Insights into tropical cloud chemistry at Reunion Island (Indian ocean): results from the BIO-MAÏDO campaign

Dominutti et al. 2021

*Correspondence to: Laurent Deguillaume (laurent.deguillaume@uca.fr) and Pamela Dominutti (pamela.dominutti@uca.fr)

								Cloud	Event					
Measurement	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9	R10A	R10B	R11	R12	R13
Ions	X	x	x	x	x	X	x *	x	x	x *	x	x	x*	X
Metals	x	x		x	x			x		x	x	x	X	X
Fe(II), Fe(III)	x	x	x	x	x	X	x	x	x	x	x	x	X	X
H_2O_2	x	x	X	X	x		X	x	x	X	X	X		X
TOC, IC, TIC	x	x	X	X	x	x		x	x	X	X	X	X	X
Carboxylic acids	x	x	X	x	x	x	\mathbf{X}^+	x	x	X ⁺	x	X	X ⁺	X
Amino acids	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x	x	x	x	X	X
Carbonyls (OVOCs)	x	x		x	x	X	x	x	x		x	x		x
Sugars	x	x	x	x	x	X		x	x		x	x		x
Physical parameters	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	X	X
Gas-phase OVOCs			x	x	x	x		x	x	x				
Gas-phase VOCs	x	X	X	X		x		X	X		X	X	X	X
VOCs	x	X			x	x	X	X	X		X	x		X

Table S1. Overview of chemical analysis and observed data for each cloud event collected at Reunion Island. Gas-phase measurements were performed in parallel to the cloud water sampling.

*except for MSA and Br⁻.

+except for oxalic and lactic acids.

Table S2. Analytical method used, limit of detection, limit of quantification, and uncertainties of each chemical species analysed during BIO-MAÏDO field campaign. MW= mass weight, LOQ= limit of quantification, LOD= limit of detection.

Group	Compound	Instrument	g mol ⁻¹	μΜ	nM	Uncertainty
Group	compound	instrument	MW	LOQ	LOD	(%)
	Cl	ICS 5000+	35.45	0.14	n.d.	2.0
	NO ₃ -	ICS 5000+	62.00	0.08	n.d.	2.0
	SO 4 ²⁻	ICS 5000+	96.06	0.10	n.d.	2.0
	Na ⁺	ICS 5000+	22.99	0.21	n.d.	2.0
Ions	$\mathrm{NH_{4}^{+}}$	ICS 5000+	18.04	0.28	n.d.	2.0
	K^+	ICS 5000+	39.10	0.13	n.d.	2.0
	Mg^{2+}	ICS 5000+	24.31	0.21	n.d.	2.0
	Ca ²⁺	ICS 5000+	40.08	0.13	n.d.	2.0
	Br⁻	ICS 3000	78.90	0.005	1.584	2.3
	Inositol	HPLC-PAD	180.16	0.006	1.851	6.5
	Glycerol	HPLC-PAD	92.09	0.449	149.7	5.4
	Erythriol	HPLC-PAD	122.12	0.006	2.047	5.5
	Xylitol	HPLC-PAD	152.15	0.016	5.477	5.1
	Arabitol	HPLC-PAD	152.14	0.016	5.493	5.6
	Sorbitol	HPLC-PAD	182.17	0.005	1.830	8.0
Sugars	Mannitol	HPLC-PAD	182.17	0.014	4.574	6.6
	Threalose	HPLC-PAD	342.30	0.004	1.216	6.3
	Levoglucosan	HPLC-PAD	162.14	0.012	4.112	6.7
	Mannosan	HPLC-PAD	162.14	0.015	5.139	5.3
	Galactosan	HPLC-PAD	162.14	0.009	3.037	5.8
	Rhamnose	HPLC-PAD	164.15	0.015	5.077	5.7
	Glucose	HPLC-PAD	179.15	0.014	4.665	5.6
	Formate	ICS 5000+	45.02	0.22	n.d.	10
	Acetate	ICS 5000+	59.04	0.17	n.d.	10
	Oxalate	ICS 3000	88.09	0.013	4.261	2.0
	MSA	ICS 3000	95.10	0.002	0.655	6.4
	Malic acid	HPLC-MS	135.08	0.009	2.961	2.0
Organic acids	Malonic acid	HPLC-MS	114.06	0.022	7.248	6.4
uc tuo	Maleic acid	HPLC-MS	117.10	0.010	3.444	2.4
	Fumaric acid	HPLC-MS	117.07	0.006	2.050	2.5
	Succinic acid	HPLC-MS	119.09	0.021	7.053	2.9
	Citraconic acid	HPLC-MS	131.10	0.005	1.754	1.8
	Glutaric acid	HPLC-MS	133.12	0.006	2.053	2.7
			I			

	MethylSuccinic acid	HPLC-MS	133.12	0.009	3.105	1.7
	Adipic acid	HPLC-MS	147.14	0.005	1.608	2.3
	MethylGlutaric acid	HPLC-MS	147.14	0.006	1.880	4.7
	3-MBTCA	HPLC-MS	205.18	0.004	1.348	4.9
	Phthalic acid	HPLC-MS	167.14	0.004	1.376	2.2
	Pinic acid	HPLC-MS	187.20	0.004	1.229	2.2
	Azelaic acid	HPLC-MS	189.22	0.007	2.167	3.8
	Sebacic acid	HPLC-MS	203.25	0.004	1.296	1.9
	Suberic acid	HPLC-MS	175.19	0.004	1.351	2.5
	Alanine (Ala)	HPLC-Orbitrap	89.05	*	*	*
	Argine (Arg)	HPLC-Orbitrap	174.11			
	Asparagine (Asn)	HPLC-Orbitrap	132.05			
	Aspartic acid (Asp)	HPLC-Orbitrap	133.04			
	Glutamic acid (Glu)	HPLC-Orbitrap	147.05			
	Glutamine (Gln)	HPLC-Orbitrap	146.07			
	Glycine (Gly)	HPLC-Orbitrap	75.030			
Amino acids	Histidine (His)	HPLC-Orbitrap	155.07			
	Lysine (Lys)	HPLC-Orbitrap	146.11			
	Phenylalanine (Phe)	HPLC-Orbitrap	165.08			
	Serine (Ser)	HPLC-Orbitrap	105.04			
	Threonine (Thr)	HPLC-Orbitrap	119.06			
	Tyrosine (Tyr)	HPLC-Orbitrap	181.07			
	Tryptophan (Trp)	HPLC-Orbitrap	204.08			
	Methionine (Met)	HPLC-Orbitrap	149.05			
	Hydroxyacetaldehyde (HyA)	HPLC-MS	60.05	0.0074	24.6	4.2
	Hydroxyacetone (HyAC)	HPLC-MS	74.08	0.0048	16.0	3.5
	Formaldehyde (F)	HPLC-MS	30.03	0.169	562	7.3
Carbonyls	Acetaldehyde (A)	HPLC-MS	44.05	0.0353	117	8.8
	Acetone (AC)	HPLC-MS	58.08	0.0895	298	7.5
	Glyoxal (GL)	HPLC-MS	58.04	0.0020	6.8	4.5
	Methylglyoxal (MGL)	HPLC-Fluo	72.06	0.0045	14.8	5.6
	Benzene	GC-MS	78.11	0.019	n.d.	11.8
Volatile	Toluene	GC-MS	92.14	0.005	n.d.	10.2
compounds	Ethylbenzene	GC-MS	106.16	0.018	n.d.	16.0
[LOQ in ng]	m+p-xylene	GC-MS	106.16	0.005	n.d.	17.8
	o-xylene	GC-MS	106.16	0.014	n.d.	16.2
			I	1	l	l

	Isoprene	GC-MS	68.12	0.014	n.d.	20.4
	α-pinene	GC-MS	136.23	0.044	n.d.	19.4
	β-pinene	GC-MS	136.23	0.015	n.d.	20.8
	Limonene	GC-MS	136.23	0.006	n.d.	18.8
	Li	ICP-MS	6.94	0.0184	n.d.	4.38
	Ti	ICP-MS	47.87	0.0094	n.d.	0.03
	V	ICP-MS	50.94	0.0003	n.d.	0.38
	Cr	ICP-MS	51.99	0.0084	n.d.	0.06
	Mn	ICP-MS	54.94	0.0054	n.d.	0.36
	Fe	ICP-MS	55.85	0.0253	n.d.	1.54
	Со	ICP-MS	58.93	0.0002	n.d.	0.58
	Ni	ICP-MS	58.69	0.0012	n.d.	0.35
	Cu	ICP-MS	63.54	0.0175	n.d.	0.24
	As	ICP-MS	74.92	0.0003	n.d.	0.05
<i>T</i> . 1	Se	ICP-MS	78.96	0.0130	n.d.	0.01
<i>I race metals</i>	Rb	ICP-MS	85.47	0.0004	n.d.	1.44
	Sr	ICP-MS	87.62	0.0020	n.d.	0.24
	Мо	ICP-MS	95.94	0.0002	n.d.	0.32
	Pd	ICP-MS	106.42	0.0001	n.d.	0.10
	Ag	ICP-MS	107.87	0.0001	n.d.	0.77
	Cd	ICP-MS	112.41	0.0001	n.d.	0.15
	Sn	ICP-MS	118.71	0.0001	n.d.	0.40
	Sb	ICP-MS	121.76	0.0001	n.d.	0.46
	Pb	ICP-MS	207.2	0.0007	n.d.	2.38
	Mg	ICP-MS	24.30	0.0635	n.d.	0.32
	Zn	ICP-MS	65.41	0.0647	n.d.	0.10
	Fe(II)	Varian Cary 300 Scan Spectrophotometer	55.85	0.1	n.d.	3.93
others	Fe(III)	Varian Cary 300 Scan Spectrophotometer	55.85	0.1	n.d.	3.96
	TOC [mgC L ⁻¹]	Shimadzu TOC-L	-	1	n.d.	
	H ₂ O ₂	Safire II TECAN	34.01	0.1	n.d.	< 5

* Standard deviations were calculated for each concentration of each AA in the calibration curves, which reflects the % of uncertainty (Renard et al., 2021). More details can be found in SI1: Amino Acids, Figure S1 and Table S5.

Table S3 Average concentrations observed for each	y cloud avant collected during BIO MAÏDO) compaign in March April 2010
Table 55. Average concentrations observed for eac	Cloud event conected during DIO-MAID	7 Campaign m March-April 2013.

Group of compounds								Cloud	l event						
	Compound	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R 7	R8	R9	R10A	R10B	R11	R12	R13
	Date	14/03/20 19	15/03/20 19	18/03/20 19	19/03/20 19	21/03/20 19	22/03/20 19	26/03/20 19	28/03/20 19	30/03/20 19	01/04/20 19	01/04/20 19	02/04/20 19	03/04/20 19	04/04/20 19
Sampling	Time (end-start UTC)	10:52- 11:45	09:49- 12:15	10:30- 12:04	09:48- 10:31	0901- 12:22	09:40- 12:00	11:00- 15:15	07:15- 12:31	07:54- 14:30	09:25- 11:02	11:18- 14:30	08:30- 14:00	08:33- 11:35	07:17- 11:26
cnaracteristics	Collected volume of water (mL)	62	59	26	58	29.5	90	26	138	130	24.5	93.5	33	7.75	54.5
Carboxylic acids	Acetic	66.28	27.51	32.16	24.57	35.78	103.29	66.93	31.34	16.80	69.99	29.84	69.11	278.14	43.64
[µM]	Formic	22.37	40.02	34.43	16.14	19.32	15.28	30.37	9.13	9.99	16.29	12.17	31.73	43.42	19.46
	Oxalic	1.768	1.552	1.075	0.345	0.574	0.278	n.d.	1.559	0.299	n.d.	0.399	0.531	n.d.	0.325
	Lactic	25.86	2.107	1.340	1.166	1.980	18.37	n.d.	4.317	0.647	n.d.	0.965	2.172	n.d.	1.017
Dicarboxylic	Malonic	0.600	0.961	0.950	0.267	0.797	0.600	1.219	1.120	0.695	2.494	1.700	1.472	0.757	1.409
$[\mu M]$	Succinic	0.643	0.771	0.933	0.563	0.794	0.586	1.246	0.749	0.575	1.569	1.267	1.449	0.693	1.260
	Glutaric	0.101	0.130	0.135	0.151	0.112	0.099	0.176	0.103	0.086	0.148	0.101	0.198	0.101	0.169
	Azelaic	0.054	0.023	0.060	0.101	0.040	0.060	0.056	0.035	0.030	0.078	0.044	0.099	0.047	0.057
	Maleic	0.126	0.011	0.013	0.055	0.036	0.136	0.311	0.303	0.122	0.150	0.041	0.138	0.090	0.185
	Fumaric	0.135	0.004	0.017	0.046	0.045	0.121	0.191	0.104	0.064	0.152	0.059	0.255	0.076	0.204
	Malic	0.097	0.127	0.181	0.085	0.162	0.252	0.389	0.190	0.141	0.484	0.301	0.401	0.171	0.339
	Citraconic	0.075	0.028	0.040	0.042	0.052	0.101	0.181	0.146	0.063	0.078	0.040	0.103	0.062	0.145
Other	MethylSuccinic	0.064	0.081	0.098	0.043	0.077	0.065	0.135	0.078	0.063	0.122	0.072	0.135	0.065	0.125
dicarboxylic acids	Adipic	0.107	0.054	0.070	0.137	0.063	0.067	0.073	0.047	0.059	0.304	0.647	0.258	0.123	0.168
[µM]	MethylGlutaric	0.016	0.032	0.025	0.016	0.027	0.021	0.039	0.031	0.022	0.036	0.024	0.048	0.021	0.045
	3-MBTCA	0.010	0.009	0.010	0.008	0.008	0.007	0.010	0.011	0.008	0.012	0.009	0.022	0.008	0.013
	Phthalic	0.071	0.060	0.082	0.072	0.053	0.063	0.087	0.110	0.064	0.101	0.116	0.113	0.068	0.105
	Pinic	0.000	0.005	0.006	0.000	0.003	0.003	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.005
	Sebacic	0.009	0.005	0.007	0.015	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.006	0.018	0.164	0.029	0.169	0.017	0.078

	Suberic	0.042	0.018	0.033	0.062	0.026	0.031	0.028	0.020	0.019	0.046	0.027	0.052	0.028	0.030
	Alanine (Ala)	510	24	356	749	803	n.d.	577	509	362	1810	571	4869	n.d.	1259
	Arginine (Arg)	78	100	180	77	206	n.d.	164	177	173	352	203	650	n.d.	320
	Asparagine (Asn)	103	26	61	38	71	n.d.	79	54	32	236	114	402	n.d.	160
	Aspartic Acid (Asp)	178	0	32	33	121	n.d.	44	128	93	594	126	1278	n.d.	477
	Glutamine (Gln)	114	49	131	98	147	n.d.	114	122	104	519	150	654	n.d.	301
	Glutamic acid (Glu)	37	52	58	79	80	n.d.	66	37	44	191	114	466	n.d.	164
	Glycine (Gly)	n.d.	401	226	676	247	n.d.	n.d.	485						
Amino Acids [nM]	Histidine (His)	24	3	20	19	51	n.d.	17	61	34	108	73	390	n.d.	102
	Lysine (Lys)	60	13	53	24	56	n.d.	47	71	31	137	70	408	n.d.	149
	Methionine (Met)	30	1	0	41	0	n.d.	17	0	0	0	0	0	n.d.	0
	Phenylalanine (Phe)	163	92	149	114	166	n.d.	123	143	107	130	156	421	n.d.	224
	Serine (Ser)	1216	60	241	357	692	n.d.	168	976	386	3023	1161	n.d.	n.d.	1914
	Threonine (Thr)	396	63	108	133	139	n.d.	112	194	84	502	133	1021	n.d.	294
	Tryptophan (Trp)	6	0	1	22	3	n.d.	1	0	0	0	3	28	n.d.	2
	Tyrosine (Tyr)	513	314	567	61	501	n.d.	408	214	89	277	196	1017	n.d.	378
Saturated	Formaldehyde (F)	1.381	0.761	n.d.	1.073	2.135	0.633	0.968	2.942	0.961	n.d.	0.912	1.688	n.d.	1.943
carbonyls	Acetaldehyde (A)	3.205	0.084	n.d.	0.216	0.249	0.242	0.109	0.323	0.155	n.d.	0.298	0.146	n.d.	0.207
[µm]	Acetone (AC)	0.284	0.267	n.d.	0.367	0.265	0.191	0.174	0.178	0.178	n.d.	0.560	0.355	n.d.	0.372
Hydroxy carbonyl compounds	Hydroxy acetaldehyde (HyA)	0.414	0.039	n.d.	0.188	0.589	0.230	0.493	1.823	0.485	n.d.	0.244	0.707	n.d.	0.699
[µM]	Hydroxy acetone (HyAC)	0.637	0.115	n.d.	0.493	0.275	0.076	0.072	0.364	0.102	n.d.	0.218	0.093	n.d.	0.139
Dicarbonyl	Glyoxal (GL)	0.100	0.020	n.d.	0.025	0.138	0.198	1.990	0.883	0.323	n.d.	0.085	0.174	n.d.	0.165
compounds [µM]	Methylglyoxal (MGL)	0.059	0.120	n.d.	0.208	0.138	0.080	0.275	0.516	0.094	n.d.	0.165	0.126	n.d.	0.142

	Na ⁺	245.51	556.27	316.25	161.47	259.90	225.84	1414.02	221.83	184.54	647.97	253.60	682.93	1357.16	333.48
	NH4 ⁺	91.82	131.14	155.04	31.34	88.38	77.00	154.17	126.38	81.08	145.75	130.65	184.16	185.39	151.18
	K ⁺	38.61	12.21	17.12	23.95	16.13	20.19	42.96	12.35	12.05	41.41	14.87	76.21	200.76	33.44
	Mg ²⁺	53.49	105.33	95.26	39.25	45.18	48.06	237.71	40.54	27.40	96.74	39.61	85.20	170.20	51.20
Ions	Ca ²⁺	46.29	47.67	88.18	65.83	54.38	74.14	123.07	44.08	41.02	128.78	45.66	133.79	282.08	91.95
[µM]	Cl-	172.99	530.72	214.24	90.01	213.75	183.38	992.65	189.95	174.96	527.35	224.03	995.92	1289.09	281.06
	NO ₃ -	62.52	166.71	212.39	84.94	141.71	89.77	403.29	163.41	107.76	366.08	173.86	416.89	688.87	281.67
	SO4 ²⁻	80.38	114.94	219.21	103.29	98.89	97.52	135.66	113.14	60.92	147.27	88.35	137.23	195.90	65.88
	MSA	1.342	0.805	0.612	0.240	0.407	0.172	n.d.	0.406	0.158	n.d.	0.351	0.691	n.d.	0.446
	Br-	0.157	0.416	0.156	0.094	0.152	0.186	n.d.	0.113	0.131	n.d.	0.166	0.368	n.d.	0.158
	Inositol	0.012	0.032	0.066	0.015	0.017	0.011	n.d.	0.035	0.015	n.d.	0.014	0.174	n.d.	0.119
	Glycerol	11.343	2.581	5.201	3.868	2.190	n.d.	n.d.	2.237	7.319	n.d.	n.d.	3.895	n.d.	3.570
	Erythriol	0.001	0.241	0.476	0.470	0.291	0.001	n.d.	0.280	0.343	n.d.	0.001	0.840	n.d.	0.828
	Xylitol	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	n.d.	0.003	0.003	n.d.	0.003	0.003	n.d.	0.003
	Arabitol	0.351	0.127	0.384	0.588	0.462	0.432	n.d.	0.261	0.290	n.d.	0.659	0.951	n.d.	0.780
	Sorbitol	1.140	2.719	5.156	5.798	4.735	3.656	n.d.	2.502	6.013	n.d.	2.314	23.855	n.d.	9.267
Sugars [µM]	Mannitol	0.919	0.173	0.647	0.367	0.367	1.164	n.d.	0.411	0.400	n.d.	0.743	2.282	n.d.	1.099
L, J	Threalose	0.001	0.036	0.076	0.176	0.075	0.072	n.d.	0.081	0.089	n.d.	0.184	0.346	n.d.	0.203
	Levoglucosan	0.153	0.262	0.248	0.110	0.127	0.191	n.d.	0.182	0.124	n.d.	0.151	0.167	n.d.	0.277
	Mannosan	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.068	0.003	0.003	n.d.	0.003	0.003	n.d.	0.003	0.003	n.d.	0.003
	Galactosan	0.430	0.002	0.002	0.096	0.200	0.002	n.d.	0.162	0.111	n.d.	0.192	0.210	n.d.	0.195
	Rhamnose	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	n.d.	0.003	0.003	n.d.	0.003	0.003	n.d.	0.003
	Glucose	1.742	0.565	0.959	3.968	1.547	1.063	n.d.	0.680	0.953	n.d.	2.773	5.328	n.d.	2.915
	Li	0.003	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Metals	Ti	n.d.	0.000	n.d.	0.027	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.004	n.d.	0.005	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.001
[µM]	V	0.003	0.004	n.d.	0.003	0.003	n.d.	n.d.	0.022	n.d.	0.033	0.023	0.017	0.006	0.010
	Cr	0.001	n.d.	n.d.	0.001	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.001	n.d.	0.001	n.d.	0.001	0.004	0.000

	Mn	0.070	0.094	n.d.	0.072	0.019	n.d.	n.d.	0.032	n.d.	0.047	0.016	0.064	0.064	0.057
	Fe	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.049	n.d.	0.018	0.006	0.004	0.031	
	Со	0.001	0.001	n.d.	0.001	0.000	n.d.	n.d.	0.001	n.d.	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001
	Ni	0.045	0.006	n.d.	0.009	0.002	n.d.	n.d.	0.016	n.d.	0.016	0.008	0.015	0.022	0.009
	Cu	0.080	0.021	n.d.	0.065	0.010	n.d.	n.d.	0.021	n.d.	0.033	0.026	0.032	0.050	0.020
	As	0.000	0.000	n.d.	0.000	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.000	n.d.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	Se	0.000	0.001	n.d.	0.000	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	Rb	0.003	0.002	n.d.	0.004	0.002	n.d.	n.d.	0.004	n.d.	0.008	0.004	0.009	0.008	0.004
	Sr	0.014	0.023	n.d.	0.014	0.008	n.d.	n.d.	0.018	n.d.	0.050	0.017	0.046	0.040	0.025
	Мо	0.000	0.002	n.d.	0.000	0.000	n.d.	n.d.	0.000	n.d.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	Pd	0.000	0.000	n.d.	0.000	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.000	n.d.	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	Ag	n.d.	0.018	n.d.	0.000	0.003	n.d.	n.d.	0.002	n.d.	n.d.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	Cd	0.001	n.d.	n.d.	0.000	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.001	n.d.	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000
	Sn	0.000	n.d.	n.d.	0.000	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.000	n.d.	0.000	n.d.	0.000	0.000	n.d.
	Sb	0.001	0.000	n.d.	0.000	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.001	n.d.	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.000
	Pb	0.000		n.d.	0.000	0.000	n.d.	n.d.	0.000	n.d.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	n.d.
	Mg	6.954	13.803	n.d.	4.653	3.321	n.d.	n.d.	6.361	n.d.	13.521	4.834	14.049	14.115	7.873
	Zn	0.341	0.098	n.d.	0.075	0.240	n.d.	n.d.	0.842	n.d.	1.438	0.749	1.272	1.851	0.633
	others	0.011	0.024	n.d.	0.034	0.006	n.d.	n.d.	0.014	n.d.	0.017	0.007	0.016	0.018	0.008
	Benzene	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.78	n.d.	n.d.	0.27	0.14	n.d.	0.15	0.05	n.d.	n.d.
	Toluene	n.d.	0.12	n.d.	n.d.	0.06	0.08	n.d.	0.10	0.21	n.d.	0.05	0.08	n.d.	0.11
	Ethylbenzene	n.d.	0.08	n.d.	n.d.	0.04	0.05	n.d.	0.07	0.15	n.d.	0.03	0.05	n.d.	0.08
VOC	m+p-xylene	0.03	0.08	n.d.	n.d.	0.03	0.11	0.02	0.05	0.03	n.d.	0.04	0.04	n.d.	0.04
[ng mL ⁻⁺]	o-xylene	0.02	0.06	n.d.	n.d.	0.03	0.09	0.01	0.03	0.02	n.d.	0.03	0.02	n.d.	0.03
	Isoprene	2.43	1.26	n.d.	n.d.	1.34	n.d.	2.45	2.77	2.09	n.d.	3.34	1.59	n.d.	3.74
	α-pinene	0.15	0.28	n.d.	n.d.	0.02	0.05	0.19	0.07	9.73	n.d.	0.27	0.01	n.d.	0.10
	β-pinene	0.11	0.20	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.14	n.d.	5.43	n.d.	0.18	n.d.	n.d.	0.03

	Limonene	0.50	0.49	n.d.	n.d.	0.12	0.07	0.46	0.07	5.23	n.d.	0.31	0.07	n.d.	0.27
	135-TMB	0.015	0.018	n.d.	n.d.	0.284	n.d.	n.d.	0.003	0.008	n.d.	0.009	0.0001	n.d.	0.022
	124-TMB	0.042	0.021	n.d.	n.d.	0.018	0.011	0.016	0.015	0.002	n.d.	0.030	0.016	n.d.	0.027
	123-TMB	0.005	0.003	n.d.	n.d.	0.004	0.001	0.004	0.001	n.d.	n.d.	0.004	0.001	n.d.	0.003
	Fe(II)	0.317	0.266	0.609	0.300	0.497	0.559	0.662	0.382	0.292	0.488	0.264	0.363	0.798	0.294
Oxidants [µM]	Fe(III)	0.450	0.591	0.596	0.479	0.809	0.382	0.032	0.641	0.422	0.489	0.430	0.493	0.093	0.426
[[]]	H_2O_2	0.28	1.84	8.79	1.28	0.71	n.d.	0.25	0.04	0.43	0.64	2.46	4.05	n.d.	2.48
	TC	36.51	9.19	80.58	11.33	23.44	52.98	n.d,	9.33	9.27	26.83	18.12	20.02	65.88	17.67
$[mgC L^{-1}]$	IC	2.41	1.13	21.5	1.22	3.39	6.90	n.d.	1.46	3.45	1.45	1.15	1.09	3.87	1.12
	TOC	34.1	8.06	59.1	10.1	20.1	46.1	n.d.	7.87	5.82	25.4	17.0	19.0	62.0	16.5
	LWC [g m ⁻³]	0.047	0.090	0.023	0.028	0.143	0.138	0.068	0.126	0.088	0.081	0.073	0.054	0.038	0.086
Other parameters	Deff (µm)	14.7	12.1	13.3	15.8	15.2	16.1	14.6	12.7	15.3	11.5	12.6	14.1	11.6	12.7
(<i>Microphysical</i> properties, etc.)	Temperature (°C)	15.1	n.d.	18.2	17.0	17.0	17.0	n.d.	17.5	17.2	17.6	16.6	17.2	n.d.	17.2
	pH	4.70	5.00	5.50	5.50	5.30	5.50	5.15	5.55	5.15	5.00	5.50	5.15	5.30	5.00

n.d.: not detected.

Trace metals

Trace metals are quantified using ICP-MS Agilent 7500. Before the injection into the instrument, aliquots of cloud water samples are directly transferred in 6 mL ICP-MS polystyrene vials previously conditioned. Samples are diluted with 4500 μ L of distilled HNO₃ 1 M in MilliQ water. Finally, samples are injected into the plasma using a quartz introduction system (Micromist Nebulizerand a Scott-type spray chamber) and their analyses are performed in plasma robust mode (1550 W). Multi-elements standards (1 and 10 ng g⁻¹ are used for the signal calibration, previously prepared by gravimetric dilution of 10 μ g mL⁻¹ of certified solutions traceable to NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) (Inorganic Ventures). Concentrations values in μ g g⁻¹ are converted in μ g L⁻¹ considering the solution density (1.01 kg L⁻¹). Limit of quantification (LOQ) is reported in Table S2, and it is calculated from five independent measurements of the signal acquired on the MilliQ-HNO₃ redistilled. On the average the LQ ranges from 0.01 to 4.2 μ g L⁻¹ for more concentrated elements (Mg, Ti, Fe, Cu, Zn, Sr) to 1 to 60 ng L⁻¹ for less concentrated elements (V, Mn, Co, As, Rb, Cd, Sb, W, Tl, Pb, U). Li, Be, Sc, Cr, Ga, Se, Nb, Pd, Ag, Mo, In, Sn, Te, Ta, Pt and Bi are measured for each sample, but their concentrations are below the LQ. The experimental blank is executed spreading Milli-Q water on the cloud water impactor and collecting it in clean Falcon[®] tube (the same used for samples). Calibration standards (0, 1 and 10 ppb) were analysed before and after the samples and every three samples. The replicates were used to calculate the uncertainty on the signal.

H_2O_2

Hydrogen peroxide concentration is measured by UV-Visible spectroscopy using p-hydroxyphenylacetic acid (HPAA, purity > 98 %) and horseradish peroxidase (POD) (solid containing 150–200 units per milligram). The formation of the dimer of HPAA is correlated with the concentration of hydrogen peroxide and is detected by fluorescence. Fluorescence readings (Safire II TECAN©; $\lambda_{exc} = 320$ nm, $\lambda_{em} = 390$ nm) are made in a dark 96 well plate format (Wirgot et al., 2017). Analytical uncertainty is determined to be below 5% and the limit of quantification is 0.1 μ M.

Iron and TOC

Fe(II) and Fe(III) concentrations are determined by the spectrophotometric method by complexation with ferrozine (purity > 97%), as described by Stookey et al.(1970) Ascorbic acid (purity reagent grade) is used as the reducing agent to determine total iron. The well-known molar absorption coefficient of the complex between ferrozine and Fe(II) ($\varepsilon_{562 nm} = 27900 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) was used to calculate the Fe(II) concentration. The complex absorption is measured with a Varian Cary 300 Scan Spectrophotometer at 562 nm. It has been previously demonstrated that filtration does not modify the soluble iron quantification in natural cloud water samples. The limit of quantification is of the order of 0.1 μ M and the error for this concentration range is of 15%. TC, IC and TOC is measured with Shimadzu TOC-L analyser. Calibration was performed using KHCO₃/K₂CO₃ mixture for IC and potassium hydrogen phthalate for TC. The limit of detection is of the order of 1 mg L⁻¹ and the precision of 0.1 mg L⁻¹.

Inorganic and organic ions

Ion chromatography (IC) analysis is performed employing an ICS 5000+ equipped with an IonPac GC11 (guard-column 4×50 mm) and an IonPac AS18 (analytical column 5×250 mm) for anions and an IonPac GC16 (guard-column 4×50 mm) and an IonPac CS16 (analytical column 5×250 mm) for cations. Separation of anions (acetate, formate, oxalate, Cl⁻, NO₃⁻ and SO₄²⁻) is achieved with a gradient of KOH (10-19.5 mM), reported in Bianco (2016), while separation of cations (Na⁺, NH4⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, K⁺) is achieved with isocratic elution with methanesulfonic acid (MSA). The injection volume is 125 µL and both columns are at 40°C, with flow of 0.25 mL min⁻¹ and 0.36 mL min⁻¹ for anions and cations, respectively. The suppressor used

for anions detection is ASRS_2mm and for cations detection CSRS_4mm. Polypropylene vials and septum are rinsed three times with MilliQ water and let dry in a fume hood, to avoid contamination and dilution. Calibration is performed by the analysis of multielement standards for ion chromatography of anions, short chain carboxylic acids and cations, purchased from Sigma Aldrich (dilution with MilliQ water). Two replicates are analysed for each sample, with dilution ½, and the uncertainty on the signal is below 2%. Due to the high signal obtained with the ½ dilution, samples R3, R5, R6, R7, R11 and R12 are analysed also with dilution 1/10.

Cloud water samples analysis of soluble ions is done using Dionex Dual ICS-3000 ion chromatography system in the class-100 clean room at the IGE Laboratory. Chemical analysis of cations (Na⁺, NH₄⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺) is performed using CG16 and CS16 cations separator column and a CSRS-300 conductivity suppressor (Dionex) and isocratic 27 mM methanesulfonic acid as eluent. Anions (Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, SO₄²⁻, Br⁻, MSA, oxalate, acetate, formate) analysis is performed using AG11-HC and AS11-HC columns and ASRS-300 suppressor, applying a gradient elution (0.3–30 mM KOH).

Analytical uncertainty is determined as the relative standard deviation of 27 replicates of quality control standard (at intermediate concentration of samples), prepared independently from a refrigerated stock solution, and run on 3 different days with a daily calibration.

Anhydrosugars, sugar alcohols, primary sugars

Cloud water analyses for anhydrosugars, sugar alcohols, and primary sugars, are achieved using an HPLC with Pulsed Amperometric Detection. We use a Thermo-Fisher ICS 5000^+ HPLC equipped with 4 mm diameter Metrosep Carb 2 × 150 mm column and 50 mm pre-column. The analytical run is isocratic with 15 % of an eluent of sodium hydroxide (200 mM) with sodium acetate (4 mM), and 85 % water, at 1 mL min⁻¹.

Analytical uncertainty is determined as the largest value for each compound between the relative standard deviation of 36 replicates of standards covering the range of samples concentrations (prepared daily from refrigerated stock solutions, and run on two different days), and the relative standard deviation of 3 atmospheric filter samples run in triplicates for EMEP 2018 intercomparison.

Organic acids

A large suite of organic acids is analysed by HPLC-MS (GP40 Dionex with an LCQ-FLEET Thermos-Fisher ion trap), with negative ion mode electrospray ionization. The separation column is a Synergi 4 μ m Fusion – RP 80A (250 × 3 mm ID, 4 μ m particle size, from Phenomenex). An elution gradient is optimized for the separation of the compounds, with a binary solvent gradient consisting of 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile (solvent A) and 0.1% aqueous formic acid (solvent B) in various proportions during the 40-minute analytical run. Column temperature is maintained to 30°C. Eluent flow rate is 0.5 ml min⁻¹, and injection volume is 250 μ l. Calibrations are performed for each analytical batch with solutions of authentic standards. All standards and samples are spiked with internal standards (phthalic-3,4,5,6-d⁴ acid and succinic-2,2,3,3-d⁴ acid). The final cloud water concentration is corrected with the concentrations of internal standards and with the procedural blanks, taking also into account the extraction efficiency varying between 76-116% (depending on the acid).

Analytical uncertainty is determined as the relative standard deviation of 9 replicates of standard solution (prepared every day from a refrigerated stock solution, at intermediate concentration of samples), run on 3 different days with daily calibration.

Carbonyls

Carbonyls are analyzed after derivatization by fluorescent dansylacetamidooxyamine (DNSAOA) (Houdier et al., 2000). Derivatization reactions are performed in the presence of anilinium chloride (AnCl) as a catalyst (Houdier et al., 2018) to quicken derivatization reactions. Adducts (oximes) that form upon reaction are separated by HPLC. Fluorescence detection and mass spectrometry (MS) detection are used in series for a more reliable identification/quantification of the carbonyl DNSAOA-oximes. This original approach, coupling both AnCl catalyzed derivatization and the use HPLC-MS allows us to quantify (i) single aldehydes (formaldehyde (F) and acetaldehyde (A)), (ii) polyfunctional aldehydes (hydroxyacetaldehyde (HyA), glyoxal (GL), and methyglyoxal (MGL)) and (iii) ketones (acetone (AC) and hydroxyacetone (HyAC)). To the best of our knowledge this work provide the first measurements of HyAC in environmental water samples.

Derivatization solutions

All cloud water samples are collected in borosilicated glass vials and stored at -20°C. For the same reasons, samples were analyzed immediately after their melting. Derivatization reactions are performed in 2 mL borosilicate glass vials preliminary cleaned with HNO₃ (10% v/v). To a 1.2 mL aliquot of melted cloud water sample are added successively 300 μ L of a 0.5 M solution of anilinium chloride (AnCl) and 25 μ L of a carbonyl adduct. 1 mM stock solution of DNSAOA (Houdier et al., 2018). For calibration, the standard addition method is applied. Solutions (sample + standards) are allowed to react at room temperature for 12 h before analysis.

LC system and dual fluorescence / mass spectrometry analysis

HPLC analyses are carried out by a system made of a Dionex GP 40 multisolvent delivery system, a Dionex ASI-100 automated sample injector (injection volume of 20 μ L) and a Synergi Hydro-RP column (250 × 4.6 mm, 4 μ m, Phenomenex) maintained at 30°C. The mobile phase is made of water (A) and acetonitrile (B) containing 0.1% of formic acid. The gradient applied is the one fully described in Houdier et al. (2011) with a flow rate of 0.65 mL min⁻¹. Fluorescence detection is by a Waters 2475 spectrometer with excitation and emissions wavelengths of 330 and 530 nm, respectively. MS measurements are performed by an LCQ-FLEET (Thermos-Fisher) ion trap with negative mode electrospray ionization. Source voltage was set to 5 kV. The capillary is maintained at 385°C and the sheath, auxiliary and sweep gases were 15, 0 and 29 units, respectively. Molecular ions [M-H]⁻ are extracted from the full scan chromatograms using the Xcalibur software (Thermo Scientific). Concentrations reported in this work are established from MS measurements for all carbonyls with the exception of MGL due to the low signal-to-noise (SN) ratio observed for its MS signals. On the other hand, the fluorescence MGL peaks are well separated (t_r = 23.5 min) from the other signals and characterized by a good S/N ratio.

Method validation

Linearities are assessed through the statistical analysis of the calibration curves established over the 0.13-2.5 and 0.065-1.2 μ M ranges for F and the other carbonyls, respectively. The y-intercepts of the linear regression equations reported in Table S4 represent the initial contamination carried by the DNSAOA solution itself (Houdier et al., 2011). All calibration curves exhibited R² values systematically > 0.99. Of all the N = 77 concentration values, only 8 are slightly outside the calibration range.

Limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) (Table S2) are determined as the equivalent concentration of 3 and 10 times, respectively, the standard deviation of N = 5 replicate analyses of the 0.065 μ M (0.13 μ M for FA) calibration solution. LOD are in the 2.0-7.4 nM range for HyA, HyAC, GL and MGL. Due to their lower response coefficients, higher LOD of 35 nM and 90 nM are measured for A and AC, respectively. F, the main atmospheric carbonyl compound, is ubiquitous in the lab air as well as on the surfaces and contamination of the DNSAOA solution cannot be completely avoided (Houdier et al., 2011). Together with its modest response coefficient -similar to the ones of A and AC- this issue explains why F, with a LOD of 170

nM, exhibits the lowest sensitivity. Nevertheless, it is noteworthy that no concentration data are found to be below the LOD for any of the molecules analyzed, including F.

Table S4: Analytical performances of the carbonyl's method.

Carbonyl (adduct)	Linear regression equation	R ²	LOD (nM)	LOQ (nM)
HyA ⁽¹⁾	$[]^{(3)} = 2.52.10^{-11} \times \text{Area}^{(4)} - 1.67.10^{-8}$	0.9997	7.4	24.6
HyAC ⁽¹⁾	$[] = 4.19.10^{-11} \times \text{Area} - 2.25.10^{-9}$	0.9997	4.8	16.0
F ⁽¹⁾	$[] = 2.54.10^{-10} \times \text{Area} - 1.06.10^{-6}$	0.9920	169	562
A ⁽¹⁾	$[] = 2.69.10^{-10} \times \text{Area} - 1.52.10^{-7}$	0.9993	35.3	117
AC ⁽¹⁾	$[] = 4.05.10^{-10} \times \text{Area} - 3.34.10^{-8}$	0.9916	89.5	298
GL ⁽¹⁾	$[] = 2.32.10^{-11} \times \text{Area} - 2.61.10^{-8}$	0.9975	2.0	6.8
MGL ⁽²⁾	$[] = 9.76.10^{-10} \times \text{Area} + 1.08.10^{-8}$	0.9992	4.5	14.8

⁽¹⁾ Mass Spectrometry analysis

⁽²⁾ Fluorescence analysis

⁽³⁾ Concentration in M

⁽⁴⁾ Area in arbitrary units

Uncertainties that may result from the preparation of the reacting solutions, the derivatization reaction itself, variations of the eluent composition, fluctuations of the detector intensities or imprecisions in peak integration are evaluated through the assay of N = 3 reacting solutions made with the same cloud water sample. This work is carried out for all samples for which a minimal volume (4 mL) is available, *i.e.* for 5 of the 11 collected samples. Results demonstrate good precisions, with mean relative standard deviations of the concentrations in the 3.5% (HAC) to 8.8% (AC) range and with no individual value exceeding 12.5% (Table S4).

Amino acids

AAs are quantified by UPLC-HRMS (Ultra High-Performance Liquid Chromatography coupled with High Resolution Mass Spectrometry) using the standard addition method. For that, standard solutions prepared in ultra-pure water and containing the amino acids are used to spike cloud water samples at different concentrations. Twelve samples ready for UPLC-HRMS analysis are prepared containing the original cloud water added with 19 AAs at final concentrations set to 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 5.0, 10, 25, 50, 100, 150, 500 µg L⁻¹. UPLC-HRMS analyses are performed using an UltiMateTM 3000 (Thermo ScientificTM) UPLC equipped with a Q ExactiveTM Hybrid Quadrupole-OrbitrapTM Mass Spectrometer (Thermo ScientificTM) ionization chamber. Chromatographic separation of the analytes is performed on BEH Amide/HILIC (1.7 µm, 100 mm × 2.1 mm) column with column temperature of 30°C. The mobile phases consist of 0.1% formic acid and water (A) and 0.1% formic acid and acetonitrile (B) with a 0.4 mL min⁻¹ flow rate, applied by a four-step linear gradient during the analysis. The Q-Exactive ion source is equipped with electrospray ionization (ESI+) and the Q-OrbitrapTM. Flow injection analyses are performed for individual amino acids solutions to obtain the mass spectral data, from which ions are carefully chosen for analysis in the selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode, using the above-mentioned parameter conditions. The mass resolution is set to 35000 fwhm (full width at half maximum of the peak), and the instrument is turned for maximum ion throughput. AGC (automatic gain control) target or the number of ions to fill C-Trap is set to 105 with injection time (IT) of 100 ms. More details about this technique can be found in recent work from Renard et al. (2021).

Uncertainties

The magnitude of the intercept on the x-axis is the original concentration of glycine (Gly). Main calculations are briefly recall below and fully described in Renard et al. (2021). The equation of the trendline is y = a x + b. The *x_intercept* is obtained by

setting y = 0: x = -b/a, with a = slope of the curve, $b = y_{intercept}$, x = the concentration of the AA, and y = the mass spectral area:

Gly:
$$a = 6199.8$$
; $b = 272312 \rightarrow |x_intercept| = [Gly] = 43.9 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$ (negative value)

The obtained values are then corrected by the dilution factor of 10% (due to the ratio 9:1 volume cloud: volume added standard). Thus, the final value is: $[Gly] = 43.9 \times \frac{10}{9} = 48.8 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$.

The uncertainty in the *x_intercept* is *s_x*:

$$s_x = \frac{s_y}{|a|} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{y}^2}{a^2 \times \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}}$$

where *a* is the absolute value of the slope of the trendline, *n* is the number of data points, \bar{y} is the mean value of y for the points, x_i are the individual values of x, \bar{x} is the mean value of y for the points, and s_y is the standard deviation for y:

$$s_{y} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{(n-2)}} \times \left[\sum ((y-\overline{y})^{2} - \frac{[\sum (x-\overline{x})(y-\overline{y})]^{2}}{\sum (x-\overline{x})^{2}} \right]$$



Figure S1. Quantification of amino acid concentrations in cloud R13 using the addition standard method: case study of Tyr.

Table S5. Concentration (μ g L⁻¹; with dilution 9:1, see Figure S1), calibration curve and R² data for the 14 amino acids analysed in the 12 clouds sampled at BIO-MAÏDO.

R1											
AA	Conce (µį	entrat g L ⁻¹)	ion	Eq. of calibration curve	R²						
Ala	26	±	3	y = 3.8E + 3 x + 9.9E + 4	0.9919						
Arg	7.0	±	0.9	y = 1.5E+5 x + 1.0E+6	0.9986						
Asn	8	±	2	y = 7.9E + 3 x + 6.4E + 4	0.9974						
Asp	21	±	6	y = 2.2E + 3 x + 4.5E + 4	0.9742						
Gln	5	±	3	y = 1.3E+4 x + 6.1E+4	0.9929						
Glu	12	±	4	y = 1.0E + 4x + 1.2E + 5	0.9804						
His	4.2	±	0.6	y = 1.1E+5 x + 4.7E+5	0.9993						
Lys	8.9	±	0.6	y = 2.0E + 4x + 1.8E + 5	0.9994						
Met	3	±	7	y = 1.9E+4 x + 5.8E+4	0.9677						
Phe	17	±	1	y = 1.6E + 4x + 2.8E + 5	0.9991						
Ser	106	±	18	y = 6.6E + 3 x + 7.0E + 5	0.9391						
Thr	46	±	8	y = 5.3E + 3 x + 2.4E + 5	0.9581						
Trp	0.7	±	0.5	y = 6.3E + 3x + 4.5E + 3	0.9997						
Tyr	60	±	10	y = 1.2E + 4x + 7.3E + 5	0.9500						

			R3	
AA	Conce (µ)	entration g L ⁻¹)	Eq. of calibration curve	R²
Ala	18	± 4	y = 3.8E+3 x + 6.9E+4	0.9788
Arg	16	± 2	y = 1.6E+5 x + 2.6E+6	0.9977
Asn	4.8	± 0.5	y = 8.7E+3 x + 4.2E+4	0.9998
Asp	4	± 1	y = 2.3E+3 x + 8.9E+3	0.9949
Gln	8	± 1	y = 1.4E+4 x + 1.1E+5	0.9984
Glu	13	± 2	y = 1.1E+4 x + 1.4E+5	0.9843
His	3	± 2	y = 1.9E+5 x + 6.6E+5	0.9935
Lys	7.9	± 0.8	y = 2.1E+4 x + 1.7E+5	0.9969
Met	-2	± 2	y = 1.5E+4 x - 3.7E+4	0.9992
Phe	19	± 3	y = 1.7E+4 x + 3.3E+5	0.9931
Ser	21	± 1	y = 7.5E+3 x + 1.6E+5	0.9983
Thr	12	± 2	y = 6.3E+3 x + 7.8E+4	0.9951
Trp	0	± 1	y = 6.9E+3 x + 1.5E+3	0.9976
Tyr	66	± 7	y = 5.2E + 3 x + 3.4E + 5	0.9806

R2								
AA	Conce (µį	entrat g L ⁻¹)	ion	Eq. of calibration curve	R²			
Ala	1	±	2	y = 4.1E+3 x + 5.1E+3	0.9987			
Arg	9.1	±	0.7	y = 1.4E+5 x + 1.3E+6	0.9996			
Asn	2.0	±	0.5	y = 9.2E + 3 x + 1.9E + 4	0.9997			
Asp	0	±	2	y = 2.7E+3 x - 1.1E+3	0.9978			
Gln	6.9	±	0.8	y = 1.2E + 4x + 8.6E + 4	0.9978			
Glu	5.0	±	0.6	y = 1.1E + 4x + 5.4E + 4	0.9985			
His	0.6	±	0.2	y = 1.1E+5 x + 7.4E+4	1.0000			
Lys	1.9	±	0.8	y = 1.8E+4 x + 3.3E+4	0.9993			
Met	0.1	±	0.7	y = 2.0E + 4x + 2.2E + 3	0.9974			
Phe	9	±	3	y = 2.0E + 4x + 1.9E + 5	0.9915			
Ser	5	±	1	y = 8.4E + 3 x + 4.4E + 4	0.9991			
Thr	7	±	1	y = 5.7E+3 x + 4.2E+4	0.9923			
Trp	-1.3	±	1.0	y = 7.0E+3 x - 9.5E+3	0.9990			
Tyr	37	±	6	y = 6.5E + 3 x + 2.4E + 5	0.9628			

				R4	
AA	Conce (µş	entrat g L ⁻¹)	ion	Eq. of calibration curve	R²
Ala	38	±	2	y = 4.3E+3 x + 1.7E+5	0.9984
Arg	7.0	±	0.4	y = 1.5E+5 x + 1.1E+6	0.9997
Asn	3.0	±	0.4	y = 8.4E+3 x + 2.5E+4	0.9999
Asp	4	±	4	y = 2.5E+3 x + 9.8E+3	0.9885
Gln	10.5	±	0.8	y = 1.3E + 4x + 1.4E + 5	0.9994
Glu	10	±	3	y = 1.1E+4 x + 1.1E+5	0.9914
His	3	±	2	y = 1.1E+5 x + 3.5E+5	0.9934
Lys	3.7	±	1.0	y = 2.0E + 4x + 7.2E + 4	0.9977
Met	4	±	6	y = 1.1E + 4 x + 4.8E + 4	0.9320
Phe	11.7	±	0.7	y = 1.8E+4 x + 2.1E+5	0.9983
Ser	31	±	3	y = 8.1E+3 x + 2.5E+5	0.9941
Thr	15	±	3	y = 6.5E+3 x + 1.0E+5	0.9918
Trp	3	±	3	y = 4.0E + 3 x + 1.2E + 4	0.9804
Tyr	7	±	2	y = 5.2E + 3x + 3.7E + 4	0.9946

				R5	
AA	Conce (µ§	entrati g L ⁻¹)	on	Eq. of calibration curve	R²
Ala	41	±	4	y = 5.0E + 3 x + 2.1E + 5	0.9933
Arg	19	±	0.6	y = 1.3E+5 x + 2.5E+6	0.9995
Asn	5.6	±	0.4	y = 8.1E + 3 x + 4.5E + 4	0.9999
Asp	14.2	±	0.7	y = 2.3E + 3x + 3.3E + 4	0.9996
Gln	11	±	1	y = 1.3E+4 x + 1.4E+5	0.9987
Glu	14.9	±	0.9	y = 1.3E+4 x + 1.9E+5	0.9993
His	8.6	±	0.6	y = 1.0E+5 x + 8.7E+5	0.9992
Lys	8.2	±	0.7	y = 1.7E+4 x + 1.4E+5	0.9990
Met	-1.4	±	0.3	y = 1.7E+4 x - 2.3E+4	0.9999
Phe	17	±	1	y = 1.5E+4 x + 2.6E+5	0.9993
Ser	60	±	6	y = 7.2E + 3 x + 4.4E + 5	0.9877
Thr	16	±	1	y = 6.6E + 3x + 1.1E + 5	0.9993
Trp	0.5	±	0.9	y = 5.3E+3 x + 2.8E+3	0.9977
Tyr	58	±	1	y = 4.4E + 3 x + 2.6E + 5	0.9995

R8							
AA	Concentration (µg L ⁻¹)		ion	Eq. of calibration curve	R²		
Ala	26	±	2	y = 7.8E+3 x + 2.0E+5	0.9979		
Arg	16	±	1	y = 1.5E+5 x + 2.3E+6	0.9986		
Asn	4	±	1	y = 8.5E+3 x + 3.6E+4	0.9985		
Asp	15	±	2	y = 2.7E + 3 x + 4.0E + 4	0.9961		
Gln	5	±	1	y = 1.4E + 4x + 6.8E + 4	0.9988		
Glu	12.4	±	1.0	y = 1.3E+4 x + 1.6E+5	0.9990		
Gly	55	±	11	y = 1.2E + 3 x + 6.4E + 4	0.9593		
His	10	±	1	y = 1.2E+5 x + 1.2E+6	0.9964		
Lys	10	±	1	y = 2.1E + 4x + 2.1E + 5	0.9959		
Met	-3	±	1	y = 2.1E+4 x - 5.4E+4	0.9985		
Phe	14.7	±	0.8	y = 1.7E+4 x + 2.5E+5	0.9993		
Ser	85	±	5	y = 7.1E+3 x + 6.0E+5	0.9915		
Thr	22.4	±	0.5	y = 6.5E+3 x + 1.5E+5	0.9998		
Trp	0.1	±	0.9	y = 6.8E + 3 x + 4.0E + 2	0.9988		
Tyr	25	±	1	y = 4.7E + 3 x + 1.2E + 5	0.9984		

			R7	
AA	Conce (µ§	entration g L ⁻¹)	Eq. of calibration curve	R²
Ala	30	± 4	y = 4.4E+3 x + 1.3E+5	0.9819
Arg	15	± 0.	y = 1.7E+5 x + 2.5E+6	0.9997
Asn	6.2	± 0.	y = 8.6E + 3x + 5.3E + 4	0.9995
Asp	5	± 1	y = 2.9E+3 x + 1.5E+4	0.9974
Gln	8.7	± 0.	y = 1.4E + 4x + 1.2E + 5	0.9991
Glu	11.7	± 1.	y = 1.2E + 4x + 1.4E + 5	0.9982
His	2.7	± 0.	y = 2.3E + 5 x + 6.3E + 5	0.9994
Lys	6.8	± 0.	y = 2.3E + 4x + 1.6E + 5	0.9989
Met	2	± 3	y = 1.5E+4 x + 2.6E+4	0.9941
Phe	13	± 2	y = 2.0E + 4x + 2.5E + 5	0.9956
Ser	15	± 2	y = 1.1E + 4x + 1.6E + 5	0.9925
Thr	12.9	± 0.	y = 6.6E + 3x + 8.5E + 4	0.9991
Trp	0	± 1	y = 6.4E+3 x + 6.5E+2	0.9978
Tyr	47	± 2	y = 6.5E + 3 x + 3.1E + 5	0.9982

R9								
AA	Concentration (µg L ⁻¹)		ion	Eq. of calibration curve	R²			
Ala	19	±	1	y = 1.1E + 4 x + 2.1E + 5	0.9986			
Arg	15.7	±	0.9	y = 1.6E+5 x + 2.5E+6	0.9988			
Asn	2.6	±	0.4	y = 9.4E + 3 x + 2.4E + 4	0.9998			
Asp	11	±	1	y = 2.5E+3 x + 2.7E+4	0.9989			
Gln	6	±	1	y = 1.4E + 4 x + 8.5E + 4	0.9983			
Glu	10.6	±	0.6	y = 1.3E + 4 x + 1.4E + 5	0.9996			
Gly	31	±	3	y = 1.1E+3 x + 3.4E+4	0.9965			
His	5.8	±	0.7	y = 1.1E+5 x + 6.3E+5	0.9988			
Lys	4.6	±	0.6	y = 2.0E + 4x + 9.1E + 4	0.9991			
Met	-3	±	2	y = 1.9E+4 x - 6.3E+4	0.9971			
Phe	10.9	±	0.6	y = 1.8E + 4x + 2.0E + 5	0.9997			
Ser	34	±	2	y = 7.9E+3 x + 2.7E+5	0.9975			
Thr	9.7	±	0.6	y = 7.0E + 3 x + 6.8E + 4	0.9996			
Trp	-3.8	±	0.9	y = 6.6E+3 x - 2.5E+4	0.9996			
Tyr	10	±	4	y = 6.8E + 3 x + 7.0E + 4	0.9910			

				R10A	
AA	Concentration (µg L ⁻¹)		ion	Eq. of calibration curve	R²
Ala	93	±	7	y = 1.1E + 4x + 1.0E + 6	0.9897
Arg	32	±	3	y = 1.9E+5 x + 6.0E+6	0.9952
Asn	18	±	1	y = 8.2E+3 x + 1.5E+5	0.9991
Asp	70	±	3	y = 2.6E+3 x + 1.8E+5	0.9969
Gln	25	±	1	y = 1.5E + 4x + 3.8E + 5	0.9989
Glu	53	±	3	y = 1.3E+4 x + 7.1E+5	0.9961
Gly	93	±	6	y = 1.3E+3 x + 1.2E+5	0.9957
His	18	±	1	y = 2.5E + 5 x + 4.4E + 6	0.9968
Lys	20	±	2	y = 2.9E + 4x + 5.8E + 5	0.9937
Met	-3	±	1	y = 1.4E+4 x - 4.7E+4	0.9990
Phe	13	±	1	y = 4.3E + 4x + 5.8E + 5	0.9984
Ser	264	±	16	y = 7.1E+3 x + 1.9E+6	0.9876
Thr	58	±	2	y = 6.4E+3 x + 3.7E+5	0.9979
Trp	-1	±	3	y = 1.5E+4 x - 2.3E+4	0.9944
Tyr	32	±	3	y = 6.1E + 3 x + 2.0E + 5	0.9932

R10B								
AA	Conce (µ§	Concentration (µg L ⁻¹)		Eq. of calibration curve	R²			
Ala	29	±	4	y = 1.3E + 4x + 3.9E + 5	0.9873			
Arg	18	±	4	y = 1.4E+5 x + 2.6E+6	0.9901			
Asn	9.0	±	0.8	y = 8.5E+3 x + 7.6E+4	0.9996			
Asp	15	±	4	y = 3.0E + 3 x + 4.4E + 4	0.9725			
Gln	15	±	1	y = 1.4E+4 x + 2.0E+5	0.9993			
Glu	15	±	4	y = 1.2E+4 x + 1.9E+5	0.9864			
Gly	34	±	16	y = 1.4E+3 x + 4.6E+4	0.9688			
His	12	±	2	y = 1.1E+5 x + 1.3E+6	0.9959			
Lys	10	±	1	y = 2.1E + 4x + 2.1E + 5	0.9984			
Met	-10	±	5	y = 1.2E+4 x - 1.3E+5	0.9791			
Phe	16.0	±	0.8	y = 1.9E+4 x + 3.0E+5	0.9995			
Ser	101	±	4	y = 7.0E + 3 x + 7.1E + 5	0.9970			
Thr	15	±	5	y = 7.2E+3 x + 1.1E+5	0.9793			
Trp	0.5	±	1.0	y = 6.7E+3 x + 3.2E+3	0.9987			
Tyr	23	±	2	y = 5.5E+3 x + 1.3E+5	0.9967			

				R11	
AA	Concentration (µg L ⁻¹)		ion	Eq. of calibration curve	R²
Ala	250	±	18	y = 8.3E + 3 x + 2.1E + 6	0.9873
Arg	59	±	3	y = 1.8E+5 x + 1.1E+7	0.9971
Asn	32	±	3	y = 8.5E+3 x + 2.7E+5	0.9941
Asp	151	±	15	y = 3.2E + 3 x + 4.9E + 5	0.9792
Gln	62	±	5	y = 1.8E+4 x + 1.1E+6	0.9931
Glu	67	±	4	y = 1.5E+4 x + 1.0E+6	0.9947
Gly				n.d.	
His	65	±	5	y = 1.4E+5 x + 9.4E+6	0.9890
Lys	60	±	7	y = 2.8E+4 x + 1.7E+6	0.9812
Met	-9	±	13	y = 1.0E+4 x - 9.5E+4	0.9184
Phe	43	±	2	y = 2.4E + 4x + 1.0E + 6	0.9990
Ser				n.d.	
Thr	118	±	16	y = 7.8E + 3 x + 9.2E + 5	0.9676
Trp	4	±	4	y = 6.7E + 3 x + 2.6E + 4	0.9882
Tyr	118	±	5	y = 5.3E + 3 x + 6.3E + 5	0.9970

				R13	
AA	Conce (µ§	entrat g L ⁻¹)	ion	Eq. of calibration curve	R²
Ala	65	±	3	y = 1.7E+4 x + 1.1E+6	0.9973
Arg	29	±	1	y = 1.7E+5 x + 5.1E+6	0.9992
Asn	12.5	±	0.9	y = 9.7E+3 x + 1.2E+5	0.9991
Asp	56	±	3	y = 2.4E+3 x + 1.4E+5	0.9966
Gln	22	±	1	y = 1.6E+4 x + 3.5E+5	0.9987
Glu	31	±	1	y = 1.6E + 4 x + 4.9E + 5	0.9988
Gly	66	±	3	y = 1.4E+3 x + 9.5E+4	0.9982
His	17.1	±	0.6	y = 1.2E+5 x + 2.1E+6	0.9996
Lys	21.9	±	0.7	y = 2.4E + 4x + 5.3E + 5	0.9995
Met	-3	±	1	y = 1.6E+4 x - 4.8E+4	0.9988
Phe	23	±	2	y = 2.4E + 4x + 5.5E + 5	0.9944
Ser	167	±	8	y = 7.8E+3 x + 1.3E+6	0.9932
Thr	34	±	1	y = 7.8E+3 x + 2.7E+5	0.9991
Trp	0.3	±	0.5	y = 1.4E + 4x + 3.6E + 3	0.9996
Tyr	44	±	4	y = 6.2E + 3 x + 2.7E + 5	0.9912

Gaseous VOCs and Oxygenated VOCs

Oxygenated VOCs (carbonyls) and VOC were simultaneously sampled on the field with the AEROVOCC device. On the one hand, the performances of VOC measurements in the gaseous and aqueous phases have been described in details in Wang et al (2020) at the PUY station. The detection limits and the extended calculated uncertainties are reported in Table S6. On the other hand, the measurement of carbonyls in the gaseous phase have been adapted from Rossignol et al. (2012). The derivatization of gaseous carbonyls is directly performed on the solid Tenax® TA tubes after the atmospheric sampling. Before sampling, the Tenax sorbent are pre-coated at the laboratory. The sorbent coating is achieved during 20 min by sublimation of PFBHA: a nitrogen stream (100 ml min⁻¹ tube⁻¹) is passed through a glass bulb that contains solid PFBHA and connected to 8 Tenax® sorbent tubes within an oven maintained at 110°C (homemade device). A PFBHA mass of 300 µg per connected tube is introduced into the glass bulb. After the coating process, the pre-coated tube is sealed and left at room temperature. The coating efficiency and quality (no contamination) have been tested by TD-GC-MS. Humidity is recognized to modify the derivatization efficiency (Rossignol et al., 2012). The cloudy atmosphere usually presents high humidity levels, and its influence has been tested at the laboratory for PFBHA coated tubes. Except for formaldehyde, the responses of other carbonyls are not influenced by relative humidity levels (0, 50 and 90%) within the determined repeatability range. In the case of F, the peak area at 90%-RH is two to three times higher at 50 and 90% RH than at 0%. The breakthrough volume (BV) tests have been performed in real clear-sky atmospheric conditions with two Tenax PFBHA pre-coated tubes in series (the front and the back sampling tubes) and operated at a flow rate of 100 ml min⁻¹ by a Gilan air pump. The gaseous sampling was performed for 5 min, 40 min, 1 h and 2 h corresponding to sampling volumes of 0.5 L, 4 L, 6 L, and 12 L, respectively at UCA (Clermont-Auvergne University) Cézeaux campus and at the urban station of ATMO Auvergne Air Quality Network in Clermont-Ferrand. For a given compound, the breakthrough volume is reached when the response of the compound in the back sampling tube (or second coated tube) is higher than 5% of the one in the front tube. For the light carbonyl compound formaldehyde (F), the BV is always overpassed even at a small volume of 0.5 L. For C4-C5 carbonyls, C4-compounds breakthrough at 6L while pentanal (C5) does not. Consequently, the determined concentrations of carbonyls lower than C5 is semi quantitative: the measured concentrations of OVOC represent the lower limits. For calibration curve of carbonyl compounds on-sorbent-tube derivatization, a diluted C=O standard solution of $2 \mu M - 10 mM$ is prepared with the carbonyl compound standards (C=O standard solution) prepared at 0.01 M in H₂O/acetonitrile (5/95). One μ L of the diluted carbonyl standard solution is injected into every pre-conditioned Tenax® TA tubes pre-coated with PFBHA. These tubes are stored at room temperature for five-day-derivatization before TD-GC-MS analysis. The linearity of the method is good with $R^2 > 95\%$.

Table S6. Performances of VOC measurements in the gaseous and aqueous phases (Wang et al., 2020) and OVOC measurements in the gaseous phase. DL: detection limits in ng. U_{i1}: extended uncertainty following the ACTRIS network procedure (Hoerger et al., 2015).

Compounds	DL by SBSE (ng)	DL by Tenax® tube (ng)	U _{Ci} (%) in air	U _{Ci} (%) in water
VOC				
Benzene	0.019	0.65	19.6	11.8
Toluene	0.005	0.13	17.4	10.2
Ethylbenzene	0.018	0.34	17.4	16.0
m+p-xylene	0.005	0.11	18.2	17.8
o-xylene	0.014	0.25	17.0	16.2
Styrene	0.026	0.46	17.0	16.6
Isopropylbenzene	0.020	0.39	20.2	20.1
n-propylbenzene	0.038	0.74	25.2	25.2
1,3,5-trimethylbenzene	0.010	0.19	23.0	23.0
1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	0.011	0.22	20.4	20.6
Naphtalene	0.039	0.89	20.4	18.8
Isoprene	0.014	0.67	22.2	20.4
α-pinene	0.044	0.95	19.4	19.4
β-pinene	0.015	0.33	20.8	20.8
Limonene	0.006	0.13	17.8	18.0
Nopinone	0.027	0.60	17.2	19.6
OVOC				
Methylvinylketone (MVK)	n.d.	3.45	n.d.	n.d.
Metacrolein (MACR)	n.d.	3.40	n.d.	n.d.
Butanal	n.d.	3.26	n.d.	n.d.
Pentanal	n.d.	3.22	n.d.	n.d.
Hexanal	n.d.	3.26	n.d.	n.d.
Hydroxyacetone	n.d.	4.32	n.d.	n.d.
Heptanal	n.d.	3.40	n.d.	n.d.
Octanal	n.d.	3.28	n.d.	n.d.
Formaldehyde (F)	n.d.	0.44	n.d.	n.d.
Acetone (AC)	n.d.	3.16	n.d.	n.d.
Glyoxal (GL)	n.d.	2.04	n.d.	n.d.
Methylglyoxal (MGL)	n.d.	4.68	n.d.	n.d.

n.d.= non determined.



Figure S2. Time series of one minute average cloud microphysical properties derived from the CDP measurements during cloud R2 (on the left) and cloud R13 (on the right): a) cloud droplet number concentration (CDNC), b) liquid water content (LWC), and c) effective diameter (blue), median (dark grey) and percentiles (10th, 25th, 75th and 90th, light grey) of the droplet size distribution. For panels a) and b) 1Hz measurements are superimposed (grey).



Figure S3. Scatter plots of LWC content vs total organic carbon (TOC) and total ionic content (TIC) resulted as the sum of ions obtained for all the cloud events during BIO-MAÏDO.



Figure S4. Correlations of observed ions and charge equilibrium for all the cloud samples obtained at Reunion Island. Grey dashed lines represent the linear fit of the data and yellow dashed lines show the seawater ratio obtained from the literature (Benedict et al., 2012).



Figure S5. Trace metal concentrations observed in each cloud event separated by concentration range in a) magnesium and zinc and b) cupper, iron, manganese, nickel, lead, strontium, vanadium and other trace metal concentrations. R1 to R13 refer to individual cloud samples.



Figure S6. Iron species and hydrogen peroxide concentrations observed in each cloud sample during BIO-MAÏDO.



Figure S7. Concentrations of carboxylic acids and the total average relative contribution observed on cloud samples during BIO-MAÏDO campaign. R1 to R13 refer to individual cloud samples.







Figure S8. (Continuation). Back trajectories obtained for each cloud event (R7 to R13).



Figure S9. Correlations between chemical species and land use cover obtained by Partial least squares (PLS) analysis for all the sampled clouds. The correlations map with t component on axes t1 and t2 superimposes the "Xs", the "Ys" and the cloud events. The dependent variables (Ys) from the chemical matrix are displayed in black; the explanatory variables from the "land use cover" matrix and the LWC are displayed in red. The 13 cloud samples are indicated in blue.



Figure S10. Correlation matrix of sugars, ions, and light acids observed on fourteen cloud samples at La Reunion Island. Colours represent the Pearson correlation coefficient (r) between species, being red-orange colours anti correlated and blue colours positively correlated. The size of the circle is proportional to the correlation coefficients.



Figure S11. Relative composition of amino acids grouped by hydropathy index observed in each cloud sample.

Partitioning coefficient "q"

Chemical compounds are partitioned between the gas and aqueous phases which can be represented by a partitioning coefficient q, defined by Audiffren et al. (1998) and used in other studies such as in van Pinxteren et al. (2005) and Wang et al. (2020) which is defined as:

$$q = \frac{C_{aq}}{LWC \times H_{eff} \times R \times T \times C_{gas}}$$

where C_{aq} and C_{gas} are, respectively, the aqueous and gaseous concentrations of compound of interest in molec cm⁻³, the LWC liquid water content of the cloud event in vol / vol. H_{eff} is the effective Henry's law constant in M atm⁻¹ and R = 0.08206 atm M⁻¹ K⁻¹. The q factor indicates whether the compound of interest is at the Henry's law equilibrium (q = 1), subsaturated (q<1) or supersaturated in the aqueous phase (q>1).

Tables S7 and S8 present the values of gas and aqueous phase concentrations and H_{eff} values of each VOC analyse, together with temperature and LWC values measured during each cloud event during this study.

Table S7. Concentrations of gas-phase volatile organic compounds observed during cloud events at Reunion Island and used for the partitioning coefficient calculations.

	Cloud	R1	R3	R4	R5	R6	R 8	R9	R10B	R11	R13
Gas- phase (ppb)	Formaldehyde	n.d.	1.481	1.967	13.6	2.051	4.096	1.649	0.733	n.d.	n.d.
	Acetone	n.d.	0.278	<dl< td=""><td>0.645</td><td>2.093</td><td>3.408</td><td>0.37</td><td>0.599</td><td>n.d.</td><td>n.d.</td></dl<>	0.645	2.093	3.408	0.37	0.599	n.d.	n.d.
	Glyoxal	n.d.	0.044	0.13	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>0.005</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.011</td><td>n.d.</td><td>n.d.</td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td>0.005</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.011</td><td>n.d.</td><td>n.d.</td></dl<>	0.005	0.01	0.011	n.d.	n.d.
	Methylglyoxal	n.d.	0.048	0.098	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>0.001</td><td>0.002</td><td>0.001</td><td>n.d.</td><td>n.d.</td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td>0.001</td><td>0.002</td><td>0.001</td><td>n.d.</td><td>n.d.</td></dl<>	0.001	0.002	0.001	n.d.	n.d.
	Benzene	187.40	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	195.52	771.18	150.81	157.09	67.44	105.60
	Toluene	92.73	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	392.91	350.89	146.17	151.38	56.72	350.61
	Ethylbenzene	59.18	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	69.69	45.79	23.28	14.33	4.89	50.33
Gas-	m+p-xylene	29.55	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	144.06	145.62	38.93	26.43	22.72	56.99
phase	o-xylene	16.04	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	44.16	47.33	12.90	20.77	6.72	17.13
(ppt)	Isoprene	19.50	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	172.25	243.21	115.56	4.05	128.20	81.83
	α-pinene	20.21	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	56.37	41.10	37.39	43.62	12.60	46.45
	β-pinene	4.30	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	6.66	5.56	5.05	3.46	1.56	5.91
	Limonene	11.10	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	23.63	79.38	14.04	11.07	8.26	26.54

Table S8. Gas-phase and aqueous-phase concentrations, temperature, LWC and Henry effective constants used for the calculation of q factors. H_{eff} are obtained from Sander (2015) and Wang et al. (2020) considering the intrinsic Henry's law constant and the hydration constants for carbonyls.

Compound	Cloud	Gas phase concentration	Aqueous phase concentration	Т	LWC	H _{eff} (T cloud event)	q factor
		molec cm ⁻³	molec cm ⁻³	(°C)	g cm ⁻³		
	R1	4.14E+08	1.01E+06	15.1	0.047	2.304E-01	5.39E+03
	R2		1.00E+06	17	0.09	2.094E-01	
	R5		1.70E+06	17	0.143	2.094E-01	
	R6	3.30E+09		17	0.138	2.094E-01	
Iconrono	R7		1.47E+06	17	0.068	2.094E-01	
Isoprene	R8	3.79E+09	3.09E+06	17.5	0.126	2.042E-01	7.67E+02
	R9	2.68E+09	1.63E+06	17.2	0.088	2.073E-01	8.21E+02
	R10B		2.16E+06	16.6	0.073	2.136E-01	
	R11	4.26E+09	7.57E+05	17.2	0.054	2.073E-01	3.85E+02
	R13	9.34E+08	2.84E+06	17.2	0.086	2.073E-01	4.14E+03
	R1	8.15E+08	3.18E+04	15.1	0.047	7.902E-03	4.44E+03
	R2		1.11E+05	17	0.09	7.150E-03	
	R5		1.46E+04	17	0.143	7.150E-03	
	R6	1.13E+09	2.88E+04	17	0.138	7.150E-03	1.08E+03
	R7		5.76E+04	17	0.068	7.150E-03	
a-pinene	R8	5.56E+08	3.67E+04	17.5	0.126	6.966E-03	3.15E+03
	R9	1.09E+09	3.78E+06	17.2	0.088	7.076E-03	2.33E+05
	R10B	8.95E+08	8.84E+04	16.6	0.073	7.302E-03	7.80E+03
	R11	8.32E+07	3.37E+03	17.2	0.054	7.076E-03	4.45E+03
	R13	2.48E+07	3.88E+04	17.2	0.086	7.076E-03	1.08E+05
	R1	8.86E+07	2.38E+04	15.1	0.047	2.519E-02	9.60E+03
	R2		7.88E+04	17	0.09	2.274E-02	
	R5			17	0.143	2.274E-02	
	R6	1.34E+08		17	0.138	2.274E-02	
ß ninono	R7		4.10E+04	17	0.068	2.274E-02	
p-pinene	R8	5.30E+07		17.5	0.126	2.214E-02	
	R9	1.32E+08	2.11E+06	17.2	0.088	2.250E-02	3.41E+05
	R10B	7.09E+07	5.92E+04	16.6	0.073	2.323E-02	2.07E+04
	R11	7.15E+06		17.2	0.054	2.250E-02	
	R13	9.11E+05	9.60E+03	17.2	0.086	2.250E-02	2.29E+05
	R1	2.29E+08	1.04E+05	15.1	0.047	8.344E-02	4.89E+03
	R2		1.95E+05	17	0.09	7.482E-02	
	R5		7.84E+04	17	0.143	7.482E-02	
	R6	3.75E+08	4.12E+04	17	0.138	7.482E-02	4.47E+02
Limonopo	R 7		1.38E+05	17	0.068	7.482E-02	
Linionene	R 8	6.04E+08	4.13E+04	17.5	0.126	7.272E-02	3.13E+02
	R9	3.79E+08	2.03E+06	17.2	0.088	7.397E-02	3.46E+04
	R10B	2.27E+08	1.01E+05	16.6	0.073	7.655E-02	3.36E+03
	R11	2.14E+08	1.75E+04	17.2	0.054	7.397E-02	8.58E+02
	R13	8.96E+07	1.02E+05	17.2	0.086	7.397E-02	7.49E+03

	R 1	3.83E+09		15.1	0.047	2.953E-01	
	R2			17	0.09	2.684E-01	
	R5		8.58E+05	17	0.143	2.684E-01	
	R6	3.49E+09		17	0.138	2.684E-01	
_	R7			17	0.068	2.684E-01	
Benzene	R8	5.47E+09	2.61E+05	17.5	0.126	2.618E-01	3.68E+01
	R9	4.43E+09	9.77E+04	17.2	0.088	2.657E-01	2.40E+01
	R10B	3.22E+09	8.17E+04	16.6	0.073	2.738E-01	3.23E+01
	R11	3.36E+09	2.01E+04	17.2	0.054	2.657E-01	1.06E+01
	R13	3.03E+09		17.2	0.086	2.657E-01	
	R1	3.41E+09		15.1	0.047	2.494E-01	
	R2		7.10E+04	17	0.09	2.262E-01	
	R5		5.20E+04	17	0.143	2.262E-01	
	R6	7.16E+09	6.92E+04	17	0.138	2.262E-01	8.84E+00
	R7			17	0.068	2.262E-01	
Toluene	R8	3.27E+09	8.58E+04	17.5	0.126	2.205E-01	2.69E+01
	R9	3.96E+09	1.23E+05	17.2	0.088	2.239E-01	4.49E+01
	R10B	3.11E+09	2.18E+04	16.6	0.073	2.309E-01	1.19E+01
	R11	1.15E+09	2.86E+04	17.2	0.054	2.239E-01	5.88E+01
	R13	5.43E+08	6.45E+04	17.2	0.086	2.239E-01	1.76E+02
	R1	2.18E+09		15.1	0.047	2.191E-01	
	R2		4.26E+04	17	0.09	1.983E-01	
	R5		2.87E+04	17	0.143	1.983E-01	
	R6	1.11E+09	3.99E+04	17	0.138	1.983E-01	5.00E+01
Ethylhongono	R7			17	0.068	1.983E-01	
Etnyidenzene	R8	3.25E+08	5.10E+04	17.5	0.126	1.932E-01	2.46E+02
	R9	6.77E+08	7.59E+04	17.2	0.088	1.962E-01	2.48E+02
	R10B	2.94E+08	1.16E+04	16.6	0.073	2.025E-01	1.02E+02
	R11	1.95E+07	1.66E+04	17.2	0.054	1.962E-01	3.06E+03
	R13		3.86E+04	17.2	0.086	1.962E-01	
	R1	1.08E+09	7.94E+03	15.1	0.047	2.304E-01	2.74E+01
	R2		3.91E+04	17	0.09	2.094E-01	
	R5		2.69E+04	17	0.143	2.094E-01	
	R6	2.49E+09	8.64E+04	17	0.138	2.094E-01	4.81E+01
m-n-vylene	R7		5.91E+03	17	0.068	2.094E-01	
in p xyrene	R8	9.57E+08	3.41E+04	17.5	0.126	2.042E-01	5.52E+01
	R9	9.89E+08	1.53E+04	17.2	0.088	2.073E-01	3.40E+01
	R10B	5.42E+08	1.66E+04	16.6	0.073	2.136E-01	7.86E+01
	R11	6.83E+08	1.13E+04	17.2	0.054	2.073E-01	5.89E+01
	R13		2.09E+04	17.2	0.086	2.073E-01	
	R1	3.31E+08	4.37E+03	15.1	0.047	2.304E-01	4.87E+01
	R2		2.88E+04	17	0.09	2.094E-01	
0-xvlene	R5		2.22E+04	17	0.143	2.094E-01	
o ajicite	R6	7.47E+08	7.25E+04	17	0.138	2.094E-01	1.33E+02
	R7		3.71E+03	17	0.068	2.094E-01	
	R8	2.68E+08	1.93E+04	17.5	0.126	2.042E-01	1.10E+02

	R9	3.70E+08	8.42E+03	17.2	0.088	2.073E-01	4.94E+01
	R10B	4.26E+08	1.21E+04	16.6	0.073	2.136E-01	7.25E+01
	R11	2.11E+08	6.96E+03	17.2	0.054	2.073E-01	1.17E+02
	R13		1.42E+04	17.2	0.086	2.073E-01	
	R1	4.71E+09	3.52E+03	15.1	0.047	2.15E-01	3.13E+00
	R2		8.37E+03	17	0.09	2.01E-01	
	R5		2.03E+05	17	0.143	2.01E-01	
	R6	2.32E+08		17	0.138	2.01E-01	
1,3,5-	R7			17	0.068	2.01E-01	
trimethylbenzene	R 8	5.24E+08	2.21E+03	17.5	0.126	1.97E-01	7.11E+00
	R9	1.06E+09	3.61E+03	17.2	0.088	1.99E-01	8.15E+00
	R10B	8.62E+08	3.60E+03	16.6	0.073	2.03E-01	1.18E+01
	R11	5.08E+07	2.92E+01	17.2	0.054	1.99E-01	2.24E+00
	R13	1.26E+09	9.76E+03	17.2	0.086	1.99E-01	1.90E+01
	R1	5.22E+08	1.01E+04	15.1	0.047	3.51E-01	4.93E+01
	R2		9.59E+03	17	0.09	3.24E-01	
	R5		1.28E+04	17	0.143	3.24E-01	
	R6	8.05E+08	7.99E+03	17	0.138	3.24E-01	9.34E+00
1,2,4-	R7		5.48E+03	17	0.068	3.24E-01	
trimethylbenzene	R 8	1.37E+07	9.90E+03	17.5	0.126	3.17E-01	7.56E+02
	R9	9.23E+07	1.28E+03	17.2	0.088	3.21E-01	2.06E+01
	R10B	3.16E+07	1.12E+04	16.6	0.073	3.29E-01	6.20E+02
	R11		4.31E+03	17.2	0.054	3.21E-01	
	R13	1.25E+08	1.18E+04	17.2	0.086	3.21E-01	1.44E+02
	R 1	4.62E+07	1.33E+03	15.1	0.047	4.08E-01	6.35E+01
	R2		1.35E+03	17	0.09	3.68E-01	
	R5		3.01E+03	17	0.143	3.68E-01	
	R6	4.96E+07	1.06E+03	17	0.138	3.68E-01	1.76E+01
1,2,3-	R7		1.56E+03	17	0.068	3.68E-01	
trimethylbenzene	R 8	6.60E+08	6.05E+02	17.5	0.126	3.59E-01	
	R9	4.36E+08		17.2	0.088	3.64E-01	
	R10B	2.84E+08	1.63E+03	16.6	0.073	3.76E-01	8.79E+00
	R11	2.71E+08	2.62E+02	17.2	0.054	3.64E-01	2.06E+00
	R13	1.06E+09	1.30E+03	17.2	0.086	3.64E-01	1.63E+00
	R4	4.03E+10	1.809E+07	17	0.0280	6.22E+03	1.08E-01
	R5	2.79E+11	1.838E+08	17	0.1430	6.22E+03	3.11E-02
Formaldehyde	R6	4.20E+10	5.259E+07	17	0.1380	6.22E+03	6.12E-02
Tormanucityue	R8	8.38E+10	2.232E+08	17.5	0.1260	5.96E+03	1.49E-01
	R9	3.38E+10	5.091E+07	17.2	0.0880	6.12E+03	1.18E-01
	R10B	1.50E+10	4.008E+07	16.6	0.0730	6.44E+03	2.39E-01
	R4		6.186E+06	17	0.0280	4.42E+01	
	R5	1.32E+10	2.281E+07	17	0.1430	4.42E+01	1.15E+01
Acetone	R6	4.29E+10	1.587E+07	17	0.1380	4.42E+01	2.55E+00
	R8	6.97E+10	1.350E+07	17.5	0.1260	4.29E+01	1.50E+00
	R9	7.57E+09	9.430E+06	17.2	0.0880	4.37E+01	1.36E+01

	R10B	1.23E+10	2.461E+07	16.6	0.0730	4.53E+01	2.55E+01
	R4	2.66E+09	4.214E+05	17	0.0280	8.38E+05	2.90E-04
	R5		1.188E+07	17	0.1430	8.38E+05	
Chronol	R6		1.645E+07	17	0.1380	8.38E+05	
Giyoxai	R8	1.01E+08	6.698E+07	17.5	0.1260	8.02E+05	2.80E-01
	R9	2.10E+08	1.711E+07	17.2	0.0880	8.23E+05	4.82E-02
	R10B	2.34E+08	3.735E+06	16.6	0.0730	8.68E+05	1.08E-02
	R4	2.01E+09	3.506E+06	17	0.0280	7.44E+03	3.60E-01
	R5		1.188E+07	17	0.1430	7.44E+03	
Mathylalyayal	R6		6.646E+06	17	0.1380	7.44E+03	
Metnyigiyoxai	R8	2.86E+07	3.914E+07	17.5	0.1260	7.12E+03	6.53E+01
	R9	3.16E+07	4.980E+06	17.2	0.0880	7.31E+03	1.05E+01
	R10B	3.05E+07	7.251E+06	16.6	0.0730	7.71E+03	1.81E+01

Table S9. Relative contribution of land cover uses related to the time of air masses spent over the area during calculated back trajectories for each cloud event.

Cloud	Coastal area	Farming area	Urban area	Vegetation area	
R1	0.30	28.3	3.2	68.1	
R2	4.40	20.6	3.2	71.7	
R3	0.00	0.00	0.00	100	
R4	0.00	0.00	0.00	100	
R5	0.00	0.00	0.00	100	
R6	0.00	0.00	0.00	100	
R7	0.40	34.8	0.00	64.7	
R8	0.00	0.00	0.00	100	
R9	30.3	1.5	2.2	65.9	
R10A	19.2	38.6	5.9	36.2	
R10B	10.7	23.2	4.6	61.5	
R11	1.6	28.6	1.9	67.8	
R12	0.00	14.07	0.00	85.9	
R13	1.3	14.8	0.8	83.1	

Table S10. Correlation matrix obtained by PLS analysis, between the land use cover matrix (the "Xs"), provided by the CAT model with the extended chemical matrix (the "Ys"), gathering four groups of compounds (inorganic ions, (di)carboxylic acids, amino acids and sugars).

Group of compounds	Variables	Coastal	Farming	Urban	Vegetation	IWC
Group of compounds	variables	area	area	area	vegetation	Lwc
	Coastal area	1.00	0.13	0.60	-0.62	0.08
I and use area	Farming area	0.13	1.00	0.65	-0.86	-0.27
Land use area	Urban area	0.60	0.65	1.00	-0.85	-0.07
	Vegetation	-0.62	-0.86	-0.85	1.00	0.17
Microphysics	LWC	0.08	-0.27	-0.07	0.17	1.00
	Na^+	-0.16	0.54	-0.11	-0.31	-0.30
	${ m NH_4}^+$	-0.12	0.51	0.11	-0.33	-0.25
	\mathbf{K}^+	-0.20	0.22	-0.16	-0.06	-0.40
Iona	Mg^{2+}	-0.23	0.51	-0.16	-0.25	-0.33
IOIIS	Ca^{2+}	-0.18	0.27	-0.17	-0.10	-0.39
	Cl ⁻	-0.15	0.53	-0.06	-0.31	-0.31
	NO ₃ -	-0.11	0.43	-0.06	-0.26	-0.34
	SO4 ²⁻	-0.29	0.07	-0.20	0.10	-0.48
	Alanine	-0.06	0.36	0.12	-0.24	-0.12
	Arginine	0.06	0.34	0.16	-0.29	0.01
	Asparagine	0.00	0.53	0.31	-0.42	-0.12
	Aspartic acid	0.02	0.42	0.24	-0.34	-0.08
	Glutamine	-0.03	0.39	0.19	-0.29	-0.13
	Glutamic acid	0.11	0.47	0.32	-0.43	-0.07
	Histidine	-0.04	0.31	0.13	-0.22	-0.06
Amino Acids	Lysine	-0.09	0.36	0.12	-0.23	-0.10
	Methionine	-0.28	-0.31	-0.33	0.39	-0.54
	Phenylalanine	-0.15	0.33	0.08	-0.18	0.07
	Serine	0.00	0.42	0.26	-0.33	-0.09
	Threonine	-0.06	0.47	0.25	-0.34	-0.16
	Tryptophan	-0.32	0.01	-0.15	0.16	-0.36
	Tyrosine	-0.42	0.30	-0.10	-0.01	-0.15
	Arabitol	-0.17	0.27	0.00	-0.12	-0.25
	Ervthriol	-0.09	0.03	-0.24	0.04	-0.29
	Galactosan	-0.07	0.42	0.26	-0.30	-0.11
	Glucose	-0.16	0.33	0.06	-0.17	-0.43
	Glycerol	0.25	0.25	0.30	-0.33	-0.39
Sugars	Inositol	-0.19	0.30	-0.06	-0.12	-0.25
	Levoglucosan	-0.27	0.12	-0.03	0.04	-0.02
	Mannitol	-0.19	0.38	0.05	-0.20	-0.16
	Sorbitol	-0.04	0.25	-0.05	-0.16	-0.22
	Threalose	-0.03	0.24	0.02	-0.16	-0.25
	Acetic acid	-0.23	0.13	-0.20	0.03	-0.21
Carboxylic acids	Formic acid	-0.41	0.13	-0.17	0.03	-0.48
	3-MBTCA	-0.11	0.46	0.17	-0.30	-0.18
	Adipic acid	0.25	0.40	0.63	-0.50	-0.17
	Azelaic acid	-0.21	0.21	-0.02	-0.05	-0.47
	Citraconic acid	-0.21	0.24	-0.31	-0.03	0.25
	Fumaric acid	-0.10	0.55	0.06	-0.36	0.00
	Glutaric acid	-0.26	0.35	-0.04	-0.21	-0.32
	Lactic acid	-0.20	0.17	-0.04	-0.02	0.08
	Maleic acid	-0.06	0.25	-0.20	-0.13	0.00
	Malie acid	0.16	0.64	0.35	-0.58	0.08
Dicarboxylic acids	Malonic acid	0.34	0.04	0.55	-0.71	0.06
	MethylGlutaric acid	-0.04	0.00	0.05	-0.33	0.13
	MethylSuccipic acid	-0.04	0.47	0.09	-0.55	0.13
	Ovalia acid	-0.03	0.00	0.14	-0.44	-0.04
	Dhthalia agid	-0.27	0.20	0.14	-0.04	-0.08
	Dinia acid	0.05	0.41	0.51	-0.55	-0.14
	Finic acid	-0.24	0.10	-0.10	0.01	0.04
	Subaria asid	0.20	0.30	0.00	-0.38	-0.15
	Suberic acid	-0.19	0.21	0.09	-0.08	-0.52
	Succinic acid	0.15	0.71	0.47	-0.05	-0.11

References

Audiffren, N., Renard, M., Buisson, E. and Chaumerliac, N.: Deviations from the Henry's law equilibrium during cloud events: a numerical approach of the mass transfer between phases and its specific numerical effects, Atmos. Res., 49(2), 139–161, doi:10.1016/S0169-8095(98)00072-6, 1998.

Benedict, K. B., Lee, T. and Collett, J. L.: Cloud water composition over the southeastern Pacific Ocean during the VOCALS regional experiment, Atmos. Environ., 46, 104–114, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.10.029, 2012.

Bianco, A.: Formation photoinduite du radical hydroxyle dans la phase aqueuse du nuage : impact sur les acides carboxyliques et les acides aminés, Université Blaise Pascal - Clermont-Ferrand II., 2016.

Hoerger, C. C., Claude, A., Plass-Duelmer, C., Reimann, S., Eckart, E., Steinbrecher, R., Aalto, J., Arduini, J., Bonnaire, N., Cape, J. N., Colomb, A., Connolly, R., Diskova, J., Dumitrean, P., Ehlers, C., Gros, V., Hakola, H., Hill, M. and Hopkins, J. R.: ACTRIS non-methane hydrocarbon intercomparison experiment in Europe to support WMO GAW and EMEP observation networks, Atmos. Meas. Tech, 8, 2715–2736, doi:10.5194/amt-8-2715-2015, 2015.

Houdier, S., Perrier, S., Defrancq, E. and Legrand, M.: A new fluorescent probe for sensitive detection of carbonyl compounds: Sensitivity improvement and application to environmental water samples, Anal. Chim. Acta, 412(1–2), 221–233, doi:10.1016/S0003-2670(99)00875-2, 2000.

Houdier, S., Barret, M., Dominé, F., Charbouillot, T., Deguillaume, L. and Voisin, D.: Sensitive determination of glyoxal, methylglyoxal and hydroxyacetaldehyde in environmental water samples by using dansylacetamidooxyamine derivatization and liquid chromatography/fluorescence, Anal. Chim. Acta, 704(1–2), 162–173, doi:10.1016/j.aca.2011.08.002, 2011.

Houdier, S., Lévêque, J., Sabatier, T., Jacob, V. and Jaffrezo, J. L.: Aniline-based catalysts as promising tools to improve analysis of carbonyl compounds through derivatization techniques: preliminary results using dansylacetamidooxyamine derivatization and LC-fluorescence, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 410(27), 7031–7042, doi:10.1007/s00216-018-1304-3, 2018.

van Pinxteren, D., Plewka, A., Hofmann, D., Müller, K., Kramberger, H., Svrcina, B., Bächmann, K., Jaeschke, W., Mertes, S., Collett, J. L. and Herrmann, H.: Schmücke hill cap cloud and valley stations aerosol characterisation during FEBUKO (II): Organic compounds, Atmos. Environ., 39(23–24), 4305–4320, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.02.014, 2005.

Renard, P., Brissy, M., Rossi, F., Leremboure, M., Jaber, S., Baray, J.-L., Bianco, A., Delort, A.-M. and Deguillaume, L.: Free amino acids measurements in cloud water at the puy de Dôme station, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss, 2021.

Rossignol, S., Chiappini, L., Perraudin, E., Rio, C., Fable, S., Valorso, R. and Doussin, J. F.: Development of a parallel sampling and analysis method for the elucidation of gas/particle partitioning of oxygenated semi-volatile organics: A limonene ozonolysis study, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5(6), 1459–1489, doi:10.5194/amt-5-1459-2012, 2012.

Sander, R.: Compilation of Henry's law constants (version 4.0) for water as solvent, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(8), 4399–4981, doi:10.5194/acp-15-4399-2015, 2015.

Stookey, L. L.: Ferrozine-A New Spectrophotometric Reagent for Iron, Anal. Chem., 42(7), 779–781, doi:10.1021/ac60289a016, 1970.

Wang, M., Perroux, H., Fleuret, J., Bianco, A., Bouvier, L., Colomb, A., Borbon, A. and Deguillaume, L.: Anthropogenic and biogenic hydrophobic VOCs detected in clouds at the puy de Dôme station using Stir Bar Sorptive Extraction: Deviation from the Henry's law prediction, Atmos. Res., 237(July 2019), 104844, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2020.104844, 2020.