

Answers to Reviewer2

"Overview towards improved understanding of the mechanisms leading to heavy precipitation in the Western Mediterranean: lessons learned from HyMeX" by Samira Khodayar et al., Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2021-350-RC1>, 2021

Dear reviewer2

Thank you for your comments and suggestions to this manuscript. We appreciate your effort. We have considered all your suggestions and implemented them in the manuscript. Please find below a detailed answer to all your suggestions.

With kind regards

Samira Khodayar on behalf of all co-authors

The paper "Overview towards improved understanding of the mechanisms leading to heavy precipitation in the Western Mediterranean: lessons learned from HyMeX", by Samira Khodayar et al. is a good review about the present understanding of the heavy precipitation in the Western Mediterranean, mostly oriented to work recently done in connection with the field phase "SOP1" (2012) of the "Hydrological cycle in the Mediterranean Experiment" (HyMeX), but also including references to research about the matter, not strictly based in HyMeX; even significant work done before the HyMeX initiation is referenced here. By this way a more complete vision about the problem is achieved. This review is not the first about HyMeX-SOP1, but it is timely now, because 2020 was indicated as the end of HyMeX. Ducrocq et al (2014), can be seen as an initial review, and a special issue (2016) of the Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, introduced by Ducrocq et al. (2016; <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.2856>), can be considered as a second one. In any case, since 2016 to present days' significant research results have been added. A positive aspect of this review is the team of authors that has been formed: their different specialisations cover many aspects of the problem and this permit a wider and also more precise vision of it. The number of commented references is really large. The list of references occupies more than 24 pages of a total of 63 pages. This means a hard and valuable work, but the list of references is not complete. In fact, it would be almost impossible to construct a complete list. In summary, I consider this paper is good enough, it is useful and it is an interesting tool to face the problem of the West-Mediterranean heavy rain in many aspects, and therefore I recommend its publication, almost as it is. In the following there are a few comments that can be taken into account by the authors, although the consideration of all of them is not strictly mandatory to publish the paper

Details

–Line 154 mentions 16 IOPs during the campaign SOP1: the total of IOPs was 20 (see Ducrocq et al, 2014)

Thank you for this correction.

-The measurement site named BA (Balearic Islands) not only included Menorca (where specific facilities were installed), but also Mallorca, with operational radar and radiosounding stations (lines 180-181)

Corrected. Thank you for the information.

-In figure 1, I don't understand what the colour of each radar station means

All radars are shown in green. The other colors indicate the different instrumentation. All radars from the Hymex database have been included in this plot.

-By line 320, it seems that the authors consider that only breaking Rossby waves (cut-off lows) can induce Mediterranean cyclogenesis, but also open troughs can do it

Thank you for this comment. The "upper tropospheric filaments of air masses of high potential vorticity (PV)" are long known to be direct results of Rossby wave breaking. Depending on the vertical level, these PV filaments may be seen as cut-off lows over the Mediterranean or trough-like systems that extend beyond the limits of the region. In these regards, we agree with the Reviewer that we should be more precise. We rephrased lines 319-321 as follows:

"Mediterranean cyclogenesis is typically preceded by the intrusion of upper tropospheric systems such as troughs and cut-off lows. Such systems are typically shown to be direct results of Rossby wave breaking over the Atlantic ocean and be related with high potential vorticity values that trigger cyclogenesis in the Mediterranean due to baroclinic instability (Grams et al., 2011; Raveh-Rubin and Flaounas, 2017)"

-Fig. 2a, b. The meaning of the colours is not clear to me

This figure follows the methods and uses the dataset of Flaounas et al. 2016. We revised the caption to be clearer.

Figure 2: (a) Sea level pressure (black contours every 3 hPa, outer contour is set at 1005 hPa). Coloured lines show the pressure level of the WCB air masses, related to the cyclone. WCBs are calculated using the ECMWF analyses and correspond to air mass trajectories that present an ascent of more than 500 hPa within 48 hours. In panel (a), we only show the 48-hour trajectories that correspond to WCBs and where air masses are located close to the cyclones centre at 12:00 UTC, 26 Oct. 2012, between 680 and 720 hPa. i.e. within the ascending part of the WCBs (line segments of cyan colours). (b) as in (a), but for 12:00 UTC, 27 Oct. 2012. (c) Colours show daily accumulation of precipitation on 26 Oct. 2012 taken from 3B42 of TRMM. (d) as in (c) for 27 Oct. Datasets and methods for all panels are detailed in Flaounas et al. (2016).

-In section 3.2.1, another interesting reference for water origin of heavy rain in Western Mediterranean is Insua-Costa et al (2019; <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-23-3885-2019>)

Thank you, we included this reference.

L416-420 of the new document: “Recent advances in this topic showed that the evaporation in the western Mediterranean, in the central Mediterranean, in the North Atlantic, and the advection from the tropical and subtropical Atlantic and Africa constitute the four moisture sources which could explain most of the accumulated precipitation in the WMed (Insua-Costa et al. 2019).”

-With regard to section 3.3.1, IOP8, the most important event that affected Spain during SOP1, and also IOP15 and others, are analysed in Jansà et al (2014; <https://doi.org/10.3369/tethys.2014.11.03>). [Note also that Ferreti et al (2014; <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-18-1953-2014>) is a parallel paper on the cases that affected Italy]

Thank you for this comment. Given the relevance and the thematic of the articles, reviews of SOP1 over Spain and Italy, respectively, we have included them in the introduction of the manuscript

“...This issue is one of the main objectives of the HyMeX international programme, and of its associated first special observation period (SOP1; Ducrocq et al., 2014; Jansa et al., 2014; Ferreti et al., 2014), ...”

-Regarding section 3.3.2, the convergence associated to a cold pool boundary was already highlighted as a continued triggering convection mechanism in Western-Mediterranean heavy rain in Ramis et al (1994; <https://doi.org/10.1002/met.5060010404>)

Included in L629-630 of the new document: “Ramis et al. (1994) already pointed out the convergence associated to a cold pool boundary as a continued triggering convection mechanism in the WMed.”

-Fig. 6 is not clear enough to me

We have improved the description of the figure in the text and the correspondent legend to make it clearer to the reader.

“ ... This is shown in Figure 6, which presents the distribution of the simulated and observed RASTA reflectivities, sorted by altitude. Data from IOPs 6 and 16 were combined and classified in bins of altitude and reflectivity, and the number of events in each category was normalized by the total number of data points to provide the colored frequency.”

Figure 6: Distribution of simulated (left: ICE3, right : LIMA) and observed (center) Comparison of observed and simulated RASTA reflectivities sorted by temperature, , merging data from IOPs 6 and 16a (From Taufour et al. 20186).

-With regard to section 4.3, the Arome-WMed reanalyses, made with assimilation of all available added observations, permitted to detect a secondary cyclone that produced severe weather in Menorca during IOP18. See Carrió et al (2020; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2020.104983>)

Thank you, we included this reference.

L945-947 of the new document “Additional benefits were identified such as the detection of a secondary cyclone producing severe weather in Menorca during IOP 18 (Carrió et al. 2020).”

-Continuing with 4.3, Campins et al (2016; <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.2737>) studied the impact in the forecasting of the assimilation of some extra observations during SOP1

Thank you, we included this reference

L925-928 of the new document: “Campins and Navascués (2016) evaluated the impact of targeted observations on HIRLAM forecasts during HyMeX-SOP1 showing that the assimilation of radiosoundings and Advanced TIROS Operational Vertical Sounder (ATOVS) satellite observations clearly improve the first-guess quality over land and sea sensitive areas respectively.”