Annual changes of ship emissions around China under gradually promoted control policies from 2016 to 2019

Xiaotong Wang^a, Wen Yi^a, Zhaofeng Lv, Fanyuan Deng, Songxin Zheng, Hailian Xu, Junchao Zhao, Huan Liu^{*}, Kebin He

5 State Key Joint Laboratory of ESPC, School of Environment, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China Correspondence to: liu_env@tsinghua.edu.cn (H. Liu) ^aThese authors contributed equally to this work

Abstract. Ship emissions and coastal air pollution around China are expected to be alleviated with the gradually implemented of domestic ship emission control (DECA) policy. However, there is so far a lack of a comprehensive post assessment on the

- 10 ship emission response after the policy implementation. This study developed a series of high spatiotemporal ship emission inventories of China's inland rivers and the 200 Nm zone from 2016 to 2019 based on an updated Ship Emission Inventory Model (SEIM v2.0) and analysed the interannual changes of emissions under the influence of both ship activity increase and gradually promoted policy. In this model, NO_x, SO₂, PM and HC emissions from ships in China's inland rivers and the 200 Nm coastal zone were estimated in every single day with a spatial resolution of 0.05×0.05 degrees, based on a combination
- 15 of Automatic Identification System (AIS) data and the Ship Technical Specifications Database (STSD). The route restoration technology in SEIM v2.0 has greatly improved the spatial distribution of ship emissions and the river vessels (RVs) are better distinguished by using the spatial frequency distribution method. From 2016 to 2019, SO₂ and PM emissions from ships decreased by 29.6% and 26.4%, respectively, while ship NO_x emissions increased by 13.0%. Although the DECA 1.0 policy has been implemented since 2017, it was not until 2019 with the DECA 2.0 that significant emission reduction was achieved,
- 20 e.g., decreased by 33.3% from 2018 to 2019, regarding SO₂. Considering the potential emissions brought by continuous growth of maritime trade, however, an even larger emission reduction effect of 39.8% was achieved compared with the scenario without switching cleaner fuel. Containers and bulk carriers are still the dominate contributors to ship emissions, and newly-built, large ships and ships using clean fuel oil are taking an increasingly large proportion in emission structure. The four-year consecutive daily ship emissions were presented for major ports, which timely reflects the response of step-by-step DECA
- 25 policy on emissions and may provide useful references for port observation experiments and local policy making. In addition, the spatial distribution shows that a number of ships detoured outside the scope of DECA 2.0 in 2019 to save the cost on more expensive low sulfur oil, increasing emissions in farther maritime areas. The multi-year ship emission inventory provides high-quality datasets for air quality and dispersion modellings, as well as verifications for in-situ observation experiments, which may also guide further ship emission control direction in China.

30 1 Introduction

Shipping is an important anthropogenic source of air pollutants and greenhouse gases, which has come into the view of scientists and public since the end of last century (Corbett and Fischbeck, 1997; Capaldo et al., 1999; Lawrence and Crutzen, 1999). Air pollutants emitted from ships can be further transported to inland areas by the onshore flow, along with the atmospheric chemical transformation, aggravating air pollution and endangering human health (Endresen et al., 2003; Eyring

- et al., 2007; Eyring et al., 2010; Corbett et al., 2007). In the past decades, despite the improvement of global fuel quality and engine post-treatment technology, shipping emissions are still increasing driven by ever-growing maritime trade (IMO, 2020; UNCTAD, 2019). Recent study shows that global shipping emission constitutes 3% of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions in 2017 (IMO, 2020), and much more proportions for reactive gases, e.g., 20% to NO_x and 12% to SO₂ emission (McDuffie et al., 2020). China, as the largest maritime trading country, sitting on seven of the world's top ten ports with even more densely
- 40 distributed coastal ports, is meeting a more tough challenge due to the lagging emission control measurements compared to European and American countries (Mao and Rutherford, 2018b).

In recent years, numerous researchers attempted to quantify ship emissions of China and evaluate their air quality impacts. These studies suggest that ship emissions of SO₂ in China are nearly 5 times of that from road transportation (Chen et al., 2017a), and emissions within 12 nautical miles (Nm) accounts for ~ 40% of the total emissions from all ship emissions in coastal areas (Zhang et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018). The influence of coastal ships to annual average PM_{2.5} concentration (> 0.1 µg/m³) can reach as far as 960 kilometers inland in China (Lv et al., 2018). Exhaust emissions from ships contributed significantly to air pollution in major port clusters, e.g., Bohai Rim Area (BRA), Yangtze River Delta (YRD) and Pearl River Delta (PRD) regions, and the maximum increase of annual PM_{2.5} concentrations reaches up to 2 ~ 5 µg/m³, with the greatest

50 impact on YRD region (Chen et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2018; Lv et al., 2018; Feng et al., 2019). During ship-plume-influenced periods, ships can even contribute over 20% of the total PM_{2.5} concentrations in port centers, e.g., Shanghai Port, Qingdao Port (Fan et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2017b). The adverse impact brought by ship emissions also lay huge burden on human health, causing 14,500 ~ 37,500 premature deaths in a large scale of East Asia and also hundreds of that in regional areas of PRD of China (Liu et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2019).

55

Theses previous evaluations have made great efforts to support the formulation of China's domestic emission control area (DECA) initially designed for BRA, YRD and PRD and later upgraded to the whole water areas of 12 Nm from the baseline of Mainland China, where ships entering the DECA were required to switch clean fuel oil with lower sulfur content. However, theses assessments are mostly so-called "prior assessments", namely, evaluations of the cost and benefits of environmental

60 and health improvement by assuming control scenarios based on the earlier ship activities before the implementation of the policy. Under the circumstance of increasing shipping demand, synchronized by the step-by-step implementation of control measures, the "post evaluation" is of equally importance to seek the response of actual ship activities and emissions to the

policies as well as to provide powerful foundations for in-situ observation experiments (Wu et al., 2021). Although a number of studies have demonstrated the air quality benefit due to switching low sulfur oil in local port areas (Zhang et al., 2018a;

65 Zhang et al., 2019b; Zou et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2018b), there is so far a lack of a comprehensive national-scale evaluation to reflect the benefits of gradually promoted DECA policy, which is vital to guide further ship emission control direction in China.

With the advent of the big data era, characterization of ship emissions has evolved from the earliest "top-down" estimation
based on global fuel consumption (Corbett et al., 1999; Endresen et al., 2003) to the "bottom-up" model based on the big data of ship automatic identification system (AIS) (Jalkanen et al., 2009; Winther et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2016; Johansson et al., 2017; Nunes et al., 2017). The AIS-based ship emission inventories have great advantages in improving the spatial-temporal resolution for numerical simulation as well as providing possibilities of near-real-time emission estimation to meet regulatory needs (Miola and Ciuffo, 2011; Nunes et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2020). However, emission calculation methods based on big
data greatly depend on the data quality, thus demanding tedious steps for data cleaning. As AIS signal loss occurs in many cases, dealing with long-time missing AIS signals has been one of the key technical problems both for scientific research and supervision (Zhang et al., 2019c; Peng et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020). Without targeted measures, the estimated ship emissions would be spatially and temporally misallocated, thus further raise uncertainties of environmental impact assessment.

- 80 In this study, we developed the ship emission inventory (0.05° × 0.05°, daily) for the inland rivers and the 200 Nm coastal zone of China from 2016 to 2019 based on the global AIS data and the updated version of Shipping Emission Inventory Model (SEIM v2.0). The global AIS database with annually ~30 billion signals, together with Ship Technical Specifications Database (STSD) covering over 350 thousand individual vessels were combined as fundamental data for emission calculation. The technical details of upgrading the previous SEIM v1.0 to SEIM v2.0 were introduced in the Methods. Based on the multi-year
- 85 ship inventory data, the four-year consecutive daily ship emissions and structure were analyzed from the national to port level to track the variation at a fine time scale. The interannual spatial change of emissions from ocean-going vessels (OGVs), coastal vessels (CVs) and river vessels (RVs) were presented and compared. In addition, a scenario without the DECA policy was performed to evaluate the effect of China's gradually implemented DECA policy, considering the actual change of interannual ship activities. Results of this study provide high-quality emission inventory data for the further numerical 90 simulation of air quality and health benefit of ship emission reduction.
- simulation of an quarty and nearth benefit of ship emission redu

2 Methods

2.1 Ship emission inventory model (SEIM v2.0)

The SEIM model has been established in our previous work for developing multi-scale ship emission inventory with high spatial and temporal resolution, which is driven by high-frequency ship AIS data (Liu et al., 2016; Fu et al., 2017; Liu et al.,

- 95 2018). In this model, emissions were calculated based on the instantaneous operating status and power changes for each individual ship between two successive AIS signals, usually ranging from a few seconds to a few minutes. Each active ship in AIS data was dynamically matched with its technical profiles for classification and emission calculation. With high-frequency AIS signal transmit time and geographic locations, the total emissions could be ultimately aggregated by that from all ships of all time intervals in the whole year, resulting an inventory with high temporal and spatial resolution. Technical details including
- 100 the data collection and cleaning, calculation formula, emission factor (EF) adoption as well as default parameter setting of the SEIM model has been introduced in our previous studies (Liu et al., 2016; Fu et al., 2017). The general calculation formula of the SEIM model is summarized in the Supplementary Methods. Currently, SEIM considers ship emission for both air pollutants (e.g., SO₂, PM, NO_x, CO and HC) and greenhouse gases (e.g., CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O), from main engines, auxiliary engines and boilers.

To reduce the uncertainties of emission calculation, we have previously introduced several techniques in SEIM v1.0 (Liu et al., 2016): 1) a double-nested research domain was applied to reduce the boundary effects (i.e., sharp increase/decrease on the boundary when calculating the emission inventory in a defined region); 2) the Gradient Boosting Regression Tree (GBRT) method was adopted to predict missing values of ship properties; 3) the propeller law was used to calculate the instantaneous

- engine loads; 4) the 10-minute linear interval interpolation method was used to fill long-distance AIS signal gaps. These all contributed to improve the reliability of ship emission inventories. Here, we introduce a refined version of the SEIM v2.0 to describe the improvement of the model that applied for estimating annual ship emission inventory around China. The major improvements include: 1) developing a route restoration module to restore the most likely trajectory for missing AIS signals; 2) distinguishing river vessel from AIS data based on spatial frequency distribution of ship trajectories; 3) incorporating step-
- 115 by-step Chinese emission control policy with daily scale to timely reflect the actual emission level. These improvements contributed to the consistency of the model to the real world and to some extent alleviated the uncertainties in our model. But inevitably several uncertainties still exist in this model, including AIS data gap and anomaly (influenced by methodological conditions, equipment maintaining, etc.), accuracy and coverage of STSD information, accuracy of RVs, CVs and OGVs classification, route restoration algorithm, obedience of ships under DECA policy, etc.

120

Figure 1 shows the current structure and flow chart of the SEIM v2.0, which is composed of several key modules: data preprocessing, route restoration, emission calculation, policy-abutted modification and post-processing. First, the originally collected raw AIS data and ship profile data from multiple sources are combined to form a ship activity database and STSD, and the RVs will be identified based on ship trajectories. Second, a route restoration module is applied for cross-land trajectory

125 with long distance in AIS data, in which the 10-minute linear interpolation will be applied on the shorted paths instead. Third, the instantaneous emission along with movement of ship's trajectory will be calculate based on a series of extra prepared parameters and factors. Then, the policy-abutted modification will be applied for vessel entering the DECAs to switch low sulfur fuels. Finally, the ship emission inventory datasets will be established and used for visualization and analysis from

multiple perspectives. As most of the technical methods have been described in our previous work, such as GBRT methods,

130 emission calculation algorithm, extra parameter preparations, we focus on the study area definition, the latest data evaluations and the improvements of the SEIM v2.0 to introduce the technical details for developing the ship emission inventory around China.

2.2 Study area

Ships have strong spatial mobility, unlike the on-road mobile sources that mostly have fixed geographical range of activities.

- 135 Due to the complexity brought by the inconsistency of the ships' flag state, operating country and activity location, it is hard to determine the attribution country of ship emissions. In this study, the target area for developing ship emission inventory is the navigable inland rivers and the coastal waters approximately within 200 Nm away from the Chinese mainland's territorial sea baseline (hereinafter referred to as 200 Nm zone), as shown in Fig. 2. We defined the target area due to the following reasons. First, ship emissions occurred in this region are proved to have significant contribution to air pollution and human
- 140 health of China (Lv et al., 2018), thus it is reasonable to regard China as a receptor and investigate the regional air quality impact from the surrounding ships. Second, as the current DECA is limited to 12 Nm to the baseline of territorial sea, far less than proposed area of the international ECA (200 Nm), thus it is possible to provide a scientific reference for further scheme design by investigating the emission variation in the 200 Nm zone. In addition, the 200 Nm zone is the water region with the most intensive ship traffic and complex route, which is an appropriate demonstration area to test the effect of route restoration.
- 145 The study area is also generally consistent to the research scope of other AIS-based ship emission inventory of China so as to make comparison of the corresponding results.

A double-nested domain is set to calculate ship emissions and reduce the boundary effect, in which the outer domain (D1) is 0°-90°N and 90°E-140°E and the inner domain (D2) is 14°-43°N and 104°E-130°E. The spatial distribution of emissions will be retained and presented with D2 as the boundary, and the statistical results for China will be finally made for the inland river and the 200 Nm zone. Figure 2 also shows the scope of the DECA 1.0, which include three areas, namely, BRA, YRD and PRD (often called DECA 1.0), and the coastal areas of DECA 2.0, which is approximately equal to the area from the coastline to 12 Nm from the Chinese mainland's territorial sea baseline (hereinafter referred to as baseline). Meanwhile, ship emission within different coastal areas, i.e., from coastline to 12 Nm, 12-50 Nm, 50-100 Nm and 50-200 Nm from the baseline are also decomposed to investigate the spatial variation, which are also illustrated in Fig. 2.

2.3 Data pre-processing and evaluations

The global dynamic AIS data for the whole year of 2016-2019 (from January 1st to December 31st) with on average 30 billion signals per year, include both satellite-based signals and terrestrial-based signals, were collected to build a ship activity database. This database provide high-frequency information including signal time, coordinate location, navigational speed and

160 operating status, etc. As the AIS data are composed of the satellite AIS signals and the terrestrial-based AIS signals, same

messages received from multiple base stations may lead to large quantities of duplicates, especially when ships are berthing. To deal with the redundant information and compress the data size, the time spans of continuous AIS signals with their instantaneous speeds both equal to 0 and displacements less than 0.01 degree were enlarged to 10 minutes. In this way, on the premise of keeping the total operation time unchanged, the volume of the raw AIS data was reduced. After reducing, the AIS

- 165 coverage in our study area has been examined in terms of time and space (see Supplementary Methods and Fig. S1). Short period drops probably result from missing or abnormal AIS signals due to many reasons, such as disruption to satellites, equipment maintaining, data transmission fault, ships sailing beyond terrestrial station receiving range etc, which is a common phenomenon that has been pointed out by previous studies (Goldworthy et al., 2019; Johansson et al., 2017; IMO, 2020). To assure the reliability of total emissions, it's important to have whole year data instead of using several weeks and then
- 170 multiplied to annual total.

The STSD describes ship properties such as vessel type, dead weight tonnage (DWT) and engine power, designed speed, flag state, etc., which has also been updated to 2019. The extended STSD currently contains over 350,000, in which 101,638 are OGVs, which is consistent with the statistics of the United Nations (UNCTAD, 2019). Besides the ship data collected from

- 175 Lloyd's Register and the Classification Societies of various countries, we have also incorporated fishing ships and smaller ships that don't have IMO numbers from Global Fishing Watch (GFW) (Kroodsma et al., 2018). These ships were observed to be quite active along China's coast. A further introduction to the updated STSD was provided in the Supplementary Methods.
- During the emission calculation method, vessels in AIS data needs to match with their technical profiles in STSD. Detailed processing method of data collection, cleaning and matching are described in our previous works (Liu et al., 2016). Table 1 shows the statistical results of the AIS messages and active ships for different years in this study. From 2016 to 2019, an annual average of about 90,000 vessels were observed in in inland rivers and the 200 Nm zone of China, and the number of vessels showed a downward trend year by year. Figure 3 presents the statistics for dynamic activities and static technical specifications for different ships in the target region of China, after the matching of AIS and STSD. As shown in Fig. 3a, the average daily
- operating time of all vessels in Chinese waters are approximately 5.3×10^5 hours/day. Among all vessel types, the bulk carriers operate especially long time, followed by fishing ships and containers. Most vessels show constant daily operating hours except a slightly decrease in the Spring Festival. However, fishing ships drops significantly in Summer due to the fishing-off season. Figure 3b shows the cargo fleet structure from the perspectives of vessel number, total DWT and total installed power of main engines. In terms of the vessel numbers, the fishing ship accounts for the largest proportion of 42.5%, while general cargo also
- 190 accounts for 29.8%, respectively. As for total DWT, the proportion of bulk carrier reaches 49.5%, and the oil tanker also occupies a considerable proportion (23.4%). For the total power of main engines, the proportion of container (35.4%) exceeds that of the bulk carrier (28.0%), indicating a higher engine power demand per unit volume for containers. Owning to the distinct technical specifications of different ship types, the number of vessels of each type would not be linear with their DWT, power, navigation time, and thus emissions.

195 2.4 Model improvements

2.4.1 Route restoration

Even if the AIS data has high frequency to report ship activities, there are sometimes long periods of signals loss due to equipment failure or manual shutdown. This kind of signals only accounts for a minority of AIS data, but may lead to large deviation of the amount and distribution of ship emission especially in case of long operating hours. To solve this problem, a

- 200 route restoration module was developed in SEIM v2.0 to predict the most likely navigation trajectories of the lost signals and spatially reallocate ship emissions. Similar methods but with featured details has been previously experimented by Aulinger et al. (2016) on a regional scale and Johansson et al. (2017) on a global scale. Here, we referred to their method and applied to China with more refined resolution.
- 205 The ship route restoration method is based on the Dijkstra algorithm (Cherkassky et al., 1996), which interpolates the lost signals evenly on the shortest shipping route connecting two endpoints, namely, the experiential routes. Thus, a comprehensive ship route network need to be established before applying the route restoration algorithm. As the global AIS data provide massive signals of ship locations, the historical navigation trajectories for all in-service vessels are clearly visible on map. Based on the aggregated ship traffic distribution and the geographic domain of D1 in this study, the shipping route map was
- 210 drawn and split into 870 arcs connected by 656 nodes, as depicted in Fig. S1. Regarding the shipping route map as an undirected graph, by applying the Dijkstra shortest-path algorithm, the shortest route path between each node-pair can be calculated, as well as the geodesic distance aggregated by all arcs. In this way, the ship route network connected with nodes and arcs were established ahead and the shortest geodesic paths for all node-pairs were pre-stored as database to look up, so as to improve the operation efficiency.
- 215

220

Figure 4 illustrates the ship route restoration algorithm, taking a segment of AIS positions as an example. The method can be summarized as following steps: 1) For each two consecutive AIS points *A* and *B*, judge the geographical relationship between line *AB* and the continent; 2) If line *AB* intersects the continent and is not contained in the continent, apply the route restoration algorithm by firstly finding the nearest start node *A'* and end node *B'* by traversing the pre-stored node library; 3) Look up the shortest path connecting nodes *A'* and *B'* (eg., $A'O_1O_2O_iO_j \cdots B'$) from pre-stored ship route network database and calculate average speed resulted from the geodesic distance of $D_{A'O_1O_2O_iO_j\cdots B'}$ and time internal T_{AB} ; 4) For each segment O_iO_j in route *A'B'*, interpolate points p_1 , p_2 , p_m , $p_n \cdots$ with time span of 600 seconds along the O_iO_j if $T_{O_iO_j} > 600s$; 5) For each arc p_mp_n , calculate ship emissions based on average speed, instantaneous power and emission factors; 6) Calculate emissions ΣE summed from each time span along the restored route. However, as it was rather time-consuming to judge the geographical

relationship between the trajectory line and the continent polygon, an additional distance threshold of 50 km was finally added

in the model, i.e., the restoration method would only be applied for "cross-land trajectory with long distance". This setting would skip some cases when ships were sailing in the estuaries, crossing the coastlines.

2.4.2 Classification of OGV, CV and RV

In the SEIM v2.0, vessels are classified into OGVs, CVs, and RVs for emission estimation. In China, inland vessels are having an increasing number with AIS equipment installed these years. As the fuel standard for RVs are more stringent compared to OGVs, it is necessary to distinguish them from the AIS data to calculate emissions accurately. In methodology, since OGVs are mostly engaged in international trade following the management of International Maritime Organization (IMO), they are identified by meeting the condition that both valid IMO number and the Maritime Mobile Service Identify (MMSI) number are available. CVs and RVs are both domestic vessels designed to operate in rivers and coastal areas, respectively. However, in some cases, they do cross each other's navigational waters when the inland waterway system borders the coastline (Mao and Rutherford, 2018a). Thus, we identified RVs by activity frequency distribution based on the navigation trajectories for

each vessel. By defining the geographic domain of D2 in Fig. 2, vessels with more than 50% of the AIS signals throughout the whole year occurred on inland rivers are considered as RVs (Fig. 5a). This method allows the possibilities for CVs and OGVs sometimes travelling into the estuaries. Finally, vessels that are not identified by OGVs and RVs are regarded as CVs.

240

Figure 5b shows the identification results of OGVs, CVs and RVs, taking the year 2016 as an example. It is clear that the OGVs navigate between major coastal ports of China and other countries, with a few proportions entering the Yangtze River. CVs operating around the coastal seas of China, seldom contacting with other countries. RVs mostly mainly sail on the Yangtze River and Pearl River systems, with a small proportion wandering in coastal seas. The spatial distribution of AIS signals of OGVs, CVs, and RVs were basically consistent with experience, with OGVs mainly at seas, CVs near the coast and RVs in

245

inland waters.

2.4.3 Ship emission control policy

In recent years, a series of policy documents have been issued to control the air pollution from ships, among which the most effective measure is the establishment and implementation of DECA (MOT, 2015, 2018). China's DECA policy were put into

- effect step by step from 2016 to 2019. Figure 6 summarizes the evolutionary of DECA including the control area and fuel standards, as well as their comparison with international ECA. Before the global sulfur cap taking effect in 2020, the heavy fuel oil (HFO) with sulfur content as high as 3.5% has long been used in ships all over the world. In 2015, China initially established three DECAs along the coastline (DECA 1.0), covering the most densely distributed area of ports, with gradual mandates for ships to use low sulfur fuel (LSF) with sulfur content <0.5% m/m from core ports to the whole regions and from
- 255 berthing to all operating modes, in order to reduce SO₂ and PM emissions. In 2018, an upgraded DECA 2.0 was proposed to expand the region to cover the entire coastline (within 12 Nm from the Chinese mainland's territorial sea baseline, Fig. 2) in which ships are required to use LSF regardless of the operating status. In addition to fuel requirement, the DECA 2.0 policy

also defined the control requirement of NO_x emissions from ships that diesel engine above 130 kW built or modified on or after March 1, 2015 shall meet the Tier II NO_x emission limits of revised MARPOL Annex VI rules, in line with the

260 international ships under the control requirement of IMO.

Despite the mandatory implementation time of DECA, some regions where economic conditions permit were encouraged to experiment in advance. In order to timely feedback the effect of policies, a broad investigation of the actual performance of DECA was conducted, including both the coastal seas and inland rivers in 2016-2019 (Table S1). Before the mandatory date

of January 1st 2017, core ports in YRD and Shenzhen port pioneered the DECA 1.0 policy nine months and three months earlier, respectively. Core ports in YRD are supposed to implement the DECA 2.0 policy three months before fully coming into effect in January 1st 2019. Meanwhile, RVs are required to use the general diesel fuel (GDO) with much lower sulfur content, gradually iterating from 350 ppm to 10 ppm, finally keeping pace with the China V standard of on-road diesel fuel in 2018.

270

Based on the DECA policy, a policy-abutted modification module was developed in SEIM 2.0 to incorporate the actual implementation of ship emission control policies with daily scale in China. At each AIS signal point, according to the geographic location, signal time and the operating mode, the vessel will be dynamically judged whether it enters the scope of DECAs at that time and select the required fuel type and sulfur content. Then, a fuel correction factor, resulted from the

- 275 quotient of the emission factors of the switched fuel and original fuel, will be further multiplied in the emission calculation formula. The details about the emission factors regarding different fuel types were introduced in the Supplementary Methods. It is worth noting that as far as we know, there has not been sufficient evidence showing all vessels are sticking to DECAs or the violation rate each year. But there are studies indicating the effectiveness of DECAs in recent years (Liu et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2020; Zou et al., 2020). Not only have fuels been found to be cleaner (Zhang et al., 2019), but also air
- 280 pollution caused by shipping activities has been less in important ports alongside Chinese coast (Zou et al., 2020). Guaranteed by the authority of Chinese government, we assume that the DECA policy should mostly be effective, but lack of evidence about the violation of DECAs added to uncertainties in this model.

2.5 Simulation scenario setting

To comprehensively investigate the effects of gradually implemented DECA polices under the condition of growing waterway transport demand, we designed a scenario (No-DECA scenario) in SEIM v2.0, as listed in Table 2. Compared to the base

- 285 transport demand, we designed a scenario (No-DECA scenario) in SEIM v2.0, as listed in Table 2. Compared to the base scenario embedded with actual DECA policy described in section 2.4.3, the No-DECA scenario was designed to assume vessels observed in AIS data of target year not to implement the DECA policy, namely, to simulate the ship emission of China's inland waters and the 200 Nm zone supposing all active vessels continued to use fuels with sulfur content at pre-DECA level. By comparing the emission result from Base condition and No-DECA scenario, the objective emission reduction
- 290 effect of gradually implemented DECA policies could be vividly illustrated.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Overall

With the development of China's waterway transport, seaborne trade has been increasing through 2016-2019. As illustrated in Fig. 7a, Chinese ports' total passenger turnover, cargo turnover and cargo throughput remained stable rise and added by 10.9%,

295 6.8% and 17.4% in 2019 compared to 2016, respectively. Growing water transport demand stimulated ship activities and fleet loading capacities improvement, coincided with gradually implemented DECA policy and upgraded vessel engine standard, resulting in different interannual trends in ship emissions for different pollutants.

Figure 7b&c shows the annual ship emission of SO_2 and NO_x in China's inland waters and the 200 Nm zone from 2013 to

- 2019. Before the enforcement of DECA policy, ship emissions of SO₂, NO_x, PM and HC in 2016 were estimated to be 1.8×10^6 , 2.5×10^6 , 2.3×10^5 and 1.1×10^5 Mg/year, respectively. The emission results are generally higher than other AIS-based ship emission inventories of China in recent years (Table S2) (Chen et al., 2017a; Li et al., 2018; Fu et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2019). The primary reason might be that our study established a larger ship activity database based on global AIS data (~30 billion signals per year), and the incorporation of GFW database also improved the recognition of ships, especially CVs and RVs in
- 305 China. In addition, the annual increase of ship activity driven by maritime trade could also contributed to ship emission growth. Among all vessels, OGVs composed the largest part in ship emission, with a proportion of 70.4% regarding SO₂ and 59.7% regarding NO_x in 2016. Compared to a recent estimation of global ship emissions (IMO, 2020), it is striking that OGVs in the 200 Nm zone of China contributed to 9.7 ~ 14.3% of global OGV emission (Table S3), despite only <1% of the world's sea area. Such result suggests the substantial concentration of shipping emissions from global fleet around China. CVs ranked
- after OGVs, with 29.4% contribution to SO₂ emission and 27.1% to NO_x emission; while RVs' composition was relatively small, accounting for 13.2% for NO_x and <1% for SO₂. The emission shares of RV may differ from that by Li et al. (2018), considering the two major reasons. One the one hand, as we identified RVs based on spatial frequency distribution of ship trajectories in AIS, which allows vessels sometimes operating in coastal waters. Given the fact that CVs and even OGVs sail at inland waters sometimes, there exists possibilities that some CVs and OGVs are mistakenly identified as RVs. Thus, the
- 315 identified vessels of RVs might be higher than that in Li et al. (2018). One the other hand, since we applied GDOs with sulfur content up to the national standard to RVs, for which and the emission factors of SO₂ would be much lower, the emission shares of SO₂ appeared to be lower than that in Li et al., (2018), but it was opposite for NO_x and other pollutants.

During the DECA 1.0 implementation, annual ship emission of SO₂ around China increased by 1.6% in 2017 compared to 2016 and 3.8% in 2018 compared to 2017; while it dropped by 33.3% in 2019 compared to 2018 owing to extended control area and more stringent requirement. Moreover, ship SO₂ emissions in 2019 was even 2.8% lower than that in 2013 (Fu et al., 2017), indicating the benefit of more stringent DECA 2.0 policy. Through the four years, ship emissions of SO₂ and PM has decreased by 29.6% and 26.4%, respectively (Table S2). In terms of NO_x, however, emissions continuously increased year by

year, with a total increase of 13.0% from 2016 to 2019; while emissions of other pollutants also showed a gradual increase

325 trend (Table S2). Therefore, the ship DECA policy has a significant impact on reducing SO₂ and PM emission but current vessel engine emission standard only have limited influence on controlling NO_x emission. In addition, although the DECA 1.0 policy has been implemented since 2017, it was not until 2019 that significant emission reduction was achieved.

3.2 Four-year consecutive daily emission

3.2.1 Emission composition variation

- On a more refined time scale, we investigated the 5-day moving average ship SO₂ and NO_x emissions on a daily basis for the inland rivers and 200 Nm zone of China from 2016 to 2019, as shown in Fig. 8. It is evident that ship emission of SO₂ was seasonally growing in 2016-2018, until a sharp drop on1st January, 2019 due to the implementation of stringent control DECA 2.0 policy. The maximum daily ship emission of SO₂ reached 6.4×10³ Mg/day on September 22nd, 2018, 2.9 times of the lowest point, 2.2×10³ Mg/day on January 1st, 2019; while the daily discrepancy of ship NO_x emission intensity also reached 3.0 times
- throughout the four years. The monthly variation of ship emissions for most vessel types was generally constant except a temporary decrease during Spring Festival in February (Fig. 8a). However, fishing ships showed significant seasonal variations, which declined annually in summer and return in autumn due to fishing ban in China. This has also been demonstrated by other studies (Chen et al., 2017a;Fu et al., 2017).
- Figure 9 also exhibits the emission structure of SO₂ composed by vessel type and fuel type, and NO_x composed by building year and DWT. The full composition of emission contribution for all pollutants from different aspects are summarized in Table S4. Containers had been accounted for the largest part and the contribution had been increasing through the four years, e.g., from 31.7% in 2016 to 42.9% in 2019 for SO₂ (Fig. 8a). Although containers accounted for only about 3.5% of vessel number and 4.6% of operating hour in Chinese waters, their relatively higher engine power contributed to significant emission intensities compared to other ships of the same size, such as bulk carriers (Fig. 3). The HFO contributed to the majority of ship
- SO₂ emissions due to its high content of sulfur, part of which, however, was gradually being substituted by marine gas oil (MGO) with the implementation of DECA policy (Fig. 8b). In 2019, the MGO had accounted for 15.4% of the ship SO₂ emission and 38.9% of NO_x emission (Table S4). In terms of vessel build year, ships built after 2016 made an increasing contribution in annual NO_x emission, reaching 10.6% in 2019 (Fig. 8c). Even though Tier II engine standard had been applied
- to domestic ships built after 2016, ship NO_x emissions were not found to decrease as the emission standard of Tier II only has minor improvement compared to Tier I. In addition, we also found that ships with larger DWT have a growing proportion in vessel fleet as well as emission contribution (Fig. 8d), indicating the developing trend of ship upsizing in the past few years. However, even though the newly-built, large-scale ships as well as ships using clean fuel oil are all taking an increasingly large part in emission structure, the rising trend of NO_x emission is not yet reversed.

355 3.2.2 Emission variation of major ports

As it was step by step that the DECA policy was implemented in different ports in China, we extracted the 5-day moving average ship SO₂ emission of major ports in BRA, YRD and PRD to track the consecutive emission changes throughout the four years, as shown in Fig. 9. In the initial stage, restriction on fuels with no more that 0.5% sulfur content was only imposed on ships at berth for core ports in these three crucial port clusters (Fig. 2 and Table S1). Before the mandatory date of January 360 1st 2017, core ports in YRD and Shenzhen port pioneered the implementation nine months and three months earlier, respectively, which significantly showed a decrease in ship SO₂ emissions beginning from April 1st and October 1st in 2016, respectively. For other core ports in BRA and PRD, a noticeable decline could be observed on schedule on January 1st 2017. However, emission of ships at berth took a relatively smaller percentage $(7.5\% \sim 13.7\%)$ in the 200 Nm zone according to our results (Table S4), thus the emission reduction was rather conservative inside the DECA 1.0 region in 2018, even though the 365 requirement was popularized to all ports. On the contrary, owing to intensified ship activities, ship SO₂ emissions for some ports even largely increased, such as Ningbo-Zhoushan Port and Shenzhen Port, increased by 19.4% and 11.4% in 2018 compared to 2017. Fortunately, in 2019, when most rigorous DECA 2.0 policy was implemented, it is clearly illustrated in Fig. 9 that all ports' SO₂ emissions were sharply reduced. Core ports in YRD were supposed to implement the DECA 2.0 policy three months before fully coming into effect. Notably, those pilots witnessed an earlier decline in SO₂ emission, which also 370 proved the timely and flexible response of SEIM 2.0 model to the changeable DECA policy.

In addition to policy-driven emission changes, different ports showed distinct monthly emission variations highly related to their geographical location and ocean resources. For example, ship emissions in YRD region had a low point in July as their activities were influenced by typhoon particularly in YRD (Weng et al., 2020); ship emissions in Ningbo-Zhoushan Port, Tianjin Port and Shenzhen appeared to be larger in spring and autumn, probably owing to the large-scale fishing ship operation

Tianjin Port and Shenzhen appeared to be larger in spring and autumn, probably owing to the large-scale fishing ship operation (Chen et al., 2016; Yin et al., 2017). In addition, steep short-term increases in SO₂ emissions were observed for Tianjin, Ningbo Zhoushan, Shenzhen ports in September, 2019. These peaks were speculated to be due to the inaccurate vessel dynamic information in AIS signals caused by the interference of adverse weather, i.e., "Super Typhoon Mangkhut". However, more evidence is needed to verify the influence of extreme meteorological conditions on AIS signal. The above port-based emissions fully presented the daily ship emission variations for a long period from 2016 to 2019, which may also provide useful data references for port observation experiments.

3.3 Spatial distribution change

3.3.1 Evaluation of route restoration

Since the shipping route restoration module was developed in SEIM v2.0 to solve the problem of AIS discontinuity, the spatial distribution of ship emission after route restoration was evaluated, as shown in Fig. 10. Direct interpolations for AIS signals along the loxodrome would lead to part of emissions distributing on unrealistic routes, e.g., crossing the land areas, which could be even as long as connecting the South China Sea and the Bohai Sea (Fig. 10a). By using the route restoration method, the ship's navigation trajectory and emissions can be restored to more realistic shipping routes, thus reducing the deviation of the spatial distribution of emissions (Fig. 10b). Statistically, 15.3% of NO_x emissions and 7.5% of SO₂ emissions were spatially

390

0 corrected in the study area. More improvements were obtained around Taiwan island, the Korean Peninsula and the Philippine Islands, probably due to worse accessibility of high quality shore-based AIS signal. The misallocation of emission in China's land areas resulted in NO_x underestimate of up to $2 \sim 4$ Mg/grid in the downstream of Yangtze River and Pearl River, and the misallocation of emissions in water regions is more notable on shipping routes farther from the coast. This spatial improvement of ship emissions with the route restoration method is expected to improve the results of an air quality model application.

395 3.3.2 Spatial change of ship emissions

Figure 11 presents the spatial change of SO₂ and NO_x emission form ships in different coastal region defined in Fig. 2 from 2016 to 2019. Remarkably, within 12 Nm, which approximately equates to the scope of DECA 2.0 in 2019, SO₂ emission decreased by 78.8% (7.2×10^5 Mg/year) compared to 2016. Despite the year-by-year growth of seaborne trade, DECA policy effectively reduced ship-emitted SO₂ overall and especially beneficial to coastal cities. However, we discovered that SO₂ emission increased by 41.5% (1.3×10^5 Mg/year) in areas between 12-50 Nm from the baseline, especially along the 12 Nm boundary from 2016 to 2019. The proportion of ship SO₂ emission from 12-50 Nm rose from 17.5% in 2016 to 35.3% in 2019,

becoming the major spatial contributor in 2019. Emission of PM exhibited the similar pattern (Fig. S2a). This peculiar phenomenon implies the fact that some ships possibly made a detour to evade switching clean fuel oil, which could also be demonstrated by the larger growth rate in cargo turnover than throughput (Fig. 6a).

405

400

Figure 12b shows that NO_x emission from ships occurred within 12 Nm of the baseline were continuously increasing from 2016 to 2018, until it declined by 5.0% (6.4×10^4 Mg/year) in 2019 compared to the last year. Meanwhile, NO_x emissions occurred in areas between 12-50 Nm also turned to show a higher annual increase rate in 2019 (21.4%) than previous two years (7.4% ~ 8.2%). Such phenomenon once again proves the possibility of ship detour. Other species generally showed the similar emission pattern as NO_x (eg., HC in Fig. S2b). In sum, DECA 2.0 policy has a positive effect on ships' SO₂ and PM

410 similar emission pattern as NO_x (eg., HC in Fig. S2b). In sum, DECA 2.0 policy has a positive effect on ships' SO₂ and PM emissions control as a whole and especially for coastal areas. However, several ships detoured outside the scope of DECA 2.0 to save the cost on more expensive clean fuel oil, which further elongated the sailing distance and thus increased emissions in farther maritime areas.

3.3.3 Spatial changes of OGVs, CVs and RVs emissions

415 Interannual spatial change of OGVs, CVs and RVs were further compared for ship emissions of NO_x and SO₂, as shown in Fig. 12. Emission intensity of identified OGVs was apparently higher than CVs and RVs, demonstrating certain routes. The most intensive near-sea routes included China-Korea, China Mainland-Taiwan, the North Pacific Route, routes from Chinese ports to Malacca Strait and routes between busy ports of China, such as main ports in BRA, YRD and PRD (Fig. 12a). Since the main shipping routes are rather close to the land, OGVs within 12 Nm of the baseline make up for approximately 38% and

420 32% of total OGV emissions for NO_x and SO₂. From 2016 to 2019, OGV emissions were generally increasing in all regions, except SO₂ emission in 0-12 Nm showing a significant drop down due to the DECA 2.0 policy.

As for CVs, approximately 80% of NO_x emission and 70% of SO₂ emission were annually distributed mainly within 12 Nm of the baseline, and the proportions occurred outside 12 Nm were greatly reduced compared to OGVs. Despite intensive emission routes between coastal ports, notable emissions from CVs occurred more evenly distributed off the major routes (Fig.

- 12b), which were attributed to large quantities of fishing ships operating (Kroodsma et al., 2018). In the region of 0-12 Nm to the baseline, the annual SO₂ emission reduction ratio of CVs (81.0%) in 2019 was even higher than that of OGVs (76.9%), indicating that CVs were more affected by the DECA 2.0 policy.
- 430 Compared to OGVs and CVs, RVs have specific routes that were constrained by inland waterways, with the most intensive emission located on the Yangtze River and the Pearl River (Fig. 12c). Meanwhile, RVs also operate along Chinese coastal and produce a considerable proportion of emissions within 12 Nm of the baseline. With the increasingly stringent national fuel oil standards for RVs (MEE, 2018), i.e., sulfur content from 350 ppm before June 30th, 2017 to current 10ppm beginning from January 1st, 2018, SO₂ emissions from RVs had been reduced to a rather low level, both for inland rivers and coastal areas.
- 435 However, other pollutants such as NO_x emissions from RVs were still going uphill. In addition, although China has required certain categories of ships to install AIS equipment since 2010 step by step, a large part of small RVs in China have not been equipped with AIS (Zhang et al., 2017). The lack of ship activity level and highly reliable local emission factors all brings uncertainties to the emission estimation of RVs. However, air quality and human health of inland cities near waterways could be impacted severely by RVs emissions (Wang et al., 2018). Therefore, RV emissions need to be stressed and worth further
- 440 investigation.

425

3.4 Emission reduction effect of DECA policy

3.4.1 Monthly effect evaluation

Since the shipping activity increase and emission control collectively resulted in the past emission trend, we designed a scenario without DECA policy to evaluate the emission reduction effect considering the annual change of ship activities. Figure 13

- 445 illustrates the monthly ship emissions of SO₂ for base (real) case and the No-DECA scenario, which are aggregated from inland rivers and the 200 Nm zone of China. Without DECA policy, ship emissions of SO₂ were estimated to increase from 1.8×10⁶ Mg/year in 2016 to 2.1×10⁶ Mg/year in 2019, with an annual increase rate at 4.5%. Beginning from April 2016, the prior implementation of DECA 1.0 led by core ports of YRD started to see the emission reduction benefit. Since DECA 1.0, ship SO₂ emissions reduced by 4.6×10⁴, 1.1×10⁵, and 1.4×10⁵ Mg/year for 2016, 2017 and 2018, respectively, compared with No-
- 450 DECA scenario. Emissions were cut down even more remarkably in the year of 2019 owning to the expansion of DECA 2.0,

with 8.4×10^5 Mg SO₂ reduced compared to No-DECA scenario. In retrospect, although ship SO₂ emission had been reduced by 29.6% in 2019 compared to 2016 in base condition, it actually achieved a larger benefit with a reduction of 39.8% compared to the same year considering the actual seaborne trade growth and ship activity increase.

3.4.2 Annual regional contribution

- 455 So far, the implementation of DECA policy and the effect of ship emission reduction have been focused within 12 Nm of the baseline of China's territorial sea. To further investigate the regional contribution of emission changes in different regions, we finally summarized ships' activity and emissions in BRA, YRD and PRD, respectively, from 2016 to 2019, as shown in Fig. 14. Although the annual change of SO₂ emission in 2017 and 2018 was not significant, i.e., decreased by 3.9% and increased by 1.3%, respectively, during the implementation of DECA 1.0; it is undeniable that the policy had indeed effectively reduced emissions as the growth of ship activities would lead to 7.9% and 17.1% increase in emissions if without the DECA 1.0 policy.
- Moreover, YRD and BRA played a leading role in reducing ship SO₂ emission in 2017 and 2018, respectively. However, further tightened DECA 2.0 policies implemented in 2019 more effectively reduced SO₂ emissions by 78.2%, in which YRD, BRA and PRD contributed 30.1%, 20.2% and 16.2%, respectively, while other waters contributed the rest 26.7%. Therefore, even the controlling area of DECA 2.0 was enlarged to 2.5 times of DECA 1.0, the dominant regions of emission reduction
- 465 were still the three major port clusters. The primary factor driving DECA 2.0 achieving a larger amount of emission reduction is the fuel switching regulation for all operating status of ships sailing in the region rather than limiting only berthing status in DECA 1.0.

4 Conclusion and policy implication

4.1 Conclusion

- 470 The DECA policy had effectively reduced SO₂ and PM emissions from ships in sea areas around China from 2016 to 2019. Although the preliminary DECA 1.0 policy targeting mainly on berthing ships only had limited effects on ship-emitted SO₂ and PM, the DECA 2.0 policy, tightening its limitation by putting ships in all operating status under control and expanded the control areas from major ports to 12 Nm from the Chinese mainland's territorial sea baseline, resulted in significant emission reduction. As a result, SO₂ and PM emissions from ships decreased by 29.6% and 26.4%, respectively, in 200 Nm zone of
- 475 China in 2019 compared to 2016. Considering the potential emissions brought by continuous growth of maritime trade, a more substantial benefit was even achieved, e.g., an emission reduction of 39.8% regarding SO₂ in 2019 compared with the scenario without any emission control policy. However, NO_x emissions from ships increased by 13.0% throughout the four years, indicating the limited effect of current control standard.
- 480 Based on a four-year consecutive daily emission analysis, it is noticeable that the ship emission structure had been gradually changing, i.e., newly built, large ships and ships using clean fuel oil were taking an increasingly large proportion in emission

structure. Containers and bulk carriers were still the dominant vessel type in ship emission composition. On a local scale, ship emissions in various ports exhibited different patterns in terms of daily variation. For example, ports in YRD were likely to encounter typhoon in July and fishing ships were particularly abundant in BRA. Relevant findings may help provide useful data references for port observation experiments and local policy making.

485

490

The interannual spatial change of ship emissions also showed new characteristics. Through contrasting ship emissions within different distance from Chinese coastal baseline, we discovered that in 2019, a number of ships detoured outside the scope of DECA 2.0. However, this elongated the sailing distance and resulted in more air pollutant emissions. This reminds us to pay attention to additional environmental effects brought by detouring ships during the continuous implementation of DECA 2.0 policy. In addition, the route restoration method developed in SEIM v2.0 effectively restored ship's navigation trajectory and emissions to more realistic shipping routes, thus reducing the deviation of the spatial distribution of emissions and could be expected to reduce uncertainties in the air quality model.

4.2 Policy implication

- 495 Compared to the increasingly strict emission control policies of land-based sources and improving air quality in China, policies and regulations for the prevention and control of ship emissions would be more urgent to facilitate China's air quality to achieve the annual PM_{2.5} concentration standard of World Health Organization (WHO) Air Quality Guidelines (Wang et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2019a). Although the current emission policy has achieved significant control effect on SO₂ and PM emission, under the global low sulfur oil demand, China still needs to further apply for international ECA to enlarge the control
- 500 area and strengthen the requirements for fuel quality. In order to make a comprehensive evaluation and in-depth improvement of the policy, attention is also needed during the design process of ECA scheme, such as the corresponding impact of ship detour and further expand DECA 2.0 so as to enlarge the reduction effects within 200 Nm zone. Meanwhile, the international cooperation is also urgently called for to jointly control ship emissions due to ships' strong spatial mobility and the intricate relations between the state of registration, ship owner and actual operator. With the gradual cleaning of marine fuel and the
- 505 obsolescence of HFO, ship emissions of SO_2 and PM will be effectively mitigated in the near future. However, ship NO_x emissions are still expected to increase until the gradual elimination of old ships and iteration of more stringent Tier III standard for newly built ships. Other related factors, such as engine type, NO_x post-treatment technology etc. should be taken into consideration in the future. For local decision makers, it is also important to make clear the local ship emission structure and meteorological conditions in order to conduct effective measures.
- 510

Data availability

The AIS data and STSD are restricted to the third party and used under license for the current study.

Code availability

515 Python codes used during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos. 42061130213 and 41822505). H.L. is supported by the Royal Society of UK through Newton Advanced Fellowship (NAF\R1\201166).

520

Author contributions

XW and WY contributed equally. XW extended the SEIM model and did the model runs. WY did the data analysis. XW and WY are responsible for writing the manuscript and figures and tables presented in this paper. ZL and FD provided valuable ideas on data analysis of this research. SZ and HX helped collect and clean the ship data. JZ assisted in the model development

525 work. HL and KH provided guidance on the research and revised the paper. All authors contribute to the discussion and revision.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

530

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at online resources. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.L.

References

National Bureau of Statistics of China (NBS): China Statistical Yearbook, China Statistics Press, 2020.

- Capaldo, K., Corbett, J. J., Kasibhatla, P., Fischbeck, P., and Pandis, S. N.: Effects of ship emissions on sulphur cycling and
 radiative climate forcing over the ocean, Nature, 400, 743-746, 10.1038/23438, 1999.
- Chen, C., Saikawa, E., Comer, B., Mao, X., and Rutherford, D.: Ship Emission Impacts on Air Quality and Human Health in the Pearl River Delta (PRD) Region, China, in 2015, With Projections to 2030, GeoHealth, 2019.
- Chen, D., Zhao, Y., Nelson, P., Li, Y., Wang, X., Zhou, Y., Lang, J., and Guo, X.: Estimating ship emissions based on AIS data for port of Tianjin, China, Atmospheric Environment, 145, 10-18, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.08.086</u>, 2016.
 - Chen, D., Wang, X., Li, Y., Lang, J., and Zhao, Y.: High-spatiotemporal-resolution ship emission inventory of China based on AIS data in 2014, Science of The Total Environment, 609, 776-787, 2017a.
- Chen, D., Wang, X., Nelson, P., Li, Y., Zhao, N., Zhao, Y., Lang, J., Zhou, Y., and Guo, X.: Ship emission inventory and its impact on the PM 2.5 air pollution in Qingdao Port, North China, Atmospheric Environment, 166, 351-361, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.07.021, 2017b.
- Chen, D., Zhao, N., Lang, J., Zhou, Y., Wang, X., Li, Y., Zhao, Y., and Guo, X.: Contribution of ship emissions to the concentration of PM2.5: A comprehensive study using AIS data and WRF/Chem model in Bohai Rim Region, China, The Science of the total environment, 610-611, 1476, 2018.
- Cherkassky, B. V., Goldberg, A. V., and Radzik, T.: Shortest paths algorithms: Theory and experimental evaluation, Mathematical Programming, 73, 129-174, 10.1007/BF02592101, 1996.
 - Corbett, J. J., and Fischbeck, P.: Emissions from Ships, Science, 278, p.823-824, 1997.
 Corbett, J. J., Fischbeck, P. S., and Pandis, S. N.: Global nitrogen and sulfur inventories for oceangoing ships, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 104, 3457-3470, https://doi.org/10.1029/1998JD100040, 1999.
- Corbett, J. J., Winebrake, J. J., Green, E. H., Kasibhatla, P., Eyring, V., and Lauer, A.: Mortality from ship emissions: a global assessment, Environmental Science & Technology, 41, 8512–8518, 2007.
- Endresen, Ø., Sørgård, E., Sundet, J. K., Dalsøren, S. B., Isaksen, I. S. A., Berglen, T. F., and Gravir, G.: Emission from international sea transportation and environmental impact, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 108, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002898</u>, 2003.
- Eyring, V., Stevenson, D. S., Lauer, A., Dentener, F. J., Butler, T., Collins, W. J., Ellingsen, K., Gauss, M., Hauglustaine, D.
- 565 A., Isaksen, I. S. A., Lawrence, M. G., Richter, A., Rodriguez, J. M., Sanderson, M., Strahan, S. E., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., van Noije, T. P. C., and Wild, O.: Multi-model simulations of the impact of international shipping on Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate in 2000 and 2030, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 757-780, 10.5194/acp-7-757-2007, 2007.
- Eyring, V., Isaksen, I. S. A., Berntsen, T., Collins, W. J., Corbett, J. J., Endresen, O., Grainger, R. G., Moldanova, J., Schlager, H., and Stevenson, D. S.: Transport impacts on atmosphere and climate: Shipping, Atmospheric Environment, 44, 4735-4771, 2010.
- Feng, J., Zhang, Y., Li, S., Mao, J., Patton, A. P., Zhou, Y., Ma, W., Liu, C., Kan, H., Huang, C., An, J., Li, L., Shen, Y., Fu, Q., Wang, X., Liu, J., Wang, S., Ding, D., Cheng, J., Ge, W., Zhu, H., and Walker, K.: The influence of spatiality on shipping emissions, air quality and potential human exposure in the Yangtze River Delta/Shanghai, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 6167-6183, 10.5194/acp-19-6167-2019, 2019.
- 575 Fu, H., and Chen, J.: Formation, features and controlling strategies of severe haze-fog pollutions in China, Science of The Total Environment, 578, 121-138, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.201</u>, 2017.
 - Fu, M., Liu, H., Jin, X., and He, K.: National- to port-level inventories of shipping emissions in China, Environmental Research Letters, 12, 114024, 10.1088/1748-9326/aa897a, 2017.
- Goldsworthy, B., Enshaei, H., and Jayasinghe, S.: Comparison of large-scale ship exhaust emissions across multiple resolutions: From annual to hourly data, Atmospheric Environment, 214, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.116829, 2019.
 - Huang, L., Wen, Y., Zhang, Y., Zhou, C., Zhang, F., and Yang, T.: Dynamic calculation of ship exhaust emissions based on real-time AIS data, Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 80, 102277, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2020.102277</u>, 2020.

- 585 Huang, Z., Wang, H., Tang, Y., Peng, D., and Ma, D.: Emission Prediction of Marine for 2030 in China, Vehicle Emission Control Center (VECC), Beijing, 60pp, 2019.
 - IMO: Fourth IMO GHG Study Final Report, CE Delft, 2020.
- Jalkanen, J. P., Brink, A., Kalli, J., Pettersson, H., Kukkonen, J., and Stipa, T.: A modelling system for the exhaust emissions of marine traffic and its application in the Baltic Sea area, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 9209-9223, 10.5194/acp-9-9209-2009, 2009.
 - Johansson, L., Jalkanen, J.-P., and Kukkonen, J.: Global assessment of shipping emissions in 2015 on a high spatial and temporal resolution, Atmospheric Environment, 167, 403-415, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.08.042</u>, 2017.
 - Kroodsma, D. A., Mayorga, J., Hochberg, T., Miller, N. A., Boerder, K., Ferretti, F., Wilson, A., Bergman, B., White, T. D., Block, B. A., Woods, P., Sullivan, B., Costello, C., and Worm, B.: Tracking the global footprint of fisheries, Science,
- 595 359, 904, 10.1126/science.aao5646, 2018.

- Lawrence, M. G., and Crutzen, P. J.: Influence of NO x emissions from ships on tropospheric photochemistry and climate, Nature, 402, 167-170, 10.1038/46013, 1999.
- Li, C., Borken-Kleefeld, J., Zheng, J., Yuan, Z., Ou, J., Li, Y., Wang, Y., and Xu, Y.: Decadal evolution of ship emissions in China from 2004 to 2013 by using an integrated AIS-based approach and projection to 2040, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 6075-6093, 10.5194/acp-18-6075-2018, 2018.
- Liu, H., Fu, M., Jin, X., Shang, Y., Shindell, D., Faluvegi, G., Shindell, C., and He, K.: Health and climate impacts of oceangoing vessels in East Asia, Nature Climate Change, 2016.
 - Liu, H., Jin, X., Wu, L., Wang, X., Fu, M., Lv, Z., Morawska, L., Huang, F., and He, K.: The impact of marine shipping and its DECA control on air quality in the Pearl River Delta, China, Science of the Total Environment, 625, 1476-1485, 2018.
- 605 Lv, Z., Liu, H., Ying, Q., Fu, M., Meng, Z., Wang, Y., Wei, W., Gong, H., and He, K.: Impacts of shipping emissions on PM2.5 pollution in China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 15811-15824, 10.5194/acp-18-15811-2018, 2018.
 - Mao, X., and Rutherford, D.: NOX emissions from merchant vessels in coastal China: 2015 and 2030, International Council on Clean Transportation, Washington, USA, 12pp, 2018a.
- Mao, X., and Rutherford, D.: Delimitation of China's emission control zone: Potential impact of vessel diversions on emissions,
 International Council on Clean Transportation, Washington, USA, 33pp, 2018b.
- McDuffie, E. E., Smith, S. J., O'Rourke, P., Tibrewal, K., Venkataraman, C., Marais, E. A., Zheng, B., Crippa, M., Brauer, M., and Martin, R. V.: A global anthropogenic emission inventory of atmospheric pollutants from sector- and fuel-specific sources (1970–2017): an application of the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS), Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 12, 3413-3442, 10.5194/essd-12-3413-2020, 2020.
- 615 Ministry of ecological environment of the people's Republic of China (MEE), Law of the People's Republic of China on the Prevention and Control of Atmospheric Pollution, 2018. http://www.mee.gov.cn/ywgz/fgbz/fl/201811/t20181113 673567.shtml
 - Miola, A., and Ciuffo, B.: Estimating air emissions from ships: Meta-analysis of modelling approaches and available data sources, Atmospheric Environment, 45, 2242-2251, 2011.
- 620 Ministry of Transport (MOT), Notice of the Ministry of Transport on printing and distributing the implementation plan of ship emission control zone in the waters of Pearl River Delta, Yangtze River Delta and Bohai Rim (Beijing Tianjin Hebei), 2015. <u>http://xxgk.mot.gov.cn/2020/jigou/haishi/202006/t20200630_3319179.html</u>
 - Ministry of Transport (MOT), Notice of the Ministry of Transport on printing and distributing the implementation plan for the control area of air pollutant emission from ships, 2018. http://www.mot.gov.cn/xiazaizhongxin/ziliaoxiazai/201901/P020200709632649953259.pdf
- 625 http://www.mot.gov.cn/xiazaizhongxin/ziliaoxiazai/201901/P020200709632649953259.pdf Nunes, R. A. O., Alvim-Ferraz, M. C. M., Martins, F. G., and Sousa, S. I. V.: The activity-based methodology to assess ship emissions - A review, Environmental Pollution, 231, 87-103, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.07.099</u>, 2017.
- Peng, X., Wen, Y., Wu, L., Xiao, C., Zhou, C., and Han, D.: A sampling method for calculating regional ship emission inventories, Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 89, 102617, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2020.102617, 2020.
 - UNCTAD: Review of Maritime Transport 2019, United Nations, New York, 2019.
 - Wang, H., He, X., Liang, X., Choma, E. F., Liu, Y., Shan, L., Zheng, H., Zhang, S., Nielsen, C. P., Wang, S., Wu, Y., and Evans, J. S.: Health benefits of on-road transportation pollution control programs in China, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117, 25370, 10.1073/pnas.1921271117, 2020.

- 635 Wang, Y., Li, C., Huang, Z., Yin, X., Ye, X., Wang, X., and Zheng, J.: Impact of ship emissions on air quality over Chinese waters in 2013, Acta Scientiae Circumstantiae, 38, 2157-2166, 10.13671/j.hjkxxb.2018.0139, 2018.
 - Weng, J., Shi, K., Gan, X., Li, G., and Huang, Z.: Ship emission estimation with high spatial-temporal resolution in the Yangtze River estuary using AIS data, Journal of Cleaner Production, 248, 119297, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119297</u>, 2020.
- 640 Winther, M., Christensen, J. H., Plejdrup, M. S., Ravn, E. S., Eriksson, Ó. F., and Kristensen, H. O.: Emission inventories for ships in the arctic based on satellite sampled AIS data, Atmospheric Environment, 91, 1-14, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.03.006</u>, 2014.
 - Wu, Y., Liu, D., Wang, X., Li, S., Zhang, J., Qiu, H., Ding, S., Hu, K., Li, W., Tian, P., Liu, Q., Zhao, D., Ma, E., Chen, M., Xu, H., Ouyang, B., Chen, Y., Kong, S., Ge, X., and Liu, H.: Ambient marine shipping emissions determined by vessel operation mode along the East China Sea Science of The Total Environment 769, 144713
- 645 operation mode along the East China Sea, Science of The Total Environment, 769, 144713, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144713, 2021.
 - Yin, P., Huang, Z., Zheng, D., Wang, X., Tian, X., Zheng, J., and Zhang, Y.: Marine vessel emission and its temporal and spatial distribution characteristics in Ningbo-Zhoushan Port, China Environmental Science, 37, 27-37, 2017.
- Zhang, Q., Zheng, Y., Tong, D., Shao, M., Wang, S., Zhang, Y., Xu, X., Wang, J., He, H., Liu, W., Ding, Y., Lei, Y., Li, J., Wang, Z., Zhang, X., Wang, Y., Cheng, J., Liu, Y., Shi, Q., Yan, L., Geng, G., Hong, C., Li, M., Liu, F., Zheng, B., Cao, J., Ding, A., Gao, J., Fu, Q., Huo, J., Liu, B., Liu, Z., Yang, F., He, K., and Hao, J.: Drivers of improved PM2.5 air quality in China from 2013 to 2017, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116, 24463, 10.1073/pnas.1907956116, 2019a.
- Zhang, X., Zhang, Y., Liu, Y., Zhao, J., Zhou, Y., Wang, X., Yang, X., Zou, Z., Zhang, C., Fu, Q., Xu, J., Gao, W., Li, N., and
 Chen, J.: Changes in the SO2 Level and PM2.5 Components in Shanghai Driven by Implementing the Ship Emission
 Control Policy, Environmental Science & Technology, 53, 11580-11587, 10.1021/acs.est.9b03315, 2019b.
 - Zhang, Y., Yang, X., Brown, R., Yang, L., Morawska, L., Ristovski, Z., Fu, Q., and Huang, C.: Shipping emissions and their impacts on air quality in China, Science of The Total Environment, 581-582, 186-198, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.12.098</u>, 2017.
- 660 Zhang, Y., Deng, F., Man, H., Fu, M., and Liu, H.: Compliance and port air quality features of ship fuel switching regulation: by a field observation SEISO-Bohai, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 1-28, 2018a.
 - Zhang, Y., Loh, C., Louie, P. K. K., Liu, H., and Lau, A. K. H.: The roles of scientific research and stakeholder engagement for evidence-based policy formulation on shipping emissions control in Hong Kong, Journal of Environmental Management, 223, 49-56, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.06.008</u>, 2018b.
- 665 Zhang, Y., Fung, J. C. H., Chan, J. W. M., and Lau, A. K. H.: The significance of incorporating unidentified vessels into AISbased ship emission inventory, Atmospheric Environment, 203, 102-113, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.12.055</u>, 2019c.
 - Zhang, Z., Huang, Z., Xu, Y., Chen, W., Huang, L., Bai, L., Huang, J., Zheng, J., and Yan, M.: Ship emissions spatial characterization improved method and application based on AIS trajectory restoration, Acta Scientiae Circumstantiae, 40, 1931-1942, 2020.
 - Zou, Z., Zhao, J., Zhang, C., Zhang, Y., and Zhou, B.: Effects of cleaner ship fuels on air quality and implications for future policy: A case study of Chongming Ecological Island in China, Journal of Cleaner Production, 267, 122088, 2020.



Figure 1: Structure and flow chart of SEIM v2.0. The STSD stands for the ship technical specification database. The GBRT stands for the Gradient Boosting Regression Tree. The LLAF stands for the low load adjust factors.



Figure 2: Definition of the study area for ship emission estimation around China. The double-nested domain is used to filter global AIS data and reduce the boundary effect. The distances in the map all refers to the distance from the baseline of the Chinese mainland's territorial waters. The 200 Nm zone is the coastal area approximately within 200 Nm away from the baseline, which is further divided to different geographic regions according to the distance lines.



Figure 3: Vessel dynamic and static information statistics. (a) Daily average operating hour averaged for 2016-2019. (b) Vessel fleet compositions from different aspects.



Figure 4: Diagrammatic sketch of the ship route restoration algorithm. (a) Sketch map of the route restoration algorithm with an example of route AB. (b) Algorithm flow chart of the example of route AB.





Figure 5: Identification test and results of OGV, CV and RV. (a) Frequency test of ships in inland waterways. (b) Spatial distribution results of AIS signals of OGVs, CVs and RVs. The sample year is 2016.



Figure 6: Evolution of sulfur content requirements for fuels in DECAs and inland rivers in China. The percentages refer to the sulfur content of the fuel. The italics refer to the operating mode constrained by DECA policy. The y-axis is unevenly distributed to show the standard of fuel sulfur content.



Figure 7: Annual changes of (a) seaborne trade and ship emissions of (b) SO_2 and (c) NO_x from 2016 to 2019. Data in (a) are collected from Chinese Statistical Yearbook (NBS, 2020). Emissions of 2013 are derived from our previous work for comparison (Fu et al., 2017).



Figure 8: The 5-day moving average of SO₂ and NO_x emissions from ships around China from 2016 to 2019. Ship SO₂ emission 715 composition of (a) vessel type, (b) fuel type, and ship NO_x emission composition of (c) vessel build period and (d) dead weight tonnage (DWT).



Figure 9: The 5-day moving average of SO₂ emission from ships in major ports of China from 2016 to 2019. (a) Bohai Sea Area (BRA), (b) Yangtze River Delta (YRD), and (c) Pearl River Delta (PRD). The blue and red arrows mark the actual implementation dates of DECA 1.0 and DECA 2.0 policies, respectively.



Figure 10: Evaluation of estimating ship NO_x emission in China after route restoration. (a) Emission without route restoration. (b) Emission with route restoration. (c) Spatial difference of emission (a-b). (d) Spatially change rate of emission, i.e., (a-b)/a. The selected year is 2016.



Figure 11: Spatial distribution changes of SO₂ and NO_x emissions from ships over China in 2019 compared to 2016. The stacked bar plots indicate the annual emission occurred at different distances off the coastline from 2016 to 2019. The "C-12 Nm" in the legend refers to the area from the coastline to 12 Nm from the baseline of the territorial sea (the same below), which is approximately equal to the scope of DECA 2.0.

15°N

105°E

0.0

130°E

100-200 Nm 100

120°E

10

2016 2017 2018 2019

125°E

0.0

130°E

150

200

110°E

115°E

15°N-

105°E

200 Nm

110°E

115°E

100-200 Nm

ho

120°E

18

2016 2017 2018 2019

125°E



Figure 12: Interannual spatial change of NO_x and SO_2 emissions from ships over China from 2016 to 2019. Annual average spatial distribution comparison of NO_x emission for (a) OGVs, (b) CVs and (c) RVs. Interannual variations of NO_x and SO_2 emission in different geographic regions for (d) OGVs, (e) CVs and (f) RVs.



745 Figure 13: Monthly variation of ship SO₂ emissions in inland rivers and the 200 Nm zone of China under Base and No-DECA scenarios in 2016-2019. The Base condition refer to the real condition. The No-DECA condition reflect the emission based on the real ship activities without the DECA policy.



750 Figure 14: Regional contributions to annual reduction SO₂ emissions from ships within 12 Nm of the baseline of China's territorial sea. The figures inside the blue bars refer to the annual emissions, and the percentages refer to the relative change of emissions due to total ship activity change in C-12 Nm region or the DECA policies in each region.

755	Table 1: Statistics of AIS messages and active ships in China in 2016–2019.	

Statistical items		2016	2017	2018	2019
Clobal	Archived AIS messages (10 ⁹)	26	35	31	45
Glodal	Active ships with unique MMSI (10 ³)	523	635	754	824
China	Number of identified ships (10^3)	96	92	88	85
(River and 200 Nm zone)	Total operating hours (10^6 hours)	196	197	195	202

Table 2: Simulation scenario setting in this study.

	AIS data	Coastal sea		Inland river		
		Policy setting	Fuel setting	Policy setting	Fuel setting	
Base condition	2016- 2019	Actual implement of DECA 1.0 and DECA 2.0	Inside DECAs : LSF (S < 0.5% m/m) Outside DECAs: No requirement	As required	350 ppm, 50 ppm and 10 ppm chronologically	
No-DECA scenario	2016- 2019	No Policy of DECA	Pre-DECA level (No requirement)	Assumed fuel	350 ppm	