Diel Cycle Impacts on the Chemical and Light Absorption Properties of Organic Carbon Aerosol from Wildfires in the Western United States

Benjamin Sumlin¹, Edward Fortner², Andrew Lambe², Nishit <u>J.</u>Shetty¹, Conner Daube², Pai Liu¹, Francesca Majluf², Scott Herndon², and Rajan K. Chakrabarty¹

¹Center for Aerosol Science and Engineering, Department of Energy, Environmental, and Chemical Engineering, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, Missouri ²Aerodyne Research, Inc., Billerica, Massachusetts

Correspondence to: Benjamin Sumlin (bsumlin@wustl.edu) and Rajan K. Chakrabarty (chakrabarty@wustl.edu)

Abstract. Organic aerosol (OA) emissions from biomass burning have been the subject of intense research in recent years, involving a combination of field campaigns and laboratory studies. These efforts have aimed at improving our limited understanding of the diverse processes and pathways involved in the atmospheric processing and evolution of OA properties, culminating in their accurate parameterizations in climate and chemical transport models. To bring closure between laboratory and field studies, wildfire plumes in the western United States were sampled and characterized for their chemical and optical properties during the ground-based segment of the 2019 Fire Influence on Regional to Global Environments and Air Quality (FIREX-AQ) field campaign. Using a custom-developed multiwavelength integrated photoacoustic-nephelometer (MIPN) spectrometer in conjunction with a suite of instruments, including an oxidation flow reactor equipped to generate hydroxyl (OH·) or nitrate (NO₃·) radicals to mimic daytime or nighttime oxidative aging processes, we investigated the effects of multiple equivalent days or

5

- mimic daytime or nighttime oxidative aging processes, we investigated the effects of multiple equivalent days or nightshours of OH/NO₃· exposure on the chemical composition and mass absorption cross-sections (MAC(λ)) at 488 and 561 nm of OA emitted from wildfires in Arizona and Oregon. We found that OH· exposure induced a slight initial increase in absorption corresponding to short timescales, however, in longer time scales, the wavelength-dependent MAC(λ) decreased by a factor of 0.72 ± 0.08, consistent with previous laboratory studies and reports of photobleaching. On the other hand, NO₃· exposure increased MAC(λ) by a factor of up to 1.69 ± 0.38. We also noted some sensitivity of aerosol aging to changingdifferent fire conditions between Arizona and Oregon. The MAC(λ)
- enhancement following NO₃: exposure was found to correlate with an enhancement in CHO₁N and CHO_{gt1}N ion families measured parallelly using theby an Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer.

We found that OH· exposure <u>initially slightly increased absorption, and subsequently</u> reduced the wavelength dependent MAC(λ) by a factor of 0.72 ± 0.08, consistent with previous laboratory studies<u>and reports of</u> photobleaching. On the other hand, NO₃· exposure increased it by a factor of up to 1.69 ± 0.38, though the absorption increase due to aging was found to have some relationship to fire conditions. The MAC enhancement

1 Introduction

1 Introduction

- Wildfires constitute one of the main contributions to the global atmospheric organic aerosol (OA) burden (Murphy et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2007). The diverse physical, chemical, and optical properties of OA, the varied pathways involved in their formation in fires, and subsequent atmospheric processing introduce uncertainty into the analysis of their climate impacts, preventing extensive and accurate representation within regional and global scale models. Laboratory studies have, in the recent several years, demonstrated the effects of atmospheric processing on the optical, chemical, and physical properties of biomass burning OA (BBOA) or secondary organic aerosol (SOA) from biomass sources (Jimenez et al., 2009; Kroll et al., 2011; Lambe et al., 2013; Sumlin et al., 2017a). BBOA is typically also associated with brown carbon (BrC), a class of OA known to strongly absorb sunlight in the UV and short visible wavelengths (Pósfai et al., 2004; Chakrabarty et al., 2010). Following diurnal cycles, the impacts of atmospheric oxidation can be broadly divided into daytime- and nighttime-driven processes. During daylight hours, the dominant
- 35 oxidant that may influence OA properties is OH·. At night, when OH· production is significantly lower, O₃ or NO₃· are the most likely oxidants to influence biomass burning OA (BBOA) properties. Initial laboratory studies suggest OH· and NO₃·-induced oxidative aging affect the light absorption properties of BBOA surrogates in markedly different ways. OH· exposure to diminish light absorption by fragmenting large chromophoric molecules (Lambe et al., 2013; Sumlin et al., 2017a), while NO₃· oxidation has been shown to enhance light absorption by adding nitrogen-containing
- 40 chromophoric functional groups (Li et al., 2019; Cheng et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020; He et al., 2021). The need to study the consequences of such atmospheric processing on BBOA has motivated the development and use of environmental chambers capable of containing and controlling a sample of aerosol as it ages naturally (such as a Teflon bag sitting in open sunlight) or by accelerating the aging process by exposing aerosols to increased concentrations of atmospheric oxidants, UV light, or both (Carter et al., 1995; Cocker et al., 2001; Grieshop et al.,
- 45 2009; Cubison et al., 2011; Ortega et al., 2013). Such chambers are often large, on the order of several cubic meters (Cocker et al., 2001), and the relatively slow pace of a given experiment may suffer from unrealistic loss mechanisms including wall losses (including electrostatic, diffusional, and gravitational) (McMurry and Grosjean, 1985; Zhang et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2018) and aerosol agglomeration and coagulation (Pierce et al., 2008). Recently, oxidation flow reactors (OFRs) have been used as a field-deployable alternative to traditional environmental chambers. OFRs are
- 50 comparatively small, on the order of 0.01 m³, with residence times on the order of minutes and oxidant concentrations that are 100-1000 times higher than typical ambient levels, resulting in equivalent atmospheric aging time scales from hours to weeks (Lambe et al., 2011).
- While most work with OFRs has focused on OH-initiated oxidative aging processes, new methods are available to employ NO₃. in field-based OFR studies (Lambe et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020) that expand on previous work (Palm et
- 55 al., 2017). The consequences of such atmospheric processing over multiple diurnal cycles and broad geographical areas introduce significant errors into the aerosol components of radiative transfer models and make it difficult to constrain the impacts that wildfires and other large-scale aerosol events will have on the climate, especially in areas where air quality is expected to suffer under the effects of anthropogenic climate change (McClure and Jaffe, 2018).Following previous laboratory studies that investigated the various properties of BrC generated from smoldering

biomass (Sumlin et al., 2017b; Sumlin et al., 2018a; Sumlin et al., 2018b), including their optical characteristics following OH· exposure in an OFR, this work investigates the effects of daytime and nighttime aging on the short visible wavelength-dependent light absorbing properties of smoke OA sampled during the Fire Influence on Regional to Global Environments and Air Quality (FIREX-AQ) campaign, an interagency mission led by NASA and NOAA, conducted during the wildfire season of 2019 (Warneke, in preparation). This work focuses on four OFR-based <u>OA</u> oxidative aging experiments performed in August 2019 at ground level near the North Rim of the Grand Canyon in Arizona during the Castle and Ikes fires, and in Eastern Oregon, during the 204 Cow Fire. Results are used to quantify the effects of diurnal cycle-driven oxidation processes on the <u>OA</u> mass absorption cross-section.

2 Methods

70 2.1 Wildfire emissions sampled during OFR experiments

2.1.1 Castle and Ikes Fires, Northern Arizona

At the time of the FIREX-AQ campaign, two large fires were burning in close proximity to each other near the North Rim of the Grand Canyon, near Page, AZ. These fires, the Castle and Ikes fires (36.51° N, 112.28° W, and 36.35° N, 112.29° W, respectively), were ignited by lightning on 12 and 25 July 2019, respectively, by lightning strikes. Since

- 75 these fires threatened no structures or other property and were burning through a forest densely packed with litter, underbrush, and dead fallen trees, the fires were allowed to burn within prescribed limits to serve their role as the forest's natural mechanism of cleanout and renewal while fire crews in the area used Castle and Ikes as training opportunities.
- The Castle and Ikes fires burned near the Oquer Canyon in the Kaibab National Forest, a densely forested area consisting predominantly of Ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*), Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*), Englemann spruce (*Picea engelmannii*), and quaking aspen (*Populus tremuloides*). Minor species include Gambel oak (*Quercus gambelii*), and various shrub and grass species of sagebrush (*Artemesia*) and bitterbrush (*Purshia*). <u>A complete</u> <u>description of the fuel bed in this region are given in Appendix A of Riccardi et al. (2007)</u>. By 20 August 2019, the fire management areas had grown to approximately 24,000 acres (~97 km²) combined. The Aerodyne Mobile
- 85 Laboratory (AML, described below) approached from Page via Highway 89A and State Route 67. An OH-OFR experiment (OH_{Arizona}) was conducted on 20 August at approximately 17:45 UTC (10:45 MST) at 36.61°N, 112.19°W (Fig. 1), and a NO₃-OFR experiment (NO_{3,Arizona}) was conducted on 22 August at approximately 10:30 UTC (03:30 MST) at 36.54° N, 112.17° W (Fig. 2).

[Figure 1] [Figure 2]

2.1.2 204 Cow Fire, Eastern Oregon

95

After returning from Arizona, the nearest fire of interest was the 204 Cow Fire (44.28° N, 118.47° W) in the Malheur National Forest region of the Blue Mountains in eastern Oregon, approximately 200 km west-southwest of McCall. The 204 Cow Fire was ignited on 9 August by lightning and grew to burn 9,668 acres (approximately 29 km²) until its containment on 15 October. The region is predominantly forested by various species of pine, fir, and juniper trees (*Pinus, Abies*, and *Juniperus*, respectively) along with shrub species of sagebrush (*Artemesia*) (Riccardi et al. 2007). The fire had burned approximately 5,500 acres (22.3 km²) by 26 August, when both OH-OFR ("OH_{Oregon}", 09:30 UTC, 03:30 MDT, 44.23° N, 118.40° W) and NO₃-OFR ("NO_{3,Oregon}", 12:30 UTC, 06:30 MDT, 44.25° N, 118.40° W) experiments took place (Fig. 3). The AML approached from State Route 26 and then traversed a series of National

100 W) experiments took place (Fig. 3). The AML approached from State Route 26 and then traversed a series of Nation Forest Development roads to conduct sampling less than 3 km from the fire management area.

[Figure 3]

	[Figure 4]
105	[Figure 4]
	[Figure 4]
110	[Figure 4]
	[Figure 4]
	[Figure 4]
	[Figure 4]
115	2.2 Instrumentation

2.2.1 Multiwavelength Integrated Photoacoustic-Nephelometer (MIPN)

A description of the design and development of this instrument, including calibration procedures, has been given previously (Arnott et al., 1999; Arnott et al., 2000; Moosmüller and Arnott, 2003; Varma et al., 2003; Lewis et al., 2008). Briefly, the aerosol light absorption coefficient (β_{abs} , Mm⁻¹) was measured with the prototype version of a new Multiwavelength Integrated Photoacoustic-Nephelometer (MIPN) at two wavelengths ($\lambda = 488$ and 532 nm). The MIPN is based upon single-wavelength instruments constructed at Washington University in St. Louis and described previously (Sumlin et al., 2017a; Sumlin et al., 2018a; Sumlin et al., 2018b). Novel to the MIPN is a dual-cell arrangement wherein the sample stream is split and one branch is filtered to remove particulate matter, thereby simultaneously sampling a particle-free gaseous background to account for noise from various sources such as ambient

125 acoustic, electrical, and flow noise. Data were acquired from each wavelength in serial at 0.5 Hz for one minute per

wavelength and averaged across each wavelength's one-minute cycle. Due to a field malfunction, scattering measurements were not obtained.

130

135

OA mass absorption cross-sections (MAC(λ), m² g⁻¹) at the two operating wavelengths were calculated from the ratio of β_{abs} measured with MPIN and OA mass concentrations measured with the SP-AMS after correcting for dilution and wall losses in the OFR. We additionally calculated the MAC(λ) enhancement, $E_{MAC}(\lambda)$, from the ratio of OFRprocessed and ambient MAC(λ) values. Thus, $E_{MAC}(\lambda) > 1$ indicates an oxidative aging-induced absorption enhancement, while $E_{MAC}(\lambda) < 1$ indicates diminished absorption enhancement. To obtain reasonable estimates for $E_{MAC}(\lambda)$ values that are comprehensive of a given oxidation step yet still capture the nature of the dynamic plume, $E_{MAC}(\lambda)$ was calculated using the average of aerosol MAC(λ) during a given oxidation step divided by the average of the ambient steps immediately prior and after.

2.2.2 Aerosol Mass Spectrometer

The SP-AMS is a standard Aerodyne high resolution time of flight aerosol mass spectrometer (HR-ToF-AMS) with an intracavity, CW laser vaporizer (Onasch et al., 2012). The AMS was operated to provide online chemically-speciated mass and sizing measurements of both non-refractory and refractory particles between approximately 70 – 2500 nm in aerodynamic diameter. A PM_{2.5} inlet lens was installed on the AMS for this study, extending the range of 100% transmission efficiency of particles through the lens up to 2.5 µm in diameter. The SP-AMS laser was operated with approximately a 50% duty cycle. When the laser was off, the system was operated as a conventional AMS. During OH_{Arizona}, OH_{Oregon}, and NO_{3.Oregon}, the SP-AMS was used. During NO_{3.Arizona}, the conventional AMS was used. The instrument was run with 20 second time resolution, and data points included both chemical speciation and mass loading by mass spectral analysis and particle sizing by species for each data point. In the SP-AMS, particles containing refractory materials (i.e. <u>r</u>BC and many metals) are vaporized with a 1064 nm laser. The resulting vapor is ionized via electron impact and detected with the HR-TOF-AMS. In addition to the SP-AMS vaporization, the conventional AMS heater (a heated tungsten surface at 600 °C, (Jayne et al., 2000; Canagaratna et al., 2007) was also used to measure the composition of any non-refractory particles. When the instrument was run as a conventional AMS,

150 this was the only heater used.

2.2.3 Potential Aerosol Mass Oxidation Flow Reactor (OFR)

The <u>Potential Aerosol Mass</u> OFR (Aerodyne Research, Inc.; Lambe et al., 2011) is a horizontal 13 L aluminum cylindrical chamber (46 cm long × 22 cm ID) operated in continuous flow mode. Irradiance, relative humidity and temperature in the OFR were measured at the exit flange with UV (TOCON GaP6, sglux) and RH/T (SHT21, Sensiron) sensors, and ozone concentrations were measured with an ozone analyzer (Model 106-M, 2B Technologies).

2.2.4 Aerodyne Mobile Laboratory

The AML, a mobile sampling platform equipped with a suite of research-grade instrumentation, was used to facilitate the in situ OFR-based experiments described here. The AML travelled throughout Idaho, Oregon, Utah, and Arizona during FIREX-AQ, sampling continuously when not conducting OFR experiments. The general sampling strategy was to search for smoke-filled valleys and transect plumes with the AML, using Tuneable Infrared Laser Direct

160

Absorption Spectrometer (TILDAS, Aerodyne Research, Inc.) (McManus et al., 2011a; McManus et al., 2011b) measurements of hydrogen cyanide (HCN) as a tracer for biomass smoke plumes (Li et al., 2000). Upon identification of a suitable location, the AML parked with the sample inlet on the front of the truck facing into the wind to avoid self-sampling of its own exhaust. A PM_{2.5} cyclone with a small mesh screen to filter out extremely large ash particles was attached to the inlet. A schematic of the OFR experimental setup is given in Fig. 4

 <u>AML positioning, including latitude, longitude, and altitude was measured by a Vector V103 GPS Compass</u> (Hemisphere GNSS, Inc., Scottsdale, AZ). Wind velocity was measured by an ultrasonic anemometer (model 86000, R. M. Young Company, Traverse City, MI). Additional meteorology products were obtained from the NOAA Air
 170 Resources Laboratory North American Mesoscale 12 km Archive, and plume ages were approximated using the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model (Stein et al., 2016), Aerosol composition and mixing state properties were measured with a Soot Particle Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (SP-

 Aerosol
 composition
 and
 mixing
 state
 properties
 were

 measured with a Soot Particle Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (SP-AMS, Aerodyne Research, Inc., Onasch et al., 2012)

 and Single Particle Soot Photometer (SP2 Droplet Measurement Technologies, Stephens et al., 2003). A Vocus Proton

 Transfer Reaction Mass Spectrometer (Krechmer et al., 2018) (PTR-MS, Aerodyne Research, Inc./Tofwerk AG, Switzerland) sampled VOCs.

2.3 Experiment Design

mass (Figs. S1 and S2).

- 180 The total instrument plus makeup flowrate through the OFR was 6.4 liter min⁻¹, corresponding to a calculated mean plug flow residence time of 123 sec. The degree to which plug flow is a valid model for an OFR is the subject of ongoing research, however, this assumption is adequate for the flowrates and experimental setups used here (Mitroo et al. 2018). OFR experiments lasted 1 to 2 hours, during which the reagent inputs were controlled in steps to simulate varying degrees of atmospheric aging. An experiment step consists of two phases, an ambient phase and a reaction 185 phase. During the ambient phase, instruments first sampled ambient air for 5-10 min (depending on the experiment timeline) while LFR/OFR conditions equilibrated for the reaction phase. During the ambient phase, 5 L min⁻¹ of makeup flow was pulled through the OFR to reduce stagnation time. Once the ambient phase ended, an electronically actuated 3-way valve was switched to connect the instruments to the OFR, and OFR-processed air was sampled for 5 to 10 min. After each step, the OH· or NO3· exposure was changed, and the above measurements 190 were repeated. After each experiment, the OFR was cleaned out by setting both sets of lamps to maximum output and overblowing the inlet with humidified zero air until AMS measurements of background organic mass were below 0.3 µg m⁻³. To account for dilution and particle wall losses in the OFR refractory black carbon (rBC) monitored with the SP2 was used as a chemically conserved tracer. During all experiments, rBC accounted for ~ 2% to 5% of total aerosol
- 195 In the following sections, ambient <u>phases</u> are denoted "ambient_X" and oxidation <u>phases</u> are denoted "OFR_OH_X" for OH experiments, and for NO₃ experiments, the ozone-only step is denoted "<u>OFR_O3</u>"

and NO3. oxidation steps are denoted "OFR_NO3_X". "X" indicates the step number. Finally, each experiment includes a "background" measurement, where ambient air was sampled through the dark OFR without oxidant generation.

200 2.3.1 NO₃ Experiment Design and Analysis

To generate NO_{3'}, N₂O₅ was first generated in the gas phase from the reaction NO₂ + O₃ \rightarrow NO_{3'} + O₂ followed by the reaction NO_3 + $NO_2 \rightarrow N_2O_5$ in a 152.4 cm long x 2.22 cm ID perfluoroalkoxy laminar flow reactor (LFR) coupled to the OFR (Lambe et al., 2020). Separate flows containing NO2 (1% in N2, Praxair) and O3 were added to the LFR. In these experiments, the NO₂ +N₂ flow rate was set between 0 and 40 cm³ min⁻¹, and O₃ was generated by passing 1.8 L min⁻¹ of O₂ through an ozone chamber housing a mercury fluorescent lamp (GPH212T5VH, Light Sources, Inc.). The O3 mixing ratio that was input to the LFR was approximately 250 ppmv during NO3-OFR experiments. The $NO_2 + N_2$ and O_2 flow rates were set using mass flow controllers. The N_2O_5 generated in the LFR thermally decomposed at room temperature inside the OFR to generate NO3. The first oxidation step of NO3. OFR experiments was with ozone only ("OFR_O3") to assess the effect of O_3 exposure on OA composition and optical properties relative to ambient OA. During NO_{3,Arizona}, NO₂ was stepped down from 40 to 20, 5, and 3 cm³ min⁻¹ to generate the various oxidation time scales (Fig. S3). During NO3,Oregon, inputs of 15 and 5 cm3 min-1 were used. The integrated NO3 exposure, defined as the product of the average NO3 concentration and the mean OFR residence time (τ_{OFR}) , was calculated using an estimation equation developed by Lambe et al. (2020):

215

210

205

$$\log[(\mathrm{NO}_3)_{exp}] = a + b \log[273.15 + T_{\mathrm{OFR}}] + c \log[\tau_{\mathrm{OFR}}]$$
$$+ d \log[\mathrm{NO}_2]_{0,\mathrm{LFR}} + e \log[\mathrm{O}_3]_{0,\mathrm{LFR}} \times T_{\mathrm{OFR}}$$

+
$$u \log[NO_{2}]_{0,LFR} + e \log[O_{3}]_{0,LFR} \times T_{OFR} + j \log[R_{WOFR,N_{2}O_{5}}]$$

+ $\log\left(\frac{[NO_{2}]_{0,LFR}}{[O_{3}]_{0,LFR}}\right) \times \left(g \left(\log[O_{3}]_{0,LFR}\right)^{2} + h \log[O_{3}]_{0,LFR}\right)$
- $\frac{[NO_{2}]_{0,LFR}}{[O_{3}]_{0,LFR}} \times (i + j \log[O_{3}]_{0,LFR}) + k \log(NO_{3}R)_{ext}$
+ $l \log[NO_{2}]_{0,LFR} \times T + m \log[O_{3}]_{0,LFR} \times \log k_{WOFR,N_{2}O_{5}}$

- <u>61-</u>-[1-

Where a through m are empirical fit coefficients derived by Lambe et al. (2020) and summarized in Table S1, T_{OFR} is 220 the measured temperature in the OFR, [NO₂]_{0.LFR} and [O₃]_{0.LFR} were the NO₂ and O₃ mixing ratios input to the LFR (ppmv), $k_{wOFR,N205} = 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$ is the assumed N_2O_5 wall loss rate coefficient in the OFR, and $(NO_3R)_{ext}$ is the external NO3- reactivity (s-1), which was calculated from the summed products of ambient VOC concentrations (measured with Vocus) and their and their NO3. rate coefficients (found in the data repository, see Data Availability section). Corresponding calculated NO3. exposures ranged from 1.4×1014 to 3.4×1014 molec cm⁻³ s, or approximately 72 to 192 225 equivalent hours (3 to 8 equivalent days) of atmospheric oxidation at a 24-hour average NO₃ concentration of 5×10^8 molec cm-3 (Atkinson, 1991).

2.3.2 OH· Experiment Design

OH· was generated via photolysis of ambient O₂ and H₂O at $\lambda = 185$ nm plus photolysis of O₃ (generated from at $\lambda =$ 254 nm using low-pressure mercury (Hg) lamps):

Formatted: Subscript

(1)

> A fluorescent dimming ballast was used to regulate current applied to the lamps (GPH436T5VH/4, Light Sources, Inc.). The dimming voltage applied to the ballast ranged from 1.6 V to 10 V direct current (DC). To extend the range of OH- concentrations below what is achievable with one set of lamps at 1.6 VDC, a second set of GPH436T5VH/4 lamps with added segments of opaque heat shrink tubing applied to ~86 % of the arc length (Rowe et al., 2020) was used. The OH_{Arizona} OFR experiment began with the non-attenuated lamps set to maximum output, then switching to the attenuated lamps and stepping the dimming voltage from 10.0 to 5.0, 3.0, 2.0, and 1.6 V (Fig. S4). The OH_{Oregon} experiment started with the non-attenuated lamps at 10V, then stepping the dimming voltage from 10.0 to 5.0 and 1.6 V. The OH exposure was calculated using Eq. (2) from Rowe et al. (2020):

$$\log[OH_{exp}] = \left(a + \left(b - c \times OHR_{ext}^d + e \times \log[O_3] \times OHR_{ext}^f\right) \times \log[O_3] + \log[H_2O]\right) + \log\left(\frac{\tau}{124}\right)$$
(2)

Where *a* through *f* are fit coefficients tabulated in Table S2, O₃ is the ozone mixing ratio measured at the exit of the OFR (molec cm⁻³), OHR_{ext} is the external OH· reactivity (s⁻¹), which was calculated from the summed products of ambient VOC concentrations (measured with Vocus) and their and their OH· rate coefficients (see Data Availability section), H₂O is the ambient water vapor mixing ratio (%), and *τ* is the residence time in the OFR. Corresponding calculated OH· exposures ranged from 3.91×10¹¹ to 2.53×10¹² molec cm⁻³ s, or approximately <u>72 to 480 equivalent hours (</u>3 to 20 equivalent days) of atmospheric oxidation at a 24-hour average OH· concentration of 1.5×10⁶ molec cm⁻³ (Mao et al., 2009).

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 <u>Ambient Organic</u> Aerosol MAC(λ)

Table 1 summarizes the average MAC(λ) values of <u>OA</u> sampled from smoke plumes originating from the Arizona and Oregon wildfires. The absorption Ångström exponent (AAE), which parameterizes the wavelength dependence of absorption and is calculated from the two-parameter formula

AAE(488 nm, 561 nm) =
$$-\frac{\ln\left[\frac{\beta_{abs}(488 \text{ nm})}{\beta_{abs}(561 \text{ nm})}\right]}{\ln\left[\frac{488 \text{ nm}}{561 \text{ nm}}\right]}$$
 (3)

260

240

was 0.83 ± 0.25 in Arizona and 0.91 ± 0.21 in Oregon. As shown in Figs. S1 and S2, the rBC mass fraction in the sampled plumes were negligible (<5%) and within the margin of error due to charring of OA in the SP2 (Sedlacek et al, 2018). Therefore, we are confident that the calculated AAE values corresponded to light-

Commented [CR1]: Cite Sedlack et al 2018 Formation of refractory black carbon by SP2-induced charring of organic aerosol

Formatted: Not Highlight

absorbing OA constituents in the intercepted plumes.

[Table 1]

3.2 NO₃· Oxidative aging of Organic Aerosol

- Equivalent nighttime oxidation of between <u>78.72 ± 0.00 to 184.08 ± 4.03 hours</u> (3.28 ± 0.00 to 7.67 ± 0.17 days) was performed across the NO_{3,Arizona} and NO_{3,Oregon} OFR experiments. <u>Average surface wind speeds during these</u> experiments were 0.39 m s⁻¹ in Arizona and 1.40 m s⁻¹ in Oregon, E_{MAC}(λ) is shown in Fig. 5 and summarized in Table 2, as the Oregon data points are obscured by their similarity. E_{MAC}(λ) from NO_{3,Arizona} increases by a factor of up to 1.69 ± 0.38 as a function of NO₃⁻ exposure, as does E_{MAC}(λ) from NO_{3,Oregon}, although to a lesser extent because
- E_{MAC}(λ) was higher at lower equivalent time scales. There was a marked difference in the external reactivity (NO_{3,EXT}).
 <u>NO_{3,EXT}</u> (and similarly, OH_{EXT} in section 3.3) is the total summed reactivity of ambient gas-phase BBVOCs to NO₃ (or OH). Concentrations are measured by the PTR-MS during the ambient phases and calculated by NO_{3,EXT} or OH_{EXT} <u>kNO_{3,eFOH}×[NO_{3,OT}OH]×[BBVOC]</u>, where k denotes the relevant rate constant. In Arizona, calculated NO_{3,EXT} values ranged from 11.6 to 17.6 s⁻¹, while in Oregon, NO_{3ext} ranged from 161.0 to 187.1 s⁻¹; the NO₃ reactivity of catechol alone (measured by the PTR-MS) was 48 to 56 s⁻¹. This indicates the
- presence of high concentrations of biomass burning VOCs (BBVOCs)that were highly reactive to NO_3 . (Finewax et al. 2017).
- The differences in <u>BBVOC</u> external reactivities manifested in different E_{MAC}(λ) behavior at the two sites. On the shortest oxidation time scale in Arizona (<u>78.72</u> ± 0.00 equivalent <u>hours</u>), E_{MAC}(488 nm) was 0.99 ± 0.26
 and E_{MAC}(561 nm) was 1.15 ± 0.79. This is in contrast to Oregon, where at <u>84.72</u> ± 9.60 equivalent <u>hours</u>, E_{MAC}(488 nm) was 1.47 ± 0.01 and E_{MAC}(561 nm) was 1.46 ± 0.01, which we attribute to the variations in BBVOC concentrations between sites. At longer time scales in Arizona, E_{MAC} continued to increase, up to E_{MAC}(488 nm) of 1.69 ± 0.38 and E_{MAC}(561 nm)of 1.29 ± 0.84. These values were commensurate with results from Oregon at only <u>92.88 ± 6.71</u> equivalent <u>hours</u>. Regardless of choice of site, E_{MAC}(λ) consistently increased with increasing NO₃ oxidation time scales. Li et al. (2020) previously observed this absorption enhancement effect in the laboratory by subjecting biomass burning BrC proxy aerosol derived from wood tar to a similar experimental setup to what was used here. They observed E_{MAC}(λ) in the near-UV (330-400 nm) of approximately 2.4, and approximately 6.0 in the visible (400-550 nm), though this high value comes from the ratio of two relatively low MAC(λ) values of 0.6 and 0.1 m² g⁻¹, much lower than the MAC(561 nm) reported here, which, for ambient aerosol was 1.71 ± 0.32 in
- 290 Arizona and 1.72 ± 0.05 in Oregon.

[Figure 5]

[Table 2]

295

A breakdown of the chemical speciation from NO_{3,Arizona} was obtained from the AMS data from before and after the OFR_NO3_1 step (refer to Fig. S3), the first oxidation step after ozonolysis. During this step, 217 ppm NO₂ was flowing into the LFR. NO_{3,EXT} before and after this step was 17.56 and 13.90 s⁻¹, respectively, for an estimated equivalent age of <u>138.24</u> \pm <u>5.23</u> hours. The individual ions measured by the AMS can be visualized for oxidative enhancement or depletion on a log-log scatterplot, shown with markers in Fig. S5, and with individual

Formatted: Superscript Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Font color: Text 1		
Formatted: Font color: Text 1		
Formatted: Font color: Text 1		
Formatted: Font color: Text 1		
Formatted: Font color: Text 1		
Formatted: Font color: Text 1		
Formatted: Font color: Text 1		
Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Text 1		
Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Text 1		
Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Text 1 Formatted: Font color: Text 1		
Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Text 1 Formatted: Font color: Text 1 Formatted: Font color: Text 1		
Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Text 1 Formatted: Font color: Text 1 Formatted: Font color: Text 1 Formatted: Font color: Text 1		
Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Text 1 Formatted: Font color: Text 1 Formatted: Font color: Text 1 Formatted: Font color: Text 1 Formatted: Font color: Text 1		
Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Text 1 Formatted: Font color: Text 1		

m/z in Fig. S6. Ions above the solid black line are enhanced following NO₃· exposure, whereas ions below are depleted. The 2:1 and 1:2 lines are also included. Colors are per-ion family.

300 While knowledge of the individual m/z enhancement and depletion may inform future investigations, it is perhaps more illustrative to consider ion families writ large. Figure 6 shows the enhancement and depletion of the ion families in Figs. S5 and S6 on the basis of total ion mass in that family. Enhancement is calculated by summing the relative abundances of all ions within a family and taking the ratio of oxidized to ambient, similar to how $E_{MAC}(\lambda)$ is calculated. [Figure 6]

The CHO_{gt1}N ("O_{gt1}" indicates more than one oxygen atom in <u>a</u> molecule <u>containing one or more atoms of C, H, and N</u>) family is enhanced by 211% and CHO₁N ("O₁" indicates a single oxygen atom in <u>a molecule containing one or more atoms of C, H, and N</u>) by 132% through the <u>OFR</u>, with a corresponding diminishment in CHO_{gt1} and CHO₁ (where, again, "O_{gt1}" and "O_g" indicate the quantity of oxygen atoms in molecules of one or more atoms of C and H) to 79% and 91%, respectively. This does not imply that all CHO₁N species come from CHO₁ (or CHO_{gt1}N from CHO_{gt1}, similarly). The addition of nitrogen-containing functional groups is likely the cause of the observed light abscreament since aitment at an atoms for a during reactions with NO₂.

observed light absorption enhancement, since nitrogenated aromatic hydrocarbons form during reactions with NO₃· (Li et al., 2019) and can act as chromophores, increasing light absorption (Jacobson, 1999; Laskin et al., 2015; Xie et al., 2019).

3.2.1 O₃ Oxidation Effects

- 315 The first step in the NO₃· experiments was an O₃-only oxidation experiment in case the effects of ozonolysis on biomass burning smoke were significant. Since the NO₃· oxidation steps carry a significant amount of residual O₃, the contribution of O₃ to changes in absorption behavior should be quantified and treated separately from NO₃·. Li et al. (2020) performed a similar experiment in their laboratory oxidation studies and found that NO₃· oxidation was the dominant chemical transformation mechanism when compared to O₃. They further reported a slight decrease in
- 320 MAC(405 nm) quantified by a reduction in the imaginary refractive index from 0.017 ± 0.005 to 0.011 ± 0.003 . However, differences in how Li et al. performed their experiments (by exposing aerosol to 35 ppbv O₃ for 10.6 hours) preclude any direct comparison to the short O₃ exposure time scales performed during FIREX-AQ.

 $E_{MAC}(\lambda)$ due to O_3 during $NO_{3,Arizona}$ was 1.06 ± 0.96 and 1.05 ± 0.94 for 488 and 561 nm, respectively, indicating that O_3 effects during the <u>OFR</u> experiments were negligible, or at least dominated by NO_3 .

325 **3.3 Daytime Oxidation**

330

Compared to the NO₃· experiments, a much broader range of equivalent atmospheric aging was mimicked in the OH· experiments. NO₃· is a comparatively selective oxidant, while OH· tends to oxidize nearly all organic aerosol it comes in to contact with. Between approximately <u>72 and 480 equivalent hours (3 and 20 equivalent days)</u> of oxidation were performed. <u>Average surface wind speeds were 2.98 m s⁻¹ in Arizona and 0.43 m s⁻¹ in Oregon.</u> As expected, $E_{MAC}(\lambda)$ for these experiments nominally showed light absorption diminishment for most experiments, however, $E_{MAC}(\lambda)$ exceeded unity between <u>91.06 ± 1.30</u> and <u>417.86 ± 96.95 hours</u>. This effect of initial darkening and subsequent lightening has been reported previously (Sumlin et al., 2017a; Hems et al., 2020). The nature of this Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Superscript
Formatted: Superscript

absorption enhancement mechanism was outside the scope of Sumlin et al. (2017) who rather focused on the likely mechanisms of BrC light diminishment, however Hems et al. (2020) posit that the increase in absorbance is linked to the formation of aromatic dimers and functionalization reactions. Hems et al. report that the absorption enhancement was observable up to 11 equivalent hours of OH exposure, and diminished with a net exposure of up to 42 hours. It should be noted that the samples analyzed by Hems et al. was only the water-soluble portion, obtained by gathering BrC on a filter and extracting with purified water. Furthermore, the OH· oxidation was carried out by adding hydrogen peroxide to the extract solution and exposing it to UV lamps. The OH· oxidation performed by the OFR during FIREX is far less controlled, given the dynamic nature of the plumes we sampled.

Figure 7 shows $E_{MAC}(\lambda)$ at 488 and 561 nm for all OH· experiments. The data is summarized in Table 3.

[Figure 7]

While OHEXT values were large, it is noteworthy that they were typically exceeded by NO3.EXT values, which, as noted 345 in the previous section, indicates the presence of BBVOCs that are more reactive toward NO3 than toward OH. The exact nature of these sensitivities requires further research.

[Table 3]

360

365

The same scatterplot analysis was applied to the OFR_1 step (refer to Fig. S4) of the OH_{Arizona} experiment, however, it is less illustrative than with NO₃ because the primary driver of MAC(λ) diminishment is fragmentation reactions. 350 Before this step, OH_{EXT} was 74.95 s⁻¹ and after, it was 59.37 s⁻¹, giving an approximate equivalent age of 438.50 \pm 180.86 hours. The enhancement ratios of the individual ion families show a decrease in CHO₁N and an increase in $CHO_{gt1}N$, though to a lesser degree than under NO_{3} aging. The ambient $CHO_{gt1}N$ mass fraction was approximately 25% higher in the NO3,Arizona, as well - the comparatively lower relative abundances of nitroaromatics 355 during OH_{Arizona} (both pre- and post-OFR_1) may obfuscate any meaning in the enhancement ratios.

Figure S7 shows the OHArizona scatterplot with markers, and Fig. S8 shows the individual m/z measured by the SP-AMS. Qualitatively, it can be observed that there is less spread in the scatterplot and the points are grouped closer to the 1:1 line, further suggesting that the dominant mechanism is fragmentation.

Figure 8 shows the ion family enhancement ratios through the same OFR_1 step corroborating the conclusions drawn from the scatterplots.

[Figure 8]

4 Conclusions and Future Work - Synthesizing Daytime and Nighttime Aging

The observations of $E_{MAC}(\lambda)$ and the associated chemistry of smoke OA sampled as part of the FIREX-AQ ground campaign represent the first attempt to use an OFR in a mobile setting to sample biomass burning at their source, as well as the first application of the novel MIPN v1 to a field study. Observations track closely with previous laboratory studies.

These results show the difficulty in naïvely applying a particular aging model to atmospheric aerosol to constrain their long-term behavior in climate models. Aerosol does not age along any single pathway for more than half of a diurnal

cycle: at night, oxidative aging of <u>OA</u> by NO₃· increases <u>MAC(\lambda)</u>, whereas daytime oxidative aging by OH· decreases
 <u>MAC(\lambda)</u>. Overall, our results suggest that explicit characterization of the effect of diel aging on atmospheric aerosol optical, chemical, and physical properties represents the best possible input to climate models.

Data availability. All experimental data, including MIPN; SP-AMS; SP2; and Vocus PTR-MS measurements, rate constants, and data tables used to calculate external reactivities are available for download at doi:10.17632/5mr43vbks3.1 (Sumlin, 2021).

Supplement. Includes eight figures detailing rBC fraction observed during experiments (S1 and S2), sample timelines for oxidation experiments (S3 and S4), and AMS signal enhancements for various ion families upon oxidation (S5 through S8). Also includes two tables (S1 and S2) with fit coefficients for Eqs. (1) and (2).

380

375

Author contributions. RKC and BS conceived of the study and its design. RKC and AL provided guidance and supervision for carrying out the research tasks, interpretation of results, and contributed to the preparation of the manuscript. BS, EF, NJS, FM, CD, and SH performed the experiments. BS performed the data analysis, developed the figures, and led the preparation of the manuscript. All authors were involved in the editing and proofreading of the manuscript.

385 manuscri

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest

Acknowledgements

390

The authors would like to acknowledge Tara Yacovitch, Rob Roscioli, Jordan Krechmer, and Tim Onasch of Aerodyne Research, Inc. and Art Sedlacek III of Brookhaven National Laboratory for their effort in processing and contributing AML data to the public FIREX-AQ repository. Chakrabarty and his group acknowledges support from the US National Science Foundation (AGS-1455215 and AGS-1926817)₂ the US Department of Energy Atmospheric System Research program (DE-SC0021011), and the NASA ACCDAM program (NNH20ZDA001N). Sumlin acknowledges support from NASA Earth System Science Fellowship (80NSSC18K1414).

References

1. Arnott, w. P., Moosmuller, H., Rogers, F. C., Jin, 1., and Bruch, K.: Photoacoustic spectrometer for measuring light abso						
	by aerosol: instrument description, <u>Atmos.</u> Environ., 33, 2845-2852, 10.1016/s1352-2310(98)00361-6, 1999.					
	2. Arnott, W. P., Moosmüller, H., and Walker, J. W.: Nitrogen dioxide and kerosene-flame soot calibration of photoacoustic					
400	instruments for measurement of light absorption by aerosols, <u>Rev. Sci. Instrum.</u> , 71, 4545,					
	10.1063/1.1322585, 2000.					
3. Atkinson, R.: Kinetics and Mechanisms of the Gas-Phase Reactions of the NO3 Radical with Organic Compounds, J						
Chem. Ref. Data 20, 20, 459-506, 10.1063/1.555887, 1991.						
	4. Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Jimenez, J. L., Allan, J. D., Alfarra, M. R., Zhang, Q., Onasch, T. B., Drewnick, F., Coe, J					
405	Middlebrook A Delia A Williams I, R Trimborn A M Northway M I DeCarlo P F Kolb C E Davidovits P and					

1.D

1 D D1

FOF T

Worsnop, D. R.: Chemical and microphysical characterization of ambient aerosols with the aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer, Mass Spectrom. Rev., 26, 185-222, 10.1002/mas.20115, 2007.

- 5. Carter, W., Luo, D., Malkina, I., and Pierce, J.: Environmental Chamber Studies of Atmospheric Reactivities of Volatile Organic Compounds: Effects of Varying Chamber and Light Source, Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI), 1995.
- 410 6. Chakrabarty, R., Moosmüller, H., Chen, L.-W., Lewis, K., Arnott, W., Mazzoleni, C., Dubey, M., Wold, C., Hao, W., and Kreidenweis, S.: Brown carbon in tar balls from smoldering biomass combustion, <u>Atmos. Chem. Phys.</u> , 10, 6363-6370, 2010.

7. Cheng, Z., Atwi, K. M., Yu, Z., Avery, A., Fortner, E. C., Williams, L., Majluf, F., Krechmer, J. E., Lambe, A. T., and Saleh, R.: Evolution of the light-absorption properties of combustion brown carbon aerosols following reaction with nitrate radicals, <u>Aerosol Sci. Technol.</u>, 54, 849-863, 10.1080/02786826.2020.1726867, 2020.

 Cocker, D. R., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: State-of-the-Art Chamber Facility for Studying Atmospheric Aerosol Chemistry, <u>Environ. Sci. Technol.</u>, 35, 2594-2601, 10.1021/es0019169, 2001.

Cubison, M. J., Ortega, A. M., Hayes, P. L., Farmer, D. K., Day, D., Lechner, M. J., Brune, W. H., Apel, E., Diskin, G. S., Fisher, J. A., Fuelberg, H. E., Hecobian, A., Knapp, D. J., Mikoviny, T., Riemer, D., Sachse, G. W., Sessions, W., Weber, R. J., Weinheimer, A. J., Wisthaler, A., and Jimenez, J. L.: Effects of aging on organic aerosol from open biomass burning smoke in aircraft and laboratory studies, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12049-12064, 10.5194/acp-11-12049-2011, 2011.

10. Finewax, Z., de Gouw, J. A., and Ziemann, P. J.: Identification and Quantification of 4-Nitrocatechol Formed from OH and NO₂ Radical-Initiated Reactions of Catechol in Air in the Presence of NO₂: Implications for Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation from biomass Burning, Environ. Sci. Technol., 52, 1981-1989, 10.1021/acs.est.7b05864, 2018.

425 11. Grieshop, A. P., Logue, J. M., Donahue, N. M., and Robinson, A. L.: Laboratory investigation of photochemical oxidation of organic aerosol from wood fires 1: measurement and simulation of organic aerosol evolution, <u>Atmos. Chem. Phys.</u>, 9, 1263-1277, 10.5194/acp-9-1263-2009, 2009.

12. He, Q., Tomaz, S., Li, C., Zhu, M., Meidan, D., Riva, M., Laskin, A., Brown, S. S., George, C., Wang, X., and Rudich, Y.: Optical Properties of Secondary Organic Aerosol Produced by Nitrate Radical Oxidation of Biogenic Volatile Organic Compounds, Environ. Sci. Technol., 55, 2878-2889, 10.1021/acs.est.0c06838, 2021.

 13. Hems, R. F., Schnitzler, E. G., Bastawrous, M., Soong, R., Simpson, A. J., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Aqueous Photoreactions of Wood Smoke Brown Carbon, <u>ACS Earth Space Chem.</u>, 4, 1149-1160, 10.1021/acsearthspacechem.0c00117, 2020.

14. Jacobson, M. Z.: Isolating nitrated and aromatic aerosols and nitrated aromatic gases as sources of ultraviolet light absorption,
 J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 104, 3527-3542, 10.1029/1998jd100054, 1999.

15. Jayne, J. T., Leard, D. C., Zhang, X., Davidovits, P., Smith, K. A., Kolb, C. E., and Worsnop, D. R.: Development of an Aerosol Mass Spectrometer for Size and Composition Analysis of Submicron Particles, <u>Aerosol Sci. Technol.</u>, 33, 49-70, 10.1080/027868200410840, 2000.

16. Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M., Donahue, N., Prevot, A., Zhang, Q., Kroll, J. H., DeCarlo, P. F., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., and
 440 Ng, N.: Evolution of organic aerosols in the atmosphere, <u>Science</u>, 326, 1525-1529, 2009.

- 12. Krechmer, J., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Koss, A., Hutterli, M., Stoermer, C., Deming, B., Kimmel, J., Warneke, C., Holzinger, R., Jayne, J., Worsnop, D., Fuhrer, K., Gonin, M., and de Gouw, J.: Evaluation of a New Reagent-Ion Source and Focusing Ion-Molecule Reactor for Use in Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometry, Anal. Chem., 90, 12011-12018, 10.1021/acs.analchem.8b02641, 2018.
- 145 18. Kroll, J. H., Donahue, N. M., Jimenez, J. L., Kessler, S. H., Canagaratna, M. R., Wilson, K. R., Altieri, K. E., Mazzoleni, L. R., Wozniak, A. S., and Bluhm, H.: Carbon oxidation state as a metric for describing the chemistry of atmospheric organic aerosol, Nat. Chem., 3, 133, 2011.

Lambe, A. T., Ahern, A. T., Williams, L. R., Slowik, J. G., Wong, J. P. S., Abbatt, J. P. D., Brune, W. H., Ng, N. L., Wright, J. P., Croasdale, D. R., Worsnop, D. R., Davidovits, P., and Onasch, T. B.: Characterization of aerosol photooxidation flow reactors: heterogeneous oxidation, secondary organic aerosol formation and cloud condensation nuclei activity measurements, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 445-461, 10.5194/amt-4-445-2011, 2011.

20. Lambe, A. T., Cappa, C. D., Massoli, P., Onasch, T. B., Forestieri, S. D., Martin, A. T., Cummings, M. J., Croasdale, D. R., Brune, W. H., and Worsnop, D. R.: Relationship between oxidation level and optical properties of secondary organic aerosol, <u>Environ. Sci. Technol.</u>, 47, 6349-6357, 2013.

455 21. Lambe, A. T., Wood, E. C., Krechmer, J. E., Majluf, F., Williams, L. R., Croteau, P. L., Cirtog, M., Féron, A., Petit, J.-E., Albinet, A., Jimenez, J. L., and Peng, Z.: Nitrate radical generation via continuous generation of dinitrogen pentoxide in a laminar Formatted: Subscript Formatted: Subscript

- flow reactor coupled to an oxidation flow reactor, <u>Atmos. Meas. Tech.</u>, 13, 2397-2411, 10.5194/amt-13-2397-2020, 2020.
- 22. Laskin, A., Laskin, J., and Nizkorodov, S. A.: Chemistry of atmospheric brown carbon, <u>Chem. Rev.</u>, 115, 4305-4382, 2015.
- 23. Lewis, K., Arnott, W. P., Moosmüller, H., and Wold, C. E.: Strong spectral variation of biomass smoke light absorption and single scattering albedo observed with a novel dual-wavelength photoacoustic instrument, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 113, 2008.
- 24. Li, C., He, Q., Hettiyadura, A. P. S., Käfer, U., Shmul, G., Meidan, D., Zimmermann, R., Brown, S. S., George, C., Laskin, A., and Rudich, Y.: Formation of Secondary Brown Carbon in Biomass Burning Aerosol Proxies through NO₃ Radical Reactions, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 1395-1405, 10.1021/acs.est.9b05641, 2019.
- 25. Li, C., He, Q., Fang, Z., Brown, S. S., Laskin, A., Cohen, S. R., and Rudich, Y.: Laboratory Insights into the Diel Cycle of Optical and Chemical Transformations of Biomass Burning Brown Carbon Aerosols, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 11827-11837, 10.1021/acs.est.0c04310, 2020.
- 470 26. Li, Q., Jacob, D. J., Bey, I., Yantosca, R. M., Zhao, Y., Kondo, Y., and Notholt, J.: Atmospheric hydrogen cyanide (HCN): Biomass burning source, ocean sink?, <u>Geophys. Res. Lett.</u>, 27, 357-360, 10.1029/1999gl010935, 2000.
- Mao, J., Ren, X., Brune, W. H., Olson, J. R., Crawford, J. H., Fried, A., Huey, L. G., Cohen, R. C., Heikes, B., Singh, H. B., Blake, D. R., Sachse, G. W., Diskin, G. S., Hall, S. R., and Shetter, R. E.: Airborne measurement of OH reactivity during INTEX-B, <u>Atmos. Chem. Phys.</u>, 9, 163-173, 10.5194/acp-9-163-2009, 2009.
- 28. McClure, C. D., and Jaffe, D. A.: US particulate matter air quality improves except in wildfire-prone areas, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S. A., 115, 7901-7906, 10.1073/pnas.1804353115, 2018.

29. McManus, J. B., Zahniser, M. S., and Nelson, D. D.: Dual quantum cascade laser trace gas instrument with astigmatic Herriott cell at high pass number, Appl. Opt., 50, A74-A85, 10.1364/AO.50.000A74, 2011a.

- 480 <u>30.</u> McManus, J. B., Zahniser, M. S., Nelson, D. D., McGovern, R. M., Agnese, M., and Brown, W. F.: Compact Quantum Cascade Laser Instrument for High Precision Trace Gas Measurements, in: OSA Technical Digest (CD), Renewable Energy and the Environment, Austin, Texas, 2011b, EThC2,
- 31. McMurry, P. H., and Grosjean, D.: Gas and aerosol wall losses in Teflon film smog chambers, Environ. Sci. Technol., 19, 1176-1182, 10.1021/es00142a006, 1985.
- 32. Mitroo, D., Sun, Y., Combest, D., Kumar, P., and Williams, B.: Assessing the degree of plug flow in oxidation flow reactors (OFRs): a study on a potential aerosol mass (PAM) reactor, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 1741-1756, 10.5194/amt-11-1741-2018, 2018.
 33. Moosmüller, H., and Arnott, W. P.: Angular truncation errors in integrating nephelometry, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 74, 3492-3501, 10.1063/1.1581355, 2003.
- 34. Murphy, D. M., Cziczo, D. J., Froyd, K. D., Hudson, P. K., Matthew, B. M., Middlebrook, A. M., Peltier, R. E., Sullivan, A., Thomson, D. S., and Weber, R. J.: Single-particle mass spectrometry of tropospheric aerosol particles, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 111, 10.1029/2006jd007340, 2006.
 35. Onasch, T. B., Trimborn, A., Fortner, E. C., Jayne, J. T., Kok, G. L., Williams, L. R., Davidovits, P., and Worsnop, D. R.:
- Soot Particle Aerosol Mass Spectrometer: Development, Validation, and Initial Application, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 46, 804-817, 10.1080/02786826.2012.663948, 2012.
 36. Ortega, A. M., Day, D. A., Cubison, M. J., Brune, W. H., Bon, D., de Gouw, J. A., and Jimenez, J. L.: Secondary organic
- 495 50. Ortega, A. M., Day, D. A., Cubison, M. J., Brune, W. H., Bon, D., de Gouw, J. A., and Jimenez, J. L.: Secondary organic aerosol formation and primary organic aerosol oxidation from biomass-burning smoke in a flow reactor during FLAME-3, <u>Atmos. Chem. Phys.</u>, 13, 11551-11571, 10.5194/acp-13-11551-2013, 2013.
- 37. Palm, B. B., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Ortega, A. M., Fry, J. L., Brown, S. S., Zarzana, K. J., Dube, W., Wagner, N. L., Draper, D. C., Kaser, L., Jud, W., Karl, T., Hansel, A., Gutiérrez-Montes, C., and Jimenez, J. L.: Secondary organic aerosol formation from in situ OH, O₂, and NO₃ oxidation of ambient forest air in an oxidation flow reactor, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 5331-5354, 10.5194/acp-17-5331-2017, 2017.
- 38. Pierce, J. R., Engelhart, G. J., Hildebrandt, L., Weitkamp, E. A., Pathak, R. K., Donahue, N. M., Robinson, A. L., Adams, P. J., and Pandis, S. N.: Constraining Particle Evolution from Wall Losses, Coagulation, and Condensation-Evaporation in Smog-Chamber Experiments: Optimal Estimation Based on Size Distribution Measurements, <u>Aerosol</u> Sci. Technol., 42, 1001-1015, 10.1080/02786820802389251, 2008.
- <u>39.</u> Pósfai, M., Gelencsér, A., Simonics, R., Arató, K., Li, J., Hobbs, P. V., and Buseck, P. R.: Atmospheric tar balls: Particles from biomass and biofuel burning, <u>J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.</u>, 109, 2004.
 <u>40. Riccardi, C. L., Ottmar, R. D., Sandberg, D. V., Andreu, A., Elman, E., Kopper, K. and Long, J.: The fuelbed: a key element</u>

of the Fuel Characteristic Classification System, Can. J. For. Res. 37, 2394-2412, 10.1139/X07-143, 2007.
 41. Rowe, J. P., Lambe, A. T., and Brune, W. H.: Technical Note: Effect of varying the λ = 185 and 254 nm photon flux ratio on radical generation in oxidation flow reactors, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 2020, 1-15, 10.5194/acp-2020-642, 2020.
 42. Sedlacek, A. J., Onasch, T. B., Nichman, L., Lewis, E. R., Davidovits, P., Freedman, A., and Williams, L.: Formation of

refractory black carbon by SP2-induced charring of organic aerosol, Aerosol Sci. & Tech, 52:12, 1345-1350, DOI: 10.1080/02786826.2018.1531107, 2018.

515 <u>43.</u> Stein, A. F., Draxler, R. R., Rolph, G. D., Stunder, B. J. B., Cohen, M. D., and Ngan, F.: NOAA's HYSPLIT Atmospheric Transport and Dispersion Modeling System, <u>Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.</u>, 96, 2059-2077, 10.1175/bams-d-14-00110.1, 2016. Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Font color: Auto

44. Stephens, M., Turner, N., and Sandberg, J.: Particle identification by laser-induced incandescence in a solid-state laser cavity, Appl. Opt., 42, 3726-3736, 10.1364/AO.42.003726, 2003.

[520 45. Sumlin, Benjamin (2021), "OH and NO₃ Oxidation Data from FIREX-AQ Ground Experiments", Mendeley Data, V1, doi: 10.17632/5mr43vbks3.1.

46. Sumlin, B. J., Pandey, A., Walker, M. J., Pattison, R. S., Williams, B. J., and Chakrabarty, R. K.: Atmospheric photooxidation diminishes light absorption by primary brown carbon aerosol from biomass burning, Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett., 4, 540-545, 2017a.

525 42. Sumlin, B. J., Pandey, A., Walker, M. J., Pattison, R. S., Williams, B. J., and Chakrabarty, R. K.: Atmospheric Photooxidation Diminishes Light Absorption by Primary Brown Carbon Aerosol from Biomass Burning, Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett., 4, 540, 2017b.

 48. Sumlin, B. J., Heinson, Y. W., Shetty, N., Pandey, A., Pattison, R. S., Baker, S., Hao, W. M., and Chakrabarty, R. K.: UV– Vis–IR spectral complex refractive indices and optical properties of brown carbon aerosol from biomass burning, <u>J. Quant.</u> Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 206, 392-398, 2018a.

- 49. Sumlin, B. J., Oxford, C. R., Seo, B., Pattison, R. R., Williams, B. J., and Chakrabarty, R. K.: Density and Homogeneous Internal Composition of Primary Brown Carbon Aerosol, <u>Environ. Sci. Technol.</u>, 52, 3982-3989, 10.1021/acs.est.8b00093, 2018b.
- 50. Varma, R., Moosmüller, H., and Arnott, W. P.: Toward an ideal integrating nephelometer, <u>Opt. Lett.</u>, 28, 1007, 10.1364/ol.28.001007, 2003.
- 51. Wang, N., Jorga, S. D., Pierce, J. R., Donahue, N. M., and Pandis, S. N.: Particle wall-loss correction methods in smog chamber experiments, <u>Atmos. Meas. Tech.</u>, 11, 6577-6588, 10.5194/amt-11-6577-2018, 2018.

52. Warneke, C. S., Joshua; Ryerson, Tom; Crawford, James; Dibb, Jack; Lefer, Barry; Roberts, James; Trainer, Michael;
 Murphy, Daniel; Brown, Steven; Brewer, Alan; Gao, Ru-Shan; Fahey, David: Fire Influence on Regional to Global Environments and Air Quality (FIREX-AQ), in preparation.

- 53. Xie, M., Chen, X., Hays, M. D., and Holder, A. L.: Composition and light absorption of N-containing aromatic compounds in organic aerosols from laboratory biomass burning, <u>Atmos. Chem. Phys.</u>, 19, 2899-2915, 2019.
- 545 54. Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M., Allan, J., Coe, H., Ulbrich, I., Alfarra, M., Takami, A., Middlebrook, A., and Sun, Y.: Ubiquity and dominance of oxygenated species in organic aerosols in anthropogenically-influenced Northern Hemisphere midlatitudes, <u>Geophys. Res. Lett.</u>, 34, 2007.
- 55. Zhang, X., Cappa, C. D., Jathar, S. H., McVay, R. C., Ensberg, J. J., Kleeman, M. J., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Influence of vapor wall loss in laboratory chambers on yields of secondary organic aerosol, <u>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</u>
 550, 111, 5802-5807, 10.1073/pnas.1404727111, 2014.

Formatted: Subscript

Figures and Tables



Figure 1: Map of the Grand Canyon region overlaid with HCN concentration (ppb, color scale), elevation (HCN marker size, larger is higher AMSL), fire origin locations from Incident Information System (white triangles), OH_{Arizona} experiment location (white star), and fire boundary maps for 08/20/2019. Based on HYSPLIT back-trajectories, the plume for this experiment was less than one hour old, though surface concentrations of smoke were comparatively lower since the 555 nocturnal boundary layer had lifted. Background map is from USGS EarthExplorer, and fire map overlays are from the 560 National Wildfire Coordinating Group's Incident Information System (InciWeb).



Figure 2: As with Figure 2, but for NO_{3,Arizona} on 08/22/2019. Based on HYSPLIT back-trajectories, the plume for this experiment was again less than one hour old, though smoke that had settled in the valley under the nocturnal boundary layer may have been older. Background map is from USGS EarthExplorer, and fire map overlays are from the National Wildfire Coordinating Group's Incident Information System (InciWeb).



Figure 3: As with Figures 2 and 3, showing fire ignition point (white triangle), OH_{Oregon} and NO3,_{Oregon} experiment locations (white stars), and fire boundary maps for 08/26/2019. HYSPLIT back-trajectories again show the plumes for these experiments were much less than one hour old. Background map is from USGS EarthExplorer, and fire map overlays are from the National Wildfire Coordinating Group's Incident Information System (InciWeb).



Figure 4: A schematic of the general experimental setup aboard the AML showing instruments, reactors, reagents, and other hardware.



Figure 5: MAC(λ) Enhancements at 488 and 561 nm during NO_{3,Arizona} (triangles) and NO_{3,Oregon} (square and circle; the circle is partially obscured by the square). The gray dashed line is the "no enhancement" line. The Arizona experiment data shows an increasing trend in MAC(λ) with increasing equivalent age. The Oregon data on its own does, as well, but in



580 context of the Arizona data, the chemical species present in the smoke plume in Oregon appear to have been much more reactive towards NO₃·. Equivalent photochemical age is calculated from the NO₃· exposure in the OFR and assuming an average ambient NO₃· concentration of 5×10⁸ molec cm⁻³ (Atkinson et al, 1991).

Figure 6: Enhancement and depletion of ion families measured by the AMS during NO_{3'} oxidation. CHO₁N and CHO_{gtl}N, while present in lower relative abundances, showed the largest enhancement through NO_{3'} oxidation.



Figure 7: MAC(λ) Enhancements at 488 and 561 nm during OH_{Arizona} (triangles) and OH_{Oregon} (square and circle, which is partially obscured). The gray dashed line is the "no enhancement" line. The shows an initial diminishment, then subsequent increasing to decreasing trend in MAC(λ) with increasing equivalent age. Equivalent photochemical age (hours) is calculated from the OH exposure in the OFR and assuming an average ambient OH concentration of 1.5×10⁶ molec cm⁻³ (Mao et al, 2009).



Figure 8: Enhancement and depletion of ion families measured by the AMS during OH- oxidation. CHO₁N is depleted while CHO_{gt1}N is enhanced, however, both these families are present in low relative abundances which may exaggerate the enhancement ratio.

Table 1: Study-average values of mass absorption coefficients (MAC, m² g⁻¹) from Arizona and Oregon. Errors are one standard deviation.

Wavelength	Arizona MAC	Oregon MAC
 488 nm	1.93 ± 0.33	1.95 ± 0.41
 588 nm	1.71 ± 0.32	1.72 ± 0.05

600

Table 2: $E_{MAC}(488 \text{ nm})$ and $E_{MAC}(561 \text{ nm})$ for NO_{3} oxidation experiments. Asterisks denote $NO_{3,Oregon}$. All others taken during $NO_{3,Arizonn}$, and the dagger indicates O₃-only oxidation.

Equivalent nightshours	E_{MAC} at $\lambda = 488 \text{ nm}$	E_{MAC} at $\lambda = 561 \text{ nm}$
$\frac{3.2878.72}{1000} \pm 0.00$	0.99 ± 0.26	1.15 ± 0.79
$84.723.53 \pm 0.289.60$ *	1.47 ± 0.01	1.46 ± 0.01
$92.883.87 \pm 6.710.40*$	1.67 ± 0.01	1.65 ± 0.01
$4.76114.24 \pm 0.00$	1.17 ± 0.23	1.32 ± 0.66
$\frac{5.76138.24}{5.230.22}$	1.24 ± 0.22	1.39 ± 0.79
$\frac{7.67184.08}{1000} \pm \frac{0.174.03}{1000}$	1.69 ± 0.38	1.29 ± 0.84
n/a [†]	1.06 ± 0.96	1.05 ± 0.94

605

Table 3: E_{MAC}(488 nm) and E_{MAC}(561 nm) for OH· oxidation experiments. Asterisk denotes OH_{Oregon}. All others taken during OH_{Arizona}.

Equivalent dayshours	E_{MAC} at $\lambda = 488 \text{ nm}$	E_{MAC} at $\lambda = 561 \text{ nm}$
$\underline{72.503.03} \pm \underline{4.830.20}$	0.88 ± 0.02	0.94 ± 0.02
$\frac{3.7991.06}{91.06} \pm \frac{0.051.30}{91.00}$	1.05 ± 0.02	1.00 ± 0.02
$\frac{6.51156.36}{1.0625.45} \pm \frac{1.0625.45}{1.0625.45}$	1.02 ± 0.03	0.95 ± 0.02
$\frac{17.41417.86}{417.86} \pm \frac{4.0496.95}{4000}$	1.02 ± 0.03	0.97 ± 0.03
$\frac{18.27438.50}{180.86} \pm \frac{7.54180.86}{180.86}$	0.88 ± 0.02	0.99 ± 0.02
$\frac{19.52468.54}{19.52468.54} \pm \frac{3.1475.36}{19.52468}$ *	0.72 ± 0.08	0.73 ± 0.01