Response to Community comment of Christa Fittschen to "OH and

HO₂ radical chemistry at a suburban site during the EXPLORE-YRD

campaign in 2018"

General comments:

It is interesting to notice that you observe the same increasing disagreement between modeled and measured OH concentration with decreasing NO concentrations. You say that the disagreement is probably not due to interference in the FAGE system, because using the chemical modulation system did not show any significant interference. Unfortunately, these experiments were carried out on June 7, which, looking at Figure 3, was a day where measurements and model were in very good agreement. So, it seems that no strong conclusion on absence of interferences in your FAGE system can be drawn from these observations.

It might have escaped from your attention that our group has identified a new OH interference in our FAGE system which would be able to explain such increasing disagreements between measured and modeled OH concentrations with decreasing NO: the product of the reaction between RO2 and OH radicals, trioxides ROOOH, leads in our FAGE system unequivocally to an OH signal1. Even though the lifetime of such trioxides and with this their absolute concentration is not known, this interference has the characteristics needed to explain your observation: the turnover of the reaction of RO2 + OH (and thus the ROOOH concentration) increases with decreasing NO concentration.

Even though not clearly stated, but I guess the reactions of RO2 + OH are not included in your reaction mechanism. This class of reaction has now been studied several timesf.e.2-3 and it is admitted that the rate constants are fast. Your Figure 8 allows to make a rough estimation on the importance of this class of reactions under your conditions: in the afternoon, the RO2 loss is dominated (»50 %) by their reaction with HO2. Taking the rate constant of RO2 + HO2 as »10-11 cm3s-1 and the rate constant of RO2 + OH as 10 times faster (»10-10 cm3s-1), one can estimate that with 100 times less OH compared to HO2 (Figure 4), around 5% of the RO2 radicals will be lost through reaction with OH. It is admitted that the reaction of large peroxy radicals with OH leads nearly exclusively to the formation of ROOOH4, it can therefore be supposed that a non-negligible steady-state trioxide concentration can build up.

From these rough estimations it seems interesting to update your model by integration of RO2 + OH, add a reasonable loss process for the trioxides and check for correlation of trioxide concentration and degree of disagreement between measurement and model.

Answer:

According to Christa's suggestions, we tested the importance of ROOOH on the degree of disagreement between measurement and model in this study by integrating the reactions of OH with all RO₂ species except for CH₃O₂ with a rate constant of 1.5×10^{-10} cm³ s⁻¹ in our model, and adding a loss process for ROOOH with a rate of 10^{-4} s⁻¹,

corresponding to a lifetime of around 3 hours as suggested by Fittschen (2019);Fittschen et al. (2019), to represent an upper limit of ROOOH formation.

As shown in Fig. S8, the modelled ROOOH concentration peaked around 14:00 with the peak values on the order of $10^9 \sim 10^{10}$ cm⁻³, which were comparable to the modelled values by Fittschen et al. (2019). However, the correlation of modelled ROOOH with the ratio of observed to modelled OH and the difference between observed and modelled OH showed little relevance ($R^2=0.01$ and 0.03, respectively on daytime basis, Fig. S9). It indicated that the ROOOH interference was not able to explain the disagreement between measurement and model in this study. Besides, the modelled ROOOH concentrations were high on the day when the chemical modulation tests were performed (7th June, Fig. S8). If ROOOH did cause significant OH interference in our FAGE system, it would also cause significant OH interference on that day, which however, was not discovered in the chemical modulation tests. It was also worth noting that incorporating the additional reactions of RO2+OH into the existed reaction mechanism had little influence on the modelled OH, HO₂, and RO₂ concentrations. Nevertheless, ROOOH could be an OH interference in FAGE system, but as pointed also by Fittschen et al. (2019), the occurrence of this interference is highly dependent on the design and measurement conditions of different FAGE instruments. This interference was not the reason for the disagreement between model and measurement in our FAGE system at least for this campaign.

We added some discussions about the influence of the possible OH interference from ROOOH in Section 4.2.1 as 'Previous studies proposed that stabilized Criegee intermediates (SCIs) produced from reaction of ozone with alkenes and trioxides (ROOOH) produced from reaction of larger RO₂ with OH might cause artificial OH signals using LIF techniques (Novelli et al., 2017;Fittschen et al., 2019). However, chemical modulation tests on an ozone polluted day when both O₃ and ROOOH (modelled) concentrations were high (7 June) indicated insignificant interference for OH measurement in this study (Fig. S8). Furthermore, little relevance of ROOOH and the degree of disagreement between measurement and model was found in this study (Fig. S9), and thus, there is no hint for significant OH measurement interference during the EXPLORE-YRD campaign. However, one should note that the precision is not good enough to rule out the possibility.'

References

- Fittschen, C.: The reaction of peroxy radicals with OH radicals, Chem Phys Lett, 725, 102-108, 2019.
- Fittschen, C., Al Ajami, M., Batut, S., Ferracci, V., Archer-Nicholls, S., Archibald, A. T., and Schoemaecker, C.: ROOOH: a missing piece of the puzzle for OH measurements in low-NO environments?, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 19, 349-362, 2019.

Response to Reviewer comment #1: Review of Ma et al. "OH and HO2

radical chemistry at a suburban site during the EXPLORE-YRD campaign

in 2018"

General comments:

The paper presents OH and HO₂ observations made in the Yangtze River Delta and compares to model predictions using a box model constrained with the RACM2-LIM1 mechanism. The model-measurement comparison highlighted that OH concentrations were under-predicted by the model under low NO conditions, whilst modelled HO₂ agreed reasonable well with the HO₂ observed. The impact of monoterpenes on radical concentrations and ozone production was investigated as was heterogeneous HO₂ loss. Generally, the results were well presented and the manuscript was reasonably easy to follow although the English used could be improved on. The manuscript would be improved considerably if the results presented were discussed in the context of a wider breadth of previous literature and I have tried to highlight a number of papers that are of relevance to this work in my comments below. I recommend publication once the following comments have been addressed.

Answer:

We would like to thank the reviewer for the comments and questions which helped us to improve the manuscript. The reviewer comments are given below together with our responses and changes made to the manuscript. The technical comments were changed accordingly and not listed below for simplification.

Major Comments:

 Pg 3, lines 73 – 78: There was also a field campaign in Beijing in the summer of 2017 (Whalley et al., ACP, 21, 2125 – 2147, 2021) where OH, HO2, total RO2 and kOH observations were made and compared to box model predictions. This work should be discussed and referenced in the context of previous radical measurement studies conducted in the summer in China, particularly in light of the elevated OH concentrations observed. The Beijing results should also be added to Table 3.

Answer:

We added the HOx observation conducted in Beijing in the summer of 2017 in the context of previous radical measurement studies and updated the Table 3 accordingly.

We revised the sentences as 'Six field campaigns have been implemented in China during summer periods, namely the Backgarden (2006), Heshan (2014), Shenzhen (2018) campaigns in Pearl River Delta (PRD) (Lu et al., 2012;Tan et al., 2019;Wang et al., 2019), and Yufa (2006), Wangdu (2014), and Beijing campaigns in North China Plain (NCP) (Lu et al., 2013;Tan et al., 2017;Whalley et al., 2021)...'

Pg 5, lines 127 – 133: The authors state the conversion efficiency of HO2 to OH at 5 ppm NO to be 20%, but should also state the conversion efficiency at 2.5 ppm NO. From laboratory tests, what is the conversion efficiency of an alkene RO2 to OH at 5 ppm and 2.5 ppm NO? The authors should discuss these details in relation to what is seen in other FAGE instruments, e.g. Fuchs et al., AMT, 4, 1209-1225, 2011 and Whalley et al., AMT, 6, 3425 – 3440, 2013.

Answer:

We added some discussion about the HO_2 interference from RO_2 radical as the reviewer suggested.

We revised the second paragraph in section 2.2 as 'Previous studies indicated that part of the RO₂ species derived from longer chain alkanes (> C3), alkenes, and aromatic compounds have the potential to rapidly convert to OH on the same time scale as HO₂ inside the fluorescence cell, and thus, might cause interference for HO₂ measurement (Fuchs et al., 2011;Whalley et al., 2013). To minimize the potential interference from RO₂, the added maximum NO mixing ratio was chosen to be 5 ppm, resulting in the maximum HO₂ conversion efficiency being 20%. Furthermore, the NO injection was switched between 2.5 ppm and 5 ppm every 2 minutes, corresponding to the HO₂ conversion efficiencies of 10% and 20%, respectively. If RO₂ interference was significant, the HO₂ measurement would be different between two NO injection modes. The HO₂ measurements with different NO injection rates only showed a difference of 6%, indicating that the potential interference from RO₂ was within the HO₂ measurement uncertainty (13%) during this campaign.'

3. Pg 6, lines 140 – 147: Some key details on the chemical removal technique should be added to this paper. The concentration of propane added, the % removal of ambient OH, discussion of any losses of ambient OH to the chemical modulation device and % removal of OH internally. Again, the authors should discuss these details in relation to what is seen in other FAGE instruments, e.g. Woodward-Massey et al., AMT, 13, 3119 – 3146, 2020 and Cho et al., AMT, 14, 1851 – 1877, 2021.

Answer:

We added some details on the chemical removal technique as the reviewer suggested.

We revised and extended the fourth paragraph in section 2.2 as 'Several studies conducted in forested environments indicated that OH measurements by Laser-Induced Fluorescence technique using wavelength modulation method might suffer from unknown internal-produced interference (Mao et al., 2012;Novelli et al., 2017), and the magnitude of interference is highly dependent on the specific design of the instrument, the operating parameters, and the type of environment in which the instrument is deployed (Fuchs et al., 2016;Novelli et al., 2014;Woodward-Massey et al., 2020;Cho et al., 2021). To investigate the possible OH interference in this campaign, we

performed an extended chemical modulation experiment on 7 June. During the experiment, a chemical modulation device consisting of a Teflon tube with an inner diameter of 1.0 cm and a length of 10 cm was placed on the top of the OH sampling nozzle. About 17 slpm (standard liter per minute) of ambient air was drawn through the tube by a blower, 1 slpm of which entered the fluorescence cell. Tests on the transimition efficiency of OH through the chemical modulation device showed that the signals differed by less than 7% with or without chemical modulation device, indicating the losses of ambient OH to the chemical modulation device were insignificant. For ambient measurement application, either propane (a 12% mixture in nitrogen, 6 sccm) diluted in a carrier flow of pure nitrogen (200 sccm) or pure nitrogen (200 sccm) was injected into the center of the tube alternatively every 5 minutes via two oppositely posited needles at the entrance of Teflon tube. The ambient OH signal can be then deduced by differentiating the signals from adjacent measurement modes with and without propane injection. The amount of the scavenger added is typically selected to be sufficiently high for reacting with ambient OH but not in excess in case reacting with internal-produced OH, and thus, the scavenging efficiency is usually kept around 90%. Calibrations of OH sensitivity with and without propane injection showed the scavenging efficiency of OH was around 93% in this experiment, and the kinetic calculation indicated the added propane removed less than 0.7% of the internalproduced OH. Therefore, the real ambient OH concentration can be obtained by multiplying the differential OH signal by the scavenging efficiency and by the instrument sensitivity. More details about the prototype chemical-modulation reactor used with PKU-LIF and the calculation method can be seen in Tan et al. (2017).'

4. Section 2.4: How were photolysis frequencies treated in the model? Was the model constrained with all measured photolysis rates?

Answer:

The model was constrained with the measured photolysis frequencies $j(O^1D)$, $j(NO_2)$, j(HONO), $j(H_2O_2)$, j(HCHO), and $j(NO_3)$. The photolysis frequencies of other species were calculated with the function of solar zenith angle.

We revised and added sentences at the end of the first paragraph in section 2.4 as 'Briefly, observations of the photolysis frequencies $j(O^1D)$, $j(NO_2)$, j(HONO), $j(H_2O_2)$, j(HCHO), and $j(NO_3)$, O₃, NO, NO₂, CO, CH₄, SO₂, HONO, C2-C12 VOCs, and certain oxygenated VOCs such as HCHO, acetaldehyde, glyoxal and acetone as well as the meteorological parameters were used to constrain the model with a time resolution of 5 min. Photolysis frequencies of other species were calculated in the model using the following function of solar zenith angle (χ) and scaled to the ratio of measured to calculated $j(NO_2)$ to represent the effect from clouds. : $J = l \times (\cos \chi)^m \times e^{-n \times \sec \chi}$ (Eq. 1)

where the optimal values of parameters l, m, and n for each photolysis frequency were adopted (Saunders et al., 2003).'

5. How detailed is the a-pinene oxidation scheme in RACM2? It would be useful to reference the a-pinene oxidation mechanism that was used.

Answer:

We added a Table in the supplement to describe the α -pinene oxidation scheme in RACM2.

Number	Reaction
#1	$API + OH \rightarrow APIP$
#2	$API + O_3 \rightarrow 0.85 \times OH + 0.1 \times HO_2 + 0.2 \times ETHP + 0.42 \times KETP + 0.14 \times CO$
	$+ 0.02 \times H_2O_2 + 0.65 \times ALD + 0.53 \times KET$
#3	$API + NO_3 \rightarrow 0.1 \times OLNN + 0.9 \times OLND$
#4	$APIP + NO \rightarrow 0.82 \times HO_2 + 0.82 \times NO_2 + 0.23 \times HCHO + 0.43 \times ALD$
	+ 0.44 × KET + 0.07 × ORA1 + 0.18 × ONIT
#5	$APIP + HO_2 \rightarrow OP2$
#6	$APIP + MO_2 \rightarrow HO_2 + 0.75 \times HCHO + 0.75 \times ALD + 0.75 \times KET + 0.25 \times MOH$
	$+0.25 \times ROH$
#7	$APIP + ACO_3 \rightarrow 0.5 \times HO_2 + 0.5 \times MO_2 + ALD + KET + ORA2$
#8	$APIP + NO_3 \rightarrow HO_2 + NO_2 + ALD + KET$

Table S1.	α -ninene	oxidation	mechanism	in RACM2
	w pinene	Unium	meenuinin	

API denotes α-pinene; APIP denotes peroxy radicals formed from API; ETHP denotes peroxy radicals formed from ethane; KETP denotes peroxy radicals formed from ketone; ALD denotes C3 and higher aldehydes; KET denotes ketones; OLNN denotes NO₃-alkene adduct reacting to form carbonitrates and HO₂; OLND denotes NO₃-alkene adduct reacting via decomposition; ACT denotes acetone; ORA1 denotes formic acid; ONIT denotes organic nitrate; OP2 denotes higher organic peroxides; MO₂ denotes methyl peroxy radical; MOH denotes methanol; ROH denotes C3 and higher alcohols; ACO₃ denotes acetyl peroxy radicals; ORA2 denotes acetic acid and higher acids.

6. The authors state that a first-order loss term equal to 8 hrs gave an observed to modelled ratio of 1.09 for PAN. Other box modelling studies, however, have had to impose a boundary layer height dependent loss rate to reproduce the diurnal trends observed for model-generated intermediates (e.g. Whalley et al., ACP, 21, 2125 – 2147, 2021). How well did the model predict the diurnal variation of PAN/ other model-generated species such as formaldehyde and glyoxal? How sensitive was the model-predicted OH and HO2 concentrations to the imposed loss rate?

Answer:

We added some sentences to clarify the effect of the imposed loss rate on the model-

generated intermediate in section 2.4. "The observed-to-model ratio of PAN concentration was 1.09 using this physical loss rate, while the modelled PAN concentration agreed to measurements from late morning to the midnight but slightly lower than measurements in the early morning (Fig. S2), which may relate to the effect of boundary layer height variation. To test the influence of boundary layer height diurnal variation, we performed a sensitivity test by imposing a boundary layer height (BLH, reanalysis data from European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts) dependent loss rate to all species. The model continuously underpredicted the concentration in the early morning, and additionally, the model overestimated the observed PAN in the midday and afternoon (Fig. S2). This is because the boundary layer height dependent loss rate is largest at night, which makes the loss of PAN greater and further worsens the measurement-model comparison. Therefore, the treatment of a first-order loss term equal to 8 hours to all species in the model may not reflect the loss due to deposition but give a reasonable approximation on the overall physical loss of the model-generated intermediates. Nevertheless, the modelled OH and HO2 concentrations were insensitive to the imposed loss rate (Fig. S3). The concentrations differed less than 0.5% between two cases for both OH and HO₂."

7. Section 3.3: The modelled breakdown of OH reactivity and a comparison to the observed total reactivity was presented in Whalley et al., ACP, 21, 2125 – 2147, 2021 for the Beijing campaign and it would be useful to compare the modelled reactivity from EXPLORE-YRD to this. In Beijing a significant missing reactivity was determined and so the impact missing reactivity may have on the modelled radical concentrations during EXPLORE-YRD should be evaluated.

Answer:

We added some discussions about the breakdown of OH reactivity in this study and the comparison to the observed total reactivity in other studies in Section 3.3.

'OH reactivity (k_{OH}) is the pseudo first-order loss rate coefficient of OH radical, and indicates the inverse of the chemical lifetime of OH radical. It can be defined by the sum of the OH reactants concentrations multiplied by their reaction rate constants versus OH radical (Fuchs et al., 2017;Lou et al., 2010):

 $k_{\rm OH} = \sum_{i} k_{\rm OH+X_i} [X_i]$ (Eq. 2)

In this study, the k_{OH} was calculated from measured NO, NO₂, CO, CH₄, SO₂, C2-C12 VOCs (including isoprene and monoterpene), HCHO, acetaldehyde, glyoxal, and acetone and model-generated intermediate species (mainly referred to the unconstrained oxygenated VOCs). The calculated k_{OH} ranged between 5 s⁻¹ and 40 s⁻¹ (Fig. 3).

The typical mean diurnal variation of k_{OH} showed a peak in the early morning and then dropped by nearly 50% to a minimum in the afternoon (Fig. 7a). The averaged k_{OH} for periods with OH radical measurement was 10.8 s⁻¹ on daytime basis (08:00-16:00), and

a total of 36% of the modelled k_{OH} could be attributed to the inorganic compounds (Fig. 7b). CO was the single largest contributor to k_{OH} , with a campaign average contribution of 19%. NO and NO₂ together contributed 15% of the modelled k_{OH} . Alkanes, alkenes, and aromatics contributed additional 15% of the modelled k_{OH} . The reactivity from isoprene made a small contribution (5%) to the modelled k_{OH} compared to other campaigns conducted in suburban China, where isoprene typically contributed about 20% of the total k_{OH} (Lou et al., 2010;Fuchs et al., 2017). The contributions that monoterpene made was 4%, which was a substantial faction considering that the daytime monoterpene level was usually low in suburban and urban area.

The OVOCs made up a large portion, accounting for approximately 40% of the modelled k_{OH}. The model-generated OVOCs made comparable contribution to the measured ones (22% vs. 18%), and the model-generated contribution to OH reactivity was insensitive to the imposed physical loss rate (Fig. S3). This characteristic was similar to what was observed in London and Wangdu (Whalley et al., 2016;Fuchs et al., 2017), where major OVOCs including HCHO, acetaldehyde, and acetone were directly measured and the measured OVOCs together with the modeled-generated OVOCs accounted for a large portion of the total reactivity (44% and 25%, respectively). It was noteworthy that, in both campaigns, k_{OH} was directly measured and the k_{OH} budget was largely closed. In some previous studies in urban and suburban areas, however, missing $k_{\rm OH}$ ranging from less than 30% to over 50% of the total reactivity was often observed (Kovacs et al., 2003;Lou et al., 2010;Shirley et al., 2006;Yang et al., 2016). The common feature of these observations was that the measurement of OVOCs was completely missing. In fact, model simulations had proved that the model-generated OVOCs from the photooxidation of measured VOCs could quantitatively explain the missing $k_{\rm OH}$ in most of these campaigns during daytime, and the majority of the modelgenerated OVOCs were HCHO, acetaldehyde, glyoxal, and the isoprene oxidation products. Therefore, in recent studies, with the improved coverage of the measurement of major OVOCs species, together with the model-generated secondary species, the calculated k_{OH} was largely in agreement with the measured k_{OH} in urban and suburban areas during the daytime. However, significant difference could still be observed in areas affected by dramatic anthropogenic influences, for instance in central Beijing (Whalley et al., 2021), 30% of the measured k_{OH} remained unaccounted for, even if the measured and model-generated OVOCs were taken into account, which only contributed 6.5% of the total reactivity, implying that the missing reactivity could be attributed to the undetected or unrecognized species under complex environments.'

We added some discussion about the impact that the missing reactivity might have on the modelled radical concentrations in Section 4.2.1 and 4.2.3.

Section 4.2.1: 'It should be pointed out that the precedingly quantified X of 100 ppt equivalent NO was supposed to be the lowest limit in this study, if missing reactivity existed. Therefore, we performed a series of sensitivity tests by adding a genetic reaction converting OH to RO₂ that equivalent to 30% of the total OH reactivity, to account for the possible missing reactivity in this study. The adopted degree of missing

reactivity was comparable to that was observed in central Beijing (Whalley et al., 2021), which represented a significant portion of potential missing reactivity. Besides, the formed RO₂ species was varied to investigate the influence of different RO₂ types on the modelled radical concentrations including the MO₂ (methyl peroxy radical), ETEP (peroxy radical formed from ethene), and ACO₃ (acetyl peroxy radical). In these cases, the modelled OH decreased by $1.1 \sim 1.7 \times 10^6$ cm⁻³ compared to the base case, and the requested amount of *X* increased to be equivalent to 200~300 ppt of NO depending on the specific RO₂ types (Fig. S7).'

Section 4.2.3: 'The agreements between measurement and model calculation of OH and HO_2 indicated that the base model without heterogeneous reaction captured the key processes for OH and HO_2 radical chemistry in this study.

However, cares have to be taken if there was missing OH reactivity. As discussed in Sect. 4.2.1, a series of sensitivity tests had been performed to test the effect of missing reactivity on the modelled radical concentrations (Fig. S7). It turned out that when OH converted to MO₂, the modelled HO₂ increased by 6.2×10^7 cm⁻³ compared to the base case. Incorporation of HO₂ heterogeneous uptake process upon this case would decrease the HO₂ concentration by 21% and 9%, respectively, for the coefficient of 0.2 and 0.08. Still, the measured and modelled HO₂ discrepancy (41%) would be beyond the uncertainty of HO₂ simulation for coefficient of 0.2. On the contrary, for cases that OH converted to ETEP and ACO₃, the modelled HO₂ decreased by 1.3×10^7 cm⁻³ and 1.5×10^7 cm⁻³, respectively compared to the base cases, possibly due to the faster radical termination rates through RO₂+HO₂ in both these cases compared to that of MO₂. Nevertheless, the model sensitivity tests suggested that HO₂ uptake coefficient should be less than 0.2, if the HO₂ heterogeneous loss played a role during this campaign.'

8. *Pg* 10, *line* 252: *How sensitive is the model-generated contribution to OH reactivity to the physical loss rate imposed?*

Answer:

As shown in Fig. S3, the model-generated contribution to OH reactivity would change (increase in the afternoon and decrease at night) by less than 1 s⁻¹ if imposing a boundary layer height dependent loss rate rather than a constant first-order loss rate equals to a lifetime of 8 hours to all species. The daytime OH reactivity would increase by less than 5% compared to the base case, and the modelled OH and HO₂ concentration would change by less than 0.5%. It indicated the model-generated contribution to OH reactivity as well as OH and HO₂ concentrations were insensitive to the imposed physical loss rate. Moreover, as mentioned above, the performance of the model to predict PAN concentration was good if imposing boundary layer height dependent loss rate.

We added sentences to explain the effect of the imposed physical loss rate on the modelled OH reactivity in Section 3.3 as 'The model-generated OVOCs made comparable contribution to the measured ones (22% vs. 18%), and the model-generated

contribution to OH reactivity was insensitive to the imposed physical loss rate (Fig. S3).'

9. Section 4.2.1, lines 318 – 319: The good agreement between modelled and observed median HO2 should be discussed in light of possible missing OH reactivity (which, if caused by missing VOCs, could act as a source of modelled RO2 and HO2). This could also be discussed in section 4.2.3 which shows that the model to measured agreement for HO2 is reduced when loss to aerosols is considered.

Answer:

We performed a series of sensitivity tests and added some discussion about the impact that missing OH reactivity might have on the modelled HO_2 radical concentrations in section 4.2.3.

'The agreements between measurement and model calculation of OH and HO_2 indicated that the base model without heterogeneous reaction captured the key processes for OH and HO_2 radical chemistry in this study.

As discussed in Sect. 4.2.1, a series of sensitivity tests had been performed to test the effect of missing reactivity on the modelled radical concentrations (Fig. S7). It turned out that when OH converted to MO₂, the modelled HO₂ would increase by 6.2×10^7 cm⁻³ compared to the base case which makes more potential for the HO₂ heterogeneous loss. However, considering the potential effect of missing reactivity on HO₂, the measured and modelled HO₂ discrepancy (41%) would still be beyond the uncertainty of HO₂ simulation for coefficient of 0.2. On the contrary, for cases that OH converted to ETEP and ACO3, the modelled HO₂ decreased by 1.3×10^7 cm⁻³ and 1.5×10^7 cm⁻³, respectively compared to the base cases, possibly due to the faster radical termination rates through RO₂+HO₂ in both these cases compared to that of MO₂. Nevertheless, the model sensitivity tests suggested that HO2 uptake coefficient should be less than 0.2, if the HO2 heterogeneous loss played a role during this campaign.'

10. Pg 13, line 335: Can the authors provide the % of OH that is recycled from isoprene via the H-shift mechanism?

Answer:

We added the percentage of OH that is recycled from isoprene via the H-shift mechanism in Section 4.2.1 as 'However, during this campaign, isoprene concentration was only 0.2 ppb, contributing 5% of the modelled OH reactivity. The H-shift mechanism of isoprene derived peroxy radicals only increased 1.2% of the modelled OH concentration and thus play a minor role in OH chemistry. Therefore, other processes should account for the OH underestimation in low NO conditions.'

11. Pg 14, lines 368 – 370: I disagree with this statement. Firstly, OH is underestimated by the model. Secondly, there are no OH reactivity observations or RO2

observations to test the model against. A much fuller discussion on the a-pinene oxidation mechanism used in this work is needed (see my later comment).

Answer:

We revised these sentences as 'Other studies conducted in forested environments with a strong influence of monoterpenes from pine trees emission found discrepancies of up to three times in HO₂ measurement-model comparison (Kim et al., 2013;Wolfe et al., 2014;Hens et al., 2014). In present study, however, HO₂ concentration was well reproduced by chemical model within combined uncertainty during daytime with high monoterpenes concentrations. Nevertheless, we cannot draw solid conclusion that the monoterpenes oxidation chemistry in environment with both strong anthropogenic and biogenic influences can be captured by the applied chemical mechanisms with respect to HO_x concentration, since missing HO₂ sources and sinks might exist simultaneously but cancel out each other. Given that there were no OH reactivity or RO₂ observations in this study, we cannot rule out these possibilities.'

We added the a-pinene oxidation mechanism used in this work in Table S1, and performed a sensitivity test to test the influence of the isomerization of a-pinene derived RO species on modelled radical concentration in Section 4.2.2 (see the later answer).

12. Pg 18, lines 455 – 457: The lower P(Ox) determined from modelled peroxy radicals relative to the observed demonstrates that the model under-predicts the observed HO2 concentration at NO concentrations greater than 1 ppb. This finding has been observed in a number of previous urban radical measurement campaigns and some discussion of this finding should be presented in this manuscript (perhaps in section 4.2).

Answer:

We added some discussion about the underestimation of ozone production in urban atmosphere in Section 4.3.

'The discrepancy for observation and model derived P(Ox) mainly appears at NO concentration larger than 1 ppb (Fig. 9). This behavior has been observed in a number of previous urban radical measurement campaigns (Kanaya et al., 2008;Kanaya et al., 2012;Martinez, 2003;Ren et al., 2003a;Ren et al., 2013;Elshorbany et al., 2012;Brune et al., 2016;Whalley et al., 2018;Tan et al., 2017), which was caused by the model underprediction of the observed HO₂ concentrations under high NO concentration (typically NO greater than 1 ppb). Although some of the previous HO₂ measurement might suffer from unrecognized interference from RO₂ species, this kind of interference have been minimized by lowering down the added NO concentration in recent studies (Griffith et al., 2016;Brune et al., 2016). However, the underestimation of ozone production from HO2 radical persist, indicating that the photochemical production mechanism of ozone under polluted urban environment is still not well understood.'

13. Pg 18, lines 459 – 472: Whalley et al., ACP, 21, 2125 – 2147, 2021 highlighted that large RO2 species, such as those deriving from a-pinene, form RO species upon reaction with NO and these RO species can isomerise to form another RO2 species rather than forming HO2 directly. Are these types of RO isomerisations considered in the RACM2 mechanism? A discussion of the a-pinene oxidation mechanism used and the impact this may have on the calculated ozone production rate is warranted here.

Answer:

As suggested in Whalley et al. (2021), the RO₂ species derived from α -pinene with ozone reaction, form RO upon reaction with NO, can isomerize to form another RO₂ rather than HO₂ directly, we performed a sensitivity test to substitute the reactions of α -pinene with ozone in RACM2 by those considering RO isomerization in MCM3.3.1 to investigate the impact of this mechanism may have on the modelled radical concentration and the calculated ozone production rate. It turned out to be that the isomerization mechanism had little influence on the modelled radical concentrations as well as on the calculated ozone production. Including the isomerization mechanism would decrease OH and HO₂ concentrations by 2.0×10^4 cm⁻³ and 2.5×10^7 cm⁻³, respectively, and reduce daily ozone production by 1 ppb.

We added some discussions about the impact of RO isomerization on modelled radical concentrations and ozone production in Section 4.2.2 and 4.3.

Section 4.2.2: 'In recent studies, Whalley et al. (2021) highlighted that large RO₂ species, such as those derived from α -pinene and ozone reaction, form RO species upon reaction with NO, and these RO species can isomerize to form another RO₂ species rather than forming HO₂ directly, and thus might have impact on the modelled OH and HO₂ concentration. We also performed a sensitivity test to substitute the reactions of α -pinene with ozone in RACM2 by those considering RO isomerization in MCM3.3.1. The modelled OH and HO₂ concentrations decreased by 2.0×10^4 cm⁻³ and 2.5×10^7 cm⁻³, respectively compared to the base model (Fig. S3), indicating that α -pinene derived RO isomerization had little impact on the modelled OH and HO₂ concentrations in this study.'

Section 4.3: 'Moreover, we also investigated the impact of the α -pinene derived RO species which can isomerize to form another RO₂ rather than forming HO₂ directly on the calculated ozone production rate. It turned out that including α -pinene derived RO isomerization mechanism in the model run would reduce the daily net O₃ production by 1 ppb.'

References

Brune, W. H., Baier, B. C., Thomas, J., Ren, X., Cohen, R. C., Pusede, S. E., Browne, E. C., Goldstein, A. H., Gentner, D. R., Keutsch, F. N., Thornton, J. A., Harrold,

S., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., and Wennberg, P. O.: Ozone production chemistry in the presence of urban plumes, Faraday Discuss., 189, 169-189, 10.1039/c5fd00204d, 2016.

- Cho, C. M., Hofzumahaus, A., Fuchs, H., Dorn, H. P., Glowania, M., Holland, F., Rohrer, F., Vardhan, V., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Wahner, A., and Novelli, A.: Characterization of a chemical modulation reactor (CMR) for the measurement of atmospheric concentrations of hydroxyl radicals with a laser-induced fluorescence instrument, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 14, 1851-1877, 10.5194/amt-14-1851-2021, 2021.
- Elshorbany, Y. F., Kleffmann, J., Hofzumahaus, A., Kurtenbach, R., Wiesen, P., Brauers, T., Bohn, B., Dorn, H. P., Fuchs, H., Holland, F., Rohrer, F., Tillmann, R., Wegener, R., Wahner, A., Kanaya, Y., Yoshino, A., Nishida, S., Kajii, Y., Martinez, M., Kubistin, D., Harder, H., Lelieveld, J., Elste, T., Plass-Duelmer, C., Stange, G., Berresheim, H., and Schurath, U.: HOx budgets during HOxComp: A case study of HOx chemistry under NOx-limited conditions, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 117, 10.1029/2011jd017008, 2012.
- Fuchs, H., Bohn, B., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Lu, K. D., Nehr, S., Rohrer, F., and Wahner, A.: Detection of HO2 by laser-induced fluorescence: calibration and interferences from RO2 radicals, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 4, 1209-1225, 10.5194/amt-4-1209-2011, 2011.
- Fuchs, H., Tan, Z., Hofzumahaus, A., Broch, S., Dorn, H.-P., Holland, F., Kuenstler, C., Gomm, S., Rohrer, F., Schrade, S., Tillmann, R., and Wahner, A.: Investigation of potential interferences in the detection of atmospheric ROx radicals by laserinduced fluorescence under dark conditions, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 9, 1431-1447, 10.5194/amt-9-1431-2016, 2016.
- Fuchs, H., Tan, Z., Lu, K., Bohn, B., Broch, S., Brown, S. S., Dong, H., Gomm, S., Haeseler, R., He, L., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Li, X., Liu, Y., Lu, S., Min, K.-E., Rohrer, F., Shao, M., Wang, B., Wang, M., Wu, Y., Zeng, L., Zhang, Y., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: OH reactivity at a rural site (Wangdu) in the North China Plain: contributions from OH reactants and experimental OH budget, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17, 645-661, 10.5194/acp-17-645-2017, 2017.
- Griffith, S. M., Hansen, R. F., Dusanter, S., Michoud, V., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., Veres, P. R., Graus, M., de Gouw, J. A., Roberts, J., Young, C., Washenfelder, R., Brown, S. S., Thalman, R., Waxman, E., Volkamer, R., Tsai, C., Stutz, J., Flynn, J. H., Grossberg, N., Lefer, B., Alvarez, S. L., Rappenglueck, B., Mielke, L. H., Osthoff, H. D., and Stevens, P. S.: Measurements of hydroxyl and hydroperoxy radicals during CalNex-LA: Model comparisons and radical budgets, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 121, 4211-4232, 10.1002/2015jd024358, 2016.
- Hens, K., Novelli, A., Martinez, M., Auld, J., Axinte, R., Bohn, B., Fischer, H., Keronen, P., Kubistin, D., Nölscher, A. C., Oswald, R., Paasonen, P., Petäjä, T., Regelin, E., Sander, R., Sinha, V., Sipilä, M., Taraborrelli, D., Tatum Ernest, C., Williams, J., Lelieveld, J., and Harder, H.: Observation and modelling of HOx radicals in a boreal forest, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 8723-8747, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-</u>

<u>14-8723-2014</u>, 2014.

- Kanaya, Y., Fukuda, M., Akimoto, H., Takegawa, N., Komazaki, Y., Yokouchi, Y., Koike, M., and Kondo, Y.: Urban photochemistry in central Tokyo: 2. Rates and regimes of oxidant (O3+ NO2) production, Journal of Geophysical Research, 113, 10.1029/2007jd008671, 2008.
- Kanaya, Y., Hofzumahaus, A., Dorn, H. P., Brauers, T., Fuchs, H., Holland, F., Rohrer, F., Bohn, B., Tillmann, R., Wegener, R., Wahner, A., Kajii, Y., Miyamoto, K., Nishida, S., Watanabe, K., Yoshino, A., Kubistin, D., Martinez, M., Rudolf, M., Harder, H., Berresheim, H., Elste, T., Plass-Duelmer, C., Stange, G., Kleffmann, J., Elshorbany, Y., and Schurath, U.: Comparisons of observed and modeled OH and HO2 concentrations during the ambient measurement period of the HO(x)Comp field campaign, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 2567-2585, 10.5194/acp-12-2567-2012, 2012.
- Kim, S., Wolfe, G. M., Mauldin, L., Cantrell, C., Guenther, A., Karl, T., Turnipseed, A., Greenberg, J., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Apel, E., Hornbrook, R., Kajii, Y., Nakashima, Y., Keutsch, F. N., DiGangi, J. P., Henry, S. B., Kaser, L., Schnitzhofer, R., Graus, M., Hansel, A., Zheng, W., and Flocke, F. F.: Evaluation of HOx sources and cycling using measurement-constrained model calculations in a 2-methyl-3-butene-2-ol (MBO) and monoterpene (MT) dominated ecosystem, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 2031-2044, 10.5194/acp-13-2031-2013, 2013.
- Kovacs, T. A., Brune, W. H., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Simpas, J. B., Frost, G. J., Williams, E., Jobson, T., Stroud, C., Young, V., Fried, A., and Wert, B.: Direct measurements of urban OH reactivity during Nashville SOS in summer 1999, Journal of Environmental Monitoring, 5, 68-74, 10.1039/b204339d, 2003.
- Lou, S., Holland, F., Rohrer, F., Lu, K., Bohn, B., Brauers, T., Chang, C. C., Fuchs, H., Haeseler, R., Kita, K., Kondo, Y., Li, X., Shao, M., Zeng, L., Wahner, A., Zhang, Y., Wang, W., and Hofzumahaus, A.: Atmospheric OH reactivities in the Pearl River Delta - China in summer 2006: measurement and model results, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 11243-11260, 10.5194/acp-10-11243-2010, 2010.
- Lu, K. D., Rohrer, F., Holland, F., Fuchs, H., Bohn, B., Brauers, T., Chang, C. C., Häseler, R., Hu, M., Kita, K., Kondo, Y., Li, X., Lou, S. R., Nehr, S., Shao, M., Zeng, L. M., Wahner, A., Zhang, Y. H., and Hofzumahaus, A.: Observation and modelling of OH and HO₂ concentrations in the Pearl River Delta 2006: a missing OH source in a VOC rich atmosphere, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 1541-1569, 10.5194/acp-12-1541-2012, 2012.
- Lu, K. D., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Bohn, B., Brauers, T., Fuchs, H., Hu, M., Häseler, R., Kita, K., Kondo, Y., Li, X., Lou, S. R., Oebel, A., Shao, M., Zeng, L. M., Wahner, A., Zhu, T., Zhang, Y. H., and Rohrer, F.: Missing OH source in a suburban environment near Beijing: observed and modelled OH and HO₂ concentrations in summer 2006, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13, 1057-1080, 10.5194/acp-13-1057-2013, 2013.
- Mao, J., Ren, X., Zhang, L., Van Duin, D. M., Cohen, R. C., Park, J. H., Goldstein, A. H., Paulot, F., Beaver, M. R., Crounse, J. D., Wennberg, P. O., DiGangi, J. P., Henry,

S. B., Keutsch, F. N., Park, C., Schade, G. W., Wolfe, G. M., Thornton, J. A., and Brune, W. H.: Insights into hydroxyl measurements and atmospheric oxidation in a California forest, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 8009-8020, 10.5194/acp-12-8009-2012, 2012.

- Martinez, M.: OH and HO2concentrations, sources, and loss rates during the Southern Oxidants Study in Nashville, Tennessee, summer 1999, Journal of Geophysical Research, 108, 10.1029/2003jd003551, 2003.
- Novelli, A., Hens, K., Ernest, C. T., Kubistin, D., Regelin, E., Elste, T., Plass-Duelmer, C., Martinez, M., Lelieveld, J., and Harder, H.: Characterisation of an inlet preinjector laser-induced fluorescence instrument for the measurement of atmospheric hydroxyl radicals, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 7, 3413-3430, 10.5194/amt-7-3413-2014, 2014.
- Novelli, A., Hens, K., Ernest, C. T., Martinez, M., Noelscher, A. C., Sinha, V., Paasonen, P., Petaja, T., Sipila, M., Elste, T., Plass-Duelmer, C., Phillips, G. J., Kubistin, D., Williams, J., Vereecken, L., Lelieveld, J., and Harder, H.: Estimating the atmospheric concentration of Criegee intermediates and their possible interference in a FAGE-LIF instrument, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17, 7807-7826, 10.5194/acp-17-7807-2017, 2017.
- Ren, X., van Duin, D., Cazorla, M., Chen, S., Mao, J., Zhang, L., Brune, W. H., Flynn, J. H., Grossberg, N., Lefer, B. L., Rappenglück, B., Wong, K. W., Tsai, C., Stutz, J., Dibb, J. E., Thomas Jobson, B., Luke, W. T., and Kelley, P.: Atmospheric oxidation chemistry and ozone production: Results from SHARP 2009 in Houston, Texas, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118, 5770-5780, 10.1002/jgrd.50342, 2013.
- Ren, X. R., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Lesher, R. L., Oliger, A., Shirley, T., Adams, J., Simpas, J. B., and Brune, W. H.: HOx concentrations and OH reactivity observations in New York City during PMTACS-NY2001, Atmospheric Environment, 37, 3627-3637, 10.1016/s1352-2310(03)00460-6, 2003.
- Saunders, S. M., Jenkin, M. E., Derwent, R. G., and Pilling, M. J.: Protocol for the development of the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part A): tropospheric degradation of non-aromatic volatile organic compounds, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 3, 161-180, 2003.
- Shirley, T. R., Brune, W. H., Ren, X., Mao, J., Lesher, R., Cardenas, B., Volkamer, R., Molina, L. T., Molina, M. J., Lamb, B., Velasco, E., Jobson, T., and Alexander, M.: Atmospheric oxidation in the Mexico City Metropolitan Area (MCMA) during April 2003, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 6, 2753-2765, 2006.
- Tan, Z., Fuchs, H., Lu, K., Hofzumahaus, A., Bohn, B., Broch, S., Dong, H., Gomm, S., Haeseler, R., He, L., Holland, F., Li, X., Liu, Y., Lu, S., Rohrer, F., Shao, M., Wang, B., Wang, M., Wu, Y., Zeng, L., Zhang, Y., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: Radical chemistry at a rural site (Wangdu) in the North China Plain: observation and model calculations of OH, HO2 and RO2 radicals, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17, 663-690, 10.5194/acp-17-663-2017, 2017.
- Tan, Z., Lu, K., Hofzumahaus, A., Fuchs, H., Bohn, B., Holland, F., Liu, Y., Rohrer, F., Shao, M., Sun, K., Wu, Y., Zeng, L., Zhang, Y., Zou, Q., Kiendler-Scharr, A.,

Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: Experimental budgets of OH, HO2, and RO2 radicals and implications for ozone formation in the Pearl River Delta in China 2014, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 19, 7129-7150, 10.5194/acp-19-7129-2019, 2019.

- Wang, F. Y., Hu, R. Z., Chen, H., Xie, P. H., Wang, Y. H., Li, Z. Y., Jin, H. W., Liu, J. G., and Liu, W. Q.: Development of a field system for measurement of tropospheric OH radical using laser-induced fluorescence technique, Opt Express, 27, A419-A435, 10.1364/Oe.27.00a419, 2019.
- Whalley, L. K., Blitz, M. A., Desservettaz, M., Seakins, P. W., and Heard, D. E.: Reporting the sensitivity of laser-induced fluorescence instruments used for HO2 detection to an interference from RO2 radicals and introducing a novel approach that enables HO2 and certain RO2 types to be selectively measured, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 6, 3425-3440, 10.5194/amt-6-3425-2013, 2013.
- Whalley, L. K., Stone, D., Bandy, B., Dunmore, R., Hamilton, J. F., Hopkins, J., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., and Heard, D. E.: Atmospheric OH reactivity in central London: observations, model predictions and estimates of in situ ozone production, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16, 2109-2122, 10.5194/acp-16-2109-2016, 2016.
- Whalley, L. K., Stone, D., Dunmore, R., Hamilton, J., Hopkins, J. R., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., Williams, P., Kleffmann, J., Laufs, S., Woodward-Massey, R., and Heard, D. E.: Understanding in situ ozone production in the summertime through radical observations and modelling studies during the Clean air for London project (ClearfLo), Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18, 2547-2571, 10.5194/acp-18-2547-2018, 2018.
- Whalley, L. K., Slater, E. J., Woodward-Massey, R., Ye, C. X., Lee, J. D., Squires, F., Hopkins, J. R., Dunmore, R. E., Shaw, M., Hamilton, J. F., Lewis, A. C., Mehra, A., Worrall, S. D., Bacak, A., Bannan, T. J., Coe, H., Percival, C. J., Ouyang, B., Jones, R. L., Crilley, L. R., Kramer, L. J., Bloss, W. J., Vu, T., Kotthaus, S., Grimmond, S., Sun, Y. L., Xu, W. Q., Yue, S. Y., Ren, L. J., Acton, W. J. F., Hewitt, C. N., Wang, X. M., Fu, P. Q., and Heard, D. E.: Evaluating the sensitivity of radical chemistry and ozone formation to ambient VOCs and NOx in Beijing, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 21, 2125-2147, 10.5194/acp-21-2125-2021, 2021.
- Wolfe, G. M., Cantrell, C., Kim, S., Mauldin Iii, R. L., Karl, T., Harley, P., Turnipseed, A., Zheng, W., Flocke, F., Apel, E. C., Hornbrook, R. S., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Henry, S. B., DiGangi, J. P., Boyle, E. S., Kaser, L., Schnitzhofer, R., Hansel, A., Graus, M., Nakashima, Y., Kajii, Y., Guenther, A., and Keutsch, F. N.: Missing peroxy radical sources within a summertime ponderosa pine forest, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 4715-4732, 10.5194/acp-14-4715-2014, 2014.
- Woodward-Massey, R., Slater, E. J., Alen, J., Ingham, T., Cryer, D. R., Stimpson, L. M., Ye, C. X., Seakins, P. W., Whalley, L. K., and Heard, D. E.: Implementation of a chemical background method for atmospheric OH measurements by laser-induced fluorescence: characterisation and observations from the UK and China, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 13, 3119-3146, 10.5194/amt-13-3119-

2020, 2020.

Yang, Y., Shao, M., Wang, X., Noelscher, A. C., Kessel, S., Guenther, A., and Williams, J.: Towards a quantitative understanding of total OH reactivity: A review, Atmospheric Environment, 134, 147-161, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.03.010, 2016. Response to Reviewer comment #2: Review of Ma et al. "OH and HO2

radical chemistry at a suburban site during the EXPLORE-YRD campaign

in 2018"

General comments:

Ma et al. presented the OH and HO₂ radical measurement conducted at a rural site in Yangtze River Delta, China. A box model based on RACM2-LIM1 was used to simulate the radicals concentrations, which was underestimated the OH at low NO (<1ppb) conditions. The influence of monoterpene oxidation and heterogenous loss of HO₂ on aerosol surface were tested. The authors also summarized the HOx measurement in (sub)urban environments all over the world, which gave a very nice overview of the HOx studies. Overall, the manuscript is well-written, and the investigation is scientifically sound. I strongly recommend the publication with some minor modification.

Answer:

We would like to thank the reviewer for comments and questions which helped us to improve the manuscript. The reviewer comments are given below together with our responses and changes made to the manuscript. The technical comments were changed accordingly and not listed below for simplify.

Major comments:

1. Line 189, Information on back trajectory analysis of air masses is better added in the SI for the demonstration.

Answer:

We added the back trajectory analysis of air masses during this campaign in SI (Fig. S3).

2. Figure 2: Oxidation product of HCHO and Gly diurnal pattern show peaks in morning, which is not the common sense of their formation pathway in summertime. Even for the urban site in YRD, these two species also show comparable abundances but higher levels around noontime (Guo et al., 2021). Could the authors explain more about the anthropogenic emission-related origin?

Answer:

HCHO and glyoxal are not only photochemical product of VOC oxidation, but also from primary biogenic and anthropogenic emissions, including vegetation, biomass burning, fossil fuel combustion, and biofuel consumption. Since the EXPLORE-YRD campaign was conducted during a harvest season, biomass burning events occurred frequently. The elevated concentration might be due to the biomass burning activity. We added some sentences to explain this in Sect. 3.1 as 'Since this campaign was conducted during a harvest season, agriculture biomass burning might be responsible for the elevated HCHO and glyoxal in the early morning (Guo et al., 2021;Liu et al., 2020;Wang et al., 2017;Silva et al., 2018).'

3. The investigation of possible influence of monoterpene on radical chemistry and ozone production is interesting and of importance. I agree with Reviewer#1 that additional discussion on the monoterpene oxidation (most probably using alphapinene as a representative) would benefit the manuscript. However, lacking the simultaneous measurement of RO₂ concentration and OH reactivity, one could not really draw solid conclusion from this comparison. It's unlikely to test the chemical mechanism with the current dataset. Therefore, I suggest to minimize the discussion on monoterpene and only show the potential impact. The same applies to the closure of OH reactivity.

Answer:

We agree with the reviewer that lacking of the simultaneous measurement of RO_2 concentration and OH reactivity, we could not draw solid conclusion. Nevertheless, we performed a series of sensitivity tests to investigate the impact of the applied monoterpene oxidation mechanism and the potential missing OH reactivity on the modelled radical concentrations and ozone production rates in this study. Detailed discussion can be found in the response to Reviewer#1.

4. I would like to suggest the authors can review other literatures reporting the precursors related species, e.g. HONO, HCHO etc to the ROx production in YRD areas to strengthen the discussion, and destruction also.

Answer:

We added some discussions of the radical sources and destructions in YRD region in Section 4.1. as 'Two recent winter campaign in the same region also found HONO photolysis dominated radical primary source, contributing 38% to 53% of the total radical sources, despite the overall radical production rates were several times lower than that in summertime (Lou et al., 2022;Zhang et al., 2022). The photolysis of HONO is one of the most important radical primary sources in worldwide urban and suburban areas for both summer (Ren et al., 2003b;Dusanter et al., 2009;Michoud et al., 2012;Whalley et al., 2018;Tan et al., 2017) and winter time (Ren et al., 2006;Kanaya et al., 2007;Kim et al., 2014;Tan et al., 2018;Ma et al., 2019).'

5. In addition, comparison with wintertime OH and HOx in YRD may benefit the discussion on measurements and simulation throughout the manuscript, which can help to give the full view of the year for YRD region, e.g. Zhang et al., 2022.

Answer:

We added some sentences about the wintertime HOx in YRD in Section 3.2. 'A recent winter observation in Shanghai in YRD region reported an averaged noontime OH concentration of 2.7×106 cm-3 (Zhang et al., 2022), which was comparable to or even higher than that was observed in winter Beijing (1.7~3.1×106 cm-3) (Tan et al., 2018;Ma et al., 2019;Slater et al., 2020). It demonstrated the strong atmospheric oxidation capacity in this region among the three megapolitan areas (NCP, PRD, and YRD) in China from the perspective of OH concentration.'

References

- Brune, W. H., Baier, B. C., Thomas, J., Ren, X., Cohen, R. C., Pusede, S. E., Browne, E. C., Goldstein, A. H., Gentner, D. R., Keutsch, F. N., Thornton, J. A., Harrold, S., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., and Wennberg, P. O.: Ozone production chemistry in the presence of urban plumes, Faraday Discuss., 189, 169-189, 10.1039/c5fd00204d, 2016.
- Cho, C. M., Hofzumahaus, A., Fuchs, H., Dorn, H. P., Glowania, M., Holland, F., Rohrer, F., Vardhan, V., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Wahner, A., and Novelli, A.: Characterization of a chemical modulation reactor (CMR) for the measurement of atmospheric concentrations of hydroxyl radicals with a laser-induced fluorescence instrument, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 14, 1851-1877, 10.5194/amt-14-1851-2021, 2021.
- Dusanter, S., Vimal, D., Stevens, P. S., Volkamer, R., Molina, L. T., Baker, A., Meinardi, S., Blake, D., Sheehy, P., Merten, A., Zhang, R., Zheng, J., Fortner, E. C., Junkermann, W., Dubey, M., Rahn, T., Eichinger, B., Lewandowski, P., Prueger, J., and Holder, H.: Measurements of OH and HO2 concentrations during the MCMA-2006 field campaign - Part 2: Model comparison and radical budget, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 6655-6675, 2009.
- Elshorbany, Y. F., Kleffmann, J., Hofzumahaus, A., Kurtenbach, R., Wiesen, P., Brauers, T., Bohn, B., Dorn, H. P., Fuchs, H., Holland, F., Rohrer, F., Tillmann, R., Wegener, R., Wahner, A., Kanaya, Y., Yoshino, A., Nishida, S., Kajii, Y., Martinez, M., Kubistin, D., Harder, H., Lelieveld, J., Elste, T., Plass-Duelmer, C., Stange, G., Berresheim, H., and Schurath, U.: HOx budgets during HOxComp: A case study of HOx chemistry under NOx-limited conditions, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 117, 10.1029/2011jd017008, 2012.
- Fuchs, H., Bohn, B., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Lu, K. D., Nehr, S., Rohrer, F., and Wahner, A.: Detection of HO2 by laser-induced fluorescence: calibration and interferences from RO2 radicals, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 4, 1209-1225, 10.5194/amt-4-1209-2011, 2011.
- Fuchs, H., Tan, Z., Hofzumahaus, A., Broch, S., Dorn, H.-P., Holland, F., Kuenstler, C., Gomm, S., Rohrer, F., Schrade, S., Tillmann, R., and Wahner, A.: Investigation of potential interferences in the detection of atmospheric ROx radicals by laserinduced fluorescence under dark conditions, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 9, 1431-1447, 10.5194/amt-9-1431-2016, 2016.

- Fuchs, H., Tan, Z., Lu, K., Bohn, B., Broch, S., Brown, S. S., Dong, H., Gomm, S., Haeseler, R., He, L., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Li, X., Liu, Y., Lu, S., Min, K.-E., Rohrer, F., Shao, M., Wang, B., Wang, M., Wu, Y., Zeng, L., Zhang, Y., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: OH reactivity at a rural site (Wangdu) in the North China Plain: contributions from OH reactants and experimental OH budget, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17, 645-661, 10.5194/acp-17-645-2017, 2017.
- Griffith, S. M., Hansen, R. F., Dusanter, S., Michoud, V., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., Veres, P. R., Graus, M., de Gouw, J. A., Roberts, J., Young, C., Washenfelder, R., Brown, S. S., Thalman, R., Waxman, E., Volkamer, R., Tsai, C., Stutz, J., Flynn, J. H., Grossberg, N., Lefer, B., Alvarez, S. L., Rappenglueck, B., Mielke, L. H., Osthoff, H. D., and Stevens, P. S.: Measurements of hydroxyl and hydroperoxy radicals during CalNex-LA: Model comparisons and radical budgets, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 121, 4211-4232, 10.1002/2015jd024358, 2016.
- Guo, Y. L., Wang, S. S., Zhu, J., Zhang, R. F., Gao, S., Saiz-Lopez, A., and Zhou, B.: Atmospheric formaldehyde, glyoxal and their relations to ozone pollution under low- and high-NOx regimes in summertime Shanghai, China, Atmos. Res., 258, ARTN 10563510.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105635, 2021.
- Hens, K., Novelli, A., Martinez, M., Auld, J., Axinte, R., Bohn, B., Fischer, H., Keronen, P., Kubistin, D., Nölscher, A. C., Oswald, R., Paasonen, P., Petäjä, T., Regelin, E., Sander, R., Sinha, V., Sipilä, M., Taraborrelli, D., Tatum Ernest, C., Williams, J., Lelieveld, J., and Harder, H.: Observation and modelling of HOx radicals in a boreal forest, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 8723-8747, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-8723-2014</u>, 2014.
- Kanaya, Y., Cao, R., Akimoto, H., Fukuda, M., Komazaki, Y., Yokouchi, Y., Koike, M., Tanimoto, H., Takegawa, N., and Kondo, Y.: Urban photochemistry in central Tokyo: 1. Observed and modeled OH and HO2radical concentrations during the winter and summer of 2004, Journal of Geophysical Research, 112, 10.1029/2007jd008670, 2007.
- Kanaya, Y., Fukuda, M., Akimoto, H., Takegawa, N., Komazaki, Y., Yokouchi, Y., Koike, M., and Kondo, Y.: Urban photochemistry in central Tokyo: 2. Rates and regimes of oxidant (O3+ NO2) production, Journal of Geophysical Research, 113, 10.1029/2007jd008671, 2008.
- Kanaya, Y., Hofzumahaus, A., Dorn, H. P., Brauers, T., Fuchs, H., Holland, F., Rohrer, F., Bohn, B., Tillmann, R., Wegener, R., Wahner, A., Kajii, Y., Miyamoto, K., Nishida, S., Watanabe, K., Yoshino, A., Kubistin, D., Martinez, M., Rudolf, M., Harder, H., Berresheim, H., Elste, T., Plass-Duelmer, C., Stange, G., Kleffmann, J., Elshorbany, Y., and Schurath, U.: Comparisons of observed and modeled OH and HO2 concentrations during the ambient measurement period of the HO(x)Comp field campaign, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 2567-2585, 10.5194/acp-12-2567-2012, 2012.
- Kim, S., Wolfe, G. M., Mauldin, L., Cantrell, C., Guenther, A., Karl, T., Turnipseed, A., Greenberg, J., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Apel, E., Hornbrook, R., Kajii, Y., Nakashima, Y., Keutsch, F. N., DiGangi, J. P., Henry, S. B., Kaser, L., Schnitzhofer,

R., Graus, M., Hansel, A., Zheng, W., and Flocke, F. F.: Evaluation of HOx sources and cycling using measurement-constrained model calculations in a 2-methyl-3-butene-2-ol (MBO) and monoterpene (MT) dominated ecosystem, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 2031-2044, 10.5194/acp-13-2031-2013, 2013.

- Kim, S., VandenBoer, T. C., Young, C. J., Riedel, T. P., Thornton, J. A., Swarthout, B., Sive, B., Lerner, B., Gilman, J. B., Warneke, C., Roberts, J. M., Guenther, A., Wagner, N. L., Dube, W. P., Williams, E., and Brown, S. S.: The primary and recycling sources of OH during the NACHTT-2011 campaign: HONO as an important OH primary source in the wintertime, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 119, 6886-6896, 10.1002/2013jd019784, 2014.
- Kovacs, T. A., Brune, W. H., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Simpas, J. B., Frost, G. J., Williams, E., Jobson, T., Stroud, C., Young, V., Fried, A., and Wert, B.: Direct measurements of urban OH reactivity during Nashville SOS in summer 1999, Journal of Environmental Monitoring, 5, 68-74, 10.1039/b204339d, 2003.
- Liu, J. W., Li, X., Li, D. Q., Xu, R. J., Gao, Y. Q., Chen, S. Y., Liu, Y., Zhao, G., Wang, H. C., Wang, H. L., Lou, S. R., Chen, M. D., Hu, J. L., Lu, K. D., Wu, Z. J., Hu, M., Zeng, L. M., and Zhang, Y. H.: Observations of glyoxal and methylglyoxal in a suburban area of the Yangtze River Delta, China, Atmospheric Environment, 238, ARTN 11772710.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117727, 2020.
- Lou, S., Holland, F., Rohrer, F., Lu, K., Bohn, B., Brauers, T., Chang, C. C., Fuchs, H., Haeseler, R., Kita, K., Kondo, Y., Li, X., Shao, M., Zeng, L., Wahner, A., Zhang, Y., Wang, W., and Hofzumahaus, A.: Atmospheric OH reactivities in the Pearl River Delta - China in summer 2006: measurement and model results, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 11243-11260, 10.5194/acp-10-11243-2010, 2010.
- Lou, S., Tan, Z., Gan, G., Chen, J., Wang, H., Gao, Y., Huang, D., Huang, C., Li, X., Song, R., Wang, H., Wang, M., Wang, Q., Wu, Y., and Huang, C.: Observation based study on atmospheric oxidation capacity in Shanghai during late-autumn: Contribution from nitryl chloride, Atmospheric Environment, 271, 118902, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2021.118902</u>, 2022.
- Lu, K. D., Rohrer, F., Holland, F., Fuchs, H., Bohn, B., Brauers, T., Chang, C. C., Häseler, R., Hu, M., Kita, K., Kondo, Y., Li, X., Lou, S. R., Nehr, S., Shao, M., Zeng, L. M., Wahner, A., Zhang, Y. H., and Hofzumahaus, A.: Observation and modelling of OH and HO₂ concentrations in the Pearl River Delta 2006: a missing OH source in a VOC rich atmosphere, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 1541-1569, 10.5194/acp-12-1541-2012, 2012.
- Lu, K. D., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Bohn, B., Brauers, T., Fuchs, H., Hu, M., Häseler, R., Kita, K., Kondo, Y., Li, X., Lou, S. R., Oebel, A., Shao, M., Zeng, L. M., Wahner, A., Zhu, T., Zhang, Y. H., and Rohrer, F.: Missing OH source in a suburban environment near Beijing: observed and modelled OH and HO₂ concentrations in summer 2006, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13, 1057-1080, 10.5194/acp-13-1057-2013, 2013.
- Ma, X., Tan, Z., Lu, K., Yang, X., Liu, Y., Li, S., Li, X., Chen, S., Novelli, A., Cho, C., Zeng, L., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: Winter photochemistry in Beijing:

Observation and model simulation of OH and HO2 radicals at an urban site, Science of the Total Environment, 685, 85-95, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.329, 2019.

- Mao, J., Ren, X., Zhang, L., Van Duin, D. M., Cohen, R. C., Park, J. H., Goldstein, A. H., Paulot, F., Beaver, M. R., Crounse, J. D., Wennberg, P. O., DiGangi, J. P., Henry, S. B., Keutsch, F. N., Park, C., Schade, G. W., Wolfe, G. M., Thornton, J. A., and Brune, W. H.: Insights into hydroxyl measurements and atmospheric oxidation in a California forest, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 8009-8020, 10.5194/acp-12-8009-2012, 2012.
- Martinez, M.: OH and HO2concentrations, sources, and loss rates during the Southern Oxidants Study in Nashville, Tennessee, summer 1999, Journal of Geophysical Research, 108, 10.1029/2003jd003551, 2003.
- Michoud, V., Kukui, A., Camredon, M., Colomb, A., Borbon, A., Miet, K., Aumont, B., Beekmann, M., Durand-Jolibois, R., Perrier, S., Zapf, P., Siour, G., Ait-Helal, W., Locoge, N., Sauvage, S., Afif, C., Gros, V., Furger, M., Ancellet, G., and Doussin, J. F.: Radical budget analysis in a suburban European site during the MEGAPOLI summer field campaign, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 11951-11974, 10.5194/acp-12-11951-2012, 2012.
- Novelli, A., Hens, K., Ernest, C. T., Kubistin, D., Regelin, E., Elste, T., Plass-Duelmer, C., Martinez, M., Lelieveld, J., and Harder, H.: Characterisation of an inlet preinjector laser-induced fluorescence instrument for the measurement of atmospheric hydroxyl radicals, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 7, 3413-3430, 10.5194/amt-7-3413-2014, 2014.
- Novelli, A., Hens, K., Ernest, C. T., Martinez, M., Noelscher, A. C., Sinha, V., Paasonen, P., Petaja, T., Sipila, M., Elste, T., Plass-Duelmer, C., Phillips, G. J., Kubistin, D., Williams, J., Vereecken, L., Lelieveld, J., and Harder, H.: Estimating the atmospheric concentration of Criegee intermediates and their possible interference in a FAGE-LIF instrument, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17, 7807-7826, 10.5194/acp-17-7807-2017, 2017.
- Ren, X., Brune, W. H., Mao, J., Mitchell, M. J., Lesher, R. L., Simpas, J. B., Metcalf, A. R., Schwab, J. J., Cai, C., and Li, Y.: Behavior of OH and HO2 in the winter atmosphere in New York City, Atmospheric Environment, 40, 252-263, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.11.073, 2006.
- Ren, X., van Duin, D., Cazorla, M., Chen, S., Mao, J., Zhang, L., Brune, W. H., Flynn, J. H., Grossberg, N., Lefer, B. L., Rappenglück, B., Wong, K. W., Tsai, C., Stutz, J., Dibb, J. E., Thomas Jobson, B., Luke, W. T., and Kelley, P.: Atmospheric oxidation chemistry and ozone production: Results from SHARP 2009 in Houston, Texas, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118, 5770-5780, 10.1002/jgrd.50342, 2013.
- Ren, X. R., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Lesher, R. L., Oliger, A., Shirley, T., Adams, J., Simpas, J. B., and Brune, W. H.: HOx concentrations and OH reactivity observations in New York City during PMTACS-NY2001, Atmospheric Environment, 37, 3627-3637, 10.1016/s1352-2310(03)00460-6, 2003a.
- Ren, X. R., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Lesher, R. L., Oliger, A., Simpas, J. B., Brune,

W. H., Schwab, J. J., Demerjian, K. L., He, Y., Zhou, X. L., and Gao, H. G.: OH and HO2 chemistry in the urban atmosphere of New York City, Atmospheric Environment, 37, 3639-3651, 10.1016/s1352-2310(03)00459-x, 2003b.

- Saunders, S. M., Jenkin, M. E., Derwent, R. G., and Pilling, M. J.: Protocol for the development of the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part A): tropospheric degradation of non-aromatic volatile organic compounds, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 3, 161-180, DOI 10.5194/acp-3-161-2003, 2003.
- Shirley, T. R., Brune, W. H., Ren, X., Mao, J., Lesher, R., Cardenas, B., Volkamer, R., Molina, L. T., Molina, M. J., Lamb, B., Velasco, E., Jobson, T., and Alexander, M.: Atmospheric oxidation in the Mexico City Metropolitan Area (MCMA) during April 2003, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 6, 2753-2765, 2006.
- Silva, S. J., Heald, C. L., and Li, M.: Space-Based Constraints on Terrestrial Glyoxal Production, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 123, 13583-13594, 10.1029/2018jd029311, 2018.
- Slater, E. J., Whalley, L. K., Woodward-Massey, R., Ye, C. X., Lee, J. D., Squires, F., Hopkins, J. R., Dunmore, R. E., Shaw, M., Hamilton, J. F., Lewis, A. C., Crilley, L. R., Kramer, L., Bloss, W., Vu, T., Sun, Y. L., Xu, W. Q., Yue, S. Y., Ren, L. J., Acton, W. J. F., Hewitt, C. N., Wang, X. M., Fu, P. Q., and Heard, D. E.: Elevated levels of OH observed in haze events during wintertime in central Beijing, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 20, 14847-14871, 10.5194/acp-20-14847-2020, 2020.
- Tan, Z., Fuchs, H., Lu, K., Hofzumahaus, A., Bohn, B., Broch, S., Dong, H., Gomm, S., Haeseler, R., He, L., Holland, F., Li, X., Liu, Y., Lu, S., Rohrer, F., Shao, M., Wang, B., Wang, M., Wu, Y., Zeng, L., Zhang, Y., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: Radical chemistry at a rural site (Wangdu) in the North China Plain: observation and model calculations of OH, HO2 and RO2 radicals, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17, 663-690, 10.5194/acp-17-663-2017, 2017.
- Tan, Z., Rohrer, F., Lu, K., Ma, X., Bohn, B., Broch, S., Dong, H., Fuchs, H., Gkatzelis, G. I., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Li, X., Liu, Y., Liu, Y., Novelli, A., Shao, M., Wang, H., Wu, Y., Zeng, L., Hu, M., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: Wintertime photochemistry in Beijing: observations of ROx radical concentrations in the North China Plain during the BEST-ONE campaign, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18, 12391-12411, 10.5194/acp-18-12391-2018, 2018.
- Tan, Z., Lu, K., Hofzumahaus, A., Fuchs, H., Bohn, B., Holland, F., Liu, Y., Rohrer, F., Shao, M., Sun, K., Wu, Y., Zeng, L., Zhang, Y., Zou, Q., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: Experimental budgets of OH, HO2, and RO2 radicals and implications for ozone formation in the Pearl River Delta in China 2014, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 19, 7129-7150, 10.5194/acp-19-7129-2019, 2019.
- Wang, C., Huang, X. F., Han, Y., Zhu, B., and He, L. Y.: Sources and Potential Photochemical Roles of Formaldehyde in an Urban Atmosphere in South China, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 122, 11934-11947, 10.1002/2017jd027266, 2017.

Wang, F. Y., Hu, R. Z., Chen, H., Xie, P. H., Wang, Y. H., Li, Z. Y., Jin, H. W., Liu, J.

G., and Liu, W. Q.: Development of a field system for measurement of tropospheric OH radical using laser-induced fluorescence technique, Opt Express, 27, A419-A435, 10.1364/Oe.27.00a419, 2019.

- Whalley, L. K., Blitz, M. A., Desservettaz, M., Seakins, P. W., and Heard, D. E.: Reporting the sensitivity of laser-induced fluorescence instruments used for HO2 detection to an interference from RO2 radicals and introducing a novel approach that enables HO2 and certain RO2 types to be selectively measured, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 6, 3425-3440, 10.5194/amt-6-3425-2013, 2013.
- Whalley, L. K., Stone, D., Bandy, B., Dunmore, R., Hamilton, J. F., Hopkins, J., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., and Heard, D. E.: Atmospheric OH reactivity in central London: observations, model predictions and estimates of in situ ozone production, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16, 2109-2122, 10.5194/acp-16-2109-2016, 2016.
- Whalley, L. K., Stone, D., Dunmore, R., Hamilton, J., Hopkins, J. R., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., Williams, P., Kleffmann, J., Laufs, S., Woodward-Massey, R., and Heard, D. E.: Understanding in situ ozone production in the summertime through radical observations and modelling studies during the Clean air for London project (ClearfLo), Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18, 2547-2571, 10.5194/acp-18-2547-2018, 2018.
- Whalley, L. K., Slater, E. J., Woodward-Massey, R., Ye, C. X., Lee, J. D., Squires, F., Hopkins, J. R., Dunmore, R. E., Shaw, M., Hamilton, J. F., Lewis, A. C., Mehra, A., Worrall, S. D., Bacak, A., Bannan, T. J., Coe, H., Percival, C. J., Ouyang, B., Jones, R. L., Crilley, L. R., Kramer, L. J., Bloss, W. J., Vu, T., Kotthaus, S., Grimmond, S., Sun, Y. L., Xu, W. Q., Yue, S. Y., Ren, L. J., Acton, W. J. F., Hewitt, C. N., Wang, X. M., Fu, P. Q., and Heard, D. E.: Evaluating the sensitivity of radical chemistry and ozone formation to ambient VOCs and NOx in Beijing, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 21, 2125-2147, 10.5194/acp-21-2125-2021, 2021.
- Wolfe, G. M., Cantrell, C., Kim, S., Mauldin Iii, R. L., Karl, T., Harley, P., Turnipseed, A., Zheng, W., Flocke, F., Apel, E. C., Hornbrook, R. S., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Henry, S. B., DiGangi, J. P., Boyle, E. S., Kaser, L., Schnitzhofer, R., Hansel, A., Graus, M., Nakashima, Y., Kajii, Y., Guenther, A., and Keutsch, F. N.: Missing peroxy radical sources within a summertime ponderosa pine forest, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 4715-4732, 10.5194/acp-14-4715-2014, 2014.
- Woodward-Massey, R., Slater, E. J., Alen, J., Ingham, T., Cryer, D. R., Stimpson, L. M., Ye, C. X., Seakins, P. W., Whalley, L. K., and Heard, D. E.: Implementation of a chemical background method for atmospheric OH measurements by laser-induced fluorescence: characterisation and observations from the UK and China, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 13, 3119-3146, 10.5194/amt-13-3119-2020, 2020.
- Yang, Y., Shao, M., Wang, X., Noelscher, A. C., Kessel, S., Guenther, A., and Williams, J.: Towards a quantitative understanding of total OH reactivity: A review, Atmospheric Environment, 134, 147-161, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.03.010, 2016.
 Zhang, G. X., Hu, R. Z., Xie, P. H., Lou, S. R., Wang, F. Y., Wang, Y. H., Qin, M., Li,

X., Liu, X. Y., Wang, Y., and Liu, W. Q.: Observation and simulation of HOx radicals in an urban area in Shanghai, China, Science of the Total Environment, 810, ARTN 15227510.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152275, 2022.