## Supplementary Materials for

## Impact of modified turbulent diffusion of PM<sub>2.5</sub> aerosol in WRF-Chem simulations in Eastern China

Wenxing Jia<sup>1,2</sup>, Xiaoye Zhang<sup>2,3\*</sup>

<sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory for Aerosol-Cloud-Precipitation of China Meteorological Administration, Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing, 210044, China

<sup>2</sup>Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry of CMA, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing, 100081, China

<sup>3</sup>Center for Excellence in Regional Atmospheric Environment, IUE, Chinese Academy of Sciences,

10 Xiamen, 361021, China

Correspondence to: X. Zhang (xiaoye@cma.gov.cn)



Figure S1. Time series of the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration from 27 December 2018 to 8 January 2019, the shaded areas indicate the heavy pollution episodes (HPEs).



Figure S2. Comparison of the average value of temperature at 2 m above ground level (AGL) between (a-d) original scheme and (e-h) new scheme at night. (i-l) correlation coefficients of 2-m temperature between original and new schemes.



30 Figure S3. Similar to Figure S2, but for relative humidity at 2 m above ground level (AGL).



Figure S4. Similar to Figure S2, but for wind speed at 10 m above ground level (AGL).



Figure S5. Similar to Figure S2, but for the planetary boundary layer height (PBLH).



Figure S6. Turbulent diffusion coefficients of (a-d) heat and (e-h) particles, and (i-l) the difference between two turbulent diffusion coefficients.



Figure S7. (a-d) Simulated and (e-h) observed wind speed at 10 m above ground level (AGL), and (i-l) the difference of simulated and observed.



Figure S8. Similar to Figure 5, but for the Taylor diagram of CO concentration.