

1 Responses of surface ozone to future agricultural ammonia emissions 2 and subsequent nitrogen deposition through terrestrial ecosystem 3 changes

4 Xueying Liu^{1*}, Amos P. K. Tai^{1,2,3}, Ka Ming Fung^{1#}

5 ¹Earth System Science Programme and Graduate Division of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Faculty of Science, The Chinese
6 University of Hong Kong, Sha Tin, Hong Kong SAR, China

7 ²Institute of Environment, Energy and Sustainability, and State Key Laboratory of Agrobiotechnology, The Chinese University
8 of Hong Kong, Sha Tin, Hong Kong SAR, China

9 ³Center for Soybean Research of the State Key Laboratory of Agrobiotechnology, The Chinese University of Hong Kong,
10 Hong Kong SAR, China

11 *Now at: Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Houston, Houston, TX, USA

12 #Now at: Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA,
13 USA

14

15 *Correspondence to:* Amos P. K. Tai (amostai@cuhk.edu.hk)

16 **Abstract.** With the rising food demands from the future world population, more intense agricultural activities are expected to
17 cause substantial perturbations to the global nitrogen cycle, aggravating surface air pollution and imposing stress on terrestrial
18 ecosystems. Much less studied, however, is how the terrestrial ecosystem changes induced by agricultural nitrogen deposition
19 may modify biosphere-atmosphere exchange and further exert secondary feedback effects on global air quality. Here we
20 examined the responses of surface ozone air quality to terrestrial ecosystem changes caused by 2000-to-2050 changes in
21 agricultural ammonia emission and the subsequent nitrogen deposition by asynchronously coupling between the land and
22 atmosphere components within the Community Earth System Model framework. We found that global gross primary
23 production is enhanced by 2.1 Pg C yr⁻¹ following a 20% (20 Tg N yr⁻¹) increase in global nitrogen deposition by the end of
24 year 2050 in response to rising agricultural ammonia emissions. Leaf area index was simulated to be higher by up to 0.3–0.4
25 m² m⁻² over most tropical grasslands and croplands, and 0.1–0.2 m² m⁻² across boreal and temperate forests at midlatitudes.
26 Around 0.1–0.4 m increases in canopy height were found in boreal and temperate forests, and ~0.1 m increases in tropical
27 grasslands and croplands. We found that these vegetation changes could lead to surface ozone changes by ~0.5 ppbv when
28 prescribed meteorology was used (i.e., large-scale meteorological responses to terrestrial changes were not allowed), while
29 surface ozone could typically be modified by 2–3 ppbv when meteorology was dynamically simulated in response to
30 vegetation changes. Rising soil NO_x emission from 7.9 to 8.7 Tg N yr⁻¹ could enhance surface ozone by 2–3 ppbv with both
31 prescribed and dynamic meteorology. We thus conclude that following enhanced nitrogen deposition, the modification of the
32 meteorological environment induced by vegetation changes and soil biogeochemical changes are the more important pathways
33 that can modulate future ozone pollution, representing a novel linkage between agricultural activities and ozone air quality.

34 1 Introduction

35 Increased food production for the ever-growing world population has been enabled by the widespread agricultural expansion
36 and intensification with heavy fertilizer applications, which have correspondingly led to an enhancement in ammonia (NH₃)
37 emission from the land by a factor of two to five since preindustrial times (Behera et al., 2013; Gu et al., 2015; Zhu et al.,
38 2015). For instance, Asia (excluding Siberia), home to more than 60% of the world population (FAOSTAT, 2016), has
39 experienced rapid expansion of agricultural activities (Liu & Tian, 2010; Tian et al., 2014), accounting for ~50% of the global
40 total consumption of synthetic fertilizer and 30–40% of global manure production (FAOSTAT, 2016). Agriculture-related
41 activities are known to be the most significant sources of atmospheric NH₃, of which the vast majority (~60%) originates from


1 the excessive use of nitrogenous fertilizer and concentrated operations of livestock feeding on a global scale (Huang et al.,
2 2012; Paulot et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2018); for Asia the percentage is even higher (80–90%) (Streets et al., 2003; Reis et al.,
3 2009; Gu et al., 2012; Kang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2018). Crops typically take up only about 40–60%
4 of the nitrogen fertilizer applied to croplands (Tilman et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016; Muller et al., 2017), and
5 only 25–35% of the nitrogen fed to dairy cows is converted into milk (Bittman et al., 2009), while most of the remainder is
6 chemically transformed into a variety of simple and complex forms and leaked to the environment. The release of gaseous
7 NH_3 into the atmosphere is one of the major nitrogen leakages from agricultural soils. Under a business-as-usual scenario
8 where future nitrogen use efficiency (NUE; i.e., the fraction of nitrogen input finally harvested as output) in agricultural
9 systems is not expected to be substantially improved, increasing food production will undoubtedly continue to intensify
10 agricultural NH_3 emission into the overlying air (Erisman et al., 2008; Lamarque et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2017).

11
12 Reactive nitrogen, from emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO_x ; $\text{NO}+\text{NO}_2$) and NH_3 , is deposited over land and ocean through a
13 variety of processes collectively known as wet and dry deposition. As combustion-driven NO_x emission is projected to slow
14 down due to regulatory efforts (van Vuuren et al., 2011) while agricultural NH_3 emission will continue to increase (Lamarque
15 et al., 2011), future nitrogen deposition is expected to increase overall in the global budget (Galloway et al., 2004; Paulot et
16 al., 2013; Lamarque et al., 2013; Kanakidou et al., 2016) and shift from a nitrate-dominated to ammonium-dominated condition
17 (Ellis et al., 2013; Paulot et al., 2013; Li et al., 2016). Atmospheric nitrogen deposition onto the land surface is an important
18 source of soil mineral nitrogen and thus enhances plants growth; this is known as the “nitrogen fertilization effect” (Reay et
19 al., 2008; Templer et al., 2012). The fertilization effect depends on the soil “nitrogen limitation” defined as the nitrogen
20 constraint on the productivity of many terrestrial ecosystems (Vitousek et al., 2002; Gruber and Galloway, 2008; LeBauer et
21 al., 2008; Heimann et al., 2008; Reay et al., 2008; Zaehle et al., 2010). Nitrogen limitation is often found in natural soils where
22 severe nitrogen competition among plants and microbes exists, and the unmet plant nitrogen demand can be translated to a
23 reduction in the potential gross primary production (GPP) of the terrestrial ecosystems, representing a direct downregulation
24 of photosynthetic carbon gain.

25
26 Nitrogen deposition affects the terrestrial carbon and nitrogen cycle, but much less is known about how nitrogen deposition
27 affects atmospheric chemistry via terrestrial changes and feedbacks. As nitrogen limitation is relaxed, enhanced carbon
28 assimilation can be translated to changes in the carbon mass allocated to different plant parts, ultimately manifested as an
29 enhancement in vegetation structural variables such as leaf area index (LAI) and canopy height. Meanwhile, nitrogen
30 deposition can also alter soil inorganic nitrogen composition and a variety of abiotic and biotic processes including uptake by
31 plants, nitrification, denitrification, immobilization by microbes, and fixation in clay minerals. Soil NO_x is produced as a by-
32 product of nitrification and denitrification, two microbial processes that first convert NH_3 aerobically to nitrate (NO_3^-) and
33 then NO_3^- to nitrous oxide (N_2O) or nitrogen gas (N_2) under anoxic conditions. As LAI, canopy height and soil NO_x are known
34 to affect surface air quality, nitrogen deposition can potentially affect atmospheric chemistry through affecting vegetation
35 structure and ecophysiology, as well as soil biogeochemistry.

36
37 Nitrogen-mediated changes in vegetation and soil can affect surface ozone air quality via various pathways (Fig. 1). Among
38 them, “biogeochemical” effects are processes mediated via direct exchange (i.e., emissions or deposition) of relevant chemical
39 species between the terrestrial biosphere (vegetation and soil microbes) and the atmosphere, while “biogeophysical” or
40 “meteorological” effects are mediated through a modification of the overlying meteorological environment (i.e., temperature,
41 humidity, turbulence structure, etc.), as defined in Sadiq et al. (2017), Zhou et al. (2018) and Wang et al. (2020). One possible
42 biogeochemical pathway is that LAI enhancement could elevate surface ozone by increasing biogenic volatile organic
43 compound (VOC) emissions in high- NO_x environments, but could also reduce ozone by increasing dry-depositional uptake

1 via leaf stomata (Zhao et al., 2017). Another possible biogeochemical effect is via the increase in canopy height, which further
 2 enhances surface roughness length, turbulent mixing and thus higher aerodynamic conductance for land-atmosphere exchange
 3 including ozone dry deposition (Bonan, 2016; Oleson et al. 2013). Another possible biogeochemical effect is that increased
 4 inorganic nitrogen availability facilitates soil NO_x emission through nitrification and denitrification processes, which further
 5 causes rapid NO and NO₂ cycling for ozone formation. Biogeophysical effects or meteorological effects are through
 6 vegetation-induced changes in the surface energy balance (e.g., absorbed solar radiation, sensible and latent heat fluxes) and
 7 subsequent changes in surface temperature, precipitation, humidity, circulation patterns, moisture convergence (Wang et al.,
 8 2020). Higher temperature enhances ozone mainly through increased biogenic emissions and higher abundance of NO_x, while
 9 lower humidity reduces the chemical loss rate of ozone (Jacob and Winner, 2009; Fiore et al., 2012). Surface ozone changes
 10 via each individual process are heterogeneous over the globe, and the overall ozone response through various biogeochemical
 11 and biogeophysical pathways is highly complex (Zhao et al., 2017).



12
 13 **Figure 1.** “Biogeochemical” and “biogeophysical” pathways of nitrogen deposition affecting surface ozone concentration.
 14 Biogeochemical pathways via canopy height (yellow-colored), leaf area index (LAI; green-colored), and soil NO_x (blue-
 15 colored), as well as some of the biogeophysical pathways relevant for this study (red-colored) are shown. The sign associated
 16 with each arrow indicates the correlation between the two variables; the sign of the overall effect (positive or negative) of a
 17 given pathway is the product of all the signs along the pathway. “Biogeochemical” pathways affect gas exchange (i.e. biogenic
 18 VOC emission and ozone deposition) through plant stomata or microbe-mediated soil processes. “Biogeophysical” or
 19 “meteorological” pathways are mediated through a modification of the local and nonlocal overlying meteorological
 20 environment above the surface layer.

21
 22 Here we present a study that investigates how agriculture-induced increases in NH₃ emission and subsequent nitrogen
 23 deposition could affect surface ozone air quality via terrestrial ecosystem changes in terms of LAI, canopy height and soil NO_x
 24 emission. We used an asynchronously coupled modeling framework based on the atmosphere (CAM-Chem) and land (CLM)
 25 components of the Community Earth System Model (CESM) to quantify the corresponding responses of surface ozone air
 26 quality to terrestrial changes. We first examined the responses of vegetation and soil variables to the present-day vs. future
 27 scenarios of nitrogen deposition and then use those terrestrial changes to drive factorial simulations for surface ozone. To
 28 evaluate the relative importance of LAI, canopy height and soil NO_x emission, we evaluated ozone responses to the three
 29 individual effects and the overall combined effects using prescribed meteorology (i.e., large-scale meteorological responses to
 30 terrestrial changes are not allowed). Furthermore, we evaluated the effects of changing meteorology to surface ozone by
 31 conducting simulations using dynamic meteorology (i.e., where overlying boundary-layer meteorology and large-scale
 32 circulation also responds to terrestrial changes). Model configuration with dynamic meteorology represents the overall effects

1 from regional terrestrial changes and associated meteorological changes (an integration over both biogeochemical and
2 biogeophysical effects to surface ozone), whereas the setting with prescribed meteorology provides limited above-surface layer
3 meteorological changes directly caused by terrestrial changes and represents the biogeochemical effects only. Our study
4 emphasizes the complexity of biosphere-atmosphere interactions and their indirect modulating effects on air quality and
5 atmospheric chemistry, which are important for evaluating the impacts from future food production trends on air quality and
6 health beyond the direct effects of agricultural emissions alone.

7

8 **2 Model and Method**

9 **2.1 Model description**

10 We used the Community Earth System Model (CESM), which includes atmospheric, land, ocean and sea ice model
11 components. We employed CESM version 1.2.2 with fully interactive atmosphere and land components, but with prescribed
12 ocean and sea ice consistent. For the atmosphere component, we used the Community Atmosphere Model version 4 (CAM4)
13 (Neale et al., 2010) fully coupled with an atmospheric chemistry scheme (i.e., CAM-Chem) that contains full tropospheric O₃-
14 NO_x-CO-VOC-aerosol chemistry based on the MOZART-4 mechanism (Emmons et al., 2010; Lamarque et al., 2012).
15 Emissions are from the combined emission inventories of the Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research
16 (EDGAR), Regional Emission inventory in ASia (REAS) and Global Fire Emissions Database (GFED2) and others. CAM-
17 Chem provides the flexibility of performing climate simulations online (i.e., “dynamic meteorology”) and simulations with
18 specified meteorological fields (i.e., “prescribed meteorology”). For simulations with dynamic meteorology, it was driven by
19 the Climatic Research Unit – National Centers for Environmental Prediction (CRU-NCEP) climate forcing dataset. For
20 simulations with prescribed meteorology, year-2000 and 2001 horizontal wind components, air temperature, surface
21 temperature, surface pressure, sensible and latent heat flux and wind stress of the Goddard Earth Observing System Model
22 version 5 (GEOS-5) forcing data at six hour interval were used (see Table 1). This version of CAM-Chem simulates the
23 concentrations of 56 atmospheric chemical species at a horizontal latitude-by-longitude resolution of 1.9°×2.5° and a vertical
24 resolution of 26 layers for dynamic meteorology and 52 layers for prescribed meteorology.

25

26 For the land component, we used the Community Land Model version 4.5 (CLM4.5) (Oleson et al., 2013) with Satellite
27 Phenology (CLM45SP) mode where vegetation structures are prescribed (e.g., using satellite-derived LAI data), or with active
28 carbon–nitrogen biogeochemistry (CLM45BGC) that contains prognostic treatment of terrestrial carbon and nitrogen cycles
29 (Lawrence et al., 2011), depending on the cases of concern. In CLM4.5, the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from
30 Nature (MEGAN) version 2.1 was used to compute biogenic emissions online as functions of LAI, vegetation temperature,
31 solar radiation, soil moisture and other environmental conditions (Guenther et al., 2012). For dry deposition of gases and
32 aerosols we used the resistance-in-series scheme in CLM4.5 as described in Lamarque et al. (2012) with updated, optimized
33 coupling of stomatal resistance to LAI (Val Martin et al., 2014). Soil NO_x emission was implemented by Fung et al. (2021) as
34 a function of N₂O emission, soil air-filled pore space and volumetric soil water content during nitrification and denitrification
35 (See Supplementary for details). We also applied a temperature factor to correct the soil NO_x overestimation at high latitudes
36 as previous studies (Zhao et al., 2017). Evapotranspiration rate was calculated based on the Monin-Obukhov similarity theory
37 for turbulent exchange and the diffusive flux-resistance model with dependence on vegetation, ground and surface temperature,
38 specific humidity, and an ensemble of resistances that are functions of meteorological and land surface conditions (Oleson et
39 al., 2013; Lawrence et al., 2011; Bonan et al., 2011).

1 2.2 Asynchronously coupled atmosphere chemistry-biosphere modeling framework

2 An asynchronously coupled system with CAM-Chem and CLM was adopted to investigate the vegetation structural changes
3 induced by nitrogen deposition and their potential to modulate surface ozone under both dynamic and prescribed meteorology.
4 Asynchronous instead of synchronous coupling was used because currently CESM does not have the capacity to allow “online”
5 bidirectional exchange of reactive nitrogen fluxes between the atmosphere and land components; it also conveniently facilitates
6 sensitivity experiments to be conducted to isolate individual drivers of changes and processes. First, present-day and future
7 scenarios of nitrogen deposition are obtained by CAM-Chem simulations with the corresponding NH₃ emission of year 2000
8 and 2050. Year-2000 NH₃ emission was from the prescribed emission inventory inherent in CAM-Chem (see Sect. 2.1), which
9 includes anthropogenic, ocean, soil and biomass burning sources. We split the year-2000 anthropogenic NH₃ emission into
10 agricultural and non-agricultural parts by using the corresponding ratios based on the Magnitude And Seasonality of
11 Agricultural Emissions model for NH₃ (MASAGE_NH3) (Paulot et al., 2014). We kept natural and non-agricultural emissions
12 the same in both the year-2000 and year-2050 scenarios, and only scaled the year-2000 agricultural NH₃ by a growth factor g
13 (Fig. 2c)

$$14 \quad g = \frac{\text{crop production in 2050}}{\text{crop production in 2000}} \quad \text{Eq.1}$$

15 based on crop production estimates from Alexandratos and Bruinsma (2012) accounting for technology-driven yield
16 improvements and cropland area changes, as in Tai et al. (2014; 2017). We generated the growth factors for major crops (Fig.
17 S1) and obtained an average growth factor from these crop-specific production growths. Such a linear scaling assumes
18 nitrogen-use efficiency (NUE) of fertilization applications to remain the same in the future. In practice NUE is expected to
19 rise with technological advancements, the extent of which is however highly uncertain and region-specific; we therefore
20 regarded our linear scaling as a representation of the “worst-case” scenario where fertilizer nitrogen use remains as inefficient
21 as it is today. For each scenario of the sensitivity experiments, CAM-Chem simulations were conducted for 20 simulation
22 years. Throughout the CAM-Chem component was still coupled online with CLM45SP with prescribed vegetation structures,
23 which computed land-atmosphere fluxes for CAM-Chem to simulate atmospheric dynamics and chemistry. Both simulations
24 were performed with prescribed sea surface temperature and sea-ice cover following the HadISST dataset (Rayner et al., 2003)
25 at the year-2000 level. Long-lived greenhouse gases and their radiative forcing were kept at year-2000 level to exclude the
26 effects of increasing temperature on NH₃ emissions. The first five years of outputs were treated as spin-up and thus discarded
27 in the analysis, and we calculated the annual averages of the last 15 years to obtain the corresponding nitrogen deposition
28 fluxes for the year-2000 and year-2050 scenarios.

29
30 The CLM45BGC mode was used to investigate vegetation and soil changes in response to perturbations in the nitrogen input
31 to the land. We first obtained steady-state vegetation and soil variables including LAI, canopy height and soil NO_x emission
32 following present-day nitrogen deposition (obtained above CAM-Chem) for 200 years in CLM. The first 150 years of outputs
33 were treated as spin-up, while the last 50-year average was used to represent the vegetation and soil conditions in a steady
34 state. We used the year-2000 steady state as initial conditions for the following perturbation experiments. We then perturbed
35 the present-day steady state with future nitrogen deposition fluxes following the year-2050 agricultural emission scenario,
36 allowing the vegetation and soil variables to come into a “new” steady state, which took 10–20 simulations years. After that,
37 the simulation was conducted for another 50 years, which were considered to be year-2050 steady state and then averaged to
38 determine the differences in LAI, canopy height and soil NO_x emission from the 50-year present-day averages.

39
40 Last, we investigated the individual and combined impacts of the above changes in the three terrestrial pathways (i.e., via LAI,
41 canopy height and soil NO_x emission) on surface ozone air quality, with both prescribed meteorology (i.e., large-scale
42 meteorological responses to terrestrial changes are not allowed) and dynamic meteorology (i.e., overlying boundary-layer

1 meteorology and large-scale circulation also responds to terrestrial changes). Terrestrial changes with prescribed meteorology
 2 included only biogeochemical pathways, while terrestrial changes with dynamic meteorology included the combined effects
 3 of biogeochemical and biogeophysical processes as well as larger meteorological and circulation pattern changes. Therefore,
 4 we were able to examine the effects from land-atmosphere feedbacks with dynamic meteorology, while prescribed
 5 meteorology provided limited atmospheric changes directly caused by terrestrial changes without much land-atmosphere
 6 feedbacks. To evaluate the relative importance of individual pathways to the overall effects, we conducted four sets of fully
 7 coupled land-atmosphere simulations: (1) a control case without any nitrogen-mediated changes in LAI, canopy height and
 8 soil NO_x emission ([CTR]); (2) a simulation with LAI change only ([LAI]); (3) a simulation with canopy height change only
 9 ([HTOP]); (4) a simulation with soil NO_x emission change only ([NOX]); (5) a simulation with all changes in LAI, canopy
 10 height and soil NO_x emission ([ALL]). Simulation [LAI], [HTOP] and [NOX] in relation to [CTR] allowed us to quantify the
 11 relative contribution from LAI, canopy height and soil NO_x emission respectively, while simulation [ALL] reflected the overall
 12 ozone changes due to three combined effects. The experiments were summarized in Table 1. We conducted the same set of
 13 simulations with both dynamic and prescribed meteorology to examine how meteorological responses to these terrestrial
 14 changes would modify the importance of these pathways (Table 2). We focused on average changes in the last 15-year northern
 15 summer (June, July and August: JJA) for most of the variables in the rest of this paper, since summer was both the high-ozone
 16 season and the growing season of the majority of global vegetation, when ozone-vegetation coupling appeared to be the
 17 strongest and significant.

18
 19 **Table 1.** Meteorological inputs for simulations with dynamic and prescribed meteorology.


	Dynamic	Prescribed
Meteorology	Simulated within CAM	GEOS-5 reanalysis data
Terrestrial changes	[CTR], [LAI], [HTOP], [NOX], [ALL]	[CTR], [LAI], [HTOP], [NOX], [ALL]

20
 21 **Table 2.** Experimental design to quantify surface ozone responses to terrestrial changes including leaf area index (LAI), canopy
 22 height, and soil NO_x emission.

	[CTR]	[LAI]	[HTOP]	[NOX]	[ALL]
LAI	Year 2000	Year 2050	Year 2000	Year 2000	Year 2050
Canopy height	Year 2000	Year 2000	Year 2050	Year 2000	Year 2050
Soil NO _x	Year 2000	Year 2000	Year 2000	Year 2050	Year 2050

23
 24 **3 Year-2000 vs. year-2050 NH₃ emissions and nitrogen deposition**


25 We first show the year-2000 emissions of reactive nitrogen as NO_x (48 Tg N yr⁻¹, Fig. 2a) and NH₃ (53 Tg N yr⁻¹, Fig. 2b),
 26 with a global budget of 101 Tg N yr⁻¹, in good agreement with Ciais et al. (2013). NO_x is densely emitted from industrial and
 27 populated regions, while hotspots for NH₃ emission are India and eastern China with intensive agricultural activities and
 28 inefficient fertilizer use. Global year-2050 NH₃ emission is projected to reach 67, 57, 65 and 71 Tg N yr⁻¹ in Representative
 29 Concentration Pathway (RCP) RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0 and RCP8.5 respectively, mainly due to rising agricultural production
 30 (RCP database version 2.0.5). Yet RCP projections did not include a sufficient representation of the spatial patterns of
 31 agricultural NH₃ emissions worldwide and especially in Asia, the world's most productive croplands (RCP database version
 32 2.0.5). To capture 2000-to-2050 agricultural intensification, we therefore estimated future NH₃ emission based on FAO 2000-
 33 to-2050 crop production changes.



1
 2 **Figure 2.** Global year-2000 emissions of (a) NO_x and (b) NH₃, (c) growth factor g of crop production increase over 2000–
 3 2050 from the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), and (d) projected increases in NH₃ emission
 4 over 2000–2050.

5
 6 FAO projects global year-2050 crop production to be higher than year-2000 level due to changes in yield, crop intensity (i.e.,
 7 multiple cropping, shortening of fallow periods), and arable land (Alexandratos and Bruinsma, 2012). The major increases
 8 occur in South America and Central Africa due to yield increases and arable land expansion. Production growth factor g in
 9 Fig. 2c can go up to 2–3 for South America and 3–5 for Central Africa, while it is 1.5–2 for some of the world’s most productive
 10 croplands at northern midlatitudes, suggesting that the Southern Hemisphere will be playing an increasingly important role in
 11 producing food for the future global population. By scaling up year-2000 NH₃ emission by growth factor in FAO crop
 12 production, we estimated that year-2050 NH₃ budget to be 71 Tg N yr⁻¹, a 34% increase (18 Tg N yr⁻¹) compared to year-2000
 13 emission, with major increases over East China, India, Midwestern United States, Brazil, Argentina and East Africa (Fig. 2d).
 14 This estimate is comparable to the RCP8.5 estimate of 71 Tg N yr⁻¹ as both studies assumed a business-as-usual scenario
 15 where future NUE in agroecosystems is not expected to be improved much. We fed both year-2000 and year-2050 NH₃
 16 emissions into the CESM model to simulate the corresponding nitrogen deposition. Global budget of both reduced (NH_x) and
 17 oxidized (NO_y) nitrogen deposition is 101 Tg N yr⁻¹ in year 2000 (Fig. 3a), which almost balances out the emission totals of
 18 both NH₃ and NO_x. Nitrogen deposition in 2050 is 121 Tg N yr⁻¹, a 20% (20 Tg N yr⁻¹) increase from the year-2000 total (Fig.
 19 3b). Increases in 2000-to-2050 nitrogen deposition mostly result from increased NH_x deposition, since we fixed the NO_x
 20 emission at year-2000 level to isolate the deposition changes due to agricultural intensification alone. These increased nitrogen
 21 deposition serves as an important input of mineral nitrogen from the atmosphere to the biosphere.

22



1
 2 **Figure 3.** (a) Year-2000 atmospheric nitrogen deposition and (b) absolute changes in nitrogen deposition over 2000–2050. (c)
 3 Year-2000 gross primary production (GPP) percentage reduction due to nitrogen limitation as presented in the CLM model.
 4 In nitrogen-limited soils (i.e., colored areas), plant growth is limited by insufficient soil nitrogen supply due to plant-microbe
 5 competition. (d) Absolute changes in nitrogen limitation-induced GPP reductions because of enhanced nitrogen availability
 6 from atmospheric nitrogen deposition over 2000–2050. Relative changes over 2000–2050 can be found in supplementary
 7 Figure S2.


8 **4 Responses of terrestrial ecosystems to nitrogen deposition**

9 We present in this section the fertilization effect of year-2050 nitrogen deposition and associated enhancements in vegetation
 10 structure (i.e., LAI and canopy height) and soil NO_x emission compared with those of year-2000 nitrogen deposition. Nitrogen
 11 uptake from the soil is an important determinant of plant growth, as nitrogen is a major component of chlorophyll (i.e.,
 12 pigments absorbing light energy for photosynthesis) and Rubisco (i.e., enzyme necessary for carbon fixation). Meanwhile,
 13 mineral nitrogen availability is also vital for nitrification and denitrification microbial processes where NO_x is produced as a
 14 by-product. In CLM, the plant nitrogen demand for new growth is calculated by the carbon available for allocation to new
 15 growth allocation, given the C:N stoichiometry of a given plant type and plant part. From the soil side, soil mineral nitrogen
 16 supply is calculated by adding various nitrogen sources (e.g., atmospheric nitrogen deposition, fertilizer, biological nitrogen
 17 fixation) and subtracting nitrogen sinks (e.g., leaching, assimilation by heterotrophs). When the plant nitrogen demand is
 18 greater than the soil nitrogen supply, the plants are not able to take up enough nitrogen to support the carbon allocation for
 19 new growth, which would then be reduced (“downregulated”) by a percentage in the model, which we refer as soil “nitrogen
 20 limitation” on plant growth here. When the soil is “nitrogen-limited”, the plants are not able to take up enough nitrogen for
 21 maximum photosynthesis and unmet plant nitrogen demand is translated back to a carbon supply surplus which is eliminated
 22 through reduction of GPP in the CLM model. Figure 3c shows the year-2000 GPP percentage reductions due to nitrogen
 23 limitation. Most of the nitrogen-limited soils are found over the boreal forests because of slow soil decomposition and turnover
 24 with litter of high C:N content and cold climate. Savannas and grasslands in the tropics are also mildly nitrogen-limited because
 25 of low foliar nitrogen concentrations and plant density. Figure 3d shows the differences of GPP reductions, i.e., year-2050

1 GPP reductions minus year-2000 GPP reductions. We found smaller GPP reductions induced by nitrogen limitation in 2050
2 than 2000, reflecting higher plant productivity and growth over 2000–2050. However, this nitrogen fertilization effect is found
3 only over nitrogen-limited regions, but not over nitrogen-abundant regions such as India and northern China where the critical
4 nitrogen loads are almost always exceeded (Zhao et al., 2017) despite of substantial increases of nitrogen deposition over
5 2000–2050.

6
7 Due to nitrogen fertilization, GPP, LAI, canopy height and soil NO_x emission over nitrogen-limited regions are generally
8 higher with year-2050 nitrogen deposition (Fig. 4). Specifically, we found that year-2050 nitrogen deposition to the land
9 enhances global GPP by 2.1 Pg C yr⁻¹ (Fig. 4e), and the enhanced carbon assimilation can be translated into changes in the
10 carbon mass allocated to different plant parts such as leaves, stems and roots. The two vegetation structural proxies in the
11 CLM model, LAI and canopy height, which characterize the carbon allocation to plant tissues leaf and stem, respectively. LAI
12 was simulated to be higher by up to 0.3–0.4 m² m⁻² over tropical grasslands and croplands in Brazil, savannas in Sub-Saharan
13 Africa, and 0.1–0.2 m² m⁻² across boreal and temperate forests at midlatitudes (Fig. 4f). Canopy heights from broadleaf
14 deciduous trees and needleleaf evergreen trees were simulated to be higher by up to 0.1–0.3 m over the eastern US, southern
15 Europe, southern Russia and southeastern China, and increases of 0.3–0.4 m were found over broadleaf deciduous trees in
16 South America, and ~0.1 m increases were found for grasses and crops over Sub-Saharan Africa (Fig. 4g). Meanwhile, global
17 soil NO_x emission budget rises from 7.9 Tg N yr⁻¹ to 8.7 Tg N yr⁻¹ (Fig. 4h) due to faster and greater nitrification and
18 denitrification processes under year-2050 atmospheric nitrogen deposition.

19



20

21 **Figure 4.** Annual mean of year-2000 (a) gross primary production (GPP), (b) leaf area index (LAI), (c) canopy height, (d) soil
22 NO_x emission, and corresponding increases (e-h) due to increased nitrogen deposition over 2000–2050.


23 5 Impacts of terrestrial changes on surface ozone air quality

24 5.1 Surface ozone changes with prescribed meteorology

25 We first examined the responses of surface ozone air quality to changes in LAI, canopy height and soil NO_x separately, as well
26 as the combined effects of all, with prescribed meteorology (i.e., large-scale meteorological responses to these terrestrial
27 changes are not accounted for in the ozone changes). With prescribed meteorology, the responses of ozone are seen mostly
28 where the changes in vegetation cover or soil emission take place. Figure 5d shows that LAI modulates surface ozone
29 biogeochemically (i.e., without perturbing the overlying meteorology) by ±0.5 ppbv depending on the counteracting effects
30 from enhanced biogenic VOC emission (Fig. 5e) and surface conductance for ozone deposition (Fig. 5d). We estimated a 3.0
31 Tg yr⁻¹ increase in global biogenic isoprene emission (Fig. 5e), a key source of reduced atmospheric hydrocarbons that are the
32 chief precursors of tropospheric ozone. Yet, rises in dry deposition velocity (Fig. 5f) reduce ozone concentration. The
33 sensitivity of isoprene emission to LAI is higher than that of dry deposition, rendering the effects of isoprene emission

1 dominant in northern midlatitude regions with low LAI to begin with (Wong et al., 2018). As shown in Fig. 5g, increased
 2 canopy height decreases ozone by 0.2 ppbv through stronger aerodynamic conductance and thus stronger turbulent exchange
 3 and dry deposition within the surface layer (without the corresponding changes in the overlying boundary-layer meteorology,
 4 however, due to prescribed meteorology). Ozone dry deposition velocity increases by 0.002–0.004 cm s^{-1} , with increased
 5 canopy height in central Africa and the northern US. Figure 5j shows that surface ozone is elevated biogeochemically by 1–3
 6 ppbv in certain low- NO_x equatorial regions due to increased soil NO_x emission. Overall ozone changes with prescribed
 7 meteorology (Fig. 5m) are mostly local and can be explained predominately (80–90%) by biogeochemical effects from soil
 8 NO_x emission.

9




10

11 **Figure 5.** Year-2000 summertime (June-July-August; JJA) level of (a) surface ozone concentration, (b) biogenic isoprene
 12 emission, (c) ozone dry deposition, and their corresponding changes due to nitrogen-mediated increases in LAI only (d, e, f),
 13 canopy height only (g, h, i) and soil NO_x emission only (j, k, l), and the combination increases of all (m, n, o) with prescribed
 14 meteorology.

15 5.2 Surface ozone changes with dynamic meteorology

16 To evaluate the relative importance of regional terrestrial changes vs. terrestrial changes with meteorological changes in
 17 regulating surface ozone concentration, we also conducted simulations with dynamic meteorology (i.e., overlying boundary-
 18 layer meteorology and large-scale circulation could respond to terrestrial changes). The ozone changes with dynamic
 19 meteorology are the combined results from regional terrestrial changes and associated meteorological changes, an integration
 20 over both biogeochemical and biogeophysical effects. Figure 6 shows that the changes in summertime surface ozone are within


1 $\pm 2-3$ ppbv with dynamic meteorology. Overall ozone change with dynamic meteorology (Fig. 6m) are the combined results
 2 from the integrated effects of vegetation changes (Fig. 6d, g) as well as biogeochemical effects of soil NO_x changes (Fig. 6j).
 3
 4 Ozone changes in response to vegetation changes with dynamic meteorology (Fig. 6d, g) are much higher than those with
 5 prescribed meteorology (Fig. 5d, g) as vegetation changes could modify boundary-layer meteorology, shift circulation patterns
 6 and moisture flows, and thus shape ozone concentrations. In contrast to the clear, localized signals in ozone changes through
 7 the biogeochemical pathways, both local and remote surface ozone changes are found when biogeophysical pathways are
 8 involved (Wang et al., 2020). For example, changes in biogenic VOC emission with dynamic meteorology correlate with air
 9 temperature changes (Fig. S3, S4) apart from local vegetation changes. Changes in dry deposition also correlate to
 10 meteorological changes; stomatal resistance can respond to atmospheric dryness and soil water stress (Fig. S3, S4). Ozone
 11 changes in response to soil NO_x changes with dynamic meteorology (Fig. 6j) are within the same magnitude as those with
 12 prescribed meteorology (Fig. 5j), as soil NO_x emissions only change photochemical production of surface ozone, but do not
 13 affect biogenic VOC emission and ozone dry deposition directly (Fig. 5 k, l) or via meteorological changes indirectly (Fig. 6 k,
 14 l).
 15



16
 17 **Figure 6.** Same as Fig. 5 but with dynamic meteorology.
 18


19 The greatest vegetation enhancements in response to future nitrogen deposition in this study are found over tropical savannas
 20 and grasslands, which are less capable of affecting local and pan-regional climate than forests, and our forest structural changes
 21 are only mild. Therefore, here we choose the US, which shows obvious ozone enhancement following vegetation changes, as
 22 an example to illustrate the biogeophysical effects further. Figure 7 shows that in the forest regions in the eastern US where

1 LAI and canopy height changes are relatively large following higher nitrogen deposition, albedo decreases, absorbed radiation
 2 increases, latent heat flux increases, and such changes appear to have shifted the surface energy balance and circulation patterns
 3 in a way that enhances moisture convergence, precipitation and soil moisture in the originally wetter places (i.e., the forested
 4 eastern US), but reduces the moisture convergence in the originally drier places (i.e., the grassland regions in the central US).
 5 This constitutes a feedback loop in these grassland regions that reduces transpiration, increases temperature, increases aridity
 6 and thus the plant stomata close more, all leading to the relatively large enhancements in surface ozone there. Our mild
 7 vegetation changes only have modest local impacts in places with dense vegetation to begin with (e.g., the eastern US). We
 8 found that vegetation changes shift the circulation patterns and moisture convergence such that it is the adjacent places that
 9 are the most affected, which was also found by Wang et al. (2020), who found obvious temperature increases in the central
 10 US after reforestation in the eastern US under RCP4.5 land use and land cover change. High temperature and reduced stomatal
 11 conductance in the central US further cause reduced ozone deposition (Fig. 6f), while increased temperature and LAI in the
 12 eastern US enhances biogenic emissions, both of which increase surface ozone in the central-eastern US (Fig. 6d).
 13



14
 15 **Figure 7.** Summertime changes in (a) albedo, (b) ground evaporation, (c) cloud cover, (d) precipitation, (e) absorbed solar
 16 radiation, (f) surface temperature, (g) relative humidity, (h) vegetation transpiration, (i) stomatal resistance, (j) soil moisture,
 17 (k) latent heat flux, and (l) sensible heat flux driven by LAI increase with dynamic meteorology.

18



1
2 **Figure 8.** Same as Fig.7 but driven by canopy height increase.

3
4 Changes in canopy height show similar trends in modulating meteorological conditions (Fig. 8). The effects of meteorological
5 variations induced by vegetation changes can be as important as or even more important than the direct biogeochemical effects
6 of vegetation structural changes per se in terms of modulating surface ozone, and are of similar magnitude to the
7 biogeochemical effects of soil NO_x changes. We note specifically that temperature changes resulted from vegetation-
8 meteorology coupling are more important than LAI changes per se in regulating biogenic isoprene emission, especially in
9 regions where obvious warming or cooling occurs. It is noteworthy that unlike with prescribed meteorology, individual effects
10 may not add up linearly with dynamic meteorology for a given location due to the complex and far-reaching changes in
11 atmospheric circulation and the associated cascade of local and nonlocal changes in climate that are dynamically simulated
12 following terrestrial changes.

13
14 **6 Conclusions**

15 With the rising food need for the future world population, more intense agricultural activities are expected to cause substantial
16 perturbations to the global nitrogen cycle, aggravating surface air pollution and imposing stress on terrestrial ecosystems.
17 Much less studied, however, is how the ecosystem changes induced by agricultural nitrogen deposition may modify biosphere-
18 atmosphere exchange and further exert secondary effects on global air quality. In this paper we present a study to quantify the
19 response of surface ozone air quality to vegetation structural (LAI and canopy height) and soil NO_x emission changes under
20 year-2000 vs. year-2050 agricultural ammonia emissions over centennial timescales by using an asynchronously coupled
21 framework.

1 Agricultural ammonia emission in the coming decades is destined to increase. We estimated year-2050 NH₃ emission to be 71
2 Tg N yr⁻¹, a 34% increase compared to year-2000 emission. Our estimate is comparable to 71 Tg N yr⁻¹ made by RCP8.5 as
3 both studies assumed a business-as-usual scenario where future NUE in agroecosystems is not expected to be improved much.
4 However, it should be acknowledged that increases in food production may also be obtained with a less-than-proportionate
5 increase in fertilizer use as countries are developing greater awareness of agriculture-related environmental impacts, and
6 adopting more efficient nutrient use practices in the coming decades. Gu et al. (2015) reported that reasonable changes in diet,
7 NUE, and N recycling could reduce year-2050 N losses and anthropogenic reactive nitrogen creation to 52% and 64% of 2010
8 levels, respectively, in China. Fung et al. (2019) showed that the maize-soybean intercropping improves NUE by easing
9 fertilizer application and NH₃ volatilization in agricultural soils in China. Therefore, we acknowledge that the future paths of
10 agricultural NH₃ emission and nitrogen deposition may differ from what we projected as a worst-case scenario in this study,
11 but we do not expect the nature of the mechanisms and conclusions in this study to be altered significantly.

12
13 Atmospheric nitrogen deposition increases carbon uptake by terrestrial biosphere in nitrogen-limited areas, and also stimulates
14 release of NO_x, nitrous oxide (N₂O) and NH₃ from soils (Reis et al., 2009; Zaehle et al., 2011). We found that nitrogen
15 deposition increases by 20% from year 2000 to 2050 due to rising agricultural NH₃ emission, and this enhances global GPP
16 by 2.1 Pg C yr⁻¹. LAI was simulated to be higher by up to 0.3–0.4 m² m⁻² in tropical grasslands and croplands, and 0.1–0.2
17 m² m⁻² in midlatitude boreal and temperate forests. Canopy height increases were found in boreal and temperate forests (by
18 0.1–0.4 m), as well as in tropical grasslands and croplands (by ~0.1 m). Soil NO_x emission budget rises to 8.7 Tg N yr⁻¹ with
19 year-2050 nitrogen deposition because of intensive nitrification and denitrification processes. Due to decreasing trends of
20 anthropogenic NO_x emission throughout this century (IPCC, 2013), soil NO_x is expected to play an increasingly important role
21 in global NO_x budget. Therefore, the inclusion of effects of soil NO_x emission to surface ozone is essential. These estimates
22 are based on carbon and nitrogen interactions in CLM4.5 biogeochemistry (CLM4.5-BGC), which are widely used in
23 estimating long-term trajectory of terrestrial variations (Lombardozzi et al., 2012; Val Martin et al., 2014; Sadiq et al., 2017;
24 Zhou et al., 2018). However, the internal soil nitrogen cycle, its coupling with the atmosphere and reactive nitrogen gas
25 emissions other than N₂O are not fully represented in default CLM4.5-BGC. The soil NO_x emission module that we added,
26 which allows soil NO_x to respond to nitrogen deposition from the atmosphere, partly improved the representation (Fung et al.,
27 2021), but the NH₃ emission we used was still based on inventories and scaling with future crop production and thus did not
28 respond to nitrogen deposition. We expect, however, that the secondary effect of nitrogen deposition on NH₃ should be much
29 smaller than any perturbations due to agricultural changes (Fung et al., 2021). Moreover, fully coupled bidirectional nitrogen
30 fluxes were not enabled in our model setting. Future work is needed to examine the overall downstream biogeochemical and
31 biogeophysical effects in an Earth system model with a closed nitrogen cycle where soil NO_x and NH₃ emissions to the
32 atmosphere and nitrogen deposition from the atmosphere are fully coupled dynamically.

33
34 With only the biogeochemical effects of nitrogen-induced terrestrial changes (with prescribed meteorology where
35 meteorological changes are not included), surface ozone is elevated by 1–3 ppbv in certain low-NO_x equatorial regions due to
36 increased soil NO_x emission, while LAI and canopy height only modulate surface ozone by ±0.5 and 0.2 ppbv, respectively.
37 With both the biogeochemical and biogeophysical effects under dynamic meteorology, changes in summertime surface ozone
38 are within ±2–3 ppbv. Ozone responses due to vegetation changes are much higher with dynamic meteorology than prescribed
39 meteorology, as vegetation changes shift surface energy balance, circulation patterns, moisture flow, and thus shape ozone
40 concentrations. Local meteorological variations induced by vegetation structural changes are generally more important than
41 the vegetation changes per se in terms of modulating surface ozone concentration, and appear to be as important as
42 biogeochemical soil NO_x effect. Furthermore, biogeophysical pathways related to canopy height changes have not been
43 accounted for by most previous studies of ozone-vegetation interactions, which usually only considered LAI and other

1 ecophysiological changes (Wang et al, 2020; Wong et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2017; Fu et al., 2015). Global vegetation growth
2 is altered by land use and land cover change, warming, CO₂ fertilization, nitrogen deposition and ozone damage, etc., but the
3 associated canopy height changes have usually been ignored, rendering an incomplete representation of terrestrial effects on
4 surface air quality predictions. Here, we found that the effects of canopy height changes on surface ozone through the
5 biogeophysical pathways are noticeable and can be as much as the effects associated with LAI changes alone.

6
7 One limitation of this study is that we did not consider ozone damage on stomatal conductance and photosynthesis as in the
8 study by Sadiq et al. (2017). If ozone damage on stomatal conductance is considered, higher ozone concentrations could have
9 positive feedbacks on ozone itself via reduced dry deposition and enhanced isoprene emission. Meanwhile, ozone damage on
10 plant productivity may also diminish the fertilization effect of nitrogen and foliar nitrogen content, which is itself vital for
11 photosynthetic capacity (Franz and Zaehle, 2021). Therefore, if ozone damage is considered, lower LAI and canopy height are
12 expected, compensating some of the enhanced LAI and canopy height induced by higher nitrogen deposition found in this
13 study. These changes in LAI and canopy height could further affect ozone via various biogeochemical and biogeophysical
14 pathways, but such a secondary feedback effect is expected to be relatively minor (Zhou et al., 2018). More work is warranted
15 to investigate the individual and combined effects of nitrogen deposition and ozone damage on plant growth and terrestrial
16 carbon uptake, especially in light of the possible nonlinear interactions between ozone and nitrogen in plants (e.g., Shang et
17 al., 2021).

18
19 Overall, our study demonstrates a novel linkage between agricultural activities and ozone air quality via the modulation of
20 vegetation and soil biogeochemistry by nitrogen deposition, and highlights the particular importance of considering
21 meteorological changes following vegetation structural changes including those in canopy height, as well as soil NO_x changes,
22 in studying the effects of ozone-nitrogen-vegetation interactions in the future.

23

24 **Data availability**

25 Model output data used for analysis and plotting can be made available in RData format by contacting the corresponding
26 author (Amos P. K. Tai: amostai@cuhk.edu.hk).

27

28 **Author contributions**

29 A.P.K.T. devised the overall methodology and supervised the writing of the manuscript. X.L. conducted model simulation,
30 analyzed results and drafted the manuscript. K.M.F. implemented soil NO_x and NH₃ emission in the model.

31

32 **Competing interests**

33 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

34

35 **Acknowledgement**

36 This work was supported by Research Grants Council (RGC) General Research Fund (Reference #: 14323116) and National
37 Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC)/RGC Joint Research Scheme (Reference #: N_CUHK440/20) awarded to A. P.
38 K. Tai.

39

1 References

- 2 Alexandratos, N. and Bruinsma, J.: World agriculture towards 2030/2050: the 2012 revision, ESA working paper No. 12-03,
3 FAO, Rome, 2012.
- 4
5 Avnery, S., Mauzerall, D. L., Liu, J., and Horowitz, L. W.: Global crop yield reductions due to surface ozone exposure: 1.
6 Year 2000 crop production losses and economic damage, *Atmos. Environ.*, 45, 2284–2296,
7 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.11.045>, 2011.
- 8
9 Baron, J. S., Barber, M., Adams, M., Agboola, J. I., Allen, E. B., Bealey, W. J., Bobbink, R., Bobrovsky, M. V., Bowman, W.
10 D., Branquinho, C. and Bustamente, M. M.: The Effects of Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on Terrestrial and Freshwater
11 Biodiversity, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 465-480, <http://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-7939-6>, 2014.
- 12
13 Bauer, S. E., Tsigaridis, K., and Miller, R.: Significant atmospheric aerosol pollution caused by world food cultivation,
14 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43, 5394–5400, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL068354>, 2016.
- 15
16 Behera, S. N., Sharma, M., Aneja, V. P., and Balasubramanian, R.: Ammonia in the atmosphere: a review on emission sources,
17 atmospheric chemistry and deposition on terrestrial bodies, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.*, 20, 8092–
18 8131, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-013-2051-9>, 2013.
- 19
20 Bergstrom, A. K. and Jansson, M.: Atmospheric nitrogen deposition has caused nitrogen enrichment and eutrophication of
21 lakes in the northern hemisphere, *Global Change Biol.*, 12, 635–643, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01129.x>, 2006.
- 22
23 Beusen, A. H. W., Bouwman, A. F., Heuberger, P. S. C., Van Drecht, G., and Van Der Hoek, K. W.: Bottom-up uncertainty
24 estimates of global ammonia emissions from global agricultural production systems, *Atmos. Environ.*, 42, 6067–6077,
25 [doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.03.044](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.03.044), 2008.
- 26
27 Bittman, S., and Mikkelsen R.: Ammonia emissions from agricultural operations: livestock, *Better Crops*, 93, 28–31, 2009.
- 28
29 Bonan, G.: *Ecological Climatology*, Cambridge University Press, 3rd Edn., 2016
- 30
31 Bonan, G. B., Lawrence, P. J., Oleson, K. W., Levis, S., Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Lawrence, D. M., and Swenson, S. C.:
32 Improving canopy processes in the Community Land Model version 4 (CLM4) using global flux fields empirically inferred
33 from FLUXNET data, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo.*, 116, G02014, [doi:10.1029/2010jg001593](https://doi.org/10.1029/2010jg001593), 2011.
- 34
35 Canfield, D. E., Glazer, A. N., and Falkowski, P. G.: The evolution and future of Earth's nitrogen cycle, *Science*, 330, 192-
36 196, [doi:10.1126/science.1186120](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1186120), 2010.
- 37
38 Ciais, P., Sabine, C., Bala, G., Bopp, L., Brovkin, V., Canadell, J., Chhabra, A., DeFries, R., Galloway, J., Heimann, M., Jones,
39 C., Quéré, C. L., Myneni, R. B., Piao, S., and Thornton, P.: Chapter 6: Carbon and Other Biogeochemical Cycles, in: IPCC,
40 *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the*
41 *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K.,
42 Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom
43 and New York, NY, USA, 465–570, 2013.

1
2 Ellis, R. A., Jacob, D. J., Sulprizio, M. P., Zhang, L., Holmes, C. D., Schichtel, B. A., Blett, T., Porter, E., Pardo, L. H., and
3 Lynch, J. A.: Present and future nitrogen deposition to national parks in the United States: critical load exceedances, *Atmos.*
4 *Chem. Phys.*, 13, 9083–9095, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp13-9083-2013>, 2013.
5
6 Erisman, J. W., Sutton, M. A., Galloway, J., Klimont, Z., and Winiwarter, W.: How a century of ammonia synthesis changed
7 the world, *Nat. Geosci.*, 1, 636–639, doi:10.1038/ngeo325, 2008.
8
9 Fenn, M. E., Allen, E. B., Weiss, S. B., Jovan, S., Geiser, L. H., Tonnesen, G. S., Johnson, R. F., Rao, L. E., Gimeno, B. S.,
10 Yuan, F., Meixner, T., and Bytnerowicz, A.: Nitrogen critical loads and management alternatives for N-impacted ecosystems
11 in California, *J. Environ. Manage.*, 91, 2404–2423, doi:10.1016/J.Jenvman.2010.07.034, 2010.
12
13 Fu, X., Wang, S. X., Ran, L. M., Pleim, J. E., Cooter, E., Bash, J. O., Benson, V., and Hao, J. M.: Estimating NH₃ emissions
14 from agricultural fertilizer application in China using the bi- directional CMAQ model coupled to an agro-ecosystem model,
15 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 6637–6649, doi:10.5194/acp-15-6637- 2015, 2015.
16
17 Fu, Y., and Tai A. P. K.: Impact of climate and land cover changes on tropospheric ozone air quality and public health in East
18 Asia between 1980 and 2010, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 10093–10106, doi:10.5194/acp-15-10093-2015, 2015.
19
20 Fung, K. M., Tai, A. P., Yong, T., Liu, X., and Lam, H. M. Co-benefits of intercropping as a sustainable farming method for
21 safeguarding both food security and air quality. *Environmental Research Letters*, 14(4), 044011, doi.org/10.1088/1748-
22 9326/aafc8b, 2019.
23
24 Fung, K. M., Val Martin, M., and Tai, A. P. K.: Modeling the interinfluence of fertilizer-induced NH₃ emission, nitrogen
25 deposition, and aerosol radiative effects using modified CESM2, *Biogeosciences Discuss.* [preprint], doi.org/10.5194/bg-
26 2021-63, in review, 2021.
27
28 Franz, M., & Zaehle, S. Competing effects of nitrogen deposition and ozone exposure on northern hemispheric terrestrial
29 carbon uptake and storage, 1850–2099. *Biogeosciences*, 18(10), 3219-3241, doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-3219-2021, 2021.
30
31 Galloway, J. N., Aber, J. D., Erisman, J. W., Seitzinger, S. P., Howarth, R. W., Cowling, E. B., and Cosby, J.: The nitrogen
32 cascade, *BioScience*, 53, 341–356, doi:10.1641/0006- 3568(2003)053[0341:TNC]2.0.CO;2, 2003.
33
34 Galloway, J. N., Townsend, A. R., Erisman, J. W., Bekunda, M., Cai, Z., Freney, J. R., Martinelli, L. A., Seitzinger, S. P., and
35 Sutton, M. A.: Transformation of the Nitrogen Cycle: Recent trends, questions, and potential solutions, *Science*, 320, 889–
36 892, doi: 10.1126/science.1136674, 2008.
37
38 Geddes, J. A. and Martin, R. V.: Global deposition of total reactive nitrogen oxides from 1996 to 2014 constrained with satellite
39 observations of NO₂ columns, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 10071–10091, doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-10071-2017, 2017
40
41 Gruber, N. and Galloway, J. N.: An Earth-system perspective of the global nitrogen cycle, *Nature*, 451, 293–296,
42 doi:10.1038/nature06592, 2008.
43

1 Gu, B. J., Ge, Y., Ren, Y., Xu, B., Luo, W. D., Jiang, H., Gu, B. H., and Chang, J.: Atmospheric reactive nitrogen in China:
2 Sources, recent trends, and damage costs, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 46, 9240–9247, doi:10.1021/es301446g, 2012.
3
4 Gu, B., Ju, X., Chang, J., Ge, Y., and Vitousek P. M.: Integrated reactive nitrogen budgets and future trends in China, *P. Natl.*
5 *Acad. Sci. USA*, 112(28), 8792–8797, doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1510211112, 2015.
6
7 Gu, B. J., Sutton, M. A., Chang, S. X., Ge, Y., and Jie, C.: Agricultural ammonia emissions contribute to China’s urban air
8 pollution, *Front. Ecol. Environ.*, 12, 265–266, doi:10.1890/14.WB.007, 2015.
9
10 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., and Wang, X.: The Model of
11 Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling
12 biogenic emissions, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 5, 1471–1492, doi:10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012, 2012.
13
14 He, L., Chen, J. M., Croft, H., Gonsamo, A., Luo, X., Liu, J., Zheng, T., Liu, R., Liu, Y.: Nitrogen availability dampens the
15 positive impacts of CO₂ fertilization on terrestrial ecosystem carbon and water cycles. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 44, 11590–11600,
16 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL075981>, 2017.
17
18 Heald, C. L., and Geddes, J. A.: The impact of historical land use change from 1850 to 2000 on secondary particulate matter
19 and ozone, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 14997–15010, doi: 10.5194/acp-16-14997-2016, 2016.
20
21 Heimann, M. and Reichstein, M.: Terrestrial ecosystem carbon dynamics and climate feedbacks, *Nature*, 451, 289–292,
22 doi.org/10.1038/nature06591, 2008.
23
24 Huang, X., Song, Y., Li, M., Li, J., Huo, Q., Cai, X., Zhu, T., Hu, M., and Zhang, H.: A high-resolution ammonia emission
25 inventory in China, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 26, GB1030, doi:10.1029/2011GB004161, 2012.
26
27 Jerrett, M., Burnett, R. T., Pope, A. C., Ito, K., Thurston, G., Krewski, D., Shi, Y., Calle, E., and Thun, M.: Long-Term Ozone
28 Exposure and Mortality, *New England Journal of Medicine*, 360, 1085–1095, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0803894, 2009.
29
30 Kanakidou, M., Myriokefalitakis, S., Daskalakis, N., Fanourgakis, G., Nenes, A., Baker, A. R., Tsigaridis, K., and
31 Mihalopoulos, N.: Past, present and future atmospheric nitrogen deposition, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 73, 2039–2047,
32 doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-15-0278.1, 2016.
33
34 Kang, Y., Liu, M., Song, Y., Huang, X., Yao, H., Cai, X., Zhang, H., Kang, L., Liu, X., Yan, X., He, H., Zhang, Q., Shao,
35 M., and Zhu, T.: High-resolution ammonia emissions inventories in China from 1980 to 2012, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 2043–
36 2058, doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-2043-2016, 2016.
37
38 Lamarque, J.-F., Kyle, G. P., Meinshausen, M., Riahi, K., Smith, S. J., Vuuren, D. P., Conley, A. J., and Vitt, F.: Global and
39 regional evolution of short-lived radiatively-active gases and aerosols in the Representative Concentration Pathways, *Clim.*
40 *Change*, 109, 191–212, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0155-0, 2011.
41

1 Lamarque, J.-F., Emmons, L. K., Hess, P. G., Kinnison, D. E., Tilmes, S., Vitt, F., Heald, C. L., Holland, E. A., Lauritzen, P.
2 H., Neu, J., Orlando, J. J., Rasch, P. J., and Tyndall, G. K.: CAM-chem: description and evaluation of interactive atmospheric
3 chemistry in the Community Earth System Model, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 5, 369-411, doi:10.5194/gmd-5-369-2012, 2012.
4
5 Lamarque, J.-F., Shindell, D. T., Josse, B., Young, P. J., Cionni, I., Eyring, V., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Collins, W.
6 J., Doherty, R., Dalsoren, S., Faluvegi, G., Folberth, G., Ghan, S. J., Horowitz, L. W., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima,
7 T., Naik, V., Plummer, D., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Schulz, M., Skeie, R. B., Stevenson, D. S., Strode, S., Sudo, K., Szopa,
8 S., Voulgarakis, A., and Zeng, G.: The Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP):
9 overview and description of models, simulations and climate diagnostics, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 6, 179–206, doi:10.5194/gmd-
10 6-179-2013, 2013.
11
12 Lawrence, D. M., Oleson, K. W., Flanner, M. G., Thornton, P. E., Swenson, S. C., Lawrence, P. J., Zeng, X. B., Yang, Z. L.,
13 Levis, S., Sakaguchi, K., Bonan, G. B., and Slater, A. G.: Parameterization Improvements and Functional and Structural
14 Advances in Version 4 of the Community Land Model, *J. Adv. Model Earth Sy.*, 3, M03001, doi:10.1029/2011MS000045,
15 2011.
16
17 LeBauer, D. S., and Treseder, K. K.: Nitrogen limitation of net primary productivity in terrestrial ecosystems is globally
18 distributed, *Ecology*, 89(2), 371–379, doi.org/10.1890/06-2057.1, 2008.
19
20 Li, Y., Schichtel, B. A., Walker, J. T., Schwede, D. B., Chen, X., Lehmann, C. M., Puchalski, M. A., Gay, D. A., and Collett,
21 J. L.: Increasing importance of deposition of reduced nitrogen in the United States, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 113, 5874-5879,
22 doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1525736113, 2016.
23
24 Liu, M. L. and Tian, H. Q.: China's land cover and land use change from 1700 to 2005: Estimations from high-resolution
25 satellite data and historical archives, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 24, GB3003, doi:10.1029/2009gb003687, 2010.
26
27 Liu, J., Ma, K., Ciais, P., and Polasky, S.: Reducing human nitrogen use for food production. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 30104; doi:
28 10.1038/srep30104, 2016.
29
30 Lombardozzi, D., Levis, S., Bonan, G., and Sparks, J. P.: Predicting photosynthesis and transpiration responses to ozone:
31 decoupling modeled photosynthesis and stomatal conductance, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 3113–3130, doi:10.5194/bg-9-3113-2012,
32 2012.
33
34 Lu, X., Mao, Q., Gilliam, F. S., Luo, Y., and Mo, J.: Nitrogen deposition contributes to soil acidification in tropical ecosystems,
35 *Glob. Change Biol.*, 20, 3790–3801, doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12665, 2014.
36
37 Mueller, N. D., Lassaletta, L., Runck, B. C., Billen, G., Garnier, J., and Gerber, J. S.: Declining spatial efficiency of global
38 cropland nitrogen allocation, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 31, 245–257, doi.org/10.1002/2016GB005515, 2017.
39
40 Oleson, K. W., Lawrence, D. M., Bonan, G. B., Drewniak, B., Huang, M., Koven, C. D., Levis, S., Li, F., Riley, W. J., Subin,
41 Z. M., Swenson, S. C., Thornton, P. E., Bozbiyik, A., Fisher, R., Heald, C. L., Kluzek, E., Lamarque, J.-F., Lawrence, P. J.,
42 Leung, L. R., Lipscomb, W., Muszala, S., Ricciuto, D. M., Sacks, W., Sun, Y., Tang, J., and Yang, Z.-L.: Technical Description

1 of version 4.5 of the Community Land Model (CLM). Near Technical Note NCAR/TN-503+STR, National Center for
2 Atmospheric Research, 422, doi.org/10.5065/D6RR1W7M, 2013.

3

4 Paulot, F., Jacob, D. J., and Henze, D. K.: Sources and processes contributing to nitrogen deposition in biodiversity hotspots
5 worldwide, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 47, 3226–3233, doi:10.1021/es3027727, 2013.

6

7 Paulot, F., Jacob, D. J., Pinder, R. W., Bash, J. O., Travis, K., and Henze, D. K.: Ammonia emissions in the United States,
8 European Union, and China derived by high-resolution inversion of ammonium wet deposition data: interpretation with a new
9 agricultural emissions inventory (MASAGE_NH3), *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 119, 4343-4364,
10 doi.org/10.1002/2013JD021130, 2014.

11

12 Pozzer, A., Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., de Meij, A. and Lelieveld, J.: Impact of agricultural emission reductions on fine-
13 particulate matter and public health, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17(20), 12813–12826, doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-12813-2017, 2017.

14

15 Rayner, N. A., Parker, D. E., Horton, E. B., Folland, C. K., Alexander, L. V., Rowell, D. P., Kent, E. C., and Kaplan, A.:
16 Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and night marine air temperature since the late nineteenth century, *J.*
17 *Geophys. Res.- Atmos.*, 108, D002670, doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002670, 2003.

18

19 Reay, D. S., Dentener, F., Smit, P., Grace, J., and Feely, R. A.: Global nitrogen deposition and carbon sinks, *Nature Geosci.*,
20 1, 430–437, doi.org/10.1038/ngeo23010.1038/ngeo230, 2008.

21

22 Reis, S., Pinder, R. W., Zhang, M., Lijie, G., and Sutton, M. A.: Reactive nitrogen in atmospheric emission inventories, *Atmos.*
23 *Chem. Phys.*, 9, 7657–7677, doi:10.5194/acp-9-7657-2009, 2009.

24

25 Rodríguez, L. and Macías, F.: Eutrophication trends in forest soils in Galicia (NW Spain) caused by the atmospheric deposition
26 of nitrogen compounds, *Chemosphere*, 63, 1598–1609, doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.08.072, 2006.

27

28 Sadiq, M., Tai, A. P. K., Lombardozzi, D., and Val Martin, M.: Effects of ozone-vegetation coupling on surface ozone air
29 quality via biogeochemical and meteorological feedbacks, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 3055–3066, doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-
30 3055-2017, 2017.

31

32 Shang, B., Xu, Y., Peng, J., Agathokleous, E., & Feng, Z. High nitrogen addition decreases the ozone flux by reducing the
33 maximum stomatal conductance in poplar saplings. *Environmental Pollution*, 272, 115979,
34 doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115979, 2021.

35

36 Sheppard, L. J., Leith, I. D., Mizunuma, T., Cape, J. N., Crossley, A., Leeson, S., Sutton, M. A., van Dijk, N., and Fowler, D.:
37 Dry deposition of ammonia gas drives species change faster than wet deposition of ammonium ions: evidence from a longterm
38 field manipulation, *Glob. Change. Biol.*, 17, 3589–3607, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02478.x, 2011.

39

40 Sokolov, A. P., Kicklighter, D. W., Melillo, J. M., Felzer, B., Schlosser, C. A., and Cronin, T. W.: Consequences of considering
41 carbon/nitrogen interactions on the feedbacks between climate and the terrestrial carbon cycle, *J. Climate*, 21, 3776–3796,
42 doi.org/10.1175/2008JCLI2038.1, 2008.

43

1 Streets, D. G., Bond, T. C., Carmichael, G. R., Fernandes, S. D., Fu, Q., He, D., Klimont, Z., Nelson, S. M., Tsai, N. Y., Wang,
2 M. Q., Woo, J.-H., and Yarber, K. F.: An inventory of gaseous and primary aerosol emissions in Asia in the year 2000, *J.*
3 *Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8809, doi:10.1029/2002JD003093, 2003.

4

5 Sutton, M. A., Reis, S., Riddick, S. N., Dragosits, U., Nemitz, E., Theobald, M. R., Tang, Y. S., Braban, C. F., Vieno, M.,
6 Dore, A. J., Mitchell, R. F., Wanless, S., Daunt, F., Fowler, D., Blackall, T. D., Milford, C., Flechard, C. R., Loubet, B., Massad,
7 R., Cellier, P., Personne, E., Coheur, P. F., Clarisse, L., Van Damme, M., Ngadi, Y., Clerbaux, C., Skjøth, C. A., Geels, C.,
8 Hertel, O., Wichink Kruit, R. J., Pinder, R. W., Bash, J. O., Walker, J. T., Simpson, D., Horváth, L., Misselbrook, T. H.,
9 Bleeker, A., Dentener, F., and de Vries, W.: Towards a climate-dependent paradigm of ammonia emission and deposition,
10 *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B. Biol. Sci.*, 368, 20130166, doi:10.1098/rstb.2013.0166, 2013.

11

12 Tai, A.P., Martin, M.V. and Heald, C.L.: Threat to future global food security from climate change and ozone air
13 pollution. *Nature Climate Change*, 4(9), 817–821, doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2317, 2014.

14

15 Tai, A.P. and Martin, M.V.: Impacts of ozone air pollution and temperature extremes on crop yields: Spatial variability,
16 adaptation and implications for future food security. *Atmospheric Environment*, 169, 11–21,
17 doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.09.002, 2017.

18

19 Tan, J., Fu, J. S., Dentener, F., Sun, J., Emmons, L., Tilmes, S., Flemming, J., Takemura, T., Bian, H., Zhu, Q., Yang, C.,
20 Keating, T.: Multi-model study of HTAP II on sulfur and nitrogen deposition, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 6847–6866,
21 doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-6847-2018, 2018.

22

23 Templer, P. H., Pinder, R. W., and Goodale, C. L.: Effects of nitrogen deposition on greenhouse-gas fluxes for forests and
24 grasslands of North America, *Front Ecol. Environ.*, 10, 547–553, doi:10.1890/120055, 2012.

25

26 Tian, H., Banger, K., Bo, T., and Dadhwal, V. K.: History of land use in India during 1880–2010: Large-scale land
27 transformations reconstructed from satellite data and historical archives, *Global and Planetary Change*, 121, 78–88.
28 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2014.07.005>, 2014

29

30 Tilman, D., Cassman, K. G., Matson, P. A., Naylor, R., and Polasky, S.: Agricultural sustainability and intensive production
31 practices, *Nature*, 418, 671–677, doi.org/10.1038/nature01014, 2002.

32

33 Thornton, P. E., Lamarque, J.-F., Rosenbloom, N. A., and Mahowald, N. M.: Influence of carbon-nitrogen cycle coupling on
34 land model response to CO₂ fertilization and climate variability, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 21, GB4018,
35 doi:10.1029/2006GB002868, 2007.

36

37 Thornton, P. E., Doney, S. C., Lindsay, K., Moore, J. K., Mahowald, N., Randerson, J. T., Fung, I., Lamarque, J.-F., Feddema,
38 J. J., and Lee, Y.-H.: Carbon-nitrogen interactions regulate climate-carbon cycle feedbacks: results from an atmosphereocean
39 general circulation model, *Biogeosciences*, 6, 2099–2120, doi:10.5194/bg-6-2099-2009, 2009.

40

41 van Vuuren, D. P., Edmonds, J., Kainuma, M., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Hibbard, K., Hurtt, G. C., Kram, T., Krey, V.,
42 Lamarque, J. F., Masui, T., Meinshausen, M., Nakicenovic, N., Smith, S. J., and Rose, S. K.: The representative concentration
43 pathways: an overview, *Climatic Change*, 109, 5–31, doi.org/10.1007/s10584-011-0148-z, 2011.

1
2 Val Martin, M., Heald, C. L., and Arnold, S. R.: Coupling dry deposition to vegetation phenology in the Community Earth
3 System Model: Implications for the simulation of surface O₃, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 41, 2988–2996,
4 doi:10.1002/2014GL059651, 2014.
5
6 Vitousek, P. M., Hättenschwiler, S., Olander, L., and Allison, S.: Nitrogen and Nature, *A Journal of the Human Environment*,
7 31(2), 97–102, doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447-31.2.97, 2002.
8
9 Wang, L., Tai, A.P., Tam, C.Y., Sadiq, M., Wang, P. and Cheung, K.K.: Impacts of future land use and land cover change on
10 mid-21st-century surface ozone air quality: distinguishing between the biogeophysical and biogeochemical effects, *Atmos.*
11 *Chem. Phys.*, 20, 11349–11369, doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-11349-2020, 2020.
12
13 Wong, A. Y. H., Tai, A. P. K., and Ip, Y. Y.: Attribution and statistical parameterization of the sensitivity of surface ozone to
14 changes in leaf area index based on a chemical transport model, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 123, 1883–1898,
15 doi.org/10.1002/2017JD027311, 2018.
16
17 Xu, R. T., Pan, S. F., Chen, J., Chen, G. S., Yang, J., Dangal, S. R. S., Shepard, J. P., and Tian, H. Q.: Half-century ammonia
18 emissions from agricultural systems in southern Asia: Magnitude, spatiotemporal patterns and implications for human health,
19 *GeoHealth*, 2, 40–53, doi.org/ 10.1002/2017GH000098, 2018.
20
21 Xu, W., Luo, X. S., Pan, Y. P., Zhang, L., Tang, A. H., Shen, J. L., Zhang, Y., Li, K. H., Wu, Q. H., Yang, D. W., Zhang, Y.
22 Y., Xue, J., Li, W. Q., Li, Q. Q., Tang, L., Lu, S. H., Liang, T., Tong, Y. A., Liu, P., Zhang, Q., Xiong, Z. Q., Shi, X. J., Wu,
23 L. H., Shi, W. Q., Tian, K., Zhong, X. H., Shi, K., Tang, Q. Y., Zhang, L. J., Huang, J. L., He, C. E., Kuang, F. H., Zhu, B.,
24 Liu, H., Jin, X., Xin, Y. J., Shi, X. K., Du, E. Z., Dore, A. J., Tang, S., Collett Jr., J. L., Goulding, K., Sun, Y. X., Ren, J.,
25 Zhang, F. S., and Liu, X. J.: Quantifying atmospheric nitrogen deposition through a nationwide monitoring network across
26 China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 12345–12360, doi:10.5194/acp-15-12345-2015, 2015.
27
28 Zaehle, S., Friedlingstein, P., and Friend, A. D. Terrestrial nitrogen feedbacks may accelerate future climate change, *Geophys.*
29 *Res. Lett.*, 37, L01401, doi.org/10.1029/2009GL041345.10.1029/2009GL041345, 2010.
30
31 Zaehle, S., Ciais, P., Friend, A. D., and Prieur, V.: Carbon benefits of anthropogenic reactive nitrogen offset by nitrous oxide
32 emissions, *Nat. Geosci.*, 4, 601–605, doi:10.1038/ngeo1207, 2011.
33
34 Zhao, Y., Zhang, L., Tai, A. P. K., Chen, Y., and Pan, Y.: Responses of surface ozone air quality to anthropogenic nitrogen
35 deposition in the Northern Hemisphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 9781–9796, doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-9781-2017, 2017.
36
37 Zhang, L., Chen, Y., Zhao, Y., Henze, D. K., Zhu, L., Song, Y., Paulot, F., Liu, X., Pan, Y., Lin, Y., and Huang, B.: Agricultural
38 ammonia emissions in China: reconciling bottom-up and top-down estimates, *Atmos. Chem. and Phys.*, 18, 339–355,
39 <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-339-2018>, 2018.
40
41 Zhang, X., Davidson, E. A., Mauzerall, D. L., Searchinger, T. D., Dumas, P., and Shen, Y.: Managing nitrogen for sustainable
42 development, *Nature*, 528, 51–59, doi.org/10.1038/nature15743, 2015.
43

- 1 Zhang, X.: Biogeochemistry: A plan for efficient use of nitrogen fertilizers, *Nature*, 543, 322–323, doi.org/10.1038/543322a,
2 2017.
- 3
- 4 Zhang, X., Wu, Y., Liu, X., Reis, S., Jin, J., Dragosits, U., Van Damme, M., Clarisse, L., Whitburn, S., Coheur, P. F., and Gu,
5 B.: Ammonia emissions may be substantially underestimated in China, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 51(21), 12089–12096,
6 doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b02171, 2017
- 7
- 8 Zhou, S. S., Tai, A. P. K., Sun, S., Sadiq, M., Heald, C. L., and Geddes, J. A.: Coupling between surface ozone and leaf area
9 index in a chemical transport model: strength of feedback and implications for ozone air quality and vegetation health, *Atmos.*
10 *Chem. Phys.*, 18, 14133–14148, doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-14133-2018, 2018.
- 11
- 12 Zhu, L., Henze, D., Bash, J., Jeong, G.-R., Cady-Pereira, K., Shephard, M., Luo, M., Paulot, F., and Capps, S.: Global
13 evaluation of ammonia bidirectional exchange and livestock diurnal variation schemes, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 12823–12843,
14 doi:10.5194/acp-15-12823-2015, 2015.