Shipborne measurements of methane and carbon dioxide in the Middle East and Mediterranean areas and contribution from oil and gas emissions

Jean-Daniel Paris[1,3], Aurélie Riandet[1,*], Efstratios Bourtsoukidis[2,3], Marc Delmotte[1], Antoine Berchet[1], Jonathan Williams[2,3], Lisa Ernle[2], Ivan Tadic[2], Hartwig Harder[2], Jos Lelieveld[2,3]

[1]Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, UMR8212, IPSL, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
[2]Department of Atmospheric Chemistry, Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz 55128, Germany
[3]Climate and Atmosphere Research Centre (CARE-C), The Cyprus Institute, Nicosia, 2121, Cyprus

*Now at Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, Avignon Université, IRD, IMBE, Aix-en-Provence, France

Correspondence to: Jean-Daniel Paris (jean-daniel.paris@lsce.ipsl.fr)

Abstract. The increase of atmospheric methane (CH_4) and carbon dioxide (CO_2), two main anthropogenic greenhouse gases, is largely driven by fossil sources. Sources and sinks remain insufficiently characterised in the Mediterranean and Middle East areas, where very few in situ measurements area available. We measured the atmospheric mixing ratios of CH_4 and CO_2 by ship in the region in July and August 2017. High mixing ratios were observed over the Suez Canal, Red Sea and Arabian Gulf, while generally lower mixing ratios were observed over the Gulfs of Aden and Oman. We probe the origin of CO_2 and CH_4 excess mixing ratio by using correlations with light alkanes and through the use of a Lagrangian model coupled to two different emission inventories of anthropogenic sources. We find that the CO_2 and especially the CH_4 enhancements are mainly linked to nearby oil and gas (O&G) activities over the Arabian Gulf, and a mixture of other sources over the Red Sea. The isomeric ratio of pentane is shown to be a useful indicator of the O&G component of atmospheric CH_4 at the regional level. Upstream emissions linked to oil in the Northern Arabian Gulf seem to be underestimated while gas-related emissions in the Southern Gulf are overestimated in our simulations. Our results highlight the need for improvement of inventories in the area to better characterize the changes in magnitude and the complex distribution of the O&G sources in the Middle East.

1. Introduction

Methane (CH_4) and carbon dioxide (CO_2) are potent anthropogenic greenhouse gases (GHG). The CH_4 atmospheric mole fraction has increased by 150% since the pre-industrial era (Saunois et al., 2020). Over a 100-year horizon, CH_4 has a global warming potential 28 times larger than CO_2. Roughly half of CH_4 sources are of natural origin (mainly from wetlands, with contributions from fires and geologic sources). The remainder is anthropogenic, mainly linked to fossil fuels, agriculture (including enteric fermentation in ruminants, manure management and rice paddies) and waste management. The increasing anthropogenic emissions are driven equally by fossil fuel sources and agricultural sources (Jackson et al., 2020).

30 CO_2 concentration in the atmosphere has increased by 47% since the preindustrial era and reached 407.38±0.10 ppm in 2018 (Dlugokencky and Tans, 2019; Le Quéré et al., 2018; Friedlingstein et al., 2019). Its increase is caused primarily by the use of fossil fuel, cement production and land use change, and is partly mitigated by uptake in terrestrial ecosystems and the ocean. Over the last decade (2009-2018) CO_2 sources have been dominated by fossil fuels (9.5±0.5 GtC yr^{-1}) with a significant source from land use change (1.5±0.7 GtC yr^{-1}). CO_2 is removed from the atmosphere by sinks in the ocean (2.5±0.6 GtC yr^{-1}) and

35 land ecosystems (3.2±0.6 GtC yr^{-1}; Friedlingstein et al., 2020). Atmospheric CO_2 is expected to continue its growth despite widespread adoption of climate policies as oil and gas consumption trends suggest a continued increase in fossil CO_2 emission (Jackson et al., 2019).

The 2015 Paris agreement has set the objective to limit global temperatures below 1.5°C. To reach this objective, a corridor of compliant emission pathways has been designed, that require not only strong reductions in CO_2 emissions but also in

40 anthropogenic CH_4 emissions (Jones et al., 2018; Nisbet et al., 2020). Regarding CH_4, a wide array of measures is necessary (Nisbet et al., 2020), with potentially high mitigation impact in the energy, agricultural and waste management sectors (Rogelj et al., 2018). Rapid and efficient mitigation options are readily available for methane emissions. 80% of economically feasible abatement reside in the oil and gas (O&G) sector (Ocko et al., 2021). IEA (2020) reviewed a number of efficient, cost-effective abatement options for O&G sector and found that 40% of O&G methane emissions could be avoided at a zero net cost.

45 Fossil fuel production and use is responsible for the release of 112 Mt CH_4 yr^{-1} to the atmosphere, representing 33% of the total anthropogenic emission of CH_4 (Saunois et al., 2020). Considering fossil fuel emissions alone, 68% of the emissions is linked to O&G while the rest is associated to coal mining. Emission of CH_4 arises at each step from the production site to the consumption site. For the oil industry, methane emissions occur essentially as indirect emissions, as venting or incomplete combustion during flaring and during transport and refining (IEA, 2020). For the gas industry, emission of CH_4 occurs at all

50 stage of the life cycle as fugitive emission (leaks from valves, connectors and compressors, intentional venting) but also as incomplete combustion during flaring (GIE-MARCOGAZ, 2019). Alvarez et al. (2018) found that in the United States a large fraction of the net emission is associated with the production, transport and processing.

Large uncertainties remain associated to the magnitude and spatial and temporal distribution of CH_4 sources (Saunois et al., 2020). After a pause between 2000 and 2007, CH_4 in the atmosphere has resumed its increase. Constraints from current

55 available observations on the respective contribution to its sources and sinks do not allow a definitive explanation of this pattern (Saunois et al., 2017; Saunois et al., 2020; Turner et al., 2019, Nisbet et al., 2020). Schwietzke et al. (2016) highlighted the strong underestimation of O&G emissions in current inventories (see also Saunois et al., 2020 and references therein). Based on ice core $^{13}CH_4$ measurements, Hmiel et al. (2020) found that fossil fuel methane sources could be underestimated by as much as 25-40%. The largest uncertainty remains associated to CH_4 emissions during the extraction of O&G, with global

60 estimates ranging from 46 to 98 Mt CH_4 yr^{-1} (Höglund-Isaksson et al., 2015). This uncertainty is critically related to the diversity of country-specific and site-specific emission factors used. In the US, emissions associated to O&G production where underestimated by a factor 2 (Alvarez et al., 2018), mainly linked to underestimation in upstream (production) emissions. Furthermore, the spatial and temporal distribution of sources is poorly known. In the Barnett Shale area (USA), Zavala-Araiza

et al. (2015) found that 10% of the O&G facilities accounted for 90% of the emissions. Assessment of regional emissions distribution can be provided by mobile measurements targeting facilities.

CO_2 emissions linked to O&G production and use represent 53% of total CO_2 emissions over the period 2008-2017 (Le Quéré et al., 2018; not including land use change). Unlike CH_4, CO_2 emissions are to a large extent induced by fuel usage for energy consumption rather than production. In the near future, CO_2 emissions are expected to continue increasing, driven by a strong demand in Asia (Jackson et al., 2019).

A large fraction of global O&G extraction occurs in the Eastern Mediterranean and Middle East region (EMME). Middle East is the main crude oil production region (32% of world total) with 24.16 million barrel per day, and 17,5% of global natural gas production with 701.12 billion standard cubic meters produced in 2019 (OPEC, 2020). The main O&G fields are located in the Arabian Gulf and neighboring countries, as well as in the Gulf of Suez and the Nile Delta. Gas fields have been recently discovered in the Levantine Sea. CH_4 emissions reported by the EDGAR inventory for countries neighboring the Mediterranean Sea and Middle East, amount respectively to 16 Mt CH_4 yr^{-1} (6.0% of global emissions), and to 21 Mt CH_4 yr^{-1} (8.3% of global emissions) (Janssens-Maenhout et al., 2017). Across the different inventories reported in Saunois et al. (2020) for the Middle East, the spread represents 18% of the mean emission intensity, reflecting a significant uncertainty on country-level emissions. Increasing CH_4 emissions in the Middle East and Africa have been proposed as a contribution to the post-2007 CH_4 increase (McNorton et al., 2018).

According to the inventory data compiled by Friedlingstein et al., (2019), Mediterranean countries and Middle East CO_2 emissions represent respectively 6.8% and 5.6% of global emissions. CO_2 emissions for EMME have increased from 662 MtC yr^{-1} to 831 MtC yr^{-1} over the period 2009-2018, essentially driven by fossil fuel use in Middle-Eastern countries. This represent an annual growth rate of 2.5%, significantly higher than the 1.3% yr^{-1} increase rate of global emissions. CO_2 emissions from the Middle East are mostly arising from domestic consumption, while the emission transfers linked to international trade are negligible (-2±7 MtC yr^{-1}; Peters et al., 2012). However, inventories may underestimate by a factor 2 emissions from the main urban centers of the Middle East (Yang et al., 2020).

The EMME region is a transitional zone between mid-latitude climates and subtropical area, located in the high-pressure subtropical ridge (Lelieveld et al., 2012). The northern part of EMME is under a westerlies regime with Eastern Mediterranean located in the outflow from European airmasses in the lower troposphere (Lelieveld et al., 2002), while the southern part experiences trade winds. The EMME region includes a large extent of deserts as well as densely populated areas. Despite the region's important contribution to anthropogenic GHG emission, very few atmospheric measurements of the distribution of GHG are available in the area (Ricaud et al., 2018), limiting the possibility to reduce uncertainties on regional emissions rates. The Middle East remains undersampled, especially by the in-situ surface networks (Ciais et al., 2014). It also offers fewer cloudy days than other mid-latitude locations, thus enhancing the potential of satellite passive measurements (Yang et al., 2020).

What are the typical CO_2 and CH_4 mixing ratios around the Arabian peninsula? What are the main drivers for the observed variability and can we link this variability to sources? To what extent can we confirm or inform the inventories based on the

measurements, and more specifically the O&G component of these inventories? This paper aims at better understanding the drivers of variability for these species in the area, and to relate this variability to regional sources.

100 To address these questions, CO_2 and CH_4 mixing ratio measurements were performed during a ship cruise across the Mediterranean Sea, Red Sea and Arabian Gulf in summer 2017. Large-scale, ship-borne measurements of CH_4 and CO_2 atmospheric concentrations have been previously performed for a wide variety of purpose including measuring emission from O&G platforms (see e.g. Yacovitch et al., 2020), assessing nation-wide emissions in the UK and Ireland (Helfter et al., 2019) or disentangling regional sources in the Arctic (Berchet et al. 2020).

105 Here we build on the work of Bourtsoukidis et al. (2019) who characterized light alkanes in relation to the various hydrocarbon sources in the region. We compare these results to a simulation of the anthropogenic component of CO_2 and CH_4 excess during the cruise using a Lagrangian particle dispersion model.

Section 2 details the AQABA (Air Quality and climate change in the Arabian Basin) campaign, as well as the measurement and modelling methodology. Section 3 presents measurements data and discusses how these data compare with simulations of
110 CH_4 and CO_2 enhancements linked to anthropogenic activities.

2. Methods

2.1. Campaign and Platform

The AQABA campaign took place in summer 2017 from June 24 to September 3. The scientific purpose of the campaign was to investigate the atmospheric composition and chemical processes over the Arabian Basin (Pfannerstill et al., 2019; Wang et
115 al., 2020; Bourtsoukidis et al., 2019; Bourtsoukidis et al., 2020; Tadic et al., 2020; Celik et al., 2020). The ship departed from, and returned to La Seyne sur Mer, near Toulon, France. Fig. 1 shows the ship's route and calling ports. The ship traveled through the Suez Canal and the Red Sea, then around the Arabian Peninsula and through the Persian Gulf (also named Arabian Gulf) to Kuwait, where it anchored for 3 days (from July 31 to August 3) at the port. The ship eventually returned and reached La Seyne sur Mer by approximately the same route on September 2. Several calls occurred at various ports on the way. The
120 ship used was the Kommandor Iona, a UK-based, 76-m long dynamic positioning research and survey vessel. The mean speed of the vessel during the campaign was 3.4 ± 1.8 m s^{-1}.

Figure 1. Ship track during the campaign. Regional limits are indicated. Background map © OpenStreetMap contributors 2021. Distributed under a Creative Commons BY-SA License.

2.2. Measurements

To minimize contamination of measurements by the ship's own emissions, the measurement inlet for CO_2 and CH_4 was located at the front of the ship, 15 m above sea level. Air was drawn through 15 m of Synflex tubing using a 2 L/min flush pump to prevent degradation of the system response time. The CO_2 and CH_4 mole fractions were measured in situ using a cavity ring-down spectroscopy analyzer (Picarro G2401; Santa Clara, USA) located in an air-conditioned container on the front deck. CO measurements were also collected. The air was not dried prior to analysis and water vapor effects on CO_2 and CH_4 were determined specifically for this instrument and corrected (Hazan et al., 2016). A filter and a peristaltic pump ensured that no dust or liquid water enter the analyzer. Four different calibration cylinders of compressed air bracketing typical ambient concentrations were injected in the analyzer before departure, every 15 days during the trip, and upon return to Toulon for calibration and quality assurance. Calibration gases were prepared in Luxfer aluminum cylinders. Calibration gas concentrations ranged between 377.63±0.01 ppm and 474.84±0.01 ppm for CO_2, between 1791.8±0.1 ppb and 2791.0+0.2 ppb for CH_4 and between 127±1 ppb and 346±1 ppb for CO. The injection sequence consisted of four 20-min injections of each of

the four gases. An additional target gas of ambient concentration was injected twice daily to assess measurement accuracy. Precision of the G2401 (expressed as continuous measurement repeatability) is typically better than 0.03 ppm CO_2, 0.3 ppb CH_4 and 8 ppb CO (Yver-Kwok et al., 2015). Calibrations were quite stable and consistently exhibited a within-run standard deviation of 0.03 ppm CO2, 0.3 ppb CH4 and 10 ppb CO with little change during the trip. The data has been processed and quality-controlled following ICOS (Integrated Carbon Observing System) standard procedure (Hazan et al., 2016), including the propagation of the calibration and threshold-based filters. The concentrations measured from calibration cylinders between two calibration sequences show a mean drift of 0.05 ppm and 0.5 ppb CH_4, significantly below the drifts typically observed at fixed observatories (Hazan et al., 2016). Target injections showed a small residual bias (after calibration) below 0.05 ppm CO_2 and 0.3 ppb CH_4. The measured target values for CO vary within ±10 ppb sd. The processed data is reported as 1-min averages. Alkanes were measured using Gas Chromatography-Flame Ionization Detector (GC-FID; Bourtsoukidis et al., 2019). GC-FID sampling intervals were 10-30 minutes, with uncertainties ranging between 5 and 10%. Detailed description of the GC-FID measurements can be found in Bourtsoukidis et al. (2019).

Meteorological parameters such as wind speed and direction, pressure and temperature as well as GPS position and course, were acquired from a meteorological station (Shipborne European Common Automatic Weather Station, EUCAWS) at the starboard side of the front deck of the ship.

2.3. Stack contamination data filter

As the sampling inlet was situated at the front of the boat, measurements can be occasionally influenced by the ship's stack emissions. We assume that this influence is mainly depending on the relative wind direction, where a tailwind is likely to bring smoke from the boat's chimney back onto the instrument and thus contaminate the measurements. We flagged 1-min measured concentrations using a binary index indicated possible presence/absence of stack contamination as in Tadic et al. (2019). Different values of angle sector around the stern were tested to filter data using relative wind direction and speed. 16% of data were flagged as potentially contaminated by the ship and not considered in the following analysis unless specified otherwise.

2.4. Lagrangian modelling

Atmospheric transport was investigated using the FLEXible PARTicle dispersion model (Flexpart) v9 Lagrangian particle dispersion model (Pisso et al., 2019) in receptor-oriented mode (Seibert & Frank, 2004). Flexpart calculates the trajectories of a large number of tracer particles using the mean winds interpolated from the analysis fields plus random motions representing turbulence (Stohl and Thomson, 1999). Results presented here use European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) analysis fields at 1° resolution. Here, the backward method is used to analyse transport pathways from source regions to the receptor position. Each simulation consists of 10,000 particles released every hour. Released particles were followed up to 14 days backward in time. Potential emission sensitivity is considered when particles reside below the boundary layer height as retrieved from the ECMWF analysis. Potential emission sensitivities are then convolved with gridded surface fluxes from emission inventories to simulate mixing ratios at the ship position during the cruise.

2.5. Emission inventories

170 For simplicity, our simulation uses surface emission of CO_2 and CH_4 that include only anthropogenic emissions. Data are taken from the Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR) v4.3.2 for the year 2012 (Janssens-Maenhout et al., 2017; Janssens-Maenhout et al., 2019). EDGAR estimates country total emissions for a variety of species including CO_2 and CH_4 based on international emission factors and activity data. Maps are eventually generated at a resolution of 0.1°x0.1° according to spatial proxies (see Fig. A1 for CH_4 and Fig A2 for CO_2 in Appendix A). For fossil fuels exploitation for examples,
175 spatial proxies combine observed flaring and cartography of infrastructures. Our Flexpart simulations calculate contributions detailed by sector and by country following the typology of the EDGAR database.

The Evaluating the Climate and Air Quality Impacts of Short-Lived Pollutants (ECLIPSE) baseline version CLE 5a (Höglund-Isaksson, 2012) is also used for comparative simulations of CH_4 using Flexpart (CO_2 is not provided as gridded data). ECLIPSE derives from the GAINS model used for scenario analysis. ECLIPSE maps emissions a resolution of 0.5°x0.5° according to
180 spatial proxies different from EDGAR.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Spatial Distribution of CH_4 and CO_2 along the cruise

Figure 2 shows the concentrations observed during the two legs of the cruise. GPS data acquisition was missing in part of the Western Mediterranean and therefore part of the data is not shown on the map. Figure 3a shows the measured CH_4 mixing
185 ratios statistics for each region during the cruise, excluding data influenced by the ship's own exhaust and data acquired when the ship is at rest. Median values in the Western and Eastern Mediterranean were respectively 1903 ppb (interquartile range (IQR) 1896-1907 ppb) and 1906 ppb (IQR 1879-1929 ppb), but significant differences were observed, for each region, between the two legs. For Eastern Mediterranean, Leg 1 median CH_4 concentration is 1878 ppb, under a general northern wind influence whereas Leg 2 median is 1929 ppb with a generally southern wind. Closer to the Suez Canal, wind has been more generally
190 from the South. The Gulf of Aden, the Arabian Sea and the Gulf of Oman were markedly lower in CH_4, suggesting a different airmass origin. This is confirmed by a backtrajectory analysis using the Flexpart model, showing a markedly different regional pattern in sensitivity, with particles residence time in the boundary layer over Ethiopia, Somalia, and the surrounding ocean (Fig. A3a). This is also consistent with the very low total OH reactivity observed in the area during the campaign (Pfannerstill et al., 2019). Wind direction in this area is mostly parallel to ship track.

195

Figure 2. Measurements of CH₄ (a, c) and CO₂ (b, d) collected during Leg 1 from Toulon to Kuwait between 24/06/2017 and 31/07/2017 (top row) and Leg 2 from Kuwait to Toulon between 03/08/2017 and 02/09/2017 (bottom row). Background map © OpenStreetMap contributors 2021. Distributed under a Creative Commons BY-SA License.

200   Figure 3b shows the CO_2 mixing ratio statistics for each region and each leg observed during the campaign. For each area, CO_2 concentrations were higher during Leg 1 compared to Leg 2, likely reflecting hemispheric-scale drawdown in CO_2 associated to uptake by Northern Hemisphere vegetation (see e.g. Ramonet et al., 2010). The highest median concentrations are observed in the Arabian Gulf (410.27 ppm, IQR 407.16-415.36 ppm) whereas the lowest concentrations were encountered in August over the Eastern Mediterranean, with 403.30 ppm (IQR 399.12- 407.64 ppm), the closest value to the Mace Head
205   mean mixing ratio in July and August. Ramonet et al. (2010) proposed that the minor changes in concentrations differences observed over Eastern Europe compared to the marine baseline are due to biospheric uptake in Europe being offset by anthropogenic (fossil fuel) emissions along airmass pathways. Here, airmass origin is over Eastern Europe's boundary layer over the previous 10 days according to Flexpart backtrajectories (Fig. A3b).

Figure 3. Methane (a) and carbon dioxide (b) mixing ratios observed during the cruise. See Fig. 1 for region definition. Data from ship at rest or contaminated by ship own exhaust are excluded. The box plot shows the interquartile range (25-75%) and median values for each grouping of data. The bars extend to the lowest and highest values, (ignoring outliers beyond 1.5 times the interquartile range). The statistics of the two legs are represented separately. The horizontal black line is the mean methane mixing ratio at Mace Head, Ireland (NOAA) during July and August 2017.

The median mixing ratio of CO_2 over the Aden and Arabian Sea are comparable to Red Sea and Mediterranean values, contrary to CH_4 where mixing ratios were distinctly lower. CO_2 mixing ratios do however exhibit a much lower variability (interquartile range) compared to other regions.

In the remainder of this paper, enhancements of CH_4 and CO_2 are defined as excess concentrations over a background that is defined for each region and each leg, according to Eq. 1.

$$\Delta X = [X] - [X]_{background} \qquad \text{(Eq. 1)}$$

The background is defined for the whole campaign as the tenth percentile of measured mole fractions.

225 **Figure 4. Ship track superimposed with NASA/NOAA Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite detected flaring sources active in 2017. Dot size is proportional to the highest observed radiative heat (in MW), which in turn is related to the volume of gas flared (Elvidge et al., 2016). Flaring occurs mostly at the upstream production sites, and is therefore a proxy for the presence of extraction and production sites. Flaring spots retrieved from skytruth.org. Background map © OpenStreetMap contributors 2021. Distributed under a Creative Commons BY-SA License.**

3.2. Significance of fugitive sources based on light alkanes measurements

In this section we investigate the origin of CH_4 enhancements in the regions where the highest median mixing ratio were observed. These regions, the Arabian Gulf, the Suez Canal and the Red Sea, are all areas where O&G exploration is important, both offshore and onshore (Fig. 4). Although the ship's track did not target specifically offshore platforms, the cruise collected a significant amount of strong CH_4 enhancements coinciding with crossing of offshore platforms. Bourtsoukidis et al. (2019) showed that emissions from O&G were significant contributions to non-methane hydrocarbons measured during the cruise over several areas around the Arabian Peninsula. According to the EDGAR emission inventory (Janssen-Maenhout et al., 2017), the O&G industry is responsible for up to 80% of CH_4 emissions in the Middle East. Local enhancements of mixing ratio in airmasses are typically linked to local or regional sources. The most likely origin of the high CH_4 and CO_2 concentrations over the Arabian Gulf and the Suez Canal is anticipated to be anthropogenic emissions. In this section we investigate the origin of CH_4 enhancements by assessing their correlation with alkanes measured onboard the ship. In this analysis, all CH_4 and CO_2 measurements are averaged specifically over the sampling interval of the GC-FID.

Figure 5. Scatter plot of ethane and methane for the Gulf of Oman gulf, Arabian Gulf, Red Sea and Suez Canal. The regression line and associated 95%-confidence interval are plotted for the Arabian Gulf, Red Sea and Suez Canal regions.

245 Over the whole campaign, we observed a significant but small correlation between CH_4 and C_2H_6 ($r = 0.386$, $p < 10^{-15}$). The highest C_2H_6 concentrations are observed over the Arabian Gulf (Fig. 5). In this region, a significant correlation between C_2H_6 and CH_4 ($r = 0.332$, $p = 0.004$) was derived, with a region-wide regression slope of 0.062 ppb ppb^{-1}. Similarly, CH_4 was correlated with propane ($r = 0.356$, $p = 0.002$) and with the sum of *i*-butane and *n*-butane ($r = 0.360$, $p = 0.002$). CH_4 was also correlated with CO_2 ($r = 0.53$, $p = 2 \cdot 10^{-12}$) and with CO ($r = 0.76$, $p < 10^{-15}$) in the Arabian gulf, suggesting that CH_4 variability
250 is dominated by emissions from O&G activities collocated with combustion sources. Flaring may typically contribute to such an emission profile. However, CO_2 showed no significant correlation with any of the three alkanes mentioned above. This suggests that CO_2 variability in the area is dominated by other factors than O&G sources.

Over the Red Sea, CH_4 enhancements of about 200 ppb above background have been observed. A clear correlation between C_2H_6 and CH_4 ($r = 0.71$, $p < 10^{-15}$) is associated with a regression slope of 0.047 ppb ppb^{-1}. No significant correlation is found
255 for CH_4 against CO_2 ($r = -0.08$, $p = 0.1368$) or CO ($r = 0.23$, $p = 2 \cdot 10^{-15}$).

For the Suez Canal area, the regression slope between C_2H_6 and CH_4 is 0.006 ppb ppb^{-1}($r = 0.75$, $p < 10^{-15}$). Strong correlations are found between CH_4 and CO_2 ($r = 0.83$, $p < 10^{-15}$) and CH_4 and CO ($r = 0.87$, $p < 10^{-15}$). This indicates that combustion sources are strongly contributing to CH_4 variability in the Suez Canal. The airmass originates from the Eastern Mediterranean and Egypt according to Flexpart backtrajectory simulations (Fig. A3c). Differences in C_2H_6 to CH_4 regression slopes between
260 the Suez Canal and the Red Sea may therefore reflect either an aggregation of different O&G emission sources or different fraction of O&G in observed CH_4 enhancements.

Figure 6. Scatter plot of i-pentane/n-pentane and methane (a) and i-pentane/n-pentane and CO₂ (b) for Oman Gulf, Arabian Gulf, Red Sea and Suez Canal. The value of i-Pentane to n-Pentane ratio associated with oil and gas emission (0.86) is drawn as a horizontal line in the two panels.

Ethane is a valuable proxy for fossil fuel emissions at the global scale and has provided evidence of the reduction of fossil fuel emission in the 2000s (Simpson et al., 2012). As a proxy at the site scale it contributes to the separation of thermogenic and biogenic emissions (e.g. Rella et al., 2015; Assan et al., 2017; Lowry et al., 2020, Defratyka et al., 2020). However, the range of C_2H_6:CH_4 ratio can be highly variable at regional scale, from emission site to site, and along the production chain. Measuring downwind of >100 offshore platforms in the Gulf of Mexico, Yacovitch et al. (2020) found C_2H_6:CH_4 ratio ranging from 0.16−17%. The *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill associated gas sampled in the hydrocarbon plume rising from the seafloor showed C_2H_6:CH_4 ratio between 8.1% and 8.3% (Reddy et al., 2012). This ratio depends on the temperature of formation of natural gas (Whitticar, 1994). The ratio can be further modified if a significant fraction of CH_4 is emitted through flaring, with different depletion during combustion (Yacovitch et al., 2020). Hence due to its inherent variability from field to field, the C_2H_6:CH_4 ratio alone is insufficient without additional constraints to quantify the relative contribution of O&G to CH_4 emissions at the regional scale.

Figure 6 shows the *i*-pentane to *n*-pentane ratio for selected regions. This ratio is another tracer of the contribution of natural gas, vehicle exhaust or fuel evaporation emissions to the atmospheric burden of alkanes and associated species (Gilman et al., 2013; Bourtsoukidis et al., 2019). For natural gas the ratio is expected to be 0.86 (indicated as horizontal line in Fig. 6). Since the ratio is conserved against oxidation by OH in the atmosphere the tracer is conserved during atmospheric transport. Isomerization of oil after distillation is widely used to obtain gasoline with higher octane indices. This process results in a decreased nC5 concentration in gasoline and hence in a higher iC5/nC5 ratio in urban environment. In natural gas processing, pentane is part of the condensate separated from the commercial natural gas. In the Arabian Gulf, where the mean CH_4 enhancement was 67 ppb and the maximum 255 ppb, the linear regression slope was found to be 0.939 ± 0.023 ppb ppb^{-1}. The mean ratio of the isomers was 1.00 ± 0.12, suggesting a clear dominance of sources linked to O&G extraction and production.

3.3. Photochemical age and remoteness of sources

Alkanes have different atmospheric lifetimes and, if species are co-emitted at the same time and with a known emission ratio, measured changes in their ratios can help trace the photochemical age of an airmass (Purvis et al., 2003), and hence provide an indication of a transport time from the source to the receptor. The ratio of species is less sensitive to dilution and air mass mixing that the concentration of individual species. Transport time and photochemical age correlate well in particular for lighter alkanes (Parrish et al., 2007). For the AQABA campaign, Pfannerstill et al. (2020) used decreases in the $[C_3H_6O]/[C_3H_8]$ ratio and simultaneous increases in the toluene/benzene ratio in the Arabian Gulf as an indicator of nearby sources. Wang et al. (2020) analyzed the mismatch between the toluene/benzene measured ratio and emission ratio. Here we compare, for several regions, 1) the decorrelation that occurs between CH_4 and light alkanes (propane and pentane) during early photochemical ageing due to differences in kinetic rates against OH and 2) the photochemical age of airmasses exhibiting significant correlations between propane and pentane.

Propane (C_3H_8) has a lifetime of ca 5.5 days while pentane (C_5H_{12}) has a lifetime of 0.5-1 days with respect to OH radical oxidation (Bourtsoukidis et al., 2019). Fig. 7 shows scatter plots of CH_4 to propane and pentane (the latter as the sum of n-pentane and i-pentane). Correlation between CH_4 and propane is relatively homogeneous across regions albeit with very different slopes. For the Arabian Gulf the correlation coefficient is $r = 0.37$, for the Gulf of Oman $r = 0.46$, whereas for the Suez Canal we obtain $r = 0.50$. The correlation of CH_4 to pentane is more contrasted. For the Arabian Gulf and Suez Canal the correlation coefficient remain significantly high ($r = 0.40$ and $r = 0.67$ respectively), which is comparable to the propane- CH_4 correlations. The significant correlations suggest that the airmass is affected by emissions with constant ratios, and hence there is a regional signature of local sources. This corroborates the results of Wang et al. (2020) finding limited departure between measured ratio and emission ratio for these two regions. In contrast, in the Gulf of Oman there is no correlation between CH_4 and propane ($r = 0.003$). This suggests that high CH_4 concentrations observed in the Arabian Gulf and Suez Canal are mainly determined by relatively nearby sources co-emitting CH_4, pentane and propane. This approach suggests that excess CH_4 observed over the Gulf of Oman is emitted from remote sources or without co-emission of these species.

 Figure 7. Scatter plot of (a) pentane and (b) propane against methane for the Gulf of Oman, Arabian Gulf, Red Sea and Suez Canal. The regression line and associated uncertainty are plotted for each of these 4 regions.

As a next step we evaluate the average photochemical age of airmasses for the Suez Canal and Arabian Gulf regions. For that purpose, we examine, at the regional scale, the change in measured propane to (n + i)-pentane ratio relative to the initial emission ratio. We follow the definition of photochemical age against OH defined in Parrish et al. (2007), given here in Eq. 2 315 below.

$$t_a \langle [OH] \rangle = \int_{t=t_E}^{t_R} [OH]\, dt = - \frac{1}{\langle k_A - k_B \rangle} \left\{ \ln\left(\frac{[A]}{[B]} \right) - \ln\left(\frac{[A_0]}{[B_0]} \right) \right\} \quad \text{Eq.2}$$

Where t_a is the average photochemical age against OH, $\langle [OH] \rangle$ is the mean concentration of OH along pathway, t_E refers to 320 time of emission and t_R time of reception, A and B are the two species propane and pentane, and A_0 and B_0 are the initial ratio

at the time of emission. We evaluate the mean ratio of concentration between species at the regional scale as the regression slope between the two species. The regression slope of pentane against propane is 0.149 mol mol^{-1} for the Suez Canal and 0.378 mol mol^{-1} for the Arabian Gulf. The ratio of species at the time of origin is approximated by the emission ratio from the EDGAR v4.3.2 inventory for volatile organic compounds (Huang et al., 2017). The inventory-based emission ratio is 0.460 mol mol^{-1} for the total emissions of Middle East countries and 0.435 mol mol^{-1} for Egypt. Overall this value varies within a limited span (ranging from 0.347 mol mol^{-1} for Kuwait to 0.682 mol mol^{-1} for Iran) across countries with significant emissions of these two species. We use kinetic rate coefficients as reported by Pfannerstill et al. (2019, their Table S1) and assume a mean OH concentration of 7.5×10^6 molecules cm^{-3} following Wang et al. (2020). This approach yields a photochemical age for our airmasses of 1.5 days for the Suez Canal and 0.28 days for the Arabian Gulf. At a median true wind speed of 3.9 m s^{-1} (as observed over the Arabian Gulf and over the Suez Canal), this suggests that sources are distant on average by 206 km and 38 km respectively for the Suez Canal and the Arabian Gulf.

However, this crude approach to the assessment of remoteness of sources of CH_4 excesses in the Arabian Gulf and the Red Sea is not sufficient on its own and should be compared to tagged transport simulations.

3.4. Tagged tracer simulation of anthropogenic methane

We simulated the anthropogenic excess mixing ratio of CH_4 and CO_2 using Flexpart and the EDGAR emission inventory. Fig. 8 shows a comparison of simulated excess CH_4 time series at the receptor position, and ΔCH_4 measured from the ship. The model reproduces reasonably well the region-wise variability of the signal. In the distinctly low CH_4 concentration area over the Gulf of Aden and Arabian Sea the model appropriately simulates enhancements close to zero. In the high concentration area of the Arabian Gulf (from 28 July to 6 August) the model captures the presence of strong enhancements, albeit poorly positioned along the ship track. Over the Red Sea, the model captures the variability correctly. The regional baseline of the signal is however challenging to reproduce with the model since measurements are expressed as excess CH_4 with a background calculated by leg and across all regions. This highlights sharply the difference between the low concentration areas (Gulf of Aden and Arabian Sea) versus the other areas more influenced by anthropogenic emissions.

The O&G emission contribute overall to 35% of the simulated excess CH_4. Over the Arabian and Oman Gulfs, it accounts respectively for 81% and 63% of CH_4 excess on average, consistent with the regional emission pattern and alkane measurements presented above. Over the Red Sea, O&G emission of CH_4 explains 37% of the simulated variability on average. Waste management represents on average 27% of the simulated CH_4 excess for the whole campaign. In the Arabian Gulf, waste management represents only 12% of CH_4 variability.

350 **Figure 8. Comparison of simulated excess CH₄ time series at the receptor position, and hourly average ΔCH₄ measured from the ship (black line). All data at harbours are included. The simulation combines Flexpart potential emission sensitivity and EDGAR inventory (stacked areas). The main source sectors are indicated as color code. The potential emission sensitivity is also combined with the Eclipse inventory for comparison (blue line). The stops at harbor are indicated as shaded grey areas, with the names of harbors indicated at the top.**

355 The observations at harbor locations (grey shading in Fig. 8) exhibit strong diurnal pattern well reproduced by the model, probably due to the proximity of the land mass and the diurnal cycle of the atmospheric boundary layer development. However, the amplitude of the diurnal cycle is rather underestimated by the model, notably for the stop-over in Jeddah between 10-13 July. At harbors, the O&G signal remains dominant, especially in Kuwait. The simulated share of waste management sources to the total CH₄ enhancement is twice as much compared to that found over open sea. However, due to the proximity of sources

360 within the inventory spatial resolution the model may not resolve fully the ratio between these contributions. Closer to Kuwait, backtrajectories show a stronger sensitivity to potential sources in Iran.

The highest CH₄ concentrations over the Arabian Gulf are observed mostly in its northern part while the simulation predicts higher concentrations in the southern part, especially in the vicinity of the South Pars/North dome gas field. However, the CH₄ enhancement observed in the immediate vicinity of this gas field is much lower than the simulated one. The model points to

365 an 'O&G exploitation' source type in the Northwestern half of the Arabian Gulf for the strongest CH_4 enhancements observed. In a wide US survey of 8000 facilities, Lyon et al. (2016) found that super-emitter sites were 3 times more frequently associated to oil than the gas assets, and 90% of sources were from tank vents and hatches used in oil storage. Our study would suggest an underestimation of oil upstream emissions by the inventories, and an overestimation of leak rates in the upstream natural gas industry.

370

Figure 9. Comparison of simulated excess CO_2 time series at the receptor position in the Gulf of Oman and Arabian Gulf, and hourly average ΔCO_2 measured from the ship (black line). Flagged, hourly average data is shown in light red. The simulation combines
375 **Flexpart potential emission sensitivity and EDGAR inventory (stacked areas). The main source sectors are indicated as color code. The stops at harbor are indicated as shaded grey areas, with the names of harbors indicated at the top.**

Figure 9 shows the measured excess CO_2 while navigating in the Gulf of Oman and the Arabian Gulf. The simulated excess is dominated by the power industry sector accounting for most of the variability, with contribution from the oil refineries and oil transformation sectors, with local maxima off the coast of United Arab Emirates. This area hosts the Jebel Ali Oil refinery

380 dedicated to the production of liquefied petroleum gas, naphtha and a variety of fuel types as well as, further South, the Takreer Abu Dhabi Oil Refinery (retrieved from https://www.industryabout.com/arabian-peninsula-industrial-map).

Figure 10 shows the contribution of countries or areas of origin to simulated CH₄ in the Arabian Gulf during Leg 1, where emissions are dominated by fossil fuel exploitation (see discussion on alkanes above and simulation in Fig. 8). By tagging the simulated CH₄ enhancements by source region, it is possible to link the contributions of source regions to the ability of the

385 model to reproduce the observed signal.

390 **Figure 10. Simulation of ΔCH₄ by country of origin over the Arabian Gulf. The solid line shows the measured DCH₄. The simulated excess CH₄ is tagged and color-coded by country or area of origin. Iraq_N : Northern Iraq, Iraq_S: southern Iraq; PG_N: Persian (Arabian) Gulf, Northern half; PG_S: Persian (Arabian) Gulf, Southern half; RoW: Rest of the World; SA: Saudi Arabia; UAE: United Arab Emirates. The inset shows the trajectory of the ship corresponding to the simulation, and the labels A, B, C, D highlight points of the ship's route discussed in the main text. Red points correspond to flaring detected from space (see Fig. 4) at the time of**
395 **the campaign. Inset background map © OpenStreetMap contributors 2021. Distributed under a Creative Commons BY-SA License.**

Off the coast of Dubai and United Arab Emirates (Transect A-B in Fig. 10), the simulation is in good agreement with the observations, and points to a strong contribution from the nearby state (United Arab Emirates) and the local offshore activities in the Arabian Gulf. Within a thin plume lasting 15 minutes (labeled B in Fig. 10, 18:05-18:20 UTC) the highest CH₄ concentration of the section is measured (2109 ppb). The model successfully captures this enhancement with an average of

400 150 ppb excess CH₄, albeit spreading it over two hours. It is simulated as coming mostly (80%) from local offshore emissions (Arabian Gulf South in Fig. 10) while the ship is moving through the Fateh oil field off Dubai. Approaching the North field

off the coast of Qatar (point C, and during 29 July), however, CH₄ is strongly overestimated by the model, with simulated enhancements of more than 400 ppb having no equivalent pattern in the measurement. This strong enhancement is due, in the model, to local offshore emissions (southern part of the Arabian Gulf) associated to the South Pars/North Dome gas field.

405   South Pars/North Field is the largest gas field in the world, shared between Iran and Qatar (Conti et al., 2016). In the Northern part of the Arabian Gulf (transect from C to D) significant CH₄ enhancements are measured and the model fails at reproducing these enhancements, although it suggests local emissions (Northern Persian Gulf and Kuwait) are dominant, consistent with the photochemical age of airmasses (Sect. 3.3).

3.5. Model-data comparison

410   Can we confirm or verify the inventories based on the measurements? The entire dataset (excluding data flagged as contaminated and stationary measurements at harbors) has a correlation of $r = 0.13$. The agreement improves during nighttime with $r = 0.22$. Overall the simulation represents well the variability of CH₄ at the synoptic scale. The first order discrepancies arise from the difference in background between regions, since here the background used to offset the CH₄ measurements is calculated for the whole campaign. While the measured ΔCH₄ is an excess over a pre-defined background, the simulated excess

415   CH₄ integrate anthropogenic surface sources during 14 days prior to reaching the receptor. Therefore, in order to compare these two quantities, the mismatch between the boundary conditions of the model (i.e. CH₄ of the airmass prior to the 14 days before measurement) and the background defined for the measurement data introduces a varying offset. However, the simulated time series can be considered as an indication of the geographical or sectoral origin of the observed excess mixing ratio.

Figure 11. Scatter plot of the fraction of simulated methane due to O&G sources against *i*-pentane to *n*-pentane ratio. An exponential model is fitted to the data (red line).

Figure 11 provides a comparison of the fractional contribution of O&G exploitation sources to simulated CH_4 excess with the *i*- to *n*-pentane ratio (see Sect. 3.2). We find that an exponential decrease model can fit the data with a significant correlation $r = -0.38$ ($p < 10^{-16}$). This exponential model yields an estimated *i*- to *n*-pentane ratio of 0.99 when the simulation fraction of O&G is 100%, whereas absence of O&G exploitation would yield a ratio of 1.84. This confirms that the fraction of emissions linked to O&G exploitation in the model is consistent with our expectations according to the isomeric ratio of pentane (Bourtsoukidis et al., 2019).

The inventory used for the simulation also integrates a large part of uncertainty, in terms of intensity and location of the sources. To test the sensitivity to the emission inventory itself, we simulated CH_4 excess using the Eclipse inventory for CH_4 (light blue line in Fig. 8). The two inventories agree to a large extent for all regions apart from the Arabian Gulf, where Eclipse yields a mean excess that is 65% higher than the EDGAR simulation (mean 255 ppb and 156 ppb respectively). For the Arabian Gulf, none of the inventories yield significant correlation, suggesting a poor representation of spatial distribution of emissions. Eclipse also simulates much higher CH_4 excess in the vicinity of the Fateh oil field, with a local maximum of 566 ppb, compared with the EDGAR simulation that yields 150 ppb. In the Northern part of the Arabian Gulf, Eclipse also simulates higher excess concentrations than EDGAR, but the Eclipse simulation shows a much better agreement with the observed CH_4 variability, especially at the Kuwait coast on July 30 and 31. The enhanced divergence among simulations using different inventories is most likely due to the increasing differences between inventories at finer spatial scales, as has been highlighted by Ciais et al. (2010) for CO_2 in Europe. Furthermore, the high skewness in the regional distribution of emission for each facility may not be properly represented in the inventories (Zavala-Araiza et al. 2015), leading to strong discrepancies between simulation and measurements when measuring directly near such facilities. Overall, in the Southern part of the Arabian Gulf, where gas fields represent a larger part of the O&G extraction, EDGAR tends to accurately represent specific plumes (see point B in Fig. 10), which is not the case for ECLIPSE. However, on average both EDGAR and ECLIPSE tends to overestimate the signal (Fig. 8). In the Northern part of the Arabian Gulf, where oil extraction is comparatively more represented the EDGAR inventory tend to underestimate the measurements while ECLIPSE underestimate the measurements for Leg 1 and overestimates it for Leg 2.

Accurately simulating plumes measured in the vicinity of point sources is highly dependent on the injection position of emissions in the inventory. Here we used inventory maps in the model with resolution of 0.1°x0.1° for EDGAR, hence a point source is spread within 10 km. This may result in discrepancies between observations and simulated fields. This is particularly true if an important source point is within the distance corresponding to the spatial resolution of the wind fields driving the model (here, 1°x1°, i.e. approximately 100 km). Capturing local sea breeze patterns might be challenging for the model's driving wind field. This may cause the strong overestimations of CH_4 enhancements over the south-eastern part of the Arabian Gulf.

Unaccounted-for time variations may also play a role in model-observation mismatch. Since the inventory is static, any daily or weekly pattern in emissions would not be reproduced in the model, which could be important when the ship is in harbors. Moreover, venting, incomplete flaring combustion and maintenance activities are typically leading to intermittent CH_4

emission. In a study of black carbon emissions from flaring in Siberia, Petäjä et al. (2020, their Sect. 3.8.2) found that accounting for actual times of flaring instead of using annual means can significantly improve the simulation of downwind atmospheric measurements.

460 Since our study is focusing on anthropogenic emissions and given low natural emissions in the Eastern Mediterranean and Middle East area (Saunois et al., 2020) we used only anthropogenic inventories in our study. However, some level of sensitivity to natural fluxes can be expected. The CO_2 sink would affect concentrations downwind of large forested areas, for example around the Eastern Mediterranean basin. But biogenic sinks are not likely to play a role in the desert dominated regions of the Arabian Peninsula.

465 O&G extraction at the country level varies from year to year, and the life cycle of individual productive fields evolves over several years. As a result, changes over time of the emission spatial pattern and intensity as reported in inventories for specific years may affect the accuracy of the simulation. Here, our simulation uses the EDGAR inventory for year 2012. Oil production in the Middle East increased by 10.8% between 2012 and 2017, while gas production increased by 19.2% (Dudley et al., 2019). According to the most recent available update of the inventory (EDGAR v5.0), O&G emissions have increased by 8.61% for

470 countries bordering the Arabian Gulf between 2012 and 2015, the latest available year. In order to investigate the potential evolution of the oil extraction activity the evolution of flaring activity between 2012 and 2017 has been investigated. Flaring data is obtained from VIIRS Skytruth nightlight product (Elvidge et al., 2016) for the Middle East. Flaring has shown a twofold increase in intensity (in terms of number of hotspots detected from space) over the period, which suggests that the 8.61% increase in inventory O&G emissions from the neighboring countries is not sufficient to match the increase in extraction

475 activity. The spatial distribution of the flaring has not varied significantly over the period. In addition to flaws in the inventory for its base year, accounting for increased activity over the period 2012-2017 would lead to further overestimations between model and observations linked to O&G activity in the Arabian Gulf. This therefore does not contribute to explaining the model overestimation, especially in the Southern part of the Arabian Gulf.

Conclusion

480 The AQABA campaign provided a first overview of the regional distribution of CH_4 and CO_2. Three distinct elements are identified in the distribution of GHG during the campaign. The Mediterranean is dominated by European emissions. The Gulf of Aden and the Arabian Sea are in an air mass relatively poor in GHG, with air masses originating from Eastern Africa. The Red Sea and the Oman and Arabian Gulfs showed high CO_2 and CH_4 concentrations.

The C_2H_6:CH_4 and i-pentane to n-pentane ratios suggest that over the Red Sea, Oman and Arabian Gulfs, CH_4 enhancements

485 are originating from O&G emissions, especially in the latter area. Repeated CH_4 enhancements over the Suez Canal, Red Sea, Arabian Gulf are also unambiguously identified as emitted from local O&G extraction/exploitation (Arabian Gulf). This is clearly supported by a Lagrangian simulation based on the EDGAR inventory, showing that 81% of excess CH_4 over the

Arabian Gulf is due to the exploitation of O&G. The CO_2 variability is dominated in this area by anthropogenic emissions, with a dominant contribution from the power industry and the oil refining and transformation sectors.

490   While the model predicts a dominant contribution from O&G exploitation to CH_4 enhancements, in agreement with the light alkanes' measurements, only weak quantitative agreement has been found between modelled and measured CH_4. This is most likely explained by a combination of factors including error in the inventory, poor dilution in the model in the vicinity of the sources, poor distribution of the point source intensities and lack of representation of temporal variations in emission patterns. A similar simulation using a different inventory (ECLIPSE) tended to overestimate the measured CH_4 close to sources in the

495   Southern Arabian Gulf. Despite these compounded uncertainties our study provides strong indication that inventories overestimate part of the regional upstream O&G emissions in middle-eastern countries neighboring the Arabian Gulf, especially linked to gas extraction. On the opposite, in the Northern Arabian Gulf with comparatively more oil fields the measured methane is generally underestimated by the simulations. Increase in O&G emissions in the Middle East compared to the reference year of the inventories would further enhance overestimations but could partly explain the underestimation for

500   the Northern Arabian Gulf area. Our study also shows that the inventories must be improved for the spatial distribution of emissions in this area whose emissions are dominated by the sector of O&G extraction, transport and transformation. Separating on-shore from off-shore emissions would require a dedicated modelling study investigating local atmospheric circulation combined with atmospheric composition measurements.

More targeted measurements investigating specifically offshore and onshore extraction sites will enable a better understanding

505   of the distribution of emission in the area. Assessing emissions from individual wells and processing facilities with dedicated measurements and combining these estimates at the regional level would be necessary to improve further our knowledge of actual O&G emissions in the Middle East. Combining CH_4 and alkane measurements offers the potential to 1) separate the O&G component of measured CH_4 from other sources and 2) to investigate at the regional and local level the fraction of emissions linked to each phase of O&G value chain, from extraction to end-usage through storage, transport and processing.

Figure A1. CH₄ emissions predicted by EDGAR v4.3.2 for year 2012 in the Mediterranean and Middle East, expressed in log(kg CH₄ m⁻² s⁻¹). The ship trajectory is shown in blue.

515

Figure A2. CO$_2$ emissions predicted by EDGAR v4.3.2 for year 2012 in the Mediterranean and Middle East, expressed in log10(kg CO$_2$ m^{-2} s^{-1}). The ship trajectory is shown in blue.

520 **Figure A3. Footprints for ship positions on (a) 08/08/2017 at 06:00 UTC, (b) 27/08/2017 at 08:00 UTC, (c) 04/07/2017 at 07:00 UTC. See main text for details. Footprints are expressed as log10 of residence time (s) of particles below 2000 m. The ship's position is indicated by the grey star.**

Data availability

525   The data is available on reasonable request directly from the authors.

Authors contribution

JDP conceived this study and wrote the manuscript. AR, AB and JDP performed and analysed the Flexpart simulations. EB, JW and LE did the alkane measurements. JDP and MD did the greenhouse gas measurements. IT provided complementary data. HH was the chief of mission. JL was the PI of the campaign. All contributed to the manuscript.

Competing interests

530

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

Max Planck Institute for Chemie, Mainz, organized the AQABA campaign and chartered the ship. Thanks to Marcel Dorf, Dieter Scharffe, Charlotte Beall, Claus Koeppel, and many other participants to the cruise for their crucial contribution. Jean
535   Sciare enabled the presence of our measurements during the campaign. Olivier Laurent, Céline Lett and Laurence Vialettes (ICOS teams at LSCE) were key contributors in designing and implementing the measurement setup. Thanks to Hays Ships and the R/V Kommandor Iona crew for their successful and friendly implementation of the cruise. We acknowledge the EMME-CARE project from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme (grant agreement No. 856612), as well as matching co-funding by the Government of the Republic of Cyprus.

540   **References**

Alvarez, R. A., Zavala-Araiza, D., Lyon, D. R., Allen, D. T., Barkley, Z. R., Brandt, A. R., Davis, K. J., Herndon, S. C., Jacob, D. J., Karion, A., Kort, E. A., Lamb, B. K., Lauvaux, T., Maasakkers, J. D., Marchese, A. J., Omara, M., Pacala, S. W., Peischl, J., Robinson, A. L., Shepson, P. B., Sweeney, C., Townsend-Small, A., Wofsy, S. C., and Hamburg, S. P.: Assessment of methane emissions from the U.S. oil and gas supply chain, Science, 361, 186–188, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar7204,
545   2018.

Assan, S., Baudic, A., Guemri, A., Ciais, P., Gros, V., and Vogel, F. R.: Characterization of interferences to in situ observations of $\delta 13CH_4$ and C2H6 when using a cavity ring-down spectrometer at industrial sites, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10, 2077–2091, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-2077-2017, 2017.

Berchet, A., Pison, I., Crill, P. M., Thornton, B., Bousquet, P., Thonat, T., Hocking, T., Thanwerdas, J., Paris, J.-D., and
550   Saunois, M.: Using ship-borne observations of methane isotopic ratio in the Arctic Ocean to understand methane sources in
the Arctic, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 3987–3998, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-3987-2020, 2020.

Bourtsoukidis, E., Ernle, L., Crowley, J. N., Lelieveld, J., Paris, J.-D., Pozzer, A., Walter, D., and Williams, J.: Non-methane
hydrocarbon (C2–C8) sources and sinks around the Arabian Peninsula, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 7209–7232,
https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-7209-2019, 2019.

555   Bourtsoukidis, E., Pozzer, A., Sattler, T., V. N. Matthaios, L. Ernle, A. Edtbauer, H. Fischer, T. Könemann, S. Osipov, J.-D.
Paris, E. Y. Pfannerstill, C. Stönner, I. Tadic, D. Walter, N. Wang, J. Lelieveld & J. Williams, The Red Sea Deep Water is a
potent source of atmospheric ethane and propane. Nat. Commun. 11, 447 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14375-
0

Celik, S., Drewnick, F., Fachinger, F., Brooks, J., Darbyshire, E., Coe, H., Paris, J.-D., Eger, P. G., Schuladen, J., Tadic, I.,
560   Friedrich, N., Dienhart, D., Hottmann, B., Fischer, H., Crowley, J. N., Harder, H., and Borrmann, S.: Influence of vessel
characteristics and atmospheric processes on the gas and particle phase of ship emission plumes: in situ measurements in the
Mediterranean Sea and around the Arabian Peninsula, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 4713–4734, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-
4713-2020, 2020.

Ciais, P., Paris, J. D., Marland, G., Peylin, P., Piao, S. L., Levin, I., Pregger, T., Scholz, Y., Friedrich, R., Rivier, L.,
565   Houwelling, S., Schulze, E. D., and members of the CARBOEUROPE synthesis team: The European carbon balance, Part 1:
fossil fuel emissions, Global Change Biol., 16, 1395–1408, 2010.

Conti, J., Holtberg, P., Diefenderfer, J., LaRose, A., Turnure, J. T., and Westfall, L. International Energy Outlook 2016 With
Projections to 2040. United States: N. p., doi:10.2172/1296780, 2016.

Dlugokencky, E. and Tans, P.: Trends in atmospheric carbon dioxide, National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration, Earth
570   System Research Laboratory (NOAA/ESRL), available at: http:// www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends/global.html, last
accessed: 26 July 2018.

Dudley, B.: Statistical Review of World Energy 68th Edition; Technical Report; BP p.l.c.: London, UK, 2019.

Elvidge, C.D., Zhizhin, M., Baugh, K., Hsu, F.-C., Ghosh, T. Methods for Global Survey of Natural Gas Flaring from Visible
Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite Data. Energies 9, 14, 2016.

575   Etiope, G. & Ciccioli, P. Earth's Degassing: A Missing Ethane and Propane Source. Science 323, 459 478-478,
doi.org/10.1126/science.1165904, 2009.

Friedlingstein, P., Jones, M. W., O'Sullivan, M., Andrew, R. M., Hauck, J., Peters, G. P., Peters, W., Pongratz, J., Sitch, S., Le
Quéré, C., Bakker, D. C. E., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Anthoni, P., Barbero, L., Bastos, A., Bastrikov, V.,
Becker, M., Bopp, L., Buitenhuis, E., Chandra, N., Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Currie, K. I., Feely, R. A., Gehlen, M., Gilfillan,
580   D., Gkritzalis, T., Goll, D. S., Gruber, N., Gutekunst, S., Harris, I., Haverd, V., Houghton, R. A., Hurtt, G., Ilyina, T., Jain, A.
K., Joetzjer, E., Kaplan, J. O., Kato, E., Klein Goldewijk, K., Korsbakken, J. I., Landschützer, P., Lauvset, S. K., Lefèvre, N.,
Lenton, A., Lienert, S., Lombardozzi, D., Marland, G., McGuire, P. C., Melton, J. R., Metzl, N., Munro, D. R., Nabel, J. E. M.

S., Nakaoka, S.-I., Neill, C., Omar, A. M., Ono, T., Peregon, A., Pierrot, D., Poulter, B., Rehder, G., Resplandy, L., Robertson, E., Rödenbeck, C., Séférian, R., Schwinger, J., Smith, N., Tans, P. P., Tian, H., Tilbrook, B., Tubiello, F. N., van der Werf, G. R., Wiltshire, A. J., and Zaehle, S.: Global Carbon Budget 2019, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 11, 1783–1838, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-11-1783-2019, 2019.

GIE-MARCOGAZ, Potential ways the gas industry can contribute to the reduction of methane emissions, Report for the Madrid Forum, 5-6 June 2019. https://www.gie.eu/index.php/gie-publications/methane-emission-report-2019/27786-gie-marcogaz-report-for-the-madrid-forum-potential-way-gas-industry-can-contribute-to-the-reduction-of-methane-emissions/file

Gilman, J. B., Lerner, B. M., Kuster, W. C., and de Gouw, J. A.: Source Signature of Volatile Organic Compounds from Oil and Natural Gas Operations in Northeastern Colorado, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 1297-1305, 10.1021/es304119a, 2013.

Hazan, L., Tarniewicz, J., Ramonet, M., Laurent, O., & Abbaris, A. (2016). Automatic processing of atmospheric CO_2 and CH_4 mole fractions at the ICOS Atmosphere Thematic Centre. Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 9(9), 4719-4736.

Helfter, C., Mullinger, N., Vieno, M., O'Doherty, S., Ramonet, M., Palmer, P. I., and Nemitz, E.: Country-scale greenhouse gas budgets using shipborne measurements: a case study for the UK and Ireland, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 3043–3063, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-3043-2019, 2019.

Höglund-Isaksson, L.: Global anthropogenic methane emissions 2005–2030: technical mitigation potentials and costs, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 9079–9096, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-9079-2012, 2012.

Huang, G., Brook, R., Crippa, M., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Schieberle, C., Dore, C., Guizzardi, D., Muntean, M., Schaaf, E., and Friedrich, R.: Speciation of anthropogenic emissions of non-methane volatile organic compounds: a global gridded data set for 1970–2012, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 7683–7701, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-7683-2017, 2017.

IEA, Methane Tracker 2020, IEA, Paris https://www.iea.org/reports/methane-tracker-2020, 2020.

Jackson R B, Friedlingstein P, Andrew R M, Canadell J G, Le Quéré C and Peters G P Persistent fossil fuel growth threatens the Paris Agreement and planetary health, Environ. Res. Lett. 14 121001, 2019.

Jackson, R. B., Saunois, M., Bousquet, P., Canadell, J. G., Poulter, B., Stavert, A. R., Bergamaschi, P., Niwa, Y., Segers, A., and Tsuruta, A.: Increasing anthropogenic methane emissions arise equally from agricultural and fossil fuel sources, Environ. Res. Lett., 15, 071002, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab9ed2, 2020.

Janssens-Maenhout, G., Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Muntean, M., Schaaf, E., Olivier, J.G.J., Peters, J.A.H.W., Schure, K.M., Fossil CO_2 and GHG emissions of all world countries, EUR 28766 EN, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, ISBN 978-92-79-73207-2, doi:10.2760/709792, JRC107877, 2017.

Janssens-Maenhout, G., Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Muntean, M., Schaaf, E., Dentener, F., Bergamaschi, P., Pagliari, V., Olivier, J. G. J., Peters, J. A. H. W., van Aardenne, J. A., Monni, S., Doering, U., Petrescu, A. M. R., Solazzo, E., and Oreggioni, G. D.: EDGAR v4.3.2 Global Atlas of the three major greenhouse gas emissions for the period 1970–2012, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 11, 959–1002, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-11-959-2019, 2019.

Jones, A., J.M. Haywood, and C.D. Jones, 2018: Can reducing black carbon and methane below RCP2.6 levels keep global warming below 1.5 °C? Atmos. Sci. Letters, doi:10.1002/asl.821.

Lelieveld, J., Hadjinocolaou, P., Kostopoulou, E., Chenoweth, J., El Maayar, M., Giannakopoulos, C., Hannides, C., Langa, M.A., Tanarhte, M., Tyrlis, E., Xoplaki, E., Climate change and impacts in the eastern Mediterranean and Middle East. Clim.
620 Change 114, 667–687, 2012.

Lelieveld, J., Berresheim, H., Borrmann, S., Crutzen, P. J., Dentener, F. J., Fischer, H., Feichter, J., Flatau, P. J., Heland, J., Holzinger, R., Korrmann, R., Lawrence, M. G., Levin, Z., Markowicz, K. M., Mihalopoulos, N., Minikin, A., Ramanathan, V., de Reus, M., Roelofs, G. J., Scheeren, H. A., Sciare, J., Schlager, H., Schultz, M., Siegmund, P., Steil, B., Stephanou, E. G., Stier, P., Traub, M., Warneke, C., Williams, J., and Ziereis, H.: Global air pollution crossroads over the Mediterranean,
625 Science, 298, 794–799, doi:10.1126/science.1075457, 2002.

Lowry, D., R. E. Fisher, J. L. France, M. Coleman, M. Lanoisellé, G. Zazzeri, E. G. Nisbet, J. T. Shaw, G. Allen, J. Pitt, R. S. Ward, Environmental baseline monitoring for shale gas development in the UK: Identification and geochemical characterization of local source emissions of methane to atmosphere, Sci. Total Env., 708, 134600, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134600, 2020.

630 Lyon, D. R., Alvarez, R. A., Zavala-Araiza, D., Brandt, A. R., Jack- son, R. B., and Hamburg, S. P.: Aerial Surveys of Elevated Hydrocarbon Emissions from Oil and Gas Production Sites, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 4877–4886, doi:10.1021/acs.est.6b00705, 2016.

McNorton, J., Wilson, C., Gloor, M., Parker, R. J., Boesch, H., Feng, W., Hossaini, R., and Chipperfield, M. P.: Attribution of recent increases in atmospheric methane through 3-D inverse modelling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 18149–18168,
635 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-18149-2018, 2018.

Nisbet, E. G., Fisher, R. E., Lowry, D., France, J. L., Allen, G., Bakkaloglu, S., T. J. Broderick, M. Cain, M. Coleman, J. Fernandez1, G. Forster, P. T. Griffiths, C. P. Iverach, B. F. J. Kelly, M. R. Manning, P. B. R. Nisbet-Jones, J. A. Pyle, A. Townsend-Small, A. al-Shalaan, N. Warwick, and G. Zazzeri. Methane mitigation: methods to reduce emissions, on the path to the Paris agreement. Rev. Geophys., 58, e2019RG000675. doi.org/10.1029/2019RG000675, 2020

640 OPEC, Annual statistical bulletin, 2020. https://asb.opec.org/index.html. Accessed 9 december 2020.

Petäjä, T., Duplissy, E.-M., Tabakova, K., Schmale, J., Altstädter, B., Ancellet, G., Arshinov, M., Balin, Y., Baltensperger, U., Bange, J., Beamish, A., Belan, B., Berchet, A., Bossi, R., Cairns, W. R. L., Ebinghaus, R., El Haddad, I., Ferreira-Araujo, B., Franck, A., Huang, L., Hyvärinen, A., Humbert, A., Kalogridis, A.-C., Konstantinov, P., Lampert, A., MacLeod, M., Magand, O., Mahura, A., Marelle, L., Masloboev, V., Moisseev, D., Moschos, V., Neckel, N., Onishi, T., Osterwalder, S., Ovaska, A.,
645 Paasonen, P., Panchenko, M., Pankratov, F., Pernov, J. B., Platis, A., Popovicheva, O., Raut, J.-C., Riandet, A., Sachs, T., Salvatori, R., Salzano, R., Schröder, L., Schön, M., Shevchenko, V., Skov, H., Sonke, J. E., Spolaor, A., Stathopoulos, V. K., Strahlendorff, M., Thomas, J. L., Vitale, V., Vratolis, S., Barbante, C., Chabrillat, S., Dommergue, A., Eleftheriadis, K., Heilimo, J., Law, K. S., Massling, A., Noe, S. M., Paris, J.-D., Prévôt, A. S. H., Riipinen, I., Wehner, B., Xie, Z., and

Lappalainen, H. K.: Overview: Integrative and Comprehensive Understanding on Polar Environments (iCUPE) – concept and initial results, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 8551–8592, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-8551-2020, 2020.

Peters, G. P., Davis, S. J., and Andrew, R.: A synthesis of carbon in international trade, Biogeosciences, 9, 3247–3276, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-3247-2012, 2012.

Parrish, D. D., Stohl, A., Forster, C., Atlas, E. L., Blake, D. R., Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C., and de Gouw, J. A.: Effects of mixing on evolution of hydrocarbon ratios in the troposphere, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D10S34, doi:10.1029/2006JD007584, 2007.

Pfannerstill, E. Y., Wang, N., Edtbauer, A., Bourtsoukidis, E., Crowley, J. N., Dienhart, D., Eger, P. G., Ernle, L., Fischer, H., Hottmann, B., Paris, J.-D., Stönner, C., Tadic, I., Walter, D., Lelieveld, J., and Williams, J.: Shipborne measurements of total OH reactivity around the Arabian Peninsula and its role in ozone chemistry, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 11501–11523, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-11501-2019, 2019.

Pisso, I., Sollum, E., Grythe, H., Kristiansen, N. I., Cassiani, M., Eckhardt, S., Arnold, D., Morton, D., Thompson, R. L., Groot Zwaaftink, C. D., Evangeliou, N., Sodemann, H., Haimberger, L., Henne, S., Brunner, D., Burkhart, J. F., Fouilloux, A., Brioude, J., Philipp, A., Seibert, P., and Stohl, A.: The Lagrangian particle dispersion model FLEXPART version 10.4, Geosci. Model Dev., 12, 4955–4997, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-4955-2019, 2019.

Purvis, R. M., Lewis, A. C., Carney, R. A., McQuaid, J. B., Arnold, S. R., Methven, J., Barjat, H., Dewey, K., Kent, J., Monks, P. S., Carpenter, L. J., Brough, N., Penkett, S. A., and Reeves, C. E.: Rapid uplift of nonmethane hydrocarbons in a cold front over central Europe, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, 4224, doi:10.1029/2002JD002521, 2003.

Ramonet, M., Ciais, P., Aalto, T., Aulagnier, C., Chevallier, F., Cipriano, D., Conway, T., Haszpra, L., Kazan, V., Meinhardt, F., Paris, J.-D., Schmid, M., Simmonds, P., Xueref-Rémy, I., and Necki, J.: A recent build-up of atmospheric CO_2 over Europe. Part 1: observed signals and possible explanations, Tellus B, 62, 1–13, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0889.2009.00442.x, 2010.

Reddy, C. M., Arey, J. S., Seewald, J. S., Sylva, S. P., Lemkau, K. L., Nelson, R. K., Carmichael, C. A., McIntyre, C. P., Fenwick, J., Ventura, G. T., van Mooy, B. A. S., & Camilli, R. Composition and fate of gas and oil released to the water column during the Deepwater Horizon oil spill, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 109(50), 20,229–20,234, doi:10.1073/pnas.1101242108, 2012.

Rella, C. W., Hoffnagle, J., He, Y., and Tajima, S.: Local- and regional-scale measurements of CH_4, $13CH_4$, and $C2H6$ in the Uintah Basin using a mobile stable isotope analyzer, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 4539–4559, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-4539-2015, 2015.

Ricaud, P., Zbinden, R., Catoire, V., Brocchi, V., Dulac, F., Hamonou, E., Canonici, J., El Amraoui, L., Massart, S., Piguet, B., Dayan, U., Nabat, P., Sciare, J., Ramonet, M., Delmotte, M., di Sarra, A., Sferlazzo, D., Di Iorio, T., Piacentino, S., Cristofanelli, P., Mihalopoulos, N., Kouvarakis, G., Pikridas, M., Savvides, C., Mamouri, R.-E., Nisantzi, A., Hadjimitsis, D., Attié, J.-L., Ferré, H., Kangah, Y., Jaidan, N., Guth, J., Jacquet, P., Jaidan, J., Guth, P., Robert, C., Bourdon, A., Bourdinot,

J.-F., Etienne, J.-C., Krysztofiak, G., Theron, P., Chevrier, S., The GLAM airborne campaign across the Mediterranean Basin. Bull. Am. Met. Soc. 99.2 (2018): 361-380. doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-16-0226.1, 2018.

Rogelj J. et al., Chapter 2: Mitigation pathways compatible with 1.5°C in the context of sustainable development in Global Warming of 1.5 °C, 2018, in Global Warming of 1.5 °C. http://ipcc.ch/report/sr15/

Saunois, M., Bousquet, P., Poulter, B., Peregon, A., Ciais, P., Canadell, J. G., Dlugokencky, E. J., Etiope, G., Bastviken, D., Houweling, S., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Tubiello, F. N., Castaldi, S., Jackson, R. B., Alexe, M., Arora, V. K., Beerling, D. J., Bergamaschi, P., Blake, D. R., Brailsford, G., Bruhwiler, L., Crevoisier, C., Crill, P., Covey, K., Frankenberg, C., Gedney, N., Höglund-Isaksson, L., Ishizawa, M., Ito, A., Joos, F., Kim, H.-S., Kleinen, T., Krummel, P., Lamarque, J.-F., Langenfelds, R., Locatelli, R., Machida, T., Maksyutov, S., Melton, J. R., Morino, I., Naik, V., O'Doherty, S., Parmentier, F.-J. W., Patra, P. K., Peng, C., Peng, S., Peters, G. P., Pison, I., Prinn, R., Ramonet, M., Riley, W. J., Saito, M., Santini, M., Schroeder, R., Simpson, I. J., Spahni, R., Takizawa, A., Thornton, B. F., Tian, H., Tohjima, Y., Viovy, N., Voulgarakis, A., Weiss, R., Wilton, D. J., Wiltshire, A., Worthy, D., Wunch, D., Xu, X., Yoshida, Y., Zhang, B., Zhang, Z., and Zhu, Q.: Variability and quasi-decadal changes in the methane budget over the period 2000–2012, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 11135–11161, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-11135-2017, 2017.

Saunois, M., Stavert, A. R., Poulter, B., Bousquet, P., Canadell, J. G., Jackson, R. B., Raymond, P. A., Dlugokencky, E. J., Houweling, S., Patra, P. K., Ciais, P., Arora, V. K., Bastviken, D., Bergamaschi, P., Blake, D. R., Brailsford, G., Bruhwiler, L., Carlson, K. M., Carrol, M., Castaldi, S., Chandra, N., Crevoisier, C., Crill, P. M., Covey, K., Curry, C. L., Etiope, G., Frankenberg, C., Gedney, N., Hegglin, M. I., Höglund-Isaksson, L., Hugelius, G., Ishizawa, M., Ito, A., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Jensen, K. M., Joos, F., Kleinen, T., Krummel, P. B., Langenfelds, R. L., Laruelle, G. G., Liu, L., Machida, T., Maksyutov, S., McDonald, K. C., McNorton, J., Miller, P. A., Melton, J. R., Morino, I., Müller, J., Murguia-Flores, F., Naik, V., Niwa, Y., Noce, S., O'Doherty, S., Parker, R. J., Peng, C., Peng, S., Peters, G. P., Prigent, C., Prinn, R., Ramonet, M., Regnier, P., Riley, W. J., Rosentreter, J. A., Segers, A., Simpson, I. J., Shi, H., Smith, S. J., Steele, L. P., Thornton, B. F., Tian, H., Tohjima, Y., Tubiello, F. N., Tsuruta, A., Viovy, N., Voulgarakis, A., Weber, T. S., van Weele, M., van der Werf, G. R., Weiss, R. F., Worthy, D., Wunch, D., Yin, Y., Yoshida, Y., Zhang, W., Zhang, Z., Zhao, Y., Zheng, B., Zhu, Q., Zhu, Q., and Zhuang, Q.: The Global Methane Budget 2000–2017, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 12, 1561–1623, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-1561-2020, 2020.

Seibert, P. and Frank, A.: Source-receptor matrix calculation with a Lagrangian particle dispersion model in backward mode, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 51–63, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-4-51-2004, 2004.

Schwietzke, S., Sherwood, O. A., Bruhwiler, L. M. P., Miller, J. B., Etiope, G., Dlugokencky, E. J., Michel, S. E., Arling, V. A., Vaughn, B. H., White, J. W. C., and Tans, P. P.: Upward revision of global fossil fuel methane emissions based on isotope database, Nature, 538, 88–91, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19797, 2016.

Simpson, I. J., Sulbaek Andersen, M. P., Meinardi, S., Bruhwiler, L., Blake, N. J., Helmig, D., Rowland, F. S., and Blake, D. R.: Long-term decline of global atmospheric ethane concentrations and implications for methane, Nature, 488, 490–494, doi:10.1038/nature11342, 2012.

Tadic, I., Crowley, J. N., Dienhart, D., Eger, P., Harder, H., Hottmann, B., Martinez, M., Parchatka, U., Paris, J.-D., Pozzer, A., Rohloff, R., Schuladen, J., Shenolikar, J., Tauer, S., Lelieveld, J., and Fischer, H.: Net ozone production and its relationship to nitrogen oxides and volatile organic compounds in the marine boundary layer around the Arabian Peninsula, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 6769–6787, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-6769-2020, 2020.

720 Wang, N., Edtbauer, A., Stönner, C., Pozzer, A., Bourtsoukidis, E., Ernle, L., Dienhart, D., Hottmann, B., Fischer, H., Schuladen, J., Crowley, J. N., Paris, J.-D., Lelieveld, J., and Williams, J.: Measurements of carbonyl compounds around the Arabian Peninsula: overview and model comparison, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 10807–10829, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-10807-2020, 2020.

Whiticar, M. Correlation of Natural Gases with Their Sources. In The Petroleum System – From Source to Trap; Magoon, L.
725 B., Dow, W. G., Eds.; AAPG; pp 261−283, 1994.

Yacovitch, T. I., Daube, C., & Herndon, S. C., Methane emissions from offshore oil and gas platforms in the Gulf of Mexico. Environmental Science & Technology, 54(6), 3530-3538. https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b07148, 2020.

Yang, E. G., Kort, E. A., Wu, D., Lin, J. C., Oda, T., Ye, X., & Lauvaux, T.: Using space-based observations and Lagrangian modeling to evaluate urban carbon dioxide emissions in the Middle East. J. Geophys. Res., 125, e2019JD031922.
730 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD031922, 2020.

Yver Kwok, C., Laurent, O., Guemri, A., Philippon, C., Wastine, B., Rella, C. W., Vuillemin, C., Truong, F., Delmotte, M., Kazan, V., Darding, M., Lebègue, B., Kaiser, C., Xueref-Rémy, I., and Ramonet, M.: Comprehensive laboratory and field testing of cavity ring-down spectroscopy analyzers measuring H_2O, CO_2, CH_4 and CO, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 3867–3892, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-3867-2015, 2015.

735 Zavala-Araiza, D., Lyon, D. R., Alvarez, R. A., Davis, K. J., Harriss, R., Herndon, S. C., Karion, A., Kort, E. A., Lamb, B. K., Lan, X., Marchese, A. J., Pacala, S. W., Robinson, A. L., Shepson, P. B., Sweeney, C., Talbot, R., Townsend-Small, A., Yacovitch, T. I., Zimmerle, D. J., and Hamburg, S. P.: Reconciling divergent estimates of oil and gas methane emissions, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 112, 15597–15602, doi:10.1073/pnas.1522126112, 2015.

Zhang, F., Chen, Y., Tian, C., Lou, D., Li, J., Zhang, G., and Matthias, V.: Emission factors for gaseous and particulate
740 pollutants from offshore diesel engine vessels in China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 6319-6334, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-6319-2016, 2016.