1	Simulation of the effects of low volatility organic compounds on aerosol number
2	concentrations in Europe
3	
4	David Patoulias ^{1,2} and Spyros N. Pandis ^{1,2}
5	
6	^[1] Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Patras, Patras, Greece
7	^[2] Institute of Chemical Engineering Sciences, Foundation for Research and Technology – Hellas
8	(FORTH/ICE-HT), Patras, Greece
9	Corresponding author: Spyros Pandis (spyros@chemeng.upatras.gr)
10	
11	Abstract
12	PMCAMx-UF, a three-dimensional chemical transport model focusing on the simulation of the
13	ultrafine particle size distribution and composition has been extended with the addition of reactions
14	of chemical aging of semi-volatile anthropogenic organic vapors, the emissions and chemical
15	aging by intermediate volatile organic compounds (IVOCs) and the production of extremely low
16	volatility organic compounds (ELVOCs) by monoterpenes. The model is applied in Europe to
17	quantify the effect of these processes on particle number concentrations. The model predictions
18	are evaluated against both ground measurements collected during the PEGASOS 2012 summer
19	campaign across many stations in Europe and airborne observations by a Zeppelin measuring
20	above Po-Valley, Italy. PMCAMx-UF reproduces the ground level daily average concentrations
21	of particles with diameter larger than 100 nm (N_{100}) with normalized mean error (NME) of 45%
22	and normalized mean bias (NMB) close to 10%. For the same simulation, PMCAMx-UF tends to
23	overestimate the concentration of particles larger with diameter than $10 \text{ nm}(N_{10})$ with a daily NMB
24	of 23% and a daily NME of 63%. The model was able to reproduce more than 75% of the N_{10} and
25	N_{100} airborne observations (Zeppelin) within a factor of 2.
26	According to the PMCAMx-UF predictions, the ELVOC production by monoterpenes leads to

According to the PMCAMx-UF predictions, the ELVOC production by monoterpenes leads to surprisingly small changes of the average number concentrations over Europe. The total number concentration decreased due to the ELVOC formation by 0.2%, the N_{10} decreased by 1.1%, while N_{50} (particles with diameter larger than 50 nm) increased by 3% and N_{100} by 4% due to this new

secondary organic aerosol (SOA) source. This small change is due to the nonlinearity of the system 30 with increases predicted in some areas and decreases in others, but also the cancelation of the 31 32 effects of the various processes like accelerated growth and accelerated coagulation. Locally, the effects can be significant. For example, an increase in N_{100} by 20-50% is predicted over 33 Scandinavia and significant increases (10-20%) over some parts of central Europe. The ELVOCs 34 contributed on average around 0.5 μ g m⁻³ and accounted for 10-15% of the PM_{2.5} OA. The addition 35 of IVOC emissions and their aging reactions led to surprising reduction of the total number of 36 particles (N_{tot}) and N_{10} by 10-15 and 5-10%, respectively, and to an increase of the concentration 37 of N_{100} by 5-10%. These were due to the accelerated coagulation and reduced nucleation rates. 38

39

40 1. Introduction

41 Two major processes are responsible for the introduction of new particles in the atmosphere: direct emission from numerous sources and nucleation from low-volatility vapors. 42 43 New particles formed by nucleation can either grow by condensation of vapors (e.g. sulfuric acid, ammonia, nitric acid, and organics) to larger sizes becoming cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and 44 45 thereby may increase the cloud droplet number concentration (CDNC) or affected by coagulation with pre-existing larger particles and be lost (Adams and Seinfeld, 2002). Globally, according to 46 47 large-scale model simulations, atmospheric new particle formation (NPF) and subsequent particle growth represent the most significant source of atmospheric aerosol particles, at least in terms of 48 49 their total number concentration (Kulmala et al., 2004; Makkonen et al., 2009; Merikanto et al., 2009; Pierce and Adams, 2009; Wang and Penner, 2009; Yu and Luo, 2009). An increase of the 50 51 number concentration of particles that may act as CCN results in higher CDNC and brighter clouds with longer lifetimes. 52

Globally, organic particulate matter makes up more than 50% of the sub-micrometer mass concentration of ambient aerosols in locations throughout the world (Kanakidou et al., 2005; Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006; Zhang et al., 2007). Nearly 70% of this material is thought to be secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formed from the oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) (Hallquist et al., 2009; Schulze et al., 2017). Many of the relevant precursor VOCs are biogenic in origin, such as monoterpenes ($C_{10}H_{16}$) and isoprene ($C_{5}H_{8}$).

59 Several recent field studies have shown that SOA in polluted areas cannot be explained by 60 the simulation of only the first generation of reactions of "traditional" SOA precursors: biogenic 61 compounds (monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, and isoprene) and anthropogenic compounds 62 (aromatics, olefins and large alkanes) (de Gouw et al., 2005; Volkamer et al., 2006; Kleinman et 63 al., 2008; Docherty et al., 2008; Matsui et al., 2009; Dzepina et al., 2009). At the same time, it has 64 become clear that organic vapors are responsible for most of the new particle growth in 65 environments with low sulfur dioxide levels (Olenius et al., 2018; Yli-Juuti et al., 2020).

Traditional treatment of SOA formation considers only VOCs as the precursors and only 66 semivolatile products (Odum et al., 1996). Robinson et al. (2007) suggested that intermediate 67 68 volatile organic compounds (IVOCs) either emitted directly or resulting from the evaporation of particles may be an important and previously neglected pool of precursors for SOA formation. In 69 addition, later generations of reactions of the products of VOCs, IVOCs and SVOCs can lead to 70 products of even lower volatility and formation of SOA (Donahue et al., 2006). These chemical 71 72 reactions can lead to continued SOA production after complete precursor consumption as products undergo further oxidation (Kroll et al, 2006; Ng et al., 2006). 73

74 Secondary extremely low volatile organic compounds (ELVOCs) have been detected both in the ambient atmosphere and laboratory studies (Donahue et al., 2011). These compounds 75 76 promote new particle growth and CCN production in the atmosphere (Jokinen et al., 2015; Kirkby 77 et al., 2016). ELVOCs can be produced rapidly in the gas phase during monoterpene oxidation 78 (Ehn et al., 2014), and can enhance atmospheric new particle formation and growth (Jokinen et al., 79 2015). Due to their exceptionally low volatility, ELVOCs condense essentially irreversibly onto 80 growing particles at a rate controlled by the Fuchs-adjusted particle surface area (Shrivastava et al., 2017). The addition of the ELVOCs in PMCAMx-UF increased the effective biogenic SOA 81 yields, becoming an additional source of SOA especially significant at low OA levels. At the same 82 time, the addition of this extra material results in a change in the volatility distribution of the 83 84 predicted SOA.

Fanourgakis et al. (2019) evaluated 16 global chemistry transport models during a 4-year period and compared their prediction to the near-surface observed number concentration of aerosol particles across Europe and Japan. All models tended to underestimate the number concentrations for particles larger with diameter than 50 nm (N_{50}). The normalized mean bias (NMB) was -51%and normalized mean error (NME) was 55% for all stations. Sengupta et al. (2021) used the GLOMAP (Global Model of Aerosol Processes; Spracklen et al., 2005) modal aerosol microphysics model (Mann et al., 2010) simulating the production of six surrogate SOA species

from the oxidation of anthropogenic VOCs, monoterpenes, and isoprene. It was assumed that 92 ELVOCs derive only from biogenic sources and can nucleate to form new particles (Gordon et al., 93 2016). Different values of the ELVOC yield were used and the model predictions were compared 94 to observations of OA mass concentration as well as to the N_3 (particles with diameter larger than 95 3 nm) and N_{50} number concentrations. Concentrations of N_3 and N_{50} were consistently 96 97 underestimated, while the best model performance (based on the Taylor model skill score) was achieved when the ELVOC yield from precursor VOCs was around 13%. These studies suggest 98 that the role of organics and especially ELVOCs on particle formation and growth is still not well 99 understood. 100

In this study we extend the three-dimensional regional chemical transport model (CTM), 101 PMCAMx-UF (Jung et al., 2010), with detailed aerosol microphysics (Gaydos et al., 2007; Karydis 102 103 et al., 2007) that has been used and evaluated for simulations over the US and Europe (Fountoukis et al., 2012). The number concentrations of particles with diameter larger than 10 nm (N_{10}) and 104 100 nm (N_{100}) were used for the analysis of the model predictions of Fountoukis et al. (2012), 105 whereas Gordon et al. (2016) relied on N_3 and N_{50} . The N_3 and N_{10} are connected to some extent, 106 107 but usually there are more reliable measurements available in more sites for N_{10} . The same applies for the N_{50} and N_{100} pair, but the N_{100} is often closer to the CCN sizes at moderate cloud 108 109 supersaturations. N_{10} and N_{100} were chosen as the metrics in this study for continuity, given that 110 they have been used in previous PMCAMx-UF evaluations.

111 Originally PMCAMx-UF assumed that growth of new particles was exclusively due to sulfuric acid and ammonia condensation while the semi-volatile secondary organics condensed 112 only on the accumulation mode thus contributing to the condensation and coagulation sinks. This 113 initial model version was found to reproduce more than 70% of the hourly number concentrations 114 115 of N_{10} within a factor of 2 (Fountoukis et al., 2012). However, the concentration of N_{100} as proxy 116 for the number of particles that can act as CCN) was systematically underpredicted. The growth rates were also underpredicted, with smaller errors in sites where the sulfate to organics mass ratio 117 was high. These problems were caused mainly by insufficient organic vapor condensation 118 (Fountoukis et al., 2012) on ultrafine particles. Patoulias et al. (2018) developed an extended 119 120 version of PMCAMx-UF in which the SOA components were modeled as semi-volatile firstgeneration products of the oxidation of VOCs. The model predictions were compared against size 121 distribution measurements from 16 stations in Europe during a photochemically active period. 122

Including SOA condensation on ultrafines in PMCAMx-UF improved its ability to reproduce the N_{10} and N_{100} concentration at ground level. The inclusion of SOA decreased the daily normalized mean bias (NMB) of N_{10} from 85% to 75% and the daily NMB of N_{100} from 40% to 20%. However, the results suggested that there is a need for additional improvements.

The primary goal of this study is to examine the role of IVOCs and ELVOCs on particle 127 number concentrations in Europe. PMCAMx-UF is extended to simulate the multiple generations 128 of IVOC gas-phase oxidation and the production of ELVOCs by monoterpenes. This extended 129 version is used for the base case simulations in this study. The model predictions are compared 130 with measurements from 26 sites during the intensive field campaign that took place in Europe, as 131 part of the Pan-European-Gas-AeroSOl-climate-interaction Study (PEGASOS) project, from June 132 5 to July 8, 2012. The airborne data obtained by a Zeppelin measuring above Po-Valley during the 133 same campaign are also used. An analysis of the Zeppelin measurement can be found in Lampilahti 134 et al. (2021). Additional simulations are performed neglecting certain processes (e.g., production 135 136 of ELVOCs) to quantify their role in the model predictions.

137

138 2. Model description

PMCAMx-UF is a three-dimensional chemical transport model (CTM) that simulates the aerosol number size distribution in addition to the mass/composition size distribution (Jung et al., 2010; Fountoukis et al., 2012) and is described in detail in Patoulias et al. (2018). PMCAMx-UF is based on the framework of PMCAMx (Gaydos et al., 2007; Karydis et al., 2007), describing the processes of horizontal and vertical advection, emissions, horizontal and vertical dispersion, wet and dry deposition, aqueous and aerosol phase chemistry, as well as aerosol dynamics and thermodynamics.

For the simulation of aerosol microphysics, PMCAMx-UF uses the updated version of DMANx which simulates the processes of coagulation, condensation/evaporation and nucleation (Patoulias et al., 2015) with the two-moment aerosol sectional (TOMAS) algorithm (Adams and Seinfeld, 2002; Jung et al., 2006). A key feature of TOMAS is its ability to track two independent moments of the aerosol size distribution for each size bin: the aerosol number and mass concentration.

152 The aerosol size distribution is discretized into 41 sections covering the diameter range from 153 approximately 0.8 nm to 10 μ m. The lowest boundary is at 3.75 × 10⁻²⁵ kg of dry aerosol mass per particle. Each successive boundary has twice the mass of the previous one. The particle
components modeled include sulfate, ammonium, nitrate, sodium, chloride, crustal material,
water, elemental carbon, primary organic aerosol (POA) and eight surrogate SOA components.

In this work, the nucleation rate is calculated using a scaled ternary parameterization based on the original expressions of Napari et al. (2002) with a scaling factor of 10^{-6} following the suggestions of Fountoukis et al. (2012). The binary parameterization of Vehkamäki et al. (2002) is employed if the NH₃ concentration is below a threshold value of 0.01 ppt.

Coagulation of particles in the atmosphere is an important sink of aerosol number but is 161 also a mechanism by which freshly nucleated particles grow to larger sizes. Following Adams and 162 Seinfeld (2002), TOMAS assumes that the aerosol particles coagulate via Brownian diffusion and 163 that the effects of gravitational settling and turbulence on coagulation are negligible. The 164 165 calculation of the coagulation coefficients is based on the wet diameters of the particles. These wet diameters are calculated following the approach of Gaydos et al. (2005). For small particles (<100 166 167 nm), we use the expression of Dahneke et al. (1983) to correct for non-continuum effects. The coagulation algorithm uses an adaptive time step. The time step is limited so that the aerosol 168 169 number or mass concentration in any size category does not increase by more than an order of 170 magnitude or decrease by more than 25% in each step.

The extended SAPRC (Statewide Air Pollution Research Center) chemical mechanism (Carter, 2000; Environ, 2003), which includes 219 reactions of 64 gases and 18 free radicals, is used for the gas phase chemistry mechanism in PMCAMx-UF. The SAPRC version used for this work includes five lumped alkanes (ALK1-5), two lumped olefins (OLE1-2), two lumped aromatics (ARO1-2), isoprene (ISOP), a lumped monoterpene (TERP) and a lumped sesquiterpene species (SESQ).

177 Condensation of gas-phase species to existing aerosol particles is an important source of 178 aerosol mass and a means by which small particles grow to CCN sizes. Sulfuric acid is assumed 179 to be in pseudo-steady state in PMCAMx-UF. This pseudo steady-state approximation (PSSA) for 180 sulfuric acid proposed by Pierce and Adams (2009) increases the computational speed with a small 181 loss in accuracy. Jung et al. (2010) evaluated the performance of PSSA for sulfuric acid in DMAN 182 against a 4th order Runge-Kutta algorithm and showed that PSSA was accurate and 183 computationally efficient. Condensation of ammonia is simulated following the approach described by Jung et al. (2006). Ammonia condensation on the ultrafine particles ends when sulfateis fully neutralized to ammonium sulfate.

Nitric and hydrochloric acids partition to particles (as nitrate and chloride, respectively) in
the accumulation mode range in PMCAMx-UF assuming that the system is always in equilibrium.
The amounts of nitric acid and hydrochloric acid transferred at each time step between the gas and
aerosol phases are determined by applying the aerosol thermodynamic model ISORROPIA (Nenes
et al., 1998). This amount is then distributed over the aerosol size sections by using weighting
factors based on their effective surface area (Pandis et al., 1993).

192 PMCAMx-UF assumes that organics and inorganics are in different phases in the same particles. Therefore, the condensation of one affects the size distribution of the particles and 193 therefore the condensation rate of the other. The inorganic aerosol thermodynamics including the 194 195 sulfate/bisulfate split and the water uptake by all inorganic aerosol components are simulated by ISORROPIA. The water content of the organic aerosol is neglected in this version of PMCAMx-196 UF and the aerosol water is dominated by the inorganic aerosol components. Additional 197 information can be found in previous publications describing the evolution of PMCAMx-UF (Jung 198 199 et al. 2010; Fountoukis et al. 2012; Patoulias et al. 2018).

- 200
- 201

2.1 Secondary organic aerosol formation

202 Gas-phase oxidation of VOCs produces semi-volatile and low-volatility products that can 203 then condense to the particle phase. The volatility-basis set (VBS) framework used in PMCAMx-UF (Donahue et al., 2006) describes the volatility distribution of the OA compounds. SOA is 204 205 formed from anthropogenic (aSOA) and biogenic (bSOA) precursors. Each of these types is simulated with 5 volatility bins with saturation concentrations of 10^{-5} , 1, 10, 100 and 1000 µg 206 m^{-3} . The $10^{-5} \mu g m^{-3}$ bin was added in this work to describe the ELVOCs. We assumed an average 207 molecular weight of 200 g mol⁻¹ for SOA, and an effective enthalpy of vaporization of 30 kJ 208 mol⁻¹ (Pathak et al., 2007; Stanier et al., 2007). The SOA yields used in this version of PMCAMx-209 UF for the semi-volatile components are the NO_x-dependent stoichiometric yields of Murphy et 210 211 al. (2009).

Chemical reactions that change the volatility of the organics in the gas phase will change the OA mass by influencing their partitioning. In PMCAMx-UF all secondary species are treated as chemically reactive. Further gas-phase oxidation of OA vapors (chemical aging) is modeled

using a second-order reaction with hydroxyl radicals and a rate constant equal to $1 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3$ 215 molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Atkinson and Arey, 2003). Each reaction is assumed to reduce the volatility of the 216 vapor material by one order of magnitude (i.e., shifting material from a C^* of 100 to 10 µg m⁻³), 217 with a small increase in mass (7.5%) to account for the added oxygen (Lane et al., 2008; 218 Shrivastava et al., 2008). IVOCs were not included in the original emission inventory and therefore 219 220 have been added to the emissions. The IVOC emission rate is estimated based on the non-volatile 221 POA emissions included in the inventory and is assumed to be 1.5 times the non-volatile POA emissions. IVOCs are distributed in the 10^3 , 10^4 , 10^5 , and $10^6 \,\mu g \,m^{-3}$ saturation concentration bins 222 and their emission rates are assumed to be equal to 0.3, 0.4, 0.5 and 0.8 times the original non-223 volatile POA emission rate, for the 10^3 - 10^6 bins respectively (Robinson et. 2007). 224

ELVOCs were assumed to be produced by the oxidation of monoterpenes with a molar yield of 5%. For comparison, ELVOCs yields for the α -pinene ozonolysis in Jokinen et al. (2015) were 3.4 ± 1.7%, by Ehn et al. (2014) of 7 ± 4% and 4.5 ± 3.8% in Rissanen et al. (2014). An average molecular weight of 200 g mol⁻¹ for ELVOCs was assumed in this work.

The partitioning of OA between the gas and particulate phases is simulated dynamically in 229 230 PMCAMx-UF without assuming equilibrium (Patoulias et al., 2015). The driving force for condensation of a vapor to an aerosol particle is the difference between its ambient vapor partial 231 232 pressure and the equilibrium vapor pressure over the particles, with the latter including the Kelvin effect which is due to the curvature of the particles. The Kelvin effect is larger for the smaller 233 234 particles and acts as a barrier for the condensation of organic vapors on these particles. In this simulation a surface tension of σ =0.025 N m⁻¹ is assumed for all SOA components (Pierce et al., 235 236 2011; Patoulias et al. 2015).

Three different chemical schemes are used in this work (Table 1). The first scheme (case 237 238 1 or base case) includes (i) the aging of SOA components from anthropogenic sources, using a rate constant k (298 K) = 10×10^{-12} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ (anthropogenic SOA aging), (ii) the aging of 239 IVOCs using a rate constant k (298 K) = 40×10^{-12} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹, (iii) production of ELVOCs 240 with saturation concentration of $10^{-5} \mu g m^{-3}$ from the oxidation of monoterpenes with a yield of 241 5%. The aSOA aging rate constant is based on OH oxidation of the products of aromatic VOCs 242 243 oxidation (Atkinson 2000; 2003). No biogenic SOA aging was simulated in this case, an assumption based on laboratory studies (Presto et al., 2006; Ng et al., 2006) and the results of Lane 244 et al. (2008). In the second simulation (case 2), the ELVOC yield was set to zero thus neglecting 245

their formation. The rest of the parameters were the same as in the base case. Finally, in the third
simulation the emissions of IVOCs and the chemical aging reactions of all VOCs were neglected
while the production of the ELVOCs was simulated similarly to the base case.

- 249
- 250

2.2 Model application and measurements

The PMCAMx-UF modeling domain in this application covers a 5400×5832 km² region 251 252 in Europe, with a 36×36 km grid resolution and 14 vertical layers extending up to approximately 253 7.2 km. The modeling period covers 34 days, from June 5 to July 8, 2012 corresponding to the PEGASOS 2012 intensive period. PMCAMx-UF was set to perform simulations on a rotated polar 254 stereographic map projection. The first two days of each simulation were excluded from the 255 analysis to minimize the effect of the initial conditions on the results. For the boundary conditions, 256 257 constant and relatively low values have been used (Table S1) so that the predicted particle number concentrations over central Europe are determined for all practical purposes by the emissions and 258 259 corresponding processes simulated by the model. The boundary conditions are identical to those used in Patoulias et al. (2018). The effect of these boundary conditions on the predicted number 260 261 concentrations are discussed in Patoulias et al. (2018).

Meteorological inputs to PMCAMx-UF include horizontal wind components, vertical 262 263 diffusivity, temperature, pressure, water vapor, clouds, and rainfall. The Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model (Skamarock et al., 2005) was used to generate the above inputs. WRF 264 265 was driven by geographical and dynamic meteorological data generated by the Global Forecast System (GFSv15) of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration/National Centers for 266 267 Environmental Prediction. Each layer of PMCAMx-UF was aligned with the layers used in WRF. The WRF simulation was periodically re-initialized every 3 days with observed conditions to 268 269 ensure accuracy in the corresponding fields used as inputs in PMCAMx-UF. The measurements 270 were pre-processed by the WPS (WRF Preprocessing System) package, which provides each atmospheric and static field with fidelity appropriate to the chosen grid resolution of the model. 271 272 The performance of WRF for Europe against observed meteorological variables has been the topic 273 of several recent studies (Jimenez-Guerrero et al., 2008; de Meij et al., 2009; Im et al., 2010; 274 Argueso et al., 2011; Garcia-Diez et al., 2012) demonstrating good performance.

The particle emissions were based on the pan-European anthropogenic particle number emission inventory (Denier van der Gon et al., 2009; Kulmala et al., 2011) and the carbonaceous

aerosol inventory (Kulmala et al., 2011) developed during the EUCAARI (European Integrated 277 project on Aerosol, Cloud, Climate, and Air Quality Interactions) project. The resulting 278 279 number/mass inventories includes both number emissions and consistent size-resolved composition for particles over the size range of approximately 10 nm to 10 µm. Hourly gridded 280 anthropogenic and biogenic emissions included both gases and primary particulate matter. The 281 282 natural emissions include both particulate matter and gases and combine three different data sets: emissions from ecosystems based on the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature 283 284 (MEGAN; Guenther et al., 2006), marine emissions based on the model of O'Dowd et al. (2008) as sea surface covers a considerable area of the domain, and wildfire emissions (Sofiev et al., 285 2008a, b). MEGAN uses as inputs the plant functional type, the leaf area index, various chemical 286 species emission factors and weather data provided by the WRF. Wind speed fields from WRF 287 288 and chlorophyll-a concentrations were used as inputs of the marine aerosol model. VOCs were speciated based on the approach proposed by Visschedijk et al. (2007). Anthropogenic gas 289 290 emissions included land emissions from the GEMS (global and regional Earth-system monitoring using satellite and in-situ data) dataset (Visschedijk et al., 2007). The international shipping, 291 292 industrial, domestic, agricultural and traffic aerosol emission sources were included in the 293 anthropogenic inventory (Denier van der Gon et al., 2009; Kulmala et al., 2011).

The model results were compared against measurements in 26 ground sites, which are available in the European Supersites for Atmospheric Aerosol Research (EUSAAR), and EBAS databases (https://ebas.nilu.no) and the Aerosols, Clouds and Trace gases Research Infrastructure (ACTRIS) (https://actris.nilu.no). Particle size distribution measurements at all sites were made using either a Differential Mobility Particle Sizer (DMPS) or a Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer (SMPS). Information about all stations can be found in Table S2.

An intensive field campaign took place in Europe, as part of the Pan-European-Gas-AeroSOl-climate-interaction Study (PEGASOS) project, from June 5 to July 8, 2012. Measurements of aerosol mass concentration PM₁ (particulate matter particles of diameter less than 1 micrometer) from the PEGASOS project are also available for the same period for Patras (Greece), Finokalia (Greece), San Pietro Capofiume (Italy) and Bologna (Italy) (Table S3a) and filter PM_{2.5} (particulate matter particles of diameter less than 2.5 micrometer) measurements from 6 additional stations in Europe (Table S3b). The organic aerosol mass concentration was estimated from the organic carbon measurements assuming an organic mass to carbon ratio equal to 1.8(Kostenidou et al., 2015).

The measurement of organic carbon and therefore the estimated OA using filters is characterized by two main artifacts: a positive one involving adsorption of organic vapors on the quartz filters used for the sampling and a negative one related to the evaporation of some of the semi-volatile material (Turpin et al., 2000; Mikuška et al., 2011). There is a rich literature on the magnitude of these artifacts and on ways to minimize them or correct for them (involving denuders for removal of organic vapors and after-filters). In this work, we use the reported measurements for the model evaluation keeping in mind their uncertainty.

The airborne measurements acquired by the PEGASOS Zeppelin were acquired during the 316 simulation period over the Po Valley. The Po Valley region is situated between the Alps in the 317 318 north and the Apennines Mountains in the south-southwest. The mountains surround the valley on three sides and high levels of pollutants are often observed in the region due to the industrial, 319 320 agricultural, and other anthropogenic emissions. In addition, emissions from ship traffic on the Adriatic Sea (Hamed et al., 2007) and long-range transport from central-eastern Europe also 321 322 contribute pollutants to the region (Sogacheva et al., 2007). A SMPS was used to measure the number size distribution of particles in the size range of 10 to 430 nm. Details of the relevant 323 324 PEGASOS Zeppelin measurements can be found in Lampilahti et al. (2021).

325

326 **3. Results**

327 **3.1** Base case

The average predicted ground level average number concentrations for the total number of 328 particles (N_{tot}) and for particles with diameters above 10 nm (N_{10}), 50 nm (N_{50}) and 100 nm (N_{100}), 329 330 during June 5 to July 8, 2012 are shown in Figure 1. The N_{50} and N_{100} concentrations are often used as proxies for CCN number concentrations (Fountoukis et al., 2012). On a domain average 331 basis, the model predicted for the ground level 4780 cm⁻³ for N_{tot} , 3630 cm⁻³ for N_{10} , 1990 cm⁻³ 332 for N_{50} , and 820 cm⁻³ for N_{100} during the simulated period. The highest N_{tot} average concentrations 333 (more than 15000 cm⁻³) were predicted over Bulgaria, southern Romania, Turkey, Poland, 334 335 Holland, Portugal, Northern Spain, Eastern UK and Russia. On the other hand, the highest N₅₀ and N_{100} are predicted over the Mediterranean, mainly in areas near Southern Spain, Southern Italy, 336 and the Balkans. The N_{tot} and N_{10} are high in areas of frequent nucleation events and areas with 337

high particle number emissions, whereas the N_{50} and N_{100} levels are affected significantly by secondary particulate matter production. The high photochemical activity over the Eastern Mediterranean leads to the corresponding high levels of N_{50} and N_{100} during this period.

341

342 **3.2 Evaluation of PMCAMx-UF predictions**

343 **3.2.1** Comparison of PMCAMx-UF predictions to ground aerosol number observations

The prediction skill metrics of PMCAMx-UF, for the daily average ground measurements from the 26 stations, are summarized in Tables 2 and 3 for both the base case and the case in which the ELVOCs are neglected.

For the base case simulation, the model has a tendency to overestimate the N_{10} levels. The 347 normalized mean bias (NMB) for the daily average concentrations is 23% and the normalized 348 349 mean error (NME) 63%. The N_{10} was overpredicted in 18 sites, underpredicted in 7 and there was practically zero bias (less than 0.1%) in the last station. The NMB in 8 sites (Prague-Suchdol, 350 351 Ispra, Melpitz, Patras, K-Puszta, Hohenpeissenberg, Hyytiälä and San Pietro Capofiume) was less than $\pm 15\%$, and for another 8 stations between $\pm 15\%$ and $\pm 40\%$ (Annaberg-Buchholz, Cabauw, 352 353 Dresden Nord and Winckelmannstrasse, Finokalia, Giordan Lighthouse, Kosetice, Montseny and Varrio). The highest discrepancies with the measurements of N_{10} were found in Aspyreten, 354 355 Birkness II, Usti n.L.-mesto, Vavihill, Vielsalm, Zugspitze-Schneefernerhaus, Waldhof, Costa Navarino, and Thesssaloniki with NMB higher than $\pm 40\%$. 356

The model performed better for N_{100} . There was little bias in the corresponding predictions on average (the NMB was -10%) and the NME was 45%. The NMB for 10 sites (Cabauw, Giordan Lighthouse, Hyytiälä, Kosetice, Melpitz, Patras, Prague-Suchdol, Vielsalm, Waldhof and Zugspitze) was less than ±15% and for another 12 (Annaberg-Buchholz, Birkenes II, Dresden Nord and Winckelmannstrasse, Finokalia, Hohenpeissenberg, Ispra, K-Puszta, Montseny, Costa Navarino, San Pietro Capofiume, Usti n.L-mesto. and Vavihill) between ±15% and ±40% (Table 3). The absolute NMB for N_{100} exceeded 40% only in Aspvreten, Varrio and Thessaloniki.

364 3.3.2 Evaluation of aerosol composition predictions

The PMCAMx-UF predictions can be evaluated during that period using available PM₁ measurements from Aerosol Mass Spectrometers at four stations (Bologna and San Pietro Capofiume, in Italy and Finokalia and Patras, in Greece) that were part of the PEGASOS campaign. In Italy and Greece, the model reproduces the observations of the PM₁ concentrations of the major inorganic aerosol components (sulfate, ammonium, nitrate) reasonably well (Table 4). The model tends to underpredict the organic aerosol concentrations in Patras and Bologna, while it overpredicts the OA in Finokalia and San Pietro Capofiume (Table 5). The OA NMB is -2% and the NME is 38%, with the Finokalia site presenting the higher NMB value (50%) and San Pietro Capofiume, Bologna the lower ($\pm 20\%$) (Table 5).

For the rest of Europe, PM_{2.5} filter measurements have been used, available in the European 375 Atmospheric Aerosol Research 376 Supersites for (EUSAAR) and EBAS databases 377 (http://ebas.nilu.no/) for stations that had available data for more than 15 days during the simulated period (6 additional stations in Europe: Payerne, Melpitz, Montseny, Ispra, Diabla Gora, and 378 Iskrba; Table 6). For the calculation of OA mass concentration, we assumed OA:OC=1.8 379 380 (Kostenidou et al., 2015). For these sites, the model has a tendency towards overestimating the PM_{2.5} OA concentration for 4 out of 6 stations, presenting an average NMB of 20% and NME of 381 62% (Table 6). 382

383 **3.3.3** Comparison of PMCAMx-UF predictions to Zeppelin measurements

384 One of the challenges of the PMCAMx-UF evaluation using airborne measurements is that 385 the model predictions are available every 15 min while the corresponding measurements by the Zeppelin were taken every 3 min in different heights. For comparison purposes, the model output 386 was interpolated to the times of the Zeppelin measurement periods. PMCAMx-UF reproduced 387 more than 75% of the 2000 3-min N_{10} and N_{100} measurements by the Zeppelin with a factor of 2 388 389 (Figure S1). The vertical profiles shown in Fig.2, are averages of different flights that collected 390 data in different days and different altitudes each time. The number of samples at different altitudes changed for each flight creating additional variability in the measured profiles. 391

392 To facilitate the comparison between measurements and predictions the corresponding average profiles (matched in space and time) were calculated using 80 m altitude bins for all the 393 PEGASOS flights. PMCAMx-UF reproduced on average the N_{10} measurements over Po Valley at 394 the lower 160 m and above 400 m but underestimated the higher N_{10} levels measured in the residual 395 396 layer at heights between 160-400 m at several of the flights that started several hours before sunrise (Fig. 2a). The average measured N_{10} at all heights was 6,000 cm⁻³, while the predicted 397 concentration was equal to 4,700 cm⁻³. PMCAMx-UF reproduced well the N_{100} concentration at 398 all heights (Fig 2b). The model also reproduced 80% of the 3-min N_{100} Zeppelin measurements 399

within a factor of 2. The measured average N_{100} at all heights was 1,500 cm⁻³ and the average 400 predicted by PMCAMx-UF was 1,800 cm⁻³. The ability of the revised model to reproduce 401 402 reasonably well the high-time resolution (3-minute) Zeppelin measurements at multiple altitudes 403 and locations is encouraging. The predictions of PMCAMx-UF for the aerosol mass concentration were compared to the Zeppelin PM₁ composition measurements obtained by an AMS (each 3 404 minutes, 9 flights, ~1300 data points). The average vertical profiles of organics, sulfate, 405 ammonium, and nitrate are shown in Fig. S2. Overall, the model performance aloft was quite 406 similar with that at the ground level. For example, for the 9 Zeppelin flights the OA normalized 407 mean bias was -4% and the normalized mean error equal to 40% (Table S4). The measured and 408 the predicted OA mean values are 4.6 and 4.4 μ g m⁻³, respectively. 409

410

411 **3.2** Effect of ELVOC production on particle number and OA concentrations

An additional simulation was performed neglecting the production of ELVOCs from terpenes (case 2). The addition of ELVOCs, increased the $PM_{2.5}$ OA mass by approximately as much as 0.5 µg m⁻³ in Central/Eastern Europe and Russia, accounting for approximately 10-15% of the OA (Fig. 3). In these areas a combination of high terpene emissions and high photochemical reaction rates existed during the simulated period. The highest relative predicted increase of OA was 15-25% in northern Europe. In central Europe the ELVOC formation increased average OA by approximately 10%.

The average fractional increase of N_x , due to the production of ELVOCs is calculated as:

419

420

$$f_{Nx} = \frac{N_x(with \ ELVOCs) - N_x(without \ ELVOCs)}{N_x(without \ ELVOCs)}$$
(1)

where, x, is 10, 50, 100 nm or zero (total number). Rather surprisingly, the average fractional 421 422 change for all number concentrations (N_{tot} , N_{10} , N_{50} and N_{100} ,) is small ranging between 1% and -4% (Fig. 4) (N_{tot} : -8 cm⁻³ or -0.14%, N_{10} : 40 cm⁻³ or -1.14%, N_{50} : 60 cm⁻³ or 3 %, N_{100} : 35 cm⁻³ or 423 4%). One reason for the small average change is that both increases and decreases are predicted 424 for different areas in Europe. These mixed results are due to the fact that the ELVOC condensation 425 426 accelerates the growth of new and preexisting particles to larger sizes, but at the same time accelerates their losses due to the increase of the coagulation sink and decreases the nucleation 427 rate due to the increase of the condensation sink. 428

The formation of ELVOCs resulted in a predicted decrease of N_{tot} by 20% (300-600 cm⁻³) in parts of the Nordic countries and by 5% in central Europe (Fig. 4). The decreases are predicted

for most Europe with the exception of a few areas in which increases are predicted (northern 431 Iberian Peninsula, parts of France, areas in the Balkans with high sulfur dioxide levels, etc.) (Fig. 432 433 5). The predicted N_{10} increased by 5-15% (150-400 cm⁻³) over Finland, northwestern Russia, France, Ireland and northern Portugal. At the same time there were small decreases of a few percent 434 over several areas in Europe especially in the south and in the east as well over the Baltic Sea. N_{50} 435 increased over almost of Europe by 50 to 300 cm⁻³. This N_{50} increase corresponds to 20-40% over 436 Scandinavia and northwestern Russia, and 10% for central Europe. Finally, the ELVOCs caused 437 an increase in N_{100} of 20-50% over Scandinavia and 10-20% increases over central Europe. The 438 absolute corresponding N_{100} changes in these areas are 100-200 cm⁻³. 439

The corresponding changes of the number concentrations for particles with diameters 440 between 1 and 10 nm (N_{1-10}), 10 and 50 nm (N_{10-50}) and (iii) 50 and 100 nm (N_{50-100}) are 441 442 summarized in Fig. S3. These figures illustrate the complex effect of the ELVOCs on different parts of the aerosol number distribution. Decreases in the concentrations of the 1-10 nm particles 443 444 (decreasing nucleation rate due to increased condensation sink, increasing coagulation with larger particles), increases in the concentrations of the particles with diameter larger than 100 nm (due to 445 446 accelerated growth of the sub-100 nm particles to larger sizes) and both increases and decreases in 447 the 10-50 nm size range depending on the magnitude of the different competing processes in each 448 area. The effect of the ELVOCs in this PMCAMx simulation is clearly a lot more complex than a uniform increase of particle number concentrations. 449

The spatial variability of the fractional change in the number concentration of N_{1-10} (reflecting nucleation rates), sulfuric acid concentration, condensational sink (CS) and coagulation sink due to the ELVOCs is depicted in Figure S4. In areas such as the Scandinavian Peninsula the production of ELVOCs is predicted to lead to a 20-30% average increase of the coagulation and condensational sinks and a corresponding decrease of sulfuric acid levels and N_{1-10} . Similar changes are predicted for several other areas (e.g., Central Europe) but are less pronounced.

The results in the Hyytiälä station in Finland were examined in more detail because the predicted number concentrations in Finland are quite sensitive, according to PMCAMx-UF, to the addition of the ELVOCs. The predicted N_3 , N_{10} , N_{50} and N_{100} concentrations for the base case are in reasonable agreement with the field measurements in this area (Figure S5), with a tendency of the model to overpredict the N_3 levels during a few nucleation events. For all concentrations the simulation with the ELVOCs (base case) reproduces the measurements better than the simulation

in which they are neglected. The condensation sink for Hyytiälä increases by a few percent due to 462 the additional mass of the ELVOCs (Figure S5). The average measured and predicted number size 463 464 distributions in Hyytiälä are shown in Figure S6. The addition of the ELVOCs leads to increased levels in the part of the size distribution above 50 nm. A decrease of the concentration of particles 465 with diameter below 7 nm is predicted due to the addition of the ELVOCs because of both 466 467 increased coagulation losses but also lower nucleation rates. The difference in the predictions of the two simulations (with and without ELVOCs) in Hyytiälä is modest. The discrepancy between 468 model predictions and measurements is due to both weaknesses of the measurements (particles 469 smaller than 3 nm were not measured) and a tendency of the model to overpredict nucleation event 470 intensity in this area. 471

The ELVOC addition played a minor role on the overall performance of PMCAMx-UF. The 472 473 NMB for N_{10} decreased (in absolute terms) by 1%, it increased by 5% for N_{100} due to the addition of the ELVOCs in the simulation (Table 2-3). The addition of the ELVOCs affects mainly the 474 PMCAMx-UF predictions in northern Europe and especially Finland, where the predictions of 475 N_{100} significantly improve. In Hyytiälä the NMB decreases from -34% to -14% and in Varrio drops 476 477 from -72% to -49% (Table 3). The corresponding normalized mean errors changed by 1-2%. These 478 small changes in the performance metrics are consistent with the small overall changes caused by 479 the ELVOC addition.

The small change in the OA mass concentration due to the addition of the ELVOCs has a modest impact on the performance of PMCAMx-UF for OA (Table S5 and S6). For example, the PM₁ OA bias improves from -6% to 2% while the PM_{2.5} OA bias increases from 15% to 20%. The changes in normalized error are 1% or less.

484

485 **3.3** Effect of IVOCs on particle number concentrations

The emissions of IVOCs (C* $\geq 10^{-3} \mu g m^{-3}$) were set to zero in a sensitivity test (Case 3) to quantify their effect on the predicted particle number concentration and size distribution. The SOA formed by the IVOCs (SOA-iv) exceeds 1 $\mu g m^3$ in southern Europe, over the Mediterranean Sea, but also in large areas over central and eastern Europe (Fig. 6). The high SOA-iv levels over the Mediterranean are due to the oxidation of IVOCs emitted from large wildfires that occurred during the simulation period. The corresponding SOA-iv is 10-25% of the total OA over 492 continental Europe and even higher (about 60%) over parts of the marine atmosphere. The average 493 fractional increase of N_x , due to emission and aging of IVOCs is calculated as:

494
$$f_{Nx} = \frac{N_x(with \, IVOCs) - N_x(without \, IVOCs)}{N_x(without \, IVOCs)}$$
(2)

495 where, *x*, is 10, 50, 100 nm or zero (total number).

According to PMCAMx-UF the addition of the emissions of IVOCs and their aging 496 497 reactions lead to a reduction of N_{tot} by 5-10% and N_{10} by 5% (Fig. 7) for continental Europe. On the other hand, this addition of IVOCs leads to an increase of N_{50} by 5% and N_{100} by 5-10% mainly 498 in central Europe and the Mediterranean Sea (Fig. 7). The corresponding changes of the number 499 concentrations for the various size ranges N_{1-10} , N_{10-50} and N_{50-100} are summarized in Fig. 8. The 500 predicted N_{1-10} decreases approximately 15-20% for most of Europe except for the Scandinavian 501 peninsula due to the IVOCs. N_{10-50} decreases 10-15% mainly in southern Europe and N_{50-100} 502 changes less than $\pm 5\%$ or ± 100 cm⁻³ in the simulated domain. 503

The atmospheric oxidation of the emitted IVOCs produces semi-volatile organic compounds, which condense preferentially on particles in the accumulation mode and not so much on the smallest particles due to the Kelvin effect. This results in an increase of both the condensation and coagulation sinks, which then lead to a decrease of the nucleation rate but also on the coagulation rate of the smaller with the larger particles.

The effect of the addition of the IVOCs on the performance of PMCAMx-UF is modest and mixed. The NMB for N_{10} increased by 4% (from 23% to 27%) and decreased by 5% for N_{100} (from 10% to 5%) (Table S7). The corresponding NME for both N_{10} and N_{100} changed slightly (approximately 1%). The modest overall changes on the number distribution of the ultrafine particles caused by the addition of IVOCs and the corresponding aging reactions are consistent with the small changes in the PMCAMx-UF performance metrics.

The addition of the IVOCs and the resulting SOA-iv from their oxidation also had mixed 515 results in the PMCAMx-UF performance for OA in Europe. This added SOA removed the 516 517 underprediction of OA against the AMS measurements in Italy and Greece; the NMB changed 518 from -18% when IVOCs were neglected to 2% when IVOCs were included (Table S8). The NME 519 decreased a little (from 38% to 35%) with the IVOC addition. The performance against the OA measurements in the other European sites became a little worse when IVOCs were included in the 520 model (Table S9). The small underprediction (NMB=-8%) in OA became a larger overprediction 521 522 (NMB=20%) and the NME increased from 50% to 62%. These results are characteristic of the uncertainties in primary OA emissions but also SOA production from the various VOCs andIVOCs emitted by anthropogenic and biogenic sources.

525

526 4. Conclusions

A new version of PMCAMx-UF was developed with the ability to simulate the formation and dynamic condensation of ELVOCs during the oxidation of the monoterpenes and the emissions and multi-generational chemistry of IVOCs. The model was applied to the PEGASOS summer intensive period campaign during the summer of 2012. The available measurements included both ground stations across Europe and airborne measurements from a Zeppelin over the Po Valley.

The number concentration predictions of PMCAMx-UF, are compared against ground 533 534 measurements from 26 stations in Europe. The model tends to overestimate daily average N_{10} with a normalized bias of 35% and an average error of 64%. PMCAMx-UF performed well for N_{100} 535 536 with a low bias (-2 %) and an error of 41%. The performance of the model in the lowest 1 km of the atmosphere above Po Valley for both N_{10} and N_{100} was even better than its average performance 537 538 over Europe. The model's predicted PM1 and PM2.5 concentrations and composition had NMB of 15% and errors less than 60% depending on the PM component. These results suggest that 539 540 PMCAMx-UF does a reasonable job reproducing the aerosol mass and number concentrations over 541 Europe during the simulated period.

The ELVOCs produced by the monoterpene oxidation contributed, according to the PMCAMx-UF predictions on average around 0.5 μ g m⁻³ and accounted for 10-15% of the PM_{2.5} OA. The highest relative predicted increase of OA was 15-25% in northern Europe, while the ELVOC formation increased average OA by approximately 10% in central Europe.

546 The ELVOC production by monoterpenes led to surprisingly small changes of the average 547 number concentrations over Europe. The total number concentration decreased by 0.2%, the N_{10} decreases by 1.1%, while N_{50} increased by 3% and N_{100} by 4% due to this new SOA source. One 548 549 of the reasons for these small average increases is the nonlinearity of the system leading to both 550 increases and decreases in different parts of Europe. Even if ELVOCs accelerate the growth of the 551 newly formed particles to larger sizes increasing in this way their lifetime, at the same time they increase the aerosol mass and surface area as they mostly condense on the accumulation mode. 552 Therefore, they increase the condensation sink, decreasing the sulfuric acid supersaturation and 553

the corresponding nucleation rate. They also increase the coagulation sink and thus accelerate theremoval of all nanoparticles.

Locally the effects of the ELVOC production could be higher. For example, it is estimated that the ELVOC productions leads to a decrease of the total particle concentration N_{tot} by 20% in parts of the Nordic countries and by 5% in central Europe. At the same time, the predicted N_{10} increased by 5-15% (150-400 cm⁻³) over Finland, northwestern Russia, France, Ireland and northern Portugal due to these secondary organic compounds. The predicted N_{50} increased almost everywhere in continental Europe by 50-300 cm⁻³. This is 10% increase of N_{50} over central Europe and 20-40% over Scandinavia and northwestern Russia.

The addition of IVOC emissions and their aging reactions led to surprising reduction of the total number of particles (N_{tot}) and N_{10} by 10-15 and 5-10%, respectively, and to an increase of the concentration of N_{100} by 5-10%. In this case semi-volatile organic mass is produced, which condenses preferentially on particles in the accumulation mode, increasing the condensation and coagulation sinks and leading to a decrease in the concentration of the sub-10 nm particles.

568

569 **Data and code availability.** Field measurement data are available in ebas.nilu.no and 570 https://actris.nilu.no/. The Zeppelin-relevant, San Pietro Capofume and Bologna data are available 571 in https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4660145 (Lampilahti et al. 2021). The field datasets for Patras, 572 Thessaloniki and Costa Navarino can be obtained after request to the authors. The PMCAMx-UF 573 is available from the authors (spyros@chemeng.upatras.gr).

574

575 **Supplement.**

576

577 **Author contributions.** DP wrote the code, conducted the simulations, analyzed the results, and 578 wrote the paper. SNP was responsible for the design of the study and the synthesis of the results 579 and contributed to the writing of the paper.

580

581 **Competing interests.** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

582

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank the PEGASOS team and the members and
personnel of ACTRIS measurement sites. The ACTRIS project has received funding from
the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (ACTRIS, FP7/2007-2013, grant agreement

no. 262254) and the ACTRIS-2 project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 586 587 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 654109. We would like to 588 especially thank: Pasi Aalto, Andres Alastuey, Benjamin Bergmans, Wolfram Birmili, Miroslav 589 Bitter, Raymond Ellul, Markus Fiebig, Harald Flentje, Spiridon Bezantakos, George Biskos, Evangelos Gerasopoulos, Johannes Groess, Bas Henzing, Nikos Kalivitis, Hans Karlsson, 590 591 Evangelia Kostenidou, Giorgos Kouvarakis, Markku Kulmala, Fabian Lenartz, Gunter Loeschau, Chris Lunder, Nikos Mihalopoulos, Marcel Moerman, David Munao, Colin O'Dowd, Noemi 592 Perez, Helena Placha, Jean-Philippe Putaud, Alexander Schladitz, Franz Rohrer, Erik Swietlicki, 593 Ralf Tillmann, Thomas Tuch, Kay Weinhold, Alfred Wiedensohler, Vladimir Zdimal, Hans-594 Christen Hansson, Peter Tunved and Radovan Krejci for the results of the field measurementa. 595

596

Financial support: This work was supported by the project FORCeS funded from the European
Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 821205. We
also acknowledge support by the project by the Greek PERAN project MIS 5002358.

600

601 **References**

- Adams, P. J. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Predicting global aerosol size distributions in general circulation
 models, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4370, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001010, 2002.
- Argueso, D., Hidalgo-Munoz, J. M., Gamiz-Fortis, S. R., and Esteban-Parra, M. J.: Evaluation of
 WRF parameterizations for climate studies over Southern Spain using a multistep regional ization, J. Climate, 24, 5633–5651, 2011.
- Atkinson, R.: Atmospheric chemistry of VOCs and NO_x, Atmos. Environ., 34, 2063–2101, 2000.
- Atkinson, R. and Arey, J.: Atmospheric degradation of volatile organic compounds, Chem. Rev.,
 103, 4605–4638, doi:10.1021/cr0206420, 2003.
- 610 Carter, W. P. L.: Programs and files implementing the SAPRC-99 mechanism and its associates
 611 emissions processing procedures for Models-3 and other regional models, January 31, 2000.
- Dahneke, B.: Simple Kinetic Theory of Brownian Diffusion in Va- pors and Aerosols, Theory of
- Dispersed Multiphase Flow, edited by Meyer, R. E., Academic Press, New York, 97–133 pp.,
- **614** 1983.

- Dal Maso, M., Kulmala, M., Riipinen, I., Wagner, R., Hussein, T., Aalto, P., and Lehtinen, K. E.
 J.: Formation and growth of fresh atmospheric aerosols: eight years of aerosol size distribution
 data from SMEAR II, Hyytiälä, Finland, Boreal Environ. Res., 10, 323–336, 2005.
- de Gouw, J. A., et al.: Budget of organic carbon in a polluted atmosphere: Results from the New
- England Air Quality Study in 2002, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D16305, doi:10.1029/
 2004JD005623, 2005.
- de Meij, A., Gzella, A., Cuvelier, C., Thunis, P., Bessagnet, B., Vinuesa, J. F., Menut, L., and
 Kelder, H. M.: The im- pact of MM5 and WRF meteorology over complex terrain on
 CHIMERE model calculations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 6611–6632, 2009.
- 624 Denier van der Gon, H. A. C., Visschedijk, A. J. H., Johansson, C., Hedberg Larsson, E., Harrison,

R., and Beddows, D.: Size resolved pan European anthropogenic particle number inventory,

626 EUCAARI Deliverable report D141 (available on request from EUCAARI project office),

TNO, the Netherlands, 2009.

- Docherty, K. S. et al.: Apportionment of Primary and Secondary Organic Aerosols in Southern
 California during the 2005 Study of Organic Aerosols in Riverside (SOAR-1), Environ. Sci.
 Technol., 42, 7655–7662, 2008.
- Donahue, N. M., Robinson, A. L., Stanier, C. O., Pandis, S. N.: Coupled partitioning, dilution, and
 chemical aging of semivolatile organics, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 2635-2643, 2006.
- 633 Donahue, N. M., Epstein, S. A., Pandis, S. N., and Robinson, A. L.: A two-dimensional volatility
- basis set: 1. organic-aerosol mixing thermodynamics, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 3303–3318,
 doi:10.5194/acp-11-3303-2011, 2011.
- Dzepina, K., Volkamer, R. M., Madronich, S., Tulet, P., Ulbrich, I. M., Zhang, Q., Cappa, C. D.,
 Ziemann, P. J., and Jimenez, J. L.: Evaluation of recently proposed secondary organic aerosol
 models for a case study in Mexico City, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5681-5709, 2009.
- Ehn, M., Thornton, J. A., Kleist, E., Sipilä, M., Junninen, H., Pulli- nen, I., Springer, M., Rubach,
- 640 F., Tillmann, R., Lee, B., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Andres, S., Acir, I. H., Rissanen, M., Jokinen,
- T., Schobesberger, S., Kangasluoma, J., Kontkanen, J., Nieminen, T., Kurtén, T., Nielsen, L.
- B., Jørgensen, S., Kjaergaard, H. G., Canagaratna, M., Dal Maso, M., Berndt, T., Petäjä, T.,
- 643 Wahner, A., Kerminen, V. M., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., Wildt, J., and Mentel, T. F.: A
- large source of low-volatility secondary organic aerosol, Nature, 506, 476–479, 2014.

Environ, User's guide to the comprehensive air quality model with extensions (CAMx), version
4.02, report, ENVIRON Int. Corp., Novato, Calif, 2003.

Fanourgakis, G. S., Kanakidou, M., Nenes, A., Bauer, S. E., Bergman, T., Carslaw, K. S., Grini,
A., Hamilton, D. S., Johnson, J. S., Karydis, V. A., Kirkevåg, A., Kodros, J. K., Lohmann, U.,

- Luo, G., Makkonen, R., Matsui, H., Neubauer, D., Pierce, J. R., Schmale, J., Stier, P.,
- 50 Tsigaridis, K., van Noije, T., Wang, H., Watson-Parris, D., Westervelt, D. M., Yang, Y.,
- 651 Yoshioka, M., Daskalakis, N., Decesari, S., Gysel-Beer, M., Kalivitis, N., Liu, X., Mahowald,
- N. M., Myriokefalitakis, S., Schrödner, R., Sfakianaki, M., Tsimpidi, A. P., Wu, M., and Yu,
- F.: Evaluation of global simulations of aerosol particle and cloud condensation nuclei number,
- with implications for cloud droplet formation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 8591–8617, 2019.
- Fountoukis, C., Riipinen, I., Denier Van Der Gon, H. A. C., Charalampidis, P. E., Pilinis, C.,
 Wiedensohler, A., O'Dowd, C., Putaud, J. P., Moerman, M. and Pandis, S. N.: Simulating
 ultrafine particle formation in Europe using a regional CTM: Contribution of primary
 emissions versus secondary formation to aerosol number concentrations, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
 12, 8663–8677, 2012.
- Garcia-Diez, M., Fernandez, J., Fita, L., and Yague, C.: Sea- sonal dependence of WRF model
 biases and sensitivity to PBL schemes over Europe, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 139, 501–514,
 2012.
- Gaydos, T. M., Stainer, C. O., and Pandis, S. N.: Modeling of in-situ ultrafine atmospheric particle
 formation in the eastern United State, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D07S12, https://doi.org/10.1029/
 2004JD004683, 2005.
- Gaydos, T., Pinder, R., Koo, B., Fahey, K., Yarwood, G., and Pan- dis, S. N.: Development and
 application of a three-dimensional Chemical Transport Model, PMCAMx, Atmos. Environ.,
 41, 2594–2611, 2007.
- 669 Gordon, H., Sengupta, K., Rap, A., Duplissy, J., Frege, C., Williamson, C., Heinritzi, M., Simon,
- 670 M., Yan, C., Almeida, J., Tröstl, J., Nieminen, T., Ortega, I. K., Wagner, R., Dunne, E. M.,
- Adamov, A., Amorim, A., Bernhammer, A.-K., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., Brilke, S.,
- 672 Chen, X., Craven, J. S., Dias, A., Ehrhart, S., Fischer, L., Flagan, R. C., Franchin, A., Fuchs,
- 673 C., Guida, R., Hakala, J., Hoyle, C. R., Jokinen, T., Junninen, H., Kangasluoma, J., Kim, J.,
- 674 Kirkby, J., Krapf, M., Kürten, A., Laaksonen, A., Lehtipalo, K., Makhmutov, V., Mathot, S.,
- Molteni, U., Monks, S. A., Onnela, A., Peräkylä, O., Piel, F., Petäjä, T., Praplan, A. P., Pringle,

- 676 K. J., Richards, N. A. D., Rissanen, M. P., Rondo, L., Sarnela, N., Schobesberger, S., Scott, C.
- E., Seinfeld, J. H., Sharma, S., Sipilä, M., Steiner, G., Stozhkov, Y., Stratmann, F., Tomé, A.,
- Virtanen, A., Vogel, A. L., Wagner, A. C., Wagner, P. E., Weingartner, E., Wimmer, D.,
- 679 Winkler, P. M., Ye, P., Zhang, X., Hansel, A., Dom- men, J., Donahue, N. M., Worsnop, D.
- 680 R., Baltensperger, U., Kulmala, M., Curtius, J., and Carslaw, K. S.: Reduced anthropogenic
- 681 aerosol radiative forcing caused by biogenic new particle formation, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA,

682 113, 12053–12058, 2016.

- Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P. I., and Geron, C.: Estimates of
 global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and
 Aerosols from Nature), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3181–3210, 2006.
- Hallquist, M., Wenger, J. C., Baltensperger, U., Rudich, Y., Simpson, D., Claeys, M., Dommen,
- 587 J., Donahue, N. M., George, C., Goldstein, a. H., Hamilton, J. F., Herrmann, H., Hoffmann,
- T., Iinuma, Y., Jang, M., Jenkin, M. E., Jimenez, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, a., Maenhaut, W.,
- McFiggans, G., Mentel, T. F., Monod, A., Prévôt, A. S. H., Seinfeld, J. H., Surratt, J. D.,
 Szmigielski, R. and Wildt, J.: The formation, properties and impact of secondary organic
 aerosol: current and emerging issues, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5155–5236, 2009.
- Hamed, A., Joutsensaari, J., Mikkonen, S., Sogacheva, L., Dal Maso, M., Kulmala, M., Cavalli,
 F., Fuzzi, S., Facchini, M. C., Decesari, S., Mircea, M., Lehtinen, K. E. J., and Laaksonen, A.:
 Nucleation and growth of new particles in Po Valley, Italy, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 355–376,
 2007.
- Im, U., Markakis, K., Unal, A., Kindap, T., Poupkou, A., Incecik, S., Yenigun, O., Melas, D.,
 Theodosi, C., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Study of a winter PM episode in Istanbul using the high
 resolution WRF/CMAQ modeling system, Atmos. Environ., 44, 3085–3094, 2010.
- Jimenez-Guerrero, P., Jorba, O., Baldasano, J. M., and Gasso, S.: The use of a modelling system
 as a tool for air quality management: Annual high-resolution simulations and evaluation, Sci.
 Total Environ., 390, 323–340, 2008.
- Jokinen, T., Berndt, T., Makkonen, R., Kerminen, V.-M., Jun- ninen, H., Paasonen, P., Stratmann,
 F., Herrmann, H., Guenther, A. B., Worsnop, D. R., Kulmala, M., Ehn, M., and Sipilä, M.:
 Production of extremely low volatile organic com- pounds from biogenic emissions: Measured
 yields and atmospheric implications, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 112, 7123–7128, 2015.

- Jung, J., Adams, P. J., and Pandis, S. N.: Simulating the size distribution and chemical composition
 of ultrafine particles during nucleation events, Atmos. Environ., 40, 2248–2259, 2006.
- Jung, J. G., Fountoukis, C., Adams, P. J. and Pandis, S. N.: Simulation of in situ ultrafine particle
 formation in the eastern United States using PMCAMx-UF, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D03203,
- 710 doi: 10.1029/2009jd012313, 2010.
- Kanakidou, M., Seinfeld, J. H., Pandis, S. N., Barnes, I., Dentener, F. J., Facchini, M. C., Van
 Dingenen, R., Ervens, B., Nenes, A., Nielsen, C. J., Swietlicki, E., Putaud, J. P., Balkanski, Y.,
- Fuzzi, S., Horth, J., Moortgat, G. K., Winterhalter, R., Myhre, C. E. L., Tsigaridis, K., Vignati,
- E., Stephanou, E. G., and Wilson, J.: Organic aerosol and global climate modelling: a review,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1053–1123, 2005.
- Karydis, V. A., Tsimpidi, A. P., and Pandis, S. N.: Evaluation of a three-dimensional chemical
 transport model (PMCAMx) in the eastern United States for all four seasons, J. Geophys. Res.,
 112, D14211, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007890, 2007.
- Kirkby, J., Duplissy, J., Sengupta, K., Frege, C., Gordon, H., Williamson, C., Heinritzi, M., Simon, 719 M., Yan, C., Almeida, J., Tröstl, J., Nieminen, T., Ortega, I. K., Wagner, R., Adamov, A., 720 721 Amorim, A., Bernhammer, A.-K., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., Brilke, S., Chen, X., Craven, J., Dias, A., Ehrhart, S., Flagan, R. C., Franchin, A., Fuchs, C., Guida, R., Hakala, J., 722 723 Hoyle, C. R., Jokinen, T., Junninen, H., Kangasluoma, J., Kim, J., Krapf, M., Kürten, A., Laaksonen, A., Lehtipalo, K., Makhmutov, V., Mathot, S., Molteni, U., Onnela, A., Peräkylä, 724 725 O., Piel, F., Petäjä, T., Praplan, A. P., Pringle, K., Rap, A., Richards, N. A. D., Riip-inen, I., Rissanen, M. P., Rondo, L., Sarnela, N., Schobesberger, S., Scott, C. E., Seinfeld, J. H., Sipilä, 726 727 M., Steiner, G., Stozhkov, Y., Stratmann, F., Tomé, A., Virtanen, A., Vogel, A. L., Wag-ner, A. C., Wagner, P. E., Weingartner, E., Wimmer, D., Winkler, P. M., Ye, P., Zhang, X., Hansel, 728 729 A., Dommen, J., Donahue, N. M., Worsnop, D. R., Baltensperger, U., Kulmala, M., Carslaw, K. S., and Curtius, J.: Ion-induced nucleation of pure biogenic particles, Nature, 533, 521–526, 730 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17953, 2016. 731 732 Kleinman, L. I., Springston, S. R., Daum, P. H., Lee, Y.-N., Nunnermacker, L. J., Senum, G. I., 733 Wang, J., Weinstein-Lloyd, J., Alexander, M. L., Hubbe, J., Ortega, J., Canagaratna, M. R.,
- and Jayne, J.: The time evolution of aerosol composition over the Mexico City plateau, Atmos.
- 735 Chem. Phys., 8, 1559–1575, doi:10.5194/acp-8-1559-2008, 2008.

- Kostenidou, E., Florou, K., Kaltsonoudis, C., Tsiflikiotou, M., Vratolis, S., Eleftheriadis, K., and
 Pandis, S. N.: Sources and chemical characterization of organic aerosol during the summer in
 the eastern Mediterranean, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 11355–11371, 2015.
- Kroll, J. H., Ng, N. L., Murphy, S. M., Flagan, R. C., Seinfeld, J. H.: Secondary organic aerosol
 formation from isoprene photooxidation, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 155-162, 2006.
- Kulmala, M., Vehkamaki, H., Petaja, T., Dal Maso, M., Lauri, A., Kerminen, V.-M., Birmili, W.,
 and McMurry, P. H.: Formation and growth of ultrafine atmospheric particles: A review of
 observations, J. Aerosol Sci., 35, 143–176, 2004.
- Kulmala, M., Asmi, A., Lappalainen, H. K., Baltensperger, U., Brenguier, J.-L., Facchini, M. C., 744 Hansson, H.-C., Hov, Ø., O'Dowd, C. D., Pöschl, U., Wiedensohler, A., Boers, R., Ammann, 745 M., Arabas, S., Artaxo, P., Baars, H., Beddows, D. C. S., Bergström, R., Beukes, J. P., Bilde, 746 747 M., Burkhart, J. F., Canonaco, F., Clegg, S. L., Coe, H., Crumeyrolle, S., D'Anna, B., Decesari, S., Gilardoni, S., Fischer, M., Fjaeraa, A. M., Fountoukis, C., George, C., Gomes, L., Halloran, 748 P., Hamburger, T., Harrison, R. M., Herrmann, H., Hoffmann, T., Hoose, C., Hu, M., 749 Hyvärinen, A., Hõrrak, U., Iinuma, Y., Iversen, T., Josipovic, M., Kanakidou, M., Kiendler-750 751 Scharr, A., Kirkevåg, A., Kiss, G., Klimont, Z., Kolmonen, P., Komppula, M., Kristjánsson, J.-E., Laakso, L., Laaksonen, A., Labonnote, L., Lanz, V. A., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Rizzo, L. V., 752 753 Makkonen, R., Manninen, H. E., McMeeking, G., Merikanto, J., Minikin, A., Mirme, S., 754 Morgan, W. T., Nemitz, E., O'Donnell, D., Panwar, T. S., Pawlowska, H., Petzold, A., Pienaar, 755 J. J., Pio, C., Plass-Duelmer, C., Prévôt, A. S. H., Pryor, S., Reddington, C. L., Roberts, G., Rosen- feld, D., Schwarz, J., Seland, Ø., Sellegri, K., Shen, X. J., Shiraiwa, M., Siebert, H., 756 757 Sierau, B., Simpson, D., Sun, J. Y., Topping, D., Tunved, P., Vaattovaara, P., Vakkari, V., 758 Veefkind, J. P., Visschedijk, A., Vuollekoski, H., Vuolo, R., Wehner, B., Wildt, J., Woodward, 759 S., Worsnop, D. R., van Zadelhoff, G.- J., Zardini, A. A., Zhang, K., van Zyl, P. G., Kerminen, 760 V.- M., S Carslaw, K., and Pandis, S. N.: General overview: Euro- pean Integrated project on Aerosol Cloud Climate and Air Quality interactions (EUCAARI) – integrating aerosol research 761 762 from nano global scales. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11. 13061-13143, to 763 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-13061-2011, 2011. 764 Lampilahti, J., Manninen, H. E., Nieminen, T., Mirme, S., Ehn, M., Pullinen, I., Leino, K.,
 - Schobesberger, S., Kangasluoma, J., Kontkanen, J., Järvinen, E., Väänänen, R., Yli-Juuti, T.,
 Krejci, R., Lehtipalo, K., Levula, J., Mirme, A., Decesari, S., Tillmann, R., Worsnop, D. R.,

- Rohrer, F., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V.-M., Mentel, T. F., and Kulmala, M.:
 Zeppelin-led study on the onset of new particle formation in the planetary boundary layer,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss. [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2021-282, in review,
 2021.
- Lane, T. E., Donahue, N. M., and Pandis, S. N.: Simulating secondary organic aerosol formation
 using the volatility basis-set approach in a chemical transport model, Atmos. Environ., 42,
 773 7439–7451, 2008.
- Makkonen, R., Asmi, A., Korhonen, H., Kokkola, H., Järvenoja, S., Räisänen, P., Lehtinen, K. E.
 J., Laaksonen, A., Kerminen, V.- M., Järvinen, H., Lohmann, U., Bennartz, R., Feichter, J.,
 and Kulmala, M.: Sensitivity of aerosol concentrations and cloud properties to nucleation and
 secondary organic distribution in ECHAM5-HAM global circulation model, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 9, 1747–1766, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-1747-2009, 2009.
- 779 Mann, G. W., Carlslaw, K. S., Spracklen, D. V., Ridley, D. A., Manketelow, P. T., Chipperfield,
- M. P., Pickering, S. J., and Johnson, C. E.: Description and evaluation of GLOMAP-mode: A
 modal global aerosol microphysics model for the UKCA composition-climate model. Geosci.
 Model Dev., 3, 519-551, 2010.
- Matsui, H., et al.: Secondary organic aerosol formation in urban air: Temporal variations and
 possible contributions from unidentified hydrocarbons, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D04201,
 doi:10.1029/2008JD010164, 2009.
- Merikanto, J., Spracklen, D. V., Mann, G. W., Pickering, S. J., and Carslaw, K. S.: Impact of
 nucleation on global CCN, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 8601–8616, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-98601-2009, 2009.
- Mikuška, P., Večeřa, Z., Bartošíková, A., Maenhaut, W.: Annular diffusion denuder for
 simultaneous removal of gaseous organic compounds and air oxidants during sampling of
 carbonaceous aerosols, Anal Chim Acta., 714, 68-75. 2012.Murphy, B. N., Pandis, S. N. and
 Ave, F.: Simulating the formation of semivolatile primary and secondary organic aerosol in a
 regional chemical transport model gas-phase chemistry of OA species, Environ. Sci. Technol.,
 43, 4722–4728, 2009.
- Napari, I., Noppel, M., Vehkamaki, H., and Kulmala, M.: Parameterization of ternary nucleation
 rates for H₂SO₄-NH₃-H₂O vapors, J. Geophys. Res., 107, AAC 6-1–AAC 6-6,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002132, 2002.

- Ng, N. L., Kroll, J. H., Keywood, M. D., Bahreini, R., Varutbangkul, V., Flagan, R. C., Seinfeld,
 J. H.: Contribution of first- versus second-generation products to secondary organic aerosols
 formed in the oxidation of biogenic hydrocarbons, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 2283-2297,
 2006.
- Nenes, A., Pandis, S. N., and Pilinis, C.: ISORROPIA: a new thermodynamic equilibrium model
 for multiphase multicomponent inorganic aerosols, Aquat. Geochem., 4, 123–152, 1998.
- Odum, J. R., Hoffmann, T., Bowman, F. A., Collins, D., Flagan, R. C., Seinfeld, J. H.: Gas/particle
 partitioning and secondary organic aerosol yields, Environ. Sci. Technol., 30, 2580-2585,
 1996.
- O'Dowd, C. D., Langmann, B., Varghese, S., Scannell, C., Ceburnis, D., and Facchini, M. C.: A
 combined organic-inorganic sea-spray source function, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L01801,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL030331, 2008.
- Olenius, T., Yli-Juuti, T., Elm, J, Kontkanen, J., and Riipinen I. New particle formation and
 growth: creating a new atmospheric phase interface. In Physical Chemistry of Gas-Liquid
 Interfaces (eds. Faust, J. A. & House, J. E.), 315–352, Elsevier, 2018.
- Pandis, S. N., Wexler, A. S., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Secondary organic aerosol formation and
 transport. 2. Predicting the ambient secondary organic aerosol size distribution, Atmos.
 Environ., 27A, 2403–2416, 1993.
- 816 Pathak, R. K., Presto, A. A., Lane, T. E., Stanier, C. O., Donahue, N. M., Pandis, S. N.: Ozonolysis
- of α-pinene: parameterization of secondary organic aerosol mass fraction, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 7, 3811–3821, 2007.
- Patoulias, D., Fountoukis, C., Riipinen, I., and Pandis, S. N.: The role of organic condensation on
 ultrafine particle growth during nucleation events, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 6337–6350,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-6337-2015, 2015.
- Patoulias, D., Fountoukis, C., Riipinen, I., Asmi, A., Kulmala, M., and Pandis, S. N.: Simulation
- 823 of the size-composition distribution of atmospheric nanoparticles over Europe, Atmos. Chem.
- Phys., 18, 13639–13654, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-13639-2018, 2018.
- Pierce, J. R. and Adams, P. J.: A computationally efficient aerosol nucleation/condensation
 method: Pseudo-steady state sulfuric acid, Aerosol Sci. Tech., 43, 216–226, 2009
- 827 Pierce, J. R., Riipinen, I., Kulmala, M., Ehn, M., Petäjä, T., Junninen, H., Worsnop, D. R., and
- 828 Donahue, N. M.: Quantification of the volatility of secondary organic compounds in ultrafine

- particles during nucleation events, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 9019–9036,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-9019-2011, 2011.
- Presto, A. A., Donahue, N. M.: Investigation of a-pinene+ozone secondary organic aerosol
 formation at low total aerosol mass. Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 3536–3543, 2006.
- 833 Rissanen, M. P., Kurtén, T., Sipilä, M., Thornton, J. A., Kangasluoma, J., Sarnela, N., Junninen,
- H., Jørgensen, S., Schallhart, S., Kajos, M. K., Taipale, R., Springer, M., Mentel, T. F., Ruuska-
- 835 nen, T., Petäjä, T., Worsnop, D. R., Kjaergaard, H. G., and Ehn, M.: The formation of highly
- oxidized multifunctional products in the ozonolysis of cyclohexene, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 136,
 15596–15606, 2014.
- Robinson, A. L., Donahue, N. M., Shrivastava, M. K., Weitkamp, E. A., Sage, A. M., Grieshop,
 A. P., Lane, T. E., Pandis, S. N., Pierce, J. R.: Rethinking organic aerosols: semivolatile
 emissions and photochemical aging, Science, 315, 1259-1262, 2007.
- Schulze, B. C., Wallace, H. W., Flynn, J. H., Lefer, B. L., Erickson, M. H., Jobson, B. T., Dusanter,
 S., Griffith, S. M., Hansen, R. F., Stevens, P. S., VanReken, T., and Griffin, R. J.: Differences
 in BVOC oxidation and SOA formation above and below the forest canopy, Atmos. Chem.

Phys., 17, 1805–1828, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-1805-2017, 2017.

- Seinfeld, J. H.; Pandis S. N. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate
 Change, 2nd ed.; John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken, NJ, 2006.
- 847 Sengupta, K., Pringle, K., Johnson, J. S., Reddington, C., Browse, J., Scott, C. E., and Carslaw,
- K.: A global model perturbed parameter ensemble study of secondary organic aerosol
 formation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 2693–2723, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-2693-2021,
 2021.
- Shrivastava, M. K., Lane, T. E., Donahue, N. M., Pandis, S. N., and Robinson, A. L.: Effects of
 gas-particle partitioning and aging of primary emissions on urban and regional organic aerosol
 concentrations, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D18301, doi:10.1029/2007JD009735, 2008.
- 854 Shrivastava, M., Thornton, J., Cappa, C., Fan, J., Goldstein, A., Guenther, A., Jimenez, J. L.,
- Kuang, C., Laskin, A., Martin, S., Ng, N. L., Petaja, T., Pierce, J., Rasch, P., Roldin, P.,
- 856 Seinfeld, J., Shilling, J., Smith, J., Volkamer, R., Wang, J., Worsnop, D., Zaveri, R., Zelenyuk,
- A., and Zhang, Q.: Recent advances in understanding secondary organic aerosols: implications
- for global climate forcing, Rev. Geophys., 55, 509-559, 2017.

- 859 Skamarock, W. C., Klemp, J. B., Dudhia, J., Gill, D. O., Barker, D. M., Wang, W., and Powers, J.
- 860 G.: A Description of the Advanced Research WRF Version 2, NCAR Technical Note, available
- at: http://www2.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users/docs/arw_v2_070111.pdf (last access: 12
 September 2018), 2005.
- Sofiev, M., Vankevich, R., Lanne, M., Koskinen, J., and Kukkonen, J.: On integration of a Fire
 Assimilation System and a chemical transport model for near-real-time monitoring of the
 impact of wild-land fires on atmospheric composition and air quality, Modelling, Monitoring
 and Management of Forest Fires, WIT Trans. Ecol. Envir., 119, 343–351, 2008a.
- Sofiev, M., Lanne, M., Vankevich, R., Prank, M., Karppinen, A., and Kukkonen, J.: Impact of
 wild-land fires on European air quality in 2006–2008, Modelling, Monitoring and Management
 of Forest Fires, WIT Trans. Ecol. Envir., 119, 353–361, 2008b.
- Sogacheva, L., Hamed, A., Facchini, M. C., Kulmala, M., and Laaksonen, A.: Relation of air mass
 history to nucleation events in Po Valley, Italy, using back trajectories analysis, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 7, 839–853, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-839-2007, 2007.
- Spracklen, D. V., Pringle, K. J., Carslaw, K. S., Chipperfield, M. P., and Mann, G. W.: A global
 off-line model of size- resolved aerosol microphysics: I. Model development and prediction of
- aerosol properties, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 2227–2252, doi:10.5194/acp-5-2227-2005, 2005.
- Stanier, C. O., Pathak, R. K. and Pandis, S. N.: Measurements of the volatility of aerosols from apinene ozonolysis, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 2756–2763, 2007.
- Turpin, B. J., Saxena, P., and Andrews, E.: Measuring and simulating particulate organics in the
 atmosphere: problems and prospects, Atmos. Environ., 34, 2983-3013, 2000.
- Vehkamäki, H., Kulmala, M., Napari, I., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Timmreck, C., Noppel, M., and
 Laaksonen, A.: An improved parameterization for sulfuric acid-water nucleation rates for
 tropospheric and stratospheric conditions, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4622–4632, 2002.
- 883 Visschedijk, A. J. H., Zandveld, P., and Denier van der Gon, H. A. C.: TNO Report 2007 A-
- R0233/B: A high resolution gridded European emission database for the EU integrated project
 GEMS, Organization for Applied Scientific Research, the Netherlands, 2007.
- Volkamer, R., Jimenez, J. L., San Martini, F., et al.: Secondary organic aerosol formation from
 anthropogenic air pollution: Rapid and higher than expected, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L17811,
- doi:10.1029/2006GL026899, 2006.

- Wang, M. and Penner, J. E.: Aerosol indirect forcing in a global model with particle nucleation,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 239–260, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-239-2009, 2009.
- Yli-Juuti, T., Mohr, C., and Riipinen, I. Open questions on atmospheric nanoparticle
 growth. Commun. Chem. 3, 106, https://doi.org/10.1038/s42004-020-00339-4, 2020.
- Yu, F. and Luo, G.: Simulation of particle size distribution with a global aerosol model:
 contribution of nucleation to aerosol and CCN number concentrations, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 895 9, 7691–7710, doi:10.5194/acp-9-7691-2009, 2009.
- Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ulbrich, I., Alfarra, M. R.,
 Takami, A., Middlebrook, A. M., Sun, Y. L., Dzepina, K., Dunlea, E., Docherty, K., DeCarlo,
- Takanii, A., Widdiebiook, A. Wi, Sun, T. L., Dzepina, K., Dunica, E., Doenerty, K., Decario,
- P. F., Salcedo, D., Onasch, T., Jayne, J. T., Miyoshi, T., Shimono, A., Hatakeyama, S.,
- 899 Takegawa, N., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian,
- 900 K., Williams, P., Bower, K., Bahreini, R., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R. J., Rautiainen, J., Sun, J. Y.,
- 201 Zhang, Y. M., and Worsnop, D. R.: Ubiquity and dominance of oxygenated species in organic
- aerosols in anthropogenically-influenced Northern Hemisphere midlatitudes, Geophys. Res.
- 903 Lett., 34, L13801, doi:10.1029/2007GL029979, 2007.
- 904

905

Table 1: Summary of parameters used in each simulation

CLOT											
CASE	Aging of	Source of	Emission of IVOCs								
	anthropogenic IVOCs	ELVOCs									
	and SVOCs										
1		Monoterpene	Yes								
(Base		oxidation 5%									
case)		molar yield									
2	Aging with OH	None	Yes								
	$k=10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$										
3		Monoterpene	No								
		oxidation 5%									
		molar yield									

Table 2: Prediction skill metrics of PMCAMx-UF against daily ground measurements of particle
 number concentration above 10 nm (N_{10}) during 5 June – 8 July 2012.

	Mean	Mean P	redicted	NMB (%)		NME (%)		
Station	Observed	(cn	n ⁻³)					
	(cm ⁻³)							
		Base case	Without	Base case	Without	Base	Without	
			ELVOCs		ELVOCs	case	ELVOCs	
			N	10				
ANB	8057	6617	6585	-18	-18	39	39	
ASP	2130	5233	5202	146	144	144	144	
BRK	1878	3144	3053	67	63	86	86	
CBW	13101	9913	9817	-24	-25	31	31	
DSN	10591	6508	6504	-39	-39	41	41	
DSW	7706	6111	6091	-21	-21	40	40	
FNK	3962	5466	5466	38	38	40	40	
GDN	5712	6652	6731	16	18	32	32	
HOH	3438	3070	2906	-11	-15	38	38	
HYY	2207	2536	2265	15	3	31	31	
ISP	6232	6449	6203	3	0	43	43	
KPU	5269	5855	5937	11	13	43	43	
KST	3596	4881	4834	36	34	46	46	
MLP	5583	6034	6003	8	8	42	42	
MNT	6455	8364	8273	30	28	45	45	
PRG	7272	7281	7273	0	0	44	44	
USM	15171	8335	8413	-45	-45	52	52	
VAV	3250	8291	8283	155	155	155	155	
VRR	1107	1491	1190	35	7	69	57	
VSM	2903	7281	7011	151	141	151	141	
WLD	4956	7903	7783	59	57	66	64	
ZUG	1237	2405	2287	94	85	111	103	
NEO	2864	5085	5039	78	76	79	78	
PAT	4705	5151	5148	9	9	45	44	
SPC	8301	7198	7180	-13	-14	35	35	
THE	3894	8577	8530	120	119	120	119	
ALL	4820	5957	5889	23	22	63	63	

Table 3: Prediction skill metrics of PMCAMx-UF against daily ground measurements of particle

number concentration above 100 nm (N_{100}) during 5 June – 8 July 2012.

	Mean	Mean P	redicted	NMB (%)		NME (%)		
Station	Observed	(cn	n ⁻³)					
	(cm ⁻³)							
		Base case	Without	Base case	Without	Base	Without	
			ELVOCs		ELVOCs	case	ELVOCs	
			N_{i}	100				
ANB	1518	939	934	-38	-38	47	47	
ASP	552	789	694	43	26	61	51	
BRK	607	419	397	-31	-35	65	62	
CBW	1627	1550	1441	-5	-11	18	16	
DSN	1976	1178	1052	-40	-47	44	49	
DSW	1426	1156	1050	-19	-26	35	37	
FNK	1760	2383	2330	35	32	39	36	
GDN	2492	2797	2826	12	13	34	33	
HOH	1011	697	656	-31	-35	37	40	
HYY	677	579	445	-14	-34	26	38	
ISP	1775	1334	1283	-25	-28	37	38	
KPU	1543	1898	1861	23	21	29	28	
KST	1123	1138	1061	1	-6	26	21	
MLP	1214	1111	977	-9	-20	30	33	
MNT	1492	1871	1799	25	21	49	50	
PRG	1177	1256	1167	7	-1	26	25	
USM	1657	1091	985	-34	-41	40	44	
VAV	766	942	899	23	17	48	48	
VRR	324	166	90	-49	-72	63	77	
VSM	704	747	643	6	-9	34	34	
WLD	1116	1063	955	-5	-14	20	23	
ZUG	555	555	546	0	-2	44	44	
NEO	1489	2041	1971	37	32	45	42	
PAT	1747	1765	1766	1	1	21	23	
SPC	1702	2051	1978	21	16	36	36	
THE	1387	2420	2384	74	72	78	76	
ALL	1198	1326	1258	10	5	45	45	

Table 4: Predicted (PMCAMx-UF) and observed (AMS) average PM₁ concentrations of sulfate,

		Sul	fate	Amm	onium	Nit	ate		
	Station	Predicted	Observed	Predicted	Observed	Predicted	Observed		
		(µg m ⁻³)							
	FIN	4.44	3.50	1.82	1.06	1.00	0.07		
	PAT	2.83	3.35	1.34	0.95	0.84	0.10		
	BOL	2.11	2.79	1.08	1.00	0.90	0.60		
	SPC	2.31	1.81	1.16	0.88	0.99	1.20		
	ALL	2.99	2.82	1.37	0.97	0.94	0.52		
951									
952									
953									
954									
955									
956									
957									
958									
959									
060									
900									
961									
962									
963									
964									
965									
966									

ammonium and nitrate in different locations for base case simulation.

967	Table 5: Prediction	skill metrics	of F	PMCAMx-UF	base	case	simulation	against	daily	$\mathbf{P}\mathbf{M}_1$	OA
968	measurements.										

Station	Mean Predicted (µg m ⁻³)	Mean Observed (µg m ⁻³)	NMB (%)	NME (%)	Factor of 2 (%)
FIN	3.19	2.12	50	51	83
PAT	2.75	3.80	-28	28	95
BOL	4.62	5.68	-19	33	74
SPC	4.74	3.98	19	44	77
ALL	3.87	3.79	2	38	82

Name	Station	Country	Mean Observed	Mean Predicted	NMB	NME	Factor of 2
			$(\mu g m^{-3})$	(µg m ⁻³)	(%)	(%)	(%)
CH02	Payerne	Switzerland	2.54	2.98	17	73	72
DE44	Melpitz	Germany	2.52	4.42	76	88	66
ES1778	Montseny	Spain	4.52	6.28	39	89	59
IT04	Ispra	Italy	5.13	4.41	-14	46	71
PL05	Diabla Gora	Poland	3.64	4.22	16	43	84
SI08	Iskrba	Slovenia	5.98	5.07	-15	33	80
ALL			4.06	4.56	20	62	72

Table 6: Prediction skill metrics of PMCAMx-UF against daily PM_{2.5} OA measurements.



973

Figure 1: Average ground level number concentrations (in cm⁻³) for the base case simulation during 5 June – 8 July 2012 for: (a) all particles (N_{tot}); and particles above (b) 10 nm (N_{10}); (c) 50 nm (N_{50}); and (d) 100 nm (N_{100}). Different scales are used.





978Figure 2: Comparison of predicted PMCAMx-UF (blue line) vs. observed (black dots) vertical979profiles of averaged particle number concentrations for (a) N_{10} and (b) N_{100} of 25 flights over the980Po Valley during the PEGASOS campaign.



Figure 3: Average ground level (a) $PM_{2.5}$ ELVOCs mass concentration (in $\mu g m^{-3}$) and (b) the ratio of the $PM_{2.5}$ mass of ELVOCs to OA during the simulation. Different scales are used.



Figure 4: Average ground level fractional increase (f_{Nx}) of number concentration due to the condensation of ELVOCs for: (a) all particles (f_{Ntot}) ; (b) particles above 10 nm (f_{N10}) ; (c) above 50 nm (f_{N50}) ; and (d) above 100 nm (f_{N100}) . Different scales are used.



Figure 5: Average ground level increase of number concentration (in cm⁻³) due to the condensation of ELVOCs for: (a) all particles (ΔN_{tot}); particles above (b) 10 nm (ΔN_{10}); (c) 50 nm (ΔN_{50}); and (d) 100 nm (ΔN_{100}). Different scales are used.





Figure 6: Ground level average (a) increase of $PM_{2.5}$ mass concentration of organics aerosol (in $\mu g m^{-3}$) and (b) fractional increase of $PM_{2.5}$ mass concentration of organics aerosol (%) due to the addition of IVOCs emissions of semi-volatility organic aging, predicted during 5 June – 8 July. Different scales are used.





Figure 7: Ground level increase of number concentration (in cm⁻³) (a-d) and fractional increase (f_{Nx}) of number concentration (e-i) due to the addition of IVOCs emissions and aging reactions, predicted during 5 June – 8 July 2012 for: (a, e) all particles (N_{tot}); and particles above (b, f) 10 nm (N_{10}); (c, g) 50 nm (N_{50}); and (d, i) 100 nm (N_{100}). Different scales are used.



1031

Figure 8: Ground level average increase of number concentration (in cm⁻³) (a-b-c) and fractional increase (f_{Nx}) of number concentration (d-e-f) due to the addition of IVOCs emissions predicted during 5 June – 8 July 2012 for: (a, d) particles between 0.8 nm and 10 nm (N_{1-10}); (b, e) particles

between 10 nm and 50 nm (N_{10-50}) and (c, f) particles between 50 nm and 100 nm (N_{50-100}).

1036 Different scales are used.