What rainfall rates are most important to wet removal of different aerosol types?
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Abstract. Both frequency and intensity of rainfall affect aerosol wet deposition. With a stochastic deep convection scheme implemented into two state-of-the-art global climate models (GCMs), a recent study found that aerosol burdens are increased globally by reduced climatological mean wet removal of aerosols due to suppressed light rain. Motivated by their work, a novel approach is developed in this study to detect what rainfall rates are most efficient for wet removal (scavenging amount mode) of different aerosol species in different sizes in GCMs and applied to the National Center for Atmospheric Research Community Atmosphere Model version 5 (CAM5) with and without the stochastic convection cases. Results show that in the standard CAM5, no obvious differences in the scavenging amount mode are found among different aerosol types. However, the scavenging amount modes differ in the Aitken, accumulation and coarse modes showing around 10-12, 8-9, and 7-8 mm d$^{-1}$, respectively over the tropics. As latitude increases poleward, the scavenging amount mode in each aerosol mode is decreased substantially. The scavenging amount mode is generally smaller over land than over ocean. With stochastic convection, the scavenging amount mode for all aerosol species in each mode is systematically increased, which is the most prominent along the Intertropical Convergence Zone exceeding 20 mm d$^{-1}$ for small particles. Regardless of whether the stochastic convection scheme is used, convective precipitation has higher efficiency in removing aerosols than large-scale precipitation over the globe even though convection is infrequent over high-latitudes. The scavenging amount modes in the two cases are both smaller than individual rainfall rates associated with the most accumulated rain (rainfall amount mode), further implying precipitation frequency is more important than precipitation intensity for aerosol wet removal. The notion of the scavenging amount mode can be applied to other GCMs to better understand the relation between rainfall and aerosol wet scavenging, which is important to better simulating aerosols.
1. Introduction

Wet deposition through scavenging by rainfall is an important sink for atmospheric aerosols and soluble gases (Atlas and Giam, 1988; Radke et al., 1980). A correlation between the total rainfall amount or rainfall intensity and air pollution has been documented in many studies (Cape et al., 2012; Pye et al., 2009; Tai et al., 2012). For instance, Dawson et al. (2007) found a strong sensitivity of the particulate matter with diameters less than 2.5 μm (PM$_{2.5}$) concentrations to rainfall intensity over a large region of the eastern United States from sensitivity tests using a regional numerical model. Besides precipitation intensity, precipitation frequency also influences aerosol wet deposition. In the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL) chemistry-climate model AM3, Fang et al. (2011) found wet scavenging has a stronger spatial correlation with rainfall frequency than intensity over the United States in January. Mahowald et al. (2011) explored the role of precipitation frequency in dust wet deposition based on model simulations and noted the frequency of precipitation rather than the amount of precipitation controls the fraction of dust wet vs dry deposition outside dust source regions.

Hou et al. (2018) investigated the sensitivity of wet scavenging of black carbon (BC) to precipitation intensity and frequency respectively in the Goddard Earth Observing System Chemistry (GEOS-Chem) model. The frequency and intensity of precipitation from the GEOS-5 run were used to drive the GEOS-Chem. With the sensitivity tests by artificially perturbing precipitation frequency and intensity respectively, they found that the deposition efficiency and hence the lifetime of BC have higher sensitivities to rainfall frequencies than to rainfall intensities. Even with the same mean total rainfall, a different combination of precipitation intensity and frequency results in different removal efficiency of BC. Although these studies investigate the impacts of precipitation intensity and frequency on aerosol wet removal, it is not clear yet climatologically what rainfall rates contribute the most to aerosol wet deposition.

Wang et al. (2021) recently found that the frequency of total rainfall in the range from 1 to 20 mm d$^{-1}$ plays a critical role in regulating the annual mean wet deposition rates of aerosols, especially over the tropics and subtropics. By suppressing the too frequent occurrence of convection in this rainfall intensity range with the introduction of a stochastic deep convection scheme (Wang et al., 2016), the aerosol burdens in two global climate models (GCMs) were significantly increased, with the simulated aerosol optical depth (AOD) agreeing better with observations. Based on their work, several interesting questions on the relation between rainfall and aerosol wet removal can be asked: (1) climatologically, what rain rates have the highest
efficiency in removing atmospheric aerosols? (2) how much convective and large-scale precipitation contribute to it? (3) for different aerosol types and sizes, does the rain rate most efficient in washing out aerosols differ? (4) also, does it differ over different latitudes and continents/oceans?

To address these questions, this study develops a novel approach to identify the rainfall intensity associated with the most efficient aerosol wet scavenging and applies it to different aerosol species at different aerosol sizes in the NCAR CAM5. The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the CAM5 model, experiments, observations and methods. In section 3, precipitation characteristics especially for the amount (defined by daily cumulative rainfall) distributions in two simulations evaluated with observations are presented first. With distinct precipitation features (e.g., frequency and amount) in two simulations, their aerosol wet deposition features and mass concentrations are shown. Discussion and conclusions are given in section 4.

2. Experiments, methods and observations
2.1. Model and simulations
This study uses the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) Community Atmosphere Model version 5.3 (CAM5.3). As the atmosphere model of the NCAR CESM, CAM5.3 in a standard configuration has a vertical resolution of 30 levels from the surface to 3.6 hPa and a horizontal resolution of 1.9° × 2.5° using finite volume dynamical core. Deep convection is parameterized using the Zhang and McFarlane (1995) (ZM) scheme with dilute convective available potential energy (CAPE) modification by Neale et al. (2008) while the shallow convection scheme uses Park and Bretherton (2009). The Bretherton and Park (2009) moist turbulence parameterization is used to present the stratus-radiation-turbulence interactions.

The Morrison and Gettelman (2008) (MG) scheme is for large-scale stratiform cloud microphysics. The radiative transfer calculations are based on the Rapid Radiative Transfer Model (RRTM) (Iacono et al., 2008). The properties and process of major aerosol species (sulfate, mineral dust, sea salt, primary organic matter, secondary organic aerosol and black carbon) are treated in the modal aerosol module (MAM) in which distributions of aerosol size are represented by three lognormal modes (MAM3): Aitken, accumulation and coarse modes (Liu et al., 2012). The number mixing ratio of each mode and the associated mass mixing ratios of aerosol types in each mode are predicted.

Aerosol wet removal consists of in-cloud scavenging and sub-cloud scavenging, which are
both treated by the aerosol wet removal module. For in-cloud scavenging, the rainfall production rates and cloud water mixing ratios are used to calculate first-order loss rates for cloud water, which is further multiplied by “solubility factors” to obtain aerosol first-order loss rates. The solubility factors can be interpreted as (tuning factor) \( \times \) (aerosol fraction in cloud droplets). The stratiform in-cloud scavenging only influences the stratiform-cloud-borne aerosol particles with solubility factors of 1.0 (0 for interstitial aerosols). The convective in-cloud scavenging is computed both using an in-convection activation fraction and a solubility factor. For stratiform and convective sub-cloud scavenging, the first-order removal rate of interstitial aerosols is equal to (rain rate) \( \times \) (scavenging coefficient) \( \times \) (solubility factor). There is no sub-cloud scavenging for stratiform-cloud-borne aerosols.

We use the CAM5.3 simulation output in Wang et al. (2021) for our analysis. The runs with the default ZM scheme (referred to as CAM5) and the stochastic deep convection scheme (referred to as STOC) (Plant and Craig, 2008; Wang et al., 2016) are Atmospheric Model Intercomparison Project (AMIP) type simulations with the present-day (PD) aerosol emission scenario. The prescribed, seasonally varying climatological present-day (averaged over 1982-2001) sea surface temperatures (SSTs) and sea ice extent, recycled yearly force the two simulations which are run for 6 years and the last 5 years are used for analysis.

2.2. Methods

Both precipitation frequency and intensity contribute to the rainfall amount. Wang et al. (2016, 2021) show that the occurrence frequency of observed and simulated precipitation varies with precipitation intensity largely following exponential functions. Therefore, using a log-linear coordinate system to examine the contribution from each rainfall interval will allow an easier comparison among different rainfall intensity ranges. The contributions from different rainfall rates to the total rainfall amount can be described using the following form (Kooperman et al. 2018):

\[
P(R_i) = \frac{1}{\Delta \ln(R)} \frac{1}{N_T} \sum_{k=1}^{N_T} r_k \cdot I \left( R_i^l \leq r_k < R_i^r \right) \quad (1)
\]

where \( i \) is the bin index, \( r \) is the daily rain rate, \( R_i^l \) is the rainfall bin center with bounds \( R_i^l \) and \( R_i^r \) which is logarithmically spaced covering 4 orders of magnitude of rainfall intensity from 0.1 to 1000 mm d\(^{-1}\). The bin width is set to \( \Delta \ln(R) = \Delta R / R = 0.1 \), meaning that the bin interval is 1/10 of the center value (\( R \)). \( N_T \) is the total number of days, and \( I \) is a binary operator that has a
value of 1 within the rainfall bin of interest and 0 outside. Thus, \( P(R_i) \) is the contribution to the total precipitation by the rainfall rates centered at \( R_i \). Graphically, the area under the curve of \( P \) in a log-linear plot gives the total amount of mean precipitation. Similarly, within the total precipitation rate bin centered at \( R_i \), the contributions from convective (\( P_c \)) and large-scale (\( P_L \)) precipitation are given respectively by:

\[
P_c(R_i) = \frac{1}{\Delta \ln(R)} \frac{1}{N_f} \sum_{k=1}^{N_f} r^c_k \cdot I \left( R_i^l \leq r_k < R_i^r \right) \quad (2)
\]

\[
P_L(R_i) = \frac{1}{\Delta \ln(R)} \frac{1}{N_f} \sum_{k=1}^{N_f} r^L_k \cdot I \left( R_i^l \leq r_k < R_i^r \right) \quad (3)
\]

where \( r^c \) and \( r^L \) are the convective and large-scale rainfall contributions respectively to the total rainfall within the bin \( r_k \). Eqs. (1) through (3) allow us to determine contributions to aerosol wet scavenging from convective and stratiform clouds separately.

Note that Eqs. (2) and (3) are different from those used in previous studies (e.g., O’Brien et al., 2016, Wang et al. 2021), where the rainfall bin used for occurrence count is specified using convective and large-scale rainfall separately. The use of total precipitation to define the rainfall bin has the advantage of allowing us to derive partitioned frequency distributions conditioned on total precipitation rates.

A similar approach can be used to relate the wet removal of aerosols to rainfall intensity. The amount distribution of wet removal (\( W \)) for a given aerosol type under different rainfall intensity is calculated at each model grid point before area-weighted averaging over regions of interest:

\[
W(R_i) = \frac{1}{\Delta \ln(R)} \frac{1}{N_f} \sum_{k=1}^{N_f} d_k \cdot I \left( R_i^l \leq r_k < R_i^r \right) \quad (4)
\]

where \( d \) is the daily wet deposition rate for a given aerosol type, including in- and below-cloud wet deposition fluxes from both convective and stratiform clouds. Akin to the amount distribution of precipitation, the amount distribution of aerosol wet scavenging graphically depicts how much accumulated wet deposition is produced by different rain rates, where the area under the distribution is the total mean wet deposition rate. The rainfall intensity band that contributes the most to the total rainfall or aerosol wet scavenging will be referred to as the rainfall or scavenging amount mode, respectively.

With Eq. (4), the synergy of frequency and intensity of rainfall on the wet deposition of aerosols are included. The rainfall intensity associated with the peak amount of wet removal can be determined accordingly, telling us what precipitation intensity is most efficient in removing aerosols from the atmosphere. Applying it to different aerosol types in different aerosol size modes,
individual precipitation intensity most effective in aerosol scavenging is obtained.

The amount distribution of total wet removal of aerosols under different total precipitation intensity can be further decomposed into contributions of wet deposition fluxes from convective and stratiform clouds respectively, similar to the decomposition of precipitation amount:

\[
W_C(R_i) = \frac{1}{\Delta \ln(R_i)} \frac{1}{N_T} \sum_{k=1}^{N_T} d_k^C \cdot I \left( R_i^l \leq r_k^T < R_i^r \right) \tag{5}
\]

\[
W_L(R_i) = \frac{1}{\Delta \ln(R_i)} \frac{1}{N_T} \sum_{k=1}^{N_T} d_k^L \cdot I \left( R_i^l \leq r_k^T < R_i^r \right) \tag{6}
\]

where \( d^T \), \( d^C \) and \( d^L \) is the daily wet deposition rates from all, convective and stratiform clouds respectively. Thus, for each precipitation bin, the sum of wet removal from convective clouds (\( W_C \)) and that from stratiform clouds (\( W_L \)) is equal to the total wet deposition rate (\( W \)). As a result, the fractional contribution of aerosol wet scavenging from individual cloud processes (i.e., \( W_C/W \) and \( W_L/W \)) can be obtained.

2.3. Observations

The precipitation characteristics in the two simulations are evaluated with observations. Among them, the total rainfall mean state is evaluated against the Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP) monthly product (version 2.1) at a resolution of 2.5° (Adler et al., 2003) and the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) 3B43 monthly observations at a resolution of 1° over (50°S, 50°N) (Huffman et al., 2012a) while the TRMM 3A12 monthly observations at a resolution of 0.5° (Huffman et al., 2007) is used to evaluate the mean convective and large-scale precipitation. A daily estimate of GPCP version 1.2 at 1° horizontal resolution (GPCP 1DD) (Huffman et al., 2001, 2012b) and the TRMM 3B42 version 7 daily observations at a resolution of 0.25° over (50°S, 50°N) (Huffman et al., 2007) are used in the evaluation of the precipitation frequency and amount distribution. To make a consistent comparison with the model simulations, observations are regredded to the same CAM5 grid points.

3. Results

3.1. Precipitation

Figure 1 shows the latitudinal distributions of total, convective and large-scale precipitation in GPCP, TRMM, CAM5 and STOC. Overall, the total mean precipitation distributions in CAM5 and STOC runs are comparable, except over the northern tropics where the STOC run simulates mean rainfall slightly larger than the CAM5 run. In comparison with observations, the total
precipitation in both simulations is overestimated in the tropics and subtropics while that in mid- and high-latitudes agrees well (Fig. 1a). The overestimated total precipitation over the tropics and subtropics in both simulations is dominantly from the overestimated convective precipitation (Fig. 1b). Nonetheless, compared to the extremely small large-scale rainfall contribution in the CAM5 run, the increased large-scale precipitation in the STOC run, though mainly contributing to the further increase of total precipitation in the northern tropics, results in a better agreement with the TRMM observations.

The distributions of total rainfall amount for GPCP, TRMM, CAM5 and STOC over the tropics (20°S, 20°N), subtropics and midlatitudes (20°-50°N), and high-latitudes (50°-90°N) are shown in Figure 2a-c. Over the tropics, the distribution in STOC exhibits more rainfall from more intense rain rate and less rainfall from light rain than that in CAM5, thus the rainfall amount mode in STOC (around 40 mm d\(^{-1}\)) is much stronger than that in CAM5 (~20 mm d\(^{-1}\)), falling between the TRMM and GPCP observed rainfall amount mode (30-50 mm d\(^{-1}\)) (Fig. 2a). The weak amount mode of total rainfall in CAM5 is controlled by convective precipitation rather than large-scale precipitation in terms of their respective distributions and fractional contributions at rain rates ranging from 1 to 20 mm d\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 2d&g). In contrast, convective and large-scale rainfall in STOC both represents the observed amount mode of total rain. The shift of the total rainfall amount mode to a larger value in STOC is due to the increased (decreased) fractional contribution of convective precipitation at rain rates larger (smaller) than ~20 mm d\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 2g). Over the subtropics and midlatitudes, the amount mode of total rainfall in CAM5 is comparable to that over the tropics (~20 mm d\(^{-1}\)). Again, compared with CAM5, the rainfall amount mode in the STOC run shifts rightward better matching GPCP and TRMM observations (Fig. 2b). The representation of convective and large-scale precipitation for the observed amount mode of total rainfall in the two simulations is the same as that over the tropics except large-scale precipitation in CAM5 which represents the observed amount mode of total rain as well (Fig. 2e). In contrast to the tropics, the difference of the fractional contribution between large-scale and convective precipitation at rain rates between 1 to 20 mm d\(^{-1}\) in the CAM5 run is reduced due to the decreased convective and increased large-scale fractional contributions (75% vs. 25%) (Fig. 2h). With the introduction of the stochastic deep convection parameterization, the STOC run suppresses the sub-tropical and midlatitude convection, further decreasing their fractional contributions relative to CAM5. At rain rates larger than 20 mm d\(^{-1}\), although STOC enhances the fractional contribution of convection, large-scale precipitation, as in CAM5, still makes more contributions. Since large-scale
precipitation dominates the total precipitation over high latitudes, the amount distributions of total rainfall are similar between the two simulations (Fig. 2c). Despite this, the amount of convective rainfall and the associated fractional contribution between 1 and 10 mm d\(^{-1}\) are reduced in the STOC run compared with that in the CAM5 run (Fig. 2f&i).

For a given rain rate, its amount contribution is determined by frequency \(f\) only \(P(R_t) = f(R_t)R_t\). The frequency distributions of the total precipitation in observations and simulations, and contributions from convective and large-scale precipitation in CAM5 and STOC runs are shown in Figure 3. Over the tropics, where there is frequent convection, although the frequency of total precipitation in the STOC run is slightly higher than that in the CAM5 run at rain rates between 0.1 and 2 mm d\(^{-1}\), the frequency of rain rates between 2 and 20 mm d\(^{-1}\) in STOC is greatly reduced, much closer to GPCP and TRMM. Furthermore, for rain rates larger than 20 mm d\(^{-1}\), the simulated frequency in STOC matches TRMM very well (Fig. 3a). These changes in the total rainfall frequency can be explained by those in individual large-scale and convective components, i.e., a decrease of the frequency of convective precipitation is the main contributor to the frequency change of total rain rates between 2 and 20 mm d\(^{-1}\) while both large-scale and convective precipitation is responsible for the frequency increase of total rain rates larger than 20 mm d\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 3d). These results are consistent with Wang et al. (2021). As the latitude increases poleward associated with the decreasing frequency contribution of convection, the difference of the frequency of total rainfall between CAM5 and STOC runs becomes less prominent (Fig. 3b&c). However, relative to the frequency of convective precipitation in the CAM5 run, similar changes to those over the tropics in the STOC run are still evident (Fig. 3e&f). A chain linking the changes of frequency and amount from CAM5 to STOC is summarized here: with the stochastic deep convection parameterization, the frequency of convection for rain rates between 1 and 20 mm d\(^{-1}\) is reduced in STOC, resulting in the decreased amount of total rain within this range and thus the associated shift of the rainfall amount mode to larger rainfall intensity.

3.2. Wet deposition of aerosols

With precipitation features in CAM5 and STOC runs in mind, aerosol wet deposition in the two simulations is explored. Figure 4 demonstrates the simulated distributions of wet removal of different aerosol species in different modes over the tropics. Overall, the shape of the distributions of wet removal for all aerosol species in the three modes in both simulations resembles that of the rainfall distribution. Nonetheless, the scavenging amount modes are not equal to the amount modes...
of total rainfall as shown in Fig. 2a, especially for large particles. Specifically, in CAM5, for sulfate, sea salt and secondary organic aerosol (SOA) in the Aitken mode, the scavenging amount modes are around 10-12 mm d\(^{-1}\), smaller than the rainfall amount mode of \(~20\) mm d\(^{-1}\). As the aerosol size increases, the scavenging amount modes decrease to 8-9 and 7-8 mm d\(^{-1}\) in the accumulation and coarse modes, respectively, implying larger particles are easier to be removed by lighter rainfall. The feature that the scavenging amount mode is smaller than the amount mode of total rain suggests the frequency of light precipitation plays a more important role in regulating the amount of aerosol wet scavenging than that of rainfall. Additionally, in contrast to other aerosols, the wet removal of sea salt is more sensitive to light precipitation. With the rain rate increasing beyond 1 mm d\(^{-1}\), the wet deposition rate of sea salt increases more rapidly than that of other aerosols (i.e., steeper curve). As a response to the shift of the amount mode of total rainfall to a larger value from CAM5 to STOC, the scavenging amount modes for all aerosols in the three modes in STOC are increased accordingly. Owing to the decreased rainfall amount and the high occurrence frequency at rain rates smaller than 20 mm d\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 3a&d), the decrease of wet removal in this rainfall range overwhelms the wet deposition increase at rain rates beyond 20 mm d\(^{-1}\). As a result, compared to CAM5, the net decreases of regionally averaged wet removal for all aerosols in the three modes in STOC are found. The largest relative decreases in the Aitken, accumulation and coarse modes are found in black carbon (-33.3% from 0.03 to 0.02 mg/m\(^2\)/day), SOA (-50% from 0.004 to 0.002 mg/m\(^2\)/day), and dust (-20.9% from 7.60 to 6.01 mg/m\(^2\)/day), respectively.

The distributions for the sub-tropics and mid-latitudes, and high latitudes are shown in Figures 5 and 6, respectively. Same as in the tropics, the similar distributions of different aerosol species in different modes over these two regions are found except for dust in the coarse mode in the sub-tropics and mid-latitudes where two peaks are found: one located at the rain rate around 0.8 mm d\(^{-1}\) and the other around 8 mm d\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 5). With the suppression of the total rainfall amount between 1-10 mm d\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 2b), for dust in the coarse mode over (20°N, 50°N), the amount magnitudes of two peaks are comparable in the STOC run in contrast to the distinctly different magnitudes of two peaks in the CAM5 run. The scavenging amount modes for all aerosols over these two latitudinal belts are smaller than the rainfall amount modes as well (Fig. 2b&c). In comparison with CAM5, again, the scavenging amount mode shifts rightward and the regional mean of wet removal for all aerosols is reduced in the STOC run (Figs. 5&6). Due to a decrease of mean rain as latitude increases, the scavenging amount mode and mean wet removal for all aerosols are increasingly reduced.
Besides the scavenging amount mode different from the amount mode of total rainfall, the fractional contributions of wet deposition rates from stratiform and convective clouds differ more significantly from the fractional contributions of convective and large-scale precipitation to the total rainfall amount. Over the tropics (Figure 7), for all aerosols in the Aitken and accumulation modes, in the range of rain rates from 0.1 to 100 mm d\(^{-1}\), the total wet removal is almost all from convective clouds for both CAM5 and STOC despite the fact that the fractional contribution of large-scale rainfall to the total rainfall amount reaches as much as 25% at rain rates greater than 20 mm d\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 2g). For rain rates higher than 100 mm d\(^{-1}\), while the large-scale contribution to the total rainfall amount is up to 50-60% in two runs, only for sulfate, sea salt, dust, black carbon and primary organic matter (POM) in the accumulation mode in STOC does the fractional contribution of wet removal from stratiform clouds reach 50%. In contrast, for large aerosol particles (i.e., sulfate, sea salt and dust in the coarse mode), the role of stratiform clouds becomes important. For example, at rain rates ranging from 0.1 to 10 mm d\(^{-1}\) in which the large-scale contribution to the total rainfall amount can almost be neglected in both simulations, wet deposition from stratiform clouds accounts for 10-25% in CAM5 and 25-40% in STOC. This is because large particles in stratiform clouds can be easily removed (Abdul-Razzak and Ghan, 2000) by acting as cloud condensation nuclei. As a response to a rapid increase of the large-scale fractional contribution to the total rainfall amount when rain rates exceed 100 mm d\(^{-1}\) in STOC, the fractional contribution of wet removal from the stratiform clouds rockets up to 100%.

As for the subtropics and midlatitudes (Figure 8), as rain rates increase, the changes of the fractional contributions from convective and stratiform clouds in the two simulations follow the changes of the fractional contributions to the total rainfall amount well. However, their fractional contributions to rainfall and aerosol wet scavenging differ dramatically. Take rainfall rates between 1 to 10 mm d\(^{-1}\) for example. Although the fractional contribution of wet removal of aerosols in the Aitken and accumulation modes from stratiform clouds increases slightly in the two simulations (\(~12\%\) in STOC larger than \(~5\%\) in CAM5), this still shows a large contrast to the large-scale fractional contribution to the total rainfall amount (\(>25\%\)) (Fig. 2h). Different from the tropics, after rain rates exceed 10 mm d\(^{-1}\), the fractional contributions from stratiform clouds for all aerosols in these two modes in CAM5 and STOC climb to 25%. For aerosols in the coarse mode between 1 and 10 mm d\(^{-1}\), the fractional contribution from stratiform clouds in CAM5 is larger than 25% but still much smaller than that from convective clouds. Associated with the decreased (increased) convective (large-scale) precipitation in STOC, the individual fractional contributions to the total
wet removal from stratiform and convective clouds are comparable. As rain rates increase beyond 20 mm d\(^{-1}\), the fractional contribution from stratiform clouds in two runs becomes dominant with a larger contribution from convective clouds in STOC than in CAM5.

In high latitudes (Figure 9), even though precipitation is mainly from large-scale rainfall with little convection (Figs. 2i & 3f), it is surprising that the aerosol particles in the Aitken and accumulation modes at rain rates between 0.3-20 mm d\(^{-1}\) in both simulations are still mainly removed by convective clouds. This is largely attributed to the fact that in-cloud interstitial aerosols are removed by convection only (see section 2.2). Only for total rainfall larger than 20 mm d\(^{-1}\) does wet removal from stratiform clouds dominate over that from convective clouds. In contrary to the behavior of small aerosol particles, the wet scavenging of aerosol particles in the coarse mode in CAM5 and STOC behave consistently across the entire rainfall range, with the fractional contribution from large-scale overwhelming that from convective clouds (exceeding 75% in STOC larger than in CAM5).

With these aerosol wet deposition features and the associated rainfall amount and frequency characteristics shown in section 3.1, the cause for the decrease of the mean wet removal in STOC compared to CAM5 is summarized as follows. For all aerosol species in three modes over three latitudinal belts, the rain rates at which there is a large amount of wet removal range from 1 to 20 mm d\(^{-1}\) although the individual scavenging amount mode differs (Figs. 4-6). In this rainfall intensity range, the frequency decrease of convective precipitation and unchanged large-scale precipitation (Fig. 3) result in the reduced amount of this total rainfall intensity band (Fig. 2). This change of the total/convective rainfall amount and the behavior that aerosols especially for particles in the Aitken and accumulation modes are mainly removed from convective clouds (except sulfate, sea salt and dust in the coarse mode in high latitudes) (Figs. 7-9) work together for the climatological mean wet deposition decrease.

The framework proposed in section 2.3 is difficult to use for assessing the geographic distribution of the scavenging amount mode because it is based on discrete logarithmic bins that can under-sample the data in some regions with little precipitation. In this regard, an alternative approach is proposed. At each grid point, the daily precipitation intensity during the entire \(N_T\) days is sorted in an ascending order with which the corresponding wet deposition rate is accumulated accordingly. Then the rainfall intensity associated with the median accumulated wet removal is used as a complementary statistic of the scavenging amount mode which is independent of the rainfall bin structure. In CAM5 (Fig. 10), the geographic patterns in general resemble that
of annual mean precipitation (Wang and Zhang, 2016), showing maximum centers (~6-10 mm d⁻¹) along the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ), the South Pacific Convergence Zone and in the Indian Ocean. Besides these regions, the scavenging amount mode for SOA in the Aitken mode also peaks over the north Pacific and Amazonia. Even though rainfall intensity between 1 and 20 mm d⁻¹ occurs more frequently over oceans than over land (Wang et al., 2016), it is easier for aerosols over land except the Tibetan Plateau to be removed by lighter rainfall. In comparison with CAM5, increases of the simulated scavenging amount mode in STOC are found across the globe but most significant along the ITCZ where for some small aerosol particles (e.g., sulfate, sea salt and SOA in the Aitken and accumulation modes) it can exceed 20 mm d⁻¹ (Fig. 11).

3.3. Aerosol amount changes

To investigate the impact of reduced aerosol wet removal on aerosol mass concentrations in the atmosphere, Figure 12 presents latitude-pressure cross-sections of changes in annual mean mass mixing ratios of different aerosol species between CAM5 and STOC. The aerosol concentrations for all species are increased throughout the troposphere. But the peak-heights differ for different aerosol types. Sulfate and sea salt peak near the surface while dust, black carbon, POM and SOA show maxima at around 800 hPa. In terms of the latitudinal variation, the largest changes are broadly located in the tropics and midlatitudes in both hemispheres, corresponding to ITCZ convection region and midlatitude cyclone regions. The exception is dust, for which the maximum is between the equator and 30°N where the Sahara Desert is. In addition to the primary maxima at the lower troposphere, a secondary peak is found at the upper troposphere (~200 hPa) for all aerosol species, especially in the tropics. The significant increases of aerosols in the lower troposphere primarily result from reduced light rain. As will be seen in Figure 13 below, convective transport also has a substantial contribution. The secondary peak is apparently associated with convective transport. To verify this, Figure 13 shows the difference of convective mass flux between STOC and CAM5 and the vertical transport of selected aerosol types. Although the mass flux in deep convection in the lower troposphere is reduced because of the reduced frequency of convection (Fig. 13a), the increases in aerosol concentrations still lead to the enhancement of the vertical aerosol transport by deep convection (e.g., POM and SOA, Fig. 13c-d). In the upper troposphere, there is an increase in convective mass flux. This is due to the increase of the frequency of more intense convection and precipitation (Fig. 3). Correspondingly, there is more vertical aerosol transport in the upper troposphere (Wang and Zhang, 2016). Other aerosol species
transported by deep convection have similar results (figure not shown).

4. Discussion and conclusions

This study aims to identify the scavenging amount modes for different aerosol species in different sizes. In the standard CAM5 with too much light precipitation mainly associated with too frequent convection, for a given aerosol mode, there are no obvious differences in the scavenging amount modes among different aerosol species. However, as the aerosol size grows, the scavenging amount mode decreases, suggesting that lighter rainfall is more efficient at removing larger particles. Specifically, the scavenging amount modes in the Aitken, accumulation and coarse modes are around 10-12, 8-9 and 7-8 mm d\(^{-1}\), respectively over the tropics. As latitude increases poleward, the scavenging amount mode in each aerosol mode is decreased substantially. In comparison with the scavenging amount modes over the ocean, the values over land are generally smaller. With the effective reduction of too frequent convection by the stochastic deep convection parameterization, STOC systematically increases the scavenging amount mode for all aerosol species in each mode which is the most prominent along the ITCZ exceeding 20 mm d\(^{-1}\) for small particles. For both CAM5 and STOC, the scavenging amount modes of all aerosols are smaller than the rainfall amount modes, implying the rainfall intensity associated with the most accumulated rain does not equal the most accumulated wet deposition. The rainfall frequency plays a more critical role in regulating the accumulated aerosol wet deposition than in the most accumulated rainfall.

This study also demonstrates that convective precipitation has higher efficiency in removing atmospheric aerosols than large-scale precipitation. Even at high latitudes where convection is infrequent, aerosol wet scavenging especially for fine particles is still dominantly from convective precipitation. This implies that there is an inconsistency of fractional contributions from convective and stratiform clouds between precipitation and aerosol wet removal, which probably will cause a problem in high-resolution GCM simulations. As resolution increases, if convective precipitation theoretically decreases, convection-induced decreases of aerosol wet removal will overwhelm the increases of aerosol wet removal from the large-scale precipitation increase. As a result, aerosol burdens might be increasingly enhanced.

The approach proposed in this study to determine the scavenging amount mode and the corresponding fractional contributions from stratiform and convective clouds can be applied to other GCMs to better understand the individual relation between rainfall and aerosol wet
scavenging, which is of importance to simulating aerosols in GCMs. The high sensitivity of the scavenging amount mode to the representation of the rainfall amount distribution at rain rates between 1 and 20 mm d\(^{-1}\) and the vital role of aerosol wet removal from convective clouds highlight that the improvement of the aerosol wet deposition in GCMs should focus on not only the parameterization of aerosol wet scavenging itself but also the parameterization of convection.
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Figure captions

Figure 1. Zonal mean (a) total (solid line), (b) convective (solid line) and large-scale (dashed line) precipitation in CAM5 (blue), STOC (red) and TRMM (black). Zonal mean total rain in GPCP (green) is also shown.

Figure 2. Amount distributions of (a-c) total, (d-f) convective and large-scale precipitation, and (g-i) fractional contributions of convective precipitation to total precipitation over (a, d&g) (20°S, 20°N), (b, e&h) (20°N, 50°N) and (c, f&i) (50°N, 90°N). Total rainfall amounts are shown for CAM5 (blue), STOC (red), GPCP (green) and TRMM (black) while convective (solid line) and large-scale (dashed line) rainfall amounts and the fractional contributions of convective precipitation are shown for CAM5 and STOC. The amount distributions (units: mm d⁻¹) are scaled by $\Delta \ln(R) = \Delta R/R$, which has units of mm d⁻¹/mm d⁻¹ and is a unitless scaling term.

Figure 3. Frequency distributions of (a-c) total and (d-f) convective and large-scale precipitation, over (a&d) (20°S, 20°N), (b&e) (20°N, 50°N) and (c&f) (50°N, 90°N). Total rainfall frequency distributions are shown for CAM5 (blue), STOC (red), GPCP (green) and TRMM (black) while convective (solid line) and large-scale (dashed line) rainfall frequency distributions are shown for CAM5 and STOC. The frequency distributions (units: %) are scaled by $\Delta \ln(R) = \Delta R/R$, which has units of mm d⁻¹/mm d⁻¹ and is a unitless scaling term.

Figure 4. Amount distributions of wet removal of aerosols (units: mg/m²/day) over (20°S, 20°N) in CAM5 (blue), and STOC (red) runs. The distributions are scaled by $\Delta \ln(R) = \Delta R/R$, which has units of mm d⁻¹/mm d⁻¹ and is a unitless scaling term. Numbers in each subplot are regional mean wet deposition rates in two simulations. Note that the y-axis range for each frame is different.

Figure 5. Same as Figure 4, but over (20°N, 50°N).

Figure 6. Same as Figure 4, but over (50°N, 90°N).

Figure 7. Fractional contributions of wet removal of aerosols from convective clouds to the total amount of aerosol wet deposition over (20°S, 20°N) in CAM5 (blue), and STOC (red) runs. The distributions are scaled by $\Delta \ln(R) = \Delta R/R$, which has units of mm d⁻¹/mm d⁻¹ and is a unitless scaling term.

Figure 8. Same as Figure 7, but over (20°N, 50°N).

Figure 9. Same as Figure 7, but over (50°N, 90°N).

Figure 10. Global distributions of the rainfall intensity associated with 50% of the accumulated wet removal of aerosols for CAM5.

Figure 11. Same as Figure 10, but for STOC.
Figure 12. Annual and zonal mean cross-sections of changes in different aerosol mass concentrations (μg/kg) between STOC and CAM5 runs (STOC – CAM5). Areas exceeding 95% t-test confidence level are stippled.

Figure 13. Annual and zonal mean cross-sections of changes in (a) mass flux from deep convection and (b-c) vertical transport of POM and SOA aerosols by deep convection between STOC and CAM5 runs (STOC – CAM5). Areas exceeding 95% t-test confidence level are stippled.
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