1
 Responses to the Reviewers' Comments

 2
 First Observation of Mercury Species on an Important Water Vapor Channel in the

 3
 Southeast Tibetan Plateau

5 Dear editor and reviewer,

6 We greatly appreciate the useful comments and suggestions from the editor and reviewers. We 7 think the novelty and importance of this study have been acknowledged by the reviewers. We have 8 revised the manuscript thoroughly based on the reviewers' comments. Detailed point by point 9 responses are provided below. All the revisions have been highlighted in blue in the revised 10 manuscript. We hope the revised manuscript could meet the standard of ACP. Thanks again for your 11 consideration.

12

4

13 Anonymous Referee #2

The manuscript entitled 'First Observation of Mercury Species on an Important 2 Water Vapor 14 15 Channel in the Southeast Tibetan Plateau' by Line et al. presents ~5 months of speciated mercury concentrations (using online and offline sampling) at Nyingchi during the period preceding and 16 17 during the Indian Summer Monsoon (ISM). This site is located in an important water vapor 18 channel and thus is ideal for investigating the transport of pollution to the Tibetan Plateau. The 19 authors divide the ISM into three periods, then use back trajectory clustering analysis and principal 20 component analysis to investigate the sources and source regions affecting mercury concentrations. 21 The authors found the PISM periods to be affected by westerly circulation with higher levels of 22 GEM, a distinct diurnal pattern, with long-range transport and local emissions being important 23 factors. While the ISM period was affected by transport from the Bay of Bengal and the Indian 24 Ocean, with lower levels of all mercury species, a different diurnal pattern compared to PISM, and 25 local emissions, meteorology, and snowmelt. They concluded wet deposition and uptake by 26 vegetation to be responsible for the low concentrations observed during the ISM. This manuscript 27 presents the first results from this location and coupled with their previous study from Qomolangma 28 Natural Nature Preserve present an important analysis of pollution entering the Tibetan Plateau. 29 However, there are points where the manuscript could be improved. Their interpretation is sound 30 although requires more discussion. While the manuscript is readable, there are improvements to the 31 language that would aid in the readability. Overall, I recommend the publication of this manuscript 32 after addressing the major revisions outlined below.

33 **Response:**

Thanks for your detailed comments and suggestions. We have polished the language of the manuscript, updated the cited references, extended the discussion and revised the figure location accordingly. Please see the revised manuscript. All the revisions have been highlighted in blue. Detailed responses to your comments are provided as follows.

38

39 General Comments

40 **Comment #1**

- It is important to make a distinction between which species of mercury the authors are referring to in a specific context. Often 'Hg concentrations' are stated when it isn't completely clear which species (GEM, GOM, or PBM) or which measurement technique (Tekran vs passive samplers) is being referred to in that context.
- 45 **Response #1**
- Thanks for the suggestion. We carefully reviewed the article in relation to "Hg concentrations" and
 We have carefully polished the language of the manuscript. Given the relatively low accuracy of the
- 48 data obtained using passive sampling monitoring, they were used only in a very small part of the
- 49
- 50

51 **Comment #2**

- 52 Throughout the text, the authors write 'under the control of' or 'control period' when referring to 53 transport/circulation patterns. While this is understandable after several readings and sometime 54 thinking about the meaning, this phrasing can be reworded to be more concise and readable. This
- 55 would go a long way to improving the ease of readability of this manuscript.

paper, while the data of concentrations mainly came from Tekran.

56 **Response #2**

- 57 Thanks for the suggestion. We are sorry for the inaccuracies and thank the reviewer for your patience.
- 58 We have reviewed the description of the atmospheric circulation factors in the article and tried our
- 59 best to improve the ease of readability of the manuscript. All the revisions have been highlighted in
- 60 blue in the revised manuscript.
- 61

62 Comment #3

- 63 The authors make a great effort to characterize the sources and transport patterns of GEM using 64 clustering of back trajectories and PSCF. However, I was quite perplexed to find that no effort had 65 been made to couple back trajectories to GOM or PBM concentrations.
- 66 **Response #3**
- 67 Thanks for the suggestion. In this manuscript, we carried out trajectory analysis for GEM.
 68 Considering the complex topography of the Tibetan Plateau and the fact that most of the trajectories
 69 pass through the YZB Grand Canyon, where the subsidence of GOM/PBM is very complex, we
 70 think that backward trajectory simulations of GOM and PBM at Nyingchi may introduce
 71 considerable errors and uncertainties.
- 72

73 **Comment #4**

The GEM passive samplers data are presented although discussed only briefly. This is an underutilized dataset in this manuscript, the large variations in the data warrant further analysis.

- 76 **Response #4**
- 77 Thanks for the suggestion. We have extended the discussion of GEM passive sampling data in the
- revised manuscript. In section 3.1, we added seasonal variation information to the plots of passive

79 sampling data, and added discussions on GEM seasonal variation. The added text is: 'In terms of seasonal variation, average GEM concentrations were the lowest in summer (1.03±0.09 ng m⁻ 80 ³), with almost identical average concentrations in spring, autumn and winter (1.14±0.28 ng 81 82 m⁻³, 1.16±0.35 ng m⁻³ and 1.14±0.28 ng m⁻³, respectively). This is in contrast to the trends in the surrounding areas, where the highest GEM concentrations in Nam co, Mt. Ailao, Mt. 83 84 Waliguan and Mt. Gongga (Yin et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2016; Fu et al., 2012; Fu et al., 2008) 85 were all found in summer, which may indicate that the Indian summer winds that bring high summer GEM concentrations to these areas do not present similar effect on the SET region.' 86 87 We have also calculated the trajectories for the entire passive sampling period and added discussions of the sources of trajectories for different seasons, as well as discussions of the trajectories for the 88 higher and lower monitored concentrations in the passive sampling period in section 3.3. The added 89 90 text is: 'We also calculated backward trajectories for the passive sampler monitoring period. Figure S4 shows the trajectories of air masses arriving at the SET station in different seasons. 91 92 Due to the low accuracy of the data obtained from passive sampling, we didn't combine the 93 GEM concentrations from the passive sampler monitoring with the trajectories here. Except 94 for winter, the vast majority of trajectories originated from the south of the SET station, and 95 most of the trajectories are short in distance. This may be related to the complex local 96 topography, which may also suggest that long-distance transport has limited effect on SET 97 station. There is a partial shift of the backward trajectory from the southwest to the south in 98 spring, compared to summer, which may originate mainly from the influence of the Indian 99 monsoon. The abundance of precipitation, halogens from the Indian monsoon, and rapid 100 growth of vegetation during the monsoon period may have depleted Hg species, and resulted 101 in the lower GEM concentrations in summer. Trajectories from the northern branch of the 102 westerly circulation were more abundant in autumn compared to winter, but did not appear 103 to have an impact on local mean GEM concentrations. Because of the large concentration 104 variations in the passive sampling monitoring, we aggregated the trajectories for the periods 105 of high concentrations (GEM concentrations above 1.5 ng m⁻³) and low concentrations (GEM concentrations below 1.0 ng m⁻³) and performed a cluster analysis. The majority of trajectories 106 107 in both categories were from the southern part of the SET station and were of similar length 108 (Figure S5), which indicates that the differences in concentrations monitored by passive 109 sampling may not be related to external transport.

110

111 **Comment #5**

112 The results of the PCA analysis, at least to me, indicate that long-range transport is the dominant 113 source of GEM while local emissions are more important for GOM and PBM. This is a key result 114 from this study which is listed and mentioned briefly. The author proposes yak dung to be an 115 important local source yet only speculate and do not provide any references that show this could be 116 a source of GOM or PBM. A similar comment for the snowmelt factor, during ISM1, snowmelt is a 117 source of GEM and GOM. From Fig. 2, it appears this factor could be occurring only during a short 118 period (the large spike in GEM and GOM at the end of ISM1), which could be investigated in more

- detail (e.g., was there snow on the ground during this time, what was the wind direction, temperature,
- 120 RH, solar radiation during this time?). Expanding on the PCA analysis could give more insight into
- 121 the local sources of Hg species at Nyingchi.

122 **Response #5**

123 Thanks for the suggestion. We have expanded the PCA analysis at the end of section 3.4. The added text is: 'The PCA results provide some new insights into the sources of Hg species. During 124 125 active monitoring period, long-distance transport of GEM was the main source of SET station 126 and only occurred at PISM and ISM3. Given the low GEM concentrations in ISM1 and ISM2, it is reasonable that PISM and ISM3 are the main long-distance transport periods for GEM. 127 128 For GOM and PBM, on the other hand, local sources appear to be more important during 129 active monitoring period. This may be related to the fact that GOM and PBM deposit more 130 easily and have complex transport paths to the SET station. The local sources of GOM and 131 PBM are inconclusive. The concentrations of GOM and PBM monitored at the SET station 132 are not high and the local emissions can be assumed to be small. They might come from yak 133 dung burning or other local sources by the local residents (Rhode et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2015; 134 Chen et al., 2015), or the strong solar radiation and snow surface reaction, which need to be 135 confirmed by further field experimental studies.'

- To the best of our knowledge, there is no data in literature on the species mercury emission of yak dung burning. However, yak dung is a biomass, a metabolic product of yak grazing, and therefore it can be assumed that burning yak dung is similar to burning biomass. Biomass burning is widely recognized a source of atmospheric GOM and PBM (De Simone et al., 2015; De Simone et al., 2016), thus GOM and PBM might also be released during the burning of yak dung.
- Regarding the large spike in GOM at the end of ISM1, we have added a discussion at the end of 141 142 section 3.1. The added text is: 'Table S3 shows the variations of Hg species, meteorological 143 factors and other pollutants from June 1 to 4, 2019. High GOM concentrations were observed 144 on June 2 and 3, and very high solar radiation and UV Index were also observed in these days. 145 PBM concentrations, relative humidity and O₃ were low during this period. The solar 146 radiation was nearly twice the mean value of the ISM1 phase (162.79 W m⁻², Table S2), and 147 thus higher solar radiation might contribute to the higher GOM concentrations. PBM might 148 be partly converted to GOM, but the decrease in PBM concentration was less than the increase 149 in GOM concentration. Generally, high O_3 concentrations should be observed at high solar radiation (Kondratyev et al., 1996), but low O₃ concentrations were found at Nyingchi, 150 suggesting that O₃ may be involved in the formation of GOM. The oxidation of GEM by OH 151 152 and O₃ to generate GOM has been discussed in previous studies with model simulation (Sillman et al., 2007), which may explain the reduced concentration of O₃, while OH radicals 153 154 may be associated with high solar radiation. The mechanism of GOM formation should be further explored in future studies.' 155

156

157	Comment #6
158	One practical note, please follow ACPs guidelines on the placement of figures and figure captions
159	'Figures and tables as well as their captions must be inserted in the main text near the location of
160	the first mention (not appended to the end of the manuscript).'. It wasn't practical to change between
161	text and figures, especially when the captions were also in a different location. Also, please put a
162	line between references in the bibliography, it was quite difficult to find a certain reference when
163	they are all bunched together. The references need to be properly formatted as well.
164	Response #6
165	Thanks for the suggestions. Revisions have been made accordingly.
166	
167	Specific Comments
168	Comment #7
169	Line 29: I feel there is a better word than 'infected' which can be used here. Possibly 'influenced'.
170	Response #7
171	We have replaced the word accordingly. Thanks for the suggestion.
172	
173	Comment #8
174	Lines 33-36: The authors separate the ISM into three periods but list an average for the entire ISM.
175	Maybe it could be beneficial to list averages for all three periods or list the periods in descending
176	order? There is also significant overlap between the standard deviations for parameters between
177	periods. Have the authors performed any statistical tests like a t-test or Wilcoxon Rank Sum test to
178	test for significant differences?
179	Response #8
180	We have added data on Hg species concentrations for different ISM stages in section 3.1. We didn't
181	add it to the Abstract because it would make the Abstract too long. The GEM and PBM
182	concentrations during the preceding Indian summer monsoon (PISM) period (1.20±0.35 ng m ⁻³ , and
183	11.4±4.8 pg m ⁻³ for GEM, and PBM, respectively) were significantly higher than those during the
184	ISM period (0.95±0.21 ng m ⁻³ , and 8.8±6.0 pg m ⁻³). The GOM concentration during the PISM
185	period (13.5 \pm 7.3 pg m ⁻³) was almost at the same level with that during the ISM period (12.7 \pm 14.3
186	pg m ⁻³).
187	The added text in the Abstract is: 'The GEM and PBM concentrations during the preceding
188	Indian summer monsoon (PISM) period (1.20±0.35 ng m ⁻³ , and 11.4±4.8 pg m ⁻³ for GEM and
189	PBM, respectively) were significantly higher than those during the ISM period (0.95±0.21 ng
190	m ⁻³ , and 8.8±6.0 pg m ⁻³). The GOM concentration during the PISM period (13.5±7.3 pg m ⁻³)
191	was almost at the same level with that during the ISM period (12.7±14.3 pg m ⁻³).'
192	The added text in section 3.1 is: 'From ISM1 to ISM3, the average GEM concentrations
193	increased from 0.92±0.23 ng m ⁻³ , 0.92±0.18 ng m ⁻³ to 1.04±0.21 ng m ⁻³ , while GOM
194	concentrations decreased sharply from 18.2±29.2 pg m ⁻³ , 13.5±5.5 pg m ⁻³ to 6.0±5.0 pg m ⁻³ ,
195	and PBM concentrations decreased sharply from 15.4±7.9 pg m ⁻³ , 7.9±3.4 pg m ⁻³ to 3.9±3.6

196 **pg m**-³.'

197

198 **Comment #9**

Lines 36-37: While the passive sampling was for one year, stating the annual average here can be misleading since this information isn't in the abstract. It could also be beneficial to indicate the seasonal averages or variations instead of just an annual average.

202 Response #9

203 Thanks for the suggestion. We have rewritten this sentence to make it clear. The revised text is:

204 'The average GEM concentration in the Nyingchi region was obtained using passive sampler
205 as 1.12±0.28 ng m⁻³ (from April 4, 2019 to March 31, 2020).'

In section 3.1, we have added seasonal variation to the passive sampling data plots and added a discussion of GEM seasonal variation. The added text is: 'In terms of seasonal variation, average GEM concentrations were the lowest in summer (1.03±0.09 ng m⁻³), with almost identical average concentrations in spring, autumn and winter (1.14±0.28 ng m⁻³, 1.16±0.35 ng m⁻³ and 1.14±0.28 ng m⁻³, respectively). This is different from the trends of GEM concentrations in the surrounding areas, where the highest GEM concentrations in Nam co, Mt. Ailao, Mt. Waliguan and Mt. Gongga (Yin et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2016; Fu et al., 2012; Fu et al., 2008)

- 213 were all seen in summer, which may indicate that the Indian summer winds that bring high
- 214 GEM concentrations to these areas do not present similar effect on the SET region.'
- 215

216 **Comment #10**

Lines 37-38: The authors should indicate the sampling area was clean compared to other high-altitude sites.

219 **Response #10**

We have added the information in the revised manuscript. Thanks for the suggestion. The revised text is: '**The GEM concentration showed that the sampling area was very clean compared to other high-altitude sites.**'

223

224 **Comment #11**

Lines 38-40: These sentences describe only half of the diurnal pattern in the respective periods. It could be beneficial to state other diurnal features present during the different periods. For instance, simply add that during the PISM afternoon concentrations were lower (which is still due to boundary layer dynamics) and that low concentrations of GEM were observed during the morning in the ISM due to vegetation effects.

230 **Response #11**

231 Thanks for the suggestion. We have added the information accordingly. The revised text is: 'Stable

232 high GEM concentrations occur at night and low concentrations occur at afternoon during

233 PISM, which may be related to the nocturnal boundary layer structure. High values occurring

234 in the late afternoon during the ISM may be related to long-range transport. Low

235	concentrations of GEM observed during the morning in the ISM may originate from
236	vegetation effects.'
237	
238	Comment #12
239	Line 42: Maybe 'circulation patterns' would fit better here than 'airflow fields'?
240	Response #12
241	We have replaced the words accordingly. Thanks for the suggestion.
242	
243	Comment #13
244	Lines 42-43: The authors should indicate that westerly circulation occurs during the PISM.
245	Response #13
246	Thanks for the suggestion. We have added the information accordingly.
247	
248	Comment #14
249	Lines 45-47: It would be helpful to know during which periods the different factors were dominant.
250	Response #14
251	Thanks for the suggestion. We have added the information accordingly. The added text is: 'Long-
252	distance transport factor dominates during PISM and ISM3, while local emissions is the major
253	contributor between PISM and ISM3.'
254	
255	Comment #15
256	Line 47: I feel the abstract is missing one sentence stating how this research will be valuable, similar
257	to the wording on lines 121-122.
258	Response #15
259	Thanks for the suggestion. We added the following sentence here: 'Our results reveal the Hg
260	species distribution and possible sources of the most important water vapor channel in the
261	Tibetan Plateau, and could serve a basis for further transboundary transport flux
262	calculations.'
263	
264	Comment #16
265	Line 50: This sentence requires a reference.
266	Response #16
267	Thanks for the suggestion. We have added Mason et al., 1994, and Mason et al., 1995 to support
268	this statement.
269	
270	Comment #17
271	Line 55: Are GOM and PBM undergoing chemical reactions that lead to their wet and dry deposition?
272	To my knowledge, this is due to their water solubility (GOM and PBM) and low vapor pressure
273	(GOM). Maybe the authors could be more specific in their description here.

274	Response #17
275	Thank you for pointing out the mistake. We have changed the statement in the revised manuscript,
276	as follow: 'In contrast, GOM and PBM are easily removed from the atmosphere through
277	chemical reaction and deposition because of their chemical activity and water solubility, and
278	could therefore bring significant impacts to the local environment (Lindberg and Stratton,
279	1998; Seigneur et al., 2006).'
280	
281	Comment #18
282	Line 57: 'physicochemical' instead of 'physiochemical'. I also make this mistake which is why I
283	caught it.
284	Response #18
285	We have replaced the words accordingly. Thanks for the suggestion.
286	
287	Comment #19
288	Line 60: 'effects'
289	Response #19
290	We have replaced the words accordingly. Thanks for the suggestion.
291	
292	Comment #20
293	Line 63-67: I am surprised the Arctic Monitoring Assessment Programme is not listed here (Arctic
294	Monitoring and Assessment Programme AMAP) as this is an important Hg monitoring network
295	covering North American and European Arctic. Also, it be might be beneficial to the reader if
296	references for individual networks are listed with the acronym, similar to the AMNet.
297	Response #20
298	Thanks for the suggestions. We have added the Arctic Monitoring Assessment Programme here.
299	References of individual networks are also listed with acronyms in the revised manuscript, as follows
300	'The Atmospheric Mercury Network (AMNet; Gay et al., 2013), the Global Mercury
301	Observation System (GMOS; Sprovieri et al., 2013; Sprovieri et al., 2016), the Canadian
302	Atmospheric Mercury Network (CAMNet; Kellerhals et al., 2003) and the Arctic Monitoring
303	Assessment Programme (AMAP; https://mercury.amap.no/) are the main monitoring
304	networks operating in North America and Europe, and the majority of them only monitor
305	GEM concentrations (Gay et al., 2013; Sprovieri et al., 2013; Sprovieri et al., 2016; Kellerhals
306	et al., 2003).'
307	
308	Comment #21
309	Line 66: The semicolon may be removed and replaced with 'and the'. In my opinion, this will
310	improve the readability of the sentence.
311	Response #21
312	We have replaced it accordingly. Thanks for the suggestion.

313

Comment #22

- Lines 80-81: As currently constructed, this sentence isn't representative of the text in Chen et al.
- 316 (2016). From Chen et al. (2016) 'The total fuel-related atmospheric mercury emissions amount to
- 317 859.12 t, to which coal, oil products and biomass contribute 85.77%, 9.06% and 5.17%, respectively.'
- 318 So, it appears coal contributes 86 % of fuel combustion emissions. This sentence should be reworded
- to reflect this.
- 320 **Response #22**
- 321 Thank you for pointing out the mistake. We have changed the statement in the revised manuscript
- 322 to make it clearer, as follow: 'Considering that coal is the largest emission source of Hg in the
- atmosphere (approximately 86% of fuel-related atmospheric Hg emissions come from fuel
- 324 combustion (Chen et al., 2016)), both China and India have great Hg emission potential.'
- 325

326 **Comment #23**

- Line 112: The Tekran speciation units are quite uncertain in terms of collection efficiency (Marusczak et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2017; Gustin et al., 2015), therefore I would recommend
- 329 removal of the phrase 'high-precision' from this sentence.
- Marusczak, N., Sonke, J. E., Fu, X., and Jiskra, M.: Tropospheric GOM at the Pic du Midi
 Observatory Correcting Bias in Denuder Based Observations, Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 863–
 869, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b04999, 2017.
- 333 Huang, J., Miller, M. B., Edgerton, E., and Sexauer Gustin, M.: Deciphering potential chemical
- compounds of gaseous oxidized mercury in Florida, USA, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 1689–1698,
- 335 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-1689-2017, 2017.
- 336 Gustin, M. S., Dunham-Cheatham, S. M., Huang, J., Lindberg, S., and Lyman, S. N.: Development
- of an Understanding of Reactive Mercury in Ambient Air: A Review, Atmosphere, 12, 73,
 https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos12010073, 2021.
- 339 **Response #23**
- 340 Thanks for the suggestion. We agree with the reviewer that 'high-precision' is inappropriate here.
- 341 We have replaced the phrase 'high-precision' with 'high time resolution'.
- 342

343 Comment #24

- Line 117: When referring to 'cluster analysis', do the authors mean PCA or clustering of backtrajectories?
- 346 **Response #24**
- Thanks for the comment. It's the cluster analysis of back trajectories. We have changed the statement
 in the revised manuscript to make it clearer, as follow: 'To better identify the sources of Hg
 pollution and potential pollution areas, we combined real-time GEM monitoring data with
- 350 backward trajectory analysis, and a follow-up cluster analysis of back trajectories.'
- 351

352	Comment #25
353	Line 119: 'sources'
354	Response #25
355	We have replaced it accordingly. Thanks for the suggestion.
356	
357	Comment #26
358	Line 131: It could be helpful to the reader if the authors state the temperature for the PISM and the
359	ISM since the manuscript revolves around these periods.
360	Response #26
361	Thanks for the suggestion. We have added the information accordingly. 'The average annual air
362	temperature is 5.6 °C, the average air temperature during PISM and ISM periods are 6.0 °C
363	and 12.0 °C, respectively.'
364	
365	Comment #27
366	Line 134: Other than the YZB Grand Canyon, what are the other water vapor channels?
367	Response #27
368	Many studies of the water vapor pathway have concluded that YZB Grand Canyon is the only major
369	water vapor transport channel on the southern Tibetan Plateau (Ping and Bo, 2018; Yan et al., 2020;
370	Gong et al., 2019b; Feng and Zhou, 2012).
371	
372	Comment #28
373	Lines 134-135: Similar comment as above but for precipitation.
374	Response #28
375	Many studies of the water vapor pathway have concluded that YZB Grand Canyon is the only major
376	water vapor transport channel on the southern Tibetan Plateau (Ping and Bo, 2018; Yan et al., 2020;
377	Gong et al., 2019b; Feng and Zhou, 2012).
378	
379	Comment #29
380	Line 141: Can the authors give some examples of this unique high-altitude distribution pattern of
381	biomes and vegetation in the area? This would aid the reader and help explain the interpretation that
382	vegetation effects have a significant effect on GEM concentrations.
383	Response #29
384	Thanks for the suggestion. We have added some information accordingly. 'Interactions between
385	terrestrial ecosystems and atmosphere have contributed to the development of diverse biomes
386	and distinctive vegetation elevation distribution patterns from tropical rainforests to boreal
387	forests and tundra.'
388	
389	Comment #30
390	Line 149: These dates are different from the ones listed in the abstract.

391 **Response #30** Thanks for pointing out the mistake. We have re-examined the data and made revisions. The correct 392 393 deployment time should be from March 30 to September 3, 2019, as described in the abstract. 394 395 Comment #31 396 Line 155: 'drawn in' instead of 'sucked' and 'into' instead of 'in'. 397 **Response #31** 398 We have replaced it accordingly. Thanks for the suggestion. 399 400 Comment #32 Lines 157-160: Having worked with the Tekran instruments, I understand what is meant when the 401 402 authors describe the sample collection procedure, however, a reader unfamiliar with this procedure 403 could misinterpret the text. The time required to collect and analyze one sample is two hours, one 404 hour for collection and one hour for analysis. This isn't stated clearly here, I suggest rephrasing 405 these sentences to make this clearer to the reader. 406 **Response #32** 407 Thanks for the suggestion. We have changed the description of the sample collection procedure in 408 the revised manuscript to make it clearer. The revised text is: 'A complete measurement cycle 409 takes two hours. During the first hour, GOM was enriched on a KCL-coated annular denuder, 410 PBM was enriched on a quartz fiber filter (OFF), and GEM was directly enriched on the gold tube of the Tekran 2537B and measured directly by cold vapor atomic fluorescence 411 412 spectroscopy (CVAFS). The collected PBM and GOM were desorbed in succession to Hg(0) 413 at temperatures of 800 °C and 500 °C in the following hour, respectively. Then the Hg(0) was 414 measured by Tekran 2537B.' 415 Comment #33 416 Lines 165-167: Can the authors elaborate on the method from Slemr et al. (2016)? 417 418 **Response #33** 419 Thanks for the suggestion. According to Slemr et al. (2016), the small captured Hg amount would probably cause the bias of the measurement. Considering the high altitude at which the instrument 420 was installed, as well as to mitigate the impacts of low atmospheric pressures on the pump's 421 422 operation, a low air sampling rate of 7 L min⁻¹ for the pump model and 0.75 L min⁻¹ (at standard 423 pressure and temperature) for model 2537B were applied in this study. We have used the function

- 424 given in Figure 3 in Slemr et al. (2016) to correct the data obtained from the monitoring.
- 425

426 Comment #34

- 427 Line 170: Again, these dates are different from the abstract. These dates need to be reconciled. Also,
- 428 why is a day not stated here when it is other places.
- 429 **Response #34**

430	Thanks for pointing it out. The sampling period of passive samplers was from April 4, 2019 to
431	March 31, 2020. We have added the date to the abstract.
432	
433	Comment #35
434	Lines 173-174: The authors need to state a more precise sampling interval for the passive samplers.
435	Response #35
436	Thanks for the suggestion. The sampling intervals for the passive samplers were close to once a
437	month from April 4 to July 10, 2019, and three times a month from July 10, 2019 to March 31, 2020.
438	We have added detailed start and finish times for every sampling period in the support information.
439	
440	Comment #36
441	Line 175: What is a DMA-80? Can the authors give more information on this instrument?
442	Response #36
443	Thanks for the suggestion. We have added more information about DMA-80 in the revised
444	manuscript. We also provided our previous studies as a reference with detailed information on
445	laboratory analysis procedures. 'DMA-80 is an instrument that was used in accordance with US
446	EPA Method 7473, using a combined sequence of thermal decomposition, mercury
447	amalgamation and atomic absorption spectrophotometry (Zhang et al., 2012).'
448	
449	Comment #37
450	Line 199: Would 'air parcels' be a better term than 'matter' in this context?
451	Response #37
452	We have replaced it accordingly. Thanks for the suggestion.
453	
454	Comment #38
455	Lines 202-203: What is the typical boundary layer height at Nyingchi? Are there times when the
456	boundary layer is below 1000 m? Have the authors varied the arrival height to see its effect on air
457	mass origin? Have the authors calculated trajectories longer than 72 hours? For GOM and PBM,
458	this length is reasonable, however, for GEM the lifetime is much longer and could be affected by
459	sources further away than 72 hours. While the input meteorological data is at a time resolution of 6
460	h, the HYSPLIT model can interpolate these data and produce hourly trajectories. This would
461	increase the uncertainty but would allow for measurements of GOM and PBM to be integrated with
462	these trajectories. Have the authors explored such an analysis? Do the authors mean 'simulated'
463	instead of 'stimulated'?
464	Response #38
465	Thanks for the suggestion. The relatively high trajectory arrival height was set mainly due to
466	concerns that the complex topography of the Tibetan Plateau might cause significant disruptions to
467	the trajectory. We reviewed the data and found out that the average boundary layer height in

468 Nyingchi is 457 m (data from Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS)). In the revised manuscript,

469 we have recalculated all trajectories and redo all the simulations associated with the trajectories. The arrival height was set at 200 m a.g.l., which is about half of the boundary layer height. 470 Considering that a longer simulation time will bring higher trajectories uncertainty, and 120 hours 471 472 are sufficient for trajectories transmission over longer distances, every backward trajectory was 473 simulated for 120 hours at 3 hours intervals. Also, we examined the effect of arrival height on the trajectories using different arrival heights (20m, 50m, 200m and 500m, respectively) in June 2019. 474 The results show that the calculated trajectories of the air masses are almost the same when the 475 arrival height is below 500m. The figure below shows the trajectories to Nyingchi in June 2019 with 476 477 different air masses arrival heights. We also added the results in the support information in the 478 revised manuscript.





Trajectories to Nyingchi in June 2019 (height 200 m a.g.l)







Trajectories to Nyingchi in June 2019 (height 500 m a.g.l)



- 479
- 480 481

Figure Trajectories to Nyingchi in June 2019 with different air masses arrival heights

- In this manuscript, we only carried out trajectory analysis for GEM. Considering the complex topography of the Tibetan Plateau and the fact that most of the trajectories pass through the YZB Grand Canyon, where the subsidence of GOM or PBM is more complex, we think that backward trajectory simulations of GOM and PBM at Nyingchi may introduce considerable errors. We hope that future work could help identify the transport behavior and speciation transformations of GOM and PBM through more refined simulations and more observational data.
- 488 We have replaced 'stimulated 'with 'simulated' accordingly. Thanks for pointing out the mistake.
- 489 We have changed the description of the backward trajectory simulations in the revised manuscript
- 490 to make it clearer. The revised text is: 'The trajectory arrival height was set to 200 m a.g.l., which
- 491 is about half of the boundary layer height. We examined the effects of arrival height on the
- 492 trajectories using different arrival heights (20m, 50m, 200m and 500m respectively) in June
- 493 2019. The results show that the calculated trajectories of the air masses are almost the same

494 when the arrival height is below 500m (Figure S3). Each backward trajectory was simulated
495 for 120 hours at 3 hours intervals for GEM, which can cover China, Nepal, India, Pakistan,
496 and the majority of western Asia.'

497 We have reorganized the trajectory cluster analyses section as follow: 'During the PISM period 498 (Figure 5a), the trajectories mainly originated from or passed through central India, northeastern India, and central Tibet, and moved along the southern border of the Himalavas 499 500 Mountains. During this period, the meteorological factors at Nyingchi were mainly controlled by westerly circulation. The cluster with the highest concentration (cluster2, with GEM 501 502 concentration of 1.19 ng m⁻³) originated from or passed through central Tibet, accounting for 503 13.75% of all trajectories in this period. Although the GEM concentrations of the cluster were relatively high during this period, they were still lower than the background GEM 504 concentration in the Northern Hemisphere (~ 1.5-1.7 ng m⁻³), indicating that the air mass 505 transported to the SET station is relatively clean. Cluster1, from the southern border of the 506 507 Himalayas, was relatively high in proportion (with a frequency of 78.58%), mainly controlled 508 by the southern branch of the westerly circulation, and has a relatively low concentration (1.12 509 m³). This cluster made a turn in the south of SET station and began to ascend toward the Tibetan Plateau. According to the UNEP reports, Hg emission intensities along the trajectory 510 paths were weak (UNEP, 2018; UNEP, 2013). 511

512 During the ISM period (Figure 5b-d), the trajectories of arrivals at the SET site changed 513 significantly with the onset and rise of the Indian monsoon. The clusters undergo a slight counter-clockwise rotation. As the source of the air mass changes and the monsoon enters the 514 515 plateau, it is possible that the concentrations of pollutants decrease because of the change in 516 the source region. With the development of the Indian monsoon, it brings an abundance of 517 water vapor (Ping and Bo, 2018), which may cause strong deposition during transportation. 518 During the ISM1 period (Figure 5b), both the rising monsoon and the tail of the westerly 519 circulation control the meteorological factor at the region, causing the transported air masses 520 to exhibit complex trajectories and combined effects. The cluster with the highest concentration (cluster4, 0.96 ng m⁻³, and 14.02%) mainly came from or passed through central 521 522 India. Cluster3 share almost the same transport path with cluster4 while having shorter length 523 and lower GEM concentration, which may indicate that cluster4 was affected by GEM 524 emission in central India. The trajectory with the largest proportion (cluster1, 43.94%) had a 525 relatively short path, mainly from northeast India, and showed very low GEM concentration 526 (0.92 ng m⁻³). Based on the existing atmospheric Hg emission inventories (Simone et al., 2016; 527 UNEP, 2018; UNEP, 2013), the Hg emission intensities in cluster1 transport path are very low, 528 which may be the reason for the low GEM concentration in this cluster.

529 During the ISM2 period (Figure 5c), a typical period of Indian monsoon, almost all 530 trajectories came from or passed through the southern part of the SET site and were 531 influenced by the monsoon. The GEM concentration of cluster trajectories at this stage was 532 below 1.00 ng m⁻³. The majority of trajectories (cluster2, 85.82%) through the YZB Grand

533 Canyon to the SET station and have a short transport path, which may be related to the high 534 resistance of the dense vegetation in summer. Only about 2.24% of the trajectories originated 535 from central Tibet with very low GEM concentration (cluster3 with 0.99 ng m⁻³). During this 536 period, the ISM originated from the Indian Ocean brought a large amount of water vapor and 537 caused considerable precipitation during the transportation. At the same time, the areas 538 through which the trajectory passed were sparsely populated and underdeveloped and were 539 unable replenish Hg species to the air masses. The range of GEM concentrations during the 540 ISM2 phase was extremely small (Figure 2), which may indicate that under the strongly Indian 541 monsoon, the main source region, transport path, and mechanism of transportation during 542 this period remain stable.

543 During the ISM3 period (Figure 5d), the Indian monsoon remained controlling the 544 meteorological factors at the SET station, but its intensity was weakened, and the precipitation in the Nyingchi area was greatly reduced. The trajectories transmission distances are all short. 545 546 All of the trajectories still came from south of SET station and transported through the YZB 547 Grand Canyon. It is difficult to distinguish these clusters, but according to the UNEP (2018) 548 Report, it is clear that the areas for which the clusters passed through have very little emission. The GEM concentration at SET increased compared with the ISM1-2 periods (average at 0.92 549 550 ng m⁻³ in ISM1 and ISM2, and 1.04 ng m⁻³ in ISM3 periods, respectively). This may indicate 551 that the GEM source is farther away. At the end of the ISM3 period, the GEM concentration 552 showed an upward trend (Figure 2), which may be due to the weakening of the influence of 553 the monsoon. A shortened trajectory at the end of the monsoon period was also observed in 554 another study at a nearby site (QNNP) (Lin et al., 2019), which may indicate the withdrawal 555 of the monsoon.'

556

557 Comment #39

- 558 Lines 204-205: The last sentence in this paragraph needs to be reworded.
- 559 **Response #39**

560 Thanks for the suggestion. We have reworded the sentence as follow 'Cluster analysis can help 561 identify the average air masses transport path by averaging similar or identical paths in the 562 existing air masses paths, and provide major directions of GEM transported to the 563 measurement site.'

564

565 **Comment #40**

Lines 206-212: The description of PSCF needs to be expanded. What was the threshold percentile?
What was the arbitrary weighting function used? These parameters need to be stated for this research
to be reproducible.

- 569 **Response #40**
- 570 Thanks for the suggestion. We agree with the reviewer that PSCF analysis couldn't provide gainful
- 571 information in this manuscript. We have decided to delete the PSCF related discussion.

572

573 **Comment #41**

574 Lines 218-222: Can the authors elaborate on the tests and procedures used for determining the 575 optimal solution for the PCA analysis? For example, what are the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of 576 sampling adequacy and Bartlett's test of sphericity used for? What was the outcome? Please define 577 MSA. Were there multiple elbows in the scree plots?

578 **Response #41**

579 Thanks for the suggestion. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy (>0.5) and 580 Bartlett's Test of sphericity (p <0.05) tests are used to determine that PCA is a suitable method for 581 the data set. This test is to ensure that the PCA has been used correctly and to guarantee the reliability 582 of the analysis results. MSA is an abbreviation of measure of sampling adequacy. In our analysis 583 process, there is only one obvious elbow in every scree plot. We have revised the manuscript to 584 make it clear, as follow: 'To ensure that the PCA is a suitable method for the data set in this 585 study, the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy (> 0.5) and Bartlett's test of

586 sphericity (p < 0.05) tests were performed in the initial PCA run.'

587

588 **Comment #42**

Line 228: The text states 'daily' here and in other places, but the rightmost y-axis label in Fig. 2
gives units of 'nm 2 hour'. Can the authors please clarify this discrepancy?

591 **Response #42**

592 Thanks for pointing out the mistake. We reviewed the rainfall data and found that the rainfall 593 resolutions are 2 hours. We have deleted 'daily' in the revised manuscript accordingly. The title of 594 Figure 2 has also been revised.

595

596 **Comment #43**

Lines 231-232: What are the criteria for dividing the ISM into three periods in terms of precipitation?
Please elaborate on these criteria and the reasoning behind the selection of the timing of the different
periods.

600 **Response #43**

601 Thanks for the suggestion. The ISM period was further subdivided into three periods (ISM1 – ISM3).

However, there is no strict criteria for the selection of the timing of the different periods. We made

a rough division based on the changes of precipitation and the development of the monsoon.

604

605 Comment #44

Lines 232-235: Please see my comments about listing the concentrations for different ISM periodsfrom the abstract.

608 **Response #44**

- 609 Thanks for the suggestion. We have listed average concentrations of GEM, GOM, PBM for all three
- 610 periods in the revised manuscript. We also provided statistics metrics of Hg species, meteorological

611	factors and other pollutants for all periods in the support information, as follows: 'From ISM1 to
612	ISM3, the average GEM concentrations increased from 0.92±0.23 ng m ⁻³ , 0.92±0.18 ng m ⁻³ to
613	1.04±0.21 ng m ⁻³ , while GOM concentrations decreased sharply from 18.2±29.2 pg m ⁻³ ,
614	13.5±5.5 pg m ⁻³ to 6.0±5.0 pg m ⁻³ , PBM concentrations decreased sharply from 15.4±7.9 pg m ⁻
615	³ , 7.9±3.4 pg m ⁻³ to 3.9±3.6 pg m ⁻³ .'
616	
617	Comment #45
618	Line 235: I think the words 'locally monitored' can be omitted.
619	Response #45
620	Thanks for the suggestion. We have deleted it accordingly.
621	
622	Comment #46
623	Line 237: Same but for 'decisive'.
624	Response #46
625	Thanks for the suggestion. We have deleted it accordingly.
626	
627	Comment #47
628	Line 243: I feel there is a better reference for the chemical properties of GEM than Horowitz et al.
629	(2017), which deals with modeled redox chemistry of Hg. Possibly a review paper, or references
630	from a review paper, might be more appropriate here.
631	Response #47
632	Thanks for the suggestion. We have changed the reference (Selin, 2009).
633	
634	Comment #48
635	Lines 244-246: Is this total precipitation or an average during these periods? It is interesting that
636	GOM decreased by roughly half while PBM only decreased by ~ 25 %.
637	Response #48
638	Thanks for pointing out the mistake. It is total precipitation in the monitoring station during these
639	periods, and we have revised it to make it clear. We also found that the concentrations of GOM and
640	PBM have been listed in the wrong order. Actually, the GOM decreased by ~ 25 % while PBM
641	decreased by roughly half. Revisions are as follow: 'With the increase in rainfall from 113.75
642	mm during ISM1 period to 373.28 mm during ISM2 period (total precipitation), the
643	concentrations of GOM and PBM decreased sharply from 18.2±29.2 pg m ⁻³ and 15.4±7.9 pg
644	m ⁻³ to 13.5±5.5 pg m ⁻³ and 7.9±3.4 pg m ⁻³ , respectively.'
645	
646	Comment #49
647	Lines 249-252: This is an important result of a previous study. During the PISM, GEM is mainly
610	from long-range transport, while during the ISM local emissions is an important source of GOM

from long-range transport, while during the ISM local emissions is an important source of GOMand PBM (from the PCA analysis). These local emissions could be important for total Hg in

650 rainwater.

651 **Response #49**

We agree with the reviewer that the local emissions could be important for total Hg in rainwaterduring ISM period. We have added a discussion about local emissions in the revised manuscript.

654

655 Comment #50

Line 255-258: It was stated in the site description that westerly circulation patterns are dominant 656 from September to April and that ISM circulation patterns are dominant from May to August. Was 657 658 this information obtained through trajectory analysis or previous knowledge from the site? This information is again presented here and used to explain the higher passive sampler GEM 659 660 concentrations in the later part of the sampling period. I am curious if any trajectories were 661 calculated for the passive sampler period? This could be used to directly support the 662 abovementioned statements. The large variations in the passive sampler period, in my opinion, 663 warrant further investigation. What were the meteorological conditions or transport patterns under 664 high and low concentrations?

665 **Response #50**

666 Thanks for the comments and suggestions. The Asian summer monsoon and the mid-latitude 667 Westerlies are major atmospheric circulation systems influencing the climate of the Tibetan Plateau, which could be seen in previous studies (Yao et al., 2013; Benn and Owen, 1998; Kotlia et al., 2015; 668 669 Sun et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2013). The Indian Monsoon Index can be used to 670 determine the onset of the summer monsoon. We have added the Indian Monsoon Index for 2019 in 671 the supporting information (Figure S1), with the Indian monsoon starting to break out in May, 2019 and becoming the dominant wind field. We also calculated the trajectories for the entire passive 672 673 sampler period, and added a discussion of the sources of trajectories for the different seasons and a 674 discussion of the trajectories for the higher and lower monitored concentrations in the passive 675 sampler period in section 3.3. The added text is: 'We also calculated backward trajectories for 676 the passive sampler monitoring period. Figure S4 shows the trajectories of air masses arriving 677 at the SET station in different seasons. Due to the low accuracy of the data obtained from 678 passive sampling, we didn't combine the GEM concentrations from the passive sampler 679 monitoring with the trajectories here. Except for winter, the vast majority of trajectories 680 originated from the south of the SET station, and most of the trajectories are short in distance. 681 This may be related to the complex local topography, which may also suggest that long-682 distance transport has limited effect on SET station. There is a partial shift of the backward 683 trajectory from the southwest to the south in spring, compared to summer, which may 684 originate mainly from the influence of the Indian monsoon. The abundance of precipitation, 685 halogens from the Indian monsoon, and rapid growth of vegetation during the monsoon period 686 may have depleted Hg species, and resulted in the lower GEM concentrations in summer. Trajectories from the northern branch of the westerly circulation were more abundant in 687 688 autumn compared to winter, but did not appear to have an impact on local mean GEM

689 concentrations. Because of the large concentration variations in the passive sampling 690 monitoring, we aggregated the trajectories for the periods of high concentrations (GEM concentrations above 1.5 ng m⁻³) and low concentrations (GEM concentrations below 1.0 ng 691 692 m³) and performed a cluster analysis. The majority of trajectories in both categories were 693 from the southern part of the SET station and were of similar length (Figure S5), which 694 indicates that the differences in concentrations monitored by passive sampling may not be 695 related to external transport. ' 696 697 Comment #51

Lines 258-260: I agree this is most likely the case, given the Hg emission inventory and trajectory
clusters plotted in Fig. 5. Calculating trajectories for the entire passive sampler period would directly
show this.

701 **Response #51**

- We have calculated trajectories for the entire passive sampler period and added a discussion of the
 sources of trajectories for the different seasons and a discussion of the trajectories for the higher and
 lower monitored concentrations in the passive sampler period in section 3.3.
- 705

706 **Comment #52**

Lines 260-262: This is nice since it gives the reader context, however, maybe it would benefit thereader to move it to the beginning of this paragraph.

709 **Response #52**

- Thanks for the suggestion. We agree with the reviewer that it should be more appropriately placed
- 711 at the beginning of the paragraph.
- 712

713 **Comment #53**

- Line 272: Is there a better way to say 'monsoon control zones'? See general comments above.
- 715 **Response #53**
- 716 Thanks for the suggestion. We have revised the presentation and carefully revised other relevant
- 717 presentations throughout the text.
- 718

719 Comment #54

- Line 276: I feel there is a better phrase than 'violent' to describe depositional processes. Possibly'extreme'?
- 722 **Response #54**
- Thanks for the suggestion. We agree that 'extreme' is better here.
- 724

725 **Comment #55**

- Lines 283-284: 'generally believed' isn't the most appropriate language for a scientific article.
- 727 Please rephrase.

Thanks for the suggestion. We have revised as follow: 'Previous studies (Lin et al., 2019; Gong et al., 2019a; Wang et al., 2015) indicated that pollutants from the heavily polluted Indian subcontinent may be transported to the Tibetan Plateau under the action of ISM, resulting in increased local pollutant concentrations on the plateau.'

733

734 Comment #56

Line 290: Fu et al. (2016) provide an excellent explanation of the decrease of GEM over the whole ISM and the diurnal profile at night. However, this study was conducted in a different geographical region and at a lower altitude. Can the authors offer any reasoning for why this effect is valid at both locations? For instance, is there similar vegetation at both sites?

739 **Response #56**

The forest in Fu et al. (2016) is dominated by *Pinus koraiensis, Fraxinus mandshurica, Tilia amurensis, Acer mono and Quercus mongolica.* In the YZB Grand Canyon, interactions between terrestrial ecosystems and the atmosphere have contributed to the development of diverse biomes and distinctive vegetation elevation distribution patterns from tropical rainforests to boreal forests and tundra. Major tree species in Fu et al. (2016) can be found in the YZB Grand Canyon. So we

- believed that the effect is also valid at the Grand Canyon.
- 746

747 Comment #57

Line 291: This is also a very logical explanation for the decrease in GEM during the ISM, however,
this statement requires a reference. Have other locations in India observed enhancements of
halogens during the ISM?

- 751 **Response #57**
- Thanks for the suggestion. We have added a reference (Fiehn et al., 2017) here.
- 753

754 **Comment #58**

Lines 291-293: From Fig. 2, it appears that during the beginning of ISM1 GOM concentrations are lower than ISM2 and on a similar level to ISM3. However, there is alarge spike in GOM at the end of ISM1 that could be skewing the average for this period. Has this spike in GOM been investigated

in more detail?

759 **Response #58**

- Thanks for the comment. It is an interesting phenomenon. We have added a discussion at the end of
- section 3.1, as follow: **'Table S3 shows the variations of Hg species, meteorological factors and**
- 762 other pollutants from June 1 to 4, 2019. High GOM concentrations were observed on June 2
- 763 and 3, and very high solar radiation and UV Index were also observed in these days. PBM
- 764 concentrations, relative humidity and O₃ were low during this period. The solar radiation was
- 765 nearly twice the mean value of the ISM1 phase (162.79 W m⁻², Table S2), and thus higher solar
- 766 radiation might contribute to the higher GOM concentrations. Some of the PBM might be

- 767 converted to GOM, but the decrease in PBM concentration was less than the increase in GOM 768 concentration. Generally higher O_3 concentrations should be observed at higher solar radiation (Kondratyev et al., 1996), but lower O₃ concentrations were found at Nyingchi, 769 770 suggesting that O_3 may contribute to the formation of GOM. The oxidation of GEM by OH 771 and O₃ to generate GOM has been discussed in previous studies in model simulations (Sillman 772 et al., 2007), which may explain the reduced concentration of O_3 , while OH radicals may be associated with higher solar radiation. The mechanism of GOM formation should be further 773 774 explored in future studies.' 775 776 **Comment #59** 777 Line 297: 'deposit' instead of 'settle' since you are referring to wet deposition. 778 **Response #59** 779 Thanks for the suggestion. We agree that 'deposit' is better here. 780 781 Comment #60 782 Figure 4: It is impossible to extract information from these figures. Seven axes on one figure are 783 way too many. The lettering for each panel is also very large compared to the figures themselves. 784 The combination of lines with errors represented by dashed lines and dots of small sizes and similar 785 colors is dizzying and makes interpretation unnecessarily difficult. I do not understand why so many 786 parameters are presented when only the Hg species are discussed briefly in the text. 787 I would suggest either group the Hg species and meteorological parameters separately or group 788 parameters with a similar diurnal profile together. I would then opt for the former and put the diurnal 789 profile of meteorological parameters in the supplement. 790 **Response #60** 791 Thanks for the suggestion. We agree with the reviewer that the figures contain too much information. 792 We have redrawn the diurnal variation figures by keeping only GEM and error range, GOM, PBM 793 and wind speed information in the figure. 794 795 Comment #61 Line 314: Any statement that mentions 'previous research' requires references and citations, both of 796
- 797 which are missing from this sentence.
- 798 **Response #61**
- Thanks for the suggestion. We have added some references accordingly. We also checked for similarproblems throughout the article.
- 801

802 Comment #62

- 803 Lines 323-325: Can the authors expound upon this speculation? They have offered yak dung as a
- 804 possible source of local emissions elsewhere in the text, is there any other possible local sources of
- 805 Hg that could explain this observation?

- Thanks for the suggestion. There is no evidence that yak dung is the major reason of the higher GOM concentrations during ISM1. Firstly, from PISM to ISM1, the total amount of yak dung used
- 809 by residents is decreasing due to the increase in air temperature; Secondly, the Nyingchi area is
- sparsely populated and the emissions from yak dung should be small. More field studies in the future
- 811 are needed to provide more accurate explanation.
- 812 As the discussion we added in the last paragraph of section 3.1, we suggested that higher
- 813 concentrations of GOM are more likely to be related to the widespread local glacier, higher solar
- radiation and O₃ concentrations, but there is currently insufficient evidence to support this claim.
- 815 We have added a short discussion here, as follows: 'The oxidation of GEM by OH and O₃ to
- generate GOM may be a possible reason for the high GOM concentration (Sillman et al., 2007).

However, the mechanism of GOM formation should be further explored.'

817

818

819 **Comment #63**

- Lines 330-331: I am not sure what is meant by 'chemical dissipation', and there was nothing in the references given. Do the authors mean chemical reactions? Also, the references don't support the statements in the sentence.
- 823 **Response #63**
- Thanks for pointing out the mistake. We have rewritten this sentence as follow: 'The decrease in
 GEM concentration at night may be due to the interaction of pollutants from regional
- emissions and long-range transport (Fu et al., 2008; Fu et al., 2010).'
- 827

828 **Comment #64**

- Line 346: Holmes et al. (2010) isn't an appropriate reference for the reduction of GOM in local snowy mountains. Is there not more specific studies (possible lab or field campaigns) that show this mechanism in more detail?
- 832 **Response #64**
- Thanks for the suggestion. We have replaced the reference with '(Lalonde et al., 2003; Lalonde et al., 2002)'.
- 835

836 **Comment #65**

- 837 Lines 346-347: What do the authors mean by 'field GEM source'?
- 838 **Response #65**
- 839 Thanks for the comment. We have rewritten it as follow: 'The gradual increase in GEM
- 840 concentration during the daytime may be due to the reduction of GOM from nearby local
- snowy mountains (Lalonde et al., 2003; Lalonde et al., 2002) or long-range transported GEM
- 842 brought in by airflow (Lin et al., 2019).'
- 843
- 844 **Comment #66**

845	Lines 349-350: Please provide references for the Indian Ocean being a source of halogens.
846	Response #66
847	Thanks for the suggestion. We have added '(Fiehn et al., 2017)' here as a reference.
848	
849	Comment #67
850	Figure 5: Making the size of the cluster trajectory is a very nice way of intuitively showing the
851	relative proportion of each cluster occurrence, however, it is difficult to grasp the absolute
852	percentage from the legend (this is just an observation not necessarily a suggestion to change it).
853	Starting the cluster index at zero is a matter of taste, but it is intuitively easier to understand when
854	the index starts at one.
855	A color scale or color bar is required for the emissions inventories.
856	Having all the color scales for GEM the same might make it easier to notice the differences between
857	different periods
858	Response #67
859	Thanks for the suggestion. We have redrawn the trajectory and retained the trajectory size settings.
860	We have also detailed the cluster number, GEM concentration and ratio on the trajectory edges. We
861	started the cluster index at one in the revised manuscript. A color scale has been added for the
862	emission inventories. The trajectories color setting has been removed in the new version.
863	
864	Comment #68
865	Line 360: This sentence needs to be reworded. See general comments above.
866	Response #68
867	Thanks for the suggestion. We have reworded it to make it clear, as follow: 'During this period,
868	the meteorological factors at Nyingchi were mainly controlled by westerly circulation.'
869	
870	Comment #69
871	Line 365: 'relatively'.
872	Response #69
873	Thanks for the suggestion. We have replaced the word accordingly.
874	
875	Comment #70
876	Line 367-369: This information about the cluster turning in the Bay of Bengal is not represented in
877	the cluster average. It might be beneficial to show the individual trajectories for each cluster in the
878	supplement. Also, as currently constructed, the citation to the UNEP reports appears to reference
879	the turn in trajectories. I suggest moving the citations to the end of the sentence, this would alleviate
880	any confusion.

- Thanks for the suggestion. We have deleted the discussion about the Bay of Bengal accordingly. 882
- 883 Showing the individual trajectories for each cluster will not display valid information because there

- 884 are too many trajectories. The reference has been moved to the end of the sentence accordingly. 885 886 Comment #71 887 Lines 370-372: This is true for GOM and PBM, however, not for GEM, which as stated above in 888 the text, isn't very water-soluble. This is an example, where specifying which Hg species the authors 889 are referring to would lessen any confusion from the reader's perspective. 890 **Response #71** 891 Thanks for the suggestion. We have deleted it accordingly. We carefully reviewed the article in 892 relation to "Hg concentrations" and we have carefully polished the language of the manuscript. The 893 trajectory simulation is performed for GEM only, as we have hinted at the beginning of the section: 'To further investigate the contributions of different sources to the SET site, air mass back 894 895 trajectory simulation and trajectory cluster analyses were performed for GEM.' 896 897 Comment #72 898 Lines 374-375: Showing the individual trajectories for each cluster during this period would directly 899 show what the text is stating, as right now, the statement is not evident from Fig. 5b. 900 **Response #72** 901 Thanks for the suggestion. Showing the individual trajectories for each cluster will not display valid 902 information because there are too many trajectories. We have reworded this sentence as follow: 903 'The clusters undergo a slight counter-clockwise rotation.' 904 905 Comment #73 906 Lines 377-378: HYSPLIT can output precipitation and H2O mixing ratio at each trajectory step, this information would show what the authors are suggesting — water vapor is increased when air 907 908 masses arrive from the Indian Ocean. 909 **Response #73** 910 Thanks for the suggestion. We have changed it as: 'With the development of the Indian monsoon, 911 it brings an abundance of water vapor (Ping and Bo, 2018).' 912 913 Comment #74 914 Lines 383-386: A color bar for the Hg emission inventories would be helpful here. 915 **Response #74** 916 Thanks for the suggestion. A color bar has been added accordingly. 917 918 Comment #75 Line 391: De Simone et al. (2015) is about modeled Hg emissions from biomass burning and not 919 920 with anthropogenic emissions. The UNEP reports seem like a better reference for this statement. 921 **Response #75**
- 922 Thanks for the suggestion. We have changed the citation accordingly.

923	
924	Comment #76
925	Line 393: It would be more appropriate to list the references given in Lin et al. (2019) for yak dung
926	burning instead of just Lin et al. (2019). I wonder why these references were not given in other
927	locations where yak dung is mentioned. The words 'yak dung' does not appear in Huang et al. (2016).
928	Also, the reference for Lin et al. (2019), Lines 730-733, appears to be incorrectly formatted.
929	Response #76
930	Thanks for the suggestion. We have updated the references for yak dung burning here and elsewhere.
931	
932	Comment #77
933	Line 402: Which species of Hg?
934	Response #77
935	The trajectory simulation is performed for GEM only. We have deleted this sentence in the revised
936	version.
937	
938	Comment #78
939	Line 407: Can the authors show that many wildfires existed during this period?
940	Response #78
941	Thanks for the comment. Since we have recalculated the trajectory, the geographical area covered
942	by the trajectory has been changed.
943	
944	Comment #79
945	Line 410: This is an example of how the phrasing 'controlling the region' needs to be rewritten to
946	describe the transport patterns and air mass circulation.
947	Response #79
948	Thanks for the suggestion. We have revised the presentation and carefully revised other relevant
949	presentations throughout the text.
950	
951	Comment #80
952	Line 412: The cluster average does not show this and traj0 is hardly visible. Interestingly, traj1
953	appears to have the highest concentrations of GEM and arrives from areas with high Hg emissions
954	but is not mentioned in the text. This cluster occurs rather infrequently though. I agree the weakening
955	of the ISM is likely the reason for the increasing pattern in GEM during the ISM3, but this should
956	at least be mentioned.
957	Response #80
958	Thanks for the suggestion. We have reselected the trajectory size in the revised manuscript to avoid
959	occlusion. There is no cluster like traj1 in the new clusters.
960	
961	Comment #81

962 Line 419: Again, I wouldn't refer to measurements made with the Tekran systems as 'detailed'. The

963 exact chemical identify of GOM and PBM is unknown. Therefore, I would remove this word.

964 **Response #81**

965 966

We have removed the words accordingly. Thanks for the suggestion.

- - - -

967 Comment #82

Lines 418-427: In the previous paragraphs in this section, the authors examine the source regions 968 969 of GEM and transport patterns during different periods. This PSCF muddles this analysis and do not 970 provide any additional or useful information. The PSCF was applied to GEM, please indicate which 971 species of Hg is being referred to here. The smoothing applied to these figures could be obscuring 972 the analysis. The authors discuss depositional processes during transport affecting Hg 973 concentrations, although this would apply to GOM and PBM and not so much GEM. In my opinion, 974 I would omit the PSCF analysis, as it does not provide gainful information, is not described 975 adequately in the methods section, and contradicts the previous analysis of GEM with trajectory 976 cluster analysis. This is, however, only my opinion.

- 977 **Response #82**
- 978 Thanks for the suggestion. We agree with the reviewer that the PSCF analysis does not provide
- gainful information in this manuscript. We have decided to delete the PSCF related discussion.
- 980

981 Comment #83

Lines 429-430: I am confused by the number of factors for each period. For example, from Table 2
there are only two factors that occur during the PISM (long-distance transport and local emissions).
There is only one factor that is unique to a period (melt during ISM1) and only local emissions occur
during all periods. Please clarify this in the text.

986 **Response #83**

987 Thanks for the comment and suggestion. As we mentioned at the beginning of section 3.4, 4-5 988 factors were found for each period from PISM to ISM3 periods, so there were 19 factors in total. 989 For example, in the analysis for ISM1, 5 factors were found and four of them were considered as 990 important Hg-related components because of higher factor loadings. Two of them were assigned to 991 local emissions. We further clarify it as follow: 'Only Hg-related components were reserved here

- 992 and four underlying PCA factors are summarized (Table 2).'
- 993

994 Comment #84

Table 2: The caption for Table 2 needs to be expanded. I can see that numbers in bold indicate a loading over 0.5, this needs to be stated in the caption. Why are certain species omitted from the PCA analysis for certain periods? This was not clear from the methods section. Why is there two ISM1 for local emissions? Please define VE. Would it be possible to remove the underscores from the column headers?

1000 **Response #84**

- 1001 Thanks for the suggestion. Table 2 lists the four underlying PCA factors for important Hg-related 1002 components. For readability, variables with very low factor loadings (<0.1) are not shown in the 1003 Table. As we mentioned at the beginning of section 3.4, 4-5 factors were found for each period from 1004 PISM to ISM3, and there were 19 factors in total. In the analysis for ISM1, five factors were resolved 1005 and four of them were considered as important Hg-related components because of high factor 1006 loadings. Two of them were assigned to local emissions. The classification is proposed mainly based 1007 on the distribution characteristics of the factor loadings for other meteorological conditions and 1008 pollutant species. VE is an abbreviation of Variance Explained, we have changed it to full spelling 1009 in the revised manuscript. In the revised manuscript, we have added a note under Table 2. 'Note: 1010 Variables with high factor loadings (> 0.5) were marked in **bold**. For readability, variables 1011 with very low factor loadings (<0.1) are not presented.' 1012 The underscores from the column headers have been removed accordingly. 1013
- 1014 **Comment #85**
- 1015 Line 452: A reference is required for this statement.
- 1016 **Response #85**
- 1017 Thanks for the suggestion. We have added (Rhode et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2015)1018 in the revised manuscript.
- 1019

1020 Comment #86

Lines 453-462: While meteorology is no doubt affecting the behavior of atmospheric mercury, I am confused about how this factor affects mercury at Nyingchi. A different Hg species are excluded from the PCA for ISM1-3 and the only significant variable is GEM during ISM2. It is not clear from the text how meteorology is affecting GEM during this period.

- 1025 **Response #86**
- 1026 Thanks for the comment. These factors have been assigned as meteorological factors because of 1027 similar meteorological factor loading distributions. Different Hg species are excluded from the PCA
- 1028 for ISM1-3 because of the lower factor loading rather than artificial selection.
- 1029

1030 Comment #87

Lines 464-467: Please indicate which period the authors are referring to here as well as the panel in
Fig. 3. These two sentences largely say the same thing and cite the same studies, one could
reasonably combine them for brevity.

1034 **Response #87**

1035 Thanks for the suggestion. We have revised these two sentences, as follow: 'The influence of
1036 increasing solar radiation may reflect the snow/ice melt process. which have been proved to
1037 be able to increase atmospheric GEM concentration (Huang et al., 2010; Dommergue et al.,
1038 2003).'

1039

1040	Comment #88
1041	Lines 469-470: Which 'previous simulations'? Please provide a reference. Are the authors referring
1042	to Song et al. (2018)? If so, please cite them or combine this sentence which the previous one. Also,
1043	the wording 'previous simulationsduring the ISM1 period' implies that simulations were
1044	performed for GOM during this campaign. Please rectify this.
1045	Response #88
1046	Thanks for the comment and suggestion. We have reorganized the sentences as follows: 'GEM may
1047	originate from the evaporation of snow melting and/or be driven by the photoreduction of
1048	snow Hg ^{II} (Song et al., 2018). The simulation indicated that the oxidation of GEM may occur
1049	at the snow/ice interface in the action of solar radiation, and may lead to extra GOM release.'
1050	
1051	Comment #89
1052	Line 477: Please see my previous comment about the phrasing 'generally believed'.
1053	Response #89
1054	Thanks for the suggestion. We have reworded it accordingly.
1055	
1056	Comment #90
1057	Line 480: 'masses' instead of 'mass'.
1058	Response #90
1059	We have replaced the word accordingly. Thanks for the suggestion.
1060	
1061	Comment #91
1062	Line 497: Can the authors provide direction or recommendations for further studies?
1063	Response #91
1064	Thanks for the suggestion. We believe that additional wet deposition monitoring along the YZB
1065	Grand Canyon in the future may provide more evidences on the transportation mechanisms. We
1066	have revised the sentence, as follow: 'The deposited pollutants may flow into the downstream
1067	area via rivers to Southeast Asia and South Asia. Additional wet deposition monitoring along
1068	the YZB Grand Canyon in the future may provide more evidences on transportation
1069	mechanisms.'
1070	
1071	Comment #92
1072	Line 502: Similar comment as the previous one.
1073	Response #92
1074	Thanks for the suggestion. We have revised the sentence, as follow: 'The high GEM concentration
1075	during the PISM period may indicate that a large amount of external Hg entered the Nyingchi
1076	area during the non-ISM period, and thus monitoring of isotopic atmospheric Hg in future
1077	studies or accurate model simulations are needed to provide better evidences.'
1078	

1079 **Comment #93**

Lines 503-511: In combination with the previous study from Qomolangma, this study provides important insights into the transport, dynamics, and processes affecting Hg species during the PISM and ISM. I feel that since these two studies are the first in this geographical area, there should be more of a discussion between the differences and similarities between these two sites. The authors mention differences but only briefly.

1085 **Response #93**

1086 Thanks for the suggestion. We have rewritten and expanded the discussion, as follow: 'The results 1087 of our previous study on Qomolangma were different from those in Nyingchi. Qomolangma 1088 site locates on the northern side of the Himalayas, a typical terrain on the southern edge of 1089 the Tibetan Plateau. The Nyingchi site locates in a typical pathway for air masses to enter the 1090 Tibetan Plateau. Both sites locate in sparsely populated areas, far from human activity, 1091 making them ideal clean locations to study the behavior of Hg species. Hg species monitoring 1092 in both sides could help explain the possible transboundary transport patterns. In terms of 1093 the concentration distributions of Hg species, both sites showed low concentrations, with 1094 slightly higher GEM concentrations identified at Qomolangma site. The diurnal variations in 1095 the concentrations of Hg species are unique in both areas, as there are relatively little 1096 anthropogenic disturbances, but Nyingchi is surrounded by greater elevation variation and 1097 more complex terrain, and thus the diurnal variation is subject to more natural disturbance 1098 factors. In terms of Hg species from long-range transport, Oomolangma was mainly affected 1099 by monsoonal transport from India during the ISM period, showing the increases in the 1100 concentrations of GEM. Nyingchi, on the contrary, has low GEM concentrations during the 1101 ISM. Although receiving almost the same monsoonal influences from India, the intensity of 1102 the transport and the subsidence on the transport path may be responsible for the large 1103 differences in the concentrations of Hg species and their environmental behavior between the 1104 two sites. Together, they represent two typical transboundary transport patterns of Hg in the 1105 **Tibetan Plateau.** '

1106

1107 **Comment #94**

1108 Conclusions: The Conclusions section is very similar to the Abstract. Please see my Specific 1109 Comments from the Abstract section for suggestions and General Comments for topics that should 1110 be **highlighted** or discussed in **greater detail**, which should be represented in a revised Conclusions 1111 sections.

1112 **Response #94**

1113 Thanks for the suggestion. We have rewritten the Conclusions section, as follow: 'Comprehensive 1114 Hg species monitoring was carried out in Nyingchi, a high-altitude site in the southeast of the 1115 Tibetan Plateau. Nyingchi is located on the main pathway for water vapor carried by the 1116 monsoon to enter the Tibet Plateau during the ISM period, which could characterize the 1117 spread of pollutants from the Indian subcontinent. The concentrations of GEM and PBM 1118 during the PISM period were significantly higher than those during the ISM period, and the concentration of GOM during the PISM period was relatively higher than that during the 1119 1120 ISM period. Data from passive sampler monitoring showed that, average GEM concentrations 1121 were the lowest in summer, with almost identical average concentrations in spring, autumn 1122 and winter. The concentrations of Hg species in Nyingchi is particularly low, compared with 1123 other high-altitude stations around the world. GEM concentration shows a distinct and unique 1124 diurnal variation, with a gradual increase in GEM concentration during the day and a 1125 maximum concentration at night. This diurnal variation may be due to the re-emission of 1126 GEM by snowmelt and the trapping effects of pollutants by the very low planetary boundary 1127 layer at night.

1128 According to the trajectory model, the trajectories of arrivals changed significantly with 1129 the onset and rise of ISM. Except for winter, the vast majority of trajectories originated from 1130 the south of the SET station, and most of the trajectories are short in distance. Through comprehensive PCA analysis using local meteorological conditions and multiple pollutants, 1131 1132 long-distance transport, local emissions, meteorological factor, and snowmelt factor have been 1133 identified to affect local Hg species concentrations. PCA analysis results also indicate that local 1134 emission contributes between PISM and ISM3, while the long-distance transportation plays a 1135 role during PISM and ISM3. The deposition condition and vegetation distribution in the YZB 1136 Grand Canyon have significant influences on the transport of Hg species. The Grand Canyon 1137 on the one hand reduces atmospheric Hg species concentrations in Nyingchi, but at the same 1138 time poses some risks of high Hg species concentrations downstream. Our work reveals the 1139 effect of the YZB Grand Canyon on atmospheric Hg transport, while the pathways associated 1140 with the deposition of GOM and PBM, and the destinations of GEM should be studies in more detail in the future. 1141

1142

1143

1144 References _

1145

Benn, D. I., and Owen, L. A. J. J. o. t. G. S.: The role of the Indian summer monsoon and the 1146 1147 mid-latitude westerlies in Himalayan glaciation: review and speculative discussion, 155, 353-1148 363, 1998.

1149

1150 Chen, G., Li, J., Chen, B., Wen, C., Yang, O., Alsaedi, A., and Hayat, T.: An overview of mercury 1151 emissions by global fuel combustion: the impact of international trade, Renewable and 1152 Sustainable Energy Reviews, 65, 345-355, 2016.

1153

1154 Chen, P., Kang, S., Bai, J., Sillanpää, M., and Li, C.: Yak dung combustion aerosols in the Tibetan 1155 Plateau: Chemical characteristics and influence on the local atmospheric environment, 1156 Atmospheric Research, 156, 58-66, 10.1016/j.atmosres.2015.01.001, 2015.

1157

- 1158 De Simone, F., Cinnirella, S., Gencarelli, C. N., Yang, X., Hedgecock, I. M., and Pirrone, N.: Model 1159 study of global mercury deposition from biomass burning, Environmental science & 1160 technology, 49, 6712-6721, 2015.
- 1161

De Simone, F., Cinnirella, S., Gencarelli, C. N., Carbone, F., Hedgecock, I. M., and Pirrone, N.:
Particulate-Phase Mercury Emissions during Biomass Burning and Impact on Resulting
Deposition: a Modelling Assessment, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., <u>http://dx</u>. doi.
org/10.5194/acp-2016-685, in review, 2016.

- 1166
- Feng, L., and Zhou, T. J. J. o. G. R. A.: Water vapor transport for summer precipitation over theTibetan Plateau: Multidata set analysis, 117, 2012.
- 1169

Fiehn, A., Quack, B., Hepach, H., Fuhlbrügge, S., Tegtmeier, S., Toohey, M., Atlas, E., Krüger, K.
J. A. C., and Physics: Delivery of halogenated very short-lived substances from the west Indian
Ocean to the stratosphere during the Asian summer monsoon, 17, 6723-6741, 2017.

1173

Fu, X., Feng, X., Zhu, W., Wang, S., and Lu, J.: Total gaseous mercury concentrations in ambient
air in the eastern slope of Mt. Gongga, South-Eastern fringe of the Tibetan plateau, China,
Atmospheric Environment, 42, 970-979, 2008.

1177

Fu, X., Feng, X., Dong, Z., Yin, R., Wang, J., Yang, Z., and Zhang, H.: Atmospheric gaseous
elemental mercury (GEM) concentrations and mercury depositions at a high-altitude
mountain peak in south China, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 2425-2437, 2010.
-

Fu, X., Feng, X., Liang, P., Zhang, H., Ji, J., and Liu, P.: Temporal trend and sources of speciated
atmospheric mercury at Waliguan GAW station, Northwestern China, Atmospheric Chemistry
and Physics, 12, 1951-1964, 2012.

1185

_

Gay, D. A., Schmeltz, D., Prestbo, E., Olson, M., Sharac, T., and Tordon, R.: The Atmospheric
Mercury Network: measurement and initial examination of an ongoing atmospheric mercury
record across North America, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13, 11339-11349,
10.5194/acp-13-11339-2013, 2013.

1190

Gong, P., Wang, X., Pokhrel, B., Wang, H., Liu, X., Liu, X., Wania, F. J. E. s., and technology:
Trans-Himalayan Transport of Organochlorine Compounds: Three-Year Observations and
Model-Based Flux Estimation, 53, 6773-6783, 2019a.

1194

Gong, S., Hagan, D. F., and Zhang, C. J. J. o. S.: Analysis on Precipitable Water Vapor over the
Tibetan Plateau Using FengYun-3A Medium Resolution Spectral Imager Products, 2019,
2019b.

1198

_

Huang, W., Chen, F., Feng, S., Chen, J., and Zhang, X. J. C. S. B.: Interannual precipitation variations in the mid-latitude Asia and their association with large-scale atmospheric circulation, 58, 3962-3968, 2013. 1202 1203 Kellerhals, M., Beauchamp, S., Belzer, W., Blanchard, P., Froude, F., Harvey, B., McDonald, K., Pilote, M., Poissant, L., and Puckett, K.: Temporal and spatial variability of total gaseous 1204 1205 mercury in Canada: results from the Canadian Atmospheric Mercury Measurement Network 1206 (CAMNet), Atmospheric Environment, 37, 1003-1011, 2003. 1207 1208 Kondratyev, K. Y., Varotsos, C. A. J. E. S., and Research, P.: Global total ozone dynamics, 3, 1209 153-157, 1996. 1210 1211 Kotlia, B. S., Singh, A. K., Joshi, L. M., and Dhaila, B. S.: Precipitation variability in the Indian 1212 Central Himalaya during last ca. 4,000 years inferred from a speleothem record: Impact of 1213 Indian Summer Monsoon (ISM) and Westerlies, Quaternary International, 371, 244-253, 2015. 1214 1215 Lalonde, J. D., Poulain, A. J., Amyot, M. J. E. s., and technology: The role of mercury redox 1216 reactions in snow on snow-to-air mercury transfer, 36, 174-178, 2002. 1217 1218 Lalonde, J. D., Amyot, M., Doyon, M. R., and Auclair, J. C. J. J. o. G. R. A.: Photo-induced Hg (II) 1219 reduction in snow from the remote and temperate Experimental Lakes Area (Ontario, Canada), 1220 108, 2003. 1221 1222 Lin, H., Tong, Y., Yin, X., Zhang, Q., Zhang, H., Zhang, H., Long, C., Kang, S., Zhang, W., Schauer, 1223 J. J. A. C., and Physics: First measurement of atmospheric mercury species in Qomolangma 1224 Natural Nature Preserve, Tibetan Plateau, and evidence of transboundary pollutant invasion, 1225 19, 1373-1391, 2019. 1226 _ 1227 Liu, W., Wang, L., Chen, D., Tu, K., Ruan, C., and Hu, Z. J. C. D.: Large-scale circulation 1228 classification and its links to observed precipitation in the eastern and central Tibetan Plateau, 1229 46, 3481-3497, 2016. 1230 1231 Ping, C., and Bo, L.: Analysis of water vapor transport characteristics in southeast Tibet and its 1232 impact, Southern Agriculture, China, 12, 124-125, 2018. 1233 _ 1234 Rhode, D., Madsen, D. B., Brantingham, P. J., and Dargye, T.: Yaks, yak dung, and prehistoric 1235 human habitation of the Tibetan Plateau, Developments in Quaternary Sciences, 9, 205-224, 1236 2007. 1237 Selin, N. E.: Global biogeochemical cycling of mercury: a review, Annual Review of 1238 1239 Environment and Resources, 34, 43-63, 2009. 1240 1241 Sillman, S., Marsik, F. J., Al-Wali, K. I., Keeler, G. J., and Landis, M. S. J. J. o. G. R. A.: Reactive 1242 mercury in the troposphere: Model formation and results for Florida, the northeastern United 1243 States, and the Atlantic Ocean, 112, 2007. 1244 1245 Simone, F. D., Gencarelli, C. N., Hedgecock, I. M., and Pirrone, N.: A modeling comparison of

1246 mercury deposition from current anthropogenic mercury emission inventories, Environmental 1247 science & technology, 50, 5154-5162, 2016. 1248 1249 Slemr, F., Weigelt, A., Ebinghaus, R., Kock, H. H., Bödewadt, J., Brenninkmeijer, C. A., Rauthe-1250 Schöch, A., Weber, S., Hermann, M., and Becker, J.: Atmospheric mercury measurements 1251 onboard the CARIBIC passenger aircraft, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 9, 2291-1252 2302, 2016. 1253 _ 1254 Song, S., Angot, H., Selin, N. E., Gallée, H., Sprovieri, F., Pirrone, N., Helmig, D., Savarino, J., 1255 Magand, O., and Dommergue, A.: Understanding mercury oxidation and air-snow exchange 1256 on the East Antarctic Plateau: a modeling study, 2018. 1257 1258 Sprovieri, F., Gratz, L., and Pirrone, N.: Development of a ground-based atmospheric 1259 monitoring network for the Global Mercury Observation System (GMOS), E3S Web of 1260 Conferences, 2013. 1261 1262 Sprovieri, F., Pirrone, N., Bencardino, M., D'Amore, F., Carbone, F., Cinnirella, S., Mannarino, 1263 V., Landis, M., Ebinghaus, R., and Weigelt, A.: Atmospheric mercury concentrations observed 1264 at ground-based monitoring sites globally distributed in the framework of the GMOS network, 1265 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16, 11915-11935, 2016. 1266 1267 Sun, Z., Yuan, K., Hou, X., Ji, K., Li, C.-G., Wang, M., and Hou, J. J. T. H.: Centennial-scale 1268 interplay between the Indian Summer Monsoon and the Westerlies revealed from Ngamring 1269 Co, southern Tibetan Plateau, 30, 1163-1173, 2020. 1270 1271 UNEP: The Global Mercury Assessment: UN Environment Programme, Chemicals & Health 1272 Branch, Geneva, Switzerland (2018), 2018. 1273 _ 1274 UNEP, U. G. M. A.: Sources, Emissions, Releases and Environmental Transport; UNEP Chemicals 1275 Branch: Geneva, Switzerland, 2013, There is no corresponding record for this reference, 2013. 1276 1277 Wang, X., Gong, P., Sheng, J., Joswiak, D. R., and Yao, T.: Long-range atmospheric transport 1278 of particulate Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons and the incursion of aerosols to the 1279 southeast Tibetan Plateau, Atmospheric Environment, 115, 124-131, 2015. 1280 1281 Xiao, Q., Saikawa, E., Yokelson, R. J., Chen, P., Li, C., and Kang, S.: Indoor air pollution from 1282 burning yak dung as a household fuel in Tibet, Atmospheric environment, 102, 406-412, 2015. 1283 1284 Yan, H., Huang, J., He, Y., Liu, Y., Wang, T., and Li, J. J. J. o. G. R. A.: Atmospheric Water Vapor 1285 Budget and Its Long-Term Trend Over the Tibetan Plateau, 125, e2020JD033297, 2020. 1286 1287 Yao, T., Masson-Delmotte, V., Gao, J., Yu, W., Yang, X., Risi, C., Sturm, C., Werner, M., Zhao, 1288 H., and He, Y. J. R. o. G.: A review of climatic controls on δ 180 in precipitation over the Tibetan 1289 Plateau: Observations and simulations, 51, 525-548, 2013.

1290	-
1291	Yin, X., Kang, S., Foy, B. d., Ma, Y., Tong, Y., Zhang, W., Wang, X., Zhang, G., and Zhang, Q.:
1292	Multi-year monitoring of atmospheric total gaseous mercury at a remote high-altitude site
1293	(Nam Co, 4730 m asl) in the inland Tibetan Plateau region, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics,
1294	18, 10557-10574, 2018.
1295	
1296	Zhang, H., Fu, X., Lin, CJ., Shang, L., Zhang, Y., Feng, X., and Lin, C.: Monsoon-facilitated
1297	characteristics and transport of atmospheric mercury at a high-altitude background site in
1298	southwestern China, Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics, 16, 2016.
1299	- Zhang W. Tong Y. Hu, D. Ou, L. and Wang X. J. A. e.: Characterization of atmospheric
1300	mercury concentrations along an urban-rural gradient using a newly developed passive
1302	sampler 47 26-32 2012
1303	
1304	
1001	Desmonage to the Devieway? Comments
1302	Responses to the Reviewers Comments
1306	First Observation of Mercury Species on an Important Water Vapor Channel in the
1307	Southeast Tibetan Plateau
1308	Dear editor and reviewer,
1309	We greatly appreciate the useful comments and suggestions from the editor and reviewers. We
1310	think the novelty and importance of this study have been acknowledged by the reviewers. We have
1311	revised the manuscript thoroughly based on the reviewers' comments. Detailed point by point
1312	responses are provided below. All the revisions have been highlighted in blue in the revised
1313	manuscript. We hope the revised manuscript could meet the standard of ACP. Thanks again for your
1314	considerations.
1315	
1316	Anonymous Referee #1
1317	
1318	Comment #1
1319	General comment
1320	The manuscript by Lin et al. carried out a half-year of continuous measurements of speciated
1321	atmospheric Hg as well as a year of measurements of gaseous elemental Hg using a passive sampling
1322	technique at a high-altitude station in the eastern Tibetan Plateau. This study combined field
1323	observations with backward trajectory analysis, criteria pollutants and a PCA source identification

1324approach, which are used to understand the sources and transport of atmospheric Hg in the eastern1325Tibetan Plateau. This study is valuable for the atmospheric Hg research topic especially in the1326pristine Tibetan Plateau where could be potentially impacted by long-range transport of Hg from1327surrounding anthropogenic Hg source regions. The authors have provided detailed explanations for1328the variations in the atmospheric Hg, and I broadly agree with the interpretation and hypothesis. The1329manuscript is overall well organized and written. I therefore suggest a publication of this manuscript1330in ACP after addressing the following minor to moderate issues.

1331 **Response #1**

1332 We appreciate the reviewer for dedicating time to review our manuscript and provide constructive

1333 comments. We have updated the cited references, extended discussion content, redrawn some

1334 figures and addressed other concerns from the reviewer in the revised manuscript. All the revisions

- 1335 have been highlighted in blue. Detailed responses to the comments are provided as follows.
- 1336

1337 Specific comments

1338 Comment #2

Line 67-68: the authors mentioned that numerous studies have been conducted in Europe and North
America. As I know, atmospheric Hg studies in China have also obtained many advances in recent

1341 years, which should be also mentioned here (instead of using a citation of mercury emission study

1342 in China).

1343 **Response #2**

1344 Thanks for the suggestion. We agree with the reviewer that scientists in China have also conducted

1345 studies on the behavior of atmospheric Hg and have obtained many advances in recent years. We

- 1346 have added some literature to the introduction section.
- 1347

1348 **Comment #3**

1349 Line 80-83: I would suggest to cite Hg emission inventories developed in China and worldwide

1350 directly. Note that coal combustion is not the exclusive sources of atmospheric Hg in China.

1351 **Response #3**

1352 Thanks for the suggestion. We have added a sentence to exhibit the Hg emission in Asia, as follow:

1353 'South Asia, and East and Southeast Asia accounted for 10.1% and 38.6% of global emissions

1354 1355

of mercury, respectively (UNEP, 2018; Zhang et al., 2015b).

1356 **Comment #4**

Line 84-111: I saw the authors introduced many studies on air pollutants in the Tibetan Plateau, and I agree this is useful for highlighting the need of the present study. However, I would suggest the authors to make a general description of previous atmospheric Hg studies in the Tibetan Plateau, which would help the authors figure out the knowledge gaps in this study area and strengthen the importance of this study in this research topic.

1362 **Response #4**

1363 Thanks for the suggestion. We have added some general description of previous atmospheric Hg studies in the Tibetan Plateau, as follow: 'In the case of atmospheric Hg, monitoring in marginal 1364 1365 areas depicted the basic spectrum of atmospheric Hg in the Tibetan Plateau. Monitoring of 1366 atmospheric Hg at Shangri-La, Nam Co, Qomolangma, Mt. Gongga, Mt. Waliguan and Mt. 1367 Yulong have illustrated atmospheric Hg concentrations and transport patterns in the Tibetan 1368 Plateau from multiple perspectives, all of which also indicated the effects of transboundary 1369 transport on the atmospheric Hg concentrations in the Tibetan Plateau (Zhang et al., 2015a; 1370 Yin et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2019; Fu et al., 2008; Fu et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014). For example, our previous study in the QNNP, on the southern border of the Tibetan Plateau, proved that 1371 1372 atmospheric Hg from the Indian subcontinent can be transported across high-altitude 1373 mountains, and directly to the Tibetan Plateau under the actions of the Indian monsoon and 1374 local glacier winds (Lin et al., 2019). Studies of water vapor mercury and wet deposition of Hg 1375 in cities such as Lhasa have demonstrated higher concentrations of Hg species than expected 1376 (Huang et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2016b; Huang et al., 2016a). But the monitoring of 1377 atmospheric Hg speciation is still rare.'

1378

1379 **Comment #5**

1380 Line 135: is the rain depth at SET station much higher than the mean in the Tibetan Plateau? Could

1381 the author tell something regarding the seasonal patterns in rain depth at SET (noticeable difference

1382 between the PISM and ISM)?

1383 **Response #5**

1384 Thanks for the suggestion. We have added the precipitation data in the revised manuscript, as follow:

- 1385 'The average annual precipitation is approximately 700-1000 mm at the SET station, much
- 1386 higher than the annual precipitation in Tibet (596.3 mm in 2019). The precipitation at the SET
- 1387 station is 47.7 mm during the period of PISM, and is 528.5 mm during the period of ISM in
- 1388 **2019.**'
- 1389

1390 **Comment #6**

Section 2.3: the study by McLagan et al., 2018 (ACP) should be cited. I suggest the author to briefly
introduce how to use the passive technique to calculate the atmospheric GEM concentrations. The
current information is not very clear to me.

1394 **Response #6**

Thanks for the suggestion. In view of the length of the article, only literature citations are given in the text and no detailed calculations are given. We have added the following information accordingly: 'Hg concentrations in the atmosphere are then calculated from the mass of sorbed Hg according to the equation obtained from our previous work (Guo et al., 2014).' and 'Similar passive sampling methods for Hg have been widely used worldwide (McLagan et al., 2018).'

1400

1401 **Comment #7**

- Line 202: why did the authors choose a ending height of backward trajectory of 1000 m agl. A heightof 1000 m is almost above the PBL.
- 1404 **Response #7**

1405 Thanks for the comment. The relative high trajectory arrival height was set mainly due to concerns 1406 that the complex topography of the Tibetan Plateau might cause significant disruptions to the 1407 trajectory. We reviewed the data and found that the average boundary layer height in Nyingchi is 1408 457 m (data from Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS)). In the revised manuscript, we have 1409 recalculated all trajectories and redone all the simulations associated with the trajectories. The 1410 arrival height was set at 200 m a.g.l., which is about half of the boundary layer height. Considering 1411 that a longer simulation time will bring higher trajectories uncertainty, and 120 hours are sufficient 1412 for trajectories transmission over longer distances, every backward trajectory was simulated for 120 hours at 3 hours intervals. Also, we examined the effect of arrival height on the trajectories using 1413

- 1414 different arrival heights (20m, 50m, 200m and 500m, respectively) in June 2019. The results showed
- 1415 that the calculated trajectories of the air masses are almost the same when the arrival height is below
- 1416 500m. The figure below shows the trajectories to Nyingchi in June 2019 with different air masses
- 1417 arrival height. We also added these results in the support information in the revised manuscript.

Trajectories to Nyingchi in June 2019 (height 20 m a.g.l)







Trajectories to Nyingchi in June 2019 (height 200 m a.g.l)









Figure Trajectories to Nyingchi in June 2019 with different air masses arrival height

1420

1421 We have changed the describe of the backward trajectory simulations in the revised manuscript to 1422 make it clear: 'The trajectory arrival height was set to 200 m a.g.l., which is about half of the 1423 boundary layer height. We examined the effects of arrival height on the trajectories using 1424 different arrival heights (20m, 50m, 200m and 500m, respectively) in June 2019. The results 1425 show that the calculated trajectories of the air masses are almost the same when the arrival 1426 height is below 500m (Figure S3). Each backward trajectory was simulated for 120 hours at 3 1427 hours intervals for GEM, which can cover China, Nepal, India, Pakistan, and the majority of 1428 western Asia.'

1429

1430 **Comment #8**

1431 Line 206-212: the description of PSCF is not clear to me. A least, the authors should mention the

arbitrarily set criterions in GEM concentrations used for different sampling period.

1434 Thanks for the comment and suggestion. The criterion level was set based on the average GEM 1435 concentration during the whole monitoring campaign with Tekran instrument. However, we agree 1436 with another reviewer that the PSCF analysis does not provide gainful information in this

- 1437 manuscript. So we have decided to delete the PSCF related discussion in the revised manuscript.
- 1438

1439 **Comment #9**

- Line 235-237: rain depth is a good proxy for the changes of monsoons. However, I would suggest the authors to show the air mass sources and transport pathways in different monitoring periods. This would help to better show the changes in monsoons.
- 1443 Alternatively, the authors may provide the Indian monsoon index to support the changes in ISM.
- 1444 **Response 9**
- 1445 Thanks for the suggestion. We have added the Indian Monsoon Index for 2019 in the supporting
- 1446 information (Figure S1), with the Indian monsoon starting to break out in May, 2019 and becoming
- 1447 the dominant wind field. We have also calculated trajectories for different seasons and added a
- 1448 discussion of the sources of trajectories in section 3.3 to calculate transport pathways' changes.
- 1449

1450 **Comment #10**

- 1451 Line 395-252: the authors did not show the GEM, GOM and PBM during the ISM3 period. The
- 1452 ISMS is characterized by elevated GEM and decreasing GOM and PBM. Would these observations
- 1453 be explained by wet deposition removal processes?

1454 **Response #10**

- 1455 Thanks for the comment. We have added a discussion for the ISM3 period. The wet deposition
- removal process is one of the reasons for the decrease of GOM and PBM, but not the only reason,
- as GOM and PBM concentrations continue to decline when precipitation declines from ISM2 to
- 1458ISM3. This may indicate that less GOM and PBM were transported to the SET station or with fewer
- 1459 local sources during ISM3.
- 1460

1461 **Comment #11**

1462 Line 255: the mean GEM measured by Tekran instrument should be presented.

1464 Thanks for the suggestion. We have added the mean GEM measured by Tekran instrument here 1465 accordingly.

1466

1467 **Comment #12**

- 1468 Figure 4: this figure contains to many information and I can only read the diurnal GEM trend clearly.
- 1469 I would suggest to redraw these figures by separating some of the observations in different figures
- 1470 (some maybe in SI). Also, these figures are lacking of Y axis.

1471 **Response #12**

- 1472 Thanks for the suggestion. We agree with the reviewer that the figures contain too much information.
- 1473 We have redrawn the diurnal variation figures, keeping only GEM and error range, GOM, PBM and
- 1474 wind speed information.
- 1475

1476 **Comment #13**

1477 Section 3.2: the authors presented the diurnal patterns in criteria pollutants in Figure 4, but they did

1478 not use these data to explain the sources and factors regulating the atmospheric Hg. I would suggest

1479 to use the CO (or NO2) to strengthen their hypothesis.

1480 **Response #13**

1481 Thanks for the suggestion. We agree with the reviewer that the use of CO (or NO₂) could facilitate 1482 the understanding of the changing patterns of GEM. However, the relations between the pollutants 1483 and atmospheric Hg are extremely complicated, and due to the word limit, we didn't make very 1484 detailed expansion on the manuscript. For example, the relationships between GEM and other 1485 pollutants may be significantly affected by the complex topography and precipitation conditions at 1486 Nyingchi. The presence of abundant vegetation may also affect GEM concentrations.

1487

1488 **Comment #14**

Figure 5: these figures are difficult to read. I would suggest the authors to add tables in these figures, which may include the relative fractions, travelling height, mean GEM, GOM and PBM concentrations for the grouped clusters. Alternatively, they can show these information by text directly in the figures (information using thickness and color of the lines are difficult to obtain)

1494 Thanks for the suggestion. We agree with the reviewer that the figures presented here are difficult 1495 to obtain useful information. We have redrawn the trajectory and showed detailed information 1496 concerning the cluster number, GEM concentration and ratio on the trajectory edges by text directly 1497 in the figures in the revised manuscript. We hope the new version can provide these information 1498 clearly.

1499

1500 **Comment #15**

Line 410-417: would the transport of Hg from southwestern China contribute to the elevated GEMduring ISM3?

1503 **Response #15**

1504 Thanks for the suggestion. The old version trajectories showed that the transport of Hg from 1505 southwestern China might contribute to the elevated GEM during ISM3. However, after we re-1506 calculate the backward trajectories in lower arrival height, we didn't found trajectories from 1507 southwestern China.

1508

1509 **Comment #16**

1510 Section 3.3: the authors mainly use backward trajectories to show the sources and transport 1511 pathways. I suggest the authors to add an analysis of wind dependence distribution of GEM, GOM, 1512 and PBM. This would help to support the findings using trajectories (trajectory has many 1513 uncertainties especially for mountainous monitoring sites.)

1514 **Response #16**

1515 Thanks for the suggestion. We agree with the reviewer that trajectory analysis for mountainous 1516 monitoring sites could be affected and have higher uncertainties. We didn't show the wind 1517 dependence distributions of GEM, GOM, and PBM in this paper, mainly because of the complex 1518 topography of the SET station. The final arrival wind direction may be influenced by local 1519 vegetation or small local topography, and may not reflect the true atmospheric transport trend.

1520

1521 Comment #17

1522 Line 420: are these PSCF figures showing the sources of GEM, or GOM and PBM? Overall, the

1523authors did not well explain the sources and transformation of GOM and PBM, neither combined1524them with GEM to propose the atmospheric processes (or sources) of atmospheric Hg in the high-

1525 altitude regions.

1526 **Response #17**

1527 Thanks for the suggestion. The PSCF figures show the sources of GEM. As we mentioned above,

1528 we agree with another reviewer that the PSCF analysis does not provide gainful information in this

1529 manuscript. So we have decided to delete the PSCF related discussion in the revised manuscript.

1530

1531 **Comment #18**

1532 Line 465: would GOM be emitted from land surfaces? The elevated GOM accompanied by 1533 increasing solar radiation many indicate in situ oxidation of GEM?

1534 **Response #18**

1535 Thanks for the suggestion. We agree with the reviewer that strong solar radiation in Tibet may indicate in situ oxidation of GEM. We did find that intense solar radiation may be associated with 1536 1537 extremely high GOM concentrations. We have added some discussions at the end of section 3.1: 1538 'Table S3 shows the variations of Hg species, meteorological factors and other pollutants from 1539 June 1 to 4, 2019. High GOM concentrations were observed on June 2 and 3, and very high 1540 solar radiation and UV Index were also observed in these days. PBM concentrations, relative 1541 humidity and O₃ were low during this period. The solar radiation was nearly twice the mean 1542 value of the ISM1 phase (162.79 W m⁻², Table S2), and thus higher solar radiation might 1543 contribute to the higher GOM concentrations. Some of the PBM might be converted to GOM, 1544 but the decrease in PBM concentration was less than the increase in GOM concentration. 1545 Generally, high O₃ concentrations should be observed at high solar radiation (Kondratyev et 1546 al., 1996), but low O_3 concentrations were found at Nyingchi, suggesting that O_3 may be 1547 involved in the formation of GOM. The oxidation of GEM by OH and O₃ to generate GOM 1548 has been discussed in previous studies with model simulation (Sillman et al., 2007), which may 1549 explain the reduced concentration of O₃, while OH radicals may be associated with high solar 1550 radiation. The mechanism of GOM formation should be further explored in future studies.' 1551

100-

1552

1553 **References**

1554

Fu, X., Feng, X., Zhu, W., Wang, S., and Lu, J.: Total gaseous mercury concentrations in ambient
air in the eastern slope of Mt. Gongga, South-Eastern fringe of the Tibetan plateau, China,
Atmospheric Environment, 42, 970-979, 2008.

1558

Fu, X., Feng, X., Liang, P., Zhang, H., Ji, J., and Liu, P.: Temporal trend and sources of speciated
atmospheric mercury at Waliguan GAW station, Northwestern China, Atmospheric Chemistry
and Physics, 12, 1951-1964, 2012.

1562

Guo, H., Lin, H., Zhang, W., Deng, C., Wang, H., Zhang, Q., Shen, Y., and Wang, X.: Influenceof meteorological factors on the atmospheric mercury measurement by a novel passive

1565 sampler, Atmospheric Environment, 97, 310-315, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.08.028, 2014.

1566

_

Huang, J., Kang, S., Zhang, Q., Guo, J., Sillanpää, M., Wang, Y., Sun, S., Sun, X., and Tripathee,
L.: Characterizations of wet mercury deposition on a remote high-elevation site in the
southeastern Tibetan Plateau, Environmental Pollution, 206, 518-526, 2015.

1570

Huang, J., Kang, S., Guo, J., Zhang, Q., Cong, Z., Sillanpää, M., Zhang, G., Sun, S., and Tripathee,
L.: Atmospheric particulate mercury in Lhasa city, Tibetan Plateau, Atmospheric Environment,
142, 433-441, 2016a.

1574

Huang, J., Kang, S., Tian, L., Guo, J., Zhang, Q., Cong, Z., Sillanpää, M., Sun, S., and Tripathee,
L.: Influence of long-range transboundary transport on atmospheric water vapor mercury
collected at the largest city of Tibet, Science of The Total Environment, 566, 1215-1222, 2016b.
-

1579 Kondratyev, K. Y., Varotsos, C. A. J. E. S., and Research, P.: Global total ozone dynamics, 3,1580 153-157, 1996.

1581

Lin, H., Tong, Y., Yin, X., Zhang, Q., Zhang, H., Zhang, H., Long, C., Kang, S., Zhang, W., Schauer,

J. J. A. C., and Physics: First measurement of atmospheric mercury species in Qomolangma
Natural Nature Preserve, Tibetan Plateau, and evidence oftransboundary pollutant invasion,
19, 1373-1391, 2019.

1586

McLagan, D. S., Mitchell, C. P., Steffen, A., Hung, H., Shin, C., Stupple, G. W., Olson, M. L., Luke,
W. T., Kelley, P., Howard, D. J. A. C., and Physics: Global evaluation and calibration of a passive

air sampler for gaseous mercury, 18, 5905-5919, 2018.

1590

Sillman, S., Marsik, F. J., Al-Wali, K. I., Keeler, G. J., and Landis, M. S. J. J. o. G. R. A.: Reactive
mercury in the troposphere: Model formation and results for Florida, the northeastern United
States, and the Atlantic Ocean, 112, 2007.

1594

UNEP: The Global Mercury Assessment: UN Environment Programme, Chemicals & HealthBranch, Geneva, Switzerland (2018), 2018.

1597

_

Wang, Y., Peng, Y., Wang, D., and Zhang, C.: Wet deposition fluxes of total mercury and
methylmercury in core urban areas, Chongqing, China, Atmospheric Environment, 92, 87-96,
2014.

1601

Yin, X., Kang, S., Foy, B. d., Ma, Y., Tong, Y., Zhang, W., Wang, X., Zhang, G., and Zhang, Q.:
Multi-year monitoring of atmospheric total gaseous mercury at a remote high-altitude site
(Nam Co, 4730 m asl) in the inland Tibetan Plateau region, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics,
18, 10557-10574, 2018.

1606

Zhang, H., Fu, X., Lin, C., Wang, X., and Feng, X.: Observation and analysis of speciated
atmospheric mercury in Shangri-La, Tibetan Plateau, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 15, 653-665,
2015a.

1610

1611 Zhang, L., Wang, S., Wang, L., Wu, Y., Duan, L., Wu, Q., Wang, F., Yang, M., Yang, H., and Hao,
1612 J.: Updated emission inventories for speciated atmospheric mercury from anthropogenic

1613 sources in China, Environmental science & technology, 49, 3185-3194, 2015b.

1614