

Overview: On the transport and transformation of pollutants in the outflow of major population centres - observational data from the EMeRGe European intensive operational period in summer 2017

M. Dolores Andrés Hernández¹, Andreas Hilboll^{2,†}, Helmut Ziereis³, Eric Förster⁴, Ovid O. Krüger⁵, Katharina Kaiser^{6,7}, Johannes Schneider⁷, Francesca Barnaba⁸, Mihalis Vrekoussis^{2,18}, Jörg Schmidt⁹, Heidi Huntrieser³, Anne-Marlene Blechschmidt¹, Midhun George¹, Vladyslav Nenakhov^{1,*}, Theresa Harlass³, Bruna A. Holanda⁵, Jennifer Wolf³, Lisa Eirenschmalz³, Marc Krebsbach¹⁰, Mira L. Pöhlker^{5,**}, Anna Beata K. Hedegaard^{3,2}, Linlu Mei¹, Klaus Pfeilsticker¹¹, Yangzhuoran Liu¹, Ralf Koppmann¹⁰, Hans Schlager³, Birger Bohn¹², Ulrich Schumann³, Andreas Richter¹, Benjamin Schreiner¹¹, Daniel Sauer³, Robert Baumann³, Mariano Mertens³, Patrick Jöckel³, Markus Kilian³, Greta Stratmann^{3,***}, Christopher Pöhlker⁵, Monica Campanelli⁸, Marco Pandolfi¹³, Michael Sicard^{14,15}, José L. Gómez-Amo¹⁶, Manuel Pujadas¹⁷, Katja Bigge¹¹, Flora Kluge¹¹, Anja Schwarz⁹, Nikos Daskalakis², David Walter⁵, Andreas Zahn⁴, Ulrich Pöschl⁵, Harald Bönisch⁴, Stephan Borrmann^{6,7}, Ulrich Platt¹¹, and John Phillip Burrows¹.

¹Institute of Environmental Physics, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany

²Laboratory for Modeling and Observation of the Earth System, Institute of Environmental Physics, Bremen, Germany.

³Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (DLR), Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany

⁴Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institute of Meteorology and Climate Research, Karlsruhe, Germany

⁵Multiphase Chemistry Department, Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany

⁶Institute for Atmospheric Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz, Germany

⁷Particle Chemistry Department, Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany

⁸National Research Council of Italy, Institute of Atmospheric Sciences and Climate (CNR-ISAC), Roma, Italy

⁹Leipzig Institute for Meteorology, Leipzig University, Leipzig, Germany

¹⁰Institute for Atmospheric and Environmental Research, University of Wuppertal, Wuppertal Germany

¹¹Institute for Environmental Physics, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

¹²Institute of Energy and Climate Research IEK-8, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany

¹³Institute of Environmental Assessment and Water Research, Barcelona, Spain

¹⁴CommSensLab, Dept. of Signal Theory and Communications, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain

¹⁵Ciències i Tecnologies de l'Espai-Centre de Recerca de l'Aeronàutica i de l'Espai/Institut d'Estudis Espacials de Catalunya), Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya Barcelona, Spain

¹⁶Dept. Earth Physics and Thermodynamics, University of Valencia, Burjassot, Spain

¹⁷Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

¹⁸Climate and Atmosphere Research Center (CARE-C), The Cyprus Institute, Nicosia, Cyprus

*now at Flight Experiments, Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (DLR), Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany

** now at Faculty of Physics and Earth Sciences, Leipzig Institute for Meteorology, University of Leipzig/
Experimental Aerosol and Cloud Microphysics Department, Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research, Leipzig, Germany

***now at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestr. 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany

† deceased

Correspondence to: M.D.Andrés Hernández (lola@iup.physik.uni-bremen.de)

Abstract. Megacities and other major population centers (MPCs) worldwide are major sources of air pollution, both locally as well as downwind. The overall assessment and prediction of the impact of MPC pollution on tropospheric chemistry are challenging. The present work provides an overview of the highlights of a major new contribution to the understanding of this issue based on the data and analysis of the EMeRGe (Effect of

Megacities on the transport and transformation of pollutants on the **R**egional to **G**lobal scales) international project. EMeRGe focuses on atmospheric chemistry, dynamics and transport of local and regional pollution originating in MPCs. Airborne measurements, taking advantage of the long range capabilities of the High altitude and long range research aircraft (HALO, www.halo-spp.de), are a central part of the project. The synergistic use and consistent interpretation of observational data sets of different spatial and temporal resolution (e.g. from ground-based networks, airborne campaigns, and satellite measurements) supported by modelling within EMeRGe, provides a unique insight to test the current understanding of MPC pollution outflows.

In order to provide an adequate set of measurements at different spatial scales, two field experiments were positioned in time and space to contrast situations when the photochemical transformation of plumes emerging from MPCs is large. These experiments were conducted in summer 2017 over Europe and in the inter-monsoon period over Asia in spring 2018. The intensive observational periods (IOP) involved HALO airborne measurements of ozone and its precursors, volatile organic compounds, aerosol particles and related species as well as coordinated ground-based ancillary observations at different sites. Perfluorocarbon (PFC) tracer releases and model forecasts supported the flight planning, the identification of pollution plumes, and the analysis of chemical transformations during transport.

This paper describes the experimental deployment and scientific questions of the IOP in Europe. The MPC targets London (Great Britain), Benelux/Ruhr area (Belgium, The Netherlands, Luxembourg and Germany), Paris (France), Rome and Po Valley (Italy), Madrid and Barcelona (Spain) were investigated during 7 HALO research flights with aircraft base in Germany for a total of 53 flight hours. An in-flight comparison of HALO with the collaborating UK-airborne platform FAAM took place to assure accuracy and comparability of the instrumentation on-board.

Overall, EMeRGe unites measurements of near- and far-field emissions, and hence deals with complex air masses of local and distant sources. Regional transport of several European MPC outflows was successfully identified and measured. Chemical processing of the MPC emissions was inferred from airborne observations of primary and secondary pollutants and the ratios between species having different chemical lifetimes. Photochemical processing of aerosol and secondary formation of organic acids was evident during the transport of MPC plumes. Urban plumes mix efficiently with natural sources as mineral dust and with biomass burning emissions from vegetation and forest fires. This confirms the importance of wildland fire emissions in Europe and indicates an important but discontinuous contribution to the European emission budget that might be of relevance in the design of efficient mitigation strategies.

The present work provides an overview of the most salient results in the European context, these being addressed in more detail within additional dedicated EMeRGe studies. The deployment and results obtained in Asia will be the subject of separate publications.

1 Introduction

In recent decades, the number and size of major population centres (MPCs) have increased dramatically. The term MPC describes a single metropolitan area or converging urban conurbations with a population exceeding 10 million inhabitants. In 1950, New York and Tokyo were the only two megacities in the world (Gardi, 2017) whereas for 2018 the United Nations reported 33 megacities and 48 urban agglomerations of 5 to 10 million

inhabitants (UN, 2019). One cause of the recent growth of the number of MPCs is the rapid industrialisation of some parts of the world, in particular East Asia.

The economic consequences of urbanisation, the spatial growth of MPCs, and, in particular, the environmental and economical sustainability of megacities, have been a focus of recent discussion (ESPAS, 2018; Melchiorri et al., 2018; Hoole et al., 2019; Odendahl et al., 2019). The MPC has occasionally been presented as a favourable urban model because the concentration of resources and services and the development of more effective mitigation strategies make it potentially less harmful for the environment than other more dispersed population distributions (Grimm, 2008; Dodman, 2009). Nevertheless, the agglomeration of emissions from fossil fuel combustion for transport, industrial and domestic purposes, makes MPCs a growing and globally significant emission source of trace gases and aerosol particles for the troposphere. The current knowledge on local and regional impacts of MPC pollution outflows is still limited. Decoupling the pollutant input upwind from the MPC emissions remains essential to establish accurate source-receptor relationships and effective control and mitigation policies.

The EMeRGe (Effect of Megacities on the transport and transformation of pollutants on the Regional to Global scales) project has as an overarching objective the improvement of the current understanding of photochemical and heterogeneous processing of MPC plumes along expected transport pathways. EMeRGe began in 2016 in the framework of the Priority Program of the German Research Foundation (DFG: Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, www.halo-spp.de) to exploit the High Altitude and Long range research aircraft (HALO) for atmospheric science.

EMeRGe has a focus on airborne measurements and fostered cooperation with an international research partnership (hereinafter referred to as EMeRGe international) to facilitate the delivery and comprehensive analysis of a unique set of data from aircraft-, ground- and satellite-based sensors. The institutions currently involved in EMeRGe and EMeRGe international are listed in the supplementary information (see S1 and S2).

Europe and Asia are regions of the world with a differing heritage of pollution control strategies and notable differences in the number, size and proximity of MPCs as well as in the nature of emissions. For this reason, two field experiments were designed in EMeRGe to investigate the transport and transformation processes of pollution plumes originating from European and Asian MPCs. The first intensive observational period (IOP) was carried out in Europe from 10 to 28 July 2017 with special focus on the study of active plume processing close to emission sources. The second IOP aimed at the investigation of long-range transport (LRT) of MPC outflows from the Asian continent to the Pacific during the spring inter-monsoon period and took place with HALO base in Taiwan from 10 March to 9 April 2018.

The present article describes the experimental design and specific objectives of the IOP of EMeRGe in Europe. It highlights key research questions and some of the scientific results, which are further explored in dedicated publications.

2 Background

High levels of urbanisation are associated with severe air pollution events which lead to adverse effects on human health (Lelieveld et al., 2015, 2020). The effects of pollution originating from MPCs and the development of adequate control strategies are receiving growing attention as the public concern about air quality and the interaction of pollution and climate on a warming planet increases (e.g., Jacob and Winner,

2009). In that respect, the MPC emissions of environmental interest are aerosol particles, which contain sulphate (SO_4^{2-}) and nitrate (NO_3^-), particulate organic matter (POM), black carbon (BC), and ammonium (NH_4^+), carbon monoxide (CO) and long-lived greenhouse gases (GHG) such as carbon dioxide (CO_2) and methane (CH_4). The net radiative effect of the aerosol particles largely depends on the size and chemical composition which determine their scattering and absorption capabilities (e.g., Haywood and Boucher, 2000). As cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) they have an additional effect on the optical properties and lifetime of clouds (e.g. Andreae and Rosenfeld, 2008; Campos Braga et al., 2017; Rosenfeld et al., 2008). Short-lived constituents of smog, such as nitrogen oxides (NO_x , i.e., NO and NO_2), volatile organic compounds (VOC), and sulphur dioxide (SO_2), react to produce ozone (O_3) and secondary aerosol particles and also have a climatic effect (UNEP, 2011; Mar, 2021).

Primary MPC emissions are transported and transformed into secondary pollutants such as O_3 or secondary aerosols (organic and inorganic) and lead to smog episodes downwind of the source. Modelling studies using artificial aerosol tracers and estimations of deposition potentials, indicate that about 50% of MPC emitted particles with diameter $\leq 2.5 \mu\text{m}$ ($\text{PM}_{2.5}$) deposit more than 1000 km from their source (Kunkel et al., 2012). Chemical and physical processing of MPC emitted pollutants can in turn be affected by mixing with natural, biogenic and other anthropogenic emissions from regional sources or long-range transported from other areas (Lawrence et al., 2007, Monks et al., 2009, Lawrence and Lelieveld, 2010, and references therein).

The specific impact of the plumes from MPCs, therefore, depends not only on the type of emission sources (e.g. industry, transportation, domestic heating, and generation of electricity) but also on the variability of trace constituent emissions, the local meteorology and topography. Modelling studies indicate significant latitudinal differences in regional to hemispheric dispersion characteristics (Lawrence et al., 2007 and references therein; Cassiani et al., 2013). Transport and transformation of MPC outflows are affected by the general weather patterns such as frontal passages and the frequency and duration of stagnation episodes, which are important for pollutant ventilation. In the last decades, improved monitoring and modelling capabilities and a growing number of measurements campaigns have provided essential information about the impact of MPC pollution on the atmospheric composition (see review by Zhu et al., 2012). However, capturing the combined influence of emissions, chemistry and dynamics for specific locations is still a big challenge. In particular, inconsistencies in local and regional MPC inventories (e.g. Denier van der Gon et al.; 2011, Mayer et al., 2000; Butler and Lawrence, 2009), and limitations in the prediction capabilities of pollution transport patterns and cumulative pollution events in downwind regions of MPCs (Zhang et al., 2007; Kunkel et al., 2012) require ongoing attention. Furthermore, controlling policies, changes in land cover and climate continue to evolve and is expected to substantially modify the relation between anthropogenic emissions and both natural aerosol and trace gases, as predicted by e.g., Butler et al., (2012), and recently reported for East Asia (Fu et al., 2016; Silver et al., 2018 and references herein; Leung et al., 2018).

In Europe, the level of urbanisation is presently $\sim 74\%$ and is expected to further increase by 10% up to the middle of this century (UN, 2019). Large urban conurbations are more abundant in Europe than megacities, of which there are a few. According to the European Environment Agency (EEA), the emission of air pollutants and precursors has decreased across Europe from the year 2000 to the present, partly as a result of the EU air quality legislation. Emissions of CO, BC, NO_x and non-methane VOCs have been reduced by around 30% and those of sulphur oxide (SO_x , primarily SO_2) up to 77%. Nevertheless, the daily and annual O_3 and PM limit concentrations for protection of human health are often exceeded in several areas of the continent (EEA, 2019).

Significant differences in pollution and photochemical episodes between Northern and Central Europe and the Mediterranean region are regularly observed, in particular due to the differences in solar actinic radiation (Kanakidou et al., 2011).

European air quality is frequently influenced by LRT of North American pollution as captured by airborne measurements and investigated in several model studies (e.g. Stohl et al., 2003; Huntrieser and Schlager, 2004; Huntrieser et al., 2005). Some evidence of LRT of Asian pollution to the Mediterranean has also been documented (Lawrence and Lelieveld, 2010; Lelieveld et al., 2002). The chemical signatures of LRT of pollutants vary depending on pollutant lifetime and mixing. Some recent modelling studies infer that the impact of non-European pollution on the European surface O₃ annual average is larger than previously expected (Jonson et al., 2018).

In recent years, large European projects such as MEGAPOLI (<http://megapoli.dmi.dk>) and CityZen (Megacity-Zoom for the Environment; <http://www.cityzen-project.eu>), provided comprehensive theoretical and experimental data about MPCs in Europe. The MEGAPOLI field campaign was conducted in Paris in summer 2009 and winter 2010 (Beekmann et al., 2015) and investigated source apportionment and photochemical processing of emitted gaseous and particulate substances using several ground-based stations and measurement vehicles (Crippa et al., 2013; Freutel et al., 2013; von der Weiden-Reinmüller et al., 2014). Beekmann et al., (2015) estimated the impact of the urban emissions from the Paris megacity to be relatively low in comparison to other external industrial sources of pollution. Aircraft measurements were restricted to the near-field outflow (up to 200 km) in the boundary layer below 700 m asl (Brands et al., 2011; Freney et al., 2014). In comparison, EMERGé focuses on the impact of different MPCs in Central and Southern Europe and investigates atmospheric pollution plumes over much larger geographical extent.

CityZen (2008-2011) studied air pollution in and around selected megacities and emission hotspots by using in-situ and satellite observations (Hilboll et al., 2013; Vrekoussis et al., 2013) as well as a series of different scale models (Colette et al., 2011; Im et al., 2012). The project focused on selected MPCs such as the Eastern Mediterranean, the Po Valley, the Benelux region, and the Pearl River Delta for intensive case studies but, in contrast to EMERGé, did not conduct measurements of the photochemical evolution in the outflow of the studied regions.

The above studies focused on trace gases linked to air quality and provided relatively sparse information on GHGs. Long-lived greenhouse gases such as CH₄ and CO₂ emitted from individual European urban areas have been investigated in airborne and ground-based studies, e.g. for London (O'Shea et al., 2014; Helfter et al., 2016; Pitt et al., 2019), Paris (Bréon et al., 2015; Lian et al., 2019), Cracow (Kuc et al., 2003; Zimnoch et al., 2019), Berlin (Klausner et al., 2020) and Rome (Gioli et al., 2014). Collectively, they report on inconsistencies between the current emission inventories and measurements. This indicates the need for further experimental investigation of the GHG budget in Europe.

Overall, the proximity of most European MPCs results in the mixing of different pollution plumes during their transport. This hampers the identification of the air mass origin. The impact of biomass burning (BB) and mineral dust events on the total European burden of atmospheric aerosol and trace gases is, moreover, variable. Particularly in Southern Europe, BB and mineral dust plumes occur frequently and can significantly affect the chemical processing of MPC pollution plumes. BB events from agriculture or wildland fires have a strong seasonal pattern and related impacts in Europe (Barnaba et al., 2011). Wildfires emit similar to MPC large amounts of pollutants, e.g. PM, NO_x, CO, VOC and PAH (Andreae, 2019). The number and severity of wildfires

are expected to increase in Europe under warmer and drier conditions as a co-effect of climate change (Forzieri et al., 2017; Guerreiro et al., 2018; Turco et al., 2018). Desert dust episodes of different intensity originating in North Africa frequently affect air mass composition and atmospheric stratification over the Mediterranean particularly in spring and summer (e.g. Barnaba and Gobbi, 2004; Kalivitis et al., 2007; Gkikas et al., 2013; Pey et al., 2013; Pikridas et al.; 2018).

3 Specific scientific questions and characteristics of the EMeRGe IOP in Europe

3.1 Specific scientific questions

EMeRGe in Europe focuses on three primary scientific goals addressing a series of related specific questions:

I. Identification of emission signatures in MPC plumes over Europe

- Are there individual MPC emission signatures identifiable in pollution plumes measured over Europe?
- Is it possible to unambiguously identify MPC plumes after transport times of hours or days by tagging the air masses in the source regions with passive tracers released at the surface and using airborne sensors downwind?
- Can the effect of plumes from different emission sources (e.g., anthropogenic, BB, and/or a mixture of them) on the oxidation potential of the atmosphere be inferred from changes in the NO/NO_y and NO/VOC ratios in airborne measurements?
- Can airborne measurements detect signatures of urban and other emission sources of CH₄ in Europe adequately?
- How abundant are organic acids in European MPC plumes relative to inorganic acids and what are their main sources?
- Are satellite measurements of aerosol and trace gases capable of supporting the identification of MPC plumes and dominant transport paths?

II. Investigation and assessment of chemical processing in MPC pollution outflows

- Is the photochemical activity of MPC plumes readily related to changes in concentrations of radicals and their precursors measured by the HALO sensors?
- Is the photochemical ageing of MPC plumes well described by the chemical clocks inferred from the airborne measurements of trace gases and aerosol particles?
- Can the O₃ production efficiency and NO_x-and VOC-sensitive regimes in MPC plumes be determined? How do these change with respect to the plume age and mixing with background air?
- Can the importance of the role of formaldehyde (HCHO) as an intermediate product in the oxidation of VOCs, and glyoxal (C₂H₂O₂) and methylglyoxal (C₃H₄O₂) in secondary aerosol formation be inferred from their airborne measurement in MPC pollution plumes?
- Which processes control the heterogeneous formation of HONO in polluted air masses of MPC origin in the BL and lower troposphere over Europe?

III. Assessment of the relative importance of MPCs as sources of pollution over Europe

- How important are BB and dust emissions to MPC plume photochemistry over Europe in the summer 2017?
- How do the regional CH₄ urban emission distributions in Europe compare with previous observations in the same areas?

- Is it possible to assess the relative role of primary and secondary pollutants in the proximity and in the outflow of MPCs?
- Are state-of-the-art chemical models capable of adequately simulating transport and transformation of European MPC outflows?

3.2 Selection of MPC targets and measurement strategy

The dominant source of NO_x and CO in the planetary boundary layer (PBL) in Europe is anthropogenic activity, primarily fossil fuel combustion and BB. Cloud free monthly average tropospheric composites of NO_2 columns retrieved from GOME2-B and OMI instruments on-board the MetOp-B and Aura satellites were used to identify the major MPCs in Europe during July in the EMERGE study. Due to its short lifetime, NO_2 is a good indicator of the origin of emission sources. The tropospheric NO_2 columns retrieved in July 2016 during the campaign preparation showed enhanced NO_2 concentrations over the megacities London, Moscow and Paris, over large urban agglomerations such as the Benelux/Ruhr metropolitan area in Central Europe and the Po Valley in Northern Italy, and over the conurbations in Southern Europe such as Rome, Naples, Madrid and Barcelona. The satellite observations during the EMERGE IOP in 2017 confirmed the NO_2 hot spots identified (Fig. 1).




Figure 1: Satellite tropospheric NO_2 columns retrieved from GOME2-B (left panel, overpass at 9:30 h local time), and OMI (right panel, overpass at 12:45 h local time) instruments for the IOP period in July 2017.

CO was used in dispersion calculations to identify anthropogenic pollution from combustion. CO is a suitable tracer for transport pathways due to its relatively long atmospheric lifetime which is primarily determined by the reaction with the OH radical and varies between a few weeks and a few months. To address the EMERGE scientific objectives, the day-to-day flight planning focused on the identification of the location of the plumes from the targeted MPC outflows during potential flights. For this, the following forecast tools were exploited:

- ECMWF (European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, <https://www.ecmwf.int/>) and NCEP (National Center for Environmental Prediction, <https://www.ncep.noaa.gov/>) weather forecasts,
- NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association) HYSPLIT (Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectories, <https://www.arl.noaa.gov/hysplit/>) model for forward dispersion calculations using CO as a tracer of pollution. These forecasts, carried out by DLR (Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt), assume MPCs to be continuous emission sources and provide snap shots as well as horizontal and vertical cross sections of the selected outflows at certain times.

iii) Tailor-made CO and stratospheric ozone tracer simulations provided by CAMS (Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service, <http://atmosphere.copernicus.eu>) through its field campaign support (see also Flemming et al., 2019).

A list of model simulations and satellite observations used for flight planning is given in Tables 1a and 1b. These are described in more detail in the supplement (see S3). The dedicated mission support tool (MSS, Mission Support System; Rautenhaus et al., 2012) provided additional assistance in the flight planning.

Table 1a: Model simulations used for flight planning during EMeRGe in Europe

Name	Type	Resolution of model output	Institution
CAMS-global (CIFS-TM5)	CTM	0.4° x 0.4°; 60 vertical levels	ECMWF
CAMS-regional ensemble	Median of 7 regional CTMs	0.1°x 0.1°; surface, 50, 250, 500, 1000, 2000, 3000, 5000 km	ECMWF
EMEP	regional CTM	0.25° E x 0.125° N; 20 vertical levels	Norwegian Meteorological Institute
HYSPLIT	Lagrangian trajectory model	0.1° x 0.1°; 20 vertical levels	NOAA/DLR
FLEXPART	Lagrangian trajectory model	1min /10 days back ECMWF-ERA5; 0.25° horizontal	NILU

Table 1b: Satellite observations used during EMeRGe in Europe

Sensor name	Satellite	Equator crossing time	Footprint	Institution
GOME-2	MetOp-B	10:30 LT	40 x 80 km ²	IUP Uni-Bremen
OMI	EOS-Aura	13:30 LT	13 x 24 km ²	IUP Uni-Bremen
SEVIRI	MSG	Geostationary	3 x 3 km ²	ICARE

The flight track and patterns available to HALO were constrained by a) flight restrictions from the air traffic authorities and special military used airspaces (SUA), and b) the unstable meteorological conditions dominating in Central Europe during the measurement period (see Sect. 3.4). Flight tracks to investigate the plumes from the MPC targets, London (Great Britain), Benelux/Ruhr area (Benelux countries and Germany, hereinafter referred to as BNL/Ruhr), Paris (France), Rome and Po Valley (Italy), and Madrid and Barcelona (Spain) were selected. It was possible to fly these flight tracks under favourable conditions typically more than once during the EMeRGe IOP, improving somewhat the representativeness of the measurements.

The HYSPLIT dispersion forecast indicated that the MPC pollution plumes targeted by EMeRGe resided predominantly below 3000 m. Consequently, the flights over Europe made use of the HALO long-endurance capabilities to fly in the PBL and incorporated vertical shuttles. Shuttles are defined here as a descent or climb pattern between holding altitudes. Typically, three flight levels (FL), upwind or downwind of the target MPCs were part of the shuttle. Some of the MPC outflows were tagged by a coordinated release of a perfluorocarbon (PFC) tracer at the ground (see S5).. Details about flight tracks and flight regions are provided in Sect. 3.6.

3.3 EMeRGe instrumentation

A key element of the EMeRGe data are the airborne measurements made on-board HALO, a Gulfstream G550 business jet modified and specifically equipped for scientific research (see www.halo.dlr.de). The HALO payload for EMeRGe comprises a set of state-of-the-art instrumentation for the measurement of trace gases and aerosol particles. Table 2 summarises target species and parameters measured by the instruments installed on-board HALO, which are complemented by the HALO ancillary measurements (BAHAMAS, see S4 in the supplement) during the EMeRGe campaign in Europe. The pollutant measurements made aboard HALO were enhanced with tracer experiments using perfluorocarbon compounds (PFCs). Details are provided in the supplement (see S5).

During the EMeRGe IOP in Europe the EMeRGe international cooperation provided additional coordinated aircraft-, satellite- and ground-based observations and modelling studies during the preparation and execution phases of the EMeRGe IOP in Europe, as described in the supplement (see S6). To assure the accuracy and comparability of the instrumentation on-board one research flight on 13 July 2017 was dedicated to common and simultaneous measurements of HALO and the Facility for Airborne Atmospheric Measurements (FAAM, www.faam.ac.uk) from the UK Natural Environment Research Council in a so-called blind intercomparison exercise (see S7 in supplement).

Table 2: HALO instrumental payload for EMeRGe: PerCA: Peroxy Radical Chemical Amplification; CRDS: Cavity Ring-Down Spectroscopy; HVS: High Volume Sampler; GC-C-IRMS: Gas Chromatography Combustion Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometry; PTR-MS: Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometer; CI-ITMS: Chemical Ionisation Ion Trap Mass Spectrometry; GC-MS: Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis; PAN: Peroxyacetyl nitrate; $\delta^{13}\text{C}(\text{CH}_4)$: Isotopic signature of methane; PFC: Perfluorinated carbon chemicals; DOAS: Differential Optical Absorption Spectrometry; AT-BS: Adsorption Tube and Bag air Sampler; TD-GC-MS: Thermal Desorption Gas Chromatography and Mass Spectrometry; ToF-AMS: Time of Flight- Aerosol Mass Spectrometry; SP2: Single Particle Soot Photometer; CCNC: Cloud Condensation Nuclei Counter; MI: Multi Impactor for aerosol off-line analysis; CPC: Condensation Particle Counter; DMA: Differential Mobility Analyser; OPC: Optical Particle Counter; PSAP: Particle Soot Absorption Photometer. See details and HALO ancillary measurements in the supplement. The instrument details are given in the quoted literature.

Trace gas-in situ measurements				
Species/parameters	Acronym	Institution	Technique/Instrument	Reference
$\text{RO}_2^* = \text{HO}_2 + \sum \text{RO}_2$	PeRCEAS	Univ. Bremen	PeRCA + CRDS	George et al., 2020
VOC/C isotope ratios	MIRAH	Univ. Wuppertal	HVS/GC-C-IRMS	Wintel et al., 2013
OVOC	HKMS	KIT Karlsruhe	PTR-MS	Brito and Zahn, 2011
O_3	FAIRO	KIT Karlsruhe	UV-Photometry/ Chemiluminescence	Zahn et al., 2012
O_3 , CO	AMTEX	DLR-IPA	UV-Photometry/ VUV-Fluorimetry	Gerbige et al., 1996
NO , NO_y	AENEAS	DLR-IPA	Chemiluminescence/ Gold converter	Ziereis et al., 2004
SO_2 , HCOOH	CI-ITMS	DLR-IPA	CI-ITMS	Speidel et al., 2007
a) CO_2 and CH_4	CATS	DLR-IPA	a) CRDS	Chen et al., 2010
b) PAN			b) GC-MS	Volz-Thomas et al., 2001
c) $\delta^{13}\text{C}(\text{CH}_4)$			c) GC-IRMS	Fisher et al., 2006
PFC tracer	PERTRAS	DLR-IPA	AT-BS/TD-GC-MS	Ren et al., 2015
Trace gas- remote sensing measurements				
Species/parameters	Acronym	Institution	Technique/Instrument	Reference
NO_2 , HONO, BrO, CH_2O , $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$, $\text{C}_3\text{H}_4\text{O}_2$, SO_2 , IO	mini-DOAS	Univ. Heidelberg	DOAS / UV-nIR; 2D optical spectrometer	Hüneke et al., 2017
NO_2 , CH_2O , $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$, H_2O , SO_2 , BrO, O_3	HAIDI	Univ. Heidelberg	DOAS / 3x2D-imaging spectrometers	General et al., 2014
Aerosol measurements				
Species/parameters	Acronym	Institution	Technique/Instrument	Reference
Particle composition	C-ToF-AMS	MPIC Mainz & Univ. Mainz	ToF-AMS	Schulz et al., 2018
BC, CCN, microscopic properties	CCN-Rack	MPIC Mainz	SP2 CCNC, MI	Holanda et al., 2020 Wendisch et al., 2016
Particle size distribution/number concentration	AMETYST	DLR-IPA	CPC, OPC, PSAP, DMA	Andreae et al., 2018
Other parameters				
Species/parameters	Acronym	Institution	Technique/Instrument	Reference
Spectral actinic flux density (up/down) Photolysis frequencies	HALO-SR	FZ Jülich	CCD spectro- radiometry	Bohn and Lohse, 2017
Basic aircraft data	BAHAMAS	DLR -FX	various	Mallaun et al., 2015

333

334

3.4 Meteorological conditions

In this subsection, a brief overview of the general meteorological situation over Europe is given. A summary of the meteorological conditions during individual flights is provided later in Sect. 3.6.

The EMeRGe IOP in Europe took place from 10 July 2017 to 28 July 2017. The month of July was selected for the EMeRGe investigation because the summer period in Europe usually offers frequent events of high temperature and high insolation, which result in active photochemical processing of the air masses.

The monthly average weather conditions of July 2017 were evaluated by comparing 500 hPa geopotential height, temperature, wind and precipitable water with a 30-year (1981-2010) reference climatology using NCEP reanalysis data (Kalnay et al., 1996). As shown in Fig. 2, stagnation events, high temperatures and insolation dominated Southern Europe similar to the average of the 30-year climatology. At the ground, the summer 2017 was characterised by a number of heatwaves, which contributed to the propagation of frequent fire events especially on the Iberian Peninsula (EEA, 2018). Several EMeRGe flights were affected by such fires in the southern Mediterranean area as summarized later in Sect. 3.6. Furthermore, in Sect.4.4 more details are given on how the emissions from these fires frequently interacted with anthropogenic and other natural emissions. In contrast, during the EMeRGe period Northern Europe was influenced by a pronounced negative upper-level pressure and temperature anomaly. The polar front was positioned further southwards than usually and accompanied with anomalously high upper-level wind speeds over Central Europe. These conditions favoured the passage of upper-level troughs associated with mid-latitude cyclones and enhanced precipitation over Central Europe. A cut-off low located over Great Britain during approximately the last ten days of the campaign led to a pronounced deviation of the average weather conditions in July. Thunderstorms frequently developed near the Alps over Southern Germany and Northern Italy. Due to the various meteorological conditions in Central and Southern Europe, the photochemical processing of the investigated polluted air masses proceeded highly differently as described in more detail in Sect. 4.5.




Figure 2: Mean anomalies of the 500 hPa geopotential height (top left panel), temperature (top right), zonal wind (bottom left) and total column precipitable water (bottom right) for July 2017 with respect to a 1981-2010 July climatology based on NCEP reanalysis data (Kalnay et al. 1996). Total column precipitable water is the amount of water potentially available in the atmosphere for precipitation from the surface to the upper edge of the troposphere. NCEP reanalysis data and images provided by the NOAA/ESRL Physical Sciences Laboratory, Boulder Colorado (<http://psl.noaa.gov/>).

3.5 Aerosol optical depth

The aerosol load in the target regions during the EMERGe IOP in July 2017 was investigated. Monthly averages of aerosol optical depths (AODs) measured in July 2017 at 17 AERONET sites (AERONET, 2020) covering the EMERGe target regions were compared to the relevant climatology (i.e., the 19-year “July AOD mean” between 2001 and 2019). These AERONET level 2.0 AOD climatological data (Giles et al., 2019) are visualized in Figure 3, referring to 500 nm wavelength. The results show that in July 2017 the aerosol load was generally lower than the relevant climatological value in each target region. For the BNL/Ruhr area (Brussels, Cabauw, Lille, Jülich), Rome (Rome La Sapienza, Rome Tor Vergata), Paris (Palaiseau, Paris), and the Po Valley (Ispra, Modena, Sirmione) the relative deviations of the AODs from the mean values are similar and of the order of -30%. In Rome and the Po Valley the July-2017 AOD is outside the range of the climatological mean and standard deviations. For Eastern Spain (Barcelona, Burjassot, Monsec, Palma) and Southern Great Britain (Bayfordbury, Chilbolton), the aerosol load is closer to the relevant climatology, with relative deviations of 7% and 15%, respectively.




Figure 3: Monthly mean AOD values at 500 nm for July (years 2001-2019) in each of the EMeRGe target regions (black squares and standard deviations). These were obtained as mean of the multiple site monthly means (selected sites in colour, see legends). Note that for those AERONET instruments not having the 500 nm filter, the AOD values are interpolated using the Angstrom coefficient between the two closer wavelengths. The values of the climatological- and July-2017- AOD are also reported in the plot insets.

3.6 Flight regions and HALO flight tracks

The EMeRGe IOP in Europe comprised seven HALO flights for a total of 53 flight hours. All HALO flights started from the DLR base Oberpfaffenhofen (OP), located Southwest of Munich in Germany. The flights are named E-EU-FN, where E stands for EMeRGe, EU for Europe and FN are the two digits of the flight number. The flight tracks are shown in Fig. 4 and Table 3 summarises the corresponding flight times and targets.




Figure 4: HALO flight tracks during the EMeRGe campaign in Europe on 11, 13, 17, 20, 24, 26 and 28 July 2017 (E-EU-03 to E-EU-09, respectively, colour coded). The specific flight times are presented in Table 3. MPC target areas are colour coded by shading: English Channel (purple) North Sea (red) Benelux/Ruhr (orange), Paris (black), Po Valley (cyan), Central Italy (blue), East Mediterranean (green). Distinctive locations/regions are marked with red stars, M: Madrid, B: Barcelona, P: Paris, L: London; BNL: Benelux; Ru: Ruhr area; PV: Po Valley, R: Rome. The coordinates of the MPC areas can be found in the supplement (S8). The position of the HALO base at DLR in Oberpfaffenhofen (OP) is also indicated by a yellow star for reference.

Overall, 60% of the HALO measurements during EMeRGe in Europe were performed below 3000 m to probe fresh and transported outflows of selected MPCs (see Fig. 5 for the distribution of HALO flight altitudes during the EMeRGe IOP).




Figure 5: Frequency of occurrence of flight altitudes during EMeRGe in Europe in bins of 500 m, a) cumulated frequencies of flight altitudes from the ground to 10000 m b) cumulative density function.

Taking the flight constraints and the prevailing meteorological conditions into account, three flight regions were selected for the identification and measurement of outflows of target MPCs during the EMeRGe IOP:

- a) Flight region 1: Southern Europe - Italy
- b) Flight region 2: London and Central Europe
- c) Flight region 3: Southwestern Europe

a) Flight region 1: Southern Europe- Italy

The flight region 1 was selected for the HALO flights E-EU-03 (S9, Fig. S9.1) and E-EU-06 (Fig. 6) on the 11 and 20 July 2017, respectively. The synoptic situation in Europe during these days was characterised by a high-pressure system over the Mediterranean region and a cut-off low over the British Islands associated with the rapid passage of low-pressure systems over Great Britain and Scandinavia. As a result, a Southwest flow with a trough approaching from the West and a short wave passage dominated. These conditions were suitable for the investigation of the MPC targets in Italy (Po Valley and Rome) and of the transport of pollution over the Alps and Apennines. Along the flight route, cloud formation in the Po Valley and thunderstorms in Southern Germany in the afternoon after 15 UTC were observed on both days.

During these flights, BB emissions from forest and intentional fires in Southern Italy, particularly in the Naples area, and along the coast of Croatia were detected. In addition, the transport of mineral dust from Northern Africa to the central Mediterranean and the Italian west coast was observed.

The E-EU-03 and E-EU-06 flights were carried out over approximately the same geographical area. Initially HALO flew over the Alps, then along the Po Valley to the Mediterranean coast of Italy. During E-EU-06 the vertical and horizontal distribution of pollutants was investigated in more detail by shuttles before entering the Po Valley and flying at lower altitudes. The tracks followed the Tyrrhenian Sea heading to the South and crossing the Italian Peninsula from West to East towards the Adriatic coast after a shuttle upwind of Rome. Along the Adriatic coast, shuttles were made while flying to the North. Finally, the flights crossed over the Alps back to OP. The E-EU-06 flight track details are summarised in Fig. 6.

Table 3: Characteristics of the HALO flights carried out in Europe during EMeRGe. FR: flight region. Note that E-EU-01 and E-EU-02 were technical flights and are not considered in the present work.

Flight number	Day/ Month	Start/ End time (UTC)	FR	MPC emission and transport targets	Other features
E-EU-03	11/07	10:00/16:30	1	Rome, Po Valley; convection over Alps and Apennines	Mineral dust from Northern Africa; Fires in Southern Italy. Flights Sky Arrow over Rome
E-EU-04	13/07	10:40/15:00	2	Central Europe; Intercontinental transport	HALO-FAAM blind comparison Canada fires
E-EU-05	17/07	10:30/18:30	2	London, BNL/Ruhr, English Channel and Central Europe	FAAM flights over London PFC tracer release
E-EU-06	20/07	9:00/17:30	1	Rome, Po Valley; Convection over Alps and Apennines	Mineral dust from Northern Africa; Fires in Southern Italy and Croatia
E-EU-07	24/07	9:45/18:15	3	Po Valley, South France, Barcelona; West Mediterranean	Dust transport from Northern Africa, fires in Southern Europe
E-EU-08	26/07	7:45/17:30	2	London BNL/Ruhr, Paris; English Channel and Central Europe	PFC tracer releases London, Wuppertal
E-EU-09	28/07	10:00/18:30	3	Po Valley, South France, Madrid, Barcelona; West Mediterranean	Fires in Southern France and Portugal

During E-EU-03 the HALO airborne measurements were complemented by two circuits around Rome by the Sky Arrow aircraft and its payload (see S6 in the supplement), starting at 8 UTC and at 12 UTC, respectively. Each circuit comprised three vertical spirals from 200 m to 1800 m altitude approximately. . The interpretation of these airborne observations in combination with ground-based and in-situ data is discussed in Barnaba et al. (2021, in preparation).

Whole air samples for VOCs and their carbon isotope ratios were collected at the ground in evacuated canisters to determine a representative VOC fingerprint for Rome and Milan. To account for emission variations on the ground during the day, air samples were taken around 9 to 10 and 14 h local time.

b) Flight region 2: London and Central Europe

Flight region 2 was selected to study the London and BNL/Ruhr outflows with a scientific focus on their transport and interaction over Central Europe. As mentioned in Sect. 3.4, July 2017 had an unsettled weather in the UK and Central Europe with heavy, persistent rain at times and only brief hot spells. This made the selection of optimal flight tracks for this investigation challenging. The precise flight route 2 was tailored for the meteorological conditions prevailing during the E-EU-05, and E-EU-08 flights, which took place on 17 July and 26 July 2017 respectively, to optimally cover different aspects of the target outflows.

The flight E-EU-05 (S9, Figure S9.3) took advantage of a short high-pressure ridge that formed behind a trough over Scandinavia on 17 July 2017. The outflow of the MPC London was predicted to travel to the English Channel and the Northern coast of France. This area is regularly used by the UK and French air forces whose activities in the SUAs constrained the original flight options and the flight track were optimised during the flight route. Over the area of interest, HALO flew at different altitudes within the PBL. On the way back to OP, the outflow of Paris was probed South of Orly. On that day, the FAAM platform carried out two complementary circuits around London at 8:00 and 13:30 UTC.

On 26 July 2017, the synoptic situation changed slightly as a cut-off low moved eastwards over Germany while a trough approached from the West. In the period after the cut-off low and before the passage of the warm front over London, the route of E-EU-08 was chosen such that the outflow of London close to the East coast of England and its mixing with the BNL/Ruhr outflow over the European continent were probed (see Fig. 7). Cloudy conditions predominated throughout the day. This flight is studied in more detail in Sect. 4.3.

The identification of the London outflow was confirmed by the on-board measurement of a PFC tracer released in the centre of London for both flights. During E-EU-08, a second tracer release was carried out in Wuppertal in the afternoon to identify the BNL/Ruhr outflow. In addition, information on the isotopic fingerprints in VOCs representative for London and Ruhr MPC air were obtained by collecting whole air samples at the tracer release sites before, during and after the release, and in the afternoon (see Sect. 4.5).

The E-EU-04 flight track on 13 July 2017 is a particular case that also covered Central Europe (see S8 in the supplement). The first part of the flight was dedicated to the blind instrumental intercomparison between the HALO and FAAM platforms described in S7 (see Schumann, 2020). A weak high-pressure ridge over Germany dominated. The main objective for the rest of the flight was to probe intercontinental pollution transport between 5000 and 7000 m altitude with signatures of fires originating in Canada.

c) Flight region 3: Southwestern Europe

The objective of flight route 3 was to investigate the transport of Southern European MPC outflows into the Western Mediterranean. This flight region was selected for the E-EU-07 and E-EU-09 flights on the 24 and 28 July 2017, respectively. The meteorological situation on 24 July 2017 over Europe was characterised by the eastwards displacement of a cut-off low leaving the British Islands. This was associated with a Southwest flow during the passage of a trough over Spain and France. Dust transport from Northern Africa, thunderstorms in the Po Valley and fires in the South Mediterranean coast of France and Corsica prevailed. The E-EU-07 flight track crossed the Po Valley and focused on the measurement of the predicted outflow of pollution from Southern France and Barcelona into the Mediterranean. Three shuttle flight patterns downwind from Marseille, Barcelona and close to the western coast of Sardinia were carried out (see S9 in the supplement).

On 28 July 2017, a short wave trough with a weak cold front passed over France. This situation led to a prevailing westerly flow and suitable conditions for the E-EU-09 flight over Southern Europe. Two shuttle flight patterns were carried out downwind of Marseille and Barcelona. Features of interest during this flight were the transport of the Madrid and Barcelona outflows in stratified layers into the Mediterranean and the transport of forest fire emissions originating in Southern France and Portugal. This is described in more detail in Sect. 4.3.

Further details on all the flight tracks and shuttles are given in the supplement (S9).




Figure 6: Details of the E-EU-06 flight on the 20 July 2017. Three shuttles took place downwind of the Po Valley (PV), upwind of Rome (R) and along the Adriatic coast and are marked with red lines on the map in a), as red shaded areas on the altitude diagram in b), and as a 3-D depiction in c), d) and e). The flight tracks during the shuttles d) and e) are shown in grey. The flight track in a) is coloured as in Fig. 4 and the EMeRGe MPC targets in red. Main changes in course and altitude are marked (a-l) on the graphs for reference. OP indicates the position of the HALO base.




Figure 7: Details of the E-EU-08 flight on the 26 July 2017. The position of the shuttles downwind from London and the BNL/Ruhr area are indicated in red on the map in a), marked by the red shaded areas in b), and as a 3-D depiction in c) and d). The flight tracks during the shuttles are shown in c) and d) in grey. In a) the EMERGE MPC targets are shown in red and the flight track coloured as in Fig. 4. Main changes in course and altitude are marked (a-g) on the graphs for reference. OP indicates the position of the HALO base.

3.7 Model predicted pollution transport patterns

CAMS global model data (see S3 for the model description) are used here to identify and characterise prominent pollution transport patterns during the EMeRGe IOP over Europe. Figures 8 to 10 show composite average maps of CAMS-global forecasts at 12 UTC for the EMeRGe flights to the North (Flight region 2: E-EU-05 and E-EU-08) and to the South of Europe (Flight regions 1 and 3: E-EU-03, E-EU-06, E-EU-07, and E-EU-09; see Fig.4 and Table 3 for description). A division into southwards and northwards flights is meaningful, as pollution transport patterns during individual flights in the two subgroups mainly resemble each other. Comparison of the CO city tracer simulations at 500 and 925 hPa (see Fig. 8) indicates that the largest part of the anthropogenic MPC emissions remained close to the surface within the PBL. The emissions from the MPCs in the North (e.g. London, Paris) were frequently transported eastwards due to the dominant west-southwesterly winds (Figure 8, top left). Emissions from MPCs South of the polar front, such as Madrid, rather remained in the proximity of the emission sources due to variable weak winds (Figure 8, bottom left). Emissions from the highly polluted Po Valley were often transported to the Northeast and lifted over the high mountains of the Alps (Figure 8, bottom right).

During flights towards the South, higher temperatures and dry conditions in Southern Europe favoured O_3 production and smog events (Fig. 10, bottom left). These meteorological conditions supported the propagation of multiple and mostly intentionally started fires in the Mediterranean area. Average fire radiative power observed by MODIS (MODerate resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer, <http://modis-fire.umd.edu/>) and assimilated within CAMS-global over Europe in July 2017 is included in the supplement (S10). Fire hot spots are visible around the Mediterranean and in Portugal. Further evaluation of the CAMS simulations shows that CO emitted by fires around the Mediterranean mainly remained below approximately 700 hPa. In contrast, CO resulting from the LRT of North American fire emissions was observed around 500-700 hPa over Europe. The average fields show that CO from North American fires was expected to be more pronounced during flights to the North (see Fig. 9), than to the South (see Fig.10) with a maximum in the average fields over Great Britain.

The stratospheric O_3 tracer indicates that stratospheric intrusions concurred with LRT of North American fire emissions initially lofted by warm conveyor belts or deep convection. Dry air masses rich in O_3 were transported downwards to comparably low altitudes. In the average fields of stratospheric O_3 for flights towards the North (see Fig. 9, bottom right), a stratospheric intrusion over Europe stretches broadly from Southern Greece and Southern Italy to the Northeast. The latter is associated with the cut-off low which developed on 20 July 2017 over UK and dominated the weather conditions over Europe for approximately one week.




Figure 8: Coloured shadings of composite averages of CAMS-global city tracer forecasts of CO (ppbv) at 925 hPa (left) and 500 hPa (right) and 12:00 UTC for days of flights to the North ((E-EU-05, E-EU-08, top) and South (E-EU-03, E-EU-06, E-EU-07, E-EU-09, bottom) of Europe. Black contours show corresponding averages of geopotential height (km) from the ECMWF-Integrated Forecasting System (IFS).




Figure 9: Coloured shadings of composite averages of CAMS-global forecasts at 12:00 UTC for flights to the North (E-EU-05, E-EU-08): BB CO tracer (ppbv) from Europe at 925 (top left), and from North America at 500 hPa (top right); O₃ (ppbv) at 925 hPa (bottom left), and stratospheric ozone tracer (ppbv) at 500 hPa (bottom right). Black contours show averages of geopotential height (km) from ECMWF-IFS. Note the different scales. The BB tracer from North America (top right) is shown on a larger map than the other CAMS forecasts in this image.




Figure 10: Coloured shadings of composite averages of CAMS-global forecasts as in Fig.10, but for flights to the South (E-EU-03, E-EU-06, E-EU-07 and E-EU-09). Note that the BB tracer from North America (top right) is shown on a larger map than the other CAMS forecasts in this image.

4 Transport and transformation of pollution plumes during the EMeRGe IOP in Europe

The EMeRGe campaign in Europe focused on the identification and measurement of plumes of pollution from selected MPCs, i.e. their emissions, transport and transformation. EMeRGe achieved its measurement objectives by exploiting the unique capabilities of the HALO research platform to probe these plumes over a relatively large geographical coverage and by the use of forecasting models and tools. The analysis and publication of EMeRGe results is expected to provide new insights into the transport and transformation of pollution plumes over Europe during the IOP in July 2017. In that respect, general findings are summarised in the following sections

4.1 Observations

- EMeRGe provides a unique set of in-situ and remote sensing airborne measurements of trace gases and aerosol particles along flight routes and regions in the lower troposphere over Europe. The interpretation of the HALO measurements during the EMeRGe IOP in Europe is facilitated by the use of collocated ground-based and satellite measurements. In that respect, EMeRGe enhances previous pollution studies in Europe by adding an extensive experimental data set in the PBL. The composition of the sampled air masses is highly variable throughout the flights, which cover large geographical areas of heterogeneous topography, under different solar insolation conditions and proximity to pollution sources. To illustrate this variability, average, median and quartiles values of selected species measured during the EMeRGe flights are included in the supplement (S11).

- Pollution hotspots were identified by using the spatial distribution of trace gases and aerosol particles observed over the flight tracks. A detailed analysis of the complexity of the air masses measured and the variations encountered in individual flights is beyond the scope of the present work and will be presented in dedicated publications. Figure 11 shows as an example the CO, NO, O₃, CH₃COCH₃, CH₄, and the organic aerosol mass concentrations measured during the EMeRGe flights in Europe. CO, total reactive nitrogen (NO_y) and its most reactive forms NO and NO₂, are key species in the identification of anthropogenic pollution. As can be seen in Fig.11, the highest NO concentrations were found in the vicinity and downwind of major pollution sources like London, the BNL/Ruhr region and the Po Valley. The Alps and Apennines on the Italian Peninsula lead to the transport of the Po Valley outflow southwards along the Italian Adriatic coast which is the geographic opening of the Po Valley (Finardi et al., 2014). High NO concentrations are indicative of recent or “fresh” anthropogenic emissions. The NO_y lifetime of a few days enables a more reliable identification of aged polluted air masses further out from the source regions. Maximum NO_y values as large as 12 ppbv (not shown) were measured over Europe. Elevated CO and NO_y accompanied by low NO, as measured in the proximity of Barcelona, indicate that there has been a significant amount of processing of the pollution plumes sampled. Emission hot-spots can be hardly identified in the spatial distribution of O₃ as expected from its non-linear secondary formation. Maximum O₃ mixing ratios were generally observed at a distance downwind of MPCs. Organic aerosol has strong anthropogenic sources such as combustion (traffic, fossil fuel combustion, industrial activity, BB), and showed similar behaviour to CO and NO, in that larger mass concentrations were closer in time and space to MPCs such as London, Po Valley, and BNL. The lifetime of aerosol particles in the PBL is in the order of a few days, which explains the high variability observed. Additionally, aerosol particle concentrations presented a strong gradient above the PBL (see Fig.12).
- Signatures of urban sources of long-lived greenhouse gases like CH₄ and CO₂ were identified in the airborne measurements close to the MPC regions in Europe. The identification of plumes of GHG and the quantification of the MPC contributions to the regional GHG budget are challenging. This is caused by the long lifetime of these gases which yields a well-mixed and large atmospheric background. As can be seen in Fig. 11, the highest and most distinctive CH₄ mixing ratios in the PBL were encountered in the Po Valley (up to 2.4 ppm), downwind of London and across the BNL/Ruhr region (up to 2 ppm). Slightly lower mixing ratios were detected downwind of Barcelona (up to 1.94 ppm). The CH₄ mixing ratios were higher than the global mean ground level mixing ratio of around 1.85 ppm for July 2017. At large downwind distances from the MPC regions the CH₄ emissions are diluted and/or mixed with pollution from surrounding sources. Although the contribution of BB emissions to total global anthropogenic CH₄ is on the order of a few percent (Saunois et al., 2019) mixing ratios of CH₄ comparable to those in urban plumes were occasionally measured in BB events that strongly influenced the local GHG distribution, as during E-EU-07 (not shown). For the assignment of the GHG enhancements to their source region, supporting model simulations and complementary measurements of shorter-lived species with smaller background concentrations and thus better signal-to-background ratios are therefore needed (Klausner, 2020).




Figure 11: Mixing ratios of CO, NO, O₃, CH₃COCH₃, CH₄, and organic aerosol mass concentrations measured along all EMeRGe flights in Europe. To increase colour contrast, 50 ppbv has been set as lower limit for CO, and 0.5 ppbv and 80 ppbv as upper limit for NO and O₃ respectively. These limits are representative for more than 95% of all measurements. CH₄ mixing ratios are in 0.05 x 0.05° bins as in Klausner (2020). Organic aerosol mass concentrations are plotted for the original time resolution of 30 sec. Note that mixing ratios measured at different altitudes in the shuttle areas are not distinguishable in the figure.

- Large amounts of HONO detected in the moderately polluted upper boundary layer (several 100 ppt) and in the lower free troposphere (several 10 ppt) often exceeded mixing ratios expected from known gas-phase reactions as indicated by comparisons with model simulations. Potential mechanisms for the heterogeneous HONO formation are explored using theoretical studies in combination with the gas-phase, aerosol composition and radiation observations. These measurements indicate that additional HONO is likely formed by a suite of different heterogeneous processes in the residual layer and lower free troposphere, in agreement with many near surface observations in the polluted environment. This additional HONO may contribute significantly to the oxidation capacity of these polluted air masses.
- Elevated concentrations of pollutants were typically observed below the top of the BL and occasionally after being transported over long distances. Curtain maps showing the latitudinal and vertical distributions of selected species supported the classification of the air masses, especially in the lower 2000 m of the troposphere. Differences observed North and South of the Alps are e.g. evident in Fig. 12, showing a reasonable agreement in the geospatial distribution of the cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and CO which has been documented as a nearly linear relationship within the PBL by Pöhlker et al. (2016, 2018). CO is a good tracer for relatively fresh combustion (e.g. Andreae, 2019). The CO emitted by open BB exceeds concentrations from anthropogenic sources. Thus, the good agreement for CCN and CO in the lower troposphere for the peak concentrations (color-coded in red in Fig. 12) implies BB to be the source of CCN. Elevated CO observations not related to increases in CCN indicate aerosol removal by cloud processing (e.g. Fig. 12 above 4000 m between 41 and 44°N) .

The vertical and latitudinal distribution of the CCN number concentration (N_{CCN}) showed a strong vertical gradient. Generally, N_{CCN} was highest in and above the PBL, up to ~2000 m a.s.l. The N_{CCN} depends strongly on the particular air mass, its photochemical history and the source of pollution as shown in Fig. 12b. In Northern Europe, (50 to 55 °N), N_{CCN} up to 1200 cm⁻³ were measured in the London outflow over the North Sea and over the BNL/Ruhr region. Below 46 °N, N_{CCN} often exceeded 1500 cm⁻³ above the MPC in the Po Valley, Rome, Marseille and Barcelona, the highest concentrations being observed in the Po Valley. An interesting observation was the distinct layer of BB smoke measured above the PBL between 2000 and 3500 m altitude, close to Marseille and Barcelona (40 to 42 °N). The high N_{CCN} due to BB are episodic in nature, whereas the CCN emissions from anthropogenic activity are produced daily with probably a weekend modulation. The vertical profile in Fig. 12b is a composite of all data but clearly shows that altitudes below 2000 m have the highest N_{CCN} . The increased values between 2000 and 4000 m are associated with air masses, which either come from Po Valley air being lifted up the Alps (e.g. Diemöz et al. 2019a, b), or from BB events upwind flowing into the Mediterranean.




Figure 12: Vertical and latitudinal distribution observed during the EMeRGe IOP of a) CO mixing ratios, and b) CCN number concentration at a supersaturation (S) of 0.32 % (except for E-EU-04, due to instrumental failure). The CCN curtain plot on the left is made with latitude- (0.2°) and altitude-binned (500 m) CCN number concentrations. On the right, the median vertical N_{CCN} ($S=0.32\%$) profile is represented by a solid black line and the interquartile range by a grey shaded area. CCN data is STP corrected.

4.2 Identification, classification and characteristics of pollution plumes

- Anthropogenic and biogenic signatures were identified in the pollution plumes by using enhancements in the concentration of selected species, such as CO, NO_y and VOCs measured on-board HALO. Measured large pollution plume events were initially categorised into a) anthropogenic pollution (AP), b) biomass burning (BB), c) mixed and d) biogenic plumes, by using enhancements of CH_3CN , C_6H_6 and C_5H_8 over 184 ppt, 49 ppt and 85 ppt thresholds, respectively. These thresholds take into consideration three times the instrumental noise over the limit of detection (LOD) or the individual atmospheric background values. Anthropogenic polluted air masses were e.g. identified by the enhancements of C_6H_6 and absence of CH_3CN in contrast with the unpolluted background air in the absence of both chemical tracers. Similarly, CH_3CN enhanced plumes in the absence of C_6H_6 were identified as pure or aged BB events (see S12 for details). Figure 13 illustrates the result of applying this procedure to the flight E-EU-08 on 26 July 2017, which investigated the London and BNL/Ruhr MPC outflows (see Sect.4.3).




Figure 13: Time series for E-EU-08 on the 26 July 2017 used for the categorisation of plumes based on VOC measurements: altitude, wind direction, CH_3CN , C_6H_6 and NO_y as refinement. The wind direction (green line and axis) is given as $U/(U^2+V^2)$, -1 is east wind (E), +1 is west wind (W), values around zero have North or South components (N/S). South components are marked with dark green colour. Altitude (brown line, top panel) is colour-coded in green during C_5H_8 enhancements, in red during CH_3CN enhancements, in black during C_6H_6 enhancements and in dark red during both, CH_3CN and C_6H_6 enhancements. Additionally, blue colour-coded blank measurements of CH_3CN , C_6H_6 and C_5H_8 are given. In the bottom panel, final numbering of structures and plumes according to concentration enhancements are shown for CO. Colour-coding indicates CH_3CN enhancements (red), C_6H_6 enhancements (black), and both, CH_3CN and C_6H_6 enhancements (brown).

- Anthropogenic polluted air presented distinctive features in contrast to background air in Europe. These are summarised as follows:

a) Maximum concentrations of trace gases and aerosol species of anthropogenic origin were typically measured below 2000 m during the EMERGe IOP.

As an example, Fig.14 shows median vertical distributions of observed major primary and secondary VOCs. Longer lived VOCs were as expected well mixed in the troposphere and those with anthropogenic sources showed higher variability and highest mixing ratios below 2000 m. Benzene (C_6H_6) and toluene (C_7H_8) are primarily of anthropogenic origin. HCHO and acetaldehyde ($\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}$) have anthropogenic BB and significant biogenic sources. They are also generated downwind by the oxidation of transported VOCs. These species have a short lifetime as they are oxidised quickly in the lower layers of the troposphere. As a result, the concentrations observed above 2000 m were close to the LOD. The same is true for isoprene (C_5H_8) and xylene (C_8H_{10}) which have lifetimes in the order of some hours.

This vertical distribution is not as evident in glyoxal ($\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$) and methylglyoxal ($\text{C}_3\text{H}_4\text{O}_2$) which result from the oxidation of C_5H_8 and BB. $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ is also an oxidation product of acetylene (C_2H_2) which is of anthropogenic origin. $\text{C}_3\text{H}_4\text{O}_2$ is produced in the oxidation of CH_3COCH_3 , which is thought to have a dominant biogenic source (Andreae, 2019; Wennberg et al., 2018). Both gases are also formed during the oxidation of other VOCs, particularly alkenes, aromatics, and monoterpenes (Myriokefalitakis et al., 2008; Fu et al., 2008;

Taraborrelli et al., 2020) and are present both as primary or secondary pollutants during BB events (e.g., Vrekoussis et al., 2009; Alvarado et al., 2020).

Acetonitrile (CH_3CN) and acetone (CH_3COCH_3) are typically well mixed in the troposphere due to their longer lifetimes, which are in the order of months. The increase of median CH_3CN with altitude identifies the LRT of BB emissions from North America and the local transport of BB events in Europe.




Figure 14: Variation of VOC versus altitude measured by the HKMS (labeled with *) and the miniDOAS (labeled with *) instruments during EMeRGe over Europe. Shaded areas are the quartiles, solid lines represent median concentrations.

During EMeRGe, HCHO was measured by the in-situ HKMS and the mini-DOAS remote sensing instruments (see Table 2). These instruments probed slightly different air masses due to their instrument characteristics (for averaging kernel of the mini-DOAS instrument see S11 in the supplement), and did not operated at strictly the same data rate and for the same times. For example, unlike HKMS, mini-DOAS did not probe the polluted air masses during aircraft ascent and descent from OP. Despite differences in sampling volume, rate and time, the instrument specific average vertical distribution of HCHO measured on-board HALO by both instruments illustrates in a similar manner the differences in trace gas concentrations encountered in polluted and background air during the EMeRGe IOP (see Fig. 15). In the air masses classified as polluted the HCHO results from direct emission and oxidation of VOC precursors and is discernibly higher than the lower boundary of the measurements. The HCHO in the less polluted or background air in Europe is then attributed to be predominantly released from CH_4 oxidation.




Figure 15: Vertical profiles of HCHO (miniDOAS left, HKMS right) for pure anthropogenic emissions (C_6H_6 enhancement in absence of CH_3CN) and background air (in the absence of C_6H_6 and CH_3CN). Shaded areas are the quartiles, solid lines represent median concentrations.

The HCHO mixing ratios measured during the IOP in Europe are consistent with previous remote sensing observations over South East Asia (Burrows et al., 1999) and North America in summer (Kluge et al., 2020; Chance et al., 2000; Dufour et al., 2009; Boeke et al. 2011; De Smedt et al., 2015; Kaiser et al., 2015; Chan Miller et al., 2017, and references therein). They are also in the same range as those measured in the Po Valley (Heckel et al., 2005). The HCHO mixing ratios observed in the PBL and middle troposphere during EMeRGe are somewhat lower than all summer North American mixing ratios previously measured (see Fig. 16). The emissions of HCHO and its VOC precursors have been reported in previous studies to be lower in Europe than in North America (e.g. Dufour et al., 2009; De Smedt et al., 2015). However, as several EMeRGe flight tracks were carried out far from emission sources over the North and the Mediterranean Seas, this difference might be related to a larger marine influence to the air masses analysed over Europe.




Figure 16: HCHO measurements by the HKMS (in orange) and the miniDOAS instruments (in blue). Mean values (bigger dots) and the respective accuracies (horizontal bars) are also shown. The black lines indicate the range of previous HCHO measurements over North America in summer (Kluge et al., 2020). Note that HKMS and miniDOAS agree within their accuracies in spite of having different air sampling volumes, which did not perfectly overlap.

b) Inside pollution plumes small particles in the diameter range 0.01 to 3 μm dominated. In the vertical distribution of the total aerosol number concentrations (Fig. 17a), the difference between anthropogenic and background air masses is more pronounced in the size range between 0.25 μm and 3 μm than in the size range between 0.01 μm and 3 μm . At altitudes below 4000 m the averaged total aerosol number concentrations show several maxima which are mainly caused by local pollution plumes. In contrast to all other profiles, there are two additional maxima in the number concentration compared to background aerosol for the size range 0.01 μm to 3 μm at around 6000 m and 7500 m. These maxima are not apparent in the profiles of particle larger than 0.25 μm . The corresponding sequences can be associated with air masses from convective outflows giving rise to enhanced particle concentrations in the sub-100 nm size range.




Figure 17: As in Fig. 15 but for a) the total aerosol number concentrations for two different size ranges (0.01-3 μm and 0.25-3 μm), and b) organic, sulphate, nitrate and ammonium mass concentrations in the aerosol particles. The dots in the solid lines represent the medians averaged over altitude bins of 500 m and the shaded areas are the quartiles.

c) Secondary organic aerosol (SOA) prevailed in the polluted air masses probed in Europe above 2000 m. In the free troposphere above 4000 m the direct effect of anthropogenic emissions on the organic and inorganic aerosol components is observed to be small. The vertical profiles of the chemically resolved aerosol mass concentrations in Fig. 17b clearly show the enhanced concentrations in the anthropogenically influenced air masses compared to the background air masses. Differences in the median vertical profiles of the inorganic and organic aerosol (OA) suggest that organic aerosol in anthropogenic air masses is mainly formed by secondary processes. The OA maximum between 2000 and 4000 m observed in the anthropogenically influenced air masses can be explained by one particular measurement period above Spain during flight E-EU-09. The trajectory analysis shows an uplift and transport of anthropogenic influenced air masses from Madrid to the measurement location. Further possible reasons might also be lower temperature leading to enhanced SOA formation in this altitude range, but also a longer conversion time of VOCs to SOA in comparison to the conversion time for inorganic aerosol precursor gases. In contrast, the inorganic components of the aerosol, especially ammonium and sulphate ions, show a steady decrease in the anthropogenically influenced air masses until up to about 4000 m. Above that altitude, the difference between background and anthropogenic profiles becomes small for both organic and inorganic aerosol components. This is a very interesting finding, implying that the direct influence of anthropogenic emissions on the aerosol of the free troposphere over Europe is small.

4.3 Identification of MPC outflows

- The identification of individual MPC sources was possible by using a) enhancements in the concentration of selected atmospheric species, b) backward trajectories and the last contact with PBL, c) forward trajectories and dispersion of MPC outflows, and d) detection of released PFC tracers. Details about the plume identification and tagging approaches used during the EMeRGe IOP in Europe are given in the supplement (S12).
- MPCs were, as expected, identified as significant sources for reactive nitrogen species. The concentration of reactive nitrogen species within pollution plumes exceeded the background concentration by up to a factor of 10. With increasing distance to the MPC sources reactive nitrogen species were processed and finally removed from the atmosphere as indicated by correlations observed with CO.
- MPC outflows not sampled by in-situ instruments were identified during overpasses by the down-looking remote sensing instruments on-board HALO. Fig. 18 shows HAIDI measurements at 8 km of the Milan outflow during E-EU-09. The measurements of HAIDI were used to estimate emissions and plume geometries, NO₂ being an important target species. The HAIDI instrument has three scanning telescopes pointed at nadir, 45° forward and 45° backwards direction. On the left side of Fig.18, the data from the nadir telescope scanner are shown at high spatial resolution (a pixel is ca.400x 400 m). The map shows a strong NO₂ plume Northeast of Milan. The plume substructures are also clearly visible. On the right side of the figure, the data from all three telescope scanners are plotted as a function of time at a lower spatial resolution. The time delay of about 80 s between the peak as seen in the forward and backward scanners indicates that this plume is close to the ground. Wind data from the lowest layer from the ECMWF ERA-5 reanalysis product [Copernicus Climate Change Service, 2017] implied a wind angle of 293°, which is consistent with this outflow from the urban area East of Milan. The uncertainty in the estimated NO₂ emission rate of 607 ± 67 kg/day may be increased due to the low wind speed (0.6 m/s), the complex plume shape and the small relative angle between the HALO flight track and the plume direction.




Figure 18: HAIDI measurement at 8 km altitude of the Milan outflow during the flight E-EU-09: a) pixel-resolved NO₂ slant column densities observed by the nadir camera (marked by the red square on the map). The grey arrow indicates the direction of the flight. An enhancement of up to 1.5×10^{16} molec/cm² over the background is observed Northeast of Milan (red coloured circle), b) NO₂ slant column densities averaged over the whole swath for all three telescopes: forward (top) nadir (middle) and backward (bottom). The height of the plume centre is estimated from the time difference of the maxima. Sources of background imagery: ESRI, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDAFSA, USGS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community.

• Different ranges of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values in VOCs were determined and attributed to MPC sources for the first time, e.g. for C_6H_6 in the Po Valley and Rome. Atmospheric residence times of the MPC plumes measured on-board were retrieved from isotope measurements in VOC samples collected at MPC ground sites in London, Wuppertal, Milan and Rome and on-board HALO. The vertical distribution of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values in pentanal ($\text{C}_5\text{H}_{10}\text{O}$) and C_6H_6 are shown in Fig. 19, colour coded according to the different areas sampled, as given in the overview map in Fig. 4. In general, the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values are in the expected range reported by previous studies (e.g. Rudolph et al., 2000; Goldstein and Shaw, 2003).

The air samples taken during the EMERGE IOP at ground stations exhibited different features in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values for the Southern and for the Northern European MPCs. Lower $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values for $\text{C}_5\text{H}_{10}\text{O}$ and C_6H_6 , indicative of fresh emissions, were generally observed below 2000 m altitude. On average, $\text{C}_5\text{H}_{10}\text{O}$ was less enriched in ^{13}C in the Rome and Milan (-32.6 ‰) than in the London and Wuppertal samples (-31.4 ‰), whereas it was the opposite for C_6H_6 , i.e., (-27.3 ‰) and (-29.0 ‰), respectively. Moreover, the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ground values in Italy indicated more constant sources in $\text{C}_5\text{H}_{10}\text{O}$ and C_6H_6 as in the Northern MPCs, as was apparent from the standard deviations of 0.8 ‰ and 0.7 ‰ in contrast to 1.2 ‰ and 3.3 ‰, respectively.

The EMERGE flights to the Southern MPCs in Europe covered a larger altitude range than the flights to the Northern MPCs. The upwind and downwind shuttles at different flight altitudes of the Rome MPC illustrated a general increase in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in $\text{C}_5\text{H}_{10}\text{O}$ and C_6H_6 with increasing altitude. This implies that chemically processed air was encountered during the transits over the Apennines. In comparison to $\text{C}_5\text{H}_{10}\text{O}$, the enrichment in ^{13}C with altitude in C_6H_6 was not very pronounced. This is consistent with the longer lifetime of C_6H_6 and a well-mixed troposphere with a variety of ground sources mixed by convection (e.g. thunderstorms) in summer. Consequently, the values for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in $\text{C}_5\text{H}_{10}\text{O}$ represented local conditions, whereas those in C_6H_6 provided regional or LRT information. The isotopic signatures revealed a second layer with rather fresh emissions in the altitude region between 2000 and 3000 m which extended to 4000 m in the Southern MPCs (e.g. Rome and Po Valley). These observations were consistent with the trace gases and aerosol measurements.

• The location and position of the city plumes were typically well forecasted by the CAMS-global, MECO(n) regional and by HYSPLIT dispersion simulations using urban city tracers. Figure 20 shows an example with results from E-EU-08 on 26 July 2017 as the London and BNL/Ruhr MPC outflows were investigated. The HYSPLIT dispersion calculations of the CO city plumes were used to define the location of the outflows, which were measured along the Eastern UK coast between 10 and 12 UTC and over the European continent between 13:20 and 14:15 UTC approximately. The plumes identified using enhanced mixing ratios of selected atmospheric species, and the estimated air-mass transport times are summarised in S13 in the supplement. These plumes show mixtures of anthropogenic pollution (AP), BB and biogenic emissions (BIO). Overall, the HYSPLIT dispersion and FLEXTRA backward calculations agreed reasonably in identifying fresh emitted London plumes such as B-02 and B-04 (see Fig.13): the measured 22 and 19 ppbv CO increases over background are estimated by HYSPLIT as 25 and 22 ppbv (sum of all transport times). B-05 is a good example of significant mixing with aged plumes (12-24 h) which seem to dominate in B-06 and B-08 (see detail in Fig. 20). Plume B-09 is a good example of mixing of freshly emitted plumes from BNL/Ruhr (0-6 h) and aged emissions (>24 h) of London origin.




Figure 19: Vertical distribution of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values in $\text{C}_5\text{H}_{10}\text{O}$ (left column) and C_6H_6 (right column) in whole air samples taken on HALO and at the ground sites in London, Wuppertal, Milan and Rome. Data for northbound flights (top row) are colour coded for Paris MPC (black), North Sea (red), English Channel (violet), BNL/Ruhr (orange). Data for southbound flights (bottom row) are colour coded for Rome MPC (blue), Po Valley MPC (cyan) and East Mediterranean (green). The coloured shadings refer to the standard deviation of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values in altitude bins of 250 m. Mean $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of the respective altitude bins are represented as solid colour-coded lines. The $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values at the lowest altitudes in each colour represent the results of air samples at the ground stations: London (red), Wuppertal (orange), Rome (blue) and Milan (cyan). Error bars in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ are given for each sample value. Remaining data are shown in grey.




Figure 20: Detail of the MPC outflow of London (B-01 to B-09) and BNL/Ruhr (B-09 to B-12) probed with HALO along the E-EU-08 flight track. Numbering in blue corresponds with the classification in Fig. 13 (“B-0” is omitted for clarity). The position of the plumes is also indicated by the blue lines. Dispersion of CO emissions of target MPCs and the transport time of the air mass calculated by HYSPLIT are depicted in the middle panel. The last contact with the PBL (ICPBL) calculated using FLEXTRA is also shown. Elevated PMCH mixing ratios were measured for B-02, B-04 and B-05.

- MPC outflows were successfully and unambiguously identified after transport times of between 5 and 26 hours by tagging polluted air masses through ground-based releases of PFC tracers in the centre of MPCs. The aim of the PFC tracer experiments was to establish Lagrangian connections between polluted air masses in the center of selected cities and downstream measurements on-board HALO guided by HYSPLIT forecasts of the dispersion of the tracer plumes. In Fig. 20 the PMCH volume mixing ratios measured on-board during E-EU-08 are shown. For B-02, B-04 and B-05, enhanced tracer values above the 8.5 ppqv atmospheric background in Europe were clearly detected.
- The downwind impact of pollution from MPCs was identified by combining information from measurements on-board in selected areas with backward and sensitivity trajectories. Figure 21 shows an example of the density distribution for forward trajectories (FT) of MPC Rome outflows. The figure highlights the typical transport pattern towards the Adriatic coast and the representativeness of the HALO shuttles at different altitudes in the Mediterranean and along the Adriatic coast during the flights E-EU-03 and E-EU-06. The flight tracks for E-EU-03 and E-EU-06 are colour-coded with the BC mass, a good tracer for urban emissions. The elevated BC mass concentrations observed in the area of an increased FT density over the Adriatic indicates the measurement of urban emissions in the statistically expectable transport pattern for the urban outflow of Rome during the month of July. A comparison of the gases remote sensing observations on-board HALO with their columnar amounts observed by ground-based measurements in the Rome area in the framework of the PANDONIA

global network for air quality and atmospheric composition (<https://www.pandonia-global-network.org/>) is discussed in Campanelli et al. 2021 (in preparation 2021).




Figure 21: Forward trajectory (FT) density plot for air masses starting in Rome (100 m a.g.l.) in the month of July from multiple years (2017 to 2020). The grey scale represents the counts of FT points in each grid cell. The flight track of E-EU-03 and E-EU-06 is colour-coded with the BC mass concentration. The FT density distribution was calculated as explained in Pöhlker et al. (2019). The FT starts at 100 m above ground level for the month of July in a multi-year period (2017 until 2020) by using the HYSPLIT package (version 4, Revision 664, October 2014) (Stein et al., 2015; Rolph et al., 2017).

- Regional transport of several European MPC outflows was successfully identified and measured: a) London over the English Channel to Central Europe, b) Po Valley either North over the Alps or in a south-easterly direction towards the Adriatic, c) Rome over the Apennines into the Adriatic and d) Madrid and Barcelona into the Western Mediterranean.

The downwind impact of the MPC outflows during EMERGe was explored in respect of the vertical and horizontal extension of the observed plumes. Information from transects and shuttles in selected areas was combined as shown in Fig. 22 for the B-01 to B-12 plumes during the E-EU-08 flight. The E-EU-08 track included a flight transect (a-b-c-d-e) at approximately 600 m altitude and a shuttle (600-1400 m) between b-c and c-d in the outflow of London from 10 UTC to 12 UTC. A second shuttle (g-h-i) at 900, 1500 and 2400 m was made in the BNL outflow from 13:20 UTC approximately. Relevant changes in the HALO course and altitude are marked by coloured circles and letters in Fig. 22. Backward trajectories indicated that the air measured at around 10:30 UTC at 600 m (blue circle), 11:00 UTC (point c at 1400 m and 600 m), 11:20 UTC (yellow circle) and 11:50 UTC at 600 m (pink circle) had passed over the MPC London a few hours before being probed at an altitude below 1000 m. Selected backward trajectories are shown in Fig. 22c. At these times, the measured enhancements in CO and NO_y and the NO/NO_y ratios were in reasonable agreement with the transport time predicted by HYSPLIT for the CO enhancement in the MPC London plumes in Fig. 20. For plume B-02, HYSPLIT predicted the London contribution to be a mixture of air masses transported in the previous 3 to 24 hours. The air probed had up to 10 ppb of NO_y and approximately 2 ppbv NO. The latter suppresses RO₂^{*}. OH and RO are produced but also react with NO and NO₂. These measurements confirm the predicted mixing of relatively fresh emissions with aged and more photochemically processed air masses.

The vertical distribution of CO in the plume during the shuttles is depicted in the 3D diagrams in Fig. 22b. The CO measured indicates that the plume B-03 is well mixed horizontally with the plume B-06 up to 1400 m altitude. According to the backward trajectories (not shown), the plume at 11:52 UTC is transported from the Northeast coast of UK and had no recent contact with the outflow of London. This is distinguishable by the high SO₂ mixing ratios measured. The plumes B-08 and B-09 measured over the continent at 900 m were predicted to have been in contact with emissions of the MPC London within the previous 24 hours (Fig.20 and Fig. 22c). From 12:50 UTC the air probed was expected to mix with recent emissions of the MPC BNL as indicated by the observed higher NO levels and enhancements in NO_y, SO₂ and C₆H₆ in Fig. 22a.

The composition of the air measured during the shuttle between the way points g and h in Fig. 22a at 13:30 and 13:45 UTC and the backward trajectories indicated that the outflow from the MPC BNL was sampled in a plume extending from 1000 m to 1500 m. This air mass was not detectable at 2500 m.

- Distinct pollution and aerosol layering were observed over some of the investigated MPCs. Collocated ground-based remote sensing instruments improved the understanding of the evolution of the airborne observed scenarios and the attribution of the vertical distribution of pollutants probed during the shuttles.

A particular case of interest was the vertical distribution of pollutants observed at the coast of Barcelona during E-EU-09. HYSPLIT CO dispersion simulations indicated that the Madrid outflow was transported over a long distance above the Iberian Peninsula to the North-Eastern coast at altitudes above 2000 m while in the lower layers the Barcelona outflow predominated, as illustrated in Fig. 23. In contrast with the air sampled at 500 m, the backward trajectories and HYSPLIT dispersion calculations indicated that the air probed from 15:15 to 15:25 UTC at 1600 m had passed over MPC Barcelona within 6-12 hour before sampling. There is no indication of fresh NO emissions, and NO_y, C₆H₆ and CO were significantly higher than at the lower altitude. The layering is attributed to be the result of the recirculation of emissions in the Barcelona outflow within the land-breeze regimes close to the coast. Later at this FL (green and red circles in Fig. 23), the backward trajectories and HYSPLIT estimations indicated sampling of regional emissions that had travelled along the coast from Valencia. This is consistent with the observed decreases in C₆H₆, NO_y and BC. In the upper FL at 15:45 UTC, NO_y, C₆H₆ and CO significantly increased in air transported from Portugal (as in the 36 h backward trajectories) across the Iberian Peninsula at altitudes above 2000 m, after PBL contact with the MPC Madrid below 1000 m the evening before. According to the pollution control network of Madrid, the average CO surface concentration exceeded 350 ppb on the 27 July 2017, the zonal wind direction was WSW and the average wind speeds were greater than 16 km/h. The observed mixing ratio decreased when this feature at 3000 m disappeared. Re-entering and stratification of plumes having different processing along the Spanish coast has also been documented in the past (e.g. Millán et al., 1997, 2000 and references therein).






Figure 22: a) CO, O₃, SO₂, RO₂*, NO_y, NO, C₆H₆ and BC measured in the outflow of London and BNL during E-EU-08 on 26 July 2017. The position and numbering of the plumes are indicated by blue lines and numbers as classified in Fig. 22 (“B-0” is omitted for clarity), b) 3D shuttles colour coded with the CO mixing ratios observed. Relevant changes in the HALO course and altitude are marked by colour circles and letters (a-i). c) Selected backward trajectories (24h). The red stars indicate the position of the MPCs of interest.






Figure 23: Stratified pollution layers along the Spanish coast during the E-EU-09 flight on the 28 July 2017, a) temporal variation of CO, O₃, RO₂*, NO_y, NO, SO₂, C₆H₆ and BC during the shuttle, b) 3D view of the shuttle colour coded with CO mixing ratios, c) selected backward trajectories (last 24h). Coloured circles marked the corresponding times. Red stars indicate the position of the MPCs of interest.

Data from the closest four ground-based remote sensing stations available in the framework of EMerge international enhanced the interpretation of the HALO measurements. These are data of a lidar in Barcelona (BRC) and three ceilometers in Montseny (MSY), on top of the Serra del Montsec (MSA) (Titos et al., 2019), and in Burjassot (VLC) near Valencia. Figure 24 shows the location of the stations with respect to the HALO

flight track. The stations MSY and MSA were approached at a flight altitude of 2600 m when HALO entered the air space above the Iberian Peninsula. Subsequently, HALO shuttles were carried out Northeast of Valencia at 500, 1000, 2000 and 2600 m and East of Barcelona at 500, 1600 and 3000 m.




Figure 24: Detail of E-EU-F09 flight track (in green) and the ground-based stations with coordinated remote sensing measurements in the vicinity: Montseny (MSY), Sierra del Montsec (MSA), Burjassot (VLC) and Barcelona (BRC). Red lines indicate the position of the HALO shuttles.

The lofted aerosol layer observed at all ground-based remote sensing stations from above the PBL up to 4000 m altitude was also probed by HALO (see Fig. 25). The profiles of the backscatter coefficient derived at MSA, MSY, VLC and BRC on the 28 July 2017 are displayed in Fig. 26 and Fig. 27. The lofted aerosol layer in Fig. 25 corresponded with increased backscatter coefficients ranging from 0.4 to 1.9 ($\text{Mm}\cdot\text{sr}^{-1}$).




Figure 25: Time series of range-corrected lidar signals ground-based remote sensing measurements in MSY, MSA (both at a wavelength of 1064 nm), VLC (910 nm) and BRC (532 nm) on the 28 July 2017. Signal strengths relative to the maximum signal of the corresponding measurement are depicted. Red circles show time and altitude of the HALO overpasses used for comparison of airborne with ground-based remote sensing measurements (see Fig. 26 and Fig. 27). Red squares show further HALO overpasses.

The composition of PM1 particles (i.e., with diameter up to 1 μm) was retrieved from the HALO in-situ measurements at different altitudes during the shuttles. The observed PM1 composition near Burjassot is shown in Fig. 27. Although the ceilometer measurements refer to total aerosol and the in-situ data only to PM1, both revealed two distinct aerosol layers: a) a PBL below 1000 m altitude with enhanced concentrations of sulphate and ammonium and a backscatter coefficient between 2.0 and 2.7 ($\text{Mm}\cdot\text{sr}^{-1}$), and b) a lofted aerosol layer between 1500 and 3500 m altitude with higher organic, nitrate and BC mass fraction. The difference in composition is likely related to different aerosol sources. While the boundary aerosol layer had a local origin, the lofted aerosol layer was influenced by the transport of regional emissions. This is consistent with the transport of the MPC Madrid outflow as indicated in Fig. 23.




Figure 26: Profiles of the backscatter coefficient derived at 1064 nm in MSA and MSY for the 28 July 2017 from 12:50 to 13:20 UTC. The grey shadings indicate the height of the ceilometers.

Similarly, the lidar and in-situ measurements close to Barcelona revealed a different aerosol composition of the PBL below 900 m and a lofted aerosol layer above 2000 m. In addition, a third aerosol layer evolved between 1000 and 1800 m altitude with a backscatter coefficient up to 1.5 ($\text{Mm}\cdot\text{sr}^{-1}$). The mass fractions of ammonium, sulphate and organic aerosol are between the values of those of the PBL and of the lofted aerosol layer above.




Figure 27: Distinct aerosol layers observed near Burjassot/Valencia and Barcelona. a) Profile of the backscatter coefficient derived at 910 nm for 13:30-14:30 UTC in VLC (left), and fractional composition of PM1 measured (SP2 and AMS) on-board HALO (right), b) the same derived in BRC at 532 nm for 14:45-15:45 UTC. The periods of comparison with the HALO data are 13:42-13:56 (9:30 min) at 3300 m; 13:34-13:40 (5:30 min) at 2630 m, 14:03-14:14(11:30 min) at 1000 m and 14:18-14:31 (23 min) at 500 m for VLC, and 15:43-16:00:(17:30 min) at 2940 m; 15:16-15:40 (24 min) at 1650 m, and 14:47-15:14 (27 min) at 500 m for BRC.

4.4 Mixing of MPC outflows with air masses of biogenic and natural origin: forest fires and dust

- Plumes of anthropogenic, biogenic and natural origin were often mixed in the air probed over Europe during the EMeRGe IOP.
- The BB contribution of fresh wildfires in the Mediterranean area was substantial as indicated by VOCs, in particular CH₃CN, and aerosol observations. For particles emitted from BB, a frequently used tracer is levoglucosan which is identified using the m/z 60 ion (C₂H₄O₂⁺) in aerosol mass spectrometry (Schneider et al., 2006; Alfarrá et al., 2007). However, the photochemical degradation of levoglucosan is fast in summer (Hennigan et al., 2010, 2011; Lai et al., 2014), and in the BB aerosol observed during the IOP in Europe flight tracks it was generally processed too fast to be distinguished from other secondary aerosol.

A more robust indicator for particles from BB is BC. BC particles are formed in processes of incomplete combustion, and therefore are an important component of both BB and urban aerosol particles (Bond et al., 2013). The microphysical properties of BC give insights into the combustion sources and atmospheric ageing time of the pollution plumes (Liu, 2014; Laborde, 2012; Holanda et al., in preparation 2021). Figure 28 shows an example of average BC mass size distributions encountered during the E-EU-06 flight. A complex mixing of different open BB sources with lightly aged BB smoke from fires in Croatia was observed. Grassland and fires in Italy mostly from mixed forests and savannahs were the dominant combustion fuel. The plumes were classified according to the VOC observations (see S12). This complex mix of biomass burning (BB, core diameter (D_c) = 200 nm) BC sources, got occasionally mixed with anthropogenic emissions (BB+AP, D_c = 210 nm). Rather pure anthropogenic urban haze (AP) with significantly smaller mean modal diameter (D_c = 170 nm) was additionally measured. The resulting sizes agree with literature values for urban haze and BB smoke (e.g. Schwarz et al., 2008; Laborde et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2020; Holanda et al. 2020). During E-EU-06, the average total BC mass concentration was also substantially higher in relatively pure BB smoke and in the mixed conditions with urban haze (BB, $0.61 \pm 0.12 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ and BB+AP, $0.81 \pm 0.35 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, respectively) than in urban pollution (AP, $0.35 \pm 0.15 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$).




Figure 28: Mean mass size distribution of black carbon particles measured in anthropogenic pollution (AP, black), BB (light red), pollution from anthropogenic/ BB mix (AP & BB, dark red) during E-EU-06 on 20 July 2017. D_c : refractive black carbon core diameter. Lognormal fits were applied to the mean size distributions for $100 < D_c < 300$ nm.

- Mineral dust events contributed significantly to some of the plumes measured over Europe during the EMERGE IOP. Mineral dust was identified in the aerosol size distribution and the optical properties of air masses probed in Southern Europe above the PBL. An example of the impact of dust on the aerosol size distributions observed close to the western coast of Italy is illustrated in Fig. 29. The concentration of particles with a diameter below 250 nm was analysed by the Differential Mobility Analyzer (DMA) in 6 steps of 30 s duration, resulting in a period of 3 minutes for each integrated measurement. The evaluated

DMA data were then combined with the data from an Optical Particle Counter (OPC) for particles in the range from 0.25 to 3 μm . The first two sequences in Fig. 29 are taken at 2900 m and the third at 1300 m altitude during E-EU-03. The third period and lowest in altitude had the smallest total number concentration with a clear enhancement of the particles above 600 nm. According to FLEXTRA, HALO flew approximately 800 m above the PBL at the time of sampling. The increase in the coarse mode particles above the PBL implies mineral dust rather than sea salt. According to backward trajectories, the air mass probed had recent contact at altitudes below 1000 m with the dust plumes over the Mediterranean near Sardinia. In fact, both satellite- and ground-based observations indicated a Saharan dust event affecting the Central Mediterranean air masses measured during E-EU-03 on 11 July 2017. (see Fig.32 and the discussion below).




Figure 29: Example of the effect of dust plumes on the aerosol concentration during E-EU-03 on the 11 July 2017. a) Particle size distribution for 3 selected time periods (right) and position of the sample points in the flight track (left). The error bars on the y-axis are the standard deviations of the mean measured concentrations. The error bars in x-direction indicate the 16th and 84th percentile of the median diameters of the sensitivities of each size channel, b) 48h backward trajectories for the three periods selected. The red stars indicate the position of the MPCs of interest.

The presence of mineral dust on 11 July 2017 is also confirmed by the continuous aerosol profile measurements made over Rome by the automated lidar-ceilometer (ALC). HALO overpassed the Rome area around midday. Figure 30 shows a lofted aerosol layer with increased depolarization indicative of the non-spherical mineral particles, at an altitude between 1000 and 2000 m from the morning. It then vertically mixed with local particles lifted by the PBL dynamics in the middle of the day, at the time of the DMA measurement. This indicates that HALO flew above a dust layer during the first two time-periods of the DMA measurement, thus probing rather low concentrations of large particles. Subsequently, in the time-period 3, HALO dived into the dust layer and this explains the increase of particles larger than 600 nm in the DMA measurements. Additionally to the coarse mineral particles, aerosol properties observed over Rome both on board the Sky Arrow aircraft and at the ground provide evidence for an important role of fine particle photo-nucleation in the MPC Rome, favoured by high radiation and temperatures (Campanelli et al., 2021; Barnaba et al., 2021 in preparation).




Figure 30: Aerosol profile measurements performed in Rome (Italy) on 11 July 2017 by the Automated Lidar-Ceilometer network (ALICENET). Aerosol backscatter coefficient ($\text{m}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$) at 1064 nm (top), and aerosol depolarization in % (bottom).

- Transport of BB emissions from fires and mineral dust events were identified by combining HALO observations with remote sensing satellite retrievals
- BB emission from fires was e.g. probed during the E-EU-07 flight downwind of Marseille. The plume transport eastwards from near Marseille is well-captured by SEVIRI with AOT values around 0.25 at 0.55 μm in the afternoon, as shown in Fig. 31. This plume was probed by HALO in-situ measurements at around 11:30 and 16:30 UTC. The BC mass concentrations depicted in the figure agree with the satellite data. The highest BC was measured at roughly 2000 m and exceeded $7 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$. In the PBL, measured BC mass concentrations were as high

as $1 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$. The stratification of pollution plumes above the PBL is a commonly observed feature for BB emissions (Holanda et al., 2020).




Figure 31: (a - c) Aerosol optical thickness at $0.55 \mu\text{m}$ as retrieved from SEVIRI from 05:00 to 17:00 UTC on 24 July 2017. (d) E-EU-07 flight track, colour-coded with BC mass concentration (M_{BC}). For a better contrast, the scale for M_{BC} ranges from 0.1 to $1.5 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$. Grey colour on the flight track indicates values below $0.1 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$. The mass concentration reached values up to $7 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ at the French coast.

A further example is the transport of the Saharan dust event affecting the air masses measured during E-EU-03 on 11 July 2017 as explained in Fig.29. Figure 32 shows the MODIS satellite RGB image at 10:30 UTC and the corresponding dust-related elevated AOT at $0.55 \mu\text{m}$ as retrieved from SEVIRI from 09:00 to 13:00 UTC.




Figure 32: (a-c) Aerosol optical thickness at $0.55 \mu\text{m}$ as retrieved from SEVIRI from 05:00 to 17:00 UTC on 11 July 2017, (d) MODIS RGB composite figure showing corrected reflectance at 10:30 UTC (<https://worldview.earthdata.nasa.gov/>). The MODIS RGB composite is created combining red, green and blue bands into one picture. White areas are clouds. The E-EU-03 flight track (in red) is superimposed on (d).

4.5 Photochemical processing of polluted air masses during transport

- Photochemical processing of the MPC emissions during transport was substantial during EMeRGe as inferred from airborne observations of primary and secondary pollutants and the ratios between species having different chemical lifetime:


a) The **NO to NO_y ratio** provided information about the reactivity of the air mass but was not a reliable chemical clock due to the complex and rapid chemistry involved in the air masses investigated. Depending on the chemical and physical conditions, the lifetime of NO versus the formation of other reactive nitrogen compounds was of the order of a few hours or less. Internal transformation processes within the family of total reactive nitrogen NO_y do not alter their integrated concentration. However, the lifetime of NO_y, which varies between hours and days, is also controlled by loss processes such as washout and aerosol formation.

b) The **NO_y to CO ratio** was generally significantly higher for the processed polluted plumes than for the background air masses. For instance, during E-EU-08 the NO_y to CO ratio was of the order of 0.01 to 0.02 in the air sampled outside the outflow of London and increased up to 0.1 in the London outflow plumes, as the air mass was processed and mixed. This ratio is usually used to study ageing of an air mass with respect to ozone and nitrogen chemistry (e.g. Stohl et al., 2002). The CO lifetime varies between several weeks and months (e.g. Emmons et al., 2010) and the NO_y/CO ratio is expected to decline to background values within a few days, depending on the distance from the source as well as on the chemical and physical properties of the air mass.

c) The ratio between **VOCs** with comparable emission sources but significantly different chemical lifetimes such as C₇H₈/C₆H₆ was a good indicator for the presence of freshly or already processed anthropogenic emissions in the probed air within EMeRGe. This ratio is often used as a chemical clock to study emissions from gasoline-powered engines used in traffic and industry (Gelencsér et al., 1997; Shaw et al., 2015; Warneke et al., 2001). The atmospheric lifetime of these aromatic hydrocarbons, i.e., 1.9 and 9.4 days, respectively (Garzón et

al., 2015), is assumed to be controlled only by the reaction with OH radicals (Atkinson, 2000). Provided that the emission rates are known, the C_7H_8/C_6H_6 ratio is expected to decrease with increasing distance to the pollution source and can be used to estimate the photochemical age of the sampled air (Winkler et al., 2002; Warneke et al., 2007). However, the complex plume mixing before sampling and potential variations in the emission ratios of distinct VOC sources (e.g. Barletta et al., 2005) limited the use and feasibility of this chemical clock for the determination of the transport time of specific outflows in EMeRGe.

d) The combination of C_7H_8/C_6H_6 and NO_y/CO ratios with the simultaneous observations of **CO and organic ions in aerosol particles** enabled the discrimination of dilution and processing in the plumes. Figure 33 shows an example of photochemical processing of the gas and the aerosol phases in ageing London plumes as measured by the C-ToF-AMS during E-EU-08. Aerosol mass spectrometer data using organic ions containing oxygen, e.g. CO_2^+ (m/z 44) and $C_2H_3O^+$ (m/z 43), were used to assess photochemical oxidation. Observations from laboratory and field studies indicate that during photochemical processing the ion signal of m/z 43 decreases while that of m/z 44 increases (Ng et al., 2010; Lambe et al., 2011). This metric is used to infer the degree of photochemical processing of organic aerosol in the atmosphere (e.g., Ng et al., 2011; Schroder et al., 2018; de Sa et al., 2018). The data in Fig. 33 are plotted in f_{44} - f_{43} space, where f denotes the ratio of the respective ion to the total organic ion signal. In these metric, atmospheric processing moves the data points towards the upper left corner of the triangle indicated by the dotted lines (Ng et al., 2010). Since photo-oxidation of fresh plumes is fast and mixing of aged plumes with the background occurs, the use of aerosol composition to assess photochemical processing requires complementary information from other measurements to be reliable. This is achieved by using simultaneous measurements of CO to indicate dilution, while inferring atmospheric processing from the C_7H_8/C_6H_6 and NO_y/CO ratios. Lower CO concentrations due to plume dilution along transport correspond to higher photochemical processing in the upper part of the triangle. As NO_y has a shorter lifetime than CO, the NO_y/CO ratio indicates that the processing is taking place in addition to dilution. Therefore, lower NO_y/CO and C_7H_8/C_6H_6 ratios in the upper part of the triangle indicate aged and processed air. In the case shown, the FLEXTRA backward trajectories revealed that the air masses identified as “background” were transported above the PBL and had no recent contact to the MPC London. The anthropogenically influenced air masses were a mixture of recent emissions and photochemically processed London outflow as mentioned in 4.3 (see also Fig. 36).






Figure 33: a) Scatter plots of C-ToF-AMS signal fractions at m/z 44 (f44) and m/z 43 (f43) of the London plume measured during the E-EU-08 on 26 July 2017 between 10:20 and 12:57 UTC. In this metric, the degree of photochemical processing increases to the upper left corner of the triangle which encompasses the range of typical atmospheric observations. The colour code indicates dilution (CO) and processing of the gas phase (NO_y to CO and C₇H₈ to C₆H₆ ratios). The right panel shows the assignment to unpolluted background air and air masses of anthropogenic polluted origin as introduced in Sect. 4.2. b) Spatial distribution of the background and anthropogenic polluted air masses identified in a). The flight altitudes are indicated in the graphs.

- Photochemical processing of aerosol was evident during the transport of MPC plumes. Chemical processing was fast under European summer conditions and modified both the chemical properties and the partitioning between gas and particle phase in the air masses over Europe. The aerosol composition and mass loadings were to a large degree determined by the atmospheric dynamics, i.e. mass concentrations in the PBL were generally higher than above, and most of the MPC plumes were found to reside in the PBL. However, anthropogenically influenced air masses above the PBL were found to also contain higher aerosol mass concentrations than air masses not influenced by anthropogenic emissions. Plume air contained less oxygenated organic aerosol, but the transition to background air conditions was smooth, indicating that the aerosol oxidation was faster than the decay of benzene which was used for the plume tagging.

- The photochemical activity as indicated by the presence of free radicals varied widely in the plumes. The RO₂^{*} mixing ratios observed in EMeRGe are shown in Fig. 34. The RO₂^{*} measured is the sum of HO₂ + ΣRO₂, R being an organic chain which produces NO₂ in its reaction with NO. Mixing ratios up to 120 pptv RO₂^{*} were measured in the air masses probed. Provided that insolation conditions (i.e. actinic fluxes) and the amount of precursors are similar, peroxy radicals are expected to be produced as long as plumes mix at any altitude. Generally, higher RO₂^{*} were measured below 45°N and 3000 m. This was in part due to the higher insolation and temperatures prevailing during the flights over the Mediterranean area, which accelerated photooxidation and the production of RO₂^{*}. Rates of photochemical production and loss of HO₂ and RO₂ were estimated by using airborne measurements and photostationary steady state calculations. In particular the measured photolysis frequencies are important to quantify the primary production of radicals to elucidate the radical budget based on the measured RO₂^{*} concentrations. Overall, measured and estimated radical concentrations are in good agreement (George et al., 2021, in preparation). Up to 4000 m, O₃ photolysis was found to be the primary radical source (> 40 %) followed by HCHO photolysis in the air masses probed. HONO and HNO₃ formation and heterogeneous losses on the aerosol surface dominated the peroxy radical losses in the polluted plumes encountered. The O₃ production rates calculated from the RO₂^{*} measured on-board are consistent with the values reported in urban pollution for NO <1 ppbv (e.g. Tan et al, 2017; Whalley et al, 2018, 2021).




Figure 34: RO_2^* spatial and vertical distribution measured along all EMeRGe flights in Europe.

- The secondary photochemical formation of formic acid (HCOOH) was observed to be the main source of HCOOH in Europe in pollution plumes of major cities aged 24 to 48 hours.

Figure 35 shows HCOOH enhancements above ambient background relative to CO enhancements in different MPC plumes as a function of plume age. Here, ΔHCOOH and ΔCO are determined from the measurements, and the plume age from HYSPLIT simulations considering CO emissions from EDGAR and the dispersion of the plumes during transport. CO is used as an indicator of the strength of emissions from combustion in the individual MPC plumes and as tracer for the dilution of the plumes for the meteorological conditions during the measurements. Although HCOOH has primary sources, i.e., the emissions by fossil fuel combustion and BB, the secondary formation from gas-phase and aqueous photochemistry has been suggested to be dominant in the troposphere (Paulot et al., 2011). The ΔHCOOH to ΔCO ratios in Fig. 35 increase significantly with plume age indicating secondary formation of HCOOH to be the main source in the MPC plumes, mainly due to oxidation of C_5H_8 in the plume.




Figure 35: Observed enhancements of formic acid (ΔHCOOH) in MPC plumes relative to observed CO enhancements (ΔCO) as a function of plume age from HYSPLIT simulations. The corresponding city-plume is indicated next to the ratios.

Chemical ageing of MPC plumes was assessed from the isotope measurements in VOC samples collected at MPC ground sites and on-board HALO. Figure 36 shows an example of the measured $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of $\text{C}_5\text{H}_{10}\text{O}$ and C_6H_6 observed during the flight E-EU-08. Low carbon isotope ratios indicate fresh emissions, whereas higher values indicate an enrichment of the compound in ^{13}C , which is linked to chemical ageing. The identified London outflow in Sect.4.3 is also evident in the carbon isotope ratios obtained from HALO samples taken between 10 and 11 UTC. The latter remain in the range of the representative source values from whole air samples collected at the ground station in London. The higher $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values observed between 11:10 and 12:00 UTC indicate chemically-processed London outflow air. Later in the flight, the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values measured over the BNL/Ruhr area are in the range of the source values in air samples collected in Wuppertal. The range in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of ± 1.5 ‰ in $\text{C}_5\text{H}_{10}\text{O}$ (± 3.5 ‰ in C_6H_6) implies a mixture of slightly aged air and rather fresh emissions from the Ruhr area.




Figure 36: $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values in $\text{C}_5\text{H}_{10}\text{O}$ (top panel) and C_6H_6 (bottom panel) in whole air samples gathered with the whole air sampler MIRAH on the HALO aircraft (black) during E-EU-08 as well as on the ground sites in London (red) and Wuppertal (orange). The HALO flight altitude is given in grey on the top panel. Background shadings indicate different measurement regions during the flight according to Fig. 4: Paris (grey), South of London and North Sea region (red), BNL/Ruhr (orange).

4.6 Model simulations of EMeRGe observations

First results of the global/regional chemistry-climate MECO(n) model (Kerkweg & Jöckel 2012, Mertens et al., 2016) indicated that the emissions of NO_x and/or their further processing in the model (deposition, washout, chemical transformation) reasonably agree with the HALO measurements. However, the simulation of complex plume structures would benefit from a higher model spatial resolution.

An example is given in Fig. 37 for the E-EU-05 flight on 17 July 2017, when the London plume was probed over the English Channel. The MECO(n) model (Kerkweg & Jöckel 2012, Mertens et al., 2016) couples a global and a regional chemistry climate model. In the set-up applied here, Central Europe was resolved with up to 7 km horizontal resolution. The model data was sampled along the HALO flight paths with 60 s temporal resolution

using the MESSy submodel S4D (Jöckel et al., 2010). These sampled model data are used for a one-by-one comparison with the measurements. The EDGAR 4.3.1 emission inventory for the year 2010 was used (see S15). The enhancements of NO_y between 12 and 16 UTC below 900 hPa in Figure 37a are reasonably well simulated by the model except for the measurements at around 15:30 UTC which are strongly overestimated by the model. To address this issue, two plumes marked with ‘1’ and ‘2’ in Fig. 37a were investigated in more detail. The model results and the measurements on the plume marked ‘1’ are shown at 980 hPa and 965 hPa in Fig. 38a. 980 hPa is the pressure of the model layer which is nearest to the HALO flight altitude at 13:30 UTC while 965 hPa is pressure of one model layer above. The model results show large horizontal and vertical inhomogeneities in the NO_y mixing ratios indicating different mixtures instead of a single London plume. The NO_y enhancement coincides with the London plume (marked with the turquoise square in Fig. 38a).




Figure 37: a) NO_y mixing ratios measured (green) and simulated by the MECO(n) model (black) for E-EU-05 on 17 July 2017. The blue line denotes the pressure altitude of the aircraft (right axis); b) Relative contributions of different emission sectors to the NO_y mixing ratios simulated by MECO(n). Note that the NO_y measurements were averaged to 60 s to fit the MECO(n) temporal resolution.

Similarly, Figure 38b shows the model results and measurements for the plume marked ‘2’. Here, the model shows a large plume remnant in the western part (turquoise square in Fig. 38b) leading to the overestimation of mixing ratios around 15:30 UTC. The simulated mixing ratios in a higher model layer are lower and agree better with the observations. These results indicate that a vertical displacement of the plume remnant causes the mismatch between measurements and model results around 15:30 UTC.

MECO(n) results showed a positive bias in O_3 and a negative bias in CO with respect to the EMeRGe measurements over Europe. This confirms previous comparisons with other observational data (see Mertens et al., 2016, 2020b) and is investigated for EMeRGe in separate sensitivity studies focusing on the representation of the NO_x -VOC- O_3 chemistry and the evaluation of the applied emission data sets.




Figure 38: NO_y mixing ratios as simulated by MECO(n) (background) and measured during E-EU-05. The model results at 980 hPa and 965 hPa are shown. Model results are averaged between a) 13 and 14 UTC, b) 15 and 16 UTC. The measured mixing ratios of NO_y during 13-14 UTC and 15-16 UTC are colour-coded and highlighted by grey contours. Black lines indicate coast lines. The turquoise rectangles highlight the regions discussed in the text.

The diagnostic capabilities of MECO(n), e.g. the tagging method by Grewe et al., (2017), were applied to individual EMeRGe flight tracks to investigate the impact of emissions on the atmospheric chemistry in Europe. Figure 37b shows the relative contribution of the different emission sectors to the measured NO_y mixing ratios during the E-EU-05 as a stacked graph. According to this, emissions from European road transport, anthropogenic non-traffic and biogenic sectors dominate the NO_y mixing ratios of the London plume with a similar relative contribution in all four plume crossings. For the NO_y measurements in the free troposphere (until 12 UTC approximately) a large relative contribution of lightning emissions is calculated in the model. In these regions, however, the absolute mixing ratios are rather low. As the NO_y lifetime is much longer in the upper troposphere than in the PBL, LRT of NO_y might be more likely than encounters of fresh lightning NO -plumes. A detailed description of the model and the source apportionment technique are provided in the supplement (S15).

- The tracer experiments during EMeRGe additionally tested the ability of models (HYSPLIT, FLEXPART, FLEXPART-WRF, FALL3D) to simulate the transport and dispersion of the tracer for different meteorological conditions and topography around the release sites. While the simulated position of the PFC plumes agreed with the measurements, the tracer mixing ratios calculated by the dispersion models were by a factor 2 to 3 higher than detected. The degree of agreement between the tracer simulations and observations depended on the parametrisation of dispersion and the representation of the topography in the models, as well as the goodness of tracer sampling in the plume, e.g. matching the maximum PFC concentrations was not always possible due to restrictions by air traffic control and flight endurance. Sensitivity studies with different meteorological datasets (ECMWF's ERA5 and IFS) and advanced turbulence parametrisation options in the PBL highlighted the pivotal role of meteorological input data on transport simulations (Schlager et al., 2021, in preparation).

5 Outlook

EMeRGe contributes to the long history of providing observations facilitating a continuous and incremental progress in the capability to forecast and simulate atmospheric composition and chemistry. The interpretation of the extensive EMeRGe observational data set provides a clear step forward in understanding the complex spatial distribution of trace gases and aerosol particles resulting from mixing, transport and transformation of pollution plumes over Europe. The present work is an overview of the most salient results which are addressed in additional dedicated EMeRGe studies. The lessons learned from a continued analysis of the EMeRGe observations are also expected to be valuable to build upon and to improve airborne measurement strategies for future deployments focusing on pollution in Europe. First of all, the results of EMeRGe confirm the chemical complexity of the air masses over Europe as a result of the mixing of emissions from nearby MPC sources. EMeRGe airborne observations of primary and secondary pollutants and the ratios between species having different chemical lifetime were used as tracers of the degree of processing of the pollution plumes probed. The distinction between fresh and aged air was possible and gave a coherent picture for the applied methods and chemical clocks. However, high specific background measurements close to MPCs are needed and following the ageing of the outflow of a single MPC is challenging. At large distances from the source, the use of gas and aerosol trace species is insufficient for unequivocally identifying MPC plumes. In this context, the relevance of PFC tracers and the support of adequate transport models become obvious. EMeRGe is one of the first airborne measurement campaigns using air mass tracer approaches and has successfully demonstrated its value. For future studies, sampling the same air mass inside a tagged MPC plume at several different aging states, either by following the air mass or by crossing the plume at different distances from the source, would be beneficial to investigate the atmospheric processing of trace gases and organic aerosol as a function of time. For this (quasi-) Lagrangian approach the combination with either a Zeppelin-based measurement platform or with a small, slow-flying aircraft might be suitable to cope with air traffic control restrictions, in particular for low level flights close to MPCs.

Satellite data have proven useful in assessing the overall pollution patterns and to put measurements during the campaign phase into a long-term perspective. For future campaigns, the new generation of geostationary air quality satellites started with the Korean GEMS instrument providing data at hourly resolution will enable detailed tracing of transport patterns and chemical evolution. For flight planning, satellite observations are best used in combination with models which provide forecasting capability. In the case of EMeRGe, the use of CAMS tracer and full chemistry forecasts facilitated the measurement of several pollution plumes. Subsequent comparisons to the measurements reflect the quality of the forecasts and support the improvement of future model runs. The total column AOT derived from geostationary satellites provided valuable information for the EMeRGe campaign. The integration of satellite total column AOT and model simulated aerosol extinction profile information enables further analysis of the component aerosol near the EMeRGe flight height. An important step to move further is the synergistically use of hyperspectral and multi-spectral satellite instruments for a better understanding of the aerosol component near the flight height and the component AOT (e.g. dust AOT, black carbon AOT).

An interesting aspect of EMeRGe is the high added value from measurements rarely used for the characterisation of urban pollution. In that sense, the measurement of stable carbon isotope ratios in VOC collected on the ground close to or at certain MPCs supported source apportionment and the estimation of integrated residence times of compounds in the air sampled on board HALO. EMeRGe has significantly expanded the very rare coverage of

stable carbon isotope data from different locations and atmospheric regions. This and future data sets are valuable to verify model results and to assess the physical and chemical processing of VOCs during transport. Similarly, the sparse in-situ data available for HCOOH has also been enhanced by EMeRGe. This major organic acid in the troposphere was found to be more abundant in MPC plumes than the sulphur and nitrogen precursor species of inorganic acids. The HCOOH production rates in the pollution plumes as a function of the plume ages during the EMeRGe IOP in Europe differ significantly to those encountered in Asia. Future studies are required to investigate sources and composition of organic compounds with respect to the effect on the formation and the properties of aerosols, clouds and acidity of precipitation in different seasons and for MPCs in different regions of the world. Furthermore, signatures of urban sources of long-lived GHG like CH₄ and CO₂, identified in the airborne measurements in plumes close to the MPC regions in Europe, provided valuable insights on sources and expanded the knowledge on existing top-down studies by confirming urban emission hotspots. An accurate knowledge of GHG sources and sinks in MPCs establishes the link between air quality and climate change. In that respect, the impact of climate change (e.g. increasing number of fires, temperature effect on chemical processing, changes in radiation), and emission reduction strategies or the use of alternative fuels, on the composition and transformation of the outflows along transport requires increasing interest. For a more comprehensive picture, conducting dedicated local flight experiments complemented with coordinated ground-based GHG measurements on several days and in all seasons for the same area is highly desirable. This would show seasonal evolution and emission sources (e.g. residential biomass burning in winter) increase statistics and reinforce the findings.

Finally, the EMeRGe set of airborne data are particularly expected to support photochemical transport models in assessing:

- the relative contribution of biogenic, BB and anthropogenic sources to the VOC burden over Europe,
- the net ozone production in the investigated MPC outflows in relation to the transport time and mixing of the pollution plumes,
- the adequacy of radiative transfer model calculations and the prediction capabilities of photolysis frequencies,
- the contribution of VOC species such as glyoxal and/or methylglyoxal to secondary aerosol formation in aged pollution plumes,
- the adequacy of Angstrom coefficients, aerosol fine mode fraction products and the geostationary satellite derived AOT to identify aerosol sources and transport features of mixing events of anthropogenic particles and mineral dust, and
- the significance and representativeness of the transport and concentration patterns obtained during EMeRGe in summer 2017, which was a period with anomalous meteorological conditions in Central Europe.

Data availability

The EMeRGe data are available at the HALO data base (<https://halo-db.pa.op.dlr.de/>) and can be accessed upon registration. Further data can be made available upon request to the corresponding author.

1322 Acknowledgements

1323 The authors thank the following teams and individuals, without whom the EMeRGe in Europe IOP would not have been
1324 possible:

1325 • HALO flight organisation, permissions and related
1326 the DLR-FX and the HALO EMeRGe team. Special thanks to Lisa Kaser, Frank Probst, Michael Großrubatscher, Stefan
1327 Grillenbeck, Marc Puskeiler, for flight coordination and planning, to Alexander Wolf, and Thomas Leder, the flight
1328 engineers and to the BAHAMAS team. The authors also thank enviroscope GmbH in particular of Nicole Brehm and Rolf
1329 Maser for the support during the integration and preparation phase of the IOP in Europe.

1330 • Meteorological and chemical composition forecasting
1331 Michael Gauss and Álvaro Valdebenito (MetNo) for provision of EMEP forecasts for the campaign and
1332 CAMS/ECMWF, in particular Johannes Flemming and Luke Jones for providing the atmospheric composition and tracer
1333 forecasts through the CAMS field campaign support ([https://atmosphere.copernicus.eu/scientific-field-campaign-](https://atmosphere.copernicus.eu/scientific-field-campaign-support)
1334 [support](https://atmosphere.copernicus.eu/scientific-field-campaign-support)). The CAMS-regional modelling team are also acknowledged for providing regional model forecast data for
1335 Europe.

1336 • Ground based remote sensing observations
1337 EARLINET for providing aerosol LIDAR measurements and DWD, ALICE-net and RMI for ceilometer measurements.
1338 The support from AERONET, Service National d'Observation PHOTONS/ AERONET-EARLINET part of the
1339 ACTRIS-France research infrastructure and GOA-CF, part of ACTRIS-Spain, for their continuous efforts in providing
1340 high-quality measurements and products, and in particular of all PIs and Co-PIs of the AERONET sites contributing to
1341 EMeRGe for maintaining their instruments and providing their data to the community is greatly appreciated.

1342 • Luca Ferrero (GEMMA and POLARIS Research Centers, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University
1343 of Milano-Bicocca) for the air samples collected at the ground in Milan (Italy) during the HALO flights.

1344 • Tracer releases
1345 Jonathan E. Murray and Helen Graven and the Imperial College team for releasing the PFC tracer in London.

1346 KK and JohS would like to thank Christiane Schulz and Philipp Schuhmann for support during the integration phase. BAH,
1347 OOK, CP, DW, UP and MLP would like to thank Thomas Klimach, Björn Nilius, Jorge Saturno, Oliver Lauer and Meinrat
1348 Andreae for support during the EMeRGe campaign in Europe and during the data analysis.

1349 MDAH, MG, YL and JPB thank Wilke Thomssen for support during the preparation and integration phases of EMeRGe and
1350 Heiko Schellhorn for continuous technical support and retrieval of model data during the campaigns.

1351 Funding information

1352 The HALO deployment during EMeRGe was funded by a consortium comprising the German Research
1353 Foundation (DFG) Priority Program HALO-SPP 1294, the Institute of Atmospheric Physics of DLR, the Max
1354 Planck Society (MPG) and the Helmholtz Association.

1355 FK, BS, and KP acknowledge the support given by the DFG through the projects PF 384-16, PF 384-17 and PG
1356 385-19. RK and MK acknowledge DFG funding through the project KR3861_1-1. KB acknowledges additional
1357 funding from the Heidelberg Graduate School for Physics. JohS, KK, and SB acknowledge funding through the
1358 DFG, project No. 316589531. LE and HS acknowledge support by DFG through project MEPOLL
1359 (SCHL1857/4-1). ABKH would like to thank DAAD and DLR for a Research Fellowship. HS acknowledge
1360 financial support by the DLR TraK (Transport and Climate) project. MS acknowledges support from the EU
1361 (GA no. 654109, 778349, 871115 and 101008004) and the Spanish Government (ref. CGL2017-90884-REDT,
1362 PID2019-103886RB-I00, RTI2018-096548-B-I00 and MDM-2016-0600).

1363 MG, YL, MDAH and JPB acknowledge financial support from the University of Bremen. FLEXPART
1364 simulations were performed on the HPC cluster Aether at the University of Bremen, financed by DFG within the
1365 scope of the Excellence Initiative. A.-M. Blechschmidt was partly funded through the CAMS-84 project.

1366 JW acknowledges support from the German Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy – BMWi (project
1367 Digitally optimized Engineering for Services – DoEfS; contract no. 20X1701B)

1368 TK thanks DLR VO-R for funding the young investigator research group “Greenhouse Gases”.

1369 MM, PJ, MK acknowledge resources of the Deutsches Klimarechenzentrum (DKRZ) granted by the WLA
1370 project ID bd0617 for the MECO(n) simulations and the financial support from the DLR projects TraK
1371 (Transport und Klima) and the Initiative and Networking Fund of the Helmholtz Association through the project
1372 “Advanced Earth System Modelling Capacity” (ESM).

1373 BAH acknowledges the funding from Brazilian CNPq (process 200723/2015-4).

1374 **References**

- 1375 AERONET: AERONET aerosol data base, available at: <http://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/>, last access: 11 December
1376 2020
- 1377 Alfara, M. R., Prevot, A. S. H., Szidat, S., Sandradewi, J., Weimer, S., Lanz, V. A., Schreiber, D., Mohr, M., and
1378 Baltensperger, U.: Identification of the mass spectral signature of organic aerosols from wood burning emissions,
1379 *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 41, 5770–5777, doi.org/10.1021/es062289b, 2007.
- 1380 Alvarado, L. M. A., Richter, A., Vrekoussis, M., Hilboll, A., Kalisz Hedegaard, A. B., Schneising, O., and
1381 Burrows, J. P.: Unexpected long-range transport of glyoxal and formaldehyde observed from the Copernicus
1382 Sentinel-5 Precursor satellite during the 2018 Canadian wildfires, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 2057–2072, 2020.
- 1383 Andreae, M. O., and Rosenfeld, D.: Aerosol–cloud–precipitation interactions. Part 1. The nature and sources of
1384 cloud-active aerosols, *Earth-Science Reviews* 89, 13–41, 2008.
- 1385 Andreae, M. O., Afchine, A., Albrecht, R., Holanda, B. A., Artaxo, P., Barbosa, H. M. J., Borrmann, S.,
1386 Cecchini, M. A., Costa, A., Dollner, M., Fütterer, D., Järvinen, E., Jurkat, T., Klimach, T., Konemann, T., Knote,
1387 C., Krämer, M., Krisna, T., Machado, L. A. T., Mertes, S.; Minikin, A., Pöhlker, C., Pöhlker, M. L., Pöschl, U.
1388 Rosenfeld, D., Sauer, D., Schlager, H., Schnaiter, M., Schneider, J., Schulz, C., Spanu, A., Sperling, V. B.,
1389 Voigt, C., Walser, A., Wang, J., Weinzierl, B., Wendisch, M., Ziereis, H.: Aerosol characteristics and particle
1390 production in the upper troposphere over the Amazon Basin. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 921–961, doi:
1391 10.5194/acp-18-921-2018, 2018.
- 1392 Andreae, M. O.: Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning – an updated assessment, *Atmos.*
1393 *Chem. Phys.*, 19, 8523–8546, doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-8523-2019, 2019.
- 1394 Ashworth, K. et al, Megacity and local contributions to regional air pollution: an aircraft case study over
1395 London, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 7193–7216, doi:10.5194/acp-20-7193-2020, 2020.
- 1396 Atkinson, R.: Atmospheric chemistry of VOCs and NO_x, *Atmospheric Environment*, 34, 2063–2101, 2000.
- 1397 Barnaba, F. and Gobbi, G. P., Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region and relative impact of
1398 maritime, continental and Saharan dust particles over the basin from MODIS data in the year 2001. *Atmos.*
1399 *Chem. . Phys.*, 4, doi:10.5194/acpd-4-4285-2004, 2004.
- 1400 Barnaba, F., Angelini, F., Curci, G., and Gobbi, G.P.: An important fingerprint of wildfires on the European
1401 aerosol load, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 10487–10501, doi: 105194/acp-11-10487-2011, 2011.
- 1402 Beekmann, M., Prévôt, A. S. H., Drewnick, F., Sciare, J., Pandis, S. N., Denier van der Gon, H. A. C., Crippa,
1403 M., Freutel, F., Poulain, L., Gherzi, V., Rodriguez, E., Beirle, S., Zotter, P., von der Weiden-Reinmüller, S.-L.,
1404 Bressi, M., Fountoukis, C., Petetin, H., Szidat, S., Schneider, J., Rosso, A., El Haddad, I., Megaritis, A., Zhang,
1405 Q. J., Michoud, V., Slowik, J. G., Moukhtar, S., Kolmonen, P., Stohl, A., Eckhardt, S., Borbon, A., Gros, V.,
1406 Marchand, N., Jaffrezo, J. L., Schwarzenboeck, A., Colomb, A., Wiedensohler, A., Borrmann, S., Lawrence, M.,
1407 Baklanov, A., and Baltensperger, U.: In situ, satellite measurement and model evidence on the dominant regional
1408 contribution to fine particulate matter levels in the Paris megacity, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 9577–9591,
1409 <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-9577-2015>, 2015. Beirle, S., Borger, C., Dörner, S., Li A., Hu, Z., Liu, F., Wang,
1410 Y., Wagner, T.: Pinpointing nitrogen oxide emissions from space, *Sci. Adv.* 2019, 5, eaax9800 13 November
1411 2019.
- 1412 Boeke, N. L., Marshall, J. D., Alvarez, S., Chance, K. V., Fried, A., Kurosu, T. P., Rappenglück, B., Richter, D.,
1413 Walega, J., Weibring, P. and Millet, D.B.: Formaldehyde columns from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument:
1414 Urban versus background levels and evaluation using aircraft data and a global model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116,
1415 D05303, doi:10.1029/2010JD014870, 2011.
- 1416 Bohn, B., and Lohse, I.: Calibration and evaluation of CCD spectroradiometers for ground-based and airborne
1417 measurements of actinic flux densities, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 10, 3151–3174, doi:10.5194/amt-10-3151-2017,
1418 2017.

1419 Bond, T.C., Doherty, S.J., Fahey, D.W., Forster, P.M., Bernsten, T., DeAngelo, B.J., Flanner, M.G., Ghan, S.,
 1420 Kärcher, B., Koch, D., Kinne, S., Kondo, Y., Quinn, P.K., Sarofim, M.C., Schultz, M.G., Schulz, M.,
 1421 Venkataraman, C., Zhang, H., Zhang, S., Bellouin, N., Guttikunda, S.K., Hopke, P.K., Jacobson, M.Z., Kaiser,
 1422 J.W., Klimont, Z., Lohmann, U., Schwarz, J.P., Shindell, D., Storelvmo, T., Warren, S.G., and Zender, C.S.:
 1423 Bounding the role of black carbon in the climate system: A scientific assessment, *Journal of Geophysical*
 1424 *Research: Atmospheres*, Vol. 118, 5380-5552, doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50171, 2013.

1425 Brands, M., M. Kamphus, T. Böttger, J. Schneider, F. Drewnick, A. Roth, J. Curtius, C. Voigt, A. Borbon, M.
 1426 Beekmann, A. Bourdon, T. Perrin, and S. Borrmann: Characterization of a Newly Developed Aircraft-Based
 1427 Laser Ablation Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (ALABAMA) and First Field Deployment in Urban Pollution
 1428 Plumes over Paris During MEGAPOLI 2009, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 45, 46-64, doi: 10.1080/02786826.2010.
 1429 517813, 2011.

1430 Bréon, F.M., Vermeulen, A., and Descloitres J.: An evaluation of satellite aerosol products against sun
 1431 photometer measurements. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2011.06.017, 2011.

1432 Bréon, F.M., Broquet, G., Puygrenier, V., Chevallier, F., Xueref-Remy, I., Ramonet, M., Dieudonné, E., Lopez,
 1433 M., Schmidt, M., Perrussel, O., and Ciais, P.: An attempt at estimating Paris area CO₂ emissions from
 1434 atmospheric concentration measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2015, 15 (4), 1707–1724. doi: 10.5194/acp-15-
 1435 1707-2015, 2015.

1436 Brito, J., and Zahn, A.: An unheated permeation device for calibrating atmospheric VOC measurements, *Atmos.*
 1437 *Meas. Tech.*, 4(10), 2143–2152, doi: 10.5194/amt-4-2143-2011, 2011.

1438 Burrows, J.P., Richter A., Dehn A., Deters B., Himmelmann S., Voigt S. and Orphal J.: Atmospheric remote
 1439 sensing reference data from GOME: Part 2 temperature dependent absorption cross-sections of O₃ in the 231-794
 1440 nm range, *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, Volume: 61 Issue: 4, 509-517, 1999.

1441 Butler, T. M., and Lawrence, M. G.: The influence of megacities on global atmospheric chemistry: A modelling
 1442 study, *Environ. Chem.*, 6 (3), 219– 225. 2009.

1443 Butler, T.M., Stock, Z.S., Russo, M.R., Denier van der Gon, H.A.C., and Lawrence, M.G.: Megacity ozone air
 1444 quality under four alternative future scenarios. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 4413–4428, doi: 10.5194/acp-12-4413-
 1445 2012, 2012.

1446 Campos Braga, R., Rosenfeld, D., Weigel, R., Jurkat, T., Meinrat O. Andreae, M.O., Wendisch, M., Pöschl, U.,
 1447 Voigt, C., Mahnke, C., Borrmann, S., Albrecht, R.I., Molleker, S., Vila, D.A., Machado, L.A.T., and Grulich, L.:
 1448 Further evidence for CCN aerosol concentrations determining the height of warm rain and ice initiation in
 1449 convective clouds over the Amazon basin, *Atmos. Chem., Phys.*, 17, 14433-14456, [https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-14433-2017)
 1450 [17-14433-2017](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-14433-2017), 2017.

1451 Cassiani, M., Stohl, A., and Eckhardt S.: The dispersion characteristics of air pollution from world's megacities,
 1452 *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 13, 9975-9996, 2013.

1453 Chan Miller, C., Jacob, D. J., Marais, E. A., Yu, K., Travis, K. R., Kim, P. S., Fisher, J. A., Zhu, L., Wolfe, G.
 1454 M., Hanisco, T. F., Keutsch, F. N., Kaiser, J., Min, K.-E., Brown, S. S., Washenfelder, R. A., González Abad,
 1455 G., and Chance, K.: Glyoxal yield from isoprene oxidation and relation to formaldehyde: chemical mechanism,
 1456 constraints from SENEX aircraft observations, and interpretation of OMI satellite data, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17,
 1457 8725–8738, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-8725-2017>, 2017.

1458 Chance, K., Palmer, P. I., Spurr, R. J. D., Martin, R. V., Kurosu, T. P., and Jacob, D.: Satellite observations of
 1459 formaldehyde over North America from GOME, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 27, 3461– 3464, 2000.

1460 Chen H., Winderlich, J., Gerbig, C., Hoefer, A., Rella, C. W., Crosson, E. R., Van Pelt, A. D., Steinbach, J.,
 1461 Kolle, O., Beck, V., Daube, B. C., Gottlieb, E. W., Chow, V. Y., Santoni, G. W., and S. C. Wofsy, High-
 1462 accuracy continuous airborne measurements of greenhouse gases (CO₂ and CH₄) using the cavity ring-down
 1463 spectroscopy (CRDS) technique, *Atmos. Mes. Tech.*, 3, 375-386, 2010.

1464 Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S): ERA5: Fifth generation of ECMWF atmospheric reanalyses of the
 1465 global climate. Copernicus Climate Change Service Climate Data Store (CDS), 2017.

- 1466 Crippa, M., DeCarlo, P. F., Slowik, J. G., Mohr, C., Heringa, M. F., Chirico, R., Poulain, L., Freutel, F., Sciare,
1467 J., Cozic, J., Di Marco, C. F., Elsasser, M., Nicolas, J. B., Marchand, N., Abidi, E., Wiedensohler, A., Drewnick,
1468 F., Schneider, J., Borrmann, S., Nemitz, E., Zimmermann, R., Jaffrezo, J.-L., Prévôt, A. S. H., and
1469 Baltensperger, U.: Wintertime aerosol chemical composition and source apportionment of the organic fraction in
1470 the metropolitan area of Paris, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 961–981, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-961-2013>,
1471 2013.
- 1472 De Smedt, I., Stavrou, T., Hendrick, F., Danckaert, T., Vlemmix, T., Pinardi, G., Theys, N., Lerot, C., Gielen,
1473 C., Vigouroux, C., Hermans, C., Fayt, C., Veefkind, P., Müller, J.-F., and Van Roozendaal, M.: Diurnal,
1474 seasonal and long-term variations of global formaldehyde columns inferred from combined OMI and GOME-2
1475 observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 12519–12545, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-12519-2015>, 2015.
- 1476 De Gouw, J. A., Warneke, C., Parrish, D. D., Holloway, J. S., Trainer, M., and Fehsenfeld, F. C.: Emission
1477 sources and ocean uptake of acetonitrile (CH_3CN) in the atmosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, D11, 108, 4329,
1478 doi:10.1029/2002JD002897, 2003.
- 1479 De Sá, S. S., Palm, B. B., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Hu, W., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Yee, L. D., Brito,
1480 J., Carbone, S., Ribeiro, I. O., Cirino, G. G., Liu, Y., Thalman, R., Sedlacek, A., Funk, A., Schumacher, C.,
1481 Shilling, J. E., Schneider, J., Artaxo, P., Goldstein, A. H., Souza, R. A. F., Wang, J., McKinney, K. A., Barbosa,
1482 H., Alexander, M. L., Jimenez, J. L., and Martin, S. T.: Urban influence on the concentration and composition of
1483 submicron particulate matter in central Amazonia, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 12185–12206, doi.org/10.5194/acp-
1484 18-12185-2018, 2018.
- 1485 Diémoz, H., Barnaba, F., Magri, T., Pession, G., Dionisi, D., Pittavino, S., Tombolato, I., Campanelli, M., Della
1486 Ceca, L. S., Hervo M., Di Liberto, L., Ferrero, L., Gobbi, G. P.: Transport of Po Valley aerosol pollution to the
1487 northwestern Alps – Part 1: Phenomenology. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*. 19. 3065-3095. 10.5194/acp-
1488 19-3065-2019, 2019a.
- 1489 Diémoz, H., Gobbi, G.P., Magri, T., Pession, G., Pittavino, S., Tombolato, I.K.F., Campanelli, M., and Barnaba,
1490 F.: Transport of Po Valley aerosol pollution to the northwestern Alps –Part 2: Long-term impact on air quality,
1491 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 10129–10160, 2019b.
- 1492 Dodman, D.: Blaming cities for climate change? An analysis of urban greenhouse gas emissions inventories.
1493 *Environ.Urban*. 21 (No. 1, April), 185–202, 2009.
- 1494 Dufour, G., Wittrock, F., Camredon, M., Beekmann, M., Richter, A., Aumont, B., and Burrows, J. P.:
1495 SCIAMACHY formaldehyde observations: constraint for isoprene emission estimates over Europe?, *Atmos.*
1496 *Chem. Phys.*, 9, 1647–1664, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-1647-2009>, 2009.
- 1497 European Environmental Agency: Air quality in Europe - 2019 report, No 10/2019; ISBN 978-92-9480-088-6,
1498 doi:10.2800/822355, 2019.
- 1499 European Strategy and Policy Analysis System, ESPAS, Global Trends to 2030: The future of urbanization and
1500 Megacities, ESPAS Ideas Paper series, 2018
- 1501 Finardi et al., Analysis of pollutants exchange between the Po Valley and the surrounding European region,
1502 *Urban Climate* 2014
- 1503 Fischer, E. V., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Sulprizio, M. P., Millet, D. B., Mao, J., Paulot, F., Singh, H. B.,
1504 Roiger, A., Ries, L., Talbot, R. W., Dzepina, K., and Pandey Deolal, S.: Atmospheric peroxyacetyl nitrate
1505 (PAN): a global budget and source attribution, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 2679–2698, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-2679-2014>, 2014.
- 1507 Fisher, R., Lowry, D., Wilkin, O., Sriskantharajah, S., and Nisbet, E.G.: High-precision, automated stable
1508 isotope analysis of atmospheric methane and carbon dioxide using continuous-flow isotope-ratio mass
1509 spectrometry, *Rapid communications in mass spectrometry: RCM*, 20 (2), 200–208. doi: 10.1002/rcm.2300,
1510 2006.
- 1511 Flemming, J., Huijnen, V., Arteta, J., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A., Blechschmidt, A.-M., Diamantakis, M.,
1512 Engelen, R. J., Gaudel, A., Inness, A., Jones, L., Josse, B., Katragkou, E., Marecal, V., Peuch, V.-H., Richter, A.,

1513 Schultz, M. G., Stein, O., and Tsikerdekis, A.: Tropospheric chemistry in the Integrated Forecasting System of
1514 ECMWF, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, **8**, 975-1003, doi:10.5194/gmd-8-975-2015, 2015.

1515 Flemming, F., Jones, L., and Blechschmidt, A.-M.: CAMS supports scientific aircraft campaigns, ECMWF
1516 Newsletter No. 160, Summer 2019, available online at <https://www.ecmwf.int/en/publications/newsletters>. 2019

1517 Forzieri, G., Cescatti, A., Batista e Silva, F., Feyen, L.: Increasing risk over time of weather-related hazards to
1518 the European population: a data-driven prognostic study, *The Lancet Planetary Health*, Vol 1, Issue 5, p e-200-
1519 e208, 2017.

1520 Freney, E. J., Sellegri, K., Canonaco, F., Colomb, A., Borbon, A., Michoud, V., Doussin, J.-F., Crumeyrolle, S.,
1521 Amarouche, N., Pichon, J.-M., Bourianne, T., Gomes, L., Prevot, A. S. H., Beekmann, M., and Schwarzenböck,
1522 A.: Characterizing the impact of urban emissions on regional aerosol particles: airborne measurements during the
1523 MEGAPOLI experiment, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **14**, 1397–1412, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-1397-2014>, 2014.

1524 Freutel, F., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., von der Weiden-Reinmüller, S.-L., Crippa, M., Prévôt, A. S. H.,
1525 Baltensperger, U., Poulain, L., Wiedensohler, A., Sciare, J., Sarda-Estève, R., Burkhardt, J. F., Eckhardt, S., Stohl,
1526 A., Gros, V., Colomb, A., Michoud, V., Doussin, J. F., Borbon, A., Haeffelin, M., Morille, Y., Beekmann, M.,
1527 and Borrmann, S.: Aerosol particle measurements at three stationary sites in the megacity of Paris during
1528 summer 2009: meteorology and air mass origin dominate aerosol particle composition and size distribution,
1529 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **13**, 933–959, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-933-2013>, 2013.

1530 Fu, T.-M., Jacob, D. J., Wittrock, F., Burrows, J. P., Vrekoussis, M., and Henze, D. K.: Global budgets of
1531 atmospheric glyoxal and methylglyoxal, and implications for formation of secondary organic aerosols, *J.*
1532 *Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, **113**, D15303, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009505>, 2008.

1533 Fu, Y., Tai, A. P. K. and Liao, H.: Impacts of historical climate and land cover
1534 changes on fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) air quality in East Asia between 1980 and 2010, *Atmospheric*
1535 *Chemistry and Physics*, **16**(16), pp. 10369–10383. doi: 10.5194/acp-16-10369-2016, 2016.

1536 Gardi, C.: *Urban Expansion, Land Cover and Soil Ecosystem Services*, Ed. Taylor & Francis, ISBN
1537 1317504712, 9781317504719, 2017.

1538 Garzon, J.P, Huertas, J. I., Magana, M. Huertas, M.E., Cardenas, B., Watanabe, T., Maeda, T., Wakamatsu, S.,
1539 Blanco, S.: Volatile organic compounds in the atmosphere of Mexico City, *Atmospheric Environment*, **119**, 425-
1540 429, 2015.

1541 Gelencsér, A; Siszler, K; and Hlavay, J: *Environmental Science & Technology* **31** (10), 2869-2872, doi:
1542 10.1021/es970004c, 1997.

1543 General, S., Pöhler, D., Sihler, H., Bobrowski, N., Frieß, U., Zielcke, J., Horbanski, M., Shepson, P. B., Stirm, B.
1544 H., Simpson, W. R., Weber, K., Fischer, C., and Platt, U.: The Heidelberg Airborne Imaging DOAS Instrument
1545 (HAIDI) – a novel Imaging DOAS device for 2-D and 3-D imaging of trace gases and aerosols, *Atmos. Meas.*
1546 *Tech.*, **7**, 3459-3485, 2014, doi:10.5194/amt-7-3459-2014.

1547 George, M., Andrés Hernández, M. D., Nenakhov, V., Liu, Y., and Burrows, J. P.: Airborne measurement of
1548 peroxy radicals using chemical amplification coupled with cavity ring-down spectroscopy: the PerCEAS
1549 instrument, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, **13**, 2577–2600, <https://amt.copernicus.org/articles/13/2577/2020/>, 2020.

1550 Gerbig, C., Kley, D., Volz-Thomas, A., Kent, J., Dewey, K., and McKenna, D. S.: Fast response resonance
1551 fluorescence CO measurements aboard the C-130: Instrument characterization and measurements made during
1552 North Atlantic Regional Experiment 1993, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **101**, 29229-29238, 1996.

1553 Gioli, B., Miglietta, F., Vaccari, F. P., Zaldei, A. and De Martino, B.: The Sky Arrow ERA, an innovative
1554 airborne platform to monitor mass, momentum and energy exchange of ecosystems. *Annals of Geophysics*. **49**.
1555 10.4401/ag-3159, 2009.

1556 Gioli, B., Carfora, M.F., Magliulo, V., Metallo, M.C., Poli, A.A., Toscano, P., and Miglietta, F.: Aircraft mass
1557 budgeting to measure CO₂ emissions of Rome, Italy, *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, **186** (4), 2053–
1558 2066. DOI: 10.1007/s10661-013-3517-4, 2014.

1559 Gkikas, A; Hatzianastassiou, N., Mihalopoulos, N., Katsoulis, V., Kazadzis, S., Pey, J., Querol, X., and Torres,
1560 O.: The regime of intense desert dust episodes in the Mediterranean based on contemporary satellite observations
1561 and ground measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 12135–12154, doi:10.5194/acp-13-12135-2013, 2013.

1562 Giles, D.M., Sinyuk, A., Sorokin, M.G., Schafer, J.S., Smirnov, A., Slutsker, I., Eck, T.F., Holben, B.N., Lewis,
1563 J.R., Campbell, J.R., Welton, E., J., Korkin, S. V., and Lyapustin, A. I. : Advancements in the Aerosol Robotic
1564 Network (AERONET) Version 3 database – automated near-real-time quality control algorithm with improved
1565 cloud screening for Sun photometer aerosol optical depth (AOD) measurements, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 12, 169–
1566 209, doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-169-2019, 2019.

1567 Goldstein, A. and Shaw, S.: Isotopes of volatile organic compounds: an emerging approach for studying
1568 atmospheric budgets and chemistry, *Chem. Rev.*, 103, 5025–5048, doi:10.1021/cr0206566, 2003.

1569 Grewe, V., Tsati, E., Mertens, M., Frömming, C., & Jöckel, P.: Contribution of emissions to concentrations: the
1570 TAGGING 1.0 submodel based on the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy 2.52), *Geoscientific Model*
1571 *Development*, 10, 2615–2633, doi: 10.5194/gmd-10-2615-2017, URL [https://www.geosci-model-](https://www.geosci-model-dev.net/10/2615/2017/)
1572 [dev.net/10/2615/2017/](https://www.geosci-model-dev.net/10/2615/2017/), 2017.

1573 Grimm, N.B., Faeth, S.H., Golubiewski, N.E., Redman, C.L., Wu, J., Bai, X., Briggs, J.M.: Global change and
1574 the ecology of cities. *Science* 319, 756–760. doi:10.1126/science.1150195, 2008.

1575 Guerreiro, S. B., Dawson, R. J., Kilsby, C., Lewis, E., and Ford, A.: Future heat-waves, droughts and floods in
1576 571 European cities, *Environ. Res. Lett.* 13 034009, doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aaaad3, 2018.

1577 Haywood, J. and Boucher, O.: Estimates of the direct and indirect radiative forcing due to tropospheric aerosols:
1578 A review, *Reviews of Geophysics*, doi: 38.10.1029/1999RG000078, 2000.

1579 Heckel A., Richter, A. Tarsu T., Wittrock, F., Hak C., Pundt I., Junkermann W. and Burrows J.P. :MAX-DOAS
1580 measurements of formaldehyde in Po-Valley, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 5, 909-918, 2005.

1581 Helfter, C., Tremper, A.H., Halios, C.H., Kotthaus, S., Björkegren, A., Grimmond, C.S.B., Barlow, J.F., and
1582 Nemitz, E.: Spatial and temporal variability of urban fluxes of methane, carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide
1583 above London, UK, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16 (16), 10543–10557. DOI: 10.5194/acp-16-10543-2016, 2016.

1584 Hennigan, C. J., Sullivan, A. P., Collett, J. L., and Robinson, A. L.: Levoglucosan stability in biomass burning
1585 particles ex-posed to hydroxyl radicals, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37, L09806, doi:10.1029/2010GL043088, 2010.

1586 Hennigan, C. J., Miracolo, M. A., Engelhart, G. J., May, A. A., Presto, A. A., Lee, T., Sullivan, A. P.,
1587 McMeeking, G. R., Coe, H., Wold, C. E., Hao, W.-M., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., de Gouw, J., Schichtel, B.
1588 A., Collett Jr., J. L., Kreidenweis, S. M., and Robinson, A. L.: Chemical and physical transformations of organic
1589 aerosol from the photo-oxidation of open biomass burning emissions in an environmental chamber, *Atmos.*
1590 *Chem. Phys.*, 11, 7669–7686, doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-7669-2011, 2011.

1591 Hilboll, A., Richter, A., and Burrows, J. P.: Long-term changes of tropospheric NO₂ over megacities derived
1592 from multiple satellite instruments, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 4145–4169, doi:10.5194/acp-13-4145-2014, 2014

1593 Holanda, B.A., Pöhlker, M.L., Walter, D., Saturno, J., Sörgel, M., Ditas, J., Ditas, F. Schulz, C., et al.: Influx of
1594 African biomass burning aerosol during the Amazonian dry season through layered transatlantic transport of
1595 black carbon-rich smoke, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 4757–4785, doi:org/10.5194/acp-20-4757-2020, 2020.

1596 Holanda et al., in preparation 2021: Characteristic correlations between CCN and BC of most relevant aerosol
1597 species.

1598 Holben, B.N., Eck, T.F., Slutsker, I., Tanré, D., Buis, J.P., Setzer, A., Vermote, E., Reagan, J.A., Kaufman, Y.J.,
1599 Nakajima, T., Lavenu, F., Jankowiak, I., and Smirnov, A.: Aeronet—A Federated Instrument Network and Data
1600 Archive for Aerosol Characterization. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 66, 1-16. [doi.org/10.1016/S0034-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(98)00031-5)
1601 [4257\(98\)00031-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(98)00031-5), 1998.

1602 Hollingsworth, A. R., Engelen, R. J., Textor, C., Benedetti, A., Boucher, O., Chevallier, F., Dethof, A., Elbern,
1603 H., Eskes, H., Flemming, Granier, C., Kaiser, J. W., Morcrette, J.-J., Rayner, P., Peuch, V.-H., Rouil, L., Schultz,

1604 M. G., Simmons, A. J., and Consortium, T. G.: Toward a monitoring and forecasting system for atmospheric
 1605 composition: The GEMS project, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 89, 1147–1164, 2008.

1606 Hoole, C., Hincks, S. and Rae, A.: The contours of a new urban world? Megacity population growth and density
 1607 since 1975. *Town Planning Review*, 90 (6). ISSN 0041-0020,. <https://doi.org/10.3828/tpr.2019.41>, 2019.

1608 Hüneke, T., Aderhold, O.-A., Bounin, J., Dorf, M., Gentry, E., Grossmann, K., Grooß, J.-U., Hoor, P., Jöckel, P.,
 1609 Kenntner, M., Knapp, M., Knecht, M., Lörks, D., Ludmann, S., Matthes, S., Raecke, R., Reichert, M., Weimar,
 1610 J., Werner, B., Zahn, A., Ziereis, H., and Pfeilsticker, K.: The novel HALO mini-DOAS instrument: inferring
 1611 trace gas concentrations from airborne UV/visible limb spectroscopy under all skies using the scaling method,
 1612 *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 10, 4209–4234, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-4209-2017>, 2017.

1613 Huijnen, V., Williams, J., van Weele, M., van Noije, T., Krol, M., Dentener, F., Segers, A., Houweling, S.,
 1614 Peters, W., de Laat, J., Boersma, F., Bergamaschi, P., van Velthoven, P., Le Sager, P., Eskes, H., Alkemade, F.,
 1615 Scheele, R., Nédélec, P., and Pätz, H.-W.: The global chemistry transport model TM5: description and
 1616 evaluation of the tropospheric chemistry version 3.0, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 3, 445–473,
 1617 <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-3-445-2010>, 2010.

1618 Huntrieser, H., and H. Schlager: Air Pollution Export from and Import to Europe: Experimental Evidence, In:
 1619 *The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry*, Vol. 4 Air Pollution: Intercontinental Transport of Air Pollution
 1620 (Ed. A. Stohl), Springer Verlag, pp. 69-98. 2004.

1621 Huntrieser, H., Heland, J., Schlager, H., Forster, C., Stohl, A., Aufmhoff, H., Arnold, F., Scheel, H.E., Campana,
 1622 M., Gilge, S., Eixmann, R., and Cooper O. : Intercontinental air pollution transport from North America to
 1623 Europe: Experimental evidence from airborne measurements and surface observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110,
 1624 D01305, doi:10.1029/2004JD005045, 2005.

1625 Im, U., Markakis, K., Koçak, M., Gerasopoulos, E., Daskalakis, N., Mihalopoulos, N., Poupkou, A., Kindap, T.,
 1626 Unal, A., and Kanakidou, M.: Summertime aerosol chemical composition in the Eastern Mediterranean and its
 1627 sensitivity to temperature, *Atmos. Environ.*, 50, 164-173, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.12.044>, 2012.

1628 Inness, A., Blechschmidt, A.-M., Bouarar, I., Chabrilat, S., Crepulja, M., Engelen, R. J., Eskes, H., Flemming,
 1629 J., Gaudel, A., Hendrick, F., Huijnen, V., Jones, L., Kapsomenakis, J., Katragkou, E., Keppens, A., Langerock,
 1630 B., de Mazière, M., Melas, D., Parrington, M., Peuch, V. H., Razinger, M., Richter, A., Schultz, M. G., Suttie,
 1631 M., Thouret, V., Vrekoussis, M., Wagner, A., and Zerefos, C.: Data assimilation of satellite-retrieved ozone,
 1632 carbon monoxide and nitrogen dioxide with ECMWF's Composition-IFS, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 5275-5303,
 1633 doi:10.5194/acp-15-5275-2015, 2015.

1634 IPCC, 2014: Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth
 1635 Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Core Writing Team, R.K. Pachauri and
 1636 L.A. Meyer (eds.)). IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 151 pp. 2014.

1637 Jacob, D.J., and Winner, D.A.: Effect of climate change on air quality, *Atmospheric Environment*, 43, 51-63,
 1638 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.09.051, 2009.

1639 Jöckel, P., Kerkweg, A., Pozzer, A., Sander, R., Tost, H., Riede, H., Baumgaertner, A., Gromov, S., & Kern, B.:
 1640 Development cycle 2 of the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy2), *Geoscientific Model Development*, 3,
 1641 717–752, doi: 10.5194/gmd-3-717-2010, URL <http://www.geosci-model-dev.net/3/717/2010/>, 2010

1642 Jonson, J. E., Schulz, M., Emmons, L., Flemming, J., Henze, D., Sudo, K., Tronstad Lund, M., Lin, M.,
 1643 Benedictow, A., Koffi, B., Dentener, F., Keating, T., Kivi, R., and Davila, Y., The effect of intercontinental
 1644 emission sources on European air pollution levels. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 13655–13672,
 1645 <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-13655-2018>, 2018.

1646 Kaiser, J. W., Heil, A., Andreae, M. O., Benedetti, A., Chubarova, N., Jones, L., Morcrette, J.-J., Razinger, M.,
 1647 Schultz, M. G., Suttie, M., and van der Werf, G. R.: Biomass burning emissions estimated with a global fire
 1648 assimilation system based on observed fire radiative power, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 527–554,
 1649 <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-527-2012>, 2012.

1650 Kaiser, J., Wolfe, G. M., Min, K. E., Brown, S. S., Miller, C. C., Jacob, D. J., de Gouw, J. A., Graus, M.,
 1651 Hanisco, T. F., Holloway, J., Peischl, J., Pollack, I. B., Ryerson, T. B., Warneke, C., Washenfelder, R. A., and
 1652 Keutsch, F. N.: Reassessing the ratio of glyoxal to formaldehyde as an indicator of hydrocarbon precursor
 1653 speciation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 7571–7583, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-7571-2015>, 2015.

1654 Kalivitis, N., Gerasopoulos, E., Vrekoussis, M., Kouvarakis, G., Kubilay, N., Hatzianastassiou, N., Vardavas, I.
 1655 and Mihalopoulos, N, Dust transport over the eastern Mediterranean derived from Total Ozone Mapping
 1656 Spectrometer, Aerosol Robotic Network, and surface measurements, *Journal of Geophysical Research-*
 1657 *Atmospheres* 112(D3), 2007.

1658 Kalnay, E., Kanamitsu, M., Kistler, R., Collins, W., Deaven, D., Gandin, L., Iredell, M., Saha, S., White, G.,
 1659 Woollen, J., Zhu, Y., Leetmaa, A., Reynolds, B., Chelliah, M., Ebisuzaki, W., Higgins, W., Janowiak, J., Mo, K.
 1660 C., Ropelewski, C., Wang, J., Jenne, R., and Joseph, D. : The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project, *Bull.*
 1661 *Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 77, 437–470, 1996.

1662 Kanakidou, M., Mihalopoulos, N., Kindap, T., Im, U., Vrekoussis, M., Gerasopoulos, E., Dermizaki, E., Unal,
 1663 A., Koçak, M., Markakis, K., Melas, D., Kouvarakis, G., Youssef, A.F., Richter, A., Hatzianastassiou, N.,
 1664 Hilboll, A., Ebojie, F., Wittrock, F., von Savigny, C., Burrows, J.P., Ladstaetter-Weissenmayer, A., Moubasher,
 1665 H.: Megacities as hot spots of air pollution in the East Mediterranean, *Atmospheric Environment*, 45, 1223–
 1666 1235, 2011.

1667 Kerkweg, A. & Jöckel, P.: The 1-way on-line coupled atmospheric chemistry model system MECO(n) – Part 2:
 1668 On-line coupling with the Multi-Model-Driver (MMD), *Geoscientific Model Development*, 5, 111–128, doi:
 1669 10.5194/gmd-5-111-2012, URL <http://www.geosci-model-dev.net/5/111/2012/>, 2012

1670 Kluge, F., Hüneke, T., Knecht, M., Lichtenstern, M., Rotermund, M., Schlager, H., Schreiner, B., and
 1671 Pfeilsticker, K.: Profiling of formaldehyde, glyoxal, methylglyoxal, and CO over the Amazon: normalized
 1672 excess mixing ratios and related emission factors in biomass burning plumes, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 12363–
 1673 12389, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-12363-2020>, 2020.

1674 Klausner, T. M., Aircraft-based in situ measurements of CH₄ and CO₂ downstream of European and Asian urban
 1675 centres at local to synoptic scales. Dissertation, LMU München: Fakultät für Physik, doi: 10.5282/edoc.26983,
 1676 2020.

1677 Klausner, T., Mertens, M., Huntrieser, H., Galkowski, M., Kuhlmann, G., Baumann, R., Fiehn, A., Jöckel P.,
 1678 Pühl, M., and Roitger, A.: Urban greenhouse gas emissions from the Berlin area: A case study using airborne
 1679 CO₂ and CH₄ in situ observations in summer 2018. *Elem Sci Anth*, 8: 15, doi.org/10.1525/elementa.411, 2020.

1680 Kuc, T., Rozanski, K., Zimnoch, M., Necki, J.M., and Korus, A.: Anthropogenic emissions of CO₂ and CH₄ in
 1681 an urban environment, *Applied Energy*, 75 (3-4), 193–203. DOI: 10.1016/S0306-2619(03)00032-1, 2003.

1682 Kunkel, D., M. G. Lawrence, H. Tost, A. Kerkweg, P. Jöckel, and Borrmann S.: Urban emission hot spots as
 1683 sources for remote aerosol deposition, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39, L01808, doi: 10.1029/2011GL049634, 2012.

1684 Laborde, M., Crippa, M., Tritscher, T., Jurányi, Z., Decarlo, P. F., Temime-Roussel, B., Marchand, N., Eckhardt,
 1685 S., Stohl, A., Baltensperger, U., Prévôt, A. S. H., Weingartner, E., and Gysel, M.: Black carbon physical
 1686 properties and mixing state in the European megacity Paris, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 5831–5856,
 1687 <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-5831-2013>, 2013.

1688 Lai, C., Liu, Y., Ma, J., Ma, Q., He, H.: Degradation kinetics of levoglucosan initiated by hydroxyl radical under
 1689 different environmental conditions, *Atmospheric Environment*, 91, 32–39,
 1690 doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.03.054, 2014.

1691 Lambe, A. T., Onasch, T. B., Massoli, P., Croasdale, D. R., Wright, J. P., Ahern, A. T., Williams, L. R.,
 1692 Worsnop, D. R., Brune, W. H., and Davidovits, P.: Laboratory studies of the chemical composition and cloud
 1693 condensation nuclei (CCN) activity of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) and oxidized primary organic aerosol
 1694 (OPOA), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 8913–8928, doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-8913-2011, 2011.

1695 Lawrence, M.G., Butler, T.M., Steinkamp, J., Gurjar, B.R., and J. Lelieveld: Regional pollution potentials of
1696 megacities and other major population centers, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 3969–3987, doi:10.5194/acp-7-3969-
1697 2007, 2007.

1698 Lawrence, M.G., and Lelieveld, J.: Atmospheric pollutant outflow from southern Asia:
1699 a review, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 11017–11096, doi:10.5194/acp-10-11017-2010, 2010.

1700 Lelieveld, J., Berresheim, H., Borrmann, S., Crutzen, P.J., Dentener, F.J., Fischer,
1701 H., et al.: Global air pollution crossroads over the Mediterranean. *Science* 298, 794,
1702 doi: 10.1126/science.1075457, 2002.

1703 Lelieveld, J., Evans, J., Fnais, M., Giannadaki, D., and Pozzer, A.: The Contribution of Outdoor Air Pollution
1704 Sources to Premature Mortality on a Global Scale, *Nature*, vol. 525, pp. 367-371, 2015.

1705 Lelieveld, J., Klingmüller, K., Pozzer, A., Burnett, R. T., Haines, A., and Ramanathan, V.: Effects of fossil fuel
1706 and total anthropogenic emission removal on public health and climate, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 116, 7192–
1707 7197, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1819989116>, 2019.

1708 Lelieveld, J., Pozzer, A., Pöschl, U., Fnais, M., Haines, A., and Münzel, T.: Loss of life expectancy from air
1709 pollution compared to other risk factors: a worldwide perspective, *Cardiovascular Research*, 116, 1910-1917,
1710 doi: 10.1093/cvr/cvaa025, 2020.

1711 Leung, D. M., Tai, A. P. K., Mickley, L. J., Moch, J. M., Van Donkelaar, A., Shen, L. and Martin, R. V.,
1712 Synoptic meteorological modes of variability for fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) air quality in major
1713 metropolitan regions of China, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 18(9), pp. 6733–6748. doi: 10.5194/acp-18-
1714 6733-2018, 2018.

1715 Lian, J., Bréon, F.-M., Broquet, G., Zaccheo, T.S., Dobler, J., Ramonet, M., Staufer, J., Santaren, D., Xueref-
1716 Remy, I., and Ciais, P.: Analysis of temporal and spatial variability of atmospheric CO₂ concentration within
1717 Paris from the GreenLITE TM laser imaging experiment, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*
1718 <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-547>, 2019.

1719 Liu, D., Allan, J. D., Young, D. E., Coe, H., Beddows, D., Fleming, Z. L., Flynn, M. J., Gallagher, M. W.,
1720 Harrison, R. M., Lee, J., Prevot, A. S. H., Taylor, J. W., Yin, J., Williams, P. I., and Zotter, P.: Size distribution,
1721 mixing state and source apportionment of black carbon aerosol in London during wintertime, *Atmos. Chem.*
1722 *Phys.*, 14, 10061–10084, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-10061-2014>, 2014.

1723 Mallaun, C., Giez, A. and Baumann, R.: Calibration of 3-D wind measurements on a single engine research
1724 aircraft *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 3177-3196, doi: 10.5194/amt-8-3177-2015, 2015.

1725 Mar, K.A., Putting the brakes on climate change – it’s about more than just CO₂, *Climanosco Research Articles*
1726 3, <https://doi.org/10.37207/CRA.3.1>, 2021.

1727 Mayer, M., Wang, C., Webster, M., and Prinn, R. G.: Linking local air pollution to global chemistry and climate,
1728 *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 22869–22896, 2000.

1729 Mei, L. L., Rozanov, V., Vountas, M., Burrows, J., Levy, R., Lotz, W., Retrieval of aerosol optical properties
1730 using MERIS observations: algorithm and some first results, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, doi:10.1016/
1731 j.rse.2016.11.015, 197, 125-140, 2017a

1732 Mei, L. L., Rozanov, V., Vountas, M., Burrows, J., Levy, R., Lotz, W., A Cloud masking algorithm for the
1733 XBAER aerosol retrieval using MERIS data, *Remote Sensing of Environment* doi.:
1734 10.1016/j.rse.2016.11.016,197, 141-160, 2017b

1735 Melchiorri, M.; Florczyk, A.J.; Freire, S.; Ehrlich, D.; Schiavina, M.; Pesaresi, M.; Kemper, T. Megacities
1736 Spatiotemporal Dynamics Monitored with the Global Human Settlement Layer. In *Proceedings of the REAL*
1737 *CORP 2018 Expanding Cities—Diminishing Space*, Wien, Austria, 4–6 April 2018; Schrenk, M., Popovisch,
1738 V.V., Zeile, P., Elisei, P., Beyer, C., Navratil, G., Eds.; CORP: Wien, Austria, 2018; pp. 285–294, 2018.

1739 Mertens, M., Kerkweg, A., Jöckel, P., Tost, H., & Hofmann, C.: The 1-way on-line coupled model system
1740 MECO(n) – Part 4: Chemical evaluation (based on MESSy v2.52), *Geoscientific Model Development*, 9, 3545–
1741 3567, doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3545-2016, URL <http://www.geosci-model-dev.net/9/3545/2016/>, 2016.

1742 Mertens, M., Kerkweg, A., Grewe, V., Jöckel, P., & Sausen, R.: Attributing ozone and its precursors to land
1743 transport emissions in Europe and Germany, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 20, 7843–7873, doi:
1744 10.5194/acp-20-7843-2020, URL <https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/20/7843/2020/>, 2020.

1745 Mertens, M., Kerkweg, A., Grewe, V., Jöckel, P., & Sausen, R.: Are contributions of emissions to ozone a matter
1746 of scale? – a study using MECO(n) (MESSy v2.50), *Geoscientific Model Development*, 13, 363–383, doi:
1747 10.5194/gmd-13-363-2020, URL <https://www.geosci-model-dev.net/13/363/2020/>, 2020.

1748 Millán, M.M., Salvador, R., Mantilla, E., Kallos, G.: Photooxidant dynamics in the Mediterranean basin in
1749 summer: Results from European research projects, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 102, N0. D7, 8811–8823,
1750 1997.

1751 Millán, M. M., Mantilla, E., Salvador, R., Carratalá, A., Sanz, M. J., Alonso, L., Gangoiti, G., and Navazo, M.:
1752 Ozone Cycles in the Western Mediterranean Basin: Interpretation of Monitoring Data in Complex Coastal
1753 Terrain. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, 39: 487–508. 2000.

1754 Monks, P. S., Granier, C., Fuzzi, S., Stohl, A., Williams, M. L., Akimoto, H., Amann, M., Baklanov, A.,
1755 Baltensperger, U., Bey, I., Blake, N., Blake, R. S., Carslaw, K., Cooper, O. R., Dentener, F., Fowler, D.,
1756 Fragkou, E., Frost, G. J., Generoso, S., Ginoux, P., Grewe, V., Guenther, A., Hansson, H. C., Henne, S., Hjorth,
1757 J., Hofzumahaus, A., Huntrieser, H., Isaksen, I. S. A., Jenkin, M. E., Kaiser, J., Kanakidou, M., Klimont, Z.,
1758 Kulmala, M., Laj, P., Lawrence, M. G., Lee, J. D., Liousse, C., Maione, M., McFiggans, G., Metzger, A.,
1759 Mieville, A., Moussiopoulos, N., Orlandou, J. J., O'Dowd, C. D., Palmer, P. I., Parrish, D. D., Petzold, A., Platt,
1760 U., Pöschl, U., Prévôt, A. S. H., Reeves, C. E., Reimann, S., Rudich, Y., Sellegri, K., Steinbrecher, R., Simpson,
1761 D., ten Brink, H., Theloke, J., van der Werf, G. R., Vautard, R., Vestreng, V., Vlachokostas, Ch., von Glasow, R.:
1762 Atmospheric composition change-global and regional air quality, *Atmospheric Environment*, 43, 5268–5350,
1763 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.08.021, 2009.

1764 Myriokefalitakis, S., Vrekoussis, M., Tsigaridis, K., Wittrock, F., Richter, A., Brühl, C., Volkamer, R., Burrows,
1765 J.P., and Kanakidou, M.: Influence of natural and anthropogenic secondary sources on the glyoxal global
1766 distribution, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 4965–4981, 2008.

1767 Ng, N. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Tian, J., Ulbrich, I. M., Kroll, J. H., Docherty, K. S.,
1768 Chhabra, P. S., Bahreini, R., Murphy, S. M., Seinfeld, J. H., Hildebrandt, L., Donahue, N. M., DeCarlo, P. F.,
1769 Lanz, V. A., Prévôt, A. S. H., Dinar, E., Rudich, Y., and Worsnop, D. R.: Organic aerosol components observed
1770 in Northern Hemispheric datasets from Aerosol Mass Spectrometry, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 4625–4641,
1771 doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-4625-2010, 2010.

1772 Ng, N. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Jimenez, J. L., Chhabra, P. S., Seinfeld, J. H., and Worsnop, D. R.: Changes in
1773 organic aerosol composition with aging inferred from aerosol mass spectra, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 6465–
1774 6474, doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-6465-2011, 2011.

1775 Odendahl, C., Springford, J., Johnson, S. and J. Murray: The big European sort? The diverging fortunes of
1776 Europe's regions, Centre for European Reform, www.cer.eu; 2019.

1777 O'Shea, S.J., Allen, G., Fleming, Z.L., Bauguitte, S.J.-B., Percival, C.J., Gallagher, M.W., Lee, J., Helfter, C.,
1778 and Nemitz, E.: Area fluxes of carbon dioxide, methane, and carbon monoxide derived from airborne
1779 measurements around Greater London: A case study during summer 2012, *J. Geophys. Res.* 119 (8), 4940–4952.
1780 doi: 10.1002/2013JD021269, 2014.

1781 Paulot, F., Wunch, D., Crounse, J. D., Toon, G. C., Millet, D. B., DeCarlo, P. F., Vigouroux, C., Deutscher, N.
1782 M., González Abad, G., Notholt, J., Warneke, T., Hannigan, J. W., Warneke, C., de Gouw, J. A., Dunlea, E. J.,
1783 De Mazière, M., Griffith, D. W. T., Bernath, P., Jimenez, J. L., and Wennberg, P. O.: Importance of secondary
1784 sources in the atmospheric budgets of formic and acetic acids, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 1989–2013,
1785 <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-1989-2011>, 2011.

1786 Pappalardo et al., EARLINET: towards an advanced sustainable European aerosol lidar network, *Atmos. Meas.*
1787 *Tech.*, 7, 2389–2409, doi:10.5194/amt-7-2389-2014, 2014.

1788 Paz, S., Goldstein, P., Kordova-Biezuner, L. et al. Differences in Benzene Patterns Among Traffic and Industrial
1789 Areas and a Prediction Model for Benzene Rates Based on NO_x Values. *Water Air Soil Pollut* 226, 161,
1790 doi.org/10.1007/s11270-015-2406-6, 2015.

1791 Pey, J., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Forastiere, F., and Stafoggia, M.: African dust outbreaks over the
1792 Mediterranean Basin during 2001–2011: PM₁₀ concentrations, phenomenology and trends, and its relation with
1793 synoptic and mesoscale meteorology, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 1395–1410, doi:10.5194/acp-13-1395-2013,
1794 2013.

1795 Pikridas, M., Vrekoussis, M., Sciare, J., Mihalopoulos, N., Kleanthous, S., and Savvidis, C, Spatial and temporal
1796 (short and long-term) variability of submicron, fine and sub-10 µm particulate matter (PM₁, PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀) in
1797 Cyprus, *Atmos. Environ.*, 191:79–93, 2018. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.07.048, 2018.

1798 Pitt, J.R., Allen, G., Bauguitte, S.J.-B., Gallagher, M.W., Lee, J.D., Drysdale, W., Nelson, B., Manning, A.J., and
1799 Palmer, P.I.: Assessing London CO₂, CH₄ and CO emissions using aircraft measurements and dispersion
1800 modelling, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2019, 19 (13), 8931–8945. DOI: 10.5194/acp-19-8931-2019.

1801 Pöhlker, M. L., Pöhlker, C., Ditas, F., Klimach, T., Hrabě de Angelis, I., Araújo, A., Brito, J., Carbone, S.,
1802 Cheng, Y., Chi, X., Ditz, R., Gunthe, S. S., Kesselmeier, J., Könemann, T., Lavrič, J. V., Martin, S. T.,
1803 Mikhailov, E., Moran-Zuloaga, D., Rose, D., Saturno, J., Su, H., Thalman, R., Walter, D., Wang, J., Wolff, S.,
1804 Barbosa, H. M. J., Artaxo, P., Andreae, M. O., and Pöschl, U.: Long-term observations of cloud condensation
1805 nuclei in the Amazon rain forest – Part 1: Aerosol size distribution, hygroscopicity, and new model
1806 parametrizations for CCN prediction, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 2016.

1807 Pöhlker, M. L., Ditas, F., Saturno, J., Klimach, T., Hrabě de Angelis, I., Araújo, A. C., Brito, J., Carbone, S.,
1808 Cheng, Y., Chi, X., Ditz, R., Gunthe, S. S., Holanda, B. A., Kandler, K., Kesselmeier, J., Könemann, T., Krüger,
1809 O. O., Lavrič, J. V., Martin, S. T., Mikhailov, E., Moran-Zuloaga, D., Rizzo, L. V., Rose, D., Su, H., Thalman,
1810 R., Walter, D., Wang, J., Wolff, S., Barbosa, H. M. J., Artaxo, P., Andreae, M. O., Pöschl, U., and Pöhlker, C.:
1811 Long-term observations of cloud condensation nuclei over the Amazon rain forest – Part 2: Variability and
1812 characteristics of biomass burning, long-range transport, and pristine rain forest aerosols, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*,
1813 2018.

1814 Pöhlker, C., Walter, D., Paulsen, H., Könemann, T., Rodríguez-Caballero, E., Moran-Zuloaga, D., Brito, J.,
1815 Carbone, S., Degrendele, C., Després, V. R., Ditas, F., Holanda, B. A., Kaiser, J. W., Lammel, G., Lavrič, J. V.,
1816 Ming, J., Pickersgill, D., Pöhlker, M. L., Praß, M., Löbs, N., Saturno, J., Sörgel, M., Wang, Q., Weber, B.,
1817 Wolff, S., Artaxo, P., Pöschl, U., and Andreae, M. O.: Land cover and its transformation in the backward
1818 trajectory footprint region of the Amazon Tall Tower Observatory, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 8425–8470,
1819 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-8425-2019, 2019.

1820 Pöschl, U.: Atmospheric aerosols: Composition, transformation, climate and health effects, *Angew. Chem. Int.*
1821 *Ed.*, 44(46), 7520–7540, doi:10.1002/anie.200501122, doi: 10.1002/anie.200501122, 2005.

1822 Ramanathan V., Crutzen P. J., Kiehl J. T., Rosenfeld D.: Aerosols, climate, and the hydrological cycle. *Science*
1823 294:2119–2124, DOI: 10.1126/science.1064034, 2001.

1824 Reddington, C.L., McMeeking G., Mann, G.W. Coe, H., Frontoso M. G, Liu, D., Flynn,
1825 M., Spracklen, D.V., and Carslaw, K.S.: The mass and number size distributions of
1826 black carbon aerosol over Europe, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 4917–4939, 2013.

1827 Rautenhaus, M., G. Bauer, and A. Dörnbrack.: A web service based tool to plan atmospheric research flights.
1828 *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 5, 55–71, doi.org/10.5194/gmd-5-55-2012. 2012.

1829 Ren, Yu, Schlager, H., Martin D.: The Application of TD/GC/NICI–MS with an Al₂O₃-PLOT-S Column for the
1830 Determination of Perfluoroalkylcycloalkanes in the Atmosphere. *Chromatographia*, 77, pp 309–316. doi:
1831 10.1007/s10337-013-2584-6., 2013.

1832 Ren, Y., Baumann, R., Schlager, H.: An airborne perfluorocarbon tracer system and its first application for a
1833 Lagrangian experiment. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 69–80. doi: 10.5194/amt-8-69-2015, 2015.

1834 Richter, A., Burrows, J. P., Nüß, H., Granier, C., Niemeier, U., Increase in tropospheric nitrogen dioxide over
1835 China observed from space, *Nature*, 437, 129-132, doi: 10.1038/nature04092, 2005.

1836 Richter, A., Begoin, M., Hilboll, A., and Burrows, J. P.: An improved NO₂ retrieval for the GOME-2 satellite
1837 instrument, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 4, 1147-1159, doi:10.5194/amt-4-1147-2011, 2011.

1838 Roiger, A., Aufmhoff, H., Stock, P., Arnold, F., Schlager, H.: An aircraft-borne chemical ionization – ion trap
1839 mass spectrometer (CI-ITMS) for fast PAN and PPN measurements. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 4, 173-188. DOI:
1840 10.5194/amt-4-173-2011, 2011.

1841 Rolph, G., Stein, A., and Stunder, B.: Real-time Environmental Applications and Display sYstem: READY.
1842 *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 95, 210-228, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2017.06>, 2017.

1843 Rosenfeld, D., Lohmann, U., Raga, G. B., O'Dowd, C. D., Kulmala, M., Fuzzi, S., Reissell, A., and Andreae, M.
1844 O.: Flood or drought: How do aerosols affect precipitation?, *Science*, 321, 1309-1313, 10.1126/science.1160606,
1845 2008.

1846 Rudolph, J., Czuba, E., and Huang, L.: The stable carbon isotope fractionation for reactions of selected
1847 hydrocarbons with OH-radicals and its relevance for atmos-pheric chemistry, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 29329–
1848 29346, doi:10.1029/2000JD900447, 2000.

1849 Schneider, J., Weimer, S., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Helas, G., Gwaze, P., Schmid, O., Andreae, M. O. and
1850 Kirchner, U.: Massspectrometric analysis and aerodynamic properties of various types of combustion-related
1851 aerosol particles, *Int. J. Mass. Spec.*, 258, 37–49, doi.org/10.1016/j.ijms.2006.07.008, 2006.

1852 Schroder, J. C., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Shah, V., Larson, K., Sommers, J. M., et al: Sources and
1853 secondary production of organic aerosols in the northeastern United States during WINTER. *Journal of*
1854 *Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 123, 7771– 7796. doi.org/10.1029/2018JD028475, 2018.

1855 Schulz, C., Schneider, J., Holanda, B. A., Appel, O., Costa, A., de Sá, S.S., Dreiling, V., Fütterer, D., Jurkat-
1856 Witschas, T., Klimach, T., Knote, C., Krämer, M., Martin, S.T., Mertes, S., Pöhlker, M.L., Sauer, D., Voigt, C.,
1857 Walser, A., Weinzierl, A.B., Ziereis, H., Zöger, M., Andreae, M.O., Artaxo, P., Machado, L-A.T., Pöschl, U.,
1858 Wendisch, M., and S. Borrmann, Aircraft-based observations of isoprene-epoxydiol-derived secondary organic
1859 aerosol (IEPOX-SOA) in the tropical upper troposphere over the Amazon region. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18,
1860 14979–15001, [doi.org/ 10.5194/acp-18-14979-2018](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-14979-2018), 2018.

1861 Schumann, U.: Measurement and model data comparisons for the HALO-FAAM formation flight during
1862 EMeRGe on 17 July 2017, DLR FB 2020-48, doi:10.5281/zenodo.4427965, 2020.

1863 Schwarz, J. P., Gao, R.S., Spackman, J.R., Watts, L.A., Thomson, D.S., Fahey, D.W., Ryerson, T.B., Peischl, J.,
1864 Holloway, J.S., Trainer, M., Frost, G.J., Baynard, T., Lack, D.A., de Gouw, J.A., Warneke, C., and Del Negro,
1865 L.A.: Measurement of the mixing state, mass, and optical size of individual black carbon particles in urban and
1866 biomass burning emissions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L13810, doi:10.1029/ 2008GL033968, 2008.

1867 Shaw, M. D., Lee, J. D., Davison, B., Vaughan, A., Purvis, R. M., Harvey, A., Lewis, A. C., and Hewitt, C. N.:
1868 Airborne determination of the tempo-spatial distribution of benzene, toluene, nitrogen oxides and ozone in the
1869 boundary layer across Greater London, UK, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 5083–5097, doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-5083-
1870 2015, 2015.

1871 Silver, B., Reddington, C.L., Arnold, S.R., and Spracklen: Substantial changes in air pollution across China
1872 during 2015-2017, *Environ. Res. Lett.* 13, 114012, 2018.

1873 Simpson, I. J., Akagi, S. K., Barletta, B., Blake, N. J., Choi, Y., Diskin, G. S., Fried, A., Fuelberg, H. E.,
1874 Meinardi, S., Rowland, F. S., Vay, S. A., Weinheimer, A. J., Wennberg, P. O., Wiebring, P., Wisthaler, A.,
1875 Yang, M., Yokelson, R. J., and Blake, D. R.: Boreal forest fire emissions in fresh Canadian smoke plumes: C1-
1876 C10 volatile organic compounds (VOCs), CO₂, CO, NO₂, NO, HCN and CH₃CN, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11,
1877 6445–6463, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-6445-2011>, 2011.

1878 Speidel, M., Nau, R., Arnold, F., Schlager, H., A. Stohl, Sulfur dioxide measurements in the lower, middle and
1879 upper troposphere: Deployment of an aircraft-based chemical ionization mass spectrometer with permanent in-
1880 flight calibration, *Atmospheric Environment*, 41, 2427-2437, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv. 2006.07.047. 2007.

- 1881 Stein, A.F., Draxler, R.R., Rolph, G.D., Stunder, B.J.B., Cohen, M.D., and Ngan, F.: NOAA's HYSPLIT
1882 atmospheric transport and dispersion modeling system, *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 96, 2059-2077,
1883 doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00110.1, 2015.
- 1884 Stohl, A., Wotawa, G. Seibert, P. and Kromp-Kolb H.: Interpolation errors in wind fields as a function of spatial
1885 and temporal resolution and their impact on different types of kinematic trajectories. *J. Appl. Meteor.* 34, p.
1886 2149-2165, 1995.
- 1887 Stohl, A., Haimberger, L., Scheele, M.P. and Wernli, H.: An intercomparison of results from three trajectory
1888 models. *Meteorol. Applications* 8, 127-135, 1999.
- 1889 Stohl, A., Eckhardt, S., Forster, C., James, P., and Spichtinger, N.: On the pathways and timescales of
1890 intercontinental air pollution transport. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(D23), 4684, doi:10.1029/2001JD001396, 2002.
- 1891 Stohl, A., Forster, C., Eckhardt, S., Spichtinger, N., Huntrieser, H., Heland, J., Schlager, H., Wilhelm, S.,
1892 Arnold, F., and Cooper, O.: A backward modeling study of intercontinental pollution transport using aircraft
1893 measurements. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(D12), 4370, doi:10.1029/2002JD002862, 2003.
- 1894 Tan, Z., Fuchs, H., Lu, K., Hofzumahaus, A., Bohn, B., Broch, S., Dong, H., Gomm, S., Häsel, R., He, L.,
1895 Holland, F., Li, X., Liu, Y., Lu, S., Rohrer, F., Shao, M., Wang, B., Wang, M., Wu, Y., Zeng, L., Zhang, Y.,
1896 Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: Radical chemistry at a rural site (Wangdu) in the North China Plain: observation and
1897 model calculations of OH, HO₂ and RO₂ radicals, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 663–690,
1898 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-663-2017, 2017.
- 1899 Taraborrelli, D., Cabrera-Perez, D., Bacer, S., Gromov, S., Lelieveld, J., Sander, R., and Pozzer, A.: Influence of
1900 aromatics on tropospheric gas-phase composition, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-
1901 2020-461, 2020.
- 1902 Thieuleux, F., Moulin, C., Bréon, F. M. , Maignan, F., Poitou, J. , and Tanré, D.: Remote Sensing of Aerosols
1903 over the oceans using MSG/SEVIRI Imagery, *Ann. Geophys.*, 23, 3561-3568, doi:10.5194/angeo-23-3561-2005,
1904 2005.
- 1905 Titos, G., Ealo, M., Román, R., Cazorla, A., Sola, Y., Dubovik, O., Alastuey, A., Pandolfi, M.: Retrieval of
1906 aerosol properties from ceilometer and photometer measurements: long-term evaluation with in situ data and
1907 statistical analysis at Montsec (southern Pyrenees), *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-3255-
1908 2019.
- 1909 Turco, M. et al., : Exacerbated Fires in Mediterranean Europe Due to Anthropogenic Warming Projected with
1910 Non-Stationary Climate-Fire Models, *Nature Communications* 9, no. 1, 3821, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-
1911 018-06358-z, 2018.
- 1912 United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division World Urbanization
1913 Prospects: The 2018 Revision (ST/ESA/SER.A/420). New York: United Nations, 2019.
- 1914 United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), World Meteorological Organization (WMO), Integrated
1915 Assessment of Black Carbon and Tropospheric Ozone, ISBN:92-807-3141-6, 2011.
- 1916 Viidanoja, J., Reiner, T. and Arnold, F: Laboratory investigations of negative ion molecule reactions of formic
1917 and acetic acids: Implications for atmospheric measurements by Ion Molecule Reaction Mass Spectrometry, *Int.*
1918 *J. Mass Spectrom.*, 181, 31-41, 1998.
- 1919 Volz-Thomas, A., Xueref, I., and Schmitt, R.: Automatic gas chromatograph and calibration system for ambient
1920 measurements of PAN and PPN, *Environ. Sci. Poll. Res.*, 9, 72-76, 2001.
- 1921 von der Weiden-Reinmüller, S.-L., Drewnick, F., Zhang, Q. J., Freutel, F., Beekmann, M., and Borrmann, S.:
1922 Megacity emission plume characteristics in summer and winter investigated by mobile aerosol and trace gas
1923 measurements: the Paris metropolitan area, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 12931–12950, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-
1924 14-12931-2014, 2014.
- 1925 Vrekoussis, M., Wittrock, F., Richter, A., Burrows, J.P: Temporal and spatial variability of glyoxal as observed
1926 from space” *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 4485-4504, 2009.

- 1927 Vrekoussis, M., Richter, A., Hilboll, A., Burrows, J. P., Gerasopoulos, E., Lelieveld, J., Barrie, L., Zerefos, C.,
1928 and Mihalopoulos, N. Economic crisis detected from space: Air quality observations over Athens/Greece,
1929 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40, 458–463, doi:10.1002/grl.50118, 2013.
- 1930 Whalley, L. K., Stone, D., Dunmore, R., Hamilton, J., Hopkins, J. R., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., Williams, P.,
1931 Kleffmann, J., Laufs, S., Woodward-Massey, R., and Heard, D. E.: Understanding in situ ozone production in
1932 the summertime through radical observations and modelling studies during the Clean air for London project
1933 (ClearfLo), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 2547–2571, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-2547-2018>, 2018.
- 1934 Whalley, L. K., Slater, E. J., Woodward-Massey, R., Ye, C., Lee, J. D., Squires, F., Hopkins, J. R., Dunmore, R.
1935 E., Shaw, M., Hamilton, J. F., Lewis, A. C., Mehra, A., Worrall, S. D., Bacak, A., Bannan, T. J., Coe, H.,
1936 Percival, C. J., Ouyang, B., Jones, R. L., Crilley, L. R., Kramer, L. J., Bloss, W. J., Vu, T., Kotthaus, S.,
1937 Grimmond, S., Sun, Y., Xu, W., Yue, S., Ren, L., Acton, W. J. F., Hewitt, C. N., Wang, X., Fu, P., and Heard,
1938 D. E.: Evaluating the sensitivity of radical chemistry and ozone formation to ambient VOCs and NO_x in Beijing,
1939 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 2125–2147, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-2125-2021>, 2021.
- 1940 Warneke, C., van der Veen, C., Luxembourg, S., de Gouw, J.A., Kok A.: Measurements of benzene and toluene
1941 in ambient air using proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry: calibration, humidity dependence, and field
1942 intercomparison, *International Journal of Mass Spectrometry*, Volume 207, Issue 3, doi.org/10.1016/S1387-
1943 3806(01)00366-9, 2001.
- 1944 Warneke, C., McKeen, S. A., de Gouw, J. A., Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C., Holloway, J. S., Williams, E. J.,
1945 Lerner, B. M., Parrish, D. D., Trainer, M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Kato, S., Atlas, E. L., Baker, A., and Blak, D. R.:
1946 Determination of urban volatile organic compound emission ratios and comparison with an emissions database,
1947 *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D10S47, doi:10.1029/2006JD007930, 2007.
- 1948 Warneke, C., Froyd, K. D., Brioude, J., Bahreini, R., Brock, C. A., Cozic, J., de Gouw, J. A., Fahey, D. W.,
1949 Ferrare, R., Holloway, J. S., Middlebrook, A. M., Miller, L., Montzka, S., Schwarz, J. P., Sodemann, H.,
1950 Spackman, J. R., and Stohl, A.: An important contribution to springtime Arctic aerosol from biomass burning in
1951 Russia, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37, L01801, doi:10.1029/2009GL041816, 2010.
- 1952 Wendisch, M., et al.: The ACRIDICON-CHUVA campaign: Studying tropical deep convective clouds and
1953 precipitation over Amazonia using the new German research aircraft HALO, *Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc.*, 97,
1954 1885-1908, doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00255, 2016.
- 1955 Wennberg, P. O., Bates, K. H., Crounse, J. D., Dodson, L. G., McVay, R. C., Mertens, L. A., Nguyen, T. B.,
1956 Praske, E., Schwantes, R. H., Smarte, M. D., St Clair, J. M., Teng, A. P., Zhang, X., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Gas-
1957 Phase Reactions of Isoprene and Its Major Oxidation Products, *Chem. Rev.*, 118, 3337–3390,
1958 doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00439, 2018.
- 1959 Winkler J., Blank, P., Glaser, K., Gomes, J.A.G., Habram, M., Jambert, C., Jaeschke, W.- Konrad, S.,
1960 Kurtenbach, R., Lenschow, P., Lörzer, J.C., Perros, P.E., Pesch, M., Prümke, H.J., Rappenglück, B., Schmitz,
1961 Th., Slemr, F., Volz-Thomas, A., and Wickert, B.: Ground-Based and Airborne Measurements of Nonmethane
1962 Hydrocarbons in BERLIOZ: Analysis and Selected Results, *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry* 42: 465–492,
1963 2002.
- 1964 Wintel, J., Hösen, E., Koppmann, R., Krebsbach, M., Hofzumahaus, A., and Rohrer, F.: Stable carbon isotope
1965 ratios of toluene in the boundary layer and the lower free troposphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 11059-11071,
1966 doi:10.5194/acp-13-11059-2013, 2013.
- 1967 World Health Organization (WHO), Review of evidence on health aspects of air pollution – REVIHAPP Project.
1968 WHO Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen, Denmark, 2013.
- 1969 Zahn, A., Weppner, J., Widmann, H., Schlote-Holubek, K., Burger, B., Kühner, T., Franke, H.: A fast and
1970 precise chemiluminescence ozone detector for eddy flux and airborne application, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 5 (2),
1971 363–375. doi:10.5194/amt-5-363-2012, 2012.
- 1972 Zhang, Y. H., Su, H., Zhong, L. J., Cheng, Y. F., Zeng, L. M., Wang, X. S., Xiang, Y. R., Wang, J. L., Gao, D.
1973 F., Shao, M., Fan, S. J., and Liu, S. C.: Regional ozone pollution and observation-based approach for analyzing

- 1974 ozone–precursor relationship during the PRIDE-PRD2004 campaign, *Atmos. Environ.*, 42, 6203–6218,
 1975 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.05.002>, 2008.
- 1976 Zhu, T., Melamed, M., Parrish, D. Gauss, M., Gallardo Klenner, L., Lawrence, M., Konare, A. and Liousse, C.:
 1977 Impacts of Megacities on air pollution and climate, WMO/ IGAC, GAW Report No.205, 2012.
- 1978 Ziereis, H., Minikin, A., Schlager, H., Gayet, J.F., Auriol, F., Stock, P., Baehr, J., Petzold, A., Schumann, U.,
 1979 Weinheimer, A., Ridley, B., and Ström, J.: Uptake of reactive nitrogen on cirrus cloud particles during INCA,
 1980 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31(5), 2004.
- 1981 Zimnoch, M., Necki, J., Chmura, L., Jasek, A., Jelen, D., Galkowski, M., Kuc, T., Gorczyca, Z., Bartyzel, J., and
 1982 Rozanski, K.: Quantification of carbon dioxide and methane emissions in urban areas: Source apportionment
 1983 based on atmospheric observations, *Mitig Adapt Strateg Glob Change*, 24 (6), 1051–1071. DOI:
 1984 [10.1007/s11027-018-9821-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-018-9821-0), 2019.