


**Figure S1.** Histogram of JJA mean observed (gray) and simulated (orange) MDA8 O<sub>3</sub> after detrending in NC (a) and PRD (b) from 2015 to 2019. (c) Spatial distribution of GEOS-Chem simulated MDA8 O<sub>3</sub> (unit:  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ) in summer from 1980 to 2019. The simulated O<sub>3</sub> concentrations were produced by GEOS-Chem with fixed emissions but changing meteorological conditions from 1980 to 2019. The green boxes in panels (c) indicate the locations of NC and PRD.

10

11 **Figure S2.** The first EOF pattern of simulated MDA8 O<sub>3</sub> in summer from 1980 to 2019. The simulated O<sub>3</sub> concentrations were  
 12 produced by GEOS-Chem with fixed emissions but changing meteorological conditions.

13



14


15 **Figure S3.** Composites of the simulated MDA8 O<sub>3</sub> (unit:  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ) after detrending for DPO<sub>3</sub> in summer from 1980 to 2019.  
 16 Panel (a) is composed when the time coefficient of DPO<sub>3</sub> is greater than one standard deviation (DPO<sub>3</sub>P), while panels (b) is  
 17 composed when the time coefficient is less than  $-1 \times$  a standard deviation (DPO<sub>3</sub>N). The green box in panels (a) and (b) are  
 18 the NC and PRD areas.

19

20

21

22



23

24 **Figure S4.** Composites of summer atmospheric circulations associated with the SI<sub>FJL</sub> (SI<sub>FJLP</sub> minus SI<sub>FJLN</sub>) from 1980 to 2019:  
25 (a) surface air temperature (unit: K, shading) and geopotential height at 500 hPa (unit: gpm, contours), (b) downward solar  
26 radiation at the surface (unit:  $10^6 \text{ J m}^{-2}$ , shading) and the sum of low and medium cloud cover (unit: 1, contours), and (c)  
27 precipitation (unit: mm, shading) and surface wind (unit:  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ , arrows). The white dots indicate that the differences with  
28 shading was above the 90% confidence level. The green boxes in panels (b) and (c) are the NC and PRD regions. The black  
29 boxes in panel (a) mean the centers of the AAC<sub>NC</sub> and AC<sub>PRD</sub>, respectively.

30

31

32

33

34

35


36

37

38

39

40



41

42 **Figure S5.** Composites of summer atmospheric circulations associated with the SIOD (SIODP minus SIODN) from 1980 to  
 43 2019: (a) surface air temperature (unit: K, shading) and geopotential height at 500 hPa (unit: gpm, contours), (b) downward  
 44 solar radiation at the surface (unit:  $10^6 \text{ J m}^{-2}$ , shading) and the sum of low and medium cloud cover (unit: 1, contours), and (c)  
 45 precipitation (unit: mm, shading) and surface wind (unit:  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ , arrows). The white dots indicate that the differences with  
 46 shading was above the 90% confidence level. The green boxes in panels (b) and (c) are the NC and PRD regions. The black  
 47 boxes in panel (a) mean the centers of the AAC<sub>NC</sub> and AC<sub>PRD</sub>, respectively.


48

49

50

51

52




53

54 **Figure S6.** Composites of summer (a) Arctic SST (unit: K) on the time series of sign reversed SI<sub>FJL</sub> index (SI<sub>FJLN</sub> minus  
 55 SI<sub>FJLP</sub>). The black box is used to calculate the Arctic SST index associated with SI<sub>FJL</sub> in panels (a). The white dots indicate  
 56 that the differences with shading was above the 90% confidence level. (b) Composites of JJA Rossby wave source (unit:  $10^{-11}$   
 57  $\text{s}^{-2}$ , shading), velocity potential (unit:  $10^5 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , contour) and divergent wind (unit:  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ , vector) at 500 hPa on the time series  
 58 of the Arctic SST index associated with SI<sub>FJL</sub>.

59

60

61



62

63 **Figure S7.** Composites of summer 5–45°S mean subsurface ocean temperature (unit: K) associated with the SIOD (i.e., SIODP  
 64 minus SIODN) in (a) JFM, (b) FMA, (c) MAM, (d) AMJ, (e) MJJ, and (f) JJA from 1980 to 2019. The white dots indicate that  
 65 the differences with shading was above the 90% confidence level.

66

67