



## Insights into the abnormal increase of ozone during COVID-19 1 in a typical urban city of China 2 3 4 Kun Zhang <sup>a, b#</sup>, Zhiqiang Liu <sup>a, c#</sup>, Xiaojuan Zhang <sup>a, c</sup>, Qing Li <sup>a, b</sup>, Andrew Jensen <sup>d, e</sup>, Wen Tan 5 f, Ling Huang a, b, Yangjun Wang a, b, Joost de Gouw d, e, Li Li a, b\* <sup>a</sup> School of Environmental and Chemical Engineering, Shanghai University, Shanghai, 200444, China 6 7 <sup>b</sup> Key Laboratory of Organic Compound Pollution Control Engineering, Shanghai University, Shanghai, 8 200444, China 9 <sup>c</sup> Changzhou Institute of Environmental Science, Changzhou, Jiangsu, 213022, China 10 <sup>d</sup> Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, 11 Colorado, 80309, USA <sup>e</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, 80309, USA 12 <sup>f</sup> Tofwerk AG, Thun, Switzerland 13 14 <sup>#</sup> These authors contribute equally to this work. 15 16 Correspondence: Li Li (lily@shu.edu.cn) 17 Abstract 18 19 The outbreak of COVID-19 promoted strict restrictions to human activities in China, which led to 20 dramatic decrease in most air pollutant concentrations (e.g., PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, and CO). However, 21 abnormal increase of ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) concentrations was found during the lockdown period in most urban 22 areas of China. In this study, we conducted a field measurement targeting ozone and its key precursors 23 by utilizing a novel proton transfer reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer (PTR-TOF-MS) in Changzhou, which is representative for the Yangtze River Delta (YRD) city cluster of China. We further 24 25 applied the integrated methodology including machine learning, observation-based model (OBM), and 26 sensitivity analysis to get insights into the reasons causing the abnormal increase of ozone. Major





- 27 findings include: (1) By deweathered calculation, we found changes in precursor emissions contributed 28 5.1 ppbv to the observed  $O_3$  during the Full-lockdown period, while meteorological conditions only 29 contributed 0.5 ppbv to the  $O_3$  changes. (2) By using an OBM model, we found that although significant 30 reduction of O<sub>3</sub> precursors was observed during Full-lockdown period, the photochemical formation of 31 O<sub>3</sub> was stronger than that during the Pre-lockdown period. (3) The NO<sub>x</sub>/VOCs ratio dropped 32 dramatically from 1.84 during Pre-lockdown to 0.79 in Full-lockdown period, which switched O<sub>3</sub> 33 formation from VOCs-limited regime to the conjunction of NOx- and VOC-limited regime. Additionally, 34 the decrease in NO<sub>x</sub>/VOCs ratio during Full-lockdown period was supposed to increase the MeanO<sub>3</sub> by 35 2.4 ppbv. Results of this study investigate insights into the relationship between O<sub>3</sub> and its precursors in urban area, demonstrating reasons causing the abnormal increase of O<sub>3</sub> in most urban areas of China 36 37 during the COVID-19 lock-down period. This study also underlines the necessity of controlling 38 anthropogenic OVOCs, alkenes, and aromatics in the sustained campaign of reducing O<sub>3</sub> pollution in 39 China.
- 40 Keywords: Ozone; VOCs; PTR-TOF-MS; COVID-19

# 41 **1. Introduction**

42 At the end of 2019, a tragic coronavirus (COVID-19) occurred, which has caused over 184 million 43 global infection and over 3.99 million deaths as of this writing (5 Jun 2021). To protect people's health, China adopted strict measures to control the spread of this pandemic. Thirty provinces, autonomous 44 45 regions and municipalities have launched Full-lockdown response (also known as Level I response, 46 roughly from 24 Jan to 25 Feb 2020) as early as 24 Jan 2020 (Shen et al., 2021; Li et al., 2020; Huang 47 et al., 2020). With the effective control of COVID-19 in China, the emergency response level in most 48 provinces (except Hubei province, the hardest-hit region) gradually downgraded to Partial-lockdown 49 (Level II and Level III response, roughly after 25 Jan 2020) (Li et al., 2020), and work resumption 50 started. During Full-lockdown period, all the social events that may cause crowds (excluding 51 transportation and industries that maintained the basic operation of society) were severely restricted. 52 Affected by the pandemic, many factories were shut down, and the on-road traffic volume and





53 construction activities have been reduced significantly (Zheng et al., 2020). During Full-lockdown 54 period, dramatic decrease of air pollutants (e.g., PM2.5, NO2, BC) were found in China, especially in 55 urban areas (Fan et al., 2021; Gao et al., 2021; Li et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020). Surprisingly, marginal 56 increases of O<sub>3</sub> were observed during the lockdown period in YRD region, and this seems to be 57 contradictory to the decrease of most air pollutants (Li et al., 2020). However, as suggested by previous 58 studies, the formation of O<sub>3</sub> is significantly influenced by NO<sub>x</sub>/VOCs ratio and meteorological 59 conditions (temperature and relative humidity) (Zhang et al., 2020a; Zhang et al., 2020b). Therefore, it is essential to investigate the changes of meteorological and emissions conditions to figure out reasons 60 61 causing the abnormal increase of O<sub>3</sub> during this pandemic.

62 Previous studies on the O<sub>3</sub> pollution in the YRD region have often focused on the more populated metropolitan areas, such as Shanghai and Nanjing, which are considerably far away from the industrial 63 64 zones that are essentially responsible for the sources of O<sub>3</sub> precursors (Li et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 65 2020b). Changzhou, located in the center of the Yangtze River Delta (YRD) region, is a typical city with 66 fast urbanization, heavy industrial structure, huge energy consumption, increasing vehicle stocks and 67 frequent air pollution. Therefore, it provides a more representative environment to fully elucidate the 68 mechanism underlying the O<sub>3</sub> pollution in the YRD region (Shi et al., 2020). In a companion paper (Jensen et al., 2021), we also demonstrated that Changzhou is representative for the region by analyzing 69 70 both surface observations and satellite data. According to previous studies, the anthropogenic VOCs 71 emission in Changzhou was around 9~12.6×10<sup>4</sup> tons/year, among which industries was the dominant 72 source, accounting for 27~47% of the total VOC emissions (Cheng et al., 2016; Fu et al., 2013). It is 73 notable that industrial sources together contributed over 80% of anthropogenic VOC emissions (Sun et 74 al., 2019). Apart from industrial sources, vehicle exhaust accounted for 9%~14% of total VOC 75 emissions (Sun et al., 2019). However, rare observation regarding VOCs characteristics during COVID-19 in Changzhou has been conducted. 76

Highly time-resolved measurements of VOCs are generally much sparser and could not be easily expanded during the lockdowns. This limits our understanding of how VOCs changed and how the formation of ozone was affected. Here, we used a novel proton transfer reaction time-of-flight mass





spectrometer (PTR-TOF-MS, Tofwerk, Model Vocus Elf, CHE) to conduct online observation of VOCs in Changzhou. The characteristics of VOCs and the variations of general air pollutants in each emergency response period were analyzed. Additionally, ozone formation during each period was investigated by an OBM model. Although terrifying impact has been caused by the COVID-19, it provided a rare experiment to analyze the variations of VOCs and NO<sub>x</sub> due to changes of anthropogenic activities in a typical city of China. Furthermore, results of this study offer theoretical support for formulating refined ozone management policy in China.

# 87 2. Methodology

# 88 2.1 Field measurement

89 The field campaign was conducted from 8 Jan to 31 Mar 2020 at a sampling site located on the 90 rooftop of a building at Changzhou Environmental Monitoring Center (CEMC, 31.76° N, 119.96° E), which was approximately 15 m above ground level. As a typical urban monitoring station, this site is in 91 92 the center of Changzhou city, surrounded by residential and commercial area, which is also adjacent to 93 the main transportation junction in Changzhou (Figure 1). According to local epidemic prevention 94 policies, we roughly classified the measurement periods into three stages: Pre-lockdown (8th January to 23rd January 2020), Full-lockdown (25th January to 24th February 2020), Partial-lockdown (25th 95 96 February to 28<sup>th</sup> March 2020) as defined in a study of the Yangtze River Delta (Li Li et al., 2020).







# 97 98

#### Figure 1. Location of the sampling site in Changzhou (© Google Maps).

99 From Jan 8 to Mar 27, 2020, the concentrations of traditional air pollutants (PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, 100 SO<sub>2</sub>, CO, O<sub>3</sub>) as well as meteorological parameters were monitored by a series of analyzers (Table 1). 101 In particular, 87 VOCs species were quantified, 59 of which were identified, by a PTR-TOF-MS with 102 time resolution of 1 min. Detailed measurement techniques and quality assurance and control has been 103 documented in detail in our companion paper (Jensen et al., 2021). Here, we just briefly introduce the 104 measurement. The air samples were directly inhaled into the 3 m-long tube connected to the instrument. 105 A priming pump, with flow rate of 4 L/min, was used to reduce the retention time of the gas sample in 106 the tube. To avoid blocking of inlet tube caused by particles, a particulate filter was assembled at the 107 front of the inlet tube. The pressure of the ion source was set as 2 mbar and the temperature of the reaction chamber was set to 90 °C during the observation. The PTR-TOF-MS can detect most 108 109 unsaturated hydrocarbons and VOCs with functional groups but cannot detect species with proton 110 affinities lower than that of water, namely alkanes and small alkenes. Eighteen standard gases (including acetonitrile, acetaldehyde, acrolein, acetone, isoprene, butanone, 2-butanone, benzene, 2-pentanone, 111 ethyl acetate, toluene, methyl isobutyl ketone, styrene, xylene, trimethylbenzene, naphthalene, a-pinene, 112 113 and 1,3-dichlorobenzene) with concentrations of 1 ppmv were used for the calibration of the PTR-TOF-





- 114 MS. In addition, a built-in calibration system was used to control the zero and standard gases.
- 115

Table 1 Measurements	norformod	during the	field	camnaign
Table 1 Measurements	periormea	auring the	i neia	campaign.

Species/Parameter	Experimental Technique
T, RH, WS, WD and P	2000WX, Airmax, USA
O3	400E, API, USA
NO <sub>x</sub> (NO and NO <sub>2</sub> )	T200, API, USA
SO <sub>2</sub>	T100, API, USA
СО	T300, API, USA
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	5030, Thermo Fisher, USA
PM10	5030, Thermo Fisher, USA
VOCs	Vocus Elf, Tofwerk, CHE

116

#### 117 2.2 Observation-based model

118 An OBM model coupled with MCM v3.3.1 was utilized to investigate the atmospheric oxidation 119 capability and the radical chemistry. Detailed information about the chemistry mechanism is available 120 on MCM website (http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/MCM/, last access 8 Jul 2021). More than 5800 chemical 121 species and 17000 reactions are included in this mechanism. The photolysis frequencies (J values) were 122 calculated based on the trigonometric parameterization provided by MCM (Wolfe et al., 2016). Dilution 123 mixing within the boundary layer is considered. However, as a 0-zero model, vertical or horizonal 124 transport of airmasses are not involved. The observed meteorological parameters (T, RH, P), trace gases 125 (NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, SO<sub>2</sub>, and VOCs) were used to constrain the model. Before each simulation, the model 126 was run 3 days as spin-up to reach a stable state. According to the definition of atmospheric oxidation 127 capability (AOC), AOC is quantified by Eq (1) (Zhu et al., 2020).

$$AOC = \sum_{i} k_{Y_i}[Y_i][X] \tag{1}$$

where  $Y_i$  are the primary pollutants (e.g., VOCs, CH<sub>4</sub>, and CO); *X* are atmospheric oxidants (OH, O<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub>);  $k_{Y_i}$  are the bimolecular rate constants for the reactions of  $Y_i$  and *X*. A high value of AOC indicates fast scavenge of primary air pollutants. Additionally, OH reactivity ( $k_{OH}$ ), defined as the reaction rate





- 131 coefficients multiplied by the concentrations of the reactants with OH, is also widely used as an indicator
- 132 of AOC. The value of  $k_{OH}$  depends on both the abundances and compositions of primary pollutants and
- 133 can be calculated by Eq (2).

$$k_{OH} = \sum_{i} k_{(OH+X_i)} \times [X_i]$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

- 134 where  $k_{(OH+Xi)}$  are the reaction rate coefficients of reaction OH+ $X_i$ ;  $X_i$  are the concentrations of pollutants
- 135 (VOC, NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, OVOC etc.) (Zhu et al., 2020).

#### 136 2.3 Trend Analysis

137 Mann-Kendall (MK) trend test is a widely used non-parametric test method (Pathakoti et al., 2021; 138 Zhang et al., 2013), which is recommended by the World Meteorological Organization. It is applicable 139 to all distributions (that is, the data does not need to meet the assumption of normal distribution), but the data should have no serial correlation. If the data has serial correlation, it will have an impact on the 140 significance level (p value). In this study, the MK trend analysis was performed for individual VOC 141 142 concentrations during Pre-lockdown and Full-lockdown period. Detailed description of this method 143 could be found in the study of Pathakoti et al. (2021) and Alhathloul et al. (2021). A positive z value 144 from the MK test indicates increasing trend of the target compound. On the contrary, a negative z value 145 suggests the target compound was decreasing.

Sen's slope, a non-parametric test proposed by Sen (1968), is also used in this study to assess the
rate of change in individual VOC concentrations. Sen's slope (Q) is mathematically represented by the
following equations.

$$Q = median(SS_{ii}) \tag{3}$$

$$SS_{ij} = \frac{x_j - x_i}{j - i}, 1 \le i \le j \le n$$
<sup>(4)</sup>

where  $x_j$  and  $x_i$  are concentrations of VOC specie x at time *j* and *i* ( $1 \le i \le j \le n$ ), respectively. SS<sub>*ij*</sub> is the linear slope between time *i* and *j*, and Q is the median of SS<sub>*ij*</sub>. Positive and negative Q values indicating increasing or decreasing trend of VOC specie x, respectively.

#### 152 **2.4 Deweathered model**





153 The observed concentrations of  $O_3$  could be influenced by meteorological conditions, 154 emissions/chemistry. To quantitatively assess the contribution of meteorological conditions and 155 emissions/chemistry, the deweathered O<sub>3</sub> concentrations was calculated based on the random forest (RF) 156 approach. The number of trees in the RF model was set as 300, the minimal node size was five, and the 157 number of samples was 300. Hourly data of Unix date (number of seconds since 1970-01-01), Julian 158 day, weekday, hour of day, wind speed (WS), wind direction (WD), temperature (T), relative humidity 159 (RH), and pressure (P) were used for the deweathered calculation of O<sub>3</sub>. More details of this model 160 could be found in the study of Grange and David (2019).

# 161 **3. Results and discussion**

#### 162 **3.1 Overview of the field campaign**

163 Figure 2 shows the meteorological conditions during the observation. During the whole experiment, the prevailing WD was southeast. The average T and RH was  $9.9 \pm 5.1$ °C and  $58.9 \pm 17.1$ %, respectively. 164 165 Compared to Pre-lockdown period, the concentrations of PM2.5, PM10, SO2, NO, NO2, TVOC and CO 166 during Full-lockdown period decreased by 48%, 42%, 11%, 65%, 58%, 33% and 39%, respectively. It 167 is interesting to note that the decreasing ratio of VOC :  $NO_x$  is around 1:2. Meanwhile, the average  $O_3$ 168 concentrations in Full-lockdown period was 67% higher than that during Pre-lockdown period. To 169 estimate whether the increase of O<sub>3</sub> during Full-lockdown period is abnormal, we summarized the 170 meteorological conditions and O<sub>3</sub> concentrations during the same period in 2020 and 2019 (Table 2 and 171 Figure 3). It should be noted that, considering the influence of Chinese New Year, the corresponding 172 period in 2019 was decided according to lunar calendar. Compared to Full-lockdown period in 2019, 173 the mean O<sub>3</sub> concentration in 2020 was 5.5 ppbv higher (Figure 2). The T and RH in Full-lockdown 174 period in 2020 was ~1.6 °C higher and 6.1% lower than that in the same period in 2019, while the P and 175 WS were comparable during the same period in 2020 and 2019. The relatively higher T and lower RH 176 condition was supposed to be in favor of  $O_3$  formation during the Full-lockdown period in 2020. 177 Additionally, we compared the hours of adverse weather conditions (with RH >70% and WS <1m/s) 178 and found that the meteorological condition during Full-lockdown period in 2020 restrained the dilution





- 179 of air pollutants (Table 2). Therefore, the meteorological conditions during Full-lockdown period in
- 180 2020 seemed to favor O<sub>3</sub> formation. However, changes in O<sub>3</sub> concentrations could be a result of the joint
- 181 effect of meteorological conditions and emissions/chemistry, the following sections would discuss the
- 182 influences respectively.







183

lockdown period in 2020 and the same period in 2019.

Periods	Date	Р	RH	Т	Precipitati on (mm)	WS (m/s )	Adverse weather conditions*	
		(hPa)	(%)	(°C)			Number of hours (h)	Proporti on (%)
Pre-lockdown	(2020.1.8-1.24)	1025.4	84.9	4.8	0.13	1.8	54	17.5%
Same period in 2019	(2019.1.19-2.4)	1025.6	72.7	5.2	0.05	1.9	70	18.5%
Full-lockdown	(2020.1.25-2.24)	1025.6	73.0	7.3	0.09	2.1	103	15.6%
Same period in 2019	(2019.2.5-3.7)	1024.1	79.1	5.7	0.15	2.1	82	13.7%
Partial-lockdown	(2020.2.25-3.31)	1018.9	69.5	12.1	0.11	2.4	106	12.3%
Same period in 2019	(2019.3.8-4.12)	1017.6	64.	13.8	0.02	2.	72	8.3%

187 \* The non-rainfall periods with RH less than 70% and WS below 1m/s were defined as adverse weather condition.

188

## **3.2 Mechanism affecting the abnormal O<sub>3</sub> increase**





#### 190 **3.2.1 Meteorological perspective**

191 Deweathered O<sub>3</sub> concentrations were calculated based on the model described in Section 2.4. The 192 random forest model grown for  $O_3$  at the sampling site had  $R^2$  values of 0.84, therefore, the model shows 193 good performance for O3 predictions. The difference between observed (O3,Obs) and weather-normalized 194 O<sub>3</sub> (O<sub>3,Normal</sub>) can be regarded as the meteorological influence (O<sub>3,Met</sub>). In addition, the difference 195 between O3,0bs concentrations in different years could be considered as the influence of emissions 196 (O<sub>3,Emi</sub>). Figure 3 exhibited the average O<sub>3,Obs</sub>, O<sub>3,Normal</sub>, O<sub>3,Met</sub> during the same periods in 2019 and 2020, 197 respectively. The mean O3,Normal during Pre-lockdown and Partial-lockdown periods in 2019 and 2020 198 were close, suggesting the similar emission condition during these periods in 2019 and 2020. During 199 Full-lockdown period in 2020, O3,Met contributed +0.5 ppbv to O3,Obs, which is consistent with the rough 200 summary of meteorological conditions in Table 2, confirming that the weather conditions during the 201 Full-lockdown period in 2020 favored O3 formation. However, the average O3,Normal during Fulllockdown period in 2020 was 5.1 ppbv higher than that in 2019, indicating that improper decline of 202 203 precursor emissions was possibly the key reason for the abnormal increase of O<sub>3</sub> during Full-lockdown 204 period in 2020.





Figure 5. Comparison of observed (Obs), weather-normalized (Normal), and meteorological-factors-inte

207

(Met) O<sub>3</sub> concentrations during the same period in 2019 and 2020.





#### 208 **3.2.2 Ambient VOCs**

209 As mentioned above, the changes in O<sub>3</sub> precursor emissions strongly affected the O<sub>3,Obs</sub>, and the changes in VOCs and  $NO_x$  emissions would eventually be reflected by the observed concentrations of 210 211 individual VOCs and NOx. Therefore, the concentrations of each VOC group in different periods were 212 summarized (Figure 4). OVOCs dominated the total VOCs (TVOC) concentrations during the whole 213 observation, with a daily average concentration of  $21.44 \pm 10.27$  ppbv. During Full-lockdown period, 214 the TVOC dropped to  $22.19 \pm 7.9$  ppby, which was mainly affected by the decrease in industrial activities and traffic volume. The most obvious drop was found in aromatics (~54%), followed by OVOCs (~27%), 215 216 alkenes (~26%), nitrogen hydrocarbon (~25%), and other VOCs (~21%). Additionally, the discrepancy 217 of daytime and nighttime VOCs concentrations during different periods were compared (Figure 4 (A)). 218 Interestingly, the concentration of each VOCs group exhibited higher values during nighttime, which 219 was caused by the low atmospheric oxidation condition and the low atmospheric boundary layer height 220 (Maji et al., 2020; Valach et al., 2015).



221



Furthermore, the average concentrations of individual VOCs during different periods were summarized in Figure 5. Most VOC species exhibited an 'U' shape trend during the whole observation, except for several VOCs (such as formaldehyde (HCHO) and methanol), which showed an increasing pattern. It should be noted that the measurement of HCHO could be strongly influenced by humidity. Since within the drift tube, the back reaction, which converse the protonated HCHO back into HCHO,





- is highly humidity dependent (Inomata et al., 2008; Warneke et al., 2011). To quantitatively evaluate the
  changes of individual VOC concentrations from Pre-lockdown to Full-lockdown period, when the
  variations of each VOCs are obvious, we applied MK trend test and Sen's slope analysis based on the
  hourly average VOCs concentration data.
- 233 Table 3 lists the top 10 VOCs species with decreasing pattern from Pre-lockdown to Full-lockdown 234 period. Interestingly, toluene, benzene and xylene exhibited the most significant decreasing pattern, with a slope of 7.73×10<sup>-4</sup>, 7.36×10<sup>-4</sup>, and 7.20×10<sup>-4</sup> ppbv h<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. As for NO<sub>x</sub> and TVOC, the slope 235 236 was  $-1.62 \times 10^{-2}$  and 5.48 10<sup>-3</sup> ppb h<sup>-1</sup>. This result corresponds with the drastic drop of industrial activities and traffic volumes, which are key sources of aromatics and NOx, from Pre-lockdown to Full-237 238 lockdown period. Other VOCs, such as ethyl-acetate, acetic acid, acetaldehyde, diethyl sulfide, ethanol, 239 butanol and acrolein are also tightly associated with industrial processes, thereby showed decreasing 240 trend from Pre-lockdown to Full-lockdown period. Additionally, the average diurnal variations of acetonitrile, dimethyl formamide (DMF), and styrene, which are tracers of biomass burning and 241 242 industrial emission, respectively, exhibited significant reduction during Full-lockdown period (Figure S1), also indicating strong decrease in these emissions. However, formaldehyde and methanol exhibited 243 increasing trend, with a slope of 12.78×10<sup>-4</sup> and 6.35×10<sup>-4</sup> ppbv h<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. This could be 244 245 explained by the secondary formation of HCHO and methanol, which was promoted under better oxidation condition in Full-lockdown period. 246







247



Figure 5. Concentrations of individual VOC species during different period. \*MEK, DMF, are abbreviation of Methyl ethyl ketone and dimethylformamide, respectively.



- 250
- 251
- 252
- 253
- 254





255

Table 3. Top 10 VOCs with decreasing trend from Pre-lockdown to Full-lockdown

VOC	Z value	Q *10000 (ppbv h <sup>-1</sup> )	VOC	Z value	Q *10000 (ppbv h <sup>-1</sup> )
Toluene	-14.02	-7.73	Acetaldehyde	-10.31	-3.95
Benzene	-9.65	-7.36	Diethyl sulfide	-9.15	-3.16
xylene	-12.38	-7.20	Ethanol	-5.48	-3.09
Ethyl-acetate	-18.53	-5.20	Butanol	-10.42	-2.83
Acetic acid	-6.79	-4.12	Acrolein	-15.48	-2.76

### 256 3.2.3 Chemistry perspective

The reactivities of different VOCs varies significantly, hence, ozone formation potential (OFP) is used in this study to assess the potential contribution of active VOCs (including alkenes, aromatics and

259 OVCOs) to  $O_3$  formation on the same basis, and it can be calculated by formula (5):

$$OFP_i = MIR_i \times [VOC_i] \tag{5}$$

260 where  $MIR_i$  is the ozone formation potential coefficient for a given VOC specie *i* in the maximum increment reaction of O<sub>3</sub>, acquired from Carter (2009);  $[VOC_i]$  is the concentration of VOC species i (in 261  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). The time series of total OFP is shown in Figure 6. The average OFP in Pre-lockdown, Full-262 lockdown, and Partial-lockdown period was  $269.4 \pm 146.0$ ,  $147.2 \pm 72.4$ ,  $279.3 \pm 168.6 \ \mu g/m^3$ , 263 264 respectively. The trend of the total OFP indicates the drastic decrease of VOCs reactivities from Pre-265 lockdown to Full-lockdown period. During Pre-lockdown period, aromatics were the dominant OFP contributor (49%), followed by OVOCs (38%) and alkenes (13%) (Figure 4). Among aromatics, xylene 266 267 exhibited the maximum OFP value ( $68.6 \pm 59.3 \ \mu g/m^3$ ), followed by acetaldehyde ( $28.8 \pm 6.4 \ \mu g/m^3$ ), 268 toluene  $(25.7 \pm 20.1 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3)$  trimethylbenzene  $(25.4 \pm 15.8 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3)$ , and formaldehyde  $(22.7 \pm 9.1 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3)$ 269 (Figure S2), suggesting that anthropogenic emissions could be the main source of secondary formation of O3 during Pre-lockdown period. Compared to Pre-lockdown period, the OFP of aromatics decreased 270 271 dramatically (-91.2 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) during Full-lockdown period (Figure 4 (B)), which was mainly attributed to 272 the rapid decline of human activities (e.g., transportation and industry). However, the OFP of alkenes 273 and OVOCs only decreased by 8.9 and 22.5  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively. As for alkene, this could be explained 274 by their chemical reactivities, which led to the fast degradation after emission. As for OVOCs, the 275 secondary formation could compensate the decrease in primary emissions. The OFP values of aromatics





276 and alkenes during Pre-lockdown and Partial-lockdown period are comparable, but OVOCs exhibited 277 higher OFP contribution (~46%) in Partial-lockdown period, which could be attributed to the higher 278 AOC condition during Partial-lockdown period. To compare the average reactivity of VOCs during 279 different periods, we calculate the mean MIR in each period. As shown in Figure 7, the average MIR during Pre-lockdown, Full-lockdown, and Partial-lockdown period was 3.85, 3.53 and 3.68 (g O<sub>3</sub>/g 280 281 VOC), respectively. This result suggests that the VOCs in Partial-lockdown should produce less O3 than 282 that in Pre-lockdown, and Partial-lockdown period. This is inconsistent with the observation, which 283 shows relatively higher O3 concentration during Full-lockdown period. However, the formation of O3 284 was sensitive to the ratio of NOx/VOCs and meteorological conditions, which can be significantly 285 different in each period. As shown in Figure 7, the average NOx/VOCs ratio in the three periods (shown 286 in) was 1.84, 0.79, and 0.84, respectively, suggesting more NOx was eliminated during Full-lockdown 287 period, which could further influence the sensitivity of O<sub>3</sub> formation.



288 289

290

during each period)







293 To investigate the detailed formation mechanism of O<sub>3</sub> in each period, three cases (January 19<sup>th</sup>, February 1st, March 14th) with stagnant meteorological conditions were chosen. The index of agreement 294 295 (IOA) of  $O_3$  is 0.80, indicating that the model can capture the daytime variation of  $O_3$ . The simulated 296 daytime OH concentrations exhibited an increasing trend from January 19 to March 14, with an average 297 value of  $0.36 \pm 0.27 \times 10^6$ ,  $0.75 \pm 0.54 \times 10^6$  and  $1.18 \pm 0.78 \times 10^6$  molecules cm<sup>-3</sup>, respectively. This 298 could be attributed to the increasing solar radiation and temperature from January to March. To analyze the atmospheric oxidation, we calculated the AOC according to Eq(1). The average daytime AOC on 299 300 Jan 19th, Feb 1st, and Mar 14th was 0.26  $\pm$  0.35, 0.23  $\pm$  0.33, and 0.31  $\pm$  0.38 molecules cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Figure 9). Comparatively, these values are much lower than those simulated for Shanghai 301 302 and Beijing (Liu et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2021) in summer, mainly due to the 303 meteorological conditions in winter season. It is notable that the simulated OH on Jan 19th was significantly lower than that on Feb 14th, but the AOC on Jan 19th was comparable to that on Feb 1st. 304 305 This should be ascribed to the abundant primary pollutants, which efficiently react with OH, during Pre-306 lockdown period.

307

291 292







309 Figure 8. Comparison of simulated and observed O<sub>3</sub> (a) and simulated daytime OH concentrations (b) in three

cases.





310



#### Figure 9. Diurnal variation of AOC in three cases

313 The daytime variations of OH reactivity calculated by OBM model are exhibited in Figure 10, including the contribution from measured pollutants (e.g., VOCs, NOx, and CO) and model-simulated 314 315 species (OVOCs). Generally, the  $k_{OH}$  assessed at Changzhou was in the range of 9~32 s<sup>-1</sup>, which was 316 comparable to that calculated for other cities in China (e.g., Shanghai (4.6~25 s<sup>-1</sup>, (Zhu et al., 2020)), Chongqing (15~25 s<sup>-1</sup>, (Tan et al., 2019)) and Beijing (15~25 s<sup>-1</sup>, (Tan et al., 2019))). It is obvious that 317 318 OH reactivity peaked in the morning, with maximum values of 31.76, 17.98, and 17.30 s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. 319 The OH reactivity from NO<sub>2</sub> exhibited obvious daytime variation, especially during the morning rush 320 hour, which lead to the peak  $k_{OH}$  value during morning. The OH reactivity ( $k_{OH}$ ) on Feb 1<sup>st</sup> was much 321 lower than that in the other two cases, which was mainly due to the abundance of emissions during Prelockdown and Partial-lockdown period. Compared to Jan 19th, the k<sub>OH</sub> from NO<sub>2</sub> on Feb 1<sup>st</sup> and Mar 322 14th showed lower levels, with an average value of 2.62 and 3.35 s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. This corresponds with 323 324 the dramatic drop of traffic volume during lockdown periods. Similarly, compared to Jan 19, the  $k_{OH}$ from alkenes and aromatics were lower on Feb 1st and Mar 14th. It is notable that the OH reactivity from 325





- 326 OVOCs kept almost stable in the three cases, this was mainly attributed to the stable OVOC
- 327 concentrations during these cases.



328 329

Figure 10. Daytime variation of OH reactivity in three cases

330 To investigate the variation of O<sub>3</sub> during different periods, the formation and loss pathways of O<sub>3</sub> were calculated (Figure 11). The formation of  $O_3$  ( $P(O_3)$ ) was dominated by HO<sub>2</sub>+NO and RO<sub>2</sub>+NO 331 332 pathways. Although the average MIR during Full-lockdown period was the minimum among the three 333 periods, the  $P(O_3)$  on Feb 1<sup>st</sup> was higher than that on Jan 19<sup>th</sup>. This could be attributed to the higher 334 AOC and better photochemical conditions during Full-lockdown period. Similarly, much higher  $P(O_3)$ was found on March 14th. To avoid the influence of meteorological conditions and test the potential 335 336 mean O<sub>3</sub> (MeanO<sub>3</sub>) concentrations under different NO<sub>x</sub>/VOCs ratios, a series of scenario analyses were 337 performed based on the average condition during the whole observation, and the isopleths of MeanO<sub>3</sub> 338 concentrations are exhibited in Figure. 12. Note that the value of temperature and photolysis frequencies 339 (J values) in the scenario analyses could be higher than the actual value during Pre-lockdown period and could further lead to overestimation of simulated MeanO3 during Per-lockdown period. Additionally, 340 341 the VOCs concentrations mentioned in this section only represent the VOC species in the MCM 342 mechanism. By connecting the inflection points in each O3 isopleth, we get the ridge line, which divides 343 the whole regime into NOx-sensitive and VOCs-sensitive regimes (Figure. 12). During Pre-lockdown 344 period, the O<sub>3</sub> formation was in VOC-limited regime (triangles in Figure. 12), with an average 345 NO<sub>x</sub>/VOC ratio of 1.84. As for Full-lockdown period, significant decrease of NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC emissions was observed, and the NO<sub>x</sub>/VOCs ratio dropped to 0.79, which gradually switched the O<sub>3</sub> formation to 346 the junction of VOCs-limited and NOx-limited regimes, especially on Feb 16th and Feb 17th (circles in 347 348 the red rectangle in Figure. 12), when the  $O_3$  formation went into  $NO_x$ -limited regime. During Partial-





349 lockdown period, increasing of VOCs and NO<sub>x</sub> emission again dragged the formation of O<sub>3</sub> back into 350 VOCs-limited regime (triangles in Figure. 12). Interestingly, although a great deal of NO<sub>x</sub> and VOCs 351 emissions were diminished during Full-lockdown period, the average MeanO<sub>3</sub> in Full-lockdown was 352 supposed to be 2.4 ppbv higher than that in Pre-lockdown period. This result is consistent with the trend 353 of the observed MDA8 O<sub>3</sub> and the results of the deweathered calculation. Therefore, the improper 354 NO<sub>x</sub>/VOCs reduction ratio and further influence on chemistry was the key reason for the abnormal 355 increase of O<sub>3</sub> during Full-lockdown period in Changzhou in 2020.









360

# Figure. 12 MeanO<sub>3</sub> isopleth. The colored circles, triangles, and rectangles represent the daily average concentrations of NO<sub>x</sub> and VOCs during Pre lockdown, Full-lockdown, and Partial-lockdown period, respectively. The white circle, triangle, and rectangle indicates the average NO<sub>x</sub> and VOCs concentrations during Pre lockdown, Full-lockdown, and Partial-lockdown period, respectively.

365 The scenario analyses raise a question: how much  $O_3$  would change as a function of reduction of 366 NO<sub>x</sub> and VOCs? Therefore, the reduction percentage of O<sub>3</sub> ( $\Delta$ O<sub>3</sub>/O<sub>3</sub>) during Pre-lockdown period as a 367 function of reduction of VOCs and NO<sub>x</sub> were calculated, and the result could be regarded as a potential 368 to control O<sub>3</sub> pollution. Based on the VOCs species in MCM v3.3.1, we classified the measured VOCs 369 into four groups: alkenes (n-butene); aromatics (including benzene, toluene, phenol, xylene, styrene, 370 cresol, and trimethylbenzene); OVOCs (including methanol, ethanol, formaldehyde, aldehyde, acrolein, 371 methyl vinyl ketone, methyl ethyl ketone, ethyl acetate, methyl isobutyl ketone, hexanol, and heptanal); 372 and BVOCs (isoprene, pinene, and caryophyllene). The results in Figure 13(a) indicate that more 373 reduction potential of O<sub>3</sub> could be achieved by diminishing aromatics, followed by BVOCs, OVOCs, 374 and alkenes. It should be noted that many light alkanes and active alkenes, such as ethene and propene,





- 375 could not be measured by the PTR-TOF-MS and might further lead to the underestimation of ozone 376 sensitivity to alkanes and alkenes. Additionally, this comparison has a drawback of being influenced by 377 the concentrations of VOCs. To normalize the influence of concentrations of VOCs, the descent rate of 378  $O_3 (\Delta O_3 (ppbv) / \Delta VOCs (ppbv))$  as a function of reduction percentage of VOCs were calculated (Figure 13 (b)). O<sub>3</sub> exhibited the highest dependence on BVOCs, with an average descent rate of  $3.74 \pm 0.09$ 379 380 ppbv/ppbv. Differing from the result in Figure 13 (a), diminishing alkenes could lead to decreasing of 381 O<sub>3</sub> by an average descent rate of  $1.69 \pm 0.01$  ppbv/ppbv. On the contrary, reduction of NO<sub>x</sub> would lead 382 to increase of  $O_3$ , with an average rate of  $1.29 \pm 0.21$  ppbv/ppbv (Figure S3). Although the descent rate of O<sub>3</sub> turned to decrease and the sensitive of O<sub>3</sub> formation get into NO<sub>x</sub>-limited regime when over 70% 383 384 of  $NO_x$  were eliminated, it still causes net increase of  $O_3$ .
- Although diminishing BVOCs seems to the most efficient way to restrain O<sub>3</sub> pollution, most of BVOCs were emitted directly from plants and could not be easily controlled. Besides, huge number of OVOCs (such as formaldehyde, aldehyde, methanol, ethanol, methyl vinyl ketone, methyl ethyl ketone, etc.) could be directly emitted from anthropogenic processes or secondary formatted from the oxidation of precursors (such as alkenes and aromatics), which complicates the control of OVOCs. Therefore, considering the reduction potential and descent rate of O<sub>3</sub>, more efforts are needed on the control of alkenes and aromatics.





394

392

function of reduction percentage of VOCs (b).





# 395 **4. Conclusions**

396 After the outbreak of COVID-19, strict epidemic prevention measures have been adopted 397 throughout China, leading to dramatic decrease in traffic volume and industrial activities. Affected by 398 the decrease of number of vehicles on the road, non-essential industrial productivity, and associated pollutant emissions, most of the air pollutants (e.g., PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, and VOCs) dropped 399 400 to a lower level during lockdown period (especially during Full-lockdown period). However, O<sub>3</sub> 401 increased compared to that during the same period in 2019 in many urban areas of China. To figure out 402 the reasons for this abnormal increase of  $O_3$ , the characteristics of  $O_3$  precursors (NO<sub>x</sub>, VOCs) during 403 Pre-lockdown, Full-lockdown, and Partial-lockdown periods in Changzhou were analyzed. Although 404 this study was conducted in single city of China, the representativeness of Changzhou guaranteed the 405 applicability of the results the YRD region. Results suggested that the decrease of human activities 406 during Full-lockdown period significantly suppressed the emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> and VOCs, which further 407 lead to dramatic drop in the concentrations of most VOCs, especially aromatics. As a result, the 408 NO<sub>x</sub>/VOCs ratios dropped from 1.84 at Pre-lockdown period to 0.79 during Full-lockdown period. By 409 deweathered calculation, we found that meteorology only contributed a minor positive (0.5 ppbv) value 410 to the increase of  $O_3$ , whereas changes in precursor emissions led to 5.1 ppbv increase in  $O_3$ 411 concentrations during Full-lockdown period. To verify this result, a box model was used to simulate the 412 formation of O<sub>3</sub>. Results show that the AOC level during Full-lockdown was comparable to that during 413 Pre-lockdown period, but the formation rate of O<sub>3</sub> was much higher during Full-lockdown period. By 414 scenario analysis, we found the decrease of NO<sub>x</sub> and VOCs in Full-lockdown period dragged the 415 formation of  $O_3$  from VOC-sensitive regime to the junction of VOCs- and NO<sub>x</sub>-limited regime, and the 416 average simulated MeanO3 in Full lockdown period could be 2.4 ppbv higher than that in Pre-lockdown 417 period. Although the deweathered model and OBM model shows differences in the emission-derived 418 change of  $O_3$ , the results together point out that the improper reduction of  $NO_x$  and VOCs was the key 419 reason for the abnormal increase of O<sub>3</sub> during Full-lockdown period in 2020. Overall, the outbreak of 420 COVID-19 has caused devastation over the world. However, it provided an extreme experiment to





421	investigate the O <sub>3</sub> formation under strict emission control policies and provided insights into the policy
422	formulation for diminishing O <sub>3</sub> pollution in the YRD region. The data indicate that the concentrations
423	of VOCs and $NO_x$ have changed dramatically during the pandemic, a common situation also found in
424	other Chinese cities, and led to the switch of $O_3$ formation sensitivity. These results have a clear
425	indication that, in the future, more efforts should be paid on the reduction ratio of anthropogenic VOCs
426	and NO <sub>x</sub> .
427	Acknowledgement
428	This study was financially sponsored by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant
429	42075144, 41875161, 42005112), the Shanghai Science and Technology Innovation Plan (no.
430	19DZ1205007), the Shanghai Sail Program (no. 19YF1415600), the Shanghai International Science and
431	Technology Cooperation Fund (no. 19230742500).
432	References
433	Alhathloul SH, Khan AA, Mishra AK. Trend analysis and change point detection of annual and seasonal
434	horizontal visibility trends in Saudi Arabia. Theoretical and Applied Climatology 2021; 144: 127-
435	146.

- Jensen A, Liu ZQ, Tan W, Dix B, Chen TS, Koss A, Zhu L, Li Li, Gouw J. Measurements of Volatile Organic
- 437 Compounds during the COVID-19 Lockdown in Changzhou, China. Geophysical Research Letters
  438 2021. (In production)
- 439 Carter W. Updated maximum incremental reactivity scale and hydrocarbon bin reactivities for regulatory
  440 applications. California Air Resources Board Contract 2009; 339.
- Cheng Z, Zhang J, Zhou J, Sun J, Zhou W, Chen C, et al. Air pollutant emission inventory and distribution
   characteristics in Changzhou (in Chinese). The Administration and Technique of Environmental
- 443 Monitoring 2016; 28: 24-28.
- Fan L, Fu S, Wang X, Fu Q, Jia H, Xu H, et al. Spatiotemporal variations of ambient air pollutants and
  meteorological influences over typical urban agglomerations in China during the COVID-19
  lockdown. Journal of Environmental Sciences (China) 2021; 106: 26-38.
- 447 Fu X, Wang S, Zhao B, Xing J, Cheng Z, Liu H, et al. Emission inventory of primary pollutants and chemical



448



449 39-50. 450 Gao C, Li S, Liu M, Zhang F, Achal V, Tu Y, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on air pollution in 451 Chinese megacities from the perspective of traffic volume and meteorological factors. Science of 452 The Total Environment 2021; 773: 145545. 453 Huang L, Liu Z, Li H, Wang Y, Li Y, Zhu Y, et al. The Silver Lining of COVID-19: Estimation of short-term 454 health impacts due to lockdown in the Yangtze River Delta Region, China. GeoHealth 2020; 4: 455 e2020GH000272. 456 Inomata, S., Tanimoto, H., Kameyama, S., Tsunogai, U., Irie, H., Kanaya, Y., Wang, Z. J. A. C., and Physics: 457 Determination of formaldehyde mixing ratios in air with PTR-MS: laboratory experiments and field 458 measurements, 8, 273-284, 2008. 459 Li, L., An, J., Huang, L., Yan, R., Huang, C., and Yarwood, G. Ozone source apportionment over the Yangtze 460 River Delta region, China: Investigation of regional transport, sectoral contributions and seasonal differences, Atmospheric Environmental 2019; 202, 269-280. 461 Li L, Li Q, Huang L, Wang Q, Zhu A, Xu J, et al. Air quality changes during the COVID-19 lockdown over 462 463 the Yangtze River Delta Region: An insight into the impact of human activity pattern changes on air 464 pollution variation. Science of the Total Environment 2020; 732:139282. 465 Liu Z, Wang Y, Gu D, Zhao C, Huey LG, Stickel R, et al. Summertime photochemistry during CAREBeijing-466 2007: RO<sub>x</sub> budgets and O<sub>3</sub> formation. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 2012; 12: 7737-7752. 467 Maji S, Beig G, Yadav R. Winter VOCs and OVOCs measured with PTR-MS at an urban site of India: Role 468 of emissions, meteorology and photochemical sources. Environmental Pollution 2020; 258: 113651. 469 Pathakoti M, Santhoshi T, Aarathi M, Mahalakshmi DV, Kanchana AL, Srinivasulu J, et al. Assessment of 470 Spatio-temporal Climatological trends of ozone over the Indian region using Machine Learning. 471 Spatial Statistics 2021; 43: 100513. 472 Sen PK. Estimates of the regression coefficient based on Kendall's tau. Journal of the American statistical 473 association 1968; 63: 1379-1389. 474 Shen L, Zhao T, Wang H, Liu J, Bai Y, Kong S, et al. Importance of meteorology in air pollution events 475 during the city lockdown for COVID-19 in Hubei Province, Central China. Science of the Total

speciation in 2010 for the Yangtze River Delta region, China. Atmospheric Environment 2013; 70:





476	Environment 2021; 754, 142227.
477	Shi, X., Ge, Y., Zheng, J., Ma, Y., Ren, X., and Zhang, Y. Budget of nitrous acid and its impacts on
478	atmospheric oxidative capacity at an urban site in the central Yangtze River Delta region of China,
479	Atmospheric Environment 2020; 238.
480	Sun K, Zhou J, Ding H, Chen X, Liu Z, Xue P. Anthropogenic source VOCs emission inventory of Changzhou
481	city (in Chinese). Environmental Monitoring and Forewarning 2019; 11: 57-62.
482	Tan ZF, Lu KD, Jiang MQ, Su R, Wang HL, Lou SR, et al. Daytime atmospheric oxidation capacity in four
483	Chinese megacities during the photochemically polluted season: a case study based on box model
484	simulation. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 2019; 19: 3493-3513.
485	Valach AC, Langford B, Nemitz E, Mackenzie AR, Hewitt CN. Seasonal and diurnal trends in concentrations
486	and fluxes of volatile organic compounds in central London. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics
487	2015; 15: 7777-7796.
488	Venter ZS, Aunan K, Chowdhury S, Lelieveld J. COVID-19 lockdowns cause global air pollution declines.
489	Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2020; 117: 18984-
490	18990.
491	Warneke, C., Veres, P., Holloway, J., Stutz, J., Tsai, C., Alvarez, S., Rappenglueck, B., Fehsenfeld, F., Graus,
492	M., and Gilman, J. J. A. M. T.: Airborne formaldehyde measurements using PTR-MS: calibration,
493	humidity dependence, inter-comparison and initial results, 4, 2345-2358, 2011.
494	Wolfe GM, Marvin MR, Roberts SJ, Travis KR, Liao J. The Framework for 0-D Atmospheric Modeling
495	(F0AM) v3.1. Geoscientific Model Development 2016; 9: 3309-3319.
496	Xu L, Zhang J, Sun X, Xu S, Shan M, Yuan Q, et al. Variation in concentration and sources of black carbon
497	in a megacity of China during the COVID-19 pandemic. Geophysical Research Letters 2020; 47:
498	e2020GL090444.
499	Zhang D, Cong Z, Ni G. Comparison of three Mann-Kendall methods based on the China's meteorological
500	data. Shuikexue Jinzhan/Advances in Water Science 2013; 24: 490-496.
501	Zhang, K., Huang, L., Li, Q., Huo, J., Duan, Y., Wang, Y., Yaluk, E., Wang, Y., Fu, Q., and Li, L.: Explicit
502	modeling of isoprene chemical processing in polluted air masses in suburban areas of the Yangtze
503	River Delta region: radical cycling and formation of ozone and formaldehyde, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,





504 21, 5905-5917, 10.5194/acp-21-5905-2021, 2021. 505 Zhang K, Li L, Huang L, Wang Y, Huo J, Duan Y, et al. The impact of volatile organic compounds on ozone 506 formation in the suburban area of Shanghai. Atmospheric Environment 2020a; 232: 117511. 507 Zhang K, Xu J, Huang Q, Zhou L, Fu Q, Duan Y, et al. Precursors and potential sources of ground-level 508 ozone in suburban Shanghai. Frontiers of Environmental Science and Engineering 2020b; 14: 1-12. 509 Zheng H, Kong S, Chen N, Yan Y, Liu D, Zhu B, et al. Significant changes in the chemical compositions and sources of PM<sub>2.5</sub> Wuhan since the city lockdown as COVID-19. Science of the Total Environment 510 511 2020; 739: 140000. 512 Zhu J, Wang S, Wang H, Jing S, Lou S, Saiz-Lopez A, et al. Observationally constrained modeling of 513 atmospheric oxidation capacity and photochemical reactivity in Shanghai, China. Atmospheric 514 Chemistry and Physics 2020; 20: 1217-1232. 515