

Atmospheric composition in the European Arctic and 30 years of the Zeppelin Observatory, Ny-Ålesund

Stephen M. Platt¹, Øystein Hov², Torunn Berg³, Knut Breivik¹, Sabine Eckhardt¹, Konstantinos Eleftheriadis⁴, Nikolaos Evangeliou¹, Markus Fiebig¹, Rebecca Fisher⁵, Georg Hansen¹, Hans-Christen Hansson⁶, Jost Heintzenberg⁷, Ove Hermansen¹, Dominic Heslin-Rees⁶, Kim Holmén⁸, Stephen Hudson⁸, Roland Kallenborn¹, Radovan Krejci⁶, Terje Krognæs¹, Steinar Larssen¹, David Lowry⁵, Cathrine Lund Myhre¹, Chris Lunder¹, Euan Nisbet⁵, Pernilla B. Nizetto¹, Ki-Tae Park⁹, Christina A. Pedersen⁸, Katrine Aspmo Pfaffhuber¹, Thomas Röckmann¹⁰, Norbert Schmidbauer¹, Sverre Solberg¹, Andreas Stohl^{1,11}, Johan Ström⁶, Tove Svendby¹, Peter Tunved⁶, Kjersti Tørnkvist¹, Carina van der Veen¹⁰, Stergios Vratolis⁴, Young Jun Yoon⁹, Karl Espen Yttri¹, Paul Zieger⁶, Wenche Aas¹, Kjetil Tørseth¹

¹NILU-Norwegian Institute for Air Research, PO Box 100, 2027 Kjeller, Norway

²Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Henrik Mohns Plass 1, 0371 Oslo, Norway

³NTNU-Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Department of Chemistry, Trondheim, Norway

15 ⁴NCSR "Demokritos"-Institute of Nuclear and Radiological Sciences and Technology, Energy and Safety Environmental Radioactivity Laboratory, 15310 Athens, Greece

⁵Department of Earth Sciences, Royal Holloway, University of London, Egham, UK

⁶Department of Environmental Science, Stockholm University, 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

⁷Leibniz-Institute for Tropospheric Research, Permoserstrasse. 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany

20 ⁸NPI-Norwegian Polar Institute, Fram Centre, PO Box 6606 Langnes, 9296 Tromsø, Norway

⁹KOPRI-Korea Polar Research Institute, 26, Songdo Mirae-ro, Yeonsu-Gu, Incheon, Republic of Korea

¹⁰IMAU-Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research Utrecht, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

¹¹Now at Department of Meteorology and Geophysics, University of Vienna, Althanstrasse 14, 1090 Vienna, Austria

Correspondence to: Stephen M. Platt (sp@nilu.no), Kjetil Tørseth (kt@nilu.no)

25 **Abstract.** The Zeppelin Observatory (78.90 °N, 11.88 °E) is located on the Zeppelin Mountain at 472 m above sea level on Spitsbergen, the largest island of the Svalbard archipelago. Established in 1989, the observatory is part of the ‘Ny-Ålesund Research Station’ and an important atmospheric measurement site, one of only a few in the high Arctic and as a part of several European and global monitoring programs and research infrastructures, notably the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP), the Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP), the Global Atmosphere

30 Watch (GAW), the Aerosols, Clouds, and Trace gases Research InfraStructure (ACTRIS), the Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE) network, and the Integrated Carbon Observation System (ICOS). The observatory is jointly operated by the Norwegian Polar Institute (NPI), Stockholm University and the Norwegian Institute for Air Research (NILU). Here we detail the establishment of the Zeppelin Observatory including historical measurements of

35 atmospheric composition in the European Arctic leading to its construction. We present a history of the measurements at the observatory and review the current state of the European Arctic atmosphere, including results from trends in greenhouse gases, chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) and hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs), other traces gases, persistent organic pollutants

(POPs) and heavy metals, aerosols and Arctic haze, and atmospheric transport phenomena, and provide an outline of future research directions.

1 Introduction

40 Following early advances in aerosol measurement technology and data, Junge (1972) coined the concept of a ‘global background aerosol’, recommending its study at background stations as far away from anthropogenic sources as possible. The Polar regions were prime areas for the establishment of such sites. Furthermore, a possible feedback mechanism, where decreased ice cover would decrease Earth’s albedo, leading to more warming, particularly in the Arctic, an ‘Arctic albedo effect’, had already been described in the literature (Budyko, 1969; Schneider and Dickinson, 1974), while Hov and Holtet
45 (1987) noted that “Theoretical calculations indicate that the growth in temperature around Svalbard could be 3 to 4 times the global average temperature increase”. A third motivation for atmospheric background measurements in the Arctic followed the 1973 oil crisis, which led to increased oil exploration in the region. Norwegian environmental research was commissioned to establish the status of the pristine Arctic environment before the advent of large-scale commercial exploitation (Joranger and Ottar, 1984).

50 In Norway, a growing interest in ‘Arctic haze’ (an observed seasonal variability of Arctic aerosol, with maximum levels around December to March) led to the ‘Workshop on Arctic Aerosols’ (27 to 28th April 1977, at NILU) sponsored jointly by the U.S. Office of Naval Research, co-chaired by NILU’s director Brynjulf Ottar, and Kenneth A. Rahn of the University of Rhode Island (Ottar and Rahn, 1980). Out of this meeting grew a co-operation to establish a pan-Arctic observation programme to determine the sources, transport mechanisms, and effects of aerosols in the Arctic. Meanwhile in Sweden in
55 the same year, the Swedish parliament accepted the proposal for a Swedish monitoring programme (‘program för övervakning av miljökvalitet’, PMK) one part of which was to be long-term monitoring at Ny-Ålesund by Stockholm University of changes in atmospheric composition with an emphasis on aerosols and carbon dioxide in collaboration with NILU in Norway.

Following the 1977 workshop on Arctic aerosol there were three further symposia on Arctic atmospheric chemistry, on 6 to
60 8th May, 1980 (Rahn, 1981a), 7 to 9th May, 1984 (Rahn, 1985), and 29th September to 2nd October, 1987 (Rahn, 1989b). These Arctic air chemistry symposia provided an international framework for Arctic haze research based on long-term ground-based observations, or at least field campaigns with extended measurement programmes, and aircraft measurements. A substantial five-year Arctic measurement and research programme led by NILU also started in 1981, financed by British Petroleum Ltd. (BP), as part of the Norwegian government’s policy to allow the search for oil and gas at northerly latitudes
65 (Ottar, 1989). The Arctic air chemistry symposia and the BP-programme at NILU also provided the international scientific support and legitimacy for Norwegian government funding to establish a global background observatory.

From the start, establishing a joint Norwegian/Swedish baseline monitoring observatory within the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) framework was under discussion, and measurement campaigns were carried out in the Arctic to

determine the ideal location for such an observatory. Heintzenberg, (1983) and Heintzenberg et al., (1985) emphasised that regional transport of anthropogenic trace substances from populated regions in the Soviet Union and Europe should be monitored, even if much of the high Arctic lay within Soviet Union territory where atmospheric monitoring activities were impossible for most scientists. Year-round measurements were particularly required to characterise Arctic haze (e.g., 'background' vs 'baseline' levels). Specifically, Heintzenberg et al. (1985) recommended the establishment of a permanent station with instrumentation to measure particle number concentration, light-scattering, and greenhouse gases, particularly CO₂. Accordingly, several research groups promoting the development of high latitude background stations devised a plan to link up to the baseline monitoring stations at the South Pole, run by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA); Cape Grim on the western cape of Tasmania, run by Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), Australia; American Samoa, Mauna Loa, and Point Barrow, Alaska (all NOAA sites); and Alert, Canada, run by Environment Canada. The WMO was to have a strong coordinating role. This, in addition to the Arctic haze symposia, Norwegian Environment agency funding, and Swedish funding via the PMK, led to the establishment of an observatory on the Zeppelin Mountain close to Ny-Ålesund (Rahn, 1989a).

The Zeppelin Observatory is now a leading global background measurement site (Tørseth et al., 2012). Google scholar finds at least 280 publications including the search term "Zeppelin Observatory" and, for example, greenhouse gas data/ meta data (CO₂, CO, CH₄) have been downloaded at least 4439 times from the ICOS carbon portal (<https://www.icos-cp.eu/observations/carbon-portal>), while station data have been downloaded or viewed from or at ebas (ebas.nilu.no) at least 2912 and 2326 times, respectively. Here we present historical atmospheric composition measurements in the Arctic, including the measurements used to identify Mt Zeppelin as the ideal location for an atmospheric observatory. We detail the construction of the Zeppelin Observatory, its characteristics with respect to atmospheric transport, and subsequent expansions of measurement activities. We discuss trends in aerosol physical-chemical properties, greenhouse gases, reactive trace gases, atmospheric oxidants, persistent organic pollutants (POPs) reactive trace gases, atmospheric oxidants, persistent organic pollutants, and heavy metals including mercury. Finally, we also include an outline of future research directions and strategic considerations.

2 A history of atmospheric composition measurements in the European Arctic

2.1 The rediscovery of Arctic haze

As discussed by Garrett and Verzella (2008), the presence of visibility-reducing haze in the Arctic was noted by early explorers in the late nineteenth century and discussed by Nordenskiöld (1883). Schnell (1984b) also suggest early evidence of observations of Arctic haze during Macmillan's search for the (non-existent) Crocker Land in the Canadian Arctic in 1913 (see Fig. 1 for a timeline of important events in the history of the Zeppelin Observatory). However, according to MacMillan and Ekblaw (1918), MacMillan finally accepted that an apparent land mass he believed to be Crocker Land and was

100 attempting to reach, was indeed a mirage or *fata morgana*, described by their local Inuit guide as ‘mist’, only after five arduous days, stating “The day was exceptionally clear, not a cloud or trace of mist. [...] had we not been out on the frozen sea for 150 miles, we would have staked our lives upon its reality. Our judgment then, as now, is that this was a mirage [...]”. Arctic haze was not definitively observed during the expedition and ‘mist’ in this case was a term used to refer to a mirage. Nevertheless, the account does provide evidence of a term for Arctic haze in the local Inuit vocabulary of the time.

105 According to Schnell (1984a), Mitchell (1957) was the first to document haze over the Arctic ice cap in the contemporary scientific literature. Haze was observed by pilots of the ‘Ptarmigan’ weather reconnaissance flights in the 1950s from Alaska to the North Pole, which Mitchell (1957) suggested was composed of non-ice particles < 2 μm in diameter. Raatz (1984) reanalysed the Ptarmigan flights from 1948 to 1961, finding a maximum in the number of low visibility observations in spring. It was further suggested that the haze had an anthropogenic origin (Holmgren et al., 1974; Radke et al., 1976),

110 subsequently identified as Eurasian.




Figure 1: Timeline of Arctic measurements leading up to the construction of the Arctic observatory, and selected subsequent milestones, mentioned in the main text.

115 Measurements of Arctic haze and Arctic aerosols in the European Arctic began in the 1970s when, following a mining accident in Ny-Ålesund in 1962 and the political turmoil unleashed in Norway, the ‘King’s Bay Affair’, Hanoa (1989), a new use was sought for Norwegian infrastructure in the settlement. The European Space Research Organization (ESRO) established a satellite ground station in Ny-Ålesund in 1967, while Norwegian Polar Institute (NPI) started year-round activities with over-wintering staff from 1968. The establishment of environmental research activities at the former mining settlement enabled researchers at NILU to begin studying the transport of air pollutants into the European Arctic with

120 measurements of total suspended particulate matter (TSP) at Ny-Ålesund in 1973. A high-volume sampler (500 m³ of air per day) was installed on the Roald Amundsen airship mooring mast close to the Kongsfjorden shoreline (Fig. 2) in collaboration with NPI. Samples were taken weekly on filters and analysed at NILU for TSP, as well as for elements

including mercury, chromium, and zinc. Similar regional-type stations operated in Tange (Jutland, Denmark), Tveiten (South Norway), Rena (central Norway) and Skoganvarre (North Norway). Results showed the episodic transport of air pollutants into the Arctic (Rahn, 1981b and references therein). Due to the historical and cultural significance of the Amundsen mast, operations were stopped in 1977 by a preservation order.





Figure 2: Top left: map of the Svalbard Archipelago. Top right: Satellite image of Ny-Ålesund with the measurement sites at NILU-1, Gruvebadet, and the Zeppelin Observatory in red. Bottom: view of the Zeppelin Observatory looking down over the Ny-Ålesund settlement and Kongsfjorden. Top left, map data: Svalbard Kartdata, scale: 1:1 000 000, credit to: Norwegian Polar Institute. <https://doi.org/10.21334/npolar.2014.63730e2e>, accessed 18.06.2021. Top right, map data: ESRI Satellite, scale: variable resolution, credit to: Esri, Maxar, Earthstar Geographics, USDA FSA, USGS, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, and the GIS User Community, https://server.arcgisonline.com/ArcGIS/rest/services/World_Imagery/MapServer, accessed 18.06.2021. Top panels produced using

Aerosol composition measurements by NILU began again on July 5th 1977, with sulfur pollutant measurements at Bear Island and Ny-Ålesund (Fig. 3, Joranger and Ottar, 1984; Larssen and Hanssen, 1980). In Ny-Ålesund a new measurement site (NILU-1) was constructed close to the settlement shoreline with filter samples analysed for sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, chloride, magnesium, calcium, and sodium in addition to lead, cadmium and zinc until 30th June 1980. Additional aerosol measurements (composition, aerosol size distribution) at the site were performed by Stockholm University in 1979 and 1981 (Heintzenberg, 1980; Heintzenberg et al., 1981).



145 **Figure 3: Sulfate (SO₄²⁻) concentrations at Ny-Ålesund (NILU-1), Gruvebadet, and the Zeppelin Observatory. Sulfate is sea-salt corrected except for 1990-1992 where total sulfate was measured, as also indicated by the dashed line. For reference, the right axis shows levels relative to the 1980 annual mean of 0.92 µg m⁻³. Daily and annual means calculated only where data coverage is ≥75% of total day or year, respectively.**

2.2 Early greenhouse gas measurements in the European Arctic

The first global background CO₂ monitoring programme was organised in 1956 as part of the 'International Geophysical Year' (Fritz, 1959). The remote Arctic, far from large local combustion sources, represents an ideal location for such background measurements. Accordingly, CO₂ measurements at Barrow, Alaska were initiated in 1961, with Kelley (1974) finding mixing ratios ≈2.5 ppm higher than from contemporaneous measurements at Mauna Loa, Hawaii, and with a larger annual variability. An early observed mean mixing ratio of 398 ppm at Hornsund, Svalbard in October to December 1957 is likely erroneous, due to analytical artefacts or contamination from heating oil combustion at Hornsund (Jaworowski, 1989).

155 Greenhouse gas (GHG) measurements around Svalbard began as part of the PMK-funded quest for a suitable location for a background station in the European Arctic. The two leading candidates for the background site were Bear Island, the site of weather observations including radio soundings, located in the Barents Sea about halfway between the northern Scandinavian coast and Svalbard (74.52 °N, 19.02 °E), and the former mining settlement of Ny-Ålesund (78.93 °N, 11.92 °E), now converted into a site for research and monitoring following the King's Bay Affair. Heintzenberg (1983) took daily CO₂ grab samples at both locations in August 1981 to 1982. In addition, an intensive summer campaign at the NILU-1 site in 160 Ny-Ålesund, August to September 1982, included 6-hourly nondispersive infrared (NDIR) CO₂ measurements. Results from

both sites were similar to previous observations at Barrow and Alert, Canada, with levels showing an annual variation of ≈ 15 ppm and short term variations ≈ 4 ppm (Peterson et al., 1982; Wong and Pettit, 1981). Regular measurements of CO₂ (using infra-red absorption spectroscopy measurement techniques) did not begin at Svalbard until the construction of the Zeppelin Observatory in 1989.

Measurements of Arctic CH₄ began later than those of CO₂. The Arctic CH₄ mixing ratio was ≈ 1600 ppb in August-September 1967 at Point Barrow, Alaska (Cavanagh et al., 1969), while aircraft measurements when descending into Point Barrow showed a mixing ratio of 1721 ppb in April 1986 (Conway and Steele, 1989). Trivett et al. (1989) observed a strong correlation between Arctic haze and mixing ratios of both CO₂ and CH₄ at the Alert station, on Ellesmere Island, Canada in 1986 demonstrating that synoptic variations in greenhouse gas mixing ratios in the Arctic were due to long-range transport of anthropogenic emissions.

No atmospheric CH₄ measurements are reported for Svalbard in the literature until measurements began at Zeppelin (in 1994, by NOAA). Interestingly however, a 1920s study of natural water springs on Svalbard noted the presence of gas bubbles in numerous streams, and hydrocarbon deposits with natural gas emissions near the surface in Grønfjorden containing 97% CH₄ in 1926 (Orvin, 1944). By the time the Zeppelin Observatory had been constructed in 1989, the hypothesis that decomposing hydrates, which only form in the presence of such CH₄ seeps if located on the seafloor where pressure is relatively high, could cause run-away warming effects was already the focus of scientific study (Nisbet, 1989).

2.3 Early trace gas measurements in the European Arctic

When Arctic haze was identified as the result of long-range transport of pollutants into the polar region, it was clear that these transport episodes could also carry numerous other pollutants. Measurements of carbon monoxide (CO), hydrocarbons and halocarbons at Barrow around 1980 showed highly elevated concentrations in winter compared to in summer (Rasmussen et al., 1983). The first measurements of organic species in the European Arctic were carried out in summer 1982 at four locations: Bear Island, Hopen, Longyearbyen and Ny-Ålesund and in spring 1983 at Ny-Ålesund (Hov et al., 1984). Samples were collected in stainless steel canisters that were subsequently analysed for halocarbons and non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHC) at the Atomic Energy Research Establishment, Harwell, United Kingdom. The observed fraction of alkanes was higher at Ny-Ålesund than at Barrow, a consequence of the proximity of the petroleum activity in the Soviet Union and the prevailing atmospheric transport from southeast into Spitsbergen. Elevated levels of alkenes (ethene and propene) in summer were linked to biogenic emissions from the ocean. Even at this early stage it was concluded that “Ny-Ålesund is a good site to measure air coming off the Soviet Union and Europe. Continued sampling could provide valuable information about questions related to global climate, Arctic haze and the chemical composition of the troposphere” and that there was a “need for continued measurements of organic gases [...] at a representative Arctic site like Ny-Ålesund” (Ottar et al., 1986).

C₂ to C₆ NMHC were sampled at weather ship *M* (located at 66°N, 3°E) and Ny-Ålesund in winter to spring 1985 and in spring 1986 at Ny-Ålesund (Hov et al., 1989), away from the settlement following a snow-scooter ride out to an upwind,

195 unpolluted site. The results showed that the sum of alkanes and alkenes at Ny-Ålesund in spring was close to half the level found just 60 km downwind of London and higher than observed at a rural site in Germany. Given these findings, it was concluded that despite being further north than Barrow, Ny-Ålesund is more influenced by transported pollutants, especially in spring.

NMHCs control ozone (O₃) production and rates of sulfate and nitrate formation. NMHC levels at Ny-Ålesund were found to be one order of magnitude higher in spring than in summer (Hov et al., 1984) and modelling indicated that some of the spring increase in O₃ is due to tropospheric formation from NMHC build-up in winter. High NMHC levels at Ny-Ålesund and other Arctic sites during the 1980s, and the growing awareness of the importance of these species for atmospheric oxidising capacity, tropospheric ozone, and acid deposition made it clear that a dedicated effort was needed “to sample and analyze such HCs at a representative station network over several years” (Hov et al., 1989). The formation of photooxidants was also a topic of concern at lower latitudes leading to the establishment of the European experiment on transport/transformation of environmentally relevant trace constituents in the troposphere sub-project on tropospheric ozone research (EUROTRAC-TOR) network in Europe. TOR was an 8-year project under the Eureka environment programme that started in 1987, setting up a network of surface monitoring sites for O₃ and precursors (Isaksen, 1988). Most of the sites were also active in EMEP.

210 **3 The Zeppelin Observatory**

The Zeppelin Observatory is located on the Zeppelin Mountain at 472 m above sea level on the Brøgger Peninsula, Svalbard, Norway (Fig. 2) and is in the northern Arctic Tundra Zone (Bliss, 2000). Surrounding the Brøgger Peninsula are the waters of the 26 km long Kongsfjorden, while the peninsula itself is a mountainous, barren landscape of scree, occasional patches of thin topsoil with little to no vegetation, and plains with snow-packs or glaciers at lower altitudes. The climate at the observatory reflects its high latitude, but is moderated by the North Atlantic Current, with substantially higher temperatures than elsewhere at corresponding latitudes. The mountain itself is named after Ferdinand Graf von Zeppelin, German officer and designer of airships, who visited the area during an expedition in 1910.

3.1 History and construction of the site

In the 1980s a search began for potential sites for background observations of the atmospheric chemical composition of the European Arctic. The criteria for such a site with respect to background GHG measurements were 1) Minimal local emissions; 2) weak surface exchange such that surface measurements represent the total column; and 3) no expected change in land use over a decadal time span. Initial CO₂ analyses (Sect 2.2) indicated that Bear Island was a favourable location. However, there were indications of local sulfate contamination on the island, since the atmospheric sulfate levels did not drop in summer as expected. And, crucially, access would be limited to the summer months. Thus, the Norwegian

225 settlements on Spitsbergen offered prime possibilities, and after short-term experiments at several valley sites in the Ny-
Ålesund area, the Norwegian plan to establish a monitoring observatory on Mt Zeppelin close to Ny-Ålesund emerged.
The main disadvantage of a monitoring observatory at Ny-Ålesund was the potential for contamination from the settlement
and from Norwegian and Soviet Union coal mining activities and power stations on Svalbard. The experience derived from
the atmospheric chemical observations in the late 1970s and 1980s showed that local air pollution from the Ny-Ålesund
230 settlement, including traffic on land, electricity generation, waste disposal, smouldering coal heaps and traffic at sea and in
the harbour meant that it was necessary to take special precautions to minimise these local impacts. By 1982 NILU had
already moved its observations from the harbour in Ny-Ålesund (NILU-1, Fig. 2) to Gruvebadet, 1.5 km outside Ny-Ålesund
and close to sea level at the foot of Mt Zeppelin, to minimise local influences. However, it was found that even at
Gruvebadet local impacts could be a problem during episodes of wind from some directions or stagnant air over the coastal
235 plateau in Ny-Ålesund, significantly reducing the sampling frequency of true background Arctic air (Hov and Holtet, 1987),
and hence a new location was required for such measurements.

The aim of a permanent observatory of atmospheric chemical composition in Ny-Ålesund was to establish the background,
or baseline concentration levels during seasons with very little long-range transport pollution in the boundary layer. An
assessment was therefore made of how to minimise local pollution impacts, however minor. Based on numerous radio
240 soundings of the lower troposphere in Barentsburg (Spitsbergen), it was concluded that surface inversion was common
during winter and spring, but usually with a depth <300 to 400 m (Hov and Holtet, 1987). An observatory on Mt Zeppelin
would thus remain above the surface inversion (Hov and Holtet, 1987). It was further concluded that an observatory on Mt
Zeppelin would be in stratus clouds or orographic clouds ≈20% of the time in summer, less during the rest of the year. This
conclusion was based on extensive climatological tabulations of the meteorological observations in Ny-Ålesund from 1971
245 to 1980 (Steffensen, 1982).

The final decision to build the observatory on Mt Zeppelin was taken in 1987 by the Norwegian Ministry of Environment. In
early spring 1988, a Norwegian governmental directorate (SBED-Statens bygge og eiendomsdirektorat) was given the task to
build the observatory, and the actual work on site was carried out in the summer of 1988. Access via a lift was commissioned
in 1988 and the installation was carried out during the summer 1989. The total cost of the observatory and lift was 11.4
250 million Norwegian Kroner, funded by the Ministry of Environment. NPI is the owner of the observatory while all three
partners (NPI, NILU and Stockholm University -SU) form the consortium responsible for its operation. Funding for
scientific equipment and research programmes came from the Royal Norwegian Council for Scientific and Technical
Research (NTNF), later merged into the Research Council of Norway (RCN). Later funding from the Swedish EPA in 1994
allowed the construction of a roof over the arrival space for the lift and the entrance to the observatory, a necessity for safety
255 reasons due to snow drift which at times prevented safe access to the observatory. Due to structural problems with the first
building (water leaks, poor insulation, and larger snow loads than anticipated), but more importantly the need for more space
for instrumentation, the building was replaced in 1999 (inaugurated May 2, 2000) with the successor of SBED, Statsbygg, as

responsible builder again, and with funding from the Norwegian Ministry of Environment. $\approx 33\%$ of the investment for the new building came from the Swedish Wallenberg Foundation.

260 The previous background measurement site, Gruvebadet (now ‘Gruvebadet Atmosphere Laboratory’), remains an active site for environmental studies, including of aerosol chemical physical properties (e.g. Lupi et al., 2016; Stathopoulos et al., 2018). Other atmospheric observing platforms in Ny-Ålesund include the ‘Alfred Wegener Institute/ Institut Polaire Français Paul Emile Victor (AWIPEV) atmospheric observatory’ (Neuber, 2006), NPI’s Sverdrup Station Institute (where NILU operates a number of atmospheric monitoring instruments), the ‘Ny-Ålesund [Japanese] National Institute of Polar Research (NIPR) observatory’ and the ‘Amundsen-Nobile Climate Change Tower’ (Mazzola et al., 2016). Together, these platforms are a key component of the ‘Ny-Ålesund Atmosphere Flagship’, a collaborative effort by researchers from the numerous institutions conducting research and monitoring at Ny-Ålesund to improve data sharing and enhance research outputs (Neuber et al., 2011). In recent years, this cooperation has been further intensified through the Svalbard Integrated Arctic Earth Observing System (SIOS; /sios-svalbard.org/) as a Norwegian-led European infrastructure initiative addressing ongoing changes in the Arctic. All international partners listed above, and other international institutions active in Svalbard, are members of this new network.

3.2 Atmospheric transport aspects

In the 1980s much was learned about the meteorological conditions leading to the episodic nature of atmospheric aerosol loadings in the Arctic. If the atmospheric processes are assumed to be nearly adiabatic, possible source areas of Arctic air pollution at the ground level are confined to regions with almost the same temperature as the Arctic itself (Iversen, 1984, 1989a, b). Hence, Svalbard generally offers a pristine Arctic environment for environmental monitoring, where anthropogenic influence is very small. However, the Zeppelin Observatory is located only ≈ 2 km from the Ny-Ålesund settlement (near sea level), and an important question is whether local emissions can be transported up to the mountain, influencing measurements.

280 The local wind field, strongly influenced by Kongsfjorden and surrounding topography, is complicated and thus the winds measured at Ny-Ålesund and at the Zeppelin Observatory can be quite variable (Beine et al., 2001). Katabatic winds coming down from the Kongsvegen glacier, wind-channeling in the fjord and the thermal land-sea breeze circulation are all important (Esau and Repina, 2012). Nevertheless, winds blowing directly from the settlement to the observatory are rare and the Zeppelin Observatory is mostly isolated from emissions in Ny-Ålesund by the frequent presence of temperature inversions below 500 m altitude (Dekhtyareva et al., 2018). Possible exceptions are only the rather infrequent periods with northerly flows (Beine et al., 2001). Consequently, local emissions from Ny-Ålesund have a much stronger influence on chemical measurements near the sea level than at the Zeppelin Observatory, where local pollution episodes are difficult to detect at all (Dekhtyareva et al., 2018). The clearest (but still relatively small) influence was demonstrated for the emissions of cruise ships visiting Ny-Ålesund (Eckhardt et al., 2013; Dekhtyareva et al., 2018), for which plume rise may be an important mechanism in transporting the exhaust to higher altitudes. A ban on heavy fuel oil use close to the Svalbard coast,


however, has reduced ship traffic emissions considerably in Ny-Ålesund since 2015. In summary, the Zeppelin Observatory is representative of the larger-scale conditions in the Svalbard area, and long-range rather than local-scale transport is the dominant mechanism by which pollution reaches the observatory.

295 With respect to long-range transport of air masses, the location of the Zeppelin Observatory on the western coast of the Svalbard archipelago is important. The West Spitsbergen Current, the northernmost branch of the North Atlantic Current, brings relatively warm ocean waters and keeps the sea largely free of ice even in winter, in contrast to the east side of Svalbard. Air masses arriving at the observatory from the Greenland Sea and the Norwegian Sea are consequently relatively warm, whereas air masses arriving from the Barents Sea and the Arctic Ocean are much colder, particularly in winter. Correspondingly, exposure of the air to open sea water versus sea ice depends strongly on where the air is coming from.

300 In terms of interpreting the chemical composition of the air at the Zeppelin Observatory, we are mostly interested in where the arriving air had recent contact with the surface, where both natural and anthropogenic emissions primarily occur. Figure 4 shows an \approx 5-year climatology of the ‘footprint emission sensitivity’ (based on 50-day backward simulations with the FLEXible PARTicle dispersion model, FLEXPART, Stohl et al., 2005). The FLEXPART footprint is a 2D data field showing the sensitivity of the receptor (here the Zeppelin Observatory) to emissions at the surface (the ‘source/receptor relationship’) for all grid cells in the domain, accounting for horizontal/vertical transport, chemical reactions, and where applicable, particle wet and dry deposition. I.e., for a given flux of a component in one grid cell the quantity reaching the observatory is known/modelled.

310 The simulations were done for a black carbon tracer, for which dry and wet deposition were accounted for. This reduces the emission sensitivity backwards in time. While the details of the footprint emission sensitivity maps depend on the lifetime of the model tracer used, the maps clearly indicate where air masses arriving at the observatory had recent surface contact. For comparison, very similar results are shown in Fig. 1 of Hirdman et al. (2010) for a passive tracer. Figure 4 (right panel) shows that in summer the emission sensitivity is mostly restricted to ocean areas and does not extend deeply into the continents. Transport modeling thus further supports earlier conclusions by e.g., Iversen (1984) that transport is only from regions of a similar potential temperature, a consequence of the so-called ‘polar dome’ that prevents warmer continental air masses from entering the Arctic lower troposphere (Stohl, 2006). In contrast, during the Arctic haze season (defined here as the period December to March, Fig. 4, left), transport of emissions takes place particularly from Northern Europe and Siberia, as illustrated by the elevated emission sensitivities there. Similar findings are documented by Potential Source Contribution Function (PSCF) modelling of equivalent black carbon observations (eBC: black carbon calculated from absorption measurements) for the cold and warm periods in Eleftheriadis et al. (2009).

320



325 **Figure 4: Footprint emission sensitivity for a black carbon tracer obtained from FLEXPART 50-day backward calculations based on operational meteorological analyses for the period February 2014 to November 2018. The left panel shows the Arctic haze period (December, January, February, March), the right panel the summer period (June, July, August). The unit of the footprint is nanoseconds (ns) m⁻², demonstrating that longer residence time of an air mass over the surface leads to higher sensitivity to emissions. The concentration change at the receptor is the product of flux × sensitivity.**

330 For aerosols such as sulfate and black carbon, more efficient scavenging in summer is also an important factor shaping seasonal variations (Tunved et al 2013). This is likely a result of the transition from ice-phase cloud scavenging to the much more efficient warm cloud scavenging and the appearance of drizzle in the summer boundary layer in the Arctic (Browse et al., 2012). The relative contributions of seasonal variations in transport, scavenging and changes in emissions are still debated, since models have problems reproducing the observed seasonal cycles of aerosols at the Zeppelin Observatory and at other Arctic stations (Eckhardt et al., 2015). However, the seasonality of atmospheric transport, particularly transport from major source regions in Northern Eurasia, certainly plays an important role (Stohl, 2006;Freud et al., 2017). It has also been
 335 noted that at the Zeppelin Observatory the transition from Arctic haze conditions to the much cleaner summer conditions can occur very rapidly (within a few days). At the same time there is usually a shift in the aerosol size distribution from dominant accumulation mode towards smaller Aitken mode particles (particle diameter typically <60 nm, e.g. Tunved et al., 2013) indicating a very different origin of the chemical load observed.

340 Transport modelling can also be used to investigate the sources of air pollutants measured at the Zeppelin Observatory. As an example, we have used aethalometer measurements of eBC. The instrument and dataset has been described earlier in Eleftheriadis et al. (2009). We have sorted the aethalometer data into the top (90%) and bottom (10%) of the aerosol absorption coefficient and show the footprint emission sensitivities for these deciles in Fig. 5, left and right panels, respectively, both for the Arctic haze period (Fig. 5, top) and for summer (Fig. 5, bottom). We see that in winter the lowest

eBC is transported almost exclusively from the North Atlantic sector, where there are few eBC sources and where scavenging in frontal systems is efficient. In contrast, the highest eBC concentrations are transported over the Arctic Ocean (where there is little scavenging in winter) and the high values of emission sensitivities extend deeply into Siberia and Eastern Europe. I.e., when the polar front is located north of the main pollutant source regions, the pollution concentrations in the Arctic boundary layer are low. When the polar front is south of important pollution sources, e.g., in northern Russia, the pollution levels in the Arctic boundary layer can be much higher. This confirms earlier suggestions that these are the major source regions of eBC measured at Zeppelin Observatory (Eleftheriadis et al., 2009; Hirdman et al., 2010).




Figure 5: FLEXPART footprint emission sensitivities for a black carbon tracer for the 10% highest (left) and 10% lowest (right) values of the measured aerosol absorption coefficient (equivalent black carbon), based on data for the years 2014 to 2017.

In summer, the lowest eBC concentrations are again associated with transport from the North Atlantic but also with transport from the Arctic Ocean, where scavenging in stratus clouds is efficient. The transport for the highest eBC concentrations in summer does not occur over the North Atlantic Ocean and the emission sensitivities again extend into Siberia, albeit much less extensively than in winter. While we chose eBC as an example, similar results are obtained for most other aerosols, e.g., sulfate (Hirdman et al., 2010) and gases, e.g., methane (Pisso et al., 2016). Particularly intensive pollution episodes can be

360 observed at the Zeppelin Observatory when direct transport from the continent occurs during periods of intensive biomass
burning there (Stohl, 2006; Eckhardt et al., 2007; Stohl et al., 2007).

4 Instrumentation and measurements

365 The unique location of the Zeppelin observatory with strong institutional support for the science and operations, makes the
Zeppelin observatory an ideal platform for measurements of numerous atmospheric constituents for both, monitoring and
field campaigns, and participation in international measurement programmes, as detailed here.

4.1 Aerosol chemical composition

370 Measured aerosol chemical constituents at Zeppelin include levels of inorganic ions and inorganic species, and primary
biological aerosol particles (PBAP) and other carbonaceous species including eBC, and online measurements of non-
refractory species (species such as organic aerosol and ammonium sulfate/nitrate that vaporize rapidly at ≈ 600 °C under
vacuum), (Table 1). Heavy metals and mercury, also particulate species, are discussed separately in Sect 4.6.

Table 1: Aerosol composition/inorganic species measurements at the Zeppelin Observatory, listed chronologically by measurement starting year. See table footnotes for full lists of abbreviations.

| From | Parameter | Instrument/ Sample ¹ | Responsible institution ² | Comments |
|------|---|------------------------------------|---|--|
| 1989 | Inorganic ions, Total sulfur | Filter 3-pack, ICPMS | NILU | Total sulfate since 1989, NH ₄ ⁺ , NO ₃ ⁻ , Ca ²⁺ , K ⁺ , Cl ⁻ , Na ⁺ since 1993. Daily 2001-2002, weekly June-December 2003, hourly April 2003-June 2005. Open filter face. |
| 1998 | Equivalent black carbon (eBC) | Aethalometer | NCSR Demokritos | Magee AE31, absorption, $\lambda=[370;470;520;590;660;880;950]$ nm |
| 2002 | eBC | PSAP | SU | $\lambda= 525$ nm 2002 to 2013. PSAP with automatic filter change $\lambda=525$ nm 2012 to 2016. |
| 2006 | Elemental carbon/ organic carbon (EC/OC) | TOA | SU | Leckel filter sampler, weekly, similar to NIOSH (2006 to 2012); EUSAAR2 (2009 to present) |
| 2008 | Pollen | Pollen trap | Bjerkenes Centre | Yearly |
| 2010 | Dimethyl sulfide (DMS) | GC-PFPDDMS | KOPRI | During biologically active season, spring - summer |
| 2014 | eBC | MAAP | SU | ThermoFisherScientific Inc., Model5012, $\lambda= 637$ nm, one MAAP on whole-air inlet, second MAAP behind GCVI inlet |
| 2015 | eBC | Aethalometer | NILU NCSR Demokritos | Magee AE33, absorption $\lambda=[370;470;520;590;660;880;950]$ nm |
| 2017 | Organic tracers | HVS, UHPLC | NILU | Weekly, tracers of biomass burning, primary biological |

| | | | | |
|------|---------------------------------|---------------|------|---|
| | | Orbitrap ESI- | | aerosol particles, biogenic secondary organic aerosol |
| 2017 | EC/OC | HVS, TOA | NILU | In PM ₁₀ . Weekly, EUSAAR2 2017-present |
| 2019 | Aerosol composition | ToF-ACSM | NILU | Non-refractory, species vaporising below ~600°C. |
| 2019 | Aerosol volatility/mixing state | VTDMA | SU | Also connected to the GCVI inlet during cloud events |

¹ICPMS: inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry; HVS: high volume sampler; TOA: thermal optical analysis; GC-PFPD: gas chromatography equipped with a pulsed flame photometric detector; PSAP: particle soot absorption photometer; MAAP: multi angle absorption photometer; UHPLC: ultra-high-pressure liquid chromatography; ESI: electrospray ionization in negative mode; ToF-ACSM: time-of-flight aerosol chemical speciation monitor; VTDMA: volatility tandem differential mobility analyser.

²NILU-Norwegian Institute for Air Research; NCSR Demokritos-Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics; NTNU-Norwegian University of Science and Technology; SU-Stockholm University; KOPRI-Korea Polar Research Institute

The main inorganic anions (SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻, Cl⁻) and cations (NH₄⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, K⁺, Na⁺) in air are sampled daily using a 3-stage filter pack for both gaseous and particulate-bound components (noting that species such as ammonium nitrate partition between particle and gas phases). The first stage is an aerosol filter (Zeflour Teflon 2 µm pore, 47 mm diameter, Gelman Sciences), followed by an alkaline potassium hydroxide (KOH) impregnated cellulose filter (Whatman 40) for HNO₃, SO₂, HNO₂, HCl, and other volatile acidic substances. The filter pack method is biased in separating gaseous nitrogen compounds from aerosols and therefore the sum (i.e. NO₃⁻ + HNO₃ and NH₃ + NH₄⁺ in µg nitrogen (N)) is reported. The filter pack has no fixed size cut off, but the effective size cut-off is ≈10 µm, except for episodes with a high sea salt, mineral dust or bioaerosol content, when larger particles have been observed.

After samples are collected, they are shipped to NILU's laboratory for analysis. The filters are put into test tubes with extraction solvents. The aerosol filters are extracted in Milli-Q water using ultra sonic treatment to obtain complete extraction. Alkaline filters are extracted in a 0.3% hydrogen peroxide solution to oxidize any remaining sulfite to sulfate. The acid impregnated filters are extracted in 0.01 M HNO₃. The ions are analysed using ion chromatography, whereas NH₃ collected on the acidic filter is determined as NH₄⁺ using an AutoAnalyzer.

Trends in inorganic ions are evaluated according to the Mann-Kendall Test/Sen's slope (Mann, 1945; Kendall, 1948; Sen 1968). Measurements of inorganic ions and total sulfur were an initial focus of atmospheric composition measurements in the Arctic and on Svalbard (section 2.1). They are therefore some of the first measurements recorded at the observatory, and even when excluding prior measurements at Gruevbadet and Ny-Ålesund, the 30-year time series from the Zeppelin Observatory are among the longest in the world. The data are reported to the Norwegian national monitoring programme e.g., Aas et al. (2019) and to EMEP (Tørseth et al., 2012).

Collection of aerosol filter samples for subsequent analysis of elemental carbon/ organic carbon (EC/OC) in aerosol via a whole air inlet, with an effective cut-off of ≈40 µm (Karlsson et al., 2020), began by SU in 2006 (Hansen et al., 2014). Samples are collected at weekly intervals onto pre-heated (800C for 30 min) quartz filters (Munktell & Filtrak GmbH, diameter 47 mm, grade T293) using a low-volume aerosol filter sampler (38 L min⁻¹, Leckel Sequential Sampler SEQ 47/50,

Leckel GmbH, Germany). EC/OC was quantified initially according to a thermal optical analysis (TOA) protocol similar to NIOSH (transit time 800 seconds, sometimes 780 seconds in early cases, same temperature ramps as NIOSH), and later from 2009 (three years of overlap) according to the EUSAAR-2 temperature program (Cavalli et al., 2010).

400 In parallel to the EC sampling via the whole-air inlet, sample collection for analysis of EC/OC and organic tracers in PM₁₀ began in 2017 using a high-volume sampler with a PM₁₀ inlet operated at a flow rate of 40 m³ hr⁻¹ and a filter face velocity of 72.2 cm s⁻¹. Aerosol particles are collected on pre-fired quartz-fiber filters (PALLFLEX Tissuequartz 2500QAT-UP; 150 mm in diameter) for one week, and according to the quartz fiber filter behind quartz fiber filter (QBQ) set up for an estimate of the positive sampling artefact of OC (Turpin et al., 1994; McDow and Huntzicker, 1990). The filters are shipped to NILU
405 for thermal-optical analysis (TOA), using the Sunset Lab EC/OC Aerosol Analyzer operated according to the EUSAAR-2 temperature program (Cavalli et al., 2010) and using transmission for charring correction. The instrument's performance is regularly intercompared as part of the joint EMEP/ACTRIS quality assurance and quality control effort. The EC/OC data in PM₁₀ are reported as part of the Norwegian national monitoring programme (Aas et al., 2020).

From the same PM₁₀ filters, tracers for Biomass burning (BB, monosaccharide anhydrides), biogenic secondary organic aerosol (BSOA) precursors (2-methyltetrols), and primary PBAP (ugars and sugar-alcohols) are quantified using ultra high-
410 performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC) connected to an orbitrap mass spectrometer (Q-exactive plus) operated in the negative electrospray ionization (ESI-) mode (Dye and Yttri, 2005; Yttri et al., 2021). Separation was performed using two columns (2 mm × 2.1 mm × 150 mm HSS T3, 1.8 μm, Waters Inc.). Species are identified based on retention time and mass spectra of authentic standards. Isotope-labelled standards are used as internal recovery standard. The limit of detection
415 ranges from 1–10 pg m⁻³. A high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol chemical speciation monitor (HR-ToF-ACSM, Aerodyne), measuring non-refractory organic aerosol, sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and chloride, has been in operation at the Zeppelin Observatory since 2016.

There are several ongoing parallel aerosol absorption/ eBC measurements ongoing at the Zeppelin Observatory. SU operated a custom-built particle soot absorption photometer (PSAP) from 2002 to 2015, which was accompanied by a multi-angle
420 absorption photometer (MAAP) in 2014. NCSR Demokritos has operated a seven-wavelength aerosol absorption photometer (Magee Scientific, AE31 aethalometer) at Zeppelin since the 1990s (Eleftheriadis et al., 2009) and since 2015, NILU and NCSR Demokritos have jointly operated a newer model seven wavelength aerosol absorption photometer (Magee Scientific, AE33 aethalometer) with automatic 'two spot' compensation of the filter loading effect.

4.2 Aerosol physical properties

425 Aerosol physical properties have been measured at Zeppelin Observatory from the start in 1989, providing one of the longest time series of aerosol optical and physical properties from the polar regions. All in-situ instrumentation sample from a whole-air inlet (combined aerosol and cloud particles). The inlet system follows the GAW guidelines for aerosols sampling (WMO, 2016) and was constructed following the guidelines of ACTRIS and the World Calibration Centre for Aerosol Physics (WCCAP) at the Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research, Germany (<https://www.wmo-gaw-wcc-aerosol->

430 physics.org/recommen-dations.html). The inlet is slightly heated to prevent freezing. All aerosol properties are sampled at
low RH conditions due to the strong temperature gradient between ambient and indoors, and no active drying is needed.
Note however, that Arctic ambient light scattering coefficients are generally larger than for elsewhere due to the
hygroscopicity of aerosol particles and successive water-uptake at elevated RH. The so-called ‘light scattering enhancement’
is much more pronounced in the Arctic compared to more continental sites (Zieger et al., 2010; Zieger et al., 2013).

435 The first aerosol physical observations started with continuous nephelometer measurements of aerosol light scattering. The
total particle number concentration is measured by condensation particle counters (CPCs, TSI Inc., Model 3025 and 3010).
The size distribution of sub-micron particles is recorded by using a custom-built closed-loop differential mobility particle
sizer (DMPS) system since 2000 (see e.g. Tunved et al., 2013). The system has been continuously improved and now
measures the particle size distribution from around 5 to >800 nm mobility diameter with a synchronised twin-DMPS system.

440 ‘DMPS 2a’ (Fig. 6) measures at 5 to 57 nm with a short differential mobility analyser (DMA) and ‘DMPS 2b’ (Fig. 6)
measures at 20-809 nm with a long DMA. Both DMPS use a CPC (TSI Inc., USA, Model 3010) behind the DMA and a CPC
(TSI Inc., USA, Model 3010) for measuring the total aerosol particle concentration. Coarse mode aerosol has been
continuously recorded since spring 2018 with an optical particle size spectrometer (OPSS, Fidas 200 E, Palas GmbH), which
is situated on the measurement platform of Zeppelin Observatory. At the same time, measurement programmes have been

445 added from other institutions notably in South Korea and Japan (Table 2).




Figure 6: Schematic illustration of the experimental set-up at the Zeppelin Observatory. The diagram shows how the whole-air inlet (orange) and the ground-based counterflow virtual impactor (GCVI) inlet (blue) are connected to the differential mobility analysers (DMAs) and condensation particle counters (CPCs). The 3-way valve switches the sample flow to the instruments on the left-hand side from the GCVI inlet to the whole-air inlet when there is no cloud to be sampled. Cloud sampling is activated if the visibility drops below 1 km (measured by a visibility sensor (not pictured) next to the GCVI inlet). Auxiliary measurements from a fog monitor and an ultrasonic anemometer are included in the data analysis. Figure from (Karlsson et al., 2021).

Table 2: Aerosol physical property measurements at the Zeppelin Observatory and measurement owner (institute), listed chronologically by measurement starting year. See table footnotes for full lists of abbreviations.

| From | Parameter | Instrument/ Sample ¹ | Responsible Institution ² | Comments |
|------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1989 | Aerosol light scattering | Nephelometer | SU | 1989 to 1997: custom built $\lambda=550$ nm; 1997 to present TSI model 3563 $\lambda=[450; 550; 700]$ nm |
| 1989 | Particle number | CPC | SU | TSI models 3025 and 3010 |
| 2000 | Particle number size distribution | DMPS | SU | Synchronised twin DMPS system. Lower size using small differential mobility analyser (DMA, length 0.053 m, outer radius 0.033 m, inner radius 155 0.025 m) larger particles with large DMA (length 0.28 m, outer radius |

| | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| | | | | 0.033 m, inner radius 0.025 m). |
| 2007 | Cloud condensation nuclei | CCNC | KOPRI | Supersaturation: 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, and 1.0% |
| 2013 | Cloud particles | Fog monitor | NIPR | Droplet Measurement Technologies Inc., USA, Model FM-120 |
| 2015 | Ice nucleating particles | Aerosol sampler | NIPR | Sources, compositions, and concentrations of aerosol particles acting as ice nucleating particles under mixed-phase cloud conditions |
| 2015 | Cloud particles | GCVI | SU | GCVI inlet for continuous sampling of cloud particles, analysis of cloud residual size distribution (DMPS) and absorbing properties (MAAP) |
| 2016 | Particle number size distribution | Nano SMPS | KOPRI GIST | $D_p > 3$ nm |
| 2016 | Particle number size distribution | DMPS | NILU | D_p 10 to 800 nm |
| 2017 | Cloud Particles | Hawkeye | NIPR | |
| 2018 | Aerosol light scattering | Nephelometer | SU | Ecotech, backscatter $\lambda = [450; 525; 635]$ nm |
| 2018 | Particle number size distribution | OPSS | SU | Optical diameter 0.2-18 μm , and simultaneous PM values, FIAS 200s, Palas GmbH |
| 2019 | Particle number size distribution | APS | NILU | Aerodynamic diameter 0.5 to 20 μm . Light-scattering intensity 0.3 to 20 μm . |
| ¹ CPC: condensation particle counter; DMPS: differential mobility particle sizer; PFR: Precision filter radiometer; SMPS: Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer; CVI: Ground-based counterflow virtual impactor; OPSS: optical particle size spectrometer; APS: aerodynamic Particle sizer. ² SU-Stockholm University; NILU-Norwegian Institute for Air Research; KOPRI-Korea Polar Research Institute; PSI-Paul Scherrer Institute; PMOD- Physikalisch-Meteorologisches Observatorium Davos; WRC-World Radiation Center; NIPR-National Institute of Polar Research [Japan]; GIST- GwangJu Institute of Science and Technology [Korea] | | | | |

460 To further investigate links between aerosol composition, physical properties and the Arctic climate, a major effort in long term observation of the interaction between the aerosol and clouds began at the Zeppelin Observatory in 2015. As the observatory is often embedded in low level clouds it is a unique site in the Arctic. General observations of the total aerosol are complemented by a similar instrumental set up of ‘cloud residuals’, i.e., those particles which have been involved in cloud formation such as CCN or ice nucleating particles (INP). The cloud droplets or ice crystals are sampled through a special inlet, the ‘ground-based counter-flow virtual impactor’ (GCVI) inlet, separating them from other non-activated, interstitial particles in the cloud. The cloud droplets or crystals are then dried and the cloud residuals are measured by the set of aerosol instruments connected to the GCVI (Fig. 6). Further technical description and analysis of the first two years of observations can be found in Karlsson et al. (2021). With the current set up, including cloud condensation nuclei counters (CCNC), cloud residual properties and cloud and precipitation microphysical properties the Zeppelin Observatory is now (to the best of our knowledge) the first global aerosol observatory with continuous in-situ observations of atmospheric aerosol, cloud residuals, clouds and precipitation (e.g., Koike et al., 2019).

465

470

4.3 Atmospheric trace gases of high relevance to global climate change

Atmospheric trace gases of high relevance to global climate change/precursors with mixed biogenic/anthropogenic sources to the atmosphere currently measured at Zeppelin Observatory are: CO₂, CH₄, CO, nitrous oxide (N₂O), reactive VOCs (e.g. ethane, propane, see also Sect. 4.4) and chloro- or bromo-methane. Purely anthropogenic gases include chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) and halons. Additional information on CO₂/CH₄ is also provided by measurements of isotopic composition. These compounds have variously been adopted as part of national monitoring programmes, while the analysis techniques deployed have often allowed for measurements of other compounds not part of the monitoring programmes.

Stockholm University began CO₂ measurements in 1989 as part of the Global Atmosphere Watch (GAW) programme using infrared measurements. For 2001 to 2012 CH₄ was measured using a GC-FID system with an inlet 2 m above the observatory roof with a precision of ± 3 ppb at hourly resolution, determined via calibrations to working standards calibrated to NOAA reference standards. Through the same inlet, CO was measured at 20-minute intervals with a mercuric oxide detector (GC-HgO) calibrated to NOAA standards. Since April 2012, CH₄, CO₂ and CO at Zeppelin have been measured using a cavity ring-down spectroscope (CRDS, Picarro G2401) at 1-minute resolution with a sample inlet 15 m above the observatory roof. The CRDS is measured daily against target gases and calibrated every 3 weeks against working standards, which are calibrated to NOAA reference standards. For both measurement regimes, sampling was done through a Nafion drier to minimise any water correction error in the instruments.

As part of the harmonisation of historic concentration measurements within the INGOS project (INGOS, 2016), the full time series from August 2001 to 2013 was re-processed and archived in the ICOS Carbon portal (Colomb et al., 2018). All original data were reprocessed with improved software, recalculating all measurements from the previous 12 years. This new software facilitates quality assurance and control and detection of measurement errors. For example, although the Zeppelin Observatory is located far from local sources, there are nevertheless occasional large baseline excursions in the mixing ratios due to long-range transport (Stohl et al., 2013; Stohl et al., 2007b). Hence, at least 75% of calculated back trajectories within ± 12 hours of the sampling day must be from a clean sector (i.e., not from Europe, North America, or Russia), before the data are considered as background mixing ratios (Myhre et al., 2020). The old data were also analysed against new reference standards using new improved instrumentation. All other working standards are linked to these through comparative measurements. Hence, calibrations factors for the first 12-year period were also recalculated during the reprocessing.

The Zeppelin Observatory is now recognised as an ICOS class 1 site for observations of carbonaceous greenhouse gases. I.e. the Zeppelin Observatory fulfils all the core criteria outlined by ICOS required for contribution to a harmonised high-quality global dataset to quantify the exchange of carbon between the surface ocean and the atmosphere, ocean acidification, and interior ocean carbon transport and storage (Yver-Kwok et al., 2020). All data from the EMEP/ICOS measurements are available at ebas.nilu.no (EBAS), the ICOS carbon portal (Colomb et al., 2018), and reported annually e.g., Myhre et al. (2020). Annual trends in atmospheric trace mixing ratios are calculated for clean background data according to Simmonds et

al. (2006) whereby the change in atmospheric mixing ratio of a species as a function of time is fitted to an empirical equation combining Legendre polynomials and harmonic functions with linear, quadratic, and annual and semi-annual harmonic terms.

$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$ measurements (the shift in the carbon-13, ^{13}C , fraction in methane compared to the Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite reference standard, VPDB) were initiated by INSTAAR lab (Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research, University of Colorado) in 2001, who also began $\delta\text{D}_{\text{CH}_4}$ (shift in deuterium, D, compared to Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water, VSMOW) measurements in 2003. The latter programme was cancelled in 2010. Parallel $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$ measurements by NILU along with new $\delta\text{D}_{\text{CH}_4}$ measurements began in 2012. In 2017, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$ measurements were adopted as part of the Norwegian National Monitoring. The NILU isotope samples are collected in 1 L steel or aluminium canisters at the same air inlet as CH_4 . Two samples per week are sent to the Greenhouse Gas Laboratory at Royal Holloway University of London. The CH_4 mole fraction is measured using a CRDS (Picarro 1301), while $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$ analysis is carried out using a modified gas chromatography isotope ratio mass spectrometry system for all samples (Trace Gas and Isoprime mass spectrometer, Isoprime Ltd.) with 0.05‰ repeatability. All measurements for the canisters are made in triplicate. See e.g., Nisbet et al. (2019) for more details. $\delta\text{D}_{\text{CH}_4}$ measurements are performed at University of Utrecht on flask samples using a continuous-flow isotope ratio mass spectrometry (CF-IRMS) technique with a precision of 2.3‰ (Brass and Röckmann, 2010). A high-resolution (2 minute) instrument for CH_4 isotopes (' CH_4 Isotope Monitor for $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$ and $\delta\text{CH}_3\text{D}$ ', Aerodyne) was installed in 2018 by SU, with a precision (30-minute averaging) of 0.1‰ and 3‰ for $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$ and $\delta\text{D}_{\text{CH}_4}$, respectively.

For 2001 to 2010, measurements of a wide range of HCFCs and HFCs (e.g. HCFC-141b, HCFC-142b, HFC-134a), methyl halides (CH_3Cl , CH_3Br , CH_3I) and halons (e.g. H-1211, H-1301), see Table 3, were measured with an adsorption-desorption gas chromatograph system (ADS-GCMS) as part of the Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE) network (Prinn et al., 2008). Many compounds, CFCs and others, were measured with this system, but did not meet AGAGE standards for precision due to unsolved instrumental problems e.g., possible electron overload in detector (for the CFCs), influence from other species, detection limits (CH_3I , CHClCCl_2) and unsolved calibration problems (as for CH_3Br). Thus, since September 2010, the ADS-GCMS was replaced by an online GC-MS (Medusa). The Medusa can be used to measure hydrocarbons (e.g. Benzene, ethane, n-butane, n-pentane, propane, toluene, for ACTRIS) including the halogenated compounds previously measured by the ADS-GC-MS at the ppt level (Miller et al., 2008) and is calibrated to AGAGE reference standards.

A GC-electron capture detection system (ECD) was used for N_2O with a high time resolution of 15 minutes until 2017. N_2O at Zeppelin is now measured at <1 minute resolution with a mid-IR CRDS (Picarro G5310) which is calibrated against ICOS reference standards (NOAA scale). Instrument data are submitted to ring tests and measurement control / calibration following ICOS protocols. The high time resolution data are also compared to weekly flask samples sent to NOAA ESRL Global Monitoring Laboratory, Boulder, Colorado.

Table 3: Measurements of trace gases at the Zeppelin Observatory, the atmospheric constituent(s) measured and measurement responsible institutes, listed chronologically by measurement starting year. See table footnotes for full lists of abbreviations

| From | Parameter | Instrument/ Sample ¹ | Responsible Institution ² | Comments |
|------|--|------------------------------------|---|--|
| 1988 | Carbon dioxide (CO ₂) | NDIR | SU | Phased out 2013 |
| 1989 | Ozone (O ₃) | UV Abs | NILU | |
| 1989 | Non-methane hydrocarbons | Steel cannister, GC-FID | NILU | Daily, phased out 1999 |
| 1994 | Greenhouse gases | Glass bottles | NOAA | From 1994: CO ₂ , $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{CO}_2}$, methane (CH ₄), $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$, hydrogen (H ₂), from 1997: Nitrous oxide (N ₂ O), sulfur hexafluoride (SF ₆), bottles shipped to NOAA laboratory |
| 1994 | Reactive nitrogen (NO _Y) | CLD | | CRANOX system coupled to gold converter for NO _Y to NO. Phased out 1997. |
| 1994 | Oxides of nitrogen (NO _X) | CLD | | Blue light conversion of NO ₂ to NO. Phased out 1997 |
| 2001 | Halogenated compounds ³ | ADS-GC-MS | NILU | Daily, Phased out 2011 |
| 2001 | Methane (CH ₄) | GC-FID | NILU | Custom built GC-FID, phased out 2012 |
| 2001 | Carbon monoxide (CO) | GC-HgO | NILU | Phased out 2012 |
| 2010 | Halogenated compounds ⁴ | Medusa GC-MS | NILU | |
| 2010 | Non-methane hydrocarbons | Medusa GC-MS | NILU | Benzene, ethane, n-butane, n-pentane, propane, toluene |
| 2012 | Methane isotopic ratio ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$, $\delta \text{D}_{\text{CH}_4}$) | Steel cannister, GC-IRMS, CF-IRMS | NILU, RHUL UU | $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$ for national monitoring measured at RHUL with GC-IRMS, $\delta \text{D}_{\text{CH}_4}$ measured at UU with CF-IRMS |
| 2012 | Greenhouse | CRDS | NILU | Carbon dioxide (CO ₂), methane (CH ₄), carbon monoxide |

| | | | | |
|------|--|--|------|--|
| | gases | | | (CO). ICOS after 2014. |
| 2016 | Dinitrogen monoxide (N ₂ O) | GC-ECD, CRDS | NILU | GC-ECD until 2018, CRDS since 2017 under ICOS |
| 2018 | Methane isotopic ratio ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$, $\delta^{12}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$, $\delta \text{D}_{\text{CH}_4}$) | CH ₄ Isotope Monitor (Aerodyne) | SU | INTERACT: Distinguishing Arctic CH ₄ sources to the atmosphere using inverse analysis of high frequency CH ₄ ¹³ CH ₄ and CH ₃ D measurements (IZOMET) |

¹Non-dispersive infrared radiometer; ADS-GC-MS=adsorption-desorption system gas chromatography with mass spectrometry; GC-FID=gas chromatography with a flame ionization detector; CD=chemiluminescence detector; GC-HgO= gas chromatography with mercuric oxide (HgO) reaction tube; Medusa GC-FID/ECD; GC-IRMS= gas chromatography with isotope ratio mass spectrometer; CF-IRMS= continuous-flow isotope ratio mass spectrometry; CRDS=cavity ring-down spectrometer (Picarro).

²SU=Stockholm University; NILU=Norwegian Institute for Air Research; RHUL=Royal Holloway University of London; UU= University of Utrecht

³Chlorofluorocarbons: CFC-11, CFC-113, CFC-115, CFC-12 (not within AGAGE required precision, but part of AGAGE quality assurance programme); halons: H-1211, H-1301, hydrochlorofluorocarbons: HCFC-141b, HCFC-142b, HCFC-22; hydrofluorocarbons: HFC-125, HFC-134a, HFC-152a; bromomethane, chloromethane, dichloromethane, sulfur hexafluoride, tetrachloroethene, trichloroethane, trichloroethene, trichloromethane.

⁴Chlorofluorocarbons: CFC-11, CFC-113, CFC-115, CFC-12; halons: H-1211, H-1301, H-2402, hydrochlorofluorocarbons: HCFC-141b, HCFC-142b, HCFC-22; hydrofluorocarbons HFC-125, HFC-134a, HFC-143a, HFC-152a, HFC-227a, HFC-23, HFC-236fa, HFC-245fa, HFC-32, HFC-365mfc, HFC-4310mee; perfluorocarbons: PFC-116, PFC-14, PFC-218, PFC-318; bromomethane, chloromethane, dibromomethane.

540 4.4 Non-methane hydrocarbons

As part of the EUROTRAC-TOR project (see Sect. 2.3), manual NMHC sampling in steel canisters was initiated at the Zeppelin Observatory when the observatory opened in September 1989 (Hov et al., 1989) (Table 3). The canister samples were collected 2 to 3 times a week with a filling time of 10 to 15 mins and then shipped to NILU's laboratory for chemical analyses. From 1989 to 1991, samples were analysed for nine C2 to C5 NMHCs. From 1992 this was extended to 26 species including aromatic compounds and C6 to C7 alkanes (Solberg et al., 1996a). In some of the following years the samples were collected every day during the spring to capture the strong decline in concentration levels in that season.

545

In 1992, a pilot measurement programme on light hydrocarbons, aldehydes and ketones was initiated within EMEP (Solberg et al., 1995). A cooperation with the ongoing TOR project was established implying that the monitoring data was reported to both programmes. The aim of this programme was to collect VOC data at rural European background sites as a support to the modelling activities within EMEP.

As part of this pilot programme, regular sampling of aldehydes and ketones started at the Zeppelin Observatory (and nine other EMEP sites) in April 1994. The carbonyl sampling was done with DNPH adsorption tubes exposed for 8 hours during daytime on the same dates as the NMHC sampling (Solberg et al., 1996a, and references therein). This was probably the first routine monitoring programme of carbonyls in the world. When the EUROTRAC-2 program ended, the national funding of the VOC measurements at Ny-Ålesund ended and thus the monitoring of light hydrocarbons, aldehydes and ketones ceased by the end of 1999. In September 2010, an online GC-MS (Medusa) was installed at the Zeppelin Observatory for continuous CFC and HCFC monitoring (see previous section). In 2003, as part of the GAW program, NOAA started scattered measurements of NMHC with glass flasks at the Zeppelin Observatory. In 2006 the sampling of the NOAA flasks was done once a week.

4.5 Persistent organic pollutants

NILU's first director, Brynjulf Ottar, hypothesised that some semi-volatile chlorinated hydrocarbons exhibited a potential to undergo reversible atmospheric deposition, making such pollutants prone to a long-term transfer from global source areas in warmer regions and into the Arctic (Ottar, 1981). Measurement campaigns at Ny-Ålesund of a range of such pollutants, now recognised as persistent organic pollutants (POPs), were performed by NILU from 1981 to 1984 (Oehme and Stray, 1982; Oehme and Manø, 1984; Oehme and Ottar, 1984; Pacyna and Oehme, 1988). These early campaigns, combined with air mass back-trajectories, were pivotal in terms of documenting the potential for polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and various organochlorine pesticides (e.g. DDT) to undergo long-range atmospheric transport to the Arctic (Pacyna and Oehme, 1988; Oehme, 1991). Following 8 years without any further measurements, a new sampling campaign was carried out in 1992 (Oehme et al., 1995). An important objective of the latter campaign at Ny-Ålesund was to prepare for regular monitoring of POPs under AMAP (Oehme et al., 1996). Measurements of legacy POPs at Zeppelin, with the aim of an improved understanding of long-range transport of POPs and their spatial and temporal variability, has been a part of the Norwegian national air monitoring programme since 1993. The list of POP compounds included in the monitoring programme is continuously expanded and now also covers POP-like chemicals of emerging concern (POP-CECs). POP data from the monitoring programme are reported to EMEP, and AMAP, and aggregated data are also made available for use by the Global Monitoring Plan (GMP) of the Stockholm Convention on POPs through a data sharing arrangement.

Long-term POP monitoring is based on well-established high-volume active air sampling (HV-AAS) methodology (Bidleman and Olney, 1974). Air is pumped ($\approx 25 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$) through a sampling unit containing a glass fiber filter for particle-bound POPs and two polyurethane foam (PUF) plugs as an adsorbent for gas-phase (volatile) POPs. The sampling interval is 24 to 72 hours, based on a crucial balance of detection and breakthrough of the individual compounds and the interest in

580 studying atmospheric source-receptor relationships using atmospheric transport models, e.g., to track the origin of air masses during interesting episodes (Eckhardt et al., 2007). For some emerging semi volatile organic compounds not retained by PUF (e.g., per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances, PFAS), the PUF plugs are replaced by a PUF/XAD/PUF sandwich. Air samples for more volatile organic pollutants such as cyclic volatile methyl siloxanes, cVMS are collected at 72-hour intervals using a solid-phase extraction low-volume active air sampler (SPE-LV-AAS) at a flow of $\sim 1 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$. From 2011 to 2019, this sampler
585 contained an ENV+ sorbent (hydroxylated polystyrene divinylbenzene copolymer) but was replaced by an ABN adsorbent in 2019 as the cVMS isomers were shown to degrade/transform on the ENV+ sorbent (Krogseth et al., 2013). This highlights the need for continual development of sampling methodologies (Warner et al., 2020).

POPs are also measured in two international passive air sampling (PAS) networks, the Global Atmospheric Passive Sampling (GAPS) network (Pozo et al., 2006) and the monitoring network (MONET)-Europe (Klánová et al., 2009),
590 alongside occasional PAS campaigns (Halvorsen et al., 2021; Halse et al., 2011) to support the EMEP programme (Tørseth et al., 2012). Passive air samples and active filter samples from the Zeppelin observatory also contribute to the Norwegian Environmental Specimen Bank (ESB) since 2014. The ESB contains and stores environmental samples from different matrices across Norway and acts as an archive for future research on currently unrecognised environmental contaminants, with the goal of supporting future environmental contaminant control strategies (e.g. Giege and Odsjö, 1993). The passive
595 air sampling (PAS) is done on either a polyurethane foam (PUF) disk or XAD-resin adsorbent, yielding time-weighted averages over the exposure period of 30 days to one year. Data from the PAS networks are reported to GMP and have been crucial for a global spatial coverage of POP data.

600 **Table 4: Measurements of persistent organic pollutants (POPs) and other environmental contaminants at the Zeppelin Observatory listed chronologically by measurement starting year and responsible institutions. See table footnotes for lists of abbreviations.**

| From | Parameter | Instrument/ Sample ¹ | Responsible Institution ² | Comments |
|------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1993 | HCH | HV-AAS | NILU | HCH= α/γ -Hexachlorohexane |
| 1993 | HCB, CD | HV-AAS | NILU | HCB=Hexachlorobenzene; CD=cis/trans-Chlordane |
| 1994 | DDT, PAHs | HV-AAS | NILU | DDT=o,p'/p,p'-Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane; PAH=Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons |
| 1994 | Heavy metals | HV-AAS ICPMS | NILU | Pb, Cd, As, V, Ni, Cu, Co, Mn, Zn, Cr, Al, Fe, Sn |
| 1994 | Gaseous elemental mercury | CVAFS AFS | NILU NTNU | CVAFS Replaced in 2000 by automated AFS system (Tekran2537) |
| 2000 | Lead-211 | HV-AAS | FMI | 3 samples per week |
| 2001 | PCBs ³ | HV-AAS | NILU | PCB=Polychlorinated biphenyls |
| 2004 | POPs | PUF-PAS | EC | PCBs, PBDEs, HCHs, DDTs, CD, endosulfans, Heptachlor, Heptachlor Epoxide, Dieldrin |

| | | | | |
|------|---|------------------|--------------|---|
| 2006 | PBDEs ⁴ HBCDs PFAS ⁵ | HV-AAS | NILU | PBDE= Polybrominated diphenyl ethers; PFAS= Ionic Per- and polyfluorinated alkyl substances; HBCD= α -, β -, γ - Hexabromocyclododecanes |
| 2007 | Speciated mercury | AFS | NILU NTNU | Tekran Mercury 1130, 1135 and 2537 |
| 2009 | POPs | PUF-PAS | RECETOX | Polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), PCBs, DDTs, HCHs, HCB, PeCB |
| 2013 | cVMS CPs | LV-AAS HV-AAS | NILU | cVMS=D4,D5,D6-Cyclic volatile methylsiloxanes; CPs= Short/medium- chained-chlorinated paraffins |
| 2013 | Volatile POPs | XAD-PAS/ XAD | NILU | e.g. HCB, siloxanes |
| 2017 | vPFAS ⁶ nBFRs ⁷ OPFRs ⁸ Phthalates ⁹ Dechloranes ¹⁰ | | NILU | vPFAS= Volatile PFAS; nBFRs= novel brominated flame retardants (nBFRs); OPFRs= Organophosphorous flame retardants |

¹PUF-PAS: polyurethane foam passive air sampler; HV-AAS: high volume active air sampler; CVAFS: cold vapour atomic fluorescence spectroscopy; AFS: atomic fluorescence spectrometry; ICPMS: inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry; XAD- registered trademark of Dow Chemical company, comprises a polystyrene copolymer resin; 'UFO' is a reference to the shape of the sampling system; PAS: passive air sampling

²NILU-Norwegian Institute for Air Research; NTNU-Norwegian University of Science and Technology; FMI-Finnish Meteorological Institute; EC-Environment Canada; RECETOX is a research center at the Masaryk University Faculty of Science

³PCB-18, 28, 31, 33, 37, 47, 52, 66, 74, 99, 101, 105, 114, 118, 122, 123, 128, 138, 141, 149, 153, 156, 157, 167, 170, 180, 183, 187, 189, 194, 206, 209. Data available before 2001 are classified as uncertain due to possible local contamination.

⁴PBDE-28, 47, 49, 66, 71, 77, 85, 99, 100, 119, 138, 153, 154, 183, 196, 206, 209

5PFPeS, PFHxS, PFHpS, PFOS, PFOSlin, PFNS, PFDS, PFHxA, PFHpA, PFOA, PFNA, PFDA, PFUnDA, PFDoDA, PFTTrDA, PFTeDA, PFHxDA, PFODcA, PFOSA, 4:2 FTS, 6:2 FTS, 8:2 FTS, PFBS

⁶4:2 FTOH, 6:2 FTOH, 8:2 FTOH, 10:2 FTOH, N-EtFOSA, N-EtFOSE, N-MeFOSA, N-MeFOSE

⁷ATE (TBP-AE), α -, β , γ/δ -TBECH, BATE, PBT, PBEB, PBBZ, HBB, DPTE, EHTBB, BTBPE, TBPH, DBDPE

⁸TEP, TCEP, TPrP, TCPP, TBP, BdPhP, TPP, DBPhP, TnBP, TDCPP, TBEP, TCP, EHDP, TXP, TIPPP, TTBPP, TEHP

⁹MP, DEP, DPP, DAIP, DIBP, DBP, BBzP, DHP, DEHP, DcHP, DPHP, DINP

¹⁰Syn-DP, anti-DP, Dec-601, Dec-602, Dec-603, Dec-604, DBa

4.6 Heavy metals and mercury

610 Sample collection of heavy metals including mercury was initiated in 1994 as part of the Norwegian national monitoring programme (Table 4), and data are reported to EMEP and AMAP (Hung et al., 2010). Air samples of HMs (Pb, Cd, As, V, Ni, Cu, Co, Mn, Zn, and Cr) are collected on paper disc filters (Whatman 41) using a high volume air sampler. An impactor is used as sample inlet to discriminate against particles >2 to 3 μm . The air flow is kept constant at 70 $\text{m}^3 \text{h}^{-1}$ and one 48 h sample is collected weekly. Through 25 years of sample collection, different techniques have been applied to digest the filters. Between 1994 and 2000, filters were digested using nitric acid in closed polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) containers at 150°C for 6 to 8 hours. Between 2000 and 2012, microwave digestion was applied using nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide.

From 2012 and onwards, UltraClave microwave digestions were applied using diluted nitric acid. The metals (Pb, Cd, Cu, Zn, Cr, Ni, Co, Mn and As) have been analysed using different inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) instruments (Berg et al., 2004; Berg et al., 2008; Aas et al., 2020). Trends are evaluated by the non-parametric 'Mann-Kendall Test' applied to the annual mean concentrations (Gilbert, 1987), while the Sen's slope estimator is used to quantify the magnitude of the trends.

Gaseous elemental mercury (GEM) species have been monitored using a combination of manual and automated sampling techniques. Between 1994 and 2000, manual measurements were performed based on mercury amalgamation with gold. GEM is sampled by drawing air at a flowrate of 0.7 L min^{-1} through quartz glass tubes containing gold coated quartz glass pieces. Air is drawn through the trap using a pump and the air volume is measured using a volume meter. The gold traps were returned to NILU and analysed by thermal desorption and cold vapour atomic fluorescence spectroscopy (CVAFS, e.g. Brosset, 1987). Samples were collected during 24 h periods once a week.

Automated measurements were initiated in 2000 using a Tekran 2537 Hg vapor analyser detailed in Aspmo et al. (2005). Briefly, ambient air is sampled at 1.5 L min^{-1} through a Teflon filter via a heated sampling line. A Sodalime ($\text{NaOH}/\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$) trap is mounted in-line before the instrument filter. Hg in the air is pre-concentrated for 5 minutes by amalgamation on two parallel gold cartridges, which alternate between collection and thermal desorption, followed by AFS (atomic fluorescence spectrometric) detection. The instrument is auto-calibrated every 25 hours using an internal Hg permeation source, with accuracy verified during routine site audits that include manual injections of Hg from an external source (Aspmo et al., 2005). The detection limits are comparable for both manual and automated methods, at 0.1 ng m^{-3} .

Speciated mercury measurements were performed on campaign basis several times particularly during spring (Aspmo et al., 2005; Berg et al., 2003; Sommar et al., 2007), however from 2007 automated mercury speciation using the Tekran Mercury 1130, 1135 and 2537 speciation system was initiated by the Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU). Sample collection and analysis is in detail described elsewhere (e.g. Landis et al., 2002; Steffen et al., 2008). In summary, air is pulled into the analyser through a Teflon coated elutriator and an impactor designed to remove particles $> 2.5 \mu\text{m}$ at flow rates of 10 L min^{-1} . The sample air flows over a KCl coated quartz denuder to trap gaseous organic mercury (GOM) and then over a quartz particulate filter to trap particulate bound mercury (PBM). GOM and PBM accumulate for 1 to 2 hours followed by consecutive thermal desorption and AFS by the Tekran 2537, as for gaseous elemental mercury.

4.7 Surface ozone

As part of the EUROTRAC project TOR (Tropospheric Ozone Research) and EMEP, continuous monitoring of surface ozone was initiated at Ny-Ålesund in October 1988, then down by the Kongsfjorden shoreline (NILU-1, Fig. 2). The ozone monitor was moved to the Zeppelin Observatory upon opening in September 1989. Surface ozone has been monitored continuously except for the period 15th June 1999 to 31st January 2000 when the station was completely rebuilt, and the ozone monitor had to be taken temporarily down to Gruebadet.

Standard UV monitors have been used since the start in 1989. The instruments have been replaced by new monitors at various times and since 1997 each monitor shift has been done according to a quality assured procedure including pre- and post-calibrations and intercomparisons. The very first monitor replacement was done in September 1994 (though there is no available documentation of the QA procedures for that instrument shift). According to the logbook in 1994, the monitor was brought to NILU's laboratory for inspection because the monitor was unstable, and it was replaced by a new monitor. Thus, the data from the last period before the replacement in 1994 are more uncertain.

The world calibration centre for surface ozone (WCC-EMPA) has carried out audits of the Zeppelin Observatory in 1997, 2001, 2005 and 2012 and all audits concluded that the on-site ozone monitor provided good and adequate results when compared with WCC-Empa's travelling standard that in turn are traceable to a Standard Reference Photometer (<https://www.empa.ch/web/s503/wcc-empa>). In the first audit in 1997, it was commented that for very low ozone levels (< 20 ppb) the instrument was outside tolerance. Such low levels occur only during certain episodes in spring in connection with low ozone episodes (LOEs) linked to rapid destruction of ozone by halogen radicals over the Arctic Ocean as discussed in more detail in Sect 5.7.

4.8 Reactive nitrogen

Reactive nitrogen species, PAN, peroxypropionyl nitrate (PPN), NO_x (NO+NO₂), NO_y, and the NO₂ photolysis rate J_{NO₂} were measured at the Zeppelin Observatory in 1994 to 97 (Beine et al., 1996;Krognes and Beine, 1997;Beine et al., 1997;Beine et al., 1999;Beine and Krognes, 2000;Solberg et al., 1997). Together with measurements of light hydrocarbons, carbonyls, and surface ozone, this constituted a rather unique suite of observational data for an Arctic location at that time and was used to evaluate atmospheric chemistry in detail.

NO and NO₂ were measured separately, using a high-sensitivity chemiluminescence detector with a 3σ detection limit of 0.9 ppt and 2.6 ppt at 1 h average for NO and NO₂, respectively (Beine et al., 1996;Beine et al., 1997). NO₂ was measured as NO following broad band photolysis by a xenon arc lamp between 350 to 410 nm. Measurements of NO_y were made with a 'Correct Analysis of NO_x' (CRANOX) instrument consisting of a gold converter coupled to a chemiluminescent NO analyser (TECAN CLD 770). NO_y was converted to NO by a converter constructed at the UEA (University of East Anglia). After conversion from NO_y, the NO was measured by the chemiluminescence produced during reaction of NO and O₃. The CLD had a 2σ detection limit of 50 ppt and was calibrated on a weekly basis. More details could be found in Solberg et al. (1997).

To support research into chemistry of reactive nitrogen compounds in the arctic, PAN and PPN were measured at the Zeppelin Observatory in 1994 to 1996 using gas chromatography with an electron capture detector (GC-ECD, 10 mCi Ni-63 electron source and packed column, Carbowax 400 on a Chromosorb W-HP support). The instrument sampled automatically every 15 minutes, and results were calibrated and aggregated to 1-day averages. Calibration of the GC-ECD was based on a liquid standard of PAN in hexane. NILU initiated and coordinated an extensive project for interlaboratory comparison of calibration of liquid PAN standards (Krognes et al., 1996). The calibrated standard was transported to the observatory

packed in dry ice and stored in a normal freezer at the site. 10 L of pure synthetic air is filled into a Tedlar bag, and 5 μL of the standard solution (nominally 10 or 100 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ PAN in hexane). The instrument sampled from the bag (in its normal 15-minute cycle) for approximately two hours. Due to thermal decomposition in the bag at room temperature, the concentration of PAN decays quickly over this period. This decay was plotted and extrapolated back to the time of the standard injection, to find the instrument response to the known initial concentration in the bag. The resulting scaling factor covers the detector response and the systematic loss in the separation column (due to adsorption and thermal decomposition). Despite the complex process and the numerous error sources, the calibration factor was found to be constant over the 3-year campaign period.

The entire data set has ≈ 100000 chromatograms, all initially interpreted automatically by HP Chemstation software, then inspected manually to discard outliers and correct peak detections and baselines where appropriate. The practical detection limit was of the order of 10 pptv for individual samples. During summer, the PPN concentrations were close to this detection limit. Peaks were visible, but the percentage of good samples fell below a quality control criterium of 50%, and no concentration could be reported (Krognes et al., 1996; Beine and Krognes, 2000).

5 Results and discussion

5.1 Aerosol chemical composition

The evolution of the organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC) time series in winter and spring 2019 is like that of other long-range transported aerosol species, such as SO_4^{2-} , and is a part of Arctic haze (Fig. 7a). In summer, the OC level is equally high and occasionally higher than the level observed during Arctic haze, whereas the EC level decreases substantially. Hence, the carbonaceous aerosol prevailing in winter, spring and fall is elevated in EC compared to summer when OC becomes relatively more important (Fig. 7b). Annual concentrations of OC (75 ng C m^{-3}) and EC (12 ng C m^{-3} , year 2019) at the Zeppelin Observatory are 8 to 9 times lower than on the Norwegian mainland, where levels are the lowest in regional background Europe (Yttri et al., 2007). By accounting for positive sampling artefacts of OC, an overestimation of approximately 25% is avoided. The resulting OC corrected for positive sampling artefact should be considered a conservative estimate of the OC level at Zeppelin Observatory, as the negative sampling artefact of OC is not accounted for.




Figure 7: a) Monthly means of EC, OC corrected for the positive sampling artefact, and levoglucosan in the PM₁₀ size fraction at the Zeppelin Observatory in 2019; b) Scatterplot of monthly means of EC and OC in PM₁₀ at Zeppelin Observatory, October to May and June to September 2019.

The EC levels and fractions are higher in winter, spring, and late fall (heating season) due to increased emissions from combustion of fossil fuel and biomass for heating purposes (Yttri et al., 2014) and lower biogenic emissions. Increased OC levels in summer align with those seen for areas in Scandinavia with little anthropogenic influence and where increased levels are explained by biogenic sources, i.e., biogenic secondary organic aerosol (BSOA) and primary biological aerosol particles (PBAP). Increased BSOA tracer (2-methyltetrols) levels are seen in the first part of summer at Zeppelin Observatory, whereas PBAP tracers (e.g., arabitol and mannitol) are more abundant in late summer and early fall (not shown). Levoglucosan is elevated both in the heating season and in late summer/early autumn (Fig. 7a), mirroring increased emissions from residential woodburning in the heating season and boreal wildfires in summer/late autumn (Stohl et al., 2007a; Yttri et al., 2014). Hence, a mixture of both primary and secondary carbonaceous aerosol from natural sources explains the increased level observed for June to October, although the classification of wildfires as a natural source can be questioned, as anthropogenic activity explain the majority of cases in Europe when natural vegetation ignites (Winiwarter et al., 1999).

A conversion factor (CF) of 1.9 to 2.2 is suggested for conversion of OC to organic matter (OM) for non-urban aerosol (Turpin and Lim, 2001), such as at the Zeppelin Observatory, where most aerosol particles are long-range transported. An annual mean OM concentration of 165 ng m⁻³ (CF = 2.2) is noticeably less than for other aerosol species from natural sources such as sea salt aerosol (749 ng m⁻³) and mineral dust (525 ng m⁻³), and even non-sea salt SO₄²⁻ (270 ng m⁻³, Fig. 3).

Annual mean concentrations of inorganic ions such SO₄²⁻ are generally lower than the levels on the Norwegian mainland, e.g., at Birkenes in South Norway (Aas et al., 2019), a site with some of the lowest levels of particulate matter in Europe, reflecting the remote location of the Zeppelin Observatory. However, the observatory frequently experiences individual sulfate pollution episodes exceeding those seen on the mainland, e.g., an episode with a concentration of 5.1 μg m⁻³ in 2018 (Aas et al., 2020), while for some years mean sulfur dioxide (SO₂) is higher than on the Norwegian mainland. These episodes of sulfur pollution occur due to the arrival of air masses from Russia, for example the Kola Peninsula, due to the presence of heavy industry including non-ferrous metal smelters (Aas et al., 2020).

Determination of background trends in inorganic ions at Zeppelin is a crucial component of the Norwegian environmental monitoring programme, used to measure the effectiveness of the 1999 Gothenburg Protocol (GP) to abate acidification. The objective of the original 1999 GP was to reduce European emissions of sulfur by 63% in 2010 compared to 1990, and nitrogen oxides and ammonia by 41% and 17%, respectively. In 2012, the protocol was revised, with new emissions targets for 2020 with 2005 as the base year. The current abatement targets for inorganic atmospheric species in the European Union, with Norwegian targets in parenthesis, are SO₂: 59% (10%), NO_x: 43% (23%), ammonia: 6% (8%). SO₂ and sea salt corrected SO₄²⁻ at Zeppelin have decreased by 75% and 44%, respectively between 1990 and 2019. This is significantly lower than the real-world reductions seen on the Norwegian mainland, e.g., SO₂: 95%, sea salt corrected SO₄²⁻: 74% at Birkenes, South Norway (Aas et al., 2020), likely reflecting varying source regions or changes in transport patterns. No significant trend is observed for the 2005 to 2019 period using the Mann Kendall statistic (Mann, 1945; Kendall, 1948; Aas et al., 2020). Nevertheless, the decreasing levels of sulfur species at the Zeppelin Observatory reflect the success of the Gothenburg Protocol.

5.2 Aerosol physical properties

There are several atmospheric aerosol and cloud studies in polar regions based on short-term campaigns, carried out predominantly in polar day season. Only a handful of studies cover seasonal cycles and interannual variability (e.g., Jung et al., 2018), allowing longer term observations of the natural variability and trends. Long-range transport of aerosols varies strongly during the year due to changes in radiation flux in the northern hemisphere, the movement of the polar front and accompanying seasonal variability general circulation patterns. Long-range transport of aerosols primarily from the Eurasian continent is slowly increasing during the fall and winter to a major peak during March to May which is observed as very high concentrations of accumulation mode particles, i.e., the particle median size is above 100 nm. These particles, when observed in remote areas, are usually formed through atmospheric processes such as condensation, coagulation, and cloud processing, and are commonly referred to as ‘aged’ particles (See Fig. 8).




Figure 8: Spectral plot of daily average aerosol number size distributions, March 2000 to December 2010. Units on x-axis as day of year (Tunved et al., 2013).

With a rather sharp change in air mass transport patterns and related decreased efficiency in long-range transport of aerosols from lower latitudes, the aerosol population changes drastically during late spring/early summer within a period of couple of weeks (Engvall et al., 2008). The aerosol size distribution changes its shape from accumulation mode dominated to Aitken mode dominated. This change reflects the diminishing influence of sources outside the Arctic, while at the same time new particle formation becomes a main source of aerosol. Particle nucleation events followed by particle growth are observed frequently during the lightest part of the year, i.e., April to September, and dominate particle mass during the summer, i.e., June to August (see Fig. 9 and Tunved et al., 2013). Frequency of occurrence of new particle formation during summer is comparable to continental areas, however new particle formation rate and initial growth rate is lower in the Arctic (Lee et al., 2020).

When converting particle number into mass, the same pattern as for the aerosol chemistry measurements can be seen (Fig. 9). The winter period is strongly dominated by anthropogenic long range transported aerosol, i.e., an Arctic haze period. This is followed by summer observations showing very low atmospheric concentrations mostly influenced by natural sources related to gaseous emission from the sea and local sources. Long-term aerosol size distribution measurements at Zeppelin combined with observations from several other Arctic stations show that the major source area of accumulation mode aerosol (and thus related aerosol surface and mass) is the Siberian part of Eurasia (Freud et al., 2017). Further investigation is needed to better assess to what degree these particles are related to anthropogenic sources vs biogenic sources, e.g., boreal forest. This is especially required to understand a clear decrease in concentration of many anthropogenic air pollutants, and Arctic Haze in general.





Figure 9: Annual average variation of integrated surface and mass, March 2000 to March 2010. Mass data calculated from the aerosol number size distribution assuming a density of 1 g cm^{-3} . Error bars show 25 to 75th percentile ranges (Tunved et al., 2013).

775

Long-term observations at high time resolution provide the opportunity to study the influence of different atmospheric conditions, e.g., precipitation and wet deposition, during transport. In an investigation of 10 years of data, Tunved et al. (2013) demonstrated a strong dependence of aerosol properties on precipitation during the dark winter period (October-February). Strong decreases in accumulation mode particles were seen with just a few mm of precipitation with larger precipitation amounts ($> 40 \text{ mm}$) eventually almost obliterating the aerosol (Fig. 10, left). During the sunlit period (March to September), an initial decrease in accumulation mode particles is followed by a strong increase in Aitken mode particles, explained by new particle formation followed by subsequent growth (Fig. 10, right). These observations show the influence of different processes and their dependence on sunlight and precipitation.

780



785 **Figure10: Evolution of aerosol number size distribution ($dN/d\log D_p$) as a function of accumulated precipitation along 240 h trajectories. Left: for the dark period (October to February, right for the sunlit period (March to September). All data from 2000 to 2010 (Tunved et al., 2013).**

Long-term support and excellent facilities combined with very high-quality routines and standards are needed to study long-term trends of the key aerosol parameters. Recently, 18 years of nephelometer measurements at the Zeppelin Observatory were used to evaluate the trends of particle light scattering properties (Fig. 11 and Heslin-Rees et al. 2020). An increase in particle light scattering indicates either an increase of particle concentrations or an increase in particle size; the latter is supported by a decreasing scattering Ångström exponent, showing a shift to larger particles in the particle size distribution. Hence, the increase in particle size and particle light scattering coefficient seen throughout the 18 years most likely corresponds to an increased contribution from larger particles such as sea spray. Heslin-Rees et al. (2020) argue the observed long-term changes are due to changes in atmospheric circulation, i.e., an increased frequency of long-range transport from the open northern Atlantic. However, new particle formation (NPF) events at the Zeppelin Observatory have been shown to be anti-correlated with sea ice extent indicating a dependence on more open sea Dall'Osto et al. (2017). This is also supported by number of recent studies linking ocean biological activity with biogenic sulfur variability and abundance in the Arctic atmosphere (Jang et al, 2021) and related aerosol properties and cloud condensational nuclei variability (Choi et al, 2019, Park et al, 2021). Naturally driven NPF dominates the summertime Arctic atmospheric aerosol, even though the detailed physiochemical process pathway is not known and is a subject of ongoing research.




Figure 11: Long-term trends of the seasonal medians for a) the particle light scattering coefficient (wavelength, $\lambda = 550$ nm) b) the particle light backscattering coefficient ($\lambda = 550$ nm) c) the hemispheric backscattering fraction ($\lambda = 550$ nm) d) the scattering Ångström exponent ($\lambda_1 = 450$ nm, $\lambda_2 = 550$ nm). The seasonal medians are denoted by their respective symbols. The error bars denote the length of the 25th and 75th percentile values. The seasonal mean is given by the cross. The solid and dashed red lines represent the least mean square (LMS) and Theil-Sen slope (TS) of the seasonal medians, respectively. The red shaded area denotes the associated 90% confidence interval of the TS slope. Figure taken from Heslin-Rees et al. (2020).

810 The observed aerosol physical and chemical properties indicate a decrease in long-range transport during the winter and an
increasing contribution of sea spray. Furthermore, it remains difficult to distinguish the direct influence of ongoing climate
change as well as the related strong changes in sea ice. As an example of the changes occurring in the wider region,
according to Pavlova et al. (2019), based on long term monitoring of sea ice in Kongsfjorden initiated by NPI in 2003, over
the last decade only the northern part of the inner Kongsfjorden freezes, whereas before 2006 sea ice usually extended into
815 the central fjord.

The changes to aerosol sources described above are likely to impact climate. The atmospheric particle life cycle is directly
linked with the life cycle of clouds. The aerosol is modified in number and chemistry by cycling through the clouds and the
cloud droplet number and cloud radiative properties depend on the aerosol size and chemistry. Changes in sources, i.e.,
number, size and chemistry may have a significant influence on the radiation balance and thus on how the Arctic climate
820 develops.

5.3 Atmospheric trace gases of high relevance to global climate change

The atmospheric mixing ratios of CO₂ and CH₄, the two most important anthropogenic greenhouse gases are shown in Fig.
12. CO₂ is increasing with a long-term trend of 2.5 ppm per year (Table 5) and has increased by ≈15% since 1989 levels (357
ppm). It should be noted that the growth is positive in all years, highlighting the challenge in meeting emissions reductions
825 needed to meet the Paris Agreement goal of keeping the global annual average temperature increases below 2°C. The CO₂
mixing ratio at the Zeppelin Observatory is slightly higher than the global average mixing ratio, e.g., 409.3 ppm at the
Zeppelin Observatory vs. 407.8 ppm globally in 2018 (WMO, 2020), since northern hemisphere CO₂ emissions are higher.
However, CO₂ at Zeppelin is lower than observed at more continental sites such as Birkenes, Southern Norway (416.1 ppm,
Myhre et al., 2020).

830




Figure 12: Daily (markers) and annual (solid lines) atmospheric mixing ratios of carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), carbon monoxide (CO), and dinitrogen monoxide (N₂O), and isotopic shifts in the carbon and deuterium in methane of carbon 13 (δ¹³C_{CH₄}) and deuterium (δD_{CH₄}) at the Zeppelin Observatory. Daily and annual means calculated only where data coverage is ≥75% of total day or year, respectively.

Table 5: Selected greenhouse measured at the Zeppelin Observatory, their chemical formulas, global warming potentials (GWP, CO₂=1), mean mixing ratios in 2019, fitted trends and trend fit parameters (error and R²), measured from 2001.

| Compound | Chemical formula | GWP ¹ | Mean mixing ratio ² [ppb] | Trend ^{2,3} [ppb yr ⁻¹] |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|---|---|
| Carbon dioxide | CO ₂ | 1 | 411.9×10 ³ | 2.5×10 ³ |
| Methane | CH ₄ | 32 | 1952.9 | 14 |
| Carbon monoxide | CO | 115 | 115.4 | -1.2 |
| Dinitrogen monoxide | N ₂ O | 332 | 332.1 | 0.98 |

¹Global warming potentials for a 100 year time horizon according to Montzka et al. (2011) and (Hodnebrog et al., 2013), where available

²From (Myhre et al., 2020)

³Following (Simmonds et al., 2006):

$$f(t) = a + b \cdot N \cdot P_1\left(\frac{t}{N} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{3} \cdot d \cdot N^2 \cdot P_2\left(\frac{t}{N} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{3} \cdot e \cdot N^3 \cdot P_3\left(\frac{t}{N} - 1\right) + c_1 \cdot \cos(2\pi t) + s_1 \cdot \sin(2\pi t),$$

where $f(t)$ is the change in atmospheric mixing ratio of a species as a function of time over N months, a , b , d , and e are fit parameters with a defining the average mole fraction, b defining the trend in the mole fraction and d defining the acceleration in the trend. Coefficients c_1 and s_1 define the annual cycles in the mole fraction and P_i are the Legendre polynomials of order i .

840 As well as the annual variation in CO₂ (a result of the larger landmass vegetation in the Northern hemisphere), short term inter-annual variations arise due to variability in emissions and sink strengths caused by anthropogenic activity and plant CO₂ uptake and release which are a function of numerous climatic factors. Local influence is minimal (Sect. 3.2). In 2017 to 2018 the annual increase in CO₂ at the Zeppelin Observatory was as low as 1.6 ppm compared to 4.1 ppm at Birkenes, as the

845 Zeppelin Observatory received above normal transport of air masses from the North Atlantic and within the Arctic (Myhre et al., 2020). The standard deviation in CO₂ increases at Zeppelin for the last 5 years was 0.89 ppm, or 36% of the 2018 to 2019 increase, enough to obscure even moderate short-term changes in anthropogenic CO₂ emissions. For example, the expected drop in anthropogenic CO₂ due to the COVID-19 pandemic related lock-down measures in 2020 of around 4 to 7% (Le Quéré et al., 2020) is below the level of natural inter-annual variability in the CO₂ trend.

850 The CH₄ mixing ratio is also clearly increasing over time. After a brief pause, since 2005 daily mean CH₄ mixing ratios at Zeppelin increased by an average 5.9 ± 0.3 ppb yr⁻¹ (Platt et al., 2018), and by 14 ppb for 2018 to 2019, reaching a record level of 1952.9 ppb. For comparison, the global mean CH₄ mixing ratio in 2019 was 1869 ppb (WMO, 2020), reflecting considerable latitudinal variation in CH₄ due to the uneven spatial distribution of sink strength and sources and its relatively short lifetime (approximately 11 years).

855 The resumption of an increasing CH₄ trend in ~2005 was seen globally and was unexpected and threatens to move the Paris Agreement 2°C goal out of reach by increasing the overall need for abatements via internationally determined contributions, which had not previously assumed an increasing global CH₄ mixing ratio (Nisbet et al., 2019). Furthermore, the GWP for CH₄ has been revised upwards from 28 to 32 (Etminan et al., 2016), i.e., a 25% stronger forcing.

860 At the same time as the mixing ratio has increased, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$ has shifted, by about -0.03‰ yr^{-1} (Fig. 12), suggesting a change in the balance of the sources and sinks of methane. Due to the Zeppelin Observatory's remote location, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$ is only minimally perturbed by anthropogenic emissions: Thonat et al. (2019) report synoptic changes up to -0.2‰ in $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$ due to wetland influences, an order of magnitude higher than anthropogenic emissions ($<0.02 \text{‰}$, excepting some long-range transport episodes), the influence of which are diminished by biomass burning ($\approx +0.01 \text{‰}$) and also by the fractionating effects of the two major sinks ($\approx +0.01 \text{‰}$). Therefore, the location of the Zeppelin Observatory in principle allows the study of emissions from vulnerable (climate sensitive) hydrocarbon CH₄ reservoirs in the Arctic including thawing terrestrial and

865 subsea permafrost, seabed cold seepage (e.g. fuelled by decomposing gas hydrates, GH), as well as from biomass burning, since the potential for synoptic variations due to localised bio/geogenic emissions is relatively higher than for other sites.

Based on $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$, Nisbet et al. (2019) suggest that ruminant and/or mid-latitude wetland emissions are largely responsible for the increased CH₄ levels since 2007, since they are strongly negative compared to the ambient value ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4} = 56.7\text{‰}$ for both, compared to -47‰ to -53‰ for fossil fuels (France et al., 2016)), while increases from wetland emissions are consistent with atmospheric inversion modelling. However, there are other changes in the CH₄ budget which may explain the isotopic shift, and Nisbet et al. (2019) also suggest that the ongoing increase in CH₄ and negative shift in ambient $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$, is compatible with four non-mutually exclusive hypotheses: 1) Increases in very negative biogenic emissions (e.g. wetlands or ruminants); 2) Increased fossil fuel emissions accompanied by a negative shift in their mean $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$ (although depending on changes in other sources a shift in their $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4}$ is not necessary); 3) Changes in the removal rate via reaction with OH;

870 4) Decreases in biomass burning, combined with increases in both fossil and biogenic emission of roughly equal magnitude as suggested by (Worden et al., 2017) and independently from budget considerations by Jackson et al., 2020. Hypothesis 3 is

still an unlikely candidate to explain the isotopic shift, though recent work shows that some influence is possible since there are indications that ambient CO/NO_x is affecting the OH sink (Dalsøren et al., 2016).

880 Thompson et al. (2017) included methane observations from the Zeppelin Observatory in a high latitude (>50 N) inversion, finding posterior emissions generally both higher and more variable than prior estimates from inventories. The main increase in CH₄ emission compared to prior emissions was in Western Siberian wetlands, with a top-down flux of 19.3 to 19.9 Tg yr⁻¹ compared to e.g. only 4.9 Tg yr⁻¹ from the LPX-Bern bottom-up inventory (Stocker et al., 2014). A large, anomalous increase was seen for Western Siberian CH₄ in 2007, linked to high temperatures in the same year. This underscores the potential role of high latitude wetlands as a climate feedback. Note also that wetland emissions likely include a significant
885 fraction of permafrost CH₄, due to co-location, and indirect effects including increased leaching of organic carbon into soil and changes in hydrology.

In 1987, the Montreal protocol was signed with the aim of stopping emissions of stratospheric ozone depleting substances, at that time mainly chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), by improving technology and developing replacement compounds with lower ozone depleting potential. The main sources of these compounds were related to foam blowing, aerosol propellants,
890 refrigeration, solvents, and the electronics industry. The largest production of the CFCs was around 1985 and maximum emissions were around 1987. The first-generation substitutes to CFCs included the hydro chlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs), also included in the Montreal Protocol, followed by the hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs).

Halogenated hydrocarbons have been measured at the Zeppelin Observatory since 2001 as part of the AGAGE program (Table 3). Fig. 13 shows the concentrations of the CFCs, HCFCs, and HFCs measured there. The trends for most major
895 CFCs are all negative e.g., -1.79, -2.51, and -0.64 ppt yr⁻¹ for CFC-11, CFC-12 and CFC-113, respectively, see Table 6. For CFC-115 the trend is still slightly positive, +0.02 ppt yr⁻¹, likely a consequence of its extremely long atmospheric lifetime (> 1000 years). Meanwhile, Fig. 13 also shows that the mixing ratios of the HCFCs, now almost phased out, have either peaked or the growth rate is slowing. The 2016 Kigali amendment to the Montreal Protocol aims to phase out the HFCs, though this is too recent to impact the levels seen at the Zeppelin Observatory. Mixing ratios of many HFCs are increasing rapidly (Fig.
900 13).




Figure13: Daily (dots) and yearly (solid lines) mean halogenated compounds measured at the Zeppelin Observatory with the online adsorption-desorption system gas chromatograph with mass spectrometry with flame ionization detector (ADS-GCMS-FID, green, 2001-2011) and the Medusa GCMS (blue, for 2010 to 2019). Note the higher variability for the ADS-GCMS (Many compounds including CFCs did not meet AGAGE precision requirements, see Sect. 4.3). Daily and annual means calculated only where data coverage is $\geq 75\%$ of total day or year, respectively. See also Table 6 for information on chemical formulas and compound names.

905

910

Table 6: Halogenated compounds measured at the Zeppelin Observatory, their chemical formulas, global warming potentials (GWP, CO₂=1), mean mixing ratios in 2019, fitted trends and trend fit parameters (error and R²). For compounds measured only from 2010 (see also Table 3), the uncertainty in the trend is higher than for compounds measured from 2001.

| Compound | Chemical formula | GWP ¹ | Mean mixing | Trend ^{2,3} | | |
|------------------------------|---|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--------|-------|
| | | | ratio ² [ppt] | [ppt yr ⁻¹] | error | r2 |
| CFC-11 | CCl ₃ F | 4660 | 228.1 | -1.79 | 0.008 | 0.99 |
| CFC-113 | Cl ₂ FC-CClF ₂ | 13900 | 70.1 | -0.64 | 0.002 | 0.99 |
| CFC-115 | ClF ₂ C-CF ₃ | 7670 | 8.75 | 0.02 | 0.001 | 0.73 |
| CFC-12 | CHCl ₂ F ₂ | 10200 | 505.1 | -2.51 | 0.025 | 0.98 |
| H-1211 | CBrClF ₂ | 1750 | 3.37 | -0.065 | 0.0003 | 0.995 |
| H-1301 | CBrF ₃ | 7800 | 3.39 | 0.02 | 0.0004 | 0.776 |
| H-2402 | CBrF ₂ CBrF ₂ | 1470 | 0.41 | -0.007 | 0.0001 | 0.961 |
| HCFC-141b | C ₂ H ₃ FCl ₂ | 782 | 25.7 | 0.53 | 0.02 | 0.971 |
| HCFC-142b | CH ₃ CF ₂ Cl | 1980 | 23.2 | 0.54 | 0.011 | 0.987 |
| HCFC-22 | HCF ₂ Cl | 1760 | 255.7 | 5.81 | 0.031 | 0.997 |
| HFC-125 | CHF ₂ CF ₃ | 3170 | 32.3 | 1.65 | 0.006 | 0.999 |
| HFC-134a | CH ₂ FCF ₃ | 1300 | 114.8 | 5.14 | 0.009 | 0.999 |
| HFC-143a | CH ₃ CF ₃ | 4800 | 23.9 | 1.53 | 0.004 | 0.997 |
| HFC-152a | CH ₃ CHF ₂ | 506 | 10.5 | 0.43 | 0.011 | 0.965 |
| HFC-227ea | CF ₃ CHFCF ₃ | 39 | 1.76 | 0.12 | - | 0.998 |
| HFC-23 | CHF ₃ | 12400 | 33.2 | 1.04 | 0.003 | 0.998 |
| HFC-236fa | CF ₃ CH ₂ CF ₃ | 242 | 0.2 | 0.01 | - | 0.985 |
| HFC-245fa | CHF ₂ CH ₂ CF ₃ | 8 | 3.53 | 0.21 | 0.001 | 0.997 |
| HFC-32 | CH ₂ F ₂ | 5 | 25.24 | 2.15 | 0.007 | 0.999 |
| HFC-365mfc | CH ₃ CF ₂ CH ₂ CF ₃ | 804 | 1.31 | 0.07 | - | 0.981 |
| HFC-4310mee | C ₅ H ₂ F ₁₀ | 1650 | 0.3 | 0.01 | - | 0.936 |
| PFC-116 | C ₂ F ₆ | 10000 | 4.91 | 0.089 | 0.0003 | 0.996 |
| PFC-14 | CF ₄ | 6630 | 86.1 | 0.893 | 0.1109 | 0.995 |
| PFC-218 | C ₃ F ₈ | 8900 | 0.69 | 0.014 | 0.0001 | 0.976 |
| PFC-318 | c-C ₄ F ₈ | 9540 | 1.8 | 0.057 | 0.0002 | 0.995 |
| Bromomethane | CH ₃ Br | 2 | 6.78 | -0.161 | 0.0056 | 0.885 |
| Carbon_tetrachloride | CCl ₄ | 1730 | 78.02 | -0.954 | 0.0182 | 0.935 |
| Chloromethane | CH ₃ Cl | 12 | 508 | -0.373 | 0.2145 | 0.871 |
| Dichloromethane | CH ₂ Cl ₂ | 9 | 58.89 | 1.927 | 0.0613 | 0.934 |
| Sulfur_hexafluoride | SF ₆ | 23500 | 10.14 | 0.291 | 0.0004 | 0.999 |
| Sulfuryl fluoride | SO ₂ F ₂ | 4090 | 2.53 | 0.102 | 0.0009 | 0.993 |
| Trichloroethane | CH ₃ CCl ₃ | 160 | 1.71 | -1.807 | 0.0083 | 0.999 |
| Trichloroethene ⁴ | C ₂ HCl ₃ | | 0.16 | -0.017 | 0.0035 | 0.396 |
| Trichloromethane | CHCl ₃ | 16 | 12.2 | 0.242 | 0.022 | 0.691 |

¹Global warming potentials according to Montzka et al. (2011) and (Hodnebrog et al., 2013), where available

²From (Myhre et al., 2020)

³Following (Simmonds et al., 2006):

$$f(t) = a + b \cdot N \cdot P_1\left(\frac{t}{N} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{3} \cdot d \cdot N^2 \cdot P_2\left(\frac{t}{N} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{3} \cdot e \cdot N^3 \cdot P_3\left(\frac{t}{N} - 1\right) + c_1 \cdot \cos(2\pi t) + s_1 \cdot \sin(2\pi t),$$

where $f(t)$ is the change in atmospheric mixing ratio of a species as a function of time over N months, a , b , d , and e are fit parameters with a defining the average mole fraction, b defining the trend in the mole fraction and d defining the acceleration in the trend. Coefficients c_1 and s_1 define the annual cycles in the mole fraction and P_i are the Legendre polynomials of order i .

⁴Larger uncertainties due to low concentrations and instrument memory effects

⁵Larger uncertainties for 2001-2010 from the ADS-GCMS instrument

915 Trends for the CFCs and HCFCs at the Zeppelin Observatory demonstrate the remarkable success of the Montreal Protocol. Not only are these compounds destructive to the stratospheric ozone layer, they are also potent greenhouse gases, strongly absorbing infrared radiation in the part of the spectrum where other GHGs have only low absorption (the so-called ‘atmospheric window’) and with very long atmospheric lifetimes, up to thousands of years. CFC-12,-13, and, HFC-23, and HFC-12 have global warming potentials more than 10000 times higher than CO₂). Thus, according to Goyal et al. (2019) 920 measures implemented under the Montreal Protocol will have avoided 3 to 4 °C Arctic warming and ≈1 °C global average warming by 2050 (an ≈25% mitigation, the most successful abatement so far implemented). However, given the high GWPs of these compounds it is crucial to monitor changes in levels over the coming decades.

5.4 Non-methane hydrocarbons

On average, the seasonal cycle in the sum of the NMHC peaks in late winter at a level comparable to the levels in Southern Scandinavia (Solberg et al., 1996a). Alkenes (ethene and propene) are an exception to this and with a less pronounced seasonal cycle indicative of more nearby emissions of these species in summer, presumably linked to natural releases from biogenic activity in the oceans, particularly at the sea ice edge (Solberg et al., 1996a). Meanwhile, carbonyls were measured only in 1994, 1995, 1996, and 1998 and only during parts of the year, so it is harder to evaluate the seasonal cycle with confidence. The data indicate either small variation through the year or a peak in May for some species. The ratio of the carbon-based sum of carbonyls to the carbon-based sum of NMHC indicates peak values of around 50% in summer and minimum values around 10% in March and October while there is insufficient data in winter to calculate this ratio. This seasonal pattern in the carbonyl:NMHC ratio agrees with measurement data from the EMEP background stations at the European mainland (Solberg et al., 1996a). For example, a north European campaign measuring NMHC concentrations at five EMEP sites including Ny-Ålesund was carried out during spring of 1993. Decreasing concentrations from March to 930 June were observed at all sites. The highest concentrations of hydrocarbons were found in air masses coming in from the southwest to southeast, indicating long-range transport from continental Europe and the U.K. The measured concentrations were compared with model calculations covering Europe and the agreement indicated that the European VOC emission inventory was quite well estimated (Hov et al., 1997).

940 Time series of NMHCs as measured on the Zeppelin Observatory during 1989 to 2020 are shown in Fig. 14 linking the grab
samples from the 1990s with the continuous monitoring in the 2000s. The grab samples in glass flasks made by NOAA are
also included in the figure. Mean NMHC levels in the Arctic (and in Europe) have decreased significantly since 1989 (Fig.
15). The percentage change over the entire period 1989 to 2020 defined as: $100 \times [X_{2020}/X_{1989} - 1]$, where X is the mixing
ratio, is given in Table 7. Due to the infrequent sampling, the strong seasonal cycle and the long period of missing data these
945 numbers will be very uncertain but could be taken as an indication of the trends for the different species. This shows highly
different trends with no change in ethane as opposed to decreases of the order of 60 to 80 % for *n*-butane, *n*-pentane and
benzene. These differences are seen also if applying a simple TheilSen trend calculation by season as shown in Fig. 15. The
TheilSen statistics gives insignificant trends for ethane in all seasons, whereas trends of 1 to 2%/year, corresponding to
approximately 30 to 60% decrease over the entire 1989 to 2019 period depending on season are found for other species. The
950 NMHC profile, i.e., the relative mix of the individual hydrocarbons, is useful for the study of the ‘low ozone episodes’
(LOEs) as discussed in Sect. 5.7. The change in NMHC profile during LOEs clearly indicated that the influence of other
oxidants besides OH, namely halogen species.





Figure14: Non-methane hydrocarbon mixing (NMHC) ratios at the Zeppelin Observatory from ‘grab samples’ (2 to 3 steel flask samples of 20 minutes, per week, shipped and analysed at NILU’s laboratory) for 1989-2000 (blue), averaged NMHC from the Medusa GCMS (grey dots) and yearly averaged NMHC from the Medusa GCMS for 2010 to 2019). Daily and annual means calculated only where data coverage is 75% of total day or year, respectively.

955

Median ethane at Zeppelin Mtn



Median n-butane at Zeppelin Mtn




Figure15: Seasonal Theil-Sen slopes (red) and confidence intervals (red, dashed) based on monthly median concentrations (blue) of ethane and *n*-butane at the Zeppelin Observatory.

Table 7: Non-methane hydrocarbons measured at the Zeppelin Observatory, their chemical formulas, atmospheric lifetime, mean mixing ratios in 2019, fitted trends and the estimated percentage change over the entire period 1989-2019 as defined as $100 \times [X_{2019}/X_{1989} - 1]$ where significant.

| Compound | Chemical formula | Lifetime ¹ [~ days] | Mean mixing ratio [ppt] | Trend ² [ppt yr ⁻¹] | Total Change [%] |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------------------|
| Benzene | C ₆ H ₆ | 17 | 61.11 | -2.7 | -81 |
| Ethane | C ₂ H ₆ | 78 | 1602.45 | - | - |
| Propane | C ₃ H ₈ | 18 | 454.71 | -1.5 | -45 |
| <i>n</i> -Butane | C ₄ H ₁₀ | 8 | 140.9 | -2.4 | -71 |
| <i>n</i> -Pentane | C ₅ H ₁₂ | 5 | 43.77 | -2.2 | -65 |

¹Lifetimes in approximate (~) days according to (Hewitt, 2000)

²Following (Markwardt, 2009) seasonal trends are calculated according to a non-linear least square fit using:

$$c(t) = [a_0 + a_1(\sin(2\pi(t - a_2)))] \cdot \exp[a_3(t - t_0)]$$

where $c(t)$ is the concentration at time $t = 2019$ (in years) and $t_0 = 1989$. The coefficients a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 represent a simple seasonal cycle with mean concentration a_0 , amplitude a_1 , and seasonal phase displacement a_2 that change exponentially over time with a_3 , defining positive ($a_3 > 0$), negative ($a_3 < 0$) growth rate or no trend ($a_3 = 0$).

5.5 Persistent organic pollutants

Hung et al. (2016), Wong et al. (2021) and Petäjä et al. (2020) summarise temporal trends for legacy POPs at the Zeppelin Observatory, and three other AMAP stations; Alert, Canada; Pallas, Finland; and Stórhöfði, Iceland. They show that most POPs listed for control under the Stockholm Convention (SC), e.g., hexachlorohexanes (HCHs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethanes (DDTs) and chlordanes, were declining slowly at all Arctic sites. The decline was largely suggested to reflect reduced primary emissions during the last two decades and the increasing importance of secondary emissions from environmental reservoirs. Slow declining trends for these POPs signifies their persistence and slow degradation in the Arctic environment, resulting in detectable levels despite being banned for decades in many countries (Ma et al., 2011).

However, not all legacy POPs show a steady, continuous decline in air concentrations at Zeppelin over the entire monitoring time period as shown in Fig. 16. A notable example is HCB (hexachlorobenzene) which declined during the 1990s (from an annual mean concentration of 95 pg m⁻³ in the beginning of 1990s to 55 pg m⁻³ in the beginning of 2000), prior to regulation under the Stockholm Convention on POPs, but then started to increase until a few years ago (to an annual mean concentration of 85 pg m⁻³ in 2014 to 2016), i.e. after HCB became regulated under the SC. Two main hypotheses have been put forward to explain this late increase: 1) increasing primary emissions and 2) enhanced re-volatilisation of HCB from previously contaminated surface reservoirs, potentially modulated by increasing temperatures due to a warming climate (e.g. Ma et al., 2011).




Figure 16: Box-plot time series (box top and bottom: 75th and 50th quartiles, respectively, horizontal bar: median, outliers: red crosses) of a) Hexachlorobenzene (HCB) from 1993 to 2019, and b) the sum of 7 polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs -28, 52, 101, 118, 138, 153, 180) from 1998 to 2019, at the Zeppelin Observatory.

Similar to the analysis for the eBC in Sect. 3.2, we used FLEXPART to estimate footprint emission sensitivities for periods of high and low HCB concentrations (>80th and <20th percentiles of concentration, respectively) in December to March 2014 to 2017, i.e., during the Arctic haze period, and also when HCB was especially elevated compared to other years. The ratio of each of these footprint sensitivities to the average (Fig. 17) yields a qualitative description of geographic areas linked to high and low levels of haze-time HCB seen at the Zeppelin Observatory. The patterns are distinctively different. FLEXPART predicts that haze periods with higher concentrations of HCB in air at Zeppelin are mainly associated with transport of air masses from Asia and, albeit to a lesser extent, from Greenland and the Arctic ocean north of Mt Zeppelin (Fig. 17a). In sharp contrast, we attribute periods with lower concentrations of HCB (Fig. 17b) to transport from ocean areas south of Mt. Zeppelin and the North American continent (e.g., Alaska). Together, these model predictions show that Asian HCB emissions largely explain the elevated concentrations of HCB observed during December-March at the Zeppelin Observatory. Additionally, secondary emissions of HCB from ice-covered areas in the high Arctic may, to some extent, have contributed during periods with elevated concentrations (Fig. 17a) and large ice-free ocean areas are associated with low concentrations, which suggests that secondary emissions from these regions are of limited significance.





Figure 17: The ratio of the hexachlorobenzene (HCB) emission sensitivity at Zeppelin Observatory during high (>80th percentile, left) and low (<20th percentile, right) HCB levels compared to average HCB levels, during the Arctic haze period (March to December) in 2014 to 2017. This ratio yields a qualitative description of source regions of HCB (higher emission sensitivity ratio, red, left) and lower HCB emitting regions (higher ratios, red, right), compared to average.

5.6 Heavy metals and mercury

Being elements, metals cannot be broken down into less toxic substances in the environment. Although some metals are essential nutrients at low concentrations, heavy metals can be toxic even in small quantities and are present at high levels in regions remote from most anthropogenic sources, such as the Arctic. Through the 1998 Protocol on Heavy Metals under the UNECE Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP), governments are taking measures to minimize and prevent emissions of Cd, Pb and Hg by regulating their predominant anthropogenic sources; waste incineration, combustion, and industrial processes. According to the European Environment Agency (EEA), emission of these elements are reduced by 55, 87 and 61% respectively since 1994 (<https://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/eea32-heavy-metal-hm-emissions-1/assessment-10>).

A strong seasonal signal is observed for most of the heavy metals with a maximum in winter and minimum in summer (Fig. 18), driven by major weather systems. In winter and spring, a high-pressure system over Siberia pushes the Arctic Front southwards and sensitivity to major polluted areas increases (Fig. 4), including to smelters on the Kola Peninsula (Berg et al., 2004). The signal is most pronounced for the so-called anthropogenic elements Pb, Cd and As, typically associated with long-range transport, and less pronounced for Ni, Cu, Co and Zn, elements with Arctic sources from non-ferrous smelters on the Kola-peninsula (Laing et al., 2014a), and Cr, Mn and V that also have a natural component from soil or sea salt. Similar

seasonality has previously been observed at Zeppelin (Berg et al., 2004), Alert, Canada (Gong and Barrie, 2005), Kevo, Finland (Laing et al., 2014a) and over the Russian Arctic coast (Shevchenko et al., 2003).



1025 **Figure 18: Boxplots (box top and bottom: 75th and 50th quartiles, respectively, horizontal bar: median) and monthly averages (red) of heavy metals, gaseous elemental mercury (GEM), gaseous organic mercury (GOM), and particle-bound mercury (PBM) concentrations at the Zeppelin Observatory. Heavy metals and GEM from the period 1994 to 2019, and PBM and GOM from 2007 to 2018.**

1030 We estimated the annual trends on a monthly basis (i.e., comparing the same month in consecutive years) using Sen's slope, yielding different magnitudes and signs for the slope (Table 8). Generally, the steepest decreasing trends for most elements are during the winter months, whereas trends are less homogenous during summer months with both increasing and decreasing trends. For Mn increasing trend is observed for all months but December and September.

1035 Decreasing annual trends are observed for As (-3.8% yr⁻¹), Cd (-2.8% yr⁻¹), Cu (-0.9% yr⁻¹), Pb (-4.6 yr⁻¹) and V (-3.8% yr⁻¹), though not significant for Cu. Increasing annual trends are observed for Mn (1.9% yr⁻¹) and Cr (2.7% yr⁻¹), however the trend is significant only for Mn. The annual trend is close to unchanged for Zn (0.1 yr⁻¹), Co (0.6% yr⁻¹) and Ni (0.2% yr⁻¹).

These annual trends are in line with observations from other Arctic long-term sites (Gong and Barrie, 2005; Laing et al., 2014b), whereas a previous trend study from Zeppelin for the time period 1994-2003 showed no significant trends for any element except Ni (Berg et al., 2004).

1040 A study by Weinbruch et al. (2012) examining composition and source of aerosols at Zeppelin found that sea salt, aged sea salt, silicates and mixed particles are the main constituents of particles at Zeppelin. They also found that the fly ash abundance is not correlated with air masses crossing industrialised regions in Central and Eastern Europe, Scandinavia or Russia, indicating a significant reduction of long-range transport of HMs to Svalbard. The HM trends observed are non-monotonic for all elements, and in for Ni and Zn and to some degree Cr, it appears the trend has changed direction and is now increasing. Though Ni was decreasing for the first 10 years of measurements, Ni concentrations are now even higher
1045 than when the measurements were initiated in 1994. According to the European Environment agency European emissions of Ni, Zn, Cr to air have steadily decreased since 2007 by more than 50%, which may indicate that Ni, Zn and Cr observed at Zeppelin have sources of more local origin.

Mercury (Hg) is a pollutant of particular concern that has a complicated biogeochemical cycle involving atmospheric transport, deposition to land and water surfaces, re-volatilisation and uptake by plants (Selin, 2009). Hg can exist in many
1050 different chemical forms and conversion between these forms through oxidation. Methylation results in toxic methylmercury that bioaccumulates and biomagnifies through the food web (Selin, 2009). Building on the 1998 Protocol on Heavy Metals, the Minamata Convention on Mercury (MCM) was adopted in 2013 and entered into force in 2017. MCM is a global treaty under UNEP to protect human health and the environment from the adverse effects of Hg. The major content of this treaty includes a ban on new Hg mines, the phase-out of old Hg mines, control measures on air emissions, and international
1055 regulation of the informal sector for artisanal and small-scale gold mining.

In the atmosphere, mercury is characterised by a variety of chemical and physical forms, however the most abundant is gaseous elemental mercury (GEM) with an atmospheric lifetime of 0.5 to 1 year (Schroeder and Munthe, 1998). At Zeppelin, the mean GEM concentration, combining manual and automated sample collection methods, is $1.5 \pm 0.24 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$. The measurement time series is one of the longest GEM time series worldwide (Fig. 19).




Figure 19: Monthly (violet) and annual (red) mean concentrations of heavy metals, gaseous elemental mercury (GEM), gaseous organic mercury (GOM), and particle-bound mercury (PBM) at the Zeppelin Observatory. Estimated emissions as a percentage of base year 1994 according to the European Environment Agency (EEA, black) are shown on the right axes where available. Monthly and annual means calculated only where data coverage is 75% of total month or year, respectively.

GEM is subject to oxidation chemistry and converted into the operationally defined gaseous oxidised mercury (GOM, also commonly called RGM) and particulate bound mercury (PBM also known as PM or PHg). Hg in the Arctic undergoes large-scale rapid conversion from GEM to GOM and PBM in the spring during the ‘atmospheric mercury depletion events’ (AMDE) (Schroeder and Munthe, 1998; Berg et al., 2003; Steffen et al., 2008). These chemical reactions are associated with sea-ice through surface bromine reactions (Steffen et al., 2008; Steffen et al., 2015). Previous trend analysis (Mann Kendall Statistic, Sen’s slope) on shorter sub sections of the time series do not show any significant trends, neither for combined offline and online sampling e.g. Berg et al. (2004) for 1994 to 2002, Berg et al. (2008) for 1994 to 2005, nor for purely online sampling e.g. Berg et al. (2013) for 2000 to 2010. However, combining the complete data series from 1994 to 2019 a decreasing annual trend of $0.55\% \text{ yr}^{-1}$ was observed ($0.6\% \text{ yr}^{-1}$ when considering only online sampling).

Decreasing trends in long-term GEM concentrations have been reported for many ground-based sites in Europe, North America and Asia in the range of 1.3 to $2.7\% \text{ yr}^{-1}$ as summarised in (Lyman et al., 2020). The declines are smaller at Arctic sites compared to temperate locations (Cole and Steffen, 2010; Ebinghaus et al., 2011), and the concentrations are declining more slowly at Zeppelin than Alert (Cole et al., 2013). This is likely due to summertime Hg emission from the ocean and meteorological effects resulting from climate change (Cole and Steffen., 2010). The main source of high mercury concentrations at the Zeppelin observatory originates from continental Europe (Hirdman et al., 2010a). Though Hg emissions reductions in Europe have declined by 61% since 1994, the Hg concentration in air is only reduced by 14% during the same

period. Furthermore, emissions from East Asia, including China, contribute to the global background levels of mercury, compounding the European emissions reduction signature in the observations (Streets et al., 2019).

The seasonal variation of GEM at the Zeppelin observatory displays high concentrations in winter/summer and low concentrations in spring/autumn (Fig. 18). This is in contrast to the pattern at temperate northern latitudes with highest concentrations in winter and lowest concentrations in summer (Sprovieri et al., 2016; Temme et al., 2007), which are mainly attributed to primary anthropogenic mercury emissions from coal combustion for domestic heating (Temme et al., 2007; Weigelt et al., 2015). Global Hg models have so far not been able to test this hypothesis as current anthropogenic mercury emission inventories have no seasonal resolution and are kept constant throughout the year (Holmes et al., 2010; Song et al., 2015; Horowitz et al., 2017). The seasonal pattern at the Zeppelin Observatory is strongly influenced by ADMEs taking place through fast oxidation mechanisms initiated by photochemistry involving halogens derived from heterogeneous reactions on hygroscopic sea salt aerosols (Steffen et al., 2015). AMDEs cause the springtime low GEM concentrations, and the summertime high is caused by either re-emission of previously deposited GEM during spring or from GEM volatilisation from the ocean (Hirdman et al., 2009; Berg et al., 2013).

Concentrations of GOM and PBM at Zeppelin are low for most parts of the year but are elevated during spring and summer, again due to AMDEs, though still lower compared to other Arctic sites (Lindberg et al., 2002; Steffen et al., 2008). This is likely because Zeppelin is located relatively far from where the AMDEs take place and most of the Hg species have already deposited before being captured at Zeppelin (Steen et al., 2011). Trends in speciated Hg measurements have been investigated in the most recent AMAP Hg assessment, and it was found that trends for GOM are declining for the months from February through September with no significant trend for the remainder of the year. On the other hand, trends for PBM are increasing for the months January through May and in November, while decreasing for September, October, and December. The shift in speciation from GOM to PBM in spring suggests an influence of changing Arctic conditions on AMDEs.

Table 8: Annual trends (%) as calculated from Sen's slope on annual and (individual) monthly means. Significant trends at 95% confidence level are given in bold.

| | As | Cd | Cr | Co | Cu | Pb | Mn | Ni | V | Zn |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|------|-------------|------|-------------|------------|------|-------------|------------|
| Annual | -3.8 | -2.8 | 2.7 | -0.6 | -0.9 | -4.6 | 1.9 | 0.2 | -3.8 | 0.1 |
| Jan. | -5.6 | -5.4 | -0.3 | -2.0 | -2.5 | -5.3 | -0.5 | -1.8 | -5.2 | -2.3 |
| Feb. | -5.3 | -1.9 | 0.3 | -1.5 | -2.0 | -4.9 | 0.5 | -1.3 | -3.6 | -1.4 |
| Mar. | -4.9 | -2.8 | 2.4 | -1.3 | -1.2 | -4.8 | 0.0 | -2.0 | -6.9 | -1.4 |
| Apr. | -1.1 | 1.2 | 0.2 | -0.9 | -0.7 | -2.5 | 1.3 | -0.7 | -2.7 | -0.1 |
| May. | -1.2 | -1.7 | 1.0 | -0.5 | -0.9 | -3.4 | 1.4 | -0.2 | -1.1 | -1.2 |
| Jun. | -0.4 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.0 | -1.8 | 4.0 | 1.5 | -0.5 | 0.2 |
| Jul. | -0.6 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 1.8 | -0.7 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 4.9 |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|------|------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|------|------|
| Aug. | -1.8 | -0.8 | -1.3 | -1.4 | -1.4 | -3.4 | 1.6 | -1.9 | -2.9 | 0.1 |
| Sep. | -0.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | -0.3 | -3.4 | -0.3 | -1.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | -1.9 |
| Oct. | -0.4 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 | -0.6 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 2.4 |
| Nov. | -1.4 | -1.9 | 2.9 | 0.5 | -1.8 | -3.5 | 2.8 | 1.2 | -0.1 | -0.4 |
| Dec. | -2.7 | -2.2 | 2.4 | -0.4 | -2.0 | -3.0 | 1.9 | 0.4 | -2.3 | -1.0 |

5.7 Surface ozone

Ozone is an important species in the troposphere with implications for global warming and the atmospheric chemistry in general, and knowledge of ozone in the Arctic is of particular interest for assessing the overall chemical state of the background atmosphere in the northern hemisphere. The Zeppelin Observatory has one of the longest continuous surface ozone time series in the Arctic with its 30-year history.

As mentioned by Zhou et al. (2017) no clear and consistent trends for baseline ozone in the northern hemisphere have been found. The most recent ozone trend evaluation for the Zeppelin Observatory and 26 other remote sites was conducted by Cooper et al. (2020) as a follow-up of the TOAR project (Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report). They looked at trends over various time periods; 2000 to 2017, 1995 to 2017 and the full record, i.e., 1989 to 2017 for the Zeppelin Observatory, and found an increasing trend but the significance is weak ($p < 0.10$) when analysing the full period starting in 1989. They estimated an increase in mean ozone of 5 % from the start to 2017 for the Zeppelin Observatory. For the other time periods, there was no significant trend based on their data selection (monthly anomalies in mean concentration).

Several projects have been dedicated to process studies of ozone in the Arctic troposphere (e.g. Polar Study using Aircraft, Remote Sensing, Surface Measurements and Models, of Climate, Chemistry, Aerosols, and Transport, POLARCAT, and Tropospheric Ozone Production about the Spring Equinox, TOPSE etc.), but fewer studies have focused on long-term trends. Results from POLARCAT revealed that in spring and summer, anthropogenic emissions from Europe are found to contribute significantly to ozone in the lower troposphere over the eastern Arctic (Law et al., 2017; Wespes et al., 2012). This is consistent with the atmospheric transport studies by e.g. Stohl (2006) and Pisso et al. (2016) as discussed in more detail in Sect. 3.2.

O₃ has slowly increased at Zeppelin Observatory from an annual average of ~62 ppt in 1990 to ~70 ppt in 2019, with a smooth trend indicating a modest increase from the start to 2003-2006 followed by a flat or slightly decreasing levels, Fig. 20. O₃ has a marked seasonal cycle with maximum values during the haze period in spring (March) and minimum values in summer (July).

Monthly median O₃ at Zeppelin Mtn




Figure 20. Monthly median concentrations of O₃ at the Zeppelin Observatory during 1989 to 2020 [nmol mol⁻¹]. Superimposed on the data is a smooth trend function (based on a generalised additive model (GAM) as provided by the SmoothTrend function in the R library SmoothTrend). Together with the trend is the 95 % confidence interval shown as a band.

1135

Figure 21 shows the Theil-Sen slope (Sen, 1968) for each 3-months season separately together with the monthly ozone median values. This indicates increasing ozone levels in autumn, winter and spring and decreasing levels in summer, but the trend is only statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) in winter. The winter trend is clearly driven by a strong increase from 1990 to 2000 and small changes after that, in accordance with the findings of Cooper et al. (2020). Particularly strong variability is found in spring which reflects the combined effect of a peak in the northern hemispheric tropospheric baseline ozone in this season combined with low ozone episodes (LOEs) in the Arctic as discussed in more detail below.

1140




Figure 21. Theil-Sen slopes and confidence intervals for monthly median O₃ concentrations [nmol mol⁻¹] at the Zeppelin Observatory during 1989 to 2020 for four seasons separately.

1145

Already in the 1980s it was discovered that LOEs occur at the surface every spring in the Arctic (Bottenheim et al., 1986;Barrie et al., 1988). The ozone monitoring at the Zeppelin Observatory showed that the LOEs were frequent even at that high latitude location and altitude (472 m asl), and the co-located monitoring of NMHCs offered a good opportunity to evaluate the atmospheric chemistry behind the LOEs (Solberg et al., 1996), since the build-up of NMHCs in the northern atmosphere cold season is relevant to the spring peak in tropospheric ozone seen at most rural background sites in central and northern Europe (Roemer, 2001).

1150

It was soon proposed that self-catalytic reactions involving halogen radicals (Br and Cl) played an essential role for the LOEs (Barrie et al., 1988;Bottenheim et al., 1990;Hausmann and Platt, 1994). By the early 1980s Berg et al. (1983) found elevated levels of particulate bromine levels in spring at Ny-Ålesund and Barrow but noted that heterogeneous reactions

1155 were required to release and activate the particulate Br into gaseous form. Campaign measurements of NMHC at Alert confirmed that halogen reactions were indeed taking place (Jobson et al., 1994).

The measurements at the Zeppelin Observatory revealed that the changes observed in the NMHC profile (the relative distribution of NMHC species) during the LOEs could not be explained by standard OH chemistry, whereas it was consistent with significant levels of Cl radicals in the Arctic atmosphere. Furthermore, particularly low levels of acetylene during LOEs
1160 indicated atmospheric oxidation initiated by Br radicals as well, since acetylene is particularly reactive with respect to Br (Solberg et al., 1996b). Within the EU research project ARCTOC, extensive field campaigns were carried out during 1995 and 1996 at Ny-Ålesund leading to the detection and quantification of essential components of the halogen self-catalytic reactions, such as Br, Cl, BrO and ClO.

Initially, the occurrence of LOEs was regarded an isolated phenomenon only of importance for the Arctic tropospheric ozone
1165 budget. Then, measurements at Ny-Ålesund and Alert in 1998 revealed that these episodes were strongly associated with the deposition of particle-bound mercury in the Arctic (Lu et al., 2001). The data showed that the halogen radicals involved in the LOEs also led to a rapid transformation of long-lived gaseous elemental mercury (GEM) to total particulate-phase mercury (TPM) that was subsequently effectively deposited to the surface (Sect. 5.6). This established an important link between the LOEs and the input of Hg to the Arctic biosphere in spring. Furthermore, links between climate change in other
1170 regions and the frequency of Arctic LOEs have been proposed. For example, (Koo et al., 2014) found correlations between so-called ‘teleconnection patterns’, i.e. weather patterns in other regions, such as the Western Pacific, and the frequency of LOEs in the Arctic.

5.8 Reactive nitrogen

Reactive nitrogen species in the atmosphere play a key role in many issues linked to atmospheric pollutants, e.g.,
1175 acidification, aerosol formation and photochemical ozone episodes. The unique polar environment with low temperatures and prolonged periods with little solar radiation means that the behaviour of reactive nitrogen differs in important ways from that seen at lower latitudes. Peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) has been suggested as a main reservoir of oxidised nitrogen species in the Arctic atmosphere, particularly in winter, since the chemical lifetime of PAN is strongly dependent on temperature. Furthermore, an Arctic wintertime PAN reservoir has been proposed as a contributing source to the springtime peak in
1180 tropospheric ozone in the background northern hemisphere. If PAN is accumulated at high latitudes during winter, the rising temperatures in spring could lead to PAN being decomposed back to NO₂, a main ozone precursor in the background atmosphere.

PAN was measured during 1994 to 1996 at the Zeppelin Observatory and a marked seasonal cycle was found with a minimum at or below 100 ppt in summer and a maximum in March/ April (~day-of-year 90) at levels ≈400 ppt (Fig. 22).
1185 This is consistent with the expected behaviour of reactive nitrogen at high latitudes. During summer, concentrations are kept low by thermal breakdown and a multitude of photochemical reaction chains. During the cold and dark winter, thermal decomposition is low, and there is no local photochemical activity. The slightly increased winter concentrations can be

attributed to long-range transport of well-mixed air masses. During the spring season, light intensity and photochemical processes increase sharply, while thermal decomposition is still low, and we see multiple short-lived episodes of high PAN and PPN concentrations, merging into a spring maximum with a duration of 1 to 3 months.




Figure 22: Boxplot of monthly variation (box top and bottom: 75th and 50th quartiles, respectively, horizontal bar: median) and monthly averages (pink) of peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN), peroxypropionyl nitrate (PPN), and the PAN/PPN ratio at the Zeppelin Observatory in 1994 to 1996.

A close correlation with the seasonal cycle of surface ozone was found, except during low ozone episodes (LOEs), indicating that PAN was not part of these local events (Beine and Krognes, 2000). In spring, PAN levels approached the level of NO_Y , confirming that PAN constitutes a major fraction of odd nitrogen species in the Arctic in this season (Solberg et al., 1997). Note that unfortunately, substantial uncertainties ($\approx 20\%$) in both the NO_Y and the PAN measurements hindered a precise calculation of the fractionation of the individual NO_Y species. Based on the temperatures recorded at the Zeppelin Observatory it was concluded that PAN was too stable in the Arctic atmosphere in spring to contribute to local NO_2 formation and subsequent ozone formation, but it was not ruled out that such processes could occur during air mass transport to the Arctic (Beine and Krognes, 2000).

Along with the PAN measurements, PPN (Peroxypropionyl nitrate) was measured with the same instrument. A PAN/PPN ratio of 0.1 to 0.2 was found through the year (Fig. 21). Compared to studies of reactive nitrogen at lower latitudes (e.g. Singh and Salas, 1989; Shepson et al., 1992), this indicates that this ratio may have been overestimated by a factor of 2.

As for many other trace gases measured at the Zeppelin Observatory, a clear link between air mass origin and PAN/PPN concentrations was found, with highest levels linked to transport from the Russian sector and lowest levels linked to marine, Atlantic air (Solberg et al., 1997). The latter reflects that Atlantic air normally carries cleaner air masses, but it was also speculated that heterogeneous reactions involving PAN and other oxidised nitrogen species could reduce the species in humid air as a clear link between humidity and PAN levels was found (Beine et al., 2000).

In addition to PAN/PPN, NO_X was measured at the observatory in 1994 along with the NO_2 photolysis rate (Beine et al., 1996, Beine et al., 1999). Unlike most other trace gases, a seasonal cycle with maximum in spring was not found for NO_X . Instead, the monitoring data showed levels of ppt on average without any systematic pattern through the year. Based on the NO_X data it was indicated that the Zeppelin Observatory was influenced by local emissions from Ny-Ålesund a mere 6% of the time, but it should be noted that the measurement period was short and that detailed information of the local wind field around the Mt. Zeppelin was not available.

6 The future of measurements at the Zeppelin Observatory

1220 The main focus of atmospheric research has shifted over the decades. The original emphasis on establishing a global background, e.g. (Junge, 1972, Sect. 1) has been replaced by an understanding that there is no longer an atmosphere, anywhere on Earth, unperturbed by humans. Thus, the focus of many of the programmes and measurements described above is now on understanding the balance of atmospheric and Earth-systems processes, with an emphasis on understanding the present and future impact of anthropogenic activities.

1225 We identify two areas important for future research 1) examining the effects of rapid climate change particularly for aerosols and the carbon cycle where there is potential for feedbacks and tipping points, and 2) monitoring and study of new and emerging atmospheric trace constituents of relevance to health and climate, e.g. emerging contaminants such as POP-like chemicals of concern and CFC/HFC/HCFCs with very high global warming potentials.

6.1 A changing Arctic

1230 Rapid Arctic warming has changed atmospheric transport patterns: the polar front has moved southwards by 2.5° while the polar vortex (strong westerly winds in winter which limit movement of air between higher and lower latitudes) has grown weaker, due to increased/ earlier undulations (so-called ‘Rossby waves’, (Mitchell et al., 2012)). This phenomenon results in very cold weather events at lower latitudes and increased heat transport northwards. Thus, global warming, enhanced by the Arctic amplification is shifting the polar climate of Svalbard towards a maritime, Atlantic climate, with consequences for the natural biogeochemical exchanges between the atmosphere, ocean, ice and eventually permafrost. The frequency of important transport pathways of pollution to Ny-Ålesund will also change with the retreat of the ice cover and rapidly increasing lower troposphere temperatures. The variability and trends in the cycling of water through the Arctic atmosphere as observed at the Zeppelin Observatory is one obvious theme worth more focus due to its significance in climate change.

1235 Shifts in aerosol properties are likely to follow these regional changes with e.g., increased biological activity (Myers-Smith et al., 2020), increases in mineral dust from areas recently free of ice, increased wildfires (both forests and tundra scrub, Hu et al., 2015), and societal changes due to easier access to Arctic oil and gas extraction (Harsem et al., 2011) and the opening of new shipping routes (Humpert and Raspotnik, 2012). So far, these changes to Arctic aerosol, and hence to regional and global climate, are not well constrained. For example, Arctic mineral dust is hardly accounted for in global models (Groot Zwaaftink et al., 2016). A shift in the natural aerosol baseline within the Arctic is evident and an improved knowledge of the individual processes is needed to better constrain the future development of the Arctic climate (Schmale et al. 2021).

1245 Changes in the Arctic aerosol burden will in turn influence climate via direct and indirect aerosol effects, i.e. via increased absorption and scattering, and changes in CCN and ice nucleating particles (INP), respectively (Schmale et al., 2021). Marine and terrestrial sources both act as INP (Hartmann et al., 2020). Primary biological aerosol particles (PBAP) are particularly important, both per se and as a coating on sea salt aerosol and mineral dust enabling activation at higher temperatures than sea salt aerosol or mineral dust alone. Thawing permafrost can mobilise biological INP precursors into the

1250 atmosphere and, via lakes and rivers, to the ocean, and Arctic greening can be a source of INP-active PBAPs. INP from
melting glaciers was also discussed by Tobo et al. (2019). Essential information on polar INP is lacking, including on
activation temperature, composition, sources, origin, and seasonality (e.g., Creamean et al., 2018; 2019; 2020; Hartmann et
al., 2019; 2020). Meanwhile, most previous studies of Arctic CCN and cloud properties are based on short term campaigns,
1255 carried out predominantly in summer. Only a handful of studies cover seasonal cycles and interannual variability (Jung et al.,
2018), while a recent study highlighted the importance of studying localized chemical composition for cloud formation in the
high Arctic, finding that oceanic iodine-driven new particle formation potentially influences cloud formation (Baccarini et
al., 2020). Long-term measurements of CCN/INP are therefore required alongside detailed information on aerosol chemical
composition.

Understanding how changing Arctic aerosol composition will influence climate requires 1) knowledge of changes in the
1260 Arctic aerosol burden and 2) how these relate to changes in CCN and INP properties. Addressing 1) requires better
knowledge in several areas. For example, investigation of the influence of atmospheric transport patterns (e.g., physical
processes and the connection between land use and the composition of air and aerosols moving next to the ground) coupled
to historical transport patterns and future projections based on Earth system models. Improved knowledge of sources
themselves, including mineral dust, carbonaceous aerosol (e.g., BSOA, biomass burning organic aerosol, BBOA and BC)
1265 and how they evolve due to regional/ global change is also required. Addressing 2) requires coupling of aerosol properties to
observed INP and CCN properties including concentrations and ice nucleating / cloud forming potential. This might be
achieved through comparison of INP and CCN properties to aerosol composition either via comparison to pre-existing long
term data sets or dedicated measurement campaigns incorporating state-of-the-art instrumentation including high time
resolution measurements of composition. Source apportionment techniques, such as cluster analysis or positive matrix
1270 factorization (PMF) would also yield better link between CCN/INP properties and the factors contributing to their formation
e.g., BBOA, mineral dust etc. to better predict the impact of future changes in these sources.

There is some evidence that levels of mineral dust are increasing at the Zeppelin Observatory For example, Mn, Cr, Ni, and
to a lesser extent Zn and Cd, have increased since ~2007 (Fig. 19). While these elements have anthropogenic sources e.g.,
heavy industry, they are also crustal elements. It is therefore interesting to note that at the same time as levels appear to have
1275 increased, their estimated anthropogenic emissions have decreased, according to the European Environment Agency. This
suggests an increase in local or regional emissions, possibly from increased erosion and levels of mineral dust in an
increasingly ice-free Arctic. In the case of Mn, ocean spray is a significant source along with mineral dust (Howe et al.,
2004), and the increasing Mn levels might be linked to declining sea ice. A third possible explanation is changing weather
patterns. Since mineral dust will influence climate both via aerosol effects and on snow, it is important to elucidate the
1280 reason for the increasing levels, e.g., by transport modelling of emissions and/or by extending the range of HMs analysed to
include more crustal elements to distinguish between sources.

Although high time resolution measurements of eBC are performed at several Arctic sites (Hirdman et al., 2010a), regular
OC (and EC) measurements are generally lacking, as are studies of the Arctic organic aerosol. In a rapidly changing Arctic

environment with increased temperature and precipitation, retracting sea ice and changed circulation patterns and changes in natural and anthropogenic emissions are likely to affect the carbonaceous aerosol, its speciation and sources (Yttri et al in prep.). We recommend an increased focus on the Arctic carbonaceous aerosol, reflecting the current mismatch of importance and knowledge, as well an increased activity in studying the role of aerosol physical and chemical properties when acting as cloud seeds.

As well as changes in aerosol composition, rapid climate change will have a profound influence on the carbon cycle in the Arctic due to changes in biogeochemistry and the state of carbon reservoirs (see also Sect. 5.3). The complexity of both the natural and anthropogenic components of the carbon cycle is therefore another crucial topic. For example, the role of Arctic CH₄ in future climate is particularly important since levels have been rising unexpectedly since 2007 with negative implications for the Paris Agreement goals (see Sect. 4.3), hence future study of the intermittency of CH₄ concentrations and its isotopic composition is of particular importance.

The proximity of Ny-Ålesund to major carbon reservoirs on land and on the coast of Siberia is another aspect that is likely to ensure future relevance of measurements at the Zeppelin Observatory. For example, the Arctic seabed hosts a vast CH₄ reservoir, from 0.28 to 512 Gt carbon (Marín-Moreno et al., 2016, and refs therein), in the form of gas hydrates (GH). While previous work has demonstrated that the low CH₄ fluxes to the atmosphere from seaps and GHs is due to the capacity of methanotrophic bacteria to rapidly convert CH₄ to CO₂ in the water column e.g. (Silyakova et al., 2020), (Puglini et al., 2020) demonstrated that ‘sudden’ sea floor CH₄ releases yield a ‘window of opportunity’ for emissions before microbial communities can react to changing water column CH₄.

Increased wildfires in peat beds, forests, and tundra scrub, also result from rising temperatures (Hu et al., 2015), as do changes in CH₄ release from anaerobic methanogenic microbial communities in high-latitude wetland soils, which also respond to changes in precipitation, i.e., anoxic conditions (Valentine et al., 1994). Thawing permafrost emits CH₄ directly and also causes indirect CH₄ emissions, and is a potential climate feedback (Schuur et al., 2015). The direct CH₄ emissions result from release of trapped CH₄ while the more important indirect effect is due increased release of organic carbon coupled with hydrological changes, increasing the activity of methanogenic microbes (McCalley et al., 2014). Another important non-CO₂ greenhouse gas is N₂O, with a global warming potential 265 to 298 times that of CO₂ (Montzka et al. 2011; Hodnebrog et al., 2013), N₂O is also released from anoxic soils and the changes in wetland soils and microbial communities are also relevant to this species.

Top-down CH₄ and N₂O estimates from these sources, have been assessed with atmospheric inversion frameworks (e.g. FLEXINVERT, (Thompson and Stohl, 2014)) and the Community Inversion Framework (CIF, Berchet et al., 2020). Such inversions are based on combining observations with an atmospheric transport model (e.g., the FLEXible PARTicle dispersion model, FLEXPART) to relate changes in concentrations to changes in fluxes. The approach uses Bayesian statistics and optimises (posterior) fluxes by minimising a ‘cost-function’, accounting for uncertainties in prior flux estimates and observations. Understanding developments in the CH₄ and N₂O budgets requires better integration of atmospheric chemistry (e.g., Cl oxidation) and land surface models (e.g., FLUXNET-CH₄, Knox et al., 2019) with top-down approaches.

Furthermore, inclusion of more observational data is needed at high latitudes and in the Arctic and would reduce errors in posterior flux estimates. This might be achieved via integration of satellite data fields (such as Sentinel 5P) into inversion models, which would require not only streamlined algorithms to reduce computation times, but also careful validation of the satellite data. As one of only a handful of Arctic sites, the Zeppelin Observatory would play a key role.

Finally, the IPCC estimates that carbon emissions must be cut by 45% by 2030 to prevent warming beyond 1.5°C, thus the next 10 years are crucial for the state of the Earth's climate from a political perspective. Several nationally determined contributions (NDCs) towards meeting the goal of limiting average warming to 2°C come into effect. For example, Norway submitted an enhanced climate target under the Paris agreement with the target to reduce GHG emissions by at least 50 %, and towards 55 % by 2030 (Norwegian Climate Law, <https://lovdata.no/dokument/NL/lov/2017-06-16-60>). The EU has committed to a 40% reduction by 2030 (European Commission, 2019), and a more ambitious EU plan to cut emissions by 55% was presented in September 2020. These legal requirements are likely to see considerable focus on GHG emission compliance, and in-situ observations at Zeppelin Observatory will play a key role at the national level (Zeppelin is one of only two ICOS atmospheric observatories on Norwegian territory as of 2020) and the international level as a global background site. This focus on GHG emissions, together with an understanding of the importance of the Arctic for climate is an opportunity to gain political support to establish a pan-Arctic observational capability, crucial to examining the impacts of the rapidly altering regional land and marine conditions on the Arctic rim states. The institutional support of the Zeppelin Observatory should be discussed first at the national level in Norway/ Sweden where weather, marine and ecosystem research should align their objectives and capabilities, and then an international initiative could be undertaken to further develop a pan-Arctic earth system observing capability involving all the Arctic rim states.

6.2 Emerging environmental concerns

Many emerging pollutants like airborne microplastics (Evangelidou et al., 2020) require study. The backdrop provided by the long-time series from the Zeppelin Observatory forms a unique opportunity for process oriented or basic research experiments. Zeppelin will undoubtedly be a primary location for this in years to come. Surprise events with environmental effects can be followed up, radioactivity was for example detected at the Zeppelin Observatory 10 days after the Fukushima nuclear incident (Paatero et al., 2012) demonstrating a different long-term justification for the observatory.

The long-term POP monitoring programme at Zeppelin documents a general decline for most regulated POPs. However, the concentrations of some of these POPs decline only very slowly, or even show occasional increases, such as for HCB and PCBs (Sect. 5.5). These examples highlight the need for sustained monitoring at Zeppelin to ensure that global chemical management strategies remain effective. Attention should be given to the legacy POPs which remain to be of ecotoxicological concern, and for which contemporary emissions remain poorly characterised. The example discussed for HCB furthermore illustrates the utility of the FLEXPART model to identify regional and global source regions when these are poorly constrained.

1350 At the same time, new organic chemicals are continuously entering the market, either as substitutes to replace the regulated
POPs or to fulfil new demands. Some of these chemicals may have similar impacts on ecosystems as the legacy POPs while
some may fulfil persistence and mobility criteria but do not necessarily bioaccumulate. The latter do, however, need to be
put on an equivalent level of concern to traditional POPs. To support and improve regulatory actions, there is a need to
gather proofs of persistence, long-range transport, and the impact of new chemicals. The Zeppelin Observatory is an
1355 essential measurement platform in this context, since the detection of a chemical in Arctic air is a good indicator for
persistence and long-range transport, after local sources have been excluded.

Targeted screening projects aimed at identifying CECs in various environmental matrices are important to prioritise CECs
whether CECs should be included in Arctic monitoring programmes. The results of such studies have provided the evidence
needed to include cVMS, chlorinated paraffins, novel flame retardants, dechloranes and a broader set of PFAS in the routine
1360 monitoring programme at Zeppelin. A complementary approach for identifying potential CECs for targeted analysis is to use
in situ tools to screen large lists of chemicals in commerce to identify chemicals that can be transported into the Arctic
(Brown and Wania, 2008; Howard and Muir, 2010). While the targeted approaches used for monitoring of POPs apply very
selective sample clean-up and analytical methods, only allowing for detection and analysis of a very limited number of target
compounds, the non-target methods allow for detection also of unselected chemicals. Recent instrumental developments will
1365 allow a much broader analytical approach by using suspect and non-target screening. Röhler et al. (2020) identified
previously undetected compounds in Arctic air by using non-target and suspect screening methods on high-volume air
samples. This shows that combining air sampling with new analytical methodologies can be a tool for early identification
and an early-warning system for airborne CECs.

Lastly, beyond CH₄ and N₂O, there are several other non-CO₂ climate gases monitored at the Zeppelin Observatory with
1370 extremely high global warming potentials (GWP) compared to that of CO₂. These include CFCs such as CFC-11
(GWP=4660), CFC-12 (GWP=10200), and CFC-113 (GWP=13100), as well their replacement HFCs e.g. HFC-23
(GWP=12400) and SF₆ (GWP=23500). Accordingly, the CFC/HCFC/HFC family accounts for 12% of the increase in
radiative forcing since 1750, despite mixing ratios 2 to 3 orders of magnitude lower than that of CO₂ (Myhre et al., 2013).
Presently, the contribution to global warming posed by CFC/HCFC/HFC is very limited, since concentrations are extremely
1375 low. However, since levels of many of these compounds are increasing rapidly their development must be carefully followed
(Myhre et al., 2020). Of particular concern as shown in recent studies is the slowing down of the rate of decline in CFC-11
by ≈50% after 2012, both globally and at Zeppelin (Montzka et al., 2018). This is probably related to unreported emissions
in China (Rigby et al., 2019), though this emissions source has now been stopped Park et al. (2021).

7 Securing the future standing of the Zeppelin Observatory

1380 The scientific goals described above can only be achieved if a broader goal of maintaining and strengthening the position of
the Zeppelin Observatory as a leading global background measurement site is met. Global background sites offer unique

opportunities for monitoring and research and FLEXible PARTicle dispersion model and the Zeppelin Observatory's location ensures that it will remain at the forefront of atmospheric science for years to come. The partners at the Zeppelin Observatory (NPI, SU, NILU) are actively engaged in securing this future and a new strategic plan for Zeppelin has been published (Steen et al., 2021). Many changes in human society occur over decadal timescales, if not longer, as do many atmospheric processes linked e.g., to the long lifetimes of CO₂ and CFCs, hence background monitoring sites should maintain as many time series as possible which are compatible backwards in time, while at the same time introducing new measurements of emerging pollutants, e.g., airborne microplastics, that require study. One must prepare for surprises, where a site like the Zeppelin Observatory can add a lot of information. Hence, the strategic plan includes ensuring data quality via traceable references (good metadata), deploying state-of-the-art instrumentation, and monitoring the parameters that are relevant for understanding anthropogenic influences.

To maintain data quality, continued minimal local contaminants levels must be ensured. As the region rapidly changes, alongside monitoring activities, it is important survey the effects of local emissions on the measured constituents in all ongoing monitoring programmes. Ensuring minimal local contamination, linked to the activities at the observatory, as well as actively seeking to reduce emissions in the Ny-Ålesund settlement, is essential, as is logging local emissions. The interaction of mixing processes on the local, meso and regional scales needs to be under permanent surveillance to assess how measured constituent levels are impacted by them. Examples of such work are the studies of Eckhardt et al. (2013) and Dekhtyareva et al. (2018), demonstrating an influence of cruise ship emissions, now largely mitigated by the 2015 heavy fuel oil ban for ships close to the shoreline around Svalbard. Furthermore, the changing climate in Svalbard is likely to impact the local dispersion characteristics and increase the frequency of local dust and sandstorms (e.g., by decreased glaciation) which would undoubtedly influence aerosol distributions, particle number and metal concentrations both locally and on the regional scale and their might be associated climate feedbacks. It will remain important to be able to distinguish between the impact of both local and regional dust.

Further steps outlined by (Steen et al., 2021) to maintain the leading position of the observatory include maintaining open and accessible data, following FAIR principles (Wilkinson et al., 2016) and making meta-data and the physical data available in open databases promptly after reporting. Long term funding, good management routines, trained staff and stable and adequate infrastructure are also essential.

8 Conclusions

With continuous measurements of a range of atmospheric trace gas components since 1989, the Zeppelin Observatory is a cornerstone of national and international monitoring programs and Arctic atmospheric research. The construction of the observatory was motivated by the need to monitor the global background levels of aerosols, gaseous species related to climate change, ozone layer depletion, Arctic haze, changes in the oxidizing capacity of the global atmosphere, accumulation of persistent organic species in the food chain, heavy metals and in particular mercury, and eventually related to earth system

dynamics and changes. While the observatory at its inception was primarily focussed on national monitoring, the Zeppelin
1415 Observatory now hosts measurements from 17 institutions in 13 countries. Although these are mostly long-term
measurements, the Zeppelin Observatory regularly hosts instruments for short-term (1 to 3 years) campaigns. Measurement
capabilities have been continuously improved to include state-of-the-art instrumentation.

The location of the observatory was selected to minimise local influences and surface exchange, based on measurements of
CO₂ and sulfate aerosol. Subsequent analysis with the FLEXPART model confirmed that the Zeppelin Observatory receives
1420 air mostly from above 500 m a.s.l. due to frequent temperature inversions and from the unpolluted wider Arctic with little
influence from the Ny-Ålesund settlement and a minor influence from cruise ships. Because of this, the site experiences
some of the lowest levels of particulate matter in Europe. The Zeppelin Observatory is therefore an excellent site for basic
studies on the atmosphere to establish a baseline for how pollution effects natural systems. Aerosol levels are influenced by
the formation of Arctic haze with high levels of EC and OC in the Arctic spring, with a second peak in OC seen in August/
1425 September, a result of biogenic emissions of PBAP and BSOA formation. Meanwhile, overall declines in sulfate and nitrate
reflect the success of the Gothenburg protocol. Today, during the summer, biogenic sources dominate the concentrations of
atmospheric gases and aerosols, allowing basic studies of the natural biogeochemical cycles and related processes. With
decreasing trends in concentrations of many anthropogenically related air pollutants and magnitude of Arctic Haze in
general, natural processes and changes of natural environment within the Arctic and nearby boreal forest zone will likely
1430 have increasing importance on the Arctic atmosphere in future.

The Zeppelin Observatory is now an ICOS class 1 site, making an important contribution to Norwegian national monitoring
and international monitoring of greenhouse gases. Recently the Zeppelin observatory became part of the ACTRIS network as
an aerosol in-situ and a cloud in-situ station, within the framework of ACTRIS-Sweden. Time series of CO₂ and CH₄, dating
back to 1989 and 1994, respectively (pre-dating ICOS), reflect the global trend of long term increases in CO₂ and recent
1435 increases since ~2007 for CH₄. Similarly, CFC/HCFC/HFC monitoring is undertaken at Zeppelin as part of AGAGE and is a
key station for the monitoring of these species as ozone depleting substances for the Montreal protocol and towards meeting
the Paris Agreement as species with high global warming potentials.

We have shown how Arctic climate change is driving rapidly evolving capabilities to study the Earth System as a seamless,
integrated whole, providing new opportunities and responsibilities for the Zeppelin Observatory agenda and for Norwegian
1440 authorities and research institutions. The backdrop of the long-time series provides a unique opportunity for both process-
oriented and basic research experiments. The Zeppelin Observatory will undoubtedly be one of the primary locations for this
for years to come.

9 Data availability

Most data are publicly available on ebas.nilu.no or else on request via the responsible institutions listed in Tables 1-to 4.

1445 **10 Author contributions**

Compiling co-author input and leading the manuscript preparation: SP, Kjetil T, ØH. Preparation of figures: SP, KAP, KB, SE, H-CH, RK, PN, SS, AS, JS, KEY. Data analysis: SP, SS, SE, RK, DH-R, OH, TK, CL, NS, PBN, TS, KEY, PZ. Writing manuscript sections: Introduction and historical aspects: SP, ØH, SS, CAP, JH, KH, SH, SL, GH; Atmospheric transport: NE, AS, SE; Aerosol chemical composition: KE, KEY, MF, YJY, K-TP, WAa; Aerosol physical properties: MF, H-CH, 1450 DH-R, RK, JS, PZ, YJY, K-TP; Climate gases: SP, OH, TS, CL, CLM, EN, RF, DL, TR, CvdV; Non-methane hydrocarbons: SS, NS, CLM; Persistent organic pollutants: KB, SE, PBN; Heavy metals and mercury: KAP, KEY, TB; Surface ozone: SS; Reactive nitrogen: TK,SS; Future of the observatory: SP, KEY, WAa, ØH, CAP, KH. Data collection and station operations: OH, CL, NS, Kjersti T, RK, H-CH, DH-R, RK, JS, PZ, CAP, KH. Review of manuscript: all.

11 Competing interests

1455 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

12 Acknowledgements

The Ministry of Climate and Environment in Norway and the Swedish EPA have provided the funding for the facilities on the Zeppelin Mountain. The individual time series have been funded through various national or international programs and projects, and we refer to the list of References for details. For the Norwegian monitoring activities, the Norwegian 1460 Environment Agency is the major source of funding.

The authors acknowledge NPI, SU, and NILU staff/engineers that have worked every day of the week to maintain and operate all the instruments at Zeppelin Observatory over 30 years. Without them, we would not have had all the high-quality long-term timeseries without data gaps.

1465 **References**

The Environmental Specimen Bank (ESB), <https://miljoprovebanken.no/english/>, accessed 14.08.2020.

EBAS, <http://ebas.nilu.no/>, accessed 08.03.2019.

Aas, W., Mortier, A., Bowersox, V., Cherian, R., Faluvegi, G., Fagerli, H., Hand, J., Klimont, Z., Galy-Lacaux, C., Lehmann, C. M. B., Myhre, C. L., Myhre, G., Olivié, D., Sato, K., Quaas, J., Rao, P. S. P., Schulz, M., Shindell, D., Skeie, 1470 R. B., Stein, A., Takemura, T., Tsyro, S., Vet, R., and Xu, X.: Global and regional trends of atmospheric sulfur, *Scientific Reports*, 9, 953, 10.1038/s41598-018-37304-0, 2019.

Aas, W., Fiebig, M., Solberg, S., and Yttri, K. E.: Monitoring of long-range transported air pollutants in Norway. Annual report 2019, NILU rapport, 2020.

1475 Arnot, J. A., Armitage, J. M., McCarty, L. S., Wania, F., Cousins, I. T., and Toose-Reid, L.: Toward a consistent evaluative framework for POP risk characterization. ACS Publications, 2011.

Aspmo, K., Gauchard, P.-A., Steffen, A., Temme, C., Berg, T., Bahlmann, E., Banic, C., Dommergue, A., Ebinghaus, R., and Ferrari, C.: Measurements of atmospheric mercury species during an international study of mercury depletion events at Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard, spring 2003. How reproducible are our present methods?, *Atmospheric Environment*, 39, 7607-7619, 2005.

1480 Barrie, L., Bottenheim, J., Schnell, R., Crutzen, P., and Rasmussen, R.: Ozone destruction and photochemical reactions at polar sunrise in the lower Arctic atmosphere, *Nature*, 334, 138-141, 1988.

Beine, H., Argentini, S., Maurizi, A., Mastrantonio, G., and Viola, A.: The local wind field at Ny-Ålesund and the Zeppelin mountain at Svalbard, *Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics*, 78, 107-113, 2001.

1485 Beine, H. J., Engardt, M., Jaffe, D. A., Hov, Ø., Holme, K., and Stordal, F.: Measurements of NO_x and aerosol particles at the NY-Ålesund Zeppelin mountain station on Svalbard: Influence of regional and local pollution sources, *Atmospheric Environment*, 30, 1067-1079, 1996.

Beine, H. J., Krognest, T., and Stordal, F.: High-latitude springtime photochemistry. Part I: NO_x, PAN and ozone relationships, *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 27, 127-153, 1997.

1490 Beine, H. J., Dahlback, A., and Ørbæk, J. B.: Measurements of J (NO₂) at Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 104, 16009-16019, 1999.

Beine, H. J., and Krognest, T.: The seasonal cycle of peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) in the European Arctic, *Atmospheric Environment*, 34, 933-940, 2000.

1495 Berchet, A., Sollum, E., Thompson, R. L., Pison, I., Thanwerdas, J., Broquet, G., Chevallier, F., Aalto, T., Bergamaschi, P., and Brunner, D.: The Community Inversion Framework v1. 0: a unified system for atmospheric inversion studies, *Geoscientific Model Development Discussions*, 1-41, 2020.

Berg, T., Sekkesæter, S., Steinnes, E., Valdal, A.-K., and Wibetoe, G.: Springtime depletion of mercury in the European Arctic as observed at Svalbard, *Science of the Total Environment*, 304, 43-51, 2003.

Berg, T., Kallenborn, R., and Manø, S.: Temporal trends in atmospheric heavy metal and organochlorine concentrations at Zeppelin, Svalbard, Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research, 36, 284-291, 2004.

1500 Berg, T., Aas, W., Pacyna, J., Uggerud, H. T., and Vadset, M.: Atmospheric trace metal concentrations at Norwegian background sites during 25 years and its relation to European emissions, *Atmospheric Environment*, 42, 7494-7501, 2008.

Berg, T., Pfaffhuber, K. A., Cole, A., Engelsen, O., and Steffen, A.: Ten-year trends in atmospheric mercury concentrations, meteorological effects and climate variables at Zeppelin, Ny-Alesund, 2013.

1505 Berg, W. W., Sperry, P. D., Rahn, K. A., and Gladney, E. S.: Atmospheric bromine in the Arctic, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 88, 6719-6736, 1983.

- Bidleman, T., and Olney, C.: Chlorinated hydrocarbons in the Sargasso Sea atmosphere and surface water, *Science*, 183, 516-518, 1974.
- Bliss, L. C.: Arctic tundra and polar desert biome, *North American terrestrial vegetation*, 2, 1-40, 2000.
- 1510 Bottenheim, J. W., Gallant, A. G., and Brice, K. A.: Measurements of NO_y species and O₃ at 82 N latitude, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 13, 113-116, 1986.
- Bottenheim, J. W., Barrie, L. A., Atlas, E., Heidt, L. E., Niki, H., Rasmussen, R. A., and Shepson, P. B.: Depletion of lower tropospheric ozone during Arctic spring: The Polar Sunrise Experiment 1988, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 95, 18555-18568, 1990.
- 1515 Brass, M., and Röckmann, T.: Continuous-flow isotope ratio mass spectrometry method for carbon and hydrogen isotope measurements on atmospheric methane, *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, 3, 1707-1721, 2010.
- Breivik, K., Sweetman, A., Pacyna, J. M., and Jones, K. C.: Towards a global historical emission inventory for selected PCB congeners—a mass balance approach: 2. Emissions, *Science of the Total Environment*, 290, 199-224, 2002.
- Brosset, C.: The behavior of mercury in the physical environment, *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, 34, 145-166, 1987.
- 1520 Brown, T. N., and Wania, F.: Screening chemicals for the potential to be persistent organic pollutants: A case study of Arctic contaminants, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 42, 5202-5209, 2008.
- Browse, J., Carslaw, K. S., Arnold, S. R., Pringle, K., and Boucher, O.: The scavenging processes controlling the seasonal cycle in Arctic sulphate and black carbon aerosol, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 6775-6798, 10.5194/acp-12-6775-2012, 2012.
- Budyko, M. I.: The effect of solar radiation variations on the climate of the Earth, *Tellus*, 21, 611-619, 10.3402/tellusa.v21i5.10109, 1969.
- 1525 Cavalli, F., Viana, M., Yttri, K. E., Genberg, J., and Putaud, J.-P.: Toward a standardised thermal-optical protocol for measuring atmospheric organic and elemental carbon: the EUSAAR protocol, *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, 3, 79-89, 2010.
- Cavanagh, L. A., Schadt, C. F., and Robinson, E.: Atmospheric hydrocarbon and carbon monoxide measurements at Point Barrow, Alaska, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 3, 251-257, 10.1021/es60026a002, 1969.
- 1530 Chain, E. P. o. C. i. t. F., Knutsen, H. K., Alexander, J., Barregård, L., Bignami, M., Brüschweiler, B., Ceccatelli, S., Cottrill, B., Dinovi, M., and Edler, L.: Risk for animal and human health related to the presence of dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs in feed and food, *EFSA Journal*, 16, e05333, 2018.
- Cole, A., and Steffen, A.: Trends in long-term gaseous mercury observations in the Arctic and effects of temperature and other atmospheric conditions, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10, 4661, 2010.
- 1535 Cole, A., Steffen, A., Pfaffhuber, K. A., Berg, T., Pilote, M., Poissant, L., Tordon, R., and Hung, H.: Ten-year trends of atmospheric mercury in the high Arctic compared to Canadian sub-Arctic and mid-latitude sites, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 13, 1535-1545, 2013.
- Colomb, A., Conil, S., Delmotte, M., Heliasz, M., Hermannsen, O., Holst, J., Keronen, P., Komínková, K., Kubistin, D., Laurent, O., Lehner, I., Levula, J., Lindauer, M., Lunder, C., Lund Myhre, C., Marek, M., Marklund, P., Mölder, M.,

- 1540 Ottosson Löfvenius, M., Pichon, J.-M., Plaß-Dülmer, C., Ramonet, M., Schumacher, M., Steinbacher, M., Vítková, G.,
Weyrauch, D., and Yver-Kwok, C.: ICOS Atmospheric Greenhouse Gas Mole Fractions of CO₂, CH₄, CO, 14CO₂ and
Meteorological Observations 2016-2018, final quality controlled Level 2 data, 10.18160/rhkc-vp22, 2018.
- Commission, E.: Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the
European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. The European Green Deal. COM/2019/640
1545 final, 2019.
- Conway, T. J., and Steele, L. P.: Carbon dioxide and methane in the Arctic atmosphere, *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*,
9, 81-99, 10.1007/BF00052826, 1989.
- Cooper, O. R., Schultz, M. G., Schröder, S., Chang, K.-L., Gaudel, A., Benítez, G. C., Cuevas, E., Fröhlich, M., Galbally, I.
E., and Molloy, S.: Multi-decadal surface ozone trends at globally distributed remote locations, *Elementa: Science of the*
1550 *Anthropocene*, 8, 2020.
- Cui, S., Fu, Q., Ma, W.-L., Song, W.-W., Liu, L.-Y., and Li, Y.-F.: A preliminary compilation and evaluation of a
comprehensive emission inventory for polychlorinated biphenyls in China, *Science of the Total Environment*, 533, 247-255,
2015.
- Dall'Osto, M., Beddows, D., Tunved, P., Krejci, R., Ström, J., Hansson, H.-C., Yoon, Y., Park, K.-T., Becagli, S., and
1555 Udisti, R.: Arctic sea ice melt leads to atmospheric new particle formation, *Scientific reports*, 7, 1-10, 2017.
- Dalsøren, S. B., Myhre, C. L., Myhre, G., Gomez-Pelaez, A. J., Søvde, O. A., Isaksen, I. S., and Weiss, R. F.: Atmospheric
methane evolution the last 40 years, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*
16, 3099-3126, 2016.
- Dekhtyareva, A., Holmén, K., Maturilli, M., Hermansen, O., and Graversen, R.: Effect of seasonal mesoscale and microscale
1560 meteorological conditions in Ny-Ålesund on results of monitoring of long-range transported pollution, *Polar Research*, 37,
1508196, 2018.
- Dye, C., and Yttri, K. E.: Determination of monosaccharide anhydrides in atmospheric aerosols by use of high-performance
liquid chromatography combined with high-resolution mass spectrometry, *Analytical chemistry*, 77, 1853-1858, 2005.
- Ebinghaus, R., Jennings, S., Kock, H., Derwent, R., Manning, A., and Spain, T.: Decreasing trends in total gaseous mercury
1565 observations in baseline air at Mace Head, Ireland from 1996 to 2009, *Atmospheric Environment*, 45, 3475-3480, 2011.
- Eckhardt, S., Breivik, K., Man, S., and Stohl, A.: Record high peaks in PCB concentrations in the Arctic atmosphere due to
long-range transport of biomass burning emissions, 2007.
- Eckhardt, S., Hermansen, O., Grythe, H., Fiebig, M., Stebel, K., Cassiani, M., Bäcklund, A., and Stohl, A.: The influence of
cruise ship emissions on air pollution in Svalbard-a harbinger of a more polluted Arctic?, 2013.
- 1570 Eckhardt, S., Quennehen, B., Olivieri, D. J. L., Berntsen, T. K., Cherian, R., Christensen, J. H., Collins, W., Crepinsek, S.,
Daskalakis, N., and Flanner, M.: Current model capabilities for simulating black carbon and sulfate concentrations in the
Arctic atmosphere: a multi-model evaluation using a comprehensive measurement data set, *Atmospheric Chemistry and*
Physics, 15, 9413-9433, 2015.

- 1575 Eleftheriadis, K., Vratolis, S., and Nyeki, S.: Aerosol black carbon in the European Arctic: measurements at Zeppelin station, Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard from 1998–2007, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36, 2009.
- Engvall, A. C., Krejci, R., Ström, J., Treffeisen, R., Scheele, R., Hermansen, O., and Paatero, J.: Changes in aerosol properties during spring-summer period in the Arctic troposphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 445-462, 10.5194/acp-8-445-2008, 2008.
- 1580 Esau, I., and Repina, I.: Wind climate in Kongsfjorden, Svalbard, and attribution of leading wind driving mechanisms through turbulence-resolving simulations, *Advances in Meteorology*, 2012, 2012.
- Etminan, M., Myhre, G., Highwood, E. J., and Shine, K. P.: Radiative forcing of carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide: A significant revision of the methane radiative forcing, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43, 12,614-612,623, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL071930>, 2016.
- 1585 Evangeliou, N., Grythe, H., Klimont, Z., Heyes, C., Eckhardt, S., Lopez-Aparicio, S., and Stohl, A.: Atmospheric transport is a major pathway of microplastics to remote regions, *Nature Communications*, 11, 3381, 10.1038/s41467-020-17201-9, 2020.
- France, J. L., Cain, M., Fisher, R. E., Lowry, D., Allen, G., O'Shea, S. J., Illingworth, S., Pyle, J., Warwick, N., and Jones, B. T.: Measurements of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in CH_4 and using particle dispersion modeling to characterize sources of Arctic methane within an air mass, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 121, 2016.
- 1590 Freud, E., Krejci, R., Tunved, P., Leaitch, R., Nguyen, Q. T., Massling, A., Skov, H., and Barrie, L.: Pan-Arctic aerosol number size distributions: seasonality and transport patterns, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 8101-8128, 10.5194/acp-17-8101-2017, 2017.
- Fritz, S.: *IGY Bulletins: No. 19, No. 20, No. 21, 40, 57-63*, 10.1029/TR040i001p00041, 1959.
- Garrett, T. J., and Verzella, L. L.: An evolving history of Arctic aerosols, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 89, 299-302, 2008.
- 1595 Giege, B., and Odsjö, T.: Coordination of environmental specimen banking in the Nordic countries, its mission and strategy, *Science of the total environment*, 139, 37-47, 1993.
- Gilbert, R. O.: *Statistical methods for environmental pollution monitoring*, John Wiley & Sons, 1987.
- Gong, S., and Barrie, L.: Trends of heavy metal components in the Arctic aerosols and their relationship to the emissions in the Northern Hemisphere, *Science of the Total Environment*, 342, 175-183, 2005.
- 1600 Goyal, R., England, M. H., Gupta, A. S., and Jucker, M.: Reduction in surface climate change achieved by the 1987 Montreal Protocol, *Environmental Research Letters*, 14, 124041, 2019.
- Graversen, R. G., and Wang, M.: Polar amplification in a coupled climate model with locked albedo, *Climate Dynamics*, 33, 629-643, 2009.
- 1605 Grossman, E.: *Nonlegacy PCBs: pigment manufacturing by-products get a second look*. National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, 2013.
- Hall, A.: The role of surface albedo feedback in climate, *Journal of Climate*, 17, 1550-1568, 2004.

- Halse, A. K., Schlabach, M., Eckhardt, S., Sweetman, A., Jones, K. C., and Breivik, K.: Spatial variability of POPs in European background air, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11, 1549-1564, 2011.
- Halvorsen, H. L., Bohlin-Nizzetto, P., Eckhardt, S., Gusev, A., Krogseth, I. S., Moeckel, C., Shatalov, V., Skogeng, L. P., and Breivik, K.: Main sources controlling atmospheric burdens of persistent organic pollutants on a national scale, *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 217, 112172, 2021.
- Hanao, R.: [The Kings Bay accident, November 5, 1962], *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen*, 109, 1974-1981, 1989.
- Hansen, A., Kristensen, K., Nguyen, Q., Zare, A., Cozzi, F., Nøjgaard, J., Skov, H., Brandt, J., Christensen, J., and Ström, J.: Organosulfates and organic acids in Arctic aerosols: speciation, annual variation and concentration levels, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 14, 7807-7823, 2014.
- Harsem, Ø., Eide, A., and Heen, K.: Factors influencing future oil and gas prospects in the Arctic, *Energy policy*, 39, 8037-8045, 2011.
- Hausmann, M., and Platt, U.: Spectroscopic measurement of bromine oxide and ozone in the high Arctic during Polar Sunrise Experiment 1992, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 99, 25399-25413, 1994.
- Heintzenberg, J.: Particle size distribution and optical properties of Arctic haze, *Tellus*, 32, 251-260, 10.3402/tellusa.v32i3.10580, 1980.
- Heintzenberg, J., Hansson, H.-C., and Lannefors, H.: The chemical composition of arctic haze at Ny-Ålesund, Spitsbergen, 33, 162-171, 10.1111/j.2153-3490.1981.tb01741.x, 1981.
- Heintzenberg, J.: An investigation of possible sites for a background monitoring station in the European Arctic, Report / Department of Meteorology, University of Stockholm. AP, 0280-4441 ; 22, Stockholm, 1983.
- Heintzenberg, J., Hans-Christen, H., John, A. O., and Sven-Åke, O.: Concept and Realization of an Air Pollution Monitoring Station in the European Arctic, *Ambio*, 14, 152-157, 1985.
- Heslin-Rees, D., Burgos, M., Hansson, H.-C., Krejci, R., Ström, J., Tunved, P., and Zieger, P.: From a polar to a marine environment: has the changing Arctic led to a shift in aerosol light scattering properties?, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 20, 13671-13686, 2020.
- Hewitt, C. N.: C. Nicholas Hewitt (ed.): Reactive Hydrocarbons in the Atmosphere, *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 35, 319-321, 2000.
- Hirdman, D., Aspö, K., Burkhardt, J., Eckhardt, S., Sodemann, H., and Stohl, A.: Transport of mercury in the Arctic atmosphere: Evidence for a spring-time net sink and summer-time source, *Geophysical research letters*, 36, 2009.
- Hirdman, D., Burkhardt, J. F., Sodemann, H., Eckhardt, S., Jefferson, A., Quinn, P. K., Sharma, S., Ström, J., and Stohl, A.: Long-term trends of black carbon and sulphate aerosol in the Arctic: changes in atmospheric transport and source region emissions, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10, 9351-9368, 2010a.
- Hirdman, D. A., Sodemann, H., Eckhardt, S., Burkhardt, J. F., Jefferson, A., Mefford, T., Quinn, P. K., Sharma, S., Ström, J., and Stohl, A.: Source identification of short-lived air pollutants in the Arctic using statistical analysis of measurement data and particle dispersion model output, 2010b.

- Hodnebrog, Ø., Etminan, M., Fuglestvedt, J., Marston, G., Myhre, G., Nielsen, C., Shine, K. P., and Wallington, T.: Global warming potentials and radiative efficiencies of halocarbons and related compounds: A comprehensive review, *Reviews of Geophysics*, 51, 300-378, 2013.
- 1645 Holmes, C. D., Jacob, D. J., Corbitt, E. S., Mao, J., Yang, X., Talbot, R., and Slemr, F.: Global atmospheric model for mercury including oxidation by bromine atoms, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10, 12037-12057, 2010.
- Holmgren, B., Shaw, G., and Weller, G.: Turbidity in the Arctic atmosphere, *AIDJEX Bulletin*, http://psc.apl.washington.edu/nonwp_projects/aidjex/files/AIDJEX-27.pdf, accessed 05.02.2020, 27, 1974.
- Horowitz, H. M., Jacob, D. J., Zhang, Y., Dibble, T. S., Slemr, F., Amos, H. M., Schmidt, J. A., Corbitt, E. S., Marais, E. A., and Sunderland, E. M.: A new mechanism for atmospheric mercury redox chemistry: implications for the global mercury budget, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 17, 6353-6371, 2017.
- 1650 Hov, Ø., Penkett, S., Isaksen, I., and Semb, A.: Organic gases in the Norwegian Arctic, *Geophysical research letters*, 11, 425-428, 1984.
- Hov, Ø., and Holtet, J., A.: Prosjektering av atmosfærekjemisk forskningsstasjon I Ny-Ålesund på Svalbard. NILU OR 67/87. Lillestrøm, Norway., 1987.
- 1655 Hov, Ø., Schmidbauer, N., and Oehme, M.: Light hydrocarbons in the Norwegian Arctic, *Atmospheric Environment (1967)*, 23, 2471-2482, 1989.
- Hov, ø., Sorteberg, A., Schmidbauer, N., Solberg, S., Stordal, F., Simpson, D., Lindskog, A., Areskoug, H., Oyola, P., Lättilä, H., and Heidam, N. Z.: European VOC Emission Estimates Evaluated by Measurements and Model Calculations, *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 28, 173-193, 10.1023/A:1005859027649, 1997.
- 1660 Howard, P. H., and Muir, D. C.: Identifying new persistent and bioaccumulative organics among chemicals in commerce. ACS Publications, 2010.
- Howe, P., Malcolm, H., and Dobson, S.: Manganese and its compounds: environmental aspects, World Health Organization, 2004.
- Hu, F. S., Higuera, P. E., Duffy, P., Chipman, M. L., Rocha, A. V., Young, A. M., Kelly, R., and Dietze, M. C.: Arctic tundra fires: natural variability and responses to climate change, *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 13, 369-377, 2015.
- 1665 Humpert, M., and Raspotnik, A.: The future of Arctic shipping, *Port Technology International*, 55, 10-11, 2012.
- Hung, H., Kallenborn, R., Breivik, K., Su, Y., Brorström-Lundén, E., Olafsdottir, K., Thorlacius, J. M., Leppänen, S., Bossi, R., and Skov, H.: Atmospheric monitoring of organic pollutants in the Arctic under the Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP): 1993–2006, *Science of the Total Environment*, 408, 2854-2873, 2010.
- 1670 Hung, H., Katsoyiannis, A. A., Brorström-Lundén, E., Olafsdottir, K., Aas, W., Breivik, K., Bohlin-Nizzetto, P., Sigurdsson, A., Hakola, H., and Bossi, R.: Temporal trends of Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) in arctic air: 20 years of monitoring under the Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP), *Environmental Pollution*, 217, 52-61, 2016.
- INGOS: INGOS-Integrated non-CO2 observing system, <https://www.ingos-infrastructure.eu/>, accessed 15.02.2021, 2016.

- 1675 Isaksen, I.: Is the oxidizing capacity of the atmosphere changing, Wiley-Interscience, ppl41-157, 1988.
- Iversen, T.: On the atmospheric transport of pollution to the Arctic, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 11, 457-460, 1984.
- Iversen, T.: Some statistical properties of ground level air pollution at Norwegian Arctic stations and their relation to large scale atmospheric flow systems, *Atmospheric Environment* (1967), 23, 2451-2462, 1989a.
- Iversen, T.: Numerical modelling of the long-range atmospheric transport of sulphur dioxide and particulate sulphate to the Arctic, *Atmospheric Environment* (1967), 23, 2571-2595, 1989b.
- 1680 Jackson, R. B., et al.: Increasing anthropogenic methane emissions arise equally from agricultural and fossil fuel sources, *Environmental Research Letters*, 15, 10.1088/1748-9326/ab9ed2, 2020
- Jaworowski, Z.: Pollution of the Norwegian Arctic: A review. Norwegian Polar Institute Report No. 55. <https://brage.npolar.no/npolar-xmlui/bitstream/handle/11250/173294/Rapport055.pdf?sequence=1>, accessed 08.03.2020., 1989.
- 1685 Jobson, B., Niki, H., Yokouchi, Y., Bottenheim, J., Hopper, F., and Leitch, R.: Measurements of C2-C6 hydrocarbons during the Polar Sunrise 1992 Experiment: Evidence for Cl atom and Br atom chemistry, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 99, 25355-25368, 1994.
- Joranger, E., and Ottar, B.: Air pollution studies in the Norwegian Arctic, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 11, 365-368, <https://doi.org/10.1029/GL011i005p00365>, 1984.
- 1690 Jung, C. H., Yoon, Y. J., Kang, H. J., Gim, Y., Lee, B. Y., Ström, J., Krejci, R., and Tunved, P.: The seasonal characteristics of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) in the arctic lower troposphere, *Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology*, 70, 1-13, 2018.
- Junge, C. E.: Our knowledge of the physico-chemistry of aerosols in the undisturbed marine environment, 77, 5183-5200, [10.1029/JC077i027p05183](https://doi.org/10.1029/JC077i027p05183), 1972.
- 1695 Karlsson, L., Krejci, R., Koike, M., Ebell, K., and Zieger, P.: A long-term study of cloud residuals from low-level Arctic clouds, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 8933-8959, [10.5194/acp-21-8933-2021](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-8933-2021), 2021.
- Kazadzis, S.: WMO/GAW aerosol measurement procedures, guidelines and recommendations, Tech. rep., World Meteorological Organization, 2016.
- 1700 Kelley, J. J.: Baseline observations of atmospheric trace gases in the Arctic atmosphere of North America, World Meteorological Organisation (WMO), Special Environmental Report No 3, https://library.wmo.int/doc_num.php?explnum_id=8184, accessed 08.03.2020., 265-275, 1974.
- Kendall, M. G.: Rank correlation methods, 1948.
- Klánová, J., Čupr, P., Holoubek, I., Borůvková, J., Příbylová, P., Kareš, R., Kohoutek, J., Dvorská, A., and Komprda, J.: Towards the global monitoring of POPs, Contribution of the MONET Networks. Masaryk University, Brno, 23-26, 2009.
- 1705 Knox, S. H., Jackson, R. B., Poulter, B., McNicol, G., Fluet-Chouinard, E., Zhang, Z., Hugelius, G., Bousquet, P., Canadell, J. G., and Saunio, M.: FLUXNET-CH4 synthesis activity: Objectives, observations, and future directions, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 100, 2607-2632, 2019.

- 1710 Koike, M., Ukita, J., Ström, J., Tunved, P., Shiobara, M., Vitale, V., Lupi, A., Baumgardner, D., Ritter, C., and Hermansen, O.: Year-round in situ measurements of Arctic low-level clouds: Microphysical properties and their relationships with aerosols, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 124, 1798-1822, 2019.
- Koo, J. H., Wang, Y., Jiang, T., Deng, Y., Oltmans, S. J., and Solberg, S.: Influence of climate variability on near-surface ozone depletion events in the Arctic spring, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41, 2582-2589, 2014.
- 1715 Krognes, T., Danalatos, D., Glavas, S., Collin, P., Toupance, G., Hollander, J. T., Schmitt, R., Oyola, P., Romero, R., and Ciccioli, P.: Interlaboratory calibration of peroxyacetyl nitrate liquid standards, *Atmospheric Environment*, 30, 991-996, 1996.
- Krognes, T., and Beine, H.: PAN measurements at the Zeppelin Mountain 1994-1996, 1997.
- Krogseth, I. S., Kierkegaard, A., McLachlan, M. S., Breivik, K., Hansen, K. M., and Schlabach, M.: Occurrence and seasonality of cyclic volatile methyl siloxanes in Arctic air, *Environmental science & technology*, 47, 502-509, 2013.
- 1720 Laing, J. R., Hopke, P. K., Hopke, E. F., Husain, L., Dutkiewicz, V. A., Paatero, J., and Viisanen, Y.: Long-term particle measurements in Finnish Arctic: Part I—Chemical composition and trace metal solubility, *Atmospheric Environment*, 88, 275-284, 2014a.
- Laing, J. R., Hopke, P. K., Hopke, E. F., Husain, L., Dutkiewicz, V. A., Paatero, J., and Viisanen, Y.: Long-term particle measurements in Finnish Arctic: Part II—Trend analysis and source location identification, *Atmospheric Environment*, 88, 1725 285-296, 2014b.
- Landis, M. S., Stevens, R. K., Schaedlich, F., and Prestbo, E. M.: Development and characterization of an annular denuder methodology for the measurement of divalent inorganic reactive gaseous mercury in ambient air, *Environmental science & technology*, 36, 3000-3009, 2002.
- Larssen, S., and Hanssen, J. E.: Annual variation and origin of aerosol components in the Norwegian Arctic-subarctic region. Paper presented at the WMO Technical conference on regional and global observation of atmospheric pollution relative to climate, Boulder 20-24 August 1979. WMO Special Environmental report No 14, WMO No. 549, pp 252-258, Geneva, https://library.wmo.int/doc_num.php?explnum_id=8359, accessed 07.03.2020., 1980.
- 1730 Law, K. S., Roiger, A., Thomas, J. L., Marelle, L., Raut, J.-C., Dalsøren, S., Fuglestad, J., Tuccella, P., Weinzierl, B., and Schlager, H.: Local Arctic air pollution: Sources and impacts, *Ambio*, 46, 453-463, 2017.
- 1735 Le Quéré, C., Jackson, R. B., Jones, M. W., Smith, A. J. P., Abernethy, S., Andrew, R. M., De-Gol, A. J., Willis, D. R., Shan, Y., Canadell, J. G., Friedlingstein, P., Creutzig, F., and Peters, G. P.: Temporary reduction in daily global CO₂ emissions during the COVID-19 forced confinement, *Nature Climate Change*, 10.1038/s41558-020-0797-x, 2020.
- Lee, H., Lee, K., Lunder, C. R., Krejci, R., Aas, W., Park, J., Park, K. T., Lee, B. Y., Yoon, Y. J., and Park, K.: Atmospheric new particle formation characteristics in the Arctic as measured at Mount Zeppelin, Svalbard, from 2016 to 2018, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 13425-13441, 10.5194/acp-20-13425-2020, 2020.
- 1740

- Lindberg, S. E., Brooks, S., Lin, C.-J., Scott, K. J., Landis, M. S., Stevens, R. K., Goodsite, M., and Richter, A.: Dynamic oxidation of gaseous mercury in the Arctic troposphere at polar sunrise, *Environmental science & technology*, 36, 1245-1256, 2002.
- 1745 Lu, J. Y., Schroeder, W. H., Barrie, L. A., Steffen, A., Welch, H. E., Martin, K., Lockhart, L., Hunt, R. V., Boila, G., and Richter, A.: Magnification of atmospheric mercury deposition to polar regions in springtime: the link to tropospheric ozone depletion chemistry, *Geophysical research letters*, 28, 3219-3222, 2001.
- Lupi, A., Busetto, M., Becagli, S., Giardi, F., Lanconelli, C., Mazzola, M., Udisti, R., Hansson, H.-C., Henning, T., and Petkov, B.: Multi-seasonal ultrafine aerosol particle number concentration measurements at the Gruvebadet observatory, Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard Islands, *Rendiconti Lincei*, 27, 59-71, 2016.
- 1750 Lyman, S. N., Cheng, I., Gratz, L. E., Weiss-Penzias, P., and Zhang, L.: An updated review of atmospheric mercury, *Science of The Total Environment*, 707, 135575, 2020.
- Ma, J., Hung, H., Tian, C., and Kallenborn, R.: Revolatilization of persistent organic pollutants in the Arctic induced by climate change, *Nature Climate Change*, 1, 255-260, 2011.
- MacMillan, D. B., and Ekblaw, W. E.: *Four Years in the White North*, <https://books.google.ch/books?id=gkUNAQAIAAJ>, accessed 07.03.2020., Harper & Brothers, 1918.
- 1755 Mann, H. B.: Nonparametric tests against trend, *Econometrica: Journal of the econometric society*, 245-259, 1945.
- Markwardt, C. B.: Non-linear Least-squares Fitting in IDL with MPFIT, 2009, 251,
- Mazzola, M., Viola, A. P., Lanconelli, C., and Vitale, V.: Atmospheric observations at the Amundsen-Nobile climate change tower in Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard, *Rendiconti Lincei*, 27, 7-18, 2016.
- 1760 McCalley, C. K., Woodcroft, B. J., Hodgkins, S. B., Wehr, R. A., Kim, E.-H., Mondav, R., Crill, P. M., Chanton, J. P., Rich, V. I., and Tyson, G. W.: Methane dynamics regulated by microbial community response to permafrost thaw, *Nature*, 514, 478-481, 2014.
- McDow, S. R., and Huntzicker, J. J.: Vapor adsorption artifact in the sampling of organic aerosol: face velocity effects, *Atmospheric Environment. Part A. General Topics*, 24, 2563-2571, 1990.
- 1765 Miller, B. R., Weiss, R. F., Salameh, P. K., Tanhua, T., Grealley, B. R., Mühle, J., and Simmonds, P. G.: Medusa: A sample preconcentration and GC/MS detector system for in situ measurements of atmospheric trace halocarbons, hydrocarbons, and sulfur compounds, *Analytical Chemistry*, 80, 1536-1545, 2008.
- Mitchell, D. M., Osprey, S. M., Gray, L. J., Butchart, N., Hardiman, S. C., Charlton-Perez, A. J., and Watson, P.: The effect of climate change on the variability of the Northern Hemisphere stratospheric polar vortex, *Journal of the atmospheric sciences*, 69, 2608-2618, 2012.
- 1770 Mitchell, J.: Visual range in the polar regions with particular reference to the Alaskan Arctic, *Journal of atmospheric and Terrestrial Physics*, 17, 195-211, 1957.
- Montzka, S. A., Reimannander, S., Engel, A., Kruger, K., Sturges, W. T., Blake, D. R., Dorf, M. D., Fraser, P. J., Froidevaux, L., and Jucks, K.: Ozone-Depleting Substances (ODSs) and Related Chemicals, Chapter 1 in *Scientific*

- 1775 Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2010, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project-Report No. 52, 516 pp., World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2011, 2011.
- Montzka, S. A., Dutton, G. S., Yu, P., Ray, E., Portmann, R. W., Daniel, J. S., Kuijpers, L., Hall, B. D., Mondeel, D., and Siso, C.: An unexpected and persistent increase in global emissions of ozone-depleting CFC-11, *Nature*, 557, 413-417, 2018.
- Myhre, C. L., Svendby, T. M., Hermansen, O., Lunder, C. R., Platt, S. M., Fjæraa, A. M., Hansen, G. H.,
1780 Schmidbauer, N., and Krognnes, T. J. N. r.: Monitoring of greenhouse gases and aerosols at Svalbard and Birkenes in 2019. Annual report, 2020.
- Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F., Collins, W., Fuglestedt, J., Huang, J., and Zhang, H.: Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing. *Climate change 2013: The physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, 659–740. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press,
1785 2013.
- Neuber, R.: A multi-disciplinary Arctic research facility: From the Koldewey-Rabot-Corbel-Stations to the AWI-IPEV research base on Spitsbergen, *Polarforschung*, 73, 117-123, 2006.
- Neuber, R., Ström, J., and Hübner, C.: Atmospheric research in Ny-Ålesund—a flagship programme: based on the Svalbard Science Forum workshop 17–18 November 2008 at the Norwegian Institute for Air Research (NILU), Kjeller, 2011.
- 1790 Nisbet, E., Manning, M., Dlugokencky, E., Fisher, R., Lowry, D., Michel, S., Myhre, C. L., Platt, S. M., Allen, G., and Bousquet, P.: Very strong atmospheric methane growth in the 4 years 2014–2017: Implications for the Paris Agreement, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 33, 318-342, 2019.
- Nisbet, E. G.: Some northern sources of atmospheric methane: production, history, and future implications, *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 26, 1603-1611, 10.1139/e89-136, 1989.
- 1795 Nordenskiöld, A.: Nordenskiöld on the inland ice of Greenland, *Science*, 732-738, 1883.
- Ockenden, W. A., Sweetman, A. J., Prest, H. F., Steinnes, E., and Jones, K. C.: Toward an understanding of the global atmospheric distribution of persistent organic pollutants: The use of semipermeable membrane devices as time-integrated passive samplers, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 32, 2795-2803, 1998.
- Oehme, M., and Stray, H.: Quantitative determination of ultra-traces of chlorinated compounds in high-volume air samples
1800 from the Arctic using polyurethane foam as collection medium, *Fresenius' Zeitschrift für Analytische Chemie*, 311, 665-673, 1982.
- Oehme, M., and Manø, S.: The long-range transport of organic pollutants to the Arctic, *Fresenius' Zeitschrift für analytische Chemie*, 319, 141-146, 1984.
- Oehme, M., and Ottar, B.: The long-range transport of polychlorinated hydrocarbons to the Arctic, *Geophysical Research
1805 Letters*, 11, 1133-1136, 1984.
- Oehme, M.: Further evidence for long-range air transport of polychlorinated aromates and pesticides: North America and Eurasia to the Arctic, *Ambio*, 293-297, 1991.

- Oehme, M., Haugen, J.-E., and Schlabach, M.: Ambient air levels of persistent organochlorines in spring 1992 at Spitsbergen and the Norwegian mainland: comparison with 1984 results and quality control measures, *Science of the total environment*, 160, 139-152, 1995.
- Oehme, M., Haugen, J.-E., and Schlabach, M.: Seasonal changes and relations between levels of organochlorines in Arctic ambient air: first results of an all-year-round monitoring program at Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard, Norway, *Environmental science & technology*, 30, 2294-2304, 1996.
- Orvin, A. K.: Litt om kilder på Svalbard, Norges Svalbard- og Ishavs-undersøkelser, nr 57, *Norsk Geografisk Tidsskrift*, X, <https://brage.npolar.no/npolar-xmlui/bitstream/handle/11250/296240/Meddelelser057.pdf?sequence=1>, accessed 08.03.2020., 1944.
- Ottar, B., and Rahn, K. A.: Sources and significance of natural and man-made aerosols in the Arctic. Report of a workshop supported and organized by the U.S. Office of Naval Research and NILU Lillestrøm 27-28 April 1977. NILU TN 9/80, 55 p., 1980.
- Ottar, B.: The transfer of airborne pollutants to the Arctic region, *Atmospheric Environment* (1967), 15, 1439-1445, 1981.
- Ottar, B., Gotaas, Y., Hov, Ø., Iversen, T., Joranger, E., Oehme, M., Pacyna, J. M., Semb, A., Thomas, W., and Vitols, V.: Air pollution in the Arctic. Final report of a research programme conducted on behalf of British Petroleum Ltd, NILU Rpt. OR 30/86. <https://nilu.brage.unit.no/nilu-xmlui/handle/11250/2717823>, accessed 02.06.2021, 1986.
- Ottar, B.: Arctic air pollution: A Norwegian perspective, *Atmospheric Environment* (1967), 23, 2349-2356, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981\(89\)90248-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(89)90248-5), 1989.
- Paatero, J., Vira, J., Siitari-Kauppi, M., Hatakka, J., Holmén, K., and Viisanen, Y.: Airborne fission products in the high Arctic after the Fukushima nuclear accident, *Journal of environmental radioactivity*, 114, 41-47, 2012.
- Pacyna, J. M., and Oehme, M.: Long-range transport of some organic compounds to the Norwegian Arctic, *Atmospheric Environment* (1967), 22, 243-257, 1988.
- Park, S., Western, L. M., Saito, T., Redington, A. L., Henne, S., Fang, X., Prinn, R. G., Manning, A. J., Montzka, S. A., and Fraser, P. J.: A decline in emissions of CFC-11 and related chemicals from eastern China, *Nature*, 590, 433-437, 2021.
- Pavlova, O., Gerland, S., and Hop, H.: Changes in sea-ice extent and thickness in Kongsfjorden, Svalbard (2003–2016), in: *The ecosystem of Kongsfjorden, Svalbard*, Springer, 105-136, 2019.
- Peterson, J. T., Komhyr, W. D., Harris, T. B., and Waterman, L. S.: Atmospheric carbon dioxide measurements at Barrow, Alaska, 1973–1979, *Tellus*, 34, 166-175, [10.3402/tellusa.v34i2.10799](https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusa.v34i2.10799), 1982.
- Petäjä, T., Duplissy, E.-M., Tabakova, K., Schmale, J., Altstädter, B., Ancellet, G., Arshinov, M., Balin, Y., Baltensperger, U., and Bange, J.: Overview: Integrative and Comprehensive Understanding on Polar Environments (iCUPE)—concept and initial results, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 20, 8551-8592, 2020.
- Pisso, I., Myhre, C. L., Platt, S. M., Eckhardt, S., Hermansen, O., Schmidbauer, N., Mienert, J., Vadakkepuliyaambatta, S., Bauguitte, S., Pitt, J., Allen, G., Bower, K. N., O'Shea, S., Gallagher, M. W., Percival, C. J., Pyle, J., Cain, M., and Stohl, A.:

- Constraints on oceanic methane emissions west of Svalbard from atmospheric in situ measurements and Lagrangian transport modeling, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 121, 14188-14200, 10.1002/2016JD025590, 2016.
- Pithan, F., and Mauritsen, T.: Arctic amplification dominated by temperature feedbacks in contemporary climate models, *Nature Geoscience*, 7, 181-184, 10.1038/ngeo2071, 2014.
- 1845 Platt, S. M., Eckhardt, S., Ferre, B., Fisher, R. E., Hermansen, O., Jansson, P., Lowry, D., Nisbet, E. G., Pisso, I., Schmidbauer, N., Silyakova, A., Stohl, A., Svendby, T. M., Vadakkepuliambatta, S., Mienert, J., and Myhre, C. L.: Methane at Svalbard and over the European Arctic Ocean, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 18, 17207-17224, 10.5194/acp-18-17207-2018, 2018.
- Pozo, K., Harner, T., Wania, F., Muir, D. C., Jones, K. C., and Barrie, L. A.: Toward a global network for persistent organic pollutants in air: results from the GAPS study, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 40, 4867-4873, 2006.
- 1850 Prinn, R. G., Weiss, R. F., Krummel, P. B., O'Doherty, S., Fraser, P., Muhle, J., Reimann, S., Vollmer, M., Simmonds, P. G., and Malone, M.: The ALE/GAGE/AGAGE Network (DB1001), Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center (CDIAC), Oak Ridge National ..., 2008.
- Puglini, M., Brovkin, V., Regnier, P., and Arndt, S.: Assessing the potential for non-turbulent methane escape from the East Siberian Arctic Shelf, *Biogeosciences*, 17, 3247-3275, 10.5194/bg-17-3247-2020, 2020.
- 1855 Raatz, W. E.: Observations of "Arctic Haze" during the "Ptarmigan" weather reconnaissance flights, 1948-1961, *Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology*, 36, 126-136, 10.3402/tellusb.v36i2.14882, 1984.
- Radke, L. F., Hobbs, P. V., and Pinnons, J. E.: Observations of Cloud Condensation Nuclei, Sodium-Containing Particles, Ice Nuclei and the Light-Scattering Coefficient Near Barrow, Alaska, 15, 982-995, 10.1175/1520-1860(1976)015<0982:Oocns>2.0.Co;2, 1976.
- 1860 Rahn, K. A.: Arctic Air Chemistry Proceedings of the Second Symposium, *Atmospheric Environment*, 15, 1345-1516 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/atmospheric-environment-1967/vol/15/issue/8>, accessed 06.03.2020, 1981a.
- Rahn, K. A.: Relative importances of North America and Eurasia as sources of arctic aerosol, *Atmospheric Environment*, 15, 1447-1455, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981\(81\)90351-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(81)90351-6), 1981b.
- 1865 Rahn, K. A.: The Mn/V ratio as a tracer of large-scale sources of pollution aerosol for the Arctic, *Atmospheric Environment* (1967), 15, 1457-1464, 1981c.
- Rahn, K. A.: Arctic Air Chemistry Proceedings of the Third Symposium, *Atmospheric Environment*, 19, <https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/atmospheric-environment-1967/vol/19/issue/12>, accessed 06.03.2020, 1985.
- Rahn, K. A.: Arctic Air Chemistry, *Atmospheric Environment*, 23, 2345-2637 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/atmospheric-environment-1967/vol/23/issue/11>, accessed 06.03.2020, 1989a.
- 1870 Rahn, K. A.: Foreword, *Atmospheric Environment* (1967), 23, 2345, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981\(89\)90246-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(89)90246-1), 1989b.
- Rasmussen, R., Khalil, M., and Fox, R.: Altitudinal and temporal variation of hydrocarbons and other gaseous tracers of Arctic haze, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 10, 144-147, 1983.

- Rigby, M., Park, S., Saito, T., Western, L., Redington, A., Fang, X., Henne, S., Manning, A., Prinn, R., and Dutton, G.:
1875 Increase in CFC-11 emissions from eastern China based on atmospheric observations, *Nature*, 569, 546-550, 2019.
- Roemer, M.: Trends of ozone and precursors in Europe Status report TOR-2 Task group 1, TNO-MEP, Netherlands, 43,
2001.
- Röhler, L., Schlabach, M., Haglund, P., Breivik, K., Kallenborn, R., and Bohlin-Nizzetto, P.: Non-target and suspect
characterisation of organic contaminants in Arctic air—Part 2: Application of a new tool for identification and prioritisation of
1880 chemicals of emerging Arctic concern in air, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 20, 9031-9049, 2020.
- Schneider, S. H., and Dickinson, R. E.: Climate modeling, *Reviews of Geophysics*, 12, 447-493,
10.1029/RG012i003p00447, 1974.
- Schmale, J., Zieger, P., and Ekman, A. M.: Aerosols in current and future Arctic climate, *Nature Climate Change*, 11, 95-
105, 2021.
- 1885 Schnell, R. C.: Arctic haze and the Arctic Gas and Aerosol Sampling Program (AGASP), *Geophysical Research Letters*, 11,
361-364, 10.1029/GL011i005p00361, 1984a.
- Schnell, R. C.: Arctic haze: Editorial, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 11, 359-359, 10.1029/GL011i005p00359, 1984b.
- Schroeder, W. H., and Munthe, J.: Atmospheric mercury—an overview, *Atmospheric environment*, 32, 809-822, 1998.
- Schuur, E. A., McGuire, A. D., Schädel, C., Grosse, G., Harden, J., Hayes, D. J., Hugelius, G., Koven, C. D., Kuhry, P., and
1890 Lawrence, D. M.: Climate change and the permafrost carbon feedback, *Nature*, 520, 171-179, 2015.
- Selin, N. E.: Global biogeochemical cycling of mercury: a review, *Annual review of environment and resources*, 34, 43-63,
2009.
- Sen, P. K.: Estimates of the regression coefficient based on Kendall's tau, *Journal of the American statistical association*, 63,
1379-1389, 1968.
- 1895 Serreze, M. C., Barrett, A. P., Stroeve, J. C., Kindig, D. N., and Holland, M. M.: The emergence of surface-based Arctic
amplification, *The Cryosphere*, 3, 11-19, 10.5194/tc-3-11-2009, 2009.
- Shepson, P., Hastie, D., So, K., Schiff, H., and Wong, P.: Relationships between PAN, PPN and O₃ at urban and rural sites
in Ontario, *Atmospheric Environment. Part A. General Topics*, 26, 1259-1270, 1992.
- Shevchenko, V., Lisitzin, A., Vinogradova, A., and Stein, R.: Heavy metals in aerosols over the seas of the Russian Arctic,
1900 *Science of the total environment*, 306, 11-25, 2003.
- Silyakova, A., Jansson, P., Serov, P., Ferré, B., Pavlov, A. K., Hattermann, T., Graves, C. A., Platt, S. M., Myhre, C. L.,
Gründger, F., and Niemann, H.: Physical controls of dynamics of methane venting from a shallow seep area west of
Svalbard, *Continental Shelf Research*, 194, 104030, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2019.104030>, 2020.
- Simmonds, P., Manning, A., Cunnold, D., McCulloch, A., O'Doherty, S., Derwent, R., Krummel, P., Fraser, P., Dunse, B.,
1905 and Porter, L.: Global trends, seasonal cycles, and European emissions of dichloromethane, trichloroethene, and
tetrachloroethene from the AGAGE observations at Mace Head, Ireland, and Cape Grim, Tasmania, *Journal of Geophysical
Research: Atmospheres*, 111, 2006.

- Singh, H. B., and Salas, L. J.: Measurements of peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) and peroxypropionyl nitrate (PPN) at selected urban, rural and remote sites, *Atmospheric Environment* (1967), 23, 231-238, 1989.
- 1910 Solberg, S., Dye, C., Schmidbauer, N., and Simpson, D.: Evaluation of the VOC measurement programme within EMEP, EMEP/CCC-Report 5/95, <https://projects.nilu.no/ccc/reports.html>, accessed 27.05.2021., 1995.
- Solberg, S., Dye, C., Schmidbauer, N., Herzog, A., and Gehrig, R.: Carbonyls and nonmethane hydrocarbons at rural European sites from the Mediterranean to the Arctic, *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 25, 33-66, 1996a.
- Solberg, S., Schmidbauer, N., Semb, A., Stordal, F., and Hov, Ø.: Boundary-layer ozone depletion as seen in the Norwegian Arctic in spring, *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 23, 301-332, 1996b.
- 1915 Solberg, S., Krognes, T., Stordal, F., Hov, O., Beine, H., Jaffe, D., Clemitshaw, K., and Penkett, S.: Reactive nitrogen compounds at Spitsbergen in the Norwegian Arctic, *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 28, 209-225, 1997.
- Sommar, J., Wängberg, I., Berg, T., Gårdfeldt, K., Munthe, J., Richter, A., Urba, A., Wittrock, F., and Schroeder, W.: Circumpolar transport and air-surface exchange of atmospheric mercury at Ny-Ålesund (79 N), Svalbard, spring 2002, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 7, 151-166, 2007.
- 1920 Song, S., Selin, N. E., Soerensen, A. L., Angot, H., Artz, R., Brooks, S., Brunke, E.-G., Conley, G., Dommergue, A., and Ebinghaus, R.: Top-down constraints on atmospheric mercury emissions and implications for global biogeochemical cycling, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 15, 7103-7125, 2015.
- Sprovieri, F., Pirrone, N., Bencardino, M., D'Amore, F., Carbone, F., Cinnirella, S., Mannarino, V., Landis, M., Ebinghaus, R., and Weigelt, A.: Atmospheric mercury concentrations observed at ground-based monitoring sites globally distributed in the framework of the GMOS network, *Atmospheric chemistry and physics*, 16, 11915, 2016.
- 1925 Stathopoulos, V., Mazzola, M., Matsoukas, C., and Eleftheriadis, K.: Black Carbon measurements at different altitudes and boundary layer estimated by radiosonde, on a high-Arctic site (Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard), during 2011-2012, EGUGA, 15699, 2018.
- 1930 Steen, A. O., Berg, T., Dastoor, A. P., Durnford, D., Engelsen, O., Hole, L. R., and Pfaffhuber, K. A.: Natural and anthropogenic atmospheric mercury in the European Arctic: a fractionation study, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11, 6273-6284, 2011.
- Steen, H., Tørnkvist, K., and Krejci, R.: Strategy document for the Zeppelin Observatory Ny-Ålesund Research Station 2020-2025, <https://nyalesundresearch.no/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Ny-Alesund-Research-Station-Atmosphere-flagship-01.pdf>, accessed 20.05.2021, 2021.
- 1935 Steffen, A., Douglas, T., Amyot, M., Ariya, P., Aspino, K., Berg, T., Bottenheim, J., Brooks, S., Cobbett, F., and Dastoor, A.: A synthesis of atmospheric mercury depletion event chemistry in the atmosphere and snow, 2008.
- Steffen, A., Lehnher, I., Cole, A., Ariya, P., Dastoor, A., Durnford, D., Kirk, J., and Pilote, M.: Atmospheric mercury in the Canadian Arctic. Part I: A review of recent field measurements, *Science of the Total Environment*, 509, 3-15, 2015.
- 1940 Steffensen, E.: The climate at Norwegian Arctic stations. Klima 5, The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, 1982.

- Stocker, B., Spahni, R., and Joos, F.: DYPTOP: a cost-efficient TOPMODEL implementation to simulate sub-grid spatio-temporal dynamics of global wetlands and peatlands, *Geoscientific Model Development*, 7, 3089-3110, 2014.
- Stohl, A., Forster, C., Frank, A., Seibert, P., and Wotawa, G.: The Lagrangian particle dispersion model FLEXPART version 6.2, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 5, 2461-2474, 2005.
- 1945 Stohl, A.: Characteristics of atmospheric transport into the Arctic troposphere, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 111, 2006.
- Stohl, A., Berg, T., Burkhardt, J., Fjærraa, A., Forster, C., Herber, A., Hov, Ø., Lunder, C., McMillan, W., and Oltmans, S.: Arctic smoke—record high air pollution levels in the European Arctic due to agricultural fires in Eastern Europe in spring 2006, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 7, 511-534, 2007a.
- 1950 Stohl, A., Berg, T., Burkhardt, J., Fjærraa, A., Forster, C., Herber, A., Hov, Ø., Lunder, C., McMillan, W., and Oltmans, S.: Arctic smoke—record high air pollution levels in the European Arctic due to agricultural fires in Eastern Europe in spring 2006, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 7, 511-534, 2007b.
- 1955 Stohl, A., Klimont, Z., Eckhardt, S., Kupiainen, K., Shevchenko, V. P., Kopeikin, V., and Novigatsky, A.: Black carbon in the Arctic: the underestimated role of gas flaring and residential combustion emissions, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 13, 8833-8855, 2013.
- Takasuga, T., Senthilkumar, K., Matsumura, T., Shiozaki, K., and Sakai, S.-i.: Isotope dilution analysis of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in transformer oil and global commercial PCB formulations by high resolution gas chromatography—high resolution mass spectrometry, *Chemosphere*, 62, 469-484, 2006.
- 1960 Tanabe, S., Kannan, N., Subramanian, A., Watanabe, S., and Tatsukawa, R.: Highly toxic coplanar PCBs: occurrence, source, persistency and toxic implications to wildlife and humans, *Environmental Pollution*, 47, 147-163, 1987.
- Temme, C., Blanchard, P., Steffen, A., Banic, C., Beauchamp, S., Poissant, L., Tordon, R., and Wiens, B.: Trend, seasonal and multivariate analysis study of total gaseous mercury data from the Canadian atmospheric mercury measurement network (CAMNet), *Atmospheric Environment*, 41, 5423-5441, 2007.
- 1965 Thompson, R. L., and Stohl, A.: FLEXINVERT: an atmospheric Bayesian inversion framework for determining surface fluxes of trace species using an optimized grid, 2014.
- Thompson, R. L., Sasakawa, M., Machida, T., Aalto, T., Worthy, D., Lavric, J. V., Lund Myhre, C., and Stohl, A.: Methane fluxes in the high northern latitudes for 2005–2013 estimated using a Bayesian atmospheric inversion, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 17, 3553-3572, 2017.
- 1970 Thonat, T., Saunio, M., Pison, I., Berchet, A., Hocking, T., Thornton, B. F., Crill, P. M., and Bousquet, P.: Assessment of the theoretical limit in instrumental detectability of northern high-latitude methane sources using $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ CH_4 atmospheric signals, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 19, 12141-12161, 2019.

- 1975 Tobo, Y., Adachi, K., DeMott, P. J., Hill, T. C. J., Hamilton, D. S., Mahowald, N. M., Nagatsuka, N., Ohata, S., Uetake, J., Kondo, Y., and Koike, M.: Glacially sourced dust as a potentially significant source of ice nucleating particles, *Nature Geoscience*, 12, 253-258, 10.1038/s41561-019-0314-x, 2019.
- Tørseth, K., Aas, W., Breivik, K., Fjæraa, A. M., Fiebig, M., Hjellbrekke, A.-G., Lund Myhre, C., Solberg, S., and Yttri, K. E.: Introduction to the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP) and observed atmospheric composition change during 1972–2009, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12, 5447-5481, 2012.
- 1980 Trivett, N. B. A., Worthy, D. E. J., and Brice, K. A.: Surface measurements of carbon dioxide and methane at Alert during an Arctic haze event in April, 1986, *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 9, 383-397, 10.1007/BF00052844, 1989.
- Tunved, P., Ström, J., and Krejci, R.: Arctic aerosol life cycle: linking aerosol size distributions observed between 2000 and 2010 with air mass transport and precipitation at Zeppelin station, Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 13, 3643-3660, 2013.
- 1985 Turpin, B. J., Huntzicker, J. J., and Hering, S. V.: Investigation of organic aerosol sampling artifacts in the Los Angeles Basin, *Atmospheric Environment*, 28, 3061-3071, 1994.
- Turpin, B. J., and Lim, H.-J.: Species contributions to PM_{2.5} mass concentrations: Revisiting common assumptions for estimating organic mass, *Aerosol Science & Technology*, 35, 602-610, 2001.
- Valentine, D. W., Holland, E. A., and Schimel, D. S.: Ecosystem and physiological controls over methane production in northern wetlands, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 99, 1563-1571, 1994.
- 1990 Vorkamp, K.: An overlooked environmental issue? A review of the inadvertent formation of PCB-11 and other PCB congeners and their occurrence in consumer products and in the environment, *Science of the Total Environment*, 541, 1463-1476, 2016.
- Warner, N. A., Nikiforov, V., Krogseth, I. S., Bjørneby, S. M., Kierkegaard, A., and Bohlin-Nizzetto, P.: Reducing sampling artifacts in active air sampling methodology for remote monitoring and atmospheric fate assessment of cyclic volatile methylsiloxanes, *Chemosphere*, 255, 126967, 2020.
- 1995 Weigelt, A., Ebinghaus, R., Manning, A., Derwent, R., Simmonds, P., Spain, T., Jennings, S., and Slemr, F.: Analysis and interpretation of 18 years of mercury observations since 1996 at Mace Head, Ireland, *Atmospheric Environment*, 100, 85-93, 2015.
- 2000 Weinbruch, S., Wiesemann, D., Ebert, M., Schütze, K., Kallenborn, R., and Ström, J.: Chemical composition and sources of aerosol particles at Zeppelin Mountain (Ny Ålesund, Svalbard): An electron microscopy study, *Atmospheric Environment*, 49, 142-150, 2012.
- Wespes, C., Emmons, L., Edwards, D., Hannigan, J., Hurtmans, D., Saunio, M., Coheur, P.-F., Clerbaux, C., Coffey, M., and Batchelor, R.: Analysis of ozone and nitric acid in spring and summer Arctic pollution using aircraft, ground-based, satellite observations and MOZART-4 model: source attribution and partitioning, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12, 237-259, 2012.
- 2005

Wilkinson, M. D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, I. J., Appleton, G., Axton, M., Baak, A., Blomberg, N., Boiten, J.-W., da Silva Santos, L. B., and Bourne, P. E.: The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship, *Scientific data*, 3, 1-9, 2016.

2010 Winiwarter, W., Haberl, H., and Simpson, D.: On the boundary between man-made and natural emissions: Problems in defining European ecosystems, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 104, 8153-8159, 1999.

WMO: WMO/GAW Aerosol Measurement procedures guidelines and recommendations, Tech. Rep. 227, Geneva, Switzerland, 2016

WMO: WMO Statement on the State of the Global Climate in 2019

2015 https://library.wmo.int/doc_num.php?explnum_id=10211, accessed 10.11.2020, World Meteorological Organisation (WMO), 2020.

Wong, C., and Pettit, K.: Global-scale secular CO₂ trends and seasonal change at Canadian CO₂ stations: Ocean weather station P, Sable island and Alert, paper presented at WMO/UNEP/ICSU Scientific Conference on Analysis and Interpretation of Atmospheric CO₂ Data, Bern, 1981,

2020 Wong, F., Hung, H., Dryfhout-Clark, H., Aas, W., Bohlin-Nizzetto, P., Breivik, K., Mastro Monaco, M. N., Lundén, E. B., Ólafsdóttir, K., and Sigurðsson, Á.: Time trends of persistent organic pollutants (POPs) and Chemicals of Emerging Arctic Concern (CEAC) in Arctic air from 25 years of monitoring, *Science of the Total Environment*, 775, 145109, 2021.

Worden, J. R., Bloom, A. A., Pandey, S., Jiang, Z., Worden, H. M., Walker, T. W., Houweling, S., and Röckmann, T.: Reduced biomass burning emissions reconcile conflicting estimates of the post-2006 atmospheric methane budget, *Nature*

2025 *Communications*, 8, 2227, 2017.

Yttri, K. E., Aas, W., Bjerke, A., Cape, J. N., Cavalli, F., Ceburnis, D., Dye, C., Emblico, L., Facchini, M. C., Forster, C., Hanssen, J. E., Hansson, H. C., Jennings, S. G., Maenhaut, W., Putaud, J. P., and Tørseth, K.: Elemental and organic carbon in PM₁₀: a one year measurement campaign within the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme EMEP, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 5711-5725, 10.5194/acp-7-5711-2007, 2007.

2030 Yttri, K. E., Lund Myhre, C., Eckhardt, S., Fiebig, M., Dye, C., Hirdman, D., Strom, J., Klimont, Z., and Stohl, A.: Quantifying black carbon from biomass burning by means of levoglucosan-a one-year time series at the Arctic observatory Zeppelin, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 14, 6427-6442, 2014.

Yttri, K. E., Canonaco, F., Eckhardt, S., Evangeliou, N., Fiebig, M., Gundersen, H., Hjellbrekke, A.-G., Lund Myhre, C., Platt, S. M., and Prévôt, A. S.: Trends, composition, and sources of carbonaceous aerosol at the Birkenes Observatory,

2035 northern Europe, 2001–2018, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 21, 7149-7170, 2021.

Yver-Kwok, C., Philippon, C., Bergamaschi, P., Biermann, T., Calzolari, F., Chen, H., Conil, S., Cristofanelli, P., Delmotte, M., Hatakka, J., Heliasz, M., Hermansen, O., Komínková, K., Kubistin, D., Kumpp, N., Laurent, O., Laurila, T., Lehner, I., Levula, J., Lindauer, M., Lopez, M., Mammarella, I., Manca, G., Marklund, P., Metzger, J. M., Mölder, M., Platt, S. M., Ramonet, M., Rivier, L., Scheeren, B., Sha, M. K., Smith, P., Steinbacher, M., Vítková, G., and Wyss, S.: Evaluation and

- 2040 optimization of ICOS atmospheric station data as part of the labeling process, *Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss.*, 2020, 1-46, 10.5194/amt-2020-213, 2020.
- Zhao, S., Jones, K. C., Li, J., Sweetman, A. J., Liu, X., Xu, Y., Wang, Y., Lin, T., Mao, S., and Li, K.: Evidence for major contributions of unintentionally produced PCBs in the air of China: Implications for the national source inventory, *Environmental science & technology*, 54, 2163-2171, 2019.
- 2045 Zhou, Y., Mao, H., Demerjian, K., Hogrefe, C., and Liu, J.: Regional and hemispheric influences on temporal variability in baseline carbon monoxide and ozone over the Northeast US, *Atmospheric Environment*, 164, 309-324, 2017.
- Zieger, P., Fierz-Schmidhauser, R., Gysel, M., Ström, J., Henne, S., Yttri, K. E., Baltensperger, U., and Weingartner, E.: Effects of relative humidity on aerosol light scattering in the Arctic, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10, 3875-3890, 2010.
- 2050 Zieger, P., Fierz-Schmidhauser, R., Weingartner, E., and Baltensperger, U.: Effects of relative humidity on aerosol light scattering: results from different European sites, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 13, 10609-10631, 2013.