A Comprehensive Observational Based Multiphase Chemical Model Analysis of the Sulfur Dioxide Oxidations in both Summer and Winter
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Abstract. Sulfate is one of the main components of the haze particles and the formation mechanism remains controversial. Lacking of detailed and comprehensive field data hinders the accurate evaluation of relative roles of prevailing sulphate formation pathways. Here, we analyzed the sulfate production rates using a state-of-art multiphase model constrained to the observed concentrations of transition metal ions (TMI), nitrogen dioxide, ozone, hydrogen peroxide, and other important parameters in winter and summer in the North China Plain. Our results showed that aqueous TMI-catalyzed oxidation was the most important pathway followed by the surface oxidation of Mn in both winter and summer, while the hydroxyl and criegee radicals oxidations contribute significantly in summer. In addition, we also modeled the published cases for the fog and cloud conditions. It is found that nitrogen dioxide oxidation is the dominant pathway for the fog in a higher pH range while hydroperoxide and ozone oxidations dominated for the cloud.

1 Introduction

Secondary sulfate aerosol is an important component of fine particles in severe haze periods (Zheng et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2014b; Guo et al., 2014), which adversely affect the environmental quality and human health. Traditional atmospheric models evaluate secondary sulfate formation via the gas-phase oxidation of sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$) and a series of multiphase oxidation of dissolved SO$_2$ in cloud water. During haze events, multiphase oxidation of dissolved SO$_2$ is more important than SO$_2$ directly oxidized by gas-phase radicals (Atkinson et al., 2004; Barth et al., 2002) because of the significantly reduced ultraviolet (UV) radiation intensity due to the aerosol dimming effect. Gas-phase reactions, especially those favouring multiphase chemistry, cannot capture the high concentrations of sulfate aerosols during haze events. Moreover, rapid sulfate production is observed during cloud-free conditions indicating that aerosol multiphase oxidation may be important during
haze periods (Moch et al., 2018). These effects cause a major gap between the measured sulfate concentrations under weak UV radiation and the concentrations calculated using traditional atmospheric models. Assessing the mechanism of multiphase secondary sulfate formation during haze periods helps evaluate the effect of multiphase oxidation. While the gas-phase oxidation rate of SO2 and OH is well constrained, there are many uncertainties in the quantification of the relative contribution of each multiphase SO2 oxidation pathway during haze periods. Multiphase oxidation pathways of dissolved SO2 (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016; Liu et al., 2020a; Zhu et al., 2020b; Seigneur and Saxena, 1988; Li et al., 2020b) include oxidation by (1) hydrogen peroxide (H2O2); (2) ozone (O3); (3) transition metal ions [TMI, i.e., Fe (III) and Mn (II)] catalyzed oxidation pathway (aqTMI); and (4) Mn-catalyzed oxidation of SO2 on aerosol surfaces pathway (Mn-surface) (Wang et al., 2021). Some studies (Cheng et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016; Xue et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018) also suggested that nitrogen oxides may play a crucial role in the explosive growth of sulfate formation during severe haze days in Beijing because of the high pH near a neutral system, by facilitating the catalysis of mineral dust (Liu et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2018) or the photolysis of nitrous acid (Zheng et al., 2020). However, the average pH during Beijing haze periods is approximately 4.2 (Liu et al., 2017), and a high level of NH3 does not increase the aerosol pH sufficiently to yield NO2-dominated sulfate formation (Guo et al., 2017). Other studies (Ye et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2020b) emphasized the importance of H2O2 oxidation to sulfate formation due to the underestimation of H2O2 concentrations during haze episodes in previous studies or the influence of high ionic strength (Ii) of aerosol solutions on the H2O2 oxidation rate, which implies that oxidant concentrations for SO2 oxidation constrained to the observed values from field measurements are required. Previous study (Wang et al., 2020) showed that photosensitization is a new pathway for atmospheric sulfate formation and requires further verification. According to previous studies of the GEOS-Chem model and including the measurements of oxygen isotopes (Δ17O (SO42−)) (He et al., 2018; Shao et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020a; Yue et al., 2020), several studies showed that aqTMI was important during some haze periods. Overall, the formation mechanisms of the missing sulfate sources remain unclear and controversial.

Sulfate formation is a complex multiphase physicochemical reaction process, in which parameters have multiple interrelationships. The previous studies have mostly selected typical conditions with fixed parameters for numerical calculations, ignoring the fact that sulfate formation is a complex dynamic process. A comprehensive and explicit evaluation of the sulfate generation process requires real-time feedback and explicit constraints of observational data. Therefore, it is crucial to apply constrained parameters from field campaigns in the calculations. Moreover, as proposed in previous studies (Liu et al., 2020b; Cheng et al., 2016), due to the lower water content in aerosol particles than in cloud water, the non-ideality effects of aerosol solutions should be carefully considered.

In this study, we modeled the concentrations of the main reagents of sulfate formation reactions using a state-of-art Peking-University-Multiple-phAse Reaction Kinetic Model (PKU-MARK) based on the data measured in two field campaigns conducted in the winter and summer in the North China Plain (NCP) where several particle pollutions happened. The non-ideality of aerosol solutions was considered in the calculation of both gas solubility and aqueous-phase reaction rates. Chemical regimes in the aerosol particle bulk phase were analyzed to understand the role of gas-phase radical precursors,
particle TMIs, aerosol surface concentrations and the aerosol liquid water content (ALWC) on the aqueous reactant levels and the sulfate formation rate.

The overall goal of this work is to evaluate the contribution of different secondary sulfate formation pathways under actual field measurement conditions in the NCP. Effects of non-ideality of condensed particle phase and the solubility of gas-phase reactants on the reactions enable the comparisons with parameters previously obtained in model calculations. In addition, episodes at different pollution levels in the winter and summer campaigns were selected to evaluate the contribution of prevailing sulfate formation pathways proposed in previous studies. As a study evaluating the contribution of different sulfate formation pathways during field campaign observations, this work provides an improved understanding of atmospheric sulfate formation at different pollution levels in the NCP.

2 Results

2.1 Overview of the field observations

Table 1 shows the key meteorological parameters, trace gases concentrations, calculated ALWC, ionic strength, pH and sulfate formation rates under different pollution conditions in PKU-17 and WD-14 comprehensive field campaigns. Sampling location and experimental methods used in these two campaigns are summarized in the Method part. The pollution degree is classified according to the mass concentration of PM$_{2.5}$. The clean condition means PM$_{2.5}$ smaller than 35 μg/m$^3$, the slightly polluted condition is 35-75 μg/m$^3$, the polluted condition is 75-150 μg/m$^3$ and highly polluted is larger than 150 μg/m$^3$. Sulfate formation rates were modeled by the Multiple-phAse Reaction Kinetic Model (PKU-MARK) (mentioned in Method) with constrained parameters. The effects of aerosol non-ideality were considered in the size-segregated model. Data points with relatively humidity (RH) smaller than 20% and AWLC smaller than 1 μg/m$^3$ were abandoned to improve the accuracy of the results.

Transition metals concentrations including Fe and Mn increased with PM mass. Photochemical oxidants including H$_2$O$_2$ and O$_3$ exhibited a decreasing trend with the increase of PM mass because of the significantly reduced solar ultraviolet (UV) radiation intensity due to the aerosol dimming effect. Some studies reported high H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations during haze episodes(Ye et al., 2018), whereas in PKU-17 field campaign, the average concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ was only 20.9±22.8 pptV in highly polluted conditions. Higher sulfate concentration was observed in the high range of RH and ALWC indicating their enhancement effects on the sulfate formation. We also picked four haze periods in PKU-17 observation, the time series of these key parameters are provided in Supplementary Information (SI) Fig. S4.

Aerosol pH values were calculated using the ISORROPIA-II model. The calculated particle pH values as shown in the Table 1 are in good agreement with the values reported in other studies (Guo et al., 2017; Weber et al., 2016). The lower pH in the range of 4.0–5.5 is beneficial to sulfate formation via aqTMI. Aerosol liquid water is another key component, higher loading of aerosol liquid water is more conducive to the occurrence of multiphase reactions. The ALWC in the PKU-17 and WD-14 campaigns was calculated via the ISORROPIA II model with input concentrations of aerosol inorganic components (see
Method M.3). Aerosol liquid water did not freeze at winter temperatures below 273 K in the PKU field campaign because of the salt induced freezing point depression (Koop et al., 2000). Wind speeds during these haze events were persistently low (0.3–1.5 m/s), indicating the minor contribution of regional transport to sulfate production.

Aqueous TMI concentration level is crucial in the evaluation of secondary sulfate formation in real atmospheric conditions. Atmospheric anthropogenic sources of transition metals such as iron (Fe), copper (Cu), and manganese (Mn) are mainly from combustion. Concentrations of transition metals are highly variable, ranging from <0.1 ng m$^{-3}$ to >1000 ng m$^{-3}$ globally (Alexander et al., 2009). Fe solubility in atmospheric aerosols has been reported to range from 0.1% to 80% (Ito et al., 2019; Hsu et al., 2010; Heal et al., 2005; Shi et al., 2012; Mahowald et al., 2005), and elevated levels of Fe solubility have been observed in aerosols dominated by combustion sources. The average fractional Fe solubility in areas away from dust source regions is typically between 5 and 25% (Baker and Jickells, 2006; Baker et al., 2006; Hsu et al., 2010). A recent study reported the average Fe solubility as 2.7–5.0% in Chinese cities, and more than 65% of nano-sized Fe-containing particles were internally mixed with sulfates and nitrates (Zhu et al., 2020a). The solubility of Mn tends to be higher than that of Fe (Baker et al., 2006), which is 22–57% in urban aerosol particles (Huang et al., 2014c). In this study, we chose the solubility of total Fe as 5% and total Mn solubility as 50% assuming that aerosol particles are internally mixed. In Beijing, high concentrations of Fe, Cu, and Mn were observed (Table S9). Concentrations of transition metals are strongly correlated during these haze periods; thus, we propose a fixed ratio of Fe/Mn to account for the lack of Mn data in PKU-17 and WD-14 field campaigns (SI Text S2).

Aerosol trace metal speciation and water solubility are affected by factors such as photochemistry, aerosol pH, and aerosol particle size (Baker and Jickells, 2006; Oakes et al., 2010). Soluble iron in aerosol water exists as Fe (II) and Fe (III), with a series of redox recycling between the two species and other ions. Partitioning between Fe (II) and Fe (III) varies diurnally with the highest fraction of Fe (II) found during the day because of the photochemical reactions reducing Fe (III) to Fe (II). Photolysis reactions of iron hydroxides and organic complexes were documented as the most important source of Fe (II) in cloud and fog water. Oxalic acid and its deprotonated form, oxalate, have strong coordination ability with Fe and form Fe-oxalate complexes, which have higher photochemical activity than Fe hydroxide. All these mechanisms are included in the PKU-MARK model. Diurnal trends of sulfate formation were observed during haze periods indicating the diurnal distribution of different states of iron. Redox cycling of other TMIs such as Cu and Mn are also considered in the PKU-MARK model. Averaged percentage of soluble Fe (III) and Mn (II) was 0.79% and 19.83% in winter polluted conditions and 2.57% and 52.15% in summer polluted conditions. The main reason for the difference between winter and summer metal solubility is that summer aerosols have higher water content and lower ionic strength, which is conducive to the dissolution of Fe and Mn. The solubility range is in good agreement with the values reported in previous observations (Ito et al., 2019; Hsu et al., 2010).

The influence of aerosol ionic strength on aqTMI reaction rates was considered carefully in the study. Higher ALWC is typically accompanied by lower ionic strength, which increases the activity of TMI. The relationship (Liu et al., 2020b) between the rate coefficients of the TMI pathway and ionic strength is displayed in Fig. S1. The sulfate formation rate...
decreased by 424.82 times when ionic strength was 45 M compared to the dilute solution with ionic strength of 0 M. Despite considering the effect of the activity coefficient on the reaction rate of aqTMI, the contribution of the aqTMI was still dominant during haze periods indicating that the dominance of aqTMI can be a widespread phenomenon, as recommended in previous studies (He et al., 2018; Shao et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020a; Yue et al., 2020).

2.2 Analysis of sulfate formation rate in different pollution conditions

**Fig. 1 (a) and (b)** display the 3-h averaged sulfate formation rates in the PKU-17 and WD-14 during haze periods. Contributions of the gas-phase radical oxidants were much higher during summer time. To fully explain the relative contributions to sulfate formation from different pathways, the stabilized criegee intermediates (SCIs) oxidant was also considered in the calculations. Based on the previous report (Sarwar, 2013), the inclusion of the SCIs oxidation pathway further enhances sulfate production. We modified the Regional Atmospheric Chemistry Mechanism (RACM2) (Goliff et al., 2013; Goliff and Stockwell, 2008) to represent three explicit SCIs and their subsequent reactions (Welz et al., 2012) with SO$_2$, NO$_2$, aldehydes, ketones, water monomer, and water dimmer and calculated the contribution of this pathway in two field campaigns.

The contribution of aqTMI increased rapidly with the aggravated pollution. High concentrations of transition metals observed in Beijing facilitated the dissolution of Fe, Cu, and Mn. The relationship of ionic strength and aqTMI rate constant is illustrated in **SI Fig. S1** and **Table S2** (Liu et al., 2020b). $\alpha_{Fe}$ (III) is defined as the product of the Fe (III) activity coefficient, concentration, molecular weight (56) and aerosol liquid water content. Compared to the total Fe concentration, it is more effective to evaluate the impact of $\alpha_{Fe}$ (III) on sulfate formation. The relationship between $\alpha_{Fe}$ (III) and SOR in PKU-17 winter field campaign was shown in **SI Figure S5**. Because of the inhibition of the effects of high ionic strength on the rate constant of aqTMI, a high volume of aerosol water during the haze event increased the TMI activity coefficient benefiting sulfate formation. Obvious correlations between $\alpha_{Fe}$ (III) and sulfate concentration shown in **Fig. 1 (c) and (d)** were observed in the haze periods both in summer and winter verified the important contributions from aqTMI pathway to the sulfate formation. Affected by the higher boundary layer height and higher gas phase radical concentration in summer, the correlation between sulfate oxidant ratio SOR ($=n(SO_2)/n(SO_2+SO_4^{2-})$) and PM mass in summer is not as significant as that in winter. In summer, as illustrated in **Fig. S6**, there was still an obvious positive dependence between SOR and RH and ALWC, whereas a negative correlation was found between SOR and odd-oxygen ([Ox]=$[O_3]+[NO_2]$). As shown in **Fig. 1 (e) and (f)**, the sulfate formation through gaseous reaction was more important in summer than in winter, mainly provided by gas phase radicals (OH and SCIs). In WD-14 field campaign, heterogeneous aqTMI pathways were still dominant in the secondary sulfate formation.

2.3 Dependence of the Secondary sulfate formation rates on aerosol pH and water content

Aerosol pH and ALWC were calculated using the ISORROPIA-II model (Method M3). Because of the high sensitivity of sulfate formation to pH, the lower range of aerosol pH during these two campaigns made the aqTMI the most important one.
The effects of high aerosol ionic strength on the dissolution equilibrium and reaction rates were considered in calculations (Liu et al., 2020b) (SI Table S2 to S4). Due to the low H$_2$O$_2$ concentration (~0.023 ppbV) and low ALWC observed in the PKU-17 field campaign, the average contribution of H$_2$O$_2$ in haze periods (PM$_{2.5}$ > 75 µg/m$^3$) was about 0.11±0.15 µg/m$^3$/h. Higher gas-phase H$_2$O$_2$ concentration may further increase the contribution of this pathway to sulfate formation. Based on a recent report (Ye et al., 2018), higher gas-phase H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations were observed in the NCP during different haze events, including severe haze episodes in suburban areas. At 0.1 ppbV H$_2$O$_2$ (about five times higher than the observed H$_2$O$_2$ concentration), the calculated sulfate formation rate was 0.52±0.76 µg/m$^3$/h in haze periods with great uncertainty and still lower than the contribution of the TMI pathway (1.17±1.48 µg/m$^3$/h).

Due to the potential interaction between various factors in the atmosphere, fixing certain parameters and changing only the pH to obtain the sulfate production rate may cause errors. With the development of haze, concentrations of O$_3$ and OH radicals decrease due to reduced UV radiation caused by the aerosol dimming effect. Despite its minor contribution to sulfate production in winter, the increase in the ozone oxidation rate with pH was slower under actual conditions. Contributions of gas-phase radicals also showed a weak downward trend in the summer campaign (Fig. 2(c)). The bias between calculated and observed values indicated a dynamic balance of atmospheric oxidation in the gas phase and aerosol phase. If we arbitrarily use the average values during haze periods and only changed the pH of the aerosols as in previous studies, the obtained sulfate production rate will deviate from the observed values. Actual ambient sulfate formation rates calculated using the measured values in polluted periods in two field campaigns are illustrated in Fig. 2(a) and (c). Average values except for pH during the haze periods were used to calculate the sulfate formation rates as shown in Fig. 2(b) and (d). The peak of the H$_2$O$_2$ line in the figure is caused by the change in the water content and ionic strength. In the pH range of 4.0–6.0, the calculated ALWC was in the highest range, increasing the contribution of H$_2$O$_2$ proportionally as calculated using equation (1).

Aerosol water content is another key factor that influences the contribution of different pathway to sulfate formation. The sulfate formation rate can be calculated via the following equation with the modeled $\frac{dS(VI)}{dt}$ (M s$^{-1}$):

$$\frac{dS(VI)}{dt} (\mu g m^{-3} \cdot h^{-1}) = 0.01 \times 3600 (s h^{-1}) \cdot 96 \text{ g mol}^{-1} \cdot \frac{dS(VI)}{dt} (M s^{-1}) \cdot \frac{ALWC}{\rho_{water}}$$

(1)

where ALWC is in units of µg m$^3$ and $\rho_{water}$ is the water density in kg L$^{-1}$. At high ionic strength, this expression is more accurate than the equivalent expression with the unit of M s$^{-1}$. ALWC directly influences the contribution via external oxidizing substances such as H$_2$O$_2$, O$_3$, and NO$_2$ but not aqTMI pathway. Most H$_2$O$_2$, O$_3$, and NO$_2$ come from gas phase through dissolution equilibrium, while TMI is formed in particles. The reaction kinetics and rate constants summarized in Table S2 suggest that there is a proportional relationship between ALWC and sulfate formation pathways except aqTMI.

One reason for the lower sulfate formation rate observed in the PKU-17 (1–3 µg m$^3$ h$^{-1}$) is that the ALWC values were lower than those assumed in previous studies (ALWC = 300 µg m$^{-3}$). This deviation from the ALWC significantly reduces the contribution of several other pathways, but not the contribution of transition metals to sulfate formation.
Due to the obvious heterogeneous reactions contribution to sulfate formation in winter, we evaluated the influence of ALWC on sulfate formation pathways in winter. TMI relevant pathways including aqTMI and Mn-surface pathway were dominate in all range of ALWC as illustrated in Fig. 3. In PKU-17 field campaign, with the increasing of ALWC from 1 to 150 μg/m³, the ratio of aqTMI to Mn-surface continuously decreased mainly because of the decreasing particle specific surface areas. The reduction effect of ionic strength on aqTMI rate reached a "platform" when ALWC exceeding 150 μg/m³ in winter, which means that higher ALWC will not increase the rate of aqTMI. Mn-surface contributed most in lower ALWC range where particle specific surface area was high and provide more reaction positions. Transition metal mass will not increase with the aerosol hygroscopic growth. With the aerosol hygroscopic growth, the increasing of transition metal mass is slower than water mass in PKU-17 as shown in Fig. S7 indicating a “dilution effect”. Previous globle scale observations(Sholkovitz et al., 2012) of ~1100 samples also showed the hyperbolic trends of Fe solubility with total Fe mass. Due to the slight increase of aerosol pH and the dilution effect of aerosol hygroscopic growth on TMI when ALWC exceeding 150 μg/m³, the importance of aqTMI and Mn-surface contributions were lowered. At this time, the contributions of external oxidizing substances pathways such as H₂O₂, NO₂ or O₃ may rise in the proper pH range as illustrated in Fig. 4. In winter fog or cloud conditions with higher water content, the contribution from TMI may decrease a lot for their low solubility and concentrations.

The same analysis also used in the summer WD-14 field campaign (as shown in SI Fig.S8). “The dilution effect” occurred more dramatically in summer compared to that in winter because of a higher RH and higher percentage of water in the aerosol. In this situation, the contribution of aqTMI or Mn-surface was inhibited due to the low soluble TMI concentrations. Considering the positive relationships of SOR and RH in summer WD-14 field campaign, aqueous and surface sulfate formation contributions mentioned in the study could not explain the missing source of secondary sulfate. Because of the low pH range observed in WD-14 field campaign, the contributions from H₂O₂, NO₂, O₃ or NO₃ photolysis were negligible. The missing contribution may mainly come from other pathways such as photosensitizing molecules(Wang et al., 2020) under stronger UV in summer or contributions from hydroxymethane sulfonate(Moch et al., 2018;Ma et al., 2020) which need further studies.

3 Discussion and Conclusion

We evaluated the contribution of different pathways to secondary sulfate formation using a state-of-art size-segregated multiphase model constrained to the observed parameters from two field campaigns in the North China Plain. In addition, the effects of aerosol solution non-ideality on aqueous-phase reaction rates as well as dissolution equilibriums were considered in the calculations. The results indicated that the aqueous TMI-catalyzed oxidation pathway (aqTMI) was a major contributor to sulfate formation during haze episodes, which is consistent with the results of the isotope and WRF-CHEM studies (He et al., 2018;Shao et al., 2019;Li et al., 2020a;Yue et al., 2020;Tao et al., 2020).
Despite the dominant role of aqTMI in PKU-17 field campaign, contributions from other multiphase pathways are not negligible. Dominant pathways varied with conditions such as clear or haze periods in clouds or aerosol water. Fig. 4 exhibits the contribution of different oxidation pathways to sulfate formation in aerosol water (under different pollution levels), fog, and clouds to indicate the dominant factors of sulfate formation under different conditions. In clear periods, gas-phase oxidation of SO$_2$ by gas phase radicals (OH and SCI) happens continuously, contributing 0.01–0.6 μg/m$^3$/h to sulfate formation. At the clean time, sulfate production is mainly limited by relatively low SO$_2$ concentrations and low ALWC, which has promotion effects on the multiphase sulfate formation pathways. The average sulfate formation rate during clear days was 1.30 μg/m$^3$/h in winter and 2.13 μg/m$^3$/h in summer because of the generally higher ALWC in summer aerosol and much higher gas phase radical concentrations. Gas-phase radicals (OH and SCI) continuously oxidize SO$_2$ during the haze and clear periods.

External oxidizing substances such as NO$_2$ and O$_3$ had little contribution to sulfate formation during these haze periods because of the high aerosol acidity. High pH (near 7) values were observed in these field campaigns when the contribution of the NO$_2$ pathway was dominant at some point but not during the entire pollution process; its proportion was much lower than that of aqTMI. Although the enhancement factor of H$_2$O$_2$ oxidation was considered based on the measurement of previous study (Liu et al., 2020b), the contribution of H$_2$O$_2$ oxidation was still below 0.5 μg S(VI)/m$^3$/h because ALWC was about 10 times lower than 300 μg m$^{-3}$, which was used in previous studies (Cheng et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2020b).

The sulfate formation rate is limited by the ALWC according to equation (1). Aerosol particles have lower water content than cloud droplets, which provides larger space for aqueous phase reactions. Therefore, at the gas-phase SO$_2$ concentrations of 5–50 ppb, 10–100 times higher water content in fog and cloud droplets can cause higher sulfate formation rates up to 100 μg m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ assuming 0.1 g m$^{-3}$ water in clouds (Fig. 4). A high H$_2$O$_2$ concentration (1 ppb), which was 50 times higher than that in the PKU field campaign, was used in the calculation in the Cloud$_{5.0}$ regime (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016). No obvious contribution from the NO$_2$ oxidation pathway was observed in the PKU-17 and WD-14 field campaigns because of the lower pH range. As proposed in a previous study, the particulate nitrate photolysis can explain the missing source of sulfate in Beijing haze (Zheng et al., 2020). However, according to the recent laboratory report (Shi et al., 2021), the nitrate photolysis enhancement factor is no larger than 2 at all RH ranges. We also included the calculation of nitrate photolysis in this study due to the high loading of particle nitrate and found that the contribution was rather small (~0.008 μg m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ in winter haze periods); thus, we did not include this pathway in the figures.

According to our modeled results and the newest study (Wang et al., 2021), Mn surface reactions contributed a lot to sulfate formation. Except for possible Mn(OH)$_4$($^{3+}$) reacting with SO$_2$, Zhang et al. (2006) proposed that other metal oxides such as Fe$_2$O$_3$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ can also react with SO$_2$ on the surface of particles. While as mentioned above, the ratio of contributions from Mn-surface and aqTMI to produce sulfate will decrease with aerosol hygroscopic growth owning higher ALWC.

What’s more, the organic coating of aerosol particles can largely reduce the reactivity of surface reactions. High mass concentrations of organic aerosol (OA) were observed in Beijing both in winter and summer (Hu et al., 2016), based on measured result (Yu et al., 2019) from transmission electron microscopy, up to 74 % by a number of non-sea-salt sulfate
particles were coated with organic matter (OM). The organic coating can effectively reduce the reactive sites in the surface of particles hence reduce the reaction probability of SO$_2$ with surface metal. The organic coating will also influence the heterogeneous reaction rate mainly by changing the solubility and diffusion coefficient. The solubility of SO$_2$ in organic solvent changes a lot with the component of organic (Zhang et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2014a). While according to previous studies of SO$_2$ uptake coefficient with sea-salt aerosol (Gebel et al., 2000) and secondary organic aerosol (SOA) (Yao et al., 2019), no obvious uptake coefficient reduction was observed with the organic coating indicating the minor influence of the organic coating on bulk reactions. For these reasons, the surface reaction of SO$_2$ with Mn and other metals in actual aerosol conditions remain unclear, and the relevant calculation results of WD-14 and PKU-17 in this paper represent the upper limit of Mn-surface contribution. The contribution of SO$_2$ with organic matter to sulfate formation is another uncertainty. The missing contribution in WD-14 polluted conditions may mainly come from organic photosensitizing molecules such as HULIS (Wang et al., 2020) under stronger UV in summer or other SOA coupled mechanisms.

The results in this paper indicate that sulfate formation has different chemical behaviors in different conditions. Aqueous TMI-catalyzed oxidation was the most important pathway followed by the surface oxidation of Mn in both winter and summer, while the hydroxyl and criegee radicals oxidations contribute significantly in summer. Due to the differences in the physical and chemical properties between aerosol water, fog water and cloud, nitrogen dioxide oxidation is the dominant pathway in higher pH range and hydroperoxide and ozone oxidations dominated for the cloud. In model studies, the averaged and fixed values should be used dialectically and carefully in the calculation of sulfate formation rate because of the mutual restriction between factors such as pH, effective ion activity and concentration, and aerosol water content. Model evaluation or numerical calculations of secondary pollutants should focus on the application of actual atmospheric conditions observed in field campaigns with the application of closure study. Our results highlight the important role of aerosol aqTMI in sulfate formation during haze periods and the monitoring network of aerosol metal is necessary for the studies of secondary sulfate formation. The aqTMI independent of solar radiation also explains the explosive growth of sulfate production at night-time, which is frequently observed during haze episodes in the NCP.

Compared to the gas-phase oxidants, the control of anthropogenic emissions of aerosol TMI is conducive to the reduction of secondary sulfates. The promotion of clean energy strategies aiming at reducing coal burning to improve air quality in North China has reduced not only the primary emissions of SO$_2$ but also the anthropogenic emissions of aerosol TMI (Liu et al., 2018) and thus the production of secondary sulfate. Our findings showed that urban aerosol TMI contributes to sulfate formation during haze episodes and play a key role in developing mitigation strategies and public health measures in megacities worldwide, but the physicochemical processes of transition metals in particles require further research. Influences of organic matter and photosensitizing molecules on the solubility of transition metal and the mechanism of sulfate formation need further research to understand this complex and dynamic multiphase process from a broader perspective.
4 Methods

4.1 Sampling location and experimental methods

The data from the 2014 Wangdu (WD) and 2017 Peking University (PKU) field campaigns, both conducted in summer, were used in our analysis. The WD field campaign was carried out from June to July 2014 at a rural site in Hebei (38.70° N, 115.15° E) characterized by severe photochemical smog pollution (Tan et al., 2017; Song et al., 2020). The 2017 PKU campaign was performed from November to December 2017 at the campus of Peking University (39.99° N, 116.31° E), which is in the city centre of Beijing and characterized by strong local anthropogenic emissions from two major roads (Ma et al., 2019).

Observations from both field campaigns include gas-phase measurements of SO$_2$ and O$_3$ from commercial Thermo Scientific monitors and NO$_2$ detected after conversion through a custom-built photolytic converter with UV-LED at 395 nm; aerosol mass concentration from a set of commercial particle instruments containing Nano scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) and aerodynamic particle sizer (APS) to cover the size range of 3 nm to 10 μm. The In-situ Gas and Aerosol Compositions monitor (IGAC) (Young et al., 2016), which can collect gases and particles simultaneously, was used to measure water-soluble ions online with 1-h time resolution. Both gas and aerosol samples were injected into 10 mL glass syringes, which were connected to an ion chromatograph (IC) for analysis (30-min time resolution for each sample). The concentrations of eight water soluble inorganic ions (NH$_4^+$, Na$^+$, K$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, SO$_4^{2−}$, NO$_3^−$, and Cl$^−$) in fine particles were measured.

Transition metal (Fe and Cu) concentrations in PM$_{2.5}$ were measured using the Xact 625 Ambient Metal Monitor. With Xact, ambient air was introduced through a PM$_{2.5}$ cyclone inlet at a constant flow rate of 16.7 L min$^{-1}$ and collected on the reel-to-reel poly tetrafluoroethylene filter. Then trace elements in ambient fine particles on the filter were automatically detected using the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) standard method via x-ray fluorescence (XRF) analysis (Gao et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2019). Ambient temperature and pressure data were measured using commercial meteorological sensors; selected volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were measured via off-line gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) in tower measurements using sampling canisters and via online GC–MS in the surface campaign. The OH and HO$_2$ concentrations were measured via laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) with the time resolution of 30 s as described in previous study (Ma et al., 2019). The concentrations of gas-phase peroxides were measured using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, Agilent 1200, USA) with a time resolution of 21 min.

4.2 Brief overview of the PKU-MARK model

The Multiple-phAse Reaction Kinetic Model (PKU-MARK) was first developed to calculate the heterogeneous reaction rate of reactive gas molecules (Song et al., 2020). The units of aqueous reagents are converted to molecules· cm$^{-3}$ in the model by a factor $k_{mt}$, which combines both gas-phase molecular diffusion and liquid-phase interface mass transport processes (Schwartz, 1984; Schwartz, 1986) and used in the calculation for gas–liquid multiphase reactions in many modelling studies (Lelieveld and Crutzen, 1991; Chameides and Stelson, 1992a; Sander, 1999; Hanson et al., 1994; Song et al., 2020). In this
study, the PKU-MARK model was further developed with the correction of ionic strength for all ions and reactants and applied to a size-segregated system to investigate the influence of aerosol particle size distribution and ALWC distribution. Eleven bins of aerosol particle diameters and corresponding ALWC values were applied in the model. With the input of one-hour averaged parameters observed in the field campaign, the PKU-MARK model produced the state-state concentrations of aqueous reactants including reactive oxygen species (H₂O₂, O₃, OH, HO₂, O₂⁻), Fe (III), Mn (II), SO₂(aq), and NO₂(aq). Considering the mutual influence of various factors in the reaction system can effectively prevent bias caused by arbitrarily fixing a certain value as was often done in previous studies.

4.3 Calculation of aerosol pH, aerosol liquid water, and ionic strength

ALWC and aerosol pH were calculated using the ISORROPIA-II model and measured concentrations of inorganic ions in particles. ISORROPIA-II is a thermodynamic equilibrium model that predicts the physical state and composition of atmospheric inorganic aerosols. Its ability to predict pH has been demonstrated in detail in previous studies (Guo et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2015). Ionic strength was calculated via equation (2) (Ross and Noone, 1991):

\[ I_s = \frac{1}{2} \sum m_i \cdot z_i^2, \]  
(2)

where \( m_i \) is the molality of an ion (mol L⁻¹), and \( z_i \) is the corresponding charge. In the PKU-MARK model, reaction rates were replaced by the activity coefficient. The ionic strength was estimated using the ISORROPIA-II model assuming that the condensed phase is in the meta-stable state and complete external mixing state.

In order to consider the influence of particle diameter on aqueous SO₂ concentrations, which is key to calculate sulfate formation, we used a 11-bin actual particle diameter distribution rather than one even distribution used in previous studies (Cheng et al., 2016). The distribution of particle number concentration and water content is illustrated in Fig. S2. We also considered the distribution of ALWC in different particle diameter bins based on the κ–Köhler theory (Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007) using observed kappa values from High Humidity Tandem Differential Mobility Analyser (HH-TDMA) and the Twin Differential Mobility Particle Sizer (TDMPS)/APS (Bian et al., 2014). Calculated ALWC values were strongly correlated with the ISORROPIA-II results (Fig. S3).

To combine both gas-phase molecular diffusion and liquid-phase interface mass transport processes, the approach adopted in this study uses one variable called \( k_{mt} \) (Schwartz, 1984; Schwartz, 1986), which is used in multiphase reactions in many modelling studies (Lelieveld and Crutzen, 1991; Chameides and Stelson, 1992b; Sander, 1999; Hanson et al., 1994). The definition of \( k_{mt} \) is given in equation (3):

\[ k_{mt} = \left( \frac{R_d^2}{3D_g} + \frac{4R_d}{3v_{HO_2}d} \right)^{-1}, \]  
(3)

The rate of gas-phase reactions (\( X \)) diffusing and dissolving to the condensed phase can be calculated in the framework of aqueous-phase reactions as \( k_{mt,X} \times ALWC \) where \( X \) is the reactant molecule (please see Table S8 for more details). Moreover, the conversion rate of aqueous-phase reactions to gas-phase reactions can be calculated as \( k_{mt,X}^{HCEXRT} \). The unit of \( k_{mt} \)
is \( s^{-1} \), as \( k_{mt} \) contains the conversion from \( m_{air}^{-3} \) of the gas-phase molecule concentrations to \( m_{aq}^{-3} \) of the aqueous-phase molecule concentrations. Particle diameter can influence the mass transport rate of \( \text{SO}_2 \) and its aqueous concentration. Based on the model results of (Xue et al., 2016), diameter had an impact on sulfate formation rates: for larger particles (radius >1 \( \mu \)m), \( k_{mt} \) is determined by gas-phase diffusion; for smaller particles (radius <1 \( \mu \)m), \( k_{mt} \) is determined by the accommodation process. The PKU-MARK model can simultaneously simulate two-phase (gas and liquid) reaction systems in the same framework.

### 4.4 Model Evaluation

Concentrations of sulfate were calculated by integrating an extension of the Eulerian box model described in previous study (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016). Sulfate concentrations are related to dry deposition, transport, dilution as the boundary layer height (BLH) expands, emissions, and net production. Due to the higher and more dramatically diurnal changing BLH in summer (Lou et al., 2019), and the lack of relevant data in WD-14 field campaign, we could not get the modelled results of sulfate concentrations in summer haze periods. Direct emissions and transport of sulfate were not considered in the calculation because secondary sulfate is the predominant source in winter haze periods. Dilution was not considered either because the atmosphere is relatively homogeneous during winter haze episodes. Since haze events are normally accompanied by a low boundary layer height (Hb), Hb was set at 300 m at night-time and 450 m at noon (Xue et al., 2016). At other times, Hb was estimated using a polynomial (n = 2) regression as recommended in previous study (Xue et al., 2016). The diurnal trends of sulfate concentrations of the winter haze period using the deposition velocity of 1.5 cm/s are shown in Fig. 1 (c). Model results had the same trend with the observed values and could explain the missing source of sulfate aerosol to some extent.

Table 1. Averaged results of observed meteorological parameters, trace gases concentrations and calculated ALWC, ionic strength, pH and sulfate formation rates in different pollution conditions in two field campaigns (±1σ).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Clean</th>
<th>Slightly polluted</th>
<th>Polluted</th>
<th>Highly polluted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Winter</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH (%)</td>
<td>25.0±8.3</td>
<td>37.1±11.5</td>
<td>44.8±11.9</td>
<td>63.6±19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
<td>273.0±4.6</td>
<td>274.1±3.3</td>
<td>273.6±2.6</td>
<td>273.8±2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{SO}_2 ) (ppbV)</td>
<td>2.4±1.4</td>
<td>5.8±2.0</td>
<td>6.5±2.6</td>
<td>5.5±3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{NO}_2 ) (ppbV)</td>
<td>21.1±10.4</td>
<td>37.6±6.3</td>
<td>44.1±6.1</td>
<td>57.6±8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH (#/cm³)</td>
<td>(4.67±3.73)×10^5</td>
<td>(5.02±5.22)×10^5</td>
<td>(4.42±2.78)×10^5</td>
<td>(4.36±3.06)×10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 ) (pptV)</td>
<td>29.8±20.8</td>
<td>23.5±27.2</td>
<td>19.5±39.6</td>
<td>20.9±22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O}_3 ) (ppbV)</td>
<td>14.8±11.9</td>
<td>3.2±5.7</td>
<td>2.1±2.7</td>
<td>1.1±1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{SO}_4^{2-} ) (µg/m³)</td>
<td>3.5±1.5</td>
<td>6.4±3.5</td>
<td>8.3±4.2</td>
<td>16.6±6.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The concentration of Mn were estimated based on the ratio of Fe/Mn observed in urban Beijing in the literatures (summarized in Table S9).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe (ng/m³)</td>
<td>348.4±263.0</td>
<td>564.2±188.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>725.5±258.6</td>
<td>1300.6±289.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu (ng/m³)</td>
<td>7.0±5.0</td>
<td>13.8±4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.7±6.0</td>
<td>29.3±6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn (ng/m³)</td>
<td>12.4±9.4</td>
<td>20.1±6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25.9±9.2</td>
<td>46.5±10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALWC (μg/m³)</td>
<td>3.1±2.6</td>
<td>3.8±4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.9±15.6</td>
<td>82.4±67.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface area (μm²/cm³)</td>
<td>263.2±171.5</td>
<td>714.3±242.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1253.3±448.9</td>
<td>2628.6±1164.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>4.43±1.12</td>
<td>4.52±0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.93±0.57</td>
<td>4.77±0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionic Strength (M)</td>
<td>170.34±88.32</td>
<td>89.32±55.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61.59±38.7</td>
<td>36.27±36.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d[S(VI)]/dt (μg/m³/h)</td>
<td>1.3±1.88</td>
<td>2.25±2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.35±2.19</td>
<td>3.98±2.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RH (%)</td>
<td>69.5±17.9</td>
<td>64.4±18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66.4±13.0</td>
<td>65.6±7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
<td>296.5±3.6</td>
<td>298.5±4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>299.1±2.9</td>
<td>298.9±3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂ (ppbV)</td>
<td>2.4±2.0</td>
<td>4.6±4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.6±5.0</td>
<td>7.9±4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂ (ppbV)</td>
<td>8.7±4.9</td>
<td>9.6±5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.0±5.5</td>
<td>12.3±6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH (#/cm³)</td>
<td>(2.38±2.44)×10³</td>
<td>(3.27±3.21)×10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2.77±2.26)×10³</td>
<td>(3.50±3.38)×10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O₂ (pptV)</td>
<td>466.2±571.6</td>
<td>355.5±488.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>596.1±777.0</td>
<td>173.6±348.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₃ (ppbV)</td>
<td>46.0±30.3</td>
<td>50.9±30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53.0±26.6</td>
<td>48.5±28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂⁻ (μg/m³)</td>
<td>7.2±2.6</td>
<td>11.0±4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17.8±6.0</td>
<td>24.4±6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe (ng/m³)</td>
<td>521.6±286.6</td>
<td>469.3±151.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>535.2±177.0</td>
<td>730.9±156.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu (ng/m³)</td>
<td>26.6±18.8</td>
<td>37.7±31.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33.8±26.0</td>
<td>47.1±36.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn (ng/m³)</td>
<td>18.6±10.2</td>
<td>16.8±5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.1±6.3</td>
<td>26.1±5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALWC (μg/m³)</td>
<td>31.8±30.9</td>
<td>35.7±32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48.6±31.4</td>
<td>58.8±14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface area (μm²/cm³)</td>
<td>767.8±265.6</td>
<td>925.0±213.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1389.0±312.6</td>
<td>1711.1±729.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>4.48±0.48</td>
<td>4.19±0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.17±0.48</td>
<td>4.33±0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionic Strength (M)</td>
<td>20.04±17.53</td>
<td>25.44±20.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.27±14.06</td>
<td>24.2±9.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d[S(VI)]/dt (μg/m³/h)</td>
<td>2.13±2.03</td>
<td>3.81±4.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.79±5.66</td>
<td>5.6±4.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Three-hour average sulfate formation rates during haze periods in winter and summer (a)\&(b), corresponding effective Fe (III) concentrations and sulfate concentrations (c)\&(d), sulfate formation rates and SOR in different pollution levels in two field campaigns (e)\&(f).

The contributions to sulfate formation from each multiphase oxidant pathways including Mn-surface oxidant (green), gas phase OH radical and Stabilized Criegee Intermediates (SCIs) oxidant (pink), aqueous phase NO$_2$ (grey), O$_3$ (yellow), H$_2$O$_2$ (orange) and aqTMI (blue) were colored in the figure. Obvious particle growth and removal was observed in winter (26$^{th}$ to 31$^{st}$, December, 2017) and diurnal variation patterns of sulfate concentration were observed in summer (23$^{th}$ to 28$^{th}$, June, 2014). Diurnal trends of modeled winter period’s sulfate concentration (grey dash line) using deposition velocity as 1.5 cm/s are illustrated in panel (c). The dotted lines in the (e), winter and (f), summer indicate the SOR with pollution level in the whole campaigns and the capitalized letters “C”, “S”, “P”, “H” are the abbreviations for “Clean”, “Slightly polluted”, “Polluted” and “highly polluted”, respectively.
Figure 2: Multiphase sulfate production under actual ambient conditions (a,c) and averaged conditions (b,d) in winter (a, b) and summer (c, d) in the North China Plain.

Given the actual measured concentration, the steady-state concentration of each reactant was calculated using the MARK model accounting for the impact of ionic strength on the Henry’s law coefficient of the gas-phase reactants. Panels (a) and (c) show the cluster averaged results with a pH span of 0.5. Panels (b) and (d) show the sulfate formation rate obtained by fixing the average precursors levels during the haze periods and by changing the aerosol pH, which is consistent with the calculation method of previous studies (Cheng et al., 2016). Grey-shaded areas indicate the ISORROPIA-II (Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007) model calculated pH ranges during the haze periods of two field campaigns. The coloured solid lines represent sulfate production rates calculated for different multiphase reaction pathways with oxidants: enhanced H₂O₂, O₃, TMI, NO₂, surface Mn and gas-phase radicals (OH+SCIs). The solid orange line represents the calculated sulfate formation rate via H₂O₂ with a factor of 100 in winter and summer according to the latest research results (Liu et al., 2020b). Reactant concentrations, aqueous reaction rate expressions, and rate coefficients are summarized in the SI.
Figure 3: Variation of PM$_{2.5}$, ionic strength, aerosol pH, particle specific surface areas and sulfate formation rates from different pathways with aerosol liquid water content (ALWC) during winter field campaign.

The total number of valid data points shown in the figure is 479. The shaded area refer to the error bar ($\pm 1 \sigma$) of PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentration, aerosol ionic strength and pH calculated by ISORROPIA-II (Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007). Ratio in the second panel refers to the ratio of contributions from Mn-surface and aqTMI to produce sulfate. Particle specific surface areas represent the ratio of particle surface area ($\mu$m$^2$/cm$^3$) and mass concentration ($\mu$g/m$^3$).
Figure 4: Bar graph showing modelled contributions of various pathways to sulfate formation under different pollution conditions.

Different pollution conditions including clear (PM$_{2.5}$ smaller than 35 μg/m$^3$) in winter PKU 2017 (C_winter_4.3) and summer WD 2014 (C_summer_4.5); pollution (PM$_{2.5}$ larger than 75 μg/m$^3$) in PKU 2017 (H_winter_4.8), WD 2014 (H_summer_4.2); fog conditions used in a previous study (Xue et al., 2016) (Fog_winter_6.0) and cloud conditions (Cloud_5.5) simulated by Seinfeld and Pandis (2016). The number in each label indicates the average pH value chosen in these calculations. We assumed that the cloud water content is 0.1 g/m$^3$ in the last condition, and reduced the H$_2$O$_2$ concentration to 0.1 ppb compared to the high value used before (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016).
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