1	The Effect of Forced <u>Change</u> and Unforced Variability on Heat Waves,
2	Temperature Extremes, and Associated Population Risk in a CO ₂ -
3	Warmed World
4	
5	Jangho Lee, Jeffrey C. Mast, and Andrew E. Dessler
6	Department of Atmospheric Sciences, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	Corresponding author: Andrew Dessler (adessler@tamu.edu)
16	
17	Key Points
18	• Unforced variability of the climate system, primarily ENSO, plays a key role in the
19	occurrence of extreme events in a warming world.
20	• Uncertainty of internal <u>unforced</u> variability is shown to reduce <u>becomes smaller</u> as one looks
21	at larger regions or at a global perspective by using the large Ensembles.
22	• Increases of heat wave indices are significant between 1.5°C and 2.0°C of warming and
23	the risk of facing extreme heat events is higher in low GDP regions.

25	Abstract

26 This study investigates the impact of global warming on heat and humidity extremes by analyzing 6-hourly output from 28 members of the Max Planck Institute Grand Ensemble driven 27 28 by forcing from a 1%/year CO₂ increase. We find that unforced variability drives large changes 29 in regional exposure to extremes in different ensemble members, and these variations are mostly 30 associated with ENSO variability. However, while the unforced variability of the climate can 31 alter the occurrence of extremes regionally, variability within the ensemble decreases 32 significantly as one looks at larger regions or at a global population perspective. This means that, 33 for metrics of extreme heat and humidity analyzed here, forced variability of the climate is more 34 important than the unforced variability at global scales. Lastly, we found that most heat wave 35 metrics will increase significantly between 1.5°C and 2.0°C, and that low GDP regions shows 36 significant higher risks of facing extreme heat events compared to high GDP regions. 37 Considering the limited economic adaptability of population to heat extremes, this reinforces the idea that the most severe impacts of climate change may fall mostly on those least capable to 38 39 adapt.

40 **1. Introduction**

41 The long-term goal of the 2015 Paris agreement is to keep the increase in global temperature well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels, while pursuing efforts and to-limit the 42 43 warming to 1.5°C. Given that no one lives in the global average, however, understanding how 44 these global average thresholds translate into regional occurrences of extreme heat and humidity 45 is of great value (Harrington et al., 2018). Various Previous studies have reported that regional 46 extreme heat events and heat waves will not only be more frequent, but also more extreme in a 47 warmer world. This was discussed in various assessment and reports such as US National 48 Climate assessment and those by IPCC (Melillo et al., 2014; Wuebbles et al., 2017; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2018; Masson-Delmotte et al., 2018) and it is reported to have significant impacts 49 50 on human society and health and it is expected to have significant impacts on human society and 51 health. More importantly, previous studies have analyzed the risk (Quinn et al., 2014;Sun et al., 2014;Lundgren et al., 2013), exposure (Dahl et al., 2019;Ruddell et al., 2009;Liu et al., 52 2017;Luber and McGeehin, 2008), vulnerability (Chow et al., 2012;Wilhelmi and Hayden, 2010) 53 54 and susceptibility (Arbuthnott et al., 2016) of population in the current and warmer climates. 55 Many criteria and indices have been used to assess extreme heat, such as the absolute 56 increase of maximum temperature from the reference period (Wobus et al., 2018), risk ratio of 57 population's exposure to heat (Kharin et al., 2018), and heat wave magnitude index (Russo et al., 58 $\frac{2017}{10}$. In this study, we utilize four locally defined heat wave indices from Fischer and Schär 59 (2010) and Perkins et al. (2012) of duration, frequency, amplitude, and mean. We also focus on 60 consecutive-day extremes, which are known to cause more harm than single-day events 61 (Baldwin et al., 2019;Simolo et al., 2011;Tan et al., 2010). In addition, because the combined 62 effect of temperature and humidity is known to affect human health by reducing the body's

ability to cool itself through perspiration, wet-bulb temperature is frequently analyzed (Kang and
Eltahir, 2018) and we will do so here.

Climate extremes are always a combination of long-term forced climate change acting in 65 concert with unforced variability (Deser et al., 2012). Thus, characterizing and quantifying both 66 67 long-term change due to external forcing and the unforced variability of the climate system is 68 crucial in assessing the future risk of extreme events. There have been numerous studies that 69 linkslink dominant modes of unforced variability to extreme events. Temperature connections 70 with El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) (Thirumalai et al., 2017; Meehl et al., 2007), the 71 Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) (Birk et al., 2010), Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) 72 (Zhang et al., 2020) have been investigated from the previous studies. The effect of climate 73 extremes on different populations depends on the level of economic development, with impacts 74 of heat extremes being more severe in less economically developed countries, the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) (Zhang et al., 2020; Mann et al., 2021) have been investigated 75 in the previous studies. The effect of climate extremes on different populations depends on 76 77 numerous factors, including the level of economic development, with impacts of heat extremes 78 being more severe in less economically developed countries (Diffenbaugh and Burke, 79 2019;Harrington et al., 2016;King and Harrington, 2018). For example, as temperatures go up, 80 increased energy demand to cool buildings will be required (Parkes et al., 2019;Sivak, 2009). 81 But this requires resources to both install air conditioning and then run it. in metropolitan area. 82 But this requires resources to both install air conditioning and then operate it. The greater impacts of extreme heat in economically less developed region in a warmer climate has been 83 84 discussed in multiple studies (Marcotullio et al., 2021;Russo et al., 2019).

85	In this paper, a single-model initial-condition ensemble of 28 runssimulations of a global
86	climate model (GCM) is are used to quantify heat and humidity extremes in a warmer world. We
87	use population data to look at population risk as well as thresholds for mortality events in
88	daytime (Mora et al., 2017) and nighttime (Chen and Lu, 2014). (Chen and Lu, 2014). We also
89	utilize per capita gross domestic product (GDP per capita) data to investigate how climate
90	change impacts extreme heat events on different levels of economic status during extreme
91	events. To quantify the impact on energy demand, we also quantify changes in cooling degree
92	days and warming degree days.
93	The rest of the paper will focus on the following topics: Section 2 describes the model
94	and data used, Section 3 explains the bias correction method, as well as explaining the metrics
95	used. Section 4 describes the results of the calculations and associated heat wave events in the
96	warmer world as well as the role of unforced variability on extreme heat events. Section 5
97	summarizes the results and suggests directions for the future work.
98	
99	2. Data
100	2.1. MPI-GE ensembles
101	Simulation data in this study come from an ensemble of runs of the Max-Plank Institute
102	Earth System Model collectively known as the MPI Grand Ensemble (MPI-GE) project (Maher
103	et al., 2019). Each of the 28 ensemble members branches from different points of a 2000-year
104	pre-industrial control run and goare integrated for 150 years, forced by CO ₂ concentration
105	increasing at 1% per year (hereafter, 1% runs). Because the radiative forcing scales as the log of

CO2 concentration, the 1% runs feature radiative forcing that increases approximately linearly in

107 time. We analyze 6-hourly output with $1.875^{\circ} \times 1.875^{\circ}$ spatial resolution, which is the original

108	resolution of the model output, for land and near-land ocean areas between 60°N and 60°S. Our
109	analysis will focus on 2-meter temperature (hereafter, t2m) and 2-meter dew point temperature
110	(d2m), from which 2-meter relative humidity (<u>rh)</u> and wet-bulb temperature (w2m) are
111	calculated using the equationsmethods of (!!! INVALID CITATION !!!).
112	Unforced variability in the climate system generates uncertainties in the projection of the
113	climate by impacting the dynamic component of the climate, especially for extreme events (Kay
114	et al., 2015;Thompson et al., 2015). One way to analyze the impact of unforced variability in
115	climate system is to use an initial-condition ensemble. Each members of initial-condition
116	ensemble are generated by perturbating the initial conditions of single climate model. This
117	perturbation will then propagate to generate different sequence of climate, such as ENSO, PDO,
118	etc. (Deser et al., 2012;Kay et al., 2015). In this paper, we use the ensemble to allow us to
119	estimate the impact of unforced variability on temperature extremes.
120	
121	forcing (hereafter, historical runs), which simulates the years 1850-2005. We also analyze runs
122	with RCP8.5 forcing, which simulate the years 2006-2100. Like the 1% runs, each historical
123	ensemble member and it's RCP 8.5 extension branches from a different point in the same 2000-
124	year control run. This historical and RCP8.5 ensemble only has monthly average fields.
125	Since the model used only considers CO ₂ forcing without aerosols, and it represents a
126	continuously warming climate, one might question if the model simulation accurately represents
127	the real climate. To judge the fidelity of the simulations, we compare 15 years (2003-2017) of
128	ERA-Interim reanalysis data (Dee et al., 2011) from the European Centre for Medium Range
129	forecast (ECMWF) with 15 years of the MPI-GE 1% ensemble which have the same ensemble-
130	and global-average temperatures (years 39-53); in the rest of the paper, we will refer to these as

131	the reference periods. In both data sets, we then calculate 90 th percentile and mean t2m and w2m
132	for each grid points. This calculation was done for each member of the model ensemble. For
133	each of the 4 values (90 th percentile t2m/w2m and mean t2m/w2m), we determine if the values
134	from the reanalysis fall into the spread of 28 ensemble members of the 1% runs. For each grid
135	point, if the reanalysis value falls within the ensemble spread, we mask out the grid point; if not,
136	we plot how far the reanalysis value is from the closest member of the 1% ensemble (Figure 1).
137	Generally, the 1% runs overpredicts t2m and w2m in Northern hemisphere, and
138	underpredicts in Southern hemisphere, except for India. This difference is consistent with the
139	fact that the 1% models do not contain aerosol forcing, which should lead to biases of the sign
140	seen in Fig. 1. The w2m shows larger area of differences than t2m, which suggests that there are
141	larger biases in the dew point, which is needed in the calculation (Davies-Jones, 2008). The area-
142	weighted averages of these differences are -0.08°C, -0.03°C, -0.04°C, and -0.11°C globally for
143	90 th percentile t2m, mean t2m, 90 th percentile w2m, and mean w2m respectively, which means
144	that the model is, on average, underpredicting land temperature. Breaking down to Northern and
145	Southern hemisphere, the bias is 0.20°C, 0.21°C, 0.15°C, 0.14°C in NH and -0.64°C, -0.54°C, -
146	0.36°C, and -0.44°C, confirming that the model is overpredicting temperature in NH land and
147	underpredicting in SH land.
148	To quantify the impact of the biases in Fig. 1 on the occurrence of heat extremes, we will
149	perform sensitivity tests on the calculations by adding to each grid point of each member of the
150	ensemble the average differences between the ensemble average t2m and w2m and the
151	reanalysis. By evaluating how much our results change, we come up with an estimate of the
152	impact of model biases on our results. As we will show later, these biases have little impact on
1 = 0	

153 <u>the results of the paper.</u>

1	54	

.

155 2.2. Global population and GDP per capita data

156 Global population data from the NASA Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center 157 (SEDAC, 2018) are used to weight the heat wave indices by population. The data represent the 158 population in year 2015 at $30'' \times 30''$ spatial resolution, and we-averaged and re-gridded to the 159 $1.875^{\circ} \times 1.875^{\circ}$ grid of the MPI model by summing the values in grid boxes surrounding the 160 MPI grid centers. In our population-weighted calculations, we assume that the relative 161 distribution of population remains fixed into the future. 162 Gridded GDP per capita data (Kummu, 2019) over 1990-2015 are used to estimate the 163 risk of heat extreme events for different levels of wealth. These data are regridded re-gridded from the original $5'' \times 5''$ spatial resolution to the MPI model's resolution of $1.875^{\circ} \times 1.875^{\circ}$ by 164 165 averaging the GDP inside the grid box. When averaging the GDP doing this average, per capita 166 GDP has been multiplied was weighted by population to estimate the total GDP. Data were 167 thenand also averaged over the 1990-2015 period. We assume that the relative percentile of 168 GDP per capita for each grid point is assumed to be fixed into the future, so changes in climate 169 risk are due to exposure to warmer climate extremes, not changes in relative per capita wealth. 170

171 **3. Method of analysis**

172 *3.1. Global warming*

Global warming is defined as the global and annual average temperature increase
compared to the <u>average of first 5 years of the 1% run. We find that ensemble- and global-</u>
average t2m reaches 1.5°C, 2°C, <u>3°C and 4°C occur in years 59, 76, 108, and 133 years,</u>
respectively, and reaches 4.596°C at the end of the 150-year run. The increase of global average

179

180 *3.2. Bias-correction of 1% runs*

181 Many GCMs have systematic biases in surface temperature, and various attempts have 182 been made to correct them (e.g. Li et al. (2010); Thrasher et al. (2012)). In our analysis, we are 183 mainly interested in the spatial pattern of warming, and to judge the fidelity of that in the MPI-184 ESM 1.1 model, we compare the 1% runs with ERA Interim reanalysis data (Dee et al., 2011) 185 from European Centre for Medium-range Weather Forecast (ECMWF). To do this, we compared 186 the period 2003-2017 in the ERA-interim with a 15-year period in the 1% runs (years 39-53) 187 with the same ensemble- and global-average absolute temperature. The ensemble and area-188 averaged bias for land and near-land ocean areas archived in the 6-hourly dataset is near zero for 189 t2m, but underestimates w2m over this period by 0.18°C (Figure 1). 190 But while the ensemble- and area-averaged t2m bias is near zero, the difference is not 191 zero at all grid points of individual ensemble members. Figures 2a and 2b show the difference in 192 the 90th percentile value of t2m and w2m at each grid point calculated over the 15-year period in the model ensemble minus the 90th percentile value at the same grid point in the ERA-Interim. 193

194 Figures 2c and 2d show the difference in median values.

195 This bias is not the result of unforced variability — it is consistent in all ensemble 196 members. To show this, we calculate at each grid point the difference between the highest and 197 lowest 90th percentile temperature in the ensemble divided by the ensemble average 90th 198 percentile temperature bias between reanalysis data the ensemble, computed where the bias is 199 greater than 2°C (Figure 2e). We also do the same for the median temperature (Figure 2f). The disagreement between the ensembles is at most 37% of the bias in the same region, and the
 average is 13% (Figures 2e, f). In other words, the systematic bias of the model compared to
 reanalysis exceeds the spread within the ensemble.

203 The CDF-t method (Michelangeli et al., 2009) is used to bias correct each ensemble 204 member of the 1% runs. CDF t method finds the transformation function that maps the 205 cumulative density function (CDF) of a GCM to the CDF of a historical reanalysis data in a 206 reference period, which is year 39-53 in 1% runs and 2003-2017 for ERA Interim reanalysis 207 data. This function is then applied to the 1% runs to generate bias corrected fields. For the values 208 that fall outside the limits of the CDFs in the reference period, linear extrapolation is used. CDF-t 209 is known to realistically correct the temperature and precipitation output of GCMs, especially for 210 extreme events (Vrac et al., 2012; Watanabe et al., 2012).

Bias correction via CDF t is done for t2m and d2m, and then rh and w2m are calculated with these bias corrected fields. The bias is reduced significantly for all regions for both t2m and w2m (Figures 1c, 1d, 2a-2d). The bias in w2m is mostly caused by the small remaining biases in t2m and d2m, which are amplified in the w2m calculation. Hereafter, '1% runs' will refer to the bias-corrected 1% runs.

Since the 1% runs are CO₂-only forcing, without aerosol forcing, one might wonder
whether the temperature extremes estimated by these models would apply to a world with a more
realistic forcing that includes aerosols. To determine this, we have compared monthly average
and monthly maximum temperatures from an ensemble of 100 RCP 8.5 scenario runs from the
MPI-GE to the same quantities estimated from the 1% ensemble. If we compare the ensembles
at points in time when they have 1.5, 2, 3, and 4°C of ensemble- and global-average warming,
we find very small regional differences — the regional ensemble averaged maximum and mean

223	temperature difference was less than 0.5°C in all regions. Alternatively, since we bias-corrected
224	the 1% CO ₂ runs to reanalysis data, which contains aerosol forcing, our bias corrected 1% CO ₂
225	runs can be understood as a continuously warming climate driven by CO ₂ , with effect of aerosols
226	fixed at 2003-2017 period.
227	
228	The focus on the paper will be on heat extremes at 1.5°C, 2°C and 3°C. The 1.5°C and
229	2°C thresholds are the limits described in the Paris Agreement, while 3°C is the warming we are
230	presently on track for (Hausfather and Peters, 2020).
231	
232	3. <u>32</u> . Heat wave indices
233	Identification of heat waves is done in several steps. First, for each grid point, we smooth
234	a daily maximum temperature (determined form 6-hourly temperatures) using a 15-day moving
235	window for the first 5 years of 1% runs, which is the period before significant warming has
236	occurred. This was done at each grid points, followed by a framework from Fischer and Schär
237	(2010). Then, also for each grid point Then, the 90 th percentile of smoothed daily maximum
238	temperature for the first 5 years was calculated. at each grid point (Fischer and Schär, 2010).
239	This value is used as a threshold for the heat waves. After calculating the threshold, at that grid
240	point. Then we calculate the heat wave days, defined as days that exceeds exceed the threshold
241	for three or more consecutive days (Baldwin et al., 2019).
242	We then define four indices to represent the characteristics of these heat waves. To
243	determine the occurrence of events, heat wave duration (HWD; longest heat wave of the year)
244	and heat wave frequency (HWF; total number of heat wave days in a year) are calculated. From
245	an intensity perspective, heat wave amplitude (HWA; maximum temperature during heat wave

246	days during a year) and heat wave mean (HWM; mean temperature during heat wave days in a
247	year) are selected. These indices are also calculated in an analogous fashion for wet bulb
248	temperature (w2m), since wet-bulb temperature is arguably more relevant for human health (Heo
249	et al., 2019; Morris et al., 2019; Buzan and Huber, 2020). These indices are summarized in Table
250	1.
251	
252	3.4 <u>3</u> . Deadly days and tropical nights
253	Heat wave thresholds are different for each grid point because they are based on pre-
254	industrial baselinetemperatures at that grid point. Combined with regional differences in the
255	ability to adapt, this means that heat waves in different regions may have different implications
256	for human society. We therefore also count the number of days each year with daily maximum
257	w2m above $\frac{2426}{26}$ °C, which we refer to as "deadly days". We note that other values could be
258	chosen, with higher values occurring less frequently but having more significant impacts. This
259	value is consistent with based on the analysis of Mora et al. (2017), who demonstrated that this is
260	the threshold above which fatalities from heat-related illness occur. w2m of about 24°C is the
261	threshold which fatalities from heat-related illness occur. However, since we find that there are
262	some regions that already experience over 9 months of 24°C w2m events per year, we increase
263	this threshold to 26°C in our analysis. We could have chosen higher w2m values, but any choice
264	in this range is associated with negative impacts, so we have chosen a value near the bottom of
265	the range where mortality occurs in order to maximize the signal in the model runs.
266	A warm nighttime minimum temperature can be as important as a high maximum
267	temperature for human health and mortality (Argaud et al., 2007;Patz et al., 2005), so we define
268	"tropical nights" as a daily minimum t2m over 25°C (Lelieveld et al., 2012).

269	
270	3. <u>54</u> . Cooling degree days and heating degree days
271	To assess the economic and energy impact of heat extremes, cooling degree days (CDD)
272	and heating degree days (HDD) are calculated. CDD and HDD are metrics of the energy demand
273	to cool and heat buildings. For each grid point, annual CDD is calculated by subtracting 18°C
274	from the daily average temperature and summing only the positive values over the year. HDD is
275	the absolute value of the sum of the negative values. Although energy demand could be highly
276	linked to the culture, wealth, population of the region and other meteorological conditions rather
277	than the daily mean temperature, previous Previous studies reported that CDD and HDD are
278	closely related to energy consumption (Sailor and Muñoz, 1997).
279	
280	4. Results
281	4.1. Impact of unforced variability of climate on regional heat extremes
282	To investigate the impact of unforced variability on more regional heat extremes, we
283	selecttake the 15 largelargest cities spread around the worldby population (Fig. 3a). Figure 3b d
284	shows the maximum spread in2a) and determine the number of deadly days and tropical nights
285	within over time by averaging the 3×3 grid points surrounding the city, only including the land
286	grid points. Figure 2b-d depicts the ensemble — i.e., the difference averaged number of deadly
287	days and tropical nights, as well as the spread between the ensemble member with members. The
288	error bars in Figure b-d show the highest and lowest values of extreme events (deadly days,
289	tropical nights) minus the member with the lowest at a year when ensemble and global
290	average temperature reaches the thresholdextremes.
1	

291	This difference within the ensemble is the result of unforced variability and we see that it
292	varies considerably among the cities. For example, Moscow shows a small spread within the
293	ensemble members for both deadly days and tropical nights for all periods of global warming.
294	This is because, even with 4°C of warming, Moscow experiences a maximum of only 8 deadly
295	days and 25 tropical nights per year. In contrast, with 3°C of warming, a warmer city such as
296	Kinshasa experiences 148 more deadly days in some ensembles than others, and 55 more tropical
297	nights. For all 15 cities, average spread in the number of deadly days at 1.5°C, 2.0°C, 3.0°C-,
298	and 4.0°C of global warming between the ensemble members with maximum and minimum
299	numbers is 53.5, 53.2, 63 are 14.3, 15.1, 20.6, and 56.8 21.9 days per year. For tropical nights, the
300	spreads are 29.3, 27.7, 29.1, and 26.7 days per year. For tropical nights, the spread is 50.4, 50.3,
301	50.9, and 52.2 days per year. So, on average, unforced variability can change the number of
302	extreme days and nights by about two months per yeara few weeks per year. There is no
303	significant variance of ensemble spread between the cities except for cities with very low
304	ensemble-averaged values (e.g., Mexico City at 1.5°C warming) or very high values (e.g.,
305	tropical nights in Manila at 4.0°C warming). However, for the cities that do not see large
306	increase in extreme temperatures (e.g., New York City), this represents a very large fraction of
307	the predicted change of extremes, while for cities that experience much larger increase (e.g.,
308	Manila), it represents a smaller percentage.
309	As discussed in Section 2.1, we examine the sensitivity of our results to potential biases
310	of the model by recalculating the deadly days and tropical nights using model data after adding
311	in the bias estimated by comparison to the reanalysis. The average difference of deadly days in
312	the sensitivity test (absolute difference) at 1.5°C, 2.0°C, 3.0°C, and 4.0°C warming is 2.1, 2.5,
313	5,5, and 7.6 days per year when averaged over 15 cities. The standard deviation of difference

314 calculated between the cities is 2.5, 3.4, 6.7, and 9.7 days at each level of warming. For tropical 315 nights, sensitivity test produced differences of 3.6, 3.6, 5.3, and 3.5 days per year at each level of warming, with standard deviations within the ensemble of 3.6, 4.9, 6.9, and 1.8 days. Thus, 316 317 model biases are unlikely to have a large impact on our results. 318 Previous work has attempted to distinguish the origin and mechanisms of unforced 319 variability from of temperature and temperature extremes (Meehl et al., 2007;Zhang et al., 320 2020;Birk et al., 2010). To probe the physical mechanisms-statistical modes of variability 321 affecting this spread of ensembles ensemble spread and to identify the underlying physical 322 mechanisms, empirical orthogonal function (EOF) analysis (North, 1984) was performed 323 separately on the detrended and normalized time series of deadly days and tropical nights for the 324 15 cities across the ensemble. We. For each city, the 28 ensemble members are concatenated 325 together (total of 28×150 years) in order for all ensemble to share the same EOF. In this way, we 326 aim to find the dominant drivers of unforced variability that impacts representative heat extremes 327 in the largest cities around the world. 328 The first three EOF patterns for each city are plotted in Fig. 43 as bars. The first EOF 329 mode of deadly days per year in 15 cities show similar signs for all cities except Istanbul and 330 Kinshasa, where the magnitude of the EOF is small for both cities. This means that, if one of the 331 cities is hot, then the others also tend to be hot. shows large values for Delhi, Shanghai, Dhaka, 332 and Karachi, while cities in other regions show lower values. The second and third EOFs for 333 deadly days shows more variability between the cities. The EOFs first EOF for tropical

nights (Fig. 4d, 4e, 4f) shows more variability 3d) show large positive values for cities in the

335 <u>India-Pakistan region</u>, with higher number of other cities showing smaller magnitude changes.

The second EOF shows large negative values in Cairo, Istanbul, and Manila, while the third EOF

<u>for tropical nights in someshows more variability between the</u> cities associated with lower values
 in others.

339 The PC time series are projected onto detrended annual sea surface temperature (SST) 340 anomalies. This allows us to investigate how heat extreme events in 15 major cities are 341 associated with global modes of internal variability. This is also plotted in Fig. 4. All of the 342 projections of deadly day PCs and projections of the first two modes of tropical nights shows 343 patterns similar to El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO). 344 Characteristic patterns for ENSO, PDO, and AMO are calculated for each ensemble using all 345 150-year of SSTs, and the pattern is averaged over ensembles to come up with a single ENSO, 346 PDO, and AMO SST for the ensemble. Then, those patterns are compared with the PC projection 347 on SST. Correlation coefficients between the standard climate indices and PC projected SST is 348 shown on lower panel of Fig. 4. unforced variability. Maps of correlation coefficients are also 349 plotted in Fig. 3. Characteristic patterns for ENSO (Trenberth, 2020), PDO (Deser and 350 Trenberth, 2016), and AMO (Trenberth and Zhang, 2021) are calculated for each ensemble using 351 all 150-year of SSTs, and the pattern is averaged over ensembles to come up with a single 352 ENSO, PDO, and AMO SST pattern for the ensemble. Then, those patterns are compared with the PC projection on SST to see how PC projected SST resembles the patterns of unforced 353 354 variability. Correlation coefficients between the standard climate indices and PC projected SST 355 is shown on lower panel of Fig. 3 as numbers. All of the projections of deadly day PCs and 356 projections of the first two modes of tropical nights shows patterns similar to El Niño-Southern 357 Oscillation (ENSO) and Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO). 358 Power spectra of the PCs are calculated individually for each ensemble member, and then 359 the ensemble average is plotted in-Figure 54. Overall, the spectra of the deadly day PCs look

very much like the spectrum for ENSO, butand it notably does not have the ~20-year peak of the PDO spectrum.- This tells us that, in this model at least, the variability in the occurrence of deadly days in these large cities is strongly regulated by ENSO. This may be a consequence of the fact that these large cities are mostly located near ocean and at lower latitudes. The third deadly day PC has lower correlations with ENSO or PDO index-and a peak at both the ENSO period a slightly longer period than ENSO, about 10 years, so it is harder to draw firm conclusions about the mechanism behind it.

Also, higher modes of EOFs are unlikely to refer to a single mode of climate due to the
 orthogonality constraints between each mode. The tropical night PCs also show peaks at ENSO
 periods (Fig. 5b4b) suggesting that, like deadly days, tropical night variability is controlled by
 ENSO. However, the PC-projected SST of the third EOF of tropical nights shows high values
 near Northern Africa and East Asian region, suggesting that this EOF represents the effect of
 ENSO on tropical night variability in this region.

373

374 4.2. Cluster analysis and population risk of heat wave indices

375 We calculate HWD, HWF, HWA, and HWM for both t2m and w2m each year at each 376 grid point, which generates eight different 150-year time series for each of the 28 ensemble 377 members. Each time series at each grid point is regressed vs. time, yielding a slope and the 378 intercept for each time series in all of the 28 ensemble members. The 16 variables (8 [heat wave 379 indices] $\times 2$ [slope, intercept]) are then utilized as a predictor variable for K-means clustering 380 (Likas et al., 2003) to categorize the spatial variation of heat waves. K-means clustering aims to 381 elassify the observations (grid point over land) into clusters using the Euclidean distance of its 382 predictor variables (16 variables). With slope and intercept, we can characterize the heat indices

383 of each grid point with response to CO_2 forcing (slope) and climatology (intercept). The number 384 of clusters (K) in this study is set to 6, using the elbow method (Syakur et al., 2018). When using 385 5 clusters, we find that two clusters (the light and dark blue regions in Figure 5a) merge, and 386 when using 7 clusters, we find that one cluster (the dark blue region in Figure 5a) divides into 387 two separate clusters. 388 Figure $\frac{6a5a}{a}$ shows the cluster value that most ensembles assigned to each grid point and 389 it shows distinct geographical characteristics, as summarized in Table 2 (the result of clustering 390 shows little difference between theindividual ensemble members). As might be expected from 391 how we calculated the 16 variables for clustering, each cluster shows a different evolution of 392 heat extremes in warmer world (Figure $\frac{76}{10}$). Although the warming signal is largest in the polar 393 regions (Figure 6b5b), the largest increases of HWD and HWF are observed found at lower 394 latitudes (in cluster 1 and 2 on Figure $\frac{7a6}{a}$ -d). This is mostly due to low variability in these 395 regions compared to polar regions, making it easier for a trend to exceed the heatwave threshold. 396 These results are insensitive to potential model biases. Sensitivity tests show that adding 397 the bias to the model changes HWD, HWF, deadly days, and tropical nights, by less than 5% for 398 all metric and clusters. For HWA and HWM, the difference caused by adding the bias was less 399 than 1°C for all metric and clusters, suggesting that the impact of model biases is small in this 400 analysis. 401 For HWA and HWM, the rate of increase is similar for all clusters, with increases of

HWA_{t2m} and HWA_{w2m} of 3.5 and 2.2°C, respectively (Figure 7e h).1.45°C per degree of global
average warming and 0.85°C per degree of global average warming, respectively, and HWM_{t2m}
and HWM_{w2m} of 0.66°C per degree of global average warming and 0.47°C per degree of global
average warming, respectively (Figure 6e-h). The exception is HWA_{t2m} in cluster 6. The large

406	increase of HWA _{t2m} in this region is connected to the strong global warming signal in high
407	latitudes that has been predicted for decades and now observed (Stouffer and Manabe, 2017).
408	Turning to deadly days (Fig. 7i6i), we find a substantial increase occurs in cluster 1 after
409	1.52.0°C of warming; this is important because it gives additional support for the Paris
410	Agreement's aspirational goal of limiting global warming to <u>1.52.0</u> °C. Almost all-of the
411	increases in deadly days are in low latitudes (cluster 1, 2, and 3). For tropical nights, low
412	latitudes as well as and deserts (cluster 4) contribute most of the increase. These regions also
413	show more rapid increases when global average warming exceeds 1.5-2°C.
414	Figure $\frac{76}{2}$ also shows the spread in within the ensemble for each metric and cluster. We
415	find that the spread for a cluster is generally smaller than small compared to the
416	differenceschange over time as well as the difference between the clusters. This suggests that the
417	differences obtained are not due to interannual variability.
418	We also generated indices weighted by global population. Heat wave indices for the
419	$90^{\text{th}}95^{\text{th}}$ percentile of population (meaning 105^{c} % of the population is exposed to higher values)).
420	<u>90th percentile of population,</u> and median of the population are depicted in Figure <u>87</u> . Figure
421	$\frac{8a7a}{2}$ shows that with 43° C of warming, $\frac{105}{2}$ % of the Earth's population will experience heat
422	waves lasting $\frac{131}{122}$ days, (standard deviation between ensemble members: $1\sigma = 17$ days), 10%
423	of the population will experience heat waves of 94 days ($1\sigma = 7$ days), and half of the population
424	will experience heat waves around 6450 days long. $(1\sigma = 4 \text{ days})$. These are large increases over
425	present-day values of $\frac{35 \text{ days} 50, 42}{2}$, and $\frac{1721}{21}$ days. Notably, the <u>The</u> average of the standard
426	deviation between the ensembles during 150-yr periodensemble members (calculated every year
427	and then averaged), are 10.6.7 days, 6.2 and 3.47 days for the 95 th , 90 th percentile and median,
428	respectively. This is significantly smaller than values from the regional analyses of cities in
I	

Figure <u>32</u>, where the unforced variability <u>can make a huge difference makes larger differences</u> in
the occurrence of heat waves.

431 The rate of increase of $\frac{HWD_{w2m}}{HWD_{w2m}}$ and HWF_{w2m} in Fig. 8 accelerates when 7d shows a rapid 432 increase until global average warming exceeds 1-1 reaches about 2.5°C. Given that the planet has 433 already warmed about 1°C above pre-industrial, this suggests that the world may should presently 434 be on the cusp of experiencing a rapid increase in of wet-bulb extremes.extreme frequency, 435 particularly in the tropics. This is related to the increased slope in Figure $\frac{76}{100}$, in which cluster 1 436 and 2's values of HWD_{w2m} and HWF_{w2m} increase rapidly between 1.5Cuntil 3.0°C and 2.50°C of 437 global warming.- At warmer temperatures, HWD_{w2m} and HWF_{w2m} reach a plateau, since values 438 over 300 days per year means there is little room for additional increase. For HWA_{t2m/w2m} and 439 HWM_{t2m/w2m}, the increase is mostly linear. Also note that, at 43°C of global warming, the 90th 440 percentile of population weighted HWA_{w2m} reaches $\frac{30}{20}$ over 29°C, which while not immediately 441 fatal to humans may nevertheless indicate great difficulty for even a developed society to adapt 442 to. 443 Currently, 510% of the total population faces more than 18045 deadly days and 302181444 tropical nights per year. This grows to $\frac{20465}{20465}$ and $\frac{333195}{20465}$ days, respectively, at 1.5°C warming. 445 With 2°C of global warming, half10% of the population will face 2about 3 months of deadly 446 days and 7 months of tropical nights every year-and, and this increase to 4 months and 8 months 447 in 3°C of warming. Also, with 2.53°C of global warming, and 5% of the population will be in an 448 environment where every day 8 months and 10 months in a year is a deadly days and tropical 449 night. With 2Our sensitivity tests suggest that model bias generates less than 5% differences for 450 HWD, HWF, deadly days, and tropical nights for all metrics and percentile of population at

451 <u>every level of global warming, except when the metrics are near-zero. Potential model biases</u>

<u>also generate small differences in HWA and HWM, with less than 1°C difference in all metrics</u>
<u>for every period. Furthermore, with 3</u>°C of global warming, the minimum ensemble member of
deadly days and tropical nights is above the maximum ensemble of the current climate. Further
detailspresent-day reference (0.87°C) for all population percentiles (5%, 10%, and 50%). This

456 <u>occurs at 2°C for tropical nights. Details of ensemble spread</u> are also shown in Table 3.

It is notable that, although there is a large spread between the ensemble members in each 457 458 city (Figure $\frac{32}{2}$), the spread in the clusters (Figure $\frac{76}{2}$) and population-weighted metrics (Figure 459 **§**7) is not as large. This emphasizes that the effect of unforced variability might be large inat 460 small regions, scales but, as the region expands, opposite signs the impact of variability cancel, so 461 area average unforced variability decreases. This is also found in Table 3, where in each case, the standard deviation between ensembles is less than $\frac{1020\%}{1000\%}$ of the average, except in a few cases. 462 463 This indicates that internal unforced variability will generally play a minor role in determining 464 global exposure to temperature above thresholds, although different people may be affected in 465 different climate realizations of unforced variability.

466 In addition, with 1.5°C of global warming, the lowest ensemble of the 90th percentile of HWD_{t2m}, HWD_{w2m}, and HWF_{t2m} exceeds the highest ensemble of the same metric in the current 467 468 climate (red lines in Figure 87). With 2° C of warming, the minimum ensemble of HWF_{w2m}, 469 HWA_{t2m}, HWA_{w2m}HWD_{t2m/w2m}, HWF_{t2m/w2m}, HWM_{w2m}, and HWM_{w2m}tropical nights exceed the 470 maximum ensemble of the current climate, and with 2.5°C of warming, the minimum ensemble 471 of all metrics exceeds the maximum ensemble of the same metric in the current climate. Thus, 472 this model predicts that the occurrence of extremes will soon be able to exceed values likely 473 possible in our present climate- for these metrics.

4.3. Analysis on GDP per capita

476	It is well-known that not everyone is equally vulnerable to extreme weather, with rich,
477	relatively more developed countries communities having more resources to deal with extreme
478	events than poorer communities. In that context, global gridded GDP per capita is used to
479	calculate average risk at each level of wealth. The ensemble-average result is depicted in Figure
480	98, which shows the increased absolute number of deadly days and tropical nights as well as the
481	increase in number of deadly days and tropical nights that each level of economic level
482	experience relative to today's current level of globalthe reference period warming of 0.87°C.
483	This plot assumes that the <u>relative</u> distribution of population and GDP remains fixed through
484	time. Our sensitivity tests show that the model bias yields small differences in the results, with
485	less than 5% difference in both the absolute number of extreme events as well as the changes in
486	extremes.
487	With 0.5°C increase For each level of global-warming, population in lowest 10% of we
488	find that the lower GDP will face 28 more deadly days and 22 more tropical nights increasing
489	compared to present day. In contrast, the richest 10% regions will experience 5 and 3 morenot
490	only higher absolute numbers of extreme temperature days but also the largest increases. For
491	deadly days-and tropical nights for the same warming. At 3°C above current temperatures (about
492	4°C above preindustrial temperatures), the population with the lowest 10% increase is largest
493	between 10 th to 40 th percentile of GDP will experience154, and 162 more days of deadly days
494	and for tropical nights compared to today's climate. On, the other hand, population with the
495	highest 10% of GDP will experience an increase of 26 and 30 days foris largest below the same
496	warming30 th percentile of GDP. The regions that contribute the most for the low GDP
497	percentiles are Southeast Asia, including Myanmar, Laos, and Cambodia, and Tropical Africa,
I	

498	including Republic of the Congo, Kenya, Uganda, Ethiopia, and Sudan, which are in clusters 1
499	and 2 in our cluster analysis. (Figure 5). The maximum difference of heat wave days between the
500	ensembles is less than 25% for all GDP and global warming levels.
501	
502	4.4. Energy demand on large cities
503	Annual CDD and HDD have been calculated for the 15 cities in section 4.1. Both CDD
504	and HDD are calculated by averaging the CDD and HDD values of 3×3 grid points surrounding
505	each city, including only land grid points. CDD and HDD values are then averaged for 5 years
506	after global warming reaches each levels of threshold. Fig. 109 shows the percent change of
507	CDD and HDD at 1.5°C, 2.0°C, 3.0°C, and 4.0°C relative to the pre-industrial reference period
508	CDD and HDD values (average of first 5 year of 1% CO ₂ -runs) This was done for each city,
509	and for each ensemble member. InAt 1.5°C, 2.0°C, 3.0°C, and 4.0°C warming, CDDCDDs in the
510	15 cities increases by 26%, 38%, 60%, and 82%. increase by an average of 9%, 22%, 54% and
511	70%. Our sensitivity tests show that the application of the average model bias yields changes of
512	less than 1% in these numbers. This suggests an enormous increase in energy required for
513	cooling. In contrast, energy demand on cold days (HDD) decreases by 51%, 60%, 68%, and
514	75%, compared to pre-industrial baseline, suggesting a partially offsetting decrease in energy
515	required for heating. The spread between the ensemble members is small compared to the
516	average of the ensembles, except for Moscow.
517	Large percentage increases in CDD for Moscow is the result of low pre-industrial CDD
518	values, so that (relatively) small increases in CDD correspond to large fractional changes, as well
519	as large differences between ensemble members. The ensemble spread of HDD in Moscow is
520	also large, compared to other cities. This is not due to low values of HDD Moscow has highest
I	

521	HDD value among 15 cities (4062 days °C per year in pre-industrial condition) but rather that
522	unforced variability of the climate is more important for HDD than CDD values for Moscow.
523	In contrast, average energy demand on cold days (HDD) decreases by 21%, 36%, 59%,
524	and 65% in cities considered, compared to present day, partially offsetting the increase in energy
525	required for cooling. Mania shows 0% change in HDD for all period, since Manila does not
526	experience HDD days in present or future periods. Sensitivity tests also show less than a 1%
527	difference in HDD change due to model biases.
528	
529	5. Conclusion
530	In this study, we found that extreme heat events will become more frequent and severe in
531	a continuously warming world. In a warmer world, duration, frequency, amplitude, and mean of
532	extreme heat and humidity events increase, especially in low-latitude regions. In some of the
533	regions, wet bulb temperature will reach upper 20s, which is above the level We find that
534	significantly impact human mortality. We also find and quantify the impact of both forced change
535	and unforced variability on theplay a key role in extreme heat events, highlighting the necessity
536	of considering both contributions to extreme heat-events. We also look at population weighted,
537	and GDP sorted statistics of extreme heat in warmer world.

538 Our results show that ENSO is the dominant mode of unforced variability impacting the 539 occurrence of extreme heat and humidity events and that events tend to be synchronous in <u>the</u> 540 <u>world's largest 15 large cities we chose</u>. But while the impact of unforced variability might be 541 significant regionally <u>and temporarily</u>, it <u>narrows downbecomes less important</u> when one looks 542 at larger aggregate regions.

543	Looking at theglobal population-weighted statsstatistics, we found that with 1.5°C of
544	global average warming, over 10% of population will face heat waves of 4245 °C temperature,
545	and 2728°C wet bulb temperatures. With 4°C warming, 10% of population will face 45°C
546	temperature and 29°C wet bulb temperature. Also, even with 1.5°C of warming, which is about
547	0.5°C higher than the current level, And 5% of the population will face more than 200105 days of
548	deadly days and over 300 days of 232 tropical nights per year. With 43°C of warming, which we
549	are currently on track for, 10% of the population will experience over 300132 days of deadly
550	days and over 330232 days of tropical nights per year. And 10% of population will face 47°C
551	temperature and 30°C wet bulb temperature. Given these two metrics are based on have
552	important implications for human mortality, this such increases may have significant impact on
553	human health globally.
554	Sorting heat and humidity events by wealth, we found <u>confirm</u> that increasing frequency
555	and severity of extreme events will fall mostly on the poorestpoorer people. Given
556	underdeveloped countries' lack of ability to endure climate extremes, and that they have
557	
	contributed the least to climate change, this introduces a profound moral dimension to the
558	contributed the least to climate change, this introduces a profound moral dimension to the problem. To further investigate these economic impacts of increasing heat extremes, cooling
558	problem. To further investigate thesome economic impacts of increasing heat extremes, cooling
558 559	problem. To further investigate thesome economic impacts of increasing heat extremes, cooling degree days (CDD) and heating degree days (HDD) are calculated for the world's 15 largelargest
558 559 560	problem. To further investigate thesome economic impacts of increasing heat extremes, cooling degree days (CDD) and heating degree days (HDD) are calculated for the world's 15 largelargest cities. Energy demand for cooling (CDD) increases by average of 269% on 1.5°C and 8254% on
558 559 560 561	problem. To further investigate thesome economic impacts of increasing heat extremes, cooling degree days (CDD) and heating degree days (HDD) are calculated for the world's 15 largelargest cities. Energy demand for cooling (CDD) increases by average of 269% on 1.5°C and 8254% on 43.0°C of warming, while energy demand for heating (HDD) decreases by 5121% and 7559%.

565 inequity from global warming related heat extremes, due to <u>relative</u> high cost and <u>demandneed</u>
 566 for energy in poorest countries.

567 Uncertainties in this analysis include our use of gridded 6-hourly climate model output. 568 More detailed analysis could be done with climate simulations with higher temporal and spatial 569 resolution. The model has biases relative to measurements, potentially due to the fact that there 570 are no aerosols in the forcing, which is another source of uncertainty. This was tested by adding 571 the difference between the ensemble average and the reanalysis data to the model fields and 572 recomputing the heat wave indices. In general, the impact of this bias was not important. In 573 future analyses, this could be better resolved with use of multi-model ensembles or detailed bias-574 correction of the model. 575 Another uncertainty is that our runs are continuously warming, and it is possible that an 576 equilibrium world at any given temperature may experience different occurrence of extremes 577 than in the runs in this paper. Additionally, since an increasing proportion of the population 578 lives is expected to live in dense metropolitan areas, there is also the possibility that actual heat

and humidity extremes that populations experience could be more severe than the gridded data

580 due to local phenomena such as the urban heat island effect (Murata et al., 2012). Statistical or

581 dynamical downscaling could be used for a more detailed analysis (Dibike and Coulibaly,

582 2006;Wood et al., 2004). This was not done in this study because the model we used is already

583 bias-corrected, so another downscaling would affect the consistency of the model. However,

584 better understanding and evaluation of the actual temperatures people are projected to experience

585 would be a useful next step<u>Also, this study could gain further insights by considering changing</u>

586 <u>population and socioeconomic distribution in the future. Overall, however, none of these things</u>

590	Author contribution
589	
588	increased exposure to extremes in heat and humidity.
587	are expected to change the broad conclusions of this study that global warming will lead to

- 591 Conceptualization: J.L., J.M, and A.D. Data curation: J.L. and A.D. Formal analysis: J.L. and
- 592 J.M. Funding acquisition: A.D. Investigation: J.L. and J.M. Methodology: J.L. Project
- administration: A.D. Resources: A.D. Software: J.L. Supervision: A.D. Visualization: J.L.
- 594 Writing: J.L. and A.D.
- 595

596 **Competing interests**

- 597 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 598

599 Acknowledgments

600 This work was supported by NSF grants AGS-1661861 and AGS-1841308, both to Texas A&M

601 University. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of

this article.

603 References

- 604 , !!! INVALID CITATION !!! .
- Arbuthnott, K., Hajat, S., Heaviside, C., and Vardoulakis, S.: Changes in population susceptibility
- to heat and cold over time: assessing adaptation to climate change, Environmental Health, 15,73-93, 2016.
- Argaud, L., Ferry, T., Le, Q. H., Marfisi, A., Ciorba, D., Achache, P., Ducluzeau, R., and Robert, D.:
 Short- and long-term outcomes of heatstroke following the 2003 heat wave in Lyon, France,
 Archives of Internal Medicine, 167, 2177-2183, DOI 10.1001/archinte.167.20.ioi70147, 2007.
- 611 Baldwin, J. W., Dessy, J. B., Vecchi, G. A., and Oppenheimer, M.: Temporally Compound Heat
- 612 Wave Events and Global Warming: An Emerging Hazard, Earths Future, 7, 411-427,
- 613 10.1029/2018ef000989, 2019.
- 614 Birk, K., Lupo, A. R., Guinan, P., and Barbieri, C.: The interannual variability of midwestern 615 temperatures and precipitation as related to the ENSO and PDO, Atmosfera, 23, 95-128, 2010.
- 616 Buzan, J. R., and Huber, M.: Moist heat stress on a hotter Earth, Annual Review of Earth and
- 617 Planetary Sciences, 48, 2020.
- 618 Chen, R. D., and Lu, R. Y.: Dry Tropical Nights and Wet Extreme Heat in Beijing: Atypical
- 619 Configurations between High Temperature and Humidity, Monthly Weather Review, 142, 1792-
- 620 1802, 10.1175/Mwr-D-13-00289.1, 2014.
- 621 Chow, W. T., Chuang, W.-C., and Gober, P.: Vulnerability to extreme heat in metropolitan Phoenix:
- spatial, temporal, and demographic dimensions, The Professional Geographer, 64, 286-302, 2012.
- Dahl, K., Licker, R., Abatzoglou, J. T., and Declet-Barreto, J.: Increased frequency of and population
- 624 exposure to extreme heat index days in the United States during the 21st century, Environmental
- 625 Research Communications, 1, 075002, 2019.
- Davies-Jones, R.: An efficient and accurate method for computing the wet-bulb temperaturealong pseudoadiabats, Monthly Weather Review, 136, 2764-2785, 2008.
- 628 De Rosa, M., Bianco, V., Scarpa, F., and Tagliafico, L. A.: Heating and cooling building energy
- 629 demand evaluation; a simplified model and a modified degree days approach, Applied energy,630 128, 217-229, 2014.
- Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U.,
- Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L., Bidlot,
- 533 J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B.,
- Hersbach, H., Holm, E. V., Isaksen, L., Kallberg, P., Kohler, M., Matricardi, M., McNally, A. P.,
- Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J. J., Park, B. K., Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Thepaut,
- 536 J. N., and Vitart, F.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data 537 assimilation system, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 137, 553-597,
- 638 10.1002/qj.828, 2011.
- 639 Deser, C., Phillips, A., Bourdette, V., and Teng, H. Y.: Uncertainty in climate change projections:
- 640 the role of internal variability, Climate Dynamics, 38, 527-546, 10.1007/s00382-010-0977-x, 2012.
- 641 Deser, C., and Trenberth, K. E.: The Climate Data Guide: Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO):
- 642 Definition and Indices, 2016.
- 643 Dibike, Y. B., and Coulibaly, P.: Temporal neural networks for downscaling climate variability and
- 644 extremes, Neural Networks, 19, 135-144, 10.1016/j.neunet.2006.01.003, 2006.
- 645 Diffenbaugh, N. S., and Burke, M.: Global warming has increased global economic inequality,
- 646 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116, 9808-9813, 2019.

- Fischer, E. M., and Schär, C.: Consistent geographical patterns of changes in high-impact
 European heatwaves, Nature Geoscience, 3, 398-403, 2010.
- Harrington, L. J., Frame, D. J., Fischer, E. M., Hawkins, E., Joshi, M., and Jones, C. D.: Poorest
 countries experience earlier anthropogenic emergence of daily temperature extremes,
 Environmental Research Letters, 11, 055007, 2016.
- Harrington, L. J., Frame, D., King, A. D., and Otto, F. E.: How uneven are changes to impact-
- relevant climate hazards in a 1.5° C world and beyond?, Geophysical Research Letters, 45, 6672-654 6680, 2018.
- Hausfather, Z., and Peters, G. P.: Emissions–the 'business as usual'story is misleading. Nature Publishing Group, 2020.
- Heo, S., Bell, M. L., and Lee, J. T.: Comparison of health risks by heat wave definition: Applicability
- of wet-bulb globe temperature for heat wave criteria, Environmental Research, 168, 158-170,
 10.1016/j.envres.2018.09.032, 2019.
- Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Jacob, D., Bindi, M., Brown, S., Camilloni, I., Diedhiou, A., Djalante, R., Ebi,
- 661 K., Engelbrecht, F., and Guiot, J.: Impacts of 1.5 C global warming on natural and human systems,
- 662 Global warming of 1.5° C. An IPCC Special Report, 2018.
- Kang, S., and Eltahir, E. A. B.: North China Plain threatened by deadly heatwaves due to climatechange and irrigation, Nat Commun, 9, 2894, 10.1038/s41467-018-05252-y, 2018.
- Kay, J. E., Deser, C., Phillips, A., Mai, A., Hannay, C., Strand, G., Arblaster, J. M., Bates, S. C.,
- Danabasoglu, G., Edwards, J., Holland, M., Kushner, P., Lamarque, J. F., Lawrence, D., Lindsay, K.,
- 667 Middleton, A., Munoz, E., Neale, R., Oleson, K., Polvani, L., and Vertenstein, M.: THE COMMUNITY
- 668EARTH SYSTEM MODEL (CESM) LARGE ENSEMBLE PROJECT A Community Resource for Studying669Climate Change in the Presence of Internal Climate Variability, Bulletin of the American
- 670 Meteorological Society, 96, 1333-1349, 10.1175/Bams-D-13-00255.1, 2015.
- Kharin, V. V., Flato, G. M., Zhang, X., Gillett, N. P., Zwiers, F., and Anderson, K. J.: Risks from
 Climate Extremes Change Differently from 1.5 degrees C to 2.0 degrees C Depending on Rarity,
 Earths Future, 6, 704-715, 10.1002/2018ef000813, 2018.
- 674 King, A. D., and Harrington, L. J.: The inequality of climate change from 1.5 to 2 C of global 675 warming, Geophysical Research Letters, 45, 5030-5033, 2018.
- Kummu, M. T., Maija; Guillaume, Joseph H. A.: Data from: Gridded global datasets for Gross
 Domestic Product and Human Development Index over 1990-2015 Dryad, Dataset,
 https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.dk1j0. 2019.
- 679 Lelieveld, J., Hadjinicolaou, P., Kostopoulou, E., Chenoweth, J., El Maayar, M., Giannakopoulos,
- 680 C., Hannides, C., Lange, M. A., Tanarhte, M., Tyrlis, E., and Xoplaki, E.: Climate change and impacts
- in the Eastern Mediterranean and the Middle East, Climatic Change, 114, 667-687,
- 682 10.1007/s10584-012-0418-4, 2012.
- Li, H. B., Sheffield, J., and Wood, E. F.: Bias correction of monthly precipitation and temperature
- 684 fields from Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change AR4 models using equidistant quantile
- 685 matching, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 115, Artn D10101
- 686 10.1029/2009jd012882, 2010.
- Likas, A., Vlassis, N., and Verbeek, J. J.: The global k-means clustering algorithm, Pattern
- 688 Recognition, 36, 451-461, 2003.

- Liu, Z., Anderson, B., Yan, K., Dong, W., Liao, H., and Shi, P.: Global and regional changes in
- 690 exposure to extreme heat and the relative contributions of climate and population change, 691 Scientific Reports, 7, 1-9, 2017.
- Luber, G., and McGeehin, M.: Climate change and extreme heat events, American journal of
- 693 preventive medicine, 35, 429-435, 2008.
- Lundgren, K., Kuklane, K., Gao, C., and Holmer, I.: Effects of heat stress on working populationswhen facing climate change, Industrial health, 51, 3-15, 2013.
- 696 Maher, N., Milinski, S., Suarez-Gutierrez, L., Botzet, M., Dobrynin, M., Kornblueh, L., Kroger, J.,
- Takano, Y., Ghosh, R., Hedemann, C., Li, C., Li, H. M., Manzini, E., Notz, D., Putrasahan, D., Boysen,
- L., Claussen, M., Ilyina, T., Olonscheck, D., Raddatz, T., Stevens, B., and Marotzke, J.: The Max
- Planck Institute Grand Ensemble: Enabling the Exploration of Climate System Variability, Journal
 of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11, 2050-2069, 10.1029/2019ms001639, 2019.
- 701 Mann, M. E., Steinman, B. A., Brouillette, D. J., and Miller, S. K.: Multidecadal climate oscillations
- during the past millennium driven by volcanic forcing, Science, 371, 1014-1019, 2021.
- Marcotullio, P. J., Keßler, C., and Fekete, B. M.: The future urban heat-wave challenge in Africa:
 Exploratory analysis, Global Environmental Change, 66, 102190, 2021.
- 705 Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pörtner, H.-O., Roberts, D., Skea, J., Shukla, P. R., Pirani, A.,
- Moufouma-Okia, W., Péan, C., and Pidcock, R.: Global warming of 1.5 C, An IPCC Special Report
 on the impacts of global warming of, 1, 2018.
- 708 Meehl, G. A., Tebaldi, C., Teng, H., and Peterson, T. C.: Current and future US weather extremes 709 and El Niño, Geophysical Research Letters, 34, 2007.
- 710 Melillo, J. M., Richmond, T., and Yohe, G.: Climate change impacts in the United States, Third
- 711 national climate assessment, 52, 2014.
- 712 Michelangeli, P. A., Vrac, M., and Loukos, H.: Probabilistic downscaling approaches: Application
- to wind cumulative distribution functions, Geophysical Research Letters, 36, Artn L11708
- 714 10.1029/2009gl038401, 2009.
- 715 Mora, C., Dousset, B., Caldwell, I. R., Powell, F. E., Geronimo, R. C., Bielecki, C. R., Counsell, C. W.,
- Dietrich, B. S., Johnston, E. T., Louis, L. V., Lucas, M. P., McKenzie, M. M., Shea, A. G., Tseng, H.,
- Giambelluca, T., Leon, L. R., Hawkins, E., and Trauernicht, C.: Global risk of deadly heat, Nature
 Climate Change, 7, 501-+, 10.1038/Nclimate3322, 2017.
- 719 Morris, C. E., Gonzales, R. G., Hodgson, M. J., and Tustin, A. W.: Actual and simulated weather
- 720 data to evaluate wet bulb globe temperature and heat index as alerts for occupational heat-
- 721 related illness, Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene, 16, 54-65, 722 10.1080/15459624.2018.1532574, 2019.
- 723 Murata, A., Nakano, M., Kanada, S., Kurihara, K., and Sasaki, H.: Summertime temperature
- extremes over Japan in the late 21st century projected by a high-resolution regional climate
- model, Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan. Ser. II, 90, 101-122, 2012.
- North, G. R.: Empirical orthogonal functions and normal modes, Journal of Atmospheric Sciences,41, 879-887, 1984.
- Parkes, B., Cronin, J., Dessens, O., and Sultan, B.: Climate change in Africa: costs of mitigating
 heat stress, Climatic Change, 154, 461-476, 2019.
- 730 Patz, J. A., Campbell-Lendrum, D., Holloway, T., and Foley, J. A.: Impact of regional climate change
- 731 on human health, Nature, 438, 310-317, 10.1038/nature04188, 2005.

- Perkins, S., Alexander, L., and Nairn, J.: Increasing frequency, intensity and duration of observed
 global heatwaves and warm spells, Geophysical Research Letters, 39, 2012.
- 734 Quinn, A., Tamerius, J. D., Perzanowski, M., Jacobson, J. S., Goldstein, I., Acosta, L., and Shaman,
- 735 J.: Predicting indoor heat exposure risk during extreme heat events, Science of the total 736 environment, 490, 686-693, 2014.
- 737 Ruddell, D. M., Harlan, S. L., Grossman-Clarke, S., and Buyantuyev, A.: Risk and exposure to
- extreme heat in microclimates of Phoenix, AZ, in: Geospatial techniques in urban hazard anddisaster analysis, Springer, 179-202, 2009.
- Russo, S., Sillmann, J., and Sterl, A.: Humid heat waves at different warming levels, Scientific
- 741 Reports, 7, ARTN 7477
- 742 10.1038/s41598-017-07536-7, 2017.
- Russo, S., Sillmann, J., Sippel, S., Barcikowska, M. J., Ghisetti, C., Smid, M., and O'Neill, B.: Half a
- degree and rapid socioeconomic development matter for heatwave risk, Nature communications,10, 1-9, 2019.
- 746 Sailor, D. J., and Muñoz, J. R.: Sensitivity of electricity and natural gas consumption to climate in
- the USA—Methodology and results for eight states, Energy, 22, 987-998, 1997.
- 548 SEDAC: Gridded Population of the World, Version 4 (GPWv4): Population Density, Revision 11.
- 749 Palisades, NY: NASA 2018.
- 750 Shin, M., and Do, S. L.: Prediction of cooling energy use in buildings using an enthalpy-based
- cooling degree days method in a hot and humid climate, Energy and Buildings, 110, 57-70, 2016.
- 752 Simolo, C., Brunetti, M., Maugeri, M., and Nanni, T.: Evolution of extreme temperatures in a
- 753 warming climate, Geophysical Research Letters, 38, Artn L16701
- 754 10.1029/2011gl048437, 2011.
- Sivak, M.: Potential energy demand for cooling in the 50 largest metropolitan areas of the world:
 Implications for developing countries, Energy Policy, 37, 1382-1384, 2009.
- Stouffer, R. J., and Manabe, S.: Assessing temperature pattern projections made in 1989, Nature
 Climate Change, 7, 163-165, 2017.
- 759 Sun, Y., Zhang, X., Zwiers, F. W., Song, L., Wan, H., Hu, T., Yin, H., and Ren, G.: Rapid increase in
- the risk of extreme summer heat in Eastern China, Nature Climate Change, 4, 1082-1085, 2014.
- 761 Syakur, M. A., Khotimah, B. K., Rochman, E. M. S., and Satoto, B. D.: Integration K-Means
- 762 Clustering Method and Elbow Method For Identification of The Best Customer Profile Cluster,
- 763 2nd International Conference on Vocational Education and Electrical Engineering (Icvee), 336,
- 764 Unsp 012017
- 765 10.1088/1757-899x/336/1/012017, 2018.
- Tan, J. G., Zheng, Y. F., Tang, X., Guo, C. Y., Li, L. P., Song, G. X., Zhen, X. R., Yuan, D., Kalkstein, A.
- J., Li, F. R., and Chen, H.: The urban heat island and its impact on heat waves and human health
 in Shanghai, International Journal of Biometeorology, 54, 75-84, 10.1007/s00484-009-0256-x,
 2010.
- 770 Thirumalai, K., DiNezio, P. N., Okumura, Y., and Deser, C.: Extreme temperatures in Southeast
- 771 Asia caused by El Nino and worsened by global warming, Nat Commun, 8, 15531,
- 772 10.1038/ncomms15531, 2017.

- Thompson, D. W. J., Barnes, E. A., Deser, C., Foust, W. E., and Phillips, A. S.: Quantifying the Role
- of Internal Climate Variability in Future Climate Trends, Journal of Climate, 28, 6443-6456,
 10.1175/Jcli-D-14-00830.1, 2015.
- Thrasher, B., Maurer, E. P., McKellar, C., and Duffy, P. B.: Technical Note: Bias correcting climate
- 777 model simulated daily temperature extremes with quantile mapping, Hydrology and Earth
- 778 System Sciences, 16, 3309-3314, 10.5194/hess-16-3309-2012, 2012.
- Trenberth, K. E.: The Climate Data Guide: Nino SST Indices (Nino 1+2, 3, 3.4, 4; ONI and TNI). 2020.
- Trenberth, K. E., and Zhang, R.: The Climate Data Guide: Atlantic Multi-decadal Oscillation (AMO),
 2021.
- 782 Vrac, M., Drobinski, P., Merlo, A., Herrmann, M., Lavaysse, C., Li, L., and Somot, S.: Dynamical and 783 statistical downscaling of the French Mediterranean climate: uncertainty assessment, Natural
- 784 Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 12, 2769-2784, 10.5194/nhess-12-2769-2012, 2012.
- 785 Watanabe, S., Kanae, S., Seto, S., Yeh, P. J. F., Hirabayashi, Y., and Oki, T.: Intercomparison of bias-
- 786 correction methods for monthly temperature and precipitation simulated by multiple climate
- 787 models, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 117, Artn D23114
- 788 10.1029/2012jd018192, 2012.
- Wilhelmi, O. V., and Hayden, M. H.: Connecting people and place: a new framework for reducing
- urban vulnerability to extreme heat, Environmental Research Letters, 5, 014021, 2010.
- Wobus, C., Zarakas, C., Malek, P., Sanderson, B., Crimmins, A., Kolian, M., Sarofim, M., and Weaver, C. P.: Reframing Future Risks of Extreme Heat in the United States, Earths Future, 6,
- 793 1323-1335, 10.1029/2018ef000943, 2018.
- Wood, A. W., Leung, L. R., Sridhar, V., and Lettenmaier, D. P.: Hydrologic implications of
- dynamical and statistical approaches to downscaling climate model outputs, Climatic Change, 62,
 189-216, DOI 10.1023/B:CLIM.0000013685.99609.9e, 2004.
- Wuebbles, D. J., Fahey, D. W., and Hibbard, K. A.: Climate science special report: fourth nationalclimate assessment, volume I, 2017.
- Zhang, G., Zeng, G., Li, C., and Yang, X.: Impact of PDO and AMO on interdecadal variability in
- 800 extreme high temperatures in North China over the most recent 40-year period, Climate
- 801 Dynamics, 54, 3003-3020, 2020.





818 The percentage of unforced variability (maximum ensemble member — minimum ensemble

819 member) against absolute value of the <u>15-year daily average t2m</u>, (b) mean of 15-year daily

820 <u>average t2m, (c) 90th percentile of 15-year daily</u> average difference with reanalysis. w2m, and (d)

821 <u>mean of 15-year daily average w2m.</u>





830 <u>exceeded.</u> Number of heat extreme days are calculated by averaging 3×3 <u>land-only</u> grid covering

the selected city. <u>Error bars represent the values of maximum and minimum ensemble members.</u>







(d) Tropical Nights EOF 1 0.5 0.0 -0.5 Tokyo Mexico City Karachi Manila Lagos Kinshasa Delhi Sao Paulo Dhaka Vew York City Cairo Istanbul Moscow Shangha Buenos Aire:

–0.6 –0.4 –0.2 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 Correlation

832

-0.6 -0.4 -0.2 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 Correlation



(e) Tropical Nights EOF 2

–0.6 –0.4 –0.2 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 Correlation

(f) Tropical Nights EOF 3 0.5 0.0 Shanghai Sao Paulo Mexico City New York City Karachi Buenos Aires Istanbul Manila Lagos Vinshasa Cairo Dhaka Tokyo Delhi Moscow New Y ENSO R = 0.25 PDO R = 0.32 AMO R = -0.14 –0.6 –0.4 –0.2 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 Correlation

-0.5




(c) Deadly Days EOF 3 (6.61%)

1.0

Figure 43. First three EOFs of <u>annual values of deadly days</u> (a, b, c) and tropical nights (d, e, f) in <u>15the world's 15 largest</u> cities. Heat extremes in <u>15 cities are linearly detrended and</u> normalized before EOF analysis. For each panel, the bar graph shows the EOF pattern of the number of heat <u>extremesextreme</u> days per year. Contour plots shows the SST pattern associated with the EOF mode, obtained by projecting each mode of PC onto SST anomalies. Ensemble members are averaged to yield the SST pattern. Pattern correlation with major modes of climate variability (ENSO, PDO, AMO) are also shown, as discussed in the text.

1.0



for both ENSO and PDO, and then each index is averaged over the year to have consistency withdeadly days and tropical nights.





- 857 observed<u>exceed in the model</u>.





Figure 76. Evolution of each index averaged over each cluster. <u>Colors are consistent with Figure</u>
5 and Table 2. Values of each metric are calculated by averaging <u>the grid points belongingthat</u>
<u>belongs</u> to each cluster <u>separately</u>. <u>This was done</u> for each ensemble <u>member and then the</u>
<u>ensemble average is plotted</u>. Vertical lines with dots show the maximum and minimum of 28









Figure 87. Changes of population-weighted heat wave indices at each levelas a function of
global <u>average</u> warming. Each line denotes one ensemble member for <u>percentiledifferent</u>
percentiles of population.



877 compared to our present climate, the reference period (0.87°C warming), binned by percentile of

878 GDP per capita at selected levels of warming compared to present day, reference climate

- 45
- 879 (calculated by subtracting reference values, shown as heatmap), averaged over the population
- 880 within the GDP percentile (for example, averaged over population in 0~10 percentile of GDP),
- and over all ensemble members for 5-year window after each level of warming first occurs.
- 882 <u>BlueGreen</u> text inside the heatmap represent the absolute <u>number</u> of <u>Deadly Daysdeadly days</u>
- and Tropical Nightstropical nights in each level of warming above present day.









Acronym	Index	Definition	Units	
HWD _{t2m/w2m}	Heat wave duration	Length of longest period of consecutive	# days	
	field wave duration	heat wave days in a year		
HWF _{t2m/w2m}	Heat wave frequency	Total number of heat wave days in a		
	ficat wave frequency	year	# days	
$HWA_{t2m/w2m}$	Heat wave amplitude	Maximum temperature over all heat	°C	
		wave days in a year		
HWM _{t2m/w2m}	Heat wave mean	Average temperature over all heat wave	°C	
		days in a year	C	
Deadly Days	Deadly Days	Daily maximum wet-bulb temperature	# dama	
		over <mark>24<u>26</u>°C</mark>	# days	
Tropical Nights	Tropical Nights	Daily minimum temperature over 25°C	# days	
CDD	Cooling degree days	Sum of positive values after removing	°C dava	
		18°C from daily average temperature	°C days	
		Absolute value of sum of negative		
HDD	Heating degree days	values after removing 18°C from daily	°C days	
		average temperature		

Table 1. Explanation of heat wave indices used in this study.

Ch	uster	Color	Area percentage (%)	Major regions	Cluster name	
1	Maroon	2.95	Indonesia, Malaysia,	Tropical West Pacific		
			Cameroon, Gabon	Hopical West Facilie		
		Orange	12.34	Northern South		
2	America, Central			Tropical Africa and America		
	Africa					
3	Pink	22.70	India, Southeast Asia,			
			Eastern South America,	Sub-Tropical Asia and		
			Southeast U.S.	America		
4	C	21.55	Northern Africa,			
	Green		Middle East, Australia	Deserts		
	5	Sky blue	7.69	Himalayas, Andes	Mountain Range	
	6	Blue	32.75	Canada, Northwest U.S., Russia	Sub-Polar Region	

U.S., Russia

896 Table 2. Percentage area and major regions belonging to each cluster. Clusters are identified

897 only for the global land areas.

Table 3. Number of deadly days each percentile of global population faces with $0.87^{\circ}C$ (currentreference period (0.87°C), 1.5°C, 2°C, 3°C, and 4°C global warming from the preindustrial condition. Standard deviations between the ensembles (1 σ) are also shown.

		Global Warming				
	Population	0.87°C	1.5°C	2.0°C	3.0°C	4.0°C
	95 th p.	190 (土	204 (±	228 (±	297 (±	349 (±
		$\frac{180(\pm)}{1285(\pm7)}$	<u> 14105 (±</u>	15<u>125 (</u>±	15<u>161 (</u>±	<u>6229 (±</u>
		<u>1385 (± 7</u>)	<u>10</u>)	<u>7</u>)	<u>12</u>)	<u>15</u>)
Deadly		148 (<u>+</u> 8 45	170 (<u>+</u> 9 65	190 (±	244 (±	292 (±
Days	90 th p.	` <u> </u>	· —	<u> </u>	11<u>132 (</u>±	10<u>198 (</u>±
		<u>(± 5</u>)	<u>(± 10</u>)	<u>1386 (± 8</u>)	<u>12</u>)	<u>12</u>)
	50 th p.	31 (<u>+</u> 0. 3	44 (<u>± 61.5</u>	58 (± 5 <u>(</u> ±	<u>8423</u> (± 4)	105 (± 4 <u>63</u>
		<u>(± 0.1</u>)	<u>(±1.3</u>)	<u>2</u>)		<u>(±5</u>)
	95 th p.	302 (±	333 (±	350 (±	364 (±	365 (±
		<u> 14211 (±</u>	9<u>232 (</u>±	4 <u>253 (</u> ±	4 <u>306 (</u> ±	$\frac{905(\pm)}{000}$
		<u>11</u>)	<u>14</u>)	<u>13</u>)	<u>17</u>)	<u> 9338 († 3</u>)
Tropical	90 th p.	217 (±	241 (±	262 (±	306 (±	345 (±
Nights		$9280(\pm 7)$	13<u>195 (</u>±	10<u>205 (</u>±	16<u>232 (</u>±	7 <u>277 (+</u>
		$\frac{9200(1)}{200}$	<u>9</u>)	<u>9</u>)	<u>12</u>)	<u>14</u>)
	50 th p.	32 (<u>+</u> 5 <u>15</u>	47 <u>27</u> (± 7)	61 (<u>+</u> 5 <u>41</u>	<mark>94<u>71</u> (± 6)</mark>	122 (±
		<u>(±4</u>)		<u>(± 6</u>)		<u>5102 (± 4)</u>
902						