



1 On Warm and Moist Air Intrusions into Winter Arctic

- 2 Cheng You¹, Michael Tjernström¹, Abhay Devasthale²
- 3 ¹Department of Meteorology & Bolin Centre for Climate Research, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden.

²Remote Sensing Unit, Research and Development Department, Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological
 Institute, Norrköping, Sweden.

6

7 Correspondence to: Cheng You (cheng.you@misu.su.se)

8 Abstract. In this study, warm and moist air intrusions (WaMAI) over the Arctic Ocean sectors of Barents, Kara, 9 Laptev, East Siberian, Chukchi and Beaufort Seas in recent 40 winters (from 1979 to 2018) are identified from 10 ERA5 reanalysis using both Eulerian and Lagrangian views. The analysis shows that WaMAIs, fuelled by Arctic 11 blockings, causes a relative surface warming and hence a sea ice reduction by exerting positive anomalies of net 12 thermal irradiances and turbulent fluxes to the surface. Over Arctic Ocean sectors with land-locked sea ice in 13 winter, such as Laptev, East Siberian, Chukchi and Beaufort Seas, total surface energy budget is dominated by 14 net thermal irradiance. From a Lagrangian perspective, total water path (TWP) increases linearly with the 15 downstream distance from the sea ice edge over the completely ice-covered sectors, inducing almost linearly 16 increasing net thermal irradiance and total surface energy-budget. However, over the Barents Sea, with an open 17 ocean to the south, total net surface energy-budget is dominated by the surface turbulent flux. With the energy in 18 the warm-and-moist air continuously transported to the surface, net surface turbulent flux gradually decreases 19 with distance, especially within the first 2 degrees north of the ice edge, inducing a decreasing but still positive 20 total surface energy budget. The boundary-layer energy-budget patterns over the Barents Sea can be categorized 21 into three classes: radiation-dominated, turbulence-dominated and turbulence-dominated with cold dome, 22 comprising about 52%, 40% and 8% of all WaMAIs, respectively. Statistically, turbulence-dominated cases with 23 or without cold dome occur along with one order of magnitude larger large-scale subsidence than the radiation-24 dominated cases. For the turbulence-dominated category, larger turbulent fluxes are exerted to the surface, 25 probably because of stronger wind shear. In radiation-dominated WaMAIs, stratocumulus develops more strongly 26 and triggers intensive cloud-top radiative cooling and related buoyant mixing that extends from cloud top to the 27 surface, inducing a thicker well-mixed layer under the cloud. With the existence of cold dome, fewer liquid water 28 clouds were formed and less or even negative turbulent fluxes could reach the surface.

29 Keywords: Arctic climate, Stratocumulus, Trajectories, Warm and moist air intrusions

30 1. Introduction

In recent decades, rapidly intensified Arctic warming has been observed (Cohen et al., 2014; Francis and Vavrus,
2012; Graversen et al., 2008), which has become known as Arctic amplification (Serreze and Francis 2006).
Accompanying this warming has been a dramatic melting of Arctic sea ice (Simmonds, 2015). Particularly over
the Barents Sea, a rapid warming rate, as well as a remarkable sea ice decrease, is found, which may have impacts
on the extreme cold winter in Eurasia (Kim et al., 2014; Kim and Son, 2016; Mori et al., 2014; Overland et al.,
2011; Petoukhov and Semenov, 2010; Tang et al., 2013).





37 Arctic amplification is likely a consequence of many contributing processes and a detailed attribution to different 38 factors is yet to be performed. The most commonly implied mechanism is the so-called albedo feedback, based 39 on the consideration that open water absorbs considerably more solar radiations than sea ice, which would 40 accelerate Arctic warming (Kim et al., 2019). However, Arctic amplification is the strongest in winter, when the 41 sun is mostly absent and the albedo by definition plays no role at all. This suggests that atmospheric energy 42 transport by warm-and-moist intrusions (WaMAI) may play an important role for Arctic amplification, especially 43 in winter. The positive trend in number of winter WaMAIs can statistically explain a substantial part of the surface 44 air temperature and sea-ice concentration trends in the Barents Sea (Woods and Caballero, 2016).

45 Most of these studies deal with winter and focus either on the dynamical mechanisms resulting in WaMAIs, or on 46 the effects of WaMAIs on the Arctic climate system conducted from an Eulerian perspective by retrieving 47 composite mean of WaMAIs properties (Liu et al., 2018), or calculating regressions between different metrics 48 (Gong and Luo, 2017). In recent years it has been increasingly argued that the concept of Lagrangian air mass 49 transformation is necessary for studying WaMAIs (Pithan et al. 2018, 2020; Komatsu et al. 2018, Tjernström et 50 al. 2019). A method using trajectories to analyze WaMAIs from a Lagrangian perspective was designed by You 51 et al. (2020) and tested on a summer WaMAI event described in Tjernström et al. (2015). This method was utilized 52 to build a climatology of summer WaMAIs (You et al., 2021).

In this paper, we use this method to explore winter WaMAIs over several sectors of the Arctic Oceans: the Barents, Kara, Laptev, East Siberian, Chukchi and Beaufort Seas. Over the Barents Sea, sea ice concentration is decreasing and the near-surface atmosphere south of the ice edge is heated by comparatively warm open water. In contrast, for the Laptev, East Siberian, Chukchi and Beaufort Seas, the ocean surface is almost completely frozen to the coast and the insulation effect by sea ice suppresses heat transfer between ocean and atmosphere. We will attempt understanding the distinctions between the ocean sector with open water and those with land-locked sea ice by comparing surface and boundary-layer energy-budget from both Eulerian and Lagrangian perspectives.

60 2. Data and method

61 2.1 Data

62 We use the latest reanalysis from European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecast (ECMWF), ERA5 63 (Hersbach et al., 2020) in this study. For the detection and Eulerian analysis of WaMAIs in recent 40 winters 64 (DJF from 1979 to 2018), we use the reanalysis dataset at a 6-hourly temporal and **0.75**° horizontal resolution. This includes the vertically integrated northward water vapor flux (f_w), sea ice concentration (SIC), 500-hPa 65 66 geopotential height (GH₅₀₀), 2m air temperature (T_{2m}), 850-hPa temperature (T_{850}), total water path (TWP), 67 liquid water path (LWP), ice water path (IWP) and precipitation rate (PRCP). For the Lagrangian analysis we also 68 use ERA5 3D wind field at a 6-hourly resolution for the air-mass trajectories calculations during WaMAIs, in the 69 same way as described in You et al. (2020, 2021). For this we additionally use forecast data from ERA5 at the 70 higher temporal resolution (1-hourly). This includes surface net solar (F_{sw}) and thermal (F_{lw}) irradiances, the 71 surface sensible (F_{sh}) and latent heat fluxes (F_{h}) , as well as the 1-hourly temperature tendencies due to different 72 model physics extracted at model levels.

73 Utilizing reanalysis introduces uncertainty, especially for the energy budget terms. Mean variables in a 74 reanalysis are constrained by observations in the data assimilation. In-situ observations over the central Arctic





Ocean are sparse, especially in winter, and the loss of all visible wavelengths in passive remote sensing in winter makes the satellite products less trustworthy. However, it would be not possible to analyze air mass transformation climatologically on the energy-budgets along the trajectories of winter WaMAIs in any other way than relying on reanalysis. Here, we alleviate uncertainty in two ways; first, by averaging over a large number of cases and second, by considering anomalies rather than actual mean values. Avoiding single case studies reduces random errors, while considering anomalies reduces systematic errors.

81 2.2. WaMAI Detection

82 We identify WaMAIs by analyzing the vertically integrated northward moisture flux, f_w , over the ocean sectors 83 of Barents, Kara, Laptev, East Siberian, Chukchi and Beaufort Seas (Figure 1) separately. Among these sectors, 84 winter SIC only varies substantially over the years in the Barents and Kara Seas. North of 80°N in the Barents 85 Sea, SIC has a statistically significant correlation with f_w (Figure 2a); locations that pass a p < 0.05 Student's ttest (stippled in Figure 2a) are considered the sensitive region. For the remaining sectors, all sea ice covered 86 87 locations are considered sensitive regions since they do not display winter trends in SIC. The mean f_w over the sensitive regions, $\overline{f_w}$, is approximately normally distributed (Figure 2b and d). we define a WaMAIs as when $\overline{f_w}$ > 88 0 (red lines in Figure 2c and e) and their maxima larger than the 95-percentile, while portions of WaMAIs when 89 90 $\overline{f_w}$ is larger than the 95-percentile are considered extreme moist intrusions (EMIs; blue lines in Figure 2c and e).

91 Similar as You et al.(2021, 2020), ensembles of two day forward and backward trajectories at different 92 altitudes are calculated for each WaMAI over all ocean basins, using the trajectory algorithm from Woods et al. 93 (2013). The launch points are taken where T_{850} at 75°N is the largest (blue lines in figure 2a; 80°N for the Barents 94 Sea, red line in figure 2a) and forward (backward) trajectories are also terminated where they start to track 95 southward (northward). Hence, we only capture the part of each trajectory that continuously tracks northwards. 96 Finally, the terminal points of selected trajectories have to be at least 5° north of the sea-ice edge. Trajectories are 97 calculated at several different heights, every 100 m, from 300 m to 800 m and vertical profiles of the various 98 variables are then extracted from ERA5, from the surface to 2 km, by interpolation in time and space along each 99 of these trajectories. The final vertical cross-section for each WaMAI is the ensemble average of the results along 100 all trajectories for that WaMAI. For the 40 winters in this study 87 (131) WaMAIs are detected over the ocean 101 sectors with open ocean (land-locked sea ice) for a total of 218 WaMAIs.

102 2.3. Energy Budgets

103 As shown in Eq. 1, total surface energy-budget (F_{total}) is contributed by surface net solar irradiance (F_{sw}) , surface 104 net thermal irradiance (F_{lw}) , surface turbulent sensible heat fluxes (F_{sh}) and surface turbulent latent heat fluxes 105 (F_{lh}) . Note that all surface net energy fluxes contributing to a surface warming are considered positive. Individual 106 terms in Eq. 1 are also interpolated from ERA5 at each 0.5-degree interval in latitude along the trajectories.

107
$$F_{total} = F_{sw} + F_{lw} + F_{sh} + F_{lh}$$
 (1)

108 We also evaluate the cloud longwave radiative effects (CRE) ($F_{lw_CRE} = F_{lw_all_sky} - F_{lw_clear_sky}$), using the 109 same method. $F_{lw_all_sky}$ is the surface net thermal irradiance, considering the actual clouds presence, while 110 $F_{lw_clear_sky}$ is clear-sky counterpart, assuming clouds were not present.





111 For the atmospheric energy budget calculations, we also extract the temperature tendencies due to different model 112 physics from ERA5, where we can resolve all terms in the thermal equation (Eq. 2). As shown in Eq. 2, the total 113 temperature tendency T_t of an air-mass in a WaMAI is contributed by heating/cooling from the divergence of shortwave irradiance $(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t_{sw}})$, longwave irradiance $(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t_{lw}})$ and vertical turbulent heat flux $(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t_{TH}})$ and the latent heat 114 of condensation in cloud formation $\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t_{IH}}\right)$. In a Lagrangian view, the advection tendencies are by definition zero, 115 116 while in a Eulerian view, the total tendencies would additionally be balanced by temperature advection. All these 117 terms are also interpolated along the trajectories as previously discussed (also see You et al. 2020, 2021). 2)

118
$$T_t = \frac{\partial T}{\partial t_{sw}} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial t_{lw}} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial t_{LH}} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial t_{TH}} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial t_{TH}}$$
(2)

119 Note that while the surface energy budget depends on the surface fluxes, the atmospheric energy budget depends 120 in the vertical gradient of fluxes.

121 3. Results

122 3.1 Large-scale Features

123 EMIs were identified in the Arctic ocean basins of Barents, Kara, Laptev, East Siberian, Chukchi and Beaufort. 124 Figure 3 (4) shows the composite of all EMIs over the Barents (Beaufort) Sea, representing the large-scale features 125 of winter EMIs over ocean sectors with open ocean (land-locked sea ice). Both figure 3a and 4a show one pair of 126 negative and positive GH₅₀₀ anomalies with a large geopotential height gradient in between, generating an 127 intensive f_w anomaly directed into the Arctic (Figure 3c, 4c), enhancing temperature advection (Figure 3b, 4b) and 128 cloud formation (Figure 3d, 4d), consistent with previous studies (Tjernström et al. 2015; Overland and Wang 129 2016; Gong and Luo 2017; Johansson et al., 2017; Sedlar and Tjernström 2017; Messori et al. 2018; Cox et al. 130 2019; You et al., 2021). Unlike over the Barents Sea, where the TWP anomaly is dominated by LWP (Figure 4d 131 and 4e), TWP over the Beaufort Sea is dominated by IWP. These features in the GH₅₀₀, T₈₅₀ and TWP anomalies 132 are also found in all other ocean basins.

133 As warm and moist air is advected into the Arctic over the Barents Sea, it interacts with the cool ice 134 surface through turbulence and radiation, enforcing positive F_{sh} , F_{lh} and F_{lw} anomalies at the surface (Figure 5c, 5d and 5e). The F_{sh} anomaly reaches > 60 W m⁻² over open water near the Norwegian coast, tapering off northward 135 over the ice all the way to the pole. The pattern of F_{lh} anomaly is similar to that of F_{sh} south of 80°N, but decreases 136 137 to nearly zero over the sea ice north of 80°N. Positive LWP and IWP anomalies in figure 3d and 3e, extending 138 from the coast to the north pole along the path of the EMIs, also affects the surface energy-budget with a positive 139 F_{lw} anomaly (Figure 5c). This relation between F_{lw} anomaly and winter EMIs over the Barents Sea is also 140 discussed in other climatological analyses (Gong et al., 2017; Gong and Luo, 2017). In total, these anomalies in 141 the surface-energy fluxes sum up to a positive F_{total} anomaly, inducing decreased SIC (Figure 5b).

142 Similar surface energy-budget pattern is also found over the Beaufort Sea (Figure 6) but with some 143 differences. The anomaly in F_{total} over the Barents Sea is dominated by F_{sh} , while F_{total} anomaly over the Beaufort 144 Sea is dominated by F_{lw} . The magnitudes of F_{sh} , F_{lh} and F_{total} anomalies over the Beaufort Sea are less half the magnitude of those over the Barents Sea, especially south of 80°N and hence induce four times less SIC decrease. 145 146 As EMIs occur over the Beaufort Sea, positive F_{sh} , F_{lh} , F_{total} , F_{lw} , LWP and IWP anomalies and negative SIC





147anomaly is found. However, negative F_{sh} , F_{lh} , F_{total} , F_{hv} , LWP and IWP anomalies and positive SIC anomalies148could also be found over the Barents Sea sector, while some WaMAIs from the Beaufort Sea pass through the149pole and become cold spells over the Barents Sea (Figure 4 and 6).

Table 1 summarizes the averaged surface energy-budgets over sea ice across the six basins. Except for the Barents Sea, F_{lw} anomalies are almost twice larger than F_{sh} anomalies. Since F_{sw} anomalies can be ignored in winter, the F_{lw} anomalies dominate F_{total} . However, over the Barents Sea, F_{sh} anomalies are almost twice larger than F_{lw} anomalies and contributes to more than 50% of F_{total} anomalies. Over the Barents and Chukchi Sea, positive F_{sh} anomalies are statistically significant, which is not the case for any of the other sectors. Except for the Laptev Sea, positive F_{total} and F_{lw} anomalies are statistically significant.

156 The composites of large-scale pattern discussed above are extracted from the stronger EMI events to 157 generate a clear signal, however, these may not necessarily represent the general pattern of all WaMAIs. Therefore, 158 linear regressions of daily averaged GH, T₈₅₀, SIC, F_{total}, F_{sh}, F_{lh}, F_{sw} and F_{lw} anomaly against the time series of daily averaged f_w over the sensitive regions in recent 40 winters were calculated separately for all the examined 159 160 ocean basins. All the regressed fields have similar pattern as their counterparts in Figures 3~6, implying a similar 161 relationship for all WaMAIs but at smaller magnitudes. Since the regressions confirm the conclusions, we will 162 consider only the Barents and Beaufort Seas as an example of ocean sector with open ocean and land-locked sea 163 ice, respectively (Figure 7 and 8).

164 3.2 The Surface Energy-budget

165 In this section, we will explore the transformation of temperature inversion, cloud formation and surface energy-166 budget along the trajectories of warm-and-moist air masses over ocean basins with open water and land-locked 167 sea ice, respectively, by composite the heights to the maximum specific humidity (h_{sh}) , temperature (h_t) and 168 vertical temperature gradient (h_{t_z}) , along with TWP, LWP, IWP, precipitation rate (PRCR) and surface energy-169 budget terms $(F_{sh}, F_{lb}, F_{total}, F_{hv})$ from all detected WaMAIs.

170 Over the completely ice-covered sea sectors such as the Laptev, East Siberia, Chukchi and Beaufort Seas, strong 171 temperature inversion develops with cloud formation below, as the warm-and-moist air propagates over the sea 172 ice. A detailed analysis of this boundary-layer structure follows in Section 3.3. In this case, h_{sh} is above h_t , and 173 both higher than h_{t_z} (Figure 9a). From the ice edge and onward up to 10 degrees north of the ice edge, h_{sh} , h_t and h_{t_z} increase almost linearly, by 30-40 m degree⁻¹ (Figure 9a). TCW and PRCP also increase northward, although 174 175 more slowly for the first two degrees, in total by 6 g m⁻² degree⁻¹ and 0.4 mm day⁻¹ degree⁻¹, respectively, implying 176 that stratocumulus develop continuously along the trajectories (Figure 9b, c). The increasing TWP is mainly due 177 to the increase in IWP since LWP is almost constant along the trajectories (Figure 9b). The increase of $h_{t_{\pi}}$ is comparable to that of summer WaMAIs, while the increase in TWP is about half of that of summer WaMAIs 178 179 (You et al., 2021), since less moisture is available for cloud development in winter (Figure 4c).

180 The gradual increase of h_{t_x} , a manifestation of increased boundary-layer mixing, leads to a reduction in 181 near-surface gradients. Since the turbulent heat fluxes at the surface are dependent on these gradients, F_{sh} anomaly 182 decreases gradually at a rate of 1.5 W m⁻² degree⁻¹ (Figure 10a). Simultaneously, F_{lw} anomaly increases almost 183 linearly by 2.5 W m⁻² degree⁻¹, while F_{lh} , the smallest contributor to F_{total} , are almost constant along the trajectories





184 (Figure 10a). The increase in F_{lw} along trajectories is due to the increase in the cloud radiative effects of the 185 stratocumulus. As shown in figure 10b, F_{lw_CRE} increases at a similar rate as F_{lw} . From 0 to 2 degrees north of the 186 sea ice edge, F_{total} anomaly is dominated by F_{sh} anomaly, while farther north it is dominated by F_{lw} anomaly 187 (Figure 10a). Generally, F_{total} anomaly increases with the distance from the sea ice edge at a rate of 1 W m⁻² 188 degree⁻¹ and this increasing trend is dominated by F_{lw} anomaly (Figure 10a).

189 Over the Barents Sea, with open warm water south of the ice edge, h_t and h_{sh} also increase nearly linearly 190 but at a rate 1.6 times larger rate than for ocean sectors with land-locked sea ice, however, at considerably smaller 191 values (Figure 9d). The minimum values of h_t and h_{sp} over these completely ice-covered sectors are comparable 192 to the maximum values over the Barents Sea, implying that WaMAIs over the Barents Sea bring the moist and 193 warm air closer to the surface with a shallower PBL. However, the temperature inversion over the Barents Sea is 194 too weak to be easily identified with the metrics used above. Unlike for the sectors with land-locked sea ice, TWP 195 and PRCR are constant with downwind distance from the ice edge, varying slightly around 150 g m⁻² and 7 mm 196 day⁻¹ (Figure 9e, f). As a consequence, F_{lw} anomaly and $F_{lw_{CRE}}$ along the trajectories (Figure 10c, d) are nearly 197 constant with northward distance. Although TWP remains quasi-constant, LWP (IWP) decreases (increases) at a 198 rate of -6 g m⁻² (+6 g m⁻²) along the trajectories (Figure 9e). From 0 to 4 degrees north of the sea ice edge, TWP 199 is contributed by LWP and IWP in about equal parts, while from 4 degrees north of the sea ice edge and onward, 200 TWP gradually becomes dominated by IWP.

201 F_{sh} anomaly decreases fast by nearly 50% over the first two degrees from the sea ice edge (Figure 10c). 202 From 2 to 10 degrees north of the sea ice edge, the decrease is more moderate at a rate of 4 W m⁻² degree⁻¹ (Figure 203 10c) but still faster than that over the completely frozen ocean sectors. However, F_{sh} anomaly even at ten degrees 204 north of the ice edge is still larger than the largest value of F_{sh} anomaly over the completely frozen ocean sectors 205 (Figure 10a). This is likely due to the much warmer upstream conditions over the open ocean. The large thermal 206 contrast between open ocean and sea ice surface contributes to the stable atmospheric layer over the sea ice surface 207 and rapidly reducing F_{sh} anomaly, while the decrease of F_{sh} anomaly with downstream distance is due to the 208 slowly reducing temperature gradient resulted from the turbulent mixing. Similar decreasing trends are also 209 present for F_{lh} and F_{total} anomaly (Figure 10c). From 2 to 10 degrees north of the sea ice edge, they decrease at a 210 rate of 1 and 5 W m⁻² degree⁻¹, respectively (Figure 10c). Within 5 degrees north of the sea ice edge, F_{total} anomaly 211 is dominated by F_{sh} , while downstream the turbulent heat flux $(F_{sh} + F_{lh})$ anomaly becomes comparable to F_{lw} 212 anomaly and contribute almost equally to F_{total} anomaly (Figure 10c).

213 Without the presence of solar radiation in winter, the variation of F_{total} anomaly over the Barents Sea is 214 dominated by F_{sh} anomaly (Figure 10a), while it is dominated by F_{lw} anomaly over ocean sectors with land-locked 215 sea ice (Figure 10c). This distinction between ocean sectors with and without open ocean upstream can be 216 explained by the stronger air-sea interaction over the Barents Sea (Kim et al., 2019). Before the air-mass is 217 advected in over the sea ice, it is heated and moistened by the warmer and moister ocean surface and consequently, 218 exerts greater turbulent heat fluxes to the surface as it suddenly enters over the sea ice (Figure 10c). Also, the 219 cloud formation happens already upstream over the warm water and is not much affected by the advection over sea ice. This dominance of turbulent heat fluxes remains until the halfway along the trajectories. 220

221 3.3 The Boundary-layer Energy-budget





222 As discussed in previous sections, cloud formation as part of the air-mass transmission can exert large variability 223 on the surface energy-budget. Here, we focus on the cloud effects on the boundary-layer energy-budget. For each 224 WaMAI, the boundary-layer energy-budget terms are evaluated and interpolated along the trajectory and analyzed 225 on a case-by-case basis, categorizing patterns into four main categories: a) lifting temperature inversion (INV); b) 226 radiation-dominated (RAD); c) turbulence-dominated (TBL); and d) turbulence-dominated with cold dome (TCD). 227 Some typical cases are shown in figure 11-14, illustrating different boundary-layer energy-budgets in each 228 category. Almost all WaMAIs over ocean sectors with land-locked sea ice feature a boundary-layer energy-budget pattern of category INV. Similar to category TBL for summer WaMAIs (You et al., 2021), category INV is 229 230 characterized by increasingly lifting temperature inversion and continuously stratocumulus development near the 231 inversion. Different from the ocean sectors with land-locked sea ice, clouds during WaMAIs over the ocean sector 232 with upstream open ocean (e.g. Barents Sea) form at the altitude of ~1 km, above the warm-and-moist air-masses. 233 The boundary-layer energy-budget here is categorized into three categories (RAD, TBL, TCD). Category RAD is 234 characterized by stronger cloud-top radiative cooling and related buoyant mixing, while category TBL is 235 characterized by more intensive surface turbulent mixing. Category TCD is similar to category TBL excluding a 236 cold dome over the high Arctic. Note that unlike radiation and condensation/evaporation, turbulence does not 237 generate heating/cooling by itself. Instead, it heats/cools air locally by redistributing heat from one altitude to 238 another through mixing within the column. Also, note that the temperature tendencies discussed below are only 239 those that are due to model physics in a Lagrangian view, while in an Eulerian framework, they would be balanced 240 by advection (not shown). In an absolute sense the boundary layer always undergoes a gradual cooling during the 241 advection over the sea ice. Conceptual graphs of all the different categories are summarized in Figure 15.

242 3.3.1 Lifting temperature inversion (INV)

243 In this category turbulent heating and cooling dominate the boundary-layer energy-budget (Figure 11e and 11h), 244 even though stratocumulus develops along the trajectories and affects the radiative processes (Figure 11a and f). 245 Turbulent mixing transports heat from the upper to the lower parts of the PBL, hence cooling the upper and 246 warming the lower parts of the PBL (Figure 11h). Since the turbulent mixing persists along the trajectories, the 247 well-mixed layer below the inversion continuously deepens northward (Figure 11b), while the inversion and the 248 cloud top are gradually lifted (Figure 11a). This supports the hypothesis from Tjernström et al. (2019b), that the 249 surface inversion formed at the sea ice edge is eroded progressively downstream, by cloud-top cooling and surface 250 turbulent mixing, and eventually the boundary layer must transform into the often observed well-mixed cloud-251 capped boundary layer (e.g. Tjernström and Graversen 2009; Tjernström et al. 2012; Sotiropoulou et al. 2014; 252 Brooks et al. 2017). Even though this hypothesis was originally posed for summer WaMAIs, it is also applicable 253 for winter WaMAIs over completely frozen ocean sectors; see Figure 15a.

Clouds are relatively thin and radiative cooling near the cloud top is therefore weak (Figure 11f) and only in a few cases the magnitude of radiative cooling is comparable to the turbulent cooling. Generally, in this category, turbulent heating is larger than radiative heating as well as latent heating, and hence boundary-layer warming is dominated by turbulence, but since turbulence only redistribute heat inside the PBL, as a whole it is gradually cooled as the warm air progresses northward.

259 3.3.2 Radiation-dominated (RAD)





260 Over the Barents Sea, the maximum air temperature (Figure 12a, 13a, 14a) and specific humidity (Figure 12d, 13d, 14d) over open ocean south of the ice edge are always located right above the sea surface as a result of the strong air-sea interaction and are also typically larger than those over ocean sectors with land-locked sea ice. As this air-mass, considerably affected by air-sea interaction, is advected over the sea ice, different stories take place.

264 Around 8% of all WaMAIs over the Barents Sea belong to category RAD (Table 2). In this category, the 265 total temperature tendencies are forced by radiative processes. For this category, the large-scale subsidence is an 266 order of magnitude smaller than that in category TBL (Table 3, CONV) and LWP is three times larger than that 267 in category TCD (Table 3, LWP), suggesting that the stratocumulus develops more intensively in category RAD 268 (Figure 12a). With larger values of LWP, longwave radiation is effectively emitted at the cloud top like a black 269 body, exerting large cooling rates with maximum reaching -16 K day⁻¹. However, unlike the cloud formation in 270 category INV, here clouds always already form south of the ice edge over the open water and few clouds develop 271 in the near-surface inversion. In the cloud, heat is redistributed with warming at the cloud top and cooling in the 272 lower PBL by buoyant mixing driven by cloud-top longwave radiative cooling (Figure 12h). The turbulent cooling 273 layer in the PBL interior is apparently thicker than the turbulent warming layer whose absolute value of heating 274 rate is considerably more intensive (Figure 12h). As shown in figure 12h, the buoyant mixing can access the surface 275 and induce a thicker well-mixed layer below the stratocumulus (Figure 12b). As precipitation constantly erodes 276 the cloud, buoyant mixing continuously provides moisture for the cloud development from the moister air below 277 and hence cloud development as well as the cloud top cooling is maintained.

278 Meanwhile, the value of maximum temperature and specific humidity is decreasing gradually along the 279 trajectory, indicating that the heat and moisture within the warm-and-moist air is consumed continuously by the 280 cloud formation and surface turbulent mixing. For this category, F_{lw} is comparable to those of category TBL and 281 TCD (Table 3), and increases almost linearly along the trajectory (Figure 16d1) due to the enhancing TWP (Figure 282 16c1). F_{sh} and F_{th} are generally smaller than those of category TBL since stronger mixing weakens vertical 283 gradients in the PBL and hence suppresses the surface turbulent heat flux (Table 3). The decreasing rates of F_{sh} 284 and F_{lh} from 0 to 2 degrees north of the sea ice edge are larger than for categories TBL and TCD as a result of 285 stronger buoyant mixing in the PBL (Figure 16a1), while onwards, their decreasing rates are smaller than those 286 for the other two categories since the lifting rates of h_t and h_{sp} are dramatically slowed down (Figure 16b1); see 287 Figure 15b.

288 3.3.3 Turbulence-dominated (TBL)

289 52% of WaMAIs over the Barents Sea belong to the turbulence dominated category. The variation of surface 290 energy-budget along the trajectory (Figure 16 a2, b2 and c2) is similar to the mean variation of WaMAIs from all 291 categories showed in figure 10c and 10d. Subsidence for WaMAIs in this category is typically a factor of three 292 larger than that in category RAD and it is statistically significantly positive (Table 3, CONV). Consequently, 293 clouds in this category do not develop as intensively as in category RAD and hence the radiative cooling rate at 294 the cloud top is considerably smaller. The boundary-layer energy-budget is mainly dominated by turbulent heating 295 near the surface. As warm-and-moist air is advected into the Arctic sea ice, turbulence exchanges heat between 296 warm and cold air-mass by cooling (heating) warmer (colder) air (Figure 13h), simultaneously inducing a 297 gradually thickening well-mixed layer capped by a strong inversion, and a continuously lifting of h_t and h_{sp} (Figure





13b). In this category, the well-mixed layer is substantially thinner than in category RAD, since the turbulent mixing here is mainly forced by surface friction, weaker and less effective than the buoyant mixing in category RAD (Figure 12b). Turbulence is mainly forced by wind shear and buoyancy, but buoyancy is negative here in the initially very stable near-surface layer. Therefore, wind shear mostly fuels the turbulent mixing. In category TBL, turbulent mixing is stronger than in category RAD, but the surface fluxes are still stronger, due to the stronger gradients; F_{sh} and F_{lh} are 77% and 42% larger than those in category RAD. Also see Figure 15c.

304 3.3.4 Turbulence-dominated with cold dome (TCD)

305 40% of WaMAIs over the Barents Sea belong to this category. For this category, the boundary-layer energy-306 budget is generally similar to that in category TBL. The main difference is that there is always a layer of cold air 307 (cold dome) laying below the warm-and-moist air-mass especially in the central Arctic (Figure 14c). This cold 308 dome enlarges the vertical temperature gradient and hence intensifies turbulent heat near the surface (Figure 14h). 309 As the warm-and-moist air-mass is advected over the cold dome, it is gradually lifted up by the cold dome and 310 consequently, h_t and h_{sp} are increasing at a faster rate than in category TBL (Figure 16b3). With faster lifting h_t 311 and h_{sp} , F_{sh} and F_{lh} would be reduced more rapidly or even become negative in the high Arctic (Figure 16a3). 312 Unlike in category RAD, where TWP is dominated by LWP, and category TBL, where TWP is contributed almost 313 equally by LWP and IWP, in this category TWP is gradually more dominated by IWP; the IWP-to-TWP ratio 314 increases linearly from ~50% to ~100% (Figure 16c3); also see Figure 15d.

315 4. Conclusion

Warm-and-moist air intrusions (WaMAI) greatly contribute to Arctic surface warming. To understand the surface
and boundary-layer energy-budget as WaMAIs occur, in this paper, we have detected WaMAIs over the Arctic
Ocean sectors of Barents, Kara, Laptev, East Siberian, Chukchi and Beaufort Seas in 40 recent winters (DJF from
1979 to 2018) using ERA5 reanalysis. The climatological analysis shows a consistent pattern with a blocking
high-pressure system over corresponding ocean sectors contribute to warm-and-moist air intrusions into winter
Arctic, supplying moisture for cloud formation, exerting a positive total energy-budget anomaly on the surface.

322 Statistically, as warm-and-moist air is advected over ocean sectors with land-locked ice cover, such as 323 the Laptev, East Siberian, Chukchi and Beaufort Seas, the longwave irradiance anomaly increases linearly by 2.5 324 W m⁻² degree⁻¹, while the total column cloud liquid water increases linearly by 6 g m⁻² degree⁻¹. We have also 325 analysed the boundary-layer vertical structure along these trajectories, as well as the associated surface energybudget pattern of over these sectors, and find one main category, elevated lifting temperature inversion (INV), which in structure is similar to summer WaMAIs (You et al., 2021) (Figure 15a).

WaMAIs over the Barents Sea, with open ocean south of the ice edge, are found in three main categories:
 radiation-dominated (category RAD), turbulence-dominated (category TBL) and turbulent-dominated with cold
 dome (category TCD), comprising 8%, 52% and 40%, respectively, of all WaMAIs. Unlike over the sectors with
 land-locked sea ice, air-masses over the ice-free Barents Sea is warmed by the sea surface (local process) before
 advected over the sea ice (remote process), consequently resulting in more intensive surface warming.

In response to ten times smaller large-scale subsidence, stratocumulus develops more strongly in category RAD with more intensive cloud-top radiative cooling, inducing apparently thicker well-mixed layer





- (Figure 15b). However, this strong radiative cooling induces intensive buoyant mixing extending from the cloud top till the surface, supresses the surface turbulent mixing and decreases the lifting rate of the height to the maximum temperature (h_i) and to the maximum specific humidity (h_{sp}). Therefore, surface turbulent fluxes in category RAD and the lifting rate of h_t and h_{sp} are apparently smaller than those in category TBL (Figure 15c). With cold dome, less liquid cloud water could be formed and fewer or even negative turbulent fluxes could access to the surface, in comparison with category TBL (Figure 15d). In category TCD, turbulent fluxes decrease faster along the trajectory since warm-and-moist air is lifted to higher altitude above the cold dome (Figure 15d).
- Under the background of global warming, the rate of local process has been accelerated by 9% per year
 (Kim et al., 2019), while the meridional heat and moisture transports (remote processes) over the Barents Sea are
 also enhanced in recent decades (Nygård et al., 2020). This implies that WaMAI may play a more significant role
 in the future Arctic warming. Therefore, the potential mechanism which enhances the occurrence and intensity of
 WaMAI deserves more attentions from atmospheric scientists.
- 347 Data availability
- All data used can be found on the ERA5 data repository at DOI: <u>www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts/datasets/reanalysis-</u>
 <u>datasets/era5.</u>

350 Author contributions

- 351 CY conducted analysis and interpretation of the data under the supervision of MT and AD. CY prepared the
- original version of the paper. MT and AD provided constructive comments and revisions to the final article.

353 Competing interests

354 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

355 Acknowledgements:

- 356 This research was supported by the Swedish Research Council under Grant 2016-03807. The authors are grateful
- 357 to Cian Woods for providing the trajectory calculation algorithm.

358

359 References

- 360 Cohen, J., Screen, J. A., Furtado, J. C., Barlow, M., Whittleston, D., Coumou, D., Francis, J., Dethloff, K.,
- 361 Entekhabi, D., Overland, J. and Jones, J.: Recent Arctic amplification and extreme mid-latitude weather, Nat.
- **362** Geosci., 7(9), 627–637, doi:10.1038/ngeo2234, 2014.
- 363 Cox, C. J., Stone, R. S., Douglas, D. C., Stanitski, D. M. and Gallagher, M. R.: The Aleutian Low-Beaufort Sea
- 364 Anticyclone: A Climate Index Correlated With the Timing of Springtime Melt in the Pacific Arctic Cryosphere,
- 365 Geophys. Res. Lett., 46(13), 7464–7473, doi:10.1029/2019GL083306, 2019.
- 366 Francis, J. A. and Vavrus, S. J.: Evidence linking Arctic amplification to extreme weather in mid-latitudes,
- 367 Geophys. Res. Lett., 39(6), doi:10.1029/2012GL051000, 2012.





- 368 Gong, T. and Luo, D.: Ural blocking as an amplifier of the Arctic sea ice decline in winter, J. Clim., 30(7),
- **369** 2639–2654, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0548.1, 2017.
- 370 Gong, T., Feldstein, S. and Lee, S.: The role of downward infrared radiation in the recent arctic winter warming
- trend, J. Clim., 30(13), 4937–4949, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0180.1, 2017.
- 372 Graversen, R. G., Mauritsen, T., Tjernström, M., Källén, E. and Svensson, G.: Vertical structure of recent Arctic
- 373 warming, Nature, 451(7174), 53–56, doi:10.1038/nature06502, 2008.
- 374 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C.,
- 375 Radu, R., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan, X., Balsamo, G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G.,
- 376 Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., De Chiara, G., Dahlgren, P., Dee, D., Diamantakis, M., Dragani, R., Flemming, J.,
- 377 Forbes, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A., Haimberger, L., Healy, S., Hogan, R. J., Hólm, E., Janisková, M., Keeley, S.,
- 378 Laloyaux, P., Lopez, P., Lupu, C., Radnoti, G., de Rosnay, P., Rozum, I., Vamborg, F., Villaume, S. and
- 379 Thépaut, J. N.: The ERA5 global reanalysis, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 146(730), 1999–2049,
- doi:10.1002/qj.3803, 2020.
- 381 Kim, B. M., Son, S. W., Min, S. K., Jeong, J. H., Kim, S. J., Zhang, X., Shim, T. and Yoon, J. H.: Weakening of
- the stratospheric polar vortex by Arctic sea-ice loss, Nat. Commun., doi:10.1038/ncomms5646, 2014.
- Kim, K. Y. and Son, S. W.: Physical characteristics of Eurasian winter temperature variability, Environ. Res.
 Lett., doi:10.1088/1748-9326/11/4/044009, 2016.
- 385 Kim, K. Y., Kim, J. Y., Kim, J., Yeo, S., Na, H., Hamlington, B. D. and Leben, R. R.: Vertical Feedback
- 386 Mechanism of Winter Arctic Amplification and Sea Ice Loss, Sci. Rep., doi:10.1038/s41598-018-38109-x,
 387 2019.
- 388 Komatsu, K. K., Alexeev, V. A., Repina, I. A. and Tachibana, Y.: Poleward upgliding Siberian atmospheric
- 389 rivers over sea ice heat up Arctic upper air, Sci. Rep., 8(1), doi:10.1038/s41598-018-21159-6, 2018.
- Liu, Y., Key, J. R., Vavrus, S. and Woods, C.: Time evolution of the cloud response to moisture intrusions into
 the Arctic during Winter, J. Clim., 31(22), 9389–9405, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0896.1, 2018.
- 392 Messori, G., Woods, C. and Caballero, R.: On the drivers of wintertime temperature extremes in the high arctic,
- **393** J. Clim., 31(4), 1597–1618, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0386.1, 2018.
- 394 Mori, M., Watanabe, M., Shiogama, H., Inoue, J. and Kimoto, M.: Robust Arctic sea-ice influence on the
- frequent Eurasian cold winters in past decades, Nat. Geosci., doi:10.1038/ngeo2277, 2014.
- 396 Morrison, H., De Boer, G., Feingold, G., Harrington, J., Shupe, M. D. and Sulia, K.: Resilience of persistent
- **397** Arctic mixed-phase clouds, Nat. Geosci., 5(1), 11–17, doi:10.1038/ngeo1332, 2012.
- 398 Nygård, T., Naakka, T. and Vihma, T.: Horizontal moisture transport dominates the regional moistening patterns
- 399 in the arctic, J. Clim., doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0891.1, 2020.
- 400 Overland, J. E. and Wang, M.: Recent extreme arctic temperatures are due to a split polar vortex, J. Clim.,
- 401 29(15), 5609–5616, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0320.1, 2016.





- 402 Overland, J. E., Wood, K. R. and Wang, M.: Warm Arctic-cold continents: Climate impacts of the newly open
- 403 arctic sea, Polar Res., doi:10.3402/polar.v30i0.15787, 2011.
- 404 Petoukhov, V. and Semenov, V. A.: A link between reduced Barents-Kara sea ice and cold winter extremes over
- 405 northern continents, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., doi:10.1029/2009JD013568, 2010.
- 406 Pithan, F., Medeiros, B. and Mauritsen, T.: Mixed-phase clouds cause climate model biases in Arctic wintertime
- 407 temperature inversions, Clim. Dyn., 43(1–2), 289–303, doi:10.1007/s00382-013-1964-9, 2014.
- 408 Sedlar, J. and Tjernström, M.: Clouds, warm air, and a climate cooling signal over the summer Arctic, Geophys.
- 409 Res. Lett., 44(2), 1095–1103, doi:10.1002/2016GL071959, 2017.
- 410 Serreze, M. C. and Francis, J. A.: The arctic amplification debate, Clim. Change, 76(3–4), 241–264,
- 411 doi:10.1007/s10584-005-9017-y, 2006.
- 412 Simmonds, I.: Comparing and contrasting the behaviour of Arctic and Antarctic sea ice over the 35 year period
- 413 1979-2013, Ann. Glaciol., 56(69), 18–28, doi:10.3189/2015AoG69A909, 2015.
- 414 Sotiropoulou, G., Sedlar, J., Tjernström, M., Shupe, M. D., Brooks, I. M. and Persson, P. O. G.: The
- thermodynamic structure of summer Arctic stratocumulus and the dynamic coupling to the surface, Atmos.
- 416 Chem. Phys., 14(22), 12573–12592, doi:10.5194/acp-14-12573-2014, 2014.
- 417 Tang, Q., Zhang, X., Yang, X. and Francis, J. A.: Cold winter extremes in northern continents linked to Arctic
- 418 sea ice loss, Environ. Res. Lett., doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/1/014036, 2013.
- 419 Tjernström, M., Shupe, M. D., Brooks, I. M., Persson, P. O. G., Prytherch, J., Salisbury, D. J., Sedlar, J.,
- 420 Achtert, P., Brooks, B. J., Johnston, P. E., Sotiropoulou, G. and Wolfe, D.: Warm-air advection, air mass
- transformation and fog causes rapid ice melt, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42(13), 5594–5602,
- 422 doi:10.1002/2015GL064373, 2015.
- 423 Tjernström, M., Shupe, M. D., Brooks, I. M., Achtert, P., Prytherch, J. and Sedlar, J.: Arctic summer airmass
- transformation, surface inversions, and the surface energy budget, J. Clim., 32(3), 769–789, doi:10.1175/JCLI-
- 425 D-18-0216.1, 2019.
- Woods, C. and Caballero, R.: The role of moist intrusions in winter arctic warming and sea ice decline, J. Clim.,
 29(12), 4473–4485, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0773.1, 2016.
- Woods, C., Caballero, R. and Svensson, G.: Large-scale circulation associated with moisture intrusions into the
 Arctic during winter, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40(17), 4717–4721, doi:10.1002/grl.50912, 2013.
- You, C., Tjernström, M. and Devasthale, A.: Warm-Air Advection Over Melting Sea-Ice: A Lagrangian Case
 Study, Boundary-Layer Meteorol., doi:10.1007/s10546-020-00590-1, 2020.
- 432 You, C., Tjernström, M. and Devasthale, A.: Eulerian and Lagrangian views of warm and moist air intrusions
- 433 into summer Arctic, Atmos. Res., 256, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105586, 2021.
- 434





- 435 Table 1. Regional averaged F_{sh} , F_{lh} , F_{sw} , F_{lw} and F_{total} in Kara, Laptev, East Siberian and
- 436 Beaufort Sea sector. The unit is W m⁻² for all variables. Statistically significant positive values
- 437 are in bold.

Sea sector	Barents	Kara	Laptev	East Siberian	Chukchi	Beaufort
F _{sh}	28.85±16.73	8.92±13.08	3.17±6.53	6.72 <u>+</u> 7.77	13.55±10.87	5.93 ±8.14
F _{lh}	10.05±9.83	0.65 ± 6.58	-0.39±2.19	0.55 ± 2.56	1.56 ± 4.02	0.34±2.19
F _{sw}	-0.024±0.59	-0.077 ± 0.40	-0.029±0.40	-0.16±0.47	-0.095±0.97	-0.077 <u>±</u> 0.9
F _{lw}	15.99±14.34	16.51±9.93	5.92 ± 10.88	15.42 ± 11.16	21.77±10.30	17.45±10.51
F _{total}	54.86±34.41	26.01±25.32	8.67±13.81	22.52±15.08	36.78±16.27	23.65±14.85

438

Table 2. Number of WaMAIs with boundary layer energy budget pattern of category RAD
(radiation-dominated), TBL (turbulence-dominated), TCD (turbulence-dominated with cold
dome) and INV (lifting temperature inversion), over melting (Barents) and frozen (Laptev,
East Siberian, Chukchi and Beaufort) sea sectors.

Sea sector	Melting			Frozen
Category	RAD	TBL	TCD	INV
Number	9	45	33	131

443 Table 3. Averaged F_{sh} , F_{lh} , F_{sw} , F_{lw} , TCLW (from bottom to h_{t_z} ; g m⁻²) and large-scale

444 convergence (CONV; 10⁻⁵ kg m⁻² s⁻¹) from category TBL and category RAD. Statistically

significant positive values are in bold.

	Category RAD	Category TBL	Category TCD
F _{sw}	-0.0094 ± 0.047	-0.00035±0.0013	-0.0050 ± 0.035
F _{lw}	31.49±13.96	34.61±18.71	35.46±13.10
F_{sh}	40.99±28.27	$72.58{\pm}40.21$	9.77±23.08
F _{lh}	17.43±15.42	24.79 ± 23.80	1.02 <u>±</u> 8.16
TCLW	96.78±53.31	83.11±54.27	30.13±31.89
CONV	17.19 ± 174.89	236.05±225.90	115.00±230.01
Wind Shear	0.019±0.0061	0.026±0.008	$0.02{\pm}0.011$





446



447

- 448 Figure 1. Locations of sic sea sectors discussed in this paper, the Barents, Kara, Laptev, East
- 449 Siberian, Chukchi and Beaufort Sea sectors. Black line is the mean March sea-ice edge in
- 450 1979 and red line is the mean March sea-ice edge in 2015 when the minimum winter sea ice
- 451 cover was recorded.







Figure 2. (a) Contours of the correlation between local f_w and SIC anomalies (multiplied by -1) for the winter month (DJF) over the Barents Sea. The stippling indicates statistical significance at the p < 0.05 level for the Student's *t* test. Red line is the latitude of 80°N where the trajectories over the Barents Sea are launched, while blue line is the latitude of 75°N where the trajectories are launched over the sea sectors of Kara, Laptev, East Siberian, Chukchi and Beaufort; (b) and (d) show the Probability Distribution Function of \bar{f}_w over the Barents and Beaufort Sea, respectively, with the 95-percentile marked as a blue dot; (c) and (e) are the time





461series of f_w over the Barents Sea and Beaufort Sea in 1980, respectively, with WaMAI462highlighted in red and EMIs highlighted in blue.463464465466467468469470471



472

- 473 Figure 3. Composite ERA5 anomalies of (a) 500-hPa GH (10 gpm), (b) 850-hPa temperature
- 474 (K), (c) northward water-vapor flux $(kgm^{-1}s^{-1})$, (d) liquid water path (g m⁻²), and (e) ice
- 475 water path for all EMIs over the Barents Sea, during 1979~2018 winters.







477

478

479 Figure 4. Composite ERA5 anomalies of (a) 500-hPa GH (10 gpm), (b) 850-hPa temperature

- 480 (K), (c) northward water-vapor flux $(kgm^{-1}s^{-1})$, (d) liquid water path (g m⁻²), and (e) ice
- 481 water path for all EMIs over the Beaufort Sea, during 1979~2018 winters.

482



483

Figure 5. Composite ERA5 anomalies of (a) total surface energy (W m⁻²), (b) sea ice

485 concentration (%), (c) surface thermal net irradiance (W m⁻²), (d) surface sensible heat flux

486 $(W m^{-2})$ and (e) surface latent heat flux $(W m^{-2})$ for all EMIs over the Barents Sea, during







489

490 Figure 6. Composite ERA5 anomalies of (a) total surface energy (W m⁻²), (b) sea ice

491 concentration (%), (c) surface thermal net irradiance (W m⁻²), (d) surface sensible heat flux

492 $(W m^{-2})$ and (e) surface latent heat flux $(W m^{-2})$ for all EMIs over the Beaufort Sea, during

493 1979~2018 winter.









496 Figure 7. Anomalies of (a) 500-hPa geopotential height (gpm), (b) 850-hPa temperature (K),





498 499	series over the Barents Sea. The stippling indicates statistical significance at the $p < 0.05$ level from a Student's <i>t</i> test.
500	







502

503 Figure 8. Anomalies of (a) 500-hPa geopotential height (gpm), (b) 850-hPa temperature (K), 504

(c) F_{total} , (d) SIC, (e) F_{lw} , (f) F_{sh} , and (g) F_{lh} from linear regressions against daily \bar{f}_w time series over the Beaufort Sea. The stippling indicates statistical significance at the p < 0.05505

506 level from a Student's *t* test.





507



Figure 9: Average variation of (a) the height to the maximum specific humidity (h_{sh}), temperature gradient (h_{t_z} ; m) and temperature (h_t); (b) liquid water path (LWP; g m⁻²), ice water path (IWP; g m⁻²) and total water path (TWP; g m⁻²); (c) precipitation rate (PRCP; mm day⁻¹), with the downstream northward distance from sea-ice edge, along the WaMAI trajectories over the Barents Sea. (d) (e) (f) are the counterparts of (a)(b)(c) over the frozen seas. Note that this is not necessarily the distance travelled, since WaMAIs need to travel due northward.







517

Figure 10. Plots showing the average meridional change in the anomalies of (a) the sum (F_{total} , W m⁻²; black) and individual surface fluxes of sensible heat (F_{sh} , W m⁻²; yellow), latent heat (F_{lh} , W

520 m⁻²; green), net longwave irradiance (F_{lw} , W m⁻²; red) and net shortwave irradiance (F_{sw} , W m⁻²;

521 blue) along the trajectories. (b) shows the cloud radiative effect by longwave (F_{lw_CRE} ; red). (c)(d) are 522 the counterparts of (a)(b) over the frozen seas.

523

524







527

528

529 Figure 11. Latitude-height cross-section of (a) cloud liquid water concentration (g kg⁻¹), (b) potential temperature (K), (c) temperature (K), (d) specific humidity (g kg⁻¹), (e) temperature tendency due 530 to model physics (K day⁻¹), (f) longwave radiative heating (K day⁻¹), (g) latent heating (K 531 day⁻¹) and (h) turbulent heating (K day⁻¹), interpolated from ERA5 along trajectories of one 532 selected WaMAI from category INV. The green dash lines mark the location of ice-edge. See the text 533 534 for a detailed discussion.

535





537



538 539

540 Figure 12. Same as figure 13 but for a selected radiation-dominated WaMAI.

541





543



544

545 Figure 13. Same as figure 10 but for a selected turbulence-dominated WaMAI.







548

549 Figure 14. Same as figure 10 but for a selected turbulence-dominated WaMAI with cold 550 dome.







553 Figure 15. Concept graph of WaMAI from category (a) INV, (b) radiation-dominated

WaMAI, (c) turbulence-dominated WaMAI, (d) turbulence-dominated WaMAI with cold
dome. The red lines in (a)(b)(c) are temperature or humidity profiles. Red arrows represent
the WaMAIs. The horizontal arrows represent the Arctic surface with frozen or melting seaice. Black lines represent inversions.





567

568



569

Figure 16. Average variation of (a1) the sum (F_{total} , W m⁻²; black) and individual surface fluxes 570 of sensible heat (F_{sh}, W m⁻²; yellow), latent heat (F_{lh}, W m⁻²; green), net longwave irradiance 571 (F_{lw} , W m⁻²; red) and net shortwave irradiance (F_{sw} , W m⁻²; blue); (b1) the height to the 572 maximum specific humidity (h_{sh}) and temperature (h_t); (c1) liquid water path (LWP; g m⁻²), ice 573 water path (IWP; g m⁻²) and total water path (TWP; g m⁻²); (d1) the cloud radiative effect by 574 longwave ($F_{lw CRE}$; red), with the downstream northward distance from sea-ice edge, along the 575 trajectory of WaMAI in category of RAD over the Barents Sea. (a2)(b2)(c2)(d2) 576 ((a3)(b3)(c3)(d3)) are the same but for WaMAIs in category of TBL (TCD). 577

578

579