1 Two-way coupled meteorology and air quality models in Asia: a systematic review

2 and meta-analysis of impacts of aerosol feedbacks on meteorology and air quality

Chao Gao¹, Aijun Xiu^{1, *}, Xuelei Zhang^{1, *}, Qingqing Tong¹, Hongmei Zhao¹, Shichun Zhang¹,
 Guangyi Yang^{1, 2}, and Mengduo Zhang^{1, 2}

- 5
- ¹Key Laboratory of Wetland Ecology and Environment, Northeast Institute of Geography and Agroecology, Chinese
 Academy of Sciences, Changchun, 130102, China
- 8 ²University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China
- 9 Correspondence to: A.J. Xiu (xiuaijun@iga.ac.cn) & X.L. Zhang (zhangxuelei@iga.ac.cn)
- 10

11 Abstract

12 Atmospheric aerosols can exert influence on meteorology and air quality through aerosol-13 radiation interactions (ARI) and aerosol-cloud interactions (ACI) and this two-way feedback has 14 been studied by applying two-way coupled meteorology and air quality models. As one of regions 15 with high aerosol loading in the world, Asia has attracted many researchers to investigate the aerosol effects with several two-way coupled models (WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, GRAPES-CUACE, 16 17 WRF-NAQPMS and GATOR-GCMOM) over the last decade. This paper attempts to offer 18 bibliographic analysis regarding the current status of applications of two-way coupled models in 19 Asia, related research focuses, model performances and the effects of ARI or/and ACI on 20 meteorology and air quality. There are total 160 peer-reviewed articles published between 2010 and 2019 in Asia meeting the inclusion criteria, with more than 79 % of papers involving the WRF-21 22 Chem model. The number of relevant publications has an upward trend annually and East Asia, 23 India, China, as well as the North China Plain are the most studied areas. The effects of ARI and 24 both ARI and ACI induced by natural aerosols (particularly mineral dust) and anthropogenic 25 aerosols (bulk aerosols, different chemical compositions and aerosols from different sources) are 26 widely investigated in Asia. Through the meta-analysis of surface meteorological and air quality 27 variables simulated by two-way coupled models, the model performance affected by aerosol 28 feedbacks depends on different variables, simulation time lengths, selection of two-way coupled 29 models, and study areas. Future research perspectives with respect to the development, improvement, 30 application, and evaluation of two-way coupled meteorology and air quality models are proposed.

31

32 1 Introduction

33 Atmospheric pollutants can affect local weather and global climate via many mechanisms as extensively summarized in the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) reports (IPCC, 34 35 2007, 2014, 2021), and also exhibit impacts on human health and ecosystems (Lelieveld et al., 2015; 36 Wu and Zhang, 2018). Atmospheric pollutants can modify the radiation energy balance, thus 37 influence meteorological conditions (Gray et al., 2010; Yiğit et al., 2016). Compared to other climate 38 agents, the short-lived and localized aerosols could induce changes in meteorology and climate 39 through aerosol-radiation interactions (ARI, Tremback et al., 1986; Satheesh and Moorthy, 2005) and aerosol-cloud interactions (ACI, Martin and Leight, 1949; Lohmann and Feichter, 2005) or both 40 41 (Sud and Walker, 1990; Haywood and Boucher, 2000). ARI (previously known as direct effect and 42 semi-direct effect) are based on scattering and absorbing solar radiation by aerosols as well as cloud dissipation by heating (McCormick and Ludwig, 1967; Ackerman et al., 2000; Koch and Del Genio, 43 44 2010; Wilcox, 2012), and ACI (known as indirect effect) are concerned with aerosols altering albedo 45 and lifetime of clouds (Twomey, 1977; Albrecht, 1989; Lohmann and Feichter, 2005). As our 46 knowledge base of aerosol-radiation-cloud interactions that involve extremely complex physical 47 and chemical processes has been expanding, accurately assessing the effects of these interactions 48 still remains a big challenge (Rosenfeld et al., 2008, 2019; Fan et al., 2016; Kuniyal and Guleria, 49 2019).

The interactions between air pollutants and meteorology can be investigated by observational analyses and/or air quality models. So far, many observational studies using measurement data from a variety of sources have been conducted to analyze these interactions (Wendisch et al., 2002; Bellouin et al., 2008; Groß et al., 2013; Rosenfeld et al., 2019). Yu et al (2006) reviewed research work that adopted satellite and ground-based measurements to estimate the ARI-induced changes of radiative forcing and the associated uncertainties in the analysis. Yoon et al. (2019) analyzed the effects of aerosols on the radiative forcing based on the Aerosol Robotic Network observations and 57 demonstrated that these effects depended on aerosol types. On the other hand, since the uncertainties 58 in ARI estimations were associated with ACI (Kuniyal and Guleria, 2019), the simultaneous assessments of both ARI and ACI effects were needed and had gradually been conducted via satellite 59 observations (Sekiguchi et al., 2003; Quaas et al., 2008; Illingworth et al., 2015; Kant et al., 2019). 60 61 In the early stages, observational studies of ACI effects were based on several cloud parameters 62 mainly derived from surface-based microwave radiometer (Kim et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2003) and cloud radar (Feingold et al., 2003; Penner et al., 2004). Later on, with the further development of 63 satellite observation technology and enhanced spatial resolution of satellite measurement comparing 64 against traditional ground observations, the satellite-retrieved cloud parameters (effective cloud 65 droplet radius, liquid water path (LWP) and cloud cover) were utilized to identify the ACI effects 66 67 studies on cloud scale. (Goren and Rosenfeld, 2014; Rosenfeld et al., 2014). Moreover, in order to clarify whether aerosols affect precipitation positively or negatively, the effects of ACI on cloud 68 69 properties and precipitation were widely investigated but with various answers (Andreae and Rosenfeld, 2008; Rosenfeld et al., 2014; Casazza et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2018). Analyses of satellite 70 71 and/or ground observations revealed that increased aerosols could suppress (enhance) precipitation 72 in drier (wetter) environments (Rosenfeld, 2000; Rosenfeld et al., 2008; Li et al., 2011b; Donat et al., 2016). Most recently, Rosenfeld et al. (2019) further used satellite-derived cloud information 73 74 (droplet concentration and updraft velocity at cloud base, LWP at cloud cores, cloud geometrical 75 thickness and cloud fraction) to single out ACI under a certain meteorological condition, and found 76 that the cloudiness change caused by aerosol in marine low-level clouds was much greater than previous analyses (Sato and Suzuki, 2019). Despite the fact that aforementioned studies had 77 78 significantly improved our understanding of aerosol effects, many limitations still exist, such as low 79 temporal resolution of satellite data, low spatial resolution of ground monitoring sites and lack of 80 vertical distribution information of aerosol and cloud (Yu et al., 2006; Rosenfeld et al., 2014; Sato 81 and Suzuki, 2019).

82 Numerical models can also be used to study the interactions between air pollutants and 83 meteorology. Air quality models simulate physical and chemical processes in the atmosphere (ATM) and are classified as offline and online models (El-Harbawi, 2013). Offline models (also known as 84 85 traditional air quality models) require outputs from meteorological models to subsequently drive chemical models (Seaman, 2000; Byun and Schere, 2006; ENVIRON, 2008). Comparing to online 86 models, offline models usually are computationally efficient but incapable of capturing two-way 87 88 feedbacks between chemistry and meteorology (North et al., 2014). Online models or coupled models are designed and developed to consider the two-way feedbacks and attempted to accurately 89 90 simulate both meteorology and air quality (Grell et al., 2005; Wong et al., 2012; Briant et al., 2017). 91 Two-way coupled models can be generally categorized as integrated and access models based on 92 whether using a coupler to exchange variables between meteorological and chemical modules 93 (Baklanov et al., 2014). As Zhang (2008) pointed out, Jacobson (1994, 1997a) and Jacobson et al. 94 (1996a) pioneered the development of a fully-coupled model named Gas, Aerosol, Transport, 95 Radiation, General Circulation, Mesoscale, and Ocean Model (GATOR-GCMOM) in order to investigate all the processes related to ARI and ACI. Currently, there are three representative two-96 way coupled meteorology and air quality models, namely the Weather Research and Forecasting-97 98 Chemistry (WRF-Chem) (Grell et al., 2005), WRF coupled with Community Multiscale Air Quality 99 (CMAQ) (Wong et al., 2012) and WRF coupled with a multi-scale chemistry-transport model for atmospheric composition analysis and forecast (WRF-CHIMERE) (Briant et al., 2017). The WRF-100 Chem is an integrated model that includes various chemical modules in the meteorological model 101 (i.e., WRF) without using a coupler. For the remaining two models, which belong to access model, 102 103 the WRF-CMAQ uses a subroutine called apprep (Wong et al., 2012) as its coupler while the WRF-104 CHEMERE a general coupling software named Ocean Atmosphere Sea Ice Soil-Model Coupling 105 Toolkit (Craig et al. 2017). With more growing interest in coupled models and their developments, applications and evaluations, two review papers thoroughly summarized the related works published 106 107 before 2008 (Zhang, 2008) and 2014 (Baklanov et al., 2014). Zhang (2008) overviewed the 108 developments and applications of five coupled models in the United States (US) and the treatments of chemical and physical processes in these coupled models with emphasis on the ACI related 109 processes. Another paper presented a systematic review on the similarities and differences of 110 eighteen integrated or access models in Europe and discussed the descriptions of interactions 111 112 between meteorological and chemical processes in these models as well as the model evaluation methodologies involved (Baklanov et al., 2014). Some of these coupled models can not only be used 113

to investigate the interactions between air quality and meteorology at regional scales but also at
global and hemispheric scales (Jacobson, 2001; Grell et al., 2011; Xing et al., 2015b; Mailler et al.,
2017), but large scale studies were not included in the two review papers by Zhang (2008) and
Baklanov et al. (2014). These reviews only focused on application and evaluation of coupled models
in US and Europe but there is still no systematic review targeting two-way coupled model
applications in Asia.

Compared to US and Europe, Asia has been suffering more severe air pollution in the past three 120 decades (Bollasina et al., 2011; Rohde and Muller, 2015; Gurjar et al., 2016) due to the rapid 121 industrialization, urbanization and population growth together with unfavorable meteorological 122 conditions (Jeong and Park, 2017; Li et al., 2017a; Lelieveld et al., 2018). Then, the interactions 123 between atmospheric pollution and meteorology in Asia, which have received a lot of attention from 124 scientific community, are investigated using extensive observations and a certain number of 125 126 numerical simulations (Wang et al., 2010; Li et al., 2016; Nguyen et al., 2019a). Based on airborne, ground-based, and satellite-based observations, multiple important experiments have been carried 127 128 out to analyze properties of radiation, cloud and aerosols in Asia, as briefly reviewed by Lin et al. 129 (2014b). Recent observational studies confirmed that increasing aerosol loadings play important roles in radiation budget (Eck et al., 2018; Benas et al., 2020), cloud properties (Dahutia et al., 2019; 130 131 Yang et al., 2019), precipitation intensity along with vertical distributions of precipitation types (Guo et al., 2014, 2018). According to previous observational studies in Southeast Asia (SEA), Tsay 132 133 et al. (2013) and Lin et al. (2014b) comprehensively summarized the spatiotemporal characteristics of biomass burning (BB) aerosols and clouds as well as their interactions. Li et al. (2016) analyzed 134 how ARI or ACI influenced climate/meteorology in Asia utilizing observations and climate models. 135 136 With regard to the impacts of aerosols on cloud, precipitation and climate in East Asia (EA), a 137 detailed review of observations and modeling simulations has also been presented by Li et al. (2019c). Since the 2000s, substantial progresses have been made in the climate-air pollution 138 139 interactions in Asia based on regional climate models simulations, which have been summarized by Li et al. (2016). Moreover, starting from year of 2010, with the development and availability of two-140 way coupled meteorology and air quality models, more and more modeling studies have been 141 142 conducted to explore the ARI or/and ACI effects in Asia (Wang et al., 2010, 2014a; Sekiguchi et al., 2018; Nguyen et al., 2019a). In recent studies, a series of WRF-Chem and WRF-CMAQ simulations 143 144 were performed to assess the consequences of ARI on radiative forcing, planetary boundary layer 145 height (PBLH), precipitation, and fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) and ozone concentrations (Wang et al., 2014a; Huang et al., 2016; Sekiguchi et al., 2018; Nguyen et al., 2019b). Different from current 146 147 released version of WRF-CMAQ model (based on WRF version 4.3 and CMAQ version 5.3.3) that 148 only includes ARI, WRF-Chem with ACI (starting from WRF-Chem version 3.0, Chapman et al., 149 2009) has been implemented for analyzing the complicated aerosol effects that lead to variations of 150 cloud properties, precipitations and PM_{2.5} concentrations (Zhao et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2018c; Park 151 et al., 2018; Bai et al., 2020). To quantify the individual or joint effects of ARI or/and ACI on meteorological variables and pollutants concentrations, several modeling studies have been 152 performed in Asia (Zhang et al., 2015a, 2018; Ma et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2019a). In addition, model 153 154 comparisons (including offline and online models) targeting EA have been carried out recently under 155 the Model Inter-Comparison Study for Asia (MICS-Asia) phase III (Gao et al., 2018b; Chen et al., 156 2019b; Li et al., 2019a). As mentioned above, even though there are already several reviews regarding the observational studies of ARI or/and ACI (Tsay et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2014b; Li et al., 157 2016, 2019c) it is necessary to conduct a systematic review in Asia focusing on applications of two-158 159 way coupled meteorology and air quality models as well as simulated variations of meteorology and 160 air quality induced by aerosol effects.

161 This paper is constructed as follows: Section 2 describes the methodology for literature 162 searching, paper inclusion, and analysis; Section 3 summarizes the basic information about 163 publications as well as developments and applications of coupled models in Asia and Section 4 164 provides the recent overviews of their research points. Sections 5 to 6 present systematic review and 165 meta-analysis of the effects of aerosol feedbacks on model performance, meteorology and air quality 166 in Asia. The summary and perspective are provided in Section 7.

168 2 Methodology

169 **2.1** Criteria and synthesis

170

167

Since 2010, in Asia, regional studies of aerosol effects on meteorology and air quality based

171 on coupled models have been increasing gradually, therefore in this study we performed a systematic 172 search of literatures to identify relevant studies from January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2019. In order to find all the relevant papers in English, Chinese, Japanese and Korean, we deployed serval 173 science-based search engines, including Google Scholar, the Web of Science, the China National 174 175 Knowledge Infrastructure, the Japan Information Platform for S&T Innovation, the Korean Studies Information Service System. The different keywords and their combinations for paper searching are 176 as follows: (1) model-related keywords including "coupled model", "two-way", "WRF", "NU-WRF", "WRF-Chem", "CMAQ", "WRF-CMAQ", "CAMx", "CHIMERE", "WRF-CHIMERE" 177 178 and "GATOR-GCMOM"; (2) effect-related keywords including "aerosol radiation interaction", 179 "ARI", "aerosol cloud interaction", "ACI", "aerosol effect" and "aerosol feedback"; (3) air 180 pollution-related keywords including "air quality", "aerosol", "PM2.5", "O3", "CO", "SO2", 181 "NO2", "dust", "BC", "black carbon", "blown carbon", "carbonaceous", "primary pollutants"; (4) meteorology-related keywords including "meteorology", "radiation", "wind", "temperature", 182 183 "specific humidity", "relative humidity", "planetary boundary layer", "cloud" and "precipitation"; (5) region-related keywords including "Asia", "East Asia", "Northeast Asia", "South Asia", "Southeast Asia", "Far East", "China", "India", "Japan", "Korea", "Singapore", "Thailand", 184 185 186 "Malaysia", "Nepal", "North China Plain", "Yangtze River Delta", "Pearl River Delta", "middle 187 reaches of the Yangtze River", "Sichuan Basin", "Guanzhong Plain", "Northeast China", 188 "Northwest China" "East China", "Tibet Plateau", "Taiwan", "northern Indian", "southern Indian", 189 190 "Gangetic Basin", "Kathmandu Valley".

After applying the search engines and the keywords combinations mentioned above, we found 191 946 relevant papers. In order to identify which paper should be included or excluded in this paper, 192 193 following criteria were applied: (1) duplicate literatures were deleted; (2) studies of using coupled 194 models in Asia with aerosol feedbacks turned on were included, and observational studies of aerosol 195 effects were excluded; (3) publications involving coupled climate model were excluded. According 196 to these criteria, not only regional studies, but also studies using the coupled models at global or 197 hemispheric scales involving Asia or its subregions were included. Then, we carefully examined all the included papers and further checked the listed reference in each paper to make sure that no 198 199 related paper was neglected. A flowchart that illustrated the detailed procedures applied for article identification is presented in Appendix Figure A1 (Note: Although the deadline for literature 200 searching is 2019, any literature published in 2020 is also included.). There was a total of 160 201 202 publications included in our study.

204 2.2 Analysis method

203

205 To summarize the current status of coupled models applied in Asia and quantitatively analyze 206 the effects of aerosol feedbacks on model performance as well as meteorology and air quality, we carried out a series of analyses based on data extracted from the selected papers. We firstly compiled 207 208 the publication information of the included papers as well as the information regarding model name, simulated time period, study region, simulation design, and aerosol effects. Secondly, we 209 summarized the important findings of two-way coupled model applications in Asia according to 210 211 different aerosol sources and components to clearly acquire what are the major research focuses in 212 past studies. Finally, we gathered all the simulated results of meteorological and air quality variables 213 with/out aerosol effects and their statistical indices (SI). For questionable results, the quality 214 assurance was conducted after personal communications with original authors to decide whether 215 they were deleted and/or corrected. All the extracted publication and statistical information were exported into an Excel file, which was provided in Table S1. Moreover, we performed quantitative 216 analyses of the effects of aerosol feedbacks through following steps. (1) We discussed whether 217 meteorological and air quality variables were overestimated or underestimated based on their SI. 218 219 Then, variations of the SI of these variables were further analyzed in detail with/out turning on ARI or/and ACI in two-way coupled models. (2) We investigated the SI of simulation results at different 220 221 simulation time lengths and spatial resolutions in coupled models. (3) More detailed inter-model 222 comparisons of model performance based on the compiled SI among different coupled models are 223 conducted. (4) Differences in simulation results with/out aerosol feedbacks were grouped by study 224 regions and time scales (yearly, seasonal, monthly, daily and hourly). Toward a better understanding of the complicated interactions between air quality and meteorology in Asia, the results sections in 225 226 this paper are organized following above analysis methods (1) - (3) and represented in Section 5, 227 and the results following method (4) were represented in Section 6. In addition, Excel and Python 228 were used to conduct data processing and plotting in this study.

229

230 **3 Basic overview**

231 3.1 Summary of applications of coupled models in Asia

A total of 160 articles were selected according to the inclusion criteria, and their basic 232 information was compiled in Table 1. In Asia, five two-way coupled models are applied to study the 233 234 ARI and ACI effects. These include GATOR-GCMOM, two commonly used models, i.e., WRF-235 Chem and WRF-CMAQ, and two locally developed models, i.e., the global-regional assimilation and prediction system coupled with the Chinese Unified Atmospheric Chemistry Environment 236 237 forecasting system (GRAPES-CUACE) and WRF coupled with nested air-quality prediction 238 modeling system (WRF-NAOPMS). 127 out of total 160 papers involved the applications of WRF-239 Chem in Asia since its two-way coupled version was publicly available in 2006 (Fast et al., 2006). 240 WRF-CMAQ was applied in only 16 studies due to its later initial release in 2012 (Wong et al., 2012). GRAPES-CUACE was developed by the China Meteorological Administration and 241 introduced in details in Zhou et al. (2008, 2012, 2016), then firstly utilized in Wang et al. (2010) to 242 estimate impacts of aerosol feedbacks on meteorology and dust cycle in EA. The coupled version 243 244 of WRF-NAQPMS was developed by the Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of 245 Sciences and could improve the prediction accuracy of haze pollution in the North China Plain (NCP) 246 (Wang et al., 2014c). Note that GRAPES-CUACE and WRF-NAQPMS were only applied in China. 247 There were only three published papers about the applications of GATOR-GCMOM in Northeast 248 Asia (NEA), NCP and India. In the included papers, 93, 33, 31 studies targeted various areas in 249 China, EA and India, respectively. There were 79 papers regarding effects of ARI (7 health), 63 both ARI and ACI (1 health) and 18 ACI. ACI studies were much less than ARI related ones, which 250 251 indicated that ACI related studies need to be paid with more attention in the future. Considering that 252 the choices of cloud microphysics and radiation schemes can affect coupled models' results (Baró 253 et al., 2015; Jimenez et al., 2016), these schemes used in the selected studies were also summarized 254 in Table 1. This table presents a concise overview of coupled models' applications in Asia with the 255 purpose of providing basic information regarding models, study periods and areas, aerosol effects, scheme selections, and reference. More complete information is summarized Table S1 including 256 257 model version, horizontal resolution, vertical layer, aerosol and gas phase chemical mechanisms, 258 photolysis rate, PBL, land surface, surface layer, cumulus, urban canopy schemes, meteorological 259 initial and boundary conditions (ICs and BCs), chemical ICs and BCs, spin-up time, and 260 anthropogenic natural emissions.

261 It should be noted that in Table 1 there were four model inter-comparison studies that aimed at evaluating model performance, identifying error sources and uncertainties, and providing optimal 262 263 model setups. By comparing simulations from two coupled models (WRF-Chem and Spectral 264 Radiation-Transport Model for Aerosol Species) (Takemura et al., 2003) in India (Govardhan et al., 2016), it was found that the spatial distributions of various aerosol species (black carbon (BC), 265 266 mineral dust and sea salt) were similar with the two models. Based on the intercomparisons of WRF-Chem simulations in different areas, Yang et al. (2017) revealed that aerosol feedbacks could 267 268 enhance PM_{2.5} concentrations in the Indo-Gangetic Plain but suppress the concentrations in the 269 Tibetan Plateau (TP). Targeting China and India, Gao et al. (2018c) also applied the WRF-Chem 270 model to quantify the contributions of different emission sectors to aerosol radiative forcings, 271 suggesting that reducing the uncertainties in emission inventories were critical, especially for India. 272 Moreover, for the NCP region, Gao et al. (2018b) presented a comparison study with multiple online 273 models under the MICS-Asia Phase III and pointed out noticeable discrepancies in the simulated 274 secondary inorganic aerosols under heavy haze conditions and the importance of accurate wind 275 speed at 10 meters above surface (WS10) predictions by these models. Comprehensive comparative studies for Asia have been emerging lately but are still limited, comparing to those for North 276 277 America and Europe, such as the Air Quality Model Evaluation International Initiative Phase II (Brunner et al., 2015; Campbell et al., 2015; Im et al., 2015a, b; Kong et al., 2015; Makar et al., 278 279 2015a, b; Wang et al., 2015b; Forkel et al., 2016).

Table 1. Basic information of coupled model applications in Asia during 2010-2019.

No.	Model	Study period	Region	Aerosol effect	Short/long- wave radiation scheme	Microphysics scheme	Reference
1	WRF- Chem	2013	India	ARI	Dudhia/RRTM	Thompson	Singh et al. (2020)*

2	WRF-	12/2015	India	ARI	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	Bharali et al. (2019)
	WRF-	10/13/2016 to			DDTU (0)		
3	Chem	11/20/2016	India	ARI	RRTMG	Ť	Shahid et al. (2019)
4	WRF-	12/27/2017 to 12/30/2017	NCP	ARI	RRTMG	Lin	Wang et al. (2019a)
5	WRF-	12/05/2015 to	NCP	ADI	Goddard	WSM 6-class	Wu et al. $(2019a)$
5	Chem	01/04/2016 12/05/2015 to	NCI	ARI	Goddard	graupel	wu et al. (2019a)
6	Chem	01/04/2016	NCP	ARI	Goddard	graupel	Wu et al. (2019b)
7	WRF-	06/01/2006 to	NWC	ARI	RRTMG	Morrison	Yuan et al. (2019)
,	Chem	12/31/2011	nwe	7 iiti	lutimo	Monison	Tuur et ul. (2015)
8	Chem	01/2017, 04/2017 02/17/2014 to	NCP	ARI	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	Zhang et al. (2019)
	WDE	02/26/2014, 10/21/2014					
9	Chem	to 10/25/2014, 11/05/2014 to 11/11/ 2014, 12/18/2015 to 12/24/2015	NCP	ARI	RRTMG	Morrison	Zhou et al. (2019)
10	WRF- Chem	03/15/2012 to 03/25/2012	WA	ARI	RRTMG	Morrison	Bran et al. (2018)
	WRF-	2012	China	1.0.1			
11	Chem	2013	& India	ARI	RRIMG	Lin	Gao et al. (2018bc)
12	WRF-	05/01/2007 to	CA	ARI	RRTM	Lin	Li and Sokolik (2018)
12	Chem	05/07/2007 06/02/2012 to	CA	ARI	KKTW	Liii	LI and Sokolik (2018)
13	Chem	06/15/2012 10	YRD	ARI	RRTMG	Lin	Li et al. (2018b)
14	WRF-	12/15/2016 to	NCP	ARI	RRTMG	Morrison	Liu et al. (2018b)
	Chem WRF-	12/21/2016 11/30/2016 to					
15	Chem	12/04/2016	NCP	ARI	RRTMG	Lin	Miao et al. (2018)
16	WRF-	2010	India	ARI	RRTMG	Morrison	Soni et al. (2018)
17	WRF-	01/01/2013 to	NCD	ADI	Goddard/DDTM	Lin	Wang at al. $(2018a)$
1/	Chem	01/31/2013	NCP	AKI	Goddard/KK1M	Lin	wang et al. (2018c)
18	Chem	12/2013	EC	ARI	RRTMG	Lin	Wang et al. (2018d)
19	WRF-	2013	TP	ARI	RRTMG	Morrison	Yang et al. (2018)
	Chem WRF-	03/11/2015 to					6 ()
20	Chem	03/26/2015	EA	ARI	RRTMG	Lin	Zhou et al. (2018)
21	WRF-	01/2013	EC	ARI	RRTMG	Lin	Gao et al. (2017b)
22	WRF-	10/15/2015 to	VPD	ADI	Goddard/DDTM	T in	List al. $(2017h)$
22	Chem	10/17/2015	TKD	ARI	Goudard/KKTW	Liii	Li et al. (20170)
23	Chem	03/16/2014 to	YRD	ARI	RRTMG	Lin	Li et al. (2017c)
24	WRF-	02/21/2014 to	NCP	ARI	RRTMG	Lin	Oiu et al. (2017)
	Chem WRF-	02/2//2014					
25	Chem	07/21/2012	NCP	ARI	RRTMG	Lin	Yang and Liu (2017a)
26	WRF-	07/21/2012	NCP	ARI	RRTMG	Lin	Yang and Liu (2017b)
27	WRF-	05/30/2013 to	FC	ADI	DDTMC	T in	Vac at al. (2017)
21	Chem	06/27/2013	EC	AKI	KKIMO	LIII	1a0 et al. (2017)
28	Chem	12/30/2013	SEC	ARI	RRTMG	Lin	Zhan et al. (2017)
29	WRF-	03/2012	India	ARI	RRTMG	Thompson	Feng et al. (2016)
	Chem WRF-					1	6 (,
30	Chem	1960-2010	NCP	ARI	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	Gao et al. (2016b)
31	WRF-	04/2011	NCP	ARI	RRTMG	Single-Moment 5-	Liu et al. (2016a)
22	WRF-	01/2008, 04/2008,	EA	ADI	C - HI/DDTM	Lin	Lin et al. (201(h)
32	Chem	07/2008, 10/2008	EA	AKI	Goddard/KK1M	Lin	Liu et al. (20166)
33	WRF- Chem	09/21/2011 to 09/23/2011	NCP	ARI	RRTMG	Lin	Miao et al. (2016)
34	WRF-	03/2005	FA	ARI	Goddard/RRTM	Morrison	Wang et al. (2016)
51	Chem	06/23/2008 to	LIT	7 iiti	Soudard/Recent	Monison	(fullg et ul. (2010)
35	Chem	07/20/2008	NWC	ARI	RRTMG	Morrison	Yang et al. (2016)
36	WRF-	01/2007, 04/2007, 07/2007, 10/2007	EA	ARI	RRTM	Lin	Zhong et al. (2016)
27	WRF-	07/2007, 10/2007		4.0.1	DDTM	TI	Govardhan et al.
31	Chem	05/2011, 10/2011	India	ARI	KKIMG	I nompson	(2015)
38	WRF- Chem	2006	China	ARI	RRTMG	Lin	Huang et al. (2015)
30	WRF-	2007 to 2011	FΔ	ARI	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	Chen et al. (2014)
57	Chem	2007 10 2011	LA	AIG	Goddard/RRTW	Liii	Chen et al. (2014)
40	Chem	11/2007 to 12/2008	EA	ARI	RRTMG	Lin	Gao et al. (2014)
41	WRF-	10/2006	SEA	ARI	RRTM	Lin	Ge et al. (2014)
	WRF-	04/17/2010 to					
42	Chem	04/22/2010	India	ARI	RRTM	Thompson	Kumar et al. (2014)
43	WRF-	01/11/2013 to 01/14/2013	NCP	ARI	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	Li and Liao (2014)
44	WRF-	03/15/2008 to	БV	ADT	DDTMC	Morrison	$\lim_{t\to\infty} at al (2014c)$
77	Chem WD F	03/18/2008 07/21/2006 to	EA	AIN	UNITING	14101115011	Lini et al. (2014d)
45	Chem	07/30/2006	NWC	ARI	RRTMG	Morrison	Chen et al. (2013)

46	WRF- Chem	05/12/2009 to 05/22/2009	India	ARI	Goddard/RRTM	Milbrandt-Yau	Dipu et al. (2013)
47	WRF-	2008	India	ARI	Goddard/RRTM	Thompson	Kumar et al. (2012a)
48	WRF-	2008	India	ARI	Goddard/RRTM	Thompson	Kumar et al. (2012b)
10	Chem WRF-	1000	T I'	ADI	C 11 1/*		
49	Chem WR F-	1999	India	AKI	Goddard/*	Lin	Seethala et al. (2011)
50	Chem	2006	China	ARI	Ť	Ť	Zhuang et al. (2011)
51	WRF- Chem	12/14/2013 to 12/16/2013	PRD	ARI & ACI	RRTMG	Morrison	Liu et al. (2020)*
52	WRF-	11/30/2009 to	NCP	ARI &	Goddard/RRTM	Morrison	Jia et al. (2019)
53	WRF-	11/25/2013 to	EC	ARI &	RRTMG	Lin	Wang et al. (2019b)
54	WRF-	01/2014	China	ACI ARI &	PPTMC	Morrison	Archer-Nicholls et al.
54	Chem	12/01/2016 to	China	ACI	KKIMO	Wolfison	(2019)
55	WRF- Chem	12/09/2016, 12/19/2016 to 12/24/2016 05/06/2013 to	YRD	ARI & ACI	RRTMG	Lin	Li et al. (2019b)
56	WRF- Chem	20/06/2013 & 24/08/2014 to 08/09/2014	India	ARI & ACI	RRTM	Lin	Kedia et al. (2019a)
57	WRF-	06/2010 to 09/2010	India	ARI &	RRTM	Lin, Morrison, Thompson	Kedia et al. (2019b)
58	WRF-	04/2013	PRD	ARI &	RRTMG	Lin	Huang et al. (2019)
50	Chem WRF-	11/30/2013 to	FO	ACI ARI &	DDTMC	 \{	D: (1(2010)
39	Chem WR F-	12/10/2013	EC	ACI	KKIMG	Morrison	Ding et al. (2019)
60	Chem	12/01/2015	NCP	ACI	RRTMG	Lin	Chen et al. (2019a)
61	WRF- Chem	04/12/2015 to 27/12/2015	EA	ARI & ACI	Goddard	WSM 6-class graupel	An et al. (2019)
62	WRF- Chem	06/2015 to 02/2016	MRYR	ARI & ACI	Goddard/RRTM	WSM 6-class graupel	Liu et al. (2018a)
63	WRF-	06/2008, 06/2009,	רופס	ARI &	PPTMG	Morrison	Lin et al. $(2018a)$
03	Chem	06,2012	FKD	ACI	KKIMO	Morrison	Liu et al. (2018c)
64	Chem	07/2014, 10/2014, 07/2014, 10/2014	China	ACI	RRTMG	Lin	Zhang et al. (2018)
65	WRF- Chem	10/01/2015 to 10/26/2015	YRD	ARI & ACI	RRTMG	Lin	Gao et al. (2018a)
66	WRF-	2001, 2006, 2011	EA	ARI &	RRTMG	Morrison	Zhang et al. (2017)
67	WRF-	06/01/2011 to	EC	ARI &	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	Wu et al. (2017)
69	Chem WRF-	06/06/2011 11/27/2013 to	VDD	ACI ARI &	Goddord/DDTM	Single-Moment 5-	Sum at al. (2017)
08	Chem WRF-	12/12/2013	IKD	ACI ARI &	Goddard/KKTM	class	Sull et al. (2017)
69	Chem	2005 & 2009	YRD	ACI	RRTMG	Morrison	Zhong et al. (2017)
70	Chem	01/2013	NCP	ACI	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	Gao et al. (2017a)
71	WRF- Chem	11/05/2014 to 11/11/2014	NCP	ARI & ACI	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	Gao et al. (2017c)
72	WRF-	01/2010, 07/2010	China	ARI &	t	Ť	Ma and Wen (2017)
73	WRF-	06/01/2008 to	India	ARI &	÷	÷	Lau et al. (2017)
74	Chem WRF-	07/05/2008	NCD	ACI ARI &	Goddord/DDTM	Marrison	Kaiina at al. (2017)
/4	Chem WRF-	01/2013 03/01/2009 to	TP &	ACI	Goddard/KR1M	Morrison	Kajino et al. (2017)
75	Chem	03/31/2009	India	ACI	RRTMG	Morrison	Yang et al. (2017)
76	WRF- Chem	2001, 2006, 2011	EA	ARI & ACI	RRTMG	Morrison	He et al. (2017)
77	WRF- Chem	05/2008 to 08/2008	YRD	ARI & ACI	t	Ť	Campbell et al. (2017)
78	WRF-	01/2006, 04/2006,	China	ARI &	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	Ma et al. (2016)
79	WRF-	01/2005, 04/2005,	FC	ACI ARI &	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	7hang et al. (2016a)
	Chem WRF-	07/2005, 10/2005 01/2005, 04/2005,	EC DO	ACI ARI &		Lin	
80	Chem WR F-	07/2005, 10/2005 12/07/2013 to	EC	ACI	Goddard/RR1M	Lin	Zhang et al. (2016b)
81	Chem	12/09/2013	EC	ACI	Goddard/RRTM	Morrison	Zhang et al. (2016c)
82	WRF- Chem	06/2012	EC	ARI & ACI	RRTMG	Lin	Huang et al. (2016)
83	WRF- Chem	01/2010, 07/2010	YRD	ARI & ACI	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	Xie et al. (2016)
84	WRF- Chem	11/12/2012 to 11/16/2012, 11/02/2013 to 11/06/2013	India	ARI & ACI	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	Srinivas et al. (2016)
85	WRF-	07/2010	India	ARI &	RRTMG	Lin	Kedia et al. (2016)
86	WRF-	05/20/2008 to	India	ARI &	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	Jin et al. (2016a)
87	Chem WRF-	08/31/2015 05/20/2008 to	India	ACI ARI &	Goddard/DDTM	 T :	Lin et al. (2016b)
0/	Chem WRF-	08/31/2015	maia	ACI ARI &	Goudard/KK11WI	LIN	Jiii et al. (2010b)
88	Chem	01/2010	NCP	ACI	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	Gao et al. (2016a)
89	WRF- Chem	01/05/2008 to 01/09/2008	NCP	AKI & ACI	RRTMG	Lin	Gao et al. (2016c)
				7			

90	WRF-	12/2013	EC	ARI &	RRTMG	Lin	Ding et al. (2016)
	WRF-	02/15/2013 to		ACI ARI &			
91	Chem	02/17/2013	NCP	ACI	Goddard/RRTM	Ť	Yang et al. (2015)
92	WRF-	01/2010, 04/2010,	NCP	ARI &	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	Shen et al. (2015)
	Chem WRF-	07/2010, 10/2010		ACI ARI &			
93	Chem	2006 & 2011	EA	ACI	RRTMG	Morrison	Zhang et al. (2015d)
94	WRF-	2006 & 2011	EA	ARI &	RRTMG	Morrison	Chen et al. (2015b)
<i>.</i>	Chem WPF	06/27/2008 to	2.11	ACI	iutilio		
95	Chem	06/28/2008	NCP	ACI	RRTM	Lin	Zhong et al. (2015)
96	WRF-	05/20/2008 to	India	ARI &	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	In et al. (2015)
70	Chem	08/31/2015	maia	ACI	Goddard/Receive	Liii	Jin et al. (2015)
97	Chem	05/2005, 04/2005, 05/2005	India	ACI	Goddard/RRTM	Thompson	Jena et al. (2015)
98	WRF-	01/02/2013 to	NCP	ARI &	RRTMG	Morrison	Gao et al. (2015b)
70	Chem	01/26/2013	1101	ACI	Identifio	Monison	Guo et ul. (20155)
99	Chem	07/09/2013 10	SWC	ACI	RRTMG	Ť	Fan et al. (2015)
100	WRF-	01/2010, 04/2010,	NCP	ARI &	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	Chen et al. (2015a)
100	Chem	07/2010, 10/2010		ACI	ooddard internet	25111	chen et an (2015a)
101	Chem	01/2013	EC	ACI	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	Zhang et al. (2015a)
102	WRF-	2006 & 2007	EA	ARI &	Goddard/†	Lin	Wu et al. (2013)
	Chem WRF-	09/27/2010 to		ACI			
103	Chem	10/22/2010	India	ACI	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	Beig et al. (2013)
104	WRF-	12/1/2009	NCP	ARI &	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	Jia and Guo. (2012)
	Chem			ACI			
105	Chem	01/2001, 07/2001	EA	ACI	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	Zhang et al. (2012)
106	WRF-	11/10/2007 to	China	ARI &	RRTMG	Lin	Gao et al. (2012)
100	Chem WPF	01/01/2008 06/18/2018 to	Cillia	ACI	iutilio	2	Sub (1411 (2012)
107	Chem	06/19/2018	MRYR	ACI	Goddard/RRTM	Ť	Bai et al. (2020)*
108	WRF-	06/07/2017 to	VRD	ACI	RRTMG	Morrison	Liu et al. (2019)
100	Chem	06/12/2017	THE	nei	Identifio	Monison	Elu el ul. (2017)
109	Chem	03/2010 to 05/2010	EA	ACI	RRTMG	Morrison	Wang et al. (2018b)
110	WRF-	03/09/2012 to	FA	ACI	RRTMG	Thompson	Su and Fung (2018a)
110	Chem	04/30/2012 02/00/2012 to	LIT	nei	Identifio	Thompson	Su and Fung (2010u)
111	Chem	04/30/2012 10	EA	ACI	RRTMG	Thompson	Su and Fung (2018b)
112	WRF-	05/18/2015 to	NFA	ACI	RRTMG	Morrison	Park et al. (2018)
112	Chem	06/13/2015	T(L)	nei	ideniio	Wolfison	Gas and Zhang
113	Chem	08/2008	EC	ACI	RRTMG	Lin	(2018)
114	WRF-	10/03/2013 to	SEC	ACI	RRTMG	Morrison	Shen et al. (2017)
	Chem WPF	10/07/2013	520		iutilio		
115	Chem	01/2013, 07/2013	China	ACI	Fu-Liou-Gu	Morrison	Zhao et al. (2017)
116	WRF-	06/04/2004 to	India	ACI	Goddard	Lin	Bhattacharya et al.
	Chem	07/10/2004 09/20/2013 to					(2017)
117	Chem	09/23/2013	PRD	ACI	RRTMG	Lin	Jiang et al. (2016)
118	WRF-	2005 & 2010	EA	ACI	RRTMG	Morrison	Zhang et al. (2015c)
	WR F-	08/20/2009 to					<i>v v v</i>
119	Chem	08/29/2008	India	ACI	Goddard/RRTM	Morrison	Sarangi et al. (2015)
		01/2001, 04/2001,					
	WRF-	07/2001, 10/2001, 01/2005					
120	Chem	07/2005, 10/2005,	EA	ACI	Ť	Ť	Zhang et al. (2014b)
		01/2008, 04/2008,					
	WRF-	07/2008, 10/2008					
121	Chem	07/2008	EC	ACI	RRTMG	Morrison	Lin et al. (2014a)
122	WRF-	1980 to 2010	SEC	ACI	÷	÷	Bennartz et al. (2011)
	Chem WRF-			ARI	I	I	
123	Chem	2008 & 2050	China	(Health)	Ť	Ť	Zhong et al. (2019)
124	WRF-	2014	India	ARI	RRTM	Thompson	Conibear et al.
	WRF-			(Health) ARI		1	(2018a) Conibear et al
125	Chem	2015 & 2050	India	(Health)	RRTM	Thompson	(2018b)
126	WRF-	2011	India	ARI	Goddard/RRTM	Thompson	Ghude et al. (2016)
	WRF-			(Health) ARI			
127	Chem	2013	NCP	(Health)	RRTMG	Ť	Gao et al. (2015a)
128	WRF-	03/2006 & 04/2006 to	EA	ARI	ť	ť	Dong et al. (2019)
	WRF-	03/2010 & 04/2010 04/10/2016 to				Single-Moment 3-	
129	CMAQ	06/19/2016	NEA	ARI	RRTMG	class	Jung et al. (2019)
130	WRF-	2014	EA	ARI	RRTMG	Morrison	Nguyen et al. (2019a)
	CMAQ WRF-				_		
131	CMAQ	2014	SEA	ARI	RRTMG	Morrison	Nguyen et al. (2019b)
132	WRF-	02/2015	NEA	ARI	RRTMG	Single-Moment 5-	Yoo et al. (2019)
100	WRF-	01/2014. 02/2014.			P. P. M. 4	class	Sekiguchi et al.

134	WRF-	2006 to 2010, 2013	EA	ARI	RRTMG	Morrison	Hong et al. (2017)
	WRF-						
135	CMAQ	01/2013, 07/2013	China	ARI	RRTMG	Morrison	Xing et al. (2017)
136	WRF- CMAQ	1990 to 2010	EA	ARI	RRTMG	Morrison	Xing et al. (2016)
137	WRF- CMAQ	1990 to 2010	EC	ARI	RRTMG	Morrison	Xing et al. (2015a)
138	WRF- CMAQ	1990 to 2010	EC	ARI	RRTMG	Morrison	Xing et al. (2015b)
139	WRF- CMAO	1990 to 2010	EC	ARI	RRTMG	Morrison	Xing et al. (2015c)
140	WRF-	01/2013	China	ARI	RRTMG	Morrison	Wang et al. (2014a)
141	WRF-	01/2013, 04/2013,	China	ACI	RRTMG	Morrison	Chang (2018)
142	WRF-	2050	China	ARI	RRTMG	Morrison	Hong et al. (2019)
	CMAQ WRF-		FA &	(Health)			5 ()
143	CMAQ	1990 to 2010	India	(Health)	RRTMG	Morrison	Wang et al. (2017)
144	GRAPES- CUACE	12/15/2016 to 12/24/2016	NCP	ARI	Goddard	t	Wang et al. (2018a)
145	GRAPES- CUACE	07/07/2008 to 07/11//2008	EC	ARI	CLIRAD	t	Wang et al. (2015a)
146	GRAPES- CUACE	04/26/2006	EA	ARI	Goddard/†	†	Wang and Niu .(2013)
147	GRAPES- CUACE	04/26/2006	EA	ARI	Goddard/†	t	Wang et al. (2013)
148	GRAPES- CUACE	07/13/2008 to 07/31/2008	NCP	ARI	t	†	Zhou et al. (2012)
149	GRAPES- CUACE	04/26/2006	EA	ARI	Goddard/†	t	Wang et al. (2010)
150	GRAPES- CUACE	01/2013	EC	ACI	†	Single-Moment 6- class	Zhou et al. (2016)
151	WRF- NAQPMS	2013	EA	ARI	t	ť	Li et al. (2018a)
152	WRF- NAQPMS	09/27/2013 to 10/01/2013	NCP	ARI	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	Wang et al. (2014b)
153	WRF- NAOPMS	01/01/2013	EC	ARI	Goddard/RRTM	Lin	Wang et al. (2014c)
154	GATOR- GCMOM	2000 & 2009	NEA	ARI & ACI	t	†	Ten Hoeve and Jacobson, 2012
155	GATOR- GCMOM	2002 & 2009	India	ARI & ACI	t	†	Jacobson et al. (2019)
156	GATOR- GCMOM	2000 & 2009	NCP	ARI & ACI	Ť	†	Jacobson et al. (2015)
157	Multi- model comparison	†	EA	ARI & ACI	t	†	Chen et al. (2019b)
158	Multi- model comparison	2010	EA	ARI & ACI	t	†	Li et al., (2019a)
159	Multi- model comparison	01/2010	NCP	ARI & ACI	†	†	Gao et al. (2018b)
160	Multi- model	05/2011	India	ARI & ACI	t	†	Govardhan et al. (2016)

†: Unclear; *: A preprint version of this study was available online on October 31, 2019, and was formally published on January 1, 2020. (EA: East Asia, NEA: Northeast Asia, SEA: Southeast Asia, EC: East China, NCP: North China Plain, YRD: Yangtze River Delta, SEC: Southeast China, NWC: Northwest China, TP: Tibetan Plateau, MRYR: middle reaches of the Yangtze River, SWC: Southwest China; PRD: Pearl River Delta).

286 287

3.2 Spatiotemporal distribution of publications

To gain an overall understanding of applications of coupled models in Asia, the spatial 288 distributions of study areas of the selected literatures and the temporal variations of the annual 289 290 publication numbers were extracted from Table 1 and summarized. Figure 1 illustrates the spatial 291 distributions of study regions as well as the number of papers involving coupled models in Asia (Fig. 292 1a) and China (Fig. 1b). In this figure, the color and number in the pie charts represent individual (WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, GRAPES-CUACE, WRF-NAQPMS, and GATOR-GCMOM) or 293 294 multiple coupled models and the quantity of corresponding articles, respectively. At subregional 295 scales, most studies targeted EA where high anthropogenic aerosol loading occurred in recent 296 decades, mainly using WRF-Chem and WRF-CMAQ (Fig. 1a). For other subregions, such as NEA, 297 SEA, Central Asia (CA), and West Asia (WA), there were rather limited research activities taking 298 into account aerosol feedbacks with two-way coupled models. National scale applications of two-299 way coupled models targeted mostly modeling domains covering India and China but much less work were carried out in other countries, such as Japan and Korea, where air pollution levels are 300 301 much lower. With respect to various areas in China (Fig. 1b), the research activities concentrated mostly in NCP and secondly in the East China (EC), then in the Yangtze River Delta (YRD) and 302 303 Pearl River Delta (PRD) areas. WRF-Chem was the most popular model applied in all areas, but 304 there were a few applications of GPRAPES-CUACE and WRF-NAQPMS in EC and NCP.

Figure 2 depicts the temporal variations of research activities with two-way coupled models in 305 Asia over the period of 2010 to 2019. The total number of papers related to two-way coupled models 306 had an obvious upward trend in the past decade. Prior to 2014, applications of two-way coupled 307 308 models in Asia were scarce, with about 1 to 6 publications per year. A noticeable increase of research activities emerged starting from 2014 and the growth was rapid from 2014 to 2016, at a rate of 7-9 309 more papers per year, especially in China. It could be related to the Action Plan on Prevention and 310 Control of Atmospheric Pollution (2013-2017) implemented by the Chinese government. The 311 growth was rather flat during 2016-2018 before reaching a peak of 31 articles in 2019. In addition, 312 the pie charts in Fig. 2 indicates that modeling activities had been picking up with a diversified 313 pattern in study domain from 2010 to 2019. The modeling domains extended from EA to China and 314 India and then several subregions in Asia and various areas in China. For EA and India, 315 316 investigations of aerosol feedbacks based on two-way coupled models rose from 1-2 papers per year during 2010-2013 to 4-8 during 2014-2019. Since 2014, most model simulations were carried out 317 towards areas with severe air pollution in China, especially the NCP area where attracted 5-7 318 319 publications per year.



321

323 Figure 1. The spatial distributions of study domains as well as the two-way coupled modeling publication numbers 324 in different subregions or countries of Asia (a) and areas of China (b). (EA: East Asia, NEA: Northeast Asia, SEA: 325 Southeast Asia, EC: East China, NCP: North China Plain, YRD: Yangtze River Delta, SEC: Southeast China, NWC: 326 Northwest China, TP: Tibetan Plateau, MRYR: middle reaches of the Yangtze River, SWC: Southwest China; PRD: 327 Pearl River Delta).



328 329

Figure 2. The temporal variations of study activities adopting two-way coupled models in Asia during 2010-2019. 330 (EA: East Asia, NEA: Northeast Asia, SEA: Southeast Asia, EC: East China, NCP: North China Plain, YRD: Yangtze 331 River Delta, SEC: Southeast China, NWC: Northwest China, TP: Tibetan Plateau, MRYR: middle reaches of the 332 Yangtze River, SWC: Southwest China; PRD: Pearl River Delta). 333

334 3.3 Summary of modeling methodologies

The physiochemical processes involved with ARI and ACI are sophisticated in actual 335 336 conditions of atmospheric environment but their representations in two-way coupled models can be 337 rather different. Also, simulation results depend on how these models are configured and set up. 338 Therefore, the treatments of aerosol and cloud microphysics, and aerosol-radiation-cloud 339 interactions in WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, GRAPES-CUACE, WRF-NAQPMS and GATOR-340 GCMOM applied in Asia, as well as the various aspects of how the modeling studies being set up 341 in the selected papers are summarized in Tables 2-5, respectively, and outlined in this section.

342 microphysics processes consist of particle nucleation, Aerosol coagulation, 343 condensation/evaporation, gas/particle mass transfer, inorganic aerosol thermodynamic equilibrium, 344 aqueous chemistry and formation of secondary organic aerosol (SOA). Their representations in a 345 variety of aerosol mechanisms offered in the five two-way coupled models applied in Asia and 346 relevant references are compiled in Table 2. Note that the GOCART scheme in WRF-Chem is based 347 on a bulk aerosol mechanism that is not able to consider the details of these microphysics processes. 348 The binary homogeneous nucleation schemes with/out hydration developed by different authors are 349 applied in the five coupled models for simulating the new particle formation and GATOR-GCMOM 350 also adopts the ternary nucleation parameterization scheme for H₂SO₄, NH₃ and H₂O vapors. All 351 the five coupled models calculate the aerosol-aerosol coagulation rate coefficients based the 352 Brownian coagulation theory, with certain enhancements in GATOR-GCMOM as stated in details by Jacobson (1999). The dynamic condensation/evaporation approaches of inorganic gases (e.g., 353 354 H₂SO₄, NH₃, HNO₃, and HCl) and organic gases (VOCs) based on the Fuchs-Sutugin expression are implemented in various aerosol mechanisms offered by WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, GRAPES-355 356 CUACE, and WRF-NAQPMS, while GATOR-GCMOM deploys the condensation/evaporation approach in which several terms of processes are factored in the 3-D equations of discrete size-357 resolved aerosol growth (Jacobson, 2012a). The mass transfer between gaseous and aerosol particles 358 359 are treated via two typical methods (i.e., bulk equilibrium and kinetic) in most coupled models, and 360 the hybrid and Henry's law equilibrium methods are also applied in the MADRID (WRF-Chem) and the 6th/7th generation CMAQ aerosol modules (AERO6/AERO7) (WRF-CMAQ), respectively. 361 Different versions of the ISORROPIA module, the Model for an Aerosol Reacting System-version 362 363 A (MARS-A), the Multicomponent Equilibrium Solver for Aerosols with the Multicomponent 364 Taylor Expansion Method (MESA-MTEM), and the EQUIlibrium SOLVer version 2 (EQUISOLV

II) modules are implemented for computing the inorganic aerosol thermodynamic equilibrium in 365 366 these two-way coupled models. For aqueous chemistry, the bulk aqueous chemistry scheme and variations of the CMAQ's standard aqueous chemistry module (AQCHEM) are the most applied, 367 and the CBM-IV aqueous chemistry scheme, the Regional Acid Deposition Model (RADM) 368 aqueous chemistry module, and the size-resolved aqueous chemistry module are utilized as well. 369 370 Multiple approaches have been incorporated into the five coupled models for calculating the SOA 371 formation and include the volatility basis set (VBS) approach, approaches considering reversible absorption or combined absorption and dissolution, fixed or bulk two-product yield approaches, and 372 373 the approach of time-dependent organics condensation/evaporation with considering vapor pressure.

374

375

Table 2. Treatments of aerosol microphysics processes in two-way coupled models (WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, 376 GRAPES-CUACE, WRF-NAQPMS and GATOR-GCMOM) applied in Asia.

	WRF-Chem						WRF-CMAQ			GRAPES-CUACE	WRF-NAQPMS	GATOR-GCMOM
	GOCART	MADE/SORGAM	AERO5	MAM3/MAM7	MOSAIC	MADRID	AERO5	AERO6	AERO7	CUACE [#]	AERO5	GATOR2012*
New particle formation/if with hydration	None	H ₂ SO ₄ -H ₂ O binary homogeneous nucleation (Kulmala et al., 1998)/Yes	H ₂ SO ₄ -H ₂ O binary homogeneous nucleation (Kulmala et al., 1998)/Yes	H ₂ SO ₄ -H ₂ O binary homogeneous nucleation (Vehkamäki et al., 2002)/Yes	H ₂ SO ₄ -H ₂ O binary homogeneous nucleation (Wexler, et al., 1994)/Yes	H ₂ SO ₄ -H ₂ O binary homogeneous nucleation (McMurry and Friedlander, 1979)/Unclear	H ₂ SO ₄ -H ₂ O binary homogeneous nucleation (Kulmala et al., 1998)/Yes	H ₂ SO ₄ -H ₂ O binary homogeneous nucleation (Vehkamäki et al., 2002)/Yes	H ₂ SO ₄ -H ₂ O binary homogeneous nucleation (Vehkamäki et al., 2002)/Yes	H ₂ SO ₄ -H ₂ O binary homogeneous nucleation (Kulmala et al., 1998)/Yes	H ₂ SO ₄ -H ₂ O binary homogeneous nucleation (Yu, 2006)/Yes	H ₂ SO ₄ -H ₂ O binary homogeneous nucleation (Vehkamäki et al., 2002)/Yes; H ₂ SO ₄ -NH ₃ -H ₂ O ternary homogeneous nucleation (Napari et al., 2002)/Yes
Coagulation	None	Brownian motion (Binkowski and Shankar, 1995)	Brownian motion (Binkowski and Roselle, 2003)	Brownian motion (Whitby, 1978)	Brownian motion (Jacobson et al., 1994)	Brownian motion (Jacobson et al., 1994)	Brownian motion (Binkowski and Roselle, 2003)	Brownian motion (Binkowski and Roselle, 2003)	Brownian motion (Binkowski and Roselle, 2003)	Brownian motion (Jacobson et al., 1994)	Brownian motion (Jacobson et al., 1994; Chen et al., 2017d)	Brownian motion, Brownian diffusion enhancement, turbulent shear, turbulent shear, turbulent inertial motion, gravitational setting, Van der Waals forces, viscous forces, fractal geometry (Jacobson, 2003)
Condensation/ Evaporation	None	Dynamical condensation/ evaporation of HsSQ, vapor and VOCs based on Fuchs-Sutugin expression (Binkowski and Shankar, 1995)	Dynamical condensation/ evaporation of H ₂ SO ₄ vapor and VOCs based on Fuchs-Sutugin expression (Binkowski and Shankar, 1995); Condensation/ evaporation of volatile inorganic gases to/from the gas- phase concentrations of coarse particle surfaces using ISORROPIA in reverse mode (CMAQ User's Guide)	Dynamical condensation of H ₅ SO ₄ vapor, NH ₃ (7 modes) and semi-volatile organics; Condensation/ evaporation of SOA gas (Liu et al., 2012)	Dynamical condensation/ evaporation of H _S SO ₄ vapor, methanesulfonic acid, HNO ₂ , HC1 and NH, with adaptive step time- split Euler approach (Zaveri et al., 2008)	Dynamical condensation/ evaporation of semi-volatile species for analytical predictor of condensation with moving- center approach (Zhang et al., 2010)	Dynamical condensation/ evaporation of H.SO, vapor and VOCs based on Fuchs-Sutugin expression (Binkowski and Shankar, 1995); Condensation/ evaporation of volatile inorganic gases to/from the gas-phase concentrations of coarse particle surfaces using ISORROPIA in reverse mode (CMAQ User's Guide)	Same as in AERO5	Same as in AERO5	Dynamical condensation/ evaporation of HsSO ₄ vapor and gaseous precursors based on modified Fuchs-Sutugin expression (Jacobson, et al., 1994; Gong et al., 2003a)	Condensation/ evaporation of H ₃ SO, with advanced particle microphysics approach (Li et al., 2018a; Yu and Luo, 2009; Chen et al., 2019c; Yu, 2006)	Dynamical condensation of H ₂ O and involutile species with Analytical Predictor of Nucleation, Condensation, and Dissolution scheme (Jacobson, 2002); Evaporation of a volatile component over a single particle (Jacobson and Turco, 1995)
Gas/particle mass transfer	None	 Bulk equilibrium approach for HNO₃ and NH₃ (Zhang et al., 2005) Kinetic approach for H₂SO₄ (Zhang et al., 2016d) 	Kinetic approach for all species (Foley et al., 2010)	Bulk equilibrium approach for (NH4):SO4 (He and Zhang, 2014)	Kinetic approach for all species (Zaveri et al., 2008)	1. Bulk equilibrium approach for HNO ₃ and NH ₃ (Zhang et al., 2010) 2. Kinetic approach for all species (Zhang et al., 2010) 3. Hybrid approach (Zhang et al., 2010)	Kinetic approach for all species (Foley et al., 2010)	 Henry's law equilibrium (Foley et al., 2017) Kinetic approach for all species (Foley et al., 2017) 	Same as in AERO6	Kinetic approach for all species (Zhou et al., 2021)	Kinetic for all species (Chen et al., 2021)	Kinetic approach for all species (Jacobson, 1999)
Inorganic aerosol thermodynamic equilibrium	None	MARS-A (Binkowski and Shankar, 1995)	ISORROPIA (Byun and Kenneth, 2006)	ISORROPIA II (He and Zhang, 2014)	MESA-MTEM (Zaveri et al., 2008)	ISORROPIA (Zhang et al., 2010)	ISORROPIA (Byun and Kenneth, 2006)	ISORROPIA II (Appel et al., 2013)	ISORROPIA II (Appel et al., 2013)	ISSOROPIA (Zhou et al., 2012)	ISSOROPIA (Li et al., 2011)	EQUISOLV II (Jacobson, 1999)
Aqueous chemistry	None	Bulk cloud- chemistry scheme (Fahey and Pandis, 2001; Zhang et al., 2015b)	AQCHEM (Fahey et al., 2017)	Based on algorithm developed by Barth et al. (2000) (He and Zhang, 2014)	Same as in MADE/ SORGAM (Fahey and Pandis, 2001; Chapman et al., 2009)	Same as in MADE/ SORGAM (Fahey and Pandis, 2001; Zhang et al., 2004)	1. AQCHEM 2. AQCHEM- KMT (Fahey et al., 2017)	1. AQCHEM- KMT 2. AQCHEM- KMTI (Fahey et al., 2017)	1. AQCHEM- KMT 2. AQCHEM- KMTI (Fahey et al., 2017)	Based on aqueous chemistry in CBM-IV mechanism by Gery et al. (1989)	Based on the RADM mechanism used in CMAQ v4.6 (AERO5) (Li et al., 2011a)	Bulk or size- resolved cloud- chemistry module (GATOR2012)
SOA formation	None	 Reversible absorption of 8 classes volatile organic compounds (VOCs) based on Caltech smog-chamber data (Odum et 	Combined absorption and dissolution approaches for 9 parent VOCs and 32 SOA species (Carlton, et al., 2010)	Treatment of SOA from fixed mass yields for anthropogenic and biogenic precursor VOCs (Liu et al., 2012)	 Based on ambient ageing measurement of organic aerosols by Hodzic and Jimenez (2011) Based on volatility basis set approach 	1. Absorptive approach for 14 parent VOCs and 38 SOA species 2. Combined absorption and	Combined absorption and dissolution approaches for 9 parent VOCs and 32 SOA species (Carlton, et al., 2010)	On the basis of SOA scheme in AERO5, adding parameterization of in-cloud SOA formation from biogenic VOCs (Foley et al., 2017)	On the basis of SOA scheme in AERO5/6, updated parametrization of monoterpene SOA yielded from photooxidation	Reversible absorption of 8 classes VOCs based on Caltech smog-chamber data (Zhou et al., 2012)	Bulk two-product yield parametrization (Fu et al., 2016; Odum et al., 1997)	Using Henry's Law to determine vapor pressure of organics and perform either time-dependent condensation or evaporation calculations.

al., 1997;	(Knote et al.,	dissolution	(Foley et al.,	(Jacobson, 2002)
Griffin et al.,	2014)	approaches	2021)	
1999)		for 42		
2. Based on		hydrophilic		
volatility basis		and		
set approach		hydrophobic		
(Ahmadov et		VOCs		
al., 2012)		(Zhang et al.,		

377 *CUACE is the aerosol mechanism implemented in the GRAPES-CUACE model (Zhou et al., 2012).

378

* GATOR2012 is the aerosol mechanism implemented in the GATOR-GCMOM model (Jacobson et al., 2012b).

379

380 In addition to aerosol microphysics processes, the cloud properties included in cloud 381 microphysics schemes and the treatment of aerosol-cloud processes in the five two-way coupled models are different in terms of hydrometeor classes, cloud droplet size distribution, aerosol water 382 uptake, in-/below-cloud scavenging, hydrometeor-aerosol coagulations, and sedimentation of 383 384 aerosols and cloud droplets (Table 3). Among the microphysics schemes implemented in the five 385 coupled models, mass concentrations of different hydrometeors (including cloud water, rain, ice, snow or graupel) are included but their number concentrations are only considered if the cloud 386 microphysics schemes are two-moment or three-moment. The single modal approach with either 387 lognormal or gamma distribution and the sectional approach with discrete size distributions for 388 389 cloud droplets are applied in different microphysics schemes. Based on the Mie theory, WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, GRAPES-CUACE, WRF-NAQPMS and GATOR-GCMOM calculate cloud 390 391 radiative properties (including extinction/scattering/absorption coefficient, single scattering albedo 392 and asymmetry factor of liquid and ice clouds) in their radiation schemes (e.g., RRTMG, GODDARD, GATOR2012). In atmosphere, the hygroscopic growth of aerosols due to water uptake 393 394 is parameterized based on the Köhler or Zdanovskii-Stokes-Robinson theory and the hysteresis 395 effects depending on the deliquescence and crystallization RH are taken into account in the five coupled models. The removal processes of aerosol particles include wet removal and sedimentation. 396 Aerosol particles in accumulation and coarse modes can act as CCN or IN via activations in cloud, 397 398 which can further develop to different types of hydrometeors (cloud water, rain, ice, snow and graupel), and then gradually form precipitations. These processes are named as in-cloud scavenging 399 400 or rainout. The aerosol particles below cloud base also can be coagulated with the falling 401 hydrometeors, which are known as below-cloud scavenging or wash out. Both representations of 402 in- and below-cloud scavenging processes are based on scavenging rate approach in aerosol 403 mechanisms of WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, GRAPES-CUACE and WRF-NAQPMS except 404 GATOR-GCMOM. Size-resolved sedimentation of aerosols are computed from one model layer to layers below down to the surface layer using setting velocity in most coupled models and the 405 MOSAIC aerosol mechanism in WRF-Chem only considers the sedimentation in the lowest model 406 407 level (Marelle et al., 2017).

408

409 Table 3. Compilation of cloud properties and aerosol-cloud processes in two-way coupled models (WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, GRAPES-CUACE, WRF-NAQPMS and GATOR-GCMOM) applied in Asia. 410

	WRF-Chem	WRF-CMAQ	GRAPES-CUACE	WRF-NAQPMS	GATOR-GCMOM
Hydrometeor (Cloud microphysics scheme)	Mass concentrations: Cloud water, rain, ice, snow and graupel (Morrison, Lin, Thompson, WSM 6 class and Milbrandt-Yau) Cloud water, rain, ice and snow (WSM 5 class) Number concentrations: Rain, ice, snow and graupel (Morrison and Milbrandt-Yau) Rain and ice (Thompson) None (Lin, WSM 5 class and WSM 6 class)	Mass concentrations: Cloud water, rain, ice, snow and graupel (Morrison) Cloud water, rain, ice and snow (WSM 5 class) Cloud water and rain (WSM 3 class) Number concentrations: Rain, ice, snow and graupel (Morrison) None (WSM 3 class and WSM 5 class)	Mass concentrations: Cloud water, rain, ice, snow and graupel (WSM 6 class) Number concentrations: None (WSM 6 class)	Mass concentrations Cloud water, rain, ice, snow and graupel (Lin) Number concentrations: None (Lin)	Mass concentrations: Cloud water, ice and graupel (GATOR2012) Number concentrations: Cloud water, ice and graupel (GATOR2012)
Cloud droplet size distribution (Cloud microphysics scheme)	 Single, modal approach with lognormal distribution (Morrison and Lin) Gamma distribution (Thompson, WSM 5 class and WSM 6 class) 	 Single, modal approach with lognormal distribution (Morrison) Gamma distribution (WSM 3 class and WSM 5 class) 	Gamma distribution (WSM 6 class)	Single, modal approach with lognormal distribution (Lin)	Sectional approach with multiple size distribution (GATOR2012') (Jacobson, et al., 2007)
Cloud radiative properties (Radiation scheme)	Extinction coefficient, single scattering albedo and asymmetry factor of liquid and ice clouds based on Mie scattering theory (RRTMG SW) Absorption coefficient of liquid and ice clouds using constant values (RRTMG LW) Extinction coefficient, single scattering albedo and asymmetry factor of liquid and ice clouds from lookup tables (Goddard SW and LW)	Extinction coefficient, single scattering albedo and asymmetry factor of liquid and ice clouds based on Mie scattering theory (RRTMG SW) Absorption coefficient of liquid and ice clouds using constant values (RRTMG LW)	Extinction coefficient, single scattering albedo and asymmetry factor of liquid and ice clouds using lookup tables (Goddard SW) Extinction coefficient, single scattering albedo and asymmetry factor of liquid and ice clouds from lookup tables (Goddard LW)	Extinction coefficient, single scattering albedo and asymmetry factor of liquid and ice clouds using lookup tables (Goddard SW) Clear sky optical depth from lookup table (RRTM LW)	Integrating spectral optical properties over each size bin of each hydrometeor particle size distribution (Toon SW and LW) (Jacobson and Jadhav, 2018)
Aerosol water uptake	Equilibrium with RH based on Köhler theory, and hysteresis is treated (Ghan and Zaveri, 2007)	The empirical equations of deliquescence and crystallization RH developed by Martin et al (2003), and hysteresis is treated (CMAQ source code)	Equilibrium with the mutual deliquescence and crystallization RH using the Zdanovskii- Stokes-Robinson equation, and hysteresis is treated (Personal communication)	Equilibrium with the mutual deliquescence and crystallization RH using the Zdanovskii- Stokes-Robinson equation, and hysteresis is treated (Nenes et al., 1998; Li et al., 2011)	Size-resolved equilibrium with the mutual deliquescence and crystallization RH using the Zdanovskii-Stokes-Robinson equation, and hysteresis is treated (Jacobson et al., 1996b)
In-cloud scavenging (Aerosol mechanism)	Scavenging via nucleation, Brownian diffusion, collection and autoconversion in both grid-scale and sub-grid clouds with a first-order removal rate (MADE/SORGAM, MOSAIC, MAM3 and MAM7) (Easter et al., 2004)	Scavenging of interstitial aerosol in the Aitken mode and nucleation scavenging of aerosol in the accumulation and coarse modes by the cloud droplets in both grid-scale and sub-grid clouds (AERO5, AERO6 and AERO7) (Binkowski and Roselle, 2004; Fahey et al., 2017)	Algorithm of rainout removal tendency by Giorgi and Chameides (1986)	Employing a scavenging coefficient approach based on relationships described by Seinfeld and Pandis (1998), only hydrophilic particles can be scavenged (Chen et al., 2017d)	Size-resolved aerosol activation; nucleation scavenging and autoconversion for size-resolved cloud droplets (GATOR2012) (Jacobson, 2003)
			13		

Below-cloud scavenging (Aerosol mechanism) Scavenged aerosols are instantly removed by interception and impaction but not resuspended by evaporating rain (MADE/SORGAM, MOSAIC, MAM3 and MAM7) (Slinn, 1984; Easter et al., 2004)

 All aqueous species are scavenged from the cloud top to the ground in both grid-scale and sub-grid clouds (AERO5, AERO6 and AERO7) (CMAQ User's Guide; Fahey et al., 2017)

 from the scale and nd hey et al.,
 Aerosol particles between sizes ranging from 0.5 to 1 μm radius are instantly removed with considering cloud fraction, and scavenged rate depends on aerosol and hydrometeor sizes (Slinn, 1984; Gong et al., 2003a)

> Size-resolved sedimentation of aerosol particles above surface layer is computed with the setting velocity (CUACE) (Gong et al., 2003)

Employing a scavenging coefficient approach based on relationships described by Scinfeld and Pandis (1998), considering accretion of incloud droplets particles into precipitation and impaction of ambient particles into precipitation

Using size-resolved sedimentation velocity to simulate sedimentation of aerosols (AERO5)

ACI

Discrete size-resolved coagulation between hydrometeors and aerosol particles (aerosolliquid, aerosol-ice and aerosol-graupel) (GATOR2012) (Jacobson, 2003)

Sedimentation of size-resolved aerosols is computed from one model layer to layers below down to the surface, and the sedimentation velocities are calculated by two-step iterative method (GATOR2012) (Beard, 1976; Jacobson 1997b. 2003)

Sedimentation of aerosols (Aerosol mechanism) Sedimentation with considering mass and number concentrations of aerosols at surfa (MOSAIC) (Marelle et al., 2017)

411

412

considering mass and Only considering gravitational sedimentation for aerosols at surface of aerosols (AERO5, AERO6 and AERO7) et al., 2017)

* GATOR2012 refers to either the aerosol or cloud microphysics scheme used in Jacobson (2012b).

Table 4 further lists various aspects with regards to how ARI and ACI being calculated in the 413 414 five two-way coupled models (WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, GRAPES-CUACE, WRF-NAQPMS, 415 and GATOR-GCMOM) applied in Asia. Note that the information in this table was extracted from 416 the latest released version of WRF-Chem (version 4.3.3) and WRF-CMAQ (based on WRF v4.3 417 and CMAQ v5.3.3) as well as relevant references for GRAPES-CUACE (Wang et al., 2015), WRF-418 NAQPMS (Wang et al., 2014) and GATOR-GCMOM (Jacobson et al., 2012). These models all use 419 the Mie theory to compute ARI effects but differ in representations of aerosol optical properties and radiation schemes. To simplify the calculation, aerosol species simulated by the chemistry 420 421 module/model are put into different groups (Table 4) and the refractive indices of these groups are directly from the Optical Properties of Aerosols and Clouds (OPAC) database (Hess et al., 1998) in 422 423 WRF-Chem and WRF-CMAQ (Table B6 in Appendix B). In WRF-Chem, the aerosol optical 424 properties (AOD, extinction/scattering/absorption coefficient, single scattering albedo and 425 asymmetry factor) are calculated in terms of four spectral intervals (listed in Table B6 in Appendix 426 B) and then inter/extrapolated to 11 (14) SW intervals defined in the GODDARD (RRTMG) scheme. 427 For SW and LW radiation in both WRF-CMAQ and WRF-Chem, these optical parameters are 428 computed at each of corresponding spectral intervals in the RRTMG scheme. The aerosol optical 429 property for LW radiation is considered only at 5 thermal windows (listed in Table B6) in WRF-430 CMAQ. No detailed information regarding how aerosol optical property and relevant parameters being calculated in GRAPES-CUACE and WRF-NAQPMS can be found from the relevant 431 432 references.

With respect to ACI effects, the simulated aerosol characteristics (such as mass, size 433 434 distribution and species) are utilized for the calculation of cloud droplet activation and aerosol 435 resuspension based on the Köhler theory (Abdul-Razzak and Ghan, 2002) in several (one) 436 microphysics schemes (scheme) in WRF-Chem (GRAPES-CUACE). GATOR-GCMOM is the first 437 two-way coupled model adding IN activation processes including heterogeneous and homogeneous 438 freezing (Jacobson et al., 2003). None of the other four two-way coupled models considers the IN 439 formation processes (including immersion freezing, deposition freezing, contact freezing, and 440 condensation freezing) but they have been included in some specific versions of WRF-Chem (Keita 441 et al., 2020; Lee et al., 2020), which are not yet in the latest release version 4.3.3 of WRF-Chem.

442 1/12

AR

Model

Table 4. Summary of relevant information regarding calculations of aerosol-radiation interactions (ARI) and aerosol cloud interactions (ACI) in two-way coupled models (WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, GRAPES-CUACE, WRF NAQPMS and GATOR-GCMOM) applied in Asia.

	Aerosol species groups	Aerosol size distribution (Aerosol mechanism)	Mixing state‡	SW scheme (# of spectral intervals)	LW scheme (# of spectral intervals)	CCN (Microphysics scheme)	IN (Microphysics scheme)
WRF-Chem	1. Water 2. Dust 3. BC 4. OC 5. Sea-salt 6. Sulfate	 Bulk (GOCART) Modal (MADE/SORGAM, AERO5, MAM3 and MAM7) Sectional (MOSAIC (4bins and 8 bins) and MADRID (8bins)) 	Internal mixing (Volume averaging, Core-shell, and Maxwell-Garnett)	1. Goddard (11) 2. RRTMG (14)	RRTMG (16)	Activation under a certain supersaturation in an air parcel based on Köhler theory (Morrison, Lin, Thompson, WSM 6/5/3 class and Milbrandt-Yau)	Ice heterogeneous nucleation of mineral dust acrosols in based on classical nucleation theory (Milbrandt-Yau and Morrison) [†]
WRF-CMAQ	 Water Water-soluble BC Insoluble Sea-salt 	Modal (AERO5, AERO6 and AERO7)	Internal mixing (Core-shell)	RRTMG (14)	RRTMG (16)	None	None
GRAPES-CUACE	1. Nitrate 2. Dust 3. BC 4. OC 5. Sea-salt 6. Sulfate 7. Ammonium	Sectional (CUACE (12 bins))	External mixing	Goddard (11)	Goddard (10)	Activation under a certain supersaturation in an air parcel based on Köhler theory (WSM 6-class)	None
WRF-NAQPMS	1. Nitrate 2. Dust 3. BC 4. OC 5. Sea-salt 6. Sulfate	Modal (AERO5)	External mixing	Goddard (11)	RRTM (16)	Activation under a certain supersaturation in an air parcel based on Köhler theory (Lin)	None

	7. Ammo 8. Other p	num rimary particles					
GATOR-GCMOM	 Water Dust BC HCO'₃ SOA Sulfate 	Sectional (GATOR2012* (17-30 bins))	Internal mixing (Core-shell [‡])	Toon* (318)	Toon* (376)	Activation under a certain supersaturation in an air parcel based on Köhler theory (GATOR2012 [*])	Ice heterogeneous and homogeneous nucleation (GATOR2012*)
	42. MgC0	0 ₃ (s)					
	446	* Specific version of WRF-Chem, WRI	F-NAQPMS and GOTAR-	GCMOM have the	ability of simulating	3 aerosol aging (Zhang et al., 2014a)	;
	447 778	then et al., 201/d; Li et al., 2018a; Jac	cobson, 2012b). m.consider IN (Keita et al	2020: Lee et al. 2	2020)		
	449	*The short- and long-wave radiation c	alculations in GATOR-GO	MOM are based of	n the algorithm of T	oon et al. (1989).	
	450	* GATOR2012 refers to either the aero	sol or cloud microphysics	scheme used in Ja	cobson (2012b).		
	451						
	452	How accurately ARI	and ACI are sin	nulated also	rely on the r	epresentation of aerosol	l
	453	composition and size distri	bution in two-way	coupled mod	lels. Table 5 p	resents the treatments of	f
	454	aerosol compositions and si	ze distributions in	the five two-v	way coupled n	nodels applied in Asia. As	5
	455	shown in Tables 4 and 5, 0	GATOR-GCMOM	considered n	nore detailed a	erosol species groups as	5
	456	high as 42 kinds, and others	s coupled models d	lifferent numb	ers of species	groups (such as 6, 5, 7, 8	3
	457	aerosol species groups in W	RF-Chem, CMAQ	, NAQPMS as	nd CUACE, re	spectively). Three typical	l
	458	representation approaches of	of size distribution	(bulk, modal	and sectional	methods) are adopted by	7
	459	the five two-way coupled m	odels and WRF-C	hem offers all	the three app	roaches, but other models	5
	460	only support one specific of	ption. The Global	Ozone Chen	nistry Aerosol	Radiation and Transport	t
	461	(GOCART) model (Ginou	x et al., 2001) in	n WRF-Chem	n is the only	one that is based on a	ı
	462	combination of bulk (for w	ater, BC, OC, and	sulfate aeros	ols) and section	nal (for dust and sea salt	t
	463	aerosols) approaches. The v	videly used modal	and sectional	approaches in	five coupled models and	1
	464	their detailed numerical se	ettings of aerosol	size distribut	tion (namely,	geometric diameter and	l
	465	standard deviation for mod	al approach or bir	n ranges for s	ectional meth	od) are listed in Table 5.	
	466	Regarding the modal meth	od, same paramet	ter values for	· Aitken and	accumulation modes and	1
	467	geometric diameters for coa	urse mode in the lat	test version of	WRF-Chem	(v4.3.3) and older version	ı
	468	of WRF-CMAQ (before v5)	.2) are set as defau	lt, except the	standard devia	tions for coarse mode are	e
	469	slightly different. In the c	official version of	WRF-CMAG) released af	ter v5.2, there are some	÷
	470	modifications to the defau	lt setting of geom	etric diamete	rs in Aitken,	accumulation and coarse	e
	471	modes, from 0.01 to 0.015	μ m, 0.07 to 0.08 μ	m and 1.0 to).6 μm, respec	tively. For the GRAPES-	-
	472	CUACE model, the parame	ters of size distrib	ution for cert	ain aerosol sp	ecies in the accumulation	1
	473	mode were updated from it	ts older version (Z	hou et al., 20	12) to newer	one (Zhang et al., 2021)	
	474	With respect to the sectiona	approach, 4 or 8	(from 0.039 t	ο 10 μm), 12	(from 0.005 to 20.48 µm))
	475	and 14 (from 0.002 to 50 µ	m) particle size bir	ns are defined	in WRF-Che	m, CUACE and GATOR.	-
	476	GCMOM, respectively.	× 1				
	477						
	470	T 11 F G C C C C C C C C C C		1 . 1.		•.• • · ·	

478	Table 5. Summary of numerical representations of aerosol size distribution and composition in two-way coupl	ed
479	models (WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAO, GRAPES-CUACE, WRF-NAOPMS and GATOR-GCMOM) applied in Asi	a.

Model	Aerosol mechanism	Modal approa	Modal approach							
		Aitken		Accumulation		Coarse	Coarse		Defense	
		Geometric diameters (µm)	Standard deviations (µm)	Geometric diameters (µm)	Standard deviations (µm)	Geometric diameters (µm)	Standard deviations (µm)	- Compositions	Kelerence	
WRF-Chem v4.3.3	MADE/ SORGAM	0.010	1.7	0.07	2.0	1.0	2.5	Water, BC, OC, and sulfate, dust and sea salt	WRF-Chem codes [®]	
WRF- Chem [∆]	MAM3	0.013 (Sulfate and secondary OM)	1.6 (Sulfate and secondary OM)	0.068 (Sulfate, secondary OM, primary OM, BC, dust and sea salt)	1.8 (Sulfate, secondary OM, primary OM, BC, dust and sea salt)	2.0 (Sea salt), 1.0 (Dust)	1.8 (Sea salt and dust)	Sulfate, methane sulfonic acid (MSA), OM, BC, sea salt and dust	Easter et al. (2004) Liu et al. (2012)	
WRF- Chem [∆]	MAM7	0.013 (Sulfate and secondary OM and BC)	1.6 (Sulfate, OM and BC)	0.068 (Sulfate and BC) 0.068 (Primary OM) 0.2 (Sea salt) 0.11 (Dust)	1.8 (Sulfate and BC) 1.6 (Primary OM) 1.8 (Sea salt) 1.8 (Dust)	2.0 (Sea salt) 1.0 (Dust)	2.0 (Sea salt) 1.8 (Dust)	Sulfate, methane sulfonic acid (MSA), OM, BC, sea salt and dust	Easter et al. (2004) Liu et al. (2012)	
WRF- CMAQ	AERO5	0.010	1.7	0.07	2.0	1.0	2.2	Water, water- soluble BC,	CMAQ codes*	

(before								insoluble, sea	
ČMAQ v5.2)								salt	
WRF- CMAQ (after CMAQ v5.2)	AERO6 and AERO7	0.015	1.7	0.08	2.0	0.60	2.2	Water, water- soluble BC, insoluble, sea salt	CMAQ codes [†]
WRF- NAQPMS	AERO5	0.052	1.9	0.146	1.8	0.80	1.9	Nitrate, dust, BC, OC, sea- salt, sulfate, ammonium, other primary particles	Wang et al. (2014)
GRAPES- CUACE	CUACE	0.10 (BC and OC)	1.7 (BC and OC)	0.25 (Sulfate and nitrate)	1.7 (Sulfate and nitrate)	3.0 (Dust)	1.7 (Dust)	Nitrate, dust, BC, OC, sea- salt, sulfate, ammonium [*]	Zhou et al. (2012)
GRAPES- CUACE	CUACE	Unclear	Unclear	0.37 (BC and OC)	0.42 (BC and OC)	Unclear	Unclear	Nitrate, dust, BC, OC, sea- salt, sulfate, ammonium ⁺	Zhang et al. (2021)
WRF-Chem v4.3.3	MOSAIC	0.039-0.156, 0. 0.039-0.078, 0.	.156-0.625, 0.62 .078-0.156, 0.15	Sectio 5-2.5, 2.5-10.0 μm (4 b 6-0.312, 0.312-0.625, (nal approach pins)).625-1.25, 1.25-2	.5, 2.5-5.0, 5.0-10.0	µm (8 bins)	Water, BC, OC, sulfate, dust and sea salt	WRF-Chem codes [®]
WRF- Chem [∆]	MADRID	0.0216-10 μm	(8 bins)					Water, BC, OC, and sulfate, dust and sea salt	Zhang et al. (2016d)
WRF-Chem v4.3.3	GOCART	0.1-1.0, 1.0-1.8 0.1-0.5, 0.5-1.5	3, 1.8-3.0, 3.0-6. 5, 1.5-5.0, 5.0-10	0, 6.0-10.0 (5 bins for c 0.0 (4 bins for sea salt)	lust)			Dust and sea salt	WRF-Chem codes [℅]
GRAPES- CUACE	CUACE	0.005-0.01, 0.0 5.12-10.24, 10	01-0.02, 0.02-0.0 .24-20.48 μm (1)	Nitrate, dust, BC, OC, sea- salt, sulfate, ammonium	Zhou et al. (2012)				
GATOR- GCMOM	GATOR2012	0.002-50 μm (1	14 bins)					42 species [‡]	Jacobson (2002, 2012b)

480 ^(*) Official released version of WRF-Chem.
481 [△] Specific version of WRF-Chem.

482 * https://github.com/USEPA/CMAQ/blob/5.1/models/CCTM/aero/aero6/AERO DATA.F.

483 * https://github.com/USEPA/CMAQ/blob/5.2/CCTM/src/aero/aero6/AERO DATA.F.

484 * More detailed components were presented in the first column of Table 2.

485 * Initial size distribution is tri-modal log-normal distribution.

486

487 Not only the choice of methodologies for ARI and ACI calculations can impact simulation 488 results, but also the various aspects regarding the setup of modeling studies by applying two-way 489 coupled models. The extra/auxiliary information about model configuration, including horizontal 490 and vertical resolutions, aerosol and gas phase chemical mechanisms, PBL schemes, meteorological 491 and chemical ICs and BCs, anthropogenic and natural emissions, were extracted from the 160 papers 492 and presented in Table S4 of Supplement, which is organized in the same order as Table 1.

493 For two-way coupled model applications in Asia, horizontal resolutions were set from a few to 494 several hundred kilometers, sometimes with nests, and vertical resolutions were from 15 to about 495 50-70 levels, with only one study performed at 100 levels for studying a fog case (Wang et al., 496 2019b). Wang et al. (2018b) evaluated the impacts of horizontal resolutions on simulation results 497 and found out surface meteorological variables were better modeled at finer resolution but no 498 significant improvements of ACI related meteorological variables and certain chemical species between different grid resolutions. Through applying a single column model and then WRF-Chem 499 with ARI, Wang et al. (2019b) unraveled that better representation of PBL structure and relevant 500 501 variables with finer vertical resolution from the surface to PBL top could reduce model biases noticeably, but balancing between vertical resolution and computational resource was important as 502 503 well. Among the 160 applications of two-way coupled models in Asia, the frequently used aerosol 504 module and gas-phase chemistry mechanism in WRF-CMAQ (WRF-Chem) were AERO6 505 (MOSAIC and MADE/SOGARM) and CB05 (CBMZ and RADM2), respectively. For PBL 506 schemes, most studies selected YSU in WRF-Chem and ACM2 in WRF-CMAQ. Regarding to

507 meteorological ICs and BCs, the FNL data were the first choice, and outputs from the Model for 508 Ozone and Related Chemical Tracer (MOZART) were used to generate chemical ICs and BCs by most researchers. Georgiou et al. (2018) also unraveled that boundary conditions of dust and O₃ 509 played an important role in WRF-Chem simulations. The modeling applications in Asia utilized 510 511 global (EDGAR), regional (e.g., MIX, INTEX-B, and REAS), and national (e.g., MEIC and JEI-DB) anthropogenic emission inventories. Natural emission sources, such as mineral dust (Shao, 512 513 2004), biomass burning (FINN (Wiedinmyer et al., 2011) and GFED (Giglio et al., 2010)), biogenic VOCs (MEGAN (Guenther et al., 2006)), and sea salt (Gong et al., 1997) were also considered. It 514 515 should be noted that only one paper by Gao et al. (2017c) reported that the WRF-Chem model with the Gridpoint Statistical Interpolation (GSI) data assimilation could improve the simulation 516 accuracy during a wintertime pollution period. 517

518

519 4 Overview of research focuses in Asia

520 4.1 Feedbacks of natural aerosols

521 4.1.1 Mineral dust aerosols

Due to the fact that dust storm events frequently occurred over Asia during 2000-2010, the 522 523 research community has focused on dust transportation and associated climatic effects (Gong et al., 524 2003b; Zhang et al., 2003a, b; Yasunari and Yamazaki, 2009; Lee et al., 2010; Choobari et al., 2014). 525 Also the detailed processes and physiochemical mechanisms of dust storms had been well understood and reviewed in detail (Shao and Dong, 2006; Uno et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2014; Chen 526 527 et al., 2017b). To probe into the radiative feedbacks of dust aerosols in Asia, Wang et al. (2010, 2013) 528 initiated modeling studies by a two-way coupled model, i.e., the GRAPES-CUAUE model, to simulate direct radiative forcing (DRF) of dust, and revealed that the feedback effects of dust 529 aerosols could lead to decreasing of surface wind speeds and then suppress dust emissions. Further 530 modeling simulations by the same model (Wang and Niu, 2013) indicated that considering dust 531 532 radiative effects did not substantially improve the model performance of the air temperature at 2 meters above the surface (T2), even with assimilating data from in-situ and satellite observations 533 534 into the model. Subsequently, several similar studies based on another two-way coupled model (WRF-Chem with GOCART scheme) were conducted to investigate dust radiative forcing 535 (including shortwave radiative forcing (SWRF) and longwave radiative forcing (LWRF)) and ARI 536 537 effects of dust on meteorological variables (PBLH, T2 and WS10) in different regions of Asia (Kumar et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2014; Jin et al., 2015, 2016b; Liu et al., 2016a; Bran et al., 2018; 538 Su and Fung, 2018a, b; Zhou et al., 2018). These studies demonstrated that dust aerosols could 539 540 induced negative radiative forcing (cooling effect) at top of atmosphere (TOA) as well as the surface 541 (including both Earth's and sea surfaces) and positive radiative forcing (warming effect) in the ATM (Wang et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2014; Kumar et al., 2014; Li et al., 2017c; Bran et al., 2018; Li and 542 Sokolik, 2018; Su and Fung, 2018b). More thorough analyses of the radiative effects of dust in Asia 543 544 (Wang et al., 2013; Li and Sokolik, 2018) pointed out that dust aerosols played opposite roles in the 545 shortwave and longwave bands, so that the dust SWRF at TOA and the surface (cooling effects) as 546 well as in the ATM (warming effects) was offset partially by the dust LWRF (warming effects at 547 TOA and the surface but cooling effects in the ATM). It was noteworthy that adding more detailed mineralogical composition into the dust emission for WRF-Chem could alter the dust SWRF at TOA 548 549 from cooling to warming and then lead to a positive net radiative forcing at TOA (Li and Sokolik, 550 2018). These different conclusions showed some degrees of uncertainties in the coupled model simulations of dust aerosols' radiative forcing that need to be further investigated in the future. 551

Dust aerosols can act not only as water-insoluble cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) (Kumar et 552 al., 2009) but also as ice nuclei (IN) (Lohmann and Diehl, 2006) since they are referred to as ice 553 friendly (Thompson and Eidhammer, 2014). Therefore, activation and heterogeneous ice nucleation 554 555 parameterizations (INPs) with respect to dust aerosols were developed and incorporated into WRF-Chem to explore ACI effects as well as both ARI and ACI effects of dust aerosols in Asia (Jin et al., 556 557 2015, 2016b; Zhang et al., 2015c; Su and Fung, 2018a, b; Wang et al., 2018b). During dust storms, including the adsorption activation of dust particles played vital roles in the simulations of ACI-558 559 related cloud properties and a 45 % of increase of cloud droplet number concentration (CDNC), 560 comparing to a simpler aerosols activation scheme in WRF-Chem (Wang et al., 2018b). More sophisticated INPs implemented in WRF-Chem that taking dust particles into account as IN resulted 561 in substantial modifications of cloud and ice properties as well as surface meteorological variables 562 563 and air pollutant concentrations in model simulations (Zhang et al., 2015c; Su and Fung, 2018b).

564 Zhang et al. (2015c) delineated that dust aerosols acting either as CCN or IN made model results rather different regarding radiation, T2, precipitation, and number concentrations of cloud water and 565 ice. Su and Fu (2018b) described that the ACI effects of dust had less impacts on the radiative forcing 566 than its ARI effects and dust particles could promote (demote) ice (liquid) clouds in mid-upper (low-567 568 mid) troposphere over EA. With turning on both ARI and ACI effects of dust, less low-level clouds 569 and more mid- and high-level clouds were detected that contributed to cooling at the Earth's surface and in the lower atmosphere and warming in the mid-upper troposphere (Su and Fung, 2018b). 570 Mineral dust particles transported by the westerly and southwesterly winds from the Middle East 571 (ME) affected the radiative forcing at TOA and the Earth's surface and in the ATM by the dust-572 induced ARI and ACI in the Arabian Sea and the India subcontinent, and subsequently changed the 573 circulation patterns, cloud properties, and characteristics related to the India summer monsoon (ISM; 574 Jin et al., 2015, 2016a). Moreover, the effects of dust on precipitation are not only complex but also 575 576 highly uncertain, evidencing from several modeling investigations targeting a variety of areas in Asia (Jin et al., 2015, 2016a, b; Zhang et al., 2015c; Su and Fung, 2018b). Less precipitation from 577 578 model simulations including dust effects was found at EA and dust particles acting mainly as CCN 579 or IN influenced precipitation in a rather different way (Zhang et al., 2015c). A positive response of ISM rainfall to dust particles from the ME was reported by Jin et al. (2015) and less affected by dust 580 581 storms from the local sources and NWC (Jin et al., 2016b). Jin et al. (2016a) further elucidated that the impacts of ME dust on ISM rainfall were highly sensitive to the imaginary refractive index of 582 dust setting in the model, so that accurate simulations of the dust-rainfall interaction depended on 583 more precise representation of radiative absorptions of dust in two-way coupled models. About 20 % 584 of increase or decrease in rainfall due to the dust effects were detected in different areas over EA 585 586 from the WRF-Chem simulations (Su and Fung, 2018b). However, it should be mentioned that a 587 few studies that targeting DRF of dust in Asia based on WRF-Chem simulations but without enabling aerosol-radiation feedbacks (Ashrafi et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2017c; Tang et al., 2018) 588 were not included in this paper. 589

Along with the modeling research on the effects of dust aerosols on meteorology, their impacts 590 591 on air quality in Asia were explored using two-way coupled models (Wang et al., 2013; Chen et al., 592 2014; Kumar et al., 2014; Li et al., 2017c; Li and Sokolik, 2018). Many early modeling research work involving two-way coupled models with dust only looked into the ARI or direct radiative 593 594 effects of dust particles, which are described as follows. Taking a spring-time dust storm from the 595 Thar Desert into consideration in WRF-Chem, the modeled aerosol optical depth (AOD) and Angstrom exponent (as indicators of aerosol optical properties and unique proxies of the surface 596 597 particulate matter pollution) demonstrated that turning on the ARI effects of dust could reduce biases 598 in their simulations, but were underestimated in North India (Kumar et al., 2014). Wang et al. (2013) 599 pointed out that in EA, including the longwave radiative effects of dust in the GRAPES-CUACE/dust model lowered relative errors of the modeled AOD by 15 %, as compared to 600 601 simulations that only considering shortwave effects of dust. Comparisons against both satellite and 602 in situ observations depicted that the WRF-Chem model was able to capture the general spatiotemporal variations of the optical properties and size distribution of dust particles over the 603 604 main dust sources in EA, such as the Taklimakan Desert and Gobi Desert, but overestimated AOD during summer and fall and also exhibited positive (negative) biases in the fine (coarse) mode of 605 606 dust particles (Chen et al., 2014). Besides the ARI effects of dust, the heterogeneous chemistry on 607 dust particles' surface added in WRF-Chem was accounted for 80 % of the net reductions of O₃, NO₂, NO₃, N₂O₅, HNO₃, \cdot OH, HO₂ \cdot and H₂O₂ when a springtime dust storm striking the Nanjing 608 609 megacity of EC (Li et al., 2017c). In CA, AOD was overestimated by WRF-Chem model but its simulation was improved when more detailed mineral components of dust particles were 610 incorporated in the model (Li and Sokolik, 2018). Later on, more investigations started to focus on 611 both ARI and ACI effects of dust aerosols. With consideration of ARI as well as both ARI and ACI 612 613 of dust particles from the ME, during the ISM period, the WRF-Chem model reproduced AOD's 614 spatial distributions but underpredicted (overpredicated) AOD over the Arabian Sea (the Arabian Peninsula) comparing with satellite observations and AOD reanalysis data (Jin et al., 2015, 2016a, 615 616 b). In EA, Wang et al. (2018b) demonstrated that including both ARI and ACI effects of dust in 617 WRF-Chem caused lower O₃ concentrations and by incorporating INPs, the WRF-Chem model well simulated the surface PM₁₀ concentrations (Su and Fung, 2018a) with reduced (elevated) surface 618 concentrations of OH, O₃, SO₄²⁻, and PM_{2.5} (CO, NO₂, and SO₂) (Zhang et al., 2015c). It is worth 619 noting that how to partition dust particles into fine mode and coarse mode or initialize their size 620

distribution in coupled models can affect simulations in many ways and requires more detailedmeasurements at the source areas and further modeling studies.

624 4.1.2 Wildfire, sea salt and volcanic ash

623

640

In the Maritime SEA region, peat and forest fire triggered by El Niño induced drought 625 conditions released huge amount of smoke particles, which promoted dire air pollution problems in 626 the downstream areas, and their ARI effects simulated by WRF-Chem enhanced radiative forcing at 627 628 the TOA and the atmospheric stability (Ge et al., 2014). Ge et al. (2014) also pointed out the ARI 629 effects of these fires impaired (intensified) sea breeze at daytime (land breeze at nighttime) over this region so that their impacts on cloud cover could be positive or negative in different areas and time 630 631 period (day or night). Sea salt and volcanic ash are also important natural aerosols for regions near seashores and active volcanoes and surrounding areas but modeling studies of their ARI and ACI 632 effects are relatively scarce in Asia. Based on WRF-Chem simulations, Kedia et al. (2019b) 633 demonstrated that the feedbacks of sea salt aerosols impacted convective and nonconvective 634 precipitation rather variously in different areas of the India subcontinent. Jiang et al. (2019a, b) also 635 used WRF-Chem with/without sea-salt emissions to evaluate the effects of sea salt on rainfall in 636 637 Guangdong Province of China, but unfortunately, no feedbacks were considered in the simulations. So far there is no investigation targeting aerosol effects of volcanic ash from volcano eruptions in 638 Asia using coupled models. 639

641 4.2 Feedbacks of anthropogenic aerosols

642 Atmospheric pollutants from anthropogenic sources are the leading causes of heavy pollution 643 events occurring in Asia due to the acceleration of urbanization, industrialization, and population 644 growth in recent decades, particularly in China and India, and their ARI or/and ACI effects on 645 meteorology and air quality had been quantitatively examined using two-way coupled models (Kumar et al., 2012a, b; Li and Liao, 2014; Wang et al., 2014a; Zhang et al., 2015a; Gao et al., 2016a; 646 Yao et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018d; Archer-Nicholls et al., 2019; Bharali et al., 2019). These 647 648 modeling research work had been primarily focused on the ARI or/and ACI effects of anthropogenic aerosols, their specific chemical components (especially the light-absorbing aerosols, i.e., BC and 649 brown carbon (BrC)) and aerosols originated from different sources. The major findings are outlined 650 651 as follows, with respect to the effects of anthropogenic aerosol feedbacks on meteorology and air 652 quality.

Concerning the meteorological responses, most papers treated anthropogenic aerosols as a 653 whole to explore their effects on meteorological variables based on coupled model simulations with 654 enabling ARI or/and ACI in WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, WRF-CMAQ, GRAPES-CUACE and 655 WRF-NAQPMS (Kumar et al., 2012a; Wang et al., 2014a, c, 2015a; Zhang et al., 2015a, 2018; 656 657 Zhao et al., 2017; Nguyen et al., 2019a, b; Bai et al., 2020). Generally, the main ARI effects of anthropogenic aerosols resulted in decreases of SWRF, T2 and WS10, and PBLH, as well as 658 increases of surface relative humidity (RH2) and temperature in the ATM, which further suppressed 659 PBL development (Gao et al., 2015b; Xing et al., 2015a; Li et al., 2017b; Zhang et al., 2018; Nguyen 660 661 et al., 2019a, b). Wang et al. (2015a) utilized GRAPES-CUACE with ARI to study a summer haze case in the NCP area and discovered that the ARI effects made the subtropical high less intense (-662 14 hPa) to help pollutants in the area to dissipate. In Asia, ACI effects of anthropogenic aerosols on 663 cloud properties and precipitation are relatively complex. On the one hand, anthropogenic aerosols, 664 that being activated as CCN, enhanced CDNC and LWP and then slowed down the precipitation 665 onset, but their impacts on precipitation amounts varied in different seasons and areas in China 666 667 (Zhao et al., 2017). Targeting a summertime rainstorm in the middle reaches of the Yangtze River (MRYR) in China, sensitivity studies using WRF-Chem unveiled that CDNC, cloud water contents, 668 and precipitation decreased (increased) with low (high) anthropogenic emission scenarios due to the 669 670 ACI effects and these variations tended to depend on atmospheric humidity (Bai et al., 2020). The 671 modeling investigations with WRF-Chem aiming at the ISM (Kedia et al., 2019b) and a disastrous flood event in Southwest China (SWC) (Fan et al., 2015) pointed out that the simulated convective 672 process was suppressed and convective (nonconvective) precipitation was inhibited (enhanced) by 673 the ARI and ACI effects of accumulated anthropogenic aerosols, but these effects could invigorate 674 675 convection and rainfall in the downwind mountainous area at nighttime (Fan et al., 2015). On the other hand, how anthropogenic aerosols act in the ice nucleation processes is still open to question 676

677 (Zhao et al., 2019) and these processes need to be represented accurately in two-way coupled models, 678 however until now no study had been performed to simulate the ACI effects of anthropogenic aerosol serving as IN in Asia using two-way coupled models. Therefore, in Asia, further 679 investigations are needed that targeting cloud or/and ice processes involving anthropogenic aerosols 680 681 (including their size, composition, and mixing state) in two-way coupled models. Meanwhile, several studies not only discussed aerosol feedbacks but also focused on the additional effects of 682 topography or urban heat island on meteorology (Zhong et al., 2015, 2017; Wang et al., 2019a). 683 Utilizing the GATOR-GCMOM model at global and local scales, Jacobson et al. (2015, 2019) 684 explored the impacts of landuse changes due to the unprecedented expansions of megacities, such 685 as Beijing and New Delhi in Asia, from 2000 to 2009 on meteorology and air quality. 686

687 Hitherto there were several attempts to ascertain the effects of different chemical components of anthropogenic aerosols on meteorology in Asia (Huang et al., 2015; Ding et al., 2016, 2019; Gao 688 689 et al., 2018a; Wang et al., 2018d; Archer-Nicholls et al., 2019). First of all, Asia is the region in the world with the highest BC emissions due to burning of large amount of fossil fuels and biomass and 690 691 this has increasingly attracted many researchers to probe into the ARI or/and ACI effects of BC 692 (IPCC, 2014). As the most important absorbing aerosol, BC induced the largest positive, positive and negative mean DRF at the TOA, in the ATM, and at the surface, respectively, over China during 693 2006 (Huang et al., 2015). Ding et al. (2016) and Wang et al. (2018d) further applied WRF-Chem 694 with feedbacks to investigate how aerosol-PBL interactions involving BC suppressed the PBL 695 development, which deteriorated air quality in Chinese cities and was described as "dome effect" 696 (namely BC warms the atmosphere and cools the surface, suppresses the PBL development and 697 eventually results in more accumulation of pollutants). This "dome effect" of BC promoted the 698 699 advection-radiation fog and fog-haze formation in the YRD area through altering the land-sea circulation pattern and increasing the moisture level (Ding et al., 2019). Gao et al. (2018a) also 700 701 pointed out BC in the ATM modified the vertical profiles of heating rate and equivalent potential 702 temperature in Nanjing, China. In India, the ARI effects of BC enhanced convective activities, 703 meridional flows, and rainfall in North-East India during the pre-monsoon season but could either enhance or suppress precipitation during the monsoon season in different parts of the India 704 705 subcontinent (Soni et al., 2018). Moreover, the ARI effects of BC on surface meteorological variables were larger than its ACI effects in EC (Archer-Nicholls et al., 2019; Ding et al., 2019). 706 Besides BC, the BrC portion of organic aerosols (OA) emitted from agriculture residue burning 707 708 (ARB) were included in WRF-Chem with the parameterization scheme suggested by Saleh et al. 709 (2014) and the model simulations in EC revealed that at the TOA, the net DRF of OA was -0.22 W·m⁻² (absorption and scattering DRF were +0.21 W·m⁻² and -0.43 W·m⁻² respectively), but the 710 BC's DRF was still the highest (+0.79 W·m⁻²) (Yao et al., 2017). As mentioned above, it is obvious 711 712 that ARI and ACI effects of different aerosol components are substantially distinctive, and many other aerosol compositions (e.g., sulfate, nitrate and ammonium) besides BC and BrC should be 713 714 taken into considerations in future modeling studies in Asia.

ARB is a common practice in many Asian countries after harvesting and before planting and 715 716 can deteriorate air quality quickly as one of the most important sources of anthropogenic aerosols, so that it has been attracting much attention among the public and scientists worldwide (Reid et al., 717 2005; Koch and Del Genio, 2010; Chen et al., 2017a; Yan et al., 2018; Hodshire et al., 2019). 718 719 Recently, the effects of ARB aerosols on meteorology had widely been explored using the two-way coupled model (WRF-Chem) in many Asian countries and regions, such as EC (Huang et al., 2016; 720 721 Wu et al., 2017; Yao et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018b), South China (SC) (Huang et al., 2019), and South 722 Asia (SA) (Singh et al., 2020). In general, when ARB occurred, the WRF-Chem simulations from 723 all the studies showed that the changes in radiative forcing induced by ARB aerosols were greater 724 than by those from other anthropogenic sources, especially in the ATM. Also all the modeling studies 725 indicated that ARB aerosols reduced (increased) radiative forcing at the surface (in the ATM), cooled (warmed) the surface (the atmosphere), and increased (decreased) atmospheric stability (PBLH). 726 727 Furthermore, the WRF-Chem simulations with ARI demonstrated that light-absorbing carbonaceous 728 aerosols (CAs) from ARB caused daytime (nighttime) precipitation decreased (increased) over Nanjing in EC during a post-harvest ARB event (Huang et al., 2016). Yao et al. (2017) pointed out 729 their WRF-Chem simulations in EC exhibited larger DRE induced by BC from ARB at the TOA 730 731 than previous studies. Lately, several modeling studies using WRF-Chem had targeted the effects of 732 ARI and both ARI and ACI due to ARB aerosols from countries in the Indochina, SEA, and SA 733 regions during the planting and harvesting time (Zhou et al., 2018; Dong et al., 2019; Huang et al.,

734 2019; Singh et al., 2020). Zhou et al. (2018) investigated how ARB aerosols from SEA mixed with 735 mineral dust and other anthropogenic aerosols while being lifted to the mid-low troposphere over the source region and transported to the YRD area and then affected meteorology and air quality 736 there. The influences of ARI and ACI caused by ARB aerosols from Indochina were contrary, 737 738 namely, the ARI (ACI) effects made the atmosphere over SC warmer (cooler) and drier (wetter), 739 and the ARI effects hindered cloud formation and suppressed precipitation there (Huang et al., 2019). 740 Dong et al. (2019) found the warming ARI effects of ARB aerosols were smaller over the source region (i.e., SEA) than the downwind region (i.e., SC) with cloudier conditions. Annual simulations 741 742 regarding the ARI effects of ARB aerosols from SA (especially Myanmar and Punjab) indicated that CAs released by ARB reduced the radiative forcing at the TOA but did not change the precipitation 743 744 processes much when only the ARI effects were considered in WRF-Chem (Singh et al., 2020).

745 Besides ARB, to our best knowledge, there were only a few research work quantitatively 746 assessing the effects of anthropogenic aerosols from different emission sources on meteorology 747 using WRF-Chem. Gao et al. (2018c) evaluated the responses of radiative forcing in China and India 748 to aerosols from five emission sectors (power, industry, residential, BB, and transportation), and 749 found that the power (residential) sector was the dominate contributor to the negative (positive) 750 DRF at the TOA over both countries due to high emissions of sulfate and nitrate precursors (BC) 751 and the total sectoral contributions were in the order of power > residential > industry > BB >transportation (power > residential > transportation > industry > BB) for China (India) during 2013. 752 753 To pinpoint the ARI and ACI effects, Archer-Nicholls et al. (2019) reported that during January 2014, the aerosols from the residential emission sector induced larger SWRF ($\pm 1.04 \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$) than 754 755 LWRF (+0.18 W·m⁻²) at the TOA and their DRF (+0.79 W·m⁻²) was the largest, followed by their 756 semidirect effects (+0.54 W·m⁻²) and indirect effects (-0.29 W·m⁻²) over EC. This study further emphasized a realistic ratio of BC to total carbon from the residential emission was critical for 757 758 accurate simulations of the ARI and ACI effects with two-way coupled models.

759 In terms of anthropogenic aerosol effects on air quality, the responses of PM_{2.5} had been widely investigated (Wang et al., 2014a, c, 2015a; Gao et al., 2015b, 2016a, 2018a; Zhang et al., 2015a, 760 2018; Zhao et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2019a; Nguyen et al., 2019a, b; Wu et al., 2019a) but less studies 761 explored the responses of O3 and other species (Kumar et al., 2012a; Zhang et al., 2015a; Xing et 762 al., 2017; Li et al., 2018a; Nguyen et al., 2019a, b). As summarized by Wu et al. (2019a) in their 763 Table 1, observations and model simulations with WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, WRF-CMAQ, 764 765 GRAPES-CUACE, and WRF-NAQPMS all pointed out that the ARI effects promoted higher PM_{2.5} concentrations in China (Wang et al., 2014a, c, 2015a; Gao et al., 2015b, 2016a; Zhang et al., 2015a, 766 767 2018; Chen et al., 2019a) and this was also true in other areas of Asia (e.g., India, EA, Continental 768 SEA) (Gao et al., 2018c; Nguyen et al., 2019a, b) during different seasons. At the same time, all the 769 modeling investigations revealed that the positive aerosol-meteorology feedbacks could further exacerbate pollution problems during heavy haze episodes. Based on WRF-Chem simulations, the 770 771 ACI effects on PM₂₅ was negligible comparing to the ARI effects over EC (Zhang et al., 2015a) but was subject to a certain degree of uncertainty if no consideration of the ACI effects induced by 772 cumulus clouds in the model (Gao et al., 2015b). Annual WRF-Chem simulations for 2014 by Zhang 773 et al. (2018) indicated that even though the ARI effects had bigger impacts on PM_{2.5} during 774 775 wintertime than the ACI effects, the ARI and ACI impacts on PM_{2.5} were similar during other 776 seasons and the increase of PM2.5 due to the ACI effects was more noticeable in wet season than dry season. Using the process analysis method to distinguish the contributions of different physical and 777 778 chemical processes to PM_{2.5} over the NCP area, Chen et al. (2019a) applied WRF-Chem with ARI 779 and ACI and found that besides local emissions and regional transport processes, vertical mixing 780 contributed the most to the accumulation and dispersion of $PM_{2.5}$, comparing to chemistry and 781 advection, and the ARI effects changed the vertical mixing contribution to daily PM2.5 variation from negative to positive. Regarding surface O₃ concentrations, all the two-way coupled models 782 with ARI, ACI, and both ARI and ACI predicted reduced photolysis rate and O₃ concentrations 783 784 under heavy pollution conditions, through the radiation attenuation induced by aerosols and clouds. 785 Further analyses indicated that the ARI effects impacted O₃ positively through reducing vertical dispersions (WRF-CMAO, Xing et al., 2017), reduced O₃ more during wintertime than summertime 786 in EC (WRF-NAQPMS, Li et al., 2018a), and suppressed (enhanced) O₃ in dry (wet) season in 787 788 continental SEA (WRF-CMAQ, Nguyen et al., 2019b). Xing et al. (2017) applied the process 789 analysis method in WRF-CMAQ with ARI and revealed that the impacts of ARI on the contributions 790 of atmospheric dynamics and photochemistry processes to O_3 over China varied in winter and

791 summer months and ARI induced largest changes in photochemistry (dry deposition) of surface O₃ at noon time in January (July). The process analysis in WRF-Chem with ARI and ACI identified 792 that the vertical mixing process played the most important role among the other physical and 793 794 chemical processes (advection and photochemistry) in surface O₃ growth during 10-14 local time in 795 Nanjing, China (Gao et al., 2018a). ARI and ACI not only affected PM_{2.5} and O₃, but also other 796 chemical species. For instance, CO and SO₂ increased due to ARI and ACI over EC (Zhang et al., 797 2015a), ARI caused midday (daily average) OH increased (decreased) in July (January) over China (Xing et al., 2017), SO₂, NO₂, BC, SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻ were enhanced but OH was reduced over China by 798 ACI (Zhao et al., 2017), and ARI impacted SO₂ and NO₂ positively over EA (Nguyen et al., 2019a). 799 Wu et al. (2019b) further analyzed how the aerosol liquid water involved in ARI and chemical 800 processes (i.e., photochemistry and heterogeneous reactions) and influenced radiation and PM_{2.5} 801 802 (esp. secondary aerosols) over NCP during an intense haze event. Moreover, evaluations and 803 sensitivity studies indicated that turning on aerosol feedbacks could improve the model performance 804 for surface PM_{2.5}, particularly during severe haze episodes (Zhang et al., 2015a, 2018; Li et al., 805 2018a; Wang et al., 2018a).

806 With reference to the feedback effects of anthropogenic aerosol compositions on air quality, most modeling research work with WRF-Chem had focused on the ARI and ACI effects of BC and 807 808 BrC, especially the "dome effect" that prompted the accumulation of pollutants (aerosols and O_3) near surface and in PBL (Li and Liao, 2014; Ding et al., 2016, 2019; Gao et al., 2018a; Wang et al., 809 2018d). At the same time, the ARI effects of BC undermined the low-level wind convergence and 810 811 then led to decrease of aerosols (sulfate and nitrate) and O₃ (Li and Liao, 2014). With the process 812 analysis methodology in WRF-Chem, Gao et al. (2018a) indicated that comparing to simulations 813 without BC, the BC and PBL interaction slowed the O_3 growth from late morning to early afternoon somewhat before O₃ reaching its maximum value at noon due to less vertical mixing in PBL. 814

815 Studies on the feedback effects of aerosols from different emission sectors on air quality were 816 relatively limited and mainly involved with ARB emissions and assessments of emission controls 817 during certain major air pollution events. Jena et al. (2015) applied WRF-Chem with aerosol feedbacks and investigated O₃ and its precursors in SA due to regional ARB. Based on WRF-Chem 818 819 simulations with enabling ARI and ACI, Wu et al. (2017) denoted that aerosols emitted from ARB could be mixed or/and coated with urban aerosols while being transported to cities and contributed 820 821 to heavy air pollution events there, such as in Nanjing, China. The ARI effects induced by ARB aerosols on \hat{O}_3 and NO₂ concentrations (-1 % and 2 %, respectively) were small compared to the 822 823 contribution of precursors emitted from ARB to O₃ chemistry (40 %) in the ARB zone (Li et al., 2018b). Pollutants emitted from natural and anthropogenic BB over Indochina affected pollution 824 825 levels over SC and their ACI effects removed aerosols more efficiently than the ARI effects that 826 could make BB aerosols last longer in the ATM (Huang et al., 2019). Gao et al. (2017b) and Zhou 827 et al. (2019) both utilized WRF-Chem to evaluate what role the ARI effects played when dramatic 828 emission reductions implemented during the week of Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation Summit 829 and concluded that the ARI reduction induced by decreased emission led to 6.7-10.9 % decline in 830 PM_{2.5} concentrations in Beijing.

832 4.3 Human health effects

831

833 Poor air quality posts risks to human health (Brunekreef and Holgate, 2002; Manisalidis et al., 2020), therefore, in the past several decades, air quality models had been used in epidemiology 834 835 related research to establish quantitative relationships between concentrations of various pollutants 836 and burden of disease (including mortality or/and morbidity) as well as associated economic loss (Conti et al., 2017). In Asia, there were several studies that applied coupled air quality models with 837 feedbacks to assess human health effects of air pollutants under historical and future scenarios (Gao 838 839 et al., 2015a, 2017c; Ghude et al., 2016; Xing et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017; Conibear et al., 2018a, 840 b; Hong et al., 2019; Zhong et al., 2019). By applying WRF-Chem with ARI and ACI, Gao et al. 841 (2015a) estimated the health and financial impacts induced by an intense air pollution event 842 happened in the NCP area during January, 2013 and concluded that the mortality, morbidity, and 843 financial loss over Beijing area were 690, 69070, and 253.8 million US\$, respectively. Targeting 844 the same case, Gao et al. (2017c) pointed out that turning on the data assimilation of surface PM_{2.5} 845 observations in WRF-Chem not only improved model simulations but also made the premature 846 death numbers increased by 2 % in the NCP area, comparing to simulations without the PM_{2.5} data 847 assimilation. In India, WRF-Chem simulations with aerosol feedbacks and updated population data

848 revealed that the premature (COPD related) deaths caused by $PM_{2.5}$ (O₃) were 570,000 (12,000), 849 resulting in shortened life expectancy $(3.4\pm1.1 \text{ years})$ and financial expenses (640 million US\$) during 2011 (Ghude et al., 2016). Based on WRF-CMAQ simulations with ARI for 21 years (1990-850 2010), Xing et al. (2016) pointed out that in EA the population-weighted PM2.5 induced mortality 851 had an upward trend from 1990 (+3187) to 2010 (+3548) and the mean mortality caused by ARI-852 enhanced PM_{2.5} was 3.68 times more than that decreased by ARI-reduced temperature. The same 853 21 year simulations also showed that from 1990 to 2010, the PM2.5 related mortalities in EA and 854 SA rose by 21 % and 85 %, respectively, while they declined in Europe and high-income North 855 America by 67 % and 58 %, respectively (Wang et al., 2017). Conibear et al. (2018a) applied WRF-856 Chem with ARI to study how different emission sectors affected human health in India and 857 858 demonstrated that the residential energy use sector played the most critical role among other sectors and could cause 511,000 premature deaths in 2014. Furthermore, Conibear et al. (2018b) 859 860 investigated future PM2.5 pollution levels as well as health impacts in India under different emission scenarios (business as usual and two emission control pathways) and deduced that the burden of 861 disease driven by PM2.5 and population factors (growth and aging) in 2050 increased by 75 % under 862 863 the business as usual scenario but decreased by 9 % and 91 % under the International Energy Agencies New Policy Scenario and Clean Air Scenario, respectively, comparing with that in 2015. 864 865 The sensitivity study using WRF-Chem with ARI under a variety of emission scenarios, population projections, and concentration-response functions (CRFs) for the years of 2008 and 2050 866 demonstrated that CRFs (future emission projections) were the main sources of uncertainty in the 867 total mortality estimations related to $PM_{2.5}$ (O₃) in China (Zhong et al., 2019). Applying a suite of 868 models, including WRF-CMAQ with ARI, climate and epidemiology, Hong et al. (2019) inferred 869 870 that under Representative Concentration Pathway 4.5, the future mortalities could be 12100 and 8900 per year in China led by PM_{2.5} and O₃, respectively, and the climate-driven weather extremes 871 872 could add 39 % and 6 % to future mortalities due to stable atmosphere and heat waves, respectively. 873 Ten Hoeve and Jacobson (2012) applied GATOR-GCMOM and a human exposure model to estimate the local and worldwide health effects induced by the 2011 Fukushima nuclear accident 874 875 and a hypothetical one in California of US.

876

877 5 Effects of aerosol feedbacks on model performance

Even though there are a certain number of research papers using two-way coupled models to 878 879 quantify the effects of aerosol feedbacks on regional meteorology and air quality in Asia, model performances impacted by considering aerosol effects varied to some extent. This section provides 880 881 a summary of model performance by presenting the SI of meteorology and air quality variables as shown in Table S2. These SI were collected from the selected papers that supplying these indices 882 and being defined as papers with SI (PSI) (listed in Tables B2-B3 of Appendix B). As 883 884 aforementioned in Section 3, investigations of ACI effects were very limited and no former studies 885 simultaneously exploring aerosol feedbacks with and without both ARI and ACI turned on. Here, 886 we only compared the SI for simulations with and without ARI in the same study, as summarized in 887 Appendix Tables B4-B5. It should be pointed out that all the reported evaluation results either from 888 individual model or inter-model comparison studies were extracted and put into the Table S2.

889 890

5.1 Model performance for meteorology variables

With certain emissions, accurate simulations of meteorological elements are critical to air
quality modeling and prediction (Seaman, 2000; Bauer et al., 2015; Appel et al., 2017; Saylor et al.,
2019). Targeting meteorological variables, we summarized their SI and further analyzed the
variations of SI on different simulated time scales and among multiple models.

895

896 5.1.1 Overall performance

Figure 3 shows the compiled statistical indicators (correlation coefficient (R) is in black, and mean bias (MB) and root mean square error (RMSE) are in blue) of T2 (°C), RH2(%) and specific humidity (SH2, $g \cdot kg^{-1}$) at 2 meters, and WS10 ($m \cdot s^{-1}$) from PSI (a-d), and simulations with and without ARI (marked as ARI and NO-ARI in e-h). In this figure and following figures, NP and NS are number of publications and samples with SI, respectively and summed up in Appendix Table B2. In these two tables, we also listed the NS of positive (red upward arrow) and negative (blue downward arrow) biases for the meteorological and air quality variables in parentheses in the MB column. Note that NS in Fig. 3e-h and Appendix Table B4 counted the samples of SI provided by
the simulations simultaneously with and without ARI. Also, the 5th, 25th, 75th and 95th percentiles
of SI are illustrated in box-and-whisker plots, and the dashed line in the box is the mean value (not
median) and the circles are outliers.

908 The evaluations for T2 (Fig. 3a) from PSI revealed that in Asia coupled models performed 909 rather well for temperature (mean R = 0.90) with RMSE ranging from 0.64 to 5.90 °C, but 60 % of 910 samples showed the tendency towards temperature underestimations (mean value of MB = -0.20 °C) 911 with the largest average MB (-0.31 °C) occurring during winter months (70 samples). The 912 underestimations of temperature had been reported not only from modeling studies by using WRF 913 or coupled models, but also in Asia, Europe and North America (García-Díez et al., 2013; Brunner 914 et al., 2015; Makar et al., 2015a; Yahya et al., 2015; Gao et al., 2019). The WRF simulations in China (Gao et al., 2019) and US (EPA, 2018) also showed wintertime cold biases of T2 but in Europe 915 916 warm biases were reported (García-Díez et al., 2013). This temperature bias was probably related to the impacts of model resolutions (Kuik et al., 2016), urban canopies (Liao et al., 2014) and PBL 917 918 schemes (Hu et al., 2013). With the ARI turned on in the coupled models, modeled temperatures 919 (limited papers with 12 samples) were improved somewhat and the mean correlation coefficient 920 increased from 0.93 to 0.95 and RMSE decreased slightly (Fig. 3e), but average MB of temperature 921 was decreased from -0.98 to -1.24 °C. In short, temperatures from PSI or simulations with/without 922 ARI turned on agreed well with observations but were mostly underestimated, and the negative bias of T2 simulated by models with ARI turned on got worse and reasons behind it will be explained in 923 924 Section 6.

Figures 3b-c illustrate that RH2 was simulated reasonably well (mean R = 0.73) and the 925 926 modeled SH2 was also well correlated with observations (R varied between 0.85 and 1.00). RH2 927 and SH2 from more than half of samples had slightly positive and negative mean biases with average 928 MB values of 0.4 % and -0.01 g kg⁻¹, respectively. The overestimations of RH2 could be caused by 929 the negative bias of T2 (Cuchiara et al., 2014). Compared with results without ARI effects, statistics 930 of RH2 and SH2 from simulations with ARI showed better R and RMSE. However, the increased 931 positive mean biases (average MBs of RH2 and SH2 were from 6.4 % to 7.6 % and from 0.07 g·kg⁻ to 0.11 g·kg⁻¹, respectively) indicated that turning on ARI could cause further overprediction of 932 humidity variables. Overall, the modeled RH2 and SH2 were in good agreement with observations 933 934 with slight over- and under-estimations, respectively, and the limited studies showed that RH2 and 935 SH2 simulated by models with ARI turned on had marginally larger positive biases relative to the 936 results without ARI.

937 Compared with the correlation coefficients of T2, RH2 and SH2, mean R (0.59) of WS10 was 938 smallest with a large fluctuation ranging from 0.14 to 0.98 (Fig. 3d). The meta-analysis also 939 indicated that most modeled WS10 tended to be overestimated (81 % of the samples) with the average MB value of 0.79 m·s⁻¹, and the mean RMSE value was 2.76 m·s⁻¹. The general 940 941 overpredictions of WS10 by WRF (Mass and Ovens, 2011) and coupled models (Gao et al., 2015b, 942 2015bs) had been explained with possible reasons such as out-of-date geographical data, coarse model resolutions and lacking of better representations of urban canopy physics. The PSI with ARI 943 944 effects suggested that the correlation of wind speed was slightly improved (mean R from 0.56 to 945 0.57) and the average RMSE and positive MB decreased by 0.003 m·s⁻¹ and 0.051 m·s⁻¹, 946 respectively (Fig. 3h). The collected SI indicated relatively poor performance of modeled WS10 947 (most wind speeds were overestimated) compared to T2 and humidity, but turning on ARI in coupled models could improve WS10 simulations somewhat. 948

949 Besides the SI discussed above, very limited papers reported the normalized mean error (NME) 950 (%) of surface meteorological variables (T2, SH2, RH2 and WS10) simulated by two-way coupled 951 models (WRF-Chem and WRF-CMAQ) in Asia, which is summarized in Appendix Table B7. The 952 evaluations with two-way coupled models in Asia showed that the overall mean percent errors of T2, SH2, RH2 and WS10 were 22.71%, 10.32%, 13.94%, and 51.28%, respectively. The ranges of 953 954 NME (%) values were quite wide for T2 (from -0.48 to 270.20 %) and WS10 (from 0.33 to 112.28%) 955 reported by the limited studies. Note that no NME of surface meteorological variables simulated by 956 two-way coupled models simultaneously with and without enabling the ARI effects was mentioned 957 in these studies.



Figure 3. Quantile distributions of R, MB and RMSE for simulated surface meteorological variables by the five
 coupled models (WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, GRAPES-CUACE, WRF-NAQPMS and GATOR-GCMOM) (a-d) and
 comparisons of statistical indices with/out ARI (e-h) in Asia.

962 963

963 5.1.2 Comparisons of SI for meteorology using different coupled models

Also, to examine how different coupled models (i.e., WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, WRF-964 NAQPMS, GRAPES-CUACE and GATOR-GCMOM) performed in Asia with respect to 965 meteorological variables, the SI were extracted from PSI in term of these five coupled models and 966 967 displayed in Fig. 4. The SI for T2, RH2, SH2, and WS10 from WRF-NAQPMS, GRAPES-CUACE and GATOR-GCMOM simulations were missing or with rather limited samples so that the 968 discussions here only focused on the WRF-Chem and WRF-CMAQ simulations. Moreover, the SI 969 970 sample size from studies involving WRF-Chem was generally larger than that involving WRF-971 CMAQ, except for SH2.

972As seen in Fig. 4a, the modeled T2 by both WRF-CMAQ and WRF-Chem was well correlated973with observations but WRF-CMAQ (mean R = 0.95) outperformed WRF-Chem (mean R = 0.90) to974some extent. On the other hand, WRF-CMAQ underestimated T2 (mean MB = -1.39 °C) but WRF-975Chem slightly overestimated it (mean MB = 0.09 °C) (Fig. 4e). The RMSE of modeled T2 by both976models was at the similar level with mean RMSE values of 2.51 °C and 2.31 °C by WRF-CMAQ977and WRF-Chem simulations, respectively (Fig. 4i).

Both WRF-Chem and WRF-CMAQ performed better for SH2 (mean R = 0.96 and 0.97, 978 979 respectively) than RH2 (mean R = 0.75 and 0.73, respectively) (Figures 4b and 4c), which might be 980 due to the influence of temperature on RH2 (Bei et al., 2017). Also the modeled RH2 (SH2) by 981 WRF-Chem correlated better (worsen) with observations than those by WRF-CMAQ. The mean 982 RMSE of modeled RH2 (Fig. 4j) by WRF-Chem (11.1 %) was lower than that by WRF-CMAQ 983 (14.3%) but the mean RMSE of modeled SH2 (Fig. 4k) by WRF-Chem (2.25 g·kg⁻¹) higher than that by WRF-CMAQ (0.71 g·kg⁻¹). It was seen in Figures 4f and 4d that WRF-CMAQ overestimated 984 RH2 and SH2 (average MB were 5.30 % and 0.07 g·kg⁻¹, respectively), and WRF-Chem 985 underpredicted RH2 (average MB = -0.32 %) and SH2 (average MB = $-0.06 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$). Generally, the 986 987 modeled RH2 and SH2 were reproduced more reasonably by WRF-Chem than those by WRF-988 CMAO.

989 The modeled WS10 by both WRF-Chem and WRF-CMAQ (Fig. 4d) correlated with 990 observations on the same level with the mean R of 0.56. The mean RMSE of modeled WS10 by 991 WRF-Chem and WRF-CMAQ were $1.54 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ and $2.28 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$, respectively, as depicted in Fig. 4l. 992 Both models overpredicted WS10 to some extend with average MBs of $0.55 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ (WRF-CAMQ) 993 and $0.84 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ (WRF-Chem), respectively. These results demonstrated that overall WRF-CMAQ 994 and WRF-Chem had similar model performance of WS10.

995 In general, WRF-CMAQ performed better than WRF-Chem for T2 but worse for humidity 996 (RH2 and SH2), and both models' performance for WS10 was very similar. WRF-Chem overestimated T2, RH2 and WS10 and underestimated SH2 slightly, while WRF-CMAQ 997 998 overpredicted humidity and WS10 but underpredicted T2. Compared to WRF-Chem and WRF-999 CMAQ, the very few SI samples indicated that for the meteorological variables excluding SH2, WRF-NAQPMS simulations matched with observations better than GRAPES-CUACE simulations 1000 1001 but more applications and statistical analysis of these two models are needed to make this kind of 1002 comparison conclusive.



1005 1006

1000

1008

1009 5.2 Model performance for air quality variables

1010 5.2.1 Overall performance

1011 The results of the overall statistical evaluation for the online air quality simulations are presented in Figure 5, and all labels and colors indicating SI were the same as those for 1012 1013 meteorological variables. In this figure and following figures, NP and NS are number of publications 1014 and samples with SI, respectively and summed up in Appendix Table B3. In Fig. 5a, the correlation 1015 between the simulated and observed PM_{2.5} concentrations from PSI showed that in Asia coupled models performed relatively well for $PM_{2.5}$ (mean R = 0.63), but RMSE was between -87.60 and 1016 1017 80.90 and more than half of samples of simulated $PM_{2.5}$ were underestimated (mean MB = -2.08 µg·m⁻³). Note that NS in Fig. 5c-d and Appendix Table B5 counted the samples of SI provided by 1018 1019 the simulations simultaneously with and without ARI. With the ARI turned on in the coupled models, 1020 modeled PM_{2.5} concentrations (limited papers with 15 samples) were improved somewhat and the mean R slightly increased from 0.71 to 0.72 and mean absolute MB decreased from 4.10 to 1.33 1021 μ g·m⁻³ (Fig. 5c), but RMSE of PM_{2.5} concentrations slightly increased from 35.40 to 36.20 μ g·m⁻³. 1022 1023 In short, PM_{2.5} with/without ARI agreed well with observations but were mostly underestimated, 1024 and PM_{2.5} bias simulated by models became overpredicted.

1025 Compared with PM_{2.5}, mean R (0.59) of O₃ was relatively smaller (Fig. 5b). The statistical 1026 analysis also showed the most modeled O₃ concentrations tended to be overestimated (76 % of the 1027 samples) with the average MB value of 8.05 μ g·m⁻³, and the mean RMSE value was 32.65 μ g·m⁻³. 1028 The 14 PSI with ARI effects suggested that the correlation of O₃ was slightly improved (mean R 1029 from 0.58 to 0.64) and the average RMSE and MB were decreased by 15.93 μ g·m⁻³ and 1.55 μ g·m⁻¹ 1030 ³, respectively (Fig. 5d). The collected studies indicated relatively poor performance of modeled O₃ 1031 compared to PM_{2.5}, but turning on ARI in coupled models improved O₃ simulations somewhat.

In addition to the SI analyzed above and similar to the surface meteorological variables, the 1032 1033 NME (%) of PM_{2.5} and O₃ is listed in Table B7. The limited studies with WRF-Chem and WRF-1034 CMAQ indicated that the overall mean percent errors of PM_{2.5} and O₃ were 47.63% (from 29.55 to 104.70 %) and 43.03% (from 21.10 to 127.00 %), respectively. With the ARI effects enabled in 1035 1036 WRF-Chem in different seasons over the China domain, the NME (%) of $PM_{2.5}$ increased slightly 1037 during most seasons, except during a spring month with little change (Zhang et al., 2018). Another 1038 study by Nguyen et al. (2019b) revealed that the NME (%) of $PM_{2.5}$ and O_3 simulated by WRF-1039 CMAQ became a little worse in SEA comparing to the simulations without ARI.



1040

Figure 5. Quantile distributions of statistical indices for simulated PM_{2.5} and O₃ (a-b) by the five two-way coupled
 models (WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, GRAPES-CUACE, WRF-NAQPMS and GATOR-GCMOM) and comparisons
 of statistical indices with/out ARI (c-d) in Asia.

1045 5.2.2 Comparisons of SI for air quality using different coupled models

1046 Figure 6 showed the SI for PM_{2.5} and O₃ from different coupled models, and only WRF-Chem 1047 and WRF-CMAQ simulations were discussed for the same reason as in Section 5.1.2. The modeled $PM_{2.5}$ by WRF-CMAQ (mean R = 0.69) outperformed WRF-Chem (mean R = 0.62) to some extent 1048 (Fig. 6a) and the RMSE of modeled PM_{2.5} by WRF-CMAQ ($33.24 \mu g \cdot m^{-3}$) was smaller than that by 1049 WRF-Chem (56.16 μ g·m⁻³). With respect to MB, WRF-CMAQ overestimated PM_{2.5} (mean MB = 1050 1051 +1.60 μ g·m⁻³) but WRF-Chem slightly underestimated it (mean R = -3.12 μ g·m⁻³) (Fig. 6c). Figure 1052 6b showed that the modeled O_3 by WRF-CMAQ (0.60) correlated better with observations than 1053 those by WRF-Chem (0.47), but the mean RMSE of modeled O_3 (Fig. 6f) by WRF-Chem (27.13) μ g·m⁻³) was lower than that by WRF-CMAQ (35.19 μ g·m⁻³). It was seen in Figures 6d that both 1054 WRF-CMAQ and WRF-Chem overestimated O3, with mean MBs as 11.98 and 7.21 µg·m⁻³, 1055 1056 respectively. Generally, the modeled PM2.5 and O3 were reproduced more reasonably by WRF-CMAQ than by WRF-Chem, even though there were much more samples available from WRF-1057 1058 Chem simulations than WRF-CMAQ simulations.



1061 Figure 6. Quantile distributions of R, MB and RMSE of PM2.5 and O3 simulated by WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, 1062 1063 GRAPES-CUACE, WRF-NAQPMS and GATOR-GCMOM in Asia.

1065

1066

6 Impacts of aerosol feedbacks in Asia

Aerosol feedbacks not only impact the performances of two-way coupled models but also the 1067 1068 simulated meteorological and air quality variables to a certain extent. In this section, we collected 1069 and quantified the variations (Table S3) of these variables induced by ARI or/and ACI from the 1070 modeling studies in Asia. Due to limited sample sizes in the collected papers, the target variables 1071 only include radiative forcing, surface meteorological parameters (T2, RH2, SH2 and WS10), PBLH, cloud, precipitation, and PM_{2.5} and gaseous pollutants. 1072

1073 1074 6.1 Impacts of aerosol feedbacks on meteorology

1075 6.1.1 Radiative forcing

With regard to radiative forcing, most studies with two-way coupled models in Asia had 1076 focused on the effects of dust aerosols (Dust), BC emitted from ARB (ARB BC) and anthropogenic 1077 sources (Anthro BC), and total anthropogenic aerosols (Anthro). Figure 7 presents the variations of 1078 1079 simulated SWRF and LWRF at the bottom (BOT) and TOA and in the ATM due to aerosol feedbacks, 1080 and detailed information of these variations are compiled in Table S5. In this figure, the color bars show the range of radiative forcing variations and the black tick marks inside the color bars represent 1081 these variations extracted from all the collected papers. It should be noted that in this figure all the 1082 1083 radiative forcing variations were plotted regardless of temporal resolutions of data reporting and 1084 simulation durations. Apparently in Asia, most studies targeted the SWRF variations induced by anthropogenic aerosols at the BOT that exhibited the largest differences ranging from -140.00 to -1085 $0.45 \text{ W}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$, with the most variations (88 % of samples) concentrated in the range of -50.00 to -0.45 1086 $W \cdot m^{-2}$. The SWRF variations due to anthropogenic aerosols in the ATM and at the TOA were -2.00 1087 to +120.00 W·m⁻² and -6.50 to 20.00 W·m⁻², respectively. There were much less studies reported 1088 LWRF variations caused by anthropogenic aerosols, which ranged from -10.00 to +5.78 W·m⁻², -1089 1.91 to +3.94 W·m⁻², and -4.26 to +1.21 W·m⁻² at the BOT and TOA, and in the ATM, respectively. 1090

Considering BC from anthropogenic sources and ARB, they both led to positive SWRF at the 1091 TOA (with mean values of 2.69 and 7.55 W·m⁻², respectively) and in the ATM (with mean values 1092 1093 of 11.70 and 25.45 W·m⁻², respectively) but negative SWRF at the BOT (with mean values of -18.43 and -14.39 W·m⁻², respectively). The responses of LWRF to Anthro BC and ARB BC at the BOT 1094 (in the ATM) on average were 4.01 and 0.72 W·m⁻² (-1.89 and -3.24 W·m⁻²), respectively, and weak 1095 at the TOA (+0.92 and -0.53 W·m⁻², respectively). The SWRF variations induced by dust were in 1096 the range of -233.00 to -1.94 W·m⁻² and -140.00 to +25.70 W·m⁻², and +1.44 to +164.80 W·m⁻² at 1097 the BOT and TOA, and in the ATM, respectively. The LWRF variations caused by dust were the 1098

largest (with mean values of 22.83 W·m⁻² and +5.20 W·m⁻², and -22.12 W·m⁻² at the BOT and TOA,
and in the ATM, respectively), comparing to the ones caused by anthropogenic aerosols and BC
aerosols from anthropogenic sources and ARB.





1103 1104 1105

1106

1107

Figure 7. Variations of shortwave and longwave radiative forcing (SWRF and LWRF) simulated by two-way coupled models (WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, GRAPES-CUACE, WRF-NAQPMS and GATOR-GCMOM) with aerosol feedbacks at the bottom and top of atmosphere (BOT and TOA), and in the atmosphere (ATM) in Asia.

As shown in Fig. 7, SWRF variations at the BOT caused by total aerosols (sum of Anthro, 1108 1109 Anthro BC, ARB BC and Dust) had been widely assessed in Asia. Therefore, we further analyzed their spatiotemporal distributions and inter-regional differences, which are displayed in Fig. 8. 1110 Figure 8a presents the SWRF variations over different areas of Asia (the acronyms used in Fig. 8 1111 1112 are listed in Appendix Table B1) at different time scales. In Asia, almost 41 % of the selected papers investigated SWRF towards its monthly variations, 36 % towards its hourly and daily variations, 1113 and 23 % towards its seasonal and yearly variations. Most studies reported aerosol-induced SWRF 1114 variations were primarily conducted in NCP, EA, China, and India. At the hourly scale, the range of 1115 1116 SWRF decreases was from -350.00 to -5.90 W·m⁻² (mean value of -106.92 W·m⁻²) during typical pollution episodes, and significant variations occurred in EA. The daily and monthly mean SWRF 1117 reductions varied from -73.71 to -5.58 W·m⁻² and -82.20 to -0.45 W·m⁻², respectively, with relative 1118 large perturbations in NCP. At the seasonal and yearly scales, the SWRF changes ranged from -1119 22.54 to -3.30 W·m⁻² and -30.00 to -2.90 W·m⁻² with mean value of -11.28 and -11.82 W·m⁻², 1120 1121 respectively, with EA as the most researched area.

To identify the differences of aerosol-induced SWRF variations between high- (Asia) and low-1122 1123 polluted regions (Europe and North America), their inter-regional comparisons are depicted in Fig. 8b. This figure does not include information about temporal resolutions of data reporting and 1124 1125 durations of model simulations with ARI or/and ACI, but intends to delineate the range of SWRF changes due to aerosol feedbacks. The SWRF variations fluctuated from -233.00 to -0.45 W·m⁻², -1126 100.00 to -1.00 W·m⁻², and -600.00 to -1.00 W·m⁻² in Asia, Europe, and North America, respectively. 1127 1128 It should be pointed out that the two extreme values were caused by dust $(-233.00 \text{ W}\cdot\text{m}^{-2})$ in Asia 1129 and wildfire (-600.00 W·m⁻²) in North America. Overall, the median value of SWRF reductions due 1130 to ARI or/and ACI in Asia (-15.92 W·m⁻²) was larger than those in North America (-10.50 W·m⁻²) and Europe (-7.00 $W \cdot m^{-2}$). 1131

1133



1134 1135

1135 Figure 8. Responses of shortwave radiation forcing to aerosol feedbacks in different 1136 the inter-regional comparisons of its variations in Asia, Europe and North America (b).

1137

1138 6.1.2 Temperature, wind speed, humidity and PBLH

1139 The impact of aerosols on radiation can influence energy balance, which eventually alter other 1140 meteorological variables. The summary of aerosol-induced variations of T2, WS10, RH2, SH2 and 1141 PBLH in different regions of Asia as well as at different temporal scales are provided in Table 6. In 1142 this table, the minimum and maximum values were collected from the corresponding papers and the 1143 mean values were calculated with adding all the variations from these papers and then divided by 1144 the number of samples.

Overall, aerosol effects led to decreases of T2, WS10 and PBLH with average changes of -1145 0.65 °C, -0.13 m·s⁻¹ and -60.70 m, respectively, and increases of humidity (mean $\Delta RH2 = 2.56$ %) 1146 in most regions of Asia. On average, the hourly aerosol-induced changes of surface meteorological 1147 variables (T2, WS10 and RH2) and PBLH were the largest among the different time scales. At the 1148 1149 hourly time scale, the mean variations of T2, WS10, RH2 and PBLH due to ARI or/and ACI were -1150 1.85 °C, -0.32 m·s⁻¹, 4.60 % and -165.84 m, respectively, and their absolute maximum values in EC. YRD, NCP and NCP, respectively. Compared to variations at the hourly time scale, smaller daily 1151 variations of T2, WS10, RH2 and PBLH were caused by aerosol effects, and their mean values were 1152 1153 -0.63 °C, -0.15 m·s⁻¹, +2.89 % and -34.61 m, respectively. The largest daily variations of T2, WS10, RH2 and PBLH occurred in NCP, EC, EC and SEC, respectively. For other time scales (monthly, 1154 seasonal and yearly), the respective mean variations of T2, RH2 and PBLH induced by aerosol 1155 effects were comparable. However, the WS10 perturbations at the monthly time scale were about 1156 1157 two to three times higher than those at the seasonal and yearly time scales. High variations at the 1158 monthly, seasonal and yearly time scales were reported in NCP (T2, RH2 and PBLH), EA (T2, 1159 WS10 and PBLH) and PRD (T2 and PBLH), respectively. In addition, comparing to T2 and PBLH, the aerosol-induced variations of WS10 and humidity were less revealed. 1160 1161

Table 6. Summary of variations of surface meteorological variables and planetary boundary layer height (PBLH)
caused by aerosol feedbacks simulated by two-way coupled models (WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, GRAPES-CUACE,
WRF-NAQPMS and GATOR-GCMOM) in different regions of Asia and at different temporal scales.

Region	Time scale	$\Delta T2 \text{ [mean]} (^{\circ}C)$	$\Delta WS10 \text{ [mean]} (\text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1})$	∆RH2/SH2 [mean]	$\Delta PBLH [mean] (m)$
EC	hours	-8.00 to -0.20 [-2.68]			-300.00 to -50.00 [-175.00]
EA	hours	-3.00 to -2.00 [-2.50]			
YRD	hours	-1.40 to -1.00 [-1.15]	-0.80 to -0.10 [-0.41]		-276.00 to -29.90 [-105.42]
NCP	hours	-2.80 to -0.20 [-1.05]	-0.30 to -0.10 [-0.23]	1.00 % to 12.00 % [4.60 %]	-287.20 to -147.00 [-217.10]
Hou	rly mean	-1.85	-0.32	4.60%	-165.84
NCP	days	-2.00 to -0.10 [-0.88]	-0.4 to -0.01 [-0.17]	0.51 % to 4.10 % [2.52 %]	-111.40 to -10.00 [-49.07]

EC	days	-0.94 to -0.65 [-0.79]	-0.52 to -0.37 [-0.45]	1.92 % to 9.75 % [5.84 %]	
India	days	-1.60 to 0.10 [-0.75]			
SEC	days	-1.38 to -0.18 [-0.70]	-0.07 to 0.05 [-0.023]	-0.37 % to 6.57 % [2.63 %]	-84.1 to -27.55 [-53.62]
NEA	days	-0.52	-0.08		-46.39
MRYR	days	-0.16	-0.01	0.56 %	-16.46
India	days				-6.90
Dail	y mean	-0.63	-0.15	2.89 %	-34.61
India	months	-0.45			
NCP	months	-1.30 to -0.06 [-0.43]		1.30 % to 4.70 % [2.53 %]	-109.00 to -5.48 [-36.01]
NEA	months	-0.30	-0.10		-50.00
PRD	months	-0.60 to 0.13 [-0.16]			
EA	months	-0.45 to -0.03 [-0.13]			-35.70 to -13.00 [-24.35]
China	months	-0.89 to 0.60 [-0.12]			-66.60 to -2.30 [-25.67]
EC	months	-0.30 to -0.05 [-0.11]			-13.10 to -6.20 [-9.65]
Month	nly mean	-0.24	-0.10	2.53 %	-29.13
EA	seasons	-0.58 to -0.30 [-0.40]	-0.05 to -0.02 [-0.035]		-64.62 to -30.70 [-43.27]
SEA	seasons	-0.39 to -0.03 [-0.21]	-0.06 to -0.01 [-0.035]		-48.33 to -6.71 [-27.52]
Season	nal mean	-0.31	-0.035		-34.61
PRD	years	-0.27			-45.00
TP	years	-0.24			
SEA	years	-0.21	-0.03		-27.25
EA	years		-0.03	0.13 g·kg ⁻¹	-46.47 to -45.00 [-45.74]
EC	years		-0.014	0.21 %	
Year	ly mean	-0.24	-0.025	0.21 %	-39.33

1166 6.1.3 Cloud and precipitation

In the included publications, only a few papers focusing on the effects of aerosol feedbacks on cloud properties (cloud fraction, LWP, ice water path (IWP), CDNC and cloud effective radius) and precipitation characteristics (amount, spatial distribution, peak occurrence and onset time) using two-way coupled models in Asia, as shown in Table 7. In this table, the abbreviations representing aerosol emission sources (Dust, ARB_BC, Anthro_BC, and Anthro) and regions in Asia are defined in Appendix Table B1. The plus and minus signs indicate increase and decrease, respectively.

The variations of cloud properties and precipitation characteristics induced by ARI or/and ACI 1173 are rather complex and not uniform in different parts of Asia and time periods. BC from both ARB 1174 1175 and anthropogenic sources reduced cloud fraction through ARI and both ARI and ACI in several areas in China. ARI or/and ACI induced by anthropogenic aerosols could increase or decrease cloud 1176 fraction and affect cloud fraction differently in various atmospheric layers and time periods. 1177 Considering EA and subareas in China, anthropogenic aerosols tended to increase LWP through ARI 1178 1179 and ACI as well as ACI alone but decrease LWP in some areas of SC (ARI and ACI) at noon and in 1180 afternoon during summertime and NC (ACI) in winter. ARI and ACI induced by anthropogenic BC aerosols had negative effects on LWP except at daytime in CC. Dust aerosols increased both LWP 1181 1182 and IWP through ACI in EA, which was reported only by one study. The increase (decrease) of CDNC caused by the ARI and ACI effects of anthropogenic (anthropogenic BC) aerosols in EC 1183 during summertime was reported. Through ACI, anthropogenic aerosols affected CDNC positively 1184 in EA and China. Compared to anthropogenic aerosols, dust aerosols could have much larger 1185 positive impacts on CDNC via ACI in springtime over EA. The ACI effects of anthropogenic 1186 aerosols reduced cloud effective radius over China (January) and EA (July). 1187

1188 Among all the variables describing cloud properties and precipitation characteristics, the 1189 variations of precipitation amount were studied the most using two-way coupled models in Asia. 1190 How turning on ARI or/and ACI in coupled models can change precipitation amount is not 1191 unidirectional and depends on many factors, including different aerosol sources, areas, emission 1192 levels, atmospheric humidity, precipitation types, seasons, and time of a day. Under the high 1193 emission levels as well as at slightly different humidity levels of RH > 85 % with increasing emissions, the ACI effects of anthropogenic aerosols induced precipitation increase in the MRYR 1194 area of China. Over the same area, precipitation decreased due to the ACI effects of anthropogenic 1195 aerosols with the low emission levels and RH < 80 %. In PRD, wintertime precipitation was 1196 1197 enhanced by the ACI effects of anthropogenic aerosols but inhibited by ARI. In SK, summertime 1198 precipitation was both enhanced and inhibited by the ACI and ARI effects of anthropogenic aerosols. 1199 In locations upwind (downwind) of Beijing, rainfall amount was raised (lowered) by the ARI effects of anthropogenic aerosols but lowered (raised) by ACI. Both ARI and ACI induced by anthropogenic 1200 aerosols had positive impacts on total, convective, and stratiform rain in India during the summer 1201 season and the increase of convective rain was larger than those of stratiform. Summertime 1202 precipitation amounts could be enhanced or inhibited at various subareas inside simulation domains 1203 over India, China, and Korea and during day- or night-time due to ARI and ACI of anthropogenic 1204 1205 aerosols. Over China, dust-induced ACI decreased (increased) springtime precipitation in CC (western part of NC), and over India, dust aerosols from local sources and ME had positive impacts 1206 1207 on total, convective, and stratiform rain through ARI and ACI. Simulations in India also revealed 1208 that precipitation could be increased in some subareas but decreased in another and absorptive (nonabsorptive) dust enhanced (inhibited) summertime precipitation via ARI and ACI. The ARI (ACI) 1209 1210 effects of BC from ARB caused precipitation reduction (increase) in SEC but CAs emitted from ARB (ARB CAs) caused rainfall enhancement in Myanmmar. During pre-monsoon (monsoon) 1211 season, ARI induced by anthropogenic BC could lead to +42 % (-5 to -8 %) variations of 1212 precipitation in NEI (SI). Considering both ARI and ACI effects, BC from ARB and sea salt aerosols 1213 enhanced or inhibited precipitation in different parts of India and BC from anthropogenic sources 1214 1215 enhanced (inhibited) nighttime (daytime) rainfall in CC (NC and SC) at the rate of +1 to +4 mm day-1216 ¹ (-2 to -6 mm day⁻¹) during summer season. With respect to spatial variations, 6.5 % larger rainfall 1217 area in PRD was caused by ARI and ACI effects under 50 % reduced anthropogenic emissions. ACI 1218 induced by anthropogenic aerosols tended to delay the peak occurrence time and onset time of precipitation by one to nine hours in China and South Korea. 1219

1220

1221Table 7. Summary of changes of cloud properties and precipitation characteristics due to aerosol feedbacks1222simulated by two-way coupled models (WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, GRAPES-CUACE, WRF-NAQPMS and1223GATOR-GCMOM) in Asia.

	Variables	Variations (aerosol effects)	Simulation time period	Regions	References
		-7 % low-level cloud (ARB_BC ARI)	Apr., 2013	SEC	Huang et al., 2019
		+0.03 to +0.08 below 850 hPa and at 750 hPa (Anthro ARI & ACI), esp. at early morning and nighttime	Aug., 2008	EC	Gao and Zhang, 2018
		Max -0.06 between 750 hPa and 850 hPa (Anthro ARI & ACI), esp. in afternoon and evening	Aug., 2008	CC	Gao and Zhang, 2018
		-0.02 to -0.06 below 750 hPa (Anthro_BC ARI & ACI), esp. in afternoon	Aug., 2008	SC & NC	Gao and Zhang, 2018
	Cloud fraction	-0.04 to -0.06 between 750 hPa and 850 hPa (Anthro_BC ARI & ACI), esp. in afternoon	Aug., 2008	CC	Gao and Zhang, 2018
		-6.7 % to +3.8 % (Anthro ARI)	Jun. 6-9 & Jun. 11-14, 2015	SK	Park et al., 2018
		+22.7 % (Anthro ACI)	Jun. 6-9 & Jun. 11-14, 2015	SK	Park et al., 2018
		-0.03 % low-, -0.54 % middle- and -0.58 % high-level cloud (Anthro ACI)	2008 to 2012	PRD	Liu et al., 2018c
Cloud		+5 to +50 g \cdot m ⁻² (Anthro ARI & ACI)	Aug., 2008	EC	Gao and Zhang, 2018
properties		+10 to +20 g \cdot m $^{\text{-}2}$ (Anthro_BC ARI & ACI) at daytime	Aug., 2008	CC	Gao and Zhang, 2018
		-5 to -40 $g{\cdot}m^{\text{-2}}$ (Anthro ARI & ACI) at noon and in afternoon	Aug., 2008	Part of SC	Gao and Zhang, 2018
		-2 to -20 g·m ⁻² (Anthro_BC ARI & ACI)	Aug., 2008	SC	Gao and Zhang, 2018
		-2 to -30 g·m ⁻² (Anthro_BC ARI & ACI)	Aug., 2008	NC	Gao and Zhang, 2018
	LWP	Max+18 g·m ⁻² (Dust ACI)	MarMay., 2010	EA	Wang et al., 2018b
		+40 to +60 $g \cdot m^{-2}$ (Anthro ACI)	Jan., 2008	SC	Gao et al., 2012
		+40 g·m ⁻² (Anthro ACI)	Jan., 2008	CC	Gao et al., 2012
		Less than +5 g·m-2 or -5 g·m ⁻² (Anthro ACI)	Jan., 2008	NC	Gao et al., 2012
		+30 to +50 $g \cdot m^{-2}$ (Anthro ACI)	Jul., 2008	EA	Gao et al., 2012
	IWP	+5 to +10 g \cdot m ² (Dust ACI)	Mar. 17-Apr. 30, 2012	EA	Su and Fung, 2018b
	CDNC	+20 to +160 cm ⁻³ (Anthro ARI & ACI)	Aug., 2008	EC	Gao and Zhang, 2018

		-5 to -60 cm ⁻³ (Anthro_BC ARI & ACI)	Aug., 2008	EC	Gao and Zhang, 2018
		Max +10500 cm-3 (Dust ACI)	MarMay., 2010	EA	Wang et al., 2018b
		+650 cm-3 (Anthro ACI)	Jan., 2008	EC	Gao et al., 2012
		+400 cm-3 (Anthro ACI)	Jan., 2008	CC & SWC	Gao et al., 2012
		Less than +200 cm-3 (Anthro ACI)	Jan., 2008	NC	Gao et al., 2012
		+250 to +400 cm-3 (Anthro ACI)	Jul., 2008	EA	Gao et al., 2012
	Cloud	More than -4 µm (Anthro ACI)	Jan., 2008	SWC, CC & SEC	Gao et al., 2012
	effective radius	More than -2 µm (Anthro ACI)	Jan., 2008	NC	Gao et al., 2012
		-3 μm (Anthro ACI)	Jul., 2008	EA	Gao et al., 2012
		Enhancement/inhibition of precip. due to high/low Anthro emissions, ACI inhibited (enhanced) precip. at RH < 80 % (> 85 %) with increasing Anthro emissions	Jun. 18-19, 2018	MRYR	Bai et al., 2020
		-4.72 mm (Anthro ARI) and +33.7 mm (Anthro ACI)	Dec. 14-16, 2013	PRD	Liu Z. et al., 2020
		+2 to +5 % (ARB CAs ARI)	MarApr.,	Myanmar	Singh et al., 2020
		-1.09 mm·day ⁻¹ (ARB_BC ARI)	Apr., 2013	SEC	Huang et al., 2019
		+0.49 mm·day ⁻¹ (ARB_BC ACI)	Apr., 2013	SEC	Huang et al., 2019
		-0 to -4 mm day $^{\cdot 1}$ (Anthro ARI & ACI)	JunSep., 2010	Indus basin & eastern IGP	Kedia et al., 2019b
		+1 to +3 mm day $^{-1}$ non-convective rain (Anthro ARI & ACI)	JunSep., 2010	WG of India	Kedia et al., 2019b
		+5 mm·day-1 non-convective rain (Anthro ARI & ACI)	JunSep., 2010	NEI	Kedia et al., 2019b
		Increase of total rain (Dust ARI & ACI)	JunSep., 2010	NI, CI, WG, NEI & central IGP	Kedia et al., 2019b
		Decrease of total rain (Dust ARI & ACI)	JunSep., 2010	NWI & SPI	Kedia et al., 2019b
		Decrease of total rain (ARB_BC ARI & ACI)	JunSep., 2010	WG, SPI, NWI, EI & NEI	Kedia et al., 2019b
		Increase of total rain (ARB_BC ARI & ACI)	JunSep., 2010	CI, Central IGP & EPI	Kedia et al., 2019b
		Decrease of total rain (Sea salt ARI & ACI)	JunSep., 2010	EPI, WPI, CPI & SPI	Kedia et al., 2019b
		Increase of total rain (Sea salt ARI & ACI)	JunSep.,	NCI &	Kedia et al., 2019b
		-20 to -200mm (Anthro ARI & ACI)	Aug., 2008	SC & NC	Gao and Zhang, 2018
ion		+20 to +100 mm (Anthro BC ARI & ACI)	Aug., 2008	CC	Gao and Zhang, 2018
)	Amount	+1 to +4 mm day-1 nighttime precip. (ARI & ACI of Anthro or	Aug 2008	CC	Gao and Zhang 2018
		Anthro_BC) -2 to -6 mm·day ⁻¹ daytime precip. (ARI & ACI of Anthro or Anthro BC)	Aug., 2008	NC	Gao and Zhang, 2018
		-2 to -4 mm day ⁻¹ daytime precip. (Anthro ARI & ACI)	Aug., 2008	SC	Gao and Zhang, 2018
		-2 to -6 mm day-1 daytime precip. (Anthro_BC ARI & ACI)	Aug., 2008	SC	Gao and Zhang, 2018
		-54.6 to +24.1 mm (Anthro ARI)	Jun. 6-9,	SK	Park et al., 2018
		-23.8 to +24.0 mm (Anthro ACI)	Jun. 6-9,	SK	Park et al., 2018
		-63.2 to +27.1 mm (Anthro ARI & ACI)	Jun. 6-9,	SK	Park et al., 2018
		Min -7.0 mm (Anthro ARI)	Jun. 11-14,	SK	Park et al., 2018
		Min -36.6 mm (Anthro ACI)	Jun. 11-14,	SK	Park et al 2018
		+42 % (Anthro BC ARI) during pre-monsoon sesson	2015 MarMay.,	NEI	Soni et al. 2018
		5 to 8.9/ (Anthro DC ARD) during pre-monsoon season	2010 JunSep.,	CI CI	Somi et al., 2018
		-5 to -6 /0 (Anano_DC AKI) during monsoon season	2010 Mar. 17-Apr	Western	50m et al., 2018
		+1 mm day ' precip. (Dust ACI)	30, 2012	part of NC	Su and Fung, 2018b
		-1 mm day ⁻¹ precip. (Dust ACI)	30, 2012	CC	Su and Fung, 2018b
		+0.95 mm·day ⁻¹ precip. (absorptive Dust ARI & ACI)	JunAug., 2008	India	Jin et al., 2016a
		-0.4 mm day $^{\rm l}$ precip. (non-absorptive Dust ARI & ACI)	JunAug., 2008	India	Jin et al., 2016a
		+0.44 mm·day ⁻¹ total precip. (Dust ARI & ACI over whole study domain)	JunAug., 2008	India	Jin et al., 2016b

Precipitation (precip.)

	+0.34 mm \cdot day $^{\cdot 1}$ total precip. (Dust ARI & ACI from ME)	JunAug., 2008	India	Jin et al., 2016b
	+0.31 mm·day ⁻¹ total precip. (Anthro ARI & ACI over whole study domain)	JunAug., 2008	India	Jin et al., 2016b
	+0.32 mm·day ⁻¹ convective precip. (Dust ARI & ACI over whole study domain)	JunAug., 2008	India	Jin et al., 2016b
	+0.24 mm·day ⁻¹ convective precip. (ARI & ACI of Dust from ME)	JunAug., 2008	India	Jin et al., 2016b
	+0.20 mm day -1 convective precip. (Anthro ARI & ACI over whole study domain)	JunAug., 2008	India	Jin et al., 2016b
	+0.12 mm·day ⁻¹ stratiform precip. (Dust ARI & ACI over whole study domain)	JunAug., 2008	India	Jin et al., 2016b
	+0.10 mm day day day from precip. (ARI & ACI of Dust from ME)	JunAug., 2008	India	Jin et al., 2016b
	+0.11 mm day ¹ stratiform precip. (Anthro ARI & ACI over whole study domain)	JunAug., 2008	India	Jin et al., 2016b
	-48.29 %/+24.87 % precip. in downwind/upwind regions (Anthro ARI)	Jun. 27-28, 2008	Beijing	Zhong et al. 2015
	+33.26 $\%$ /-4.64 $\%$ precip. in downwind/upwind regions (Anthro ACI)	Jun. 27-28, 2008	Beijing	Zhong et al. 2015
	+0.44 mm day 1 precip. (Dust ARI & ACI)	Jun. 1-Aug. 31, 2008	India	Jin et al., 2015
Spatial variation	+6.5 % precip. area (ARI & ACI) with 50% Anthro emissions	Jun. 9-12, 2017	YRD	Liu C. et al., 2019
	1 to 2h delay (Anthro ACI)	Jun. 18-19, 2018	MRYR	Bai et al., 2020
Peak occurrence	1h delay (ARI & ACI) with 50% Anthro emissions	Jun. 9-12, 2017	YRD	Liu et al., 2019
time	9h delay (Anthro ACI)	Jun. 7, 2015	Gosan, SK	Park et al., 2018
	4h delay (Anthro ACI)	Jun. 7, 2015	Jinju, SK	Park et al., 2018
0	9h delay (Anthro ACI)	Jun. 7, 2015	Gosan, SK	Park et al., 2018
Onset time	2h delay (Anthro ACI)	Jun. 7, 2015	Jinju, SK	Park et al., 2018

1225 6.2 Impacts of aerosol feedbacks on air quality

Aerosol effects not only gave rise to changes in meteorological variables but also air quality. Table 8 (the minimum, maximum and mean values were defined in the same way as in Table 6) summarizes the variations of atmospheric pollutant concentrations induced by aerosol effects in different regions of Asia and at different time scales. In Asia, most modeling studies with coupled models targeted the impacts of aerosol feedbacks on surface PM_{2.5} and O₃ concentrations, with only few focusing on other gaseous pollutants.

1232 Simulation results showed that turning on aerosol feedbacks in coupled models generally made $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations increased in different regions of Asia at various time scales, which stemmed 1233 1234 from decrease of shortwave radiation, T2, WS10 and PBLH and increase of RH2. Some studies did show negative impacts of aerosol effects on hourly, daily, and seasonal PM_{2.5} at some areas that 1235 could be attributed to ACI effects, changes in transport and dispersion patterns, reductions in 1236 1237 humidity levels and secondary aerosol formations (Zhang et al., 2015a; Yang et al., 2017; Zhan et 1238 al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018b). Similar to the perturbations of surface meteorological variables due 1239 to aerosol effects, the hourly $PM_{2.5}$ variations and the range were the largest compared to those at 1240 other time scales. The largest PM_{2.5} increases were reported in NCP, SEC, EA, SEA and PRD at the hourly, daily, monthly, seasonal and yearly time scales with average values of 23.48 µg·m⁻³, 14.73 1241 $\mu g \cdot m^{-3}$, 16.50 $\mu g \cdot m^{-3}$, 1.12 $\mu g \cdot m^{-3}$ and 2.90 $\mu g \cdot m^{-3}$, respectively. 1242

1243 In addition to $PM_{2.5}$, gaseous pollutants (O₃, NO₂, SO₂, CO and NH₃) are impacted by ARI or/and ACI effects as well. As shown in Table 8, general reductions of ozone concentrations were 1244 1245 reported in Asia across all the modeling domains and time scales based on coupled models' simulations. However, the influences of aerosol feedbacks on atmospheric dynamics and stability, 1246 and photochemistry (photolysis rate and ozone formation regimes) could make ozone concentrations 1247 1248 increase somewhat in summer months or during wet season (Xing et al., 2017; Jung et al., 2019; Nguyen et al., 2019b). The largest hourly, daily, monthly, seasonal, and annual variations of O_3 1249 1250 occurred in YRD (-32.80 µg·m⁻³), EC (-5.97 µg·m⁻³), China (-23.90 µg·m⁻³), EA (-4.48 µg·m⁻³) and EA (-2.76 µg·m⁻³), respectively. Along with reduced O₃ due to ARI or/and ACI, NO₂ concentrations 1251 were enhanced with average changes of +12.30 µg·m⁻³ (YRD) at the hourly scale and +0.66 µg·m⁻ 1252 3 (EA) at both the seasonal and yearly scales, which could be attributed to slower photochemical 1253 1254 reactions, strengthened atmospheric stability and O₃ titration (Nguyen et al., 2019b). Regarding 1255 other gaseous pollutants, limited studies pointed out daily and annual SO₂ concentrations increased in NEA and EA due to lower PBLH induced by the ARI effects of anthropogenic aerosols (Jung et al., 2019; Nguyen et al., 2019b). The seasonal SO₂ reduction was rather large, which related to higher PBLH induced by the ACI effects of dust aerosols in the NCP area of EA (Wang et al., 2018b). The slight increase of seasonal SO₂ was reported in the whole domain of EA due to lower PBLH caused by ARI effects of anthropogenic aerosols (Nguyen et al., 2019b). There was only one study depicted increased CO (NH₃) concentration in EC (NEA) due to both the ARI and ACI (ARI) effects of anthropogenic aerosols but these results may not be conclusive.

Table 8. Compilation of aerosol-induced variations of PM_{2.5} and gaseous pollutants simulated by two-way
 coupled models (WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, GRAPES-CUACE, WRF-NAQPMS and GATOR-GCMOM) in
 different regions of Asia and at different temporal scales.

Region	Time scale	$\Delta PM_{2.5} \text{ [mean]} (\mu g \cdot m^{\text{-}3})$	$\Delta O_3 \text{ [mean]} (\mu g \cdot m^{-3})$	$\Delta NO_2 \text{ [mean]} (\mu g \cdot m^{-3})$	$\Delta SO_2 \text{ [mean]} (\mu g \cdot m^{-3})$	$\Delta CO \text{[mean]} (\mu g \cdot m^{-3})$	ΔNH_3 [mean] (µg·m ⁻³)
NCP	hours	-3.50 to 90.00 [23.48]					
YRD	hours	7.00 to 30.50 [15.17]	-32.80 to -0.20 [-11.25]	12.30			
Hou	rly mean	19.32	-11.25	12.30			
SEC	days	-1.91 to 32.49 [14.73]					
NCP	days	-5.00 to 56.00 [14.51]					
EC	days	2.87 to 18.60 [10.74]	-5.97 to -1.45 [-3.71]				
NEA	days	1.75			0.97		0.11
Dai	ly mean	10.43	-3.71		0.97		0.11
India	months	3.00 to 30.00 [16.50]					
EC	months	1.00 to 40.00 [16.33]	-2.40 to -1.00 [-1.70]			4.00 to 6.00 [5.00]	
China	months	1.60 to 33.20 [14.38]	-23.90 to 4.92 [-3.42]				
EA	months	3.60 to 10.20 [5.79]					
Mon	thly mean	13.25	-2.56			5.00	
SEA	seasons	0.15 to 2.09 [1.12]	-1.92 to 0.26 [-0.83]				
EA	seasons	-8.00 to 2.70 [-0.14]	-4.48 to -1.00 [-2.99]	0.43 to 0.88 [0.66]	-4.29 to 0.72 [-0.42]		
Seaso	onal mean	0.49	-1.91	0.66	-0.42		
PRD	years	2.90					
EA	years	1.82	-2.76	0.66	0.54		
NCP	years	0.10 to 5.10 [1.70]					
SEA	years	1.21	-0.80				
Yea	rly mean	1.91	-1.78	0.66	0.54		
1	267						

1271

1272



Figure 9. A schematic diagram depicting aerosol-radiation-cloud interactions and quantitative effects of aerosol feedbacks on meteorological and air quality variables simulated by two-way coupled models in Asia.

Two-way coupled models have been applied in US and Europe extensively and then in Asia due to frequent occurrences of severe air pollution events accompanied with rapid economic growth in the region. Until now, no comprehensive study is conducted to elucidate the recent advances in two-way coupled models' applications in Asia. This paper provides a critical overview of current status and research focuses of related modeling studies using two-way coupled models in Asia between 2010 and 2019, and summarizes the effects of aerosol feedbacks on meteorological and air quality variables from these studies.

1280 Through systematically searching peer-reviewed publications with several scientific-based search engines and a variety of key word combinations and applying certain selection criteria, 160 1281 1282 relevant papers were identified. Our bibliometric analysis results (as schematically illustrated in Fig. 9) showed that in Asia, the research activities with two-way coupled models had increased gradually 1283 in the past decade and the five two-way coupled models (WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, WRF-1284 1285 NAQPMS, GRAPES-CUACE and GATOR-GCMOM) were extensively utilized to explore the ARI 1286 or/and ACI effects in Asia with focusing on several high aerosol loading areas (e.g., EA, India, China and NCP) during wintertime or/and severe pollution events, with less investigations looking into 1287 other areas and seasons with low pollution levels. Among the 160 papers, nearly 82 % of them 1288 1289 focused on ARI (72 papers) and both ARI and ACI effects (60 papers), but papers that only considering ACI effects were relatively limited. The ARI or/and ACI effects of natural mineral dust, 1290 1291 BC and BrC from anthropogenic sources and BC from ARB were mostly investigated, while a few 1292 studies quantitatively assessed the health impacts induced by aerosol effects.

Meta-analysis results revealed that enabling aerosol effects in two-way coupled models could 1293 1294 improve their simulation/forecast capabilities of meteorology and air quality in Asia, but a wide range of differences occurred among the previous studies perhaps due to various model 1295 1296 configurations (selections of model versions and parameterization schemes) and largest 1297 uncertainties related to ACI processes and their treatments in models. Compared to US and Europe, 1298 the aerosol-induced decrease of the shortwave radiative forcing was larger because of higher air pollution levels in Asia. The overall decrease (increase) of T2, WS10, PBLH and O3 (RH2, PM2.5 1299 and other gaseous pollutant concentrations) caused by ARI or/and ACI effects were reported from 1300 1301 the modeling studies using two-way coupled models in Asia. The ranges of aerosol-induced 1302 variations of T2, PBLH, PM_{2.5} and O₃ concentrations were larger than other meteorological and air 1303 quality variables. For variables of CO, SO₂, NO₂, and NH₃, reliable estimates could not be obtained 1304 due to insufficient numbers of samples in past studies.

1305 Even though noticeable progresses toward the application of two-way coupled meteorology and air quality models have been made in Asia and the world during the last decade, several 1306 limitations are still presented. Enabling aerosol feedbacks lead to higher computational cost 1307 compared to offline models, but this shortcoming can be overcome with the new developments of 1308 1309 cluster computing technology (i.e., Graphics Processing Unit (GPU)-accelerated computing and 1310 cloud computing). The latest advances in the measurements and research of cloud properties, precipitation characteristics, and physiochemical characteristics of aerosols that play pivotal roles 1311 in CCN or IN activation mechanisms can guide the improvements and enhancements in two-way 1312 coupled models, especially to abate the uncertainties in simulating ACI effects. Special attention 1313 needs to be paid to assess the accuracies of different methodologies in terms of ARI and ACI 1314 calculations in two-way coupled models in Asia and other regions. Besides the five two-way coupled 1315 models mentioned in this paper, more models capable of simulating aerosol feedbacks (such as 1316 1317 WRF-CHIMERE and WRF-GEOS-Chem) have become available and projects covering more comprehensive intercomparisons of these coupled models should be conducted in Asia. Future 1318 1319 assessments of the ARI or/and ACI effects should pay extra attention to their impacts on dry and 1320 wet depositions simulated by two-way coupled models. So far, the majority of two-way coupled models' simulations and evaluations focuses on episodic air pollution events occurring in certain 1321 1322 areas, therefore their long-term applications and evaluations are necessary and their real-time 1323 forecasting capabilities should be explored as well.

1325 Appendix A

1324

1326 1327

1328 1329 1330

1331

BC

BCs

BOT

Black carbon

At the bottom

Boundary conditions



BrC	Brown carbon
CAMy	Comprehensive Air quality Model with extensions
CAs	Carbonaceous aerosols
CC	Central China
CCN	Cloud condensation nuclei
CDNC	Cloud droplet number concentration
CHIMERE	A multi-scale chemistry-transport model for atmospheric composition analysis and forecast
CMAQ	Community Multiscale Air Quality model
CO	Carbon monoxide
CRFS	Concentration-response functions
ΓΔ	East Asia
EC	East China
EQUISOLV II	the EQUIlibrium SOLVer version 2
GATOR-GCMOM	Gas, aerosol, transport, radiation, general circulation, mesoscale, and ocean Model
GOCART	The Global Ozone Chemistry Aerosol Radiation and Transport
	Global-regional assimilation and prediction system coupled with the Chinese Unified Atmospheric Chemistry
GPRAPES-CUACE	Environment forecasting system
GSI	Gridpoint Statistical Interpolation
H_2O_2	Nitric acid
HO ₂ .	Hydroneroxyl
ICs	Initial conditions
IN	Ice nuclei
INPs	Ice nucleation parameterizations
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IPR	Ice particle radius
IWP	Ice water path
	Liquid water path
MARS-A	the Model for an Aerosal Reacting System-version A
MB	Mean bias
ME	Middle East
MESA-MTEM	the Multicomponent Equilibrium Solver for Aerosols with the Multicomponent Taylor Expansion Method
MICS-Asia	Model Inter-Comparison Study for Asia
MOZART	Model for Ozone and Related Chemical Tracer
MRYR	Middle reaches of the Yangtze River
N N O	Nitrate
NAOPMS	Nested Air Quality Prediction Modeling System
NC	North China
NCP	North China Plain
NEA	Northeast Asia
NME	Normalized mean error
NO ₂	Nitrogen dioxide
NU-WRF	National aeronautics and space administration Unified Weather Research and Forecasting model
NWC	Northwest China
O_3	Organic aerosols
OC	Organic carbon
·OH	Hydroxyl radical
OPAC	Optical Properties of Aerosols and Clouds
PBL	Planetary boundary layer
PBLH	Planetary boundary layer height
PM _{2.5}	Fine particulate matter
PKD	Pearl River Delta
P	Correlation coefficient
RADM	the Regional Acid Deposition Mode
RH2	Relative humidity at 2 meters above the surface
RMSE	Root mean square error
RRTM	The Rapid Radiative Transfer Model
RRTMG	The Rapid Radiative Transfer Model for General Circulation Models
S	Sulfate
SA	South Asia
SEA	Southeast Asia
SEC	Southeast Abit
SH2	Specific humidity at 2 meters above the surface
SI	Statistical indices
SO ₂	Sulfur dioxide
SOA	Secondary organic aerosol
SWC	Southwest China
5 W KF T2	Shortwave radiative forcing
TOA	At the top of atmosphere
TP	Tibetan Plateau
US	the United States
VBS	Volatility basis set

WA	West Asia
WRF	Weather Research and Forecasting model
WRF-Chem	Weather Research and Forecasting model coupled with Chemistry
	Weather Research and Forecasting model coupled with a multi-scale Chemistry-Transport Model (CTM) for
WRF-CHIMERE	air quality forecasting and simulation
WRF-CMAQ	Weather Research and Forecasting model coupled with Community Multiscale Air Quality model
WRF-NAQPMS	Weather Research and Forecasting model coupled with the Nested Air Quality Prediction Modeling System
WS10	Wind speed at 10 meters above the surface
YRD	Yangtze River Delta

¹³³²

Table B2. The compiled number of publications (NP) and number of samples (NS) for papers that providingstatistical indices (SI) of meteorological variables.

		T2			RH2 SH2			SH2	WS10							
No *			NS				NS				NS				NS	
110.	NP	R	MB	RM SE	NP	R	MB	RMSE	NP	R	MB	RMSE	NP	R	MB	RMSE
4	1	5	5 (4↑, 1↓)	5	1 1	5	$5(1\uparrow,4\downarrow)$ $3(2\uparrow,1\downarrow)$	5 3								
7	1	4	4 (3↑, 1↓)													
13	1	1	1 (1↓)		1	1	1 (1↑)						1	2		
15	1	1			1	1							1	2		
20	1	2	2 (1↑, 1↓)	2	1	2	2 (1↑, 1↓)	2					1	1	1 (1)	1
21	1	0	2 (2↓)	2									1		2 (1↑, 1↓)	2
22	1	1	1 (11)	1	1	1	1 (1↑)	1					1	1	$1(1\downarrow)$	1
23	1	1	$1(1^{+})$ $1(1^{+})$		1	1	$1(1\downarrow)$ $1(1\downarrow)$						1	1	$1(1\uparrow)$ $1(1\uparrow)$	
24	1	1	1(1)		1	1	1 (14)						1	1	1(1)	
28	1	•	$1(1^{+})$	1	1		1 (11)	1					1		1 (1)	1
29	1	9	9 (6↑, 3↓)	9	1	8		9					1	9	9 (9↑)	9
33	1	6	6 (4↑, 2↓)	6												
34	1	2	2 (2↑)	2									1	2	2 (2↓)	2
35	1	2	4 (41)	2	1	1	4 (31 11)	1					1	1		1
50	1		8 (81)	8	1		+ (51, 14)	-								
56	1	1	$1(1\downarrow)$	1	1	1	1 (11)	1					1	1	1 (1)	1
57	1	1			1	1							1	1		
61	1	4	4 (4↓)	4	1	4	4 (4↑)	4					1	4	4 (4↑)	4
62	1		5 (5↓)	5									1		5 (4↑, 1↓)	5
63 71	1	1														
72	1	4	4(31,11)	4	1	4	4 (31, 11)	4								
73	1	1	1(11)	1			(-1) •)		1	1	1 (1)	1	1	1	1 (1↑)	1
75	1	4	4 (4↑)		1	4	4 (4↑)					0	1	4	4 (1↑, 3↓)	
77	1	4	$4(2\uparrow,2\downarrow)$	0					1	4	3 (3↑)	4	1	4	4 (4↑)	4
/9	1	8	8 (6†, 2↓) 8 (8↑)	8	1	8	8 (81)	8					1	8	8 (6† 21)	8
85	1	0	$4(1\uparrow 31)$	4	1	0	$4(2\uparrow 2\downarrow)$	4					1	0	$4(4\uparrow)$	4
87	1		$3(2\uparrow,1\downarrow)$	3	-		. (=1, =+)						1		3 (2↑, 1↓)	3
88	1	3	3 (1↑, 2↓)	3	1	3	3 (2↑, 1↓)	3					1	3	3 (2↑, 1↓)	3
90	1	4	4 (1↑, 3↓)						1	4	4 (4↑)		1	4	4 (4†)	
91	1	1	$1(1\downarrow)$	1	1	6	6 (2* 41)	6	1	1	1 (1↑)	1	1	1	$1(1\uparrow)$	1
94	1	16	16(11151)	0	1	0	0(2 ,4↓)	0					1	16	16(11151)	0
97	1	1	$1(1\downarrow)$	1	1	1	1 (1)	1					1	1	1 (1)	1
106	1	6	6 (6↓)						1	6	5 (2↑, 3↓)		1	6	6 (6†)	
109	1	2	2 (2↓)	2	1	3	3 (3↑)	3					1	2	2 (2↑)	2
112	1	2	$2(2\downarrow)$	2	1	2	2 (14 11)		1		2 (21)	2	1		2 (2↑)	2
110	1	2	$2(1 , 1\downarrow)$ 1(1)	1	1	2	$2(1 ,1\downarrow)$						1	1	1 (11)	1
121	1	1	2(21)	2	1		2(21)	2					1	1	$2(2\uparrow)$	2
125	1	4	4 (4↓)	4	1	4	4 (41)	4					1	4	4 (4↓)	4
126	1	4	4 (4↓)	4					1	4	4 (2↑, 2↓)	4	1	4	4 (4↑)	4
127	1	0	2 (2)	2						0	0 (54 21)	0	1	0	2 (2)	2
128	1	8	8 (8↓)	8	1	1	1 (1*)	1	1	8	8 (5↑, 3↓)	8	1	8	8 (8†) 1 (1†)	8
133	1	1	$1(1\downarrow)$	0	1	1	$4(4\uparrow)$	1					1	1	$4(3\uparrow 1)$	1
143	1	4	1 (14)	4	1	4	-(-1)	4					1	4	- (J , IV)	4
147	1	2		2	1	2		2					1	2		2
151	1	7	7 (7↓)	7					1	7	7 (3↑, 4↓)	7	1	7	7 (7↑)	7
Total	53	137	167 (67↑, 100↓)	130	30	68	70 (42↑, 28↓)	73	9	35	35 (21↑, 14↓)	27	40	111	126 (104↑, 22↓)	97

6 Note that the No.* is consistent with the No. in Table 1, and \uparrow and \downarrow mark over- and underestimations of variables, respectively, along with their number of samples.

133<u>6</u> 1337 1338

1339Table B3. The compiled number of publications (NP) and number of samples (NS) for papers that providing1340statistical indices (SI) of air quality variables.

	Air quality variables												
No.*			PM _{2.5}		O3								
	NP	NS			N ID	NS							
		R	MB	RMSE	NP	R	MB	RMSE					
4	1	5	5 (5↓)	5									
5	1		1 (1)	1	1		1 (1↓)	1					
11	1	60											
15	1	1											
21	1		$2(1\uparrow,1\downarrow)$										
22	1	1	1 (1)	1									
23	1	1	1 (1)		1	1	1 (11)						
24	1	1	$1(1\downarrow)$		1		1(11)						
25	1	1	1(11)		1	1	$1(1\uparrow)$						
29	1	9	9 (6↑, 3↓)	9									

33	1	4	4 (4↓)	4	1	4	4 (3↑, 1↓)	4
34	1	2	2 (1↑, 1↓)	2				
35					1	1		1
50	1		4 (1↑, 3↓)	4				
56	1	1	1 (1↑)	1				
57	1	1						
59	1	6	6 (6↓)	6	1	6	6 (6†)	6
61	1	12	12 (12↑)	12				
67	1	10	2 (2↓)	10				
71	1	1						
73	1	2	2 (1↑, 1↓)		1	4	4 (4↑)	
77	1	4						
85	1	3	3 (3↓)					
86	1	4	4 (2↑, 2↓)	4				
88	1	3	3 (1↑, 2↓)	3				
90	1	8	8 (2↑, 6↓)		1	14	14 (14↑)	
91	1	4	4 (1↑, 3↓)	4	1	6	6 (4↑, 2↓)	6
94	1	4	4 (3↑, 1↓)	4				
97	1	1	1(1)	1				
100	1	1			1	1		
106	1	6	6 (2↑, 4↓)		1	8	8 (4↑, 4↓)	
112	1				1			
121					1			5
122	1	4	4 (1↑, 3↓)					
125	1	4	4 (2↑, 2↓)	4	1	4	4 (41)	4
126	1	4	4 (2↑, 2↓)	4	1	4	4 (41)	4
127	1		1(1)	1				
128	1	8	8 (3↑, 5↓)	8				
129	1	3	$3(2\uparrow,1\downarrow)$	3	1	2	$2(1\uparrow,1\downarrow)$	2
133					1	4	4 (3↑, 1↓)	4
136	1	5	5 (5↓)					
146	1	1	(1)		1	20		20
147	1	2		2				
149	1	6		6				
150					1	21		21
151	1	12	6 (6↑)	6	1	24	12 (7↑, 5↓)	12
Total	42	205	122 (55↑, 67↓)	105	21	125	72 (55↑, 17↓)	90

1341 1342 Note that the No.* is consistent with the No. in Table 1, and 1 and 1 mark over- and underestimations of variables, respectively, along with their number of samples.

1344	Table B4. The compiled number of publications (NP) and number of samples (NS) for papers that simultaneously
1345	providing the statistical indices (SI) of meteorological variables simulated by coupled models (WRF-Chem, WRF-
1346	CMAQ, GRAPES-CUACE, WRF-NAQPMS and GATOR-GCMOM) with/out ARI.

No.*								Meteorolog	ical varia	ables							
			T2				RH2 SH2						WS10				
		NS				NS	NS		NS				NS				
	NP	R	MB	RMSE	NP	R	MB	RMSE	NP	R	MB	RMSE	NP	R	MB	RMSE	
32	1	3	3 (2↑, 1↓)	3													
78	1		4 (3↑, 1↓)	4													
124	1	2	2 (2↓)	2	1	2	2 (2†)	2					1	2	2 (2↓)	2	
125	1	2	2 (2↓)	2					1	2	$2(1\uparrow,1\downarrow)$	2	1	2	2 (2†)	2	
126	1		1 (1↓)	1									1		1 (1↑)	1	
127	1	4	4 (4↓)	4					1	4	4 (3↑, 1↓)	4	1	4	4 (4†)	4	
146	1	1		1	1	1		1					1	1		1	
Total	7	12	16 (5↑, 11↓)	17	2	3	2 (21)	3	2	6	6 (4↑, 2↓)	6	5	9	9 (7↑, 2↓)	10	

1347 1348 1349

Note that the No.* is consistent with the No. in Table 1, and 1 and 1 mark over- and underestimations of variables, respectively, along with their number of samples.

1350 Table B5. The compiled number of publications (NP) and number of samples (NS) for papers that simultaneously 1351 providing the statistical indices (SI) of air quality variables simulated by coupled models (WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, 1352 GRAPES-CUACE, WRF-NAQPMS and GATOR-GCMOM) with/out ARI.

_			Ai	r quality variables						
NT * -		PM	I _{2.5}	O ₃						
No.		NS				NS				
	NP	R	MB	RMSE	NP	R	MB	RMSE		
49	1		$2(1\uparrow,1\downarrow)$	2	1	10		10		
60	1	4	4 (4↑)	4						
124	1	2	2 (1↑, 1↓)	2	1	2	2 (2↑)	2		
125	1	2	2 (1↑, 1↓)	2	1	2	2 (2↑)	2		
127	1	4	4 (2↑, 2↓)	4						
146	1	1		1						
Total	5	13	14 (9↑, 5↓)	15	3	14	4 (4↑)	14		

1353 1354 1355 Note that the No.* is consistent with the No. in Table 1, and 1 and 1 mark over- and underestimations of variables, respectively, along with their number of samples.

WRF-Chem

1356 Table B6. Description of refractive indices and radiation schemes used in the WRF-Chem and WRF-CMAQ in Asia. SW scheme (Spectral intervals) GODDARD (0.175-0.225, 0.225-0.245, 0.245-RRTMG Model Refractive indices of aerosol species groups SW LW LW scheme (Spectral intervals)

511	L
1. Water (1.35+1.524-8i,	1. Water (1.532+0.336i,

RRTMG (10-350, 350-500, 500-630, 630-700,

1.34+2.494⁻⁹i, 1.33+1.638⁻⁹i,

1.33+3.128⁻⁶i)

- 2. Dust (1.55+0.003i,
- 1.550+0.003i, 1.550+0.003i,
- 1.550+0.003i) 3. BC (1.95+0.79i, 1.95+0.79i,
- 1.95+0.79i, 1.95+0.79i)
- 4. OC (1.45+0i, 1.45+0i,
- 1.45+0i, 1.45+0i)
- 5. Sea salt (1.51+8.66-7i,
- 6. Sulfate (1.52+1.00%).
- 0.35, 0.35-0.45, 0.55-0.65,
 - 0.998-1.000 µm
- 1.142+0.115i, 1.232+0.0471i, 1.266+0.039i, 1.296+0.034i, 1.321+0.0344i, 1.342+0.092i, 1.315+0.012i,
- 1.5+7.019⁻⁸i, 1.5+1.184⁻⁸i,
- 1.47+1.5⁻⁴i) 1.52+1.00⁻⁹i, 1.52+1.00⁻⁹i,
- 1.52+1.75⁻⁶i) in term of 4 spectral intervals in 0.25-
- 1.447+0.105i, 1.432+0.061i, 1.473+0.0245i, 1.495+0.011i, 1.5+0.008i) 3. BC (1.95+0.79i, 1.95+0.79i,
 - 1.95+0.79i, 1.95+0.79i,) 4. OC (1.86+0.5i, 1.91+0.268i,

1.524+0.360i, 1.420+0.426i,

1.274+0.403i, 1.161+0.321i,

1.330+0.013i, 1.339+0.01i,

2.904+0.857i, 1.748+0.462i,

1.508+0.263i, 1.911+0.319i,

1.557+0.373i, 1.242+0.093i,

1.822+0.26i, 2.917+0.65i,

1.350+0.0049i,

1.408+0.0142i)

2. Dust (2.34+0.7i,

- 1.988+0.185i, 1.439+0.198i, 1.606+0.059i, 1.7+0.0488i, 1.888+0.11i, 2.489+0.3345i, 1.219+0.065i, 1.419+0.058i,
- 1.426+0.0261i, 1.446+0.0142i, 1.457+0.013i, 1.458+0.01i) 5. Sea salt (1.74+0.1978i, 1.76+0.1978i, 1.78+0.129i, 1.456+0.038i, 1.41+0.019i,
- 1.48+0.014i, 1.56+0.016i, 1.63+0.03i, 1.4+0.012i, 1.43+0.0064i, 1.56+0.0196i, 1.45+0.0029i, 1.485+0.0017i. 1.486+0.0014i) 6. Sulfate (1.89+0.22i,
- 1.91+0.152i, 1.93+0.0846i, 1.586+0.2225i, 1.678+0.195i, 1.758+0.441i, 1.855+0.696i, 1.597+0.695i, 1.15+0.459i, 1.26+0.161i, 1.42+0.172i, 1.35+0.14i, 1.379+0.12i, 1.385+0.122i) in term of 16 spectral intervals in 10-350, 350-500, 500-630, 630-700, 700-820, 820-980 980-1080 1080-
- 1180, 1180-1390, 1390-1480, 1480-1800, 1800-2080, 2080-2250, 2250-2390, 2390-2600, 2600-3250 cm-1

1. Water (1.160+0.321i.

1.324+1.577⁻¹i, 1.277+1.516 ³i, 1.302+1.159⁻³i, 1.312+2.360⁻⁴i, 1.321+1.713⁻ ⁴i, 1.323+2.425⁻⁵i, 1.327+3.125-6i, 1.331+3.405 ⁸i, 1.334+1.639⁻⁹i, 1.340+2.955⁻⁹i, 1.349+1.635 ⁸i. 1.362+3.350⁻⁸i. 1.260+6.220⁻²i) 2. Water-soluble (1.443+5.718 ³i, 1.420+1.777⁻²i, 1.420+1.060⁻²i, 1.420+8.368 ³i, 1.463+1.621⁻²i, 1.510+2.198-2i, 1.510+1.929 ²i. 1.520+1.564⁻²i. 1.530+7.000⁻³i, 1.530+5.666 ³i, 1.530+5.000⁻³i, 1.530+8.440⁻³i, 1.530+3.000 ²i, 1.710+1.100⁻¹i) 3. BC (2.089+1.070i, 2.014+0.939i, 1.962+0.843i, 1.950+0.784i, 1.940+0.760i, 1.930+0.749i, 1.905+0.737i, 1.870+0.726i, 1.850+0.710i, 1.850+0.710i, 1.850+0.710i,

1.850+0.710i, 1.850+0.710i, 2.589+1.771i)

WRF-CMAQ

1. Water (1.408+1.420-2i,

1.140+0.117i, 1.232+0.047i, 1.266+0.038i, 1.300+0.034i) 2. Water-soluble (1.570+0.069i, 1.700+0.055i, 1.890+0.128i, 2.233+0.334i, 1.220+0.066i) 3. BC (1.570+2.200i, 1.700+2.200i, 1.890+2.200i, 2.233+2.200i, 1.220+2.200i) 4. Insoluble (1.482+0.096i, 1.600+0.107i, 1.739+0.162i, 1.508+0.117i, 1.175+0.042i) 5. Sea-salt (1.410+0.019i.

1.490+0.014i, 1.560+0.017i,

1.600+0.029i, 1.402+0.012i)

windows at 13.240, 11.20,

in term of 5 thermal

9.73, 8.870, 7.830 µm

- 12.195 µm)
 - RRTMG (3.077-3.846, 2.500-3.077, 2.150-2.500, 1.942-2.150, 1.626-1.942, 1.299-1.626, 1.242-1.299, 0.778-1.242, 0.625-0.778, 0.442-0.625, 0.345-0.442, 0.263-0.345, 0.200-0.263, 3.846-
- RRTMG (10-350, 350-500, 500-630, 630-700, 700-820, 820-980, 980-1080, 1080-1180, 1180-1390, 1390-1480, 1480-1800, 1800-2080, 2080-2250, 2250-2390, 2390-2600, 2600-3250 cm-1)

0.260, 0.280-0.295, 0.295-0.310, 0.310-0.320, 700-820, 820-980, 980-1080, 1080-1180, 1180-0.325-0.400, 0.400-0.700, 0.700-1.220, 1.220-2.270, 2.270-10.00 µm) RRTMG (3.077-3.846, 2.500-3.077, 2.150-2.500, 1.942-2.150, 1.626-1.942, 1.299-1.626, 1.242-1.299, 0.778-1.242, 0.625-0.778, 0.442-0.625,

0.345-0.442, 0.263-0.345, 0.200-0.263, 3.846-

12.195 um)

1390, 1390-1480, 1480-1800, 1800-2080, 2080-2250, 2250-2390, 2390-2600, 2600-3250 cm⁻¹)

 Insoluble (1.272+1.165⁻²i,
1.168+1.073 ⁻² i, 1.208+8.650 ⁻
³ i, 1.253+8.092 ⁻³ i,
1.329+8.000 ⁻³ i, 1.418+8.000 ⁻
³ i, 1.456+8.000 ⁻³ i,
1.518+8.000 ⁻³ i, 1.530+8.000 ⁻
³ i, 1.530+8.000 ⁻³ i,
1.530+8.000 ⁻³ i, 1.530+8.440 ⁻
³ i, 1.530+3.000 ⁻² i,
1.470+9.000 ⁻² i)
5. Sea-salt (1.480+1.758-3i,
1.534+7.462 ⁻³ i, 1.437+2.950 ⁻
³ i, 1.448+1.276 ⁻³ i,
1.450+7.9444i, 1.462+5.382
4i, 1.469+3.7544i,
1.470+1.498 ⁻⁴ i, 1.490+2.050 ⁻
⁷ i, 1.500+1.184 ⁻⁸ i,
1.502+9.938 ⁻⁸ i, 1.510+2.060 ⁻
⁶ i, 1.510+5.000 ⁻⁶ i,
1.510+1.000 ⁻² i) in term of 14
wavelengths at 3.4615,
2.7885, 2.325, 2.046, 1.784,
1.4625, 1.2705, 1.0101,
0.7016, 0.53325, 0.38815,
0.299, 0.2316, 8.24 µm

Table B7. Summary of normalized mean error (NME) (%) of surface meteorological and air quality variables using
 two-way coupled models (WRF-Chem and WRF-CMAQ).

T2	SH2	RH2	WS10	PM _{2.5}	O ₃	PM _{2.5} with ARI (ARI) or without ARI (NO)	O3 with ARI (ARI) or without ARI (NO)	Model	Region	Reference
					23.60, 38.50, 55.70, 39.80			WRF-Chem	EA	Liu X. et al. (2016)
0.80, 0.60, 0.60, 0.60, 0.60		19.10, 16.50, 10.00, 10.10	58.90, 41.60, 44.90, 49.50	37.31, 37.61, 35.77, 34.69, 35.34, 35.41, 45.22, 44.33, 43.09, 39 29 39 49 39 07		37.61, 35.34, 44.33, 39.49 (ARI) 35.77, 35.41, 43.09, 39.07 (NO)		WRF-Chem	China	Zhang et al. (2018)
270.20, 22.30, 12.50, 17.60				57.27, 57.47, 57.07				WRF-Chem	EA	Zhang Yang et al. (2016a)
				44.99, 29.55, 37.28				WRF-Chem	NCP	Yang et al. (2015)
15.50, 15.80, 13.90, 9.90	10.40, 10.40, 9.90, 9.90		31.30, 31.30, 32.50, 32.50	49.80, 65.30, 49.80, 65.60, 88.30, 56.90, 88.40, 57.00	127.00, 32.20, 25.40, 126.10, 32.10, 25.00, 79.90, 25.80, 21.40, 45.80, 77.90, 25.60, 21.10, 39.50			WRF-Chem	EA	Zhang Y. et al. (2015a)
14	11		32	52.70, 58.00, 104.70, 62.00	87.50, 28.60, 23.30, 52.90, 32.40, 28.20			WRF-Chem	EA	Chen Y. et al. (2015)
-0.48, 0.19, 0.21, 0.05, 0.08, 0.13, 0.05, 0.04, 0.04, 0.05, 0.02, 0.02, 0.06, 0.05,			$\begin{array}{c} 0.33, 1.92, 0.71, \\ 0.78, 0.28, 1.72, \\ 0.61, 0.64, 0.24, \\ 1.76, 0.00, 0.45, \\ 0.34, 1.29, 0.44, \\ 0.56 \end{array}$					WRF-Chem	NCP	Chen D. et al. (2015)
0.04, 0.02 16.60, 10.50, 8.90, 12.90, 10.50,								WRF-Chem	EA	Wang K. et al. (2018)
6.52, 6.58		15.76, 12.15	112.28, 97.26					WRF-Chem	NEA	Park et al. (2018)
				36.00, 33.00	31.00, 22.00			WRF-Chem	China	Zhao et al. (2017)
				44.00, 44.60, 40.10, 54.30				WRF-Chem	NCP	Gao M. et al. (2015)
				41.48, 41.00, 51.77, 55.70	26.68, 26.71, 34.43, 34.64	41.00, 55.70 (ARI) 41.48, 51.77 (NO)	26.71, 34.64 (ARI) 26.68, 34.43 (NO)	WRF-CMAQ	SEA	Nguyen et al. (2019b)
				37.99, 35.06, 38.59,				WRF-CMAQ	China	Chang (2018)

1360

1361 Appendix C

1362 C1 Comparisons of SI at different temporal scales for meteorology

To probe the model performance of simulated T2, RH2, SH2 and WS2 at different temporal 1363 scales, the SI of these meteorological variables from PSI were grouped according to the simulation 1364 time (yearly, seasonal, monthly and daily) and plotted in Figure C1. Note that the seasonal results 1365 1366 contained SI values from simulations lasting more than one month and less than or equal to 3 months. 1367 Here in Figure C1, NP and NS were the number of PSI and samples with SI at different time scales, respectively, and also their total values were the same as the ones listed in Table S2. The correlation 1368 between simulated and observed T2 (Figure C1a) at the seasonal (mean R=0.97 with the smallest 1369 sample size), yearly (0.91) and monthly (0.90) scales were stronger than that at the daily scale (0.87), 1370 indicating that long-term simulations of T2 were well reproduced by coupled models. As shown in 1371 Figure C1e, T2 underestimation mentioned above (Fig. 3a) appeared also in the seasonal, monthly 1372 1373 and yearly simulations (average MB = -0.87 °C, -0.15 °C and -0.34 °C, respectively), but the daily

1374T2 were overestimated (average MB = $0.07 \,^{\circ}$ C). It should be noted that T2 at the monthly scale was1375underpredicted mainly during winter months (16 samples). Regarding the mean RMSE, its value1376(Figure C1i) at the daily scale was the largest (0.97 °C) in comparison with that at the other temporal1377scales.

1378 Given that no SI was available for RH2 at the seasonal scale, results at other time scales were discussed here. Figure C1b presented that simulated RH2 at the daily scale had the best correlation 1379 coefficient (mean R=0.74), followed by those at the monthly (0.73) and yearly (0.71) scales. Except 1380 overestimation (average MB = 3.6 %) at the yearly scale (Figure C1f), modeled RH2 were 1381 underestimated at the monthly (average MB = -1.1 %) and daily (average MB = -0.2 %) scales, 1382 1383 respectively. Therefore, coupled models calculated RH2 reasonably well in short-term simulations. However, at the daily scale, RMSE of modeled RH2 (Figure C1j) was relatively large fluctuation 1384 ranging from 6.2 % to 21.3 %. 1385

1386 Lacking of SI for SH2 at the daily scale, only those at other time scales were compared. Even though NP and NS were very limited, the modeled SH2 (Figure C1c) exhibited especially good 1387 1388 correlation with observations with the mean R values exceeding 0.95 at the yearly, seasonal and 1389 monthly scales (0.99, 0.97 and 0.96, respectively) but had the largest mean RMSE (2.09 g·kg⁻¹) at the yearly scale (Figure C1k). Also, both over- and under-estimations of modeled SH2 (Fig. C1g) 1390 were reported at different time scales with average MB values as 0.15 g·kg⁻¹, -0.02 g·kg⁻¹, and -0.14 1391 g·kg⁻¹ for yearly, seasonal and monthly simulations, respectively. Generally, the long-term 1392 simulations of SH2 agreed better with observations than the short-term ones. 1393

As seen in Figure C1d, the modeled WS10 at the monthly scale (mean R = 0.68) correlated with observations better than that at the daily, yearly and seasonal scales (mean R = 0.62, 0.48 and 0.46, respectively). The simulations at all temporal scales tended to overestimate WS10 comparing against observations (Figure C1h) and their average MB were 0.80 m·s⁻¹ (seasonal), 0.86 m·s⁻¹ (monthly), 0.64 m·s⁻¹ (yearly) and 0.62 m·s⁻¹ (daily), respectively. The short-term simulations of WS10 better matched with observations compared to the long-term ones. At the same time, the largest mean RMSE (1.79 m·s⁻¹) of simulated WS10 (Figure C1l) appeared at the seasonal scale.



1402 1403

Figure C1. The statistical indices of modeled meteorological variables at different temporal scales (Yearly, Seasonal,
 Monthly and Daily) from past studies in Asia.

1404 1405

1406 C2 Comparisons of SI at different temporal scales for air quality

1407Figure C2 depicted the SI of simulated $PM_{2.5}$ and O_3 at yearly, seasonal, monthly and daily1408scales. The correlation between simulated and observed $PM_{2.5}$ (Figure C2a) at the monthly scale1409(mean R= 0.68) was largest compared to those at the yearly (0.64), seasonal (0.59), daily (0.57)1410scales. All the simulated $PM_{2.5}$ were underestimated, with the average daily, monthly, seasonal, and

1411 yearly MB as -4.13, -1.46, -0.28, and -1.89 μ g·m⁻³, respectively (Figure C2c). As displayed in Figure 1412 C2e, the mean RMSE at the monthly scale was the largest (61.57 μ g·m⁻³).

1413 Regarding to correlation between simulated and observed O₃ (Figure C2b), it was the best at 1414 the daily scale (mean R= 0.77). Modeled O₃ were overestimated at the seasonal (average MB = 1415 +4.12 μ g·m⁻³), monthly (average MB = +6.11 μ g·m⁻³) and yearly (average MB = +11.71 μ g·m⁻³) 1416 scales, but underestimated at the daily scale (average MB =-8.89 μ g·m⁻³) (Figure C2d). Note that no 1417 RMSE for O₃ simulation was available at the daily scale, and the RMSE at the yearly scale (Figure 1418 C2f) had relatively large fluctuation ranging from 0.21 to 71 μ g·m⁻³. Therefore, coupled models 1419 calculated O₃ matched well with observation in short-term simulations.



1420YearlySeasonalMonthlyDaily1421Figure C2. The quantile distributions of simulated PM2.5 and O3 performance metrics at different temporal scales1422from past studies in Asia.1423

1424 Data availability

The related dataset can be downloaded from https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5571076 (Gao et al., 2021), and this dataset includes basic information (Table S1), performance metrics (Table S2), quantitative effects of aerosol feedbacks on meteorological and air quality variables (Table S3), model configuration and setup (Table S4) and aerosol-induced variations of simulated shortwave and longwave radiative forcing (Table S5) extracted from collected studies of applications of twoway coupled meteorology and air quality models in Asia.

1431

1432 Author contribution

1433 Chao Gao, Aijun Xiu, Xuelei Zhang and Qingqing Tong carried out the data collection, related
1434 analysis, figure plotting, and manuscript writing; Hongmei Zhao, Shichun Zhang, Guangyi Yang
1435 and Mengduo Zhang involved with the original research plan and made suggestions to the
1436 manuscript writing.

- 1438 Competing interest
 - The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

1440

1437

1439

1441 Acknowledgement

1442 This study was financially sponsored by National Key Research and Development Program of

1443 China (No. 2017YFC0212304 and No. 2019YFE0194500), Talent Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences, and National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 42171142, No. 41771071 and No. 1444 1445 41571063). The authors are very grateful to many researchers who provided detailed information on the two-way coupled models and related research work. The list includes but is not limited to 1446 1447 Xueshun Chen, Zifa Wang, Yi Gao, Meigen Zhang and Baozhu Ge (Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences), Chunhong Zhou (Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences), 1448 Yang Zhang (Northeastern University), Mark Zachary Jacobson (Stanford University), Tianliang 1449 Zhao (Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology), Xin Huang (Nanjing University), 1450 Chun Zhao (University of Science and Technology of China), Junhua Yang and Shichang Kang 1451 (Northwest Institute of Eco-Environment and Resources, Chinese Academy of Sciences), Sachin 1452 Ghude (Ministry of Earth Sciences Government of India) and Luke Conibear (University of Leeds). 1453 We would also like to express our deepest appreciation to the editor James Allan and two anonymous 1454 1455 reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions, which helped to improve the quality and 1456 readability of this article. 1457

1458 Reference

1472

1473 1474

1475

1476

1477

1478 1479 1480

1481

1482

1483

1489

1491 1492

1493

1494 1495

1496

- 1459 Ackerman, A. S., Toon, O. B., Stevens, D. E., Heymsfield, A. J., Ramanathan, V., and Welton, E. J.: Reduction of tropical cloudiness by soot, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.288.5468.1042, 2000. 1460 Science (80-.)., 288, 1042 - 1047, 1461
- 1462 Ahmadov, R., McKeen, S. A., Robinson, A. L., Bahreini, R., Middlebrook, A. M., De Gouw, J. A., 1463 Meagher, J., Hsie, E., Edgerton, E., and Shaw, S.: A volatility basis set model for summertime 1464 secondary organic aerosols over the eastern United States in 2006, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 117, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016831, 2012. 1465
- Albrecht, B. A.: Aerosols, cloud microphysics, and fractional cloudiness, Science (80-.)., 245, 1227-1466 1230, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.245.4923.1227, 1989. 1467
- 1468 An, Z., Huang, R.-J., Zhang, R., Tie, X., Li, G., Cao, J., Zhou, W., Shi, Z., Han, Y., and Gu, Z.: Severe 1469 haze in northern China: A synergy of anthropogenic emissions and atmospheric processes, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 116, 8657-8666, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1900125116, 2019. 1470 1471
 - Andreae, M. O. and Rosenfeld, D.: Aerosol-cloud-precipitation interactions. Part 1. The nature and sources of cloud-active aerosols, E https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2008.03.001, 2008. Earth-Science Rev.. 89, 13 - 41.
 - Appel, K. W., Pouliot, G. A., Simon, H., Sarwar, G., Pye, H. O. T., Napelenok, S. L., Akhtar, F., and Roselle, S. J.: Evaluation of dust and trace metal estimates from the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model version 5.0, Geosci. Model Dev., 6, 883-899, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-6-883-2013, 2013.
 - Appel, K. W., Napelenok, S. L., Foley, K. M., Pye, H. O. T., Hogrefe, C., Luecken, D. J., Bash, J. O., Roselle, S. J., Pleim, J. E., Foroutan, H., Hutzell, W. T., Pouliot, G. A., Sarwar, G., Fahey, K. M., Gantt, B., Gilliam, R. C., Heath, N. K., Kang, D., Mathur, R., Schwede, D. B., Spero, T. L., Wong, D. C., and Young, J. O.: Description and evaluation of the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) modeling system version 5.1, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-1703-2017, 2017. Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 1703-1732.
- Appel, K. W., Bash, J. O., Fahey, K. M., Foley, K. M., Gilliam, R. C., Hogrefe, C., Hutzell, W. T., Kang, 1484 1485 D., Mathur, R., and Murphy, B. N.: The Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model 1486 versions 5.3 and 5.3.1: system updates and evaluation, Geosci. Model Dev., 14, 2867-2897, 1487 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-2867-2021, 2021.
- Archer-Nicholls, S., Lowe, D., Lacey, F., Kumar, R., Xiao, Q., Liu, Y., Carter, E., Baumgartner, J., and 1488 Wiedinmyer, C.: Radiative effects of residential sector emissions in China: sensitivity to uncertainty 1490 black carbon emissions, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 124, 5029-5044, in https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD030120, 2019.
 - Ashrafi, K., Motlagh, M. S., and Nevestani, S. E.: Dust storms modeling and their impacts on air quality and radiation budget over Iran using WRF-Chem, Air Qual. Atmos. Heal., 10, 1059-1076, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11869-017-0494-8, 2017.
 - Bai, Y., Qi, H., Zhao, T., Zhou, Y., Liu, L., Xiong, J., Zhou, Z., and Cui, C.: Simulation of the responses of rainstorm in the Yangtze River Middle Reaches to changes in anthropogenic aerosol emissions, Atmos. Environ., 220, 117081, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.117081, 2020.
- 1498 Baklanov, A., Schlünzen, K., Suppan, P., Baldasano, J., Brunner, D., Aksoyoglu, S., Carmichael, G., 1499 Douros, J., Flemming, J., and Forkel, R.: Online coupled regional meteorology chemistry models in 1500 Europe: current status and prospects, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-317-2014, 2014. 317-398. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 1501
- 1502 Baró, R., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Balzarini, A., Curci, G., Forkel, R., Grell, G., Hirtl, M., Honzak, L., 1503 Langer, M., and Pérez, J. L.: Sensitivity analysis of the microphysics scheme in WRF-Chem contributions to AQMEII phase 2, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.01.047, 2015. 1504 620-629. Atmos. Environ., 115, 1505
- Barth, M. C., Rasch, P. J., Kiehl, J. T., Benkovitz, C. M., and Schwartz, S. E.: Sulfur chemistry in the 1506 1507 NCAR CCM: Description, evaluation, features and sensitivity to aqueous chemistry, J. Geophys. Res, 105, 1387-1415, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900773, 2000. 1508

- 1509 Bauer, P., Thorpe, A., and Brunet, G.: The quiet revolution of numerical weather prediction, Nature, 525, 1510 47-55, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14956, 2015.
- 1511 Beard, K. V: Terminal velocity and shape of cloud and precipitation drops aloft, J. Atmos. Sci., 33, 851-864, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1976)033<0851:TVASOC>2.0.CO;2, 1976. Bei, N., Wu, J., Elser, M., Tian, F., Cao, J., El-Haddad, I., Li, X., Huang, R., Li, Z., and Long, X.: Impacts 1512 1513
- 1514 of meteorological uncertainties on the haze formation in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH) during 1515 wintertime: a case study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 14579, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-14579-1516 2017, 2017.

1523

1528

1529

1530 1531 1532

1533

1538

1539 1540

1541

1542 1543

1544

1545

1546

1547

1548

1553 1554 1555

1556

1557

1558 1559 1560

1561

1562 1563

1564 1565

1566

1567 1568

1569

1570

1571

- Beig, G., Chate, D. M., Ghude, S. D., Mahajan, A. S., Srinivas, R., Ali, K., Sahu, S. K., Parkhi, N., 1518 Surendran, D., and Trimbake, H. R.: Quantifying the effect of air quality control measures during 1519 2010 Commonwealth Games at Delhi, India, Atmos. Environ., 80, 455–463, the 1520 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.08.012, 2013.
- 1521 1522 Bellouin, N., Jones, A., Haywood, J., and Christopher, S. A.: Updated estimate of aerosol direct radiative forcing from satellite observations and comparison against the Hadley Centre climate model, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 113, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009385, 2008.
- Benas, N., Meirink, J. F., Karlsson, K.-G., Stengel, M., and Stammes, P.: Satellite observations of aerosols and clouds over southern China from 2006 to 2015: analysis of changes and possible 1524 1525 1526 interaction mechanisms, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 457-474, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-457-1527 2020, 2020.
 - Bennartz, R., Fan, J., Rausch, J., Leung, L. R., and Heidinger, A. K.: Pollution from China increases cloud droplet number, suppresses rain over the East China Sea, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL047235, 2011. Bharali, C., Nair, V. S., Chutia, L., and Babu, S. S.: Modeling of the effects of wintertime aerosols on
 - boundary layer properties over the Indo Gangetic Plain, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 124, 4141-4157, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029758, 2019.
- 1534 Bhattacharya, A., Chakraborty, A., and Venugopal, V.: Role of aerosols in modulating cloud properties during active-break cycle of Indian summer monsoon, Clim. Dyn., 49, 2131-2145, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-016-3437-4, 2017. 1535 1536 1537
 - Binkowski, F. S. and Roselle, S. J.: Models-3 Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model aerosol component 1. Model description, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 108. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001409, 2003.
 - Binkowski, F. S. and Shankar, U.: The regional particulate matter model: 1. Model description and 26191-26209, results, Geophys. preliminary J Res. Atmos., 100.https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD02093, 1995.
 - Bollasina, M. A., Ming, Y., and Ramaswamy, V.: Anthropogenic aerosols and the weakening of the South Asian summer monsoon, Science (80-. 334. 502 - 505,)., https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1204994, 2011.
 - Bran, S. H., Jose, S., and Srivastava, R.: Investigation of optical and radiative properties of aerosols during an intense dust storm: A regional climate modeling approach, J. Atmos. Solar-Terrestrial Phys., 168, 21–31, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2018.01.003, 2018.
 - Briant, R., Tuccella, P., Deroubaix, A., Khvorostyanov, D., Menut, L., Mailler, S., and Turquety, S.: Aerosol-radiation interaction modelling using online coupling between the WRF 3.7.1 meteorological model and the CHIMERE 2016 chemistry-transport model, through the OASIS3-MCT coupler, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 927–944, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-927-2017, 2017.
 - Brunekreef, B. and Holgate, S. T.: Air pollution and health, Lancet, 360, 1233–1242, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(02)11274-8, 2002.
 - Brunner, D., Savage, N., Jorba, O., Eder, B., Giordano, L., Badia, A., Balzarini, A., Baro, R., Bianconi, R., and Chemel, C.: Comparative analysis of meteorological performance of coupled chemistrymeteorology models in the context of AQMEII phase 2, Atmos. Environ., 115, 470-498, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.12.032, 2015. Byun, D. and Schere, K. L.: Review of the governing equations, computational algorithms, and other
 - components of the Models-3 Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) modeling system, Appl. Mech. Rev., 59, 51–77, https://doi.org/10.1115/1.2128636, 2006.
 - Campbell, P., Zhang, Y., Yahya, K., Wang, K., Hogrefe, C., Pouliot, G., Knote, C., Hodzic, A., San Jose, R., and Perez, J. L.: A multi-model assessment for the 2006 and 2010 simulations under the Air Quality Model Evaluation International Initiative (AQMEII) phase 2 over North America: Part I. Indicators of the sensitivity of O₃ and PM_{2.5} formation regimes, Atmos. Environ., 115, 569–586, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.12.026, 2015.
 - Campbell, P., Zhang, Y., Wang, K., Leung, R., Fan, J., Zheng, B., Zhang, Q., and He, K.: Evaluation of a multi-scale WRF-CAM5 simulation during the 2010 East Asian Summer Monsoon, Atmos. Environ., 169, 204–217, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.09.008, 2017.
 - Carlton, A. G., Bhave, P. V, Napelenok, S. L., Edney, E. O., Sarwar, G., Pinder, R. W., Pouliot, G. A., and Houyoux, M.: Model representation of secondary organic aerosol in CMAQv4.7, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 8553-8560, https://doi.org/10.1021/es100636q, 2010.
- 1573 Casazza, M., Lega, M., Liu, G., Ulgiati, S., and Endreny, T. A.: Aerosol pollution, including eroded soils, intensifies cloud growth, precipitation, and soil erosion: A review, J. Clean. Prod., 189, 135-144, 1574 1575 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.04.004, 2018.
- 1576 Chang, S.: Characteristics of aerosols and cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) over China investigated by the two-way coupled WRF-CMAQ air quality model, 2018. 1577

1578 Chapman, E. G., Jr, W. I. G., Easter, R. C., Barnard, J. C., Ghan, S. J., Pekour, M. S., and Fast, J. D.: and 1579 Physics Coupling aerosol-cloud-radiative processes in the WRF-Chem model: Investigating the radiative impact of elevated point sources, 945–964, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-945-2009, 2009.
1581 Chen, D.-S., Ma, X., Xie, X., Wei, P., Wen, W., Xu, T., Yang, N., Gao, Q., Shi, H., and Guo, X.:

1582

1583

1584

1585

1586

1592

1593

1594

1595

1596

1597

1598

1607

1608

1609

1610 1611

1612

1613 1614

1615 1616

1617

1618 1619 1620

1621

1622

1623

1624 1625

1626 1627

1632

1633

1634

1635 1636

1637

1638

1639

- Chen, D.-S., Ma, X., Xie, X., Wei, P., Wen, W., Xu, T., Yang, N., Gao, Q., Shi, H., and Guo, X.: Modelling the effect of aerosol feedbacks on the regional meteorology factors over China, Aerosol. Air. Qual. Res, 15, 1559–1579, https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2014.11.0272, 2015a.
- Chen, J., Li, C., Ristovski, Z., Milic, A., Gu, Y., Islam, M. S., Wang, S., Hao, J., Zhang, H., and He, C.: A review of biomass burning: Emissions and impacts on air quality, health and climate in China, Sci. Total Environ., 579, 1000–1034, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.11.025, 2017a.
- Chen, L., Zhu, J., Liao, H., Gao, Y., Qiu, Y., Zhang, M., Liu, Z., Li, N., and Wang, Y.: Assessing the formation and evolution mechanisms of severe haze pollution in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region using process analysis, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 10845–10864, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-10845-2019, 2019a.
 Chen, L., Gao, Y., Zhang, M., Fu, J. S., Zhu, J., Liao, H., Li, J., Huang, K., Ge, B., and Wang, X.: MICS-
 - Chen, L., Gao, Y., Zhang, M., Fu, J. S., Zhu, J., Liao, H., Li, J., Huang, K., Ge, B., and Wang, X.: MICS-Asia III: Multi-model comparison and evaluation of aerosol over East Asia, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 11911–11937, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-11911-2019, 2019b.
 - Chen, S., Huang, J., Zhao, C., Qian, Y., Leung, L. R., and Yang, B.: Modeling the transport and radiative forcing of Taklimakan dust over the Tibetan Plateau: A case study in the summer of 2006, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 797–812, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50122, 2013.
 - Chen, S., Zhao, C., Qian, Y., Leung, L. R., Huang, J., Huang, Z., Bi, J., Zhang, W., Shi, J., and Yang, L.: Regional modeling of dust mass balance and radiative forcing over East Asia using WRF-Chem, Aeolian Res., 15, 15–30, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aeolia.2014.02.001, 2014.
 - Aceilian Res., 15, 15–30, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aceilia.2014.02.001, 2014.
 Chen, S., Huang, J., Qian, Y., Zhao, C., Kang, L., Yang, B., Wang, Y., Liu, Y., Yuan, T., and Wang, T.: An overview of mineral dust modeling over East Asia, J. Meteorol. Res., 31, 633–653, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13351-017-6142-2, 2017b.
 Chen, S., Huang, J., Kang, L., Wang, H., Ma, X., He, Y., Yuan, T., Yang, B., Huang, Z., and Zhang, G.:
- 1603 Chen, S., Huang, J., Kang, L., Wang, H., Ma, X., He, Y., Yuan, T., Yang, B., Huang, Z., and Zhang, G.:
 1604 Emission, transport, and radiative effects of mineral dust from the Taklimakan and Gobi deserts:
 1605 comparison of measurements and model results., Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 2401–2421,
 1606 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-2401-2017, 2017c.
 - Chen, X., Wang, Z., Yu, F., Pan, X., Li, J., Ge, B., Wang, Z., Hu, M., Yang, W., and Chen, H.: Estimation of atmospheric aging time of black carbon particles in the polluted atmosphere over central-eastern China using microphysical process analysis in regional chemical transport model, Atmos. Environ., 163, 44–56, https://doi.org/j.atmosenv.2017.05.016, 2017d.
 - Chen, X., Yang, W., Wang, Z., Li, J., Hu, M., An, J., Wu, Q., Wang, Z., Chen, H., and Wei, Y.: Improving new particle formation simulation by coupling a volatility-basis set (VBS) organic aerosol module in NAQPMS+APM, Atmos. Environ., 204, 1–11, https://doi.org/j.atmosenv.2019.01.053, 2019c.
 Chen, X., Yu, F., Yang, W., Sun, Y., Chen, H., Du, W., Zhao, J., Wei, Y., Wei, L., and Du, H.: Global-
 - Chen, X., Yu, F., Yang, W., Sun, Y., Chen, H., Du, W., Zhao, J., Wei, Y., Wei, L., and Du, H.: Globalregional nested simulation of particle number concentration by combing microphysical processes with an evolving organic aerosol module, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 9343–9366, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-9343-2021, 2021.
 - Chen, Y., Zhang, Y., Fan, J., Leung, L.-Y. R., Zhang, Q., and He, K.: Application of an online-coupled regional climate model, WRF-CAM5, over East Asia for examination of ice nucleation schemes: Part I. Comprehensive model evaluation and trend analysis for 2006 and 2011, 3, 627–667, https://doi.org/10.3390/cli3030627, 2015b.
 - Choobari, O. A., Zawar-Reza, P., and Sturman, A.: The global distribution of mineral dust and its impacts on the climate system: A review, Atmos. Res., 138, 152–165, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.11.007, 2014.
 - Conibear, L., Butt, E. W., Knote, C., Arnold, S. R., and Spracklen, D. V: Residential energy use emissions dominate health impacts from exposure to ambient particulate matter in India, Nat. Commun., 9, 1–9, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-02986-7, 2018a.
- Conibear, L., Butt, E. W., Knote, C., Arnold, S. R., and Spracklen, D. V.: Stringent Emission Control Policies Can Provide Large Improvements in Air Quality and Public Health in India, 2, 196–211, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018gh000139, 2018b.
 Conti, G. O., Heibati, B., Kloog, I., Fiore, M., and Ferrante, M.: A review of AirQ Models and their
 - Conti, G. O., Heibati, B., Kloog, I., Fiore, M., and Ferrante, M.: A review of AirQ Models and their applications for forecasting the air pollution health outcomes, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 24, 6426–6445, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-8180-1, 2017.
 - Craig, A., Valcke, S., and Coquart, L.: Development and performance of a new version of the OASIS coupler, OASIS3-MCT_3. 0, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 3297, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-3297-2017, 2017.
 - Cuchiara, G. C., Li, X., Carvalho, J., and Rappenglück, B.: Intercomparison of planetary boundary layer parameterization and its impacts on surface ozone concentration in the WRF/Chem model for a case study in Houston/Texas, Atmos. Environ., 96, 175–185, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.07.013, 2014.
- 1641 Dahutia, P., Pathak, B., and Bhuyan, P. K.: Vertical distribution of aerosols and clouds over north-eastern
 1642 South Asia: Aerosol-cloud interactions, Atmos. Environ., 215, 116882,
 1643 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.116882, 2019.
- 1644 Ding, A. J., Huang, X., Nie, W., Sun, J. N., Kerminen, V., Petäjä, T., Su, H., Cheng, Y. F., Yang, X., and
 1645 Wang, M. H.: Enhanced haze pollution by black carbon in megacities in China, Geophys. Res. Lett.,
 1646 43, 2873–2879, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL067745, 2016.

1647 Ding, Q. J., Sun, J., Huang, X., Ding, A., Zou, J., Yang, X., and Fu, C.: Impacts of black carbon on the 1648 formation of advection-radiation fog during a haze pollution episode in eastern China, Atmos.
1649 Chem. Phys., 19, 7759–7774, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-7759-2019, 2019.
1650 Dipu, S., Prabha, T. V, Pandithurai, G., Dudhia, J., Pfister, G., Rajesh, K., and Goswami, B. N.: Impact

1651

1652

1674

1675

1676 1677

1678 1679 1680

1681 1682

1683

1684 1685

1686

1687

1688

1689

1690

1691 1692 1693

1694

1695

1696 1697 1698

1699

1700 1701

1702

1709

- Dipu, S., Prabha, T. V, Pandithurai, G., Dudhia, J., Pfister, G., Rajesh, K., and Goswami, B. N.: Impact of elevated aerosol layer on the cloud macrophysical properties prior to monsoon onset, Atmos. Environ., 70, 454–467, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.12.036, 2013.
- Donat, M. G., Lowry, A. L., Alexander, L. V, O'Gorman, P. A., and Maher, N.: More extreme precipitation in the world's dry and wet regions, Nat. Clim. Chang., 6, 508–513, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2941, 2016.
- 1656 Dong, X., Fu, J. S., Huang, K., Zhu, Q., and Tipton, M.: Regional Climate Effects of Biomass Burning and Dust in East Asia: Evidence From Modeling and Observation, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 11490– 11499, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL083894, 2019.
- Easter, R. C., Ghan, S. J., Zhang, Y., Saylor, R. D., Chapman, E. G., Laulainen, N. S., Abdul-Razzak,
 H., Leung, L. R., Bian, X., and Zaveri, R. A.: MIRAGE: Model description and evaluation of
 aerosols and trace gases, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 109, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD004571,
 2004.
- 1663 Eck, T. F., Holben, B. N., Reid, J. S., Xian, P., Giles, D. M., Sinyuk, A., Smirnov, A., Schafer, J. S.,
 1664 Slutsker, I., and Kim, J.: Observations of the interaction and transport of fine mode aerosols with
 1665 cloud and/or fog in Northeast Asia from Aerosol Robotic Network and satellite remote sensing, J.
 1666 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 123, 5560–5587, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD028313, 2018.
- El-Harbawi, M.: Air quality modelling, simulation, and computational methods: a review, Environ. Rev.,
 21, 149–179, https://doi.org/10.1139/er-2012-0056, 2013.
- ENVIRON, U. G.: Comprehensive Air Quality Model with Extensions (CAMx). Version 4.50, Env. Int.
 Corp. Novato, 2008.
- 1671 EPA: Meteorological Model Performance for Annual 2016 Simulation WRF v3.8, United States Environ.
 1672 Prot. Agency, 2018.
 1673 Fahey, K. M. and Pandis, S. N.: Optimizing model performance: variable size resolution in cloud
 - Fahey, K. M. and Pandis, S. N.: Optimizing model performance: variable size resolution in cloud chemistry modeling, Atmos. Environ., 35, 4471–4478, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00224-2, 2001.
 - Fahey, K. M., Carlton, A. G., Pye, H. O. T., Baek, J., Hutzell, W. T., Stanier, C. O., Baker, K. R., Appel, K. W., Jaoui, M., and Offenberg, J. H.: A framework for expanding aqueous chemistry in the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model version 5.1, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 1587–1605, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-1587-2017, 2017.
 - Fan, J., Rosenfeld, D., Yang, Y., Zhao, C., Leung, L. R., and Li, Z.: Substantial contribution of anthropogenic air pollution to catastrophic floods in Southwest China, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 6066–6075, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL064479, 2015.
 - Fan, J., Wang, Y., Rosenfeld, D., and Liu, X.: Review of aerosol-cloud interactions: Mechanisms, significance, and challenges, J. Atmos. Sci., 73, 4221–4252, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-16-0037.1, 2016.
 - Fan, J., Rosenfeld, D., Zhang, Y., Giangrande, S. E., Li, Z., Machado, L. A. T., Martin, S. T., Yang, Y., Wang, J., and Artaxo, P.: Substantial convection and precipitation enhancements by ultrafine aerosol particles, Science (80-.)., 359, 411–418, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan8461, 2018.
 - Fast, J. D., Gustafson Jr, W. I., Easter, R. C., Zaveri, R. A., Barnard, J. C., Chapman, E. G., Grell, G. A., and Peckham, S. E.: Evolution of ozone, particulates, and aerosol direct radiative forcing in the vicinity of Houston using a fully coupled meteorology-chemistry-aerosol model, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 111, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006721, 2006.
 - Feingold, G., Eberhard, W. L., Veron, D. E., and Previdi, M.: First measurements of the Twomey indirect effect using ground-based remote sensors, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL016633, 2003.
 - Feng, Y., Kotamarthi, V. R., Coulter, R., Zhao, C., and Cadeddu, M.: Radiative and thermodynamic responses to aerosol extinction profiles during the pre-monsoon month over South Asia., Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-247-2016, 2016.
 - Foley, K. M., Roselle, S. J., Appel, K. W., Bhave, P. V, Pleim, J. E., Otte, T. L., Mathur, R., Sarwar, G., Young, J. O., and Gilliam, R. C.: Incremental testing of the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) modeling system version 4.7, Geosci. Model Dev., 3, 205–226, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-3-205-2010, 2010.
- Forkel, R., Brunner, D., Baklanov, A., Balzarini, A., Hirtl, M., Honzak, L., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Jorba, O., Pérez, J. L., and San José, R.: A multi-model case study on aerosol feedbacks in online coupled chemistry-meteorology models within the cost action ES1004 EuMetChem, in: Air pollution modeling and its application XXIV, Springer, 23–28, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-24478-5_4, 2016.
 Fu, P., Aggarwal, S. G., Chen, J., Li, J., Sun, Y., Wang, Z., Chen, H., Liao, H., Ding, A., and Umarji, G.
 - Fu, P., Aggarwal, S. G., Chen, J., Li, J., Sun, Y., Wang, Z., Chen, H., Liao, H., Ding, A., and Umarji, G. S.: Molecular markers of secondary organic aerosol in Mumbai, India, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 4659–4667, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b00372, 2016.
- Gao, C., Zhang, X., Xiu, A., Huang, L., Zhao, H., Wang, K., and Tong, Q.: Spatiotemporal distribution of biogenic volatile organic compounds emissions in China, Acta Sci. Circumstantiae, 39, 4140–4151, https://doi.org/10.13671/j.hjkxxb.2019.0243, 2019.
- Gao, J., Zhu, B., Xiao, H., Kang, H., Pan, C., Wang, D., and Wang, H.: Effects of black carbon and boundary layer interaction on surface ozone in Nanjing, China., Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 7081–

1716 7094, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-7081-2018, 2018a. 1717

1718

1719

1731

1732

1733

1734

1735

1736

1737

1745

1746

1747

1748

1749

1750

1751 1752

1753

1754

1755 1756

1757

1758

1759

1760

1761

1762 1763

1764 1765 1766

1767

1768

1769

1770

1771

1772

1773

1774 1775

1776

- Gao, M., Guttikunda, S. K., Carmichael, G. R., Wang, Y., Liu, Z., Stanier, C. O., Saide, P. E., and Yu, M.: Health impacts and economic losses assessment of the 2013 severe haze event in Beijing area, Sci. Total Environ., 511, 553–561, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.01.005, 2015a. Gao, M., Carmichael, G. R., Wang, Y., Saide, P. E., Yu, M., Xin, J., Liu, Z., and Wang, Z.: Modeling
- 1720 1721 study of the 2010 regional haze event in the North China Plain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 1673-1722 1691, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-1673-2016, 2016a.
- 1723 Gao, M., Carmichael, G. R., Saide, P. E., Lu, Z., Yu, M., Streets, D. G., and Wang, Z.: Response of 1724 winter fine particulate matter concentrations to emission and meteorology changes in North China, 1725 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 11837-11851, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-11837-2016, 2016b.
- 1726 Gao, M., Carmichael, G. R., Wang, Y., Saide, P. E., Liu, Z., Xin, J., Shan, Y., and Wang, Z.: Chemical 1727 and Meteorological Feedbacks in the Formation of Intense Haze Events, in: Air Pollution in Eastern Asia: An Integrated Perspective, Springer, 437–452, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-59489-7_21, 2017a. 1728 1729 1730
 - Gao, M., Liu, Z., Wang, Y., Lu, X., Ji, D., Wang, L., Li, M., Wang, Z., Zhang, Q., and Carmichael, G. R.: Distinguishing the roles of meteorology, emission control measures, regional transport, and cobenefits of reduced aerosol feedbacks in "APEC Blue," Atmos. Environ., 167, 476-486, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.08.054, 2017b.
 - Gao, M., Saide, P. E., Xin, J., Wang, Y., Liu, Z., Wang, Y., Wang, Z., Pagowski, M., Guttikunda, S. K., and Carmichael, G. R.: Estimates of health impacts and radiative forcing in winter haze in eastern China through constraints of surface PM_{2.5} predictions, Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 2178–2185,
- https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b03745, 2017c.
 Gao, M., Han, Z., Liu, Z., Li, M., Xin, J., Tao, Z., Li, J., Kang, J. E., Huang, K., Dong, X., Zhuang, B., Li, S., Ge, B., Wu, Q., Cheng, Y., Wang, Y., Lee, H. J., Kim, C. H., Fu, J. S., Wang, T., Chin, M., 1738 1739 1740 Woo, J. H., Zhang, Q., Wang, Z., and Carmichael, G. R.: Air quality and climate change, Topic 3 1741 of the Model Inter-Comparison Study for Asia Phase III (MICS-Asia III)- Part 1: Overview and 1742 model evaluation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 4859-4884, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-4859-2018, 1743 2018b. 1744
 - Gao, M., Ji, D., Liang, F., and Liu, Y.: Attribution of aerosol direct radiative forcing in China and India to emitting sectors, Atmos. Environ., 190, 35–42, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.07.011, 2018c.
 - Gao, Y. and Zhang, M.: Changes in the diurnal variations of clouds and precipitation induced by anthropogenic aerosols over East China in August 2008, Atmos. Pollut. Res., 9, 513-525, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2017.11.013, 2018.
 - Gao, Y., Zhang, M., Liu, X., and Zhao, C.: Model Analysis of the Anthropogenic Aerosol Effect on Clouds over East Asia, Atmos. Ocean. Sci. Lett., 5, 1-7,https://doi.org/10.1080/16742834.2012.11446968, 2012.
 - Gao, Y., Zhao, C., Liu, X., Zhang, M., and Leung, L. R.: WRF-Chem simulations of aerosols and anthropogenic aerosol radiative forcing in East Asia, Atmos. Environ., 92, 250-266, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.04.038, 2014.
 - Gao, Y., Zhang, M., Liu, Z., Wang, L., Wang, P., Xia, X., Tao, M., and Zhu, L.: Modeling the feedback between aerosol and meteorological variables in the atmospheric boundary layer during a severe fog-haze event over the North China Plain., Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 4279-4295, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-4279-2015, 2015b.
 - Gao, Y., Zhang, M., Liu, X., and Wang, L.: Change in diurnal variations of meteorological variables induced by anthropogenic aerosols over the North China Plain in summer 2008, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 124, 103-118, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-015-1403-4, 2016c.
 - García-Díez, M., Fernández, J., Fita, L., and Yagüe, C.: Seasonal dependence of WRF model biases and sensitivity to PBL schemes over Europe, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 139, 501–514, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.1976, 2013.
 - Ge, C., Wang, J., and Reid, J. S.: Mesoscale modeling of smoke transport over the Southeast Asian Maritime Continent: coupling of smoke direct radiative effect below and above the low-level clouds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 159–174, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-159-2014, 2014.
 - Georgiou, G. K., Christoudias, T., Proestos, Y., Kushta, J., Hadjinicolaou, P., and Lelieveld, J.: Air quality modelling in the summer over the eastern Mediterranean using WRF-Chem: chemistry and Phys., mechanism intercomparison, Chem. 1555–1571, aerosol Atmos. 18, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-1555-2018, 2018.
 - Gery, M. W., Whitten, G. Z., Killus, J. P., and Dodge, M. C.: A photochemical kinetics mechanism for urban and regional scale computer modeling, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 94, 12925-12956, https://doi.org/10.1029/JD094iD10p12925, 1989.
 - Ghan, S. J. and Zaveri, R. A.: Parameterization of optical properties for hydrated internally mixed aerosol, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 112, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007927, 2007.
- 1778 Ghude, S. D., Chate, D. M., Jena, C., Beig, G., Kumar, R., Barth, M. C., Pfister, G. G., Fadnavis, S., and 1779 Pithani, P.: Premature mortality in India due to PM2.5 and ozone exposure, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 1780 4650-4658, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL068949, 2016.
- 1781 Giglio, L., Randerson, J. T., Van der Werf, G. R., Kasibhatla, P. S., Collatz, G. J., Morton, D. C., and 1782 DeFries, R. S.: Assessing variability and long-term trends in burned area by merging multiple 1783 satellite fire products, 7, 1171-1186, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-1171-2010, 2010.
- 1784 Ginoux, P., Chin, M., Tegen, I., Prospero, J. M., Holben, B., Dubovik, O., and Lin, S.: Sources and

distributions of dust aerosols simulated with the GOCART model, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 106, 20255-20273, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD000053, 2001.

- Giorgi, F. and Chameides, W. L.: Rainout lifetimes of highly soluble aerosols and gases as inferred from simulations with a general circulation model, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 91, 14367–14376, https://doi.org/10.1029/JD091iD13p14367, 1986. 1788
 - Gong, S. L., Barrie, L. A., and Blanchet, J.: Modeling sea-salt aerosols in the atmosphere: 1. Model development, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 102, 3805–3818, https://doi.org/10.1029/96JD02953, 1997. Gong, S. L., Barrie, L. A., Blanchet, J., Von Salzen, K., Lohmann, U., Lesins, G., Spacek, L., Zhang, L.
- 1793 M., Girard, E., and Lin, H.: Canadian Aerosol Module: A size-segregated simulation of atmospheric aerosol processes for climate and air quality models 1. Module development, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 108, AAC-3, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD002002, 2003a. 1794
- 1796 Gong, S. L., Zhang, X. Y., Zhao, T. L., McKendry, I. G., Jaffe, D. A., and Lu, N. M.: Characterization 1797 1798 of soil dust aerosol in China and its transport and distribution during 2001 ACE-Asia: 2. Model simulation and validation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 108, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002633, 1799 2003b.
- 1800 Goren, T. and Rosenfeld, D.: Decomposing aerosol cloud radiative effects into cloud cover, liquid water 1801 path and Twomey components in marine stratocumulus, Atmos. Res., 138, 378-393, 1802 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.12.008, 2014.
- Govardhan, G., Nanjundiah, R. S., Satheesh, S. K., Krishnamoorthy, K., and Kotamarthi, V. R.: 1803 1804 Performance of WRF-Chem over Indian region: Comparison with measurements, J. Earth Syst. Sci., 1805 124, 875-896, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12040-015-0576-7, 2015.
- 1806 Govardhan, G. R., Nanjundiah, R. S., Satheesh, S. K., Moorthy, K. K., and Takemura, T.: Intercomparison and performance evaluation of chemistry transport models over Indian region, Atmos. 1808 Environ., 125, 486–504, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.10.065, 2016.
 - Gray, L. J., Beer, J., Geller, M., Haigh, J. D., Lockwood, M., Matthes, K., Cubasch, U., Fleitmann, D., Harrison, G., and Hood, L.: Solar influences on climate, Rev. Geophys., 48, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009RG000282, 2010.
 - Grell, G., Freitas, S. R., Stuefer, M., and Fast, J.: Inclusion of biomass burning in WRF-Chem: impact of wildfires on weather forecasts, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 11, 5289-5303, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-5289-2011, 2011.
 - Grell, G. A., Peckham, S. E., Schmitz, R., McKeen, S. A., Frost, G., Skamarock, W. C., and Eder, B.: Fully coupled "online" chemistry within the WRF model, Atmos. Environ., 39, 6957–6975, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.04.027, 2005.
 - Griffin, R. J., Cocker III, D. R., Seinfeld, J. H., and Dabdub, D.: Estimate of global atmospheric organic aerosol from oxidation of biogenic hydrocarbons, Geophys. Res. Lett., 26, 2721–2724, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL900476, 1999.
 - Groß, S., Esselborn, M., Weinzierl, B., Wirth, M., Fix, A., and Petzold, A.: Aerosol classification by airborne high spectral resolution lidar observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 2487-2505, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-2487-2013, 2013.
 - Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P. I., and Geron, C.: Estimates of global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3181–3210, 2006.
 - Guo, J., Deng, M., Fan, J., Li, Z., Chen, Q., Zhai, P., Dai, Z., and Li, X.: Precipitation and air pollution at mountain and plain stations in northern China: Insights gained from observations and modeling, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 119, 4793–4807, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD021161, 2014. Guo, J., Liu, H., Li, Z., Rosenfeld, D., Jiang, M., Xu, W., and Jiang, J. H.: Aerosol-induced changes in
 - the vertical structure of precipitation : a perspective of TRMM precipitation radar, 18, 13329-13343, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-13329-2018, 2018.
 - Gurjar, B. R., Ravindra, K., and Nagpure, A. S.: Air pollution trends over Indian megacities and their local-to-global implications, Atmos. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.06.030, 2016. Environ., 142, 475-495,
 - Haywood, J. and Boucher, O.: Estimates of the direct and indirect radiative forcing due to tropospheric aerosols: A review, Rev. Geophys., 38, 513–543, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999RG000078, 2000.
- 1838 He, J. and Zhang, Y.: Improvement and further development in CESM/CAM5: gas-phase chemistry and inorganic aerosol treatments, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 9171-9200, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-1840 9171-2014, 2014.
 - He, J., Zhang, Y., Wang, K., Chen, Y., Leung, L. R., Fan, J., Li, M., Zheng, B., Zhang, Q., and Duan, F.: Multi-year application of WRF-CAM5 over East Asia-Part I: Comprehensive evaluation and PM_{2.5}, of formation regimes O_3 and Atmos. Environ., 165, 122 - 142, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.06.015, 2017.
- Hodshire, A. L., Akherati, A., Alvarado, M. J., Brown-Steiner, B., Jathar, S. H., Jimenez, J. L., 1845 1846 Kreidenweis, S. M., Lonsdale, C. R., Onasch, T. B., and Ortega, A. M.: Aging effects on biomass burning aerosol mass and composition: A critical review of field and laboratory studies, Environ. Sci. Technol., 53, 10007–10022, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b02588, 2019. 1847 1848
- 1849 Hodzic, A. and Jimenez, J. L.: Modeling anthropogenically controlled secondary organic aerosols in a 1850 megacity: A simplified framework for global and climate models, Geosci. Model Dev., 4, 901-917, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-4-901-2011, 2011. 1851
- Ten Hoeve, J. E. and Jacobson, M. Z.: Worldwide health effects of the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear 1852 accident, Energy Environ. Sci., 5, 8743-8757, https://doi.org/10.1039/c2ee22019a, 2012. 1853

- 1785 1786 1787
- 1789 1790
- 1791 1792

1795

1807

1809

1810

1811 1812

1813 1814

1819 1820 1821

1822

1823 1824

1825

1826 1827

1828

1829 1830

1831 1832

1833

1834

1835 1836

1837

1839

1841

1842

1843

- 1854 Hong, C., Zhang, Q., Zhang, Y., Tang, Y., Tong, D., and He, K.: Multi-year downscaling application of 1855 two-way coupled WRF v3.4 and CMAQ v5.0.2 over east Asia for regional climate and air quality modeling: model evaluation and aerosol direct effects., Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 2447-2470, 1856 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-2447-2017, 2017. Hong, C., Zhang, Q., Zhang, Y., Davis, S. J., Tong, D., Zheng, Y., Liu, Z., Guan, D., He, K., and 1857
- 1858 Schellnhuber, H. J.: Impacts of climate change on future air quality and human health in China, 1859 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 116, 17193-17200, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1812881116, 2019. 1860 1861
 - Hu, X., Klein, P. M., and Xue, M.: Evaluation of the updated YSU planetary boundary layer scheme within WRF for wind resource and air quality assessments, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 10-490, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50823, 2013.

1863

1880

1883

1884

1885

1886

1887 1888

1894

1899

- 1864 Huang, J., Wang, T., Wang, W., Li, Z., and Yan, H.: Climate effects of dust aerosols over East Asian 1865 arid semiarid Geophys. and regions, J. Res. Atmos., 119, 11 - 398, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD021796, 2014. 1866
- Huang, L., Lin, W., Li, F., Wang, Y., and Jiang, B.: Climate impacts of the biomass burning in Indochina 1867 on atmospheric conditions over southern China, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 19, 2707-2720, 1868 https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2019.01.0028, 2019. 1869
- Huang, X., Song, Y., Zhao, C., Cai, X., Zhang, H., and Zhu, T.: Direct radiative effect by multicomponent aerosol over China, J. Clim., 28, 3472–3495, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00365.1, 2015.
 Huang, X., Ding, A., Liu, L., Liu, Q., Ding, K., Niu, X., Nie, W., Xu, Z., Chi, X., and Wang, M.: Effects 1870 1871
- 1872 of aerosol-radiation interaction on precipitation during biomass-burning season in East China., 1873 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 10063-10082, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-10063-2016, 2016. 1874
- Illingworth, A. J., Barker, H. W., Beljaars, A., Ceccaldi, M., Chepfer, H., Clerbaux, N., Cole, J., Delanoë, J., Domenech, C., and Donovan, D. P.: The EarthCARE satellite: The next step forward in global 1875 1876 measurements of clouds, aerosols, precipitation, and radiation, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 96, 1311-1877 1878 1332, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-12-00227.1, 2015.
- 1879 Im, U., Bianconi, R., Solazzo, E., Kioutsioukis, I., Badia, A., Balzarini, A., Baró, R., Bellasio, R., Brunner, D., and Chemel, C.: Evaluation of operational on-line-coupled regional air quality models over Europe and North America in the context of AQMEII phase 2. Part I: Özone, Atmos. Environ., 1881 115, 404–420, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.09.042, 2015a. 1882
 - Im, U., Bianconi, R., Solazzo, E., Kioutsioukis, I., Badia, A., Balzarini, A., Baró, R., Bellasio, R., Brunner, D., and Chemel, C.: Evaluation of operational online-coupled regional air quality models over Europe and North America in the context of AQMEII phase 2. Part II: Particulate matter, Atmos. Environ., 115, 421-441, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.08.072, 2015b.
 - IPCC: Climate change 2007: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2007.
- 1889 IPCC: Climate change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth 1890 Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change., 2014.
- 1891 IPCC: Climate change 2021: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Sixth 1892 Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change., 2021. 1893
 - Jacobson, M. Z.: Developing, coupling, and applying a gas, aerosol, transport, and radiation model to study urban and regional air pollution, 1994.
- Jacobson, M. Z.: Development and application of a new air pollution modeling system-Part III. 1895 1896 Aerosol-phase simulations, Atmos. Environ., 31, 587-608, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-1897 2310(96)00201-4, 1997a. 1898
 - Jacobson, M. Z.: Numerical techniques to solve condensational and dissolutional growth equations when growth is coupled to reversible reactions, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 27, 491–498, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786829708965489, 1997b.
 - Jacobson, M. Z.: Fundamentals of atmospheric modeling, Cambridge university press, 1999.
- Jacobson, M. Z.: Strong radiative heating due to the mixing state of black carbon in atmospheric aerosols, 1902 1903 409, 695–697, https://doi.org/10.1038/35055518, 2001.
- Jacobson, M. Z .: Analysis of aerosol interactions with numerical techniques for solving coagulation, 1904 1905 nucleation, condensation, dissolution, and reversible chemistry among multiple size distributions, J. 1906 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC-2, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD002044, 2002.
- 1907 Jacobson, M. Z.: Development of mixed-phase clouds from multiple aerosol size distributions and the 1908 of the clouds on aerosol removal, J. Geophys. Res. effect Atmos.. 108. https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002691, 2003. 1909
- 1910 Jacobson, M. Z.: History of, processes in, and numerical techniques in GATOR-GCMOM, 2012a.
- 1911 Jacobson, M. Z.: Investigating cloud absorption effects: Global absorption properties of black carbon, tar balls, and soil dust in clouds and aerosols, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 117, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD017218, 2012b. 1912 1913
- 1914 Jacobson, M. Z. and Jadhav, V.: World estimates of PV optimal tilt angles and ratios of sunlight incident upon tilted and tracked PV panels relative to horizontal panels, Sol. Energy, 169, 55-66, 1915 1916 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2018.04.030, 2018.
- 1917 Jacobson, M. Z. and Turco, R. P.: Simulating condensational growth, evaporation, and coagulation of 1918 aerosols using a combined moving and stationary size grid, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 22, 73-92, 1919 https://doi.org/10.1080/02786829408959729, 1995.
- Jacobson, M. Z., Turco, R. P., Jensen, E. J., and Toon, O. B.: Modeling coagulation among particles of 1920 1921 different composition and size, Atmos. Environ., 28, 1327-1338, https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-1922 2310(94)90280-1, 1994.

- 1923 Jacobson, M. Z., Lu, R., Turco, R. P., and Toon, O. B.: Development and application of a new air 1924 pollution modeling system-part I: Gas-phase simulations, Atmos. Environ., 30, 1939-1963, https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(95)00139-5, 1996a. 1925
- Jacobson, M. Z., Tabazadeh, A., and Turco, R. P.: Simulating equilibrium within aerosols and nonequilibrium between gases and aerosols, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 101, 9079–9091, 1926 1927 https://doi.org/10.1029/96JD00348, 1996b. 1928
- 1929 Jacobson, M. Z., Kaufman, Y. J., and Rudich, Y.: Examining feedbacks of aerosols to urban climate with 1930 a model that treats 3-D clouds with aerosol inclusions, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 112, 1931 https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008922, 2007.
- Jacobson, M. Z., Nghiem, S. V, Sorichetta, A., and Whitney, N.: Ring of impact from the mega-1932 urbanization of Beijing between 2000 and 2009, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 120, 5740-5756, 1933 1934 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD023008, 2015.
- Jacobson, M. Z., Nghiem, S. V, and Sorichetta, A.: Short-term impacts of the megaurbanizations of New Delhi and Los Angeles between 2000 and 2009, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 124, 35–56, 1935 1936 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029310, 2019. 1937
- 1938 Jena, C., Ghude, S. D., Pfister, G. G., Chate, D. M., Kumar, R., Beig, G., Surendran, D. E., Fadnavis, S., 1939 and Lal, D. M.: Influence of springtime biomass burning in South Asia on regional ozone (O₃): A 1940 model based case study, Atmos. Environ., 100. 37 - 47. 1941 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.10.027, 2015.
- 1942 Jeong, J. I. and Park, R. J.: Winter monsoon variability and its impact on aerosol concentrations in East 1943 Asia, Environ. Pollut., 221, 285–292, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.11.075, 2017.
- 1944 Jia, X. and Guo, X.: Impacts of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Pollutant on Formation and Development of a Winter Heavy Fog Event, Chi https://doi.org/10.1007/s11783-011-0280-z, 2012. 1945 Chinese J. Atmos. Sci., 36, 995-1008, 1946 1947
- Jia, X., Quan, J., Zheng, Z., Liu, X., Liu, Q., He, H., and Liu, Y.: Impacts of Anthropogenic Aerosols on 1948 Fog in North China Plain, J. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029437, 2019. Ĵ. 124. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 252 - 265. 1950

1951

1952

1953

1954 1955 1956

1957 1958 1959

1961

1963

1964

1965

1966 1967

1968

1969

1970

1976

1977

- Jiang, B., Huang, B., Lin, W., and Xu, S.: Investigation of the effects of anthropogenic pollution on typhoon precipitation and microphysical processes using WRF-Chem, J. Atmos. Sci., 73, 1593-1610, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-15-0202.1, 2016.
- Jiang, B., Lin, W., Li, F., and Chen, J.: Sea-salt aerosol effects on the simulated microphysics and precipitation in a tropical cyclone, J. Meteorol. Res., 33, 115–125, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13351-019-8108-z, 2019a.
- Jiang, B., Lin, W., Li, F., and Chen, B.: Simulation of the effects of sea-salt aerosols on cloud ice and precipitation of a tropical cyclone, Atmos. Sci. Lett., 20, e936, https://doi.org/10.1002/asl.936, 2019b.
- Jimenez, P. A., Hacker, J. P., Dudhia, J., Haupt, S. E., Ruiz-Arias, J. A., Gueymard, C. A., Thompson, 1960 G., Eidhammer, T., and Deng, A.: WRF-Solar: Description and clear-sky assessment of an augmented NWP model for solar power prediction, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 97, 1249-1264, 1962 https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00279.1, 2016.
 - Jin, Q., Wei, J., Yang, Z.-L., Pu, B., and Huang, J.: Consistent response of Indian summer monsoon to Middle East dust in observations and simulations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 9897-9915, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-9897-2015, 2015.
 - Jin, Q., Yang, Z.-L., and Wei, J.: High sensitivity of Indian summer monsoon to Middle East dust absorptive properties, Sci. Rep., 6, 1–8, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep30690, 2016a.
 - Jin, Q., Yang, Z.-L., and Wei, J.: Seasonal responses of Indian summer monsoon to dust aerosols in the Middle East, India, and China, J. Clim., 29, 6329–6349, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0622.1, 2016b.
- Jung, J., Souri, A. H., Wong, D. C., Lee, S., Jeon, W., Kim, J., and Choi, Y.: The Impact of the Direct 1971 Effect of Aerosols on Meteorology and Air Quality Using Aerosol Optical Depth Assimilation 1972 During the KORUS-AQ Campaign, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 124, 8303-8319, 1973 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030641, 2019. 1974 1975
 - Kajino, M., Ueda, H., Han, Z., Kudo, R., Inomata, Y., and Kaku, H.: Synergy between air pollution and urban meteorological changes through aerosol-radiation-diffusion feedback-A case study of January 2013, Atmos. Environ., 98-110, Beijing in 171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.10.018., 2017.
- 1979 Kant, S., Panda, J., and Gautam, R.: A seasonal analysis of aerosol-cloud-radiation interaction over 1980 Indian region during 2000-2017, Atmos. Environ. 201. 212-222.1981 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.12.044, 2019.
- 1982 Kedia, S., Cherian, R., Islam, S., Das, S. K., and Kaginalkar, A.: Regional simulation of aerosol radiative 1983 effects and their influence on rainfall over India using WRFChem model, Atmos. Res., 182, 232-242, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2016.07.008, 2016. 1984
- 1985 Kedia, S., Vellore, R. K., Islam, S., and Kaginalkar, A.: A study of Himalayan extreme rainfall events 1986 using WRF-Chem, Meteorol. Atmos. Phys., 131, 1133-1143, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00703-018-1987 0626-1, 2019a.
- 1988 Kedia, S., Kumar, S., Islam, S., Hazra, A., and Kumar, N.: Aerosols impact on the convective and non-1989 convective rain distribution over the Indian region : Results from WRF-Chem simulation, Atmos. 1990 Environ., 202, 64–74, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.01.020, 2019b.
- 1991 Keita, S. A., Girard, E., Raut, J.-C., Leriche, M., Blanchet, J.-P., Pelon, J., Onishi, T., and Cirisan, A.: A

- 1992new parameterization of ice heterogeneous nucleation coupled to aerosol chemistry in WRF-Chem1993model version 3.5.1: evaluation through ISDAC measurements, Geosci. Model Dev., 13, 5737–19945755, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-5737-2020, 2020.
- Kim, B., Schwartz, S. E., Miller, M. A., and Min, Q.: Effective radius of cloud droplets by ground-based
 remote sensing: Relationship to aerosol, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 108, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD003721, 2003.
- Knote, C., Hodzić, A., Jimenez, J. L., Volkamer, R., Orlando, J. J., Baidar, S., Brioude, J., Fast, J., Gentner, D. R., and Goldstein, A. H.: Simulation of semi-explicit mechanisms of SOA formation from glyoxal in aerosol in a 3-D model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 6213–6239, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-6213-2014, 2014.
- Koch, D. and Del Genio, A. D.: Black carbon semi-direct effects on cloud cover: review and synthesis.,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 7685–7696, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-7685-2010, 2010.
- Kong, X., Forkel, R., Sokhi, R. S., Suppan, P., Baklanov, A., Gauss, M., Brunner, D., Barò, R., Balzarini, A., Chemel, C., Curci, G., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Hirtl, M., Honzak, L., Im, U., Pérez, J. L., Pirovano, G., San Jose, R., Schlünzen, K. H., Tsegas, G., Tuccella, P., Werhahn, J., Zabkar, R., and Galmarini, 2004 2005 2006 2007 S.: Analysis of meteorology-chemistry interactions during air pollution episodes using online 2008 within AQMÉII phase-2, Atmos. Environ., 115, 527-540. coupled models 2009 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.09.020, 2015.
- Kuik, F., Lauer, A., Churkina, G., Denier van der Gon, H., Fenner, D., Mar, K., and Butler, T.: Air quality
 modelling in the Berlin-Brandenburg region using WRF-Chem v3.7.1: sensitivity to resolution of
 model grid and input data, Geosci. Model Dev., 9, 4339–4363, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-43392016, 2016.
- Kulmala, M., Laaksonen, A., and Pirjola, L.: Parameterizations for sulfuric acid/water nucleation rates,
 J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 103, 8301–8307, https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD03718, 1998.
- Kumar, P., Sokolik, I. N., and Nenes, A.: Parameterization of cloud droplet formation for global and regional models: including adsorption activation from insoluble CCN., Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 2517–2532, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-2517-2009, 2009.
 Kumar, R., Naja, M., Pfister, G. G., Barth, M. C., Wiedinmyer, C., and Brasseur, G. P.: Simulations over
- Kumar, R., Naja, M., Pfister, G. G., Barth, M. C., Wiedinmyer, C., and Brasseur, G. P.: Simulations over
 South Asia using the Weather Research and Forecasting model with Chemistry (WRF-Chem):
 chemistry evaluation and initial results, Geosci. Model Dev., 5, 619–648,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-5-619-2012, 2012a.

2026 2027 2028

2029

2030

2031

2032 2033 2034

2035

2036 2037

2038 2039

2040

2041

- Kumar, R., Naja, M., Pfister, G. G., Barth, M. C., and Brasseur, G. P.: Simulations over South Asia using the Weather Research and Forecasting model with Chemistry (WRF-Chem): set-up and meteorological evaluation, Geosci. Model Dev., 5, 321–343, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-5-321-2012, 2012b.
 Kumar, R., Barth, M. C., Pfister, G. G., Naja, M., and Brasseur, G. P.: WRF-Chem simulations of a
- Kumar, R., Barth, M. C., Pfister, G. G., Naja, M., and Brasseur, G. P.: WRF-Chem simulations of a typical pre-monsoon dust storm in northern India: influences on aerosol optical properties and radiation budget, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 14, 2431–2446, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-2431-2014, 2014.
- Kuniyal, J. C. and Guleria, R. P.: The current state of aerosol-radiation interactions: a mini review, J. Aerosol Sci., 130, 45–54, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2018.12.010, 2019.
 Lau, W. K. M., Kim, K.-M., Shi, J.-J., Matsui, T., Chin, M., Tan, Q., Peters-Lidard, C., and Tao, W.-K.:
- Lau, W. K. M., Kim, K.-M., Shi, J.-J., Matsui, T., Chin, M., Tan, Q., Peters-Lidard, C., and Tao, W.-K.: Impacts of aerosol-monsoon interaction on rainfall and circulation over Northern India and the Himalaya Foothills, Clim. Dyn., 49, 1945–1960, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-016-3430-y, 2017.
- Lee, H.-H., Chen, S.-H., Kumar, A., Zhang, H., and Kleeman, M. J.: Improvement of aerosol activation/ice nucleation in a source-oriented WRF-Chem model to study a winter Storm in California, Atmos. Res., 235, 104790, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2019.104790, 2020.
- Lee, Y. C., Yang, X., and Wenig, M.: Transport of dusts from East Asian and non-East Asian sources to Hong Kong during dust storm related events 1996–2007, Atmos. Environ., 44, 3728–3738, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.03.034, 2010.
- Lelieveld, J., Evans, J. S., Fnais, M., Giannadaki, D., and Pozzer, A.: The contribution of outdoor air pollution sources to premature mortality on a global scale, Nature, 525, 367, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15371, 2015.
 Lelieveld, J., Bourtsoukidis, E., Brühl, C., Fischer, H., Fuchs, H., Harder, H., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland,
 - Lelieveld, J., Bourtsoukidis, E., Brühl, C., Fischer, H., Fuchs, H., Harder, H., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Marno, D., and Neumaier, M.: The South Asian monsoon-pollution pump and purifier, Science (80-.)., 361, 270–273, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar2501, 2018.
- Li, J., Wang, Z., Wang, X., Yamaji, K., Takigawa, M., Kanaya, Y., Pochanart, P., Liu, Y., Irie, H., and Hu, B.: Impacts of aerosols on summertime tropospheric photolysis frequencies and photochemistry over Central Eastern China, Atmos. Environ., 45, 1817–1829, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.01.016, 2011a.
- Li, J., Chen, X., Wang, Z., Du, H., Yang, W., Sun, Y., Hu, B., Li, J., Wang, W., and Wang, T.: Radiative and heterogeneous chemical effects of aerosols on ozone and inorganic aerosols over East Asia, Sci. Total Environ., 622, 1327–1342, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.041, 2018a.
 Li, J., Nagashima, T., Kong, L., Ge, B., Yamaji, K., Fu, J. S., Wang, X., Fan, Q., Itahashi, S., and Hyo-
- Li, J., Nagashima, T., Kong, L., Ge, B., Yamaji, K., Fu, J. S., Wang, X., Fan, Q., Itahashi, S., and Hyo-Jung, L.: Model evaluation and intercomparison of surface-level ozone and relevant species in East Asia in the context of MICS-Asia Phase III–Part 1: Overview, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 12993–13015, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-12993-2019, 2019a.
- Li, L. and Liao, H.: Role of the Radiative Effect of Black Carbon in Simulated PM_{2.5} Concentrations
 during a Haze Event in China, Atmos. Ocean. Sci. Lett., 7, 434–440,

2061 https://doi.org/10.3878/j.issn.1674-2834.14.0023, 2014.

2085

2087

2089

2090 2091

2092

2093

2094 2095

2096 2097 2098

2099

2101

2102

2103

2104 2105

2106

2107 2108

2109 2110 2111

2112

2113 2114

2115

2116 2117

2118

2119

2120 2121

- 2062 Li, L. and Sokolik, I. N.: The Dust Direct Radiative Impact and Its Sensitivity to the Land Surface State 2063 and Key Minerals in the WRF-Chem-DuMo Model: A Case Study of Dust Storms in Central Asia, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 123, 4564–4582, https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JD027667, 2018. Li, M., Zhang, Q., Kurokawa, J., and Woo, J.-H.: MIX: a mosaic Asian anthropogenic emission inventory 2064
- 2065 under the international collaboration framework of the MICS-Asia and HTAP, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2066 2067 17, 935–963, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-935-2017, 2017a.
- 2068 Li, M., Wang, T., Xie, M., Li, S., Zhuang, B., Chen, P., Huang, X., and Han, Y.: Agricultural fire impacts 2069 on ozone photochemistry over the Yangtze River Delta region, East China, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 2070 123, 6605-6623, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD028582, 2018b.
- 2071 Li, M., Wang, T., Xie, M., Li, S., Zhuang, B., Huang, X., Chen, P., Zhao, M., and Liu, J.: Formation and 2072 evolution mechanisms for two extreme haze episodes in the Yangtze River Delta region of China 2073 winter 2016. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 124, 3607-3623, during https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030535, 2019b. 2074
- 2075 Li, M. M., Wang, T., Xie, M., Zhuang, B., Li, S., Han, Y., and Chen, P.: Impacts of aerosol-radiation 2076 feedback on local air quality during a severe haze episode in Nanjing megacity, eastern China, 2077 Tellus, Ser. B Chem. Phys. Meteorol., 69, 1–16, https://doi.org/10.1080/16000889.2017.1339548, 2078 2017b.
- 2079 Li, M. M., Wang, T., Han, Y., Xie, M., Li, S., Zhuang, B., and Chen, P.: Modeling of a severe dust event 2080 and its impacts on ozone photochemistry over the downstream Nanjing megacity of eastern China, 2081 Atmos. Environ., 160, 107–123, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.04.010, 2017c.
- 2082 Li, Z., Niu, F., Fan, J., Liu, Y., Rosenfeld, D., and Ding, Y.: Long-term impacts of aerosols on the vertical clouds 2083 development of and precipitation, Nat. Geosci., 4. 888-894, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1313, 2011b. 2084
- Li, Z., Lau, W. K. M., Ramanathan, V., Wu, G., Ding, Y., Manoj, M. G., Liu, J., Qian, Y., Li, J., Zhou, T., Fan, J., Rosenfeld, D., Ming, Y., Wang, Y., Huang, J., Wang, B., Xu, X., Lee, S. S., Cribb, M., Zhang, F., Yang, X., Zhao, C., Takemura, T., Wang, K., Xia, X., Yin, Y., Zhang, H., Guo, J., Zhai, P. M., Sugimoto, N., Babu, S. S., and Brasseur, G. P.: Aerosol and monsoon climate interactions 2086 2088 over Asia, Rev. Geophys., 54, 866-929, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015RG000500, 2016.
 - Li, Z., Wang, Y., Guo, J., Zhao, C., Cribb, M. C., Dong, X., Fan, J., Gong, D., Huang, J., and Jiang, M.: East Asian study of tropospheric aerosols and their impact on regional clouds, precipitation, and (EAST-AIRCPC), J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 124, 13026-13054, climate https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030758, 2019c.
 - Liao, J., Wang, T., Wang, X., Xie, M., Jiang, Z., Huang, X., and Zhu, J.: Impacts of different urban canopy schemes in WRF/Chem on regional climate and air quality in Yangtze River Delta, China, Atmos. Res., 145, 226–243, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2014.04.005, 2014. Lin, C.-Y., Zhao, C., Liu, X., Lin, N.-H., and Chen, W.-N.: Modelling of long-range transport of
 - Southeast Asia biomass-burning aerosols to Taiwan and their radiative forcings over East Asia, Tellus B Chem. Phys. Meteorol., 66, 23733, https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v66.23733, 2014a.
- 2100 Lin, N.-H., Sayer, A. M., Wang, S.-H., Loftus, A. M., Hsiao, T.-C., Sheu, G.-R., Hsu, N. C., Tsay, S.-C., and Chantara, S.: Interactions between biomass-burning aerosols and clouds over Southeast Asia: Current status, challenges, and perspectives, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.06.036, 2014b. Environ. Pollut., 195, 292 - 307.
 - Liu, C., Wang, T., Chen, P., Li, M., Zhao, M., Zhao, K., Wang, M., and Yang, X.: Effects of Aerosols on the Precipitation of Convective Clouds: A Case Study in the Yangtze River Delta of China, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 124, 7868-7885, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029924, 2019.
 - Liu, G., Shao, H., Coakley Jr, J. A., Curry, J. A., Haggerty, J. A., and Tschudi, M. A.: Retrieval of cloud droplet size from visible and microwave radiometric measurements during INDOEX: Implication to aerosols' indirect radiative effect, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 108, AAC 2-1-AAC 2-10, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001395, 2003.
 - Liu, L., Huang, X., Ding, A., and Fu, C.: Dust-induced radiative feedbacks in north China : A dust storm WRF-Chem. 129. episode modeling studv using Atmos. Environ.. 43-54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.01.019, 2016a.
 - Liu, L., Bai, Y., Lin, C., and Yang, H.: Evaluation of Regional Air Quality Numerical Forecasting System in Central China and Its Application for Aerosol Radiative Effect, Meteorol. Mon., 44, 1179–1190, https://doi.org/10.7519/j.issn.1000-0526.2018.09.006, 2018a.
 - Liu, Q., Jia, X., Quan, J., Li, J., Li, X., Wu, Y., Chen, D., Wang, Z., and Liu, Y.: New positive feedback mechanism between boundary layer meteorology and secondary aerosol formation during severe haze events, Sci. Rep., 8, 1-8, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-24366-3, 2018b.
 - Liu, X., Easter, R. C., Ghan, S. J., Zaveri, R., Rasch, P., Shi, X., Lamarque, J.-F., Gettelman, A., Morrison, H., and Vitt, F.: Toward a minimal representation of aerosols in climate models: Description and evaluation in the Community Atmosphere Model CAM5, Geosci. Model Dev., 5, 709–739, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-5-709-2012, 2012.
- Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Q., and He, K.: Application of online-coupled WRF/Chem-MADRID in East 2124 2125 Asia : Model evaluation and climatic effects of anthropogenic aerosols, Atmos. Environ., 124, 321-2126 336, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.03.052, 2016b.
- Liu, Z., Yim, S. H. L., Wang, C., and Lau, N. C.: The Impact of the Aerosol Direct Radiative Forcing on 2127 Deep Convection and Air Quality in the Pearl River Delta Region, Geophys. Res. Lett., 45, 4410-2128 2129 4418, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL077517, 2018c.

- 2130 Liu, Z., Yi, M., Zhao, C., Lau, N. C., Guo, J., Bollasina, M., and Yim, S. H. L.: Contribution of local and 2131 remote anthropogenic aerosols to a record-breaking torrential rainfall event in Guangdong Province, 2132 China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 223–241, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-223-2020, 2020.
- 2133 Lohmann, U. and Diehl, K.: Sensitivity studies of the importance of dust ice nuclei for the indirect aerosol 2134 968-982. effect on stratiform mixed-phase clouds, J. Atmos. Sci.. 63. https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS3662.1, 2006. 2135
- 2136 Lohmann, U. and Feichter, J.: Global indirect aerosol effects: a review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 715-737, 2137 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-715-2005, 2005.
- 2138 Ma. X. and Wen, W.: Modelling the Effect of Black Carbon and Sulfate Aerosol on the Regional Meteorology Factors, in: IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci., 12002, https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-2139 1315/78/1/012002, 2017.
- 2141 Ma, X., Chen, D., Wen, W., Sheng, L., Hu, J., Tong, H., and Wei, P.: Effect of Particle Pollution on Regional Meteorological Factors in China, J. Beijing Univ. Technol., 285–295, https://doi.org/10.11936/bjutxb2015040075, 2016. 2142 2143

2166

2167

2168

2177

2178 2179

2180

2181

2182

2183 2184

2185

2186

2187

2188

2189 2190

2191

- 2144 Mailler, S., Menut, L., Khvorostyanov, D., Valari, M., Couvidat, F., Siour, G., Turquety, S., Briant, R., Tuccella, P., and Bessagnet, B.: CHIMERE-2017: from urban to hemispheric chemistry-transport 2145 2146 modeling, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 2397-2423, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-2397-2017, 2017.
- Makar, P. A., Gong, W., Milbrandt, J., Hogrefe, C., Zhang, Y., Curci, G., Žabkar, R., Im, U., Balzarini, 2147 A., Baró, R., Bianconi, R., Cheung, P., Forkel, R., Gravel, S., Hirtl, M., Honzak, L., Hou, A., 2148 2149 Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Langer, M., Moran, M. D., Pabla, B., Pérez, J. L., Pirovano, G., San José, R., 2150 Tuccella, P., Werhahn, J., Zhang, J., and Galmarini, S.: Feedbacks between air pollution and weather, 442-469. 2151 Effects weather, Atmos. Environ., 115. Part 1: on https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.12.003, 2015a. Makar, P. A., Gong, W., Hogrefe, C., Zhang, Y., Curci, G., Žabkar, R., Milbrandt, J., Im, U., Balzarini, 2152
- 2153 2154 A., Baró, R., Bianconi, R., Cheung, P., Forkel, R., Gravel, S., Hirtl, M., Honzak, L., Hou, A., 2155 Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Langer, M., Moran, M. D., Pabla, B., Pérez, J. L., Pirovano, G., San José, R., 2156 Tuccella, P., Werhahn, J., Zhang, J., and Galmarini, S.: Feedbacks between air pollution and weather, 2157 part 2: Effects on chemistry, Atmos. Environ., 115. 499-526. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.10.021, 2015b. 2158
- 2159 Manisalidis, I., Stavropoulou, E., Stavropoulos, A., and Bezirtzoglou, E.: Environmental and health 2160 impacts of air pollution: A review, Front. public Heal., 8, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00014, 2161 2020.
- 2162 Marelle, L., Raut, J.-C., Law, K. S., Berg, L. K., Fast, J. D., Easter, R. C., Shrivastava, M., and Thomas, 2163 J. L.: Improvements to the WRF-Chem 3.5. 1 model for quasi-hemispheric simulations of aerosols 2164 and ozone in the Arctic, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 3661-3677, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-3661-2165 2017, 2017.
 - Martin, D. E. and Leight, W. G.: Objective temperature estimates from mean circulation patterns, Mon. Weather 77, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-Rev., 275–283, 0493(1949)077<0275:OTEFMC>2.0.CO;2, 1949.
- 2169 Martin, S. T., Schlenker, J. C., Malinowski, A., Hung, H., and Rudich, Y.: Crystallization of atmospheric 2170 sulfate-nitrate-ammonium particles, Res. Geophys. Lett., 30. https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL017930, 2003. 2171
- 2172 Mass, C. and Ovens, D.: Fixing WRF's high speed wind bias: A new subgrid scale drag parameterization 2173 and the role of detailed verification, in: 24th conference on weather and forecasting and 20th 2174 conference on numerical weather prediction, preprints, 91st American meteorological society 2175 annual meeting, 2011. 2176
 - McCormick, R. A. and Ludwig, J. H.: Climate modification by atmospheric aerosols, Science (80-.)., 156, 1358–1359, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.156.3780.1358, 1967.
 - McMurry, P. H. and Friedlander, S. K .: New particle formation in the presence of an aerosol, Atmos. Environ., 13, 1635–1651, https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(79)90322-6, 1979.
 - Miao, Y., Liu, S., Zheng, Y., and Wang, S.: Modeling the feedback between aerosol and boundary layer processes: a case study in Beijing, China, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 23, 3342-3357, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-5562-8, 2016.
 - Miao, Y., Guo, J., Liu, S., Zhao, C., Li, X., Zhang, G., Wei, W., and Ma, Y.: Impacts of synoptic condition and planetary boundary layer structure on the trans-boundary aerosol transport from Beijing-China, Tianjin-Hebei northeast Atmos. Environ., 181, 1 - 11. region to https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.03.005, 2018.
 - Napari, I., Noppel, M., Vehkamäki, H., and Kulmala, M.: Parametrization of ternary nucleation rates for H₂SO₄-NH₃-H₂O vapors, J. Geophys. https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002132, 2002. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC 6-1-AAC 6-6.
 - Nenes, A., Pandis, S. N., and Pilinis, C.: ISORROPIA: A new thermodynamic equilibrium model for multiphase multicomponent inorganic aerosols, Aquat. geochemistry, 4. 123 - 152, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009604003981, 1998.
- 2193 Nguyen, G. T. H., Shimadera, H., Sekiguchi, A., Matsuo, T., and Kondo, A.: Investigation of aerosol 2194 direct effects on meteorology and air quality in East Asia by using an online coupled modeling 2195 system, Atmos. Environ., 207, 182–196, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.03.017., 2019a.
- 2196 Nguyen, G. T. H., Shimadera, H., Uranishi, K., Matsuo, T., Kondo, A., and Thepanondh, S.: Numerical assessment of PM2.5 and O3 air quality in continental Southeast Asia: Baseline simulation and 2197 2198 aerosol direct effects investigation, Atmos. Environ., 219, 117054,

2199 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.117054, 2019b.

2205

2206

2207

2208

2209

2210

2216 2217 2218

2219

2220

2221

2226 2227

2228 2229 2230

2231

2232

2233

2234 2235

2236

2237

2238

2239 2240 2241

2242

2243

2244

2245

2246 2247

2248 2249 2250

2251

2252

2253

2258

2259

- 2200 North, G. R., Pyle, J. A., and Zhang, F.: Encyclopedia of atmospheric sciences, Elsevier, 2014.
- 2201 Odum, J. R., Jungkamp, T. P. W., Griffin, R. J., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: The atmospheric aerosol-forming potential of whole gasoline vapor, Science (80-.)., 276, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.276.5309.96, 1997. 2202 96-99. 2203 2204
 - Park, S.-Y., Lee, H.-J., Kang, J.-E., Lee, T., and Kim, C.-H.: Aerosol radiative effects on mesoscale cloud-precipitation variables over Northeast Asia during the MAPS-Seoul 2015 campaign, Atmos. Environ., 172, 109–123, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.10.044, 2018.
 - Penner, J. E., Dong, X., and Chen, Y.: Observational evidence of a change in radiative forcing due to the indirect aerosol effect, Nature, 427, 231-234, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02234, 2004.
 - Qiu, Y., Liao, H., Zhang, R., and Hu, J.: Simulated impacts of direct radiative effects of scattering and absorbing aerosols on surface layer aerosol concentrations in China during a heavily polluted event in february 2014, J. Geophys. Res., 122, 5955-5975, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD026309, 2017.
- 2211 2212 Quaas, J., Boucher, O., Bellouin, N., and Kinne, S.: Satellite-based estimate of the direct and indirect 2213 aerosol climate forcing, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 113, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008962, 2214 2008. 2215
 - Reid, J. S., Koppmann, R., Eck, T. F., and Eleuterio, D. P.: A review of biomass burning emissions part II: intensive physical properties of biomass burning particles, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 799-825, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-799-2005, 2005.
 - Rohde, R. A. and Muller, R. A.: Air pollution in China: mapping of concentrations and sources, PLoS One, 10, e0135749, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135749, 2015.
 - Rosenfeld, D.: Suppression of rain and snow by urban and industrial air pollution, Science (80-.)., 287, 1793-1796, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.287.5459.1793, 2000.
- Rosenfeld, D., Lohmann, U., Raga, G. B., O'Dowd, C. D., Kulmala, M., Fuzzi, S., Reissell, A., and 2222 2223 Andreae, M. O.: Flood or drought: How do aerosols affect precipitation?, Science (80-.)., 321, 2224 1309–1313, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1160606, 2008. 2225
 - Rosenfeld, D., Andreae, M. O., Asmi, A., Chin, M., de Leeuw, G., Donovan, D. P., Kahn, R., Kinne, S., Kivekäs, N., and Kulmala, M.: Global observations of aerosol-cloud-precipitation-climate interactions, Rev. Geophys., 52, 750–808, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013RG000441, 2014.
 - Rosenfeld, D., Zhu, Y., Wang, M., Zheng, Y., Goren, T., and Yu, S.: Aerosol-driven droplet concentrations dominate coverage and water of oceanic low-level clouds, Science (80-.)., 363, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav0566, 2019.
 - Saleh, R., Robinson, E. S., Tkacik, D. S., Ahern, A. T., Liu, S., Aiken, A. C., Sullivan, R. C., Presto, A. A., Dubey, M. K., and Yokelson, R. J.: Brownness of organics in aerosols from biomass burning linked to their black carbon content, Nat. Geosci., 7, 647–650, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2220, 2014.
 - Sarangi, C., Tripathi, S. N., Tripathi, S., and Barth, M. C.: Aerosol-cloud associations over Gangetic Basin during a typical monsoon depression event using WRF-Chem simulation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 120, 10-974, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023634, 2015.
 - Satheesh, S. K. and Moorthy, K. K.: Radiative effects of natural aerosols: A review, Atmos. Environ., 39, 2089–2110, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.12.029, 2005. Sato, Y. and Suzuki, K.: How do aerosols affect cloudiness?, Science (80-.)., 363, 580–581,
 - https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw3720, 2019.
 - Saylor, R. D., Baker, B. D., Lee, P., Tong, D., Pan, L., and Hicks, B. B.: The particle dry deposition component of total deposition from air quality models: right, wrong or uncertain?, Tellus B Chem. Phys. Meteorol., 71, 1550324, https://doi.org/10.1080/16000889.2018.1550324, 2019.
 - Seaman, N. L.: Meteorological modeling for air-quality assessments, Atmos. Environ., 34, 2231-2259, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00466-5, 2000.
 - Seethala, C., Pandithurai, G., Fast, J. D., Polade, S. D., Reddy, M. S., and Peckham, S. E.: Evaluating WRF-Chem multi-scale model in simulating aerosol radiative properties over the tropics-a case study over India, 26, 269–284, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12647-011-0025-2, 2011.
 - Seinfeld, J. and Pandis, S.: Atmospheric chemistry and physics: atmospheric chemistry and physics, 1998. Sekiguchi, A., Shimadera, H., and Kondo, A.: Impact of aerosol direct effect on wintertime PM_{2.5} simulated by an online coupled meteorology-air quality model over east asia, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 18, 1068–1079, https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2016.06.0282, 2018.
- 2254 Sekiguchi, M., Nakajima, T., Suzuki, K., Kawamoto, K., Higurashi, A., Rosenfeld, D., Sano, I., and 2255 Mukai, S.: A study of the direct and indirect effects of aerosols using global satellite data sets of 2256 aerosol and cloud parameters, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 108, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD003359, 2257 2003.
 - Shahid, M. Z., Shahid, I., Chishtie, F., Shahzad, M. I., and Bulbul, G.: Analysis of a dense haze event over North-eastern Pakistan using WRF-Chem model and remote sensing, J. Atmos. Solar-Terrestrial Phys., 182, 229-241, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2018.12.007, 2019.
- 2261 Shao, Y .: Simplification of a dust emission scheme and comparison with data, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 2262 109, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD004372, 2004. 2263
- Shao, Y. and Dong, C. H.: A review on East Asian dust storm climate, modelling and monitoring, Glob. 2264 Planet. Change, 52, 1-22, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.02.011, 2006.
- 2265 Shen, H., Shi, H., Shi, H., and Ma, X.: Simulation Study of Influence of Aerosol Pollution on Regional Meteorological Factors in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei Region, J. Anhui Agric. Sci., 43, 207–210, https://doi.org/10.13989/j.cnki.0517-6611.2015.25.217, 2015. 2266 2267

Shen, X., Jiang, X., Liu, D., Zu, F., and Fan, S.: Simulations of Anthropogenic Aerosols Effects on the Intensity and Precipitation of Typhoon Fitow (1323) Using WRF-Chem Model, Chinese J. Atmos. Sci., 41, 960–974, https://doi.org/10.3878/j.issn.1006-9895.1703.16216, 2017.
Singh, P., Sarawade, P., and Adhikary, B.: Carbonaceous Aerosol from Open Burning and its Impact on

2272

2273 2274

2275

2276

2277

2278

2283

2284

2285

2286 2287 2288

2289

2290

2291

2292

2293

2294

2295

2296

2297

2298

2299

2300

2301

2302

2303

2304

2305 2306

2307

2308

2309 2310

2311

2312

2313 2314 2315

2316 2317

2318 2319

2320

2321 2322

2323

2324

2325

2326

2327 2328

- Singh, P., Sarawade, P., and Adhikary, B.: Carbonaceous Aerosol from Open Burning and its Impact on Regional Weather in South Asia, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 20, 419–431, https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2019.03.0146, 2020.
- Slinn, W. G. N.: Precipitation scavenging, in atmospheric sciences and power production-1979, Div. Biomed. Environ. Res. US Dep. Energy, Washingt. DC, 1984.
- Soni, P., Tripathi, S. N., and Srivastava, R.: Radiative effects of black carbon aerosols on Indian monsoon: a study using WRF-Chem model, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 132, 115–134, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-017-2057-1, 2018.
- Srinivas, R., Panicker, A. S., Parkhi, N. S., Peshin, S. K., and Beig, G.: Sensitivity of online coupled model to extreme pollution event over a mega city Delhi, Atmos. Pollut. Res., 7, 25–30, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2015.07.001, 2016.
 Su, L. and Fung, J. C. H.: Investigating the role of dust in ice nucleation within clouds and further effects
 - Su, L. and Fung, J. C. H.: Investigating the role of dust in ice nucleation within clouds and further effects on the regional weather system over East Asia--Part 1: model development and validation., Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 8707–8725, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-8707-2018, 2018a.
 - Su, L. and Fung, J. C. H.: Investigating the role of dust in ice nucleation within clouds and further effects on the regional weather system over East Asia--Part 2: modification of the weather system., Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 11529–11545, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-11529-2018, 2018b.
 - Sud, Y. C. and Walker, G. K.: A review of recent research on improvement of physical parameterizations in the GLA GCM, 1990.
 - Sun, K., Liu, H., Wang, X., Peng, Z., and Xiong, Z.: The aerosol radiative effect on a severe haze episode in the Yangtze River Delta, J. Meteorol. Res., 31, 865–873, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13351-017-7007-4, 2017.
 - Takemura, T., Nakajima, T., Higurashi, A., Ohta, S., and Sugimoto, N.: Aerosol distributions and radiative forcing over the Asian Pacific region simulated by Spectral Radiation-Transport Model for Aerosol Species (SPRINTARS), J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 108, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD003210, 2003.
 - Tang, Y., Han, Y., Ma, X., and Liu, Z.: Elevated heat pump effects of dust aerosol over Northwestern China during summer, Atmos. Res., 203, 95–104, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2017.12.004, 2018.
 - Thompson, G. and Eidhammer, T.: A study of aerosol impacts on clouds and precipitation development in a large winter cyclone, J. Atmos. Sci., 71, 3636–3658, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-13-0305.1, 2014.
 - Toon, O. B., McKay, C. P., Ackerman, T. P., and Santhanam, K.: Rapid calculation of radiative heating rates and photodissociation rates in inhomogeneous multiple scattering atmospheres, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 94, 16287–16301, https://doi.org/10.1029/JD094iD13p16287, 1989.
 - Tremback, C., Tripoli, G., Arritt, R., Cotton, W. R., and Pielke, R. A.: The regional atmospheric modeling system, in: Proceedings of an International Conference on Development Applications of Computer Techniques Environmental Studies, 601–607, 1986.
 - Tsay, S.-C., Hsu, N. C., Lau, W. K.-M., Li, C., Gabriel, P. M., Ji, Q., Holben, B. N., Welton, E. J., Nguyen, A. X., and Janjai, S.: From BASE-ASIA toward 7-SEAS: A satellite-surface perspective of boreal spring biomass-burning aerosols and clouds in Southeast Asia, Atmos. Environ., 78, 20– 34, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.12.013, 2013.
 - Twomey, S.: The influence of pollution on the shortwave albedo of clouds, J. Atmos. Sci., 34, 1149–1152, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1977)034<1149:TIOPOT>2.0.CO;2, 1977.
 - Uno, I., Wang, Z., Chiba, M., Chun, Y. S., Gong, S. L., Hara, Y., Jung, E., Lee, S., Liu, M., and Mikami, M.: Dust model intercomparison (DMIP) study over Asia: Overview, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 111, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006575, 2006.
 - Vehkamäki, H., Kulmala, M., Napari, I., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Timmreck, C., Noppel, M., and Laaksonen, A.: An improved parameterization for sulfuric acid-water nucleation rates for tropospheric and stratospheric conditions, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC 3-1-AAC 3-10, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002184, 2002.
 - Wang, D., Jiang, B., Lin, W., and Gu, F.: Effects of aerosol-radiation feedback and topography during an air pollution event over the North China Plain during December 2017, Atmos. Pollut. Res., 10, 587–596, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2018.10.006, 2019a.
 - Wang, H. and Niu, T.: Sensitivity studies of aerosol data assimilation and direct radiative feedbacks in modeling dust aerosols, Atmos. Environ., 64, 208–218, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.09.066, 2013.
 - Wang, H., Zhang, X., Gong, S., Chen, Y., Shi, G., and Li, W.: Radiative feedback of dust aerosols on the East Asian dust storms, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 115, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD013430, 2010.
- Wang, H., Shi, G., Zhu, J., Chen, B., Che, H., and Zhao, T.: Case study of longwave contribution to dust radiative effects over East Asia, Chinese Sci. Bull., 58, 3673–3681, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11434-013-5752-z, 2013.
- Wang, H., Shi, G. Y., Zhang, X. Y., Gong, S. L., Tan, S. C., Chen, B., Che, H. Z., and Li, T.: Mesoscale modelling study of the interactions between aerosols and PBL meteorology during a haze episode in China Jing–Jin–Ji and its near surrounding region–Part 2: Aerosols' radiative feedback effects, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 3277–3287, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-3277-2015, 2015a.

- Wang, H., Peng, Y., Zhang, X., Liu, H., Zhang, M., Che, H., Cheng, Y., and Zheng, Y.: Contributions to 2337 2338 the explosive growth of PM_{2.5} mass due to aerosol-radiation feedback and decrease in turbulent 2339 diffusion during a red alert heavy haze in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 17717–17733, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-17717-2018, 2018a. Wang, J., Wang, S., Jiang, J., Ding, A., Zheng, M., Zhao, B., Wong, D. C., Zhou, W., Zheng, G., Wang, 2340 2341
- 2342 L., Pleim, J. E., and Hao, J.: Impact of aerosol-meteorology interactions on fine particle pollution 2343 during China's severe haze episode in January 2013, Environ. Res. Lett., 9. 2344 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/9/9/094002, 2014a.
- 2345 Wang, J., Allen, D. J., Pickering, K. E., Li, Z., and He, H.: Impact of aerosol direct effect on East Asian air quality during the EAST-AIRE campaign, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 121, 6534–6554, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025108, 2016. 2346
- 2348 Wang, J., Xing, J., Mathur, R., Pleim, J. E., Wang, S., Hogrefe, C., Gan, C.-M., Wong, D. C., and Hao, J.: Historical trends in PM_{2.5}-related premature mortality during 1990-2010 across the northern hemisphere, Environ. Health Perspect., 125, 400–408, https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP298, 2017.
 Wang, K., Yahya, K., Zhang, Y., Hogrefe, C., Pouliot, G., Knote, C., Hodzic, A., San Jose, R., Perez, J. 2349 2350

2356

2357

2358 2359

2368

2369 2370

2371

2372 2373

2374 2375

2376 2377

2378 2379

2380

2381 2382

2383 2384

2385

2386

2387 2388

2389

2390

2391

2392

2393

2394

2395

- 2351 2352 L., and Jiménez-Guerrero, P.: A multi-model assessment for the 2006 and 2010 simulations under 2353 the Air Quality Model Evaluation International Initiative (AQMEII) Phase 2 over North America: 2354 Part II. Evaluation of column variable predictions using satellite data, Atmos. Environ., 115, 587-2355 603, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.07.044, 2015b.
 - Wang, K., Zhang, Y., Zhang, X., Fan, J., Leung, L. R., Zheng, B., Zhang, Q., and He, K.: Fine-scale application of WRF-CAM5 during a dust storm episode over East Asia: Sensitivity to grid resolutions and aerosol activation parameterizations, Atmos. Environ., 176, 1–20, https://doi.org/0.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.12.014, 2018b.
- Wang, L., Fu, J. S., Wei, W., Wei, Z., Meng, C., Ma, S., and Wang, J.: How aerosol direct effects 2360 2361 influence the source contributions to $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations over Southern Hebei, China in severe 2362 winter haze episodes, Front. Environ. Sci. Eng., 12, 13, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11783-018-1014-2363 2, 2018c.
- 2364 Wang, Z., Wang, Z., Li, J., Zheng, H., Yan, P., and Li, J.: Development of a meteorology-chemistry two-2365 way coupled numerical model (WRF-NAQPMS) and its application in a severe autumn haze 2366 simulation over the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei area, China. Clim, Environ. Res, 19, 153-163, 2367 https://doi.org/10.3878/j.issn.1006-9585.2014.13231, 2014b.
 - Wang, Ż., Huang, X., and Ding, A.: Dome effect of black carbon and its key influencing factors: a onedimensional modelling study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 2821-2834, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-2821-2018, 2018d.
 - Wang, Z., Huang, X., and Ding, A.: Optimization of vertical grid setting for air quality modelling in China considering the effect of aerosol-boundary layer interaction, Atmos. Environ., 210, 1-13, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.04.042, 2019b.
 - Wang, Z. F., Li, J., Wang, Z., Yang, W., Tang, X., Ge, B., Yan, P., Zhu, L., Chen, X., and Chen, H.: Modeling study of regional severe hazes over mid-eastern China in January 2013 and its implications on pollution prevention and control, Sci. China Earth Sci., 57, 3–13, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-013-4793-0, 2014c.
 - Wendisch, M., Keil, A., Müller, D., Wandinger, U., Wendling, P., Stifter, A., Petzold, A., Fiebig, M., Wiegner, M., and Freudenthaler, V.: Aerosol-radiation interaction in the cloudless atmosphere during LACE 98 1. Measured and calculated broadband solar and spectral surface insolations, J.
 - Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, LAC 6-1-LAC 6-20, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD000226, 2002. Wexler, A. S., Lurmann, F. W., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Modelling urban and regional aerosols-I. Model development, Atmos. Environ., 28, 531-546, https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(94)90129-5, 1994.
 - Whitby, K. T.: The physical characteristics of sulfur aerosols, in: Sulfur in the Atmosphere, Elsevier, 135-159, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-022932-4.50018-5, 1978.
 - Wiedinmyer, C., Akagi, S. K., Yokelson, R. J., Emmons, L. K., Al-Saadi, J. A., Orlando, J. J., and Soja, A. J.: The Fire INventory from NCAR (FINN): A high resolution global model to estimate the emissions from open burning, Geosci. Model Dev., 4, 625-641, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-4-625-2011, 2011.
 - Wilcox, E. M.: Direct and semi-direct radiative forcing of smoke aerosols over clouds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 139–149, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-139-2012, 2012.
 - Wong, D. C., Pleim, J., Mathur, R., Binkowski, F., Otte, T., Gilliam, R., Pouliot, G., Xiu, A., Young, J. O., and Kang, D.: WRF-CMAQ two-way coupled system with aerosol feedback: software development and preliminary results, Geosci. Model Dev., 5, 299 - 312, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-5-299-2012, 2012.
 - Wu, J., Bei, N., Hu, B., Liu, S., Zhou, M., Wang, Q., Li, X., Lang, L., Tian, F., and Liu, Z.: Aerosol-radiation feedback deteriorates the wintertime haze in the North China Plain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 8703-8719, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-8703-2019, 2019a.
- Wu, J., Bei, N., Hu, B., Liu, S., Zhou, M., Wang, Q., Li, X., Liu, L., Feng, T., Liu, Z., Wang, Y., Cao, J., Tie, X., Wang, J., Molina, L. T., and Li, G.: Is water vapor a key player of the wintertime haze in North China Plain?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 8721–8739, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-8721-2399 2400 2401 2402 2019, 2019b.
- 2403 Wu, L., Su, H., and Jiang, J. H.: Regional simulation of aerosol impacts on precipitation during the East Asian summer monsoon, J. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50527, 2013. 2404 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118. 6454-6467, 2405

2406 Wu, W. and Zhang, Y.: Effects of particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) and associated acidity on ecosystem 2407 functioning: response of leaf litter breakdown, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 25, 30720-30727, 2408 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-2922-1, 2018. 2409

2410

2411

2412

2433 2434

2435 2436

2437

2438 2439

2440

2441 2442 2443

2444

2453

2455 2456 2457

2458

2459

2460

- Wu, Y., Han, Y., Voulgarakis, A., Wang, T., Li, M., Wang, Y., Xie, M., Zhuang, B., and Li, S.: An agricultural biomass burning episode in eastern China: Transport, optical properties, and impacts on quality, Geophys. regional J. Res. Atmos., 122, 2304-2324, air https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025319, 2017.
- 2413 Xie, M., Liao, J., Wang, T., Zhu, K., Zhuang, B., Han, Y., Li, M., and Li, S.: Modeling of the 2414 anthropogenic heat flux and its effect on regional meteorology and air quality over the Yangtze 2415 River Delta region, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 6071-6089, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-2416 6071-2016, 2016.
- 2417 Xing, J., Mathur, R., Pleim, J., Hogrefe, C., Gan, C., Wong, D. C., Wei, C., and Wang, J.: Air pollution 2418 and climate response to aerosol direct radiative effects: A modeling study of decadal trends across 2419 northern hemisphere, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 120, 12 - 221, the https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023933, 2015a. 2420
- Xing, J., Mathur, R., Pleim, J., Hogrefe, C., Gan, C. M., Wong, D. C., and Wei, C.: Can a coupled 2421 2422 meteorology-chemistry model reproduce the historical trend in aerosol direct radiative effects over 2423 the Northern Hemisphere?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 9997-10018, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-2424 9997-2015, 2015b.
- 2425 Xing, J., Mathur, R., Pleim, J., Hogrefe, C., Gan, C.-M., Wong, D.-C., Wei, C., Gilliam, R., and Pouliot, G.: Observations and modeling of air quality trends over 1990-2010 across the Northern Hemisphere: China, the United States and Europe., Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 2723–2747, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-2723-2015, 2015c. 2426 2427 2428
- 2429 Xing, J., Wang, J., Mathur, R., Pleim, J., Wang, S., Hogrefe, C., Gan, C.-M., Wong, D. C., and Hao, J.: 2430 Unexpected benefits of reducing aerosol cooling effects, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 7527-7534, 2431 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b00767, 2016. 2432
 - Xing, J., Wang, J., Mathur, R., Wang, S., Sarwar, G., Pleim, J., Hogrefe, C., Zhang, Y., Jiang, J., and Wong, D. C.: Impacts of aerosol direct effects on tropospheric ozone through changes in atmospheric dynamics and photolysis rates, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 9869–9883, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-9869-2017, 2017.
 - Yahya, K., Wang, K., Gudoshava, M., Glotfelty, T., and Zhang, Y.: Application of WRF/Chem over North America under the AQMEII Phase 2: Part I. Comprehensive evaluation of 2006 simulation, Atmos. Environ., 115, 733-755, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.08.063, 2015.
 - Yan, J., Wang, X., Gong, P., Wang, C., and Cong, Z.: Review of brown carbon aerosols: Recent progress Sci. 1475-1485, and perspectives, Total Environ., 634.
 - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.083, 2018. Yang, J., Duan, K., Kang, S., Shi, P., and Ji, Z.: Potential feedback between aerosols and meteorological conditions in a heavy pollution event over the Tibetan Plateau and Indo-Gangetic Plain, Clim. Dyn., 48, 2901–2917, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-016-3240-2, 2017.
- 2445 Yang, J., Kang, S., Ji, Z., and Chen, D.: Modeling the origin of anthropogenic black carbon and its 2446 climatic effect over the Tibetan Plateau and surrounding regions, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 123, 671-692, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD027282, 2018. 2447 2448
- Yang, T. and Liu, Y.: Impact of anthropogenic pollution on "7.21" extreme heavy rainstorm, J. Meteorol. Sci., 37, 742–752, https://doi.org/10.3969/2016jms.0074, 2017a. 2449
- Yang, T. and Liu, Y.: Mechanism analysis of the impacts of aerosol direct effects on a rainstorm, J. Trop. Meteorol., 33, 762–773, https://doi.org/10.16032/j.issn.1004-4965.2017.05.019, 2017b. 2450 2451 2452
- Yang, Y., Tang, J., Sun, J., Wang, L., Wang, X., Zhang, Y., Qu, Q., and Zhao, W.: Synoptic Effect of a Haze Episode over North China, Clim. Environ. Res., Ž0, Heavy 555 - 570,2454 https://doi.org/10.3878/j.issn.1006-9585.2015.15018, 2015.
 - Yang, Y., Fan, J., Leung, L. R., Zhao, C., Li, Z., and Rosenfeld, D.: Mechanisms contributing to suppressed precipitation in Mt. Hua of central China. Part I: Mountain valley circulation, J. Atmos. Sci., 73, 1351–1366, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-15-0233.1, 2016.
 - Yang, Y., Zhao, C., Dong, X., Fan, G., Zhou, Y., Wang, Y., Zhao, L., Lv, F., and Yan, F.: Toward understanding the process-level impacts of aerosols on microphysical properties of shallow cumulus aircraft observations, Atmos. Res., cloud using 221, 27 - 33.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2019.01.027, 2019.
- 2462 Yao, H., Song, Y., Liu, M., Archer-Nicholls, S., Lowe, D., McFiggans, G., Xu, T., Du, P., Li, J., and Wu, 2463 Y.: Direct radiative effect of carbonaceous aerosols from crop residue burning during the summer 2464 harvest season in East China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 5205-5219, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-2465 5205-2017, 2017.
- 2466 Yasunari, T. J. and Yamazaki, K.: Impacts of Asian dust storm associated with the stratosphere-to-2467 troposphere transport in the spring of 2001 and 2002 on dust and tritium variations in Mount 2468 Wrangell ice Alaska. 43. 2582-2590, Environ., core. Atmos. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.02.025, 2009. 2469
- 2470 Yiğit, E., Knížová, P. K., Georgieva, K., and Ward, W.: A review of vertical coupling in the Atmosphere-2471 Ionosphere system: Effects of waves, sudden stratospheric warmings, space weather, and of solar 2472 activity, J. Atmos. Solar-Terrestrial Phys., 141, 1–12, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2016.02.011, 2473 2016.
- 2474 Yoo, J.-W., Jeon, W., Park, S.-Y., Park, C., Jung, J., Lee, S.-H., and Lee, H. W.: Investigating the regional

- 2475 difference of aerosol feedback effects over South Korea using the WRF-CMAQ two-way coupled 2476 modeling system, Atmos. Environ., 218, 116968, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.116968, 2477 2019. 2478
- Yoon, J., Chang, D. Y., Lelieveld, J., Pozzer, A., Kim, J., and Yum, S. S.: Empirical evidence of a positive climate forcing of aerosols at elevated albedo, Atmos. Res., 229, 269–279, 2479 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2019.07.001, 2019. 2480
- 2481 Yu, F.: Binary H₂SO₄-H₂O homogeneous nucleation based on kinetic quasi-unary nucleation model: 2482 Look-up tables, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 111, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006358, 2006. 2483
 - Yu, F. and Luo, G.: Simulation of particle size distribution with a global aerosol model: contribution of nucleation to aerosol and CCN number concentrations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 7691-7710, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-7691-2009, 2009.

2485

2492

2494

2509

2510 2511

2512

2513

2518

2519 2520

2521

2522

2523 2524

2525

2526

2527 2528

2529

2530

2531

2532

2533 2534

2535

2536

- 2486 Yu, H., Kaufman, Y. J., Chin, M., Feingold, G., Remer, L. A., Anderson, T. L., Balkanski, Y., Bellouin, 2487 N., Boucher, O., and Christopher, S.: A review of measurement-based assessments of the aerosol 2488 direct radiative effect and forcing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 613-666, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-2489 613-2006, 2006. 2490
- Yuan, T., Chen, S., Huang, J., Wu, D., Lu, H., Zhang, G., Ma, X., Chen, Z., Luo, Y., and Ma, X.: 2491 Influence of dynamic and thermal forcing on the meridional transport of Taklimakan Desert dust in spring and summer, J. Clim., 32, 749-767, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0361.1, 2019. 2493
 - Zaveri, R. A., Easter, R. C., Fast, J. D., and Peters, L. K.: Model for simulating aerosol interactions and chemistry (MOSAIC), J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 113, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008782, 2008.
- 2495 Zhan, J., Chang, W., Li, W., Wang, Y., Chen, L., and Yan, J.: Impacts of meteorological conditions, aerosol radiative feedbacks, and emission reduction scenarios on the coastal haze episodes in southeastern China in December 2013, J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol., 56, 1209–1229, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-16-0229.1, 2017. 2496 2497 2498
- 2499 Zhang, B., Wang, Y., and Hao, J.: Simulating aerosol-radiation-cloud feedbacks on meteorology and air 2500 quality over eastern China under severe haze conditionsin winter, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 2387-2404, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-2387-2015, 2015a. Zhang, H., DeNero, S. P., Joe, D. K., Lee, H.-H., Chen, S.-H., Michalakes, J., and Kleeman, M. J.: 2501
- 2502 Development of a source oriented version of the WRF/Chem model and its application to the 2503 2504 California regional PM₁₀/PM_{2.5} air quality study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 485-503,
 - https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-485-2014, 2014a.
 Zhang, H., Cheng, S., Li, J., Yao, S., and Wang, X.: Investigating the aerosol mass and chemical components characteristics and feedback effects on the meteorological factors in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei Environ. Pollut., 244. 495-502. region, China, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.10.087, 2019.
 - Zhang, L., Wang, T., Lv, M., and Zhang, Q.: On the severe haze in Beijing during January 2013: Unraveling the effects of meteorological anomalies with WRF-Chem, Atmos. Environ., 104, 11-21, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.01.001, 2015b.
 - Zhang, L., Gong, S., Zhao, T., Zhou, C., Wang, Y., Li, J., Ji, D., He, J., Liu, H., and Gui, K.: Development of WRF/CUACE v1.0 model and its preliminary application in simulating air quality in China, Geosci. Model Dev., 14, 703–718, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-703-2021, 2021.
 Zhang, X., Zhang, Q., Hong, C., Zheng, Y., Geng, G., Tong, D., Zhang, Y., and Zhang, X.: Enhancement
 - of PM2.5 Concentrations by Aerosol-Meteorology Interactions Over China, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 123, 1179–1194, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD027524, 2018.
 - Zhang, X. Y., Gong, S. L., Shen, Z. X., Mei, F. M., Xi, X. X., Liu, L. C., Zhou, Z. J., Wang, D., Wang, Y. Q., and Cheng, Y.: Characterization of soil dust aerosol in China and its transport and distribution during 2001 ACE-Asia: 1. Network observations, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 108, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002632, 2003a.
 - Zhang, X. Y., Gong, S. L., Zhao, T. L., Arimoto, R., Wang, Y. Q., and Zhou, Z. J.: Sources of Asian dust and role of climate change versus desertification in Asian dust emission, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL018206, 2003b.
 - Zhang, Y.: Online-coupled meteorology and chemistry models: history, current status, and outlook, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 8, 2895–2932, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-2895-2008, 2008.
 - Zhang, Y., Pun, B., Vijayaraghavan, K., Wu, S., Seigneur, C., Pandis, S. N., Jacobson, M. Z., Nenes, A., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Development and application of the model of aerosol dynamics, reaction, ionization, and dissolution (MADRID), Geophys. J. Res. Atmos., 109. https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD003501, 2004.
 - Zhang, Y., Hu, X. M., Howell, G. W., Sills, E., Fast, J. D., Gustafson Jr, W. I., Zaveri, R. A., Grell, G. A., Peckham, S. E., and McKeen, S. A.: Modeling atmospheric aerosols in WRF/CHEM, in: WRF/MM5 Users's Workshop, 2005.
 - Zhang, Y., Pan, Y., Wang, K., Fast, J. D., and Grell, G. A.: WRF/Chem-MADRID: Incorporation of an aerosol module into WRF/Chem and its initial application to the TexAQS2000 episode, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 115, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD013443, 2010. Zhang, Y., Karamchandani, P., Glotfelty, T., Streets, D. G., Grell, G., Nenes, A., Yu, F., and Bennartz,
- 2538 2539 R.: Development and initial application of the global-through-urban weather research and 2540 forecasting model with chemistry (GU-WRF/Chem), J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 117, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JD017966, 2012. 2541
- 2542 Zhang, Y., Zhang, X., Cai, C., Wang, K., and Wang, L.: Studying Aerosol-Cloud-Climate Interactions 2543 over East Asia Using WRF/Chem, in: Air Pollution Modeling and its Application XXIII, Springer,

- 2544 61–66, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-04379-1_10, 2014b.
- Zhang, Y., Chen, Y., Fan, J., and Leung, L.-Y. R.: Application of an online-coupled regional climate model, WRF-CAM5, over East Asia for examination of ice nucleation schemes: part II. Sensitivity to heterogeneous ice nucleation parameterizations and dust emissions, 3, 753–774, https://doi.org/10.3390/cli3030753, 2015c.
- Zhang, Y., Zhang, X., Wang, K., He, J., Leung, L. R., Fan, J., and Nenes, A.: Incorporating an advanced aerosol activation parameterization into WRF-CAM5: Model evaluation and parameterization intercomparison, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 120, 6952–6979, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD023051, 2015d.
- Zhang, Y., Zhang, X., Wang, L., Zhang, Q., Duan, F., and He, K.: Application of WRF/Chem over East Asia: Part I. Model evaluation and intercomparison with MM5/CMAQ, Atmos. Environ., 124, 285– 300, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.07.022., 2016a.
- Zhang, Y., Zhang, X., Wang, K., Zhang, Q., Duan, F., and He, K.: Application of WRF/Chem over East
 Asia: Part II. Model improvement and sensitivity simulations, Atmos. Environ., 124, 301–320,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.07.023, 2016b.
- Zhang, Y., Fan Shuxian, Li Hao, and Kang Boshi: Effects of aerosol radiative feedback during a severe smog process over eastern China, Acta Meteorol., 74, https://doi.org/10.11676/qxxb2016.028, 2016c.
- Zhang, Y., He, J., Zhu, S., and Gantt, B.: Sensitivity of simulated chemical concentrations and aerosol meteorology interactions to aerosol treatments and biogenic organic emissions in WRF/Chem, J.
 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 121, 6014–6048, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD024882, 2016d.
 - Zhang, Y., Wang, K., and He, J.: Multi-year application of WRF-CAM5 over East Asia-Part II: Interannual variability, trend analysis, and aerosol indirect effects, Atmos. Environ., 165, 222–239, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.06.029, 2017.
 - Zhao, B., Liou, K., Gu, Y., Li, Q., Jiang, J. H., Su, H., He, C., Tseng, H.-L. R., Wang, S., and Liu, R.: Enhanced PM_{2.5} pollution in China due to aerosol-cloud interactions, Sci. Rep., 7, 1–11, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-04096-8, 2017.
 - Zhao, B., Wang, Y., Gu, Y., Liou, K.-N., Jiang, J. H., Fan, J., Liu, X., Huang, L., and Yung, Y. L.: Ice nucleation by aerosols from anthropogenic pollution, Nat. Geosci., 12, 602–607, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0389-4, 2019.
 - Zhong, M., Saikawa, E., Liu, Y., Naik, V., Horowitz, L. W., Takigawa, M., Zhao, Y., Lin, N.-H., and Stone, E. A.: Air quality modeling with WRF-Chem v3.5 in East Asia: sensitivity to emissions and evaluation of simulated air quality, Geosci. Model Dev., 9, 1201–1218, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-1201-2016, 2016.
 - Zhong, M., Chen, F., and Saikawa, E.: Sensitivity of projected PM_{2.5}- and O₃-related health impacts to model inputs: A case study in mainland China, Environ. Int., 123, 256–264, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.12.002, 2019.
 - Zhong, S., Qian, Y., Zhao, C., Leung, R., and Yang, X.: A case study of urbanization impact on summer precipitation in the Greater Beijing Metropolitan Area: Urban heat island versus aerosol effects, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 120, 10–903, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023753, 2015.
 - Zhong, S., Qian, Y., Zhao, C., Leung, R., Wang, H., Yang, B., Fan, J., Yan, H., Yang, X.-Q., and Liu, D.: Urbanization-induced urban heat island and aerosol effects on climate extremes in the Yangtze River Delta region of China., Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 5439–5457, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-5439-2017, 2017.
 - Zhou, C., Gong, S. L., Zhang, X. Y., Wang, Y. Q., Niu, T., Liu, H. L., Zhao, T. L., Yang, Y. Q., and Hou, Q.: Development and evaluation of an operational SDS forecasting system for East Asia: CUACE/Dust, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 787–798, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-787-2008, 2008.
 - Zhou, C., Gong, S., Zhang, X., Liu, H., Xue, M., Cao, G., An, X., Che, H., Zhang, Y., and Niu, T.: Towards the improvements of simulating the chemical and optical properties of Chinese aerosols using an online coupled model-CUACE/Aero, Tellus B Chem. Phys. Meteorol., 64, 18965, https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v64i0.18965, 2012.
 - Zhou, C., Zhang, X., Gong, S., Wang, Y., and Xue, M.: Improving aerosol interaction with clouds and precipitation in a regional chemical weather modeling system, 16, 145–160, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-145-2016, 2016.
 - Zhou, D., Ding, K., Huang, X., Liu, L., Liu, Q., Xu, Z., Jiang, F., Fu, C., and Ding, A.: Transport, mixing and feedback of dust, biomass burning and anthropogenic pollutants in eastern Asia: a case study, 18, 16345–16361, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-16345-2018, 2018.
 - Zhou, M., Zhang, L., Chen, D., Gu, Y., Fu, T.-M., Gao, M., Zhao, Y., Lu, X., and Zhao, B.: The impact of aerosol-radiation interactions on the effectiveness of emission control measures, Environ. Res. Lett., 14, 24002, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aaf27d, 2019.
- Zhou, Y., Gong, S., Zhou, C., Zhang, L., He, J., Wang, Y., Ji, D., Feng, J., Mo, J., and Ke, H.: A new parameterization of uptake coefficients for heterogeneous reactions on multi-component atmospheric aerosols, Sci. Total Environ., 781, 146372, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146372, 2021.
- Zhuang, B., Jiang, F., Wang, T., Li, S., and Zhu, B.: Investigation on the direct radiative effect of fossil
 fuel black-carbon aerosol over China, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 104, 301–312, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-010-0341-4, 2011.
- 2611 2612

2566

2567

2568

2569

2570

2571

2572

2573

2574

2575 2576

2577

2578 2579

2580

2581

2582

2583 2584

2585 2586

2587

2588

2589 2590 2591

2592

2593 2594 2595

2596

2597

2598

2599

2600

2601

2602