Impact of biomass burning and stratospheric intrusions in the remote South Pacific Ocean troposphere

Nikos Daskalakis[1], Laura Gallardo[2], Maria Kanakidou[1,3,4], Johann Rasmus Nüß[1], Camilo Menares[2], Roberto Rondanelli[2], Anne M. Thompson[5], Mihalis Vrekoussis[1,6,7]

[1]Laboratory for Modeling and Observation of the Earth System (LAMOS), Institute of Environmental Physics (IUP), University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany
[2]Center for Climate and Resilience Research (CR2) & Department of Geophysics, Faculty of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, University of Chile, Santiago, Chile
[3]Environmental Chemical Processes Laboratory (ECPL), Department of Chemistry, University of Crete, 70013 Heraklion, Greece
[4]CSTACC, ICE-HT, FORTH, Patras, Greece
[5]NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland 20771, USA
[6]Center of Marine Environmental Sciences (MARUM), University of Bremen, Germany
[7]Climate and Atmosphere Research Center (CARE-C), The Cyprus Institute, Cyprus

Correspondence to: Nikos Daskalakis (daskalakis@uni-bremen.de)

Abstract. The ozone mixing ratio spatio-temporal variability in the pristine southern Pacific Ocean is studied, for the first time, using 21-year long ozone (O_3) records from the entire southern tropical and subtropical Pacific, between 1994 and 2014. The analysis considered regional O_3 vertical observations from ozonesondes, surface carbon monoxide (CO) observations from flasks and three-dimensional chemistry-transport model simulations of the global troposphere. Two 21-year long numerical simulations, with and without biomass burning emissions, were performed to disentangle the importance of biomass burning relative to stratospheric intrusions for ambient ozone levels in the region. Tagged tracers of O_3 from the stratosphere and CO from various biomass burning regions have been used to track the impact of these different regions on the southern tropical Pacific O_3 and CO levels. Patterns have been analyzed based on atmospheric dynamics variability. Considering the interannual variability in the observations, the model can capture the observed ozone gradients in the troposphere with a positive bias of 7.5% in the upper troposphere/low stratosphere (UTLS), as well as near the surface. Remarkably, even the most pristine region of the global ocean is affected by distant biomass burning emissions by convective outflow through the mid and high troposphere and subsequent subsidence over the pristine oceanic region. Therefore, the biomass burning contribution to tropospheric CO levels maximizes in the UTLS. The Southeast Asian open fires have been identified as the major contributing source to CO from biomass burning in the tropical southern Pacific, contributing on average for the study period about 8.5 and 13 ~~ppb.~~ppbv of CO at Rapa Nui and Samoa, respectively, at an altitude of around 12 km during the burning season in the spring of the Southern Hemisphere. South America is the second most important biomass burning source region that influences the study area. Its impact maximizes in the lower troposphere (6.5 ~~ppb.~~ppbv for Rapa Nui and 3.8 ~~ppb.~~ppbv for Samoa). All biomass burning sources contribute about 15-23 ~~ppb.~~ppbv of CO at Rapa Nui and

35   Samoa and, accounting for about 25% of the total CO in the entire troposphere of the tropical and subtropical South Pacific. This impact is also seen on tropospheric O$_3$, to which biomass burning O$_3$ precursor emissions contribute only a few ppb.ppbv during the burning period, while the stratosphere-troposphere exchange is the most important source of O$_3$ for the mid-troposphere of the South Pacific Ocean, contributing about 15-20 ppb.ppbv in the subtropics.

1 Introduction

40   Ozone (O$_3$) is one of the major and most abundant oxidants in the Earth's atmosphere and a driver of tropospheric chemistry (Monks et al., 2015). Due to its oxidative power, O$_3$ is also toxic for ecosystems and affects human health (Fleming et al., 2018; Jerrett et al., 2009; Mills et al., 2018; Pöschl and Shiraiwa, 2015). Tropospheric O$_3$ is itself a greenhouse gas, capturing part of the Earth's outgoing longwave radiation, thus warming the atmosphere (Bowman et al., 2013; Lacis et al., 1990); and contributing by about 25% to the greenhouse gas forcing due to human activities (Monks et al., 2009; Myhre et al., 2013). The

45   radiative forcing (RF) of O$_3$ has been calculated based on the Climate Model Intercomparison Project phase 6 (CMIP6) simulations to be 0.28±0.17 W m^{-2} for the period 1850—2000 (Checa-Garcia et al., 2018). This value is considerably higher (about 80%) than the previous Climate Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5) estimates (Stevenson et al., 2013). Moreover, it was found that the RF of tropospheric O$_3$ maximizes near the tropopause (Lacis et al., 1990; Monks et al., 2015; Stevenson et al., 2013). Both the outgoing longwave radiation and the contribution of O$_3$ to this emission of radiation maximize over the

50   tropics, which, due to their location, are also receiving most of the solar radiation (Charlson, 2000; Stevenson et al., 2013). Therefore, to improve climate change projections, it is important to understand and simulate the tropospheric O$_3$ behavior and sources over the tropical oceans, including the tropical South Pacific Ocean, which is considered the most pristine remaining marine environment.

Ozone is a secondary pollutant with no primary sources in the atmosphere. Tropospheric O$_3$ changes trends and variability

55   have been mainly attributed to changes in O$_3$ precursor emissions and atmospheric dynamics, including stratosphere-troposphere exchanges (STE) (Stevenson et al., 2013; Sudo and Akimoto, 2007). The contribution of precursor emissions to the calculated O$_3$ forcing has been evaluated at 44±12 % for methane (CH$_4$), 31±9 % for nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$ = NO + NO$_2$), 15±3 % for carbon monoxide (CO) and 9±2 % for non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs) emissions (Stevenson et al., 2013).

60   Sudo and Akimoto (2007) used tagged tracers for O$_3$ to conclude that the STE of O$_3$ contributes about 23% to the global net source of tropospheric O$_3$; the remaining 77% is controlled by photochemistry in the troposphere with the remote and the polluted regions contributing 29% and 48% of the global net source of tropospheric O$_3$, respectively. Reanalysis data from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) for the period 1979-2011, i.e., ERA-interim, have shown that the downward flux from the stratosphere to the troposphere has increased in the past three decades (Škerlak et al., 2014).

65   They also show that the downward O$_3$ flux maximizes in summer of each hemisphere, and STE reaching the boundary layer is maximum in spring. Projections for 2100 estimate a 53% increase of the influx of stratospheric O$_3$ into the troposphere since

2000 under the Representative Concentration Pathway of 8.5 W/m² forcing (RCP 8.5) scenario, and a smaller change (about 42%) under the moderate RCP6.0 emission scenario (Meul et al., 2018). However, the relative contribution of the STE to the tropospheric O₃ levels is similar for both emission scenarios due to the resulting changes in O₃ production, which was estimated

70   to increase by 15% in the RCP8.5 scenario, and decrease by 17% in the RCP6.0 (Kawase et al., 2011). The projected increasing trend in STE O₃ flux has been attributed to two factors. First, the ~~to~~ decreasing levels in O₃ depleting substances (ODS)~~,~~ that ~~affecting~~ affect~~ing~~ stratospheric O₃ levels~~.,~~ ~~and~~ Second, the~~to~~ increasing greenhouse gas concentrations that intensify stratospheric circulation and affect chemistry through changes in temperature and water vapor levels (Meul et al., 2018). The change in STE O₃ flux due to the ODS impact is stronger in the South~~ern~~ Hemisphere (SH) than in the North~~ern~~ Hemisphere (NH), while that

75   due to greenhouse gas (GHG) effect is higher in the NH than in the SH. For the period 2000-2100, the STE contribution to O₃ level changes in the SH has been estimated to be less than 40% during austral summer (Meul et al., 2018). During austral winter, climate change and the increase in stratospheric O₃ and its influx to the troposphere contribute almost equally to the surface O₃ increase (Zeng et al., 2010).

Large-scale irregular-period variabilities in the atmospheric circulation and sea-surface temperatures and precipitation patterns

80   like the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO) have been shown to affect tropospheric O₃ amounts and variability (Lee et al., 2010; Logan et al., 2008; Randel and Thompson, 2011; Thompson et al., 2011). QBO additionally influences the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO), which induces changes in altitude of the subtropical tropopause affecting STE and deep convection areas (Nishimoto and Yoden, 2017; Sun et al., 2014; Young et al., 2018).

Multiple studies have addressed the impact of dynamical variability modes, mainly ENSO, on ozone and other atmospheric

85   tracers in the tropical Pacific (Ebojie et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2014; Inness et al., 2015; Logan et al., 2008; Nath et al., 2017; Oman et al., 2011; Thompson et al., 2011; Zeng and Pyle, 2005; Ziemke et al., 2010; Ziemke and Chandra, 2003). ENSO induces both changes in atmospheric dynamics, i.e., STE and transport patterns, and changes in emissions, particularly biomass burning, that affect photochemical production of O₃. Atmospheric circulation changes during El Niño and La Niña years result in strong changes in STE; anomalously large STE O₃ fluxes are found to follow an El Niño year (with about six months' time

90   lag), while La Niña events result in a decrease of STE (Zeng and Pyle, 2005). During intense El Niño events, emission changes dominate O₃ variability, whereas, in less intense El Niño events, dynamical changes dominate (Inness et al., 2015). During the El Niño years, the ~~large scale~~large-scale dipole area of low and high pressure over the tropical Pacific, which drives the Walker circulation~~,~~ weakens~~.;~~ In addition, the low-pressure convective area over the maritime continent moves towards the central Pacific, resulting in large scale changes over the whole ~~Pacific ocean~~Pacific Ocean such as those intensifying wildfires over

95   Indonesia (Logan et al., 2008; Thompson et al., 2001). Because these large patterns of climate variability are systematically repeated over the years, Ziemke and Chandra (2003) suggested that the decadal variability of the tropospheric column of O₃ in the tropics is driven by the combined effect of La Niña and El Niño. More specifically, O₃ is increasing over the west~~ern~~ Pacific and decreasing over the central and ~~E~~eastern Pacific after an El Niño (Logan et al., 2008; Oman et al., 2011; Zeng and Pyle, 2005; Ziemke and Chandra, 2003). Zeng and Pyle (2005) simulated a mid-troposphere O₃ increase by more than 10

100   ~~ppb.~~ppbv in the ~~w~~Western Pacific and a decrease in the ~~E~~eastern Pacific after the 1997 El Niño, in agreement with observations

; clearly showing differences in the response of the ~~W~~western and the ~~E~~eastern Pacific to environmental changes. Similarly, Oman et al. (2011) evaluated the sensitivity of O_3 from the surface to the upper troposphere to ENSO anomalies over a period of 25 years. They found a positive response to positive anomalies in El Niño in the ~~W~~western Pacific and the Indian Ocean at all altitudes and a negative response in the ~~E~~eastern and ~~C~~central Pacific.

105 However, the interannual change in tropospheric O_3 due to ENSO is smaller than the combined impact of intra-seasonal MJO and shorter timescale variability that drives the non-ENSO variability (Ziemke et al., 2015). Satellite data, sometimes in combination with model simulations, have provided a fruitful ground to study this intraseasonal variability that follows from the eastward propagation of ~~–~~ the MJO –i.e., anomalous tropical deep convection, winds, and surface pressure from the equatorial Indian Ocean to the Pacific Ocean – (Tweedy et al., 2020; Ziemke et al., 2015). Also, data collected in near surface

110 platforms highlight the pervasive impact of MJO in air composition of the tropics and subtropics (Barrett et al., 2012; Barrett and Raga, 2016; Langley DeWitt et al., 2013). ~~In addition, the impacts of ENSO have changed over the last 20 years ; for instance, during the last decade the winter Niño-3.4 index is not as a good predictor for precipitation over central Chile as in the past~~ENSO-3.4 warming of the tropical Pacific was a good predictor for precipitation over Central Chile but is not anymore ~~.~~

115 Available surface observations and ozonesondes in the tropical Pacific show a significant increase in surface O_3 at Mauna Loa Observatory of 0.16 ~~ppb.~~ppbv y^{-1} since 1974; while in American Samoa, the observed trend of 0.01 ~~ppb.~~ppbv y^{-1} (from 1976 to 2010) is statistically insignificant (Oltmans et al., 2013). The increase observed in the early part of the O_3 record (1981-2010) has leveled off ~~in the most recent decade~~ (from 1991 ~~to~~ 2010) (Oltmans et al., 2013). The most recent evaluation of free tropospheric ozone over Samoa, from 1998-2019, shows no significant change (Thompson et al., 2021). Gallardo et al.

120 (2016) report a significant trend of 0.15 ~~ppb.~~ppbv y^{-1} (1994-2014) for near-surface ozone at Rapa Nui in the ~~E~~eastern Pacific. Analysis of 6-years (1997-2003) of data from ozonesondes at four locations in the ~~s~~South Pacific has shown that, for the West~~ern~~ Pacific, the seasonal displacement of the South Pacific Convergence Zone (SPCZ) is affecting transport patterns. Both photochemistry and transport patterns control the variability and seasonality in surface O_3 levels (Chandra et al., 2014). On the other hand, O_3 observations at high altitude (2.2. km) station of El Tololo in Chile have shown a clear increasing trend from

125 1995 to 2010 that is strongly related with stratospheric O_3 influx to the troposphere, with maxima in spring that are shifted during recent years to earlier times than before (Anet et al., 2017). At the South Pole, the decreasing surface O_3 trend over the first part of the 1991-2010 period has been reversed in the last years, and O_3 levels have recovered. The ~~N~~northern Hemisphere mid-latitudes O_3 changes are most likely attributed to the O_3 precursor emission controls (Oltmans et al., 2013).

Because all tropospheric O_3 precursors have significant anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions, the baseline levels of

130 the tropospheric ozone have increased since the preindustrial era. Jaffe and Wigder (2012) used estimates of the CO emissions from wildfires and a molar $\Delta O_3/\Delta CO$ ratio of 0.4 for the tropics and 0.2 for the other regions to ~~evaluate derive~~estimate that the global tropospheric O_3 production by wildfires is about 170 Tg O_3 y^{-1} (i.e., about 3.5% of all global tropospheric O_3 production). They also estimated that about 30% of this amount is produced south of 10° S. Global simulations have also

shown that wildfires increase the tropospheric loads of O_3 by 3%, CO by 13%, particulate organic carbon by 30% and black carbon by 35% (Daskalakis et al., 2015).

While O_3 is of secondary origin and, thus, its variability is impacted by changes in precursors' emissions and dynamical effects (long-range transport and troposphere stratosphere exchanges), ~~due to O_3's relatively long residence time,~~ CO concentrations are ~~mainly~~ strongly affected ~~driven~~ by its emissions (Daskalakis et al., 2015; Inness et al., 2015). The dispersion and transport patterns of biomass burning emissions vary with~~upon~~ the location of the sources (Oltmans et al., 2001; Thompson et al., 2003). Tropical emissions are affected by the Hadley circulation with strong upward convective flow at the intertropical convergence zones (Tosca et al., 2013). This transport mechanism brings pollution to the mid and high troposphere, which is further advected northward or southward, affecting even remote marine locations in the tropical Pacific (Anderson et al., 2016). Distant biomass burning emissions emanating from ~~S~~southern Africa, Southeast Asia and South America have been shown to be the main contributors to the tropospheric pollution of CO and O_3 in the West Pacific. This conclusion ~~has been~~was based on tropospheric column measurements by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) and daily ozonesondes during a ship cruise in a north-south transit in the western Pacific, and further analysis of these data with the help of global modeling of tagged CO and O_3 from 6 continental regions (Ridder et al., 2012).

Despite the above-mentioned progress made in our understanding of tropospheric ozone abundances and precursors in the tropical South Pacific Ocean, no systematic long-term study exists in the literature that integrates the O_3 variability over the entire tropical and subtropical ~~s~~South Pacific from west to east. This is important because the west tropical, the east tropical and the subtropical pristine Pacific areas are affected by air masses originating from multiple~~different~~ distant sources and atmospheric dynamics.

Hence, the present study takes into consideration the entire south tropical and subtropical Pacific Ocean to a) understand ~~the~~ tropospheric O_3 variability in this region, b) ~~disentangle the origin of O_3 precursors and CO sources, c) understand observed patterns based on atmospheric dynamics variability~~understand the drivers of O_3 changes due to dynamics (STE and transport), and sources (emissions) and their relative importance, and c~~d~~) attribute and quantify the CO enhancement by biomass burning to specific source regions~~., and e) quantify the biomass burning contribution to O_3 levels and its importance for O_3 compared to the stratospheric O_3 influx.~~ To pursue the above, ozone observations from ozonesondes and *in situ* ground-based observations for CO (Figure 1), alongside satellite observations for both trace gases and a global 3-dimensional chemistry-transport model (CTM), were used. The CTM was driven by year-specific assimilated meteorology (ECMWF ERA interim for the years 1980-2014) and accounted for tagged CO tracers from biomass burning from the 13 different regions defined by the Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution (HTAP) task force (HTAP, 2010).

2 Data and methods

2.1 Modelling

165 **2.1.1 Model description**

The model used here is the well-documented, global 3-dimensional, off-line chemistry and transport model TM4-ECPL. The version of the model used for the present study has been described in detail by Daskalakis et al. (2015, 2016). Thus, hereafter we provide only information that is directly relevant to the present study. The model is driven by the ECMWF ERA-Interim meteorology (Dee et al., 2011), updated every 3 hours, and runs in a horizontal resolution of 3º x 2º (longitude x latitude), with
170 34 hybrid vertical layers up to 10 hPa (approximately 65 km).

Most of the model's vertical layers (between 20 and 25, depending on ~~location~~surface pressure) lie in the troposphere, allowing for comprehensive tropospheric calculations. In the stratosphere, the model uses an oversimplified chemical scheme. To account for proper upper boundary conditions, the O_3 concentrations above 50 hPa altitude are nudged to monthly mean observations from the Microwave Scanning Radiometer (MSR) satellite instrument for the years 1980-2008, and the Global
175 Ozone Monitoring Experiment (GOME2) for the years 2009 onwards, interpolated to the model levels by the Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (KNMI) (Van Der A et al., 2010). Using the stratospheric ozone concentration, the model calculates nitric acid in the troposphere by applying a ratio derived by the Upper Atmosphere Research Satellite (UARS). The methane (CH_4) concentration in the stratosphere is forced to the Halogen Occultation Experiment (HALOE) CH_4 climatology (Huijnen et al., 2010). In addition, tagging of stratospheric ozone is performed using a stratospheric O_3 tracer that is transported
180 from the stratosphere to the troposphere; within the troposphere the tracer is destroyed by the same photochemical reactions with tropospheric O_3 and is also subject to dry deposition (Roelofs et al., 1997).

The model accounts for year-specific emissions of gases and aerosols from anthropogenic and biomass burning sources from the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP) emission database (Lamarque et al., 2013) up to the year 2000, and from the Representative Concentrations Pathways RCP6.0 (Fujino et al., 2006; van Vuuren et al., 2011)
185 from 2001 onwards. In particular, biomass burning emissions vary monthly, and their injection height follows the Aerosol Comparison between Observations and Models (AEROCOM) recommendations (Dentener et al., 2006).

2.1.2 Simulations

To assess the atmospheric composition of the tropical and subtropical Pacific Ocean and its drivers, three simulations were performed. For the first one, the base case simulation, the model runs continuously from 1980-2014, saving gridded monthly
190 averaged concentrations of 120 trace gases and aerosol components, and hourly gridded 3D fields for selected pollutants (O_3, NO_x, CO). A spin-up time of 14 years is used to bring the model into dynamic equilibrium between sources and atmospheric concentrations for all species. Therefore, the model output analysis is limited to 21 years, from 1994 to 2014. To assess the impact of large-scale wildfires, a second simulation with omitted biomass burning emissions was performed, that was identical to the base simulation in every other aspect.

195 A third simulation, identical to the base simulation but with the addition of tagged species for biomass burning CO, was used to determine the impact of open fires from different regions on CO levels in the study area. For this, we split the globe into 13 source regions based on the source regions of the Task Force on Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution (TF HTAP, Galmarini et al., 2017; HTAP, 2010). The regions are Europe, North Africa, southern Africa, Russia, ~~"The Stans"~~Central Asia, Saudi Arabia, India, China, Southeast Asia, Oceania, North America, and South America. For each of the regions, a tracer was added

200 in the model with the same regional biomass burning emissions and the same removal processes as CO through wet and dry deposition and oxidation by hydroxyl radical (OH), but without impacting the concentrations of OH and other chemicals in the model.

2.2 Observations

For the validation of the model results, a set of observations was used to compare the simulated concentrations against. *In situ*

205 measurements for O_3 were obtained from the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP) network for Europe and from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and the World Data Center for Greenhouse Gases (WDCGG) for the rest of the world. Ozonesonde data were obtained from the Southern Hemisphere ADditional OZonesondes (SHADOZ) database, extended with data for Rapa Nui (sec. 2.2.1). Carbon monoxide surface measurements were also obtained from NOAA and WDCGG (sec. 2.2.2). Since the model version used in the present study has been validated against

210 the surface O_3 (R=0.48, NMB=17% with a sample of 2417 measurements globally) and CO (R=0.41, NMB=-1% with a sample of 229 measurements globally) observations around the globe (Daskalakis et al., 2016), the focus here is on the ~~S~~south Pacific regions.

2.2.1 *In situ* data

We use the SHADOZ database, which is extensively described in the literature (Stauffer et al., 2018; Thompson et al., 2017;

215 Witte et al., 2017, 2018). The focus area is the tropical and subtropical ~~S~~southern Pacific, and the measurement sites are shown in Figure 1. For the present study, 20 years of ozone soundings collected by the Chilean Weather Office at Rapa Nui (Gallardo et al., 2016) have also been taken into account. The location of the stations (also shown in Figure 1), together with the number of soundings considered in this study, are summarized in Table 1. For optimal comparison of the modeled data to ozonesonde measurements between 1994 and 2014, hourly model values were sampled for a 3-hour period covering each ozonesonde

220 flight, while the measurements were averaged over height to fit the model's vertical resolution for the specific time and date of ~~the~~ each ozonesonde flight.

Carbon monoxide (CO) has been measured in flasks collected weekly at several sites around the world over the last two decades by NOAA (Novelli, 2014; Petron et al., 2018). These data were recently revised and calibrated, providing a consistent database including weekly and monthly averaged values. Out of this collection, we focus on the analysis of data from Samoa

225 and Rapa Nui in the western and eastern Pacific, respectively. These two sites have long timeseries for both O_3 and CO, and are representative for the East southern Pacific (Samoa) and West southern Pacific (Rapa Nui).

2.2.2 Satellite data

The model results are compared with the Tropospheric Ozone Column (TOC) derived from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI), and the Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) ozone measurements described by Ziemke et al. (2011). Both OMI and MLS instruments are onboard the Aura satellite with an overpass at 13:30 local time and typical spatial resolutions of 13 km x 25 km and 5 km x 500 km (x 3 km vertically), respectively. These data are available at https://acd-ext.gsfc.nasa.gov/Data_services/cloud_slice/new_data.html, since October 2004.

The Measurements of Pollution In The Troposphere (MOPITT) satellite instrument onboard the Terra satellite has been providing data (with a typical spatial resolution of 22 km x 22 km at 10:30 and 22:30 local time), including tropospheric CO columns, for nearly 20 years (Deeter et al., 2017). The data product used here is the V7 (Deeter et al., 2019). It contains monthly averaged CO columns for the period April 2000 until present. These data were obtained from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Langley Research Center Atmospheric Science Data Center (NASA-Langley, 2018).

2.2.3 Assessing trends and variability modes

We assessed the ENSO-driven variability by exploring the linear correlation of deseasonalized and detrended time series of CO and ozone with the bi-monthly Multivariate El Niño/Southern Oscillation (ENSO) Index (MEI.v2) (Wolter and Timlin, 2011). This index considers the combined expression of ENSO on sea level pressure (SLP), sea surface temperature (SST), surface zonal winds (U), surface meridional winds (V), and Outgoing Longwave Radiation (OLR) for ENSO conditions from 1979 to present (https://www.psl.noaa.gov/enso/mei/). We chose this multivariate index to account for the multiple processes affecting the whole Pacific Ocean rather than one single aspect during diverse ENSO events. Also, MEI filters out shorter period variability, such as MJO, which is precluded by the weekly sampling of CO and ozone observations. It is also worth noting that ENSO patterns and teleconnections (impacts) have been subject to changes over the last few decades, which makes the choice of more robust ENSO indices particularly difficult (Capotondi et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2020).

To assess trends, we used the Lamsal et al. (2015) method. This method uses a regression model to split the signal into three components: the seasonal component (harmonic functions), the linear trend component, and the residual component. The method also accounts for an error that considers auto-correlation and length of the monthly time series, as reported by Tiao (1990). To avoid the variability due to the MJO, we consider a bimonthly running average of O_3 and CO mixing ratios when estimating trends.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Climatology for the period 1994-2014

The overall performance of the TM4-ECPL model in its current configuration has been previously thoroughly evaluated (e.g., Daskalakis et al., 2015, 2016; Kanakidou et al., 2012; Quennehen et al., 2016). Here we show and assess the model

performance over the study region, namely the remote South Pacific. To this end, we first describe relevant large-scale circulation patterns, i.e., those capable of transporting the biomass burning outflow to the remote Pacific. Secondly, we characterize how the model reproduces ozone profiles collected over this area of the world for the period 1994-2014. Model
260 calculated tropospheric columns of ozone and carbon monoxide are then compared with satellite observations.

3.1.1 Circulation patterns affecting the remote Pacific Ocean

The main feature of the tropical atmosphere is the Inter Tropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ), which for most of the South Pacific is climatologically located in the Northern Hemisphere (Wodzicki and Rapp, 2016). Therefore, near surface tropical circulation in the southeastern Pacific is characterized by cross-equatorial northeasterly trade winds. However, since the ITCZ
265 remains mostly over the Northern Hemisphere in the Pacific, tThe near-surface atmospheric circulation in the tropical and subtropical South Pacific is dominated by the South Pacific Convergence Zone (SPCZ), which consists of a mainly zonal tropical convergence zone and a diagonal subtropical branch that extends from Indonesia down to the mid-latitudes of the Ssoutheastern Pacific (Brown et al., 2020). For most of the sSouth Pacific, the Inter-Tropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) is climatologically located in the Northern Hemisphere; therefore, near surface tropical circulation in the Ssoutheastern Pacific
270 is characterized by cross-equatorial northeasterly trade winds. The SPCZ is a reverse-oriented monsoon trough that marks the preferential path of energy export from the warm Pacific pool in the tropics to mid-latitudes. The SPCZ axis also marks the maxima of the 500 hPa upward vertical motion and the minimum in outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) (Figure 2, a and b). The SPCZ is located at the maximum of low-level convergence and separates the wet warm pool region from the dry subtropical Ssoutheastern Pacific, which features the largest values of OLR over the Pacific (Figure 2b). The SPCZ axis
275 location varies intraseasonally following the Madden–Julian Oscillation (MJO), interannualy due to ENSO, as well as on interdecadal and longer-time scales (e.g., Lintner and Boos, 2019; Vincent, 1994; Vincent et al., 2011). The SPCZ shifts equatorward and becomes more zonal during strong ENSO events (e.g., Brown et al., 2020). In addition, winds blow towards the SPCZ from the northeast in the Eeast tropical Pacific and weakly from the west in the Wwest tropical Pacific (Figure 2b). The upper-level circulation is rather weak in the tropical band and features upper-level divergence which establishes an
280 anticyclone associated with the main tropical convection in the warm pool. South of about 15º S, climatological upper-level circulation is mostly zonal with a strong maximum south of the warm pool region where the meridional temperature gradient is at a maximum (Figure 2a). On a shorter timescale, SPCZ variability is affected by mid-latitude Rossby waves and by tropical waves, in particular by the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO). MJO phase and intensity have a significant effect on the tropical and extratropical South Pacific weather (through teleconnections) and are responsible for short-term (weekly) changes in
285 weather patterns. Both ENSO and MJO weather patterns affect atmospheric circulation in the southern Pacific and thus the transport of pollution into the region.

3.1.2 Climatology of vertical ozone profiles

Figure 1 shows 20-year ozone-sounding climatologies from six sites in the Pacific derived from observations and model outputs. Overall, there is a good agreement between observed and simulated ozone profiles when accounting for the temporal variability, while small positive biases are found in the boundary layer (BL) and the upper troposphere (UT), above 8 km when comparing the average values over the entire 20-year period (up to 50% and 25%, respectively). Note that the atmosphere above remote oceans is relatively well mixed (due to lack of anthropogenic activity or any natural source presenting large variability, which justifies the comparison of point measurements with the results in the coarse model grid. The lack of halogen chemistry in the current model version may explain the BL bias, in line with modeling studies that show the importance of halogens for O_3 depletion in the marine boundary layer (Pechtl and von Glasow, 2007; Sherwen et al., 2016). The UT bias may be attributed to the treatment of the upper boundary conditions for ozone. In general, the model reproduces the variability of the measurements well (expressed as the standard deviation normalized by the mean), except at Watukosek (Java), where the model variability is much smaller than the one observed (average of 9 ~~ppb.~~ppbv across all altitudes and times for the model against 16 ppb for the measurements). We attribute this mismatch to the vicinity of large and variable sources in Southeast Asia and Australia that result in ozone formation events, the variability of which cannot be captured by the climatological (monthly mean) emissions of ozone precursors used in the model. Another factor contributing to this bias could be the relatively coarse model resolution (3° x 2°) that limits the accuracy in the representation of the synoptically driven ozone intrusions. However, the use of reanalysis meteorological data by the model is minimizing this potential bias, and the model is a powerful tool to understand O_3 climatology in the study region.

3.1.3 Tropospheric columns of O_3 and CO

To further evaluate the model's ability to simulate the long-term variability in tropospheric O_3 and CO amounts, we compare the model results with the retrieved tropospheric columns from OMI for ozone for the period 2004 – 2014 and total column of CO from MOPITT for the period 2000 – 2014. To optimize this comparison, we sampled the model at the satellites' overpass times, i.e., at 13:30 local time for O_3 and at 10:30 and 22:30 local times for CO. The OMI/MLS product for O_3 is publicly available and provided as monthly mean gridded values on a 1° x 1.25° lat/lon grid, while for the MOPITT CO product, daily overpasses are available on a 22 km x 22 km resolution (at most two per day).

For O_3, the model is sampled at the time and location of the satellite overpass and then averaged over the month. For a proper comparison to the OMI product, the tropospheric data are extracted from the model results by calculating the tropopause height based on a lapse-rate threshold as described in Reichler et al. (2003). This way a proper validation of the model against O_3 satellite observations (Figure 3) is achieved. Unfortunately, no information is available on the quality control applied to the OMI/MLS O_3 product or for potential missing values. Therefore, the full model dataset at the satellite overpass times (13:30 local time) is extracted, ~~sampled~~sampled, and used to calculate monthly means for comparison with the satellite observations. Figure 3 shows good agreement between the simulated tropospheric O_3 columns and the satellite product which corresponds

to a Pearson correlation of 0.66 and a slope of 1.09 for the SH and a Pearson correlation of 0.76 and slope of 1.46 for NH
320   (Figure 4). The main discrepancies are found in highly polluted areas (Beijing region, northern India, eastern U.S.A.), where the model calculates higher tropospheric O_3 columns by up to 20 DU. These can be attributed to the model's vertical resolution that might not always accurately resolve the tropopause level, but also to the fact that the model seems to generally slightly overestimate surface O_3 (by about 17% globally, Daskalakis et al. (2016)). Nonetheless, the model seems to capture the climatology of the global tropospheric ozone column, simulating high ozone in the vicinity of polluted areas as well as in the
325   pollution outflows (e.g., southern Africa) that are of interest for the present study. Focusing on the area of interest (5ºN-40ºS and 165ºE-85ºW), the model seems to perform even better when compared to OMI/MLS, with a Pearson correlation of 0.94, a slope of 1.7 and a normalized mean bias of -3.9%, showing an underestimation by the model over this region.

For the comparison with the CO MOPITT data, after sampling the model at the time and place of the observation, the results are weighted by the averaging kernel used for the MOPITT CO retrievals. This method leads to a model-derived product
330   directly comparable to the satellite product and is shown in Figure 5. Thus, the model is validated against daily CO data. CO data from MOPITT are compared against the modelled ~~tropospheric CO~~tropospheric CO column (Figure 5~~Figure 3~~). The calculated tropospheric CO columns derived using the averaging kernel of the observed data on the simulated data correspond to what the satellite would have observed if the model was an accurate representation of the reality. The model results are collocated to the observations, applying a quality control filter on both the modelled and observed data so that the comparison
335   is accurate (i.e., we disregard values where the model surface pressure has a difference of more than 5hPa compared to the retrieved data, and we disregard all the retrieved data that are flagged as anomalous, meaning that these data should either be ignored or used cautiously). The comparison gives a very good agreement between model and satellite observations on 2ºx3º spatial resolution, with a Pearson correlation of 0.93 and a slope of 0.8 for the period between 2000 and 2014 (15 years of daily collocated data) globally. ~~Focusing in~~ Over the area of interest (5ºN-40ºS and 165ºE-85ºW), the model performs well
340   with a Pearson correlation of 0.91 and a slope of 1.0. Further investigation of the differences between the observed and simulated CO concentrations reveals that the model overestimates CO over large wildfire regions of the South Hemisphere (southern Africa, South America, Indonesia), where differences between satellite and model tropospheric columns can reach up to 30%. Over the subtropical Pacific Ocean, these differences are below 10%. However, CO long-term variability is captured by the model, as shown by Daskalakis et al. (2016) for continental sites and as discussed further for the South Pacific
345   region (Sect. 3.2.2).

3.2 Variability and trends

In the previous section, we analyzed the climatology (long-term averages) of ozone and carbon monoxide. Here, we address seasonal and interannual variability patterns and to what extent the simulations capture them. The main drivers of variability are the temporal variations of emissions and photochemistry, and atmospheric dynamics that affect long-range transport and
350   downward flux from the stratosphere. In the case of ozonesondes, the comparison is made for daily data, whereas in the case of CO, we use monthly averaged data.

3.2.1 Ozone variability as shown by ozonesondes

For this analysis, we choose one representative station on each side of the Pacific Ocean, where there is an abundance of measurements to assess the observed and simulated ozone variability in the region. Figure 6 shows the comparison between
355 model and ozone soundings over the ~~e~~Eastern and ~~W~~western Pacific, represented by stations Rapa Nui and Samoa, respectively. We show the time series at 1.3 km (Figure 6(e) and (f)), 6.5 km (Figure 6 (c) and (d)) and 13.1 km (Figure 6 (a) and (b)) altitude as representative of the boundary layer, mid-troposphere, and upper troposphere-lower stratosphere (UTLS) regions, respectively. The positive model bias near the surface and in the UTLS shown in Figure 1 is also evident here. However, the overall variability of the time series is well captured by the model, particularly in the mid and lower troposphere,
360 with Pearson correlations between 0.69 and 0.91 (Table 2). Only over Rapa Nui, the upper boundary condition translates into a poorer model performance with a normalized mean bias of 67%. Both stations show a marked seasonal cycle, with maxima (minima) in spring (fall) at all levels, as well as interannual variability ~~(not shown)~~.

Using the Lamsal et al. (2015) approach, we estimated trends for observed and simulated ozone soundings on a bimonthly basis (See Table 3). Over Rapa Nui, one finds a clear positive trend (0.5±0.1 ~~ppb.~~ppbv/decade) in the boundary layer
365 observations, which is consistent with previous results (Gallardo et al., 2016). The model, on the other hand, indicates either a clear negative trend (-0.8±0.1 ~~ppb.~~ppbv/decade) when considering all data points or a negligible or statistically ~~i~~insignificant trend when considering only months with concurrent observations. This finding suggests that local pollution –not represented in the model– may in fact be affecting near-surface ozone as proposed by Gallardo et al. (2016). But other factors cannot be ruled out. In the mid-troposphere, the observations indicate a marginally negative trend, where the model shows decreasing
370 ozone values (-0.8±0.1 ~~ppb.~~ppbv/decade). A negative trend is expected in connection with the widening and weakening of the Hadley circulation in a warming climate (Hu et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2019). However, the magnitude of the decline is obscured by the possibly overestimated role of STE in the upper troposphere in the model simulations. Interestingly, the model results concurrent to observations at 13.1 km are quite similar, although an improvement in the performance of the model is found towards lower altitudes (see Tables 2 and 3). Furthermore, the intermittence of ozone soundings over the ~~S~~southeast Pacific in
375 this period hampers a definite assessment.

Observations over Samoa show marginally declining trends in near-surface (-0.3±0.1 ~~ppb.~~ppbv/decade) and a declining non-significant trend in mid-tropospheric ozone (-0.1±0.2 ~~ppb.~~ppbv/decade). These changes are in line with the recent findings by Thompson et al. (2021) for the period 1998–2019. TM4-ECPL reproduces the sign of the trend in the mid-troposphere but overestimates the magnitude when considering all data points as well as for the data points with concurrent observations (See
380 Table 3). It performs well at 1.3 km when compared with concurrent observations (see Tables 2 and 3). In the upper troposphere, the observations show a strong increasing trend (2.6±0.3 ~~ppb.~~ppbv/decade), larger than the trend computed by Thompson et al. (2021). There, depending on the number of data points considered, the TM4-ECPL calculates either a marginally positive trend (all model data), or a negative trend (concurrent data only), the reason probably being the different number of ENSO occurrences covered by the two model datasets. An explicit representation of stratospheric chemistry in the

chemistry-transport model as well as longer observed and simulated time series of O₃ would enable a more accurate estimate of the trends in UTLS O₃ as well as of the influence of ENSO.

With respect to the ENSO driven variability as expressed by the correlation with MEIv2 index, observations of mid-atmospheric ozone over Rapa Nui show a positive correlation during La Niña years (+0.36); however, the correlation is not statistically significant at a 90% confidence level. This positive correlation is nevertheless consistent with a strengthened South Pacific high and increased subsidence. There are very few ~~data~~ observations at 6 km during El Niño years, ~~that~~ which hampers our analysis ~~obscure a clear behavior at 6 km~~. The model, on the other hand, shows a negative but significant correlation (-0.30) during La Niña and a positive and significant but weak correlation during El Niño (0.22) (at a 90% confidence level). The latter is expected as the South Pacific high, and thus subsidence weakens in El Niño years. The former may be due to too strong influence of photochemistry compared with that of dynamics in the model despite the model's positive bias in the UTLS.

Over Samoa, where O₃ levels are dominated by photochemistry, the observations show a positive correlation (0.33) during El Niño years linked to increased precursor emissions from biomass burning originating mostly from Southeast Asia, and a small negative correlation (-0.13) during La Niña years in connection with lesser emissions of ozone precursors in the Southern Hemisphere. However, this is not statistically significant (at a 90% confidence level), stressing the need to consider long time series to assess ENSO variability robustly. The model evidences a weaker but still positive and significant correlation during El Niño (0.23) and a clear negative correlation during La Niña.

3.2.2 CO variability as shown by flask measurements

The comparison between CO *in situ* observations and model results (Figure 7) evidences a general ~~overestimat~~overestimat~~ion~~ of CO mixing ratios from the model in the South Pacific Ocean, and a general underestimat~~ei~~ion of CO observations in the ~~n~~Northern Pacific Ocean. The corresponding mean bias is 7.6 ~~ppb.~~ppbv (8 %) and -6.4 ~~ppb.~~ppbv (-11 %) for the South Pacific and the ~~N~~northern Pacific Ocean stations, respectively. Both observations and model results show lower mixing ratios in the SH than in the NH. These features are illustrated in a scatter plot in Figure 7, where model versus observations at 14 stations (See Figure 1) are summarized. Further error statistics are shown in Table 4. Except for the root mean square error, the model performance is better for stations in the Northern Hemisphere than in the Southern Hemisphere of the remote Pacific.

Figure 8 shows the monthly mean time series of CO at Samoa (~~w~~Western South Pacific) and Rapa Nui (~~E~~eastern South Pacific). The model reproduces the seasonality and the interannual variability of the CO observations, albeit with a positive bias of 6 % for Samoa and 9 % for Rapa Nui, particularly in the low values of the austral spring. Pearson correlation is better at Rapa Nui than in Samoa (0.85 and 0.64 respectively), whereas the root mean square errors are somewhat smaller for Samoa than for Rapa Nui (6.3 for Samoa and 7.5 for Rapa Nui). This might be linked to inaccuracies in the biomass burning emissions, as revealed from the comparison with satellite observations (see Sect. 3.1.3).

The variability of observed surface mixing ratios of CO over the Pacific is not strongly modulated by ENSO over the period 1994–2014 (See Table 5 ~~Table 4~~). An exception is Guam (13.4N,144.7E), which is closest to the outflow of biomass burning from Indonesia. In Guam, ENSO explains ca. 60 % of the observations' and model's variability in the period 1994–2014.

There, during El Niño years, positive CO anomalies are found due to increased emissions from fires in Indonesia. The opposite happens during La Niña years. All other stations show a weak correlation with ENSO in the observations. This behavior is coherent with the findings of previous studies, according to which CO variability is mainly driven by emission changes and with relatively localized impacts (Inness et al., 2015).

On the other hand, the simulated CO shows higher ENSO modulation than the observations as shown by the Pearson correlations in Table 5. The Pearson correlation between observed CO and MEIV.2 is generally weaker than that found between simulated CO and the ENSO index. We hypothesized that this might be due to under/over representing the number of ENSO occurrences in the observations over the period 1994-2014. However, the simulated CO still shows a stronger Pearson correlation than the observations when considering the same months when there are observations. Except in the mid-Pacific stations – 15° N, 145° W; 10° N, 149° W; 5° N, 151° W; 0N/S, 155 ° W, where considering fewer data points brings relatively large changes in Pearson correlation, the difference cannot be attributed to Thus, the relatively weaker Pearson correlation calculated over the observations than over simulated CO indicates other reasons at play. the different number of data points considered in the observations and the simulated values, potentially altering the number of ENSO months realizations. Since we are using reanalysis meteorology, we attribute this mismatch to an ENSO driven variability in emissions that is not fully captured by the model or to shortcomings in the transport of those emissions.

The observations show statistically significant decreasing trends in surface CO for all stations over the period 1994–2014 (See Table 6), except for Samoa where the trend is marginally significant. The simulated CO also shows decreasing trends but with weaker rates, typically half of those observed. In the northwest Pacific, model trends are small and marginally significant. The overall decreasing trends are expected in light of the reduction of anthropogenic emissions of CO due to applied legislation for clean air as well as the associated changes in hydroxyl radical concentrations and CO production by methane oxidation (Daskalakis et al., 2016; Gaubert et al., 2017).

According to the simulations, biomass burning is responsible for a median contribution of 7 % of surface CO over Rapa Nui and Samoa. Interestingly, over both sites, the largest contribution (ca. 40 % of total biomass burning CO) emanates from Southern Africa due to circulation patterns connecting the African outflow with the subtropical westerly flow over the Pacific. The second-largest contributing biomass burning source area is Southeast Asia, which is responsible for 33 % of biomass burning CO in Samoa and 21 % in Rapa Nui. Transport from this source region to the South Pacific occurs in connection with the equator crossing flow and uplifting associated with the SPCZ. South American biomass burning affect both Rapa Nui (17 %) and Samoa (12 %). Over Rapa Nui, the contribution occurs mainly in connection with the tropical high-altitude easterly flow after convective uplifting over tropical South America. Over Samoa, this contribution emerges from the Atlantic outflow of the South American continent, followed by its confluence with westerly flow in higher latitudes.

3.2.3 Stratospheric intrusions, biomass burning impact and transport pathways

Figure 9 shows the cross-section (longitude over height) at 14° S (Samoa, tropics, (a), (b) and (c)) and 27° S (Rapa Nui, subtropics, (d), (e) and (f)) for the 21 year mean O_3 concentrations ((a) and (d)), stratospheric O_3 contribution ((b) and (e)) and

the biomass burning contribution to O_3 ((c) and (f)) for the burning period of the SH (September, October, November; SON), highlighting the region of interest with the blue rectangle. The stratospheric O_3 is a tagged species in the model tracing the ozone that originates from the stratosphere. The biomass burning contribution is derived as the difference between the base simulation and the simulation without biomass burning emissions (deltaO3). In Figure 9a (14° S) the chemical tropopause (O_3

455 about 100 ~~ppb.~~ppbv for the SH, Prather et al. (2011)) is not evident, while in Figure 9d (27° S), the tropopause is seen at around 13 km. This difference in tropopause altitude between the two locations is expected since 14° S (Figure 9a) is in the tropics closer to the ITCZ, the region where the tropopause is the highest (i.e., around 16km that is out of the scale of the figure). In addition, in Figure 9d, a difference in the tropopause height is observed between the western (around 150°-170° E) and eastern (around ~~25~~110°W ~~-280°~~ W~~E~~) Pacific (about 2 km lower in the west). The tropopause height also impacts the quantities of ozone

460 penetrating from the stratosphere to the troposphere (Figure 9b,e), which are almost double at 27° S compared to 14° S. The 27° S is affected by the downward branch of the Hadley cell resulting in an enrichment of the mid-troposphere by about 15-20 ~~ppb.~~ppbv of stratospheric O_3. On the contrary, in the tropical South Pacific, this enrichment of the mid-troposphere is about 7-10 ~~ppb.~~ppbv. During the high burning season (SON), the O_3 produced by the chemical aging of biomass burning emissions is higher in the tropics than in the subtropics. However, focusing on the Pacific Ocean region (longitudes between 150°E and

465 280°W), we can see that there is a higher contribution of biomass burning to O_3 levels (about 3 ~~ppbv~~ppbv more) in the subtropics (Figure 9f) than in the tropics (Figure 9c). This enhancement is most evident over the entire sub-tropical ~~S~~southern Pacific except for the BL of the ~~E~~eastern South Pacific. This is caused by the transport patterns of the region that are driven by the tropical Hadley cell circulation with an upward convective flow at the intertropical convergence zone and downward fluxes at the subtropics. Southern westerlies dominate air flow at these latitudes. Figure 10 is the same as Figure 9, but for the

470 low-burning period of the ~~S~~southern Pacific Region (March, April, May; MAM), showing the importance of STE for O_3 concentrations, which is larger at Rapa Nui than in Samoa as for SON.

Overall, STE is more important than the biomass burning contribution to O_3 levels in the free troposphere over the tropical and subtropical Pacific Ocean. However, during SON biomass burning is almost as important as STE in the subtropical boundary layer. During the low burning period, the influence of biomass burning is seen in the high troposphere due to

475 atmospheric transport patterns, as further discussed.

The use of tagged CO tracers enables the identification of biomass burning source areas that contribute to the CO levels in the South Pacific during the intensive burning period (SON) in the region. Figure 11 depicts the simulated vertical profiles of CO at the Samoa and Rapa Nui islands from the surface to 15 km altitude for the high burning period (SON) over the 21 year period studied here. It also shows the contribution to the atmosphere above the islands ~~of the total~~ from all biomass burning

480 sources, and the major individual biomass burning source regions to the CO levels at these remote regions in the Southern Pacific. From this analysis, it is evident that open fires from Southeast Asia are the major contributors to CO from biomass burning at around 12 km both over Samoa and over Rapa Nui. At lower altitudes, open fires from South America and Southern Africa are also directly influencing the local CO levels. Notably, South America and ~~s~~Southern Africa have a larger influence on CO at Rapa Nui than at Samoa. This influence maximizes in the lower troposphere (i.e., at about 3-4 km). Overall, the

485 biomass burning sources contribute 13 % to 27 % to CO concentrations at Rapa Nui and 13 % to 30 % at Samoa, depending
on heightaltitude. More specifically, according to the simulations, biomass burning is responsible for a median contribution of
16 % of surface CO over Rapa Nui and Samoa. Interestingly, over both sites, the largest contribution (ca. 40 % of total biomass
burning CO) emanates from southern Africa due to circulation patterns connecting the African outflow with the subtropical
westerly flow over the Pacific. The second-largest contributing biomass burning source area is Southeast Asia, which is
490 responsible for 33 % of biomass burning CO in Samoa and 21 % in Rapa Nui. Transport from this source region to the South
Pacific occurs in connection with the equator crossing flow and uplifting associated with the SPCZ. South American biomass
burning affect both Rapa Nui (17 %) and Samoa (12 %). Over Rapa Nui, the contribution occurs mainly in connection with
the tropical high-altitude easterly flow after convective uplifting over tropical South America. Over Samoa, this contribution
emerges from the Atlantic outflow of the South American continent, followed by its confluence with westerly flow in higher
495 latitudes.

Figures 12-15 demonstrate the global impact of biomass burning sources. They depict the contribution of biomass burning
from the main source regions affecting the sSouthern tropical Pacific Ocean free troposphere and UTLS region (5 km height-
12 km) for June, July, August (JJA) and September, October, November (SON), when the impact of the fires maximizes. They
500 also show that wildfires close to ITCZ have a dual outflow. South American fires are transported westward and affect the
tropical eastern Pacific (Figure 12). Eastward, through transport over the Atlantic and then the southern Indian Ocean, they
reach the western subtropical Pacific (Samoa) and, finally, the seEastern Pacific (Rapa Nui), thus affecting the entire southern
hemispheric ocean. Similarly, the fires in sSouthern Africa present a dual (northwest and southeast) outflow, affecting i) the
tropical eastern Pacific, after crossing the Atlantic Ocean and ii) the southern Pacific after traveling over the Indian ocean and
505 the Pacific (Figure 13). Fires over Southeast Asia will affect both westward the Indian Ocean and eastward the southern Pacific
(Figure 14). Lastly, the outflow of fires over Oceania also splits northwestward to the Indian Ocean and southeastward to the
Pacific Ocean (Figure 15). The two different airflow corridors (northward and southward) from these regions are due to the
location of the ITCZ and the SPCZ. Figure 14 Figure 13Figure 12 demonstrates that biomass burning CO is reaching the
Ssouth Pacific through mid-tropospheric transport.
510 CO from Africa is lifted by convection, getting into the westerlies flow that enables the CO transport from Africa to the remote
Pacific. Similarly, CO from open fires in Southeast Asia (Indonesia) is lifted by convection in the warm pool and then splits
into an eastward and a westward flow. The eastward convective outflow influences the entire southern Pacific Ocean, driven
by the counter trade winds in the upper troposphere. As the flow moves to the east, it starts subsiding over the eEastern Pacific.
CO from Oceania is lifted to lower altitudes than that from Southeast Asia, leaving the bulk of the emissions subject to transport
515 by the winds in the lower troposphere (Figure 15Figure 13). The fraction that is uplifted above 5 km is drawn into the Walker
circulation, leading to trans-Pacific transport and affecting the mid-troposphere over the eEastern Pacific. CO from South
American open fires in the lower troposphere is separated into two branches, seen clearly in Figure 12f. One small part, blowing
towards the Pacific from South America by convective uplifting over the Andes and following easterly winds, and another

drawn into the southward low-level jet, which brings biomass burning CO to the westerly, with a characteristic outflow over
520 the South Atlantic (Freitas et al., 2005). The fraction uplifted over the tropical South Atlantic is also separated into two
branches. The larger one is transported eastward by the counter trade winds (Figure 12~~Figure 14~~).

4 Conclusions

By combining *in situ* and satellite observations of O_3 and CO with a global 3-dimensional model of tropospheric chemistry for
a ~~21 year~~21-year period (1994 to 2014), we have been able to show the importance of stratospheric influx for O_3 levels in the
525 pristine marine environment of the tropical and subtropical south Pacific. This contribution of about 15-20 ~~ppb.~~ppbv of O_3 in
the mid-troposphere is larger at Rapa Nui (close to the subtropical East Pacific) than in Samoa (tropical west Pacific). To
increase the accuracy of ozone simulations requires an explicit representation of stratospheric chemistry as well as halogen
chemistry and higher spatial resolution than used in the present study

We have also shown that biomass burning emissions by convective updraft over emission regions, followed by transport
530 through the mid and high troposphere, subside over the East subtropical Pacific, affecting O_3 and CO levels. Biomass burning
contribution to O_3 levels is estimated to maximize in the subtropical South Pacific (about 3 ~~ppb.~~ppbv) over the entire free
troposphere during the high burning period.

Over Rapa Nui, ca. 24 % of CO in the free troposphere originates from biomass burning. Distant biomass burning areas
contribute 80 % of the total CO from biomass burning. These are Southeast Asia, mainly contributing to CO in the UTLS
535 region and South America, South Africa and Oceania, affecting the entire tropospheric column. Over Samoa, we find a similar
result, i.e., 26 % of total CO and 80 % of total biomass burning; however, the apportionment of sources is different. The
dominant source throughout the tropospheric column is Southeast Asia, with its influence maximizing in the UTLS region (at
about 13 ~~ppb.~~ppbv). Therefore, biomass burning emissions affect even the most pristine region of the world, that is, the tropical
and subtropical south Pacific.

540

Acknowledgments
ND, MK, and MV acknowledge support by the U Bremen Excellence Chair, MK acknowledges support by the Greek General
Secretariat of Research and Technology and the European Union Horizon 2020 project FORCeS under grant agreement No
821205. LG, RR and CM recognize the support from ANID FONDAP 15110009. The simulations and analysis of data were
545 performed on the HPC cluster Aether at the University of Bremen, financed by DFG, within the scope of the Excellence
Initiative.

Authors' contribution

Conceptualization, methodology, data interpretation and writing original draft ND, LG, MK; simulations ND, data processing
550 ND, RN, CM, RR; visualization ND, LG, RN, CM, RR; funding acquisition, MK, LG and MV. All authors have contributed
with scientific discussions to data interpretation, read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

References

Van Der A, R. J., Allaart, M. A. F. and Eskes, H. J.: Multi sensor reanalysis of total ozone, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(22),
555 11277–11294, doi:10.5194/acp-10-11277-2010, 2010.

Anderson, D. C., Nicely, J. M., Salawitch, R. J., Canty, T. P., Dickerson, R. R., Hanisco, T. F., Wolfe, G. M., Apel, E. C.,
Atlas, E., Bannan, T., Bauguitte, S., Blake, N. J., Bresch, J. F., Campos, T. L., Carpenter, L. J., Cohen, M. D., Evans, M.,
Fernandez, R. P., Kahn, B. H., Kinnison, D. E., Hall, S. R., Harris, N. R. P., Hornbrook, R. S., Lamarque, J. F., Le Breton, M.,
Lee, J. D., Percival, C., Pfister, L., Pierce, R. B., Riemer, D. D., Saiz-Lopez, A., Stunder, B. J. B., Thompson, A. M., Ullmann,
560 K., Vaughan, A. and Weinheimer, A. J.: A pervasive role for biomass burning in tropical high ozone/low water structures, Nat.
Commun., 7(1), 10267, doi:10.1038/ncomms10267, 2016.

Anet, G. J., Steinbacher, M., Gallardo, L., Velásquez Álvarez, A. P., Emmenegger, L. and Buchmann, B.: Surface ozone in
the Southern Hemisphere: 20 years of data from a site with a unique setting in El Tololo, Chile, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(10),
6477–6492, doi:10.5194/acp-17-6477-2017, 2017.

565 Barrett, B. S. and Raga, G. B.: Variability of winter and summer surface ozone in Mexico City on the intraseasonal timescale,
Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(23), 15359–15370, doi:10.5194/acp-16-15359-2016, 2016.

Barrett, B. S., Fitzmaurice, S. J. and Pritchard, S. R.: Intraseasonal variability of surface ozone in Santiago, Chile: Modulation
by phase of the Madden–Julian Oscillation (MJO), Atmos. Environ., 57, 55–62,
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.04.040, 2012.

570 Bowman, K. W., Shindell, D. T., Worden, H. M., Lamarque, J. F., Young, P. J., Stevenson, D. S., Qu, Z., De La Torre, M.,
Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P. J., Collins, W. J., Doherty, R., Dalsøren, S. B., Faluvegi, G., Folberth, G., Horowitz, L. W.,
Josse, B. M., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A., Myhre, G., Nagashima, T., Naik, V., Plummer, D. A., Rumbold, S. T., Skeie, R.
B., Strode, S. A., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., Voulgarakis, A., Zeng, G., Kulawik, S. S., Aghedo, A. M. and Worden, J. R.: Evaluation
of ACCMIP outgoing longwave radiation from tropospheric ozone using TES satellite observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
575 13(8), 4057–4072, doi:10.5194/acp-13-4057-2013, 2013.

Brasseur, G. P. and Jacob, D. J.: Modeling of Atmospheric Chemistry, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge., 2017.

Brown, J. R., Lengaigne, M., Lintner, B. R., Widlansky, M. J., van der Wiel, K., Dutheil, C., Linsley, B. K., Matthews, A. J.
and Renwick, J.: South Pacific Convergence Zone dynamics, variability and impacts in a changing climate, Nat. Rev. Earth
Environ., 1(10), 530–543, doi:10.1038/s43017-020-0078-2, 2020.

580 Capotondi, A., Wittenberg, A. T., Newman, M., Di Lorenzo, E., Yu, J.-Y., Braconnot, P., Cole, J., Dewitte, B., Giese, B.,

Guilyardi, E., Jin, F.-F., Karnauskas, K., Kirtman, B., Lee, T., Schneider, N., Xue, Y. and Yeh, S.-W.: Understanding ENSO Diversity, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 96(6), 921–938, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00117.1, 2014.

Chandra, A., Koshy, K. and Maata, M.: Surface ozone profiles at selected South Pacific sites, South Pacific J. Nat. Appl. Sci., 32(2), 47, doi:10.1071/sp14008, 2014.

585   Charlson, R. J.: 7 - The Atmosphere, in Earth System Science, vol. 72, edited by M. C. Jacobson, R. J. Charlson, H. Rodhe, and G. H. B. T.-I. G. Orians, pp. 132–158, Academic Press., 2000.

Checa-Garcia, R., Hegglin, M. I., Kinnison, D., Plummer, D. A. and Shine, K. P.: Historical Tropospheric and Stratospheric Ozone Radiative Forcing Using the CMIP6 Database, Geophys. Res. Lett., 45(7), 3264–3273, doi:10.1002/2017GL076770, 2018.

590   Daskalakis, N., Myriokefalitakis, S. and Kanakidou, M.: Sensitivity of tropospheric loads and lifetimes of short lived pollutants to fire emissions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(6), 3543–3563, doi:10.5194/acp-15-3543-2015, 2015.

Daskalakis, N., Tsigaridis, K., Myriokefalitakis, S., Fanourgakis, G. S. and Kanakidou, M.: Large gain in air quality compared to an alternative anthropogenic emissions scenario, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(15), 9771–9784, doi:10.5194/acp-16-9771-2016, 2016.

595   Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B., Hersbach, H., Hólm, E. V., Isaksen, L., Kållberg, P., Köhler, M., Matricardi, M., Mcnally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J. J., Park, B. K., Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Thépaut, J. N. and Vitart, F.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data assimilation system, Q. J. R. Meteorol.

600   Soc., 137(656), 553–597, doi:10.1002/qj.828, 2011.

Deeter, M. N., Edwards, D. P., Francis, G. L., Gille, J. C., Martínez-Alonso, S., Worden, H. M. and Sweeney, C.: A climate-scale satellite record for carbon monoxide: The MOPITT Version 7 product, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10(7), 2533–2555, doi:10.5194/amt-10-2533-2017, 2017.

Deeter, M. N., Edwards, D. P., Francis, G. L., Gille, J. C., Mao, D., Martínez-Alonso, S., Worden, H. M., Ziskin, D., Andreae,

605   M. O. and Andreae, M. O.: Radiance-based retrieval bias mitigation for the MOPITT instrument: The version 8 product, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12(8), 4561–4580, doi:10.5194/amt-12-4561-2019, 2019.

Dentener, F., Kinne, S., Bond, T., Boucher, O., Cofala, J., Generoso, S., Ginoux, P., Gong, S., Hoelzemann, J. J., Ito, A., Marelli, L., Penner, J. E., Putaud, J. P., Textor, C., Schulz, M., Van Der Werf, G. R. and Wilson, J.: Emissions of primary aerosol and precursor gases in the years 2000 and 1750 prescribed data-sets for AeroCom, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6(12), 4321–

610   4344, doi:10.5194/acp-6-4321-2006, 2006.

Ebojie, F., Burrows, J. P., Gebhardt, C., Ladstätter-Weißenmayer, A., Von Savigny, C., Rozanov, A., Weber, M. and Bovensmann, H.: Global tropospheric ozone variations from 2003 to 2011 as seen by SCIAMACHY, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(2), 417–436, doi:10.5194/acp-16-417-2016, 2016.

Fleming, Z. L., Doherty, R. M., Von Schneidemesser, E., Malley, C. S., Cooper, O. R., Pinto, J. P., Colette, A., Xu, X.,

Simpson, D., Schultz, M. G., Lefohn, A. S., Hamad, S., Moolla, R., Solberg, S. and Feng, Z.: Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report: Present-day ozone distribution and trends relevant to human health, Elementa, 6(1), 12, doi:10.1525/elementa.273, 2018.

Freitas, S. R., Longo, K. M., Silva Dias, M. A. F., Silva Dias, P. L., Chatfield, R., Prins, E., Artaxo, P., Grell, G. A. and Recuero, F. S.: Monitoring the transport of biomass burning emissions in South America, Environ. Fluid Mech., 5(1–2), 135–167, doi:10.1007/s10652-005-0243-7, 2005.

Fujino, J., Nair, R., Kainuma, M., Masui, T. and Matsuoka, Y.: Multi-gas mitigation analysis on stabilization scenarios using aim global model, Energy J., 27(SPEC. ISS. NOV.), 343–353, doi:10.5547/ISSN0195-6574-EJ-VolSI2006-NoSI3-17, 2006.

Gallardo, L., HenríQuez, A., Thompson, A. M., Rondanelli, R., Carrasco, J., Orfanoz-Cheuquelaf, A. and Squez, P. V.: The first twenty years (1994-2014) of ozone soundings from Rapa Nui (27°S, 109°W, 51m a.s.l.), Tellus, Ser. B Chem. Phys. Meteorol., 68(1), 29484, doi:10.3402/tellusb.v68.29484, 2016.

Galmarini, S., Koffi, B., Solazzo, E., Keating, T., Hogrefe, C., Schulz, M., Benedictow, A., Jurgen Griesfeller, J., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Carmichael, G., Fu, J. and Dentener, F.: Technical note: Coordination and harmonization of the multi-scale, multi-model activities HTAP2, AQMEII3, and MICS-Asia3: Simulations, emission inventories, boundary conditions, and model output formats, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(2), 1543–1555, doi:10.5194/acp-17-1543-2017, 2017.

Gaubert, B., Worden, H. M., Arellano, A. F. J., Emmons, L. K., Tilmes, S., Barré, J., Martinez Alonso, S., Vitt, F., Anderson, J. L., Alkemade, F., Houweling, S. and Edwards, D. P.: Chemical Feedback From Decreasing Carbon Monoxide Emissions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44(19), 9985–9995, doi:10.1002/2017GL074987, 2017.

HTAP: Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution 2010, PART A: OZONE AND PARTICULATE MATTER, ECONOMIC C., edited by H. Dentener, F., Keating, T., and Akimoto, UNITED NATIONS PUBLICATION, Geneva, Switzerland. [online] Available from: http://www.htap.org/publications/2010_report/2010_Final_Report/HTAP 2010 Part A 110407.pdf, 2010.

Hu, Y., Huang, H. and Zhou, C.: Widening and weakening of the Hadley circulation under global warming, Sci. Bull., 63(10), 640–644, doi:10.1016/j.scib.2018.04.020, 2018.

Hu, Z.-Z., Kumar, A., Huang, B., Zhu, J., L'Heureux, M., McPhaden, M. J. and Yu, J.-Y.: The Interdecadal Shift of ENSO Properties in 1999/2000: A Review, J. Clim., 33(11), 4441–4462, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0316.1, 2020.

Huang, L., Fu, R. and Jiang, J. H.: Impacts of fire emissions and transport pathways on the interannual variation of CO in the tropical upper troposphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14(8), 4087–4099, doi:10.5194/acp-14-4087-2014, 2014.

Huijnen, V., Williams, J., Van Weele, M., Van Noije, T., Krol, M., Dentener, F., Segers, A., Houweling, S., Peters, W., De Laat, J., Boersma, F., Bergamaschi, P., Van Velthoven, P., Le Sager, P., Eskes, H., Alkemade, F., Scheele, R., Nédélec, P. and Pätz, H. W.: The global chemistry transport model TM5: Description and evaluation of the tropospheric chemistry version 3.0, Geosci. Model Dev., 3(2), 445–473, doi:10.5194/gmd-3-445-2010, 2010.

Inness, A., Benedetti, A., Flemming, J., Huijnen, V., Kaiser, J. W., Parrington, M. and Remy, S.: The ENSO signal in atmospheric composition fields: Emission-driven versus dynamically induced changes, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(15), 9083–9097, doi:10.5194/acp-15-9083-2015, 2015.

Jaffe, D. A. and Wigder, N. L.: Ozone production from wildfires: A critical review, Atmos. Environ., 51, 1–10, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.11.063, 2012.

Jerrett, M., Burnett, R. T., Arden Pope, C., Ito, K., Thurston, G., Krewski, D., Shi, Y., Calle, E. and Thun, M.: Long-term ozone exposure and mortality, N. Engl. J. Med., 360(11), 1085–1095, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0803894, 2009.

Kanakidou, M., Duce, R. A., Prospero, J. M., Baker, A. R., Benitez-Nelson, C., Dentener, F. J., Hunter, K. A., Liss, P. S., Mahowald, N., Okin, G. S., Sarin, M., Tsigaridis, K., Uematsu, M., Zamora, L. M. and Zhu, T.: Atmospheric fluxes of organic N and P to the global ocean, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 26(3), doi:10.1029/2011gb004277, 2012.

Kanakidou, M., Myriokefalitakis, S., Daskalakis, N., Fanourgakis, G., Nenes, A., Baker, A. R., Tsigaridis, K. and Mihalopoulos, N.: Past, present, and future atmospheric nitrogen deposition, J. Atmos. Sci., 73(5), 2039–2047, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-15-0278.1, 2016.

Kawase, H., Nagashima, T., Sudo, K. and Nozawa, T.: Future changes in tropospheric ozone under Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs), Geophys. Res. Lett., 38(5), doi:10.1029/2010GL046402, 2011.

Lacis, A. A., Wuebbles, D. J. and Logan, J. A.: Radiative forcing of climate by changes in the vertical distribution of ozone, J. Geophys. Res., 95(D7), 9971–9981, doi:10.1029/JD095iD07p09971, 1990.

Lamarque, J. F., Shindell, D. T., Josse, B., Young, P. J., Cionni, I., Eyring, V., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Collins, W. J., Doherty, R., Dalsoren, S., Faluvegi, G., Folberth, G., Ghan, S. J., Horowitz, L. W., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., Naik, V., Plummer, D., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Schulz, M., Skeie, R. B., Stevenson, D. S., Strode, S., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., Voulgarakis, A. and Zeng, G.: The atmospheric chemistry and climate model intercomparison Project (ACCMIP): Overview and description of models, simulations and climate diagnostics, Geosci. Model Dev., 6(1), 179–206, doi:10.5194/gmd-6-179-2013, 2013.

Lamsal, L. N., Duncan, B. N., Yoshida, Y., Krotkov, N. A., Pickering, K. E., Streets, D. G. and Lu, Z.: U.S. NO2 trends (2005-2013): EPA Air Quality System (AQS) data versus improved observations from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI), Atmos. Environ., 110, 130–143, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.03.055, 2015.

Langley DeWitt, H., Coffman, D. J., Schulz, K. J., Alan Brewer, W., Bates, T. S. and Quinn, P. K.: Atmospheric aerosol properties over the equatorial Indian Ocean and the impact of the Madden-Julian Oscillation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118(11), 5736–5749, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50419, 2013.

Lee, S., Shelow, D. M., Thompson, A. M. and Miller, S. K.: QBO and ENSO variability in temperature and ozone from SHADOZ, 1998–2005, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 115(D18), 18105, doi:10.1029/2009JD013320, 2010.

Lintner, B. R. and Boos, W. R.: Using atmospheric energy transport to quantitatively constrain South Pacific convergence zone shifts during ENSO, J. Clim., 32(6), 1839–1855, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0151.1, 2019.

Logan, J. A., Megretskaia, I., Nassar, R., Murray, L. T., Zhang, L., Bowman, K. W., Worden, H. M. and Luo, M.: Effects of the 2006 El Niño on tropospheric composition as revealed by data from the Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer (TES), Geophys. Res. Lett., 35(3), 1–5, doi:10.1029/2007GL031698, 2008.

Lu, X., Zhang, L., Zhao, Y., Jacob, D. J., Hu, Y., Hu, L., Gao, M., Liu, X., Petropavlovskikh, I., McClure-Begley, A. and

Querel, R.: Surface and tropospheric ozone trends in the Southern Hemisphere since 1990: possible linkages to poleward expansion of the Hadley circulation, Sci. Bull., 64(6), 400–409, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2018.12.021, 2019.

685 Meul, S., Langematz, U., Kröger, P., Oberländer-Hayn, S. and Jöckel, P.: Future changes in the stratosphere-to-troposphere ozone mass flux and the contribution from climate change and ozone recovery, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18(10), 7721–7738, doi:10.5194/acp-18-7721-2018, 2018.

Mills, G., Pleijel, H., Malley, C. S., Sinha, B., Cooper, O. R., Schultz, M. G., Neufeld, H. S., Simpson, D., Sharps, K., Feng, Z., Gerosa, G., Harmens, H., Kobayashi, K., Saxena, P., Paoletti, E., Sinha, V. and Xu, X.: Tropospheric ozone assessment

690 report: Present-day tropospheric ozone distribution and trends relevant to vegetation, Elementa, 6(1), 47, doi:10.1525/elementa.302, 2018.

Monks, P. S., Granier, C., Fuzzi, S., Stohl, A., Williams, M. L., Akimoto, H., Amann, M., Baklanov, A., Baltensperger, U., Bey, I., Blake, N., Blake, R. S., Carslaw, K., Cooper, O. R., Dentener, F., Fowler, D., Fragkou, E., Frost, G. J., Generoso, S., Ginoux, P., Grewe, V., Guenther, A., Hansson, H. C., Henne, S., Hjorth, J., Hofzumahaus, A., Huntrieser, H., Isaksen, I. S.

695 A., Jenkin, M. E., Kaiser, J., Kanakidou, M., Klimont, Z., Kulmala, M., Laj, P., Lawrence, M. G., Lee, J. D., Liousse, C., Maione, M., McFiggans, G., Metzger, A., Mieville, A., Moussiopoulos, N., Orlando, J. J., O'Dowd, C. D., Palmer, P. I., Parrish, D. D., Petzold, A., Platt, U., Pöschl, U., Prévôt, A. S. H., Reeves, C. E., Reimann, S., Rudich, Y., Sellegri, K., Steinbrecher, R., Simpson, D., ten Brink, H., Theloke, J., van der Werf, G. R., Vautard, R., Vestreng, V., Vlachokostas, C. and von Glasow, R.: Atmospheric composition change - global and regional air quality, Atmos. Environ., 43(33), 5268–5350,

700 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.08.021, 2009.

Monks, P. S., Archibald, A. T., Colette, A., Cooper, O., Coyle, M., Derwent, R., Fowler, D., Granier, C., Law, K. S., Mills, G. E., Stevenson, D. S., Tarasova, O., Thouret, V., Von Schneidemesser, E., Sommariva, R., Wild, O. and Williams, M. L.: Tropospheric ozone and its precursors from the urban to the global scale from air quality to short-lived climate forcer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(15), 8889–8973, doi:10.5194/acp-15-8889-2015, 2015.

705 Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J.-F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T. and Zhang, H.: Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing, in Climate Change 2013 the Physical Science Basis: Working Group I Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, vol. 9781107057, edited by T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, and P. M. Midgley, pp. 659–740, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,

710 United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA., 2013.

NASA-Langley: Level 3 MOPITT CO gridded monthly means (Near and Thermal Infrared Radiances) V008. Version 8 [Data set]., , doi:10.5067/TERRA/MOPITT/MOP03JM_L3.008, 2018.

Nath, D., Chen, W., Graf, H. F., Lan, X. and Gong, H.: Contrasting subtropical PV intrusion frequency and their impact on tropospheric Ozone distribution over Pacific Ocean in El-Niño and La-Niña conditions, Sci. Rep., 7(1), 11987,

715 doi:10.1038/s41598-017-12278-7, 2017.

Nishimoto, E. and Yoden, S.: Influence of the stratospheric quasi-biennial oscillation on the Madden-Julian oscillation during

austral summer, J. Atmos. Sci., 74(4), 1105–1125, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-16-0205.1, 2017.

Novelli, P. C. and K. A. M.: Atmospheric Carbon Monoxide Dry Air Mole Fractions from the NOAA ESRL Carbon Cycle Cooperative Global Air Sampling Network, 1988-2013, Version: 2014-07-02, [online] Available from:
720 ftp://aftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/data/trace_gases/co/flask/surface/., 2014.

Oltmans, S. J., Johnson, B. J., Harris, J. M., Vömel, H., Thompson, A. M., Koshy, K., Simon, P., Bendura, R. J., Logan, J. A., Hasebe, F., Shiotani, M., Kirchhoff, V. W. J. H., Maata, M., Sami, G., Samad, A., Tabuadravu, J., Enriquez, H., Agama, M., Cornejo, J. and Paredes, F.: Ozone in the Pacific tropical troposphere from ozonesonde observations, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 106(D23), 32503–32525, doi:10.1029/2000JD900834, 2001.

725 Oltmans, S. J., Lefohn, A. S., Shadwick, D., Harris, J. M., Scheel, H. E., Galbally, I., Tarasick, D. W., Johnson, B. J., Brunke, E. G., Claude, H., Zeng, G., Nichol, S., Schmidlin, F., Davies, J., Cuevas, E., Redondas, A., Naoe, H., Nakano, T. and Kawasato, T.: Recent tropospheric ozone changes - A pattern dominated by slow or no growth, Atmos. Environ., 67, 331–351, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.10.057, 2013.

Oman, L. D., Ziemke, J. R., Douglass, A. R., Waugh, D. W., Lang, C., Rodriguez, J. M. and Nielsen, J. E.: The response of
730 tropical tropospheric ozone to ENSO, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38(13), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2011GL047865, 2011.

Pechtl, S. and von Glasow, R.: Reactive chlorine in the marine boundary layer in the outflow of polluted continental air: A model study, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34(11), doi:10.1029/2007GL029761, 2007.

Petron, G., Crotwell, A. M., Lang, P. M. and Dlugokencky, E.: Atmospheric Carbon Monoxide Dry Air Mole Fractions from the NOAA ESRL Carbon Cycle Cooperative Global Air Sampling Network, 1988-2017, Version: 2018-10-17, [online]
735 Available from: ftp://aftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/data/trace_gases/co/flask/surface/, 2018.

Pöschl, U. and Shiraiwa, M.: Multiphase Chemistry at the Atmosphere-Biosphere Interface Influencing Climate and Public Health in the Anthropocene, Chem. Rev., 115(10), 4440–4475, doi:10.1021/cr500487s, 2015.

Prather, M. J., Zhu, X., Tang, Q., Hsu, J. and Neu, J. L.: An atmospheric chemist in search of the tropopause, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 116(4), doi:10.1029/2010JD014939, 2011.

740 Quennehen, B., Raut, J. C., Law, K. S., Daskalakis, N., Ancellet, G., Clerbaux, C., Kim, S. W., Lund, M. T., Myhre, G., Olivié, D. J. L., Safieddine, S., Skeie, R. B., Thomas, J. L., Tsyro, S., Bazureau, A., Bellouin, N., Hu, M., Kanakidou, M., Klimont, Z., Kupiainen, K., Myriokefalitakis, S., Quaas, J., Rumbold, S. T., Schulz, M., Cherian, R., Shimizu, A., Wang, J., Yoon, S. C. and Zhu, T.: Multi-model evaluation of short-lived pollutant distributions over east Asia during summer 2008, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(17), 10765–10792, doi:10.5194/acp-16-10765-2016, 2016.

745 Randel, W. J. and Thompson, A. M.: Interannual variability and trends in tropical ozone derived from SAGE II satellite data and SHADOZ ozonesondes, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 116(D7), 7303, doi:10.1029/2010JD015195, 2011.

Reichler, T., Dameris, M. and Sausen, R.: Determining the tropopause height from gridded data, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30(20), doi:10.1029/2003GL018240, 2003.

Ridder, T., Gerbig, C., Notholt, J., Rex, M., Schrems, O., Warneke, T. and Zhang, L.: Ship-borne FTIR measurements of CO
750 and O3 in the Western Pacific from 43 N to 35 S: An evaluation of the sources, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12(2), 815–828,

doi:10.5194/acp-12-815-2012, 2012.

Roelofs, G. J., Lelieveld, J. and Van Dorland, R.: A three-dimensional chemistry/general circulation model simulation of anthropogenically derived ozone in the troposphere and its radiative climate forcing, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 102(D19), 23389–23401, doi:10.1029/97JD02210, 1997.

755 Sherwen, T., Schmidt, J. A., Evans, M. J., Carpenter, L. J., Großmann, K., Eastham, S. D., Jacob, D. J., Dix, B., Koenig, T. K., Sinreich, R., Ortega, I., Volkamer, R., Saiz-Lopez, A., Prados-Roman, C., Mahajan, A. S. and Ordóñez, C.: Global impacts of tropospheric halogens (Cl, Br, I) on oxidants and composition in GEOS-Chem, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(18), 12239–12271, doi:10.5194/acp-16-12239-2016, 2016.

Škerlak, B., Sprenger, M. and Wernli, H.: A global climatology of stratosphere-troposphere exchange using the ERA-Interim
760 data set from 1979 to 2011, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14(2), 913–937, doi:10.5194/acp-14-913-2014, 2014.

Stauffer, R. M., Thompson, A. M. and Witte, J. C.: Characterizing Global Ozonesonde Profile Variability From Surface to the UT/LS With a Clustering Technique and MERRA-2 Reanalysis, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 123(11), 6213–6229, doi:10.1029/2018JD028465, 2018.

Stevenson, D. S., Young, P. J., Naik, V., Lamarque, J.-F. F., Shindell, D. T., Voulgarakis, A., Skeie, R. B., Dalsoren, S. B.,
765 Myhre, G., Berntsen, T. K., Folberth, G. A., Rumbold, S. T., Collins, W. J., MacKenzie, I. A., Doherty, R. M., Zeng, G., van Noije, T. P. C. C., Strunk, A., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Plummer, D. A., Strode, S. A., Horowitz, L., Lee, Y. H., Szopa, S., Sudo, K., Nagashima, T., Josse, B., Cionni, I., Righi, M., Eyring, V., Conley, A., Bowman, K. W., Wild, O. and Archibald, A.: Tropospheric ozone changes, radiative forcing and attribution to emissions in the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13(6), 3063–3085, doi:10.5194/acp-13-3063-2013,
770 2013.

Sudo, K. and Akimoto, H.: Global source attribution of tropospheric ozone: Long-range transport from various source regions, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 112(12), D12302, doi:10.1029/2006JD007992, 2007.

Sun, W., Hess, P. and Tian, B.: The response of the equatorial tropospheric ozone to the Madden-Julian Oscillation in TES satellite observations and CAM-chem model simulation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14(21), 11775–11790, doi:10.5194/acp-14-
775 11775-2014, 2014.

Thompson, A. M., Witte, J. C., Hudson, R. D., Guo, H., Herman, J. R. and Fujiwara, M.: Tropical Tropospheric Ozone and Biomass Burning, Science (80-.)., 291(5511), 2128–2132, doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.291.5511.2128, 2001.

Thompson, A. M., Witte, J. C., McPeters, R. D., Oltmans, S. J., Schmidlin, F. J., Logan, J. A., Fujiwara, M., Kirchhoff, V. W. J. H., Posny, F., Coetzee, G. J. R., Hoegger, B., Kawakami, S., Ogawa, T., Johnson, B. J., Vömel, H. and Labow, G.: Southern
780 Hemisphere Additional Ozonesondes (SHADOZ) 1998–2000 tropical ozone climatology 1. Comparison with Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) and ground-based measurements, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 108(D2), 8238, doi:10.1029/2001JD000967, 2003.

Thompson, A. M., Allen, A. L., Lee, S., Miller, S. K. and Witte, J. C.: Gravity and Rossby wave signatures in the tropical troposphere and lower stratosphere based on Southern Hemisphere Additional Ozonesondes (SHADOZ), 1998-2007, J.

785 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 116(5), doi:10.1029/2009JD013429, 2011.

Thompson, A. M., Witte, J. C., Sterling, C., Jordan, A., Johnson, B. J., Oltmans, S. J., Fujiwara, M., Vömel, H., Allaart, M., Piters, A., Coetzee, G. J. R., Posny, F., Corrales, E., Diaz, J. A., Félix, C., Komala, N., Lai, N., Ahn Nguyen, H. T., Maata, M., Mani, F., Zainal, Z., Ogino, S. Y., Paredes, F., Penha, T. L. B., da Silva, F. R., Sallons-Mitro, S., Selkirk, H. B., Schmidlin, F. J., Stübi, R. and Thiongo, K.: First reprocessing of southern hemisphere additional ozonesondes (SHADOZ) ozone profiles

790 (1998–2016): 2. comparisons with satellites and ground-based instruments, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 122(23), 13,000-13,025, doi:10.1002/2017JD027406, 2017.

Thompson, A. M., Stauffer, R. M., Wargan, K., Witte, J. C., Kollonige, D. E. and Ziemke, J. R.: Regional and Seasonal trends in tropical ozone from SHADOZ profiles: Reference for models and satellite products, J. Geophys. Res., 126, doi:10.1029/2021JD034691, 2021.

795 Tiao, G. C.: Effects of autocorrelation and temporal sampling schemes on estimates of trend and spatial correlation., 1990.

Tosca, M. G., Randerson, J. T. and Zender, C. S.: Sciences ess Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Climate of the Past Geoscientific Instrumentation Methods and Data Systems The Cryosphere Global impact of smoke aerosols from landscape fires on climate and the Hadley circulation, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 13, 5227–5241, doi:10.5194/acp-13-5227-2013, 2013.

Tweedy, O. V., Oman, L. D. and Waugh, D. W.: Seasonality of the MJO Impact on Upper Troposphere–Lower Stratosphere

800 Temperature, Circulation, and Composition, J. Atmos. Sci., 77(4), 1455–1473, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-19-0183.1, 2020.

Vincent, D. G.: The South Pacific convergence zone (SPCZ): a review, Mon. Weather Rev., 122(9), 1949–1970, doi:10.1175/1520-0493(1994)122<1949:TSPCZA>2.0.CO;2, 1994.

Vincent, E. M., Lengaigne, M., Menkes, C. E., Jourdain, N. C., Marchesiello, P. and Madec, G.: Interannual variability of the South Pacific Convergence Zone and implications for tropical cyclone genesis, Clim. Dyn., 36(9–10), 1881–1896,

805 doi:10.1007/s00382-009-0716-3, 2011.

van Vuuren, D. P., Edmonds, J., Kainuma, M., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Hibbard, K., Hurtt, G. C., Kram, T., Krey, V., Lamarque, J.-F., Masui, T., Meinshausen, M., Nakicenovic, N., Smith, S. J. and Rose, S. K.: The representative concentration pathways: an overview, Clim. Change, 109(1), 5, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0148-z, 2011.

Witte, J. C., Thompson, A. M., Smit, H. G. J., Fujiwara, M., Posny, F., Coetzee, G. J. R., Northam, E. T., Johnson, B. J.,

810 Sterling, C. W., Mohamad, M., Ogino, S. Y., Jordan, A. and da Silva, F. R.: First reprocessing of Southern Hemisphere ADditional OZonesondes (SHADOZ) profile records (1998-2015): 1. Methodology and evaluation, J. Geophys. Res., 122(12), 6611–6636, doi:10.1002/2016JD026403, 2017.

Witte, J. C., Thompson, A. M., Smit, H. G. J., Vömel, H., Posny, F. and Stübi, R.: First Reprocessing of Southern Hemisphere ADditional OZonesondes Profile Records: 3. Uncertainty in Ozone Profile and Total Column, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 123(6),

815 3243–3268, doi:10.1002/2017JD027791, 2018.

Wodzicki, K. R. and Rapp, A. D.: Long-term characterization of the Pacific ITCZ using TRMM, GPCP, and ERA-Interim, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 121(7), 3153–3170, doi:10.1002/2015JD024458, 2016.

Wolter, K. and Timlin, M. S.: El Niño/Southern Oscillation behaviour since 1871 as diagnosed in an extended multivariate

ENSO index (MEI.ext), Int. J. Climatol., 31(7), 1074–1087, doi:10.1002/joc.2336, 2011.

820 Young, P. J., Naik, V., Fiore, A. M., Gaudel, A., Guo, J., Lin, M. Y., Neu, J. L., Parrish, D. D., Rieder, H. E., Schnell, J. L., Tilmes, S., Wild, O., Zhang, L., Ziemke, J., Brandt, J., Delcloo, A., Doherty, R. M., Geels, C., Hegglin, M. I., Hu, L., Im, U., Kumar, R., Luhar, A., Murray, L., Plummer, D., Rodriguez, J., Saiz-Lopez, A., Schultz, M. G., Woodhouse, M. T. and Zeng, G.: Tropospheric ozone assessment report: Assessment of global-scale model performance for global and regional ozone distributions, variability, and trends, Elementa, 6(1), 10, doi:10.1525/elementa.265, 2018.

825 Zeng, G. and Pyle, J. A.: Influence of El Niño Southern Oscillation on stratosphere/ troposphere exchange and the global tropospheric ozone budget, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32(1), 1–4, doi:10.1029/2004GL021353, 2005.

Zeng, G., Morgenstern, O., Braesicke, P. and Pyle, J. A.: Impact of stratospheric ozone recovery on tropospheric ozone and its budget, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37(L09805), 1–5, doi:10.1029/2010GL042812, 2010.

Ziemke, J. R. and Chandra, S.: La Nina and El Nino - Induced variabilities of ozone in the tropical lower atmosphere during 830 1970-2001, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30(3), 42-1–4, doi:10.1029/2002GL016387, 2003.

Ziemke, J. R., Chandra, S., Oman, L. D. and Bhartia, P. K.: A new ENSO index derived from satellite measurements of column ozone, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(8), 3711–3721, doi:10.5194/acp-10-3711-2010, 2010.

Ziemke, J. R., Chandra, S., Labow, G. J., Bhartia, P. K., Froidevaux, L. and Witte, J. C.: A global climatology of tropospheric and stratospheric ozone derived from Aura OMI and MLS measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11(17), 9237–9251, 835 doi:10.5194/acp-11-9237-2011, 2011.

Ziemke, J. R., Douglass, A. R., Oman, L. D., Strahan, S. E. and Duncan, B. N.: Tropospheric ozone variability in the tropics from ENSO to MJO and shorter timescales, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(14), 8037–8049, doi:10.5194/acp-15-8037-2015, 2015.

840

Table 1. Ozone sounding stations in the Pacific Ocean considered in this study. Data were obtained from Southern Hemisphere ADditional OZonesondes (SHADOZ, https://tropo.gsfc.gov/shadoz/) and from Rapa Nui from http://www.cr2.cl/datos-ozonsonda/.

Name	Location			Period Covered by observations considered	Number of soundings included in this study for the period 1994-2014
	Latitude (°)	Longitude (°)	Altitude (m a.s.l.)*		
Watukosek	7.57 S	112.65 E	50	1998-2013	311
Samoa	14.25 S	170.56 W	42	1998-2018	594
Suva	18.15 S	178.45 E	10	1998-2018	355
Rapa Nui	27.16 S	109.44 W	40	1994-2014	234
San Cristóbal	0.90 S	89.6 W	8	1998-2016	428
Papeete	18.0 S	149.0 W	2	1998-1999	76

*m a.s.l = meters above sea level

845

Table 2. Error statistics for model simulation versus observations of monthly averaged O3 for Rapa Nui and Samoa in the remote Pacific at three altitude levels. We show Pearson correlation (R), root mean square (RMS in ~~ppbv~~ppbv), normalized mean bias (NMB in %), and index of agreements (IA). The number of data points considered is also indicated. Calculations were made according to Brasseur and Jacob (2017).

Location	Altitude (km)	R	RMS (~~ppbv~~ppbv)	NMB (%)	IA	Data Points
Rapa Nui **(27.16S, 109.4W)**	13.1	0.42	81.6	67	0.44	109
	6.0	0.71	5.7	-4	0.82	109
	1.3	0.81	6.7	19	0.66	109
Samoa **(14.25S, 170.6W)**	13.1	0.55	17.9	36	0.50	179
	6.0	0.69	7.3	-14	0.70	179
	1.3	0.91	5.5	26	0.76	179

850

Table 3. Estimated decadal ozone trends (ppbv per decade) at different altitudes over Rapa Nui and Samoa in the Pacific Ocean, according to observations and simulations for the period 1994-2014. Trends based on model values are calculated using all data points (Model) and only those for which there are concurrent ~~with~~ observations (Model*). Trends are calculated following Lamsal et al. (2015) and errors as in Tiao (1990). The number of data points (N) considered is also indicated. Trends and errors are in ppbv/decade.

855

Location	Observations			Model			Model*		
	Trend	Error	N	Trend	Error	N	Trend	Error	N
Rapa Nui (27.16S,109.4W)									
13.1 km	-1.6	0.3	109	-10.1	0.9	251	-1.4	0.6	109
6 km	-0.2	0.1	109	-0.8	0.1	251	-1.0	0.1	109
1.3 km	0.5	0.1	109	-0.8	0.1	251	-0.1	0.1	109
Samoa (14.25S,170.6W)									
13.1 km	2.6	0.3	179	0.6	0.5	251	-1.7	0.3	179
6 km	-0.1	0.2	179	-0.5	0.2	251	-0.8	0.1	179
1.3 km	-0.3	0.1	179	0.2	0.1	251	-0.3	0.1	179

Table 4. Error statistics for model simulation versus observations of CO for 14 stations in the remote Pacific. Per definition, these indexes are calculated for data points where both observations and simulations are available over the period 1994-2014. Symbols are as in Table 2.

Location	R	RMS (ppbv)	NMB (%)	IA	Data Points
Christmas Island (1.70N, 157.1W)	0.42	8.0	1	0.59	177
Guam (13.39N, 144.65E)	0.85	12.9	-10	0.86	239
Rapa Nui (27.16S,109.4W)	0.85	7.5	9	0.78	222
Samoa (14.25S, 170.6W)	0.64	6.3	6	0.72	252
Pacif. Ocean (15N,145W)	0.86	16.0	-14	0.8	190
Pacif. Ocean (10N,149W)	0.86	13.1	-11	0.8	194
Pacif. Ocean (5N,151W)	0.59	10.1	0	0.66	195
Pacif. Ocean (0N/S,155W)	0.36	9.1	6	0.59	191
Pacif. Ocean (5S,159W)	0.45	6.9	5	0.63	186
Pacif. Ocean (10S,161W)	0.59	6.0	6	0.66	189
Pacif. Ocean (15S,164W)	0.72	7.4	9	0.69	195
Pacif. Ocean (20S,167W)	0.86	10.6	15	0.68	184
Pacif. Ocean (25S,171W)	0.86	13.5	20	0.59	193
Pacif. Ocean (30S,176W)	0.85	15.3	23	0.52	193
Northern Hemisphere Stations	**0.83**	**12.4**	**-8**	**0.84**	**995**
Southern Hemisphere Stations	**0.63**	**9.6**	**11**	**0.65**	**1805**

Table 5. Pearson correlation (R) and number data points (N) for bimonthly averaged CO values vs. MEIV.2 index. In the column Observations, we show the calculation for observed CO vs MEIV.2 Under Model, we show the corresponding calculation considering the continuous series of CO values simulated with the model. Under Model*, we consider model outputs only for those cases when there are CO observations. ~~according to observations and model. Model* indicates the same calculation but using only those data points for which there are concurrent observations.~~

Location	Observations		Model		Model *	
	R	**N**	**R**	**N**	**R**	**N**
Christmas Island (1.70N, 157.1W)	-0.19	172	-0.18	251	-0.15	172
Guam (13.39N, 144.65E)	0.60	234	0.58	251	0.58	234
Rapa Nui (27.16S,109.4W)	-0.04	217	0.23	251	0.24	217
Samoa (14.25S, 170.6W)	0.10	251	0.24	251	0.24	251
Pacif. Ocean (15N,145W)	0.16	185	0.37	251	0.30	185
Pacif. Ocean (10N,149W)	0.05	190	0.35	251	0.22	190
Pacif. Ocean (5N,151W)	0.04	191	0.01	251	-0.24	191
Pacif. Ocean (0N/S,155W)	0.07	186	-0.18	251	-0.33	186
Pacif. Ocean (5S,159W)	0.16	182	-0.25	251	-0.26	182
Pacif. Ocean (10S,161W)	0.09	185	0.16	251	-0.14	185
Pacif. Ocean (15S,164W)	0.27	191	0.20	251	0.23	191
Pacif. Ocean (20S,167W)	0.17	179	0.36	251	0.39	179
Pacif. Ocean (25S,171W)	-0.10	184	0.33	251	0.31	184
Pacif. Ocean (30S,176W)	-0.01	189	0.32	251	0.32	189

870 **Table 6. Estimated trends of surface CO in the Pacific Ocean according to observations and model outputs for the period 1994-2014. Trends are calculated following Lamsal et al. (2015) and errors as in Tiao. (1990). The number of data points (N) considered is also indicated. Trends and errors are in ~~ppbv~~ppbv/decade.**

Location	Observations			Model		
	Trend	Error	N	Trend	Error	N
Christmas Island (1.70N, 157.1W)	-4.6	0.3	198	-2.5	0.2	251
Guam (13.39N, 144.65E)	-5.2	0.5	196	0.3	0.4	251
Samoa (14.25S, 170.6W)	-0.5	0.2	200	-1.7	0.1	251
Rapa Nui (27.16S,109.4W)	-3.7	0.2	209	-2.0	0.1	251
Pacif. Ocean (30S,176W)	-2.7	0.3	238	-1.8	0.2	251
Pacif. Ocean (25S,171W)	-2.8	0.3	199	-2.0	0.2	251
Pacif. Ocean (20S,167W)	-3.9	0.3	201	-2.0	0.1	251
Pacif. Ocean (15S,164W)	-3.4	0.3	176	-1.6	0.1	251
Pacif. Ocean (10S,161W)	-2.4	0.2	197	-1.7	0.1	251
Pacif. Ocean (5S,159W)	-4.3	0.3	187	-2.5	0.2	251
Pacif. Ocean (0N/S,155W)	-3.9	0.5	195	-2.6	0.2	251
Pacif. Ocean (5N,151W)	-3.9	0.5	251	-1.8	0.2	251
Pacif. Ocean (10N,149W)	-3.9	0.5	201	-0.6	0.2	251
Pacif. Ocean (15N,145W)	-6.1	0.7	190	-0.5	0.2	251

Figure 1. Area of study and ozone profile climatologies (1994-2014) as derived from the observations and simulations for six sites in the remote Pacific (red dots). The location of the stations is shown on the map. Also shown are the stations where surface CO measurements were considered (red + symbol). Ozone measurements are shown in green, and simulations in blue. The error bars correspond to one standard deviation of the mean. The vertical grey line represents the chemical tropopause (100 ppb for the southern hemisphere, Prather et al., (2011)). Rapa Nui and Samoa stations, where both O3 and CO observations are available, are marked with a dot and circle. Ozone data were obtained from https://tropo.gsfc.nasa.gov/shadoz/, except for Rapa Nui that was accessed at http://www.cr2.cl/datos-ozonosonda/. CO data come from https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/dv/data/

Figure 2. a) Climatological mean of omega vertical velocity (colors in Pa/s) and 200 hPa winds (vectors) from ERA-Interim reanalysis for the period between 1990 to 2009. b) NOAA interpolated Outgoing Longwave Radiation from 1981 to 2010 in W/m2 (colors) and 850 hPa winds (vectors) from ERA-Interim (https://www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts/datasets/reanalysis-datasets/era-interim).

Figure 3. Model – Satellite comparison between TM4-ECPL and OMI/MLS mean tropospheric ozone column between October 2004 and December 2014. The top left panel shows the average modelled tropospheric ozone column for the period, the top right the average observed tropospheric ozone column, the bottom left is the absolute difference between model and satellite (DU) and the bottom right the relative difference (%) between model and satellite in the 1ox1.25o grid of OMI. The black dots show the location of Samoa (left) and Rapa Nui (right)

Figure 4. Mean tropospheric ozone column of model results versus observations (in DU) for the period between October 2004 and December 2014 for all grids cells of 1ox1.25o shown in Figure 3. Blue points are NH locations, red points are SH locations. The black line is the 1:1 line. The red line is the linear fit of all the SH data with the fit equation in red text, where the blue line is the linear fit of the NH data with the fit equation in blue text.

Figure 5. Model – Satellite comparison between TM4-ECPL and MOPITT for CO total columns averaged from 2000 to 2014 (in 1018 molec/cm2). (a) modelled data sampled following the satellite track and the overpass times and averaged over the period, (b) satellite data averaged over the period, (c) absolute difference (in 1018 molec/cm2) and (d) relative difference (in %) between the mean values of model results and satellite in the 22km x 22km grids of MOPITT. The black dots show the location of Samoa (left) and Rapa Nui (right)

Timeseries of O$_3$ for different altitudes at Samoa (left) and Rapa Nui (right)

Figure 6. Time series of ozone in the boundary layer (1.3 km, (e) and (f)), mid-troposphere (6.5 km, (c) and (d)), and upper troposphere and lowers stratosphere (13.1 km, (a) and (b)) as derived from the model (black lines) and ozonesonde measurements (red dots). Data correspond to the period between 1994 and 2014 at Samoa ((a,c,e)) and Rapa Nui (b,d,f).

920

.

925

Figure 7. Comparison between monthly averages of simulated and observed surface CO at 14 stations in the Pacific (see Table 4). We show in ~~blue~~ red stations in the Southern Hemisphere (SH), and in ~~red~~ blue stations in the Northern Hemisphere (NH)~~.~~ Also shown, are the model bias over the SH and the NH, and the number of data points considered. For reference, we draw the line 1:1.

930

935

Figure 8. Timeseries (top row) of observed (red line) and simulated (blue line) CO volume mixing ratios for Samoa (left) and Rapa Nui (right). The seasonal variability is depictied in box plots as shown by observations (middle row) and the simulation (bottom row) for CO for the same stations. ~~Whiskers~~ Boxes show the 25 to 75 percentiles of the data. Whiskers show the 0th and 100th percentile, excluding outliers. Circles show outliers, the green triangle is the average, and the blue line is the median of each monthly distribution.

940

Figure 9. Cross-section of the 1994-2014 average atmospheric concentration of O₃ at the latitude of Samoa (top) and Rapa Nui (bottom) for south hemispheric spring (September-October-November) as calculated by the TM4-ECPL. The left column shows the O₃ mixing ratio, the middle column shows the percentage of O₃ originating from the stratosphere and the right column the percentage contribution of biomass burning to the O3 concentrations (note that the third column scale is up to 50%). The black vertical lines indicate the location of Samoa in the upper panels, and Rapa Nui in the lower panels, and the blue rectangle encloses the region of interest.

960

Figure 10. As in Figure 10, but for southern hemisphere autumn (March-April-May). Note that the third collunm scale is up to 15%.

965

Figure 11. Vertical distribution of CO from different source regions (see legend) in Samoa (left) and Rapa Nui (right) for the high burning period (September-October-November). Tot CO represents the contribution of all sources of CO, whereas BB CO represents the sum of all ~~shown~~ biomass burning sources. The line in red (sum) indicates the sum of all individual BB contributions 970 shown.

.

975

Figure 12. Impact of S. American fires to CO concentrations. a) JJA and b) SON 20 year mean impact at the cross-section of Samoa, c) JJA and d) SON at the cross-section of Rapa Nui. e) JJA. and f) SON horizontal distribution of the contribution at 6.5 km altitude

Impact of S. African fires to CO

Figure 13. Impact of S. African fires to CO concentrations. a) JJA and b) SON 20 year mean impact at the cross-section of Samoa, c) JJA and d) SON at the cross-section of Rapa Nui. e) JJA. and f) SON horizontal distribution of the contribution at 6.5 km altitude

Figure 14. Impact of S. E. Asian fires to CO concentrations. a) JJA and b) SON 20 year mean impact at the cross-section of Samoa, c) JJA and d) SON at the cross-section of Rapa Nui. e) JJA. and f) SON horizontal distribution of the contribution at 6.5 km altitude

Impact of Oceanian fires to CO

990

Figure 15. Impact of Oceanian fires to CO concentrations. a) JJA and b) SON 20 year mean impact at the cross-section of Samoa, c) JJA and d) SON at the cross-section of Rapa Nui. e) JJA. and f) SON horizontal distribution of the contribution at 6.5 km altitude