Overview towards improved understanding of the mechanisms leading to heavy precipitation in the Western Mediterranean: lessons learned from HyMeX
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Abstract

Heavy precipitation (HP) constitutes a major meteorological threat in the western Mediterranean (WMed). Every year, recurrent events affect the area with fatal consequences on infrastructure and personal losses. Despite this being a well-known issue, widely investigated in the past, still open questions remain. Particularly, the understanding of the underlying mechanisms and the modelling representation of the events must be improved. One of the major goals of the Hydrological cycle in the Mediterranean eXperiment (HyMeX; 2010-2020) has been to advance knowledge on this topic. In this article we present an overview of the most recent lessons learned from HyMeX towards improved understanding of the mechanisms leading to HP in the WMed.

The unique network of instruments deployed, the use of finer model resolutions and of coupled models, provided an unprecedented opportunity to validate numerical model simulations, to develop improved parameterizations, designing high-resolution ensemble modelling approaches and sophisticated assimilation techniques across scales.

All in all, HyMeX and particularly the science team heavy precipitation favoured the evidencing of theoretical results, the enrichment of our knowledge on the genesis and evolution of convection in a complex topography environment, and the improvement of precipitation forecasts. Illustratively, the intervention of cyclones and warm conveyor belts in the occurrence of heavy precipitation has been pointed out, the crucial role of the spatio-temporal distribution of the atmospheric water vapor for the understanding and accurate forecast of the timing and location of deep convection has been evidenced, as well as the complex interaction among processes across scales. The importance of soil and ocean conditions and the interactions among systems were highlighted and such systems were specifically developed in the framework of HyMeX to improve the realism of weather forecasts. Furthermore, the benefits of cross-disciplinary efforts within HyMeX have been a key asset in bringing a step forward our knowledge about heavy precipitation in the Mediterranean region.
1. Introduction and Motivation

A 10-Year Multidisciplinary Program on the Mediterranean Water Cycle, HyMeX, Hydrological Cycle in the Mediterranean Experiment (Drobinski et al., 2014), has come to an end (2010-2020). With the main goal to advance the scientific knowledge of the Mediterranean water cycle variability and to improve the process-based and regional climate models, different temporal scales are considered, from weather-scale to the seasonal and interannual scales. Special focus is put on the hydrometeorological extremes and consequent social and economic impacts, as well as the vulnerability and the adaptation capacity of the Mediterranean population under Climate Change.

The unique character of the Mediterranean basin and surrounding countries resulting from the geographical location, climatic conditions, and topography, makes the region prone to extreme phenomena; heavy precipitation and flash floods, as well as heat waves and drought (e.g., Mariotti, 2010). The region, defined as one of the two main “hot spots” of climate change (Giorgi, 2006; IPCC, 2013), is in a transition area, therefore, very sensitive to global climate change at short and long-time scales. An increase in interannual rainfall variability, strong warming and drying in addition to a significant population growth are projected for the coming future. Despite the overall Mediterranean climate drying, under climate change, intensity of heavy precipitation events (HPEs) is expected to increase (Planton et al., 2016; Jacob et al., 2013; Drobinski et al., 2016; Colmet-Daage et al., 2017; Tramblay and Somot, 2018; Giorgi et al., 2019). In this context, threats posed from the expected increase in frequency and intensity of events conducive to floods and droughts (Gao et al., 2006; Orlowsky and Seneviratne, 2011) are seen with great concern. Countries surrounding the Mediterranean basin already suffer water problems in relation to water shortages and floods. Food security could also become an issue (Nelson et al., 2010).

HPEs and the associated flash floods are the most dangerous meteorological hazards affecting the Mediterranean countries in terms of mortality, and hundreds of millions of euros in damages are registered every year (Llasat et al., 2010, 2013; Doocy et al., 2013). The Mediterranean basin and particularly the surrounding mountainous coastal regions are often affected by these phenomena, regularly in the autumn period. The Mediterranean Sea, acting as a heat and moisture source, and the steep orography, triggering convection, are key aspects determining the occurrence of heavy precipitation in the region which is mainly of convective nature (Funatsu et al., 2008; Dayan et al., 2015). Rainfall accumulations greater than 100-150 mm may be expected in less than a day or even just a few hours resulting mostly from quasi-stationary mesoscale convective
systems (MCSs; Lee et al., 2018, 2017, 2016; Duffourg et al. 2018; Buzzi et al., 2014). Such rainfall accumulations are favoured by a slowly evolving synoptic situation, characterized by an upper-level trough and consequent cyclogenesis that induces advection of warm and moist air from the Mediterranean Sea (Duffourg and Ducrocq, 2011) to the coasts through marine low-level jets (Homar et al., 1999; Jansa et al., 2001; Nuissier et al., 2011; Ricard et al., 2012; Khodayar et al., 2016 b). Strong wind with high sea surface temperature (SST) governs evaporation, which moistens and warms the lowest levels of the atmosphere, thus increasing instability and finally often enhancing the convection intensity (Xie et al., 2005, Lebeaupin et al., 2006; Stocchi and Davolio, 2017, Rainaud et al., 2017; Senatore et al., 2020a). Low-level convergence over the sea, cold pools beneath the convective systems, or topographic lifting when encountering the coastal mountains trigger deep convection, forcing the lift of the conditionally unstable low-level flow. The synoptic-scale situations associated with these episodes are generally well-known and well represented in numerical weather prediction (NWP) model simulations. However, the accuracy of forecasts is still insufficient to adequately assess timing, location and intensity of rainfall and flash flooding in certain situations, which is a key step towards prevention and mitigation. This is mostly in relation to (a) model limitations in terms of predictability of small-scale processes (e.g., convection, turbulence) and feedbacks (e.g., soil, atmosphere, ocean) and their non-linear interaction across scales, (b) lack of knowledge regarding underlying mechanisms, and (c) absence of adequate observations to help us advance our understanding and improving model capabilities.

This issue is one of the main objectives of the HyMeX international programme, and of its associated first special observation period (SOP1; Ducrocq et al., 2014), from 5 September to 6 November 2012, dedicated to heavy precipitation and flash flooding. Because of the large number of instruments deployed, the unprecedented high spatial-temporal coverage achieved and the quality of the derived observations, the SOP1 has offered a unique opportunity to improve understanding and advance documenting high-impact weather events. This is in addition to the significant progress achieved in the last decade through the development of convection-permitting models, whose benefit has been sufficiently demonstrated (Richard et al., 2007; Fosser et al., 2014, Prein et al., 2015; Clark et al., 2016, among others) and it is widely used nowadays from the scientific community.

The major goal of this article is to expose an overview on some of the recent years’ main achievements towards better understanding of the mechanisms leading to heavy precipitation in the WMed in the framework of the HyMeX international programme. Advances regarding improved understanding of the mechanisms governing the initiation
and intensification of precipitating systems producing large amounts of rainfall are thoroughly discussed in terms of in situ observations and high-resolution modelling systems, as well as the synergetic use of both to help us bridging knowledge gaps. An intensive observation period IOP16, which took place during the SOP1, is taken as a paradigm to illustrate some of the main HyMeX results in the field of heavy precipitation. This paper is structured as follows: in section 2 we describe the general conditions leading to HP during the SOP1 period, the state-of-the-art of the observational networks deployed in this time, as well as the modelling strategy developed. Additionally, the IOP16, which has been used throughout the paper for illustrating some of the results is presented. In section 3, the main advances regarding HP understanding and modelling are presented, including the large-scale dynamics, advances in moist process understanding, low-level dynamics, the impacts of the land and the sea surfaces and microphysics. Section 4 is devoted to the examination of the improvements in the multi-scale modelling of HP and in section 5 some conclusions and recommendations are summarized.

2. Heavy precipitation during the HyMeX SOP1 period

The SOP1 campaign took place in 2012, from 5 September to 6 November, when the probability of HPE occurrence in the north-western Mediterranean is the highest. About 30% of the days in this period experienced, indeed, rainfall accumulations over 100 mm somewhere in the investigation domain. Sixteen Intensive Observation Periods (IOPs) were launched during the campaign, most of them occurring in the period after mid-October to the end of the SOP1 (Ducrocq et al., 2014). This agrees with the monthly precipitation totals being close to the climatological values in September, but well above in October (Khodayar et al., 2016b). Most IOPs did not affect a single site but encompassed several regions of the north-western Mediterranean. The most affected sites were the Cévennes-Vivarais (CV), including the Massif Central and the French Southern Alps, as well as the Liguria-Tuscany (LT) region in Italy.

2.1. State-of-the-art observational capabilities and modelling activities

More than 200 research instruments were deployed over the WMed Sea and surrounding countries, namely Spain, France, and Italy to ensure a close observation of the precipitating systems and a fine-scale survey of the upstream meteorological conditions over the Mediterranean. Ducrocq et al. (2014) provides a comprehensive description of the observing systems deployed during the SOP1. Furthermore, this unique network of instruments provided an unprecedented opportunity to validate more accurately NWP model simulations, to develop novel data assimilation techniques and to improve model
parameterizations with the purpose of better predicting the evolution of the environment across scales.

2.1.1 Ground-based, airborne, and seaborne observations

One unique aspect of HyMeX-SOP1 was represented by the availability of a large ensemble of ground-based and airborne instruments, covering a major portion of the WMed and its surrounding coastal regions in France, Italy, and Spain. The observational domain of HyMeX-SOP1 was defined to include the area with the highest occurrence of HPEs and being within the ranges of aircraft flight endurance. Within this large domain, five measurement sites including advanced research instruments were established, i.e. the Cévennes-Vivarais (CV) and the Corsica (CO) sites, the Central Italy (CI) and Northeastern Italy (NEI) sites, and the Spanish Balearic Islands (BA) site in Menorca (Ducrocq et al., 2014). Most sites were equipped with soil moisture sensors, turbulence or energy balance stations, microwave radiometers, lidars, radars (cloud, precipitation and/or wind) and radiosonde launching facilities, in addition to the operational meteorological and hydrological ground networks covering the entire SOP1 domain. Thus, an unprecedented dense network of rain gauges was available over France, Italy, and Spain, with a density of about one hourly rain gauge per 180 km². This network operated in combination with a radar network including a variety of S-band, C-band Doppler (two of them being polarimetric) and X-band radars (one of them being polarimetric). A similarly dense network of Global Positioning System (GPS) stations was also established, with stations covering the north-western Mediterranean basin and including measurements from 25 European, national, and regional GPS networks (Bock et al., 2016).

Three aircrafts participated in the field campaign: the French ATR42, the French Falcon 20 (operated by SAFIRE (Service des Avions Français Instrumentés pour la Recherche en Environnement)) and the German Do128 (Corsmeier et al., 2001). The ATR42 involvement was primarily aimed to characterize the origin and transport pattern of water vapor and aerosol in pre-convective conditions and their link with heavy precipitating systems. Its main payload was the airborne dial LEANDRE 2, capable of profiling water vapor mixing ratio above or beneath the aircraft. The F20 aircraft primary mission was the characterization of the microphysical and kinematic processes taking place within convective precipitating systems, this objective being pursued based on the use of advanced microphysical in situ probes and the 95-GHz Doppler cloud radar RASTA (Radar Aéroporté et Sol de Télédétection des propriétés nuAgeuses, Protat et al., 2009). Furthermore, the German Do 128 research aircraft was equipped with fast sensors to measure turbulent fluxes, water vapor inlet, and stable water isotope measurements (Sodemann et al., 2017) with the primary goal of monitoring upstream low-level
conditions before and during HPEs and investigating the orographic and thermal impact of the island on the initiation and evolution of diurnal convective activity.

During HyMeX-SOP1 Boundary Layer Pressurized Balloons (BLPBs) were also launched from Menorca, flying at a nearly constant height (Doerenbecher et al., 2016) and providing Lagrangian trajectories of specific humidity, temperature, pressure, and horizontal wind.

Two ground-based Raman lidars were involved, namely the system BASIL (Di Girolamo et al., 2009), deployed in Candillargues (Southern France) and the system WALI (Water-vapour Raman Lidar; Chazette et al., 2016), deployed in Ciutadella (Menorca, Balearic Islands). Both systems provided long-term records of high-resolution and accurate humidity measurements, both in daytime and night-time, throughout the duration of HyMeX-SOP1.

At sea, several platforms were deployed to monitor the ocean upper-layer and the exchanges with the atmosphere (Ducrocq et al., 2014, Lebeaupin Brossier et al., 2014, Rainaud et al., 2015). Two Météo-France moored buoys, LION (4.7°E–42.1°N) and AZUR (7.8°E–43.4°N), routinely provide the 2 m-temperature, humidity, 10 m-wind speed, direction and gust intensity, mean sea level pressure and sea surface parameters (SST, wave height and period). They were equipped with additional sensors for HyMeX with radiative flux measurements, raingauges, a thermosalinograph measuring the near-surface temperature and salinity, and a thermobathymetric chain giving the ocean temperature between 5 and 250 m-depth. During SOP1, up to five gliders monitored the area simultaneously, providing 0–1000 m profiles along repeated transects.

Observations from ships include CTD profiles (up to 200 m-depth) and radiosoundings from the port-tender Le Provence sent in the Gulf of Lion for 3 IOPs (IOP7, IOP12 and IOP16). Finally, the freighter Marfret-Niolon that regularly linked Marseille (France) with Algiers (Algeria), was equipped for HyMeX with the SEOS (Sea Embedded Observation System; http://dx.doi.org/10.6096/MISTRALS-HYMEX.748) station, measuring air temperature, relative humidity, pressure, wind and SST. Another sensor provided measurements of sea temperature at almost 3 m-depth, using a high-quality temperature probe (TRANSMED data: http://dx.doi.org/10.6096/MISTRALS-HYMEX.973), backed by a thermosalinograph that also provided in-situ salinity.

2.1.2 HyMeX modelling strategy

Despite significant efforts to improve the skill of forecasts, the forecasting accuracy has been proved still insufficient in terms of amount, timing, and location of heavy
precipitation. The design of the HyMeX modelling strategy considered three key issues proved to be relevant to reduce modelling uncertainty: (a) to be consistent with the observation strategy, (b) to integrate numerical models of the atmosphere, ocean, and land and (c) to include models of the climate system to cover all scales of time and space. Moreover, through the refinement of model grids and the development of convection-permitting NWP systems and Regional Climate Models (RCM), significant progress has been made to improve the simulations of HPEs, the knowledge of the relevant processes and their interactions across scales, as well as to reduce the large uncertainties on the future evolution under climate change. The use of finer-scale and coupled models representing more accurately the atmosphere-ocean-land systems and their interactions, and/or the detailed validation using the SOP1 measurements allowed the development of improved parameterizations of physical processes, the design of high-resolution ensemble modelling approaches with greater number of ensemble members, and a more sophisticated and efficient use of observations for assimilation purposes.

Profiting from these efforts, the HyMeX community has made relevant advances in process knowledge and prediction of heavy precipitation. Some of these advances are discussed and illustrated in the coming sections using the IOP16, which is introduced in the following.

2.2. Illustrative case: IOP 16

The IOP 16 is a well-documented and widely investigated event observed in the period 25-29 October 2012 over the WMed region. IOP 16 was one of the best equipped observational periods in terms of instrumental coverage during HyMeX-SOP1 (Figure 1). Most ground-based and air-borne instrumentation were successfully operational, providing high quality data, with almost all the on-demand SOP1 instruments involved. Benefiting from this large observational dataset, an extensive number of modelling activities focused on the IOP 16, with the purpose of investigating different issues related with the occurrence of heavy precipitation, such as the impact of the turbulence representation on the sensitivity of the simulated convective systems (Martinet et al., 2017), the underlying mechanisms of offshore deep convection initiation and maintenance (Duffourg et al., 2016), some assimilation or pre-assimilation experiments (Borderies et al., 2019a), the impact of fine-scale air-sea interactions and coupled processes on heavy precipitation (Rainaud et al., 2017), or novel Large Eddy Simulation (LES) of a HPE (Nuissier et al., 2020).

This event was associated with a propagating cyclone and was observed in two dedicated periods: (a) the IOP16a (25–26 October), characterized by heavy...
precipitation over CV and LT, when several quasi-stationary MCSs developed, two of them over the sea, with subsequent heavy precipitation over the French and Italian coasts on 26 October 2012, and (b) the IOP16b (27–29 October) characterized by heavy precipitation over CI, NEI, and CO regions.

The IOP16a was driven by the presence of a cyclone moving from the easternmost Atlantic to the Pyrenees, followed in phase by a cut-off low, associated with upper-level high potential vorticity values. In the lower troposphere, the cyclone provoked southwesterly advection of moist and warm air above 20 °C. On the morning of the 26 October the cyclone was centered over the Pyrenees, forming a convergence line between the southerly flow and the southwesterly colder winds, while over the Tyrrhenian Sea a southerly moist and warm flow from Tunisia to the Gulf of Genoa established (Fourrié et al., 2015). During the night from the 25th to the 26th of October and in the following day several MCSs with quasi-stationary behavior formed within a “comma-shaped” cloud coverage. First over the sea, between the eastern Spanish coast and the Balearic Islands (Duffourg et al., 2016), afterwards over the Gulf of Lion inducing large amounts of precipitation over sea during the morning. The first MCS split in two. One system (MCS1a) moved towards the south east of the Massif Central, but progressively decayed producing just orographic rainfall; the second (MCS1b) strengthened and caused a large precipitation accumulation over the Var region during the afternoon, nearly 150 mm in 24 h, causing two fatalities in the city of Toulon. A third MCS initiated at about 06:00 UTC on the 26 October on the Italian coast of Liguria. The MCS development occurred also offshore Sardinia and Corsica and reached central Italy during the evening on 26 October, leading to 250 mm daily precipitation on this day over Liguria-Tuscany, with local flash flooding. On the same day, over the Côenvenses-Vivarais region, daily precipitation reached 170 mm.

During the second period, 27–28 October 2012, the cyclone centre reached the lowest pressure of 985 hPa over the Alps (Fig. 2), associated with a clear trough in the upper troposphere and provoking severe northwesterly/northerly winds advecting cold and dry air over the WMed Sea and inducing large evaporation and ocean cooling and mixing (Lebeaupin Brossier et al., 2014; Rainaud et al., 2015, 2017; Seyfried et al., 2018). The relationship between cyclone dynamics and heavy rainfall during IOP16 is discussed in detail by Flaounas et al. (2015a).
310 Figure 1: Location of selected experimental setup during the IOP16, 25-28 Oct. 2012, including radiosondes and high-resolution sondes, radar, lidar, wind profilers, and Boundary Layer Pressurized Balloons (BLBP). The position of the GPS receivers can be found in Figure 3d.

3. Towards improved understanding of the mechanisms leading to heavy precipitation in the Western Mediterranean

3.1 Large-scale dynamics and HPE occurrence

Mediterranean cyclogenesis is typically triggered by baroclinic instability because of Rossby wave breaking and the intrusion of upper tropospheric filaments of air masses of high potential vorticity over the Mediterranean (Grams et al., 2011; Raveh-Rubin and Flaounas, 2017). Therefore, most intense Mediterranean cyclones are baroclinic systems with frontal structures and associated airstreams such as dry air intrusions and warm conveyor belts (WCB; Ziv et al., 2009; Flaounas et al., 2015a). The latter corresponds to airstreams that ascend slantwise over the cyclone warm front and are responsible for the characteristic "comma-shaped" cloud coverage of mid-latitude storms. WCBs are associated with stratiform, but also with convective rainfall due to embedded convection within their large-scale ascent branch (Flaounas et al., 2018; Oertel et al., 2019). Such is the case of IOP16, where WCBs and deep convection...
coexisted to attribute large amounts of rainfall over the western Mediterranean (Figure 2).

Several past studies showed that HP in the Mediterranean basin is intertwined with the occurrence of cyclones. Scheffknecht et al. (2017) showed that cyclones are present for all HPEs over the Corsica island when examining the climatology in the period 1985-2015. Embedded deep convection and WCBs are responsible for the grand majority of total regional precipitation and its extremes (Jansa et al., 2001; Hawcroft et al., 2012; Pfahl et al., 2014; Galanaki et al., 2016; Raveh-Rubin and Wernli, 2015). As a token of cyclones contribution to regional rainfall, Flaounas et al. (2018) showed that the 250 most intense systems of the period 2005-2015 were alone responsible for up to a third of the total 11-year precipitation, while climate modelling showed that cyclones contributed from 70% to almost the total of rainfall extremes, depending on the area (Flaounas et al., 2015b). Such heavy rainfall events are related to water sources from the Mediterranean Sea, but also from the tropical and extratropical Atlantic Ocean. This is due to cyclogenesis being preceded by Rossby wave breaking over the Atlantic that favours the eastward zonal transport of water vapour from oceanic remote areas, rendering water vapour imports imperative for the formation of heavy rainfall in the Mediterranean (Duffourg and Ducrocq, 2013; Flaounas et al., 2019).
Figure 2: (a) Sea level pressure (black contours every 3 hPa, outer contour is set at 1005 hPa). Coloured lines show 48-hour air mass trajectories from ECMWF analyses that correspond to WCBs and where strong ascent takes place at 12:00 UTC, 26 Oct. 2012 (i.e., when cloud ice occurs for the first time in the air masses). Vertical level of the air masses is shown in colour. (b) as in (a), but a strong ascent takes place at 12:00 UTC, 27 Oct. 2012. (c) Daily accumulation of precipitation on 26 Oct. 2012 taken from 3B42 of TRMM (colour). (d) as in (c) for 27 Oct. Datasets and methods are detailed in Flaounas et al. (2015a).

3.2 Advances in moist processes understanding

3.2.1 Distribution, origin, and transport of the water vapour supply to HPEs

The relevance of atmospheric water vapour distribution and stratification in the initiation, intensification, and maintenance of HPEs has been extensively demonstrated (e.g., Duffourg et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2018), as well as the role of the Mediterranean Sea as a significant heat and moisture source for HPEs in the WMed area (Duffourg and Ducrocq, 2011; Flaounas et al., 2019). The scarcity of water vapour observations at the mesoscale and smaller scales, as well as the model limitations, for example in relation to the adequate spatial and temporal resolution and/or an accurate representation of the
vertical stratification, hampered progresses in the past. Indeed, our understanding of the variability of water vapour in relation to convection is still far from being complete. Large uncertainties remain regarding the origin, pathways, and timescales of transport of the large amounts of moisture necessary for HPEs in the WMed. The characterization and better understanding of the water vapour supply to HPEs has been a key aspect of the HyMeX field campaign and subsequent studies. The unprecedented deployment of instruments during the SOP1 for the monitoring of water vapour dynamics and the posterior cross-validation studies and synergetic use together with models allowed the many advances achieved in this period as described in the following.

One of the HyMeX observational highlights has been the dense network of GPS stations, over one thousand ground-based receivers, providing a reprocessed dataset specially produced for the HyMeX SOP (Bock et al., 2016). The large extent and high-density coverage of the reprocessed GPS network allowed a consistent representation of large-scale features, as well as smaller spatial and temporal scales in agreement with high-resolution simulations (Bock et al., 2016). Using the reprocessed integrated water vapour (IWV) GPS data Khodayar et al. (2018) showed that all HPEs within the north-western Mediterranean form in periods/areas characterized by IWV values in the order of 35-45 mm after an increase of 10-20 mm, being the most intense events those experiencing a more sudden increase (between 6 to 12 h prior to the event). Bock et al. (2016) demonstrated that regions prone to HPEs in autumn are characterized by high IWV variability up to 8 kg/m².

In addition to the unprecedented (in terms of spatial and temporal coverage) amount of information provided by the postprocessed GPS network, modelling studies are helpful for the assessment of potential sources of moisture. Recent advances in this topic showed that evaporation from the Mediterranean accounts for only about 40% (60%) of the water vapour feeding the deep convection developed over southeastern France when cyclonic (anticyclonic) conditions prevail in the days preceding the event (Duffourg and Ducrocq, 2013). The Atlantic Ocean (Turato et al., 2004; Winschall et al., 2011; Duffourg et al., 2018; Flaounas et al., 2019) and tropical Africa (Krichak et al., 2015; Chazette et al., 2015b; Lee et al., 2016, 2017) have been also suggested as potential sources of moisture for HPE occurring in the western Mediterranean. The large-scale uplift of enriched African moisture plume and their role in gradual rain out of the air parcel over southern Italy during IOP13 were highlighted in a modelling study taking advantage of stable water isotopes by Lee et al. (2019). Backward trajectory analysis showed that the large-scale moisture transport takes place during about 3 to 4 days in the warm sector of front, whereas the surface evaporation over the Mediterranean occurs
shortly in a few hours to 1 day. Associated with extreme precipitation events over Italy, whether convective or orographic, a recent study by Grazzini et al. (2019) confirmed the systematic occurrence of anomalously high values of meridional Integrated Vapour Transport that sometimes occurs in narrow filament shape regions of high integrated moisture, called atmospheric rivers (Davolio et al., 2020), as during the 2011 Liguria floods (Rebora et al., 2013) or the last extreme storm in October 2018 (Giovannini et al., 2021) and October 2020 (Magnusson et al., 2021).

3.2.2 Assessment of the variability and vertical distribution of the atmospheric water vapour

The variability and vertical distribution of the atmospheric water vapour and their accurate representation in models have been demonstrated to play a key role for the timing, location, and intensification of deep convection (e.g., Khodayar et al., 2018), thus for the simulation of HPEs. They have been further identified as responsible for inaccuracies in RCMs when compared against convection-permitting NWP models (Khodayar et al., 2016a). To contribute to the characterization of the water vapour variability, the ground-based WALI in the Balearic Islands, the airborne water-vapour differential absorption lidar LEANDRE 2 on board the ATR42 aircraft, and boundary layer pressurized balloons (BLPB; Doerenbecher et al., 2016) were deployed during the SOP1. Water Vapour Mixing Ratio (WVMR) profiles were measured with a horizontal resolution of 1 km (e.g., Flamant et al., 2015; Flaounas et al., 2015a; Chazette et al., 2015a, 2015b; Di Girolamo et al., 2017; Duffourg et al., 2016; Lee et al., 2016, 2017). In a multi-instrument and multi-model assessment of atmospheric moisture variability in the north-western Mediterranean, Chazette et al. (2015b) demonstrated the consistency and self-coherence of these water vapour data sets during the SOP1 pointing out the strong need in assimilating high-resolution water-vapour profiles in the lowest layers as those from lidar instruments. In a multi-scale observational investigation of atmospheric moisture variability in relation to HPEs formation in the same region, Khodayar et al. (2018), profited from the synergetic use of the observational datasets demonstrating that the sampling of spatial inhomogeneities on different scales is crucial for the understanding of the timing and location of deep convection. Furthermore, focusing on the complex island of Corsica during SOP1, multiple observations from the mobile observations platform KITcube (Kalthoff et al., 2013) further demonstrated the benefit of integrated measurement systems (Adler et al., 2015).

The ground-based lidar WALI was useful in capturing the moist and deep boundary layers with updrafts reaching up to 2 km in pre-convective environments leading to HPEs,
contrary to the dry, shallow boundary layers everywhere else (Khodayar et al., 2018). In Chazzete et al. (2015a), the ground-based lidar WALI, additionally captured the increasing moistening of the free troposphere, up to 5 km, prior and in relation to the MCS formation. Furthermore, the specific humidity observations from BLPB and aircraft flights captured spatial inhomogeneities in the lower boundary layer up to 4 g/kg in less than 100 km, which were shown to determine the location of convection initiation (Khodayar et al. 2018).

Figure 3 illustrates for the IOP16 the complex moisture flow that fed the convective systems, which was effectively monitored by the variety of water vapour profiling sensors involved in combination with backward and forward trajectory analyses from a Lagrangian model (NOAA HYSPLIT Lagrangian trajectory model; Draxler and Hess, 1998; Rolph et al., 2017; Stein et al., 2015) and the information derived from the GPS network.

In Figure 3a, the spatial distribution of the 24 h-averaged GPS-derived IWV on 25 and 26 October 2012 shows initially higher atmospheric moisture content in the western area, where convection initiation takes place, whereas on the next period the humid air mass has advanced eastwards. The water vapor mixing ratio as measured by WALI in Ciutadella (Menorca) (Figure 3b) reveals the presence of two distinct humid layers in the time interval 18:00–00:00 UTC on 25 October 2012: a surface layer extending up to about 0.6 km, with mixing ratio values up to \(\sim 12\) g kg\(^{-1}\), and an elevated layer extending from 1.1 to 2.5 km, with mixing ratio values up to \(6–7\) g kg\(^{-1}\). The 24 h forward trajectory analysis starting in Ciutadella at 21:00 UTC on 25 October 2012 at the altitudes of the observed humidity layers (Figure 3c) shows the northward movement of the surface humid layer, while air masses within the elevated humidity layer moved north-eastward. The latter are plausibly related to the inflow branch of WCBs, i.e., the blue part of the air mass trajectories in Figure 2. The surface humid layer overpassed Candillargues about 15–21 hours later, as proved by the mixing ratio profile measurements carried out by BASIL and illustrated in Figure 3e, possibly feeding the MCS forming close to the cyclone centre over the Cévennes-Vivarais region in the morning of 25 October. Indeed, the water vapour profile shown in Figure 3e is consistent with the one provided by LEANDRE 2, on board the ATR42 aircraft that flew over the WMed (Figure 12 in Flaounas et al., 2015a). The 24 h forward trajectory analysis also reveals that air masses within the elevated humid layer overpassed the Gulf of Lion, possibly ending up with feeding the offshore MCS system. Figure 3d additionally illustrates the 200-h back-trajectory analysis ending in Minorca at 21:00 UTC on 25 September 2012 at the altitudes (500 m
and 2000 m) where the two humid layers were observed, revealing that air masses within the surface humidity layer originated over the tropical Atlantic Ocean approximately 8 days earlier and overpassed Morocco and Southern Spain, slowly subsiding (in the last 72-96 hours before the formation of them MCS) upon reaching the Mediterranean basin from an altitude of 1000 m down to 500 m, whereas the air masses within the elevated humid layer originated over Central Africa (Northern Mali) approximately 3 days earlier and transited over Mauritania and Morocco before reaching the Balearic Islands.
Figure 3: (a) Spatial distribution of 24 h-averaged GPS-derived IWV (mm) on 25 (top), and 26 (bottom) Oct. 2012. (b) Time evolution of the water vapor mixing ratio (g kg$^{-1}$) as measured by the ground-based lidar WALi in Menorca over the 48-h period from 00:00 UTC, 25 Oct. 2012 to 00:00 UTC, 27 Oct. 2012. (c) 24-h forward trajectory analysis from HYSPLIT starting in Ciutadella (Menorca) at 21:00 UTC, 25 Oct. 2012 and ending at 21:00 UTC, 26 Oct. 2012 and (d) 200-h back-trajectory analysis from HYSPLIT ending in Ciutadella (Menorca) at 21:00 UTC, 25 Oct. 2012. (e) Vertical profiles of the water vapor mixing ratio (g kg$^{-1}$) as measured by the ground-based lidar BASIL in Candillargues at different times on 26 Oct. 2012.

3.3 Low-level dynamical processes
Once the synoptic setting becomes favourable for heavy precipitation in the WMed, with an upper-level trough slowly evolving eastward while deepening over the basin, the mesoscale organization and the thermodynamic characteristics of the low-level flow determine the occurrence, intensity and location of heavy precipitation. Most of the severe rainfall events that occurred during the SOP1 field campaign can be connected or at least interpreted in the framework of recent theoretical results concerning moist orographic convection (Miglietta and Rotunno, 2014; Kirshbaum et al., 2018). However, their in-depth analysis has revealed a greater complexity of real meteorological situations, due to non-stationarity, to the complexity of the real 3D orography and vertical profiles, and especially to the interaction among small-scale processes, which are not entirely accounted for in controlled-environment numerical experiments. One of the merits of HyMeX has been to provide evidence of the theoretical results and to enrich our knowledge on genesis and evolution of convection in a complex topography environment through a plethora of modelling simulations and tools, and advanced instrument observations.

Being heavy orographic precipitation in stable and neutral atmospheric conditions already investigated in past experiments (e.g., MAP-Mesoscale Alpine Programme, Bougeault et al., 2001) and well understood, the focus of HyMeX was on the development of quasi-stationary MCSs, well known responsible of recent HPE and floods in the area (Nuissier et al., 2008; Buzzi et al., 2014; Romero et al., 2014 among others). These systems are characterized by “back-building” processes that force the continuous redevelopment of deep convective cells over the same area producing severe and persistent rainfall (Schumacher and Johnson, 2005; Ducrocq et al., 2008; Duffourg et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2018). The multicell MCSs resulting from this retrograde regeneration assume a typical V-shaped pattern in radar and satellite images. In this context, conditionally unstable marine flow directed towards the coastal mountainous regions and extracting energy from the sea surface has been pointed out as a common feature of all the events. However, different convection-triggering mechanisms have been identified and highlighted.

3.3.1 Convection-triggering mechanisms

Low-level convergence over the sea can initiate convection even far from the coast and usually it is produced by the large scale forcing. During IOP16 (Duffourg et al., 2016) the cyclonic circulation around a shallow low-pressure system was responsible for low-level convergence against the south-easterly flow, between Balearic Island and the Gulf of Lion (Figure 4a). Lee et al. (2016) revealed the key role during IOP13 of an approaching
cold front in modifying the low-level circulation over the Tyrrhenian Sea, establishing favourable dynamical conditions for convection initiation. Even for the IOP8, the low-level convergence that first triggered convection south of the Iberian Peninsula was ascribed to the large-scale setting (Röhner et al., 2016; Khodayar et al., 2015), even if orographic effects were essential to enhance mesoscale uplift over land during the mature phase of the convective system.

In fact, due to the peculiar topographic characteristics of the basin, in most of the events it is the interaction with the orography that triggers and eventually maintains convection, since it does provoke not only the direct lifting, but can also produce the convergence required to initiate vertical motions. Several numerical experiments (Barthlott and Davolio, 2016) clearly showed the effects of Corsica and Sardinia on the downstream low-level wind as well as on temperature and moisture distribution. In particular, the deflection of the westerly/south-westerly flow due to the complex orography of the islands was identified as a key mechanism for the organization of heavy precipitation along the western Italian coast, since it determined small-scale complex patterns of low-level convergence over the sea in the lee of Corsica, where convection was triggered (Figure 4b). Moreover, the interaction between sea breezes and drainage winds induced by mountainous islands like Corsica or Sardinia (Barthlott and Kirshbaum, 2013; Barthlott et al., 2016) impacts on the development of deep convection both offshore and anchored to topographic features. Also, the flow splitting around Corsica Island can be a key mechanism producing a lee-side convergence line where a severe and stationary convective system develops (Scheffknecht et al., 2016).

Interestingly, the study of Lee et al. (2017) clearly indicated that neither an offshore convergence line nor the orographic uplift alone would have been enough to allow the development of the intense MCS that affected the Ebro River valley during IOP 15 (Figure 4c). It was their interplay that produced deep convection, together with the simultaneous presence of flow channelled by the local orography and converging with the low-level marine inflow. This represents a clear example of the complex interaction among processes that HyMeX was able to highlight.

Low-level convergence induced by blocking effect of mountain chains on the impinging flow is another frequent lifting mechanism upstream of the orography. Well before the HyMeX SOP, it was demonstrated that flow blocking in front of Massif Central and the enhanced convergence due to deviation of southerly flow around the Alps (Figure 4d) (Ducrocq et al., 2008; Davolio et al., 2009), were responsible for several HPE over southeastern France, affecting areas well upstream of the main orographic reliefs. SOP
related studies identified a similar low-level flow characteristic, associated with heavy rainfall over north eastern Italy. In both the analysed events, occurred during IOP2b (Manzato et al., 2015; Miglietta et al., 2016) and IOP 18 (Davolio et al., 2016), the blocking of southerly low-level marine inflow in the form of a north-easterly barrier wind in front of the Alps, produced strong and localized convergence, favouring convection triggering (Figure 4e). Through additional modelling investigation of similar events in the past, this was recognised as a typical mechanism for deep convection (even supercell) development over the area.

The importance of orographic interaction has been revealed also for the development of lee-side convection. Pichelli et al. (2017) through a number of numerical simulations of IOP6 illustrated the complex and delicate equilibrium between competing processes (orographically induced subsidence on the lee side and frontal uplift) that determined the evolution of a squall line over the Po Valley, in the lee side of a mountain range (Apennines) with respect of the main southerly flow feeding the precipitation.

Figure 4: Conceptual illustrations of key convection-triggering mechanisms in the north-western Mediterranean basin. Coast lines are depicted by grey solid lines.
3.3.2 Cold pools

The detailed observational and modelling analysis of IOP13 revealed that, as expected, also the direct orographic uplift can trigger convection close to the coastal slopes. However, thanks to detailed observations and modelling simulations of the precipitation system and of the upstream environment, Duffourg et al. (2018) were able to provide a thorough description of the mechanisms that maintained the MCS while slowly moving offshore. In fact, the formation of an evaporative cold pool under the precipitating cells generated down-valley flows that slowly shifted the location of the back building convective cells from the mountain to the coast and over the sea.

In this regard, it was emphasized (Lee et al., 2018) that the moisture vertical distribution in the lower troposphere can modulate the intensity of the cold pool and thus to control location and amount of heavy precipitation associated with the MCS. In several other events, the leading edge of a cold pool, formed by evaporative cooling under the precipitating cells, was able to trigger convection by lifting the impinging ambient low-level flow. As suggested by idealized experiments of conditionally unstable flow over a mountain ridge (Bresson et al., 2012; Miglietta and Rotunno, 2009), the stationarity of the MCS or its upstream propagation away from the orographic barrier is determined by the intensity of the ambient flow. In this context, the vertical structure of the lower troposphere, in terms of moisture content and wind intensity, represents an important factor since it modulates the evaporation potential and thus the formation and intensity of the cold pool.

However, the presence of cold and dense air acting as a virtual mountain with respect to the impinging warm and moist flow can be due to different processes besides evaporative cooling. In the analysis of IOP8, Bouin et al. (2017) identified cold and moist air masses transported from the Gulf of Lion by the low-level jet. Despite their moisture content, these air masses were cold and dense enough so that their accumulation on the foothills of the relief contributed to initiating a cold pool. Once the MCS was triggered, rain evaporation in the subsaturated mid-level layer resulted in downdraughts that further intensified the cold pool, favouring the regeneration of the precipitation system. Finally, investigation of heavy precipitation over Liguria in IOP16 as well as in previous dramatic HPE, undertaken within the HyMeX framework, provided a clear picture of the mechanisms responsible for recent and recurrent disastrous floods along the Ligurian Sea coast. Several studies (Buzzi et al., 2014; Fiori et al., 2017 among others) pinpointed the role of the cold air outflow from the Po Valley, across the Apennine gaps, which propagate as a density current to the Ligurian Sea, where it determined a sharp
mesoscale convergence line (sketched in Figure 4f). Along such a convergence line, the lifting of southerly moisture laden flow produced the onset of the severe convection. Interestingly, the cold flow over the sea appeared to be induced by an easterly inflow into the Po Valley from the Adriatic side, possibly due to a barrier wind effects over north-eastern Alps as previously described. As observed in many other cases (e.g., Duffourg et al., 2016) although the V-shape structure seems anchored over the sea, a few tens of kilometres offshore, intense convective cells are continuously advected inland where HP occurs. Finally, Duffourg et al. (2016) also highlighted an interesting feedback process of convection to the environment that, through small-scale perturbations of the low-level circulation around the cold pool, focussed and reinforced the local moisture convergence feeding the convective updraft.

3.4 Impacts of the land and the sea surfaces

3.4.1 Land conditions and feedback to the atmosphere

Land conditions and feedbacks between the land surface and the atmosphere play a role in determining the response of the Earth system to climate change, particularly in the Mediterranean region, which is a transitional zone between dry and wet climates. Indeed, enhanced land–atmosphere feedbacks are expected in a warming climate, and their understanding and simulation are challenging, but fundamental to further improve our knowledge about future climate and their interactions with the other components of the climate system. Despite its relevance, the modelling of land-atmosphere feedbacks still suffers for relevant uncertainty owing to inaccurate initialization, and/or model physics, misspecified parameters, etc… Helgert and Khodayar (2020) showed that an improvement of the soil-atmosphere interactions and subsequent HP modelling is observed using an enhanced initialization with remote sensed 1 km SM information. Khodayar and Helgert (2021) additionally investigated the response of the western Mediterranean HP to extreme SM conditions showing that changes in the initial scenarios impact the mean, but also the extremes of precipitation. Regional projections of precipitation under the RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenario have shown to be considerably modified when SM is used as predictor (Hertig et al., 2018). Therefore, a better knowledge and representation of soil conditions and evolution have to be considered for HP understanding and modelling.

3.4.2 Air-sea interactions and coupling

The Mediterranean Sea and the atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) continuously exchange momentum, heat, and freshwater. These exchanges, related to the turbulent fluxes, are controlled by the gradients of temperature, humidity, and velocity at the air-sea interface. Rainaud et al. (2015) showed that although moderate air-sea fluxes were
observed during the HPEs of SOP1, large air-sea exchanges in the Gulf of Lion and the Balearic, Ligurian and Tyrrenhian Seas can be correlated to the occurrence of a HPE. The SST strongly influences the low-level flow stability and dynamics through heating, moistening and downward momentum mixing (Stocchi and Davolio, 2017; Meroni et al., 2018a). SST is indeed a key parameter for evaporation (Figure 5a) and its influence on HPEs in terms of convection triggering, intensity, and location, has been extensively investigated with several numerical studies (e.g., Strajnar et al., 2019; Senatore et al., 2020b, for some of the most recent). Generally, these studies highlight that the SST values strongly and directly modify the low-level atmospheric stability, which first impact the intensity of convection and precipitation, with the most intense rainfall associated with warmer sea surface. The location and stationarity of heavy precipitating systems are also modified, with an acceleration of the low-level wind velocity over warmer sea, but also by the fine-scale SST horizontal patterns with eddies and marked fronts in the Mediterranean (as explicitly simulated in the coupled forecast of Rainaud et al. (2017) for IOP16a shown in Figure 5a) that can significantly change the flow dynamics interacting with orography (Davolio et al., 2017) or displace the moisture convergence at sea (Rainaud et al., 2017; Meroni et al., 2018a).

During intense meteorological events in the Mediterranean such as HPEs, significant modifications of the ocean mixed layer (OML) can occur, even on short timescales of only several hours (Lebeaupin Brossier et al., 2014), and can significantly impact the exchanges with the ABL. Berthou et al. (2016) showed that IOP16a was likely sensitive to SST changes upstream related to OML changes and sub-monthly air-sea coupling. The ocean vertical stratification is also a characteristic which has to be accounted for, as sea surface cooling during HPEs is largely controlled by the entrainment of deeper and colder water in the OML. The study of Meroni et al. (2018b) using coupled experiments with idealized ocean conditions highlights that the cooling is more pronounced with a shallow, strongly stratified OML, leading to lower air-sea fluxes, less air instability and finally a reduction of the total amount of simulated precipitation.

The use of ocean-atmosphere coupled systems enables us to consider the ocean 3D structure and its interactive and consistent evolution. Such modeling systems were specifically developed in the framework of HyMeX to improve the realism of weather forecasts for sea surface and atmospheric low levels, and innovatively evaluated thanks to the multi-compartments’ observational dataset. For IOP16a, Rainaud et al. (2017) showed that, due to mixing and heat loss, the progressively lower SST in the coupled model induces lower heat fluxes (-10% to -20% of evaporation), local differences in the
low-level environment (stability) and cyclonic circulation, with small impacts on the convection organization (convergence) and intensity. Waves also impact the atmospheric low levels, by increasing the surface roughness and the momentum flux and act as a drag for the low-level upstream flow. For HPEs, in forced and coupled simulations, this slow-down results in modification of HP location due for instance to changes in the low-level flow, convergence line or cold pool motion over the sea (Bouin et al., 2017; Sauvage et al., 2020). The study of Thévenot et al. (2015) highlighted this waves impact in the IOP16a case, with a better representation of the low-level moist jet feeding the MCSs and of the simulated precipitation when sea state is considered in the bulk formulae (Figure 5b).
Figure 5: (a) Daily mean SST (colours, °C), 10m-wind (arrows, m s$^{-1}$) and surface evaporation (green contours for values above 600 kg m$^{-2}$) for 26 Oct. 2012 (IOP16a) from the AROME-NEMO WMED coupled experiment (CPLOA) of Rainaud et al. (2017). (b) Peak period of waves (color, s) at 00:00 UTC, 26 Oct. 2012 considering in Thévenot et al. (2016) and bias modification (circles, mm) for 24h-rainfall accumulation against rain-gauges data, comparing MESO-NH simulations with (WAM) and without (NOWAV) sea state impact (blue for an improvement in WAM). The size of the circles indicates the NOWAV bias (absolute value, in mm).

3.5 Microphysics

Many advances in the understanding and knowledge of cloud composition and microphysical processes in Mediterranean convective systems were attained in the framework of HyMeX, thanks to the large number of observations used in process, modeling and/or data assimilation studies. Among them, a large number of available disdrometers and MRRs were used to improve the quality of observations (Raupach and Berne, 2016 and Adirosi et al., 2016) and the characterization of the raindrop's PSD (Adirosi et al., 2014, Adirosi et al., 2015, Schleiss and Smith, 2015), including its very small-scale variability (Gires et al., 2015).

Based on rain gauge observations over a long period encompassing the HyMeX experiment, Molinié et al. (2012) studied the rainfall regime in a mountainous Mediterranean region, in southeastern France. They found that rainfall intermittency, both at the monthly and daily scales, is well correlated to the rain gauge altitude, which is also linked to rainfall intensity. Zwiebel et al. (2015) and Hachani et al. (2017) also found that several factors (altitude, season, weather type, among others) influence both the rainfall characteristics at the ground and the relationship between rainfall rate and the reflectivity factor.

Other studies focused on the use of radar data to investigate the cloud composition. Grazioli et al. (2015) proposed a hydrometeor classification algorithm using an X-Band radar (deployed in Ardèche during HyMeX SOP1). Ribaud et al. (2015) also developed a hydrometeor classification algorithm using dual-polarimetric radars and produced 3D hydrometeor fields when several radars were available. Using this classification, they also identified a link between cloud characteristics and lightning propagation (Ribaud et al., 2016).

HyMeX microphysical observations have also led to improvements in model physics and parameterizations. Fresnay et al. (2012) first demonstrated the sensitivity of...
Mediterranean HPEs simulations to the cloud parameterization. Using several observations from HyMeX SOP1, Taufour et al. (2018) showed that the 2-moment scheme LIMA (Vié et al., 2016) provides a more realistic cloud representation than the 1-moment scheme ICE3. This is shown in Figure 6 comparing observed and simulated RASTA reflectivities. The shape of the reflectivity distribution is better represented by LIMA than ICE3, especially in the melting region. Furthermore, they proposed a revision of the scheme LIMA based on the disdrometer rain PSD observations.

The aerosol-cloud interactions were also found to have a strong impact on convective systems and rainfall characteristics (Kagkara et al., 2020), and the best simulation results with the 2-moment scheme LIMA are obtained when using a realistic aerosol population from the MACC analyses validated against ATR42 observations (Taufour et al., 2018).

Eventually, some studies prepared the future assimilation of cloud data. Augros (2015) implemented the assimilation of dual-polarization radar data in the French operational AROME model. Borderies et al. (2019a, 2019b) proposed a method to assimilate airborne RASTA reflectivities and Doppler winds, meanwhile releasing an improved version of the RASTA simulator for use in mesoscale models.

Figure 6: Comparison of observed and simulated RASTA reflectivities, merging data from IOPs 6 and 16a (From Taufour et al. 2016).

4. Improving Heavy precipitation modelling across scales

4.1 Increasing model resolution simulations

Idealized simulations of deep moist convection at kilometric scales (grid spacing: 4 km, 2 km, 1 km and 500 m) showed that the accumulated rainfall and corresponding surface area, as well as the area covered by the updrafts, increase with increasing resolution. At 4 km horizontal resolution, deep convection is under-resolved, and differences are larger between 1 km and 500 m horizontal resolution simulations than between 2 and 1 km,
suggesting the beginning of convergence at 500 m (Verrelle et al., 2014). Bassi (2014) analysed several IOPs over LT target area performing numerical simulations at different grid-spacings between 3 and 1 km, and with different resolutions of the orography representation, showing that both aspects equally contributed to improve the quantitative precipitation forecast (QPF). In fact, the higher model resolution allowed a better description of the structure, vertical motions, and dynamical mechanisms of the convective system, whereas accurate orography was required to correctly simulate the propagation of the density current along the Apennine slopes, and thus the precise location of the convergence line that triggered the MCS. In terms of microphysics parameters, discrepancies between models and observations could be attributed to the implementation of one-moment microphysics scheme and to the coarse resolutions, hence, there is a need for grid spacing finer than 2.5 km (Augros et al., 2015).

The increase in horizontal resolution is therefore a great improvement but it additionally poses challenges for the model physics since some parameterization schemes may become inappropriate. This is the case, for example, of the turbulence parameterization in the “grey zone” between 1 km and 100 m horizontal grid spacing (Wyngaard and Coté, 1971), or of one-moment microphysical schemes where the overestimation of reflectivities at high altitude due to graupel is a known limitation (Varble et al., 2011).

Hectometric-scale simulations of a Mediterranean HP event at 150 m by Nuissier et al. (2020) were able to capture features regarding convective organization within the converging low-level flow, which are out of range of models with kilometric horizontal resolutions. However, the comparison of the large-eddy simulation (LES) with a reference simulation performed with a 450 m grid spacing in the heart of the so-called “grey zone” of turbulence modelling shows that the increase in resolution does not significantly reduce deficiencies of the simulation, being this fact more related to an issue of initial and lateral boundary conditions.

### 4.2 New generation of high-resolution convection permitting simulations and improvement of RCMs

One of the most remarkable advances in the last years with significant implications for HP simulation has been the development of the new generation of high-resolution convection-permitting models (CPMs). This development has been extensively fostered and exploited in HyMeX related activities and studies and represented one of the main innovations contributing to advance knowledge in HP occurrence. Kilometric grid spacing has become achievable with the increasing availability of computational resources. As the horizontal resolution approaches 1 km, parameterization of deep convection is no
longer needed since much of the convective motion is explicitly resolved. It has been
demonstrated that the reduction of the grid spacing leads to a weaker overestimation in
height and size of the convective cells (Caine et al., 2013), together with a more accurate
representation of the timing and location of convection (Clark et al., 2016).

The benefit of higher horizontal resolution of CPMs can also propagate along the
forecasting chain to hydrological predictions. Simulating the catastrophic Liguria floods
of 2011, Davolio et al. (2015) demonstrated that the finer grid resolution resulted in better
QPF because of a more accurate description of the MCS and of its interaction with the
orography, and this improvement was confirmed also in terms of discharge forecasts.

In a seamless weather-climate multi-model intercomparison, Khodayar et al. (2016a)
showed that despite differences in their representation of a HPE, CPMs represented
more accurately the short-intense convective events, whereas the convection-
parameterized models produce a large number of weak and long-lasting events and
RCMs produce notably lower precipitation amounts and hourly intensities. Figure 7
shows an example of how finer resolution simulations better represent convergence over
the sea, where warm and moist air is transported by a low-level jet towards the French
coast. The higher resolution enhances the humidity convergence areas over the sea,
which appear located further upstream, as well as the associated triggering of
convection. Furthermore, the added value of convection-permitting with respect to RCMs
has also been demonstrated in the north-western Mediterranean basin (e.g., Berthou et
al., 2018; Coppola et al., 2018; Fumière et al., 2019). Berthou et al. (2018) showed that
convection permitting RCM simulations (about 2.5 km grid spacing) better represented
HPE in southern France in terms of daily precipitation than their convection-
parameterized counterparts (about 12.5 km grid spacing). It was also shown the added
value for the simulation of hourly rainfall over the United Kingdom, Switzerland, and
Germany. Coppola et al. (2018), in a multi-model study, proved the ability of high-
resolution CP-RCMs to reproduce three events of HP, one in summer over Austria, one
in fall associated with a major Foehn event over the Swiss Alps and another intense fall
event along the Mediterranean coast. In a dedicated study of Mediterranean HPEs in fall
on an hourly time scale, Fumiere et al. (2019) demonstrated that high resolution allows,
(a) the improved representation of the spatial pattern of fall precipitation climatology, (b)
the improvement of the localization and intensity of extreme rainfall on a daily and hourly
time scales, and (c) the ability to simulate intense rainfall on lowlands.
Figure 7: IOP16: 0930 UTC, 26 Oct. 2012. Horizontal cross sections at 500m AGL and vertical cross sections along a South-North line (shown in a) of equivalent potential temperature (K, in colour scale), and wind vectors (m s\(^{-1}\), black arrows) for 2-km (left panels) and 500-m (right panels) resolution runs. The blue lines represent the humidity convergence (-0.1 kg s\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-3}\) for vertical cross sections, and -0.02 kg s\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-2}\) integrated value over the layer between the ground and 3000 meters for horizontal cross sections).

4.2 Improvement of parameterization schemes

Recent studies have shown that the simulation of convective systems is very sensitive to model parameterizations. For the IOP16a, Thévenot et al. (2015) showed that taking sea state into account in the turbulent air-sea exchanges can modify the low-level dynamics of the atmosphere and the precipitation location. However, the relationship of Oost et al. (2002) used in this study to compute the roughness length is known to overestimate the turbulent fluxes in strong wind regimes. New formulation of sea surface turbulent fluxes parameterization is under development and currently tested to better represent the wind-sea (i.e., the younger waves locally generated by wind) impact and related variability. The preliminary results when applied to HPE forecasts confirm the
significant slow-down of the upstream low-level flow with displacement of convergence over the sea and show minor changes in the heat and moisture fluxes (Sauvage et al., 2020). Further developments are planned concerning sea surface fluxes computation, including notably the impact of sea spray on moisture and of the swell (i.e., the oldest non-local waves).

In Rainaud et al. (2015), a change in the SST or the coupling of atmospheric and oceanic models is found to have a large impact on the simulated precipitation amount over land. Martinet et al. (2017) investigated the sensitivity of simulated HP at a sub-kilometric scale (500 m) to the turbulence parameterization (i.e., Deardorff or Bougeault-Lacarrère) showing that the simulated environment and convective processes are highly sensitive to the formulation of the mixing-length. Convective systems are more intense in association to larger moisture advection, higher hydrometeor contents and marked low-level cold pools with weaker mixing lengths, since in this case the subgrid TKE is weaker, and winds are increased to balance this effect.

Moreover, Verrelle et al. (2014) found insufficient turbulent mixing inside convective clouds, more pronounced at kilometer resolution with weak thermal production, underlying a lack of entrainment in convective clouds at intermediate range (between 500 m and 2 km horizontal resolution). By using LES of deep convection, Verrelle et al. (2017) and Strauss et al. (2019) showed that the commonly used eddy-diffusivity turbulence scheme (K-gradient formulation) underestimated the thermal production of subgrid TKE and did not enable the nonlocal turbulence due to counter-gradient structures to be reproduced. These two studies also found that the approach proposed by Moeng (2010), parameterizing the subgrid vertical thermodynamical fluxes in terms of horizontal gradients of resolved variables (H-gradient approach), reproduced these characteristics, and limited the overestimation of vertical velocity. This new approach has also been assessed using Meso-NH simulations at kilometer-scale resolutions for real cases of deep convection on two HyMeX IOPs (IOP6 and IOP16a) (Ricard et al., 2021). The new scheme enhances the subgrid thermal production of turbulence with a better representation of counter-gradient areas and reduces the vertical velocity inside the clouds (Figure 8). The enhanced turbulent mixing modifies the entrainment and detrainment rates and produces more developed anvils with increased values of ice and snow, which are more realistic. It also affects the cold pool under the convective cells.
Figure 8: Mean vertical profiles inside the clouds of (a) subgrid TKE \( (m^2 s^{-2}) \) and (b) vertical velocity \( (m s^{-1}) \) during IOP16 (between 00:00 UTC, 26 Oct and 00:00 UTC, 27 Oct. 2012) for 2-km horizontal resolution Meso-NH simulations using K-gradient formulation (black line) and H-gradient formulation (grey line) for the vertical turbulent fluxes of heat and moisture.

### 4.3 Data Assimilation

One of the HyMeX goals was to improve or develop research- as well as operational-oriented atmospheric data assimilation systems and methods. Emphasis has been put on progresses in the processing of observations currently available in data assimilation systems and on the assimilation of new observation types, especially aimed at improving the prediction of HP.

A real-time implementation of the HyMeX-dedicated version of the Météo-France AROME NWP system covering the whole WMed ran from 01 September 2012 to 15 March 2013 (Fourrié et al., 2015). The same system was used to perform an extensive reanalysis of SOP1 exploiting observations from research instruments deployed during the campaign in addition to the operational observations assimilated in real-time (Fourrié et al., 2019). For that, processing of observations and systematic comparisons between observations and AROME short-range forecasts were carried out for: i) ground-based Lidar water vapour observations in Candillargues (BASIL) and at Menorca (WALI), ii) airborne Lidar LEANDRE II water vapour observations along the SAFIRE/ATR42 flight tracks, iii) high-resolution radiosoundings from operational sites in France and Spain and HyMeX -dedicated radiosoundings launched during SOP1 over France and Italy, iv) dropsondes observations and in-situ observations from the three research aircrafts, v) reprocessed wind profiler observations, vi) reprocessed delays from more than 1000 GPS receivers over France, Spain, Portugal and Italy, vi) radar data from five AEMET
operational radars over Spain, vii) additional SST observations from ship and Argo floats. The skill scores showed a better performance for the forecasts starting from the re-analysis than those starting from the real-time AROME-WMED analysis. Data denial experiments, for which one of the above-listed datasets was removed from the reanalysis at a time, clearly showed the benefit of assimilating the reprocessed GPS ground-based zenithal total delays as shown in Figure 9.

This result was confirmed in other studies. Lindskog et al. (2017) demonstrated the benefits of GPS assimilation to the forecast quality. Bastin et al. (2019) pointed out that the general overestimation of low values of IWV in RCM models over Europe was reduced when using a nudging technique to assimilate IWV information. Caldas-Alvarez and Khodayar (2020) highlighted the positive impact exerted by moisture corrections on precipitating convection and the chain of processes leading to it across scales.

Furthermore, the implementation of nudging methodologies to exploit non-conventional observations, such as rainfall estimates from remote sensing, provided positive results in applications to both nowcasting and short-term meteo-hydrological forecasting (Davolio et al., 2017; Poletti et al., 2019).

The potential of several new types of observations within cloudy and precipitating systems have been also investigated. As a first step towards assimilation, "observation operators", which consist in simulating observations from model outputs, have been developed. In the framework of HyMeX, a dual-polarization weather radar simulator has been developed in the post-processing part of the Meso-NH mesoscale model (Augros et al., 2015). An observation operator for the airborne Rasta reflectivity observations has also been developed (Borderies et al., 2019a). The impact of the assimilation of RASTA data on AROME-WMED analyses and forecasts has been assessed. IOP7a results indicated an improvement in the predicted wind at short-term ranges (2 and 3 hours) and in the 12-hour precipitation forecasts. Over a longer cycled period, a slightly positive improvement in the 6-, 9- and 12-hour precipitation forecasts of heavy rainfall has been demonstrated (Borderies et al., 2019a). The assimilation of RASTA reflectivity data in AROME-WMED over the whole SOP1 period resulted in an improvement of rainfall forecasts even larger when wind was jointly assimilated (Borderies et al., 2019b).

Finally, HyMeX has fostered the inception of a collaboration between CNRM (France) and CNR-ISAC (Italy) concerning the assimilation of radar data. The assimilation of radar reflectivity factor together with lightning, showed a significant and positive impact on the short-term precipitation forecasts (Federico et al., 2019).
Figure 9: 24 hour accumulated precipitation (mm/24hr) between 06:00 UTC, 26 Oct. and 06:00 UTC, 27 Oct. 2012 over southern France (zoom over Cévennes area); observations (left panel), REANA (middle panel) and NOGPS (right panel) simulations.

4.4 Predictability and ensemble forecasts

Despite advances in numerical modelling and data assimilation, the prediction of HP and related floods remains challenging because predictability of intense convective systems is limited, and user expectations are very high given the impact of HPEs. Ensemble prediction techniques can provide solutions through the elaboration of probabilistic HPE warnings. Until the 2010s, regional ensemble prediction systems were mainly limited by the large computational costs of increasing the members resolution and the ensemble size. Ensemble forecasts become even more useful when post-processing techniques are applied to the precipitation fields, using statistical methods such as regression or analogues (Diomede et al., 2014), with some difficulties due to the geographically complex forecast error structures of Mediterranean precipitation, and to the need of preparing very long reforecast datasets in order to adequately sample the statistical behaviour of HPEs.

With the availability of more powerful computational resources, operational regional models started to reach the kilometric resolution leading to physically more realistic convection-permitting ensemble prediction systems (CPEPS). Studies of HPE events (Nuisier et al., 2016) have shown the added value of CPEPS over deterministic approaches or lower resolution ensembles. The enhanced exchange of validation datasets during HyMeX facilitated the objective verification of this kind of result in several ensemble studies such as Roux et al. (2019).

Several studies in the framework of HyMeX have demonstrated that, besides sensitivity to synoptic scale forcing represented by lateral boundary condition (LBC) perturbations, CPEPS systems were sensitive to multiple error sources, which had to be sampled as
specific perturbation in the parameterization schemes. Some sensitivity of ensemble spread to the model physics (turbulence and microphysics schemes) was demonstrated in Hally et al. (2014), who used the HyMeX IOP6 and IOP7a forecast and observation dataset to show that LBC perturbations cannot be neglected, and that the relative importance of LBC and physics uncertainties is case-dependent, with physics uncertainty being more significant during weakly forced convective events. Vié et al. (2012) explored the impact of microphysical processes on CPEPS spread and found a relationship between precipitation evaporation and the uncertainty of cold pool formation, which can be relevant to predict the correct location of HPEs. Boubt et al. (2015) found a beneficial impact of randomly perturbing surface fields such as SST or soil moisture: the high density of HyMeX SOP1 data gave statistical significance to these results, because the objective verification of ensembles at high resolution requires large observational datasets.

Besides developing physics perturbation techniques, other ensemble approaches were tested in HyMeX case studies, based on different models or parameterization schemes. This multiphysics or multi-model technique was shown to be relevant to HPE events in several studies, such as Davolio et al. (2013), Hally et al. (2015), Ravazzani et al. (2016). Compared to other sources of uncertainty, the maximum impact of physics, multiphysics or surface perturbations tends to be observed at forecast ranges between a few hours and about one day, after which the CPEPS behaviour is usually dominated by the LBCs.

The specification of the ensemble LBCs can be optimized in terms of the HPE forecasts: Nuissier et al. (2012) showed that LBC member selection from a global ensemble, using a clustering technique, improves over a random selection. Marsigli et al. (2014) demonstrated that direct nesting into the ECMWF ensemble, instead of using an intermediate model, is beneficial despite the large resolution jump between the global and CP ensemble.

Initial condition perturbations using ensemble data assimilation systems were studied in Vié et al. (2012) and Boubt et al. (2016). They found that initial condition perturbations are critical to achieve a correct CPEPS ensemble spread, typically during the first twelve hours of prediction, after which other perturbation sources (LBCs, surface and stochastic model perturbations) tend to dominate.

Verification of ensemble forecasts of HPEs can be overtaken using probabilistic scores, it greatly benefits from the large amount of observations available during the SOPs. Ensemble predictions can also be evaluated by their ability to drive ensembles of hydrological runoff models. Indeed, it was confirmed during HyMeX that although hydrological models suffer for their own uncertainties (Edouard et al., 2018), precipitation forecast...
errors are the main sources of uncertainty for flood prediction. Many studies dealing with CPEPS also exploited precipitation forecasts to drive flood prediction systems. Among others, Roux et al. (2019) pointed out that enhancing the spread of HPE precipitation forecasts tends to help flood warnings by improving the detection of extreme HPE scenarios.

An emerging application of CPEPS forecasts consists in investigating the physical mechanisms that drive HPE events (and, possibly, the reasons behind forecast failures), as exemplified in the USA by Nielsen and Schumacher (2016). In the HyMeX framework, beside the above-mentioned studies on physical perturbations, importance of orographically driven low-level flows was confirmed using CPEPS in Hally et al. (2014) and Nuissier et al. (2016). Figure 10 shows an example for the IOP16 case.

**Figure 10:** Lowest quartile of the mean sea-level pressure (shading), mean 10m wind (arrows) and mean moisture flux at 925hPa (solid lines: 80 and 100 g m s\(^{-2}\)) valid at 12:00 UTC, 26 Oct. 2012 for the AROME-EPS ensemble. (credits: Nuissier et al., 2016)

5. Discussion
The spatial complexity of the Mediterranean region, the intricacy of the dynamical and physical processes involved including the multiple interactions across scales, as well as the technical and observational limitations in the past have made HP understanding and modelling in the Mediterranean region a very challenging issue. To try to advance in this direction the Hydrological Cycle in the Mediterranean Experiment (HyMeX, 2010-2020) has put a major effort in investigating the predictability and evolution of extreme weather events. Within this framework and profiting from the state-of-the-art observational datasets and modelling capabilities lately available and developed within the programme, important achievements towards improved understanding of the mechanisms leading to HP in the WMed have been accomplished. In this paper we review the main advances and lessons learned during HyMeX, including results emerged from cross-disciplinary studies.

The unprecedented richness of observations and numerical experiments during HyMeX led to major achievements and the identification of primary needs for an improved understanding and predictability of HPE. Our better comprehension of the moist convergence role on MCS initiation over the sea, the first-time airborne observations of WCB, the high space-time resolution measurements of the 3-D fields of water vapour, or the testing of new convection-permitting ensembles, which provided new insights on HPE predictability and of forecast error sources, among others illustrate the main accomplishments achieved during HyMeX. Parallel to this, observational, modelling and knowledge gaps have been identified clearly indicating the needs for future applications.

Sensitivity to soils and sea surface conditions with impacts on high-resolution forecasts pointed out the need to build and/or improve high-resolution coupled systems able to represent the full evolution of the soil and ocean conditions. The need of a higher number of observations, for example collected over the sea, thermodynamic profile measurements and wind on a high space-time resolution would have a major impact on forecasting capabilities, through the initialization of modelling systems, data assimilation and the definition of improved parameterization schemes for turbulence and convection.

Also, open questions remain regarding access to large samples of HPE reforecasts, the representation of model error processes specific to HPE systems and persisting shortcomings in the real-time prediction of extreme precipitation events for flood warnings, among others. Furthermore, coordinated research efforts will be needed to address topics of multi-scale interactions, from large-scale dynamics to microphysical processes.
Along with the aforementioned achievements and demands, the continuous collaboration between scientific communities, e.g., oceanographers and meteorologists, and among scientific teams (ST) has been a priority and a success of the project. In fact coordinated efforts, in particular with the ST-lightning (Lightning and atmospheric electricity), ST-TIP (Towards integrated prediction of heavy precipitation, flash-floods and impacts), ST-ffv (Flash-floods and social vulnerabilities), and ST-medcyclones (Mediterranean Cyclones), through the development and use of common observation and modelling tools, and by sharing results and expertise, helped each other towards common goals. As illustration, aiming at a better understanding of processes leading to flash floods, as well as at their accurate modelling and forecasting, the ST-ffv actively contributed to the improvement of heavy rainfall prediction. Several recent multidisciplinary studies investigated the possibility to have an integrated modelling approach from heavy rainfall forecasting, to discharge prediction, to social impact. Methodologies of postflood field surveys based on interdisciplinary collaborations between hydrologists and social scientists have been proposed (Ruin et al., 2014; Borga et al., 2019). For instance, Papagiannaki et al. (2017) investigated the link between HP and impacts on the flash flood that occurred in October 2015 in Attica. The survey responses provided insights into risk perception and behavioral reactions relative to the space-time distribution of rainfall. Different possibilities of improving hydrometeorological forecasts have also been tested (Roux et al., 2020), pointing out the added value of ensemble strategies with respect to deterministic forecasts. Large meteorological ensemble spreads also allowed better threshold exceedance detection for flood warning. Furthermore, the rapid increase of total lightning flash rates has been found to be an important predictor for severe weather phenomena (e.g., Wu et al., 2018), which is closely related to the rapid increase of graupel concentration and updraft volumes in the mixed-phase layers of deep convective systems. Furthermore, many studies in the framework of the ST-lightning have been devoted to the examination of the relationship of lightning activity with microphysical properties of convective systems along their life cycle. During HyMeX SOP1, the HyMeX lightning mapping array network (HyLMA; Defer et al., 2015) was operated to locate and characterize the 3D lightning activity over the Cévenne-Vivarais area at flash, storm, and regional scales. This unique and comprehensive lightning data clearly showed the large potential for improving our knowledge about the cloud microphysics, especially the distribution and evolution of ice hydrometeors by taking advantage of cloud electrification. This challenging subject is expected to be further addressed in near future.
The increased computational capacity, the development of high-resolution convection-permitting models and the availability of state-of-the-art observations have demonstrated to be of pivotal importance to attain a better understanding and modelling of HP in the last decade. Nevertheless, still the availability of observational data on the analysis of, e.g., small-scale processes, remains a limiting factor that challenges progress in process understanding and model evaluation, particularly when trying to underpin results from high-resolution model experiments with corresponding observations. Additionally, evaluation is expected to continue in those recent investigation lines developed within the HyMeX programme, which have already demonstrated their usefulness for advancing prediction or knowledge of HPE, such as CPEPS systems or the development and availability of fully coupled soil-vegetation-atmosphere-ocean models. Furthermore, the benefit of working under the umbrella of a long-lasting international experiment such as HyMeX allowed an effective and fruitful exchange of information on challenges, experiences, and goals, exploited through numerous multidisciplinary research activities. These interdisciplinary efforts were crucial to come towards improved understanding of the mechanisms leading to HP in the WMed. The links and networks originated in the framework of HyMeX must continue and even be enlarged in the future to progress together towards more integrated approaches. Novel integrated multidisciplinary research partnerships based on cross-sectional collaborations will be indeed needed to bridge more efficient research on impacts.
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