Authors' Response:

Manuscript Title: Annual changes in ship emissions around China under gradually

promoted control policies from 2016 to 2019

Discussion Link: https://acp.copernicus.org/preprints/acp-2021-212/#discussion


Response to Editor's Comments

Comments to the Author:

The authors have done a good job in addressing the technical aspects of the reviewers comments and I would not regard any of these as needing to go out for a further round of review. However, the quality of English within this document is still in much need of improvement, to the extent that I worry that technical nuance may be lost or distorted if the copy editing process is relied on to rectify this. I therefore ask the authors to make an attempt to improve the quality of English before I make a final decision on this paper.

Response:

Thank you very much for your positive comments. Following your suggestion, we made our manuscript polished by 3 native English-speaking editors from a professional organization, Academic Journal Editing (AJE). The following is the Editing Certificate. All revisions are visible in the marked-up manuscript.



Besides adjustments requested by the Editor or Referees, please check your manuscript carefully for typos, missing co-authors and their affiliations, terminology, updates of data in tables, or updates of variables in equations. All these have to be clarified with the Editor and therefore have to be included before you submit your revised manuscript. Should your manuscript be finally accepted it will not be possible to include such rather substantial changes anymore when your manuscript is in final production (proofreading).

Response:

Thank you for your kind reminder. We have checked our manuscript carefully for all typos, co-authors and their affiliations, terminology, data in tables, and variables in equations.