

# Measurement report: Long-term changes in black carbon and aerosol optical properties from 2012 to 2020 in Beijing, China

5 Jiaxing Sun<sup>1,2</sup>, Zhe Wang<sup>1</sup>, Wei Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Conghui Xie<sup>1,2, a</sup>, Cheng Wu<sup>3</sup>, Chun Chen<sup>1,2</sup>, Tingting Han<sup>1, b</sup>,  
Qingqing Wang<sup>1</sup>, Zhijie Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Jie Li<sup>1</sup>, Pingqing Fu<sup>4</sup>, Zifa Wang<sup>1,2,5</sup>, and Yele Sun<sup>1,2,5 \*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Boundary Layer Physics and Atmospheric Chemistry, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China

<sup>2</sup>College of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

10 <sup>3</sup>Institute of Mass Spectrometer and Atmospheric Environment, Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632, China

<sup>4</sup>Institute of Surface-Earth System Science, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China

<sup>5</sup>Center for Excellence in Regional Atmospheric Environment, Institute of Urban Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xiamen 361021, China

<sup>a</sup>now at: State Key Joint Laboratory of Environmental Simulation and Pollution Control, College of Environmental  
15 Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China

<sup>b</sup>now at: Environmental Meteorology Forecast Center of Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei, Beijing 100089, China

\* Correspondence to: Yele Sun (sunyele@mail.iap.ac.cn)

**Abstract.** Atmospheric aerosols play an important role in radiation balance of the earth-atmosphere system. However, our  
20 knowledge of the long-term changes in equivalent black carbon (BC<sub>e</sub>BC) and aerosol optical properties in China are very  
limited. Here we analyze the nine-year measurements of BC<sub>e</sub>BC and aerosol optical properties from 2012 to 2020 in  
Beijing, China. Our results showed large reductions in eBC by 67-71% from  $6.25 \pm 5.54 \pm 5.2573$   $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  in 2012 to  $1.80$   
 $\pm 1.54$   $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  in 2020, and 47-% decreases in light extinction coefficient ( $b_{\text{ext}, \lambda = 630 \text{ nm}}$ ) of fine particles due to clean air  
action plan since 2013. The seasonal and diurnal variations of eBC illustrated the most significant reductions in the fall and  
25 night time, respectively.  $\Delta\text{eBC}/\Delta\text{CO}$  also showed an annual decrease from  $\sim$  67 to  $4$   $\text{ng m}^{-3} \text{ ppbv}^{-1}$  and presented strong  
seasonal variations with high values in spring and fall, indicating that primary emissions in Beijing have changed

significantly. As a response to clean air action, single scattering albedo (SSA) showed a considerable increase from  $0.79 \pm 0.11$  to  $0.88 \pm 0.06$ , and mass extinction efficiency (MEE) increased from 3.2 to  $3.8 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ . These results highlight an increasing importance of scattering aerosols in radiative forcing, and a future challenge in visibility improvement due to enhanced MEE. Brown carbon (BrC) showed similar changes and seasonal variations to eBC during 2018–2020. However, we found a large increase of secondary BrC in the total BrC in most seasons, particularly in summer with the contribution up to 50%, demonstrating an enhanced role of secondary formation in BrC in recent years. The long-term changes in eBC and BrC have also affected the radiative forcing effect. The direct radiative forcing ( $\Delta F_R$ ) of BC decreased by ~~64~~67% from ~~+3.00~~3.6  $\text{W m}^{-2}$  in 2012 to  $+1.09 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  in 2020, and that of BrC decreased from  $+0.30$  to  $+0.17 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  during 2018–~~20~~20. Such changes might have important implications in affecting aerosol and boundary-layer interactions and the future air quality improvement.

## 1 Introduction

Atmospheric aerosols play an important role in the radiative balance of the earth-atmosphere system by directly scattering and absorbing solar radiation or indirectly changing cloud reflectivity and precipitation processes (Bond and Bergstrom, 2006; Rosenfeld, 2000). Accurate assessment of radiative forcing caused by aerosol is still a challenge (IPCC, 2013) due to the uncertainties in estimating scattering coefficient ( $b_{\text{sca}}$ ), absorption coefficient ( $b_{\text{abs}}$ ), and single-scattering albedo (SSA). Especially, SSA is a key factor determining whether aerosols exert ~~a~~-warming or cooling ~~effeet~~effects. Previous studies found that ~~thean~~ increase of SSA from 0.8 to 0.9 can ~~-often~~ shift the radiative forcing from positive to negative (Hansen et al., 1997; Lee et al., 2007). These key parameters of aerosol optical properties are closely related to the size distribution, mass concentration and composition of aerosols which have been extensively studied in previous studies (Han et al., 2015; Paola Massoli et al., 2015; Xie et al., ~~2019~~2019a; Zhai et al., 2017). Generally, sulfate, nitrate and ~~a~~-majority ~~of~~most organics predominantly scatter light and exert negative forcing effect (Han et al., 2015; Haywood and Boucher, 2000). Differently, black carbon (BC) and brown carbon (BrC) are the major absorption aerosols which warm atmosphere and present positive forcing effect (Bond et al., 2013). BC is mainly generated from ~~the~~-incomplete combustion of fossil

50 fuels and bio-fuels which ~~shows absorptions~~has absorption at all wavelengths and produces strong radiative forcing effect (Jacobson, 2001; Bond et al., 2013; IPCC, 2013). Depending on the measurement ~~methods~~method, BC is also called elemental carbon (EC), refractory black carbon (rBC) and equivalent black carbon (eBC) which is derived from converting light absorption coefficient into mass concentration with a suitable mass absorption efficient (MAE) (Petzold et al., 2013). As a part of organic carbon, the absorbing ability of BrC depends strongly on wavelength (Andreae and Gelencsér, 2006; Cappa et al., 2019), and generally accounts for 20 ~ 40-% to the total absorption of carbonaceous aerosol over a global scale (Saleh et al., 2013; Jo et al., 2016; Park et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2019a). Besides the similar primary sources with BC (i.e., biomass burning, coal combustion and vehicle exhaust), BrC can also be produced from multiphase reactions like photochemical or aqueous-phase oxidation of volatile organic compounds (Laskin et al., 2015).

High concentrations of absorbing and scattering aerosols also cause air pollution and effect human's health (Oberdörster and Yu, 1990). BC is a particular pollutant which could affect the development of boundary layer by changing atmospheric heating rate and ~~then~~ aggravate air pollution (Ding et al., 2016). Severe air pollution has been a wide environmental concern in China during the last decade (Zhou et al., 2020; An et al., 2019). The previous studies showed that China and India contributed most to the BC emissions in Asia, accounting for 25 ~ 35-%% in 2010 (Li et al., 2017; Wei et al., 2020; Bond et al., 2013; Ramanathan and Carmichael, 2008). In China, the BC emissions were estimated to be approximately 2534 Gg in 2014, twice as much as that in 1960 (Hoesly et al., 2018). Until 2017, the residential and industry contributed more than 83-% of Chinese BC emissions (Wang et al., 2012). ~~Focusing on BC sources and emissions changes in China has outstanding implications for global climate change. Therefore, it is important to characterize the long-term changes in BC and its response to the changes in source emissions.~~

Beijing as one of the largest megacities in the world has been a great success in decreasing PM<sub>2.5</sub> during the last decade by 70 implementing clean air action plan (Zhang et al., 2019). Many previous studies focused on the changes in aerosol chemical components and the influences of emissions and meteorological conditions (Lei et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2020b; Sun et al., 2018). The mass concentration, mixing state, optical property and coating chemical composition of BC in Beijing were also widely investigated in field campaigns in specific seasons (Din et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2021; Xie et

al., 2020; Han et al., 2017). However, our understanding of the long-term ~~changes~~change of black carbon, aerosol optical  
75 properties and radiative effects as a ~~consequence of clean air action~~ areresponse to the “Atmospheric Pollution Prevention  
and Control Action Plan” ([http://www.gov.cn/zw/gk/2013-09/12/content\\_2486773.htm](http://www.gov.cn/zw/gk/2013-09/12/content_2486773.htm)) is very limited.

In this study, we conducted nine-year measurements of eBC and light extinction coefficient ( $\lambda = 630$  nm) by using  
Aethalometers along with cavity attenuated phase shift (CAPS) extinction monitor in Beijing. The long-term changes in  
eBC,  $b_{\text{ext}}$ , SSA and mass extinction efficient (MEE) are investigated, and their annual, seasonal and diurnal variations are  
80 elucidated. Moreover, we illustrate the changes in BrC absorption and absorption Ångström exponent (AAE) by using  
three-year measurements from 2018 to 2020. Particularly, the contributions of primary emissions and secondary formation  
to BrC absorptions are quantified and their changes during the past three years are demonstrated. Finally, the impact of the  
changes in BC and BrC on direct radiative forcing is estimated and discussed.

## 2 Methods

### 85 2.1 Sampling sites and measurements

All optical measurements were conducted at the Institute of Atmospheric Physics (IAP), Chinese Academy of Sciences  
(39°58'28"N, 116°22'16"E) in Beijing. ~~More detail descriptions of this~~the sampling site were given in previous study (Sun  
et al., 2020a). Equivalent BC (eBC) ~~were~~was measured by a two wavelength (375 and 880 nm) Aethalometer (AE22,  
Magee Scientific) from August 2012 to December 2014 and a seven-wavelength (370, 470, 520, 590, 660, 880, and 950  
90 nm) Aethalometer (AE33, Magee Scientific) from January 2015 to November 2020, along with the  
~~measurements~~measurement of light extinction ( $b_{\text{ext}}$ ,  $\lambda = 630$  nm) of dry fine particles using a CAPS extinction monitor  
from 2012 to 2020. ~~A more detailed instrument deployment is shown in Fig. S1.~~ Note that the measurements of  
Aethalometers and CAPS from June 2013 to September 2014 and from ~~September~~August 2015 to August 2017 were not  
available. The available data are from August 2012 to May 2013, October 2014 to September 2015 and September 2017  
95 to December 2020. A more detailed instrument deployment is shown in Fig. S1. The AE22 and AE33 were operated at  
time resolutions of 10 min and 1 min, respectively, and the CAPS was operated at a time resolution of 1 s. Because the

new version of AE33 using “dual-spot” technique can provide more reliable measurements by better correcting the filter-based loading effects (Drinovec et al., 2015), we further corrected the eBC ~~measurements of AE22 according to parallel measurements (Han et al., 2015)~~ measurement of AE22 according to a parallel measurement between AE33 and AE22 ( $R^2 = 0.99$ , slope = 1.38) (Han et al., 2017). The mass concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and CO were obtained from the air quality monitoring station at the Olympic Center (39°59′11″N, 116°23′58″E), which is approximately 4 km from our sampling site. The meteorological parameters of wind direction (WD) and wind speed (WS) were measured at the height of 102 m on the Beijing 325 m meteorological tower. In this study, four seasons are defined as: spring (March, April and May), summer (June, July and August), autumn (September, October and November), and winter (December, January and February in next year) ~~in the following discussion.~~

## 2.2 Calculations of aerosol optical properties

Single scattering albedo (SSA,  $\lambda = 630$  nm) of PM<sub>2.5</sub> can be calculated:

$$SSA = \frac{b_{ext} - b_{abs}}{b_{ext}} \quad (1)$$

$$b_{abs, 630nm} = eBC \times MAE \quad (2)$$

where  $b_{ext}$  is the light extinction coefficient at 630 nm. The mass concentration of eBC was converted to  $b_{abs}$  at 630 nm using an MAE of 7.9 in spring and summer and 7.4 in fall and winter, respectively (Han et al., 2017).

The mass extinction efficiency (MEE) of PM<sub>2.5</sub> was derived as the ratio of  $b_{ext}$  to the mass concentration of PM<sub>2.5</sub>,

$$MEE = \frac{b_{ext}}{PM_{2.5}} \quad (3)$$

The absorption Ångström exponent (AAE) can be determined using Eq. (4) (Moosmüller et al., 2011), and the  $b_{abs, BC}$  at each wavelength was estimated assuming an AAE = 1 for pure BC (Bond and Bergstrom, 2006). After subtracting  $b_{abs, BC}$  from the total absorption coefficient  $b_{abs, total}$  at 370 nm, the BrC absorption coefficient ( $b_{abs, BrC}$ ) can be estimated with Eq. ~~(5). In this study, we calculated BrC absorption at 370 nm that referred to  $b_{abs, BrC}$  (5)~~. Note that we may slightly overestimate

the absorption of BrC due to the influence of dust though the MAE of dust was much lower than BC and BrC (Yang et al., 2008),.

$$120 \quad \frac{b_{abs,\lambda_1}}{b_{abs,\lambda_2}} = \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^{-AAE} \quad (4)$$

$$b_{abs,BrC} = b_{abs,total} - b_{abs,BC} \quad (5)$$

### 2.3 Quantification of primary and secondary BrC absorption

The absorption of BrC at 370 nm can be segregated into primary ( $b_{abs, Primary BrC}$ ) and secondary ( $b_{abs, Secondary BrC}$ ) using Eq. (6) assuming negligible contribution of dust.

$$125 \quad b_{abs, Secondary BrC} = b_{abs,BrC} - (b_{abs,BrC}/b_{abs,BC})_{pri} \times b_{abs,BC} \quad (6)$$

where  $b_{abs, BC}$  was the absorption at 880 nm,  $(b_{abs, BrC}/b_{abs, BC})_{pri}$  is the ratio of primary BrC absorption to BC absorption. Considering  $b_{abs, BC} = [eBC] \cdot MAE$ , we simplify the Eq. (6) to Eq. (7).

$$b_{abs, Secondary BrC} = b_{abs,BrC} - (b_{abs,BrC}/eBC)_{pri} \times eBC \quad (7)$$

130 In this study, Here  $(b_{abs, BrC}/eBC)_{pri}$  was determined by the newly developed MRS method (Wu and Yu, 2016) using the mass concentration of BC as a tracer (Wang et al., 2019a). In MRS calculation, the correlation coefficients ( $R^2$ ) between measured eBC and estimated  $b_{abs, Secondary BrC}$  was examined as a function of a series of hypothetical  $(b_{abs, BrC}/eBC)_{pri}$ . The  $(b_{abs, BrC}/eBC)_{pri}$  with the minimum correlation coefficients ( $R^2$ ) between BC and  $b_{abs, Secondary BrC}$  was assumed as the most statistically probable  $(b_{abs, BrC}/eBC)_{pri}$  considering the independent variations between BC and  $b_{abs, Secondary BrC}$ . Based on this method, we first determined the monthly  $(b_{abs, BrC}/eBC)_{pri}$  with an example of analysis of three months in the fall of 2020  
135 (Fig. S2). The  $b_{abs, Secondary BrC}$  was then determined as the difference between the total  $b_{abs, BrC}$  and  $b_{abs, Primary BrC}$ .

## 2.4 Estimation of radiative forcing of BC and BrC

We estimated the direct radiative forcing ( $\Delta F_R$ ) caused by BC and BrC at the top-of-atmosphere (TOA) based on forcing equations suggested by [a](#) previous study (Chylek and Wong, 1995), the modified wavelength-dependent version of the equation is given ~~as~~ below (Chen and Bond, 2010):

$$140 \quad -\Delta F_R = \int -\frac{1dS(\lambda)}{4d\lambda} \tau_{atm}^2(\lambda)(1 - F_c)[(1 - a_s)^2 2\beta \tau_{scat}(\lambda) - 4a_s \tau_{abs}(\lambda)] d\lambda \quad (8)$$

where  $S$  is the solar irradiance ( $\text{W m}^{-2}$ ),  $\tau_{atm}$  is the atmospheric transmission (unitless),  $F_c$  is the fractional cloud amount (unitless),  $a_s$  is the surface reflectance (unitless), and  $\beta$  is the backscatter fraction (unitless), and  $\tau_{scat}$  and  $\tau_{abs}$  are the aerosol scattering and absorption optical depths (unitless), respectively. Wavelength-dependent  $S(\lambda)$  and  $\tau_{atm}(\lambda)$  are derived from the ASTM G173-03 reference spectra (Chen and Bond, 2010).  $F_c$  and  $a_s$  are 0.6 and 0.19, respectively based  
 145 on previous studies (Bond and Bergstrom, 2006; Wang et al., 2019b).  $\beta$  is 0.29 (Charlson et al., 1992). Based on [the](#) method in previous study (Wang et al., 2019b),  $\tau_{scat}$  and  $\tau_{abs}$  can be estimated as  $\tau_{scat}(\lambda) = b_{sca}(\lambda) \times H_{eff}$  and  $\tau_{abs}(\lambda) = b_{abs}(\lambda) \times H_{eff}$ , respectively, where  $b_{sca}(\lambda)$  and  $b_{abs}(\lambda)$  are scattering and absorption coefficients, respectively, and  $H_{eff}$  is effective height. The effective heights can be derived from the relationship between aerosol optical depth  $\tau$  ( $=\tau_{scat} + \tau_{abs}$ , available from the Aerosol Robotic Network data archive) and light extinction coefficient by CAPS. The detail results of  $H_{eff}$  in four  
 150 seasons are shown in Table S2. [In addition, the uncertainties of BC and BrC  \$\Delta F\_R\$  were also estimated \(see supplementary for details\).](#)

~~The uncertainties of BC and BrC absorption  $\Delta F_R$  for (including primary and secondary ones) were quantitatively determined through the use of Monte Carlo simulations. Note that the uncertainty was represented as one standard deviation ( $\pm 1\sigma$ ) or the coefficient of variation (CV,  $\sigma$  divided by the mean) expressed as a percentage. According to uncertainty propagation, the CV for  $b_{abs, BC}(\lambda)$  as follows,  $CV_{b_{abs, BC}(\lambda)} \approx \{[(CV_{b_{abs, BC, 880}})^2 + [CV_{\alpha} * \alpha * \ln(880/\lambda)]^2]\}^{1/2}$ , where  $CV_{b_{abs, BC, 880}}$  and  $CV_{\alpha}$  represent the uncertainty of measured absorption coefficient at 880nm ( $\sim 25\%$ ) and absorption Ångström exponent of pure BC ( $\sim 10\%$ ) (Lu et al., 2015; Bond et al., 2013; Lack and Langridge, 2013; Gyawali et al., 2009), respectively. The CV for  $b_{abs, BrC}(\lambda)$  could be quantified as follows,  $CV_{b_{abs, BrC}(\lambda)} \approx \{[(CV_{b_{abs, BrC, 370}})^2 + [CV_{\beta} * \beta * \ln(370/\lambda)]^2]\}^{1/2}$  where  $CV_{b_{abs, BrC, 370}}$  and  $CV_{\beta}$  represent the uncertainties of BrC absorption coefficient at 370 nm ( $CV_{b_{abs, BrC, 370}} \approx \{[(CV_{b_{abs, total, 370}})^2 + [CV_{\alpha} * \alpha * \ln(880/370)]^2]\}^{1/2} \sim 26\%$ ) and absorption Ångström exponent of BrC (fitting uncertainty  $\sim 10\%$ ), respectively. Similarly,  $CV_{b_{abs, PriBrC}(\lambda)}$  and  $CV_{b_{abs, SecBrC}(\lambda)}$  also could be quantified. The CVs were as the parameters for the Monte Carlo analysis, and 100000 simulations were conducted to evaluate uncertainties.~~

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Temporal variations of ~~BC~~eBC

165 Fig. 1a shows the annual variations of eBC,  $\Delta$ eBC/ $\Delta$ CO and eBC/PM<sub>2.5</sub> during 2012-2020. The annual mean ( $\pm 1\sigma$ ) concentration of eBC was ~~5.54~~~~±~~~~56.25~~ ± 5.73  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  in 2012 (from August in 2012 to ~~June~~May in 2013) and decreased by ~~67-71~~% (1.80  $\pm$  1.54) in 2020. The Mann-Kendall trend test supported that the decrease in eBC from 2012 to 2020 was significant (Table S1). The annual mean concentration in 2020 was similar to that in Milan (Mousavi et al., 2019), lower than that in Xiamen (Deng et al., 2020), Shanghai (Wei et al., 2020), and Hefei (Zhang et al., 2015), yet higher than that in

170 Nanjing (Jing et al., 2019) and New York City (Rattigan et al., 2013). A ~~similar~~significant reduction in CO by ~~68-56~~% from 2012 to 2020 (Fig. ~~S2S3~~) also indicated that ~~the~~primary emissions from incomplete combustion reduced significantly ~~in~~during the past decade. Considering that different primary sources showed different emissions of BC and CO (Spackman et al., 2008; Derwent et al., 2001), we calculated  $\Delta$ eBC/ $\Delta$ CO as the ratio of (eBC - ~~eBC~~<sub>0</sub>) and (CO - ~~CO~~<sub>0</sub>) which was widely used to identify the variations of BC sources (Kondo et al., 2006; Subramanian et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2020). The

175 background concentration of CO (CO<sub>0</sub>) was determined as ~~values of~~the average of 1.25 percentile ~~of~~in each year, and that of eBC (eBC<sub>0</sub> concentration) was assumed as zero considering the negligible natural sources of BC in the clean background except biomass burning and wild fires (Pan et al., 2011; Han et al., 2009), and the short lifetime in the atmosphere (Bond et al., 2013). As shown in Fig. 1a, the annual mean values of  $\Delta$ eBC/ $\Delta$ CO and eBC/PM<sub>2.5</sub> presented similarly decreasing trends, indicating a significant change in the structure of primary emission sources. Fig. 2 presents the monthly variations

180 of eBC,  $\Delta$ eBC/ $\Delta$ CO and eBC/PM<sub>2.5</sub>. The eBC showed consistently seasonal patterns across different years with wintertime eBC almost twice that in summer mainly due to largely enhanced coal combustion emissions in heating season (Sun et al., 2018), consistent with the higher eBC/PM<sub>2.5</sub> in wintertime.  $\Delta$ eBC/ $\Delta$ CO presented pronounced seasonal variations. The highest values up to 12.0 ng m<sup>-3</sup> ppbv<sup>-1</sup> occurred in spring and fall likely due to the influences of biomass burning emissions (Pan et al., 2011; Han et al., 2009; Streets et al., 2003; Westerdahl et al., 2009; Spackman et al., 2008).

185 However, the monthly average  $\Delta$ eBC/ $\Delta$ CO became relatively constant after 2018, suggesting that the primary emission



sources of eBC and CO were relatively stable after the five-year clean air action (2013 – 2017) in Beijing (Spackman et al., 2008).

As illustrated in Fig. 3, the mass concentrations of eBC were ~~uniformlyubiquitously~~ decreased ~~in four~~ during all seasons ~~from 2012 to in~~ 2020 ~~except for~~ compared to 2012. Especially, the mass concentration of eBC decreased by more than 63% and 44% from 2014 to 2017, and even up to 75% in summer from 2012 to 2015, and the  $\Delta$ eBC/ $\Delta$ CO changed differently in different seasons. These results indicate a ~~small~~ significant response of black carbon aerosol to the “Atmospheric Pollution Prevention and Control Action Plan”. Although a temporary increase in 2018. According to the frequency distribution of eBC and  $\Delta$ eBC/ $\Delta$ CO (Fig. S3), we found higher values of  $\Delta$ eBC/ $\Delta$ CO in 2018 than 2019 and 2020 suggesting3 and Fig. S4) in 2018 suggested a change of primary emission after 2018. The emissions or meteorological influences, the implementation of the "Three-Year Action Plan for Blue Sky Defense" from 2018 to 2021 ([www.gov.cn/zhengce/content/2018-07/03/content\\_5303158.htm](http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/content/2018-07/03/content_5303158.htm)) still resulted in a further decrease in eBC and then remained relatively stable at lower levels during 2019–2020. Considering to the entire 9-year period, the largest decrease in eBC ~~from 2014 to 2020~~ was observed in fall (78-%) from 2014 to 2020 with  $\Delta$ eBC/ $\Delta$ CO and eBC/PM<sub>2.5</sub> decreasing 53-% and 3-%, respectively. The Mann-Kendall trend test (Table S1) also supported the long-term decreases in eBC and eBC/PM<sub>2.5</sub>. The eBC in spring decreased by 53–68% from 2013 to 2020 with the similarly significant decrement in  $\Delta$ eBC/ $\Delta$ CO. The average  $\Delta$ eBC/ $\Delta$ CO decreased from over 10 to below 5 in spring and fall, which suggested that the ~~decreases~~ decrease of BC ~~were~~ was mainly due to the reduced biomass burning emissions in the past eight years (Pan et al., 2011; Han et al., 2009; Streets et al., 2003; Westerdahl et al., 2009; Spackman et al., 2008). Different from the fall when BC emissions reduced more than other scattering pollutants, the ~~values~~ value of eBC/PM<sub>2.5</sub> ~~were~~ was relatively stable in spring. In comparison, the eBC and  $\Delta$ eBC/ $\Delta$ CO in summer were lower than those in other seasons except 2012, and they did not change substantially in recent years. This is likely due to relatively stable sources in summer, i.e., vehicle exhausts. Although ~~the wintertime~~ eBC decreased by more than 60-% in winter from 2012 to 2019, the different source contributions to BC were relatively constant in winter over eight years as indicated by flat  $\Delta$ eBC/ $\Delta$ CO (~~3–6 ng m<sup>-3</sup> ppbv<sup>-1</sup>~~); 4 ~ 6 ng m<sup>-3</sup> ppbv<sup>-1</sup>, representing typical coal combustion emission in winter (Wang et al., 2015)). One explanation

210 is that coal combustion and biomass burning emissions in winter were reduced similarly in Beijing due to the promotion of clean fuels. Overall, ~~the results above~~ the decreases in eBC during the last eight years in Beijing were mainly due to the changes in spring, fall and winter, and the reasons for the changes were different between winter and the other two seasons. In addition, more attention should be paid to the BC reduction in winter in the future based on the analysis of the four seasons.

215 Before 2015, the diurnal variation of eBC (Fig. 4) showed clear peaks at morning ~~peaks which were mainly due to the traffic-rush hours~~ ~~induring~~ four seasons. After implementing the “China 5” standard ~~applying~~ nationally and eliminating 5 million old vehicles in China (Zhang et al., 2019), the morning peaks of eBC disappeared. ~~Instead, they still, the~~ eBC presented ~~similar and~~ pronounced diurnal variations during ~~four all~~ seasons ~~characterized by with~~ the lowest mass concentrations in the afternoon due to high mixing layer height (MHMLH) and low emissions, ~~consistent with previous studies in Beijing (Xie et al., 2019). Due to (Xie et al., 2019a). Because of the~~ deeper ~~developments~~development of boundary layer in spring and summer, the lowest eBC values occurred during 15:00 ~ 17:00 which were later than those in fall and winter. Comparatively, ubiquitously higher ~~concentrations~~concentration of eBC in early morning resulted from a synergetic effect of shallow boundary layer ~~height~~ and high emissions from heavy-duty vehicles and diesel trucks that are only allowed to enter the city ~~only~~ between 23:00 and 6:00. Consistently, the diurnal ~~eyelescycle~~ of  $\Delta eBC/\Delta CO$  presented 220 the highest ~~values~~value at 2:00 ~ 6:00 before 2019 due to the ~~differences~~difference in vehicle emissions throughout the day. For example, previous studies found that CO is emitted primarily from gasoline vehicles which showed the low  $\Delta eBC/\Delta CO$  about  $3 \text{ ng m}^{-3} \text{ ppbv}^{-1}$ , while BC is dominated from diesel trucks and heavy-duty vehicles (~~Kondo et al., 2006~~)(Kondo et al., 2006; Han et al., 2009). We also ~~observed~~found that the decreases in  $\Delta eBC/\Delta CO$  and eBC from 2013 to 2019 were more significant at night, highlighting that the reductions ~~of in~~ diesel truck and heavy-duty vehicle 225 emissions at night contributed significantly to the decreases of BC in Beijing. Differently, the diurnal ~~eyelescycle~~ of  $\Delta eBC/\Delta CO$  in winter ~~were was~~ less pronounced than other seasons (Fig. ~~4h4~~), indicating that the ~~source~~source of BC ~~were was~~ relatively stable during heating period although the mass ~~concentrations~~concentration decreased. Particularly, we found that the diurnal variations of both  $\Delta eBC/\Delta CO$  and eBC in 2019 and 2020 were less pronounced during four

seasons. One ~~reason~~explanation was ~~likely due to the fact that~~significantly reduced primary emissions, e.g., vehicle emissions, ~~were significantly reduced~~ due to the influences of COVID-19. ~~According to the diurnal variations, we found even though diesel vehicle emission reduction contributed much to the whole BC decrease, its emission should also be controlled especially in summer and fall.~~

Fig. 5 shows the bivariate polar plots of eBC during four seasons and Fig. S5 illustrates the distribution of cities and towns around Beijing. In general, higher concentrations of eBC occurred in the region with low WS ( $< 2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ), while lower

concentrations often occurred in the region with high WS from the northwest during all seasons. In summer, the eBC presented similar distribution with high concentration in the middle and ~~also the~~ regionregions to the south and southeast except 2012, suggesting the important contributions from both local emissions and regional transport. Different from ~~the~~ summer, high concentrations of eBC occurred dominantly in a small region close to the sampling site during the other three seasons, suggesting the dominant source contributions from local emissions. However, the regional transport from the south

and southeast was also found to play an important role, e.g., spring 2015 and 2020, and winter 2017. It's interesting to note that the eBC from the southwest with low WS decreased significantly over eight years in fall while it still exceeded  $3 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  from the southeast in the fall of 2019. These results indicate that the source regions of eBC can be substantially different

in different years depending on meteorology. By comparing with the seasonal variation of  $\Delta\text{eBC}/\Delta\text{CO}$ , we inferred that biomass burning emissions from the southwest and regional transport from the southeast are two important non-local

sources of eBC in Beijing. ~~In conclusion, in the process of implementing air pollutant reduction actions~~Therefore, the synergistic control of the source emissions in Beijing and surrounding ~~areas of Beijing should not be neglected as well~~regions would greatly benefit the reductions in eBC in Beijing.

### 3.2 Temporal variations of aerosol optical properties

Fig. 1b presents the annual variations of  $b_{\text{ext}}$ , SSA and MEE. The annual mean ( $\pm 1\sigma$ ) of  $b_{\text{ext}}$  ~~was~~ decreased by 47-% from 2012 to 2020, while that of SSA ~~was~~ increased from  $0.79 \pm 0.11$  to  $0.88 \pm 0.06$ . Such an increase in SSA could ~~likely~~ shift the radiative forcing from positive to negative (Hansen et al., 1997; Lee et al., 2007). ~~Besides SSA,~~ MEE is also a key factor reflecting the responses of atmospheric light properties to aerosol composition changes. In particular, the annual

mean MEE and SSA increased despite the decreases in eBC and  $b_{\text{ext}}$  in the past decade indicating that scattering aerosol species played more important roles than absorbing aerosol species in radiative forcing. ~~This change~~The increased MEE is consistent with the findings of previous studies showing increased contributions of high scattering ammonium nitrate in fine particles (Y. Huang et al., 2013; Lei et al., 2020). The seasonal variations of SSA and MEE showed generally higher values in winter and lower values in summer (Fig. ~~S4S6~~). Such seasonal trends are overall ~~similar to~~consistent with those of eBC/PM<sub>2.5</sub> and eBC (Fig. 2), indicating that non-BC aerosol species in winter appeared to have higher scattering ~~efficient~~efficiency than those in summer.

265 Fig. ~~63~~ shows ~~that an increasing trend in~~ the seasonal average SSA ~~presented similar increasing trends~~ during all seasons indicating a more effective ~~controls~~control of absorbing aerosol (i.e., eBC) than scattering components during the last decade. This is consistent with recent studies showing larger reductions in primary aerosol species than secondary species ~~as responses in response~~ to emission controls (Sun et al., 2020b). The most significant increase of SSA was observed in fall from  $0.75 \pm 0.12$  to  $0.87 \pm 0.07$  during 2012-2020, followed by summer from  $0.77 \pm 0.12$  in 2012 to  $0.88 \pm 0.06$  in 2020.

270 The highest seasonal average SSA ( $0.88 \pm 0.06$ ) was observed in summer 2020, which ~~is was~~ close to that during the COVID-19 outbreak in spring 2020, yet was much higher than  $0.82 \pm 0.05$  observed in North China Plain in 2009 (Ma et al., 2011). We also noticed that the increase in SSA was becoming smaller over past eight years indicating that the relative contributions of light absorbing and scattering components became relatively stable as the progress of clean air action. Fig. ~~73~~ also shows the seasonal average of  $b_{\text{ext}}$  and MEE over past nine years. The MEE increased mostly by more than 43-% in summer from 2012 to 2020 although  $b_{\text{ext}}$  decreased ubiquitously during all seasons; ~~and~~ most notably in the fall from  $432 \text{ Mm}^{-1}$  in 2014 to less than  $140 \text{ Mm}^{-1}$  in 2020 (~ 68-%). Comparatively,  $b_{\text{ext}}$  was relatively stable at  $230 \text{ Mm}^{-1}$  in spring before 2019 and decreased substantially by 40-% in 2019 due to significant reductions in fine particles. Although  $b_{\text{ext}}$  was comparable in springs of 2019 and 2020, SSA was increased by 8-%. These results suggest ~~thethat~~ aerosol composition has also played an important role in changing aerosol optical properties. For example, higher SSA in spring 2020 resulted from

280 larger reductions in primary emissions e.g., absorbing eBC, than scattering secondary aerosol due to the decreases in anthropogenic emissions during the COVID-19 lockdown. The increase of MEE from  $2.6 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$  ~~in 2019~~ to  $3.6 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$  ~~in~~

2020 also suggested a significant change in scattering aerosol composition ~~from 2019 to 2020, consistent with the results in a previous study, such as an increase in nitrate contribution  $n$~~  (Lei et al., 2020). Compared with spring,  $b_{\text{ext}}$  decreased by 60-% in summer from 2012 to 2015 and then gradually increased afterwards. Similarly,  $b_{\text{ext}}$  also showed a sharp decrease of 60-% in winter from 2014 to 2017 and after that it continuously increased to  $> 200 \text{ Mm}^{-1}$  in 2019. Considering the increased SSA yet relatively constant mass concentrations of eBC, we inferred that the increased light extinction in winter was mainly caused by scattering aerosols that can vary substantially in different years due to the changes in meteorological conditions (Zhou et al., 2019). Overall, the results in this study clearly demonstrate the responses of aerosol optical properties to the changes in aerosol composition since clean air action in 2013. ~~In summary, seasonal variations of SSA and MEE indicates that scattering aerosols will become a new challenge affecting atmospheric visibility in all seasons. The diurnal cycles of  $b_{\text{ext}}$  and SSA in four seasons are shown in Fig. 7. The diurnal variations of SSA were similar and pronounced during all seasons that were characterized by the peaks at afternoon, consistent with previous studies in Beijing (Xie et al., 2019; Han et al., 2017). The diurnal cycles of  $b_{\text{ext}}$  and SSA in four seasons are shown in Fig. 4. The pronounced diurnal variations of SSA were characterized by afternoon peaks in all seasons, consistent with the measurements at 260 m in Beijing (Xie et al., 2019b).~~ Before 2015, SSA presented an obvious valley during 7:00 ~ 9:00 mainly due to the increased BC concentrations and contributions, and the valley was much smaller after 2015 due to the improvement of vehicle emission standards and the ~~reductions~~reduction in vehicle ~~emissions~~emission. After the early morning, SSA ~~increased~~ presented the highest values during 12:00 ~ 13:00. The major reason is the reduced eBC ~~emissions~~emission during daytime and enhanced ~~emission and~~ photochemical production of secondary scattering aerosols (Han et al., 2017).  ~~$b_{\text{ext}}$  also presented similar diurnal variations over~~Over the nine years ~~which were, the diurnal variation of  $b_{\text{ext}}$  was~~ characterized by higher values at night and lower values in daytime during ~~daytime~~all seasons. One of the major factors driving the diurnal variations is the evolution of boundary layer height (Han et al., 2017; Xie et al., ~~2019~~2019a; Han et al., 2015). As a response,  $b_{\text{ext}}$  reached the minimum at 12:00 ~ 14:00 in fall and winter whereas ~~it~~the minimum occurred during 16:00 ~ 18:00 in spring and summer due to a deeper mixingvertical convection in late afternoon. In fall, the ~~value of~~  $b_{\text{ext}}$  decreased while SSA increased at nighttime from 2012 to 2019, indicating that the reduction of BC at night had a significant

impact on the decrease of  $b_{\text{ext}}$ . Note that  $b_{\text{ext}}$  increased by more than 62% in winter from 2018 to 2020 as discussed above, yet the ~~reasons~~ causing the increased  $b_{\text{ext}}$  ~~were~~ different according to the diurnal variations. For example, the diurnal variations of  $b_{\text{ext}}$  in winters of 2017 and 2018 suggested that ~~the~~ increased  $b_{\text{ext}}$  was mainly due to simultaneously enhanced absorbing and scattering aerosols at night, consistent with relatively similar diurnal patterns of SSA in the two  
310 winters. However, the relative consistency of  $b_{\text{ext}}$  values from 20:00 to 4:00 in 2018 and 2019 indicated that the increase in seasonal average of  $b_{\text{ext}}$  from 2018 to 2019 was mainly due to the increase in scattering aerosols during ~~the~~ daytime when the eBC mass concentration was relatively stable. Overall, the reduced eBC and increased scattering aerosols together resulted in the increase in seasonal average of SSA by more than 4% from 2018 to 2019.

### 3.3 Temporal variations of light absorption of BrC

315 Fig. ~~8a6a~~ shows the seasonal variations of BrC absorption and AAE during 2017-2020. The seasonal average ~~of BrC~~ absorption ~~of BrC~~ was the highest during winter which was approximately twice that in spring and fall, and five times higher than that in summer. Consistent with the seasonal variations of eBC, the absorption of BrC in 2018 was generally higher than other years mainly due to the increased biomass burning emissions. The lowest AAE ubiquitously occurred in summer while the highest value up to 1.5 occurred in winter, ~~consistent with previous studies (Xie et al., 2020; Xie et al.,~~  
320 ~~2019)~~. Despite the stronger absorption in fall than spring in 2018 and 2019, the AAE was similar indicating the similar emission sources of BrC in the two seasons (Ran et al., 2016). Note that AAE was up to 1.39 in 2020 and showed a higher frequency at AAE > 1.3 in spring (Fig. 9), suggesting that the emissions with high combustion efficiency (e.g., traffic) decreased much more than the low efficiency sources (e.g., biomass burning) during the COVID-19 lockdown in Beijing. As illustrated in Fig. ~~97~~, the distribution of AAE in summer mainly concentrated in the range of 1.0 - 1.3 due to the low  
325 source emissions BrC than other seasons, particularly primary coal combustion and biomass burning emissions. However, we found a change in AAE distribution in summer 2020, which was characterized by a higher frequency at AAE > 1.3 suggesting a stronger BrC absorption. Further analysis showed that such a change was mainly due to the enhanced contribution from secondary BrC. Compared with summer, the AAE distribution was relatively stable in fall and winter, and the distribution range of ~ 1.2 - 1.9 in winter was overall higher than that in other seasons

330 As shown in Fig. ~~S5S7~~, the diurnal variations of BrC absorption were similar to that of eBC with generally higher values at nighttime except in summer during 2018 – 2020. This result indicated that the BC-related primary emissions ~~related BC~~ were also the main sources of BrC in spring, fall and winter. In comparison, the diurnal variations of BrC absorption were largely different from eBC in summer, ~~possibly due to the fact that~~ because BrC was significantly influenced by secondary organic aerosols. The diurnal variation of BrC absorption in summer 2020 was different from previous years while the

335 variations of eBC did not change significantly, supporting the increased contribution of secondary aerosol to BrC. Generally, the AAE showed a minimum at night followed by a daytime increase from 8:00 to 12:00 during four seasons (Fig. ~~S5S7~~), suggesting that photochemical production contributed dominantly to the BrC formation during daytime. By using the ~~minimum R-squared~~ MRS method (~~MRS~~) (Wu and Yu, 2016), we estimated the primary and secondary BrC absorptions in each month during 2017-2020. As shown in Fig. ~~S6, the monthly variations of BrC absorption were similar and pronounced which were characterized by high values in January and low values in July.~~ S8, the monthly variation of primary BrC absorption was pronounced and similar to that of eBC, with high values in January and low values in July. These results are mainly due to enhanced primary emission except summer, consistent with previous study showing enhanced BrC contribution to absorption within higher aerosol emission (Tian et al., 2020). Despite this, the primary BrC absorption decreased gradually from 2017 to 2020, mainly due to the decreased emissions of biomass burning and coal

340 combustion. We further explored the seasonal variations of primary and secondary BrC. As shown in Fig. ~~8b6b~~, BC dominated ultraviolet light absorption at 370 nm during four seasons with the highest contribution being in summer (~ 85 %) and the lowest in winter (~ 60-%). One reason is because BrC from biomass burning and coal combustion in summer was small, ~~consistent with which is also supported by the~~ lower AAE in summer than other seasons (Fig. ~~97~~). Note that the average contribution of BrC to the total absorption in summer increased to 16-% from 2018 to 2020 likely due to enhanced

350 ~~photochemical production associated with stronger atmospheric oxidation capacity. Comparatively~~ secondary organic aerosol in OA (Lei et al., 2020), and correspondingly, the contributions of primary BrC to the total BrC absorption decreased from 75-% to 50-%. The contributions of BrC absorption were comparable in spring and fall, accounting for 25-30-%. Due to the decreased primary ~~emission~~ emission and enhanced secondary production during the COVID-19, we

found that the contribution of BrC absorption was increased by more than 7% in spring from 2018 to 2020. In comparison, the contributions of BrC were larger than 40% in winter with slightly downward trends in past three years. The declines of primary emissions might be an explanation, mainly due to the replacement of coal to natural gas for residential heating in recent years.

Although the contributions of BrC absorption to the total absorption were relatively stable during four seasons from 2018 to 2020, the relative contributions of primary and secondary BrC changed significantly (Fig. 8e6c). Overall, the primary BrC was much higher than secondary BrC, yet showing decreasing trends from 2017 to 2020 except in spring. While the primary BrC contributed more than 75% to the total BrC in fall and winter, while they reached the minimum in summer (50-75%). The contribution of summertime primary BrC decreased by more than 25% from 2018 to 2020, and that of secondary BrC increased up to 50% to the total BrC in summer 2020 with an increase in AAE to 1.2. Given that eBC was decreased continuously decreased in summer in past three years, the increases in AAE were mainly due to larger secondary BrC production from photochemical reaction. We also observed a large increase in secondary BrC in winter from 2018 to 2020. While the secondary BrC was negligible in 2018, the contribution increased to ~ 25% in 2020, suggesting that secondary production of BrC became more important in winter, which is consistent with the continuous increase SOA in winter in recent years (Lei et al., 2020). Similar increases in secondary BrC were also observed in fall.

### 3.4 Direct radiative forcing of BC and BrC

As shown in Fig. 108, the annual mean  $\Delta F_R$  caused by BC was about +3.0036 W m<sup>-2</sup> in 2012 (from August in 2012 to June in 2013), close to that previously reported in north China (Yang et al., 2017). However,  $\Delta F_R$  decreased substantially by 64% (+1.09) in 2020, suggesting that the BC radiative forcing was largely reduced during the last decade. Previous studies (Ding et al., 2016) had shown Combined with the low SSA (annual mean value was about 0.79) in 2012, the negative radiative effect caused by scattering aerosols at TOA might be offset by BC, forming an inversion layer that exacerbated air pollution. Ding et al. (2016) found that the aerosol-boundary layer feedback to unit quantity of BC will be lower in higher aerosol loading case as solar radiation weakened. In our study, such decrease of However,  $\Delta F_R$  decreased substantially by 67% (+1.09) in 2020, suggesting that the BC radiative effect likely contributed much to quick forcing was largely reduced



during last decade which would help improve the air quality. ~~And the~~ by reducing aerosol-boundary layer interaction. The relatively lower  $\Delta F_R$  caused by BC in recent ~~year~~ years could lead to the negative radiative forcing of aerosols at TOA, thereby facilitate the dispersion of air pollutants in the boundary layer, which will in turn ~~will~~ maintain air pollution at a low level. However, the  $\Delta F_R$  in 2020 was also much higher than the global annual mean TOA radiative forcing  $0.40 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  (IPCC, 2013), indicating the positive radiative effect of BC in Beijing should be continually concerned in the future.

The seasonal variation of BC  $\Delta F_R$  (Fig. S7S9) suggested the largest decrease in summer and fall. ~~In addition~~ However, we noticed that the BC  $\Delta F_R$  was relatively stable in each season from 2019 and 2020, consistent with the small changes in eBC concentrations.

We also estimated the radiative effects of BrC. As shown in Fig. 408, BrC  $\Delta F_R$  decreased by 43-% from  $+0.30 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  in 2018 to  $+0.17 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  in 2020. ~~However, such a value, yet it~~ was much higher than the global mean ( $+0.04 \sim 0.11 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ) (Feng et al., 2013). The scattering radiative forcing of BrC was estimated at  $-1.00 \sim -1.65 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ . The absorbing radiative forcing of BrC led to  $\sim 18$ -% reduction in the amount of negative radiative forcing caused by BrC scattering compared to

the results from the non-absorbing assumption. The seasonal variation of BrC  $\Delta F_R$  (in Fig. S7S9) showed a large decrease during all seasons from 2018 to 2019. However, compared with 2019, the BrC  $\Delta F_R$  became stable in summer 2020 which was different from the decreases in spring and fall. We ~~also~~ further estimated the primary and secondary BrC  $\Delta F_R$ . Primary BrC  $\Delta F_R$  was approximately  $+0.16 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  in 2020 decreasing by 41-% compared with 2018 ( $+0.27 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ). Such a value was higher than the global average of radiative forcing ( $+0.11 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ) from ~~POA~~ primary organic aerosols (Lu et al., 2015).

Compared with primary BrC, the secondary BrC  $\Delta F_R$  was generally small yet showing an increase from  $+0.005 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  in 2019 to  $+0.016 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  in 2020. ~~The probability distributions of  $\Delta F_R$  for BC and different types of BrC are shown in Fig. S8. The uncertainties of BC and BrC absorption  $\Delta F_R$  are comparably about 27–28 %. And the uncertainties for primary and secondary BrC absorption  $\Delta F_R$  are about 32 % and 43 %, respectively.~~

## 4 Conclusions

400 Nine-year measurements of eBC and light extinction coefficient in Beijing were analyzed in this study. Our results showed that the annual mean eBC concentration decreased by ~~67-71%~~ from ~~5.546.25~~  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  in 2012 to  $1.80 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  in 2020, and the decreases dominantly occurred at nighttime suggesting an effective control of primary emissions due to clean air action since 2013.  $b_{\text{ext}}$  showed similar reductions by 47-% from 2012 to 2020. We also observed a pronounced seasonal variation in  $\Delta\text{eBC}/\Delta\text{CO}$  with high values in spring and fall, and a gradual decrease in recent years, indicating a significant change  
405 in primary sources. As a response of the changes in primary and secondary aerosols, SSA increased substantially from  $0.79 \pm 0.11$  in 2012 to  $0.88 \pm 0.06$  in 2020, and it presented similar increasing trends during all seasons. These results highlight increasingly important role of scattering aerosol in radiative forcing. Similarly, the seasonal average MEE increased gradually from 2012 to 2020, and the increase was most significant in summer by more than 43-%. The increased MEE explained the fact that  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  decreased substantially after clean air action, while the visibility did not show similar  
410 improvements as  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ .

We further analyzed the changes in BrC during 2018 – 2020. The BrC absorption presented the pronounced seasonal variation with the highest value in winter, ~~after quantifying the primary and secondary BrC, we.~~ We found that the primary emissions co-emitted with BC were the main sources of BrC during most seasons while the secondary BrC was also important in summer. In particular, the contribution of secondary BrC to the total BrC showed a large increase in summer,  
415 and it was up to 50-% in summer 2020. These results indicated the BrC from secondary formation played an increasing role in the absorption at 370 nm during 2018 – 2020 in Beijing. By estimating the direct radiative forcing caused by absorbing aerosols, we found that the annual mean BC  $\Delta F_{\text{R}}$  decreased by ~~64-67%~~ from ~~+3.0036~~  $\text{W m}^{-2}$  in 2012 to  $+1.09 \text{W m}^{-2}$  in 2020, and that of BrC decreased from  $+0.30$  to  $+0.17 \text{W m}^{-2}$  during 2018 - 2020. Considering that the BC-induced aerosol and boundary layer feedback plays an important role in severe haze formation, the decreases in BC and radiative  
420 forcing would weak ~~the interaction between aerosol and~~ boundary layer, interaction and help mitigate air pollution.

**Data availability.** The data in this study are available from the authors upon request ([sunyele@mail.iap.ac.cn](mailto:sunyele@mail.iap.ac.cn)).

**Author contributions.** YS and JS designed the research. JS, WZ, CX, CC, and TH conducted the measurements. JS, ZW, WZ and CC analyzed the data. CW, QW, ZL, JL, PF and ZiW reviewed and commented on the paper. JS and YS wrote the  
425 paper.

**Competing interests.** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Acknowledgements.** This work was supported by the the National Natural Science Foundation of China (42061134008, 9204430003).

430 **References**

- An, Z., Huang, R. J., Zhang, R., Tie, X., Li, G., Cao, J., Zhou, W., Shi, Z., Han, Y., Gu, Z., and Ji, Y.: Severe haze in northern China: A synergy of anthropogenic emissions and atmospheric processes, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 116, 8657-8666, 10.1073/pnas.1900125116, 2019.
- Andreae, M. O. and Gelencsér, A.: Black carbon or brown carbon? The nature of light-absorbing carbonaceous aerosols, 435 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 3131-3148, 2006.
- Bond, T. C. and Bergstrom, R. W.: Light Absorption by Carbonaceous Particles: An Investigative Review, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 40, 27-67, 10.1080/02786820500421521, 2006.
- Bond, T. C., Doherty, S. J., Fahey, D. W., Forster, P. M., Bernsten, T., DeAngelo, B. J., Flanner, M. G., Ghan, S., Kärcher, B., Koch, D., Kinne, S., Kondo, Y., Quinn, P. K., Sarofim, M. C., Schultz, M. G., Schulz, M., Venkataraman, C., Zhang, 440 H., Zhang, S., Bellouin, N., Guttikunda, S. K., Hopke, P. K., Jacobson, M. Z., Kaiser, J. W., Klimont, Z., Lohmann, U., P., S. J., Shindell, D., Storelvmo, T., Warren, S. G., and Zender, C. S.: Bounding the role of black carbon in the climate system: A scientific assessment, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 118, 5380-5552, 10.1002/jgrd.50171, 2013.
- Cappa, C. D., Zhang, X., Russell, L. M., Collier, S., Lee, A. K. Y., Chen, C.-L., Betha, R., Chen, S., Liu, J., Price, D. J., Sanchez, K. J., McMeeking, G. R., Williams, L. R., Onasch, T. B., Worsnop, D. R., Abbatt, J., and Zhang, Q.: Light 445 Absorption by Ambient Black and Brown Carbon and its Dependence on Black Carbon Coating State for Two California, USA, Cities in Winter and Summer, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 124, 1550-1577, 10.1029/2018jd029501, 2019.
- Charlson, R. J., Schwartz, S. E., Hales, J. M., Cess, R. D., Coakley, J. A., Hansen, J. E., and Hofmann, D. J.: CLIMATE FORCING BY ANTHROPOGENIC AEROSOLS, *Science*, 255, 423-430, 1992.
- Chen, Y. and Bond, T. C.: Light absorption by organic carbon from wood combustion, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 1773-1787, 450 2010.
- Chylek, P. and Wong, J.: EFFECT OF ABSORBING AEROSOLS ON GLOBAL RADIATION BUDGET, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 22, 929-931, 1995.
- Deng, J., Zhao, W., Wu, L., Hu, W., Ren, L., Wang, X., and Fu, P.: Black carbon in Xiamen, China: Temporal variations, transport pathways and impacts of synoptic circulation, *Chemosphere*, 241, 125133, 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125133, 455 2020.
- Derwent, R. G., Ryall, D. B., Jennings, S. G., Spain, T. G., and Simmonds, P. G.: Black carbon aerosol and carbon monoxide in European regionally polluted air masses at Mace Head, Ireland during 1995–1998, *Atmos. Environ.*, 35, 6371-6378, 2001.
- Din, S., Liu, D., Zhao, D., Hu, K., Tian, P., Zhou, W., Huang, M., Yang, Y., Wang, F., Sheng, J., Liu, Q., Kong, S., Cui, P., 460 Huang, Y., He, H., Coe, H., and Ding, D.: Size-Related Physical Properties of Black Carbon in the Lower Atmosphere over Beijing and Europe, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 53, 11112-11121, 10.1021/acs.est.9b03722, 2019.
- Ding, A. J., Huang, X., Nie, W., Sun, J. N., Kerminen, V. M., Petäjä, T., Su, H., Cheng, Y. F., Yang, X. Q., Wang, M. H., Chi, X. G., Wang, J. P., Virkkula, A., Guo, W. D., Yuan, J., Wang, S. Y., Zhang, R. J., Wu, Y. F., Song, Y., Zhu, T., Zilitinkevich, S., Kulmala, M., and Fu, C. B.: Enhanced haze pollution by black carbon in megacities in China, *Geophys. 465 Res. Lett.*, 43, 2873-2879, 10.1002/2016gl067745, 2016.

- Drinovec, L., Močnik, G., Zotter, P., Prévôt, A. S. H., Ruckstuhl, C., Coz, E., Rupakheti, M., Sciare, J., Müller, T., Wiedensohler, A., and Hansen, A. D. A.: The "dual-spot" Aethalometer: an improved measurement of aerosol black carbon with real-time loading compensation, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 1965-1979, 10.5194/amt-8-1965-2015, 2015.
- Feng, Y., Ramanathan, V., and Kotamarthi, V. R.: Brown carbon: a significant atmospheric absorber of solar radiation?, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 8607-8621, 10.5194/acp-13-8607-2013, 2013.
- ~~Gyawali, M., Arnott, W. P., Lewis, K., and Moosmuller, H.: In situ aerosol optics in Reno, NV, USA during and after the summer 2008 California wildfires and the influence of absorbing and non-absorbing organic coatings on spectral light absorption, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 8007-8015, 10.5194/acp-9-8007-2009, 2009.~~
- Han, S., Kondo, Y., Oshima, N., Takegawa, N., Miyazaki, Y., Hu, M., Lin, P., Deng, Z., Zhao, Y., Sugimoto, N., and Wu, Y.: Temporal variations of elemental carbon in Beijing, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 114, 16, 10.1029/2009jd012027, 2009.
- Han, T. T., Xu, W. Q., Chen, C., Liu, X. G., Wang, Q. Q., Li, J., Zhao, X. J., Du, W., Wang, Z. F., and Sun, Y. L.: Chemical apportionment of aerosol optical properties during the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation summit in Beijing, China, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 120, 15, 10.1002/2015jd023918, 2015.
- Han, T. T., Xu, W. Q., Li, J., Freedman, A., Zhao, J., Wang, Q. Q., Chen, C., Zhang, Y. J., Wang, Z. F., Fu, P. Q., Liu, X. G., and Sun, Y. L.: Aerosol optical properties measurements by a CAPS single scattering albedo monitor: Comparisons between summer and winter in Beijing, China, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 122, 2513-2526, 10.1002/2016jd025762, 2017.
- Hansen, J., Sato, M., and Ruedy, R.: Radiative forcing and climate response, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 102, 6831-6864, 10.1029/96jd03436, 1997.
- Haywood, J. and Boucher, O.: Estimates of the direct and indirect radiative forcing due to tropospheric aerosols: A review, *Reviews of Geophysics*, 38, 513-543, 10.1029/1999rg000078, 2000.
- Hoesly, R. M., Smith, S. J., Feng, L., Klimont, Z., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Pitkanen, T., Seibert, J. J., Vu, L., Andres, R. J., Bolt, R. M., Bond, T. C., Dawidowski, L., Kholod, N., Kurokawa, J.-i., Li, M., Liu, L., Lu, Z., Moura, M. C. P., O'Rourke, P. R., and Zhang, Q.: Historical (1750–2014) anthropogenic emissions of reactive gases and aerosols from the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS), *Geoscientific Model Development*, 11, 369-408, 10.5194/gmd-11-369-2018, 2018.
- IPCC: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, 2013.
- Jacobson, M. Z.: Strong radiative heating due to the mixing state of black carbon in atmospheric aerosols, *Nature*, 409, 695-697, 2001.
- Jing, A., Zhu, B., Wang, H., Yu, X., An, J., and Kang, H.: Source apportionment of black carbon in different seasons in the northern suburb of Nanjing, China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 201, 190-200, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.12.060, 2019.
- Jo, D. S., Park, R. J., Lee, S., Kim, S.-W., and Zhang, X.: A global simulation of brown carbon: implications for photochemistry and direct radiative effect, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 3413-3432, 10.5194/acp-16-3413-2016, 2016.
- Kondo, Y., Komazaki, Y., Miyazaki, Y., Moteki, N., Takegawa, N., Kodama, D., Deguchi, S., Nogami, M., Fukuda, M., Miyakawa, T., Morino, Y., Koike, M., Sakurai, H., and Ehara, K.: Temporal variations of elemental carbon in Tokyo, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 111, 17, 10.1029/2005jd006257, 2006.
- ~~Laek, D. A. and Langridge, J. M.: On the attribution of black and brown carbon light absorption using the Ångström exponent, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 10535-10543, 10.5194/acp-13-10535-2013, 2013.~~
- Laskin, A., Laskin, J., and Nizkorodov, S. A.: Chemistry of atmospheric brown carbon, *Chem. Rev.*, 115, 4335-4382,

- 10.1021/cr5006167, 2015.
- Lee, K. H., Li, Z. Q., Wong, M. S., Xin, J. Y., Wang, Y. S., Hao, W. M., and Zhao, F. S.: Aerosol single scattering albedo estimated across China from a combination of ground and satellite measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 112, 17, 10.1029/2007jd009077, 2007.
- Lei, L., Zhou, W., Chen, C., He, Y., Li, Z., Sun, J., Tang, X., Fu, P., Wang, Z., and Sun, Y.: Long-term characterization of aerosol chemistry in cold season from 2013 to 2020 in Beijing, China, *Environ. Pollut.*, 268, 9, 10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115952, 2020.
- 510 Li, L., Tan, Q., Zhang, Y., Feng, M., Qu, Y., An, J., and Liu, X.: Characteristics and source apportionment of PM<sub>2.5</sub> during persistent extreme haze events in Chengdu, southwest China, *Environ. Pollut.*, 230, 718-729, 10.1016/j.envpol.2017.07.029, 2017.
- Liu, D., [Ding, S., Zhao, D., Hu, K., Yu, C., Hu, D., Wu, Y., Zhou, C., Tian, P., Liu, Q., Wu, Y., Zhang, J., Kong, S., Huang, M., and Ding, D.: Black Carbon Emission and Wet Scavenging From Surface to the Top of Boundary Layer Over Beijing Region, \*J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.\*, 125, 10.1029/2020jd033096, 2020.](#)
- 515 [Liu, D.](#), Whitehead, J., Alfarra, M. R., Reyes-Villegas, E., Spracklen, Dominick V., Reddington, Carly L., Kong, S., Williams, Paul I., Ting, Y.-C., Haslett, S., Taylor, Jonathan W., Flynn, Michael J., Morgan, William T., McFiggans, G., Coe, H., and Allan, James D.: Black-carbon absorption enhancement in the atmosphere determined by particle mixing state, *Nat. Geosci.*, 10, 184-188, 10.1038/ngeo2901, 2017.
- 520 Lu, Z., Streets, D. G., Winijkul, E., Yan, F., Chen, Y., Bond, T. C., Feng, Y., Dubey, M. K., Liu, S., Pinto, J. P., and Carmichael, G. R.: Light absorption properties and radiative effects of primary organic aerosol emissions, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 49, 4868-4877, 10.1021/acs.est.5b00211, 2015.
- Ma, N., Zhao, C. S., Nowak, A., Müller, T., Pfeifer, S., Cheng, Y. F., Deng, Z. Z., Liu, P. F., Xu, W. Y., Ran, L., Yan, P., Göbel, T., Hallbauer, E., Mildenerger, K., Henning, S., Yu, J., Chen, L. L., Zhou, X. J., Stratmann, F., and Wiedensohler, A.: Aerosol optical properties in the North China Plain during HaChi campaign: an in-situ optical closure study, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 5959-5973, 10.5194/acp-11-5959-2011, 2011.
- 525 Moosmüller, H., Chakrabarty, R. K., Ehlers, K. M., Arnott, W. P. J. A. C., and Physics, 3: Absorption Ångström coefficient, brown carbon, and aerosols: basic concepts, bulk matter, and spherical particles, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 1217-1225, 2011.
- Mousavi, A., Sowlat, M. H., Lovett, C., Rauber, M., Szidat, S., Boffi, R., Borgini, A., De Marco, C., Ruprecht, A. A., and Sioutas, C.: Source apportionment of black carbon (BC) from fossil fuel and biomass burning in metropolitan Milan, Italy, *Atmos. Environ.*, 203, 252-261, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.02.009, 2019.
- 530 Oberdörster, G. and Yu, C. P.: The carcinogenic potential of inhaled diesel exhaust: a particle effect?, *J. Aerosol. Sci.*, 21, S397-S401, 1990.
- Pan, X. L., Kanaya, Y., Wang, Z. F., Liu, Y., Pochanart, P., Akimoto, H., Sun, Y. L., Dong, H. B., Li, J., Irie, H., and Takigawa, M.: Correlation of black carbon aerosol and carbon monoxide in the high-altitude environment of Mt. Huang in Eastern China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 9735-9747, 10.5194/acp-11-9735-2011, 2011.
- Paola Massoli, Paul L. Keababian, Timothy B. Onasch, Frank B. Hills, and Freedman, a. A.: Aerosol Light Extinction Measurements by Cavity Attenuated Phase Shift (CAPS) Spectroscopy: Laboratory Validation and Field Deployment of a Compact Aerosol Particle Extinction Monitor, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 44, 428-435, 10.1080/02786821003716599, 2015.

- 540 Park, R. J., Kim, M. J., Jeong, J. I., Youn, D., and Kim, S.: A contribution of brown carbon aerosol to the aerosol light absorption and its radiative forcing in East Asia, *Atmos. Environ.*, 44, 1414-1421, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.01.042, 2010.
- Petzold, A., Ogren, J. A., Fiebig, M., Laj, P., Li, S. M., Baltensperger, U., Holzner-Popp, T., Kinne, S., Pappalardo, G., Sugimoto, N., Wehrli, C., Wiedensohler, A., and Zhang, X. Y.: Recommendations for reporting &quot;black carbon&quot; measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 8365-8379, 10.5194/acp-13-8365-2013, 2013.
- 545 Ramanathan, V. and Carmichael, G. J. N. G.: Global and regional climate changes due to black carbon, *Nat. Geosci.*, 36, 335-358, 2008.
- Ran, L., Deng, Z. Z., Wang, P. C., and Xia, X. A.: Black carbon and wavelength-dependent aerosol absorption in the North China Plain based on two-year aethalometer measurements, *Atmos. Environ.*, 142, 132-144, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.07.014, 2016.
- 550 Rattigan, O. V., Civerolo, K., Doraiswamy, P., Felton, H. D., and Hopke, P. K.: Long Term Black Carbon Measurements at Two Urban Locations in New York, *Aerosol and Air Quality Research*, 13, 1181-1196, 10.4209/aaqr.2013.02.0060, 2013.
- Rosenfeld, D.: Suppression of rain and snow by urban and industrial air pollution *Science*, 287, 1793-1796, 2000.
- Saleh, R., Hennigan, C. J., McMeeking, G. R., Chuang, W. K., Robinson, E. S., Coe, H., Donahue, N. M., and Robinson, A. L.: Absorptivity of brown carbon in fresh and photo-chemically aged biomass-burning emissions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 7683-7693, 10.5194/acp-13-7683-2013, 2013.
- 555 Spackman, J. R., Schwarz, J. P., Gao, R. S., Watts, L. A., Thomson, D. S., Fahey, D. W., Holloway, J. S., de Gouw, J. A., Trainer, M., and Ryerson, T. B.: Empirical correlations between black carbon aerosol and carbon monoxide in the lower and middle troposphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, 10.1029/2008gl035237, 2008.
- Streets, D. G., Bond, T. C., Carmichael, G. R., Fernandes, S. D., Fu, Q., He, D., Klimont, Z., Nelson, S. M., Tsai, N. Y., 560 Wang, M. Q., Woo, J. H., and Yarber, K. F.: An inventory of gaseous and primary aerosol emissions in Asia in the year 2000, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 108, 23, 10.1029/2002jd003093, 2003.
- Subramanian, R., Kok, G. L., Baumgardner, D., Clarke, A., Shinzuka, Y., Campos, T. L., Heizer, C. G., Stephens, B. B., de Foy, B., Voss, P. B., and Zaveri, R. A.: Black carbon over Mexico: the effect of atmospheric transport on mixing state, mass absorption cross-section, and BC/CO ratios, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 219-237, 2010.
- 565 Sun, J., Xie, C., Xu, W., Chen, C., Ma, N., Xu, W., Lei, L., Li, Z., He, Y., Qiu, Y., Wang, Q., Pan, X., Su, H., Cheng, Y., Wu, C., Fu, P., Wang, Z., and Sun, Y.: Light absorption of black carbon and brown carbon in winter in North China Plain: comparisons between urban and rural sites, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 770, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144821, 2021.
- Sun, J. Y., Wu, C., Wu, D., Cheng, C. L., Li, M., Li, L., Deng, T., Yu, J. Z., Li, Y. J., Zhou, Q. N., Liang, Y., Sun, T. L., Song, L., Cheng, P., Yang, W. D., Pei, C. L., Chen, Y. N., Cen, Y. X., Nian, H. Q., and Zhou, Z.: Amplification of black 570 carbon light absorption induced by atmospheric aging: temporal variation at seasonal and diel scales in urban Guangzhou, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 2445-2470, 2020a.
- Sun, Y., Xu, W., Zhang, Q., Jiang, Q., Canonaco, F., Prévôt, A. S. H., Fu, P., Li, J., Jayne, J., Worsnop, D. R., and Wang, Z.: Source apportionment of organic aerosol from 2-year highly time-resolved measurements by an aerosol chemical speciation monitor in Beijing, China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 8469-8489, 10.5194/acp-18-8469-2018, 2018.
- 575 Sun, Y., Lei, L., Zhou, W., Chen, C., He, Y., Sun, J., Li, Z., Xu, W., Wang, Q., Ji, D., Fu, P., Wang, Z., and Worsnop, D. R.: A chemical cocktail during the COVID-19 outbreak in Beijing, China: Insights from six-year aerosol particle composition

- measurements during the Chinese New Year holiday, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 742, 10, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140739, 2020b.
- 580 [Tian, P., Liu, D., Zhao, D., Yu, C., Liu, Q., Huang, M., Deng, Z., Ran, L., Wu, Y., Ding, S., Hu, K., Zhao, G., Zhao, C., and Ding, D.: In situ vertical characteristics of optical properties and heating rates of aerosol over Beijing, \*Atmos. Chem. Phys.\*, 20, 2603-2622, 10.5194/acp-20-2603-2020, 2020.](#)
- [Wang, Q., Liu, S., Zhou, Y., Cao, J., Han, Y., Ni, H., Zhang, N., and Huang, R.: Characteristics of Black Carbon Aerosol during the Chinese Lunar Year and Weekdays in Xi'an, China, \*Atmosphere\*, 6, 195-208, 10.3390/atmos6020195, 2015.](#)
- Wang, Q., Han, Y., Ye, J., Liu, S., Pongpiachan, S., Zhang, N., Han, Y., Tian, J., Wu, C., Long, X., Zhang, Q., Zhang, W., Zhao, Z., and Cao, J.: High Contribution of Secondary Brown Carbon to Aerosol Light Absorption in the Southeastern
- 585 Margin of Tibetan Plateau, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 46, 4962-4970, 10.1029/2019gl082731, 2019a.
- Wang, Q., Ye, J., Wang, Y., Zhang, T., Ran, W., Wu, Y., Tian, J., Li, L., Zhou, Y., Hang Ho, S. S., Dang, B., Zhang, Q., Zhang, R., Chen, Y., Zhu, C., and Cao, J.: Wintertime Optical Properties of Primary and Secondary Brown Carbon at a Regional Site in the North China Plain, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 53, 12389-12397, 10.1021/acs.est.9b03406, 2019b.
- Wang, R., Tao, S., Wang, W., Liu, J., Shen, H., Shen, G., Wang, B., Liu, X., Li, W., Huang, Y., Zhang, Y., Lu, Y., Chen, H.,
- 590 Chen, Y., Wang, C., Zhu, D., Wang, X., Li, B., Liu, W., and Ma, J.: Black carbon emissions in China from 1949 to 2050, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 46, 7595-7603, 10.1021/es3003684, 2012.
- Wei, C., Wang, M. H., Fu, Q. Y., Dai, C., Huang, R., and Bao, Q.: Temporal Characteristics and Potential Sources of Black Carbon in Megacity Shanghai, China, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 125, 14, 10.1029/2019jd031827, 2020.
- Westerdahl, D., Wang, X., Pan, X., and Zhang, K. M.: Characterization of on-road vehicle emission factors and
- 595 microenvironmental air quality in Beijing, China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 43, 697-705, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.09.042, 2009.
- Wu, C. and Yu, J. Z.: Determination of primary combustion source organic carbon-to-elemental carbon (OC/EC) ratio using ambient OC and EC measurements: secondary OC-EC correlation minimization method, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 5453-5465, 10.5194/acp-16-5453-2016, 2016.
- Xie, C., Xu, W., Wang, J., Wang, Q., and Sun, Y.: Vertical characterization of aerosol optical properties and brown carbon
- 600 in winter in urban Beijing, China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 165-179, 10.5194/acp-19-165-2019, ~~2019~~2019a.
- Xie, C., He, Y., Lei, L., Zhou, W., Liu, J., Wang, Q., Xu, W., Qiu, Y., Zhao, J., Sun, J., Li, L., Li, M., Zhou, Z., Fu, P., Wang, Z., and Sun, Y.: Contrasting mixing state of black carbon-containing particles in summer and winter in Beijing, *Environ. Pollut.*, 263, 10, 10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114455, 2020.
- [Xie, C., Xu, W., Wang, J., Wang, Q., Liu, D., Tang, G., Chen, P., Du, W., Zhao, J., Zhang, Y., Zhou, W., Han, T., Bian, Q., Li, J., Fu, P., Wang, Z., Ge, X., Allan, J., Coe, H., and Sun, Y.: Vertical characterization of aerosol optical properties and brown carbon in winter in urban Beijing, China, \*Atmos. Chem. Phys.\*, 19, 165-179, 10.5194/acp-19-165-2019, 2019b.](#)
- Y. Huang, L. Li, J. Li, X. Wang, H. Chen, J. Chen, X. Yang, D. S. Gross, H. Wang, L. Qiao, and Chen, a. C.: A case study of the highly time-resolved evolution of aerosol chemical and optical properties in urban Shanghai, China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 3931-3944, 10.5194/acp-13-3931-2013, 2013.
- 610 Yang, M., Howell, S. G., Zhuang, J., Huebert, B. J. J. A. C., and Physics: Attribution of aerosol light absorption to black carbon, brown carbon, and dust in China – interpretations of atmospheric measurements during EAST-AIRE, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 2035–2050, 2008.
- Yang, Y., Wang, H., Smith, S. J., Ma, P.-L., and Rasch, P. J.: Source attribution of black carbon and its direct radiative



forcing in China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 4319-4336, 10.5194/acp-17-4319-2017, 2017.

615 Zhai, J., Lu, X., Li, L., Zhang, Q., Zhang, C., Chen, H., Yang, X., and Chen, J.: Size-resolved chemical composition, effective density, and optical properties of biomass burning particles, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 7481-7493, 10.5194/acp-17-7481-2017, 2017.

Zhang, Q., Zheng, Y., Tong, D., Shao, M., Wang, S., Zhang, Y., Xu, X., Wang, J., He, H., Liu, W., Ding, Y., Lei, Y., Li, J., Wang, Z., Zhang, X., Wang, Y., Cheng, J., Liu, Y., Shi, Q., Yan, L., Geng, G., Hong, C., Li, M., Liu, F., Zheng, B., Cao, J.,

620 Ding, A., Gao, J., Fu, Q., Huo, J., Liu, B., Liu, Z., Yang, F., He, K., and Hao, J.: Drivers of improved PM<sub>2.5</sub> air quality in China from 2013 to 2017, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 116, 24463-24469, 10.1073/pnas.1907956116, 2019.

Zhang, X., Rao, R., Huang, Y., Mao, M., Berg, M. J., and Sun, W.: Black carbon aerosols in urban central China, *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, 150, 3-11, 10.1016/j.jqsrt.2014.03.006, 2015.

625 Zhou, W., Xu, W., Kim, H., Zhang, q., Fu, P., Worsnop, D., and Sun, Y.: A Review of Aerosol Chemistry in Asia: Insights from Aerosol Mass Spectrometer Measurements, *Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts*, 10.1039/D0EM00212G, 2020.

Zhou, W., Gao, M., He, Y., Wang, Q., Xie, C., Xu, W., Zhao, J., Du, W., Qiu, Y., Lei, L., Fu, P., Wang, Z., Worsnop, D. R., Zhang, Q., and Sun, Y.: Response of aerosol chemistry to clean air action in Beijing, China: Insights from two-year ACSM measurements and model simulations, *Environ. Pollut.*, 255, 113345, 2019. 

---









Fig. 1. Annual variations of (a)  $eBC$ ,  $\Delta eBC/\Delta CO$ ,  $eBC/PM_{2.5}$ , (b)  $b_{ext}$ , SSA and MEE. The median (horizontal line), mean (markers), 25th and 75th percentiles (lower and upper box), and 10th and 90th percentiles (lower and upper whiskers) are also shown, same as below.


635







640 **Fig. 2. Monthly variations in (a) eBC, (b)  $\Delta eBC/\Delta CO$  and (c) eBC/PM<sub>2.5</sub>. The mean (markers), 25th and 75th percentiles (sticks) are also shown.**






**Fig. 3. Seasonal variations in eBC, eBC/PM<sub>2.5</sub>, ΔeBC/ΔCO and, CO, *b<sub>ext</sub>*, SSA, PM<sub>2.5</sub> and MEE.**






645


Fig. 4. Diurnal variations of  $eBC$  and  $\Delta eBC/\Delta CO$ ,  $b_{ext}$  and SSA for spring, summer, fall and winter time in different years.








650 Fig. 5. Bivariate polar plots for hourly eBC mass concentration in the four seasons over nine years.



**Fig. 6. Seasonal variations in  $b_{ext}$ , SSA,  $PM_{2.5}$  and MEE.**



655 **Fig. 7. Diurnal variations of  $b_{ext}$  and SSA for spring, summer, fall and winter time in different years.**





Fig. 8



660 **Fig. 6.** Seasonal variations of (a)  $b_{\text{abs, BrC}}$ , AAE, percentage contribution of (b) absorbing components to the absorption coefficient and (c) the proportion of  $b_{\text{abs, Secondary BrC}}$  in BrC absorption coefficient at 370nm from 2018 to 2020.





Fig. 97. The frequency distributions of AAE in four seasons.






Fig. 408. Temporal variations of the annual mean  $\Delta F_R$  caused by BC, primary BrC and Secondary BrC.