Mexican agricultural soil dust as a source of ice nucleating particles

Diana L. Pereira[1,2], Irma Gavilán[3], Consuelo Letechipía[4], Graciela B. Raga[1], Teresa Pi Puig[5], Violeta Mugica-Álvarez[6], Harry Alvarez-Ospina[7], Irma Rosas[1], Leticia Martinez[1], Eva Salinas[1], Erika T. Quintana[8], Daniel Rosas[9], and Luis A. Ladino[1]

[1]Instituto de Ciencias de la Atmósfera y Cambio Climático, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico
[2]Posgrado de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico
[3]Facultad de Química, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico
[4]Unidad Académica de Estudio Nucleares, Universidad Autónoma de Zacatecas, Zacatecas, Mexico
[5]Instituto de Geología & LANGEM, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico
[6]Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana- Azcapotzalco, Mexico City, Mexico
[7]Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico
[8]Escuela Nacional de Ciencias Biológicas, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Mexico City, Mexico
[9]Facultad de Química, Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, Mérida, Mexico

Correspondence to: Luis A. Ladino (luis.ladino@atmosfera.unam.mx)

Abstract. Agricultural soil erosion, both mechanical and eolic, may impact cloud processes as some aerosol particles are able to facilitate ice crystals formation. Given the large agricultural sector in Mexico, this study investigates the ice nucleating abilities of agricultural dust collected at different sites and generated in the laboratory. The immersion freezing mechanism of ice nucleation was simulated in the laboratory via the Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM)- Micro Orifice Uniform Deposit Impactor (MOUDI)- Droplet freezing technique (DFT) (UNAM-MOUDI-DFT). The results show that agricultural dust from the Mexican territory promote ice formation in a temperature range from -11.8ºC to -34.5ºC, with ice nucleating particle (INP) concentrations between 0.11 L^{-1} and 41.8 L^{-1}. Furthermore, aerosol samples generated in the laboratory are more efficient than those collected in the field, with T_{50} values (i.e., the temperature at which 50% of the droplets freeze) higher by more than 2.9ºC. The mineralogical analysis indicated a high concentration of feldspars i.e., K-feldspar and plagioclase (> 40%) in most of the aerosol and soil samples, with K-feldspar significantly correlated with the T_{50} of particles with ~~sizes~~ aerodynamic diameters between 1.8 µm and 3.2 µm. Similarly, the organic carbon (OC) was correlated with the ice nucleation efficiency of aerosol samples from 3.2 µm to 5.6 µm and 1.0 µm to 1.8 µm. Finally, a decrease in the INPs efficiency ~~as INPs,~~ after heating the samples at 300ºC for 2 h, indicates ~~evidenced~~ that the organic matter from agricultural soils plays a predominant role in the ice nucleating abilities of this type of aerosol samples.~~can influence the role of INPs in mixed-phase clouds~~.

1 Introduction

Agricultural activities may influence our environment and human health through the emission of aerosol particles (Telloli et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2017; Tomlin et al., 2020). It has been estimated that agricultural dust particles may represent between 25% (Ginoux et al., 2012) and 50% (Mahowald et al., 2004) of the global

airborne dust. Dust particles impact visibility and water quality (Presley and Tatarko, 2009). Moreover, dust particles influence global climate by affecting the Earth's radiative balance and cloud microphysical properties (Haywood and Boucher, 2000; Lagzi et al., 2013).

Aerosol particles influence cloud properties due to their ability to act as ice nucleating particles (INPs) or cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) (Cotton and Yuter, 2009). INPs promote ice formation in clouds, influencing precipitation development and the hydrological cycle (DeMott et al., 2010). The predominance of precipitation formation via the ice phase over the continents makes the presence of ice particles fundamental (Mülmenstädt et al., 2015), especially in mixed-phase clouds, where immersion freezing has been reported as the main ice formation pathway (Murray et al., 2012; Hande and Hoose, 2017). Immersion freezing allows ice activation from INPs embedded in liquid droplets when the temperature decreases below 0°C (Murray et al., 2012).

Given that soils are complex mixtures of mineral and organic components, living organisms, air, and water (Kalev and Toor, 2018), a wide variety of aerosol particles with potential INP abilities can be emitted during agricultural activities, such as tilling (Steinke et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2017). Numerous studies have analyzed the ice nucleating abilities of the mineral components of dust particles (e.g., Eastwood et al., 2008; Zimmermann et al., 2008; Welti et al., 2009; Yakobi-Hancock et al., 2013; Broadley et al., 2012; Hiranuma et al., 2015; Boose et al., 2016), where its efficiency as INPs was found to be usually associated to the K-feldspar content (e.g., Yakobi-Hancock et al., 2013; Atkinson et al., 2013; Kiselev et al., 2016). On the other hand, Lee et al. (2006) and Tomlin et al. (2020) observed that the concentration of microorganisms is enhanced in agricultural soil samples. Thus, a variety of microorganisms (e.g., certain bacteria, fungi) or their residues can be likely attached on mineral dust surfaces enhancingimproving their ice nucleating abilities or they can also act as INPs by themselves (Conen et al., 2011; Després et al., 2012).

Biological particles have been reported as one of the most efficient INPs (Schnell and Vali, 1972; Hoose and Möhler, 2012; Hader et al., 2014; Kanji et al., 2017), with activation temperatures as high as -3°C (Christner et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2020). For example, Conen et al. (2011) observed that biological particles influence ice formation in aerosolsoil samples at temperatures >-12°C. Garcia et al. (2012) reported ice nucleation active (INA) bacteria in soil samples, responsible for the nucleation events observed at -12°C. Similarly, soils can serve as an important source of organic particles (Montgomery et al., 2000; Kelleher and Simpson, 2006; Hill et al., 2016), which may be able to catalyze ice formation (Hoose and Möhler, 2012; Knopf et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2021). Knopf et al. (2018) have shown that Humic Acids (HA) and Humic-Like Substances (HULIS) influence ice nucleation in the immersion freezing and deposition nucleation modes. Chen et al. (2018) reported that droplets containing HULIS with concentrations from 15.8 to 96.7 mg L^{-1} freeze at temperatures between -9°C and -22°C. The ice nucleating abilities of HULIS may be a consequence of particles aggregation, which provides suitable surfaces for ice activation (Chen et al., 2021).

80 The ice nucleating abilities of agricultural soils from Argentina, England, Germany, Hungary, Mongolia, USA, and Russia have been evaluated in the last decades (Conen et al., 2011; Garcia et al., 2012; Tobo et al., 2014; Steinke et al., 2016; Suski et al., 2018). Those studies suggest that the organic compounds in agricultural soils are more efficient at facilitating ice formation than the mineral components; however, Conen et al. (2011) highlighted the fact that the influence of organic components in ice formation is not considered in climate

85 models. Also, it is important to note that although agriculture is an ubiquitous activity in tropical countries such as Mexico, the ice nucleating abilities of agricultural dust particles from the Mexican territory have not been reported up to date.

Therefore, in the present study, the ice nucleating abilities of Mexican agricultural soils are evaluated for the

90 first time using the Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM)- MicroOrifice Uniform Deposit Impactor- Droplet Freezing Technique (UNAM-MOUDI-DFT), focusing on the influence of the mineralogical composition versus the organic content and the size of the INPs.

2 Methods

95 **2.1 Sampling sites**

Airborne and soil samples were collected in 4 different Mexican states characterized by important agricultural activities: Mexico City (CDMX), Morelos (MOR), Zacatecas (ZAC), and Yucatán (YUC), (Fig. 1). Soil samples and aerosol particles were collected at the ZAC site during a short-term field campaign between February 24 and 27, 2020. Soil samples from the CDMX, MOR, and YUC sites were collected in agricultural

100 areas and provided through collaborations. The location of the sampling sitespoints and the number of samples collected are summarized in Table 1Table 1, where samples are labeled based on the previous crop present at each site.

Inside the mentioned states (CDMX, MOR, ZAC, YUC), specific municipalities (Table 1) containing different

105 crops were analyzed. Milpa Alta is located at the southeast of CDMX and it is well known as the first nopal producer of the state (Alcaldía Milpa Alta, 2019). Totolapan (MOR) is a traditional maize (corn) producer in ~ 50% of its area (Ayuntamiento de Totolapan, 2018). Morelos (ZAC) is one of the main producers of chili, and its agricultural area represents 25% of the territory, with some mining activities also reported (Covarrubias and Peña Cabriales, 2017). Hunucmá is located in YUC, where 22% of the territory is destined to agricultural

110 activities (INEGI, 2015).

2.2 Field samples

Soil samples from the top 10 cm were collected in CDMX, MOR, ZAC, and YUC as shown in Table 1Table 1. In ZAC, soil samples were collected during soil tillage in addition to aerosol samples collected at the ground

115 level (at approximately 1.5 m a.g.l). Aerosol particles were collected on siliconized glass substrates (HR3-215; Hampton Research) using a cascade impactor MOUDI (100R; MSP Corporation), which collects and classifies the particles according to their aerodynamic diameter (dp) onto eight stages (cut sizes of 0.18, 0.32, 0.56, 1.0,

1.8, 3.2, 5.6, and 10.0 μm), at a flow of 30 L min^{-1}. Soil and aerosol samples were sealed and transported to the laboratory. Aerosol samples were stored at 3ºC and the soil samples at room temperature. Further information about the meteorological conditions observed during the sampling campaign are summarized in Table S1.

2.3 Laboratory generated samples

All soil samples were air dried, crushed, and sieved to a pore particle size of 425 μm. Aerosol particles were then generated using a dry system (Fig. 2) based on the Ladino and Abbatt (2013) design. Briefly, the experimental setup contains (a) an aerosol disperser consisting of a stirring plate and a metallic flask, on which the particles are generated by turbulence, (b) a mixing flask to homogenize the samples, and (c) an aerosol collector. A MOUDI 100R was used to collect aerosol particles for the analysis of INPs and the analysis of the mineralogical composition, while a MiniVol TAS (Airmetrics) was used to collect aerosol particles for the organic carbon (OC) analysis.

With the MOUDI, sSiliconized glass substrates (HR3-215; Hampton Research) and 47 mm aluminum foil filters (0100-47-AF, TSI) were used in the MOUDI to sample for the INPs and mineral analysis, respectively. Aerosol particles collected over aluminum filters on the eight stages of the MOUDI (0.18 to 10 μm) were grouped in a single sample for the mineral analysis. Particulate matter with diameter less than 10 μm (PM$_{10}$) was collected over 47 mm quartz filters (2500QAO-UP, Pall Life Science) using the MiniVol at a flow of 5 L min^{-1} for the OC analysis. The quartz filters were previously conditioned at 500°C for 4 h to remove trace pollutants, especially volatile organic compounds.

Additionally, an Optical Particle Counter (OPC) LasAir III (310 B; Particle Measuring Systems) was used to obtain the particle size distribution (PSD) of both the aerosol samples generated at the laboratory and those measured in-situ during the field campaign. The OPC was operated at a flow rate of 28.3 L min-1, and the aerosol concentrations corresponds to particles sizes ranging between 0.3 μm and 10 μm.

2.4 Analysis of INPs

The ice nucleating abilities of the agricultural dust particles collected in the field and generated in the laboratory were analyzed through the immersion freezing mode using the UNAM-MOUDI-DFT (Córdoba et al., 2021). The equipment consists of: (a) a cold stage, (b) a humid/dry air system, (c) an optical microscope Zeiss Axio Scope A1 (Axiolab Zeiss) with a recording system, and (d) a data acquisition system (Córdoba et al., 2021). Briefly, the glass substrates containing the aerosol particles impacted on them were introduced and fixed in the cold stage, at which the temperature was controlled by a thermostat (LAUDA PRO-RP 1090) filled with polydimethylsiloxane. Afterwards, humid air was directed towards the sample to allow liquid droplets formation over the aerosol particles, until the droplets have reached a diameter of 170 μm (on average)they reach a size of ca. 170 μm. Once most of the droplets have reached this size, dry nitrogenair (N₂) was used to evaporate shrink the size of the droplets to avoid contact between them. Finally, the system was isolated, and the temperature was decreased from 0°C to -40°C at a cooling rate of 10°C min^{-1} to allow the freezing of the

droplets. The experiments were recorded, and the temperature was obtained with a resistance temperature detector (RTD) connected to a Fieldlogger device (RS485, NOVUS) and placed at the center of the cold stage. The recorded videos and the RTD temperatures allow the determination of the freezing temperature for each droplet. The number of droplets formed during the experiments varied between 20 and 30.

The INP concentrations (L^{-1}) were calculated using Eq. 1 (Mason et al., 2015a).

$$[INPs\ (T)] \ = \ -ln(\frac{N_u(T)}{N_o})N_o f_{nu,0.25-0.1mm} f_{ne} (\frac{A_{deposit}}{A_{DFT}V}) f_{nu,1\ mm} \tag{1}$$

where $N_u(T)$ is the number of unfrozen droplets (dimensionless) at a temperature T (°C), N_o is the total number of droplets (dimensionless), f_{ne} is a correction factor that accounts for the uncertainty associated with the number of nucleation events (dimensionless), $A_{deposit}$ is the total area of the aerosol deposit on the glass substrates (mm^2), A_{DFT} is the area of the glass substrates analyzed by the DFT (mm^2), V is the volume of air sampled with the MOUDI (L), and f_{nu} are correction factors to account for the aerosol deposit inhomogeneity (dimensionless).

2.5 Analytical Techniques

X-ray diffraction (XRD) has been widely used for the characterization of crystalline materials (Kohli and Mittal, 2019). Therefore, the mineralogical composition of the aerosol and soil samples were determined using a X-ray diffractometer Empyrean (Malvern Panalytical, with CuKα radiation) operated with a PIXcel 3D detector. The mineral phases were identified and quantified by the Rietveld method (Rietveld, 1969) using the HIGHScore v4.5 software and ICDD (International Center for Diffraction Data) and ICSD (Inorganic Crystal Structure Database) databases.

The OC content was derived using a thermal-optical technique (Sunset Lab) based on Birch and Cary (1996) procedure. Briefly, the quartz filters were introduced in an oven, where the samples were volatilized and oxidized to CO_2. Finally, the CO_2 was reduced and quantified by a flame ionization detector. To verify the influence of the organic matter in the ice nucleating abilities of the agricultural dust, the soil samples were heated at 300°C for 2 h to remove the organic components, following Tobo et al. (2014).

2.6 Microbiological Analysis

To determine culturable microorganisms present on the soil samples collected in ZAC, 500 mg of each sample were added in 10 mL of sterile solution at 0.85% (w/v of NaCl). After 1:100 dilution and vortex agitation, 0.1 mL of solutions were cultured on three growing media such as Trypticase Soy Agar (TSA) and MacConkey Agar (MCA) between 24 and 48 h at 35°C, and Malt Extract Agar (MEA) for 3 days at 25°C. The TSA and MCA growing media were used to cultivate bacteria and the MEA for fungal propagules. Then, the colony forming units (CFU) were obtained for 1.0 g of soil.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Ice nucleating abilities

Figure 3 summarizes the ice nucleating abilities of the different agricultural dust particles with sizes between 0.56 µm and 5.6 µm, corresponding to the MOUDI stages 3 to 6. The present results focus on particles >0.56 µm as it has been shown that particles >0.5 µm have a higher potential to act as INPs (e.g., DeMott et al., 2010). Two sets of samples are presented in Fig. 3: aerosol samples collected directly in the field (F) and those generated in the laboratory (L) from top-soil collected in the fields. The L samples (solid curves~~lines~~) acted as INPs between -11.0ºC and -26.0ºC, while the F samples (dashed curves~~dotted lines~~) show a much wider temperature range (i.e., between -11.8ºC and -34.5ºC). In terms of the T_{50} (i.e., the temperature at which the 50% of the droplets freeze), the highest and lowest mean values for the L samples were -19.7ºC (for dp=1.8-3.2 µm) and -20.7ºC (for dp=3.2-5.6 µm). Similarly, for the F samples the highest mean T_{50} was -23.4ºC (for dp=1.8 to 3.2 µm) and the lowest -26.1 ºC (for dp=0.56 to 1.0 µm). Therefore, the L samples were found to nucleate ice at higher temperatures compared to the F samples.

The warmest freezing temperatures shown by L samples suggest that the aerosol particle generation during soil tillage is not fully simulated by the process used in the laboratory. The discrepancies in the INP abilities can be attributed to different environmental conditions as the F samples are exposed to a variety of physicochemical processes while in the atmosphere (Boose et al., 2016; Cziczo et al., 2013), which is unlikely the case in the L samples. The differences between laboratory and field environments are also reflected in different PSD observed during the aerosolization process (Fig. S1). As this figure shows, mean particle concentrations between 1.3~~5.0~~x10^{-3}~~^{4}~~ and 0.4~~1.2~~ particles cm^{-3} characterized L samples, while lower values were observed for the F samples. Furthermore, the highest particle concentration for the L samples was found for particles between 1.0 µm and 5.0 µm (Fig. S1a), while the F samples are enriched in smaller particles, i.e., 0.3 µm (Fig. S1~~2~~b). ~~Therefore, the larger particles present in the L samples likely promoted ice nucleation at warmer temperatures.~~ The difference in PSD can affect the ice nucleating abilities of the two types of samples; however; more experiments are needed to evaluate the contribution of different particle sizes to the total INP concentrations.

It was also found that the ice nucleating abilities of the different aerosol samples varies,~~soils seem to be influenced by the specific crop grown previously and the type of soil,~~ with particles from the nopal, corn 1, and corn 2 samples~~crops~~ showing the warmest freezing temperatures and with the beans and wheat samples showing the coldest freezing temperatures (Fig. 3). The presence of additives on soils have been proposed to influence the organic content or soil properties (Martin et al., 1966; Peña-Méndez et al., 2005; Suski et al., 2018), therefore, they could influence the emission of aerosol particles from soils. However, more information is required to understand the nature of the soil components responsible for their ice nucleating activity. As Table 1 shows, the ZAC samples were collected in calcisols; however, the concentrations of the mineral phases identified on bean, chili, wheat, and onion samples differ, suggesting that additional parameters to the soil type may influence the samples properties and their abilities to act as INPs. Kalev and Toor (2018) found that soil

composition determines their properties. This fact may influence the ice nucleating abilities of the soils, as shown in Fig. 3. Further details of the mineral composition and the organic content of each sample are discussed below.

235   Tegen and Fung (1995) and Tegen et al. (2004) also proposed that the PSD of the aerosol particles can vary according to soil type. The size of the aerosol particles is well known to influence their behavior as INPs (Diehl and Wurzler, 2004; DeMott et al., 2010; Mason et al., 2015b; Córdoba et al., 2021). This fact is evidenced in the different PSD distributions observed for each sample in Fig. S1. Figure S2 shows a clear trend for the F samples, where the larger the particle size, the higher the T_{50}. However, this behavior was not observed for the
240   L samples, corroborating differences in the PSD between L and F samples.

Although the laboratory generated aerosol particles do not fully reproduce the characteristics of the ambient agricultural particles, the ice nucleation experiments of the L samples highlight the importance of agricultural soils in ice formation in Mexico. As shown Figure S3, the freezing temperatures and the surface size active
245   density (n_S) observed in the present study for aerosol particles collected at field are on the same order as those reported for agricultural dust in Wyoming (USA), from $5.2x10^4$ (-17°C) to $3.5x10^7$ (-35°C) (Tobo et al., 2014). However, the n_S values observed here are lower (by more than two orders of magnitude) than those reported for Argentina, China, and Germany at temperatures ranging between -11°C to -26°C for dp <5 µm (Steinke et al., 2016). The ice nucleation temperatures observed in the present study are on the same order as those reported
250   for agricultural dust in Wyoming (USA), from -18°C to -36°C for dp=0.6 µm (Tobo et al., 2014), and Argentina, China, and Germany from -11°C to -26°C for dp <5 µm (Steinke et al., 2016). This suggests The similarities between Tobo et al. (2004) and the present study suggested that aerosol particles emitted from agricultural soilsthat they are able to influence ice formation in clouds regardless of the origin or location of the agricultural soils. The comparison of the present results with literature data is further discussed in Sect. 3.4.

255

3.2 The influence of organic matter

Figure 4 shows that the OC concentration represents a small fraction of the agricultural dust samples (dp <10 µm), with values between 5% and 17%, while the mineral components predominate (i.e., from 33% to 95%). Conen et al. (2011) found that the OC fraction for non-agricultural soils with dp <15 µm collected in Mongolia,
260   Germany, Hungary, and Russia varied between 0.7 and 12%. O'Sullivan et al. (2014) also reported small values of OC, from 2 to 13% for agricultural dust (bulk) in England. In contrast, Tobo et al. (2014) measured higher concentrations of organic compounds (37%) for agricultural dust dp =<0.6 µm in the US. The aforementioned studies reported that the organic components of soil dust (with different particle sizes and concentrations) can enhance the ice nucleating abilities of dust particles. This behavior is also observed for the agricultural dust
265   analyzed here, as summarized in Fig. 5.

Figure 5 shows that for the four particle sizes ranges analyzed here (i.e., 0.56-1.0 µm, 1.0-1.8 µm, 1.8-3.2 µm, and 3.5-5.6 µm), there is a significant reduction in the freezing temperature, referred as $\Delta T_{50,}$ after the organic

matter was degraded through a heating treatment. The T_{50} of the heated samples got reduced between 0.7ºC and 14.0ºC, with the largest mean ΔT_{50} reported by particles in the size range between 1.8 and 3.2 µm, as shown in Fig. S43. The highest reduction in the ice nucleating abilities as a consequence of the heat treatment, was observed on the corn 2 sample (ΔT_{50}=-14ºC, Table S1) with 17% of OC, while the other samples have OC values <9% (Fig. 4). The highest reduction was observed for particles with sizes ranging between 1.8 µm and 3.2 µm, which were also reported as the most efficient INPs (Fig. 3). Even though the OC fraction is small compared to the mineral components, the reduction in freezing temperatures of the soil samples analyzed here suggests that the organic components present in the samples increase their ice nucleating abilities. This behavior has been widely observed after the removal of the organic matter (O'Sullivan et al., 2014; Tobo et al., 2014; Suski et al., 2018) or the destruction of proteinaceous compounds (Conen et al., 2011; Garcia et al., 2012; Steinke et al., 2016) from agricultural soils. Organic matter on soils may come from microorganisms and/or their residues, humic (e.g., humic acids) and non humic (e.g., proteins, polysaccharides) substances, and refractory compounds (Hill et al., 2016).

Different types of organic matter were not analyzed here; however, the presence of microorganisms as a possible source of organics in the ZAC soil samples was confirmed, as shown in Fig. S54. Microorganism concentrations were observed to be as high as 5.6×10^6, 1.98×10^5, and 2.4×10^4 CFU g^{-1} for mesophilic bacteria, fungal propagules, and gram-negative bacteria, respectively. The concentrations of airborne microorganisms have been reported during harvesting activities in the US (Lighthart, 1983; Lee et al., 2006). Lighthart et al. (1983) observed that airborne concentrations of fungi and bacteria can reach values as high as 10^9 CFU m^{-3}. During corn harvesting, concentrations between 3.5×10^5 and 1.4×10^6 CFU m^{-3} were observed for culturable bacteria and between 1.6×10^6 and 7.4×10^6 CFU m^{-3} for culturable fungal spores (Lee et al., 2006). Although direct intercomparison cannot be performed between these studies, the observed airborne concentrations of microorganisms suggest that an important fraction of microorganisms containing in soils can be aerosolized during soil manipulation. Furthermore, it is important to highlight the presence of gram-negative bacteria found in the ZAC agricultural soils, as those are known to be active as INPs (Šantl-Temkiv et al., 2015)

As soils contain more than organic compounds, the effects of heat treatments on minerals have been questioned. Tobo et al. (2014) show that the ice nucleating abilities of mineral samples are not affected by heat treatments during two hours at 300ºC, and Perkins et al. (2020) observed a similar behavior for the mineral dust proxy Arizona Test Dust (ATD) at 500ºC. Although those studies suggested that minerals are not strongly affected by dry heat treatments, recent observations studies performed by Zolles et al. (2015) and Daily et al. (2021) show that shifts in minerals efficiency as INPs cannot be neglected, as heat treatments at 250ºC might influence the ice nucleating abilities of slightly deactivate K-feldspar compounds. This is not the case of the corn 2 sample (Table S21), which lacks feldspars and highlights the importance of organic compounds at temperatures >-20ºC.

Note that the decrease observed in freezing temperatures varies as a function of particle size (Figs. 5 and S4~~3~~), suggesting a relationship between the organic content and the size of the particles. These observations agree with Chen and Chiu (2003) and Lin et al. (2010), who reported that the composition of the organic matter contained in soils from Taiwan and the HULIS fraction from China soils varies with particle size. In addition, 310 the positive significant correlations found between the T_{50} and the OC concentration for particles ranging between 1.0 and 1.8 µm (r=0.79, p-value<0.05) and between 3.5 and 5.6 µm (r=0.86, p-value<0.05) shown in Fig. S6~~5~~, support the importance of particle size and chemical composition in the ice nucleating abilities of agricultural dust particles.

315 **3.3 Mineralogical analysis**

The presence of plagioclase, K-feldspar, quartz, kaolinite, smectite, mica-illite, and minor constituents were identified through XRD analysis. Figure 6 shows a high content of feldspars (i.e., K-feldspar, plagioclase) in both soil and aerosol samples, except in the corn 2 sample collected in Hunucmá, where the presence of feldspars was not identified. In particular, the plagioclase fraction (i.e., Na/Ca feldspar) together with the K- 320 feldspar reaches more than 50% of the total concentration for the corn, nopal, and bean samples. The absence of feldspars compounds in the corn 2~~Hunucmá~~ sample can be a consequence of the soil type, as leptosols are enriched in calcareous materials (SEMARNAT, 2002).

~~K~~ fFeldspars are~~is~~ well known for its efficiency as INP (Atkinson et al., 2013; Peckhaus et al., 2016; Kiselev 325 et al., 2016), mostly associated with the presence of active sites (i.e., cracks, defect, cavities) contained on their ~~its~~ surface (Kiselev et al., 2016). Therefore, the high concentrations of feldspars in the Mexican agricultural soils can also influence their ice nucleating abilities, as was observed in the high and statistically significant correlation between the K-feldspar concentration and the T_{50} of aerosol particles with sizes ranging between 1.8 and 3.2 µm (r=0.85, p-vale<0.05) as shown in Fig. S6~~5~~. Boose et al. (2016) observed a similar behavior for 330 dessert samples and the presence of feldspars as they found good correlations between compounds containing feldspars and INPs efficiency.

Different mineral phases between the samples can be the source of the different ice nucleating abilities, as shown in Fig. 3. The relationship between aerosol particle composition and their ice nucleating abilities has 335 been previously reported (Baustian et al., 2012; O'Sullivan et al., 2014; Paramonov et al., 2018; Steinke et al., 2020; Hiranuma et al., 2021). Furthermore, the differences in the mineralogical composition between the soil and aerosol samples indicate that not all the soil particles are aerosolized. These differences can also be a consequence of the particle sizes analyzed, as aerosol samples varied between 0.18 and 10 µm, while the soils particles were smaller than 425 μm.

340

The presence of feldspars, quartz, and clays (e.g., illite, kaolinite) derived from XRD analysis have been commonly identified in mineral dust transported from Africa (Broadley et al., 2012) and other desserts around the world (e.g., Australia, Atacama, Boose et al., 2016). Similar mineral phases such as kaolinite, illite, quartz,

and minor concentrations of feldspars, were also observed in agricultural soils from England (O'Sullivan et al., 2014). Therefore, the present results and those previously reported evidence that although there are differences in the type and origin of the soils, they can have similar mineral components that determine the ice nucleation behavior of their aerosol particles.

3.4 INP concentrations and atmospheric implications

The total INP concentration emitted during soil tillage of the agricultural soils in ZAC was found to vary between 0.11 L^{-1} and $10^2$41.8 L^{-1} from -15.01.8ºC to -34.5ºC as shown in Fig. 7. The INP concentrations measured in ZAC are in the same order agreement with those reported for Colorado (USA) (Garcia et al., 2012), England (O'Sullivan et al., 2014), Kansas (USA) (Mason et al., 2016; Suski et al., 2018), and Wyoming (Tobo et al., 2014; Steinke et al., 2020). Garcia et al. (2012) reported INP concentrations between 7.8x10^{-3} L^{-1} (-7.0ºC) and 5.5 L^{-1} (-20.2ºC) derived with a Droplet Freezing Assay (DFA). Using the same method, O'Sullivan et al. (2014) observed INP concentrations varying from 3.6x10^{-6} L^{-1} (-6.2ºC) to 4.4x10^2 L^{-1} (-26.1ºC). Steinke et al. (2020) found INP concentrations between 0.15 L^{-1} (-18.2ºC) and 2.5x10^3 L^{-1} (-28.2ºC) using the Aerosol Interactions and Dynamics in the Atmosphere (AIDA) cloud chamber. Tobo et al. (2014) reported INP concentrations, analyzed in the Colorado State University Continuous Flow Diffusion Chamber (CSU-CFDC), between 10^{-2} L^{-1} (-18ºC) and 10^4 L^{-1} (-36ºC) for soils with a 25% of agricultural origin and 75% of desert origin. Suski et al. (2018) implemented an Ice Spectrometer and found INP concentrations from 3.4x10^{-3} L^{-1} to 8.5x10^2 L^{-1} at temperatures between -5.5ºC and -25.5ºC. Using a similar setup to the present study, Mason et al. (2016) derived total INP concentrations at Colby (Kansas) of 0.5 L^{-1}, 1.0 L^{-1}, and 8.9 L^{-1} at -15ºC, -20ºC, and -25ºC, respectively.

Although different devices have been used to determine the INP concentrations in agricultural dust samples, with differences in their detection limits and sensitivities, the INP concentrations reported here are within the same range, as shown in Fig. 7. The similarities between the present results and previous studies evidence the importance of the mineral and organic components of soils dust in ice formation, with organic components increasing the INP efficiency of soil particles, as observed in Sect. 3.2.

In agricultural areas, the organic components appear as fundamental for the inherent capacity of soils to facilitate ice formation, even at low concentrations (Conen et al., 2011; O'Sullivan et al., 2014). This fact suggests that more attention must be paid to determine specific organic components from soils and to understand their individual ice nucleating abilities. This efficiency can also be influenced by the size of the particles, as shown in Figs. 3 and S1. Furthermore, the present results show that emissions from tropical latitudes are consistent with those reported for mid and high latitudes. Agricultural lands cover ca. 13% of the total surface of Mexico (Torres and Martínez, 2019), and represent the economic activity with the highest income within the primary sector (CEDRSSA, 2021). Given that the economy of several tropical countries is based on agricultural activities, it is very important to determine the role that their associated emissions play in the local and regional

hydrological cycle. Also, agricultural dust particles must be included in numerical studies aiming to predict future climate as the food demand will increase, and hence, the land used for food production.

4 Conclusions

385   This study reports for the first time the ice nucleating abilities of Mexican agricultural dust via immersion freezing. INP concentrations between 0.11 L^{-1} and 41.8 L^{-1} in the temperature range from -15~~11.8~~°C to -34.5°C were observed. The measured concentrations are comparable to those reported for agricultural soils in the United States and England, confirming the contribution from tropical aerosol emissions and the potential they have to impact mixed phase cloud formation if brought to altitudes higher than cloud base. ~~and their role in~~

390   ~~mixed phase cloud formation~~. Furthermore, ~~T~~the comparison of ice nucleating abilities of aerosol samples aerosolized in the laboratory and collected at the field indicate a higher efficiency as INPs in laboratory samples, with freezing temperature values varying between -11°C and -26°C and T_{50} values higher by more than 2.9°C, as a consequence of higher particle concentrations of larger particles.

395   The XRD analysis allowed the identification of the different mineral phases present in the aerosol and soil samples, where high concentrations of plagioclase, K-feldspar, quartz, kaolinite, smectite, and mica-illite were detected. These minerals have been previously identified in dust samples. In particular, feldspars were found in higher concentrations (> 40%) for most of the samples. Additionally, the significant correlation between the T_{50} and the K-feldspar for particles with sizes from 1.8 to 3.2 µm shows the influence of K-feldspar in the INPs

400   efficiency of~~on~~ mineral particles. The concentrations of OC indicate that despite the low percentage observed in most of the samples (<17%) in comparison to the mineral concentration, the organic components increase the efficiency as INPs to promote ice crystal~~s~~ formation. This is evidenced by the decrease in the efficiency of the ice nucleating abilities after the removal of the organic matter, and the statistically significant correlations between the OC concentration and the T_{50}, for particle size~~s~~ from 3.2 to 5.6 µm and from 1.0 to 1.8 µm.

405   Therefore, the organic components seem to have a predominant role in the efficiency as INPs of the aerosol particles analyzed herein.

The present results improve the current gap in knowledge of field measurements of aerosol particles at tropical latitudes, focusing in this study on agricultural emissions and highlight~~s~~ the importance of both the chemical

410   composition and the particle size in their efficiency as INPs. However, more analysis and especial attention to the organic compounds in~~of~~ agricultural dust are needed to improve the current understanding of soil components and the development of new parametrizations.

Data availability. Data are available upon the request to the corresponding author.

415

Author contribution. LAL, GBR, and IG designed the field campaigns and the experimental process. DLP, LAL, CL, EQ, and DR performed the field measurements. DLP, LAL, TP, VM, HA, IR, LM, and ES carried out the experiments. DLP and LAL wrote the paper with contributions from all coauthors.

420   **Competing interests.** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Fernanda Córdoba, Luis Gonzales, Monserrat Adaya, Gabriel Cortes, and Dara Salcedo for
their helpful support. This work was funded by the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT),
425   through the FC-2164 and CB-285023 grants. DLP also thank CONACYT for the Master's scholarship.

References

Alcaldía Milpa Alta: Milpa Alta en la actualidad, http://www.milpa-alta.cdmx.gob.mx/datos.html, last access:
26 August 2021, 2019.

430   Atkinson, J. D., Murray, B. J., Woodhouse, M. T., Whale, T. F., Baustian, K. J., Carslaw, K. S., Dobbie, S.,
O'Sullivan, D., and Malkin, T. L.: The importance of feldspar for ice nucleation by mineral dust in mixed-
phase clouds, Nature, 498(7454), 355–358, doi:10.1038/nature12278, 2013.

Ayuntamiento de Totolapan: TOTOLAPAN,
http://www.inafed.gob.mx/work/enciclopedia/EMM17morelos/municipios/17027a.html, last access: 26
435   August 2021, 2018.

Baustian, K. J., Cziczo, D. J., Wise, M. E., Pratt, K. A., Kulkarni, G., Hallar, A. G., and Tolbert, M. A.:
Importance of aerosol composition, mixing state, and morphology for heterogeneous ice nucleation: A
combined field and laboratory approach, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 117(D6), doi:10.1029/2011JD016784,
2012.

440   Birch, M. E., and Cary, R. A.: Elemental carbon-based method for monitoring occupational exposures to
particulate diesel exhaust, Aerosol Sci. Tech., 25(3), 221–241, doi:10.1080/02786829608965393, 1996.

Boose, Y., Welti, A., Atkinson, J., Ramelli, F., Danielczok, A., Bingemer, H. G., Plötze, M., Sierau, B., Kanji,
Z.A., and Lohmann, U.: Heterogeneous ice nucleation on dust particles sourced from nine deserts worldwide -
Part 1: Immersion freezing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(23), 15075–15095, doi:10.5194/acp-16-15075-2016,
445   2016.

Broadley, S. L., Murray, B. J., Herbert, R. J., Atkinson, J. D., Dobbie, S., Malkin, T. L., Condliffe, E., Neve,
L.: Immersion mode heterogeneous ice nucleation by an illite rich powder representative of atmospheric
mineral dust, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12(1), 287–307, doi:10.5194/acp-12-287-2012, 2012.

CEDRSSA.: Situación del sector agropecuario en México, Mexico City, 2021.

450   Chen, J. S., and Chiu, C. Y.: Characterization of soil organic matter in different particle-size fractions in humid
subalpine soils by CP/MAS 13C NMR, Geoderma, 117(1–2), 129–141, doi:10.1016/S0016-7061(03)00160-5,
2003.

Chen, W., Tong, D. Q., Zhang, S., Zhang, X., and Zhao, H.: Local PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ emission inventories from agricultural tillage and harvest in northeastern China, J. Environm. Sci. (China), 57, 15–23, doi:10.1016/j.jes.2016.02.024, 2017.

Chen, J., Wu, Z., Bai, Y., Hu, M., and Wex, H.: Freezing activity of droplets containing Humic-acid like substances (HULIS), EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts, Online, April 2018, EGU2018-18802, doi:10.1016/j.jaerosci.2009.09.001, 2018.

Chen, J., Wu, Z.J., Zhao, X., Wang, Y.J., Chen, J.C., Qiu, Y.T., Zong, T.M., Chen, H.X., Wang, B.B., Lin, P., Liu, W., Guo, S., Yao, M.S., Zeng, L.M., Wex, H., Liu, X., Hu, M., and Li, S.M.: Atmospheric Humic-Like Substances (HULIS) Act as Ice Active Entities, Geophys. Res. Lett., 48, 1–14, doi:10.1029/2021gl092443, 2021.

Christner, B. C., Cai, R., Morris, C. E., McCarter, K. S., Foreman, C. M., Skidmore, M. L., Montross, S.N., Sands, D. C.: Geographic, seasonal, and precipitation chemistry influence on the abundance and activity of biological ice nucleators in rain and snow, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA., 105(48), 18854–18859, doi:10.1073/pnas.0809816105, 2008.

Conen, F., Morris, C. E., Leifeld, J., Yakutin, M. V., and Alewell, C.: Biological residues define the ice nucleation properties of soil dust, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11(18), 9643–9648, doi:10.5194/acp-11-9643-2011, 2011.

Córdoba, F., Ramirez, C., Cabrera, D., Raga, G. B., Miranda, J., Alvarez, H., Rosas, D., Figueroa, B., Kim, J., Yakobi-Hancock, J. D., Amador, T., Gutierrez, W., García, M., Bertram, A., Baumgardner, and Ladino, L. A.: Ice nucleating abilities of biomass burning, African dust, and sea spray aerosol particles over the Yucatan Peninsula, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21(6), 4453–4470, doi:10.5194/acp-21-4453-2021, 2021

Cotton, W. R., and Yuter, S.: Principles of cloud and precipitation formation, in: Aerosol pollution impact on precipitation, Springer, Dordrecht, 13-43, 2009.

Covarrubias, S. A., and Peña Cabriales, J. J.: Contaminación ambiental por metales pesados en México: Problemática y estrategias de fitorremediación, Rev. Int. Contam. Ambient., 33, 7–21, doi:10.20937/RICA.2017.33.esp01.01, 2017

Cziczo, D. J., Froyd, K. D., Hoose, C., Jensen, E. J., Diao, M., Zondlo, Mark A., Smith, J.B., Twohy, C.H., and Murphy, D. M.: Clarifying the dominant sources and mechanisms of cirrus cloud formation. Science, 340, 819–824, doi:10.1126/science.1229223, 2013.

Daily, M. I., Tarn, M. D., Whale, T. F., and Murray, B. J.: The sensitivity of the ice-nucleating ability of minerals to heat and the implications for the heat test for biological ice nucleators, Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss. [preprint], doi:10.5194/amt-2021-208, in review, 2021.

485 DeMott, P. J., Prenni, A. J., Liu, X., Kreidenweis, S. M., Petters, M. D., Twohy, C. H., Richardson, M.S., Eidhammer, T., and Rogers, D. C.: Predicting global atmospheric ice nuclei distributions and their impacts on climate, P. Natl. Acad. Sci., 107(25), 11217–11222, doi:10.1073/pnas.0910818107, 2010.

Després, V. R., Alex Huffman, J., Burrows, S. M., Hoose, C., Safatov, A. S., Buryak, G., Fröhlich-Nowoisky, J., Elbert, W., Andreae, M.O., Pöschl, U., and Jaenicke, R.: Primary biological aerosol particles in the
490 atmosphere: A review, Tellus B: Chem. Phys. Meteorol, 64(1), doi:10.3402/tellusb.v64i0.15598, 2012.

Diehl, K., and Wurzler, S.: Heterogeneous drop freezing in the immersion mode: Model calculations considering soluble and insoluble particles in the drops, J. Atmos. Sci., 61(16), 2063–2072, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(2004)061<2063:HDFITI>2.0.CO;2, 2004.

Eastwood, M. L., Cremel, S., Gehrke, C., Girard, E., and Bertram, A. K.: Ice nucleation on mineral dust
495 particles: Onset conditions, nucleation rates and contact angles, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 113(22), 1–9, doi:10.1029/2008JD010639, 2008.

Garcia, E., Hill, T. C. J., Prenni, A. J., DeMott, P. J., Franc, G. D., and Kreidenweis, S. M.: Biogenic ice nuclei in boundary layer air over two U.S. high plains agricultural regions, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 117(17), 1–12, doi:10.1029/2012JD018343, 2012.

500 Ginoux, P., Prospero, J. M., Gill, T. E., Hsu, N. C., and Zhao, M.: Global-scale attribution of anthropogenic and natural dust sources and their emission rates based on MODIS Deep Blue aerosol products, Rev. Geophys., 50(3), doi:10.1029/2012RG000388, 2012.

Hader, J. D., Wright, T. P., and Petters, M. D.: Contribution of pollen to atmospheric ice nuclei concentrations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14(11), 5433–5449, doi:10.5194/acp-14-5433-2014, 2014.

505 Hande, L. B. and Hoose, C.: Partitioning the primary ice formation modes in large eddy simulations of mixed-phase cloud, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 14105–14118, doi:10.5194/acp-17-14105-2017, 2017.

Haywood, J., and Boucher, O.: Estimates of the direct and indirect radiative forcing due to tropospheric aerosols: A review, Rev. Geophys., 38(4), 513–543, doi:10.1029/1999RG000078, 2000.

Hill, T. C. J., DeMott, P. J., Tobo, Y., Fröhlich-Nowoisky, J., Moffett, B. F., Franc, G. D., and Kreidenweis,
510 S. M.: Sources of organic ice nucleating particles in soils, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(11), 7195–7211, doi:10.5194/acp-16-7195-2016, 2016.

Hiranuma, N., Augustin-Bauditz, S., Bingemer, H., Budke, C., Curtius, J., Danielczok, A., Diehl, K., Dreischmeier, K., Ebert, M., Frank, F., Hoffmann, N., Kandler, K., Kiselev, A., Koop, T., Leisner, T., Möhler, O., Nillius, B., Peckhaus, A., Rose, D., Weinbruch, S., Wex, H., Boose, Y., DeMott, P.J., Hader,
515 J.D., Hill, T.C.J., Kanji, Z.A., Kulkarni, G., Levin, E.J.T., McCluskey, C.S., Murakami, M., Murray, B.J., Niedermeier, D., Petters, M.D., O'Sullivan, D., Saito, A., Schill, G.P., Tajiri, T., Tolbert, M.A., Welti, A., Whale, T.F., Wright, T.P., and Yamashita, K.: A comprehensive laboratory study on the immersion freezing

behavior of illite NX particles: A comparison of 17 ice nucleation measurement techniques, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 15(5), 2489–2518, doi:10.5194/acp-15-2489-2015, 2015.

520   Hiranuma, N., Auvermann, B. W., Belosi, F., Bush, J., Cory, K. M., Fösig, R., Georgakopoulos, D., Höhler, K., Hou, Y., Saathoff, H., Santachiara, G., Shen, X., Steinke, I., Umo, N., Vepuri, H. S. K., Vogel, F., and Möhler, O: Feedlot is a unique and constant source of atmospheric ice-nucleating particles, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-1042, in review, 2020.

Hoose, C., and Möhler, O.: Heterogeneous ice nucleation on atmospheric aerosols: A review of results from
525   laboratory experiments, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 9817–9854, doi:10.5194/acp-12-9817-2012, 2012.

Huang, S., Hu, W., Chen, J., Wu, Z., Zhang, D., and Fu, P.: Overview of biological ice nucleating particles in the atmosphere, Environ. Int., 146, 106197, doi:10.1016/j.envint.2020.106197, 2021.

INEGI: Información por entidad-Ciudad de México, http://cuentame.inegi.org.mx/default.aspx, last access: 26 August 2021, 2015.

530   Kalev, S. D., and Toor, G. S.: The composition of soils and sediments, in Green Chemistry: An Inclusive Approach, 339–357, 2018.

Kanji, Z. A., Ladino, L. A., Wex, H., Boose, Y., Burkert-Kohn, M., Cziczo, D. J., and Krämer, M.: Overview of ice nucleating particles, Meteor. Mon., 58, 1-1, doi:10.1175/AMSMONOGRAPHS-D-16-0006.1., 2017.

Kelleher, B. P., and Simpson, A. J.: Humic substances in soils: Are they really chemically distinct?, Environ.
535   Sci. Tech., 40(15), 4605–4611, doi:10.1021/es0608085, 2006.

Kiselev, A., Bachmann, F., Pedevilla, P., Cox, S. J., Michaelides, A., Gerthsen, D., and Leisner, T.: Active sites in heterogeneous ice nucleation-the example of K-rich feldspars, Science, 355(6323), 367–371, doi:10.1126/science.aai8034, 2016.

Knopf, D. A., Alpert, P. A., and Wang, B.: The role of organic aerosol in atmospheric ice nucleation: A
540   review, ACS Earth Space Chem., 2(3), 168–202, doi:10.1021/acsearthspacechem.7b00120, 2018.

Kohli, R., and Mittal, K.: Methods for assessing surface cleanliness, in: Developments in Surface Contamination and Cleaning, 23–105, 2019.

Ladino, L. A., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Laboratory investigation of Martian water ice cloud formation using dust aerosol simulants, J. Geophys. Res. E: Planets, 118(1), 14–25, doi:10.1029/2012JE004238, 2013.

545   Lagzi, I., Mészáros, R., Gelybó, G., and Leelőssy, Á.: Aerosol Particles, in Atmospheric Chemistry, 3679–3683, 2013

Lee, S. A., Adhikari, A., Grinshpun, S. A., McKay, R., Shukla, R., and Reponen, T.: Personal exposure to airborne dust and microorganisms in agricultural environments, J. Occup. Environ. Hyg., 3(3), 118–130, doi:10.1080/15459620500524607, 2006.

550 Lighthart, B.: Microbial aerosols: estimated contribution of combine harvesting to an airshed, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 47(2), 430-432, 10.1128/aem.47.2.430-432.1984, 1984.

Lin, P., Huang, X. F., He, L. Y., & Zhen Yu, J.: Abundance and size distribution of HULIS in ambient aerosols at a rural site in South China, J. Aerosol Sci., 41(1), 74–87, doi:10.1016/j.jaerosci.2009.09.001, 2010.

Mahowald, N. M., Rivera-Rivera, G. D., and Luo, C.: Comment on "Relative importance of climate and land
555 use in determining present and future global soil dust emission" by I. Tegen et al, Geophys, Res. Lett., 31(24), doi:10.1029/2004GL021272, 2004.

Mason, R. H., Chou, C., McCluskey, C. S., Levin, E. J. T., Schiller, C. L., Hill, T. C. J., Huffman, J.A., DeMott, P.J., and Bertram, A. K.: The micro-orifice uniform deposit impactor-droplet freezing technique (MOUDI-DFT) for measuring concentrations of ice nucleating particles as a function of size: Improvements
560 and initial validation, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8(6), 2449–2462, doi:10.5194/amt-8-2449-2015, 2015a.

Mason, R. H., Si, M., Li, J., Chou, C., Dickie, R., Toom-Sauntry, D., Pöhlker, C., Yakobi-Hancock, J. D., Ladino, L. A., Jones, K., Leaitch, W. R., Schiller, C. L., Abbatt, J. P. D., Huffman, J. A., and Bertram, A. K.: Ice nucleating particles at a coastal marine boundary layer site: correlations with aerosol type and meteorological conditions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 12547–12566, doi:10.5194/acp-15-12547-2015, 2015b.

565 Mason, R. H., Si, M., Chou, C., Irish, V. E., Dickie, R., Elizondo, P., Wong, R., Brintnell, M., Elsasser, M., Lassar, W.M., Pierce, K.M., Leaitch, W.R., MacDonald, A.M., Platt, A., Toom-Sauntry, D., Sarda-Estève, R., Schiller, C.L., Suski, K.J., Hill, T.C.J., Abbatt, J.P.D., Huffman, J.A., DeMott, P.J., and Bertram, A. K.: Size-resolved measurements of ice-nucleating particles at six locations in North America and one in Europe, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(3), 1637–1651, doi:10.5194/acp-16-1637-2016, 2016.

570 Montgomery, D. R., Zabowski, D., Ugolini, F. C., Hallberg, R. O., and Spaltenstein, H.: Soils, watershed processes, and marine sediments, in: Earth System Science-From Biogeochemical Cycles to Global Change, 159–172, 2000.

Murray, B. J., O'Sullivan, D., Atkinson, J. D., and Webb, M. E.: Ice nucleation by particles immersed in supercooled cloud droplets, Chem. Soc. Rev., 41(19), 6519-6554, doi:10.1039/C2CS35200A, 2012.

575 Mülmenstädt, J., Sourdeval, O., Delanoë, J., and Quaas, J.: Frequency of occurrence of rain from liquid-, mixed-, and ice-phase clouds derived from A-Train satellite retrievals, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 6502–6509, doi:10.1002/2015GL064604.It, 2015.

O'Sullivan, D., Murray, B. J., Malkin, T. L., Whale, T. F., Umo, N. S., Atkinson, J. D., Price, H.C., Baustian, K.J., Browse, J., and Webb, M. E.: Ice nucleation by fertile soil dusts: Relative importance of mineral and
580 biogenic components, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14(4), 1853–1867, doi:10.5194/acp-14-1853-2014, 2014.

Paramonov, M., David, R. O., Kretzschmar, R., and Kanji, Z. A.: A laboratory investigation of the ice nucleation efficiency of three types of mineral and soil dust, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 16515–16536, doi:10.5194/acp-18-16515-2018, 2018.

Peckhaus, A., Kiselev, A., Hiron, T., Ebert, M., and Leisner, T.: A comparative study of K-rich and Na/Ca-
585 rich feldspar ice-nucleating particles in a nanoliter droplet freezing assay, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(18),
11477–11496, doi:10.5194/acp-16-11477-2016, 2016.

Perkins, R.J., Gillette, S.M., Hill, T.C.J., and DeMott, P.J.: The Labile Nature of Ice Nucleation by Arizona
Test Dust, ACS Earth Space Chem., 4, 133–141, doi:10.1021/acsearthspacechem.9b00304, 2020.

Presley, D., and Tatarko, J.: Principles of wind erosion and its control. Kansas State University- Department
590 of Agronomy, 2009.

Rietveld, H. M.: A profile refinement method for nuclear and magnetic structures, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2(2),
65-71, doi:10.1107/S0021889869006558, 1969.

SAGARPA: Caracterización por tipo de suelo de la República Mexicana,
https://www.gob.mx/siap/prensa/mapa-de-caracterizacion-por-tipo-de-suelo?idiom=es, last access: 26 August
595 2021, 2017.

Šantl-Temkiv, T., Sahyoun, M., Finster, K., Hartmann, S., Augustin-Bauditz, S., Stratmann, F., Wex, H.,
Clauss, T., Woetmann, N., Havskov Sorensen, J., Smith Korsholm, U., Wick L.Y., and Karlson, U. G.:
Characterization of airborne ice-nucleation-active bacteria and bacterial fragments, Atmos. Environ., 109,
105-117, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.02.060, 2015.

600 Schnell, R. C., and Vali, G.: Atmospheric ice nuclei from decomposing vegetation, Nature, 236(5343), 163–
165, doi:10.1038/236163a0, 1972.

SEMARNAT: Grupo principales de suelos en México, in: Suelos, 123-125, 2002.

Steinke, I., Funk, R., Busse, J., Iturri, A., Kirchen, S., Leue, M., Möhler, O., Schwartz, T., Schnaiter, M.,
Sierau, B., Toprak, E., Ullrich, R., Ulrich, A., Hoose, C., and Leisner, T.: Ice nucleation activity of
605 agricultural soil dust aerosols from Mongolia, Argentina, and Germany, J. Geophys. Res., 121(22), 13,559-
13,576, doi:10.1002/2016JD025160, 2016.

Steinke, I., Hiranuma, N., Funk, R., Höhler, K., Tüllmann, N., Umo, N. S., Weidler, P. G., Möhler, O., and
Leisner, T.: Complex plant-derived organic aerosol as ice-nucleating particles – more than the sums of their
parts?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 11387–11397, doi:10.5194/acp-20-11387-2020, 2020.

610 Suski, K. J., Hill, T. C. J., Levin, E. J. T., Miller, A., DeMott, P. J., and Kreidenweis, S. M.: Agricultural
harvesting emissions of ice nucleating particles, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18(18), 13755-13771, doi:10.5194/acp-
2018-348, 2018.

Tegen, I., and Fung, I.: Contribution to the atmospheric mineral aerosol load from land surface modification,
J. Geophys. Res., 100(D9), 18707-18726, doi:10.1029/95JD02051, 1995.

Mis en forme : Espagnol (Mexique)

615   Tegen, I., Werner, M., Harrison, S. P., and Kohfeld, K. E.: Relative importance of climate and land use in
        determining present and future global soil dust emission, Geophys. Res. Lett, 31(5),
        doi:10.1029/2003gl019216, 2004.

        Telloli, C., Malaguti, A., Mircea, M., Tassinari, R., Vaccaro, C., and Berico, M.: Properties of agricultural
        aerosol released during wheat harvest threshing, plowing and sowing, J. Environ. Sci., 26(9), 1903–1912,
620   doi:10.1016/j.jes.2014.07.004, 2014.

        Tobo, Y., DeMott, P. J., Hill, T. C. J., Prenni, A. J., Swoboda-Colberg, N. G., Franc, G. D., and Kreidenweis,
        S. M.: Organic matter matters for ice nuclei of agricultural soil origin, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14(16), 8521–
        8531, doi:10.5194/acp-14-8521-2014, 2014.

        Tomlin, J. M., Jankowski, K. A., Rivera-Adorno, F. A., Fraund, M., China, S., Stirm, B. H., Kaeser, R.,
625   Eakins, G.S., Moffet, R.C., Shepson, P.B., and Laskin, A.: Chemical imaging of fine mode atmospheric
        particles collected from a research aircraft over agricultural fields, ACS Earth Space Chem., 4(11), 2171–
        2184, doi:10.1021/acsearthspacechem.0c00172, 2020.

        Torres, T. F., Martínez, A. R.: Suelo agrícola en México: retrospección y prospectiva para la seguridad
        alimentaria, https://rde.inegi.org.mx/index.php/2019/01/25/suelo-agricola-en-mexico-retrospeccion-
630   prospectiva-la-seguridad-alimentaria/, last access: 26 August 2021, 2019.

        Welti, A., Lüönd, F., Stetzer, O., and Lohmann, U.: Influence of particle size on the ice nucleating ability of
        mineral dusts, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 9, 6705–6715, doi:10.5194/acp-9-6705-2009, 2009.

        Yakobi-Hancock, J. D., Ladino, L. A., and Abbatt, J. P.: Review of recent developments and shortcomings in
        the characterization of potential atmospheric ice nuclei: focus on the tropics, Revista de Ciencias, 17(3), 15-
635   34, 2013.

        Zimmermann, F., Weinbruch, S., Schütz, L., Hofmann, H., Ebert, M., Kandler, K., and Worringen, A.: Ice
        nucleation properties of the most abundant mineral dust phases, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos, 113(23),
        doi:10.1029/2008JD010655, 2008.

        Zolles, T., Burkart, J., Häusler, T., Pummer, B., Hitzenberger, R., and Grothe, H. Identification of ice
640   nucleation active sites on feldspar dust particles. J. Phys. Chem. A, 119(11), 2692-2700,
        doi:10.1021/jp509839x, 2015.

Table 1: Summary of the details of each aerosol and soil sample collected ~~at the field~~ in four different Mexican states: Mexico City (CDMX), Morelos (MOR), Zacatecas (ZAC), and Yucatán (YUC). The samples were labeled based on the previous crop present in the sampling location.

Sample name	Date	Soil type	Sampling Sites	Latitude (°N)	Longitude (°W)	N° soil samples	N° aerosol samples
Nopal	29/09/19	Andosol	CDMX	19.1991	99.0170	1	0
Corn	16/09/19	Andosol	MOR	19.0019	98.9161	1	0
Bean	24/02/20	Calcisol	ZAC 1	22.8050	102.6750	1	1
Chili	25/02/20	Calcisol	ZAC 2	22.8380	102.6853	1	1
Wheat	26/02/20	Calcisol	ZAC 3	22.8508	102.6476	1	1
Onion	27/02/20	Calcisol	ZAC 4	22.8164	102.6791	1	1
Corn 2	14/10/20	Leptosol	YUC	20.9999	89.8575	1	0
~~Sample~~	~~Soil type~~		~~Sampling Place~~	~~Latitude (°N)~~	~~Longitude (°O)~~	~~N° soil samples~~	~~N° aerosol samples~~
~~Nopal~~	~~Andosol~~		~~Milpa Alta, CDMX~~	~~-19.1991~~	~~-99.0170~~	~~1~~	~~0~~
~~Corn~~	~~Andosol~~		~~Totolapan, MOR~~	~~19.0019~~	~~-98.9161~~	~~1~~	~~0~~
~~Bean~~	~~Calcisol~~		~~Morelos, ZAC~~	~~22.8050~~	~~102.6750~~	~~1~~	~~1~~
~~Chili~~	~~Calcisol~~		~~Morelos, ZAC~~	~~22.8380~~	~~102.6853~~	~~1~~	~~1~~
~~Wheat~~	~~Calcisol~~		~~Morelos, ZAC~~	~~22.8508~~	~~102.6476~~	~~1~~	~~1~~
~~Onion~~	~~Calcisol~~		~~Morelos, ZAC~~	~~22.8164~~	~~102.6791~~	~~1~~	~~1~~
~~Corn 2~~	~~Leptosol~~		~~Hunucmá, YUC~~	~~20.9999~~	~~-89.8575~~	~~1~~	~~0~~

645 The soil type was derived from the SAGARPA (2017).

Figure 1: Map showing the sampling sites along four different states of Mexico: Mexico City (CDMX), Morelos (MOR), Zacatecas (ZAC), and Yucatán (YUC). The zoom in shows the ZAC sampling spots with their
650 corresponding crop (Google maps, 2021).

Figure 2: Dry aerosol generation system setup. (a) aerosol disperser, (b) mixing volume, and (c) aerosol collector (MOUDI 100R, ~~or~~ MiniVol TAS or OPC). ~~XRD, OC, and OPC refers to X-ray diffraction, organic carbon, and optical particle counter, respectively~~.

Figure 3: Ice nucleating abilities of the agricultural soil particles as a function of temperature and particle aerodynamic diameter ~~size~~ a) 3.2-5.6 μm, b) 1.8-3.2 μm, c) 1.0-1.8 μm, and d) 0.56-1.0 μm. The black line (Homo. frez.) depicts the average homogeneous freezing curve. The dashed~~dotted~~ and solid~~continuous~~ lines show the results of the samples collected at the field (F) and the samples generated in the laboratory (L), respectively.

665 **Figure 4:** Organic carbon, elemental carbon and mineral contribution of the aerosol samples generated in the laboratory.

670   **Figure 5:** Ice nucleating abilities of agricultural dust particles generated in the laboratory (L) before and after the heating treatment as a function of temperature and particle size a) 3.2-5.6 µm, b) 1.8-3.2 µm, c) 1.0-1.8 µm, and d) 0.56–1.0 µm. The black line (Homo. frez.) depicts the average homogeneous freezing curve. The dashed dotted and solidcontinuous lines show the results of the heated samples (H) and non-heated samples, respectively. For the heating treatment, the samples were heated at 300ºC for 2 h.

Figure 6: Summary of the mineralogical composition of the aerosol particles generated in the laboratory for dp=0.18 to 10 μm (XRD$_A$), and soil samples collected in the field for particle size <425 μm (XRD$_S$). The mineral phases were identified using X-ray diffraction (XRD).

Figure 7: Inter-comparison of the INP concentrations as a function of temperature from the present study (colored solid lines~~red area~~) and literature data (blue, gray, and yellow area, and filled symbols).