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#### Abstract

This paper reveals the characteristics of thunderstorm dynamic movement using total lightning data obtained from the VLF/LF measurement. Eight thunderstorms, which was evenly distributed in the morning, midday, afternoon and evening, are selected to compare the different kinematic features around the Pearl River Delta (PRD) region in the south of


## 1 Introduction

As one of the noticeable weather events in nature, thunderstorm and its dynamic movement are of great interest in engineering application and in the analysis of interactions between lightning and Earth's atmosphere (Zeng et al., 2016; Jayawardena and Mäkelä, 2021; Kandalgaonkar, 2005). Lightning has been the subject of intense scientific research because of its close relationship with severe weather and convective rainfall, thus posing great threat to the lightning-sensitive
facilities, such as airports, energy sector infrastructure, maritime assets and military bases (Fankhauser, 1971; Villarini and Smith, 2013; Cummins. et al., 1998; Keenan et al., 2000; Lee and Passner, 1993), and the environment (Krider et al., 1980; Lee, 2017). Thunderstorm identification, tracking and short-range forecasting for the future clusters through the lifetime would constitute the basis of severe weather warning operations.

To detect, evaluate, track and forecast thunderstorms, we need a high resolution observation system, and automatic algorithms applied to these data, to obtain quantitative information on the position, size, path and velocity of the cell (Bonelli and Marcacci, 2008). The evaluation of thunderstorm occurrence and characteristics is conducted through the lightning data (Kohn et al., 2011; Meyer et al., 2013), the radar data (Miller and Mote, 2017; Del Moral et al., 2018), or the combination of both (Rigo et al., 2010; Bonelli and Marcacci, 2008; Meyer et al., 2013b; Lu et al., 2021). The lightning detection methods include the ground-based systems, such as the LINET (Betz et al., 2008), Earth Networks Total Lightning Network (ENTLN, Ringhausen and Bitzer, 2021), ZEUS (Kohn et al., 2011) and Lightning Mapping Array (LMA, Weiss et al., 2012), and the space-based satellites, such as the Lightning Image Sensor (LIS, Chronis and Koshak, 2017; Zhang et al., 2019), Optical Transient Detector (OTD, Buechler et al., 2000; Christian, 2003) and Geostationary Lightning Mapper (GLM, Goodman et al., 2013; Rutledge et al., 2020). The reflectivity images provided by the Weather Surveillance Radar (WSR) are commonly used to identify those areas of convection in certain time interval. Due to its ability to capture the spatial and temporal variability of thunderstorm, high resolution radars allow for a detailed analysis of the forming and movement of convection storms (Muñoz et al., 2018). After the recognition of high reflectivity areas or high lightning density areas with automatic algorithms, the motion of thunderstorms can be tracked and extrapolated.

Statistics and comparisons of storm attributes, such as the direction and speed of movement or cell sizes and severity, are studied by many researchers. A cell-based analysis shows that different synoptic conditions are typically associated with specific cell characteristics (Wapler and James, 2015). There is a significant dependence between various cell attributes and the synoptic patterns. E.g., those types associated with broadly westerly flow tend to have high cell speeds and a relatively narrow distribution of cell directions. Those storms which have lower average cell speeds tend to have a higher likelihood of hail. The comparison of storm speed done as a function of the month of the year is conducted between the winter and summer storms (Kohn et al., 2011), showing that the summer ones are much faster than the winter ones, which might be in contradiction with the assumption of faster storms in the winter due to the strengthening of the jet stream at this time. The possible reason is that the summer storms are convective in nature and therefore more intense and faster. Lightning parameter's relationship to Hurricane Harvey's intensification is conducted based on a merged lightning data set consisting of lightning detected by the ground-based ENTLN and space-borne GLM (Ringhausen and Bitzer, 2021). In the rainband and eyewall region, nearly all GLM flash characteristics show a large increase just prior to rapid intensification, with the flash duration, number of events, and groups comprising a flash showing the largest increases. However, up to now, there have been few formal studies that individually analyse such fundamental components in each single thunderstorm. It is the objective of this study to address the aforementioned.

The purpose of this paper is to provide a comprehensive kinematic feature of thunderstorms over the PRD region in the perspective of the distribution of total lightning data obtained from VLF/LF Foshan Total Lightning Location System. We conduct a detail analysis about the temporal and spatial evolution by eight cases occurred within a week during 17 May to 23 May 2014. The 8 -adjacent connected-neighborhood labeling algorithm is applied for the identification of clusters during the life time of thunderstorm, through which the centroids and valid areas are figured out in every 12 -minute time interval. To characterize the spatial evolution, five parameters are put forward to quantify the movement of clusters in various periods of a day. The result shows that there is a clear pattern in terms of the transition of thunderstorms in this region.

## 2 Instrument and methodology

### 2.1 Foshan total lightning location system

In the summer of 2013, 3-demention Foshan total lightning location system (FTLLS) was installed in Guangdong Province, China (Cai et al., 2019; Cai, 2013). Based on the electromagnetic environment, surrounding buildings, terrain conditions, communication conditions, etc., nine sub-station was deployed to detect lightning events over the PRD region, shown in Figure 1. The distance between each sub-station is generally 10 to 40 km and the detection range is more than 100 km . The coverage of the entire station network is about $1000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, which can effectively cover lightning activity in Guangzhou. The DTZ, MCZ and JAZ sub-stations are far apart, forming a longer baseline, which can effectively improve the locating accuracy, while the remaining sub-stations are densely distributed.

All the nine substations are installed on the roof of the building of subsidiaries of Foshan Electric Power Company, China Southern Power Grid. Power supply is achieved via the 220 VAC power network. Wide-band electric field measuring systems with a 3 dB bandwidth from 200 Hz to 500 kHz are employed to measure the lightning electromagnetic impulses. Three-dimension location algorithm is utilized in FTLLS. The location information contains the height of lightning, which can be applied to identify the discharge types. The characteristic parameters of the radiated electric field waveform produced by different type of discharge events can also be served as a discrimination method. The classification of cloud-to-ground flash (CG), intra-cloud flash (IC) and narrow bipolar events (NBEs) can be accomplished by a combined analysis of those parameters from FTLLS. The system can provide real-time lightning data to the electric utility industry, which mainly includes the time of lightning strokes, three-dimension location, peak current, rise time, fall time, pulse width and signal-tonoise ratio. Based on the Monte Carlo simulation, the two-dimensional horizontal location error is basically less than 100 m , and the vertical error (altitude) is less than 200 m when the lightning event occurs within the network. The validation of system has been guaranteed through comparison of rocket-triggered lightning experiment and the application of transmission lines (Wang et al., 2019; Cai et al., 2020).

### 2.2 Methodology

All geographical plots in this paper are created by counting lightning events within $0.01^{\circ} \times 0.01^{\circ}$ grid boxes, corresponding to an approximate resolution of 1 km over the Pearl River Delta region $\left(112^{\circ}-115^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 22^{\circ}-25^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right)$. If a larger box is employed to count lightning events, more flashes will be contained within each box, leading to ambiguous cluster recognitions. Conversely, smaller resolution results in a great deal of empty boxes, mistakenly separating the thunderstorm clusters. The analysis of total lightning is in progress with the time scale of 12 min which was twice of the adjacent Doppler Radar scans. Connected-neighborhood labeling is applied to grid boxes to identify lightning clusters. Connectivity means that a connected path can be formed between two boxes in the area. From the perspective of digital images, connectivity can be classified as two types: (1) 4-adjacenct connected-neighborhood labeling, which refers to starting at any pixel position in a collection or area and searching from four directions (left, above, right, below) of the pixel, any other pixels can be found in the collection or area; and (2) 8-adjacenct connected-neighborhood labeling, which is the same as 4 -adjacent, but adds four diagonal position (Miller and Mote, 2017; Zan et al., 2019; Xue et al., 2019). Connected neighborhood labeling is to give each connected area a unique number during the search process. In this paper, the second type is adopted to automatically identify lightning clusters.

The process of thunderstorm visualization is shown in Figure 2. The analysis area is divided into $0.01^{\circ} \times 0.01^{\circ}$ grid boxes, corresponding to the geographic area of $1 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ approximately. The number of individual lightning events is counted within each grid box at 12 -minute intervals, on which we rely to draw the lightning density map. Setting one lightning event as the density threshold, the box with more than an event can be defined as a valid box. Using the 8 -adjacent connection neighborhood labeling algorithm, we can figure out the number of valid boxes in each thunderstorm cluster. As the area of each box is $1 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, we define the number of valid boxes as the valid area (VA). To better capture the main spatial movement of thunderstorm, the clusters less than $25 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ and the duration of storm less than 60 min are removed based on the scale of thunderstorms in the PRD region. The final area of each cluster is substituted by equivalent circle (EC). Equation (1) is the conversion formula of VA and the radium of equivalent circle (REC), which is used to draw the ground motion map in the following section.

REC $=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{VA}}{\pi}}$
Taking the proportion of lightning frequencies in each grid to the total number of lightning in all effective grids as the weight, the longitude and latitude coordinates of discharge centroid $(\mathrm{C})$ are obtained by the weighted average of each grid in valid area. The expressions are shown in Eq. (2) and Eq. (3).
$\mathrm{C}_{\text {lon }}=\sum_{\mathrm{N}=1}^{\mathrm{VA}}\left(\frac{\text { the number of flashes in Grid } \mathrm{N}}{\text { total flashes in valid area }} * \mathrm{~N}_{\text {lon }}\right)$
$\mathrm{C}_{\text {lat }}=\sum_{\mathrm{N}=1}^{\mathrm{VA}}\left(\frac{\text { the number of flashes in Grid } \mathrm{N}}{\text { total flashes in valid area }} * \mathrm{~N}_{\text {lat }}\right)$
where Clon is the longitude of discharge centroid in the density diagram, Clat is the latitude of discharge centroid, Nlon is the longitude of one gird in all the effective grids in the density diagram, Nlat is the latitude of one gird in all the effective grids.

After calculating the coordinate of discharge centroid, we can obtain the distance that thunderstorm runs and the direction it moves (seen in Eq. (4)). The velocity of clusters, determined by distance traveled in 12-minute time interval, is recorded as well (seen in Eq. (5)). The farthest distances (FD) that the thunderstorm moves in longitude and latitude during the lifetime help to foresee the movement of storm, the expression of which are shown in Eq. (6) and Eq. (7).

Direction $=\arctan \frac{\mathrm{C}_{\text {lat2 }}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {lat1 }}}{\mathrm{C}_{\text {lon } 2}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {lon } 1}}\left(0^{\circ}<\right.$ Direction $\left.<360^{\circ}\right)$
Velocity $=\frac{\sqrt{\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {lat2 }}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {lat1 } 1}\right)^{2}-\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {lon2 }}-\mathrm{c}_{\text {lon1 }}\right)^{2}}}{12} * 60$
$F D_{\text {lon }}=\max \left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {lon }}\right)-\min \left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {lon }}\right)$
$F D_{\text {lat }}=\max \left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {lat }}\right)-\min \left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {lat }}\right)$
where the unit of velocity is $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{h}^{-1}$.
To characterize the motion of thunderstorm, we use five parameters mentioned above to depict their movements, which include the valid area, velocity, direction, and farthest distance in longitude and latitude. The meaning of parameters is shown in Table 1.

## 3 Result

### 3.1 Total lightning characteristics and temporal evolution of thunderstorm

From the thunderstorm activities detected by FTLLS in the summer of 2014, eight thunderstorms around the Pearl River Delta region are selected which were evenly distributed in the morning, midday, afternoon and evening during 17 May to 23 May. Table 2 provides the basic information of these thunderstorms, including the date, the specific time, the duration, the total number of lightning events, flash rate (flashes per hour) and flash-type classification. A common trend of the thunderstorms over the PRG region is that convection occurs most frequently during the afternoon due to solar heating (Chen et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2015). The life cycle durations range from 2 hours to 4.2 hours, with a large difference in the number of total lightning.

The thunderstorm with highest number of total lightning occurred on the afternoon of 23 May, consisting of 101,242 lightning events within 3.6 hours. The lowest number of total lightning events occurred on the evening of 19 May, lasting for 2.4 hours with 14,926 lightning events in total. The midday and afternoon thunderstorms keep relatively strong and stable, with total lightning more than twelve thousand per hour, while the morning thunderstorms are much gentle and weak, with around ten thousand lightning events per hour. The two evening thunderstorms are much variable and differentiated, with the
first case possessing the highest frequency $(29,240)$ per hour and the second case possessing the lowest frequency $(7,263)$ per hour.

In the LLS data set of all storms, IC events make up $81.7 \%$ of total lightning and CG events make up $17.5 \%$ of total lightning. The NBEs make up the very slightest proportion, with less than $1 \%$ of total lightning. The 17 May afternoon storm consists of 81,872 lightning events, with IC events accounting for the highest proportion $(91.8 \%)$ among all storms. Distinctly high proportion of IC events occurred on 23 May afternoon storm and 17 May evening storm, which are considerably strong storms in eight cases, indicating that high IC ratio is in connection with severe weather. MacGorman et al. (1989) suggested that strong and deep updrafts may enhance the production of IC flashes and delay or even suppress the production of CG flashes. As the IC flash rate may grow disproportionately from the CG flash rate as storm become more severe, the proportion of IC events may reach high values during strong updrafts (Chèze and Sauvageot, 1997; Pineda et al., 2011). However, the weak 21 May morning storm also possesses a high proportion of IC event ( $88.7 \%$ ), while other storms with similar scale show much lower IC ratio. Overall, the proportion of IC events is variable in thunderstorms, making difficult to use them as a tool for predicting severe weather.

General total lightning distributions with respect to time of eight cases are presented in Fig. 3. The comparison between lightning events detected by the FTLLS (green shaded areas) and the chosen thunderstorms (light blue shaded areas) shows that there are some other storms dispersed within the LLS detection area, and the most prominent storms are selected chosen to characterize the movements. Note that the light blue shaded area in each statistical time interval were derived within the thunderstorm system, the analysis period was defined from the initiation to the dissipating of thunderstorms, when the main body of the thunderstorms are well observed by radar. The blue line and red lines represent the IC events and CG events, respectively. We can see that the variation of IC events is highly consistent with the total lightning, while the variation of CG events is quite different. The highest IC rates occur at the early stage of the thunderstorms. However, the highest CG rates occur at the middle and late stage, indicating that the occurrence of CG events is later than that of the IC events.

### 3.2 Spatial footprint of thunderstorms

The visions to the horizon of thunderstorms are displayed in Figure 4. The storm footprint is defined as the combination of the unique area consisting of the VA of each cluster and the path of centroid. During the lifetime of all thunderstorms, the horizontal movement of the thunderstorm does not exceed 150 km in longitude and 100 km in latitude, except that the path of 18 May afternoon thunderstorm is longer than the average and depicted in $200 \mathrm{~km} \times 150 \mathrm{~km}$ domain.

The coverage and intensity of thunderstorms during the whole process can be visually presented in the evolution map. At the initiation stage, the VA is much smaller than that in the development or maturation stage when the thunderstorm moves faster in the meanwhile. Note that there is some interspace between two centroids when the storms move fast and the VA is not big enough. The reason is that the circle in the map is the equivalent of valid area and can only reflect the value of thunderstorm area within 12 min .

The transition of storms is mainly from west to east with long tracks, usually initiating at Foshan district, crossing the Guangzhou district and disappearing at the Dongguan district. However, the 17 May midday storm is inclined to twist and spin in the same place with extremely short tracks. The VA of 19 May evening storm is relatively small in each time interval compare with other storms, which is in accord with the small number of total lightning analyzed above, however, the velocity is no slower than any other severe storms.

### 3.2 Duration, Valid area, velocity, farthest distance and direction

Figure 5 displays two lightning parameters to characterize the intensity and movement of thunderstorms: valid area and velocity, which can comprehensively show the track of thunderstorm in a measurable way. Despite of the different period of a day, there are two kinds of distribution of thunderstorm valid area in the whole evolution processes. The first distribution is characterized as one-peak seen in Figure 5(a)(e)(g). The valid area shows an upward trend at the beginning and decreases at last. The rise period is found to be longer than the drop period, which means that the peak of VA lies in in the mature stage of thunderstorm. The second distribution is defined as two-peak distribution in Figure $5(\mathrm{~b})(\mathrm{f})(\mathrm{h})$. The VA increases in the initiation stage and reaches the first peak in the developing stage. After a small decline, the VA surges in a short time and arrives at the second higher peak in the mature stage. At last, the VA decreases rapidly as the thunderstorm is dissipating. Figure 5(c)(d) is not in full accord with one-peak distribution but very close to it. The difference is that the VA shows a slight increase sign after the highest peak. Meanwhile, the peak time of 17 May midday storm is much earlier than the typical one-peak distribution, of which the peak time basically occur in the mature stage. It is noticed that the VA does not decrease to zero at last because of the existence of time interval and the threshold of cluster area.

The velocity exhibits more marked changes with time. It oscillates severely compared with the valid area which shows the steady increase or decrease during the lifetime of thunderstorm. There is no stable variation about the velocity of thunderstorm, indicating that instability of convection within the cloud. When the number of lightning events grows up and the valid area becomes bigger in the developing stage and mature stage, the velocity does not show an obvious increase tendency, which is quite different from common cognition about thunderstorms. This finding is of great significance to facilitate the knowledge about the kinematics of mesoscale convection system.

The violin plots in Figure 6 present the cluster attributes including the VA and velocity in the whole thunderstorm processes. The VA boxes in the afternoon show the rugby-shaped distributions, indicating that the storms are variable during the life cycle and extremely severe in the mature stage (seen in Figure 5 (e)(f)). The storm in the morning, midday and evening is much stable and the VA shows the uniform distribution, exhibiting the rectangle-shaped boxes. There is great difference between the two evening storms, with both the biggest and smallest maximum in this period, which is because the instability of convection in this region. The storm with big VA does not mean a fast speed when it moves. The velocity of each storm is densely distributed around the median, with only one value much bigger than others, showing the rugby-
shaped distributions. Although the VA of 17 May evening storm is much bigger than another evening storm, the speed does not greatly discriminate between each other.

Five characterization parameters are listed in Table 3 to reveal the kinematic features of thunderstorms. The maximum VA represents the biggest coverage that the thunderstorm affects within a 12 -minute interval. The afternoon storm is notably more severe and intense than that in the morning and midday, while the two evening storms distinguish greatly with each other. The maximum VA is $891 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ occurred on the evening of 17 May , with the mean value being $662.7 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. However, the storm with minimum VA also occurs on the evening of 19 May , the maximum and mean of which are $253 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ and $146.7 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, respectively. It can be found that the velocity does not match precisely with the VA. The storm with highest speed occurred on the morning of 20 May, with the value of $204.8 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$. The lowest maximum of speed was $115.3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ occurred on the evening of 19 May. Although total lightning events of these two storms are the smallest two among eight cases, but the speed of cluster does not show the same characteristic.

To measure the horizontal motion of thunderstorms during the whole process, the horizontal farthest distances (FD) in longitude and latitude are calculated by the coordinates of centroids. It can be clearly seen that the longitudinal FD is much longer than the latitudinal FD, which means that movement of storm is mainly along the east-west path. The maximum and the minimum FD in longitude are 153 km and 55 km , respectively. The FD in latitude are much shorter than that in longitude, with the maximum and minimum being 45 km and 12 km .

Figure 7 illustrates the direction of cluster. We gathered the direction of all cases in the normalized timeline to show the orientation. The motion of storms shows a distinct pattern, as the spread of direction distributes tightly in the range of $0^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$ and $270^{\circ}-360^{\circ}$. Combining with the ground track of thunderstorms in Figure 6, we can clearly see that the storms initiate in the west of the PRD region, moving to the east and disappearing after the thunderstorms travel around 106.5 km . This kinetic information could shed light on the further research on severe convection weather prediction.

## 4 Discussion and Conclusion

For the purpose of characterizing the dynamic movement of thunderstorms as well as the associated attributes of lightning clusters over the PRD region, we investigate eight cases occurred within a weak from 17 May to 23 May in 2014. Based on the high resolution total lightning data set obtained from VLF/LF Foshan Total Lightning Location System, the temporal and spatial characteristics of thunderstorms are presented in this study. To analyze the thunderstorm cluster features, statistics of various cluster parameters have been calculated.

Using 8-adjacenct connected-neighborhood labeling algorithm, five parameters are put forward to measure thunderstorm kinematics features, including the duation, valid area, velocity, direction and farthest distance in longitude and latitude. Table 4 shows the parameters comparison between eight cases in the PRD region and previous studies. Various thresholds are setted to better capture the movement of thundestorms. Miller and Mote (2017) identified the thunderstorm as the region
of contiguous radar reflectivity greater than or equal to 40 dBz using connected neighborhoods labeling. A sophisticated approach was taken to identify the rainfall pixels by Rigo et al. (2010) who used the radar reflectivity, piexl area and duation as the thresholds. A lower reflectivity threshold of 12 dBz was chosen to ensure all possible clusters, while the threshold of other parameter can appropriately aviod non-precipitation echoes in the rainstorm. In this paper, the valid box less than one flash, the area of clusters less than $25 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ and the duration of storm less than 60 min are neglected to reduce the amount of small ground clusters and track the main storms within the analysis region.

Eight thunderstorms, which was evenly distributed in the morning, midday, afternoon and evening, are selected to compare the different kinematic features from 17 May to 23 May 2014. Most of previous studies focus on the interannual or interdecadal variations in the characteristics of the storms. Kohn et al. (2011) selected 670 winter storms and 13,600 summer storms in 2008 to track the spatial and temporal attributes over the Mediterranean area and the Europe. Harrison and Karstens (2017) climatologically analysis the fundamental components of thunderstorm geospatial movements within the continental United States. This paper is aim to make a comprehensive analysis about the cases in a week and reveal the dynamic motion of thundestrom over the PRD region in the south of China.

Significant characterization parameters are proposed as metrics to depict the kinematic features of thunderstorms, including the duration, VA, velocity, direction, and FD in longitude and latitude during the evolution of thunderstorm. It is found that no more than three thunderstorm parameters are demonstrated in the previous study. Rigo et al. (2010) reported the duration and the average area of 66 Catalonia warm season thunderstorms. The lifetime was between 54 min to approximately 8 h , with the mean duration being about 3.5 h , which is a slight longer than this study. The average area was $509 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ in 6-minute time interval, with the biggest cluster area in the mature stage. A June supercell propagated north of Munich in eastern direction was reported by Meyer et al. (2013b) to illustrate the area, velocity and farthest distance of storms, showing that the maximum of cell area was nearly $500 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ in $15-\mathrm{min}$ interval.

In this paper, there are two kinds of distribution to describe the variation of valid area during the lifetime of thunderstorms, which are one-peak distribution with the maximum in the mature stage and two-peak distribution with a relatively smaller peak in the early time of storm. The maximum VA is $891 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ occurred on the evening of 17 May, with the mean value being $662.7 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. The storm with minimum VA also occurs in the evening, the maximum and mean of which are $253 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ and $146.7 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, respectively. The variation of Meyer's case mentioned above appears to be more likely two-peak distribution, with a sharp decrease in the developing stage. The maximum of cluster areas vary notably between storms reported by Betz et al. (2008), the largest area reaches up to $7000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ in 10 min , while the smallest area is only $1550 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. The intensity of storms discriminates in different period of a day in this paper, but not in a big difference, indicating that the convection in the summer season is sereve but stable in this region. To be noted, the valid area observed by the FTLLS is much smaller than that observed by the radar, where the former represents the lightning discharge acitivity and electricity charge accumulation, and the latter reflects the content of hydrometeors and the effect to radar echoes (Rigo et al., 2010; Miller and Mote, 2017).
(c) Author(s) 2021. CC BY 4.0 License.

The velocity of thunderstorm obtained by the motion of lightning centroids in this paper represents the integral movement which is basically composed by three types of factors: the translation (synoptic), the forced propagation (mesoscale) and the autopropagation (thunderstorm itself) (Cotton et al., 2011). The storm with highest speed occurred on
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## Figure



415 Figure 1. Geographical distribution of the Foshan Total Lightning Location System (FTLLS), in which a full operation of nine sub-stations in the Foshan area are shown. Sub-station location is displayed by blue icons.


Figure 2. (a) Illustration of the 8 -adjacenct connected-neighborhood labeling and the procedure of dealing with the lightning data to obtain the centroid and the valid area of clusters. (b) The workflow of cluster identification through density map.
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Figure 3. (a-h) Life time diagrams of total lightning for thunderstorm case study from 17 May to 23 May. The green shaded areas represent all total lightning events detected by the LLS network, whereas the light blue shaded areas represent total lightning events detected during the thunderstorm processes. The blue and red lines indicate the number of IC events and CG events with respect to time per $\mathbf{1 2} \mathbf{~ m i n}$, respectively.
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Figure 4. Ground tracks of eight thunderstorms occurred over the Pearl River Delta region in May, 2014. The horizontal axis corresponds to the latitude with the vertical axis standing for the longitude. The white solid dot is the discharge centroid of the valid area in 12 min intervals. Solid red line between two dots is the general path of thunderstorm and the VA that the storms cover is expressed by the centroid-centered circle of a time interval. The lightning density of thunderstorm during the whole process is shown within the valid area.


Figure 5. Valid area and velocity of eight cases, as a function of time. The blue solid line and the red dotted line represents the valid area and velocity within a time interval, respectively.


Figure 6. (a) Comparison of valid area between eight cases. The upper and lower edges of boxes mean the maximum and minimum value. The while circle in each box represents the median of VA. The filled circles with darker color than the box represent all VA values of thunderstorms. The grey vertical line in the box represent the interquartile range of VA. (b) Same as (a), but for the velocity.


Figure 7. The cluster direction of motion in each interval. The colored dots denote different thunderstorms. As the duration of thunderstorms are different, the normalized time is used for the gathering of all directions of thunderstorm movements. The bar chart on the right represents the frequency of $10^{\circ}$ angle range.

Table 1. Five parameters to characterize the motion of thunderstorms

| Parameter | Indication |
| :---: | :---: |
| Duration (h) | The thunderstorm duration of the whole evolution process |
| Valid area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | The area that the thunderstorm affects, obtained by the number of valid boxes. |
| Velocity ( $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{h}^{-1}$ ) | The speed between two centroids. |
| Direction ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | The angle of motion, taken the east-west direction as benchmark. |
| FDion (km) | The farthest longitudinal distance of centroids during the lifetime of thunderstorm |
| $\mathrm{FD}_{\text {lat }}(\mathrm{km})$ | The farthest latitudinal distance of centroids during the lifetime of thunderstorm |

Table 2. Overall characteristics of eight thunderstorms occurred within a week

|  | Storm | Date | Time (LT) | Total lightning |  | IC events |  | CG events |  | NBEs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | All | No.(hour $\left.{ }^{-1}\right)^{\text {a }}$ | No. | \% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | No. | \% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | No. | $\%^{\text {b }}$ |
| Morning | 1 | 05/20 | 8:24-10:48 | 17,985 | 8,175 | 14,215 | 79.0 | 3,725 | 20.7 | 45 | 0.3 |
|  | 2 | 05/21 | 5:36-9:48 | 46,681 | 11,115 | 41,411 | 88.7 | 4,945 | 10.6 | 325 | 0.7 |
| Midday | 3 | 05/17 | 11:36-14:36 | 37,418 | 12,473 | 28,325 | 75.7 | 8,519 | 22.8 | 574 | 1.5 |
|  | 4 | 05/22 | 11:36-14:00 | 44,694 | 18,623 | 33,305 | 74.5 | 11,168 | 25.0 | 221 | 0.5 |
| Afternoon | 5 | 05/18 | 17:00-19:48 | 65,786 | 23,495 | 52,126 | 79.2 | 13,250 | 20.1 | 410 | 0.6 |
|  | 6 | 05/23 | 14:00-17:36 | 101,242 | 28,123 | 87,041 | 86.0 | 13,558 | 13.4 | 643 | 0.6 |
| Evening | 7 | 05/17 | 17:48-20:36 | 81,872 | 29,240 | 75,118 | 91.8 | 6,028 | 7.4 | 726 | 0.9 |
|  | 8 | 05/19 | 19:00-21:24 | 17,433 | 7,263 | 11,780 | 78.9 | 3,007 | 20.1 | 139 | 0.9 |
| Average |  |  |  | 51,326 | 17,278 | 42,915 | 81.7 | 8,025 | 17.5 | 385 | 0.8 |
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Table 3. Parameters to characterize thunderstorms of eight cases.

|  | Date | Duration (h) | Valid Area (km) |  | Velocity (km h ${ }^{-1}$ ) |  | Longitudinal Distance (km) | Latitudinal <br> Distance <br> (km) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Max | Mean | Max | Mean |  |  |
| Morning | 05/20 | 2.2 | 506 | 279.6 | 204.8 | 77.0 | 116 | 24 |
|  | 05/21 | 4.2 | 489 | 244.8 | 148.4 | 47.4 | 106 | 12 |
| Midday | 05/17 | 3 | 473 | 239.1 | 127.3 | 52.5 | 55 | 18 |
|  | 05/22 | 2.4 | 466 | 295.1 | 134.2 | 83.9 | 108 | 27 |
| Afternoon | 05/18 | 2.8 | 805 | 456.2 | 180.1 | 92.1 | 153 | 30 |
|  | 05/23 | 3.6 | 824 | 369.8 | 127.3 | 49.3 | 76 | 45 |
| Evening | 05/17 | 2.8 | 891 | 662.7 | 147.1 | 72.7 | 146 | 20 |
|  | 05/19 | 2.4 | 253 | 146.7 | 115.3 | 55.9 | 92 | 21 |
| Average |  | 2.93 | 588.38 | 336.75 | 148.06 | 66.35 | 106.5 | 24.63 |

475 Table 4 Parameters comparison between the summer thunderstorms in the PRD region and previous studies.

|  | Data | Threshold | Cases | Duration (h) | Area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | Velocity (km $\mathrm{h}^{-1}$ ) | Farthest Distance (km) | Direction ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This paper | FTLLS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area }>25 \mathrm{~km}^{2} \\ \text { Duration }>60 \mathrm{~min} \end{gathered}$ | 8 cases | 2.93 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 336.75 \\ & \text { (in } 12 \mathrm{~min} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 66.35 | Longitudinal: 106.5 <br> Latitudinal: 24.63 | 270-360 |
| Betz et al. (2008) | LINET | 1 | 19 June 2007 Cell\#8 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max: nealy } 1550 \\ \text { (in } 10 \mathrm{~min} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Max: nealy 90 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 19 June 2007 Cell\#3 |  | 1 | Max: nealy 80 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 21 July 2007 Cell\#29 |  | Max: nealy 7000 | Max: nealy 60 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 21 July 2007 Cell\#51 |  | Max: nealy 2500 | Max: nealy 55 |  |  |
| Rigo et al. (2010) |  <br> Radar | $>12 \mathrm{dBz}$ Area $>24 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ Duration $>50 \mathrm{~min}$ | 66 cases | 3.5 | Mean: 509 <br> (in 6 min ) | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Kohn et al. (2011) | ZEUS |  | Summer $(13,600$ cases $)$ | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max: nealy } 3400 \\ \text { (in } 15 \mathrm{~min} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Mean: 16.5 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Winter (670 cases) | 1 | Max: nealy 3700 | Mean: 6.2 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12 May 2008 | / |  | 80 | Diagonal length: 28 |  |
| Meyer et al. (2013b) |  <br> Radar | Duration > 35 min | 25 June 2008 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max: nealy } 500 \\ & \text { (in } 15 \mathrm{~min} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | / | 1 |
| Harrison and Karstens (2017) | 1 | 1 | 9 years in CONUS | 1 | 1 | Mean: 45 | 1 | 244 |
| Miller and Mote (2017) | Radar | $>40 \mathrm{dBz}$ <br> Duration $>30 \mathrm{~min}$ | Pulse thunderstrom <br> (5378 cases) <br> Weakly forced thunderstorm (885,496 cases) | 2.95 0.78 | Medium: 470 <br> (in 5 min ) <br> Medium: 42 <br> (in 5 min ) | 1 | 1 | 1 |

