



1 Revising the definition of anthropogenic heat flux from

- 2 buildings: role of human activities and building storage heat
- 3 flux
- 4 Yiqing Liu¹, Zhiwen Luo¹, Sue Grimmond²
- ⁵ ¹ School of the Built Environment, University of Reading, Reading, UK
- 6 ² Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, UK
- 7 Correspondence to: * Zhiwen Luo (z.luo@reading.ac.uk) and Sue Grimmond (c.s.grimmond@reading.ac.uk)
- 8 Abstract. Buildings are a major source of anthropogenic heat emissions, impacting energy use and human

9 health in cities. The difference between building energy consumption and building anthropogenic heat emission

10 magnitudes and time lag and are poorly quantified. Energy consumption (Q_{EC}) is a widely used proxy for the

11 anthropogenic heat flux from buildings $(Q_{F,B})$. Here we revisit the latter's definition. If $Q_{F,B}$ is the heat emission

12 to the outdoor environment from human activities within buildings, we can derive it from the changes in energy

- 13 balance fluxes between occupied and unoccupied buildings. Our derivation shows the difference between Q_{EC}
- 14 and $Q_{F,B}$ is attributable to a change in the storage heat flux induced by human activities (ΔS_{o-uo}) (i.e., $Q_{F,B}$ =

15 $Q_{EC} - \Delta S_{o-uo}$). Using building energy simulations (EnergyPlus) we calculate the energy balance fluxes for a

- simplified isolated building (obtaining $Q_{F,B}, Q_{EC}, \Delta S_{o-uo}$) with different occupancy states. The non-negligible
- 17 differences in diurnal patterns between $Q_{F,B}$ and Q_{EC} caused by thermal storage (e.g. hourly $Q_{F,B}$ to Q_{EC} ratios
- 18 vary between -2.72 and 5.13 within a year in Beijing, China). Negative $Q_{F,B}$ can occur as human activities can
- reduce heat emission from building but are associated with a large storage heat flux. Building operations (e.g.,
- 20 open windows, use of HVAC system) modify the $Q_{F,B}$ by affecting not only Q_{EC} but also the ΔS_{o-uo} diurnal
- 21 profile. Air temperature and solar radiation are critical meteorological factors explaining day-to-day variability
- 22 of $Q_{F,B}$. Our new approach could be used to provide data for future parameterisations of both anthropogenic heat
- 23 flux and storage heat fluxes from buildings. It is evident that storage heat fluxes in cities may also be impacted
- 24 by occupant behaviour.

25 1 Introduction

- 26 Human's activities that influence energy exchanges are critical to a wide variety of disciplines (e.g.
- 27 meteorology, building design, geography, climatology, hydrology, engineering). As disciplines often have
- 28 interests in different scales, purposes and/or boundary conditions, the terminology and acceptable assumptions





29	differ. However, disciplines may provide data to each other or help improve assumptions used. In this study we
30	are concerned with the interface between meteorology, climatology and building design in urban areas.
31	To model the weather and climate in urban areas, an important additional source of energy to the environment is
32	the anthropogenic heat flux (Q_F) . This is defined as the heat converted from consumption of biological,
33	chemical and electrical energy and released to the atmosphere due to human activities (Oke et al., 2017). Q_F has
34	three major sources, including metabolic (people and animals) activities $(Q_{F,M})$, transport $(Q_{F,T})$ and buildings
35	$(Q_{F,B})$ (Grimmond, 1992). It can be large relative to incoming solar radiation in summer (e.g. 43% in an area of
36	Beijing (Nie et al., 2014)) and increases air temperature in cities (e.g. (Ichinose et al., 1999; Fan and Sailor,
37	2005)), subsequently contributing to higher cooling demand for buildings (Santamouris et al., 2001; Takane et
38	al., 2019). Apart from that, Q_F is also a dominant attribution of wintertime urban heat island (Biggart et al.,
39	2021).Compared to $Q_{F,M}$ and $Q_{F,T}$, the generated heat within building volume is not all directly ejected into the
40	outdoor environment. For example, the heat from mechanical heating system is released in the indoor
41	environment, then conducted into building fabric and eventually emitted into atmosphere through sensible
42	turbulent heat flux and outgoing longwave radiation. In this process the net storage heat flux (ΔQ_S) of building
43	is modified since building fabric temperature is changed by mechanical heating system with absorbing more
44	heat.
44 45	heat. In urban areas, ΔQ_S is the net uptake or release of energy from urban volume. This term is an important
45	In urban areas, ΔQ_S is the net uptake or release of energy from urban volume. This term is an important
45 46	In urban areas, ΔQ_s is the net uptake or release of energy from urban volume. This term is an important determinant of urban climate and is regarded as a key process in the genesis of urban heat island (Goward,
45 46 47	In urban areas, ΔQ_s is the net uptake or release of energy from urban volume. This term is an important determinant of urban climate and is regarded as a key process in the genesis of urban heat island (Goward, 1981). The change in building ΔQ_s is modified when heat is released by human activities but the timing of the
45 46 47 48	In urban areas, ΔQ_S is the net uptake or release of energy from urban volume. This term is an important determinant of urban climate and is regarded as a key process in the genesis of urban heat island (Goward, 1981). The change in building ΔQ_S is modified when heat is released by human activities but the timing of the externally emissions are impacted by the building fabric characteristics and the conduction process. With prior
45 46 47 48 49	In urban areas, ΔQ_S is the net uptake or release of energy from urban volume. This term is an important determinant of urban climate and is regarded as a key process in the genesis of urban heat island (Goward, 1981). The change in building ΔQ_S is modified when heat is released by human activities but the timing of the externally emissions are impacted by the building fabric characteristics and the conduction process. With prior studies often using energy consumption (Q_{EC}) as a proxy for $Q_{F,B}$ from inventory related approaches (e.g. Sailor
45 46 47 48 49 50	In urban areas, ΔQ_S is the net uptake or release of energy from urban volume. This term is an important determinant of urban climate and is regarded as a key process in the genesis of urban heat island (Goward, 1981). The change in building ΔQ_S is modified when heat is released by human activities but the timing of the externally emissions are impacted by the building fabric characteristics and the conduction process. With prior studies often using energy consumption (Q_{EC}) as a proxy for $Q_{F,B}$ from inventory related approaches (e.g. Sailor and Lu, 2004; Iamarino et al., 2012) and building energy modelling (e.g. Heiple and Sailor, 2008; Nie et al.,
45 46 47 48 49 50 51	In urban areas, ΔQ_S is the net uptake or release of energy from urban volume. This term is an important determinant of urban climate and is regarded as a key process in the genesis of urban heat island (Goward, 1981). The change in building ΔQ_S is modified when heat is released by human activities but the timing of the externally emissions are impacted by the building fabric characteristics and the conduction process. With prior studies often using energy consumption (Q_{EC}) as a proxy for $Q_{F,B}$ from inventory related approaches (e.g. Sailor and Lu, 2004; Iamarino et al., 2012) and building energy modelling (e.g. Heiple and Sailor, 2008; Nie et al., 2014) , the impact on ΔQ_S is not addressed. To qualify the 'real' $Q_{F,B}$ and change of ΔQ_S , we revisit the
45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52	In urban areas, ΔQ_S is the net uptake or release of energy from urban volume. This term is an important determinant of urban climate and is regarded as a key process in the genesis of urban heat island (Goward, 1981). The change in building ΔQ_S is modified when heat is released by human activities but the timing of the externally emissions are impacted by the building fabric characteristics and the conduction process. With prior studies often using energy consumption (Q_{EC}) as a proxy for $Q_{F,B}$ from inventory related approaches (e.g. Sailor and Lu, 2004; Iamarino et al., 2012) and building energy modelling (e.g. Heiple and Sailor, 2008; Nie et al., 2014) , the impact on ΔQ_S is not addressed. To qualify the 'real' $Q_{F,B}$ and change of ΔQ_S , we revisit the definition of $Q_{F,B}$ and attempt to understand how human activities affect the energy balance fluxes of building.
 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 	In urban areas, ΔQ_S is the net uptake or release of energy from urban volume. This term is an important determinant of urban climate and is regarded as a key process in the genesis of urban heat island (Goward, 1981). The change in building ΔQ_S is modified when heat is released by human activities but the timing of the externally emissions are impacted by the building fabric characteristics and the conduction process. With prior studies often using energy consumption (Q_{EC}) as a proxy for $Q_{F,B}$ from inventory related approaches (e.g. Sailor and Lu, 2004; Iamarino et al., 2012) and building energy modelling (e.g. Heiple and Sailor, 2008; Nie et al., 2014) , the impact on ΔQ_S is not addressed. To qualify the 'real' $Q_{F,B}$ and change of ΔQ_S , we revisit the definition of $Q_{F,B}$ and attempt to understand how human activities affect the energy balance fluxes of building. If $Q_{F,B}$ is the heat released from buildings into the atmosphere as a result of human activities inside the
 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 	In urban areas, ΔQ_S is the net uptake or release of energy from urban volume. This term is an important determinant of urban climate and is regarded as a key process in the genesis of urban heat island (Goward, 1981). The change in building ΔQ_S is modified when heat is released by human activities but the timing of the externally emissions are impacted by the building fabric characteristics and the conduction process. With prior studies often using energy consumption (Q_{EC}) as a proxy for $Q_{F,B}$ from inventory related approaches (e.g. Sailor and Lu, 2004; Iamarino et al., 2012) and building energy modelling (e.g. Heiple and Sailor, 2008; Nie et al., 2014) , the impact on ΔQ_S is not addressed. To qualify the 'real' $Q_{F,B}$ and change of ΔQ_S , we revisit the definition of $Q_{F,B}$ and attempt to understand how human activities affect the energy balance fluxes of building. If $Q_{F,B}$ is the heat released from buildings into the atmosphere as a result of human activities inside the building (including human metabolism), when the building is completely unoccupied (e.g. no operational
 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 	In urban areas, ΔQ_S is the net uptake or release of energy from urban volume. This term is an important determinant of urban climate and is regarded as a key process in the genesis of urban heat island (Goward, 1981). The change in building ΔQ_S is modified when heat is released by human activities but the timing of the externally emissions are impacted by the building fabric characteristics and the conduction process. With prior studies often using energy consumption (Q_{EC}) as a proxy for $Q_{F,B}$ from inventory related approaches (e.g. Sailor and Lu, 2004; Iamarino et al., 2012) and building energy modelling (e.g. Heiple and Sailor, 2008; Nie et al., 2014) , the impact on ΔQ_S is not addressed. To qualify the 'real' $Q_{F,B}$ and change of ΔQ_S , we revisit the definition of $Q_{F,B}$ and attempt to understand how human activities affect the energy balance fluxes of building. If $Q_{F,B}$ is the heat released from buildings into the atmosphere as a result of human activities inside the building (including human metabolism), when the building is completely unoccupied (e.g. no operational appliances, no people) $Q_{F,B}$ is zero. However, heat released from the unoccupied building is non-zero as there is





59	anthropogenic heat flux when the energy leaves the unoccupied building but influence the heat emissions fr	om
60	the building. This is consistent with radiation penetrating deep into water, and similarly allowing a larger	
61	volume to be heated than soil because of convection (Sellers, 1965).	
62	For an occupied building, the internal heat gain arises from: (1) the equivalent sources and sinks as the	:
63	unoccupied buildings; but also (2) the energy linked to the indoor human activities (metabolism, powered	
64	appliances and energy inputs to heating or cooling). These will modify each of the energy balance fluxes. S	ome
65	of this additional energy is transported out of buildings through indoor-outdoor ventilation exchange and	
66	immediately contributes to $Q_{F,B}$, while some is stored in the building fabric, and later released outdoors through the store of th	ough
67	various pathways (convection, radiation, conduction) to become $Q_{F,B}$ with a time lag. Here, we will derive	
68	$Q_{F,B}$ by looking at the difference of heat fluxes between occupied and unoccupied buildings.	
69	If the energy balance for the building system (including the indoor air and building envelope) for an	
70	unoccupied dry building (assuming latent heat is not important in this case) is:	
71	$Q_{uo}^* = Q_{H,uo} + Q_{BAE,uo} + \Delta Q_{S,uo} $	1)
72	The radiation balance for an isolated unoccupied (uo) building can be expressed as:	
73	$Q_{\rm uo}^* = K_{\rm l,uo} - K_{\rm f,uo} + L_{\rm l,uo} - L_{\rm f,uo} $	2)
74	where Q^* is the net all-wave radiation, K is the shortwave radiation incoming (\downarrow) and outgoing (\uparrow) to the	
75	external surfaces. The longwave (L) radiation exchanges depend on the view factors (F) between the building	ng of
76	interest (boi), the surrounding facets of other surfaces/buildings (other b) and the sky:	
77	$L_{\downarrow,uo} = L_{\downarrow,uo(F[sky \rightarrow boi])} + L_{\downarrow,uo(F[other \ b \rightarrow boi])} $	3)
78	$L_{\uparrow,uo} = L_{\downarrow,uo(F[boi \rightarrow sky])} + L_{\uparrow,uo(F[boi \rightarrow other b])} $	4)
79	In Eq. (1), Q_H is the turbulent sensible heat flux (convection) from external surfaces to the external and	bient
80	air. Q_{BAE} is the net energy exchange from the buildings through air exchange (e.g. ventilation). When the	
81	building is sealed Q_{BAE} is 0 W m ⁻² , otherwise (e.g. open windows, cracks) it can be a source or sink of energy	gy
82	(environment \leftarrow building, or inverse). ΔQ_S is the net storage heat flux of the building volume (i.e. fabric,	
83	contents, including the air). The left-hand side (LHS) of Eq. (1) is the inputs or source of energy to the build	ling,
84	whereas the right-hand side (RHS) is the sink or energy dissipation outputs. With no human activities within	n the
85	building and the internal heat generation from human and infrastructure activities is zero.	
86	When the building is occupied (o) (e.g. appliances operating) additional terms are needed in Eq. (1) to	
87	account for the supply of energy into the building for these activities and the release of energy:	
88	$Q_0^* + Q_{Internal,o} + Q_{HVAC,o} = Q_{H,o} + Q_{BAE,o} + \Delta Q_{S,o} + Q_{Waste,o} $	5)





- 89 The two additional sources of energy (LHS) are:
- 90 (1) *Q*_{Internal,0}: energy released within the building from lighting, powered appliances and metabolism (e.g.
- 91 people, pets).
- 92 (2) $Q_{HVAC,o}$: energy consumption in the building from heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) system.
- 93 As the building may emit exhaust/waste heat (e.g. via HVAC systems), there is an additional sink (RHS)
- 94 referred to here as $Q_{Waste,o}$.
- 95 To determine the impact of the occupancy (i.e. not just the physical building form) we can consider the
- 96 difference between Eq. (5) and Eq. (1). If the radiation balance for the occupied case is:

97
$$Q_0^* = K_{\downarrow,0} - K_{\uparrow,0} + L_{\downarrow,0} - L_{\uparrow,0}$$
 (6)

- 98 We assume that the incoming and outgoing shortwave radiation remains unchanged because the reflectivity,
- 99 transmissivity and absorptivity do not change by occupancy activities then:

100
$$K_{\downarrow,o} = K_{\downarrow,uo}; \qquad K_{\uparrow,o} = K_{\uparrow,uo}$$

- 101 The incoming longwave radiation is dependent on the surroundings which are independent to the building state,
- 102 so:

103
$$L_{\downarrow,o} = L_{\downarrow,uo}$$

104 Thus, the difference of radiative fluxes between occupied and unoccupied building ($\Delta L_{\uparrow,o-uo}$) is:

$$105 \qquad \Delta L_{\uparrow,o-uo} = L_{\uparrow,o} - L_{\uparrow,uo} \tag{7}$$

106 Similarly, the difference of the heat transfer through air exchange is:

$$107 \qquad \Delta BAE_{o-uo} = BAE_o - BAE_{uo} \tag{8}$$

108 With the additional terms in Eq. (5) and the air exchanges rates difference from the activities within the

109 buildings, gives:

110
$$\Delta B_{o-uo} = \left[Q_{Internal,o} + Q_{HVAC,o} \right] - \left[Q_{Waste,o} + \Delta BAE_{o-uo} \right]$$
(9)

111 As the change in surface temperature influences the sensible heat fluxes and storage heat fluxes:

$$112 \qquad \Delta H_{\rm o-uo} = H_{\rm o} - H_{\rm uo} \tag{10}$$

113
$$\Delta S_{\text{o-uo}} = \Delta Q_{S,\text{o}} - \Delta Q_{S,\text{uo}} \tag{11}$$

114 By combining the Eq. (1) and Eq. (5), we obtain:

$$115 \qquad \Delta B_{o-uo} = \Delta L_{1,o-uo} + \Delta H_{o-uo} + \Delta S_{o-uo} \tag{12}$$

116 where the LHS accounts for the net available energy as result of human activities in indoor environments and

117 the RHS shows that these impact the longwave radiation, turbulent sensible and storage heat fluxes (in this dry

118 case). With rearrangement:





119	$\left[Q_{Internal,o} + Q_{HVAC,o}\right] = \Delta S_{o-uo} + \left[\Delta L_{\uparrow,o-uo} + \Delta H_{o-uo} + \Delta BAE_{o-uo} + Q_{Waste,o}\right] $ (13)
120	The additional energy generation associated with human activities to the whole building system (LHS) is
121	apparent, as traditionally defined as $Q_{F,B}$ previously (Heiple and Sailor, 2008). Here because the heat release
122	from human metabolism indoors is considerably smaller than other sources, for simplicity of analysis, we
123	assume metabolic heat is also part of energy consumption ($Q_{EC} = Q_{Internal,0} + Q_{HVAC,0}$). Besides, some of
124	additional energy is associated with the extra gain or release of stored heat within the building volume (ΔS_{o-uo}).
125	The rest is the heat released to outdoor environment from building due to human activities, which is the $Q_{F,B}$
126	based on its definition:
127	$Q_{F,B} = \Delta L_{\uparrow,o-uo} + \Delta H_{o-uo} + \Delta BAE_{o-uo} + Q_{Waste,o} $ (14)
128	Eq. (14) demonstrates the $Q_{F,B}$ is the relative heat emission at exterior building boundary between
129	unoccupied and occupied building through longwave radiation, convection, air exchange and waste heat from
130	mechanical heating/cooling system. The source of $Q_{F,B}$ within the building volume gives (by combining Eq.
131	(13) and Eq. (14):
132	$Q_{F,B} = Q_{EC} - \Delta S_{o-uo} \tag{15}$
133	The sources of $Q_{F,B}$ are from both energy consumption (Q_{EC}) and difference of storage heat flux (ΔS_{o-uo})
134	between unoccupied and occupied building ($Q_{F,B}$ in this study includes part of $Q_{F,M}$ from human metabolism).
135	Whereas the second term is ignored in most prior studies and consequently leads to a time lag and magnitude
136	difference between $Q_{F,B}$ and Q_{EC} (Sailor, 2011). Therefore, estimation of $Q_{F,B}$ by differences in heat emission
137	between occupied and unoccupied building can capture impact of dynamic changes in the building storage heat
138	flux.
139	In this study, the objective is to understand the temporal profile of $Q_{F,B}$, and how and why it differs from
140	Q_{EC} at diurnal and seasonal time scales, by examining differences in energy balance fluxes between an occupied
141	and unoccupied same building. Building energy simulation tool (EnergyPlus) is used to obtain the various
142	energy balance fluxes from the building system.
1/13	2 Methods

143 2 Methods

144 2.1 Unoccupied (uo) and occupied (o) building energy simulation (BES)

- 145 Building energy simulation (BES) is widely used to estimate energy consumption, heat emission and heat
- storage within a building, while allowing changes in heat fluxes due to human activities to be estimated. Here 146



147



148	neighbourhood). The ASNI/ASHRAE standard 140 Case 900 test model (ASHRAE, 2017) is used, which is
149	developed in a software-to-software comparative tests for validating building thermal load. It is a 48 m ² one-
150	story heavyweight rectangular prism with high mass fabrics (Appendix A), whose simple geometry is ideal to
151	understand the process of how human activities change the building energy balance fluxes in a theoretical study.
152	Modifications of the original building model for this study, include: windows are reduced to one (6 m ² south-
153	facing) for more appropriate EnergyPlus single-sided ventilation calculations (Daish et al., 2016); and internal
154	heat gain, ventilation control strategy and HVAC system operation vary with different scenarios considered
155	(Table 1). For the simulations, the building is assumed to be located in Beijing as the climate has both hot
156	summer and cold winter conditions. Chinese Standard Weather Data (CSWD) selected to create a Typical
157	Meteorological Year (TMY) (China Meteorological Bureau et al., 2005) are used as the meteorological forcing,
158	as these data are developed for simulating building thermal load and energy use.
159	The modelling scenarios (Table 1) vary with building occupation state. Two types of unoccupied (uo)
160	buildings are considered. Neither have internal heat gains nor HVAC systems, but they differ based on air
161	exchange between (1) unoccupied sealed (us) with no infiltration or ventilation, and (2) unoccupied ventilated
162	(uv) with 50% of windows area kept open. The single-sided natural ventilation rate is estimated by including
163	both wind-driven ventilation rate $(V_W, \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1})$ (Warren 1977):
164	$V_W = 0.025 A_{eff} U_W \tag{16}$
165	and the stack buoyancy-driven ventilation rate (V , m ³ s ⁻¹) (Warren 1977):
166	$V_{Stack} = \frac{1}{3} A_{eff} C_d \sqrt{\frac{\Delta T H g}{T_{ave}}} $ (17)
167	where A_{eff} is the effective opening area (m ²), U_W is reference wind speed at the height of opening (m s ⁻¹). C_d
168	is discharge coefficient (usually taken as 0.6 (Wang and Chen, 2012)), ΔT is indoor and outdoor air temperature
169	difference (°C), H is the height of opening (m), g the gravitational acceleration (m s ⁻²), T_{ave} is average indoor
170	and outdoor air temperature (°C). The combined ventilation rate is (Fan et al., 2021):
171	$V_T = \sqrt{V_W^2 + V_{Stack}^2} \tag{18}$
172	The three occupied (o) building simulations assume occupant behaviour modifies internal heat generation,

we use EnergyPlus version 9.4 (DOE, 2020) to study an isolated building (i.e. without a surrounding

- 173 natural ventilation and HVAC systems (*ov*). First, *ov1* has internal heat gains ($Q_{Internal,o}$) from human
- 174 metabolism, lighting and other appliances based on local building code (MOHURD, 2018), with window always





- 175 open (50%, as uv). The internal heat gains are held constant allowing the fraction of heat in $Q_{F,B}$ and ΔQ_S to be
- 176 impacted by building and climate conditions but not the diurnal variability of human heat generation.
- 177 Second, *ov2* considers natural ventilation based on passive cooling and thermal comfort. The window
- 178 opening is controlled automatically. It is opened (50% of window area) when the indoor air temperature is
- 179 higher than both outdoor air temperature and ventilation setpoint (23°C for 'warm limit' in bedroom
- 180 (Oikonomou et al., 2012)). Otherwise, it is closed to reduce heat loss and keep the building warm. Third, since
- 181 natural ventilation alone may not satisfy indoor thermal comfort, mixed mode ventilation with auxiliary HVAC
- 182 system (e.g. Wang and Chen, 2013; Wang and Greenberg, 2015; Chen et al., 2017) is considered in ov3. The
- 183 mechanical heating and cooling system are active when indoor temperature reaches the threshold (18°C for
- 184 heating and 26°C for cooling, MOHURD, 2018). The ventilation control strategy in *ov3* is the same as *ov2*, but
- 185 the EnergyPlus hybrid ventilation manager (DOE, 2020) turns the HVAC off when natural ventilation is active
- 186 to prevent simultaneous operation.
- 187 Table 1. Cases simulated differ based on building occupation state, internal heat gain (Q_{internal,0}) and presence of natural
- 188 ventilation and HVAC. Notation are defined in text and nomenclature

Code	Occupation state	Natural ventilation	Q _{Internal,o} (W m ⁻²)	Window open Temperature control (°C)	HVAC Heating/ cooling setpoint (°C)
us	uo	Sealed	0	N/A	N/A
uv	uo	Window always open (50%)	0	N/A	N/A
ov1	0	Window always open (50%)	11.8	N/A	N/A
ov2	0	Controlled ventilation	11.8	23	N/A
ov3	0	Mixed mode control	11.8	23	18/26

189 **2.2 Determination of anthropogenic heat flux**

190 The simulated hourly heat fluxes by radiation, convection, air exchange and waste heat generated from HVAC

191 system between the isolated building and atmosphere (Table A.3) are analysed for each case (Table 2). If

192 cooling occurs, the waste heat consists of the cooling load and electrical energy consumed by the air conditioner

193 (Q_{HVAC}) . Q_{HVAC} is predicted using a static coefficient of performance (COP) for the air conditioner, and the heat

194 removed by an air conditioner (Q_{AC}) to the total amount of electricity consumed:

195
$$Q_{HVAC,C} = \frac{Q_{AC}}{COP}$$
(19)

196
$$Q_{Waste,C} = Q_{AC}(1 + \text{COP}^{-1})$$
 (20)

197 With a centralised heating system (as Beijing has), for simplicity we assume all energy associated with the

198 heating system is released indoors, and waste heat due to boiler efficiency and pipe heat loss are not considered:

$$199 \qquad Q_{HVAC,H} = Q_{HS} \tag{21}$$





200	$Q_{Waste,H} = 0$	(22)
201	Combing these, and accumulated though time gives annual values:	
202	$Q_{HVAC} = Q_{HVAC,C} + Q_{HVAC,H} = \frac{Q_{AC}}{COP} + Q_{HS}$	(23)
203	$Q_{Waste} = Q_{Waste,C} + Q_{Waste,H} = Q_{AC}(1 + COP^{-1})$	(24)
204	Each term in Eq. (14) is determined using an occupied (o) and unoccupied (uo) building result to d	etermine
205	$Q_{F,B}$ and the other fluxes. The results are analysed by season (spring (March, April and May; MAM), su	mmer
206	(JJA), autumn (SON) and winter (DJF)) using the median (50%) and interquartile range (IQR) between	the 25 th

207 and 75th percentiles to assess the diurnal patterns.

208 2.3 Ratio of anthropogenic heat flux to energy consumption

- 209 If the energy consumed within the building is rejected immediately into the atmosphere (Heiple and Sailor,
- 210 2008), the change in ΔQ_S is not accounted for, and therefore $Q_{F,B}$ is assumed to be only from energy
- 211 consumption (Q_{EC}). The variation of ΔQ_S associated with human activities is considered when using the relative

heat emissions in Eq. (14) and Eq. (15). We use the ratio $R = \frac{Q_{F,B}}{Q_{EC}}$ to determine the relative importance of 212

213 building operation modes and choice of baselines on the discrepancy between $Q_{F,B}$ and Q_{EC} .

214 **3 Results and discussion**

- 215 Building energy balance fluxes vary through each day and season (Fig. 1) associated with when a building is
- 216 occupied and people's activities inside the building. First, we consider one case in detail - an occupied building
- 217 with both natural ventilation and HVAC (ov3, Table 3) relative to an unoccupied sealed building (us, Table 4) -
- 218 their difference (ov3-us) allows us to obtain the fluxes needed (Sect. 1).

219 As noted (Sect. 1), the shortwave and incoming longwave radiative fluxes for all cases (Table 5) are

220 assumed identical, but all other terms of the building energy balance differ. Hence, the change in outgoing

- 221 longwave radiation ($\Delta L_{\uparrow,o-uo}$, Fig. 1c) is equivalent to the net all-wave radiation difference (Q_{o-uo}^* , Fig. 1a-b)
- 222 for the occupied and unoccupied buildings. The positive sensible heat flux difference (Eq. (10), ΔH_{o-uo} , Fig. 1c)
- 223 and $\Delta L_{\uparrow,o-uo}$ indicate the building is warmed up by internal heat gains ($Q_{Internal,o}$) with higher exterior surface
- temperatures. Their small magnitudes and flat patterns indicate small relative importance compared to the heat 224
- 225 exchange from ventilation differences (Eq. (8), ΔBAE_{o-uo} , Fig. 1c). The latter, not only contributes the largest
- fraction of anthropogenic heat flux ($Q_{F,B}$, Fig. 1c), but also has a diurnal pattern consistent with $Q_{F,B}$, especially 226





- during spring and autumn (Fig. 1c, i). Rarely, heat ($Q_{Waste,o}$, Fig. 1i) is emitted by the air conditioner in the
- 228 mid-afternoon (shading) at this time of year, but more importantly in summer (Fig. 1f) when cooling demand
- 229 increases.
- 230 $Q_{F,B}$ (Eq. (14), Fig. 1c) has four components of emitted heat, whereas energy consumption (Q_{EC} , Fig. 1c)
- 231 only has (in this case, constant) internal heat gains ($Q_{Internal,o} = 11.8 \text{ W m}^{-2}$, Fig. 1b, Table 6) and energy use
- from HVAC system (Q_{HVAC} , Fig. 1b). Their difference is the storage heat flux difference (Eq. (15) ΔS_{o-uo} in Fig.
- 233 1c). If ΔS_{o-uo} is positive, the building acts as a heat sink and stores the extra heat generated by human activities,
- 234 or stored heat is released when ΔS_{o-uo} is negative. Hence, we can identify the impacts of seasonal-varying
- human activities and building operations on the diurnal variability in ΔS_{o-uo} , Q_{EC} and $Q_{F,B}$.









236

Figure 1: Seasonal diurnal median (line) and inter-quantile range (IQR, shading) building heat fluxes for (a, d, g, j)
unoccupied sealed (us), (b, e, h, k) occupied ventilated (*ov3*) building and their (c, f, i, l) difference (*ov3-us*) for (a-c) spring,

239 (d-f) summer, (g-i) autumn and (j-l) winter. $Q_{F,B}$ is estimated by either heat transfer difference (solid line components):

240 $Q_{F,B} = \Delta L_{\uparrow,o-uo} + \Delta H_{o-uo} + \Delta BAE_{o-uo} + Q_{Waste,o}$ in Eq. (14) or energy consumption and storage flux difference: $Q_{F,B} = \Delta L_{\uparrow,o-uo} + \Delta H_{o-uo} + \Delta BAE_{o-uo} + Q_{Waste,o}$ in Eq. (14) or energy consumption and storage flux difference: $Q_{F,B} = \Delta L_{\uparrow,o-uo} + \Delta H_{o-uo} + \Delta BAE_{o-uo} + Q_{Waste,o}$ in Eq. (14) or energy consumption and storage flux difference: $Q_{F,B} = \Delta L_{\uparrow,o-uo} + \Delta H_{o-uo} + \Delta BAE_{o-uo} + Q_{Waste,o}$ in Eq. (14) or energy consumption and storage flux difference: $Q_{F,B} = \Delta L_{\uparrow,o-uo} + \Delta BAE_{o-uo} + Q_{Waste,o}$ in Eq. (14) or energy consumption and storage flux difference: $Q_{F,B} = \Delta L_{\uparrow,o-uo} + \Delta BAE_{o-uo} + Q_{Waste,o}$ in Eq. (14) or energy consumption and storage flux difference: $Q_{F,B} = \Delta L_{\uparrow,o-uo} + \Delta BAE_{o-uo} + \Delta BAE_{o-uo} + Q_{Waste,o}$ in Eq. (14) or energy consumption and storage flux difference: $Q_{F,B} = \Delta L_{\uparrow,o-uo} + \Delta BAE_{o-uo} + \Delta BAE_{o-uo} + Q_{Waste,o}$ in Eq. (14) or energy consumption and storage flux difference: $Q_{F,B} = \Delta L_{\uparrow,o-uo} + \Delta BAE_{o-uo} + \Delta BAE_{o-uo} + Q_{Waste,o}$ in Eq. (14) or energy consumption and storage flux difference: $Q_{F,B} = \Delta L_{\uparrow,o-uo} + \Delta BAE_{o-uo} + \Delta BAE_{o-u$

241 $\boldsymbol{Q}_{EC} - \Delta \boldsymbol{S}_{o-uo}$ (dash line components) in Eq. (15)





242 3.1 Impact of human activities on seasonal and diurnal variations of the fluxes

- 243 For the same ov3-us case (Table 1, Fig. 1), we consider the temporal and seasonal variability of the fluxes. In 244 spring and autumn (Fig. 1a-c, g-i), natural ventilation is the dominant factor contributing to diurnal variation in 245 ΔS_{o-uo} and $Q_{F,B}$, while Q_{EC} has minimal variability. Q_{EC} is slightly larger than $Q_{Internal,o}$ because of some 246 short periods of HVAC use in the mid-afternoon (IQR shading in Fig. 1i). There is a clear diurnal cycle of $Q_{F,B}$ 247 (Fig. 1c) with the median varying between 8 W m⁻² (07:00) and 15 W m⁻² (15:00) relative to the constant internal heat gain (11.8 W m⁻²). The difference between $Q_{F,B}$ and Q_{EC} (ΔS_{o-uo}) is largely impacted by natural 248 249 ventilation. During the night and early morning with closed window, only part of the consumed energy is 250 transferred externally to the atmosphere. The rest of the heat is stored in the building fabric (positive ΔS_{o-uo}), 251 hence $Q_{F,B}$ is lower than Q_{EC} . However, when overheating may occur during the middle of the day, occupants 252 keep window opened (air conditioner is less frequently used) to cool the building down, with stored heat 253 released (negative ΔS_{o-uo}). This is consistent with the diurnal variability of ΔBAE_{o-uo} which has a minimum at 254 night (window closed) and maximum in the mid-noon (window open). 255 In summer, the role of natural ventilation at daytime is replaced by air conditioning. Natural ventilation and waste heat from the air conditioner ($Q_{Waste,o}$) contribute to one peak $Q_{F,B}$ at nighttime and daytime, respectively 256 257 (Fig. 1f). $Q_{F,B}$ is higher than Q_{EC} around these two peak periods (05:00-07:00 and 13:00-21:00). The peak $Q_{F,B}$ 258 at night reaches 14 W m⁻² (median) at 05:00, which is mainly attributed to natural ventilation when outdoor air 259 temperature is cooler than indoors. Conversely, in the afternoon when outdoor temperature is warmer, occupants 260 'choose' mechanical cooling for achieving thermal comfort. The peak $Q_{F,B}$ is 22 W m⁻² at 16:00, approximately 22% higher than Q_{EC} . It indicates that using Q_{EC} for the anthropogenic heat flux from buildings (e.g. Heiple and 261 262 Sailor, 2008) may underestimate the effect of $Q_{F,B}$ on urban atmospheric processes especially during the late 263 afternoon/early evening. In addition, $Q_{F,B}$ is always smaller than $Q_{Waste,o}$ because of the negative $\Delta L_{1,o-uo}$ and 264 ΔH_{o-uo} causing a cooler exterior surface. This suggests using $Q_{Waste,o}$ as $Q_{F,B}$ (e.g. Chow et al., 2014) may 265 overestimate $Q_{F,B}$ in summer. 266 However, in winter, mechanical heating and thermal mass effect shape the temporal pattern of $Q_{F,B}$ (Fig. 267 1i). The cool outdoor air temperature before sunrise results in a substantial heating load and peak Q_{EC} (16.43 W m^{-2} for median line) at 08:00. This heat is stored in building fabric (positive ΔS_{o-uo}) and have a relatively stable 268
- release through convection and longwave radiation. Therefore the diurnal profile $Q_{F,B}$ is rather flatter and
- 270 ΔS_{o-uo} has a highly consistent temporal pattern to Q_{EC} .





271 Overall, this analysis recognizes the crucial role of ΔS_{o-uo} in distinguishing $Q_{F,B}$ from Q_{EC} , which is highly 272 dependent on HVAC operation and natural ventilation (i.e., human activity of opening window). These two 273 factors can rapidly increase or decrease $Q_{F,B}$ while convection and longwave radiation cannot. Whereas in 274 winter, the larger IQR (shading) of $Q_{F,B}$ than Q_{EC} indicates more day-to-day variation in $Q_{F,B}$ diurnal profile 275 than Q_{EC} . Estimates of $Q_{F,B}$ using satellite remote sensing found heat storage plays an important role in 276 moderating energy use within buildings (Yu et al., 2021). As the storage heat flux change modifies the diurnal 277 sensible heat flux pattern it modifies the surface temperature increment ($Q_{F,B}$ in remote sensing approach) and 278 hence the apparent energy consumption. 279 The diurnal profiles of ΔS_{o-uo} are not identical between seasons as people use different actions to achieve 280 thermal comfort in different weather conditions. This suggests the $Q_{F,B}$ and Q_{EC} differences may vary between 281 climates and with cultural practices. In inventory methods the diurnal profiles may be limited (e.g. LUCY (Allen 282 et al., 2011), weekday/weekend by country) and ignore seasonal variations. However, ΔS_{o-uo} behaviour types 283 classes may benefit from distinguishing diurnal variation for different climates. 284 3.2 Impact of different building operation modes on seasonal and diurnal variations 285 Fig. 2 illustrates the impact of different building operation modes (Table 1: ov1, ov2, ov3; cf. us) on the Q_{F,B} 286 diurnal profiles. It suggests the different ventilation strategies and HVAC systems do change $Q_{F,B}$ in both 287 temporal pattern and magnitude, but their impacts vary among seasons. 288 In spring and autumn, different natural ventilation control strategies completely modify the $Q_{F,B}$ diurnal 289 profile, whereas HVAC system only increases the peak $Q_{F,B}$ slightly in autumn (Fig. 2i). The distinctly different 290 (opposite) trend in diurnal $Q_{F,B}$ pattern for ov1 cf. ov2 or ov3 (Fig. 2a-c, g-i) is largely explained by the diurnal 291 change of ΔBAE_{o-uo} in the three cases. In ov1 (window open, no control) the minimum outdoor air temperature 292 before sunrise creates the maximum indoor and outdoor air temperature difference, therefore the highest ΔBAE_{o-uo} and peak $Q_{F,B}$ at 06:00 (30 W m⁻² for the median in Fig. 2a). Whereas ov_2 and ov_3 have the window 293 closed at night and early morning to avoid overcooling, therefore the minimum $Q_{F,B}$ in the early morning 294 295 (07:00). As outdoor air temperature increases through the day, $Q_{F,B}$ follows the reduced ΔBAE_{o-uo} in ov1, 296 whereas natural ventilation is active in ov2 and ov3, leads to an increase in ΔBAE_{o-uo} and $Q_{F,B}$. Unlike ov2, ov3297 has a clear peak (16 W m⁻² median, Fig. 2i) at 15:00, because when natural ventilation alone cannot satisfy 298 thermal comfort and ov3 air conditioning is activated. But their overall patterns (IQR) are very consistent, 299 indicating afternoon use of air conditioning could increase $Q_{F,B}$ magnitude but have a limited impact on other





300	parts of the diurnal pattern. Surprisingly, negative $Q_{F,B}$ occurs around 17:00 in spring (Fig. 2a), suggesting the
301	occupied building has less heat emissions than unoccupied building. Because the natural ventilation at night and
302	morning cools down the building and reduced fabric exterior surface temperature leads to a large reduction in
303	longwave radiation and convection ($\Delta L_{\uparrow,o-uo}$ and ΔH_{o-uo}) than increase in heat emission through natural
304	ventilation (ΔBAE_{o-uo}) in afternoon. And the reduced overall emissions are converted into increase in storage
305	heat flux (ΔS_{o-uo}). Negative $Q_{F,B}$ also occurs when unoccupied building is always ventilated (uv) and occupied
306	building is ventilated with control (ov2 and ov3) in spring (e.g. Fig. B6b-c). The window is closed to avoid
307	excessive cooling at night in ov2. With ΔBAE_{o-uo} negative in this case, its magnitude is much larger than
308	increase in longwave radiation and convection ($\Delta L_{\uparrow,o-uo}$ and ΔH_{o-uo}). The minimum $Q_{F,B}$ frequently
309	corresponds to the peak ΔS_{o-uo} .
310	In summer, $ov2$ window is open most of the time (as in $ov1$) for thermal comfort, therefore the $Q_{F,B}$ has no
311	apparent difference to ov1. However for ov3, as air conditioning runs from morning to late night and there is a
312	very different diurnal profile (cf. $ov2$ and $ov1$). Air conditioner use contributes to a much larger $Q_{F,B}$ (cf. $ov2$)
313	from 12:00 to 21:00. Not only is extra energy consumed, but it also removes heat from building to the
314	atmosphere in this period. In contrast, using natural ventilation as a cooling strategy (ov1 and ov2) contributes to
315	a high $Q_{F,B}$ at night and early morning but very low even negative extra heat emission in afternoon.
316	Consistent with results in the other seasons, different ventilation control strategies in winter cause a large
317	change in $Q_{F,B}$ profile between $ov1$ and $ov2$. However, the temporal pattern of $Q_{F,B}$ (IQR) in $ov2$ is quite similar
318	to $ov3$ because the supplied heat from mechanical heating system does not immediately enhance $Q_{F,B}$ with
319	closed window. $ov2$ is the only scenario that has similar $Q_{F,B}$ and Q_{EC} through the whole day. Comparison using
320	an unoccupied ventilated (uv) baseline (Fig. B.6) (cf. us Fig. 2) show that although $Q_{F,B}$ profiles differ, the
321	impacts of different building operation modes are consistent when the same occupied buildings used. The
322	impact of baselines with different air exchange on $Q_{F,B}$ are analysed in Sect. 3.3.







323

324 Figure 2 : As Figure 1c, f, i, j, but comparing three different building operation types (a, d, g, j) ov1: window is always open

325 without control, no HVAC; (b, e, h, k) *ov2*: controlled natural ventilation for indoor thermal comfort, no HVAC; (c, f, i, l)

³²⁶ *ov3*: mixed mode ventilation





327 3.3 Impact of unoccupied baseline chosen

- 328 Here two unoccupied baselines (us unoccupied sealed building, uv unoccupied ventilated building with
- 329 uncontrolled open window) are used to assess the impact. A ratio between $Q_{F,B}$ to $Q_{EC}(R)$ is used (Fig. 3) to
- 330 normalize the impact of baselines on their difference with different building operation modes. The largest
- difference in *R* occurs on 23 December at 11:00, with values of 5.13 (*ov3-uv*) and -2.72 (*ov1-us*), reflecting the
- 332 considerable difference between $Q_{F,B}$ to Q_{EC} .
- 333 Two diurnal patterns of the *R* ratio are distinguished. When the window is always open (*ov1* in all seasons,
- 334 ov2 in summer), R > 1 ($Q_{F,B} > Q_{EC}$) at night/early morning (22:00-08:00), reaching its maximum around
- 335 05:00-07:00 (near sunrise in all seasons). For the remaining periods, which are relatively warm, R < 1. Whereas,
- 336 when window opening/closing is controlled and HVAC is used for thermal comfort an almost inverse temporal
- 337 pattern of R occurs, with R > 1 during afternoon when either window is open or the air conditioner is activated.

338 The peak *R* occurs at 15:00 when both outdoor temperature and solar radiation are high.

When different unoccupied baselines are used, the temporal patterns of *R* are similar for all cases, but their magnitudes differ significantly. *R* is close to 1 when window states between unoccupied and occupied buildings are similar (e.g. ov1-uv in all seasons, ov2-uv in summer). Hence, greater difference occurs in heat transfer from ventilation or mechanical heating/cooling between occupied and unoccupied building (i.e., larger *R*). Thus, the baseline chosen impacts the results and require appropriate consideration for incorporating $Q_{F,B}$ into

344 atmospheric modelling.









346Figure 3: $Q_{F,B}$ to Q_{EC} ratio (R) median (line) and IQR (shading) for (a-b) spring, (c-d) summer, (e-f) autumn and (g-h)347winter, using two unoccupied baselines: (a, c, e, g) sealed (us), and (b, d, f, h) ventilation (uv); each with three occupancy348types (colour): ovI: Only internal heat gains are applied and window is fully open; ov2: Internal heat gains and natural349ventilation control are applied. ov3: Internal heat gains, natural ventilation control and HVAC system are applied.

350 **3.4 Daily variation of fluxes in relation to meteorological conditions**

- 351 Ambient air temperature is one of the most crucial factors controlling building energy consumption (Sailor and
- 352 Vasireddy, 2006). Hence, it is often used to determine daily variability of Q_{EC} (e.g. Lindberg et al., 2013) and
- 353 the resulting monthly variations (e.g. Allen et al., 2011). By accounting for ΔS_{o-uo} in this study, the response of





354	$Q_{F,B}$ to ambient air temperature may differ to previous studies. To examine this we use the <i>ov3-us</i> case to
355	consider the relations of daily mean (unless indicated) variables of air temperature (mean), solar radiation (daily
356	total) and simulated available energy to the building from human activities (ΔB) with anthropogenic heat flux
357	$(Q_{F,B}$ in Fig. 4a), energy consumption $(Q_{EC}$ in Fig. 4b) and their difference $(\Delta S_{o-uo}$ in Fig. 4c). The overall
358	trends between $Q_{F,B}$ and Q_{EC} to ambient air temperature are consistent, with $Q_{F,B}$ and Q_{EC} smallest when
359	temperatures are between 10-15°C. This coincides with the Nicol and Humphreys' (2002) monthly balance-point
360	temperature of 12°C, which has been regarded as the equivalent ambient air temperature with the minimum
361	energy use within the building (e.g. Allen et al., 2011, Koralegedara et al., 2016). As the temperature increases
362	(decreases), Q_{EC} increases proportionally with temperature due to mechanical cooling (heating). However, in
363	contrast to Q_{EC} , $Q_{F,B}$ has a much larger variability at the same temperature caused by a large range of ΔS_{o-uo} (-
364	7.7 to 9.0 W m ⁻²), which is highly dependent on human activities on diurnal scale (Sect. 3.1)
365	To understand the large daily variability of ΔS_{o-uo} , we use ΔB to indicate the effect of human activities
366	(heat addition or removal) in one day. Higher ΔB (larger circles) are associated with higher ΔS_{o-uo} at the same
367	ambient air temperature, especially in winter (Fig. 4c). This is not unexpected as buildings will absorb more heat
368	when extra internal energy is added into the building. Inversely, negative ΔB (small circles) contributes to much
369	more heat release from heat storage (lower ΔS_{o-uo} through either natural ventilation or mechanical cooling. The
370	sign and magnitude of ΔB are linked to daily cumulative solar radiation. At the same ambient air temperature,
371	higher solar radiation indicates the need for larger heat removal or less heat addition to the building for thermal
372	comfort, therefore leading to a smaller ΔB and lower ΔS_{o-uo} . Consequently, we can conclude that both ambient
373	air temperature and cumulative solar radiation are important meteorological factors to determining ΔS_{o-uo} and
374	$Q_{F,\mathrm{B}}.$







375

Figure 4: Daily results for the *ov3-us* case stratified by daily cumulative solar radiation (colour) and daily mean available

energy to the building (size) (Eq. (9) associated with human activities, with mean external air (ambient) temperature and (a)
mean anthropogenic heat flux, (b) energy consumption and (c) difference in storage heat flux.

379 4 Conclusions

380 Anthropogenic heat flux from buildings $(Q_{F,B})$ is defined as the additional heat released from building into

381 atmosphere due to human activities. It is qualitatively different to building energy consumption (Q_{EC}) in

- temporal pattern and magnitude as result of thermal inertia of building (Iamarino et al., 2012). However, as there
- 383 is no standard to quantify 'real' $Q_{F,B}$ most studies use Q_{EC} as a proxy via inventory and building energy
- 384 modelling approaches. This paper proposes a new method to quantify a more appropriate $Q_{F,B}$ by utilising the
- 385 difference in heat fluxes between an occupied and unoccupied building (i.e. the built structure with absolutely
- 386 no energy use and human metabolism). We show the difference between Q_{EC} and $Q_{F,B}$ is attributable to a
- 387 change in the storage heat flux induced by human activities (ΔS_{o-uo}). $Q_{F,B}$ has four components based on its
- 388 dissipation pathways, including outgoing longwave radiation, turbulent sensible heat flux (convection), heat
- 389 release due to air exchange and waste heat from HVAC systems. We use one simplified case study in Beijing to





390	demonstrate the analysis using building energy simulations to quantify the temporal difference between Q_{EC} and
391	$Q_{F,B}$ and understand the relative importance of building operations for thermal comfort and meteorological
392	condition on $Q_{F,B}$. The key conclusions are:
393	• Hourly ratios between $Q_{F,B}$ and Q_{EC} can differ between -2.72 and 5.13 because of differences in
394	occupancy use of the building (within a year, in Beijing's climate). Individual ratios frequently exceed
395	3 between 14:00 and 16:00 when controlled natural ventilation or mechanical cooling is activated in
396	shoulder season). Thus, the definitions differences are large.
397	• Natural ventilation (ΔBAE_{o-uo}) or HVAC operation ($Q_{Waste,o}$ for cooling and Q_{HVAC} for heating) are
398	two predominant contributors to the storage heat flux. Hence, different building operations to control
399	thermal comfort determine the diurnal profile of $Q_{F,B}$ by affecting not only Q_{EC} but also ΔS_{o-uo} .
400	• The day-to-day variation of $Q_{F,B}$ diurnal profile is broader than that of Q_{EC} .
401	• Diurnal profile of ΔS_{o-uo} varies with season as occupants modify their behaviours and the interaction
402	with buildings to achieve thermal comfort (e.g. cooling in summer and heating in winter), indicating
403	differences between $Q_{F,B}$ and Q_{EC} will vary with both climate and cultural norms.
404	• $Q_{F,B}$ is sensitive to the unoccupied baseline chosen (here two are analysed unoccupied sealed vs
405	unoccupied ventilated). An 'unoccupied baseline' needs to be integrated into urban climate modelling
406	in the future.
407	• Daily mean temperature only accounts for the day-to-day variability in Q_{EC} rather than ΔS_{o-uo} . Both
408	ambient air temperature and cumulative solar radiation are important meteorological factors to
409	determine ΔS_{o-uo} and $Q_{F,B}$.
410	Our new approach should be used to provide data for future parameterisations of both anthropogenic heat
411	flux from buildings and storage heat fluxes for urban weather and climate modelling. We conclude that storage
412	heat fluxes in cities could also be modified by occupant behaviour. This theoretical analysis is the first step
413	towards a quantitative understanding on how $Q_{F,B}$ differs from Q_{EC} . Future work should include: (i) Expand
414	beyond our very idealised building archetype and building operation mode, to more complex real-world building
415	types and building operations; (ii) we ignore latent heat release by HVAC system, such as cooling towers, these
416	processes need to be included; and (iii) a wider range of building thermal properties should be explored.

417 Appendix A: Building energy simulation details

418 **Table A.1:** Thermal properties of building fabric material (ASHRAE, 2017)





Opaque fabric							
Elements	Thermal conductivity (W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)	Thickness (m)	U-value (W $m^{-2}K^{-1}$)	Thermal resistance (m ² K ¹ W ⁻¹)	Density (kg m ⁻³)	Specific heat (J kg ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)	
Exterior wall (inside to outdoors)							
Interior surface coefficient			8.290	0.121			
Concrete block	0.510	0.100	5.100	0.196	1400	1000	
Foam insulation	0.040	0.0615	0.651	1.537	10	1400	
Wood siding	0.140	0.009	15.556	0.064	530	900	
Exterior surface			29.300	0.034			
coefficient Overall, air to air			0.512	1.952			
	1		0.512	1.952			
Floor (inside to outa Interior surface	loors)						
coefficient			8.290	0.121			
Concrete slab	1.130	0.08	14.125	0.071	1400	1000	
Insulation	0.040	1.007	0.040	25175	0	0	
Overall, air to air			0.039	25.366			
Exterior roof (inside	to outdoors)						
Interior surface			8.290	0.121			
coefficient			8.290	0.121			
Plasterboard	0.160	0.010	16.000	0.063	950	840	
Fiberglass quilt	0.040	0.1118	0.358	2.794	12	840	
Roof deck	0.140	0.019	7.368	0.136	536	900	
Exterior surface coefficient			29.300	0.034			
Overall, air to air			0.318	3.147			
Transparent fabric (windows)							
Number of panes				2			
Pane thickness (mm)				3.175			
Air-gap thickness (mm)				13			
Normal direct-beam transmittance through one pane				0.86156			
Thermal Conductivity of glass (W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)				1.06			
Exterior combined s				21.00			
Interior combined su				8.29			
U-value from interio				3.0			
Double-pane solar h	eat gain coefficient a	at normal incide	ence	0.789			

⁴¹⁹ Figure A.1: Building geometry of ASHRAE 140 case 900 (with changed window)



- 421 Table A.2: Composition of internal heat gains from local building code (MOHURD, 2018). Human metabolism rate (100 W
- $422 \qquad p^{\text{-1}}) \text{ is typical of resting activities (e.g. sleeping, reclining, seated and standing, 72-126W p^{\text{-1}}) (ASHRAE, 2005).}$

Lighting (W m ⁻²)	Equipment (W m ⁻²)	Occupancy density (p m ⁻²)
5	3.8	0.03





423 **Table A.3**: EnergyPlus output variables are used here in the following equations first. A_{Floor} – is total area of floor of the

424 building (m²)

EnergyPlus output variable (Units: W)	Notation	Building volume energy balance fluxes calculated (W m ⁻²)	Equation (Units: W m ⁻²)
Outside face net thermal radiation heat gain rate	$l_{\downarrow}-l_{\uparrow}$	Net longwave radiation	$L_{\uparrow} - L_{\downarrow} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{surface}} (l_{\uparrow} - l_{\downarrow}) / A_{floor}$
Zone total internal total heating rate	q _{Internal}	Internal heat gains within the whole building	$Q_{Internal} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{zone}} q_{Internal} / A_{floor}$
Surface outside face convection heat gain rate	q_H	Turbulent sensible heat flux	$Q_{H} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N_{surface}} q_{H} / A_{floor}$
Zone air heat balance air energy storage rate	$\Delta q_{S.a}$	Net storage heat flux for the building volume	$c_{0} = c_{l-1} = c_{0,0} = c_{l-1} = c_{0,0}$
Surface heat storage rate	$\Delta q_{S.s}$	building volume	A _{floor}
AFN (Airflow network) zone exfiltration sensible heat transfer rate	Δq_{BAE}	Heat transfer by air exchange between building and atmosphere	$Q_{BAE} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{zone}} q_{BAE} / A_{floor}$
Zone ideal loads supply air sensible heating rate	Δq_{HS}	Sensible heating load	$Q_{HS} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{zone}} q_{HS} / A_{floor}$
Zone ideal loads supply air sensible cooling rate	Δq_{AC}	Sensible cooling load	$Q_{AC} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{zone}} q_{AC} / A_{floor}$







425 Appendix B: Energy balance analysis for other cases

427 Figure B1. As Figure 1, but uses ov1 for occupied building case in (b, e, h, k) and the heat flux difference with respect to

428 unoccupied sealed building (ov1-us) in (c, f, i, l)







430 Figure B2. As Figure B1, but uses ov2 for occupied building case in (b, e, h, k) and the heat flux difference with respect to

431 unoccupied sealed building (ov2-us) in (c, f, i, l)







433 Figure B3. As Figure B2, but uses unoccupied ventilation baseline (a, d, g, j) and occupied building case *ov1* in (b, e, h, k)

⁴³⁴ and their difference (*ov1-uv*) in (c, f, i, l)



435





436 Figure B4. As Figure B3, but uses occupied building case ov2 in (b, e, h, k) and their difference (ov2-uv) in (c, f, i, l)







438 Figure B5. As Figure B3, but with ov3 in (b, e, h, k) and their difference (ov3-uv) in (c, f, i, l)









440 Figure B6. As Figure 2 but with *uv* as the baseline





441 Acknowledgements

- 442 This work is funded as part of NERC-COSMA project (NE/S005889/1), ERC urbisphere (855005) and Newton
- 443 Fund/Met Office CSSP China Next Generation Cities (SG, ZL)

444 References

- 445 Allen, L., Lindberg, F., Grimmond, C.S.B.: Global to city scale urban anthropogenic heat flux: Model and
- 446 variability, Int. J. Climatol., 31, 1990–2005, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.2210, 2011.
- 447 ASHRAE.: ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 140-2017 Standard method of test for the evaluation of building energy
- 448 analysis computer programs, American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers,
- 449 2017.
- 450 Biggart, M., Stocker, J., Doherty, R.M., Wild, O., Carruthers, D., Grimmond, S., Han, Y., Fu, P., Kotthaus, S.:
- 451 Modelling spatiotemporal variations of the canopy layer urban heat island in Beijing at the neighbourhood scale,
- 452 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 13687–13711, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-13687-2021, 2021.
- 453 Chen, X., Yang, H., Wang, Y.: Parametric study of passive design strategies for high-rise residential buildings
- 454 in hot and humid climates: miscellaneous impact factors, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., 69, 442–460,
- 455 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.11.055, 2017.
- 456 China Meteorological Bureau, Climate Information Center, Climate Data Office and Tsinghua University,
- 457 Department of Building Science and Technology.: China Standard Weather Data for Analyzing Building
- 458 Thermal Conditions, Beijing: China Building Industry Publishing House, ISBN 7-112-07273-3 (13228), 2005.
- 459 Chow, W.T.L., Salamanca, F., Georgescu, M., Mahalov, A., Milne, J.M., Ruddell, B.L.: A multi-method and
- 460 multi-scale approach for estimating city-wide anthropogenic heat fluxes, Atmos. Environ., 99, 64–76,
- 461 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.09.053, 2014.
- 462 Daish, N.C., Carrilho da Graça, G., Linden, P.F., Banks, D.: Impact of aperture separation on wind-driven
- 463 single-sided natural ventilation, Build. Environ., 108, 122–134, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2016.08.015,
- 464 2016.
- 465 DOE.: EnergyPlus[™] Version 9.4.0, https://energyplus.net/, 2020.
- 466 DOE.: EnergyPlus[™] Version 9.4.0 Input Output Reference, 2020.
- 467 Fan, H., Sailor, D.J.: Modeling the impacts of anthropogenic heating on the urban climate of Philadelphia: A
- 468 comparison of implementations in two PBL schemes, Atmos. Environ., 39, 73-84,





- 469 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.09.031, 2005.
- 470 Fan, S., Davies Wykes, M.S., Lin, W.E., Jones, R.L., Robins, A.G., Linden, P.F.: A full-scale field study for
- 471 evaluation of simple analytical models of cross ventilation and single-sided ventilation, Build. Environ., 187,
- 472 107386, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2020.107386, 2021.
- 473 Goward, S.N.: Thermal behavior of urban landscapes and the urban heat island, Phys. Geogr., 2, 19–33,
- 474 https://doi.org/10.1080/02723646.1981.10642202, 1981.
- 475 Grimmond, C.S.B.: The suburban energy balance: Methodological considerations and results for a mid-latitude
- 476 west coast city under winter and spring conditions, Int. J. Climatol., 12, 481–497,
- 477 https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.3370120506, 1992.
- 478 Heiple, S., Sailor, D.J.: Using building energy simulation and geospatial modeling techniques to determine high
- 479 resolution building sector energy consumption profiles, Energy Build., 40, 1426–1436,
- 480 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2008.01.005, 2008.
- 481 Iamarino, M., Beevers, S., Grimmond, C.S.B.: High-resolution (space, time) anthropogenic heat emissions:
- 482 London 1970-2025, Int. J. Climatol., 32, 1754–1767, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.2390, 2012.
- 483 Ichinose, T., Shimodozono, K., Hanaki, K.: Impact of anthropogenic heat on urban climate in Tokyo, Atmos.
- 484 Environ., 33, 3897–3909, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00132-6, 1999.
- 485 Koralegedara, S.B., Lin, C.Y., Sheng, Y.F., Kuo, C.H.: Estimation of anthropogenic heat emissions in urban
- 486 Taiwan and their spatial patterns, Environ. Pollut., 215, 84–95, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.04.055,
- 487 2016.
- 488 Lindberg, F., Grimmond, C.S.B., Yogeswaran, N., Kotthaus, S., Allen, L.: Impact of city changes and weather
- 489 on anthropogenic heat flux in Europe 1995-2015, Urban Clim., 4, 1–15,
- 490 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2013.03.002, 2013.
- 491 MOHURD.: Design standard for energy efficiency of residential buildings in severe cold and cold
- 492 zones, JGJ 26-2018, Ministry of Housing and Urban-Rural Development, People's Republic of China (in
- 493 Chinese), 2018.
- 494 Nicol, J.F., Humphreys, M.A.: Adaptive thermal comfort and sustainable thermal standards for buildings,
- 495 Energy Build., 34, 563–572, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788(02)00006-3, 2002.
- 496 Nie, W.S., Sun, T., Ni, G.H.: Spatiotemporal characteristics of anthropogenic heat in an urban environment: A
- 497 case study of Tsinghua Campus, Build. Environ., 82, 675-686, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2014.10.011,
- 498 2014.





- 499 Oikonomou, E., Davies, M., Mavrogianni, A., Biddulph, P., Wilkinson, P., Kolokotroni, M.: Modelling the
- 500 relative importance of the urban heat island and the thermal quality of dwellings for overheating in London,
- 501 Build. Environ., 57, 223–238, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2012.04.002, 2012.
- 502 Oke, T.R., Mills, G., Christen, A., Voogt, J.A.: Urban Climates, Cambridge University Press,
- 503 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1017/9781139016476, 2017.
- 504 Sailor, D.J.: A review of methods for estimating anthropogenic heat and moisture emissions in the urban
- 505 environment, Int. J. Climatol., 31, 189–199, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.2106, 2011.
- 506 Sailor, D.J., Lu, L.: A top-down methodology for developing diurnal and seasonal anthropogenic heating
- 507 profiles for urban areas, Atmos. Environ., 38, 2737–2748, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.01.034,
- 508 2004.
- 509 Sailor, D.J., Vasireddy, C.: Correcting aggregate energy consumption data to account for variability in local
- 510 weather, Environ. Model. Softw., 21, 733–738, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2005.08.001, 2006.
- 511 Santamouris, M., Papanikolaou, N., Livada, I., Koronakis, I., Georgakis, C., Argiriou, A., Assimakopoulos,
- 512 D.N.: On the impact of urban climate on the energy consuption of building, Sol. Energy., 70, 201–216,
- 513 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-092X(00)00095-5, 2001.
- 514 Sellers, W.D.: Physical climatology, University of Chicago Press, 1965.
- 515 Takane, Y., Kikegawa, Y., Hara, M., Grimmond, C.S.B.: Urban warming and future air-conditioning use in an
- 516 Asian megacity: importance of positive feedback, npj Clim. Atmos. Sci., 2, 1–11,
- 517 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-019-0096-2, 2019.
- 518 Wang, H., Chen, Q.: A semi-empirical model for studying the impact of thermal mass and cost-return analysis
- 519 on mixed-mode ventilation in office buildings, Energy Build., 67, 267-
- 520 274.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2013.08.025, 2013.
- 521 Wang, H., Chen, Q: A new empirical model for predicting single-sided, wind-driven natural ventilation in
- 522 buildings, Energy Build., 54, 386–394, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2012.07.028, 2012.
- 523 Wang, L., Greenberg, S.: Window operation and impacts on building energy consumption, Energy Build., 92,
- 524 313–321, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2015.01.060, 2015.
- 525 Warren PR.: Ventilation through openings on one wall only, Heat Transfer in Buildings, Proceedings of ICHMT
- 526 seminar. Hemisphere, New York, 1977.
- 527 Yu, Z., Hu, L., Sun, T., Albertson, J., Li, Q.: Impact of heat storage on remote-sensing based quantification of
- 528 anthropogenic heat in urban environments, Remote Sens. Environ., 262, 112520,





529 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2021.112520, 2021.