Urban aerosol chemistry at a land-water transition site during summer - Part 1: Impact of agricultural and industrial ammonia emissions

Nicholas Balasus[1], Michael A. Battaglia Jr.[1], Katherine Ball[1], Vanessa Caicedo[2], Ruben Delgado[2], Annmarine G. Carlton[3], and Christopher J. Hennigan[1]

[1]Department of Chemical, Biochemical, and Environmental Engineering, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD, 21250

[2]Joint Center for Earth Systems Technology, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD, 21250

[3]Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA

Correspondence: Christopher J. Hennigan (hennigan@umbc.edu)

Abstract. This study characterizes the impact of the Chesapeake Bay and associated meteorological phenomena on aerosol chemistry during the second Ozone Water-Land Environmental Transition Study (OWLETS-2) field campaign ~~during summer 2018~~, which took place from 4 June 2018 to 5 July 2018. Measurements of inorganic $PM_{2.5}$ composition, gas-phase ammonia (NH_3), and an array of meteorological parameters were undertaken at Hart-Miller Island (HMI), a land-water transition site just east of downtown Baltimore on the Chesapeake Bay. The observations at HMI were characterized by abnormally high NH_3 concentrations (maximum of 19.3 $\mu g\,m^{-3}$, average of 3.83 $\mu g\,m^{-3}$), which were more than a factor of three higher than NH_3 levels measured at the closest Atmospheric Ammonia Network (AMoN) site (approximately 45 km away). While sulfate concentrations at HMI agreed quite well with those measured at a regulatory monitoring station 45 km away, aerosol ammonium and nitrate concentrations were significantly higher, due to the ammonia-rich conditions that resulted from the elevated NH_3. The high NH_3 concentrations were largely due to regional agricultural emissions, including dairy farms in southeastern Pennsylvania and poultry operations in the Delmarva Peninsula (Delaware-Maryland-Virginia). Reduced NH_3 deposition during transport over the Chesapeake Bay likely contributed to enhanced concentrations at HMI compared to the more inland AMoN site. Several peak NH_3 events were recorded, including the maximum NH_3 observed during OWLETS-2, that appear to originate from a cluster of industrial sources near downtown Baltimore. Such events were all associated with nighttime emissions and advection to HMI under low wind speeds ($< 1\,m\,s^{-1}$) and stable atmospheric conditions. Our results demonstrate the importance of industrial sources, including several that are not represented in the emissions inventory, on urban air quality. Together with our companion paper, which examines aerosol liquid water and pH during OWLETS-2, we highlight unique processes affecting urban air quality of coastal cities that are distinct from continental locations.

1 Introduction

Inorganic salts, especially sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium, contribute a significant fraction of fine particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$) mass in the atmosphere. Ammonia (NH_3) is an important component in this system due to its reaction with acidic species,

especially H_2SO_4 (or HSO_4^-) and HNO_3, to form secondary $PM_{2.5}$. Volatility differences between H_2SO_4, HNO_3, and NH_3 result in a highly non-linear system that is strongly influenced by the aerosol liquid water content, and by small concentrations of nonvolatile aerosol species (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016; Guo et al., 2018). The impact of NH_3 on inorganic particulate

25   matter formation has been extensively studied (e.g., Adams et al., 1999; Ansari and Pandis, 1998; Pinder et al., 2008; Bian et al., 2017). A common finding among these studies, and others, is that the NH_3-H_2SO_4-HNO_3-H_2O system is characterized by "ammonia rich" and "ammonia poor" chemical regimes that produce substantial differences in aerosol composition and mass. These differences have direct implications for aerosol effects on public health (Pozzer et al., 2017; Paulot and Jacob, 2014), nutrient deposition and ecosystem health (Nenes et al., 2020; Bergström and Jansson, 2006), and global climate change

30   (Turnock et al., 2019).

Emissions of the secondary aerosol precursors SO_2, NO_x, and NH_3 directly affect regional $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations (Pinder et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2013). Air quality regulations in the US have substantially decreased SO_2 and NO_x emissions, leading to decreases in ambient $PM_{2.5}$ (Hand et al., 2012a), while NH_3 has remained largely unregulated. Reductions of NH_3 emissions have been suggested as a cost-effective way to reduce $PM_{2.5}$, as well (Pinder et al., 2007; Backes et al., 2016). Observations

35   of wet deposition suggest NH_3 emissions have actually been rising over the past few decades (Keene et al., 2014). Globally, agricultural activity (from livestock and fertilizer application to crops) is the dominant source of NH_3 emissions (Paulot et al., 2015; Pinder et al., 2006; Sutton et al., 2013). Synthetic fertilizer production has recently been identified as an intense local NH_3 source (Van Damme et al., 2018), though its contribution to global emissions is likely small compared to volatilization from synthetic fertilizer applications (Bouwman et al., 1997).

40   While agriculture collectively emits a dominant fraction of NH_3 globally, numerous other sources contribute to local or regional NH_3 levels, especially in urban areas that are not in close proximity to major agricultural operations. Motor vehicles equipped with catalytic converters can reduce NO to NH_3 in an undesired side reaction, resulting in significant NH_3 emissions (Perrino et al., 2002; Sun et al., 2017; Kean et al., 2009). Anaerobic protein degradation produces NH_3, as well, resulting in substantial atmospheric emissions from anthropogenic sources present in all urban areas, including landfills, composting, and

45   wastewater treatment (Rittmann and McCarty, 2001; Artíñano et al., 2018). Numerous industrial sources emit NH_3 (Meng et al., 2017), while natural sources (e.g., natural vegetation, wild animals, and large bodies of water) contribute to background NH_3 levels (Paulot et al., 2015; Sutton et al., 2013). Biomass burning also emits NH_3, though the effects are often seasonal and are most pronounced in regions with intense burning activities (Paulot et al., 2017). In the eastern US, biomass burning intensity is higher in spring and fall than in the summer (Washenfelder et al., 2015).

50   Urban air quality is controlled by emissions from multiple sectors, meteorology, and dynamic chemical processes that evolve throughout the day. Coastal cities often experience contributions from unique sources (e.g., ocean and shipping emissions), with chemical processes in the polluted marine boundary layer that are distinct from other regions in the atmosphere (e.g., de Gouw et al., 2005; Vutukuru and Dabdub, 2008). Further, meteorological processes associated with the land-water transition can exert a controlling effect on urban air quality that are absent in continental cities. One such phenomenon is

55   the land-sea breeze (or bay breeze), which can prevent or reduce dispersion and contribute to enhanced secondary pollutant formation by recirculating primary urban emissions (Arya, 1999). This contributes to ozone exceedances and increased PM

concentrations in highly populated coastal regions (Loughner et al., 2011; Caicedo et al., 2019). Understanding this process is critical since approximately 40% of the US population lives in Coastal Shoreline Counties (NOAA, 2013). The purpose of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) and Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE)-sponsored
60 Ozone Water-Land Environmental Transitions Study (OWLETS-2) was to characterize the impacts of the Chesapeake Bay and its associated meteorological phenomena on air pollution formation and transport near Baltimore (Sullivan et al., 2019). In this study, we characterize secondary aerosol formation and sources of elevated NH_3 measured at Hart-Miller Island, MD, a land-water transition site near Baltimore. In a companion paper, we analyze the effects of the Chesapeake Bay and elevated NH_3 on aerosol liquid water content and aerosol pH during OWLETS-2 (Battaglia Jr. et al., in review, 2021).

2 Methods

2.1 Site Description

The OWLETS-2 campaign was a field study with multiple measurement platforms coordinating simultaneous water and land measurements of trace gases, aerosols, and meteorological parameters. This study presents analysis of inorganic aerosol composition and gas-phase ammonia measurements made at the Hart-Miller Island (HMI, Fig. 1) supersite. Hart-Miller Island is a
70 state park located on the Chesapeake Bay, approximately 22 km from downtown Baltimore.

All measurements were made in the University of Maryland, Baltimore County (UMBC) trailer located on the southeastern side of the island (39.2421°, -76.3627°). Continuous measurements were conducted from 4 June 2018 to 5 July 2018. Several gaps in data collection occurred due to periodic power outages on the island.

Comparisons of the HMI measurements were made to two nearby inland sites: Beltsville and Howard University-Beltsville
75 (HUB), approximately 46 km and 50 km southwest of HMI, respectively. Beltsville (39.0280°, -76.8171°) is a National Atmospheric Deposition Program Atmospheric Ammonia Site (AMoN, http://nadp.slh.wisc.edu/amon/) and was used for gas-phase NH_3 comparisons. HUB (39.0553°, -76.8783°) is a regulatory monitoring site maintained and operated by MDE and was used for comparisons of speciated $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations.

2.2 NH_3 Measurements

80 An AiRRmonia analyzer (RR Mechatronics, The Netherlands) was deployed for measurements of gas-phase ammonia (Norman et al., 2009). The analyzer was enclosed in a weatherproof aluminum box (non-temperature controlled) and placed on top of the UMBC trailer (~4 m inlet height). The AiRRmonia uses a 5 cm stainless steel inlet (I.D. = 0.2 cm) to minimize sampling artifacts associated with gas adsorption to inlet surfaces (Schmohl et al., 2001; Ellis et al., 2010; von Bobrutzki et al., 2010). The instrument samples air at a rate of 1 L min^{-1}, giving a gas residence time of ~0.01 s in the inlet. Ammonia in the
85 sampled air is absorbed through a gas-permeable polytetrafluoroethylene membrane into an acidic aqueous stripping solution with close to 100% collection efficiency (Wyers et al., 1993). NaOH is then added to raise the pH so that the collected NH_3 (present as NH_4^+) is shifted to the NH_3 form, facilitating transfer of NH_3 through another gas-permeable membrane into a

separate flow of deionized water. Dual conductivity detectors measure the difference in conductivity between the deionized water before and after this transfer, enabling quantification of sampled NH_3 (Norman et al., 2009; von Bobrutzki et al., 2010). The temperature-dependent conductivity was calibrated approximately daily during OWLETS-2 using aqueous NH_4^+ standards. The instrument's operating principles, including gas collection efficiency and lack of response to aerosol NH_4^+, have been characterized previously (Wyers et al., 1993; Erisman et al., 2001). The NH_3 measurements during OWLETS-2 were conducted with 10-minute resolution.

2.3 PILS-IC

Speciated inorganic $PM_{2.5}$ measurements were made with a Particle-into-Liquid Sampler (PILS) coupled to dual anion-cation ion chromatographs (IC, model 850 Dual IC, Metrohm), collectively referred to as the PILS-IC (Orsini et al., 2003). Ambient air was sampled at $16.0\,\mathrm{L\,min^{-1}}$ through a $PM_{2.5}$ cyclone (URG Corp.) and two annular denuders in series (URG Corp.) to remove acidic (e.g., HNO_3, HCl) and basic gases (e.g., NH_3). The PILS sample was split and simultaneously analyzed for concentrations of both cations (Na^+, NH_4^+, K^+, Ca^{2+}, and Mg^{2+}) and anions (Cl^-, NO_3^-, SO_4^{2-}, and $C_2O_4^{2-}$) according to (Valerino et al., 2017).

For anion analysis, the IC utilized a Metrosep A Supp 5 column (150 mm x 4.0 mm) with 1.0 mM $NaHCO_3$ and 3.2 mM Na_2CO_3 eluent at a flowrate of $0.7\,\mathrm{mL\,min^{-1}}$. For cation analysis, the IC utilized a Metrosep C 4 column (150 mm x 4.0 mm) that was run with 2.5 mM HNO_3 and 0.5 mM $C_2H_2O_4$ eluent at a flowrate of $0.9\,\mathrm{mL\,min^{-1}}$. In the anion analysis, conductivity measurements were preceded by chemical suppression to reduce conductivity of the eluent. This configuration of the PILS-IC resulted in a limit of detection of $0.01\,\mathrm{\mu g\,m^{-3}}$ (air concentration) for each ion and a 5-min integrated sample every 20 minutes.

2.4 HYSPLIT Model

The Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT, https://www.ready.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php) model was utilized to investigate source influences during OWLETS-2 (Stein et al., 2015). The model allows for air parcel trajectories, including the back-trajectory analyses used here, to infer regional source influences for the air masses sampled at HMI. High-Resolution Rapid Refresh (HRRR) 3 km meteorological data were ~~primarily~~ used in generating back trajectories ~~(unless otherwise indicated)~~. The uncertainty and limitations of the HYSPLIT model are worth noting here, especially in accounting for small-scale circulations. As a result, for all events discussed below, HYSPLIT trajectories were crosschecked with measured surface wind conditions and vertical wind fields measured from the meteorological station and a Doppler wind lidar (Leosphere Windcube 200S) deployed at HMI. Meteorological measurements of temperature, relative humidity, and surface wind speed and direction were made with the Vaisala MAWS201 Met Station at 1-minute resolution throughout the study.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Ammonia

During the OWLETS-2 campaign, gas-phase ammonia was characterized by unusually high concentrations. The average NH_3 concentration ($3.83\ \mu g\ m^{-3}$) at HMI was more than three times greater than NH_3 at the Beltsville AMoN site ($1.20\ \mu g\ m^{-3}$) during the summer of 2018 (Fig. 2a), a difference that was statistically significant at the 99% confidence level (p-value < 0.01). While average summertime NH_3 shows an increasing trend at Beltsville, the concentrations measured at HMI in 2018 far-exceed recent summertime levels at Beltsville. The HMI NH_3 concentrations were also compared to two other AMoN sites (Fig. 1): Blackwater (~90 km from HMI; 38.4449°, -76.1112°) and ~~Ardentsville~~ Arendtsville (~110 km from HMI; 39.9231°, -77.3078°). Both of these sites are located in much closer proximity to strong agricultural NH_3 emissions, as Blackwater is located on the Eastern Shore of Maryland and ~~Ardentsville~~ Arendtsville is located in southeastern Pennsylvania. Their average summer NH_3 concentrations for 2018 were 57% (Blackwater, $1.66\ \mu g\ m^{-3}$) and 49% (~~Ardentsville~~ Arendtsville, $1.96\ \mu g\ m^{-3}$) lower than those measured at HMI during OWLETS-2. In a previous deployment of the AiRRmonia analyzer at the Beltsville AMoN site (unpublished work), the average concentrations measured by the AiRRmonia over a two-week span (6 September 2016–20 September 2016) were within ~$0.5\ \mu g\ m^{-3}$ of the co-located AMoN NH_3 measurements, suggesting that systematic measurement differences are not responsible for the trends seen in Fig. 2a.

3.2 Impact on Aerosol Composition

Before investigating the sources of such high NH_3 concentrations, we first characterize the effects on aerosol composition, since NH_3 contributes significantly to secondary aerosol formation (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016). Note that our companion manuscript analyzes the effects of the high NH_3 concentrations on aerosol liquid water and acidity (pH) (Battaglia Jr. et al., in review, 2021).

In the US, SO_4^{2-} concentrations have decreased due to regulations and economic factors that have reduced SO_2 emissions (Hand et al., 2012a). This trend is evident at HU-Beltsville (Fig. 2b), where the average summertime SO_4^{2-} concentration has decreased by more than a factor of eight since 2007 ($8.23\ \mu g\ m^{-3}$ versus $0.94\ \mu g\ m^{-3}$). Note that the data shown in Fig. 2 only include the summer months (June, July, and August) to facilitate direct comparisons to the conditions during OWLETS-2. In contrast to the NH_3 results, the average SO_4^{2-} concentration at HMI ($1.11\ \mu g\ m^{-3}$) was quite close to the average concentration at HUB ($0.94\ \mu g\ m^{-3}$), a difference that was not significant at the 95% confidence level (p-value > 0.1). This suggests that the high NH_3 concentrations at HMI did not have a large effect on particulate sulfate mass concentrations, consistent with the understanding of SO_4^{2-} thermodynamics and results from a study in the southeast US (Weber et al., 2016). The agreement in SO_4^{2-} concentrations between HMI and HU-Beltsville is unsurprising given the regional nature of SO_2 emissions and the low spatial variability of SO_4^{2-} levels in the eastern US (Beyersdorf et al., 2016).

While SO_4^{2-} concentrations were typical for the region, the elevated NH_3 did affect the particulate NH_4^+ and NO_3^- concentrations. An ammonia-rich environment, defined when [Total Ammonia] > 2x[Total Sulfate], can facilitate NH_4NO_3 aerosol for-

mation (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016). The system measured at HMI was ammonia-rich for approximately 25% of the OWLETS-2
campaign.

As shown in Fig. 2c, the average NH_4^+ concentration at HMI was more than 50% higher than the summertime average
at HU-Beltsville (0.38 $\mu g\,m^{-3}$ and 0.23 $\mu g\,m^{-3}$, respectively), statistically significant at the 99% confidence level (p-value
<0.01). The NH_4^+ concentrations at HMI were higher than any of the past five summertime averages measured at HU-Beltsville,
demonstrating the influence of elevated NH_3. Aerosol NO_3^- was also significantly elevated at HMI compared to historical and
2018 summertime levels at HU-Beltsville (Fig. 2d). The HMI average NO_3^- (0.36 $\mu g\,m^{-3}$) was more than a factor of two higher
than at HU-Beltsville (0.14 $\mu g\,m^{-3}$), also significant at the 99% confidence level (p-value <0.01). The NO_3^- concentrations at
HMI were also higher than typical summertime levels in the eastern US (Sickles II and Shadwick, 2008). The high average
NO_3^- concentration during OWLETS-2 was heavily influenced by several peak events where the NO_3^- concentration exceeded
3 $\mu g\,m^{-3}$ (Fig. S1).

Another notable aspect of the NO_3^- observed during OWLETS-2 was the diurnal profile (Fig. S2a). Formation of NH_4NO_3 is
favored by both lower temperature and higher relative humidity (Stelson and Seinfeld, 1982). Thus, the observed midday peak
in NO_3^- coincided with the most unfavorable meteorological conditions ~~Figure xx in~~ (Fig. 1 in Battaglia Jr. et al., in review,
2021). More typical summertime diurnal profiles of NO_3^- in the eastern US exhibit a maximum around 06:00–07:00 LT and an
afternoon minimum (Xu et al., 2015; Poulain et al., 2011; Weber, 2003; Wittig et al., 2004). The NO_3^- diurnal profile observed
during OWLETS-2 indicates the important effect of the elevated NH_3 during the campaign and will be discussed in more detail
below.

NH_4^+ and NO_3^- concentrations were elevated at HMI compared to the historical data (Fig. 2). In the eastern US, the $PM_{2.5}$
mass fraction of NH_4NO_3 peaks in the winter with a minimum in the summer (Wittig et al., 2004). Ammonium sulfate,
however, is consistently one of the largest fractions of $PM_{2.5}$ mass in the eastern US (Hand et al., 2012b). For the OWLETS-2
study, the coefficient of determination (R^2) for the linear correlation (least squares regression analysis) between $2\times(SO_4^{2-}$ +
NO_3^-) and NH_4^+ was 0.90 (Fig. 3), while the R^2 value for the linear relationship between SO_4^{2-} and NH_4^+ was only 0.57 (not
shown), indicating the importance of NH_4NO_3 and the ammonia-rich conditions during OWLETS-2.

Finally, it should be noted that NO_3^- concentrations can be affected by interactions between nitric acid and sodium chloride
(Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016). This can have a large impact on aerosol composition in coastal urban areas (Athanasopoulou
et al., 2008). However, sodium chloride aerosols, while observed periodically in the fine mode during the campaign, were not
frequent enough or high enough in magnitude (max Na + Cl = 0.91 $\mu g\,m^{-3}$) to suggest a strong influence of primary aerosol
emissions from the Chesapeake Bay.

3.3 Characterization of Ammonia Sources

Source locations of the high NH_3 events were investigated using the NOAA HYSPLIT model. Trajectories produced by the
model were checked for consistency with vertical wind fields measured by Doppler wind lidar (when available, with the one
discrepancy noted in Table 1). Back trajectories were initialized from HMI (50 m altitude) at the time corresponding to each
NH_3 measurement. Air mass back trajectories were run based upon a recent study's estimate of 15 hours for the average NH_3

lifetime (Hauglustaine et al., 2014), though other studies have estimated much lower NH_3 lifetimes for point sources (Dammers et al., 2019). Figure 4 shows the ensemble of all back trajectories for the campaign colored by the measured NH_3 concentration.
Overall, the back trajectory origins were consistent with predominant surface winds during the campaign. Figure 4 also shows that elevated NH_3 concentrations were not limited to trajectories originating from a single direction or region.

A subset of the trajectories in Fig. 4 was further investigated to identify the potential sources of peak NH_3 events. When both particle-phase and gas-phase ammonia were measured, total ammonia and gas-phase ammonia correlated strongly (R = 0.9927), showing that gas-phase ammonia is an effective tracer for total ammonia for this campaign. Eleven distinct events had peak ~~NH3~~ NH_3 concentrations above the 95th percentile (> 7.96 µg m^{-3}) for the OWLETS-2 study (Fig. 5). Back trajectories for each event are shown in Fig. 6. Altitudes of all back trajectories were below 1 km over the postulated source region.

Agriculture represents the largest source of atmospheric NH_3 emissions globally (Sutton et al., 2013). Agricultural NH_3 emissions stem from fertilizer and livestock management (Pinder et al., 2006). Previous studies have linked high livestock NH_3 emissions with particulate nitrate formation (Xu et al., 2019; Paulot et al., 2016; Sorooshian et al., 2008). HMI is located in relatively close proximity to several areas of concentrated livestock production (Fig. 7). To the east and southeast of HMI, the Delmarva (Delaware-Maryland-Virginia) Peninsula is a large poultry producing region. The emissions from these chicken houses have been characterized by ground measurements (Siefert et al., 2004) and captured by satellite measurements (Warner et al., 2017). Additionally, there are large concentrations of dairy cows in southeastern Pennsylvania, also shown in Fig. 7. The NH_3 emissions in this area are some of the highest in the country for dairy cows (Pinder et al., 2004b). While dairy cow populations are relatively minor in Maryland, poultry emissions could be a significant emitter in the same region of Pennsylvania where dairy cows are concentrated (Fig. S3). The back trajectories identify events #1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 11 as having likely agricultural influence (Table 1), consistent with the agricultural hotspots identified here (Fig. 7, Fig. S3). Additionally, the source for event #3 is ambiguous, but has the potential to be the poultry sources discussed above.

While agricultural emissions were the likely source of many peak events, several events - including the highest NH_3 concentration measured during the campaign - appear to have a non-agricultural origin. Specifically, the trajectories for events #8–10 pass directly over the city of Baltimore and do not appear to intersect with any major agricultural sources in the 15 hours prior to arrival at HMI. A number of urban and industrial NH_3 sources are known, including motor vehicles (Bishop and Stedman, 2015; Sun et al., 2017) and fertilizer production plants (Van Damme et al., 2018). Ammonia is produced when proteins are broken down under anaerobic conditions (Rittmann and McCarty, 2001), causing landfills (Sutton et al., 2000), waste composting and processing (Sutton et al., 2013; Pagans et al., 2006), and wastewater treatment plants (Reche et al., 2015; Artíñano et al., 2018) to emit significant quantities of NH_3, as well.

The contribution of traffic to events #8–10 was likely quite small due to a combined R^2 value of 0.201 between NH_3 and CO for the duration of the three events (based on CO measurements co-located at HMI). NH_3 and CO are correlated in vehicle emissions (Kean et al., 2009; Perrino et al., 2002); however, for these events, high NH_3 was observed without a spike in CO. We acknowledge order-of-magnitude differences in the atmospheric lifetimes of CO and NH_3 would alter correlations downwind from sources co-emitting both pollutants, but in this case, the species with the longer lifetime (CO) did not show an

enhancement during the NH$_3$ events, suggesting mobile sources were not a significant factor here. The trajectories for events #8–10 identify a cluster of potential industrial NH$_3$ sources (Fig. 8).

The potential NH$_3$ sources for events #8–10 include the Back River Wastewater Treatment Plant (*A* in Fig. 8), the Patapsco Wastewater Treatment Plant (*B*), a W.R. Grace Chemical Production Facility (*C*), the Quarantine Road Landfill (*D*), a large-scale composting facility (*E*), and Yara Fertilizer Distributor (*F*). Of these facilities, only W.R. Grace (224.1 tons yr^{-1}) and the Composting Facility (1.03 tons yr^{-1}) are permitted to release NH$_3$ by the state of Maryland (MDE, 2019a,b). However, the non-permitted sites are likely major NH$_3$ emitters, based upon urban NH$_3$ sources previously identified in the literature. It is likely that emissions from multiple sources collectively contributed to NH$_3$ levels during these events, however, the potential sources are too close together to distinguish or estimate individual contributions.

It is important to note that numerous back trajectories also passed over this cluster of industrial sources during times when NH$_3$ was not elevated. However, events #8–10 share characteristics that explain the high NH$_3$ levels at HMI. The peak concentration of each event occurred between 07:00–08:00 LT (Table 1). Additionally, the events had extremely low wind speeds of 0.8 m s^{-1}, 0.7 m s^{-1}, and 0.6 m s^{-1} respectively, all well below the campaign average of 2.9 m s^{-1} (Table 1). These values were below the 10th percentile of all wind speeds measured at HMI during OWLETS-2.

With such low wind speeds, transport time for the ~18 km distance between the cluster of NH$_3$ sources near downtown Baltimore and HMI would be ~7–8 hours for events #8–10, suggesting that the original emissions occurred near midnight. Low wind speeds occurring at night correspond to the most stable atmospheric conditions and to a low boundary layer, which together enable elevated pollutant concentrations downwind of point sources (Arya, 1999). For example, event #10 had a peak NH$_3$ concentration ~15.5 μg m^{-3} higher than the campaign average (3.8 μg m^{-3}). Under Pasquill-Gifford stability class F (nighttime, low winds), the required point source emission rate is two orders of magnitude lower (1 g s^{-1} vs. 172 g s^{-1}) than it is under stability class B (slight daytime solar radiation, low winds) to give the same 15.5 μg m^{-3} NH$_3$ enhancement at HMI. This illustrative example shows ~~that~~ the potential for atmospheric stability to impact downwind surface concentration enhancement. Specifically, for this study, urban sources with moderate NH$_3$ ~~emission rates~~ emissions can have a profound effect on downwind NH$_3$ levels under the most stable atmospheric conditions.

Further, events #8–10 also occurred shortly before onsets of the Chesapeake Bay breeze. The convergence between an offshore synoptic flow and the breeze creates a period of stagnation or calm winds that favor the accumulation of pollutants (Caicedo et al., 2019; Loughner et al., 2014). Additionally, the early morning occurrence of events #8–10 also suggests a low dilution volume as the marine boundary layer is only beginning its convective regime (Stull, 1988). Combined, calm winds and relatively shallow boundary layers are important factors contributing to the high NH$_3$ concentrations.

Natural sources of NH$_3$ can represent the dominant source of emissions in some regions (Paulot et al., 2015; Sutton et al., 2013). The Chesapeake Bay can be a source or sink for NH$_3$ in the summertime (Larsen et al., 2001); however, the emission factors reported by Larsen et al. (2001) suggest a relatively minor influence of Chesapeake Bay emissions compared to the agricultural and industrial sources discussed above.

3.4 Temperature Effects

Temperature plays an important role in NH_3 emissions from fertilizer and animal waste (Robarge et al., 2002; Pleim et al., 2013). This contributes to strong seasonal and diurnal profiles of agricultural NH_3 emissions (Warner et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2015; Pinder et al., 2004a). The average diurnal profile of NH_3 (Fig. 9a) shows enhanced concentrations between the hours of 07:00–15:00 LT, consistent with temperature-driven emissions. Overall, NH_3 concentrations rose with increasing temperature (Fig. 9b); however, there was a lot of scatter in the data ($R^2 = 0.05$ for individual (10-min) measurements of NH_3-temperature over the entire campaign). In the absence of strong local sources of NH_3 emissions, ambient observations are most impacted by transport. At HMI, this includes diverse source sectors from different areas that correspond to various time lags in emission-to-observation. This confounds strict interpretation of temperature-dependent emission relationships.

3.5 Deposition Effects

Several factors likely explain why the NH_3 concentrations observed at HMI were more than three times higher than those observed at Beltsville (Fig. 2a). Due to differences in proximity to the city of Baltimore (Beltsville is ~45 km from downtown Baltimore) and to the Chesapeake Bay (e.g., bay breeze circulation), Beltsville was not affected by the identified industrial areas as severely as Hart-Miller Island. Further, when the wind direction may have facilitated transport from downtown Baltimore to Beltsville, the atmospheric conditions were not typically stable, giving rise to much lower downwind concentrations. However, the trajectory analysis (not shown) indicates that Beltsville frequently received air masses that had recently passed over the agricultural areas of the Delmarva peninsula, the most common source of extreme NH_3 concentrations at HMI (Table 1).

The most likely explanation for the higher concentrations at HMI is a dramatic difference in the bi-directional flux of NH_3 during transport to each site. The path from the Delmarva Peninsula to Hart-Miller Island consists primarily of transport over water (the Chesapeake Bay), while the path for transport to Beltsville includes significantly more time and distance over land (~40 km). The dry deposition velocity of gases is typically lower over water than over land, especially forested regions like the land surrounding the Beltsville AMoN site (Li et al., 2019). Additionally, the Chesapeake Bay can be a net source of ~~NH3~~ NH_3 during the summer (Larsen et al., 2001), suggesting deposition can be, on a net basis, quite small over the body of water. A recent modeling study found that reactive nitrogen undergoes much higher dry deposition to the coastline bordering the Chesapeake Bay compared to rates over water (Loughner et al., 2016). The NH_3 measurements at Beltsville are two-week integrated samples, precluding a direct comparison to the HMI measurements and quantitative estimates of NH_3 lost to dry deposition during transport. However, dry deposition of NH_3 is a key uncertainty in accurate NH_3 predictions (Pleim et al., 2013), so future studies should examine this question directly. The dry deposition of NH_3 and HNO_3 are affected by the aerosol liquid water content and particle pH (Nenes et al., 2020), and this will be analyzed in our companion paper.

4 Conclusions

280 Semi-continuous measurements of aerosol chemical composition and gas-phase NH_3 were carried out during summer 2018 as part of the OWLETS-2 field campaign. The measurement site was located in close proximity to the land-water transition between the Chesapeake Bay and downtown Baltimore, MD. Sulfate levels were in line with historical trends and with concentrations measured at the same time in other locations near Baltimore. However, average aerosol NO_3^- and NH_4^+ concentrations were significantly elevated compared to the average concentrations at a regulatory monitoring site ~45 km away. The elevated
285 aerosol concentrations were likely driven by gas-phase NH_3, as higher background levels and episodic spikes contributed to average NH_3 concentrations that were more than three times higher than the average levels measured at a nearby (~45 km) AMoN site.

An array of sources likely contributed to the elevated NH_3 concentrations. Agricultural emissions from poultry operations in the Delmarva Peninsula and dairy cattle in southeastern Pennsylvania were the most frequent sources of high NH_3 at HMI.
290 Low dry deposition velocities over the Chesapeake Bay enabled efficient transport of NH_3 (and likely HNO_3, as well) to the site. Under stable atmospheric conditions, industrial NH_3 emissions from a cluster of sources near downtown Baltimore also contributed to several peak NH_3 events, including the highest NH_3 concentrations measured during OWLETS-2 (> 19 $\mu g\,m^{-3}$). These observations demonstrate the complex interplay between emissions, transport, and meteorology in affecting urban aerosol chemistry. Our companion study examines the effects of the unique meteorology and high NH_3 concentrations
295 on aerosol liquid water content and acidity (pH) (Battaglia Jr. et al., in review, 2021).

Prior studies have shown that sulfate is regional in nature in the eastern US, exhibiting low spatial variability over tens of kilometers (Beyersdorf et al., 2016). Our results are consistent with these findings, suggesting that meteorological phenomena such as the bay breeze circulation or urban heat islands (Battaglia Jr. et al., 2017) do not substantially alter sulfate levels in this region. On the contrary, our results suggest strong gradients of reactive nitrogen species in the aerosol and gas-phases,
300 which will also produce periodic spatial gradients (including episodic spikes) in $PM_{2.5}$ mass. The relatively short duration of these events and their dependence on proximity to water suggests they may not be well captured by regulatory monitoring (or longer-term monitoring for deposition networks). These results likely have implications for atmospheric chemistry and air quality in other coastal cities, including those outside of the eastern US. Our results suggest that analyses of urban air quality may be more illuminating if the urban areas are, themselves, segregated into coastal and inland locations. For example, the
305 AeroCom study evaluated a number of global aerosol models using predictions in urban and remote locations as key indicators of model predictive skill (Tsigaridis et al., 2014). Our results highlight important differences in sources and processes affecting coastal versus inland urban areas that may have a determining effect on aerosol composition and concentrations.

Code availability. Publicly available code (https://github.com/AirChem/HYSPLITcontrol) was used to run back trajectories in bulk and scale their color by user-input data (code courtesy of Dr. Glenn Wolfe, NASA/GSFC and UMBC/JCET).

310 *Data availability.* Data are available at https://www-air.larc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/ArcView/owlets.2018.

Author contributions. CH, AC, and RD conceived the analysis and study participation. MB, NB, and KB collected and analyzed the PILS-IC and NH$_3$ data. NB and CH conducted the trajectory and source identification analyses. VC and AC provided analytical input and interpretation. NB and CH wrote the manuscript. All authors provided feedback and revisions to the manuscript.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

315 *Acknowledgements.* A.G.C. and C.J.H. acknowledge funding from the National Science Foundation, AGS-1719252 and AGS-1719245. R.D. and V.C. acknowledge support by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration – Cooperative Science Center for Earth System Sciences and Remote Sensing Technologies under the Cooperative Agreement Grant #: NA16SEC4810008. N.B. and K.B. received support through the NOAA Office of Education, Educational Partnership Program with Minority Serving Institutions (EPP/MSI).

References

320   Adams, P. J., Seinfeld, J. H., and Koch, D. M.: Global concentrations of tropospheric sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium aerosol simulated in a general circulation model, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 104, 13 791–13 823, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900083, 1999.

Ansari, A. S. and Pandis, S. N.: Response of inorganic PM to precursor concentrations, Environ. Sci. Technol., 32, 2706–2714, https://doi.org/10.1021/es971130j, 1998.

Artíñano, B., Pujadas, M., Alonso-Blanco, E., Becerril-Valle, M., Coz, E., Gómez-Moreno, F. J., Salvador, P., Nuñez, L., Palacios, M., and
325   Diaz, E.: Real-time monitoring of atmospheric ammonia during a pollution episode in Madrid (Spain), Atmos. Environ., 189, 80–88, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.06.037, 2018.

Arya, S. P.: Air pollution meteorology and dispersion, vol. 6, Oxford University Press New York, 1999.

Athanasopoulou, E., Tombrou, M., Pandis, S. N., and Russell, A. G.: The role of sea-salt emissions and heterogeneous chemistry in the air quality of polluted coastal areas, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 5755–5769, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-5755-2008, 2008.

330   Backes, A. M., Aulinger, A., Bieser, J., Matthias, V., and Quante, M.: Ammonia emissions in Europe, part II: How ammonia emission abatement strategies affect secondary aerosols, Atmos. Environ., 126, 153–161, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.11.039, 2016.

Battaglia Jr., M. A., Douglas, S., and Hennigan, C. J.: Effect of the urban heat island on aerosol pH, Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 13 095–13 103, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b02786, 2017.

Battaglia Jr., M. A., Balasus, N., Ball, K., Caicedo, V., Delgado, R., Carlton, A. G., and Hennigan, C. J.: Urban aerosol chemistry at
335   a land-water transition site during summer – Part 2: Aerosol pH and liquid water content, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss. [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2021-368, in review, 2021.

Bergström, A.-K. and Jansson, M.: Atmospheric nitrogen deposition has caused nitrogen enrichment and eutrophication of lakes in the northern hemisphere, Global Change Biol., 12, 635–643, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01129.x, 2006.

Beyersdorf, A., Ziemba, L., Chen, G., Corr, C., Crawford, J., Diskin, G., Moore, R., Thornhill, K., Winstead, E., and Anderson, B.: The
340   impacts of aerosol loading, composition, and water uptake on aerosol extinction variability in the Baltimore–Washington, DC region, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 1003, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-1003-2016, 2016.

Bian, H., Chin, M., Hauglustaine, D. A., Schulz, M., Myhre, G., Bauer, S. E., Lund, M. T., Karydis, V. A., Kucsera, T. L., Pan, X., Pozzer, A., Skeie, R. B., Steenrod, S. D., Sudo, K., Tsigaridis, K., Tsimpidi, A. P., and Tsyro, S. G.: Investigation of global particulate nitrate from the AeroCom phase III experiment, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 12 911–12 940, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-12911-2017, 2017.

345   Bishop, G. A. and Stedman, D. H.: Reactive nitrogen species emission trends in three light-/medium-duty United States fleets, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, 11 234–11 240, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b02392, 2015.

Bouwman, A., Lee, D., Asman, W., Dentener, F., Van Der Hoek, K., and Olivier, J.: A global high-resolution emission inventory for ammonia, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 11, 561–587, https://doi.org/10.1029/97GB02266, 1997.

Caicedo, V., Rappenglueck, B., Cuchiara, G., Flynn, J., Ferrare, R., Scarino, A., Berkoff, T., Senff, C., Langford, A., and Lefer, B.: Bay
350   breeze and sea breeze circulation impacts on the planetary boundary layer and air quality from an observed and modeled DISCOVER-AQ Texas case study, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 124, 7359–7378, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030523, 2019.

Dammers, E., Mclinden, C. A., Griffin, D., Shephard, M. W., Van Der Graaf, S., Lutsch, E., Schaap, M., Gainairu-Matz, Y., Fioletov, V., Van Damme, M., et al.: NH_3 emissions from large point sources derived from CrIS and IASI satellite observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-12261-2019, 2019.

355   de Gouw, J. A., Middlebrook, A. M., Warneke, C., Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C., Roberts, J. M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Worsnop, D. R., Canagaratna, M. R., Pszenny, A. A. P., Keene, W. C., Marchewka, M., Bertman, S. B., and Bates, T. S.: Budget of organic carbon in a polluted atmosphere: Results from the New England Air Quality Study in 2002, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 110, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD005623, 2005.

Ellis, R., Murphy, J., Pattey, E., Haarlem, R. v., O'Brien, J., and Herndon, S.: Characterizing a quantum cascade tunable infrared laser
360   differential absorption spectrometer (QC-TILDAS) for measurements of atmospheric ammonia, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 397–406, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-3-397-2010, 2010.

Erisman, J. W., Otjes, R., Hensen, A., Jongejan, P., van den Bulk, P., Khlystov, A., Möls, H., and Slanina, S.: Instrument development and application in studies and monitoring of ambient ammonia, Atmos. Environ., 35, 1913–1922, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(00)00544-6, 2001.

365   Guo, H., Nenes, A., and Weber, R. J.: The underappreciated role of nonvolatile cations in aerosol ammonium-sulfate molar ratios, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 17 307–17 323, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-17307-2018, 2018.

Hand, J., Schichtel, B., Malm, W., and Pitchford, M.: Particulate sulfate ion concentration and SO_2 emission trends in the United States from the early 1990s through 2010, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 12, 10 353–10 365, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-10353-2012, 2012a.

Hand, J., Schichtel, B., Pitchford, M., Malm, W., and Frank, N.: Seasonal composition of remote and urban fine particulate matter in the
370   United States, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 117, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD017122, 2012b.

Hauglustaine, D. A., Balkanski, Y., and Schulz, M.: A global model simulation of present and future nitrate aerosols and their direct radiative forcing of climate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 11 031–11 063, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-11031-2014, 2014.

Kean, A., Littlejohn, D., Ban-Weiss, G., Harley, R., Kirchstetter, T., and Lunden, M.: Trends in on-road vehicle emissions of ammonia, Atmos. Environ., 43, 1565–1570, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.09.085, 2009.

375   Keene, W., Moody, J., Galloway, J., Prospero, J. M., Cooper, O., Eckhardt, S., and Maben, J.: Long-term trends in aerosol and precipitation composition over the western North Atlantic Ocean at Bermuda, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 8119–8135, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-8119-2014, 2014.

Larsen, R. K., Steinbacher, J. C., and Baker, J. E.: Ammonia Exchange between the Atmosphere and the Surface Waters at Two Locations in the Chesapeake Bay, Environ. Sci. Technol., 35, 4731–4738, https://doi.org/10.1021/es010755l, 2001.

380   Li, M., Su, H., Li, G., Ma, N., Pöschl, U., and Cheng, Y.: Relative importance of gas uptake on aerosol and ground surfaces characterized by equivalent uptake coefficients, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 10 981—-11 011, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-10981-2019, 2019.

Loughner, C. P., Allen, D. J., Pickering, K. E., Zhang, D.-L., Shou, Y.-X., and Dickerson, R. R.: Impact of fair-weather cumulus clouds and the Chesapeake Bay breeze on pollutant transport and transformation, Atmos. Environ., 45, 4060–4072, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.04.003, 2011.

385   Loughner, C. P., Tzortziou, M., Follette-Cook, M., Pickering, K. E., Goldberg, D., Satam, C., Weinheimer, A., Crawford, J. H., Knapp, D. J., Montzka, D. D., Diskin, G. S., and Dickerson, R. R.: Impact of bay-breeze circulations on surface air quality and boundary layer export, J. Appl. Meteor. Climatol., 53, 1697–1713, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-13-0323.1, 2014.

Loughner, C. P., Tzortziou, M., Shroder, S., and Pickering, K. E.: Enhanced dry deposition of nitrogen pollution near coastlines: A case study covering the Chesapeake Bay estuary and Atlantic Ocean coastline, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 121, 14 221–14 238,
390   https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025571, 2016.

MDE: Baltimore City Composting Facility, General facility information: Emissions certification report, Maryland Department of the Environment Air and Radiation Management Administration, 2019a.

MDE: Grace Davison, General facility information: Emissions certification report, Maryland Department of the Environment Air and Radiation Management Administration, 2019b.

395   Meng, W., Zhong, Q., Yun, X., Zhu, X., Huang, T., Shen, H., Chen, Y., Chen, H., Zhou, F., Liu, J., Wang, X., Zeng, E. Y., and Tao, S.: Improvement of a Global High-Resolution Ammonia Emission Inventory for Combustion and Industrial Sources with New Data from the Residential and Transportation Sectors, Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 2821–2829, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b03694, 2017.

Nenes, A., Pandis, S. N., Kanakidou, M., Russell, A., Song, S., Vasilakos, P., and Weber, R. J.: Aerosol acidity and liquid water content regulate the dry deposition of inorganic reactive nitrogen, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-266, 2020.

400   NOAA: National Coastal Population Report: Population Trends from 1970 to 2020, 2013.

Norman, M., Spirig, C., Wolff, V., Trebs, I., Flechard, C., Wisthaler, A., Schnitzhofer, R., Hansel, A., and Neftel, A.: Intercomparison of ammonia measurement techniques at an intensively managed grassland site (Oensingen, Switzerland), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-2635-2009, 2009.

Orsini, D. A., Ma, Y., Sullivan, A., Sierau, B., Baumann, K., and Weber, R. J.: Refinements to the particle-into-liquid sampler (PILS) for

405   ground and airborne measurements of water soluble aerosol composition, Atmos. Environ., 37, 1243–1259, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(02)01015-4, 2003.

Pagans, E., Barrena, R., Font, X., and Sánchez, A.: Ammonia emissions from the composting of different organic wastes. Dependency on process temperature, Chemosphere, 62, 1534–1542, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.06.044, 2006.

Paulot, F. and Jacob, D. J.: Hidden Cost of U.S. Agricultural Exports: Particulate Matter from Ammonia Emissions, Environ. Sci. Technol.,

410   48, 903–908, https://doi.org/10.1021/es4034793, 2014.

Paulot, F., Jacob, D. J., Johnson, M. T., Bell, T. G., Baker, A. R., Keene, W. C., Lima, I. D., Doney, S. C., and Stock, C. A.: Global oceanic emission of ammonia: Constraints from seawater and atmospheric observations, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 29, 1165–1178, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GB005106, 2015.

Paulot, F., Ginoux, P., Cooke, W., Donner, L., Fan, S., Lin, M.-Y., Mao, J., Naik, V., and Horowitz, L.: Sensitivity of nitrate aerosols

415   to ammonia emissions and to nitrate chemistry: implications for present and future nitrate optical depth, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-1459-2016, 2016.

Paulot, F., Paynter, D., Ginoux, P., Naik, V., Whitburn, S., Van Damme, M., Clarisse, L., Coheur, P.-F., and Horowitz, L.: Gas-aerosol partitioning of ammonia in biomass burning plumes: Implications for the interpretation of spaceborne observations of ammonia and the radiative forcing of ammonium nitrate, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 8084–8093, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074215, 2017.

420   Perrino, C., Catrambone, M., Di Bucchianico, A. D. M., and Allegrini, I.: Gaseous ammonia in the urban area of Rome, Italy and its relationship with traffic emissions, Atmos. Environ., 36, 5385–5394, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(02)00469-7, 2002.

Pinder, R., Gilliland, A., and Dennis, R.: Environmental impact of atmospheric NH3 emissions under present and future conditions in the eastern United States, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL033732, 2008.

Pinder, R. W., Pekney, N. J., Davidson, C. I., and Adams, P. J.: A process-based model of ammonia emissions from dairy cows: improved

425   temporal and spatial resolution, Atmos. Environ., 38, 1357–1365, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2003.11.024, 2004a.

Pinder, R. W., Strader, R., Davidson, C. I., and Adams, P. J.: A temporally and spatially resolved ammonia emission inventory for dairy cows in the United States, Atmos. Environ., 38, 3747–3756, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.04.008, 2004b.

Pinder, R. W., Adams, P. J., Pandis, S. N., and Gilliland, A. B.: Temporally resolved ammonia emission inventories: Current estimates, evaluation tools, and measurement needs, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 111, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006603, 2006.

430   Pinder, R. W., Adams, P. J., and Pandis, S. N.: Ammonia emission controls as a cost-effective strategy for reducing atmospheric particulate matter in the eastern United States, https://doi.org/10.1021/es060379a, 2007.

Pleim, J. E., Bash, J. O., Walker, J. T., and Cooter, E. J.: Development and evaluation of an ammonia bidirectional flux parameterization for air quality models, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 3794–3806, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50262, 2013.

Poulain, L., Spindler, G., Birmili, W., Plass-Dülmer, C., Wiedensohler, A., and Herrmann, H.: Seasonal and diurnal variations of particulate
435   nitrate and organic matter at the IfT research station Melpitz, Atmos. Chem. and Phys., 11, 12 579–12 599, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-12579-2011, 2011.

Pozzer, A., Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., de Meij, A., and Lelieveld, J.: Impact of agricultural emission reductions on fine-particulate matter and public health, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 12 813–12 826, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-12813-2017, 2017.

Reche, C., Viana, M., Karanasiou, A., Cusack, M., Alastuey, A., Artiñano, B., Revuelta, M. A., López-Mahía, P., Blanco-
440   Heras, G., Rodríguez, S., et al.: Urban NH₃ levels and sources in six major Spanish cities, Chemosphere, 119, 769–777, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.07.097, 2015.

Rittmann, B. E. and McCarty, P. L.: Environmental biotechnology: principles and applications, McGraw-Hill, 2001.

Robarge, W. P., Walker, J. T., McCulloch, R. B., and Murray, G.: Atmospheric concentrations of ammonia and ammonium at an agricultural site in the southeast United States, Atmos. Environ., 36, 1661–1674, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(02)00171-1, 2002.

445   Schmohl, A., Miklos, A., and Hess, P.: Effects of adsorption–desorption processes on the response time and accuracy of photoacoustic detection of ammonia, Appl. Opt., 40, 2571–2578, https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.40.002571, 2001.

Seinfeld, J. H. and Pandis, S. N.: Atmospheric chemistry and physics: from air pollution to climate change, John Wiley & Sons, 2016.

Sickles II, J. E. and Shadwick, D. S.: Comparison of particulate sulfate and nitrate at collocated CASTNET and IMPROVE sites in the eastern US, Atmos. Environ., 42, 2062–2073, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.11.051, 2008.

450   Siefert, R. L., Scudlark, J. R., Potter, A. G., Simonsen, K. A., and Savidge, K. B.: Characterization of atmospheric ammonia emissions from a commercial chicken house on the Delmarva Peninsula, Environ. Sci. Technol., 38, 2769–2778, https://doi.org/10.1021/es0345874, 2004.

Sorooshian, A., Murphy, S., Hersey, S., Gates, H., Padro, L., Nenes, A., Brechtel, F., Jonsson, H., Flagan, R., and Seinfeld, J.: Comprehensive airborne characterization of aerosol from a major bovine source, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 5489–5520, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-5489-2008, 2008.

455   Stein, A., Draxler, R. R., Rolph, G. D., Stunder, B. J., Cohen, M., and Ngan, F.: NOAA's HYSPLIT atmospheric transport and dispersion modeling system, B. Am. Meteor. Soc., 96, 2059–2077, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00110.1, 2015.

Stelson, A. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Relative humidity and temperature dependence of the ammonium nitrate dissociation constant, Atmos. Environ., 16, 983–992, https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(82)90184-6, 1982.

Stull, R. B.: An Introduction to Boundary Layer Meteorology, vol. 13, Springer Science & Business Media, 1988.

460   Sullivan, J. T., Berkoff, T., Gronoff, G., Knepp, T., Pippin, M., Allen, D., Twigg, L., Swap, R., Tzortziou, M., Thompson, A. M., Stauffer, R. M., Wolfe, G. M., Flynn, J., Pusede, S. E., Judd, L. M., Moore, W., Baker, B. D., Al-Saadi, J., and McGee, T. J.: The Ozone Water–Land Environmental Transition Study: An Innovative Strategy for Understanding Chesapeake Bay Pollution Events, B. Am. Meteor. Soc., 100, 291 – 306, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-18-0025.1, 2019.

Sun, K., Tao, L., Miller, D. J., Pan, D., Golston, L. M., Zondlo, M. A., Griffin, R. J., Wallace, H. W., Leong, Y. J., Yang, M. M., Zhang, Y.,
465   Mauzerall, D. L., and Zhu, T.: Vehicle Emissions as an Important Urban Ammonia Source in the United States and China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 2472–2481, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b02805, 2017.

Sutton, M., Dragosits, U., Tang, Y., and Fowler, D.: Ammonia emissions from non-agricultural sources in the UK, Atmos. Environ., 34, 855–869, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00362-3, 2000.

Sutton, M. A., Reis, S., Riddick, S. N., Dragosits, U., Nemitz, E., Theobald, M. R., Tang, Y. S., Braban, C. F., Vieno, M., Dore, A. J., Mitchell, R. F., Wanless, S., Daunt, F., Fowler, D., Blackall, T. D., Milford, C., Flechard, C. R., Loubet, B., Massad, R., Cellier, P., Personne, E., Coheur, P. F., Clarisse, L., Van Damme, M., Ngadi, Y., Clerbaux, C., Skjøth, C. A., Geels, C., Hertel, O., Wichink Kruit, R. J., Pinder, R. W., Bash, J. O., Walker, J. T., Simpson, D., Horváth, L., Misselbrook, T. H., Bleeker, A., Dentener, F., and de Vries, W.: Towards a climate-dependent paradigm of ammonia emission and deposition, Philos. T. Roy. Soc. B, 368, https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0166, 2013.

Tsigaridis, K., Daskalakis, N., Kanakidou, M., Adams, P. J., Artaxo, P., Bahadur, R., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S. E., Bellouin, N., Benedetti, A., Bergman, T., Berntsen, T. K., Beukes, J. P., Bian, H., Carslaw, K. S., Chin, M., Curci, G., Diehl, T., Easter, R. C., Ghan, S. J., Gong, S. L., Hodzic, A., Hoyle, C. R., Iversen, T., Jathar, S., Jimenez, J. L., Kaiser, J. W., Kirkevåg, A., Koch, D., Kokkola, H., Lee, Y. H., Lin, G., Liu, X., Luo, G., Ma, X., Mann, G. W., Mihalopoulos, N., Morcrette, J.-J., Müller, J.-F., Myhre, G., Myriokefalitakis, S., Ng, N. L., O'Donnell, D., Penner, J. E., Pozzoli, L., Pringle, K. J., Russell, L. M., Schulz, M., Sciare, J., Seland, Ø., Shindell, D. T., Sillman, S., Skeie, R. B., Spracklen, D., Stavrakou, T., Steenrod, S. D., Takemura, T., Tiitta, P., Tilmes, S., Tost, H., van Noije, T., van Zyl, P. G., von Salzen, K., Yu, F., Wang, Z., Wang, Z., Zaveri, R. A., Zhang, H., Zhang, K., Zhang, Q., and Zhang, X.: The AeroCom evaluation and intercomparison of organic aerosol in global models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 10 845–10 895, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-10845-2014, 2014.

Turnock, S., Mann, G., Woodhouse, M., Dalvi, M., O'Connor, F., Carslaw, K., and Spracklen, D.: The impact of changes in cloud water pH on aerosol radiative forcing, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 4039–4048, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL082067, 2019.

USDA: Census of Agriculture, Volume 1, Chapter 2: County Level Data, Tech. rep., 2017.

Valerino, M., Johnson, J., Izumi, J., Orozco, D., Hoff, R., Delgado, R., and Hennigan, C.: Sources and composition of $PM_{2.5}$ in the Colorado Front Range during the DISCOVER-AQ study, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 122, 566–582, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025830, 2017.

Van Damme, M., Clarisse, L., Whitburn, S., Hadji-Lazaro, J., Hurtmans, D., Clerbaux, C., and Coheur, P.-F.: Industrial and agricultural ammonia point sources exposed, Nature, 564, 99–103, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0747-1, 2018.

von Bobrutzki, K., Braban, C. F., Famulari, D., Jones, S. K., Blackall, T., Smith, T. E. L., Blom, M., Coe, H., Gallagher, M., Ghalaieny, M., McGillen, M. R., Percival, C. J., Whitehead, J. D., Ellis, R., Murphy, J., Mohacsi, A., Pogany, A., Junninen, H., Rantanen, S., Sutton, M. A., and Nemitz, E.: Field inter-comparison of eleven atmospheric ammonia measurement techniques, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 91–112, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-3-91-2010, 2010.

Vutukuru, S. and Dabdub, D.: Modeling the effects of ship emissions on coastal air quality: A case study of southern California, Atmos. Environ., 42, 3751–3764, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.12.073, 2008.

Warner, J., Dickerson, R., Wei, Z., Strow, L. L., Wang, Y., and Liang, Q.: Increased atmospheric ammonia over the world's major agricultural areas detected from space, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 2875–2884, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL072305, 2017.

Washenfelder, R. A., Attwood, A. R., Brock, C. A., Guo, H., Xu, L., Weber, R. J., Ng, N. L., Allen, H. M., Ayres, B. R., Baumann, K., Cohen, R. C., Draper, D. C., Duffey, K. C., Edgerton, E., Fry, J. L., Hu, W. W., Jimenez, J. L., Palm, B. B., Romer, P., Stone, E. A., Wooldridge, P. J., and Brown, S. S.: Biomass burning dominates brown carbon absorption in the rural southeastern United States, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 653–664, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL062444, 2015.

Weber, R.: Short-term temporal variation in $PM_{2.5}$ mass and chemical composition during the Atlanta Supersite Experiment, 1999, J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc., 53, 84–91, https://doi.org/10.1080/10473289.2003.10466123, 2003.

Weber, R. J., Guo, H., Russell, A. G., and Nenes, A.: High aerosol acidity despite declining atmospheric sulfate concentrations over the past 15 years, Nature Geosci., 9, 282–285, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2665, 2016.

Wittig, A. E., Anderson, N., Khlystov, A. Y., Pandis, S. N., Davidson, C., and Robinson, A. L.: Pittsburgh air quality study overview, Atmos. Environ., 38, 3107–3125, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.03.003, 2004.

Wyers, G., Otjes, R., and Slanina, J.: A continuous-flow denuder for the measurement of ambient concentrations and surface-exchange fluxes of ammonia, Atmos. Environ., 27, 2085–2090, https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(93)90280-C, 1993.

Xu, L., Suresh, S., Guo, H., Weber, R. J., and Ng, N. L.: Aerosol characterization over the southeastern United States using high-resolution aerosol mass spectrometry: spatial and seasonal variation of aerosol composition and sources with a focus on organic nitrates, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 7307–7336, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-7307-2015, 2015.

Xu, Z., Liu, M., Zhang, M., Song, Y., Wang, S., Zhang, L., Xu, T., Wang, T., Yan, C., Zhou, T., et al.: High efficiency of livestock ammonia emission controls in alleviating particulate nitrate during a severe winter haze episode in northern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-1-2019, 2019.

Zhao, B., Wang, S., Wang, J., Fu, J. S., Liu, T., Xu, J., Fu, X., and Hao, J.: Impact of national NO_x and SO_2 control policies on particulate matter pollution in China, Atmos. Environ., 77, 453–463, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.05.012, 2013.

Zhu, L., Henze, D., Bash, J., Jeong, G.-R., Cady-Pereira, K., Shephard, M., Luo, M., Paulot, F., and Capps, S.: Global evaluation of ammonia bidirectional exchange and livestock diurnal variation schemes, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 12823–12843, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-12823-2015, 2015.

Figure 1. Map of relevant locations for the field campaign. Yellow stars indicate sites used for primary comparison (HMI, HU-Beltsville, Beltsville), green stars are locations used for secondary comparisons (~~Ardentsville~~ Arendtsville, Blackwater), and red stars are labeled for spatial reference (Baltimore, Washington D.C.).

Table 1. Description of peak NH$_3$ events.

Event #	Peak NH$_3$ (μg m^{-3})	Time (Local)	Temperature (°C)	Wind Speed Previous 8 hour Average (m s^{-1})	Source
1	11.0	06 June 2018 23:40	18.9	2.68	Poultry
2	8.89	09 June 2018 14:45	24.6	2.09	Dairy
3	10.3	20 June 2018 13:44	27.7	2.33	Ambiguous
4	16.2	21 June 2018 19:29	25.3	2.16	Dairy
5	8.16	22 June 2018 08:19	22.5	4.50	Poultry
6	9.93	23 June 2018 14:49	22.7	3.18	Poultry
7	8.42	23 June 2018 23:39	23.9	2.08	Poultry
8	8.02	24 June 2018 07:19	24.5	0.79	Industrial
9	10.4	30 June 2018 07:15	26.3	0.67	Industrial
10	19.3	01 July 2018 07:35	27.8	0.61	Industrial
11	8.77	03 July 2018 13:42	31.7	1.77	Dairy

Events 2 and 3 were cut short by power outages. ~~Event 3 trajectories suggest either poultry or dairy influence, depending on the meteorology (3 km HRRR vs. 12 km NAM).~~ Event 2 (one of the two events where wind lidar data were not available for crosschecking the back trajectory) is the only event with a discrepancy between HYSPLIT (predicts wind direction coming from NNE) and observations (measured surface wind direction coming from E).

Figure 2. Summertime (June, July, and August) comparisons of a) NH_3 concentrations measured at HMI, Beltsville, ~~Ardentsville~~ Arendtsville, and Blackwater; b) $PM_{2.5}$ SO_4^{2-} measured at HMI and HUB; c) $PM_{2.5}$ NH_4^+ measured at HMI and HUB; and d) $PM_{2.5}$ NO_3^- measured at HMI and HUB. Error bars represent $\pm$ the standard deviation.

Figure 3. Scatter plot of $2\times (SO_4^{2-} + NO_3^-)$ versus NH_4^+ (all species in molar concentrations) for the OWLETS-2 campaign.

Figure 4. Aggregate 15-hour HYSPLIT back trajectories initialized at HMI (50 m altitude) and colored by NH_3 concentrations measured at HMI utilizing ~~12 km NAM meteorology to show regional trends~~ 3 km HRRR meteorology. Every other back trajectory is displayed for clarity.

Figure 5. Time series of NH_3 measurements at HMI during OWLETS-2. The 11 NH_3 peak events are labeled and represent the concentrations above the 95th percentile for the entire data set (7.96 $\mu g \, m^{-3}$). Gaps in data are due to power outages that occurred on the island. Events 2 and 3 were cut off by power outages. The blue dashed line represents the campaign-average NH_3 concentration (3.83 $\mu g \, m^{-3}$).

Figure 6. HYSPLIT back trajectories initialized at HMI using 3 km HRRR meteorology and an altitude of 50 m for the peak NH₃ events identified in Fig. 5. There are three trajectories for each event: one for the highest concentration of the event, one 10 minutes earlier, and one 10 minutes later.

Figure 7. Inventories of dairy cows in Pennsylvania and broiler and other meat-type chickens in Maryland and Delaware. Data are from the 2017 Census of Agriculture (USDA, 2017).

Figure 8. Back trajectories initialized at HMI (star) using 3 km HRRR meteorology for events #8 (yellow), 9 (dark blue), and 10 (teal). Potential industrial sources of NH₃ are: A) Back River Wastewater Treatment Plant; B) Patapsco Wastewater Treatment Plant; C) W.R. Grace Facility; D) Quarantine Road Landfill; E) Composting Facility; and F) Yara Fertilizer Distributor.

Figure 9. (a) The diurnal profile of NH₃ (error bars represent ± the standard deviation), and (b) box plot showing variations in the NH₃ concentration with temperature. Lines in (b) represent the 5th, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 95th percentiles, diamonds represent the mean, while numbers beneath each box represent the number of observations in each temperature interval.