sci., 64, 2670-2682, 545 doi:10.1175/JAS3975.1, 2007.
- 546 Deardorff, J.: Stratocumulus-capped mixed layers derived from a three-dimensional 547 model, Boundary-Layer Meteorol, 18, 495-527, 10.1007/BF00119502, 1980.
- 548 Del Genio, A. D. and Wu, J.: The role of entrainment in the diurnal cycle of continental 549 convection, J. Climate, 23, 2722-2738, doi: 10.1175/2009JCLI3340.1, 2010.
- 550 Endo, S., Fridlind, A. M., Lin, W., Vogelmann, A. M., Toto, T., Ackerman, A. S.,
- McFarquhar, G. M., Jackson, R. C., Jonsson, H. H., and Liu, Y.: RACORO continental 551

- boundary layer cloud investigations: 2. Large eddy simulations of cumulus clouds
- and evaluation with in situ and ground based observations, Journal of Geophysical
- 554 Research: Atmospheres, 120, 5993-6014, 2015.
- 555 Fan, J., Wang, Y., Rosenfeld, D., and Liu, X.: Review of Aerosol–Cloud Interactions:
- Mechanisms, Significance, and Challenges, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 73,
  4221-4252, 10.1175/jas-d-16-0037.1, 2016.
- 558 Freud, E., Rosenfeld, D., and Kulkarni, J. R.: Resolving both entrainment-mixing and
- number of activated CCN in deep convective clouds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12887-12900, 2011.
- 561 Freud, E., Rosenfeld, D., Andreae, M. O., Costa, A. A., and Artaxo, P.: Robust relations 562 between CCN and the vertical evolution of cloud drop size distribution in deep
- 563 convective clouds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 1661-1675, 2008.
- Gao, S., Lu, C., Liu, Y., Mei, F., Wang, J., Zhu, L., and Yan, S.: Contrasting Scale
  Dependence of Entrainment Mixing Mechanisms in Stratocumulus Clouds,
  Geophysical Research Letters, 47, 10.1029/2020gl086970, 2020.
- 567 Gao, S., Lu, C., Liu, Y., Yum, S. S., Zhu, J., Zhu, L., Desai, N., Ma, Y., and Wu, S.:
- 568 Comprehensive quantification of height dependence of entrainment mixing between
- stratiform cloud top and environment, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 21, 11225-11241, 2021.
- 571 Gao, Z., Liu, Y., Li, X., and Lu, C.: Investigation of Turbulent Entrainment-Mixing
- 572 Processes with a New Particle-Resolved Direct Numerical Simulation Model, J. 573 Geophys. Res., 123, 2194-2214, 2018.
- 574 Gerber, H. E., Frick, G. M., Jensen, J. B., and Hudson, J. G.: Entrainment, mixing, and 575 microphysics in trade-wind cumulus, J. Meteorol. Soc. Japan, 86A, 87-106, 2008.
- 576 Grabowski, W. W.: Indirect impact of atmospheric aerosols in idealized simulations of 577 convective-radiative quasi equilibrium, J. Climate, 19, 4664-4682,
- 578 doi:10.1175/JCLI3857.1, 2006.
- 579 Grabowski, W. W.: Representation of turbulent mixing and buoyancy reversal in bulk 580 cloud models, Journal of the atmospheric sciences, 64, 3666-3680, 2007.
- 581 Grabowski, W. W. and Morrison, H.: Indirect Impact of Atmospheric Aerosols in
- Idealized Simulations of Convective–Radiative Quasi Equilibrium. Part II: DoubleMoment Microphysics, Journal of Climate, 24, 1897-1912, 10.1175/2010jcli3647.1,
- 584 2011.
- 585 Gustafson, W. I., Vogelmann, A. M., Li, Z., Cheng, X., Dumas, K. K., Endo, S., Johnson,
- 586 K. L., Krishna, B., Fairless, T., and Xiao, H.: The Large-Eddy Simulation (LES)
- 587 Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM) Symbiotic Simulation and Observation
- 588 (LASSO) Activity for Continental Shallow Convection, Bulletin of the American
- 589 Meteorological Society, 101, E462-E479, 10.1175/bams-d-19-0065.1, 2020.
- 590 Hacker, J. P., Jimenez, P. A., Dudhia, J., Haupt, S. E., Ruiz-Arias, J. A., Gueymard, C.
- 591 A., Thompson, G., Eidhammer, T., and Deng, A.: WRF-Solar: Description and Clear-
- 592 Sky Assessment of an Augmented NWP Model for Solar Power Prediction, Bulletin of
- 593 the American Meteorological Society, 97, 1249-1264, 10.1175/bams-d-14-00279.1,

594 2016.

- 595 Haman, K. E., Malinowski, S. P., Kurowski, M. J., Gerber, H., and Brenguier, J.-L.:
- Small scale mixing processes at the top of a marine stratocumulus a case study, Q. J.
  Roy. Meteor. Soc., 133, 213-226, doi:10.1002/qj.5, 2007.
- 598 Haupt, S. E., Kosovic, B., Jensen, T., Lee, J., Jimenez, P., Lazo, J., Cowie, J.,
- 599 McCandless, T., Pearson, J., and Weiner, G.: The SunCast solar-power forecasting
- 600 system: the results of the public-private-academic partnership to advance solar power
- 601 forecasting, National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), Boulder (CO):
- Research Applications Laboratory, Weather Systems and Assessment Program (US),2016.
- Hill, A. A., Feingold, G., and Jiang, H.: The influence of entrainment and mixing
  assumption on aerosol-cloud interactions in marine stratocumulus, J. Atmos. Sci., 66,
  1450-1464, 2009.
- Hoffmann, F. and Feingold, G.: Entrainment and mixing in stratocumulus: Effects of a
  new explicit subgrid-scale scheme for large-eddy simulations with particle-based
  microphysics, J. Atmos. SCi., 76, 1955-1973, 10.1175/jas-d-18-0318.1, 2019.
- 610 Hoffmann, F., Yamaguchi, T., and Feingold, G.: Inhomogeneous mixing in Lagrangian
- 611 cloud models: Effects on the production of precipitation embryos, Journal of the
- 612 Atmospheric Sciences, 76, 113-133, 2019.
- Huang, J., Lee, X., and Patton, E. G.: A modelling study of flux imbalance and the
- 614 influence of entrainment in the convective boundary layer, Boundary-layer meteorology,

615 127, 273-292, 2008.

- 616 Jarecka, D., Grabowski, W. W., and Pawlowska, H.: Modeling of Subgrid-Scale Mixing
- 617 in Large-Eddy Simulation of Shallow Convection, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences,
- 618 66, 2125-2133, 10.1175/2009jas2929.1, 2009.
- 619 Jarecka, D., Grabowski, W. W., Morrison, H., and Pawlowska, H.: Homogeneity of the
- subgrid-scale turbulent mixing in large-eddy simulation of shallow convection, J.Atmos. Sci., 70, 2751-2767, 2013.
- Jensen, J. B., Austin, P. H., Baker, M. B., and Blyth, A. M.: Turbulent mixing, spectral
  evolution and dynamics in a warm cumulus cloud, J. Atmos. Sci., 42, 173-192,
- 624 doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1985)042<0173:TMSEAD>2.0.CO;2, 1985.
- Kim, B.-G., Miller, M. A., Schwartz, S. E., Liu, Y., and Min, Q.: The role of adiabaticity
  in the aerosol first indirect effect, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D05210, doi:
  10.1029/2007jd008961, 2008.
- Kumar, B., Schumacher, J., and Shaw, R.: Cloud microphysical effects of turbulent
  mixing and entrainment, Theor. Comp. Fluid Dyn., 27, 361-376, 2013.
- 630 Lasher-Trapp, S. G., Cooper, W. A., and Blyth, A. M.: Broadening of droplet size
- distributions from entrainment and mixing in a cumulus cloud, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.,
- 632 131, 195-220, doi:10.1256/qj.03.199, 2005.
- 633 Lehmann, K., Siebert, H., and Shaw, R. A.: Homogeneous and inhomogeneous mixing
- 634 in cumulus clouds: dependence on local turbulence structure, J. Atmos. Sci., 66, 3641-
- 635 3659, doi:10.1175/2009JAS3012.1, 2009.

- 636 Li, Z., Li, C., Chen, H., Tsay, S. C., Holben, B., Huang, J., Li, B., Maring, H., Qian, Y.,
- and Shi, G.: East Asian studies of tropospheric aerosols and their impact on regional
- climate (EAST AIRC): An overview, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres,
  116, 2011.
- 640 Lu, C., Liu, Y., and Niu, S.: Examination of turbulent entrainment-mixing mechanisms
- 641 using a combined approach, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D20207,
  642 doi:10.1029/2011JD015944, 2011.
- Lu, C., Liu, Y., Niu, S., and Endo, S.: Scale dependence of entrainment mixing
  mechanisms in cumulus clouds, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119,
  13,877-813,890, 2014.
- Lu, C., Liu, Y., Niu, S., Krueger, S., and Wagner, T.: Exploring parameterization for
  turbulent entrainment-mixing processes in clouds, Journal of Geophysical Research:
  Atmospheres, 118, 185-194, 10.1029/2012jd018464, 2013.
- 649 Lu, C., Liu, Y., Zhang, G. J., Wu, X., Endo, S., Cao, L., Li, Y., and Guo, X.: Improving
- 650 parameterization of entrainment rate for shallow convection with aircraft measurements
- and large eddy simulation, J. Atmos. Sci., 73, 761-773, 10.1175/JAS-D-15-0050.1,
- 652 2016.
- 653 Luo, S., Lu, C., Liu, Y., Bian, J., Gao, W., Li, J., Xu, X., Gao, S., Yang, S., and Guo, X.:
- Parameterizations of Entrainment Mixing Mechanisms and Their Effects on Cloud
  Droplet Spectral Width Based on Numerical Simulations, Journal of Geophysical
  Research: Atmospheres, 125, e2020JD032972, 2020.
- Morrison, H. and Grabowski, W. W.: Modeling supersaturation and subgrid-scale
  mixing with two-moment bulk warm microphysics, J. Atmos. Sci., 65, 792-812,
  doi:10.1175/2007JAS2374.1, 2008.
- Paluch, I. R. and Baumgardner, D. G.: Entrainment and fine-scale mixing in a
  continental convective cloud, J. Atmos. Sci., 46, 261-278, doi:10.1175/15200469(1989)046<0261:EAFSMI>2.0.CO;2, 1989.
- 663 Pawlowska, H., Brenguier, J. L., and Burnet, F.: Microphysical properties of 664 stratocumulus clouds, Atmos. Res., 55, 15-33, 2000.
- 665 Peng, Y., Lohmann, U., Leaitch, R., Banic, C., and Couture, M.: The cloud albedo-cloud
- droplet effective radius relationship for clean and polluted clouds from RACE and
- 667 FIRE.ACE, J. Geophys. Res., 107, AAC 1-1-AAC 1-6, 10.1029/2000JD000281, 2002.
- 668 Slawinska, J., Grabowski, W. W., Pawlowska, H., and Morrison, H.: Droplet activation
- and mixing in large-eddy simulation of a shallow cumulus field, J. Atmos. Sci., 69, 444-462, 2012.
- 671 Slawinska, J., Grabowski, W. W., Pawlowska, H., and Wyszogrodzki, A. A.: Optical
- 672 properties of shallow convective clouds diagnosed from a bulk-microphysics large-
- 673 eddy simulation, J. Climate, 21, 1639-1647, 2008.
- Small, J. D., Chuang, P., and Jonsson, H.: Microphysical imprint of entrainment in
  warm cumulus, Tellus B, 65, 6647-6662, 2013.
- 676 Thompson, G. and Eidhammer, T.: A study of aerosol impacts on clouds and
- 677 precipitation development in a large winter cyclone, Journal of the atmospheric

- 678 sciences, 71, 3636-3658, 2014.
- Wang, H. and Feingold, G.: Modeling mesoscale cellular structures and drizzle in
  marine stratocumulus. Part I: Impact of drizzle on the formation and evolution of open
  cells, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 66, 3237-3256, 2009.
- 682 Wang, M., Ghan, S., Ovchinnikov, M., Liu, X., Easter, R., Kassianov, E., Qian, Y., and
- 683 Morrison, H.: Aerosol indirect effects in a multi-scale aerosol-climate model PNNL-
- MMF, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 5431-5455, 2011.
- 685 Wang, Y., Zhao, C., McFarquhar, G. M., Wu, W., Reeves, M., and Li, J.: Dispersion of
- 686 Droplet Size Distributions in Supercooled Non precipitating Stratocumulus from
- Aircraft Observations Obtained during the Southern Ocean Cloud Radiation Aerosol
  Transport Experimental Study, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 126,
- 689 e2020JD033720, 2021.
- 690 Wang, Y., Niu, S., Lv, J., Lu, C., Xu, X., Wang, Y., Ding, J., Zhang, H., Wang, T., and
- 691 Kang, B.: A new method for distinguishing unactivated particles in cloud condensation
- 692 nuclei measurements: Implications for aerosol indirect effect evaluation, Geophysical
- 693 Research Letters, 46, 14185-14194, 2019.
- Wood, R.: Review: Stratocumulus Clouds, Monthly Weather Review, 140, 2373-2423,2012.
- Ku, K.-M. and Randall, D. A.: A semiempirical cloudiness parameterization for use inclimate models, Journal of the atmospheric sciences, 53, 3084-3102, 1996.
- Ku, X., Sun, C., Lu, C., Liu, Y., Zhang, G. J., and Chen, Q.: Factors affecting
  entrainment rate in deep convective clouds and parameterizations, Journal of
  Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 126, e2021JD034881, 2021.
- 701 Xu, X., Lu, C., Liu, Y., Gao, W., Wang, Y., Cheng, Y., Luo, S., and Van Weverberg, K.:
- Effects of cloud liquid phase microphysical processes in mixed phase cumuli over
  the Tibetan Plateau, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 125,
  e2020JD033371, 2020.
- 705 Yang, B., Wang, M., Zhang, G. J., Guo, Z., Huang, A., Zhang, Y., and Qian, Y.: Linking
- 706 Deep and Shallow Convective Mass Fluxes via an Assumed Entrainment Distribution
- 707 in CAM5 CLUBB: Parameterization and Simulated Precipitation Variability, Journal
- of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 13, e2020MS002357, 2021.
- 709 Yang, F., Shaw, R., and Xue, H.: Conditions for super-adiabatic droplet growth after
- 710 entrainment mixing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 9421-9433, 10.5194/acp-2016-94, 2016.
- 711 Yum, S.: Cloud droplet spectral broadening in warm clouds: An observational and
- model study, Dissertation for the Doctoral Degree, University of Nevada, Reno, Nevada,
- 713 USA, 191 pp., 1998.
- 714 Zhang, M. H., Lin, J. L., Cederwall, R. T., Yio, J. J., and Xie, S. C.: Objective analysis
- 715 of ARM IOP data: Method and sensitivity, Mon. Weather Rev., 129, 295-311,
- 716 10.1175/1520-0493(2001)129<0295:OAOAID>2.0.CO;2, 2001.
- 717 Zheng, Y. and Rosenfeld, D.: Linear relation between convective cloud base height and
- 718 updrafts and application to satellite retrievals, Geophysical Research Letters, 42, 6485-
- 719 6491, 10.1002/2015gl064809, 2015.

- 720 Zheng, Y., Rosenfeld, D., and Li, Z.: Quantifying cloud base updraft speeds of marine
- stratocumulus from cloud top radiative cooling, Geophysical Research Letters, 43,
- 722 11,407-411,413, 2016.
- 723 Zhu, L., Lu, C., Yan, S., Liu, Y., Zhang, G. J., Mei, F., Zhu, B., Fast, J. D., Matthews,
- 724 A., and Pekour, M. S.: A New Approach for Simultaneous Estimation of Entrainment
- and Detrainment Rates in Non Precipitating Shallow Cumulus, Geophysical Research
- 726 Letters, 48, 10.1029/2021gl093817, 2021.

Table 1. Summary of names and corresponding descriptions of the four experiments for

730 each case of cumulus and stratocumulus. The meaning of each symbol for each

731	experiment c	an be '	found	in 1	the	text.
151	experiment e		Iounu	111	unc	icai.

	Entrainment-mixing		Aerosol number
	parameterization	Dissipation rate	concentration
default	$\alpha = 0$	-	default
new	$\alpha = 1 - \psi,$ $\psi = 107.19 \exp(-1.99 N_{\rm L}^{-0.29}).$	$\varepsilon = CE^{3/2} / L.$	default
default_10	lpha=0	-	default×10
new_10	$\alpha = 1 - \psi,$ $\psi = 107.19 \exp(-1.99 N_{\rm L}^{-0.29}).$	$\varepsilon = CE^{3/2} / L.$	default×10

733	Table 2. Summary of the case mean values of the key quantities in all the simulations
734	of the cumulus case, containing cloud water mixing ratio $(q_c)$ , cloud droplet number
735	concentration ( $N_c$ ), cloud droplet volume-mean radius ( $r_v$ ), cloud water path (CWP),
736	and cloud optical depth ( $\tau$ ). The experiments are detailed in Table 1.

	default	new	default_10	new_10
$q_{\rm c}({ m g/kg})$	0.44	0.44	0.56	0.57
$N_{\rm c}({\rm cm}^{-3})$	35.78	35.53	278.80	271.16
r <sub>v</sub> (μm)	13.27	13.29	7.05	7.09
CWP(g/m <sup>2</sup> )	142.30	144.25	186.52	187.13
τ	13.07	13.02	31.29	31.11

739	Table 3. Summary of the case mean values of the key quantities in all the simulations
740	of the stratocumulus case, including cloud water mixing ratio $(q_c)$ , cloud droplet number
741	concentration ( $N_c$ ), cloud droplet volume-mean radius ( $r_v$ ), cloud water path (CWP),
742	and cloud optical depth ( $\tau$ ). The numbers in and out of the parentheses are the results at
743	the mature and dissipation stages, respectively. The experiments are detailed in Table 1.

	default	new	default_10	new_10
$a(\alpha/k\alpha)$	0.13	0.13	0.16	0.16
$q_{\rm c}({\rm g/Kg})$	(0.039)	(0.039)	(0.041)	(0.041)
$N_{\rm c}(\rm cm^{-3})$	35.74	33.11	256.82	231.93
incom )	(19.76)	(18.82)	(138.74)	(126.90)
$r_{\rm v}({\rm um})$	10.32	10.65	5.15	5.35
, (pill)	(7.53)	(7.69)	(4.02)	(4.14)
$CWP(g/m^2)$	41.39	41.78	56.21	57.03
	(2.57)	(2.43)	(2.71)	(2.77)
τ	4.68	4.40	13.17	12.40
·	(0.39)	(0.38)	(0.78)	(0.78)

745	Table 4. Cloud water mixing ratio ( $q_c$ ), cloud droplet number concentration ( $N_c$ ), cloud
746	droplet volume-mean radius ( $r_v$ ), cloud water path (CWP), cloud optical depth ( $\tau$ ) in all
747	simulations for the entire lifetime of the stratocumulus case. The experiments are
748	detailed in Table 1.

	default	new	default_10	new_10
$q_{\rm c}({\rm g/kg})$	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.13
$N_{\rm c}({\rm cm}^{-3})$	29.98	28.12	223.65	203.50
r <sub>v</sub> (μm)	9.38	9.57	5.06	5.22
CWP(g/m <sup>2</sup> )	30.78	29.92	42.22	43.13
τ	4.02	3.89	10.39	9.96

751	Table 5. Homogeneous mixing degree ( $\psi$ ) at all grid points experiencing evaporation,
752	the proportion of inhomogeneous mixing grid points to all grid points experiencing
753	evaporation, and $\psi$ at the inhomogeneous mixing grid points in the experiments <i>new</i>
754	and <i>new_10</i> (Table 1) for the cumulus (Cu) and stratocumulus (St) cases. The numbers
755	in and out of the parentheses are the results at the mature and dissipation stages in the
756	stratocumulus (St) case, respectively. The experiments are detailed in Table 1.

		Proportion of	$\psi$ at the
	$\psi$ at all grids (%)	inhomogeneous	inhomogeneous
		mixing grids (%)	mixing grids (%)
new (Cu)	99.93	4.52	98.62
<i>new_10</i> (Cu)	95.33	25.10	92.96
	78.56	63.07	71.56
new (St)	(94.68)	(40.61)	(89.33)
	68.20	97.31	65.01
<i>new_10</i> (St)	(88.11)	(73.54)	(84.99)

759	Table 6. Cloud	l water mixing ratio	$(q_c)$ , cloud	droplet number	concentration $(N_c)$ , cloud
-----	----------------	----------------------	-----------------	----------------	-------------------------------

760 droplet volume-mean radius ( $r_v$ ), cloud water path (CWP), cloud optical depth ( $\tau$ ) in

- *new\_f* and *new\_f\_10* for the cumulus (Cu) and stratocumulus (Sc) cases. The results of
- *new* and *new\_10* in Tables 2 and 4 are shown in the parentheses.

	Cu		Sc	
	new_f	new_f_10	new_f	new_f_10
	(new)	(new_10)	(new)	(new_10)
$a(\alpha/k\alpha)$	0.44	0.57	0.11	0.13
<i>qc(g/kg)</i>	(0.44)	(0.57)	(0.11)	(0.13)
$N(cm^{-3})$	35.52	270.56	28.08	202.99
Nc(CIII )	(35.53)	(271.16)	(28.12)	(203.50)
<i>n</i> (um)	13.30	7.10	9.60	5.21
ν <sub>ν</sub> (μπ)	(13.29)	(7.09)	(9.57)	(5.22)
$CWP(q/m^2)$	143.15	185.95	30.16	43.32
C WI (g/m )	(144.25)	(187.13)	(29.92)	(43.13)
τ.	13.00	31.08	3.89	9.93
ι	(13.02)	(31.11)	(3.89)	(9.96)







776 irradiance at the central point from the observation and the *default* experiment in the

778

<sup>777</sup> cumulus case.



779

780 Figure 3. The temporal evolutions of main cloud microphysical and optical properties in all simulation experiments for the cumulus case, including (a) cloud water mixing 781 ratio  $(q_c)$  (g/kg), (b) cloud droplet number concentration  $(N_c)$  (/cm<sup>3</sup>), (c) cloud droplet 782 volume-mean radius ( $r_v$ ) ( $\mu$ m), (d) cloud water path (CWP) ( $g/m^2$ ), and (e) cloud optical 783 784 depth ( $\tau$ ). In (b), N<sub>c</sub> in the experiments *default* and *new* are normalized by the maximum 785 cloud droplet concentration ( $N_{cmax}$ ) from *default*, respectively;  $N_c$  in the experiments 786 default\_10 and new\_10 are normalized by N<sub>cmax</sub> from default\_10, respectively. The four 787 experiments are detailed in Table 1.



790 irradiance at the central point from the observation and the *default* experiment in the

791 stratocumulus case.

788



793 Figure 5. The temporal evolutions of main cloud microphysical and optical properties 794 in all simulation experiments for the stratocumulus case, including (a) cloud water 795 mixing ratio  $(q_c)$  (g/kg), (b) cloud droplet number concentration  $(N_c)$  (/cm<sup>3</sup>), (c) cloud 796 droplet volume-mean radius ( $r_v$ ) ( $\mu$ m), (d) cloud water path (CWP) ( $g/m^2$ ), and (e) cloud 797 optical depth ( $\tau$ ). In (b), N<sub>c</sub> in the experiments *default* and *new* are normalized by the 798 maximum cloud droplet number concentration ( $N_{cmax}$ ) from *default*, respectively;  $N_c$  in 799 the experiments default\_10 and new\_10 are normalized by N<sub>cmax</sub> from default\_10, 800 respectively. The four experiments are detailed in Table 1.



Figure 6. Probability distribution functions (PDFs) of (a) turbulence dissipation rate ( $\varepsilon$ ), (b) cloud droplet volume-mean radius ( $r_v$ ), (c) evaporation time scale ( $\tau_{evap}$ ), and (d) transition scale number ( $N_L$ ) of cloud grids experiencing the entrainment-mixing process in the simulations with the new entrainment-mixing parameterization for the cumulus case (Cu, the solid lines) and the stratocumulus case (St, the dash lines), respectively. The experiments are detailed in Table 1.



Figure 7. Probability distribution functions (PDFs) of (a) turbulence dissipation rate ( $\varepsilon$ ), (b) cloud droplet volume-mean radius ( $r_v$ ), (c) evaporation time scale ( $\tau_{evap}$ ), and (d) transition scale number ( $N_L$ ) of cloud grids experiencing the entrainment-mixing process at the mature stage from 12:00 UTC to 16:00 UTC (the red lines) and the dissipation stage from 21:00 UTC to 24:00 UTC (the green lines) in *new* for the stratocumulus case. The experiment is detailed in Table 1.



816 Figure 8. The fitted 1 - f as a function of the calculated 1 - f. The fitted 1 - f is obtained

817 by the fitting functions with grid-mean relative humidity (RH<sub>grid</sub>) and cloud water

818 mixing ratio  $(q_c)$ . The black line denotes the 1:1 line.



Figure 9. The temporal evolutions of main cloud microphysical and optical properties 820 821 in all simulation experiments for the cumulus case, including (a) cloud water mixing ratio  $(q_c)$  (g/kg), (b) cloud droplet number concentration  $(N_c)$  (/cm<sup>3</sup>), (c) cloud droplet 822 volume-mean radius  $(r_v)$  (µm), (d) cloud water path (CWP) (g/m<sup>2</sup>), and (e) cloud optical 823 depth ( $\tau$ ). In (b),  $N_c$  in the experiments *default* and *new\_f* are normalized by the 824 825 maximum cloud droplet concentration ( $N_{cmax}$ ) from *default*, respectively;  $N_c$  in the 826 experiments *default\_10* and *new\_f\_10* are normalized by N<sub>cmax</sub> from *default\_10*, respectively. *new\_f* and *new\_f\_10* are the experiments using entrained air relative 827 humidity. 828



829

830 Figure 10. The temporal evolutions of main cloud microphysical and optical properties

in all simulation experiments for the stratocumulus case, including (a) cloud water 831 832 mixing ratio  $(q_c)$  (g/kg), (b) cloud droplet number concentration  $(N_c)$  (/cm<sup>3</sup>), (c) cloud droplet volume-mean radius  $(r_v)$  (µm), (d) cloud water path (CWP) (g/m<sup>2</sup>), and (e) cloud 833 optical depth ( $\tau$ ). In (b), N<sub>c</sub> in the experiments *default* and *new* are normalized by the 834 835 maximum cloud droplet number concentration ( $N_{cmax}$ ) from *default*, respectively;  $N_c$  in 836 the experiments *default\_10* and *new\_10* are normalized by N<sub>cmax</sub> from *default\_10*, 837 respectively. *new\_f* and *new\_f\_10* are the experiments using entrained air relative 838 <mark>humidity.</mark>